

FUNDAMENTAL GROUPS AND DESCRIPTIVE SET THEORY

FANXIN WU

ABSTRACT. We study the homotopy of loops in a fixed path-connected Polish space from a descriptive set-theoretic viewpoint. We show that many analytic equivalence relations arise this way, and many do not. We also study the “free group” over an equivalence relation.

0. INTRODUCTION

Descriptive set theory provides explanations to many phenomena in mathematics: why certain characterization problems are difficult [1], why certain classification problems are difficult [15, 11, 21, 16], and why certain constructions cannot be made uniform [23]. In this paper we explore the possibility of using descriptive set theory to explain why the fundamental groups of certain spaces are hard to describe.

Let \mathbb{E} be the Hawaiian earring space. Its fundamental group is uncountable, and often considered too wild to describe explicitly. However, there does in fact exist a fairly concrete description of $\pi(\mathbb{E})$ as a certain subgroup of an inverse limit of free groups [17]. Translating this result into the language of equivalence relations, we see that the homotopy relation on the loop space of \mathbb{E} is smooth. This motivates us to study the Borel complexity of homotopy relation on the loop space ΩX for a general path-connected Polish space X , which in some sense measures how hard it is to describe the fundamental group $\pi(X)$.

Our notations are mostly standard. For general background on descriptive set theory we refer to [14, 11]. The organization of the paper is as follows. In Section 1 we define the main object of interest, and discuss the example of the earring space \mathbb{E} . Section 2 contains limiting results on which analytic equivalence relations can be realized as homotopy of loops. Section 3 defines an operation $E \mapsto F(E)$ on analytic equivalence relations, and shows that $F(E)$ can always be realized as homotopy, building on a similar result of Becker concerning path-components. Section 4 gives some examples of E that are bireducible with $F(E)$.

Acknowledgement

I am grateful to Jeremy Brazas, Alexander Kechris and Simon Thomas for valuable discussions. After a draft of this paper was finished, I learned that some of the results also appeared in unpublished notes by Orestis Raptis. I thank Alexander Kechris for kindly sharing the notes.

Date: December 3, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. 03E15, 54H05, 20F34.

Key words and phrases. analytic equivalence relations, fundamental group, Hawaiian earring.

1. HOMOTOPY OF LOOPS

Suppose X is Polish and path-connected. Fix any basepoint $x_0 \in X$ and consider the loop space

$$\Omega X = \{f \in C([0, 1], X) : f(0) = f(1) = x_0\},$$

which is closed in $C([0, 1], X)$ and thus Polish. We consider the homotopy relation \sim_X on ΩX , namely two loops f, g are homotopic if and only if there exists a continuous $F : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ such that $F(\cdot, 0) = f$, $F(\cdot, 1) = g$, and $f(0, t) = f(1, t) = x_0$. Clearly this is an analytic equivalence relation, and the quotient set is the fundamental group $\pi(X)$. The usual proof that $\pi(X)$ does not depend on basepoint shows that the Borel complexity of \sim_X does not depend on basepoint either.

It seems the first and only published result concerning \sim_X is due to Shelah [19].

Theorem (Shelah). *Suppose X is a compact Polish space that is path-connected and locally path-connected. If X is semi-locally simply-connected (slsc), then $\pi(X)$ is finitely generated; if X is not slsc, then ΩX has a perfect set of non-homotopic loops.*

Note that if $\pi(X)$ is finitely generated then in particular it is countable, so \sim_X has countably many classes. In the terminology of Borel reducibility, Shelah's theorem implies that for X as above, either $\sim_X \leq_B \text{id}(\omega)$ or $\text{id}(\omega^2) \sqsubseteq_c \sim_X$ (compare with Burgess trichotomy).

The first alternative in Shelah's theorem has been strengthened [5, 7]: if X is slsc then $\pi(X)$ is in fact finitely presented. The proof of the second alternative roughly proceeds as follows: if X is not slsc, then around some point there are smaller and smaller non-trivial loops, so X locally looks like the Hawaiian earring space \mathbb{E} , and one can explicitly construct many non-homotopic loops.

The Hawaiian earring space \mathbb{E} is the union of the circles $(x - \frac{1}{n})^2 + y^2 = (\frac{1}{n})^2$ for $n \geq 1$, and is a standard example of a space that is not slsc. An alternative definition is as follows. Let $\mathbb{E}_n = \bigvee_{k \leq n} S^1$ be the wedge sum of n circles, so \mathbb{E}_{n+1} naturally maps onto \mathbb{E}_n by collapsing the $n+1$ -th circle to the base point. \mathbb{E} can be identified with the inverse limit $\varprojlim_n \mathbb{E}_n$.

The “earring group” $\pi(\mathbb{E})$ is often considered exotic, but nevertheless admits the following concrete description. Universal property of inverse limit gives rise to a natural map $\varphi : \pi(\mathbb{E}) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \pi(\mathbb{E}_n) = \varprojlim_n F_n$, where F_n is the free group on n generators $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$, and the map from F_{n+1} to F_n simply deletes all appearances of g_{n+1} and reduces the word. Using nontrivial results from continuum theory and shape theory, it can be shown that φ is injective [17]; see also [4]. This is a special case of the more general phenomenon of *shape injectivity*. Moreover, the image of φ is quite simple: it is the subset of $\varprojlim_n F_n$ consisting of all infinite sequences $(w_n : n < \infty)$ of reduced words in the g_n 's such that for each k , the number of times g_k appears in w_n is eventually constant as $n \rightarrow \infty$ (note that it is non-decreasing).

In descriptive set-theoretic terms, the above discussion shows that $\sim_{\mathbb{E}}$ is not only smooth, but *faithfully smooth*. The following definition is taken from Gao [11].

Definition 1.1. Let X, Y be standard Borel spaces and E, F be equivalence relations on X, Y , respectively. A Borel reduction $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is *faithful* if for any

E -invariant Borel $A \subseteq X$, the F -saturation of $f(A)$ is Borel. E is *faithfully smooth* if there exists a faithful Borel reduction to id_Z for some Polish space Z .

Proposition 1.2. *Let X be a standard Borel space and E be an equivalence relation on X .*

(i) *A Borel reduction $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of E to id_Y is faithful if and only if $f(X)$ is Borel.*

(ii) *If E is faithfully smooth, then every reduction of E to some id_Y is faithful.*

Proof. (i) The forward direction is clear. If $f(X)$ is Borel and $A \subseteq X$ is E -invariant, then $f(X)$ is the disjoint union of $f(A)$ and $f(X \setminus A)$, both analytic, so by Suslin's theorem $f(A)$ is Borel.

(ii) Suppose f is a faithful reduction of E to id_Y and g is a reduction of E to some id_Z . There is a natural map $h : Y \supseteq f(X) \rightarrow Z$ sending $f(x)$ to $g(x)$, so $h \circ f = g$. Note that h is Borel: if $B \subseteq Z$ is Borel and $y = f(x)$, then $h(y) \in B$ iff $g(x) \in B$, which shows $h^{-1}(B)$ is Borel. Clearly h is injective, so $g(X) = h(f(X))$ is Borel. \square

Proposition 1.3. *$\sim_{\mathbb{E}}$ is faithfully smooth.*

Proof. Let ψ_n be the natural map from $\Omega\mathbb{E}$ to $\pi(\mathbb{E}_n) = F_n$; each fiber is analytic, and thus Borel since F_n is countable. Let ψ be the induced map from $\Omega\mathbb{E}$ to the Polish space $\varprojlim_n F_n$. As mentioned above, the induced map $\varphi : \pi(\mathbb{E}) \rightarrow \varprojlim_n F_n$ is injective, and the image consists of those sequences (\dots, w_3, w_2, w_1) of coherent reduced words satisfying the following: for each k , the number of times g_k appears in w_n is eventually constant as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This shows $\text{Im}(\psi)$ is Π_3^0 , in particular Borel. Thus ψ is a faithful reduction by Proposition 1.2. \square

More generally, \sim_X is smooth whenever shape injectivity holds, e.g., when X is one-dimensional [8] or planar [10], in which case \sim_X is faithfully smooth if and only if the shape image is Borel.

2. OBSTRUCTION

In the next section we show that a rich class of analytic equivalence relations can be realized as \sim_X for suitable X . Here we show that there are also analytic equivalence relations that cannot be realized this way.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose X is a standard Borel space and E is an analytic equivalence relation on X with at least two equivalence classes, such that for any two Borel reductions h_1, h_2 of E to itself there is $x \in X$ for which $h_1(x)Eh_2(x)$, then E is not Borel bireducible with \sim_Y for any path-connected Polish space Y .*

Proof. We prove the contrapositive. Suppose E and \sim_Y are bireducible as witnessed by $f : X \rightarrow \Omega Y$ and $g : \Omega Y \rightarrow X$. Since E has at least two classes, so does \sim_Y . For each $p \in \Omega Y$, the map $h_p : X \rightarrow X$, $x \mapsto g(p \cdot f(x))$ is a self-reduction of E , where $p \cdot f(x)$ denotes concatenation in ΩY . Clearly $\neg h_{p_1}(x)Eh_{p_2}(x)$ for non-homotopic p_1, p_2 . \square

Corollary 2.2. *If E is an analytic equivalence relation on a standard Borel space X with exactly one non-Borel class, then E is not Borel bireducible with \sim_Y for any path-connected Polish space Y .*

Proof. Any self-reduction of E must send the unique non-Borel class to itself. \square

The standard analytic equivalence relation with exactly one non-Borel class is id_{ω_1} . It is the analytic equivalence relation on LO , the Polish space of countable linear orders, where two linear orders are equivalent if either they are both ill-founded or they are isomorphic. This example is a bit artificial, since for general analytic equivalence relations (as opposed to Borel or orbit equivalence relations) one often consider the more general notion of Δ_2^1 reduction, and it is not unreasonable to expect id_{ω_1} to be Δ_2^1 bireducible with \sim_Y for some Y .

Let $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \sqcup \text{id}_{\omega_1}$ be the disjoint union of $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and id_{ω_1} defined on $\mathbb{R} \sqcup LO$. We show that $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \sqcup \text{id}_{\omega_1}$ is not bireducible with any \sim_Y in any reasonable sense: Borel, Δ_2^1 , projective, etc., at least assuming some large cardinal.

Proposition 2.3. *If all subsets of \mathbb{R} are Lebesgue measurable, then $\mathbb{R} \sqcup \omega_1$ does not admit a group structure, so $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \sqcup \text{id}_{\omega_1}$ is not bireducible with any \sim_Y . In particular this is true in $L(\mathbb{R})$ under large cardinals.*

Proof. It is well known that “all subsets of \mathbb{R} are Lebesgue measurable” implies there is no injection between \mathbb{R} and ω_1 , which implies $\mathbb{R} \sqcup \omega_1$ is not a group by a standard argument; see [13]. For completeness we include the proof. Suppose for contradiction that $(\mathbb{R} \sqcup \omega_1, \cdot)$ is a group. Then for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$ there exists an α such that $r \cdot \alpha \in \omega_1$, since otherwise $\alpha \mapsto r \cdot \alpha$ is an injection of ω_1 into \mathbb{R} . Then we can define an injection from \mathbb{R} into $\omega_1 \times \omega_1$, and thus into ω_1 , by sending r to $(\alpha, r \cdot \alpha)$ where α is the least such that $r \cdot \alpha \in \omega_1$; this is an injection because of cancellation law.

If $\text{id}_{\mathbb{R}} \sqcup \text{id}_{\omega_1}$ were bireducible with \sim_Y , then by Cantor–Bernstein there would be a bijection between the quotient sets, namely $\mathbb{R} \sqcup \omega_1$ and $\pi(Y)$, which makes $\mathbb{R} \sqcup \omega_1$ a group, a contradiction. \square

Simon Thomas has pointed out a ZFC example of a countable Borel equivalence relation E with an ergodic measure, such that for any self reduction f of E , we have $f(x)Ex$ on a measure one set; in particular Lemma 2.1 applies. See [12, Theorem 4.2], [20, Lemma 3.4] and [22, Theorem 4.4].

3. REALIZATION

To realize analytic equivalence relations as homotopy, we first recall a result of Becker: any analytic equivalence relation can be realized as the path-connectedness relation on a Polish space, in fact a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^3 . This is stated as [2, Theorem 4.1] with hints on the proof. Below we present a somewhat more detailed proof since our main construction is based on Becker’s.

If X is a Polish space, then the collection $\mathcal{K}(X)$ of compact subsets of X is also a Polish space under Hausdorff distance, or equivalently under Vietoris topology. The following facts are standard.

Lemma 3.1. *Let X be Polish.*

- (i) *If $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathcal{K}(X)$ is compact then $\bigcup \mathcal{F}$ is compact.*
- (ii) *If Z is compact and $f : Z \rightarrow \mathcal{K}(X)$ is continuous, then $\bigcup_{z \in Z} \{z\} \times f(z)$ is compact.*

Theorem 3.2 (Becker). *If E is any analytic equivalence relation on the middle-third Cantor set \mathcal{C} , then there is a compact subset $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ such that the path-connectedness relation on K is Borel bireducible with E .*




FIGURE 1

Proof. We identify \mathcal{C} with ${}^\omega 2$. Theorems 33.17 and 37.11 of [14] describe a continuous map sending a nonempty tree $T \subseteq {}^{<\omega} \omega$ to a compact $K_T \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ with the following properties:

- (1) K_T is a nonempty compact subset of $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$;
- (2) K_T has at most two path-connected components, represented by the points $(0, 1)$ and $(0, 0)$ respectively;
- (3) K_T is path-connected if and only if T has a branch.

Figure 1 shows the initial steps of the construction of K_T for a typical T . It is copied from [14, Figure 33.7], except that the left segment p is deleted. The idea is that for each $s \in T$, we add a zig-zag version of topologist's sine curve starting at r_s , accumulating on the vertical segment l_s .

For $c_0, c_1 \in {}^\omega 2$, let $c_0 \oplus c_1$ be the string with c_0 on even digits and c_1 on odd digits. Also define $p, q : {}^\omega 2 \rightarrow {}^\omega 2$ by $p(c)(n) = c(2n)$ and $q(c)(n) = c(2n + 1)$. By the identification we may view these as maps on \mathcal{C} . Let $A = \{c_0 \oplus c_1 : c_0 E c_1\}$, so $c \in A \Leftrightarrow p(c) E q(c)$.

Since A is analytic, there is a tree T on $2 \times \omega$ such that $c \in A \Leftrightarrow T_c$ has a branch. Let K_0 be $\bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{C}} K_{T_c} \times \{c\}$. Since the maps $c \mapsto T_c$ and $T \mapsto K_T$ are both continuous, K_0 is compact by Lemma 3.1. We have

$$c \in A \Leftrightarrow T_c \text{ has a branch} \Leftrightarrow (0, 0, c) \text{ and } (0, 1, c) \text{ are path-connected in } K_0.$$




FIGURE 2

For $c \in \mathcal{C}$ let $c' = (-0.5 - c, 0.5, 0)$, and let $\mathcal{C}' = \{c' : c \in \mathcal{C}\}$. Add segments connecting each $(0, 0, c)$ to $p(c)'$, and call these type I segments; similarly add segments connecting each $(0, 1, c)$ to $q(c)'$, and call these type II segments. Finally let K be the union of K_0 and these segments, as shown in Figure 2, where we use dashed lines to indicate Cantor sets. Again it can be checked to be compact by Lemma 3.1.

Note that different type I/II segments (such as the two shown in Figure 2) do not intersect, except possibly at their common endpoint on \mathcal{C}' . If the segments have different types this is because they are on different sides of the plane $y = 0.5$; if they have the same type then this is because \mathcal{C}' and $(0, 0) \times \mathcal{C}$ lie in skew lines, similarly for $(0, 1) \times \mathcal{C}$.

This finishes the construction of K . We now want to show that \sim_K is bireducible with E . To this end we argue that:

- (1) for $c_0, c_1 \in \mathcal{C}$, $c_0 E c_1$ if and only if c'_0 is path-connected to c'_1 in K ;
- (2) there is a Borel map sending each $x \in K$ to some point in \mathcal{C}' in the same path-connected component.

Note that without (2), all we can conclude is that E is isomorphic to a complete section of \sim_K , which may have lower Borel complexity than \sim_K in general.

The following claim allows us in the proof of (1) to pretend that only finitely many type I/II segments are present.

Claim 3.3. *Divide each type I/II segment evenly into three parts. If $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow K$ is continuous, then its image can only intersect the middle third of finitely many type I/II segments.*

Proof. If $0 \leq s < t \leq 1$ and $f(s), f(t)$ belong to two different type I/II segments, then there must exist $r \in [s, t]$ where $f(r)$ is either in \mathcal{C}' or in K_0 . Now suppose there is a sequence $(s_i : i < \omega)$ such that $f(s_i)$, $i < \omega$ belong to the middle third of different segments; using the compactness of $[0, 1]$ and passing to a subsequence, we may assume $(s_i : i < \omega)$ has a limit s . Then clearly f is discontinuous at s , a contradiction. \square

We can now finish the proof by showing (1) and (2).

(1) The forward direction is clear from construction. For the backward direction, suppose $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow K$ is a path connecting c'_0 with c'_1 . By the claim it only reaches the middle third of finitely many segments of type I/II. For a type I segment, say from $p(c)'$ to $(0, 0, c)$, we define a continuous surjective map from the segment to itself as follows: contracts the first third onto $p(c)'$ and the last third onto $(0, 0, c)$, and uniformly stretch the middle third. Also define a similar map on each type II segment. Putting these maps together we have a map $\varphi : K \rightarrow K$. Then $\varphi \circ f$ is still a path connecting c'_0 with c'_1 , and it touches the interior of only finitely many type I/II segments. Then the path touches only finitely many c' , which must clearly be E -related.

(2) K consists of three kinds of points: \mathcal{C}' , the interior points of type I/II segments, and $K_0 = \bigcup_{c \in \mathcal{C}} K_{T_c} \times \{c\}$. We define a map that sends each $x \in K$ to some $c' \in \mathcal{C}'$ in the same path-component as follows. If $x \in \mathcal{C}'$ then send it to itself. If x is an interior point of a segment of either type, send it to the endpoint of that segment on \mathcal{C}' . Now suppose $x \in K_{T_c} \times \{c\}$; referencing Figure 1, if x is on the bottom side l or on one of the vertical segments l_s , send it to $p(c)'$; otherwise, x is on the “zigzag” part and we send it to $q(c)'$. This is easily seen to be a Borel map. \square

In contrast to the situation in \mathbb{R}^3 , the path-connectedness relation on a Polish $X \subseteq \mathbb{R}^2$ is either smooth or hyperfinite [24].

We now define, from an analytic equivalence relation E on a Polish space X , a new analytic equivalence relation $F(E)$ on the free group $F(X)$, and show that $F(E)$ can be realized by homotopy of loops. The definition of $F(E)$ is inspired by the proof of [2, Corollary 4.2].

Let $W(X) = {}^{<\omega}(X \times \{-1, 1\})$ be the Polish space of all words in X , viewed as the disjoint union of the Polish spaces ${}^n(X \times \{-1, 1\})$. For ease of notation, if $x \in X$ then denote $(x, 1)$ by x and $(x, -1)$ by x^{-1} . Let $F(X) \subseteq W(X)$ be the free group on X , namely the subset consisting of all reduced words. Clearly $F(X)$ is open in $W(X)$ and hence Polish, but we are *not* claiming it is a Polish group, although the group operation can be seen to be Borel using a case-by-case analysis.

Let N_E be the normal subgroup of $F(X)$ generated by $\{x^{-1}y : x, y \in X, xEy\}$.

Definition 3.4. $F(E)$ is the coset equivalence relation on $F(X)$ with respect to N_E .

Namely, $uF(E)v \Leftrightarrow uN_E = vN_E \Leftrightarrow u^{-1}v \in N_E$ for $u, v \in F(X)$. Since $F(X)$ is a Borel group and N_E is clearly analytic, so is $F(E)$. The quotient $F(X)/F(E)$ is naturally identified with the free group on X/E , so we have the suggestive formula $F(X)/F(E) \simeq F(X/E)$.

Theorem 3.5. *For any analytic equivalence relation E on \mathcal{C} , there is a compact path-connected $L \subseteq \mathbb{R}^5$ such that \sim_L is bireducible with $F(E)$.*

Proof. We first construct such an L in \mathbb{R}^6 . Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{R}^3$ be as in Becker's theorem, so the path-connectedness relation on K is bireducible with E . A natural option is $K \times S^1$, but there are several issues: this is not path-connected; it has more nontrivial loops than intended; if $c_0 E c_1$ then the corresponding loops are only free-homotopic and not homotopic. These can be solved by attaching a cone on K to $K \times S^1$. To be concrete, we first choose a base point of S^1 , say $a = (1, 0)$. Consider $K \times S^1 \times \{0\}$ in \mathbb{R}^6 , and for each $x \in K$ add the segment between $(x, a, 0)$ and $b := (0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1)$. Let L be the union of $K \times S^1 \times \{0\}$ and these segments, with b as the natural basepoint. Since every point in $K \times S^1 \times \{0\}$ is path-connected to some point in $K \times \{a\} \times \{0\}$, which is in turn path-connected to b , the set L is path-connected, and easily seen to be compact. Note that the cone also makes all loops in K null-homotopic.

This finishes the definition of L . It is not hard to see that K has covering dimension 1, and that L has covering dimension 2. Therefore L embeds into \mathbb{R}^5 , cf. [18, Theorem 50.5]. It remains to show that \sim_L is bireducible with $F(E)$.

For each $c \in \mathcal{C}$, let g_c be the natural loop in L starting at b , then goes to $(c', a, 0)$, traverse the circle $\{c'\} \times S^1 \times \{0\}$ once (in a fixed orientation) and goes back to b . Because of the cone added on K , if $c_0 E c_1$ then g_{c_0} and g_{c_1} are homotopic. To show that \sim_L is bireducible with $F(E)$, it suffices to show that:

- (1) there is a Borel map sending an arbitrary loop in ΩL to a concatenation of powers of finitely many g_c 's, so in particular the g_c 's generate $\pi(L)$;
- (2) if c_0, \dots, c_n are mutually E -unrelated, then g_{c_0}, \dots, g_{c_n} generate a free group in $\pi(L)$.

The arguments are elementary, albeit cumbersome, so we will omit some details. The groupoid version of Seifert-Van Kampen theorem [3] can be used to give a conceptually clearer proof of (2). For brevity let CK denote the cone on K , namely the union of $K \times \{a\} \times \{0\}$ and the part of L with positive last coordinate.

(1) Suppose $f : [0, 1] \rightarrow L$ is continuous and $f(0) = f(1) = b$. The set $f^{-1}(L \setminus CK)$ is open, hence a countable disjoint union of open intervals. On the closure $[s_0, s_1]$ of each of these intervals, f can be identified with the product of two maps $g : [s_0, s_1] \rightarrow K$ and $h : [s_0, s_1] \rightarrow S^1$, where $h(s_0) = h(s_1) = a$. Note that h is null-homotopic unless it touches the "left half" of S^1 , which can happen only for finitely many intervals $[s_0, s_1]$ by an argument similar to Claim 3.3. Thus after a homotopy that "collapses the left half of S^1 ", we can make h constantly a (so f has image in $K \times \{a\} \times \{0\}$) on all but finitely many intervals. On each of the exceptional interval $[s_0, s_1]$, we first "slide g and h apart", more precisely we homotope g to be constantly $x := g(s_0)$ on the first half and h to be constantly a on the second half. Then on the first half we homotope f to be the segment from $f(s_0)$ to b followed by suitable power of g_c , and then followed by the segment from b to $f(\frac{s_0+s_1}{2})$; here $c \in \mathcal{C}$ is the canonical point (see the proof of Theorem 3.2) such that c' and x lie in the same path-component of K .

To summarize, we have homotoped f so that there are finitely many intervals on which f is a power of some g_c , and on the complement intervals f has image in CK . Now on each complement interval we "push up" f to the basepoint b , and then shrink the interval to a point; the result is a concatenation of powers of finitely many g_c 's as desired.

(2) Suppose towards a contradiction that f is a concatenation of nonzero powers of g_{c_0}, \dots, g_{c_n} where c_i 's are mutually non-equivalent, and H is an homotopy

between f and the constant map at b . Again by an argument similar to Claim 3.3, $\text{Im}(H)$ can intersect only finitely many path-components of $K \times S^1 \times \{a\}$, and each intersection is closed. So there are finitely many path-components P_1, \dots, P_m of K and compact subsets $K_i \subseteq P_i$ such that $\text{Im}(H) \subseteq CK \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^m K_i \times S^1 \times \{0\} =: L'$; we may assume each c'_i is contained in some P_j . We have that f is null-homotopic in L' .

Consider the quotient map π on L' that collapses K_i to a point, and thus $K_i \times S^1$ to a circle, and also collapses CK to a point. Since K_i are disjoint compact subsets, the quotient space can be seen to be a wedge sum of m circles, whose fundamental group is free on m generators. Moreover, π sends each g_{c_i} to a generator, and thus it sends f to a nontrivial element, contradicting that f is null-homotopic in L' . \square

Thus to show that an equivalence relation E is Borel bireducible with some \sim_X , it suffices if E is Borel bireducible with $F(E)$. We give such examples in the next section.

Question 3.6. Can the set L in Theorem 3.5 be a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^3 or \mathbb{R}^4 ? Can it be locally connected?

If L is compact, then by Hahn–Mazurkiewicz Theorem, being locally connected is the same as being locally path-connected. Note that the approach via Becker’s Theorem does not seem to work.

Proposition 3.7. *If X is a locally path-connected Polish space, then it has at most countably many path-components. Thus the path-connectedness relation on X is smooth.*

Proof. Otherwise, there exists an uncountable set A of points that belong to different path-components. Since X is second countable, A has an accumulation point in itself, namely there is $x \in A$ such that any neighborhood of x contains infinitely many other $y \in A$. But x also has a path-connected neighborhood, a contradiction. \square

4. EXAMPLES

Let E be an analytic equivalence relation on X . We first establish some basic properties of the operation $E \mapsto F(E)$.

Lemma 4.1. $E \sqsubseteq_c F(E)$.

Proof. Send an $x \in X$ to the word x . \square

Let $F'(X) \subseteq F(X)$ consist of words without subword of form xy^{-1} or $x^{-1}y$ where xEy . Denote the restriction of $F(E)$ to $F'(X)$ by $F'(E)$.

Lemma 4.2. *If E is Borel then so are $F'(X)$ and $F(E)$. Moreover, $F'(E)$ is bireducible with $F(E)$.*

Proof. Clearly $F'(X)$ and $F'(E)$ are Borel: two words in $F'(X)$ are equivalent just in case they have the same length and are pointwise equivalent. Also, $F'(X)$ is an $F(E)$ -complete section, and there is a Borel map from $F(X)$ to $F'(X)$ that sends a word to another word in the same $F(E)$ -class: if there exist subwords like xy^{-1} or $x^{-1}y$ where xEy , just delete the leftmost one, and repeat this until there is none. This shows bireducibility, and in particular $F(E)$ is Borel. \square

Lemma 4.3. *For each of the following properties, if E has it then so does $F'(E)$.
 (i) smooth; (ii) hyperfinite; (iii) countable; (iv) hypersmooth.*

Proof. Consider $F = \bigsqcup_{n < \omega} (E \times 2)^n$, which can be viewed as an equivalence relation on $W(X)$. Then $F(E)$ restricted to $F'(X)$ coincides with F restricted to $F'(X)$. Clearly if E has one of these properties then so does F , and these properties are hereditary. \square

Theorem 4.4. *For each of the following analytic equivalence relation E , there exists a path-connected Polish space X such that E is Borel bireducible with \sim_X .*

(i) E_0 ; (ii) E_∞ ; (iii) E_1 ; (iv) the universal analytic equivalence relation.

Proof. (i) $F(E_0)$ is essentially hyperfinite by Lemma 4.3 and non-smooth by Lemma 4.1. It is well-known that E_0 is up to Borel bireducibility the unique non-smooth hyperfinite equivalence relation.

(ii) $F(E_\infty)$ is essentially countable by Lemma 4.3 and above E_∞ by Lemma 4.1.

The arguments for (iii) and (iv) are similar. \square

Theorem 4.5. *There exists a path-connected Polish space X such that \sim_X is smooth but not faithfully smooth.*

Proof. Take E to be a smooth but not faithfully smooth equivalence relation; for example, consider a closed $F \subseteq \mathcal{N}^2$ whose projection onto \mathcal{N} is non-Borel, and define an equivalence relation E on F by $(x, y)E(x', y') \Leftrightarrow x = x'$. Since $E \sqsubseteq_c F(E)$, in particular E faithfully reduces to $F(E)$, so $F(E)$ cannot be faithfully smooth, because faithful reductions are closed under composition. \square

Finally we discuss the complexity of \sim_X for some well-known spaces. The harmonic archipelago, denoted $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{A}$, is obtained from the disk $(x - 1)^2 + y^2 \leq 1$ as follows: for each $n \geq 1$, replace a disk between the n -th circle and the $n + 1$ -th circle of the earring \mathbb{E} by the cone on the boundary of that disk with unit height (imagine that we push up the disk to form a hill).

Recall that $\pi(\mathbb{E})$ is isomorphic to a Borel subgroup of the Polish group $\varprojlim_n F_n$, where $F_n = \langle g_1, \dots, g_n \rangle$ is the free group on n generators; we identify them from now on. We also identify g_n with the sequence $(\dots, g_n, g_n, 1, \dots, 1)$. Now \mathbb{E} is a subspace of $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{A}$, and it is not hard to see that every loop in $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{A}$ is homotopic to one in \mathbb{E} , so the natural map $\theta : \pi(\mathbb{E}) \rightarrow \pi(\mathbb{H}\mathbb{A})$ is surjective. Its kernel is characterized by [9, Theorem 7]:

Theorem. *A sequence $(w_n : n < \omega) \in \pi(\mathbb{E})$ belongs to $\ker(\theta)$ if and only if there exists N such that for every $n \geq N$, if we replace each occurrence of g_i , $i < N$ in w_n by g_N , the resulting (possibly non-reduced) word is equivalent to the empty word.*

Intuitively, the hills make all the g_n 's homotopic, but each particular homotopy can only use finitely many hills.

Theorem 4.6. (i) $\sim_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{A}}$ is Borel.

(ii) E_1 Borel reduces to $\sim_{\mathbb{H}\mathbb{A}}$.

Proof. (i) Since two loops $f, g \in \Omega\mathbb{E}$ are homotopic in $\mathbb{H}\mathbb{A}$ if and only if $[f] \ker(\theta) = [g] \ker(\theta)$ if and only if $[f^{-1} \cdot g] \in \ker(\theta)$, it suffices to show that $\ker(\theta)$ is a Borel subset of $\pi(\mathbb{E})$. This is clear from the above theorem, since each F_n is countable discrete.

(ii) Fix a partition of the positive integers into infinitely many infinite sets A^p , $p < \omega$. Let a_p, b_p be the first two elements of A^p . The map sending each $c \in {}^\omega 2$ to $\{c \upharpoonright n : n < \omega\} \in \mathcal{P}(<^\omega 2)$ is continuous and its range is an almost disjoint family; for each p we can translate this to a continuous almost disjoint family on A^p , denoted $\{A_c^p : c \in {}^\omega 2\}$. Let f_c^p be the loop that traverses each circle $(x - \frac{1}{n})^2 + y^2 = (\frac{1}{n})^2$ counterclockwise once for $n \in A_c^p$.

Define a map $\eta : {}^\omega({}^\omega 2) \rightarrow \Omega\mathbb{HA}$ that sends $(c_i : i < \omega)$ to the infinite concatenation of the loops $f_{c_p}^p g_{a_p} g_{b_p}^{-1} (f_{c_p}^p)^{-1}$, $1 \leq p < \omega$. If two sequences $\vec{c}_1, \vec{c}_2 \in {}^\omega({}^\omega 2)$ are E_1 -related, then since $g_{a_p} g_{b_p}^{-1}$ is null-homotopic, $\eta(\vec{c}_1)$ and $\eta(\vec{c}_2)$ are homotopic. Conversely, if $\vec{c}_1, \vec{c}_2 \in {}^\omega({}^\omega 2)$ are E_1 -unrelated, their images can be seen to be non-homotopic by the above theorem. \square

The Griffiths space \mathbb{GS} , also known as the twin cone, is obtained by taking two copies of the cone over \mathbb{E} and identifying their basepoints. By similar arguments, $\sim_{\mathbb{GS}}$ can be shown to be Borel and above E_1 . The fundamental groups of \mathbb{HA} and \mathbb{GS} have recently been shown to be isomorphic [6], answering a long-standing question in the area. However, the proof involves a transfinite induction of length continuum and lots of arbitrary choices, and thus is highly non-constructive.

Question 4.7. Are $\sim_{\mathbb{HA}}$ and $\sim_{\mathbb{GS}}$ Borel bireducible?

REFERENCES

- [1] Howard Becker. Descriptive set theoretic phenomena in analysis and topology. In *Set theory of the continuum*, pages 1–25. Springer, 1992.
- [2] Howard Becker. The number of path-components of a compact subset of \mathbb{R}^n . In *Logic Colloquium'95: Proceedings of the Annual European Summer Meeting of the Association of Symbolic Logic, held in Haifa, Israel, August 9-18, 1995*, volume 11, pages 1–17. Association for Symbolic Logic, 1998.
- [3] Ronald Brown. Topology and groupoids, 2006.
- [4] James W Cannon and Gregory R Conner. The combinatorial structure of the hawaiian earring group. *Topology and its Applications*, 106(3):225–271, 2000.
- [5] James W Cannon and Gregory R Conner. On the fundamental groups of one-dimensional spaces. *Topology and its Applications*, 153(14):2648–2672, 2006.
- [6] Samuel M Corson. The double cone group is isomorphic to the archipelago group. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2509.11744*, 2025.
- [7] J Dydak and Žiga Virk. An alternate proof that the fundamental group of a peano continuum is finitely presented if the group is countable. *Glasnik matematički*, 46(2):505–511, 2011.
- [8] Katsuya Eda and Kazuhiro Kawamura. The fundamental groups of one-dimensional spaces. *Topology and its Applications*, 87(3):163–172, 1998.
- [9] Paul Fabel. The fundamental group of the harmonic archipelago. *arXiv preprint math/0501426*, 2005.
- [10] Hanspeter Fischer and Andreas Zastrow. The fundamental groups of subsets of closed surfaces inject into their first shape groups. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 5(4):1655–1676, 2005.
- [11] Su Gao. *Invariant descriptive set theory*. Chapman and Hall/CRC, 2008.
- [12] Sergey L Gelfer. Outer automorphism group of the ergodic equivalence relation generated by translations of dense subgroup of compact group on its homogeneous space. *Publications of the research institute for mathematical sciences*, 32(3):517–538, 1996.
- [13] András Hajnal and Andor Kertész. Some new algebraic equivalents of the axiom of choice. *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, 19:339–340, 1972.
- [14] Alexander Kechris. *Classical descriptive set theory*, volume 156. Springer Science & Business Media, 2012.
- [15] Alexander S Kechris. New directions in descriptive set theory. *Bulletin of Symbolic Logic*, 5(2):161–174, 1999.

- [16] Vadim Kulikov. A non-classification result for wild knots. *Transactions of the American Mathematical Society*, 369(8):5829–5853, 2017.
- [17] John W Morgan and Ian Morrison. A van kampen theorem for weak joins. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 3(3):562–576, 1986.
- [18] J.R. Munkres. *Topology*. Featured Titles for Topology. Prentice Hall, Incorporated, 2000.
- [19] Saharon Shelah. Can the fundamental (homotopy) group of a space be the rationals? *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 103(2):627–632, 1988.
- [20] Simon Thomas. Some applications of superrigidity to borel equivalence. In *Set Theory: The Hajnal Conference, October 15-17, 1999, DIMACS Center*, volume 58, page 129. American Mathematical Soc., 2002.
- [21] Simon Thomas. The classification problem for torsion-free abelian groups of finite rank. *Journal of the American mathematical society*, 16(1):233–258, 2003.
- [22] Simon Thomas. Superrigidity and countable borel equivalence relations. *Annals of pure and applied logic*, 120(1-3):237–262, 2003.
- [23] Simon Thomas. A descriptive view of combinatorial group theory. *Bulletin of Symbolic Logic*, 17(2):252–264, 2011.
- [24] Yusuf Uyar. The complexity of arc-connectedness relation in the plane. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2509.24596*, 2025.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, RUTGERS UNIVERSITY, PISCATAWAY, NJ 08854
 Email address: fw173@rutgers.edu