

SINGULAR VALUE ASYMPTOTICS ON COMPACT SMOOTH RIEMANNIAN MANIFOLDS

FEDOR SUKOCHEV, FULIN YANG, AND DMITRIY ZANIN

ABSTRACT. Let (X, G) be a d -dimensional compact smooth Riemannian manifold equipped with Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_G , and let Π_X be the C^* -algebra obtained by locally transferring the C^* -algebra generated by multiplication operators and Riesz transforms on \mathbb{R}^d . Denote sym_X the principal symbol mapping of Π_X . For any $S \in \Pi_X$, we prove that, in the framework of C^* -algebra,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(t, S(1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{d}{2p}}) = c_{d,p} \left\| \text{sym}_X(S) \right\|_{L_p(T^*X, e^{-qG} d\lambda)}, \quad 0 < p < \infty,$$

where $c_{d,p}$ is a constant, e^{-qG} is the canonical weight on X , and $d\lambda$ is the Liouville measure on the cotangent bundle T^*X .

1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper, we establish singular value asymptotics on a C^* -algebra over compact Riemannian manifold. On \mathbb{R}^d , recall that $\nabla = (D_1, \dots, D_d)$ and $\Delta = \sum_{k=1}^d D_k^2$, where $D_k = \frac{1}{i} \partial_k$. The C^* -subalgebra $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ of $B(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ (the set of bounded linear operators), introduced in [37], is generated by the algebras

$$\{M_f : f \in \mathbb{C} + C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)\}, \quad \left\{g\left(\frac{\nabla}{\sqrt{\Delta}}\right) : g \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})\right\},$$

where M_f is defined by $M_f \phi = f \phi$ for $\phi \in L_{1,\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. As shown in [37, 26], there exists a $*$ -homomorphism

$$\text{sym} : \Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d} \rightarrow C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1}, \mathbb{C} + C_0(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

such that, for all $f \in \mathbb{C} + C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $g \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})$,

$$\text{sym}(M_f) = f \otimes 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{sym}\left(g\left(\frac{\nabla}{\sqrt{\Delta}}\right)\right) = 1 \otimes g.$$

This $*$ -homomorphism, referred to as a principal symbol mapping, generalizes the classical notion of the principal symbol for pseudodifferential operators on \mathbb{R}^d .

An operator $S \in B(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is compactly supported if there exists $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $S = M_\phi S M_\phi$. For a compact operator T on Hilbert space H , let $t \mapsto \mu(t, T)$ be the singular value function (see Subsection 2.4 for detail). The following singular value asymptotics on \mathbb{R}^d was proved in [13, Theorem 6.1] (earlier in [12] with the case $p = d$).

Theorem A. For $0 < p < \infty$, if $S \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ is compactly supported, then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu\left(t, S(1 + \Delta)^{-\frac{d}{2p}}\right) = c_d^{\frac{1}{p}} \left\| \text{sym}(S) \right\|_{L_p(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})}$$

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 58C40, 46L51, 47G30.

Key words: singular value function, compact manifold, Weyl's law, principal symbol.

where $c_d = d^{-\frac{1}{d}}(2\pi)^{-1}$.

The Theorem A is closely connected to the Connes' integral established in [24, Theorem 5.3.3] (also [37, Theorem 1.5]), and plays a key role in studying the singular value asymptotics of quantum derivatives [12, 36, 40] and spectral estimates [13, 11].

Let (X, G) be a compact smooth Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric G and an atlas $\{(\mathcal{U}_i, h_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$, and let vol_G be the volume form on X induced by the metric G . On the compact manifold X , the C^* -algebra Π_X introduced in [22] corresponds to $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ in local coordinates.

Definition 1.1. *Let (X, G) be a d -dimensional compact smooth Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric G . For $S \in B(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))$, we say $S \in \Pi_X$ if*

- (1) *For each $i \in \mathbb{I}$ and each $\phi \in C_c(\mathcal{U}_i)$, the operator $M_\phi S M_\phi$, when transferred to an operator on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$, belongs to $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$;*
- (2) *For each $\psi \in C(X)$, the commutator $[M_\psi, S]$ is compact.*

The C^* -algebra $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ is strictly broader than the class of classical compactly supported pseudo-differential operators of order 0 on \mathbb{R}^d [21]. By the definition, the algebra Π_X contains the class of classical compactly supported pseudo-differential operators of order 0 in each chart.

Let S^*X denote the cosphere bundle of X . By [22, Theorem 1.4], the algebra Π_X is a C^* -algebra, and there exists a surjective $*$ -homomorphism

$$\text{sym}_X : \Pi_X \rightarrow C(S^*X)$$

such that

$$\ker(\text{sym}_X) = \mathcal{K}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

Here, $\mathcal{K}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))$ is the set of compact operators on Hilbert space $L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)$. We prove an analogue of Theorem A on compact Riemannian manifolds.

Theorem 1.2. *Let (X, G) be a compact smooth Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric G . For $0 < p < \infty$, if $S \in \Pi_X$, then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(t, S(1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{d}{2p}}) = (2\pi^{\frac{d}{2}})^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left\| \text{sym}_X(S) \right\|_{L_p(T^*X, e^{-qG} d\lambda)}$$

where Δ_G and e^{-qG} are the Laplace–Beltrami operator and canonical weight on X separately, and $d\lambda$ is the Liouville measure on the cotangent bundle T^*X .

For the precise definitions of the Laplace–Beltrami operator Δ_G , the weight e^{-qG} , and the Liouville measure $d\lambda$, we refer to Subsection 2.1.

The Theorem 1.2 covers the results on closed Riemannian manifolds [1, 14] and the classical tori [36] (this result is different with that in [1, 14]), and has a direct link with Connes' integral in [26, 22] on compact Riemannian manifolds. Let us give the details below.

1.1. Motivation on Weyl's law. For a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ with Dirichlet boundary conditions, H. Weyl [42] found that the eigenvalue counting function $N(\lambda)$ for the Laplacian Δ below λ satisfies the asymptotic formula:

$$N(\lambda) = \frac{\omega_d \text{vol}(\Omega)}{(2\pi)^d} \lambda^{\frac{d}{2}} + o(\lambda^{\frac{d}{2}}), \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\omega_d = \frac{\pi^{\frac{d}{2}}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2}+1)}$ is the volume of the unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d , and $\text{vol}(\Omega)$ is the volume of Ω . This result, now known as Weyl's law, was later extended by Birman–Solomyak

[1] to negative-order pseudo-differential operators in local settings of \mathbb{R}^d , and generalized to compact Riemannian manifolds by Chazarain [6] (see also [5, page 155]). In recent years, Weyl's law has been actively investigated from both geometric [30, 15, 10, 7] and C^* -algebraic [27, 12, 36, 13] perspectives, with ongoing advances in results and associated techniques.

A powerful modern reformulation of Weyl's law is expressed in terms of singular value asymptotics. Let X be a d -dimensional compact smooth Riemannian manifold without boundary, and let T be a pseudo-differential operator of order $-p$ on X with $p > 0$. In [14, Lemma 4.5], Grubb states (she referred to [1] without proof) that

$$(1.1) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{\frac{p}{d}} \mu(k, T) = \frac{1}{d(2\pi)^d} \|\sigma_T\|_{L_{\frac{d}{p}}(S^*X)}$$

where σ_T is the principal symbol of T and S^*X is the cosphere bundle of X . The formula (1.1) is related to Connes's original noncommutative integration [2, 32, 31]. It is generalised to Theorem A on Euclidean space [12, 13] and a counterpart of Theorem A (with the case $p = d$) on quantum tori [36] including the classical tori.

1.2. Connection with noncommutative integration. Let (X, G) be a compact smooth Riemannian manifold of dimension d , equipped with Laplace-Beltrami operator Δ_G . In noncommutative geometry [2], A. Connes observed that Weyl's law could be reinterpreted as follows: the integral of $f \in C^\infty(X)$ equals the Dixmier trace of operator $M_f(1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{d}{2}}$. In [2], the functional

$$\text{Int}(T) : A \mapsto \text{Tr}_\omega \left(T(1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \right), \quad T \in B(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)),$$

where Tr_ω denote the Dixmier trace with respect to an extended limit ω on ℓ_∞ , is proposed as a notion of noncommutative integration. Whenever $T(1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{d}{2}}$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))$, this definition is independent of the choice of the extended limit ω and yields the same value (see [24] for more details). The connection between asymptotic formulas for singular values and noncommutative integral formulas was first studied by Rozenblum [32], and later explored in [38].

According to [22, Theorem 1.5] (see also [26, 24] for the case of classical tori), for any $S \in \Pi_X$, one has $T(1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \in \mathcal{L}_{1,\infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))$ and

$$\text{Int}(S) = c_d \int_{T^*X} \text{sym}_X(S) e^{-q_G} d\lambda.$$

If $S \in \Pi_X$ is positive, Theorem 1.2 states that

$$\text{Int}(S) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \mu(t, S(1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{d}{2}}).$$

Hence, Theorem 1.2 shows a direct and explicit link between noncommutative integral formula in [22] and singular value asymptotics for positive elements in Π_X .

1.3. Advantages and obstacles. In contrast to (1.1), the advantage of Theorem 1.2 is threefold: (a) it works on compact smooth Riemannian manifolds, including those with boundary; (b) it encompasses a strictly larger class of operators; (c) it is situated within the category of C^* -algebras (i.e. non-commutative topological spaces), not in a category of classical pseudodifferential operators which does not have a natural counterpart in non-commutative geometry. In addition, Theorem 1.2 covers a counterpart of Theorem A on classical tori [36] and provides Connes' noncommutative integral for positive operators in Π_X .

Several significant obstacles arise to treat Theorem 1.2. First, since the Laplace–Beltrami operator locally equals to an elliptic differential operator, we need to establish spectral estimates for commutators involving elliptic differential operators on \mathbb{R}^d . Second, we must adapt Theorem A to a curved space setting with respect to an elliptic differential operator on \mathbb{R}^d . Third, transferring singular value asymptotics from local to global settings requires avoiding the Complex Powers Theorem for pseudo-differential operators on manifolds, as no satisfactory version is available.

Our proof diverges from the methods of Birman and Solomyak [1, 14] by employing a novel combination of functional calculus and double operator integrals. Two key innovations are the differentiability of operators inspiring by Connes–Moscovici (Subsection 5.2) and the new concept of “good atlas” on compact manifolds (Subsection 6.1). We demonstrate the density of differentiable elements in Π_X (Lemma 5.9) and the existence of “good atlas” for any compact Riemannian manifold (Proposition 6.3), which serve as foundations to show Theorem 1.2. Collectively, these strategies go beyond the classical pseudo-differential calculus.

1.4. Outline of the Paper. Section 2 provides necessary notions and facts.

In Section 3, we establish spectral estimates (Theorems 3.1 and 3.2) for commutators of elliptic differential operators on \mathbb{R}^d arising from the Laplace–Beltrami operator on manifolds.

In Section 4, we generalize Theorem A to Theorem 4.1 by replacing the Laplacian with an elliptic differential operator on \mathbb{R}^d for the index $p = \frac{d}{2}$.

In Section 5, we give spectral characterization (Theorem 5.1) for powers of two nearly commuting operators.

In Section 6, we show Theorem 1.2 in a local chart by using the spectral estimates from Section 3 and the singular value asymptotics from Section 4.

Finally, in Section 7, we combine the spectral estimates from Sections 3 and 5 with the local version of Theorem 1.2 from Section 6 to end the proof.

Throughout, we use c_A to denote some constant depending only on the symbol A , which may change from line to line without specification.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we recall necessary notions for manifold and double operator integral, and provide some facts related to Cwikel estimate and asymptotics.

2.1. Compact manifold. The following materials are standard, see e.g. [4, 25]. Let (X, G) be a d -dimensional compact smooth Riemannian manifold with Riemannian metric G and an atlas $\{(\mathcal{U}_i, h_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$. Let

$$\Omega_i = h_i(\mathcal{U}_i) \subset \mathbb{R}^d, \quad \Omega_{i,j} = h_i(\mathcal{U}_i \cap \mathcal{U}_j) \subset \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Denote $\Phi_{i,j} : \Omega_{i,j} \rightarrow \Omega_{j,i}$ the diffeomorphism given by the formula $\Phi_{i,j} = h_j \circ h_i^{-1}$. Let T^*X be the cotangent bundle of X and let $\pi : T^*X \rightarrow X$ be the canonical projection. There exists an atlas $\{(\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_i), H_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$ on T^*X such that

- (a) for $i \in \mathbb{I}$, function $H_i : \pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_i) \rightarrow \Omega_i \times \mathbb{R}^d$ is a homeomorphism;
- (b) for $i, j \in \mathbb{I}$ such that $\Omega_{i,j} \neq \emptyset$, we have

$$(H_j \circ H_i^{-1})(\xi, s) = (\Phi_{i,j}(\xi), (J_{\Phi_{i,j}}^*(\xi))^{-1}s), \quad \xi \in \Omega_{i,j}, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

where $J_{\Phi_{i,j}}$ is the Jacobian matrix of mapping $\Phi_{i,j}$.

Since T^*X is a smooth d -dimensional manifold, it follows that T^*X has a canonical symplectic structure [16]. The corresponding Liouville measure $d\lambda$ on T^*X is given by

$$\int_{T^*X} (f \circ H_i) d\lambda = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} f(\xi, s) dm(\xi, s), \quad f \in C_c(\Omega_i \times \mathbb{R}^d), \quad i \in \mathbb{I},$$

where dm is the product-Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d$. Here $f \circ H_i$ denotes a function on T^*X which equals $f \circ H_i$ on $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_i)$ and which vanishes outside $\pi^{-1}(\mathcal{U}_i)$. By the way, there is no canonical way to equip the cosphere bundle S^*X of a smooth manifold X with a measure.

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^d$ be connected and open. Let $g : \Omega \rightarrow \text{GL}^+(d, \mathbb{R})$ be a smooth mapping where $\text{GL}^+(d, \mathbb{R})$ stands for the set of all positive elements in $\text{GL}(d, \mathbb{R})$ (the space of all $d \times d$ invertible real matrices). The Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_g : C_c^\infty(\Omega) \rightarrow C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ associated to mapping g is defined by

$$\Delta_g = M_{\det(g)^{-\frac{1}{2}}} \sum_{k,l=1}^d D_k M_{\det(g)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (g^{-1})_{kl}} D_l$$

where $D_k = \frac{1}{i} \partial_k$ and $\det(g)$ is the determinant of g .

For $i \in \mathbb{I}$, the components of the metric G in the chart (\mathcal{U}_i, h_i) give rise to a smooth mapping $G_i : \mathcal{U}_i \rightarrow \text{GL}^+(d, \mathbb{R})$. Set $g_i = G_i \circ h_i^{-1} : \Omega_i \rightarrow \text{GL}^+(d, \mathbb{R})$. Define a partial isometry $W_{h_i} : L_2(X, \text{vol}_G) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_{g_i})$ by setting

$$W_{h_i} \xi = \xi \circ h_i^{-1}.$$

Clearly,

$$W_{h_i}^* W_{h_i} = M_{\chi_{\mathcal{U}_i}}, \quad W_{h_i} W_{h_i}^* = M_{\chi_{h_i(\mathcal{U}_i)}}.$$

Since X is compact, there is a good partition of unity $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^N \subset C_c^\infty(X)$ which is a finite partition of unity on X and each ϕ_n is compactly supported in some chart. The Laplace-Beltrami operator $\Delta_G : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$ is defined by the formula

$$\Delta_G f = \sum_{n=1}^N \Delta_{g_{i_n}} \left((f \phi_n) \circ h_{i_n}^{-1} \right) \circ h_{i_n}, \quad f \in C^\infty(X).$$

Here i_n is chosen such that ϕ_n is compactly supported in \mathcal{U}_{i_n} . This definition does not rely on the choice of the good partition of unity $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^N$.

For our purpose, we need to introduce the canonical weight e^{-q_G} on T^*X . Set

$$q_i(\xi, s) = \langle g_i(\xi)^{-1} s, s \rangle, \quad \xi \in \Omega_i, \quad s \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

By [22, Fact 2.10], there exists a function q_G defined on T^*X such that

$$q_i = q_G \circ H_i^{-1} \quad \text{on} \quad \Omega_i \times \mathbb{R}^d.$$

The function q_G is the square of the length function on T^*X defined by the induced Riemannian metric on the cotangent bundle T^*X . More exactly, in local coordinates, the function e^{-q_G} satisfies $e^{-q_G} \circ H_i^{-1}(\xi, s) = e^{-q_i(\xi, s)}$ on $\Omega_i \times \mathbb{R}^d$. We call e^{-q_G} the canonical weight of (X, G) on T^*X . Since X is compact, it follows $e^{-q_G} \in L_1(T^*X, d\lambda)$.

In what follows, we always suppose that the manifold in considering is smooth.

2.2. Pseudo-differential operator. For $a \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d)$, define

$$\text{Op}(a)f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} e^{2\pi i \langle x, \xi \rangle} a(x, \xi) \hat{f}(\xi) d\xi, \quad f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d),$$

where \hat{f} is the Fourier transform of f . By the Calderón-Vaillancourt theorem, $\text{Op}(a)$ is a bounded on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, let $\Psi^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be the class of operators $\text{Op}(a)$ with its symbol a satisfying

$$|D_x^\alpha D_\xi^\beta a(x, \xi)| \leq c_{\alpha, \beta} (1 + |\xi|_2)^{\frac{m - |\beta|_1}{2}}, \quad \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_+^d.$$

Here $|\beta|_1 = \sum_{k=1}^d |\beta_k|$ and $|\xi|_2 = (\sum_{k=1}^d |\xi_k|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. The elements in $\Psi^m(\mathbb{R}^d)$ are called pseudo-differential operators of order m . The key properties are that

$$\Psi^{m_1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cdot \Psi^{m_2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \subset \Psi^{m_1+m_2}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad [\Psi^{m_1}(\mathbb{R}^d), \Psi^{m_2}(\mathbb{R}^d)] \subset \Psi^{m_1+m_2-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

for $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Let (X, G) be a compact Riemannian manifold. A linear operator $A : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$ is called a pseudo-differential operator on X of order m if, for any chart (\mathcal{U}, h) and any $\psi, \phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{U})$, the operator $M_\psi A M_\phi : C^\infty(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow C^\infty(\mathcal{U})$ is a pseudo-differential operator on \mathbb{R}^d of order m . Denote $\Psi^m(X)$ the class of all pseudo-differential operators on X of order m . Clearly, $\Delta_G \in \Psi^2(X)$. Similarly,

$$\Psi^{m_1}(X) \cdot \Psi^{m_2}(X) \subset \Psi^{m_1+m_2}(X), \quad [\Psi^{m_1}(X), \Psi^{m_2}(X)] \subset \Psi^{m_1+m_2-1}(X)$$

for $m_1, m_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

More information on pseudo-differential operators, we refer [22, 43] for \mathbb{R}^d and [44, 34] for compact manifolds.

2.3. Sobolev space. The Sobolev space $W^{m,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, consists of all distributions $f \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that distribution $(1 + \Delta)^{\frac{m}{2}} f$ belongs to $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

A linear differential operator

$$P = \sum_{k,l=1}^d M_{a_{kl}} D_k D_l + \sum_{k=1}^d M_{b_k} D_k + M_f, \quad a_{kl}, b_k, f \in L_{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

is said to be elliptic if $\sum_{k,l=1}^d a_{kl}(x) \xi_k \xi_l \neq 0$ for all $\xi_k \neq 0$ ($1 \leq k \leq d$). The Sobolev spaces $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is the domain of the positive operator Δ . Moreover, we crucially use the following fundamental result related to elliptic differential operator which is organised from [44].

Theorem 2.1. *Let $P : W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a positive, self-adjoint, elliptic differential operator whose coefficients are constant outside some ball. For every $\alpha > 0$, we have $(1 + P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} : L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow W^{\alpha,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is bounded.*

Let (X, G) be a compact Riemannian manifold and let Δ_G be the related Laplace-Beltrami operator. For any $m \in \mathbb{N}$, a distribution $f \in L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)$ belongs to the Sobolev space $W^{m,2}(X, \text{vol}_G)$ if and only if

$$(1 + \Delta_G)^{\frac{m}{2}} f \in L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)$$

where the operator is defined spectrally. This global, operator-theoretic characterization is equivalent to the local coordinate-based definition via a good partition of unity, a consequence of the manifold's compactness. If $P : C^\infty(X) \rightarrow C^\infty(X)$ is a pseudo-differential operator of order m , then P has a continuous extension $P : W^{k,2}(X, \text{vol}_G) \rightarrow W^{k-m,2}(X, \text{vol}_G)$.

2.4. Cwikel estimates. Given a Hilbert space H , denote $B(H)$ the algebra of all bounded linear operators on H . The ideal of all compact linear operators is denoted by $\mathcal{K}(H)$. Given $T \in \mathcal{K}(H)$, the singular value sequence $\mu(T) = \{\mu(n, T)\}_{n=0}^\infty$ is defined in term of the singular value function

$$\mu(t, T) = \inf\{\|T - R\|_{B(H)} : \text{Rank}(R) \leq t\}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

When necessary, we write $\mu_{B(H)}(t, T)$ to emphasize the ambient algebra.

For $0 < p < \infty$, the Schatten ideal $\mathcal{L}_p(H)$ consists of all compact operators T such that $\mu(T) \in \ell_p$. In this paper, we concern on the weak Schatten ideal $\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}(H)$, which consists of all compact operators T with $\mu(T) \in \ell_{p,\infty}$ and (quasi)-norm

$$\|T\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}(H)} := \sup_{n \geq 0} (n+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(n, T).$$

For convenience, $B(H)$ is also denoted by $\mathcal{L}_\infty(H)$. One has the following Hölder-type inequality

$$\|TS\|_{\mathcal{L}_{r,\infty}(H)} \leq c_{p,q,r} \|T\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}(H)} \|S\|_{\mathcal{L}_{q,\infty}(H)}, \quad \frac{1}{r} = \frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q}, \quad p, q, r \geq 1.$$

For the purpose, denote by $(\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty})_0(H)$ the separable part of $\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}(H)$, defined as the subset of $T \in \mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}(H)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (n+1)^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(n, T) = 0.$$

A fact we will use repeatedly is that $\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}(H) \subset (\mathcal{L}_{p+\epsilon,\infty})_0(H)$ for any $\epsilon > 0$. For further details on trace ideals and the singular value function, see e.g. [19, 35, 24].

Let us give some relevant Cwikel estimates here on \mathbb{R}^d and compact manifold.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\alpha, \gamma \geq 0$ be such that $\alpha + \gamma > 0$. If $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then*

$$(1 + \Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} M_f (1 + \Delta)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\alpha+\gamma}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Proof. Write $f = f_1 f_2$ with $f_1, f_2 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By Hölder's inequality, it suffices to show

$$(1 + \Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} M_{f_1} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\alpha}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad M_{f_2} (1 + \Delta)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\gamma}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Here $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\alpha}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ ($\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\gamma}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$) is understood as $\mathcal{L}_\infty(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ if $\alpha = 0$ ($\gamma = 0$). The inclusions follow from [13, Theorem 2.4]. \square

Lemma 2.3. *Let (X, G) be a d -dimensional compact Riemannian manifold. For well-defined function ψ on X , we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \|M_\psi(1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{d}{2p}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))} &\leq c_{p,X,G} \|\psi\|_{L_p(X, \text{vol}_G)}, \quad p > 2, \\ \|M_\psi(1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{d}{2p}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))} &\leq c_{p,X,G} \|\psi\|_{L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)}, \quad 0 < p < 2, \\ \|M_{|\psi|^{\frac{1}{2}}}(1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{d}{2p}}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))} &\leq c_{p,X,G} \|\psi\|_{L_\Phi(X, \text{vol}_G)}^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad p = 2, \end{aligned}$$

where $L_\Phi(X, \text{vol}_G)$ is the Orlicz space associated with the Young function $\Phi(t) = t \log(e + t)$, $t > 0$.

Proof. The hardest case $p = 2$ is established in [38, Theorem 1.3]. The proof in cases $p > 2$ and $p < 2$ is virtually identical. One simply needs to replace special Cwikel estimates established in [39] with (a) Cwikel estimates for $p > 2$ (b) Birman-Solomyak version of Cwikel estimates for $p < 2$ — see [23]. \square

2.5. Birman-Solomyak lemmas. Fix H a separable Hilbert space. The operators $\{A_k\}_{k \geq 0} \subset B(H)$ are called pairwise orthogonal if $A_k A_l = A_k^* A_l = A_k A_l^* = 0$ whenever $k \neq l$. Let us recall the following lemmas.

Lemma 2.4. [12, Lemma 3.3] *Let $\{A_k\}_{k=1}^K \subset \mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}(H)$ be a sequence of pairwise orthogonal operators such that, for all $1 \leq k \leq K$, the limits*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(t, A_k) = c_k \quad \text{exist.}$$

Then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(t, \sum_{k=1}^K A_k) = \left(\sum_{k=1}^K c_k^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

An important feature for asymptotics of $(\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty})_0$ is the following.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $0 < p < \infty$. Let $A \in \mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}(H)$ be such that*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(t, A) = c.$$

For every $B \in (\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty})_0(H)$, we have

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(t, A + B) = c.$$

The elementary fact is indeed due to K. Fan, see e.g. [19, Chapter II, Theorem 4.1]. Its a generalisation due to Birman and Solomyak is the following one.

Lemma 2.6. [33, 12] *Let $0 < p < \infty$. Let $\{A_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}(H)$ be such that*

- (a) $A_n \rightarrow A$ in $\mathcal{L}_{p,\infty}(H)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
- (b) *for each $n \geq 1$, the limit $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(t, A_n) = c_n$ exists.*

Then the following limits exist and are equal,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(t, A) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n.$$

These three lemmas will play important roles in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

3. COMPACTNESS OF COMMUTATOR ON EUCLIDEAN SPACE

In this section, we prove Theorems 3.1 and 3.2, the spectral estimates related to elliptic differential operator on \mathbb{R}^d arising from the Laplace–Beltrami operator on manifold.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $P : W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a positive, self-adjoint, elliptic differential operator whose coefficients are constant outside some ball. Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. If $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 1 > 0$, then*

$$(1 + P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} [M_\phi, (1 + P)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}] (1 + P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\alpha + \gamma + \beta + 1}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $P : W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a positive, self-adjoint, elliptic differential operator whose coefficients are constant outside some ball. Let $0 < s < 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha, \gamma < \infty$ with $\alpha + \gamma + s + 1 > 0$. If $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, then*

$$(1 + P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} [M_\phi R_j M_\phi, (1 + P)^{-\frac{s}{2}}] (1 + P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\alpha + \gamma + s + 1}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

where $R_j := \partial_j \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is the Riesz transform on \mathbb{R}^d for $j = 1, \dots, d$.

3.1. Double operator integral. In this subsection, we demand the notion of double operator integrals as specifically used in [17, 29]. In what follows, H is a separable Hilbert space. For self-adjoint operators A_1, A_2 on H , let's formally define the operator $T_\psi^{A_1, A_2}$ with $\psi \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ by setting

$$T_\psi^{A_1, A_2}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \psi(\lambda, \mu) dE^1(\lambda) x dE^2(\mu), \quad x \in B(H),$$

where E^1, E^2 are the spectral measures of A_1, A_2 separably. We have below result.

Lemma 3.3. *For $0 < \beta < m$, define*

$$\psi_{\beta, m}(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{\lambda^\beta - \mu^\beta}{\lambda^m - \mu^m} \lambda^{\frac{m-\beta}{2}} \mu^{\frac{m-\beta}{2}}, \quad \lambda, \mu > 0.$$

For any positive operator $B \in B(H)$, we have

$$\|T_{\psi_{\beta, m}}^{B, B}\|_{B(H) \rightarrow B(H)} < \infty.$$

Proof. Let $\frac{\lambda}{\mu} = e^t$ with $t \in \mathbb{R}$. We have

$$\psi_{\beta, m}(\lambda, \mu) = \frac{(\frac{\lambda}{\mu})^\beta - 1}{(\frac{\lambda}{\mu})^m - 1} (\frac{\lambda}{\mu})^{\frac{m-\beta}{2}} = \frac{\sinh(\frac{\beta}{2}t)}{\sinh(\frac{m}{2}t)}$$

where $t \mapsto \sinh(t)$ is the hyperbolic sine function. As $\beta < m$, the function $t \mapsto \frac{\sinh(\frac{\beta}{2}t)}{\sinh(\frac{m}{2}t)}$ belongs to the Schwartz class of \mathbb{R} . Let $g_{\beta, m}$ be its Fourier transform. By Fourier inversion,

$$\psi_{\beta, m}(\lambda, \mu) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\beta, m}(s) e^{2\pi i s \log_e(\frac{\lambda}{\mu})} ds = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\beta, m}(s) \lambda^{2\pi i s} \mu^{-2\pi i s} ds.$$

Therefore, for $A \in B(H)$,

$$T_{\psi_{\beta, m}}^{B, B}(A) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\beta, m}(s) B^{2\pi i s} A B^{-2\pi i s} ds.$$

Since $g_{\beta, m}$ is integrable in $L_1(\mathbb{R})$, the boundedness of $T_{\psi_{\beta, m}}^{B, B}$ follows. \square

The following lemma is a direct result from functional calculus. Its a special case $m = 2$ is established in [28, lemma 5.4] so we omit the calculations.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $B, A \in B(H)$ and assume that there is a constant $c > 0$ such that $c \leq B \leq c^{-1}$. Let $\alpha, \gamma \geq 0$, and $0 < \beta < m$. Define $\psi_{s, m}$ as in Lemma 3.3. We have*

$$B^{-\alpha} [A, B^\beta] B^{-\gamma} = T_{\psi_{\beta, m}}^{B, B} (B^{\frac{\beta-m}{2}-\alpha} [A, B^m] B^{\frac{\beta-m}{2}-\gamma}).$$

Corollary 3.5. *Let A, B be positive operators on Hilbert space H such that $A : \text{dom}(B^m) \rightarrow \text{dom}(B^m)$, $m > 0$. Assume that A is bounded on H and $\ker(B) = \{0\}$. For $0 < \beta < m$ and $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, we have*

$$\|B^{-\alpha} [A, B^\beta] B^{-\gamma}\|_{B(H)} \leq c_{\beta, m} \|B^{\frac{\beta-m}{2}-\alpha} [A, B^m] B^{\frac{\beta-m}{2}-\gamma}\|_{B(H)}$$

with constant $c_{\beta, m}$ depending on β, m .

Proof. For each $n \geq 1$, consider projection $P_n = \chi_{(\frac{1}{n}, n)}(B)$ and Hilbert space $H_n = P_n(H)$. Let $B_n = B|_{H_n}$ and $A_n = P_n A P_n|_{H_n}$. Clearly, $A_n \in B(H_n)$ and $B_n \in B(H_n)$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3.4. Hence,

$$B_n^{-\alpha}[A_n, B_n^\beta]B_n^{-\gamma} = T_{\psi_{\beta, m}}^{B_n, B_n}(B_n^{\frac{\beta-m}{2}-\alpha}[A_n, B_n^m]B_n^{\frac{\beta-m}{2}-\gamma}).$$

Using Lemma 3.3,

$$\|B_n^{-\alpha}[A_n, B_n^\beta]B_n^{-\gamma}\|_{B(H)} \leq c_{\beta, m} \|B_n^{\frac{\beta-m}{2}-\alpha}[A_n, B_n^m]B_n^{\frac{\beta-m}{2}-\gamma}\|_{B(H)}.$$

Observe that

$$B_n^{-\alpha}[A_n, B_n^\beta]B_n^{-\gamma} = P_n \cdot B^{-\alpha}[A, B^\beta]B^{-\gamma} \cdot P_n$$

and

$$B_n^{\frac{\beta-m}{2}-\alpha}[A_n, B_n^m]B_n^{\frac{\beta-m}{2}-\gamma} = P_n \cdot B^{\frac{\beta-m}{2}-\alpha}[A, B^m]B^{\frac{\beta-m}{2}-\gamma} \cdot P_n.$$

Therefore,

$$\|P_n \cdot B^{-\alpha}[A, B^\beta]B^{-\gamma} \cdot P_n\|_{B(H)} \leq c_{\beta, m} \|B^{\frac{\beta-m}{2}-\alpha}[A, B^m]B^{\frac{\beta-m}{2}-\gamma}\|_{B(H)}$$

for every $n \geq 1$. The assertion follows from the Fatou property in $B(H)$. \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem 3.1. Before proving Theorem 3.1, we establish the following necessary lemmas.

Lemma 3.6. *Let P and ϕ be given as in Theorem 3.1. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$(1+P)^{-\frac{2n-1}{2}} M_\phi D_k (1+P)^{n-1}, (1+P)^n M_\phi D_k (1+P)^{-\frac{2n+1}{2}} \in B(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

This should be understood as follows: operators are well defined on $\bigcap_{k \geq 0} W^{2k, 2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and extend to bounded operators on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. By the functional calculus,

$$(1+P)^\alpha : \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \text{dom}((1+P)^k) \rightarrow \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \text{dom}((1+P)^k), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.1,

$$(1+P)^\alpha : \bigcap_{k \geq 0} W^{2k, 2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \bigcap_{k \geq 0} W^{2k, 2}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Clearly, also

$$M_\phi : \bigcap_{k \geq 0} W^{2k, 2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \bigcap_{k \geq 0} W^{2k, 2}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Hence, the operators in question are well defined on $\bigcap_{k \geq 0} W^{2k, 2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

We write the second operator as

$$\begin{aligned} & \left((1+P)^n M_\phi D_k (1+P)^{-\frac{2n+1}{2}} : L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \right) \\ &= \left((1+P)^n M_\phi D_k : W^{2n+1, 2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \right) \circ \\ & \quad \circ \left((1+P)^{-\frac{2n+1}{2}} : L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow W^{2n+1, 2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \right). \end{aligned}$$

The first factor on the right hand side is obviously bounded. The second factor on the right hand side is bounded by Theorem 2.1. Hence, our second operator is bounded.

For every $\xi, \eta \in \bigcap_{k \geq 0} W^{2k, 2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have

$$\langle (1+P)^{-\frac{2n-1}{2}} M_\phi D_k (1+P)^{n-1} \xi, \eta \rangle = \langle (1+P)^{n-1} D_k M_{\bar{\phi}} (1+P)^{-\frac{2n-1}{2}} \xi, \eta \rangle.$$

That the operator

$$(1 + P)^{n-1} D_k M_\phi (1 + P)^{-\frac{2n-1}{2}}$$

is bounded can be seen as in the preceding paragraph. Thus, our first operator is also bounded. \square

Lemma 3.7. *Let P and ϕ be given as in Theorem 3.1. For every $z \in \mathbb{C}$, we have*

$$(1 + P)^{-\frac{z}{2}} M_\phi D_k (1 + P)^{\frac{z-1}{2}}, (1 + P)^{-\frac{z}{2}} M_\phi (1 + P)^{\frac{z-1}{2}} \in B(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

This should be understood as follows: operators are well defined on $\bigcap_{k \geq 0} W^{2k,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and extend to bounded operators on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Proof. By the functional calculus,

$$(1 + P)^z : \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \text{dom}((1 + P)^k) \rightarrow \bigcap_{k \geq 0} \text{dom}((1 + P)^k), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Hence, by Theorem 2.1,

$$(1 + P)^z : \bigcap_{k \geq 0} W^{2k,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \bigcap_{k \geq 0} W^{2k,2}(\mathbb{R}^d), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Clearly, also

$$M_\phi : \bigcap_{k \geq 0} W^{2k,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow \bigcap_{k \geq 0} W^{2k,2}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Hence, the operator in question is well defined on $\bigcap_{k \geq 0} W^{2k,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

For $\xi, \eta \in \bigcap_{k \geq 0} W^{2k,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, define entire function

$$F_{\xi,\eta} : z \rightarrow \langle (1 + P)^{-\frac{z}{2}} M_\phi D_k (1 + P)^{\frac{z-1}{2}} \xi, \eta \rangle, \quad z \in \mathbb{C}.$$

Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the function $F_{\xi,\eta}$ on the strip $\{-2n \leq \Re(z) \leq 2n - 1\}$. We have

$$F_{\xi,\eta}(z) = \langle M_\phi D_k (1 + P)^{\frac{z-1}{2}} \xi, (1 + P)^{-\frac{z}{2}} \eta \rangle.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} & |F_{\xi,\eta}(z)| \\ & \leq \left\| M_\phi D_k (1 + P)^{\frac{z-1}{2}} \xi \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\| (1 + P)^{-\frac{z}{2}} \eta \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \leq \left\| M_\phi D_k \right\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\| (1 + P)^{\frac{z-1}{2}} \xi \right\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\| (1 + P)^{-\frac{z}{2}} \eta \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \stackrel{\text{Thm. 2.1}}{\leq} c_P \left\| M_\phi D_k \right\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\| (1 + P)^{\frac{z-1}{2}} \xi \right\|_{\text{dom}((P+1)^{\frac{1}{2}})} \left\| (1 + P)^{-\frac{z}{2}} \eta \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\ & \leq c_P \left\| M_\phi D_k \right\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\| \xi \right\|_{\text{dom}((P+1)^{\frac{2n-1}{2}})} \left\| \eta \right\|_{\text{dom}(P^n)} \\ & \stackrel{\text{Thm. 2.1}}{\leq} c_{P,n} \left\| M_\phi D_k \right\|_{W^{1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\| \xi \right\|_{W^{2n-1,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\| \eta \right\|_{W^{2n,2}(\mathbb{R}^d)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $F_{\xi,\eta}$ is bounded on the strip $\{-2n \leq \Re(z) \leq 2n - 1\}$.

Clearly,

$$F_{\xi,\eta}(2n - 1 + it) = \langle (1 + P)^{-\frac{2n-1}{2}} M_\phi D_k (1 + P)^{n-1} ((1 + P)^{\frac{it}{2}} \xi), (1 + P)^{\frac{it}{2}} \eta \rangle.$$

We, therefore, have

$$\begin{aligned}
& |F_{\xi, \eta}(2n-1+it)| \\
& \leq \left\| (1+P)^{-\frac{2n-1}{2}} M_\phi D_k (1+P)^{n-1} \left((1+P)^{\frac{it}{2}} \xi \right) \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\| (1+P)^{\frac{it}{2}} \eta \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\
& \leq \left\| (1+P)^{-\frac{2n-1}{2}} M_\phi D_k (1+P)^{n-1} \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \times \\
& \quad \times \left\| (1+P)^{\frac{it}{2}} \xi \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \left\| (1+P)^{\frac{it}{2}} \eta \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\
& \leq \left\| (1+P)^{-\frac{2n-1}{2}} M_\phi D_k (1+P)^{n-1} \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\xi\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\eta\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, the finiteness of the right hand side is guaranteed by Lemma 3.6.

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |F_{\xi, \eta}(-2n+it)| \\
& \leq \left\| (1+P)^n M_\phi D_k (1+P)^{-\frac{2n+1}{2}} \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\xi\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\eta\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}.
\end{aligned}$$

By the Maximum Modulus Principle,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |F_{\xi, \eta}(z)| \\
& \leq \left(\left\| (1+P)^{-\frac{2n-1}{2}} M_\phi D_k (1+P)^{n-1} \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \right. \\
& \quad \left. + \left\| (1+P)^n M_\phi D_k (1+P)^{-\frac{2n+1}{2}} \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \right) \times \|\xi\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \|\eta\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}
\end{aligned}$$

for every z in the strip $\{-2n \leq \Re(z) \leq 2n-1\}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
& \left\| (1+P)^{-\frac{z}{2}} M_\phi D_k (1+P)^{\frac{z-1}{2}} \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} \\
& \leq \left\| (1+P)^{-\frac{2n-1}{2}} M_\phi D_k (1+P)^{n-1} \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)} + \\
& \quad + \left\| (1+P)^n M_\phi D_k (1+P)^{-\frac{2n+1}{2}} \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)}
\end{aligned}$$

for every z in the strip $\{-2n \leq \Re(z) \leq 2n-1\}$. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 3.8. *Let P and ϕ be given as in Theorem 3.1. If $\alpha, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $\alpha + \gamma = 1$, then*

$$(1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} [M_\phi, P] (1+P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \in B(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Proof. Write

$$P = \sum_{k,l=1}^d M_{a_{kl}} D_k D_l + \sum_{k=1}^d M_{b_k} D_k + M_f,$$

where functions $\{a_{kl}\}_{k,l=1}^d$, $\{b_k\}_{k=1}^d$ and f are smooth and constant outside some ball. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
[M_\phi, P] &= \sum_{k,l=1}^d M_{a_{kl}} [M_\phi, D_k D_l] + \sum_{k=1}^d M_{b_k} [M_\phi, D_k] \\
&= - \sum_{k,l=1}^d M_{a_{kl}} \cdot M_{D_k \phi} D_l - \sum_{k,l=1}^d M_{a_{kl}} \cdot D_k M_{D_l \phi} - \sum_{k=1}^d M_{b_k} \cdot M_{D_k \phi} \\
&= - \sum_{k,l=1}^d M_{a_{kl}} \cdot M_{D_k \phi} D_l - \sum_{k,l=1}^d M_{a_{kl}} \cdot M_{D_l \phi} D_k - \sum_{k,l=1}^d M_{a_{kl}} \cdot M_{D_k D_l \phi} + \\
&\quad - \sum_{k=1}^d M_{b_k} \cdot M_{D_k \phi}.
\end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows now from Lemma 3.7. \square

Lemma 3.9. *Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$. Set*

$$T_{\alpha,\beta} = (1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} [M_\phi, (1+P)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}] (1+P)^{\frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2}}.$$

We have

$$T_{\alpha,\beta+1} = T_{\alpha,\beta} + T_{\alpha-\beta,1}.$$

Proof. By the Leibniz rule,

$$\begin{aligned}
T_{\alpha,\beta+1} &= (1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} [M_\phi, (1+P)^{\frac{\beta}{2}} \cdot (1+P)^{\frac{1}{2}}] (1+P)^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}} \\
&= (1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} [M_\phi, (1+P)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}] (1+P)^{\frac{\alpha-\beta+1}{2}} + (1+P)^{\frac{\beta-\alpha}{2}} [M_\phi, (1+P)^{\frac{1}{2}}] (1+P)^{\frac{\alpha-\beta}{2}} \\
&= T_{\alpha,\beta} + T_{\alpha-\beta,1}.
\end{aligned}$$

The assertion follows. \square

Lemma 3.10. *Let P and ϕ be given as in Theorem 3.1. If $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfy $\alpha + \gamma + 1 = \beta$, then*

$$(1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} [M_\phi, (1+P)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}] (1+P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \in B(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Proof. For $\beta = 0$, there is nothing to prove.

Case 1: Let $0 \leq \beta < 2$. For $\beta = 0$, there is nothing to prove and, so, we assume that $0 < \beta < 2$. Set $A = M_\phi$ and $B = (1+P)^{\frac{1}{2}}$. By Corollary 3.5 and Lemma 3.8,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\| (1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} [M_\phi, (1+P)^{\frac{\beta}{2}}] (1+P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \|_{B(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))} \\
&\leq c_\beta \| (1+P)^{\frac{\beta-2}{4}-\frac{\alpha}{2}} [M_\phi, P] (1+P)^{\frac{\beta-2}{4}-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \|_{B(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))} < \infty.
\end{aligned}$$

This proves the assertion for $0 < \beta < 2$.

Case 2: Consider now the general case. By Lemma 3.9, we have

$$T_{\alpha,\beta+1} = T_{\alpha,\beta} + T_{\alpha-\beta,1}, \quad T_{\alpha,\beta-1} = T_{\alpha,\beta} - T_{\alpha-\beta+1,1}.$$

By induction, we can express $T_{\alpha,\beta}$ as linear combination of $T_{\delta,\gamma}$'s with $\gamma \in [0, 1]$. The assertion in general case follows now from that in Case 1. \square

Lemma 3.11. *Let P and ϕ be given as in Theorem 3.1. If $\alpha, \gamma \geq 0$ with $\alpha + \gamma > 0$, then*

$$(1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} M_\phi (1+P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\alpha+\gamma}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Proof. Write

$$(1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} M_\phi (1+P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} = (1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} (1+\Delta)^{\frac{\alpha}{2}} \cdot (1-\Delta)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} M_\phi (1+\Delta)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \cdot (1+\Delta)^{\frac{\gamma}{2}} (1+P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}.$$

The first and the third factors on the right hand side are bounded by Theorem 2.1. The second factor on the right hand side belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\alpha+\gamma}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ by Lemma 2.2. \square

It is time to prove Theorem 3.1.

Proof of Theorem 3.1. Write $\phi = \phi_1 \phi_2$ with $\phi_1, \phi_2 \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} [M_\phi, (1+P)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}] (1+P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \\ &= (1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} M_{\phi_1} (1+P)^{-\frac{\beta+\gamma+1}{2}} \cdot (1+P)^{\frac{\beta+\gamma+1}{2}} [M_{\phi_2}, (1+P)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}] (1+P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} + \\ &+ (1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} [M_{\phi_1}, (1+P)^{-\frac{\beta}{2}}] (1+P)^{\frac{\beta+\alpha+1}{2}} \cdot (1+P)^{-\frac{\beta+\alpha+1}{2}} M_{\phi_2} (1+P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

In the first summand on the right hand side, the first factor belongs to the ideal $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta+\gamma+1}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ by Lemma 3.11, while the second factor is bounded by Lemma 3.10. In the second summand on the right hand side, the first factor is bounded by Lemma 3.10, while the second factor belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta+\gamma+1}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ by Lemma 3.11. This completes the proof. \square

3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.2. In this subsection, we prove Theorem 3.2.

Lemma 3.12. *Let P and ϕ be given in Theorem 3.2. Given a smooth function f on \mathbb{R}^d and $0 \leq s \leq 2$, we have*

$$(1+P)^{-\frac{s}{4}} [M_f D_l, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] (1+P)^{\frac{s}{4}-\frac{1}{2}} \in B(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Proof. Write $\phi = \phi \theta$ with $\theta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We have $f \theta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Write

$$[M_f D_l, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] = [M_{f\theta} D_l, M_\phi R_j M_\phi].$$

Clearly, $M_{f\theta} D_l \in \Psi^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $M_\phi R_j M_\phi \in \Psi^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We have $[M_{f\theta} D_l, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] \in \Psi^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and therefor it is bounded on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ by [43, Theorem 2, page 286]. Since $(1+P)^{-\frac{s}{4}}$ and $(1+P)^{\frac{s}{4}-\frac{1}{2}}$ are bounded for $0 \leq s \leq 2$, the assertion follows. \square

Lemma 3.13. *Let P and ϕ be given in Theorem 3.2. Given a smooth function f on \mathbb{R}^d and $0 \leq s \leq 2$, we have*

$$(1+P)^{-\frac{s}{4}} [M_f D_i D_k, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] (1+P)^{\frac{s}{4}-\frac{1}{2}} \in B(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Proof. Since $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, there is a function $\theta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\phi = \phi \theta$ and

$$[M_f D_i D_k, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] = [M_{f\theta} D_i D_k, M_\phi R_j M_\phi].$$

We have $M_{f\theta} D_i D_k \in \Psi^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and therefore $[M_{f\theta} D_i D_k, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] \in \Psi^1(\mathbb{R}^d)$. Observe that

$$[M_{f\theta} D_i D_k, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] = M_\theta [M_{f\theta} D_i D_k, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] M_\theta.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 + \Delta)^{-\frac{s}{4}} [M_f D_i D_k, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] (1 + \Delta)^{\frac{s}{4} - \frac{1}{2}} \\
&= (1 + \Delta)^{-\frac{s}{4}} M_\theta \cdot [M_{f\theta} D_i D_k, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] \cdot M_\theta (1 + \Delta)^{\frac{s}{4} - \frac{1}{2}} \\
&\in \Psi^{-\frac{s}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d) \cdot \Psi^1(\mathbb{R}^d) \cdot \Psi^{\frac{s}{2} - 1}(\mathbb{R}^d) \\
&\subset \Psi^0(\mathbb{R}^d).
\end{aligned}$$

Combining this fact with the boundedness of operators $(1 + P)^{-\frac{s}{4}}(1 + \Delta)^{\frac{s}{4}}$ and $(1 + \Delta)^{\frac{s}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}(1 + P)^{\frac{s}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}$, [43, Theorem 2, page 286] gives the assertion. \square

Lemma 3.14. *Let P and ϕ be given in Theorem 3.2. If $s \in (0, 2)$, then*

$$(1 + P)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} [(1 + P)^{\frac{s}{2}}, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] \in B(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Proof. Using Corollary 3.5, there is a constant c_s relying on s such that

$$\|(1 + P)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} [(1 + P)^{\frac{s}{2}}, M_\phi R_j M_\phi]\|_\infty \leq c_s \|(1 + P)^{-\frac{s}{4}} [P, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] (1 + P)^{\frac{s}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}\|_\infty.$$

It suffices to prove that the factor on the right hand side is finite. Write

$$P = M_{f_0} + \sum_{l=1}^d M_{f_l} D_l + \sum_{i,k=1}^d M_{f_{ik}} D_i D_k,$$

where functions $\{f_{ik}\}_{i,k=1}^d$, $\{f_l\}_{l=1}^d$ and f_0 are smooth and constant outside some ball on \mathbb{R}^d . Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
& (1 + P)^{-\frac{s}{4}} [P, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] (1 + P)^{\frac{s}{4} - \frac{1}{2}} \\
&= (1 + P)^{-\frac{s}{4}} [M_{f_0}, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] (1 + P)^{\frac{s}{4} - \frac{1}{2}} + \\
&+ \sum_{l=1}^d (1 + P)^{-\frac{s}{4}} [M_{f_l} D_l, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] (1 + P)^{\frac{s}{4} - \frac{1}{2}} + \\
&+ \sum_{i,k=1}^d (1 + P)^{-\frac{s}{4}} [M_{f_{ik}} D_i D_k, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] (1 + P)^{\frac{s}{4} - \frac{1}{2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.12, the second factor on the right hand side is bounded. By Lemma 3.13, the third factor on the right hand side is bounded. Since $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, write $\phi = \phi\psi$ with $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$. By $f_0\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we infer from [9] that

$$[M_{f_0}, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] = M_\phi [M_{f_0\psi}, R_j] M_\phi \in B(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

and therefore, for $s \in (0, 2)$,

$$(1 + P)^{-\frac{s}{4}} [M_{f_0}, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] (1 + P)^{\frac{s}{4} - \frac{1}{2}} \in B(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

This is the estimate for the first factor and the proof is complete. \square

Let us start the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. Take $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\phi = \phi\psi$ and therefore $M_\phi R_j M_\phi = M_\psi \cdot M_\phi R_j M_\phi \cdot M_\psi$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} [M_\phi R_j M_\phi, (1+P)^{-\frac{s}{2}}] (1+P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \\ &= (1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} [M_\psi, (1+P)^{-\frac{s}{2}}] \cdot M_\phi R_j \cdot M_\phi (1+P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} + \\ &+ (1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} M_\psi [M_\phi R_j M_\phi, (1+P)^{-\frac{s}{2}}] M_\psi (1+P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} + \\ &+ (1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} M_\phi \cdot R_j M_\phi \cdot [M_\psi, (1+P)^{-\frac{s}{2}}] (1+P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.1, the first factor belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\alpha+s+1}, \infty} \cdot \mathcal{L}_\infty \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\gamma}, \infty}$ and therefore $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\alpha+\gamma+s+1}, \infty}$. By Theorem 3.1, third factor belong to $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\alpha}, \infty} \cdot \mathcal{L}_\infty \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\gamma+s+1}, \infty}$ and therefore $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\alpha+\gamma+s+1}, \infty}$. Here $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\alpha}, \infty}$ (or $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\gamma}, \infty}$) is understood as \mathcal{L}_∞ when α (or γ) is 0. For the second factor, Lemma 3.14 and Lemma 3.11 give that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} M_\psi [M_\phi R_j M_\phi, (1+P)^{-\frac{s}{2}}] M_\psi (1+P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \\ &= (1+P)^{-\frac{\alpha}{2}} M_\psi (1+P)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (1+P)^{\frac{1-s}{2}} [(1+P)^{\frac{s}{2}}, M_\phi R_j M_\phi] \cdot \\ &\quad \cdot (1+P)^{-\frac{s}{2}} M_\psi (1+P)^{-\frac{\gamma}{2}} \\ &\in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\alpha+1}, \infty} \cdot \mathcal{L}_\infty \cdot \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\gamma+s}, \infty} \subset \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{\alpha+\gamma+s+1}, \infty}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

4. ASYMPTOTICS ON THE CURVED PLANE

In this section, we generalize Theorem A to Theorem 4.1 by replacing the Laplacian with an elliptic differential operator on \mathbb{R}^d for the index $p = \frac{d}{2}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $P : W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a positive, self-adjoint, elliptic differential operator whose coefficients are constant outside some ball. If $S \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ is compactly supported, then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu(t, S(1+P)^{-1}) = c_d \|\text{sym}(S)\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, e^{-\sigma_P} dm)}$$

where σ_P is the principal symbol of P .

Our starting point for proving Theorem 4.1 is the following lemma.

Lemma 4.2. *Let $P : W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a positive, self-adjoint, elliptic differential operator whose coefficients are constant outside some ball. There exists $T \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ such that, for every $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$,*

$$(1+P)^{-1} M_\phi \in T(1+\Delta)^{-1} M_\phi + \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

and

$$\text{sym}(T)(t, s) = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(t) s_i s_j \right)^{-1}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad s \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}$$

where $\{a_{ij}\}_{i,j=1}^d$ are the coefficients of P with respect to derivatives $\{D_i D_j\}_{i,j=1}^d$.

Proof. Set

$$\sigma(t, s) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(t) s_i s_j, \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

By the Complex Powers Theorem (see e.g. Theorem 1.6.22 in [24]), we have

$$(1 + P)^{-1} = \text{Op}((1 + \sigma)^{-1}) + P_1, \quad P_1 \in \Psi^{-3}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Let $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be such that $\psi = 1$ near 0. Set

$$a(t, s) = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(t) \frac{s_i}{|s|} \frac{s_j}{|s|} \right)^{-1}, \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}^d,$$

and

$$b(t, s) = a(t, s) \cdot (1 - \psi)(s) \cdot (1 + |s|^2)^{-1}, \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Clearly,

$$(1 + \sigma)^{-1} - b \in S^{-3}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d).$$

Thus,

$$\text{Op}((1 + \sigma)^{-1}) - \text{Op}(b) \in \Psi^{-3}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Using the preceding paragraph, we write

$$(1 + P)^{-1} = \text{Op}(b) + P_2, \quad P_2 \in \Psi^{-3}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Next,

$$\text{Op}(b) = \text{Op}(a) \cdot (1 - \psi)(\nabla) \cdot (1 + \Delta)^{-1}.$$

Thus,

$$(1 + P)^{-1} = \text{Op}(a) \cdot (1 - \psi)(\nabla) \cdot (1 + \Delta)^{-1} + P_2, \quad P_2 \in \Psi^{-3}(\mathbb{R}^d).$$

Clearly,

$$P_2 M_\phi \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Hence,

$$(1 + P)^{-1} M_\phi \in \text{Op}(a) \cdot (1 - \psi)(\nabla) \cdot (1 + \Delta)^{-1} M_\phi + \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

It remains to note that $\text{Op}(a) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ and its principal symbol

$$\text{sym}(\text{Op}(a))(t, s) = \left(\sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(t) s_i s_j \right)^{-1}, \quad t \in \mathbb{R}^d, \quad s \in \mathbb{S}^{d-1}.$$

In addition,

$$(1 - \psi)(\nabla) \cdot (1 + \Delta)^{-1} M_\phi \in (1 + \Delta)^{-1} M_\phi + \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let*

$$\sigma(t, s) = \sum_{i,j=1}^d a_{ij}(t) s_i s_j, \quad t, s \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

*For every $F \in L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})$, we have*¹

$$\|F \cdot \sigma^{-1}\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})} = \left(\frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) \right)^{-\frac{2}{d}} \|F\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, e^{-\sigma} dm)}$$

where $\Gamma(p) := \int_0^\infty x^{p-1} e^{-x} dx$, $p > 0$, is the Gamma function.

¹Recall that we identify functions on the sphere with homogeneous functions on Euclidean space.

Proof. We have

$$\|F\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, e^{-\sigma} dm)}^{\frac{d}{2}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d} |F|^{\frac{d}{2}}(t, s) e^{-\sigma(t, s)} dt ds.$$

Let us pass to spherical coordinates $r = |s|$, $u = \frac{s}{|s|}$. We have

$$|F|^{\frac{d}{2}}(t, s) = |F|^{\frac{d}{2}}(t, u), \quad e^{-\sigma(t, s)} = e^{-r^2 \sigma(t, u)}, \quad ds = r^{d-1} dr du.$$

Thus,

$$\|F\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, e^{-\sigma} dm)}^{\frac{d}{2}} = \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |F|^{\frac{d}{2}}(t, u) \left(\int_0^\infty e^{-r^2 \sigma(t, u)} r^{d-1} dr \right) dt du.$$

Clearly,

$$\int_0^\infty e^{-r^2 \sigma(t, u)} r^{d-1} dr = \sigma(t, u)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \cdot \int_0^\infty e^{-x^2} x^{d-1} dx = \sigma(t, u)^{-\frac{d}{2}} \cdot \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right).$$

Finally,

$$\|F\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d, e^{-\sigma} dm)}^{\frac{d}{2}} = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{d}{2}\right) \cdot \int_{\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1}} |F|^{\frac{d}{2}}(t, u) \sigma(t, u)^{-\frac{d}{2}} dt du.$$

This completes the proof. \square

Now, we prove Theorem 4.1.

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Observe that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu(t, S(1+P)^{-1}) = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu(t, |S|(1+P)^{-1}), \quad S \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}.$$

Without loss of generality, Suppose that $S \geq 0$.

Let $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be such that $S = M_\phi S$. Let $T \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ be given by Lemma 4.2.

By Lemma 4.2, we have

$$(1+P)^{-1}S = (1+P)^{-1}M_\phi S \in T(1+\Delta)^{-1}M_\phi S + \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

By [13, Theorem A.1],

$$[(1+\Delta)^{-1}, M_\phi S] \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty})_0(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

And, $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)) \subset (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty})_0(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Hence,

$$(1+P)^{-1}S \in TM_\phi S(1+\Delta)^{-1} + (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty})_0(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Clearly, $[T, M_\phi]$ is compact. Hence,

$$(1+P)^{-1}S \in M_\phi TS(1+\Delta)^{-1} + (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty})_0(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

The operator $M_\phi TS$ is compactly supported. By Theorem A, there exists a limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu\left(t, M_\phi TS(1+\Delta)^{-1}\right) = c_d \|\text{sym}(M_\phi TS)\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})}.$$

Clearly,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sym}(STM_\phi) &= \text{sym}(S)\text{sym}(T)\text{sym}(M_\phi) \\ &= \text{sym}(S)\text{sym}(M_\phi)\text{sym}(T) \\ &= \text{sym}(SM_\phi)\text{sym}(T) \\ &= \text{sym}(S)\text{sym}(T). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu \left(t, M_\phi T S (1 + \Delta)^{-1} \right) = c_d \|\text{sym}(S) \text{sym}(T)\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{S}^{d-1})}.$$

The assertion follows now from Lemma 4.3. \square

5. POWERS OF NEARLY COMMUTING OPERATORS

In this section, we prove Theorem 5.1, the spectral characterization for powers of two nearly commuting operators, which substitutes the Complex Powers Theorem for pseudo-differential operators on manifold.

Theorem 5.1. *Let (X, G) be a compact Riemannian manifold and let Δ_G be the Laplace-Beltrami operator. For every $0 \leq S \in \Pi_X$, we have*

$$S^s (1 + \Delta_G)^{-s} - (S^{\frac{1}{2}} (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} S^{\frac{1}{2}})^s \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2s}, \infty})_0(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)), \quad s > 0.$$

5.1. Abstract estimate. In this subsection, we prove Theorem 5.2. Firstly, fix H a separable Hilbert space throughout this subsection.

Theorem 5.2. *Let $0 \leq A \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(H)$ and let $0 \leq B \in \mathcal{L}_{q, \infty}(H)$ with $q > 0$. If*

$$[A^\epsilon, B^\delta] \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{q}{\delta}, \infty})_0(H) \quad \text{for any } \epsilon, \delta > 0,$$

then

$$B^s A^s - (A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}})^s \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{q}{s}, \infty})_0(H), \quad \text{if } s > 0.$$

The following Lemma is a special case of Theorem 5.2 established in [8] (see Lemma 5.3 there).

Lemma 5.3. *Let $0 \leq A \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(H)$ and let $0 \leq B \in \mathcal{L}_{p, \infty}(H)$ with $p > 1$. If $[A^{\frac{1}{2}}, B] \in (\mathcal{L}_{p, \infty})_0(H)$, then*

$$B^p A^p - (A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}})^p \in (\mathcal{L}_{1, \infty})_0(H).$$

Lemma 5.4. *If $0 \leq X, Y \in \mathcal{L}_{r, \infty}(H)$ and $X - Y \in (\mathcal{L}_{r, \infty})_0(H)$ with $r > 0$, then*

$$X^t - Y^t \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{r}{t}, \infty})_0(H), \quad t > 0.$$

Proof. Fix $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $n > t$. Since $X - Y \in (\mathcal{L}_{r, \infty})_0(H)$, applying the proof of [24, Corollary 1.3.15] and the most general form of the Birman-Koplienko-Solomyak inequality established in [18], we write

$$X^{\frac{t}{n}} - Y^{\frac{t}{n}} \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{nr}{t}, \infty})_0(H).$$

Now, we write

$$X^t - Y^t = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} X^{\frac{kt}{n}} (X^{\frac{t}{n}} - Y^{\frac{t}{n}}) Y^{\frac{(n-1-k)t}{n}}.$$

The assertion follows now from Hölder inequality. \square

The next lemma is an easy application of Lemma 5.3 and Lemma 5.4.

Proof of Theorem 5.2. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $ns > 1$. Choose $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $mq > ns$ and $mq > 1$.

By assumption, $0 \leq A^{\frac{ns}{m}} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(H)$ and $0 \leq B^{\frac{ns}{m}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{mq}{ns}, \infty}(H)$ are such that

$$[A^{\frac{ns}{2m}}, B^{\frac{ns}{m}}] \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{mq}{ns}, \infty})_0(H).$$

Applying Lemma 5.3 with $p = \frac{mq}{ns}$ to the couple $(A^{\frac{ns}{m}}, B^{\frac{ns}{m}})$, we obtain

$$(5.1) \quad B^q A^q - (A^{\frac{ns}{2m}} B^{\frac{ns}{m}} A^{\frac{ns}{2m}})^{\frac{mq}{ns}} \in (\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty})_0(H).$$

By assumption, $0 \leq A^{\frac{n}{m}} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(H)$ and $0 \leq B^{\frac{n}{m}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{mq}{n},\infty}(H)$ are such that

$$[A^{\frac{n}{2m}}, B^{\frac{n}{m}}] \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{mq}{n},\infty})_0(H).$$

Applying Lemma 5.3 with $p = \frac{mq}{n}$ to the couple $(A^{\frac{n}{m}}, B^{\frac{n}{m}})$, we obtain

$$(5.2) \quad B^q A^q - (A^{\frac{n}{2m}} B^{\frac{n}{m}} A^{\frac{n}{2m}})^{\frac{mq}{n}} \in (\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty})_0(H).$$

Combining (5.1) and (5.2), we write

$$(A^{\frac{ns}{2m}} B^{\frac{ns}{m}} A^{\frac{ns}{2m}})^{\frac{mq}{ns}} - (A^{\frac{n}{2m}} B^{\frac{n}{m}} A^{\frac{n}{2m}})^{\frac{mq}{n}} \in (\mathcal{L}_{1,\infty})_0(H).$$

Using Lemma 5.4 with

$$X = (A^{\frac{ns}{2m}} B^{\frac{ns}{m}} A^{\frac{ns}{2m}})^{\frac{mq}{ns}}, \quad Y = (A^{\frac{n}{2m}} B^{\frac{n}{m}} A^{\frac{n}{2m}})^{\frac{mq}{n}}, \quad r = 1, \quad t = \frac{ns}{mq},$$

we write

$$(5.3) \quad A^{\frac{ns}{2m}} B^{\frac{ns}{m}} A^{\frac{ns}{2m}} - (A^{\frac{n}{2m}} B^{\frac{n}{m}} A^{\frac{n}{2m}})^s \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{mq}{ns},\infty})_0(H).$$

By assumption,

$$A^{\frac{n}{2m}} B^{\frac{n}{m}} A^{\frac{n}{2m}} - (A^{\frac{1}{2m}} B^{\frac{1}{m}} A^{\frac{1}{2m}})^n \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{mq}{n},\infty})_0(H).$$

Using Lemma 5.4 with

$$X = A^{\frac{n}{2m}} B^{\frac{n}{m}} A^{\frac{n}{2m}}, \quad Y = (A^{\frac{1}{2m}} B^{\frac{1}{m}} A^{\frac{1}{2m}})^n, \quad r = \frac{mq}{n}, \quad t = s,$$

we write

$$(5.4) \quad (A^{\frac{n}{2m}} B^{\frac{n}{m}} A^{\frac{n}{2m}})^s - (A^{\frac{1}{2m}} B^{\frac{1}{m}} A^{\frac{1}{2m}})^{ns} \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{mq}{ns},\infty})_0(H).$$

By assumption,

$$(5.5) \quad A^{\frac{ns}{2m}} B^{\frac{ns}{m}} A^{\frac{ns}{2m}} - (A^{\frac{s}{2m}} B^{\frac{s}{m}} A^{\frac{s}{2m}})^n \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{mq}{ns},\infty})_0(H).$$

Combining (5.3), (5.4) and (5.5), we write

$$(A^{\frac{s}{2m}} B^{\frac{s}{m}} A^{\frac{s}{2m}})^n - (A^{\frac{1}{2m}} B^{\frac{1}{m}} A^{\frac{1}{2m}})^{ns} \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{mq}{ns},\infty})_0(H).$$

Using Lemma 5.4 with

$$X = (A^{\frac{s}{2m}} B^{\frac{s}{m}} A^{\frac{s}{2m}})^n, \quad Y = (A^{\frac{1}{2m}} B^{\frac{1}{m}} A^{\frac{1}{2m}})^{ns}, \quad r = \frac{mq}{ns}, \quad s = \frac{1}{n},$$

we write

$$(5.6) \quad A^{\frac{s}{2m}} B^{\frac{s}{m}} A^{\frac{s}{2m}} - (A^{\frac{1}{2m}} B^{\frac{1}{m}} A^{\frac{1}{2m}})^s \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{mq}{s},\infty})_0(H).$$

By assumption,

$$(A^{\frac{1}{2m}} B^{\frac{1}{m}} A^{\frac{1}{2m}})^m - A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}} \in (\mathcal{L}_{q,\infty})_0(H).$$

Using Lemma 5.4 with

$$X = (A^{\frac{1}{2m}} B^{\frac{1}{m}} A^{\frac{1}{2m}})^m, \quad Y = A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}}, \quad r = q, \quad t = \frac{s}{m},$$

we write

$$(5.7) \quad (A^{\frac{1}{2m}} B^{\frac{1}{m}} A^{\frac{1}{2m}})^s - (A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{s}{m}} \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{mq}{s},\infty})_0(H).$$

Combining (5.6) and (5.7), we write

$$A^{\frac{s}{2m}} B^{\frac{s}{m}} A^{\frac{s}{2m}} - (A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\frac{s}{m}} \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{mq}{s}, \infty})_0(H).$$

By Lemma 5.4,

$$(A^{\frac{s}{2m}} B^{\frac{s}{m}} A^{\frac{s}{2m}})^m - (A^{\frac{1}{2}} B A^{\frac{1}{2}})^s \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{q}{s}, \infty})_0(H).$$

By assumption,

$$(A^{\frac{s}{2m}} B^{\frac{s}{m}} A^{\frac{s}{2m}})^m - A^{\frac{s}{2}} B^s A^{\frac{s}{2}} \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{q}{s}, \infty})_0(H).$$

Combining the last two inclusions, we complete the proof. \square

5.2. Application of abstract estimate. We prove Theorem 5.1 in this subsection. To do that, we introduce the notion for differentiability of operators inspiring by Connes–Moscovici [3, page 209]. See the following definition on \mathbb{R}^d .

Definition 5.5. *Element $S \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ is called m times differentiable if, for every second order differential operator P with smooth compactly supported coefficients, k -th commutator*

$$\underbrace{[P, [P, \dots [P, S] \dots]]}_{k \text{ times}}$$

extends to a bounded operator from $W^{k,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for every $1 \leq k \leq m$.

The similar notion on compact manifold can be proposed in the following way.

Definition 5.6. *Let (X, G) be a compact manifold. Element $S \in \Pi_X$ is called m times differentiable if, for every second order differential operator P with smooth coefficients, k -th commutator*

$$\underbrace{[P, [P, \dots [P, S] \dots]]}_{k \text{ times}}$$

extends to a bounded operator from $W^{k,2}(X, \text{vol}_G) \rightarrow L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)$ for every $1 \leq k \leq m$.

Let us turn to the density of differentiable elements. Notably, the algebra $\{g(\frac{\nabla}{\sqrt{\Delta}}) : g \in C(\mathbb{S}^{d-1})\}$ can also be generated by the Riesz transforms $R_j = \partial_j \Delta^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, $j = 1, \dots, d$. We have below results.

Lemma 5.7. *Twice differentiable elements are dense in $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$.*

Proof. Let P be a second order differential operator with smooth compactly supported coefficients. Let us firstly claim that $\{R_j\}_{j=1}^d$ are twice differentiable. Clearly, $P \in \Psi^2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $R_j \in \Psi^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ for $j = 1, \dots, d$. By gradation of pseudo-differentiable operators,

$$[P, R_j](1 + \Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad [P, [P, R_j]](1 + \Delta)^{-1} \in \Psi^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$$

where we use the fact $(1 + \Delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \Psi^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and $(1 + \Delta)^{-1} \in \Psi^{-2}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The boundedness on $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ of these two commutators follows from [43, Theorem 2, page 286] and then the claim follows.

Similarly, the operator M_f with $f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is twice differentiable since $M_f \in \Psi^0(\mathbb{R}^d)$.

Let $S \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$. By the definition of $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$, we can find a sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ such that each S_n belongs to $*$ -algebra generated by $\{M_f : f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ and by $\{R_j\}_{j=1}^d$, and

such that $S_n \rightarrow S$ in the uniform norm. Since $C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is dense in $C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ in the uniform norm, there is a sub-sequence $\{S_{n_k}\}_{k \geq 0}$ generated by $\{M_f : f \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ and $\{R_j\}_{j=1}^d$, and such that $S_{n_k} \rightarrow S$ in the uniform norm. By arguments in the preceding two paragraphs, S_{n_k} is twice differentiable. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 5.8. *Let (X, G) be a compact Riemannian manifold. Twice differentiable elements compactly supported in the chart (\mathcal{U}_i, h_i) are dense in the set of elements of Π_X compactly supported in the chart (\mathcal{U}_i, h_i) .*

Proof. Let (\mathcal{U}_i, h_i) be a chart and let $S \in \Pi_X$ be compactly supported in \mathcal{U}_i . The operator $W_{h_i} S W_{h_i}^* \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ is compactly supported in $h_i(\mathcal{U}_i)$. Fix $\phi_i \in C_c^\infty(h_i(\mathcal{U}_i))$ such that

$$W_{h_i} S W_{h_i}^* = W_{h_i} S W_{h_i}^* \cdot M_{\phi_i} = M_{\phi_i} \cdot W_{h_i} S W_{h_i}^*.$$

By Lemma 5.7, there exists a sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ such that (i) S_n is twice differentiable for every $n \geq 1$ and (ii) $S_n \rightarrow W_{h_i} S W_{h_i}^*$ in the uniform norm. Since M_{ϕ_i} is² twice differentiable, it follows that so is $M_{\phi_i} S_n M_{\phi_i}$ for every $n \geq 1$. We have

$$M_{\phi_i} S_n M_{\phi_i} \rightarrow M_{\phi_i} \cdot W_{h_i} S W_{h_i}^* \cdot M_{\phi_i} = W_{h_i} S W_{h_i}^*$$

in the uniform norm. Replacing S_n with $M_{\phi_i} S_n M_{\phi_i}$ if needed, we may assume without loss of generality that each S_n is compactly supported in $h_i(\mathcal{U}_i)$.

The operator $W_{h_i}^* S_n W_{h_i} \in \Pi_X$ is twice differentiable for every $n \geq 1$. Clearly, $W_{h_i}^* S_n W_{h_i} \rightarrow S$ in the uniform norm. This completes the proof. \square

Lemma 5.9. *Let (X, G) be a compact Riemannian manifold. Twice differentiable elements are dense in Π_X in the uniform norm.*

Proof. Let $\{\phi_n\}_{n=1}^N \subset C_c^\infty(X)$ be a partition of unity subordinate to the atlas of X . For $S \in \Pi_X$, we write

$$S = \sum_{n=1}^N M_{\phi_n^{\frac{1}{2}}} S M_{\phi_n^{\frac{1}{2}}} + \sum_{n=1}^N [S, M_{\phi_n^{\frac{1}{2}}}] M_{\phi_n^{\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

The approximation for summands in the first sum on the right hand side are given by Lemma 5.8. Observe that the summands in the second sum on the right hand side are compact operators. Since integral operators with smooth integral kernels are differentiable, compact and dense among compact operators in the uniform norm, the assertion follows. \square

Lemma 5.10. *Let (X, G) be a compact Riemannian manifold. If $S \in \Pi_X$ is twice differentiable, then $[S, (1 + \Delta_G)^{\frac{1}{2}}]$ is bounded.*

Proof. Since X is compact, the assumption can be equivalently restated as the boundedness of operators

$$[\Delta_G, S](1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, [\Delta_G, [\Delta_G, S]](1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}$$

on $L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)$. Recall that

$$(1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty (1 + \lambda + \Delta_G)^{-1} \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\lambda$$

²This is established in the proof of Lemma 5.7.

where the convergence for the integral is understood in the operator norm. Write

$$(5.8) \quad [(1 + \Delta_G)^{\frac{1}{2}}, S] = [(1 + \Delta_G)(1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, S] = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty \left[\frac{1 + \Delta_G}{1 + \lambda + \Delta_G}, S \right] \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\lambda.$$

However,

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{1 + \Delta_G}{1 + \lambda + \Delta_G}, S \right] &= [\Delta_G, S](1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \left(\frac{(1 + \Delta_G)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + \lambda + \Delta_G} - \frac{(1 + \Delta_G)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(1 + \lambda + \Delta_G)^2} \right) + \\ &\quad + \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda + \Delta_G} \cdot [\Delta_G, [\Delta_G, S]](1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} \cdot \frac{1 + \Delta_G}{(1 + \lambda + \Delta_G)^2} \\ &=: X_\lambda + Y_\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By functional calculus,

$$\int_0^\infty \left(\frac{(1 + \Delta_G)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{1 + \lambda + \Delta_G} - \frac{(1 + \Delta_G)^{\frac{3}{2}}}{(1 + \lambda + \Delta_G)^2} \right) \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\lambda = \frac{\pi}{2}.$$

It follows that

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty X_\lambda \cdot \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\lambda = \frac{1}{2} [\Delta_G, S](1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

is bounded on $L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)$ by the assumption. Additionally, by spectral theorem,

$$\left\| \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda + \Delta_G} \right\|_{B(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))} = \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda}$$

and

$$\left\| \frac{1 + \Delta_G}{(1 + \lambda + \Delta_G)^2} \right\|_{B(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(1 + \lambda)^2}, & 0 < \lambda \leq 1, \\ \frac{1}{4\lambda}, & \lambda \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\left\| \int_0^\infty Y_\lambda \cdot \lambda^{-\frac{1}{2}} d\lambda \right\|_{B(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))} \leq \frac{7}{6} \| [\Delta_G, [\Delta_G, S]](1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} \|_{B(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))}.$$

Taking the arguments for X_λ, Y_λ , equality (5.8) and the assumption for S into a combination, the assertion follows. \square

Next, we verify the assumptions of Theorem 5.2 on manifold (X, G) .

Lemma 5.11. *Let (X, G) be a compact Riemannian manifold and let Δ_G be the Laplace-Beltrami operator. If $0 \leq S \in \Pi_X$, then $A = S$ and $B = (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}$ satisfy the assumptions in Theorem 5.2 with $q = \frac{d}{2}$.*

Proof. Let $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 1} \subset \Pi_X$ be a sequence of twice differentiable elements such that $S_n \rightarrow S^\epsilon$ in the uniform norm.

Fix $m > \delta$ and write

$$\begin{aligned} [S_n, B^\delta] &= [S_n, (B^{\frac{\delta}{2m}})^{2m}] = \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} (B^{\frac{\delta}{2m}})^k \cdot [S_n, B^{\frac{\delta}{2m}}] \cdot (B^{\frac{\delta}{2m}})^{2m-1-k} \\ &= - \sum_{k=0}^{2m-1} (B^{\frac{\delta}{2m}})^{k+1} \cdot [S_n, B^{-\frac{\delta}{2m}}] \cdot (B^{\frac{\delta}{2m}})^{2m-k}. \end{aligned}$$

As S_n is twice differentiable, the operator $[S_n, B^{-\frac{1}{2}}]$ is bounded due to Lemma 5.10. By Birman-Koplienko-Solomyak inequality [24, Theorem 1.3.4],

$$\int_0^t \mu(s, [S_n, B^{-\frac{\delta}{2m}}]) ds \leq c_{\delta, m} \|S_n\|_{B(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))}^{1 - \frac{\delta}{m}} \int_0^t \mu(s, |[S_n, B^{-\frac{1}{2}}]|^{\frac{\delta}{m}}) ds, \quad t > 0.$$

Thus, the commutator $[S_n, B^{-\frac{\delta}{2m}}]$ is bounded. It follows now from Hölder inequality that

$$[S_n, B^\delta] \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{dm}{(2m+1)\delta}, \infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)) \subset (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2\delta}})_0(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

Since $[S_n, B^\delta] \rightarrow [A^\epsilon, B^\delta] \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2\delta}}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, the assertion follows from Lemma 5.9. The proof is complete. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.1. It is established in Lemma 5.11 that the operators

$$A = S, \quad B = (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}$$

satisfy the assumptions in Theorem 5.2 with $q = \frac{d}{2}$. Applying Theorem 5.2, we complete the proof. \square

6. ASYMPTOTICS FOR OPERATORS LOCALISED IN A CHART

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 6.7, which establishes a local version of the result stated in Theorem 1.2.

6.1. Good atlas on compact Riemannian manifold. To show Theorem 6.7, we introduce the definition of good atlas on compact manifold.

Definition 6.1. *Let (X, G) be a compact Riemannian manifold. A finite atlas $\{(\mathcal{U}_i, h_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$ is called good if, for every $i \in \mathbb{I}$, the function $g_i : h_i(\mathcal{U}_i) \rightarrow \text{GL}_+(d, \mathbb{R})$ allows a smooth extension $g_i : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \text{GL}_+(d, \mathbb{R})$ which is constant outside some ball.*

Towards constructing good atlas on compact Riemannian manifolds, the following smoothness result is established as a start.

Lemma 6.2. *Let Ω be a domain in \mathbb{R}^d and let $g : \Omega \rightarrow \text{GL}_+(d, \mathbb{R})$ be a smooth function. The function $\log \circ g : \Omega \rightarrow M_d(\mathbb{R})$ is also smooth where $M_d(\mathbb{R})$ denotes the space of all $d \times d$ real matrices.*

Proof. Fix $t_0 \in \Omega$. Since $g(t_0)$ is a positive matrix, its spectrum is positive. Let $\lambda_{\min}(t_0)$ and $\lambda_{\max}(t_0)$ denote its smallest and largest eigenvalues, respectively. We have

$$\|g(t_0)\|_{M_d(\mathbb{R})} = \lambda_{\max}(t_0), \quad \|g(t_0)^{-1}\|_{M_d(\mathbb{R})} = \frac{1}{\lambda_{\min}(t_0)},$$

where $\|\cdot\|_{M_d(\mathbb{R})}$ denotes the operator norm on $M_d(\mathbb{R})$. Therefore,

$$\|g(t_0)^{-1}\|_{M_d(\mathbb{R})}^{-1} \leq g(t_0) \leq \|g(t_0)\|_{M_d(\mathbb{R})}.$$

Choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\|g(t) - g(t_0)\|_{M_d(\mathbb{R})} < \frac{1}{2} \|g(t_0)^{-1}\|_{M_d(\mathbb{R})}^{-1}, \quad |t - t_0| < \epsilon.$$

We have

$$\frac{1}{2} \|g(t_0)^{-1}\|_{M_d(\mathbb{R})}^{-1} \leq g(t) \leq \frac{1}{2} \|g(t_0)^{-1}\|_{M_d(\mathbb{R})}^{-1} + \|g(t_0)\|_{M_d(\mathbb{R})}, \quad |t - t_0| < \epsilon.$$

Choose $\omega \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ such that

$$\omega(u) = \log(u), \quad u \in [\frac{1}{2} \|g(t_0)^{-1}\|_{M_d(\mathbb{R})}^{-1}, \frac{1}{2} \|g(t_0)^{-1}\|_{M_d(\mathbb{R})}^{-1} + \|g(t_0)\|_{M_d(\mathbb{R})}].$$

The mapping $\omega \circ g$ is obviously smooth on Ω . Note that $\log \circ g = \omega \circ g$ on $B(t_0, \epsilon)$. Hence, $\log \circ g$ is smooth on $B(t_0, \epsilon)$. Since $t_0 \in \Omega$ is arbitrary, the assertion follows. \square

A compact manifold admits a finite atlas. This allows us to derive a more refined atlas in the setting of compact Riemannian manifolds.

Proposition 6.3. *Every compact Riemannian manifold allows a good atlas.*

Proof. Let (X, G) be a compact Riemannian manifold with atlas $\{(\mathcal{U}_i, h_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$. For every open set D compactly supported in $h_i(\mathcal{U}_i)$ (i.e. the closure \overline{D} is compact and $\overline{D} \subset h_i(\mathcal{U}_i)$), choose a function $\phi_D \in C_c^\infty(h_i(\mathcal{U}_i))$ such that $\phi_D = 1$ on D . Since X is a Riemannian manifold, the function $g_i : h_i(\mathcal{U}_i) \rightarrow \text{GL}_+(d, \mathbb{R})$ is well-defined and smooth. By Lemma 6.2, the function $\log \circ g_i : h_i(\mathcal{U}_i) \rightarrow M_d(\mathbb{R})$ is smooth on $h_i(\mathcal{U}_i)$. Hence, $g_i : D \rightarrow \text{GL}_+(d, \mathbb{R})$ allows an extension $g_{i,D} : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \text{GL}_+(d, \mathbb{R})$ given by the formula

$$g_{i,D} : x \rightarrow \exp(\phi_D(x) \cdot \log(g_i(x))), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^d.$$

Clearly, $g_{i,D}$ is a smooth extension of $g_i|_D$ and it is constant outside some ball.

Consider now the new atlas ³ $\{(h_i^{-1}(D), h_i)\}_{D \in h_i(\mathcal{U}_i)}\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$. In this atlas, Riemannian metric V is given by the formula $V_{(i,D)} = G_i|_{h_i^{-1}(D)}$ and the coordinate mapping $h_{(i,D)}$ is h_i related to $h_i^{-1}(D)$. For every index (i, D) , we have $V_{(i,D)} \circ h_{(i,D)}^{-1} = g_{i,D}|_D = g_i|_D$. Finally, by compactness on X , there exists a finite sub-atlas and it is good. The proof is complete. \square

6.2. Local case for $p = \frac{d}{2}$. This subsection aims to show Theorem 6.7. We need the necessary lemmas from below.

Lemma 6.4. *Let (X, G) be a compact Riemannian manifold and let Δ_G be the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Let (\mathcal{U}_i, h_i) be a chart of X . For $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{U}_i)$, the operator $[\Delta_G, M_\phi](1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is bounded.*

Proof. Clearly, $M_\phi \in \Psi^0(X)$, $\Delta_G \in \Psi^2(X)$ and $(1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \Psi^{-1}(X)$. We have $[\Delta_G, M_\phi] \in \Psi^1(X)$ by [34, Remark 5.3.3]. The composition of pseudo-differential operators gives that $[\Delta_G, M_\phi](1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \Psi^0(X)$. Since X is a compact manifold, the assertion follows from [34, Theorem 5.2.23]. \square

Lemma 6.5. *Let (X, G) be a compact Riemannian manifold and let Δ_G be the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Let (\mathcal{U}_i, h_i) be a chart in a good (in the sense of Definition 6.1) atlas. For every $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{U}_i)$, we have*

$$M_\phi(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}M_\phi - W_{h_i}^* M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}(1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-1}M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}W_{h_i} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

Proof. We prove an equivalent assertion

$$W_{h_i} M_\phi(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}M_\phi W_{h_i}^* - M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}(1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-1}M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_{g_i})).$$

Denote the operator on the left hand side in the latter inclusion by *LHS*.

Note that *LHS* sends $L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_{g_i})$ into $W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_{g_i})$, which is the domain of Δ_{g_i} . We have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + \Delta_{g_i}) \cdot LHS &= \\ &= W_{h_i}(1 + \Delta_G)M_\phi(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}M_\phi W_{h_i}^* - (1 + \Delta_{g_i})M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}(1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-1}M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}} \\ &= W_{h_i}[\Delta_G, M_\phi](1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}M_\phi W_{h_i}^* - [\Delta_{g_i}, M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}](1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-1}M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

³Here, $D \Subset h_i(\mathcal{U}_i)$ means "D is compactly supported in $h_i(\mathcal{U}_i)$ ".

In other words,

$$LHS = (1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-1} \cdot (W_{h_i} ABW_{h_i}^* - CD),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= [\Delta_G, M_\phi](1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad B = (1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_\phi, \\ C &= [\Delta_{g_i}, M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}](1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-\frac{1}{2}}, \quad D = (1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\psi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ such that $\psi = 1$ on and near $\text{supp}(\phi \circ h_i^{-1})$. It follows that

$$(6.1) \quad LHS = (1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-1} M_\psi \cdot (W_{h_i} ABW_{h_i}^* - CD).$$

By Lemma 6.4 and Lemma 2.3,

$$A \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)), \quad B \in \mathcal{L}_{d,\infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

Hence,

$$(6.2) \quad W_{h_i} ABW_{h_i}^* \in \mathcal{L}_{d,\infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

On the other hand, consider $M_{\det^{\frac{1}{4}}(g_i)} : L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_{g_i}) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ the unitary mapping. We have

$$M_{\det^{-\frac{1}{4}}(g_i)} [\Delta_{g_i}, M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}] (1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_{\det^{\frac{1}{4}}(g_i)} = [P_i, M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}] (1 + P_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$$

where $P_i = M_{\det^{-\frac{1}{4}}(g_i)} \Delta_{g_i} M_{\det^{\frac{1}{4}}(g_i)}$ is a positive, self-adjoint, elliptic differential operator whose coefficients are smooth and also are constant outside some ball on \mathbb{R}^d . By Lemma 3.8,

$$[P_i, M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}] (1 + P_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

and therefore

$$C \in \mathcal{L}_\infty(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_{g_i})).$$

Moreover, write

$$M_{\det^{-\frac{1}{4}}(g_i)} (1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}} M_{\det^{\frac{1}{4}}(g_i)} = (1 + P_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}.$$

The Lemma 3.11 gives that

$$(1 + P_i)^{-\frac{1}{2}} M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}} \in \mathcal{L}_{d,\infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$$

and therefore

$$D \in \mathcal{L}_{d,\infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_{g_i})).$$

Hence,

$$(6.3) \quad CD \in \mathcal{L}_{d,\infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_{g_i})).$$

Note that

$$(6.4) \quad (1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-1} M_\psi \in \mathcal{L}_{d,\infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_{g_i})).$$

Combining (6.1), (6.2), (6.3) and (6.4), we complete the proof. \square

Lemma 6.6. *For every $\phi \in C^\infty(X)$, we have*

$$[M_\phi, (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}] \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3},\infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [M_\phi, (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}] &= (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} [\Delta_G, M_\phi] (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} \\ &= (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} \cdot [\Delta_G, M_\phi] (1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The first factor belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))$, the second factor is bounded due to Lemma 6.4, the last factor belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{d, \infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))$. The assertion follows from Hölder inequality. \square

The following theorem is a local version of the Theorem 1.2 with $p = \frac{d}{2}$.

Theorem 6.7. *Let (X, G) be a compact Riemannian manifold and let Δ_G be the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Let (\mathcal{U}_i, h_i) be a chart in a good (in the sense of Definition 6.1) atlas. If $S \in \Pi_X$ is compactly supported in \mathcal{U}_i , then*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu(t, S(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}) = c_d \left\| \text{sym}_X(S) \right\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-q_G} d\lambda)}$$

where c_d is given in Theorem A.

Before proving Theorem 6.7, recall a fact that if H_1 and H_2 are Hilbert spaces and if $W : H_1 \rightarrow H_2$ is a partial isometry, then

$$\mu_{B(H_2)}(WTW^*) = \mu_{B(H_1)}(T), \quad T \in B(H), \quad T = T(W^*W) = (W^*W)T.$$

Let us continue to show Theorem 6.7.

Proof of Theorem 6.7. Fix $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{U}_i)$ such that $S = M_\phi S = S M_\phi$. Applying the above fact to the operator

$$T = S(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} M_\phi,$$

we conclude

$$(6.5) \quad \mu_{B(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_{g_i}))}(W_{h_i} T W_{h_i}^*) = \mu_{B(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))}(T).$$

It is immediate that

$$W_{h_i} T W_{h_i}^* = W_{h_i} S W_{h_i}^* \cdot W_{h_i} M_\phi (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} M_\phi W_{h_i}^*.$$

Using Lemma 6.5, we write

$$W_{h_i} T W_{h_i}^* - W_{h_i} S W_{h_i}^* \cdot M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}} (1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-1} M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_{g_i})).$$

Let $P_i = M_{\det^{-\frac{1}{4}}(g_i)} \Delta_{g_i} M_{\det^{\frac{1}{4}}(g_i)}$. By Theorem 3.1,

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\det^{-\frac{1}{4}}(g_i)} M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}} [(1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-1}, M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}] M_{\det^{\frac{1}{4}}(g_i)} &= M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}} [(1 + P_i)^{-1}, M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}] \\ &\in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}} (1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-1} M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}} - M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}} (1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_{g_i})).$$

Since

$$W_{h_i} S W_{h_i}^* = W_{h_i} S W_{h_i}^* \cdot M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}},$$

it follows that

$$(6.6) \quad W_{h_i} T W_{h_i}^* - W_{h_i} S W_{h_i}^* \cdot (1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_{g_i})).$$

By construction of Π_X , we have $\text{Ext}_{h_i(\mathcal{U}_i)}(W_{h_i}SW_{h_i}^*) \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$. By Theorem 4.1, there exists a limit

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu_{B(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_{g_i}))}(t, W_{h_i}SW_{h_i}^* \cdot (1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-1}) \\ &= c_d \|\text{sym}(\text{Ext}_{h_i(\mathcal{U}_i)}(W_{h_i}SW_{h_i}^*))\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(h_i(\mathcal{U}_i) \times \mathbb{R}^d, e^{-q_{g_i}} dm)} \\ &= c_d \|\text{sym}_X(S)\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-q_G} d\lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 2.5 and taking (6.6) into account, we conclude that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu_{B(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_{g_i}))}(t, W_{h_i}TW_{h_i}^*) = c_{p,d} \|\text{sym}_X(S)\|_{L_p(T^*X, e^{-q_G} d\lambda)}.$$

Using (6.5), we write

$$(6.7) \quad \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu(t, T) = c_d \left\| \text{sym}_X(S) \right\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-q_G} d\lambda)}.$$

Since $S = SM_\phi$ and since (from Lemma 6.6)

$$M_\phi(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}M_\phi - M_{\phi^2}(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)),$$

it follows that

$$T - S(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

The assertion follows now from (6.7) and Lemma 2.5. \square

7. ASYMPTOTICS ON MANIFOLD

In this section, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2. The case where $p = \frac{d}{2}$ is treated in Subsection 7.1, while the general case is addressed in Subsection 7.2. In this section, let c_d given in Theorem A in the sequel.

7.1. Asymptotics for $p = \frac{d}{2}$. We prove Theorem 1.2 with $p = \frac{d}{2}$ in this subsection. To do that, we demand the following approximation on manifold.

Lemma 7.1. *Let X be a compact Riemannian manifold with a good atlas $\{(\mathcal{U}_i, h_i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{I}}$. There is a sequence $\{\phi_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq K}$ such that*

- (a) *for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k \leq K$, function $\phi_{n,k}$ is a smooth function on X ;*
- (b) *for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leq k \leq K$, function $0 \leq \phi_{n,k} \leq 1$;*
- (c) *for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq K$, function $\phi_{n,k}$ is supported in some chart $(\mathcal{U}_{i_k}, h_{i_k})$;*
- (d) *the sum $\sum_{k=1}^K \phi_{n,k}^3 \rightarrow 1$ in the sense of almost everywhere as $n \rightarrow \infty$;*
- (e) *for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the functions $\{\phi_{n,k}\}_{k=1}^K$ are disjoint supported.*

Proof. Choose pairwise disjoint open sets $\{\Delta_k\}_{k=1}^K$ such that Δ_k is contained in some \mathcal{U}_{i_k} and their union has full measure. For $1 \leq k \leq K$, choose a sequence $\{\phi_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that (i) $\phi_{n,k} \in C_c^\infty(\Delta_k)$ is positive taking values in $[0, 1]$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and (ii) $\phi_{n,k} \uparrow \chi_{\Delta_k}$ almost everywhere as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The assertions follow. \square

Lemma 7.2. *Let $\phi \in C^\infty(X)$ be supported in some chart (\mathcal{U}_i, h_i) (which belongs to a good atlas). For any $S \in \Pi_X$, we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu(t, M_\phi SM_\phi(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}M_\phi) = c_d \|\text{sym}_X(M_{\phi^3}S)\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-q_G} d\lambda)}.$$

Proof. Applying Theorem 6.7 to the operator $M_\phi SM_{\phi^2}$, we conclude that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu(t, M_\phi SM_{\phi^2} (1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{d}{2p}}) = c_{p,d} \left\| \text{sym}_X(M_\phi SM_{\phi^2}) \right\|_{L_p(T^*X, e^{-qG} d\lambda)}.$$

Write

$$M_\phi SM_\phi (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} M_\phi = M_\phi SM_{\phi^2} (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} + M_\phi SM_\phi \cdot [(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}, M_\phi].$$

By Lemma 6.6, we have

$$M_\phi SM_\phi [(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}, M_\phi] \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

Therefore, by Lemma 2.5,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu(t, M_\phi SM_\phi (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} M_\phi) = c_d \left\| \text{sym}_X(M_\phi SM_{\phi^2}) \right\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-qG} d\lambda)}.$$

Taking into account that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{sym}_X(M_\phi SM_{\phi^2}) &= \text{sym}_X(M_\phi) \cdot \text{sym}_X(S) \cdot \text{sym}_S(M_\phi)^2 \\ &= \text{sym}_X(M_{\phi^3}) \cdot \text{sym}_X(S) \\ &= \text{sym}_X(M_{\phi^3} S), \end{aligned}$$

we complete the proof. \square

Lemma 7.3. *For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\psi_n = \sum_{k=1}^K \phi_{n,k}^3$ where sequence $\{\phi_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq K}$ is given in Lemma 7.1. For any $S \in \Pi_X$, we have*

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu(t, SM_{\psi_n} (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}) = c_d \left\| \text{sym}_X(M_{\psi_n} S) \right\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-qG} d\lambda)}.$$

Proof. By Lemma 7.2, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for every $1 \leq k \leq K$, there exists a limit

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu(t, M_{\phi_{n,k}} SM_{\phi_{n,k}} (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} M_{\phi_{n,k}}) = c_d \left\| \text{sym}_X(M_{\phi_{n,k}}^3 S) \right\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-qG} d\lambda)}.$$

For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, the operators

$$\{M_{\phi_{n,k}} SM_{\phi_{n,k}} (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} M_{\phi_{n,k}}\}_{1 \leq k \leq K}$$

are pairwise orthogonal. By Lemma 2.4, there exists a limit

$$\begin{aligned} &\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu(t, \sum_{k=1}^K M_{\phi_{n,k}} SM_{\phi_{n,k}} (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} M_{\phi_{n,k}}) \\ &= c_d \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \left\| \text{sym}_X(M_{\phi_{n,k}}^3 S) \right\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-qG} d\lambda)}^{\frac{d}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}}. \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$\begin{aligned}
SM_{\psi_n}(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} &= \sum_{k=1}^K SM_{\phi_{n,k}^3}(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} \\
&= \sum_{k=1}^K [S, M_{\phi_{n,k}}] \cdot M_{\phi_{n,k}^2}(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} + \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^K M_{\phi_{n,k}} SM_{\phi_{n,k}} \cdot [M_{\phi_{n,k}}, (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}] + \\
&\quad + \sum_{k=1}^K M_{\phi_{n,k}} SM_{\phi_{n,k}} (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} M_{\phi_{n,k}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Note that $[S, M_{\phi_{n,k}}]$ is compact (this is part of the definition of Π_X). Hence,

$$[S, M_{\phi_{n,k}}] \cdot M_{\phi_{n,k}^2}(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty}^d)_0(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

By Lemma 6.6

$$M_{\phi_{n,k}} SM_{\phi_{n,k}} \cdot [M_{\phi_{n,k}}, (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}] \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty}^d)_0(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

Hence,

$$SM_{\psi_n}(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} - \sum_{k=1}^K M_{\phi_{n,k}} SM_{\phi_{n,k}} (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} M_{\phi_{n,k}} \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty}^d)_0(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

By Lemma 2.5,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{d}{2}} \mu(t, SM_{\psi_n}(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}) \\
&= c_d \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \|\text{sym}_X(M_{\phi_{n,k}^3} S)\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}^{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-q_G} d\lambda)}^{\frac{d}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} \\
&= c_d \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \|\text{sym}_X(M_{\phi_{n,k}})^3 \cdot \text{sym}_X(S)\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}^{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-q_G} d\lambda)}^{\frac{d}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since the functions $\{\phi_{n,k}\}_{1 \leq k \leq K}$ are pairwise disjointly supported, it follows that so are the functions $\{\text{sym}_X(M_{\phi_{n,k}})\}_{1 \leq k \leq K}$. Hence,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\left(\sum_{k=1}^K \|\text{sym}_X(M_{\phi_{n,k}})^3 \cdot \text{sym}_X(S)\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}^{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-q_G} d\lambda)}^{\frac{d}{2}} \right)^{\frac{2}{d}} \\
&= \|\text{sym}_X(M_{\psi_n}) \cdot \text{sym}_X(S)\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}^{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-q_G} d\lambda)}.
\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. \square

We firstly provide the proof for Theorem 1.2 in the case $p = \frac{d}{2}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 for $p = \frac{d}{2}$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\psi_n = \sum_{k=1}^K \phi_{n,k}^3$ where the sequence $\{\phi_{n,k}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}, 1 \leq k \leq K}$ is given in Lemma 7.1. It is immediate that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|SM_{\psi_n}(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} - S(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty}^d(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))} \\
&\leq \|S\|_{\infty} \|M_{\psi_n - 1}(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty}^d(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))}.
\end{aligned}$$

Applying Lemma 2.3, we conclude

$$\|M_{\psi_n-1}(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}\|_{\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2},\infty}} \leq c_{X,g}\|\psi_n - 1\|_{\max\{\frac{d}{2},3\}} \rightarrow 0, \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Here, the convergence is guaranteed by Lemma 7.1 and by the Dominated Convergence Theorem.

Lemma 7.3 asserts that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu(t, SM_{\psi_n}(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}) = c_d \|\text{sym}_X(M_{\psi_n}S)\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-q_G} d\lambda)}.$$

Using Lemma 2.6, we conclude that the following limits exist and are equal:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu(t, S(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}) &= c_d \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\text{sym}_X(M_{\psi_n}S)\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-q_G} d\lambda)} \\ &= c_d \|\text{sym}_X(S)\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-q_G} d\lambda)}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the very last equality is guaranteed by Lemma 7.1 and by the Dominated Convergence Theorem. This completes the proof. \square

7.2. General case for an arbitrary p . In this subsection, we complete the proof of Theorem 1.2.

Lemma 7.4. *Let $P : W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a positive, self-adjoint, elliptic differential operator whose coefficients are smooth and also are constant outside some ball. For every $\psi \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)$ and for every $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have*

$$[M_\psi, M_\phi(1 + P)^{-1}M_\phi] \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2},\infty})_0(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Proof. Write

$$[M_\psi, M_\phi(1 + P)^{-1}M_\phi] = [M_{\psi\phi}, (1 + P)^{-1}]M_\phi + [(1 + P)^{-1}, M_\phi]M_{\psi\phi}.$$

By theorem 3.1, the factors on the right hand side belong to $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3},\infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and therefore $(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2},\infty})_0(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. \square

Lemma 7.5. *Let $P : W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a positive, self-adjoint, elliptic differential operator whose coefficients are smooth and also are constant outside some ball. For every $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have*

$$[R_j, M_\phi(1 + P)^{-1}M_\phi] \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2},\infty})_0(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad 1 \leq j \leq d.$$

Proof. Write

$$\begin{aligned} [R_j, M_\phi(1 + P)^{-1}M_\phi] &= R_j M_\phi [(1 + P)^{-1}, M_\phi] + [(1 + P)^{-1}, M_\phi] M_\phi R_j + \\ &\quad + [R_j, M_\phi] M_\phi (1 + P)^{-1} + (1 + P)^{-1} M_\phi [R_j, M_\phi] + \\ &\quad + [M_\phi R_j M_\phi, (1 + P)^{-1}]. \end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.1 the first and second factors on the right hand side belong to $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3},\infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and therefore $(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2},\infty})_0(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. Since operator $[R_j, M_\phi]$ is compact (see e.g. [41, 20]) and $M_\phi(1 + P)^{-1}, (1 + P)^{-1}M_\phi$ belong to $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2},\infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ by Lemma 3.11, the third and fourth factors on the right hand side belong to $(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2},\infty})_0(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. For the fifth factor on the right hand side, write

$$\begin{aligned} [M_\phi R_j M_\phi, (1 + P)^{-1}] &= \\ &= (1 + P)^{-\frac{1}{2}} [M_\phi R_j M_\phi, (1 + P)^{-\frac{1}{2}}] + [M_\phi R_j M_\phi, (1 + P)^{-\frac{1}{2}}] (1 + P)^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying Theorem 3.2, it gives that operator $[M_\phi R_j M_\phi, (1 + P)^{-1}]$ belongs to $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ and therefore $(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty})_0(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 7.6. *Let $P : W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a positive, self-adjoint, elliptic differential operator whose coefficients are smooth and also are constant outside some ball. For every $S \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ and for every $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$ we have*

$$[S, M_\phi(1 + P)^{-1}M_\phi] \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty})_0(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Proof. Let S belong to $*$ -algebra generated by $\{M_f : f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ and by $\{R_j\}_{j=1}^d$. Using Lemmas 7.4, 7.5 and the Leibniz rule, we conclude that

$$[S, M_\phi(1 + P)^{-1}M_\phi] \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty})_0(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

Consider now the general case. By the definition of $\Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$, we can find a sequence $\{S_n\}_{n \geq 0}$ such that each S_n belongs to $*$ -algebra generated by $\{M_f : f \in C_0(\mathbb{R}^d)\}$ and $\{R_j\}_{j=1}^d$, and such that $S_n \rightarrow S$ in the uniform norm. By the preceding paragraph,

$$[S_n, M_\phi(1 + P)^{-1}M_\phi] \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty})_0(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)), \quad n \geq 0.$$

We clearly have

$$[S_n, M_\phi(1 + P)^{-1}M_\phi] \rightarrow [S, M_\phi(1 + P)^{-1}M_\phi], \quad n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Since $(\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty})_0(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$ is closed in $\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty}(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d))$, the assertion follows. \square

Lemma 7.7. *Let $g : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \text{GL}_+(d, \mathbb{R})$ be smooth mapping constant outside some ball. For every $S \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$ and for every $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^d)$, we have*

$$[S, M_\phi(1 + \Delta_g)^{-1}M_\phi] \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty})_0(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_g)).$$

Proof. Consider the unitary mapping $M_{\det^{-\frac{1}{4}}(g)} : L_2(\mathbb{R}^d, \text{vol}_g) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$. We have

$$M_{\det^{-\frac{1}{4}}(g)}[S, M_\phi(1 + \Delta_g)^{-1}M_\phi]M_{\det^{\frac{1}{4}}(g)} = [M_{\det^{-\frac{1}{4}}(g)}SM_{\det^{\frac{1}{4}}(g)}, M_\phi(1 + P)^{-1}M_\phi]$$

where

$$P = M_{\det^{-\frac{1}{4}}(g)}\Delta_g M_{\det^{\frac{1}{4}}(g)}.$$

Since $P : W^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^d) \rightarrow L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)$ be a positive, self-adjoint, elliptic differential operator whose coefficients are smooth and also are constant outside some ball, it follows from Lemma 7.6 that

$$[M_{\det^{-\frac{1}{4}}(g)}SM_{\det^{\frac{1}{4}}(g)}, M_\phi(1 + P)^{-1}M_\phi] \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty})_0(L_2(\mathbb{R}^d)).$$

This suffices to complete the proof. \square

Lemma 7.8. *Let (X, G) be a compact Riemannian manifold and let Δ_G be the Laplace-Beltrami operator. Let (\mathcal{U}_i, h_i) be a chart in a good atlas. For every $S \in \Pi_X$ compactly supported in \mathcal{U}_i , we have*

$$[S, (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}] \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty})_0(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

Proof. Fix $\phi \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{U}_i)$ such that $S = M_\phi S = SM_\phi$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} [S, (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}] &= S(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} - (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}S \\ &= SM_{\phi^2}(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} - (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}M_{\phi^2}S \\ &= [S, M_\phi(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}M_\phi] + S \cdot [M_\phi, (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}] + \\ &\quad + [M_\phi, (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}] \cdot S. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 6.6,

$$[M_\phi, (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}] \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

Thus,

$$[S, (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}] - [S, M_\phi(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}M_\phi] \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

Lemma 6.5 asserts that

$$M_\phi(1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}M_\phi - W_{h_i}^* M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}(1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-1}M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}W_{h_i} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

Thus,

$$[S, (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}] - [S, W_{h_i}^* M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}(1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-1}M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}W_{h_i}] \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

In other words,

$$[S, (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}] - W_{h_i}^* [S, M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}(1 + \Delta_{g_i})^{-1}M_{\phi \circ h_i^{-1}}]W_{h_i} \in \mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{3}, \infty}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)),$$

where $S_i = W_{h_i}SW_{h_i}^* \in \Pi_{\mathbb{R}^d}$. The assertion follows now from Lemma 7.7. \square

Lemma 7.9. *Let (X, G) be a compact Riemannian manifold and let Δ_G be the Laplace-Beltrami operator. For every $S \in \Pi_X$, we have*

$$[S, (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}] \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty})_0(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

Proof. Let $\{(U_n, h_n)\}_{n=1}^N$ be a good atlas and let $\{\phi_k\}_{k=1}^K$ be a partition of unity such that, for every $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq K$, either there exists n_{k_1, k_2} such that $\phi_{k_1}, \phi_{k_2} \in C_c(\mathcal{U}_{n_{k_1, k_2}})$ or $\phi_{k_1}\phi_{k_2} \equiv 0$. We write

$$S = \sum_{k_1, k_2=1}^K M_{\phi_{k_1}} S M_{\phi_{k_2}}.$$

If there exists n_{k_1, k_2} such that $\phi_{k_1}, \phi_{k_2} \in C_c^\infty(\mathcal{U}_{n_{k_1, k_2}})$, then

$$[M_{\phi_{k_1}} S M_{\phi_{k_2}}, (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}] \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty})_0(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G))$$

by Lemma 7.8. If $\phi_{k_1}\phi_{k_2} = 0$, then

$$M_{\phi_{k_1}} S M_{\phi_{k_2}} = M_{\phi_{k_1}} \cdot [S, M_{\phi_{k_2}}] \in \mathcal{K}(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

In either case, we have

$$[M_{\phi_{k_1}} S M_{\phi_{k_2}}, (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}] \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty})_0(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

Summing over $1 \leq k_1, k_2 \leq K$, we complete the proof. \square

Now, let us give a complete proof for Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Without loss of generality, $S \geq 0$. Using Theorem 1.2 for the case $p = \frac{d}{2}$, we write

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu(t, S^{\frac{2p}{d}} (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1}) = c_d \|\text{sym}_X(S^{\frac{2p}{d}})\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-q_G} d\lambda)}.$$

According to Lemma 7.9,

$$S^{\frac{2p}{d}} (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} - S^{\frac{p}{d}} (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} S^{\frac{p}{d}} \in (\mathcal{L}_{\frac{d}{2}, \infty})_0(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{2}{d}} \mu(t, S^{\frac{p}{d}} (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} S^{\frac{p}{d}}) = c_d \|\text{sym}_X(S^{\frac{2p}{d}})\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-q_G} d\lambda)}.$$

Taking this equality to the power $\frac{d}{2p}$, we write

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu\left(t, \left(S^{\frac{p}{d}} (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} S^{\frac{p}{d}}\right)^{\frac{d}{2p}}\right) = c_d^{\frac{d}{2p}} \|\text{sym}_X(S^{\frac{2p}{d}})\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-q_G} d\lambda)}^{\frac{d}{2p}}.$$

Applying Theorem 5.1 with $s = \frac{d}{2p}$ and with $S^{\frac{2p}{d}}$ instead of S , we write

$$S(1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{d}{2p}} - (S^{\frac{p}{d}} (1 + \Delta_G)^{-1} S^{\frac{p}{d}})^{\frac{d}{2p}} \in (\mathcal{L}_{p, \infty})_0(L_2(X, \text{vol}_G)).$$

It follows from Lemma 2.5 that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{\frac{1}{p}} \mu\left(t, S(1 + \Delta_G)^{-\frac{d}{2p}}\right) = c_d^{\frac{d}{2p}} \|\text{sym}_X(S^{\frac{2p}{d}})\|_{L_{\frac{d}{2}}(T^*X, e^{-q_G} d\lambda)}^{\frac{d}{2p}}.$$

Since sym_X is an $*$ -homomorphism, it follows that

$$\text{sym}_X(S^{\frac{2p}{d}}) = \text{sym}_X(S)^{\frac{2p}{d}}$$

and the assertion follows. The proof is complete. \square

Acknowledgement: The first and the third author are supported by the ARC DP230100434.

REFERENCES

- [1] Birman M. Š., Solomjak M. Z. *Asymptotic behavior of the spectrum of pseudodifferential operators with anisotropically homogeneous symbols*. Vestnik Leningrad. Univ., (13):13–21, **169**, 1977.
- [2] Connes, A., *Noncommutative Geometry*. Academic Press, San Diego, CA (1994).
- [3] Connes, A., Moscovici, H., *The local index formula in noncommutative geometry*. Geom. Funct. Anal. **5** (1995), no. 2, 174–243.
- [4] Chavel I., *Riemannian geometry*. A modern introduction. Second edition. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 98. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2006.
- [5] Chavel I., *Eigenvalues in Riemannian geometry*, volume 115 of Pure and Applied Mathematics. Academic Press, Inc., Orlando, FL, 1984.
- [6] Chazarain J., *Formule de Poisson pour les variétés riemanniennes*. Inventiones math. 1974, **24** (1): 65–82.
- [7] Chitour Y, Prandi D, Rizzi L., *Weyl’s law for singular Riemannian manifolds*. Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées, 2024, 181: 113–151.
- [8] Connes A., Sukochev F., Zanin D., *Trace theorem for quasi-Fuchsian groups*. Mat. Sb. **208** (2017), no. 10, 59–90.
- [9] Coifman R. R., Rochberg R., Weiss G. *Factorization theorems for Hardy spaces in several variables*. Ann. of Math. **103** (1976), 611–635.
- [10] Florit-Simon E. *Weyl law and convergence in the classical limit for min-max nonlocal minimal surfaces*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2406.12162, 2024.
- [11] Fan, Z., Li, J., Sukochev, F., Zanin, D. *Spectral asymptotic formula of Bessel–Riesz commutator*. arXiv preprint: 2411.14928, 2024.

- [12] Frank R., Sukochev F., Zanin D. *Asymptotics of singular values for quantum derivatives*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **376** (2023), no. 3, 2047–2088.
- [13] Frank R., Sukochev F., Zanin D. *Endpoint Schatten class properties of commutators*. Adv. Math. **450** (2024), Paper No. 109738, 53 pp.
- [14] Grubb, G., *Singular Green operators and their spectral asymptotics*. Duke Math. 1984, **51** (3), 477–528
- [15] Gaspar P, Guaraco M. A. M., *The Weyl law for the phase transition spectrum and density of limit interfaces*. Geom. Funct. Anal., 2019, **29**(2): 382–410.
- [16] Guillemin V., Sternberg S., *Symplectic techniques in physics*. Cambridge university press, 1990.
- [17] Hiai F., Kosaki H., *Means of Hilbert Space Operators*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 1820, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [18] Huang J., Sukochev F., Zanin D. *Operator θ -Hölder functions with respect to $\|\cdot\|_p$, $0 < p \leq \infty$* . J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **105** (2022), no. 4, 2436–2477.
- [19] Gohberg I., Kreĭn M., *Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators*, Translated from the Russian by A. Feinstein, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, vol. 18, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1969.
- [20] Janson, S. and Wolff, T., *Schatten classes and commutators of singular integral operators*. Ark. Mat. **20** (1982), no. 2, 301–310.
- [21] Kohn J. J., Nirenberg L., *An algebra of pseudo-differential operators*. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **18** (1965), 269–305.
- [22] Kordyukov Y., Sukochev F., Zanin D. *C^* -algebraic approach to the principal symbol. III*. J. Noncommut. Geom. **18** (2024), no. 4, 1265–1314.
- [23] Levitina G., Sukochev F., Zanin D. *Cwikel estimates revisited*. Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **120** (2020), no. 2, 265–304.
- [24] Lord S., McDonald E., Sukochev F., Zanin D. *Singular traces. Vol. 2. Trace formulas*. De Gruyter Stud. Math., **46/2**, De Gruyter, Berlin, 2023.
- [25] Munkres J. R., *Analysis on manifolds*. CRC Press, 2018.
- [26] McDonald E., Sukochev F., Zanin D., *A C^* -algebraic approach to the principal symbol. II*. Math. Ann. **374** (2019), no. 1–2, 273–322.
- [27] McDonald E., Sukochev F., Zanin D., *A noncommutative Tauberian theorem and Weyl asymptotics in noncommutative geometry*. Lett. Math. Phys., **122**:77, (2022), 45 pp.
- [28] McDonald E., Sukochev F., Zanin D., *Spectral estimates and asymptotics for stratified Lie groups*. J. Funct. Anal., 2023, **285** (10): 110105.
- [29] Potapov D., Sukochev F., *Unbounded Fredholm modules and double operator integrals*. J. Reine Angew. Math. **626** (2009), 159–185.
- [30] Ponge R. S., *Heisenberg calculus and spectral theory of hypoelliptic operators on Heisenberg manifolds*. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., **194** (906):viii+ 134, 2008.
- [31] Ponge R. S., *Connes’ integration and Weyl’s laws*. J. Noncommut. Geom., **17** (2):719–767, 2023.
- [32] Rozenblum, G. V., *Eigenvalues of singular measures and Connes’ noncommutative integration*. J. Spectr. Theory **12**(1), 259–300 (2022)
- [33] Rozenblum G., Solomyak M., Shubin M., *Spectral theory of differential operators*, in: Current Problems in Mathematics. Fundamental Directions, Vol. 64, Itogi Nauki i Tekhniki, Akad. Nauk SSSR, Vsesoyuz. Inst. Nauchn. i Tekhn. Inform., Moscow, 1989, pp. 5–248 (in Russian).
- [34] Ruzhansky, M. V., Turunen, V., *Pseudo-differential operators and symmetries: background analysis and advanced topics*. Springer Science and Business Media, 2009.
- [35] Simon B., *Trace Ideals and Their Applications*, second edition, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 120, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2005.
- [36] Sukochev, F., Xiong, X., Zanin, D., *Asymptotics of singular values for quantised derivatives on noncommutative tori*. J. Funct. Anal. **285** (5), No. 110021, 42 pp (2023).
- [37] Sukochev F., Zanin D., *A C^* -algebraic approach to the principal symbol. I*. J. Operator Theory **80** (2018), no. 2, 481–522.
- [38] Sukochev F., Zanin D., *Connes integration formula: a constructive approach*. Translation of Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen. **57** (2023), no. 1, 52–76; Funct. Anal. Appl. **57** (2023), no. 1, 40–59.
- [39] Sukochev F., Zanin D., *Solomyak-type eigenvalue estimates for the Birman-Schwinger operator*. Mat. Sb. **213** (2022), no. 9, 97–137; translation in Sb. Math. **213** (2022), no. 9, 1250–1289.

- [40] Tian Y. Q., *Spectral asymptotics for quantized derivatives on quantum Euclidean spaces*. arXiv preprint arXiv:2505.11789, 2025.
- [41] Uchiyama, A., *On the compactness of operators of Hankel type*. Tohoku Math. J. (2) **30** (1978), no. 1, 163–171.
- [42] Weyl H., *Das asymptotische Verteilungsgesetz der Eigenwerte linearer partieller Differentialgleichungen (mit einer Anwendung auf die Theorie der Hohlraumstrahlung)*. Math. Ann., 71(4):441–479, 1912.
- [43] Stein E. M., *Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals*. Princeton Mathematical Series, 43. Monographs in Harmonic Analysis, III. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1993.
- [44] Shubin M. A., *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory*. Berlin: Springer-verlag, 2001.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNSW, KENSINGTON, NSW 2052, AUSTRALIA
Email address: `f.sukochev@unsw.edu.au`

BEIJING INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES AND APPLICATIONS, BEIJING 101408, CHINA

YAU MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES CENTER, TSINGHUA UNIVERSITY, BEIJING 100084, CHINA
Email address: `fulinyang@bimsa.cn`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNSW, KENSINGTON, NSW 2052, AUSTRALIA
Email address: `d.zanin@unsw.edu.au`