

CHROMATIC DERIVATIVES, CHROMATIC EXPANSIONS AND ASSOCIATED SPACES II

ALEKSANDAR IGNJATOVIĆ

ABSTRACT. This paper extends and corrects some of the results from our previous paper “*Chromatic Derivatives, Chromatic Expansions and Associated Spaces*” which appeared in the *East Journal on Approximations*, vol 15, pp. 263-302 (2009). We study differential operators associated with families of polynomials orthonormal with respect to certain measures. These operators, when applied to the Fourier transforms of such measures, produce basis functions for expansions of functions analytic on some complex domains. For many classical families of orthogonal polynomials these basis functions are the familiar special functions, such as the Bessel and the spherical Bessel functions. Many familiar identities involving such special functions turn out to be just special cases of such expansions. We also use these differential operators to introduce some new spaces of almost periodic functions. The notions we study here have been successfully applied to signal processing, for example to recovery of band-limited signals from their non-uniform samples as well as from their zero crossings and the locations of their extremal points.

1. MOTIVATION

Signal processing mostly deals with band-limited signals of finite energy, represented by real valued continuous L^2 functions whose Fourier transform is supported within a finite interval. By choosing the unit time interval appropriately, such support interval is usually taken to be $[-\pi, \pi]$. The Hilbert space of such functions with the usual scalar product is denoted by $\mathbf{BL}(\pi)$.

Foundations of the classical digital signal processing rest on the Whittaker–Kotel’nikov–Nyquist–Shannon Sampling Theorem (for brevity the Shannon Theorem): every signal $f \in \mathbf{BL}(\pi)$ can be represented using its samples at integers and the *cardinal sine function* $\text{sinc } t = \sin \pi t / (\pi t)$, as

$$(1) \quad f(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) \text{sinc}(t - n).$$

Such signal representation is of *global nature*, because it involves samples of the signal at integers of arbitrarily large absolute value. In fact, since for a fixed t the values of $\text{sinc}(t - n)$ decrease only as $1/(\pi|n|)$ as $|n|$ grows, the truncations of the above series do not provide satisfactory local signal approximations: to guarantee a small error of approximation around $t = 0$ one would need a large number of distant samples.

On the other hand, since every signal $f \in \mathbf{BL}(\pi)$ is a restriction to \mathbb{R} of an entire function, such a signal can also be represented by the Taylor series,

$$(2) \quad f(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f^{(n)}(0) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

Such a series converges uniformly on every finite interval, and its truncations provide good local signal approximations. Since the values of the derivatives $f^{(n)}(0)$ are determined by the values of the signal in an arbitrarily small neighborhood of zero, the Taylor expansion is of *local nature*. Thus, in this sense, the Shannon and the Taylor expansions are of complementary nature.

However, unlike the Shannon expansion, the Taylor expansion has found very limited use in signal processing, due to several problems associated with its application to empirically sampled signals.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification. 41A58, 42C15, 94A12, 94A20.

Key words and phrases. orthogonal polynomials, special functions, series expansions, almost periodic functions, Fourier transforms, chromatic derivatives, chromatic expansions, signal representation, sampling theorems, signal processing.

- (I) Numerical evaluation of higher order derivatives of a function given by its samples is very noise sensitive. Generally, one is cautioned against numerical differentiation:
 "...numerical differentiation should be avoided whenever possible, particularly when the data are empirical and subject to appreciable errors of observation" [7].
- (II) The Taylor expansion of a signal $f \in \mathbf{BL}(\pi)$ converges non-uniformly on \mathbb{R} ; its truncations have rapid error accumulation when moving away from the center of expansion and are unbounded.
- (III) Since the Shannon expansion of a signal $f \in \mathbf{BL}(\pi)$ converges to f in $\mathbf{BL}(\pi)$, the action of a continuous linear shift invariant operator (in signal processing terminology, a *filter*) F can be expressed using samples of f and the *impulse response*¹ of F :

$$(3) \quad F[f](t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) F[\text{sinc}](t - n).$$

In contrast, the polynomials obtained by truncating the Taylor series do not belong to $\mathbf{BL}(\pi)$ and nothing similar to (3) is true of the Taylor expansion.

Chromatic derivatives were introduced in [9] to overcome problem (I) above; the chromatic approximations were introduced in [17] to obtain local approximations of band-limited signals which do not suffer from problems (II) and (III).

1.1. Numerical differentiation of band limited signals. To understand the problem of numerical differentiation of band-limited signals, we consider an arbitrary $f \in \mathbf{BL}(\pi)$ and its Fourier transform $\hat{f}(\omega)$; then

$$f^{(n)}(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (i\omega)^n \hat{f}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega.$$

Figure 1 (left) shows, for $n = 15$ to $n = 18$, the plots of $(\omega/\pi)^n$, which are, save a factor of i^n , the *symbols*, or, in signal processing terminology, the *transfer functions* of the normalized derivatives $1/\pi^n d^n/dt^n$. These plots reveal why there can be no practical method for any reasonable approximation of derivatives of higher orders. Multiplication of the Fourier transform of a signal by the transfer function of a normalised derivative of higher order obliterates the Fourier transform of the signal, leaving only its edges, which in practice contain only noise. Moreover, the graphs of the transfer functions of the normalised derivatives of high orders and of the same parity cluster so tightly together that they are allmost indistinguishable; see Figure 1 (left).²

However, contrary to a common belief, these facts *do not* preclude numerical evaluation of all differential operators of higher orders, but only indicate that, from a numerical perspective, the set of the derivatives $\{f, f', f'', \dots\}$ is a very poor basis of the vector space of linear differential operators with constant coefficients. We now show how to obtain a basis for this space consisting of numerically robust linear differential operators.

1.2. Chromatic derivatives. Let polynomials $p_n^L(\omega)$ be obtained by normalizing and scaling the Legendre polynomials, so that

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} p_n^L(\omega) p_m^L(\omega) d\omega = \delta(m - n)$$

¹The impulse response of a filter F is usually defined as the image $F[\delta]$ of the Dirac's δ distribution, but since we are interested in band limited signals only, if the range of F is contained in $\mathbf{BL}(\pi)$, then such impulse response is equal to $F[\text{sinc}(\pi t)]$.

²If the derivatives are not normalised, their values can be very large and are again determined essentially by the noise present at the edge of the bandwidth.




FIGURE 1. Graphs of $(\frac{\omega}{\pi})^n$ (left) and of $P_n^L(\omega)$ (right) for $n = 15 - 18$.

where $\delta(n)$ is the Kroneker function $\delta(0) = 1$ and $\delta(n) = 0$ for all $n \neq 0$. We define operator polynomials³ which we call *the chromatic derivatives associated with the Legendre polynomials*,

$$\mathcal{K}_t^n = i^n p_n^L \left(-i \frac{d}{dt} \right).$$

Since polynomials $p_n^L(\omega)$ contain only powers of the same parity as n , operators \mathcal{K}^n have real coefficients, and it is easy to verify that

$$\mathcal{K}_t^n[e^{i\omega t}] = i^n p_n^L(\omega) e^{i\omega t}.$$

In fact, chromatic derivatives were defined precisely with the purpose of having this property; this way, for all $f \in \mathbf{BL}(\pi)$, since $\hat{f}(\omega) e^{i\omega t}$ is bounded and continuous in ω and t on $(-\pi, \pi) \times (-\infty, \infty)$ and continuously differentiable with respect to t , we have

$$\mathcal{K}^n[f](t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} i^n p_n^L(\omega) \hat{f}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega.$$

Figure 1 (right) shows the plots of $p_n^L(\omega)$, for $n = 15$ to $n = 18$, which are the transfer functions (again save a factor of i^n) of the corresponding operators \mathcal{K}^n . Unlike the transfer functions of the (normalized) derivatives $1/\pi^n d^n/dt^n$ shown on the left, the transfer functions of the chromatic derivatives \mathcal{K}^n form a family of well separated, interleaved and increasingly refined comb filters. Instead of obliterating, such operators encode the features of the Fourier transform of the signal (in signal processing terminology, the *spectral features* of the signal). For this reason, we call operators \mathcal{K}^n the *chromatic derivatives* associated with the Legendre polynomials.

Chromatic derivatives can be accurately and robustly evaluated from samples of the signal taken at the usual rate, slightly higher than the Nyquist rate, so that the useful bandwidth of the signal is contained within interval $[-.9\pi, .9\pi]$, thus also providing a slight guard band at the edges of the bandwidth. On Figure 2 the plot on the left shows the transfer function $(\frac{\omega}{\pi})^{32}$ of the normalised standard derivative $\frac{1}{\pi^{32}} \frac{d^{32}}{dt^{32}}$ of order 32 (gray) and the transfer function of its 129 tap FIR approximation⁴ (black); the plot in the middle represents the transfer function of the ideal filter corresponding to the chromatic derivative \mathcal{K}^{32} of order 32 (gray) and the transfer function of its 129 tap FIR approximation (black). The pass-band of both implemented filters is 90% of the full bandwidth $[-\pi, \pi]$; the stop-band is $|\omega| \geq .98\pi$; both filters were designed using the Remez exchange algorithm [24]. The plot on the right shows the error of a 129 tap FIR approximation of \mathcal{K}^{32} over its bandpass. As it can clearly be seen on that figure, the FIR filter approximating the normalised standard derivative is essentially useless; on the other hand the

³Thus, obtaining \mathcal{K}_t^n involves replacing ω^k in $p_n^L(\omega)$ with $(-i)^k d^k/dt^k$ for all $k \leq n$. If \mathcal{K}_t^n is applied to a function of a single variable, we drop index t in \mathcal{K}_t^n .

⁴FIR filters or Finite Impulse Response filters with $2N + 1$ coefficients c_k (in signal processing terminology *taps*) are given by $\mathcal{A}[f](t) = \sum_{k=-N}^N c_k f(t+k)$.




FIGURE 2. Left: transfer function of the (normalised) standard derivative $\frac{1}{\pi^{32}} \frac{d^{32}}{dt^{32}}$ of order 32 (gray) and of its FIR filter approximation (black). Center: transfer function of the chromatic derivative \mathcal{K}^{32} (gray) and of its FIR approximation (black). Right: the error of a 129 tap FIR filter approximation for \mathcal{K}^{32} within its bandpass $[-.9\pi, .9\pi]$.




FIGURE 3. (left) plots of $\text{sinc}(t)$ (black) and $\mathcal{K}^{15}[\text{sinc}](t)$ (grey); (right) A band-limited signal (black) and its chromatic approximation of order 15 (grey) and its Taylor approximation of the same order (dashed).

FIR approximation of the chromatic derivative of the same order has an error of less than 10^{-6} within the entire signal bandwidth $|\omega| \leq .9\pi$. Implementations of filters for operators \mathcal{K}^n of orders higher than 100 have been tested in practice and proved to be both extremely accurate and noise robust given sufficient (but still reasonable) number of taps (i.e., samples of the signal); see [18] and [19].

In particular, one can show that

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{K}^n[\text{sinc}](t) = (-1)^n \sqrt{2n+1} j_n(\pi t),$$

where $j_n(x)$ is the spherical Bessel function of the first kind of order n . Note that (1) and (4) imply that

$$(5) \quad \mathcal{K}^k[f](t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) \mathcal{K}^k[\text{sinc}](t-n) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) (-1)^k \sqrt{2k+1} j_k(\pi(t-n)).$$

However, in practice, the values of $\mathcal{K}^k[f](t)$, especially for larger values of k , *cannot* be obtained from the Nyquist rate samples by differentiating the Shannon expansion as in (5). This is due to the fact that functions $\mathcal{K}^k[\text{sinc}](t-n)$ decay very slowly as $|n|$ grows; see Figure 3 (left). Thus, to achieve any accuracy, a truncated Shannon expansion would have to contain an extremely large number of terms.

1.3. Chromatic expansions. The above considerations show that numerical evaluation of the chromatic derivatives associated with the Legendre polynomials does not suffer problems which preclude numerical evaluation of the “standard” derivatives of higher orders. On the other hand, the chromatic expansions, defined in Proposition 1.1 below, were conceived as a solution to the problems associated with the use of the Taylor expansion.⁵

Proposition 1.1. *Let \mathcal{K}^n be the chromatic derivatives associated with the Legendre polynomials, let $j_n(x)$ be the spherical Bessel function of the first kind of order n , and let f be an arbitrary entire function; then for all $z, u \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$\begin{aligned}
 (6) \quad f(z) &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^n[f](u) \mathcal{K}_u^n[\text{sinc}(z-u)] \\
 (7) \quad &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \mathcal{K}^n[f](u) \mathcal{K}^n[\text{sinc}](z-u) \\
 (8) \quad &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^n[f](u) \sqrt{2n+1} j_n(\pi(z-u))
 \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if $f \in \mathbf{BL}(\pi)$, then the series converges uniformly on \mathbb{R} and also converges with respect to the L^2 norm of the space $\mathbf{BL}(\pi)$.⁶

The series in (6) is called *the chromatic expansion of f associated with the Legendre polynomials*; a truncation of this series is called a *chromatic approximation* of f . As the Taylor approximation, a chromatic approximation is also a local approximation; its coefficients are the values of differential operators $\mathcal{K}^m[f](u)$ at a single instant u , and for all $k \leq n$,

$$f^{(k)}(u) = \frac{d^k}{dt^k} \left[\sum_{m=0}^n \mathcal{K}^m[f](u) \mathcal{K}_u^m[\text{sinc}(t-u)] \right]_{t=u}.$$

Figure 3 (right) compares the behavior of the chromatic approximation (black) of a signal $f \in \mathbf{BL}(\pi)$ (gray) with the behavior of its Taylor approximation (dashed). Both approximations are of order 15. The signal $f(t)$ is defined using the Shannon expansion, with samples $\{f(n) : |f(n)| < 1, -32 \leq n \leq 32\}$ which were randomly generated. The plot reveals that, when approximating a signal $f \in \mathbf{BL}(\pi)$, a chromatic approximation has a much gentler error accumulation when moving away from the point of expansion than the Taylor approximation of the same order. Moreover, unlike the monomials which appear in the Taylor formula, functions $\sqrt{2n+1} j_n(\pi t)$ belong to $\mathbf{BL}(\pi)$ and satisfy $|\mathcal{K}^n[\text{sinc}](t)| \leq 1$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Consequently, the chromatic approximations obtained by truncating the chromatic expansions also belong to $\mathbf{BL}(\pi)$ and are bounded over the entire set of reals \mathbb{R} .

Since by Proposition 1.1 the chromatic approximation of a signal $f \in \mathbf{BL}(\pi)$ converges to f in $\mathbf{BL}(\pi)$, if A is a filter, then A commutes with the differential operators \mathcal{K}^n and thus for every $f \in \mathbf{BL}(\pi)$,

$$(9) \quad A[f](t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \mathcal{K}^n[f](u) \mathcal{K}^n[A[\text{sinc}]](t-u).$$

A comparison of (9) with (3) provides further evidence that, while local just like the Taylor expansion, the chromatic expansion associated with the Legendre polynomials possesses the features that make the Shannon expansion so useful in signal processing. This, together with numerical robustness of chromatic derivatives, makes chromatic approximations applicable in fields involving empirically sampled data, such as digital signal and image processing; see e.g. [40], [41], [42], [19], [15], [27].

⁵Propositions stated in this section are special cases of general propositions proved in subsequent sections.

⁶Note that $\text{sinc}(t) = j_0(\pi t)$.

1.4. A local definition of the scalar product in $\mathbf{BL}(\pi)$. Proposition 1.2 below demonstrates another important property of the chromatic derivatives associated with the Legendre polynomials.

Proposition 1.2. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a restriction of an entire function; then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^n[f](0)^2 < \infty$;
- (2) *for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the sum $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^n[f](t)^2$ converges to a constant function, i.e., its values are independent of $t \in \mathbb{R}$;*
- (3) $f \in \mathbf{BL}(\pi)$.

The next proposition is relevant for signal processing because it provides *local representations* of the usual norm, the scalar product and the convolution in $\mathbf{BL}(\pi)$, respectively, which are defined globally, as improper integrals.

Proposition 1.3. *Let \mathcal{K}^n be the chromatic derivatives associated with the (rescaled and normalized) Legendre polynomials, and $f, g \in \mathbf{BL}(\pi)$. Then the following sums do not depend on $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and satisfy*

$$(10) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^n[f](t)^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)^2 dx;$$

$$(11) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^n[f](t) \mathcal{K}^n[g](t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)g(x)dx;$$

$$(12) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^n[f](t) \mathcal{K}_t^n[g(u-t)] = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(x)g(u-x)dx.$$

In this paper we consider chromatic derivatives and chromatic expansions which correspond to some very general families of orthogonal polynomials, and prove generalisations of the above propositions, also extending our previous work.

2. BASIC NOTIONS

2.1. Families of orthogonal polynomials. Let $\mathcal{M} : \mathcal{P}_{\omega} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a linear functional on the vector space \mathcal{P}_{ω} of polynomials with real coefficients in the variable ω and let $\mu_n = \mathcal{M}(\omega^n)$. Such \mathcal{M} is called a *moment functional* and μ_n is the *moment* of \mathcal{M} of order n . The *Hankel determinant* of order n is defined as $\Delta_n = |\mu_{i+j}|_{i,j=0}^n$. The moment functionals \mathcal{M} which we consider are assumed to be positive definite, i.e., $\Delta_n > 0$ for all n ; such functionals also satisfy $\mu_{2n} > 0$. We also assume that \mathcal{M} is normalised, so that $\mathcal{M}(1) = \mu_0 = 1$. For such functionals there exists a non-decreasing bounded function $\alpha(\omega)$ taking infinitely many values, called a *moment distribution function*, such that the following improper Stieltjes integrals exist and satisfy

$$(13) \quad \mu_n = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \omega^n d\alpha(\omega).$$

Definition 2.1. *A moment functional \mathcal{M} and the corresponding moment distribution function $\alpha(\omega)$ are called chromatic if the corresponding moments μ_n satisfy*

$$(14) \quad \rho = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\mu_{2n}}{(2n)!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2n}} = e \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\mu_{2n}^{\frac{1}{2n}}}{2n} < \infty.$$

Let $\{p_n(\omega)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the family of orthonormal polynomials corresponding to \mathcal{M} , such that $p_n(\omega)$ is of degree n and

$$(15) \quad \mathcal{M}(p_n(\omega) p_m(\omega)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(\omega) p_m(\omega) d\alpha(\omega) = \delta(m-n).$$

Note that $p_0(\omega) \equiv 1$ because, by normalisation, $\mu_0 = 1$. For such a family of polynomials there exists a sequence of positive reals $\gamma_n > 0$ and a sequence of reals β_n such that for all integers $n \geq 1$,

$$(16) \quad p_{n+1}(\omega) = \frac{\omega + \beta_n}{\gamma_n} p_n(\omega) - \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_n} p_{n-1}(\omega).$$

If we set $\gamma_{-1} = 1$ and $p_{-1}(\omega) \equiv 0$, then (16) holds for $n = 0$ as well. If $\mu_{2n+1} = 0$ for all n , then such \mathcal{M} is *symmetric*, in which case $\beta_n = 0$ for all n and each polynomial $p_n(\omega)$ contains only powers of ω of the same parity as n .

We will make use of the Christoffel-Darboux equality for orthonormal polynomials,

$$(17) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n p_k(\omega) p_k(\sigma) = \frac{\gamma_n(p_{n+1}(\omega) p_n(\sigma) - p_{n+1}(\sigma) p_n(\omega))}{\omega - \sigma}.$$

By subtracting and adding $p_{n+1}(\sigma) p_n(\sigma)$ within the parentheses on the right of (17) and then letting $\sigma \rightarrow \omega$ we obtain

$$(18) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n p_k(\omega)^2 = \gamma_n (p'_{n+1}(\omega) p_n(\omega) - p_{n+1}(\omega) p'_n(\omega)).$$

2.2. Space $L^2_{d\alpha}$. We denote by $L^2_{d\alpha}$ the Hilbert space of functions⁷ $\varphi : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ for which the improper Lebesgue - Stieltjes integral $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi(\omega)|^2 d\alpha(\omega)$ exists and is finite, with the scalar product defined by $\langle \varphi, \psi \rangle_{d\alpha} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\omega) \overline{\psi(\omega)} d\alpha(\omega)$, and with the corresponding norm denoted by $\|\varphi\|_{d\alpha}$.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $\alpha(\omega)$ be a chromatic moment distribution function and ρ such that (14) holds. Then for every real a such that $0 \leq a < 1/\rho$,⁸*

$$(19) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{a|\omega|} d\alpha(\omega) < \infty.$$

Proof. Since for all $b > 0$ the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n |\omega|^n / n!$ converges to $e^{a|\omega|}$ uniformly on $[-b, b]$, we obtain $\int_{-b}^b e^{a|\omega|} d\alpha(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n / n! \int_{-b}^b |\omega|^n d\alpha(\omega)$. For even n we have $\int_{-b}^b \omega^n d\alpha(\omega) \leq \mu_n$. For odd n we have $|\omega|^n < 1 + \omega^{n+1}$ for all ω , and thus $\int_{-b}^b |\omega|^n d\alpha(\omega) < \int_{-b}^b d\alpha(\omega) + \int_{-b}^b \omega^{n+1} d\alpha(\omega) \leq 1 + \mu_{n+1}$. Let $\eta_n = \mu_n$ if n is even, and $\eta_n = 1 + \mu_{n+1}$ if n is odd. Then also $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{a|\omega|} d\alpha(\omega) \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a^n \eta_n / n!$. It is easy to see that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\eta_n / n!)^{1/n} = \rho$; thus, for $0 \leq a < 1/\rho$ the last sum converges to a finite limit. \square

On the other hand, the proof of Theorem 5.2 in §II.5 of [5] shows that if (19) holds for some $a > 0$, then (14) also holds for some $\rho < 1/a$. Thus, we get the following Corollary.

Corollary 2.3. *A moment distribution function $\alpha(\omega)$ is chromatic just in case it satisfies (19) for some $a > 0$.*

For the remaining part of this paper we assume that $\alpha(\omega)$ is a chromatic moment distribution function.

For every $0 < c \leq \infty$, we let $\mathbb{S}(c) = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |\Im(z)| < c\}$.

Proposition 2.4. *Let for $z \in \mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{\rho})$*

$$(20) \quad \mathbf{m}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{i\omega z} d\alpha(\omega).$$

Then $\mathbf{m}(z)$ is analytic on the strip $\mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{\rho})$ and for all integers $n \geq 0$,

$$(21) \quad \mathbf{m}^{(n)}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (i\omega)^n e^{i\omega z} d\alpha(\omega).$$

⁷We slightly abuse the notation here, because we allow $\varphi(\omega)$ to contain fixed complex parameters.

⁸We set $1/0 = \infty$.

Proof. Fix n and let $z = x + iy$ with $|y| < 1/\rho$; then for every $b > 0$,

$$\int_{-b}^b |(i\omega)^n e^{i\omega z}| d\alpha(\omega) = \int_{-b}^b |\omega|^n e^{|\omega y|} d\alpha(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|y|^k}{k!} \int_{-b}^b |\omega|^{n+k} d\alpha(\omega).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 2.2, we let $\eta_m = \mu_m$ for even m and $\eta_m = 1 + \mu_{m+1}$ for odd m ; then the above implies

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(i\omega)^n e^{i\omega z}| d\alpha(\omega) \leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|y|^k \eta_{n+k}}{k!},$$

and it is easy to see that for every fixed n , $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\eta_{n+k}/k!)^{1/k} = \rho$. Thus, for $z \in \mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{\rho})$ we can differentiate under the integral in (20) any number of times to obtain (21). \square

Since for all non-negative integers n

$$(22) \quad \mathbf{m}^{(n)}(0) = i^n \mu_n$$

and since in the symmetric case all moments of odd order are zero, for symmetric moment functionals function $\mathbf{m}(t)$, which is the restriction of function $\mathbf{m}(z)$ to the reals, is real valued.

Lemma 2.5. *If \mathcal{M} is chromatic, then $\{p_n(\omega)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a complete system in $L_{d\alpha}^2$.*

Proof. Follows from a theorem of Riesz ([26]; see, for example, Theorem 5.1 in §II.5 of [5]) which asserts that if $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \mu_{2n}^{1/(2n)} / (2n) < \infty$, then $\{p_n(\omega)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a complete system in $L_{d\alpha}^2$.⁹ \square

2.3. Chromatic derivatives. Let $D_z^n = d^n/dz^n$; with every family of orthonormal polynomials $\{p_n(\omega)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ we associate a family of linear differential operators $\{\mathcal{K}_z^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ defined by the operator polynomial¹⁰

$$\mathcal{K}_z^n = i^n p_n(-iD_z),$$

and call them *the chromatic derivatives* associated with polynomials $\{p_n(\omega)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Equation (16) implies that such operators satisfy the recurrence

$$(23) \quad \mathcal{K}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{\gamma_n} (D \circ \mathcal{K}^n + i\beta_n \mathcal{K}^n + \gamma_{n-1} \mathcal{K}^{n-1})$$

with the same coefficients $\gamma_n > 0$ and β_n as in (16). The definition of the chromatic derivatives was chosen so that

$$(24) \quad \mathcal{K}_z^n[e^{i\omega z}] = i^n p_n(\omega) e^{i\omega z}$$

and consequently

$$(25) \quad \mathcal{K}_z^n[\mathbf{m}](z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i^n p_n(\omega) e^{i\omega z} d\alpha(\omega).$$

Note that for the symmetric families of orthonormal polynomials $\beta_n = 0$ and thus the corresponding chromatic derivatives have real coefficients.

The basic properties of orthonormal polynomials imply that for all integers m, n ,

$$(26) \quad (-1)^n (\mathcal{K}^n \circ \mathcal{K}^m)[\mathbf{m}](0) = \delta(m - n),$$

⁹Note that we need the stronger condition $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\mu_{2n}/(2n)!)^{1/(2n)} < \infty$ to ensure that function $\mathbf{m}(z)$ defined by (20) is analytic on a strip (Proposition 2.4).

¹⁰Thus, to obtain \mathcal{K}_z^n , one replaces ω^k in $p_n(\omega)$ by $(-i)^k D_z^k$, where $D_z^k[f(z, \vec{w})] = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial z^k} f(z, \vec{w})$. We use the square brackets to indicate the arguments of operators acting on various function spaces. If A is a differential operator, and if a function $f(z, \vec{w})$ has parameters \vec{w} , we write $A_z[f(z, \vec{w})]$ to distinguish the variable z of differentiation; if $f(z)$ contains only variable z , we write $A[f(z)]$ for $A_z[f(z)]$ and $D^k[f(z)]$ for $D_z^k[f(z)]$.

and, if $m < n$ then

$$(27) \quad (D^m \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](0) = 0.$$

For $m < n$ all powers of z in $\mathcal{K}^m[z^n]$ are positive; thus, if $m < n$ then

$$(28) \quad \mathcal{K}^m[z^n](0) = 0.$$

The following lemma corresponds to the Christoffel - Darboux equality for orthonormal polynomials and has a similar proof.

Lemma 2.6 ([12]). *For all infinitely differentiable functions $f(t), g(t) : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ of a real variable t*

$$(29) \quad D_t \left[\sum_{m=0}^n \mathcal{K}^m[f(t)] \overline{\mathcal{K}^m[g(t)]} \right] = \gamma_n (\mathcal{K}^{n+1}[f(t)] \overline{\mathcal{K}^n[g(t)]} + \mathcal{K}^n[f(t)] \overline{\mathcal{K}^{n+1}[g(t)]}).$$

Proof. We apply (23) to $f(t)$ and multiply both sides of the resulting equation by $\overline{\mathcal{K}^m[g]}$ to obtain

$$\gamma_m \mathcal{K}^{m+1}[f] \overline{\mathcal{K}^m[g]} = (D_t \circ \mathcal{K}^m)[f] \overline{\mathcal{K}^m[g]} + i \beta_m \mathcal{K}^m[f] \overline{\mathcal{K}^m[g]} + \gamma_{m-1} \mathcal{K}^{m-1}[f] \overline{\mathcal{K}^m[g]}$$

We then apply (23) to $g(t)$, take the complex conjugate of both sides of the resulting equation and multiply both sides by $\mathcal{K}^m[f]$ to obtain

$$\gamma_m \overline{\mathcal{K}^{m+1}[g]} \mathcal{K}^m[f] = \overline{(D_t \circ \mathcal{K}^m)[g]} \mathcal{K}^m[f] - i \beta_m \overline{\mathcal{K}^m[g]} \mathcal{K}^m[f] + \gamma_{m-1} \overline{\mathcal{K}^{m-1}[g]} \mathcal{K}^m[f]$$

Summing these two equations and rearranging the terms we obtain

$$(D_t \circ \mathcal{K}^m)[f] \overline{\mathcal{K}^m[g]} + \overline{(D_t \circ \mathcal{K}^m)[g]} \mathcal{K}^m[f] = \gamma_m (\mathcal{K}^{m+1}[f] \overline{\mathcal{K}^m[g]} + \overline{\mathcal{K}^{m+1}[g]} \mathcal{K}^m[f]) - \gamma_{m-1} (\mathcal{K}^m[f] \overline{\mathcal{K}^{m-1}[g]} + \overline{\mathcal{K}^m[g]} \mathcal{K}^{m-1}[f]).$$

Since $\overline{D_t[f](t)} = D_t \overline{f(t)}$ for functions of a real variable t , the lefthand side of this equation is equal to $D_t[\mathcal{K}^m[f] \overline{\mathcal{K}^m[g]}]$. Summing such equations for all $0 \leq m \leq n$ the righthand sides form a telescoping sum equal to the righthand side of (29). \square

2.4. Chromatic expansions. Let $f : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ or $f : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be infinitely differentiable at a real or complex u ; the formal series

$$\text{CE}^\mathcal{M}[f, u](z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \mathcal{K}^k[f](u) \mathcal{K}^k[\mathbf{m}](z - u)$$

is called the *chromatic expansion* of f associated with \mathcal{M} ,¹¹ centred at u , and

$$\text{CA}^\mathcal{M}[f, n, u](z) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \mathcal{K}^k[f](u) \mathcal{K}^k[\mathbf{m}](z - u)$$

is the *chromatic approximation* of f of order n . From (26) it follows that the chromatic approximation $\text{CA}^\mathcal{M}[f, n, u](z)$ of order n of $f(z)$ for all $m \leq n$ satisfies

$$\mathcal{K}_z^m[\text{CA}^\mathcal{M}[f, n, u](z)]|_{z=u} = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \mathcal{K}^k[f](u) (\mathcal{K}^m \circ \mathcal{K}^k)[\mathbf{m}](0) = \mathcal{K}^m[f](u).$$

Since D^m can be expressed as a linear combination of operators \mathcal{K}^k for $k \leq m$, also $f^{(m)}(u) = D_z^m[\text{CA}^\mathcal{M}[f, n, u](z)]|_{z=u}$ for all $m \leq n$. Thus, in particular,

$$(30) \quad f^{(n)}(u) = D_z^n[\text{CA}^\mathcal{M}[f, n, u](z)]|_{z=u} = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k \mathcal{K}^k[f](u) (D^n \circ \mathcal{K}^k)[\mathbf{m}](0)$$

¹¹Sometimes we abuse the terminology and say that the expansion is associated with polynomials $\{p_n(\omega)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ or with the operators $\{\mathcal{K}^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Similarly, since for $m \leq n$

$$f^{(m)}(u) = D_z^m \left[\sum_{k=0}^n f^{(k)}(u) \frac{(z-u)^k}{k!} \right]_{z=u}$$

also

$$(31) \quad \mathcal{K}^n[f](u) = \mathcal{K}_z^n \left[\sum_{k=0}^n f^{(k)}(u) \frac{(z-u)^k}{k!} \right]_{z=u} = \sum_{k=0}^n f^{(k)}(u) \mathcal{K}_z^n \left[\frac{z^k}{k!} \right](0)$$

Equations (30) and (31) relate the standard and the chromatic bases of the vector space of linear differential operators with constant coefficients,

$$(32) \quad D^n = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k (D^n \circ \mathcal{K}^k)[\mathbf{m}](0) \mathcal{K}^k;$$

$$(33) \quad \mathcal{K}^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \mathcal{K}^n \left[\frac{z^k}{k!} \right](0) D^k.$$

2.5. Space $\mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$.

Definition 2.7. $\mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ is the vector space of functions $f : \mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2\rho}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ which are analytic on $\mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2\rho})$ and satisfy $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}^n[f](0)|^2 < \infty$.

Definition 2.8. Let $f(z) \in \mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$; then function

$$(34) \quad \varphi_f(\omega) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-i)^n \mathcal{K}^n[f](0) p_n(\omega)$$

belongs to $L_{d\alpha}^2$ and we call it the Fourier transform of $f(z)$ with respect to \mathcal{M} , denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega)$.

Proposition 2.9. Let $\varphi(\omega) \in L_{d\alpha}^2$; we can define a corresponding function $f_{\varphi} : \mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2\rho}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$(35) \quad f_{\varphi}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\omega) e^{i\omega z} d\alpha(\omega).$$

Such $f_{\varphi}(z)$ is analytic on $\mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2\rho})$ and for all n and all $z \in \mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2\rho})$,

$$(36) \quad \mathcal{K}^n[f_{\varphi}](z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i^n p_n(\omega) \varphi(\omega) e^{i\omega z} d\alpha(\omega).$$

Proof. Let $z = x + iy$, with $|y| < 1/(2\rho)$. For every fixed n and $b > 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-b}^b |(i\omega)^n \varphi(\omega) e^{i\omega z}| d\alpha(\omega) &= \int_{-b}^b |\omega|^n |\varphi(\omega)| e^{|\omega y|} d\alpha(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|y|^k}{k!} \int_{-b}^b |\omega|^{n+k} |\varphi(\omega)| d\alpha(\omega) \\ &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{|y|^k}{k!} \left(\int_{-b}^b \omega^{2n+2k} d\alpha(\omega) \int_{-b}^b |\varphi(\omega)|^2 d\alpha(\omega) \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, also

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |(i\omega)^n \varphi(\omega) e^{i\omega z}| d\alpha(\omega) \leq \|\varphi(\omega)\|_{d\alpha} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{\sqrt{\mu_{2n+2k}}}{k!} |y|^k.$$

It is easy to see that for every fixed n , $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[2k]{\mu_{2n+2k}} / \sqrt[k]{k!} = 2\rho$; thus, for every $\varepsilon > 0$ the sum on the right hand side of the above equation converges uniformly for all $|y| \leq \frac{1}{2\rho} - \varepsilon$. In particular, we can differentiate under the integral sign in (35) any number of times, which implies (36). \square

Definition 2.10. For $\varphi \in L_{d\alpha}^2$ we call $f_\varphi(z)$ defined by (35) the inverse Fourier transform of $\varphi(z)$ with respect to \mathcal{M} , denoted by $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}[\varphi](z)$.

Lemma 2.11. Assume that for all n , $\varphi_n(\omega) \in L_{d\alpha}^2$ and that $\varphi(\omega), \psi(\omega) \in L_{d\alpha}^2$; if $\varphi_n(\omega) \rightarrow \varphi(\omega)$ in $L_{d\alpha}^2$, then

$$(37) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_n(\omega) \psi(\omega) d\alpha(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\omega) \psi(\omega) d\alpha(\omega).$$

In particular, if in $L_{d\alpha}^2$ we have $\varphi(\omega) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \eta_k(\omega)$, then

$$(38) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(\omega) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \eta_k(\omega) d\alpha(\omega) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \psi(\omega) \eta_k(\omega) d\alpha(\omega).$$

Proof. Follows from

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\varphi(\omega) - \varphi_n(\omega)) \psi(\omega) d\alpha(\omega) \right| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi(\omega) - \varphi_n(\omega)|^2 d\alpha(\omega) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi(\omega)|^2 d\alpha(\omega) \rightarrow 0.$$

\square

Corollary 2.12. $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}$ is an inverse of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}$.

Proof. Assume $f \in L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$; then $\varphi_f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-i)^n \mathcal{K}^n[f](0) p_n(\omega) \in L_{d\alpha}^2$. Let $g(t) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}[\varphi_f(\omega)]$; then (36), (38) and the fact that $p_n(\omega)$ are orthonormal imply

$$(39) \quad \mathcal{K}^n[g](0) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i^n p_n(\omega) \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-i)^k \mathcal{K}^k[f](0) p_k(\omega) d\alpha(\omega)$$

$$(40) \quad = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^k[f](0) i^n (-i)^k \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n(\omega) p_k(\omega) d\alpha(\omega) = \mathcal{K}^n[f](0)$$

Thus, also $g^{(n)}(0) = f^{(n)}(0)$ and consequently $g(\omega) = f(\omega)$ over $\mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2\rho})$. \square

Proposition 2.13. Let $\varphi(\omega) \in L_{d\alpha}^2$ and $f_\varphi(z)$ be given by (35); if for some fixed $u \in \mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2\rho})$ the function $\varphi(\omega)e^{i\omega u}$ also belongs to $L_{d\alpha}^2$, then for such u we obtain that

$$(41) \quad \varphi(\omega)e^{i\omega u} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-i)^n \mathcal{K}^n[f_\varphi](u) p_n(\omega),$$

where the equality holds in the sense of $L_{d\alpha}^2$, and

$$(42) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}^n[f_\varphi](u)|^2 = \|\varphi(\omega)e^{i\omega u}\|_{d\alpha}^2 < \infty.$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.9, if $u \in \mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2\rho})$, then equation (36) holds for the corresponding f_φ given by (35). If also $\varphi(\omega)e^{i\omega u} \in L_{d\alpha}^2$, then (36) implies that

$$(43) \quad \langle \varphi(\omega)e^{i\omega u}, p_n(\omega) \rangle_{d\alpha} = (-i)^n \mathcal{K}^n[f_\varphi](u).$$

Since $\{p_n(\omega)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a complete orthonormal system in $L_{d\alpha}^2$, (43) implies (41), and Parseval's Theorem implies (42). \square

Corollary 2.14. *If $\varphi(\omega) \in L_{d\alpha}^2$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$, then also $\varphi(\omega)e^{i\omega t} \in L_{d\alpha}^2$ and (42) implies that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$(44) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}^n[f_\varphi](t)|^2 = \|\varphi(\omega)e^{i\omega t}\|_{d\alpha}^2 = \|\varphi(\omega)\|_{d\alpha}^2.$$

By Proposition 2.9 if $\varphi(\omega) \in L_{d\alpha}^2$ then $f_\varphi(z) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}[\varphi](z)$ given by (35) is analytic on $\mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2p})$. Thus, Corollary 2.14 with $t = 0$ implies the following corollary.

Corollary 2.15. *If $\varphi(\omega) \in L_{d\alpha}^2$ then $f_\varphi(z) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}[\varphi](z)$ belongs to $\mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$.*

Note that we have already shown that if $f(z) \in \mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ then $\varphi_f(\omega) = \mathcal{F}[f(z)](\omega) \in L_{d\alpha}^2$; thus, \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}^{-1} are bijections between $\mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ and $L_{d\alpha}^2$. In particular, $\left\| \mathcal{F}[\mathbf{m}^{(k)}(z)](\omega) \right\|_{d\alpha}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \omega^{2k} d\alpha(\omega) = \mu_{2k} < \infty$; similarly, $\left\| \mathcal{F}[\mathcal{K}^k[\mathbf{m}(z)]](\omega) \right\|_{d\alpha}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_k(\omega)^2 d\alpha(\omega) = 1$. Thus, $\mathbf{m}^{(k)}(z)$ and $\mathcal{K}^k[\mathbf{m}](z)$ belong to $\mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ for all k .

Lemma 2.16. *For every ε , $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2p}$, and every polynomial $P(\omega)$, if $u \in \mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2p} - \varepsilon)$, then $\|P(\omega)e^{iu\omega}\|_{d\alpha} \leq \left\| P(\omega)e^{(\frac{1}{2p}-\varepsilon)|\omega|} \right\|_{d\alpha} < \infty$.*

Corollary 2.17. *Let $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2p}$ and let m be any non-negative integer; then for all $u \in \mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2p} - \varepsilon)$*

$$(45) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |(\mathcal{K}^n \circ \mathcal{K}^m)[\mathbf{m}](u)|^2 < \left\| p_m(\omega)e^{(\frac{1}{2p}-\varepsilon)|\omega|} \right\|_{d\alpha}^2 < \infty.$$

In particular, for all real t we have

$$(46) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |(\mathcal{K}^n \circ \mathcal{K}^m)[\mathbf{m}](t)|^2 = 1.$$

Proof. Lemma 2.16 implies that $i^m p_m(\omega)e^{iu\omega}$ belongs to $L_{d\alpha}^2$; thus, we can apply Proposition 2.13 with $\varphi(\omega) = i^m p_m(\omega)$, in which case $f_\varphi(z) = \mathcal{K}^m[\mathbf{m}](z)$ and

$$(47) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |(\mathcal{K}^n \circ \mathcal{K}^m)[\mathbf{m}](u)|^2 = \|p_m(\omega)e^{iu\omega}\|_{d\alpha}^2.$$

If u is real we get (46); if $u \in \mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2p} - \varepsilon)$ then the claim follows from Lemma 2.16. \square

2.6. Space $L_{d\alpha}^2$.

Corollary 2.18. *Assume that $\varphi(\omega), \psi(\omega) \in L_{d\alpha}^2$ and that $u \in \mathbb{R}$; then (43) implies*

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \varphi(\omega), \psi(\omega) \rangle_{d\alpha} &= \langle \varphi(\omega)e^{i\omega u}, \psi(\omega)e^{i\omega u} \rangle_{d\alpha} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-i)^n \mathcal{K}^n[f_\varphi](u) \overline{(-i)^n \mathcal{K}^n[f_\psi](u)} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^n[f_\varphi](u) \overline{\mathcal{K}^n[f_\psi](u)}. \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.19. *Assume that $\varphi(\omega), \psi(\omega) \in L_{d\alpha}^2$ and that $u, t \in \mathbb{R}$; then*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \mathcal{K}^n[f_\varphi](u) \mathcal{K}^n[f_\psi](t-u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\omega) \psi(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\alpha(\omega).$$

Proof. Since $t \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\omega) \psi(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\alpha(\omega) \right| \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\varphi(\omega)|^2 d\alpha(\omega) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\psi(\omega)|^2 d\alpha(\omega) < \infty.$$

Using (41) and (37) and since $\varphi(\omega), \psi(\omega) \in L_{d\alpha}^2$, we obtain that for all $u, t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\omega) \psi(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\alpha(\omega) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi(\omega) e^{i\omega u} \psi(\omega) e^{i\omega(t-u)} d\alpha(\omega) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-i)^n \mathcal{K}^n[f_\varphi](u) p_n(\omega) \psi(\omega) e^{i\omega(t-u)} d\alpha(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \mathcal{K}^n[f_\varphi](u) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} i^n p_n(\omega) \psi(\omega) e^{i\omega(t-u)} d\alpha(\omega) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \mathcal{K}^n[f_\varphi](u) \mathcal{K}^n[f_\psi](t-u) \end{aligned}$$

□

Definition 2.20. $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ is the vector space of the restrictions of functions from $L_{d\alpha}^2$ to real arguments.

The following Proposition follows directly from Corollaries 2.14 – 2.19.

Proposition 2.21 ([12]). We can introduce a locally defined scalar product, an associated norm and a convolution of functions in $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ by the following sums which are independent of a real u :

$$(48) \quad \|f\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}^n[f](u)|^2 = \|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega)\|_{d\alpha}^2;$$

$$(49) \quad \langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^n[f](u) \overline{\mathcal{K}^n[g](u)} = \langle \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega), \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[g](\omega) \rangle_{d\alpha};$$

$$(50) \quad (f *_{\mathcal{M}} g)(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \mathcal{K}^n[f](u) \mathcal{K}^n[g](t-u) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega) \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[g](\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\alpha(\omega).$$

Corollary 2.22. The space $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ with the scalar product $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$ is isomorphic to the space $L_{d\alpha}^2$ with the scalar product $\langle \varphi_f, \varphi_g \rangle_{d\alpha}$ via $f(t) \mapsto \varphi_f(\omega) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega)$ given by (34), with the inverse given by $\varphi(\omega) \mapsto f_\varphi(t) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}^{-1}[\varphi](t)$ given by (35).

Letting $u = 0$, $u = t$ and $u = t/2$ in (50) we get the following lemma.

Lemma 2.23 ([12]). For every $f, g \in L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ and for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$(51) \quad \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \mathcal{K}^k[f](t) \mathcal{K}^k[g](0) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \mathcal{K}^k[f](0) \mathcal{K}^k[g](t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \mathcal{K}^k[f](t/2) \mathcal{K}^k[g](t/2).$$

2.7. Relationship of $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega)$ with the usual Fourier transform. If a moment distribution function $\alpha(\omega)$ is absolutely continuous then $d\alpha(\omega) = w(\omega)d\omega$ for some non-negative weight function $w(\omega)$; in such a case for every $f(t) \in L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ equation (35) implies

$$(52) \quad f(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega) e^{i\omega t} w(\omega) d\omega.$$

Proposition 2.24 ([12]). Assume that for a function $f(t)$ there exists a function $\widehat{f}(\omega)$ such that $f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$; then $f(t) \in L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ if and only if $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(\omega)|^2 w(\omega)^{-1} d\omega < \infty$, in which case $\widehat{f}(\omega) = 2\pi \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega) w(\omega)$.

Proof. If $f(t) \in L^2_{\mathcal{M}}$ then (52) holds and this implies, by the uniqueness of the Fourier transform, that $\widehat{f}(\omega) = 2\pi \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega) w(\omega)$ and consequently

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\widehat{f}(\omega)|^2}{w(\omega)} d\omega = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{4\pi^2 |\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega)|^2 w(\omega)^2}{w(\omega)} d\omega = 4\pi^2 \|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega)\|_{d\alpha}^2 < \infty.$$

In the opposite direction,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\widehat{f}(\omega)|^2}{w(\omega)^2} w(\omega) d\omega = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\widehat{f}(\omega)|^2}{w(\omega)} d\omega < \infty$$

implies $\widehat{f}(\omega)/w(\omega) \in L^2_{d\alpha}$ and thus

$$f(t) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \widehat{f}(\omega) e^{i\omega t} d\omega = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2\pi} \frac{\widehat{f}(\omega)}{w(\omega)} e^{i\omega t} w(\omega) d\omega \in L^2_{\mathcal{M}}$$

and $\widehat{f}(\omega)/(2\pi w(\omega)) = \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega)$. □

3. UNIFORM CONVERGENCE OF CHROMATIC EXPANSIONS

Theorem 3.1. Assume $f \in L^2_{\mathcal{M}}$; then for all $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\frac{1}{2p} > \varepsilon > 0$, the chromatic series $\text{CE}^{\mathcal{M}}[f, u](z)$ of $f(z)$ converges to $f(z)$ uniformly on the strip $\mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2p} - \varepsilon)$.

Proof. Assume $u \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $\phi(\omega) \equiv 1$; then $f_{\phi}(z-u) = \mathbf{m}(z-u)$. By applying (36) we obtain that for all $z \in \mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2p})$,

$$(53) \quad \text{CA}^{\mathcal{M}}[f, n, u](z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n (-i)^k \mathcal{K}^k[f](u) p_k(\omega) e^{i\omega(z-u)} d\alpha(\omega).$$

Since $f \in L^2_{\mathcal{M}}$, we obtain $\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega) \in L^2_{d\alpha}$ and since $u \in \mathbb{R}$ equation (41) from Proposition 2.13 implies that in $L^2_{d\alpha}$

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega) e^{i\omega u} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-i)^k \mathcal{K}^k[f](u) p_k(\omega).$$

Thus,

$$(54) \quad f(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega) e^{i\omega u} e^{i\omega(z-u)} d\alpha(\omega) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-i)^k \mathcal{K}^k[f](u) p_k(\omega) e^{i\omega(z-u)} d\alpha(\omega).$$

Consequently, from (53) and (54),

$$\begin{aligned} |f(z) - \text{CA}^{\mathcal{M}}[f, n, u](z)| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (-i)^k \mathcal{K}^k[f](u) p_k(\omega) e^{i\omega(z-u)} \right| d\alpha(\omega) \\ &\leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (-i)^k \mathcal{K}^k[f](u) p_k(\omega) \right|^2 d\alpha(\omega) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e^{i\omega(z-u)}|^2 d\alpha(\omega) \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

This implies that for $z \in \mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2p} - \varepsilon)$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$, using Corollary 2.14, we obtain

$$(55) \quad |f(z) - \text{CA}^{\mathcal{M}}[f, n, u](z)| \leq \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}^k[f](u)|^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{(\frac{1}{p} - 2\varepsilon)|\omega|} d\alpha(\omega) \right)^{1/2}.$$

Consequently, (46) of Corollary 2.17 with $m = 0$ and Lemma 2.2 imply that $\text{CE}^{\mathcal{M}}[f, u](z)$ converges to $f(z)$ uniformly on $\mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2p} - \varepsilon)$. □

Proposition 3.2. *Space $\mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ consists precisely of functions*

$$(56) \quad f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \mathcal{K}^k[\mathbf{m}](z)$$

restricted to $\mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2p})$, where $a = \langle a_k \rangle_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a complex sequence in l^2 .

Proof. Assume $a \in l^2$; by Corollary 2.17 with $m = 1$, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, if $z \in \mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2p} - \varepsilon)$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |a_k \mathcal{K}^k[\mathbf{m}](z)| &\leq \left(\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}^k[\mathbf{m}](z)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left\| e^{(\frac{1}{2p} - \varepsilon)|\omega|} \right\|_{d\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that the series in (56) converges absolutely and uniformly on $\mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2p} - \varepsilon)$. Consequently, $f(z)$ is analytic on $\mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2p})$. We now show that

$$(57) \quad \mathcal{K}^m[f](z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (\mathcal{K}^k \circ \mathcal{K}^m)[\mathbf{m}](z)$$

with the series converging absolutely and uniformly on $\mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2p} - \varepsilon)$. For every m equation (45) in Corollary 2.17 implies

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |a_k (\mathcal{K}^k \circ \mathcal{K}^m)[\mathbf{m}](z)| &\leq \left(\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |(\mathcal{K}^m \circ \mathcal{K}^k)[\mathbf{m}](z)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=N+1}^{\infty} |a_k|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left\| p_m(\omega) e^{(\frac{1}{2p} - \varepsilon)|\omega|} \right\|_{d\alpha} \end{aligned}$$

Thus, for every m the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (\mathcal{K}^k \circ \mathcal{K}^m)[\mathbf{m}](z)$ also converges absolutely and uniformly on $\mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2p} - \varepsilon)$. This fact and the inequality

$$|(D \circ \mathcal{K}^k \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](z)| \leq \gamma_n |(\mathcal{K}^k \circ \mathcal{K}^{n+1})[\mathbf{m}](z)| + |\beta_n (\mathcal{K}^k \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](z)| + \gamma_{n-1} |(\mathcal{K}^k \circ \mathcal{K}^{n-1})[\mathbf{m}](z)|$$

which follows from (23) imply that the series at the righthand side of the equation

$$(D \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[f](t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (D \circ \mathcal{K}^k \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](t - u)$$

also converges absolutely and uniformly, thus justifying that equation. Equation (57) now follows by a straightforward induction on n . From this equation and (26) we conclude that

$$\mathcal{K}^m[f](0) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (\mathcal{K}^m \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](0) = (-1)^m a_m.$$

Consequently, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}^n[f](0)|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 < \infty$ and so $f(z) \in \mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$. Proposition 3.1 provides the opposite direction. \square

Note that the proof of Proposition 3.2 also proves the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. *For $f(t) \in \mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$ we have*

(1)

$$(58) \quad \mathcal{K}^n[f](z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \mathcal{K}^k[f](u) (\mathcal{K}^k \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](z-u)$$

with the series converging absolutely and uniformly on \mathbb{R} .

(2) For every $0 < \varepsilon < 1/(2\rho)$ functions $f(z) \in L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ are bounded on the strip $\mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2\rho} - \varepsilon)$

$$(59) \quad |f(z)| \leq \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}^n[f](0)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left\| e^{(\frac{1}{2\rho} - \varepsilon)|\omega|} \right\|_{d\alpha}.$$

(3) If $f(z)$ is analytic in a neighbourhood of zero and satisfies $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}^n[f](0)|^2 < \infty$, then its chromatic expansion provides the analytic continuation of such $f(z)$ on $\mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2\rho})$.

3.1. Chromatic expansions of functions in $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$. Note that for $f \in L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ we have $\text{CE}^{\mathcal{M}}[f, u](t) = (f *_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{m})(t)$; thus, $(f *_{\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{m})(t) = f(t)$. Also, note that using (46) with $m = 0$ we get that for $t, u \in \mathbb{R}$

$$(60) \quad \begin{aligned} |f(t) - \text{CA}^{\mathcal{M}}[f, n, u](t)| &\leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}^k[f](u) \mathcal{K}^k[\mathbf{m}](t-u)| \\ &\leq \left(\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}^k[f](u)|^2 \right)^{1/2} \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^n |\mathcal{K}^k[\mathbf{m}](t-u)|^2 \right)^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$E_n(t) = \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^n |\mathcal{K}^k[\mathbf{m}](t)|^2 \right)^{1/2};$$

then, using Lemma 2.6, we obtain

$$E'_n(t) = \gamma_n \Re(\mathcal{K}^{n+1}[\mathbf{m}](t) \overline{\mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](t)}) \left(1 - \sum_{k=0}^n |\mathcal{K}^k[\mathbf{m}](t)|^2 \right)^{-1/2}.$$

Since $(D^k \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](0) = 0$ for all $0 \leq k \leq n-1$, the smallest powers in the Taylor expansions of $\mathcal{K}^{n+1}[\mathbf{m}](t)$ and of $\mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](t)$ at $t = 0$ are $n+1$ and n , respectively. Thus, $E_n^{(k)}(0) = 0$ for all $k \leq 2n+1$. Consequently, $E_n(0) = 0$ and $E_n(t)$ is very flat around $t = 0$. For that reason chromatic expansions provide excellent local approximations of functions in $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$.

Let u be a fixed real parameter and t a variable ranging over \mathbb{R} ; consider functions $B_n^u(t) = (-1)^n \mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](t-u)$. Since the value of $\langle B_n^u(t), B_m^u(t) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$ does not depend on t , using (26),

$$(61) \quad \langle B_n^u(t), B_m^u(t) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^{m+n} (\mathcal{K}^k \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](t-u) \overline{(\mathcal{K}^k \circ \mathcal{K}^m)[\mathbf{m}](t-u)} \Big|_{t=u} = \delta(m-n).$$

Thus, the family $\{B_n^u(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is orthonormal in $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$.

Proposition 3.4 ([12]). *The chromatic expansion $\text{CE}^{\mathcal{M}}[f, u](t)$ of $f(t) \in L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ is the (generalised) Fourier series of $f(t)$ with respect to the orthonormal basis $\{B_n^u(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. The chromatic expansion converges to $f(t)$ in $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$; thus, $\{B_n^u(t)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a complete orthonormal basis of $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$.*

Proof. For $f \in L^2_{\mathcal{M}}$ the scalar product $\langle f(t), (-1)^n \mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](t-u) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$ does not depend on $t \in \mathbb{R}$; thus, by (26),

$$\begin{aligned}
 (62) \quad \langle f(t), (-1)^n \mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](t-u) \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \mathcal{K}^k[f](t) \overline{(\mathcal{K}^k \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](t-u)} \Big|_{t=u} \\
 &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \mathcal{K}^k[f](u) \overline{(\mathcal{K}^k \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](0)} \\
 &= \mathcal{K}^n[f](u).
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathcal{K}_t^k[f(t) - \text{CA}^{\mathcal{M}}[f, n, u](t)]|_{t=u}$ equals 0 for $k \leq n$ and equals $\mathcal{K}^k[f](u)$ for $k > n$, we obtain $\|f - \text{CA}^{\mathcal{M}}[f, n, u]\|_{\mathcal{M}} = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^k[f](u)^2 \rightarrow 0$. \square

3.2. Chromatic expansions and linear operators on $L^2_{\mathcal{M}}$. Assume that $g(t), g'(t) \in L^2_{\mathcal{M}}$ and that for every fixed h also $f(t, h) \in L^2_{\mathcal{M}}$; we define $\mathcal{M}\text{-}\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(t, h)$ to be the limit in the sense of $L^2_{\mathcal{M}}$, i.e., $\mathcal{M}\text{-}\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} f(t, h) = g(t)$ if and only if $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \|f(t, h) - g(t)\|_{\mathcal{M}} = 0$.

Lemma 3.5. Assume that $f(t), f'(t), f''(t) \in L^2_{\mathcal{M}}$; then

$$\mathcal{M}\text{-}\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \left(\frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h} \right) = f'(t).$$

Proof. Since

$$\frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h} - f'(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega) \left(\frac{e^{i\omega h} - 1}{h} - i\omega \right) e^{i\omega t} d\alpha(\omega),$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \left\| \frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h} - f'(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 &= \left\| \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega) \left(\frac{e^{i\omega h} - 1}{h} - i\omega \right) \right\|_{d\alpha}^2 \\
 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega)|^2 \left| \frac{e^{i\omega h} - 1}{h} - i\omega \right|^2 d\alpha(\omega).
 \end{aligned}$$

One can verify that

$$\left| \frac{e^{i\omega h} - 1}{h} - i\omega \right|^2 = \omega^2 \left(\left(\frac{\sin \frac{h\omega}{2}}{\frac{h\omega}{2}} \right)^2 - 2 \frac{\sin h\omega}{h\omega} + 1 \right) \leq \frac{\omega^4 h^2}{4};$$

thus,

$$\left\| \frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h} - f'(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 \leq \frac{h^2}{4} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\omega^2 \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega)|^2 d\alpha(\omega) = \frac{h^2}{4} \|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f''](\omega)\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2,$$

which converges to zero as $h \rightarrow 0$. \square

Lemma 3.6. Let A be a linear operator on $L^2_{\mathcal{M}}$ which is continuous with respect to the norm of $L^2_{\mathcal{M}}$ and which is shift invariant, i.e., such that for every fixed h , $A[f(t+h)] = A[f](t+h)$ for all $f \in L^2_{\mathcal{M}}$. Assume also that $f(t), f'(t), f''(t) \in L^2_{\mathcal{M}}$, then $A[f'(t)] = (A[f(t)])'$.

Proof. Using Lemma 3.5 and the facts that A is continuous with respect to the norm of $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ and that is linear and shift invariant, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} A[f'(t)] &= A \left[\mathcal{M}\text{-}\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h} \right] = \mathcal{M}\text{-}\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} A \left[\frac{f(t+h) - f(t)}{h} \right] \\ &= \mathcal{M}\text{-}\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{A[f](t+h) - A[f](t)}{h} = (A[f(t)])'. \end{aligned}$$

□

Since $\mathbf{m}^{(k)}(t) \in L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ for all integers $k > 0$, by induction it follows that $A[\mathbf{m}^{(k)}(t)] = (A[\mathbf{m}(t)])^{(k)}$ for all integers k , which in turn implies that also $A[\mathcal{H}^k[\mathbf{m}(t)]] = \mathcal{H}^k[A[\mathbf{m}(t)]]$. Using Proposition 3.4, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 3.7. *For every linear operator on $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ which is continuous with respect to the norm of $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ and which is shift invariant and for all $f(t) \in L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}$,*

$$A[f](t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \mathcal{H}^n[f](u) \mathcal{H}^n[A[\mathbf{m}]](t-u) = (f *_{\mathcal{M}} A[\mathbf{m}])(t).$$

Consequently, the action of such A on any function in $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ is uniquely determined by $A[\mathbf{m}(t)]$. Thus, $\mathbf{m}(t)$ plays the same role which $\text{sinc}(t)$ plays in the standard signal processing paradigm based on the Shannon expansion.

Proposition 3.8. *If A is a continuous linear operator on $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ such that*

$$(63) \quad (A \circ D^n)[\mathbf{m}](t) = (D^n \circ A)[\mathbf{m}](t)$$

for all n , then such A must be shift invariant.

Proof. The continuity of A on $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$, equation (63) and Lemma 2.23 imply that for every $f \in L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$,

$$A[f](t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \mathcal{H}^n[f](0) \mathcal{H}^n[A[\mathbf{m}]](t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \mathcal{H}^n[A[\mathbf{m}]](0) \mathcal{H}^n[f](t).$$

Since operators \mathcal{H}^n are shift invariant, such A must also be shift invariant. □

4. EXAMPLES

We now present a few examples of chromatic derivatives and chromatic expansions, associated with several classical families of orthogonal polynomials. The formulas below were derived with the help of the *Mathematica*TM software.

4.1. Example 1: the Legendre polynomials. Let $L_n(\omega)$ be the Legendre polynomials; if we set $p_n^L(\omega) = \sqrt{2n+1} L_n(\omega/\pi)$ then

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} p_n^L(\omega) p_m^L(\omega) \frac{1}{2\pi} d\omega = \delta(m-n).$$

The corresponding recursion coefficients in equation (16) are given by the formula

$$\gamma_n = \frac{\pi(n+1)}{\sqrt{4(n+1)^2 - 1}};$$

the corresponding space $L_{d\alpha}^2$ is $L^2[-\pi, \pi]$. In this case

$$\mathbf{m}(z) = j_0(\pi z) = \text{sinc}(t)$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}^n[\mathbf{m}](z) = (-1)^n \sqrt{2n+1} j_n(\pi z)$$

where $j_n(z)$ is the spherical Bessel function of order n .¹²

In this case $\mu_{2n} = \frac{\pi^{2n}}{2n+1}$ and so $\rho = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\mu_{2n}}{(2n)!} \right)^{\frac{1}{2n}} = 0$. Consequently, $1/\rho = \infty$ and $\mathbf{m}(z)$ is an entire function. The space $\mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ for this particular example consists of all entire functions whose restrictions to \mathbb{R} belong to L^2 and which have a Fourier transform supported in $[-\pi, \pi]$. Proposition 2.21 implies that in this case our locally defined scalar product $\langle f, g \rangle_{\mathcal{M}}$, norm $\|f\|_{\mathcal{M}}$ and convolution $(f *_{\mathcal{M}} g)(t)$ coincide with the usual scalar product, norm and convolution on L_2 .

4.2. Example 2: the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind. Let $p_n^T(\omega)$ be the family of orthonormal polynomials obtained by normalising and rescaling the Chebyshev polynomials of the first kind, $T_n(\omega)$, by setting $p_0^T(\omega) = 1$ and $p_n^T(\omega) = \sqrt{2} T_n(\omega/\pi)$ for $n > 0$. In this case

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} p_n^T(\omega) p_m^T(\omega) \frac{d\omega}{\pi^2 \sqrt{\pi^2 - \omega^2}} = \delta(n - m)$$

and the corresponding space $\mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ contains functions which do not belong to L^2 ; the corresponding function (20) is $\mathbf{m}(z) = J_0(\pi z) \notin L^2$ and for $n > 0$, $\mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](z) = (-1)^n \sqrt{2} J_n(\pi z)$, where $J_n(z)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind of order n . In the recurrence relation (23) the coefficients are given by $\gamma_0 = \pi/\sqrt{2}$ and $\gamma_n = \pi/2$ for $n > 0$.

The chromatic expansion of a function $f(z)$ is the Neumann series of $f(z)$ (see [39]),

$$f(z) = f(u) J_0(\pi(z-u)) + \sqrt{2} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^n[f](u) J_n(\pi(z-u)).$$

Thus, the chromatic expansions corresponding to various families of orthogonal polynomials can be seen as generalisations of the Neumann series, while the families of corresponding functions $\{\mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](z)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ can be seen as generalisations and a uniform representation of some familiar families of special functions.

In this case $\mu_{2n} = \frac{\pi^{2n-1/2} \Gamma(n+1/2)}{n!}$ and again $1/\rho = \infty$.

4.3. Example 3: the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind. Let $p_n^U(\omega)$ be the family of orthonormal polynomials obtained by rescaling the Chebyshev polynomials of the second kind, $U_n(\omega)$, by setting $p_0^U(\omega) = 1$ and $p_n^U(\omega) = U_n(\omega/\pi)$ for $n > 0$. In this case

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} p_n^U(\omega) p_m^U(\omega) \frac{2}{\pi^2} \sqrt{1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^2} d\omega = \delta(n - m)$$

The recursion coefficients are given by $\gamma_n = \pi/2$ and $\mathbf{m}(z) = J_0(\pi z) + J_2(\pi z)$, while

$\mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](z) = (-1)^n (J_n(\pi z) + J_{n+2}(\pi z))$ and $\mu_{2n} = \frac{\pi^{2n-1/2} \Gamma(n+1/2)}{2\Gamma(n+2)}$. Again $\rho = 0$ and $\mathbf{m}(z)$ is entire.

4.4. Example 4: the Gegenbauer polynomials. We rescale and normalise the Gegenbauer polynomials $C_n^{(a)}(\omega)$ by setting

$$p_n^G(\omega) = \frac{\sqrt{\Gamma(a+1/2)\Gamma(n+1)(n+a)\Gamma(a)}}{\pi^{1/4} 2^{1/2-a} \sqrt{\Gamma(n+2a)\Gamma(a+1)}} C_n^{(a)}\left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)$$

Then $p_0^G(\omega) = 1$ and for $a > -1/2$ such polynomials are orthonormal with respect to weight

$\frac{\Gamma(a+1)}{\pi^{3/2} \Gamma(a+1/2)} \left(1 - \left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^2\right)^{a-1/2}$. The recursion coefficients are given by $\gamma_n = \frac{\pi}{2} \sqrt{\frac{(n+1)(n+2a)}{(n+a)(n+a+1)}}$, and

$\mathbf{m}(z) = {}_0F_1(; 1+a; -\pi^2 z^2/4)$, where ${}_0F_1(; x; y)$ is the confluent hypergeometric function;

$$\mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](z) = 2ai^n e^{-i\pi z} \sqrt{\frac{(a+n)\Gamma(2a)}{a\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(2a+n)}} \sum_{m=0}^n \binom{m}{n} \frac{(-1)^{m+n} \Gamma(2a+m+n)}{\Gamma(2a+m+1)} {}_1F_1(1/2+a+m, 2a+m+1, 2i\pi z)$$

¹² $j_n(x) = \frac{\pi}{2x} J_{n+1/2}(x)$ where $J_a(x)$ is the Bessel function of the first kind.

where ${}_1F_1(x, y, z)$ is the Kummer confluent hypergeometric function.

4.5. Example 5: the Jacobi polynomials. The Jacobi polynomials generalise several classical families of orthogonal polynomials, such as the Legendre, the Chebyshev and the Gegenbauer polynomials. Thus, for $a, b > -1$ we let

$$W(a, b) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(1 - \frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^a \left(1 + \frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^b d\omega = \pi \left(\frac{{}_2F_1(1, -a; b+2; -1)}{b+1} + \frac{{}_2F_1(1, -b; a+2; -1)}{a+1} \right)$$

where ${}_2F_1$ is the hypergeometric function. We rescale and normalise the standard Jacobi polynomials $\mathcal{J}_n^{(a,b)}(x)$ to obtain polynomials $p_n^J(\omega)$ so that

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} p_n^J(\omega) p_m^J(\omega) \frac{\left(1 - \frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^a \left(1 + \frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)^b}{W(a, b)} d\omega = \delta(m - n)$$

by setting

$$p_n^J(\omega) = \left(\frac{(2n+a+b+1)\Gamma(a+1)\Gamma(b+1)\Gamma(n+1)\Gamma(n+a+b+1)}{\Gamma(2+a+b)\Gamma(n+a+1)\Gamma(n+b+1)} \right)^{1/2} \mathcal{J}_n^{(a,b)}\left(\frac{\omega}{\pi}\right)$$

The recursion coefficients for such orthonormal polynomials are given by

$$\gamma_n = \frac{2\pi}{2n+a+b+2} \sqrt{\frac{(n+1)(n+a+1)(n+b+1)(n+a+b+1)}{(2n+a+b+1)(2n+a+b+3)}}$$

$$\beta_n = \frac{\pi(a^2 - b^2)}{(2n+a+b+2)(2n+a+b)}.$$

In this case

$$\mathbf{m}(z) = \text{sgn}(a+b+1) \sqrt{\frac{\pi 2^{a+b+1} \Gamma(a+1) \Gamma(b+1)}{\Gamma(a+b+2) W(a, b)}} e^{-i\pi z} {}_1F_1(b+1; a+b+2; 2i\pi z)$$

where ${}_1F_1$ is the confluent hypergeometric function, and

$$\mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](z) = i^n e^{-i\pi z} \Gamma(a+1) \sqrt{\frac{\pi 2^{a+b+1} (2n+a+b+1) \Gamma(n+b+1)}{W(a, b) \Gamma(n+a+1) \Gamma(n+1) \Gamma(n+a+b+1)}}$$

$$\sum_{m=0}^n \binom{n}{m} \frac{(-1)^m \Gamma(n+m+a+b+1)}{\Gamma(m+a+b+2)} {}_1F_1(m+b+1; m+a+b+2; 2i\pi z)$$

4.6. Example 6: the Hermite polynomials. Let $H_n(\omega)$ be the Hermite polynomials; then polynomials $p_n^H(\omega) = (2^n n!)^{-1/2} H_n(\omega)$ satisfy

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} p_n^H(\omega) p_m^H(\omega) e^{-\omega^2} \frac{d\omega}{\sqrt{\pi}} = \delta(n - m).$$

The corresponding space $\mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ contains entire functions whose Fourier transform $\widehat{f}(\omega)$ satisfies $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\widehat{f}(\omega)|^2 e^{\omega^2} d\omega < \infty$. In this case the space $L_{\mathcal{H}}^2$ contains non-bandlimited signals; the corresponding function defined by (20) is $\mathbf{m}(z) = e^{-z^2/4}$ and $\mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](z) = (-1)^n (2^n n!)^{-1/2} z^n e^{-z^2/4}$. The corresponding recursion coefficients are given by $\gamma_n = \sqrt{(n+1)/2}$. In this case $\mu_{2n} = \Gamma(n+1/2)/\sqrt{\pi}$ and thus $1/\rho = \infty$.

4.7. Example 7: the Laguerre polynomials. The Laguerre polynomials¹³ $p_n^L(\omega)$ satisfy

$$\int_0^\infty p_n^L(\omega) p_m^L(\omega) e^{-\omega} d\omega = \delta(m-n)$$

The recursion coefficients are given by $\gamma_n = n+1$ and $\beta_n = -(2n+1)$. For this family

$$(64) \quad \mathbf{m}(z) = \frac{1}{1-iz}; \quad \mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](z) = \frac{1}{1-iz} \left(\frac{-z}{1-iz} \right)^n.$$

Since $\mu_n = \int_0^\infty \omega^n e^{-\omega} d\omega = n!$, we have $\rho = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{\mu_{2n}}{(2n)!} \right)^{1/(2n)} = 1$; note that $\mathbf{m}(z)$ has a pole at $-i$. Since $\mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](-i/2) = 2^n i^n$, the sum $\sum_{n=1}^\infty |\mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](-i/2)|^2$ diverges. Thus, while $\mathbf{m}(z)$ is analytic on $\mathbb{S}(1)$, the chromatic expansions converge uniformly on $\mathbb{S}(1/2 - \varepsilon)$.

4.8. Example 8: the Herron family. This example is a slight modification of an example from [6]. Let the family of orthonormal polynomials be given by the recursion $L_0(\omega) = 1$, $L_1(\omega) = \omega$, and $L_{n+1}(\omega) = \omega/(n+1)L_n(\omega) - n/(n+1)L_{n-1}(\omega)$. Then

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^\infty L(m, \omega) L(n, \omega) \operatorname{sech} \left(\frac{\pi \omega}{2} \right) d\omega = \delta(m-n).$$

In this case $\mathbf{m}(z) = \operatorname{sech} z$ and $\mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](z) = (-1)^n \operatorname{sech} z \tanh^n z$. The recursion coefficients are given by $\gamma_n = n+1$ for all $n \geq 0$. If E_n are the Euler numbers, then $\operatorname{sech} z = \sum_{n=0}^\infty E_{2n} z^{2n} / (2n)!$, with the series converging only in the disc of radius $\pi/2$. In this case $\mathbf{m}(z)$ is analytic on the strip $\mathbb{S}(\pi/2)$, with the corresponding chromatic expansions converging uniformly on the strip $\mathbb{S}(\pi/4 - \varepsilon)$. In this case $\mu_{2n} = 4^{-n} \pi^{-2n-1} (2n)! (\zeta(2n+1, 1/4) - \zeta(2n+1, 3/4))$ and

$$\rho = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} (\zeta(2n+1, 1/4) - \zeta(2n+1, 3/4)) \right)^{1/(2n)} = 2/\pi$$

Thus, $1/\rho = \pi/2$ ($\zeta(x, y)$ is the generalised Riemann zeta function).

5. WEAKLY BOUNDED MOMENT FUNCTIONALS

5.1. To study the point-wise convergence of chromatic expansions of functions which are not in $\mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ we found it necessary to restrict the class of chromatic moment functionals. The restricted class is a slight variant of the class introduced in [12], and is still very broad and contains functionals that correspond to many classical families of orthogonal polynomials. For technical simplicity in the definition below all conditions are formulated using a single constant M in all of the bounds.

Definition 5.1 ([12]). *Let \mathcal{M} be any moment functional such that for some $\gamma_n > 0$ and some reals β_n (23) holds for the corresponding family of orthonormal polynomials.*

(1) \mathcal{M} is weakly bounded if there exist some $M \geq 1$ and some $0 \leq p < 1$ such that for all $n \geq 0$,

$$(65) \quad \frac{1}{M} (n+1)^p \leq \gamma_n \leq M (n+1)^p; \quad \frac{|\beta_n|}{\gamma_n} \leq M.$$

(2) \mathcal{M} is bounded if it is weakly bounded with $p = 0$.

¹³Our definition of the Laguerre polynomials is slightly different from the usual one - we multiply the odd degree polynomials by -1 to ensure that the leading coefficient is always positive.

Functionals in our Examples 1 – 5 are bounded. For bounded moment functionals \mathcal{M} the corresponding moment distribution function $\alpha(\omega)$ has a finite support (see e.g., [1]). However, $\mathbf{m}(t)$ can be of infinite energy (i.e., not in L^2) as is the case in our Example 2. The moment functional in Example 6 is weakly bounded but not bounded ($p = 1/2$); the moment functionals in Examples 7 and 8 are not weakly bounded ($p = 1$). We note that important examples of classical orthogonal polynomials which correspond to weakly bounded moment functionals are provided by the following lemma.

Lemma 5.2. *Let $C, c > 0$ and $0 < p < 1$, if $d\alpha(\omega) = Ce^{-c|\omega|} \frac{1}{p} d\omega$ and $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\alpha(\omega) = 1$, then the corresponding moment functional \mathcal{M} is weakly bounded.*

Proof. Theorem 1.3 in [4] implies that in such a case $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n / (n+1)^p = 1/2$; see [16] for the details. \square

Weakly bounded moment functionals satisfy a useful estimation of the coefficients in the corresponding equations (32) and (33) relating the chromatic and the “standard” derivatives.

Lemma 5.3 ([12]). *Assume that \mathcal{M} is weakly bounded. Then the following inequalities hold for all non-negative integers k and n :*

$$(66) \quad |(\mathcal{K}^n \circ D^k)[\mathbf{m}](0)| \leq (M+1)^{2k} k!^p;$$

$$(67) \quad \left| \mathcal{K}^n \left[\frac{t^k}{k!} \right] (0) \right| \leq \frac{(3M)^n}{k!^p}.$$

Proof. By (27), it is enough to prove (66) for all n, k such that $n \leq k$. We proceed by induction on k . If $k = 0$ and $n = 0$ then $|(\mathcal{K}^n \circ D^k)[\mathbf{m}](0)| = \mathbf{m}(0) = 1$ and the left side and the right side of (66) are equal. Assume that the statement holds for all $n \leq k$. Then for all $n \leq k+1$, applying (23) to $D^k[\mathbf{m}](t)$ and then setting $t = 0$ we obtain

$$(68) \quad |(\mathcal{K}^n \circ D^{k+1})[\mathbf{m}](0)| \leq \gamma_n |(\mathcal{K}^{n+1} \circ D^k)[\mathbf{m}](0)| + |\beta_n(\mathcal{K}^n \circ D^k)[\mathbf{m}](0)| + \gamma_{n-1} |(\mathcal{K}^{n-1} \circ D^k)[\mathbf{m}](0)|.$$

Case 1: If $n = k+1$ then the first two summands on the right hand side are equal to zero and we obtain using the induction hypothesis that

$$|(\mathcal{K}^{k+1} \circ D^{k+1})[\mathbf{m}](0)| \leq \gamma_k |(\mathcal{K}^k \circ D^k)[\mathbf{m}](0)| \leq M(k+1)^p (M+1)^{2k} k!^p < (M+1)^{2k+2} (k+1)!^p.$$

Case 2: If $n = k$ then the first summand on the right is equal to zero and $|\beta_k| \leq M\gamma_k \leq M^2(k+1)^p$; thus, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathcal{K}^k \circ D^{k+1})[\mathbf{m}](0)| &\leq |\beta_k(\mathcal{K}^k \circ D^k)[\mathbf{m}](0)| + \gamma_{k-1} |(\mathcal{K}^{k-1} \circ D^k)[\mathbf{m}](0)| \\ &\leq M^2(k+1)^p (M+1)^{2k} k!^p + Mk^p (M+1)^{2k} k!^p \\ &< (M+1)^{2k+2} (k+1)!^p \end{aligned}$$

Case 3: If $n < k$ then $\gamma_n \leq M(n+1)^p \leq Mk^p$; $\beta_n \leq M\gamma_n \leq M^2 k^p$ and $\gamma_{n-1} \leq M(k-1)^p$; thus, we obtain from (68)

$$\begin{aligned} |(\mathcal{K}^n \circ D^{k+1})[\mathbf{m}](0)| &\leq Mk^p (M+1)^{2k} k!^p + M^2 k^p (M+1)^{2k} k!^p + M(k-1)^p (M+1)^{2k} k!^p \\ &< (M+1)^{2k+2} (k+1)!^p. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, by (28), it is enough to prove (67) for all $k \leq n$. This time we proceed by induction on n . If $n = k = 0$ the left side of (67) is equal to the right side. We now use (23) and (65) to obtain that for all $k \leq n+1$

$$\left| \mathcal{K}^{n+1} \left[\frac{t^k}{k!} \right] \right| \leq \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \left| (\mathcal{K}^n \circ D) \left[\frac{t^k}{k!} \right] \right| + \frac{|\beta_n|}{\gamma_n} \left| \mathcal{K}^n \left[\frac{t^k}{k!} \right] \right| + \frac{\gamma_{n-1}}{\gamma_n} \left| \mathcal{K}^{n-1} \left[\frac{t^k}{k!} \right] \right|.$$

Thus,

$$\left| \mathcal{K}^{n+1} \left[\frac{t^k}{k!} \right] (0) \right| \leq \frac{M}{(n+1)^p} \left| \mathcal{K}^n \left[\frac{t^{k-1}}{(k-1)!} \right] (0) \right| + M \left| \mathcal{K}^n \left[\frac{t^k}{k!} \right] (0) \right| + M^2 \left| \mathcal{K}^{n-1} \left[\frac{t^k}{k!} \right] (0) \right|.$$

By induction hypothesis and using (28) again, we obtain that for all $k \leq n+1$, we have $k^p \leq (n+1)^p$ and thus

$$\left| \mathcal{K}^{n+1} \left[\frac{t^k}{k!} \right] (0) \right| \leq \frac{M}{k^p} \frac{(3M)^n}{(k-1)!^p} + M \frac{(3M)^n}{k!^p} + M^2 \frac{(3M)^{n-1}}{k!^p} \leq \frac{(3M)^{n+1}}{k!^p}.$$

□

Corollary 5.4 ([12]). *Let \mathcal{M} be weakly bounded; then for every fixed n*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{(\mathcal{K}^n \circ D^k) [\mathbf{m}] (0)}{k!} \right|^{1/k} = 0,$$

and the convergence is uniform in n .

Proof. By (66),

$$(69) \quad \left| \frac{(\mathcal{K}^n \circ D^k) [\mathbf{m}] (0)}{k!} \right|^{1/k} \leq \frac{(M+1)^2}{k^{(1-p)/k}} < \frac{(M+1)^2 e^{1-p}}{k^{1-p}} \rightarrow 0.$$

□

Taking $n = 0$ in the above corollary we obtain the following consequence.

Corollary 5.5 ([12]). *Let $\mathbf{m}(z)$ correspond to a weakly bounded moment functional \mathcal{M} ; then*

$$(70) \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\mu_k}{k!} \right|^{1/k} = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\mathbf{m}^{(k)}(0)}{k!} \right|^{1/k} = 0.$$

Thus, since (14) is satisfied with $\rho = 0$, function $\mathbf{m}(z)$ which correspond to a weakly bounded moment functional is an entire function.

If (65) holds with $p = 1$, then Lemma 5.3 implies only that

$$(71) \quad \left| \frac{(\mathcal{K}^n \circ D^k) [\mathbf{m}] (0)}{k!} \right|^{1/k} \leq (M+1)^2$$

Examples 7 and 8 show that in such a case the corresponding function $\mathbf{m}(z)$ need not be entire. Thus, if the goal is to obtain chromatic expansions of entire functions, the upper bound in (65) of the definition of a weakly bounded moment functional is sharp. Corollary 5.5 also has the following consequence.

Corollary 5.6 ([12]). *If \mathcal{M} is weakly bounded, then the corresponding family of polynomials $\{p_n(\omega)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a complete system in $L_{d\alpha}^2$.*

Thus, Corollary 5.6 implies that the Legendre, Chebyshev, Gegenbauer, Jacobi and Hermite families of orthogonal polynomials are complete in their corresponding spaces $L_{d\alpha}^2$.

We will make use of the inequalities $(n/e)^n < n! < n(n/e)^n$ which for all n follow from the Stirling estimate for $n!$.

Lemma 5.7. *If $0 \leq p < 1$ and κ is an integer such that $\kappa \geq 1/(1-p)$, then for all m ,*

$$(72) \quad m!^{1-p} \geq \lfloor m/\kappa \rfloor !$$

Proof. We have $m!^{1-p} \geq m!^{1/\kappa} > (m/e)^{m/\kappa}$, while $\lfloor m/\kappa \rfloor !$ behaves like $(m/(e\kappa))^{m/\kappa}$.

□

Lemma 5.8. *Let p, κ be as in the previous lemma. Then for every $l > 0$ there exist L and $C > 0$ such that for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$(73) \quad \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|Lz|^m}{m!^{1-p}} \leq C e^{|Lz|^\kappa}.$$

Proof. For z such that $|Lz| > 1$ we obtain

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|Lz|^m}{m!^{1-p}} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|Lz|^m}{\lfloor m/\kappa \rfloor!} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|Lz|^{\kappa \lfloor m/\kappa \rfloor + \kappa - 1}}{\lfloor m/\kappa \rfloor!} = \kappa |Lz|^{\kappa - 1} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{|Lz|^{\kappa j}}{j!} = \kappa |Lz|^{\kappa - 1} e^{|Lz|^\kappa}.$$

For z such that $|Lz| \leq 1$ we obtain

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|Lz|^m}{m!^{1-p}} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|Lz|^m}{\lfloor m/\kappa \rfloor!} \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|Lz|^{\kappa \lfloor m/\kappa \rfloor}}{\lfloor m/\kappa \rfloor!} = \kappa \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{|Lz|^{\kappa j}}{j!} = \kappa e^{|Lz|^\kappa}.$$

Thus, for all z ,

$$\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|Lz|^m}{m!^{1-p}} \leq \kappa (|Lz|^{\kappa - 1} + 1) e^{|Lz|^\kappa}.$$

One can now choose $L > l$ and $C > 0$ such that (73) holds for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$. \square

Lemma 5.9. *Let p, κ be as in lemma 5.7. Then there exists a constant L such that for every n and every $z \in \mathbb{C}$,*

$$(74) \quad |\mathcal{H}^n[\mathbf{m}](z)| \leq \frac{|Lz|^n}{n!^{1-p}} e^{|Lz|^\kappa}.$$

Proof. Using the Taylor series for $\mathcal{H}^n[\mathbf{m}](z)$, (27) and (66) and inequality $m!n! \leq (m+n)!$ we obtain that for all z ,

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{H}^n[\mathbf{m}](z)| &\leq \sum_{r=n}^{\infty} \frac{|(\mathcal{H}^n \circ D^r)[\mathbf{m}](0)|}{r!} |z|^r \leq \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|(M+1)^2 z|^{n+m}}{(n+m)!^{1-p}} \\ &\leq \frac{|(M+1)^2 z|^n}{n!^{1-p}} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{|(M+1)^2 z|^m}{m!^{1-p}}. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma 5.8 we can choose $L \geq (M+1)^2$ such that $|\mathcal{H}^n[\mathbf{m}](z)| \leq \frac{|Lz|^n}{n!^{1-p}} e^{|Lz|^\kappa}$. \square

Note that, despite the roughness of the estimates in the above proof, the bound provides an accurate estimate of the type of the growth rate of $\mathbf{m}(z)$, at least in case of bounded moment functionals ($p = 0$ and $\kappa = 1$) where $\mathbf{m}(z)$ is of exponential type, as well as in the case of the Hermite polynomials ($p = 1/2$ and $\kappa = 2$), in which case $\mathcal{H}^n[\mathbf{m}](z) = (-1)^n (2^n n!)^{-1/2} z^n e^{-z^2/4}$ and thus for $z = iy$ for a real y , we have $|\mathcal{H}^n[\mathbf{m}](z)| = \frac{(q|z|)^n}{(n!)^{1/2}} e^{(s|z|)^2}$ with $q = 2^{-1/2}$ and $s = 1/2$.

5.2. Point-wise convergence of chromatic expansions.

Lemma 5.10. *Let p be as in Definition 5.1 and let $f(z)$ be any function analytic in a disc centred at $u \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\mathcal{H}^n[f](u)}{n!^{1-p}} \right|^{1/n} < \infty$ and if $f(z)$ is entire, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{\mathcal{H}^n[f](u)}{n!^{1-p}} \right|^{1/n} = 0$.*

Proof. Let $\tau > 0$ be any number such that $\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{f^{(k)}(u)}{k!} \right|^{1/k} < \tau$. Then there exists B such that $|f^{(k)}(u)| \leq Bk! \tau^k$ for all k . Using (33) and (67) we now obtain

$$|\mathcal{H}^n[f](u)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \left| \mathcal{H}^n \left[\frac{t^k}{k!} \right] (0) \right| |f^{(k)}(u)| \leq \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{(3M)^n}{k!^p} Bk! \tau^k = (3M)^n B \sum_{k=0}^n k!^{1-p} \tau^k$$

Since $k \leq n$, we have $k! < k(k/e)^k \leq n(n/e)^k$ and we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{K}^n[f](u)| &\leq (3M)^n B n^{1-p} \sum_{k=0}^n \left(\left(\frac{n}{e} \right)^{1-p} \tau \right)^k = (3M)^n B n^{1-p} \frac{\left(\left(\frac{n}{e} \right)^{1-p} \tau \right)^{n+1} - 1}{\left(\frac{n}{e} \right)^{1-p} \tau - 1} \\ &< (3M)^n \frac{B n^{1-p} \left(\frac{n}{e} \right)^{1-p} \tau}{\left(\frac{n}{e} \right)^{1-p} \tau - 1} \left(\left(\frac{n}{e} \right)^{1-p} \tau \right)^n \end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\left| \frac{\mathcal{K}^n[f](u)}{n^{1-p}} \right|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \frac{3M \left(\frac{B n^{1-p} \left(\frac{n}{e} \right)^{1-p} \tau}{\left(\frac{n}{e} \right)^{1-p} \tau - 1} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \left(\frac{n}{e} \right)^{1-p} \tau}{\left(\frac{n}{e} \right)^{1-p}} = 3M \left(\frac{B n^{2-2p} \tau}{n^{1-p} - e^{1-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}} \tau$$

Our claims now follow from the fact that $\left(\frac{B n^{2-2p} \tau}{n^{1-p} - e^{1-p}} \right)^{\frac{1}{n}}$ is bounded. \square

Theorem 5.11. *Let \mathcal{M} be weakly bounded.*

- (1) *If a function $f(z)$ is analytic on a domain $G \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and $u \in G$ then the chromatic expansion $\text{CE}^{\mathcal{M}}[f, u](z)$ of $f(z)$ converges uniformly to $f(z)$ on a disc $D \subseteq G$, centered at u .*
- (2) *In particular, if $f(z)$ is entire, then the chromatic expansion $\text{CE}^{\mathcal{M}}[f, u](z)$ of $f(z)$ converges for every $z \in G$ and the convergence is uniform on every disc around u of finite radius.*

Proof. By the previous lemma $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathcal{K}^n[f](u)/n^{1-p}|^{1/n} < \infty$. Let $\tau > 0$ be an arbitrary number such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\mathcal{K}^n[f](u)/n^{1-p}|^{1/n} < \tau$. Then there exists $B_\tau > 0$ such that $|\mathcal{K}^n[f](u)| < B_\tau \tau^n n^{1-p}$ for all n . Let L and κ be such that (74) holds; then Lemma 5.9 implies that for all n ,

$$|\mathcal{K}^n[f](u) \mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](z-u)|^{1/n} < \left(B_\tau e^{L|z-u|^\kappa} \right)^{1/n} L \tau |z-u|.$$

Thus, the chromatic series converges uniformly inside every disc $\mathcal{D}(u, r) \subseteq G$, centered at u , of a radius $r < 1/(L\tau)$. Since by (58)

$$\mathcal{K}^m[\text{CA}^{\mathcal{M}}[f, u](z)]|_{z=u} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \mathcal{K}^n[f](u) (\mathcal{K}^m \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](0) = \mathcal{K}^m[f](u),$$

$\text{CA}^{\mathcal{M}}[f, u](z)$ converges to $f(z)$ on $\mathcal{D}(u, r)$. \square

Proposition 5.12. *Assume that \mathcal{M} is weakly bounded for some $0 \leq p < 1$ and let κ be an integer such that $\kappa \geq 1/(1-p)$ holds. Assume also that $f(z)$ satisfies that there exists $Q \geq 1$ such that $|\mathcal{K}^n[f](0)| < Q^n$ for all n . Then there exist $C, L > 0$ such that $|f(z)| \leq C e^{L|z|^\kappa}$.*

Proof. Assume that $|\mathcal{K}^n[f](0)| < Q^n$; then $|\mathcal{K}^n[f](0)/n^{1-p}|^{1/n} \rightarrow 0$. Consequently, by Theorem 5.11 the chromatic expansion of $f(z)$ converges everywhere to $f(z)$ and, using Lemma 5.8 and estimate (74) from Lemma 5.9, we obtain

$$|f(z)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}^n[f](0)| |\mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](z)| \leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{Q L_1 |z|^n}{n^{1-p}} e^{L_1 |z|^\kappa} \leq C_1 e^{L_2 |z|^\kappa} e^{L_1 |z|^\kappa} \leq C e^{L|z|^\kappa}$$

for suitably chosen constants. \square

Corollary 5.13. *Assume that \mathcal{M} is weakly bounded and κ an integer such that $\kappa \geq 1/(1-p)$. If $f(z) \in \mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ then there exist $C, L > 0$ such that $|f(z)| \leq C e^{L|z|^\kappa}$.*

So, while functions $f(z) \in \mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ are bounded inside the strip $\mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2p})$, outside of $\mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2p})$, in general, they grow as $Ce^{|Lz|^\kappa}$. For bounded moment functionals, such as those corresponding to the Legendre or the Chebyshev polynomials, we have $p = 0$ and thus $\kappa = 1$; consequently, Proposition 5.12 implies that in the bounded case functions which are in $\mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ are of exponential type, i.e., $|f(z)| \leq Ce^{|Lz|}$. For \mathcal{M} corresponding to the Hermite polynomials $p=1/2$ (see Example 3); thus, we get that there exists $C, L > 0$ such that $|f(z)| \leq Ce^{L|z|^2}$ for all $f \in \mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$. Such a bound is asymptotically tight (modulo the constants C and L) because for the Hermite polynomials $\mathbf{m}(z) = e^{-z^2/4}$; thus for $z = iy$ for $y > 0$ we have $\mathbf{m}(z) = \mathbf{m}(iy) = e^{y^2/4} = e^{|z|^2/4}$.

More generally, as we have shown, the moment functionals corresponding to exponential weights $d\alpha(\omega) = e^{-c|\omega|^{\frac{1}{p}}}d\omega$ are weakly bounded. So, if

$$f(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\omega) e^{i\omega z} e^{-c|\omega|^{\frac{1}{p}}} d\omega$$

for some $F(\omega)$ such that

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(\omega)|^2 e^{-c|\omega|^{\frac{1}{p}}} d\omega < \infty,$$

then $F(\omega) \in L_{d\alpha}^2$ and consequently $f(z) \in \mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$. Thus, $|f(z)| \leq Ce^{L|z|^\kappa}$ for some $C, L > 0$ and for κ an integer such that $\kappa \geq 1/(1-p)$. The following conjecture, postulating that the implication in the opposite direction also holds, if true, would be an interesting generalisation of the Paley - Wiener Theorem.

Conjecture 5.14. *Assume that $w(\omega)$ is a non-negative even weight function and let $f(z)$ be an arbitrary entire function for which there exists a function $F(\omega)$ such that*

$$(75) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |F(\omega)|^2 w(\omega) d\omega < \infty$$

and such that for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$(76) \quad f(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(\omega) w(\omega) e^{i\omega z} d\omega.$$

Then:

- (1) $f(z)$ satisfies $|f(z)| < Ce^{L|z|}$ for some $L, C > 0$ just in case the weight function $w(\omega)$ in equations (75) and (76) can be chosen to be supported in a compact interval;
- (2) $f(z)$ satisfies $|f(z)| < Ce^{L|z|^\kappa}$ for some $L, C > 0$ and an integer $\kappa > 1$ just in case $w(\omega)$ can be chosen to satisfy $w(\omega) \leq e^{-B|\omega|^{-\frac{\kappa}{\kappa-1}}}$ for some $B > 0$ and all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$.

Note that, unlike what we have conjectured, the Paley Wiener Theorem requires $f(t)$ to be in L^2 ; however, for example, while the Bessel function $J_0(t)$ of the first kind is not in L^2 but it is of exponential type and it satisfies $J_0(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-1}^1 \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\omega^2}} e^{i\omega z} d\omega$.

5.3. Generalisations of some classical equalities for the Bessel functions. Theorem 5.11 generalizes the classic result that every entire function can be expressed as a Neumann series of Bessel functions [39], by replacing the Neumann series with a chromatic expansion that corresponds to any weakly bounded moment functional. Thus, many classical results on Bessel functions from [39] immediately follow from Theorem 5.11 and generalise to functions $\mathcal{H}^n[\mathbf{m}](z)$ corresponding to any weakly bounded moment functional \mathcal{M} . Below we give a few illustrative examples.

Corollary 5.15. *Let $\{p_n(\omega)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be the orthonormal polynomials associated with a weakly bounded moment functional \mathcal{M} ; then, by the completeness of $\{p_n(\omega)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $L_{d\alpha}^2$, Proposition 2.13 with $\varphi(\omega) \equiv 1$*

implies that the equation

$$(77) \quad e^{i\omega z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-i)^n p_n(\omega) \mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](z)$$

holds in the sense of $L_{d\alpha}^2$ for all $z \in \mathbb{S}(\frac{1}{2\rho})$. However, such an equation also holds point-wise for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and all ω , including ω outside of the support of $\alpha(\omega)$.

Proof. Follows directly from Theorem 5.11 and (24). \square

Corollary 5.15 generalises the well known equality for the Chebyshev polynomials $T_n(\omega)$ and the Bessel functions $J_n(z)$, i.e.,

$$e^{i\omega z} = J_0(z) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} i^n T_n(\omega) J_n(z).$$

In Example 6, 5.15 becomes the equality for the Hermite polynomials $H_n(\omega)$:

$$e^{i\omega z} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{H_n(\omega)}{n!} \left(\frac{iz}{2}\right)^n e^{-\frac{z^2}{4}}.$$

Using Proposition 3.1 to expand $\mathbf{m}(z+u) \in \mathbf{L}_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ into chromatic series around $z = u$, we get that for all $z, u \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathbf{m}(z+u) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](u) \mathcal{K}^n[\mathbf{m}](z),$$

which generalises the equality

$$J_0(z+u) = J_0(u)J_0(z) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n J_n(u)J_n(z).$$

For the next formula, in order to get a simple form we restrict ourselves to weakly bounded symmetric moment functionals. Thus, $\beta_n = 0$ and if we apply Theorem 5.11 to the constant function $f(z) \equiv 1$, we get that $\mathcal{K}^{2n}[f(z)] = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\gamma_{2k-2}}{\gamma_{2k-1}}$ and $\mathcal{K}^{2n+1}[f(z)] = 0$. This implies that the chromatic expansion of $f(z) \equiv 1$ yields that for all weakly bounded moment functionals and for all $z \in \mathbb{C}$

$$\mathbf{m}(z) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^n \left(\prod_{k=1}^n \frac{\gamma_{2k-2}}{\gamma_{2k-1}} \right) \mathcal{K}^{2n}[\mathbf{m}](z) = 1,$$

with γ_n the recursion coefficients from (16). This equality generalises the special case which is the equality for the Bessel functions

$$J_0(z) + 2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} J_{2n}(z) = 1.$$

6. SOME SPACES OF ALMOST PERIODIC FUNCTIONS

6.1. In signal processing functions with a finite L^2 norm are considered to be signals of finite energy; on the other hand, functions such as $f(t) = e^{i\omega t}$ have infinite energy but finite power, defined as

$$(78) \quad \|f\|_b = \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \left(\frac{1}{2T} \int_{-T}^T |f(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

The space B^2 of the Besicovitch almost periodic functions is the closure of the trigonometric polynomials under the semi-norm $\|f\|_b$ given by (78).

In our setup, functions from $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$, thus satisfying $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}^n[f](0)|^2 < \infty$, can be considered to be signals of finite energy. For weakly bounded functionals \mathcal{M} the pure harmonic oscillations $f(t) = e^{i\omega t}$ do not belong to $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ because $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}^n[f](0)|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p_n(\omega)^2$ diverges. We now introduce some normed spaces

in which pure harmonic oscillations have finite norms; thus, functions from such spaces can be considered to have finite power. Note that weakly bounded moment functionals, since $0 \leq p < 1$, satisfy

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_n} \geq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M(n+1)^p} = \infty.$$

We weaken the condition that $1/M(n+1)^p \leq \gamma_n \leq M(n+1)^p$ for some M and $0 \leq p < 1$ by replacing it with the condition that $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_n}$ should diverge, but impose some additional conditions on the recursion coefficients, listed below. For simplicity, we consider only symmetric moment functionals. The conditions given below can be weakened, as shown in [30] and as discussed in detail in [29]. The theory can also be extended to non symmetric functionals which satisfy $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\beta_n|/\gamma_n < 2$; see [31] and [32].

Let $s(n)$ be the first and $ds(n)$ the second order forward finite differences of the recursion coefficients:

$$s(n) = \gamma_{n+1} - \gamma_n; \quad ds(n) = s(n+1) - s(n).$$

We consider families of orthonormal polynomials such that the corresponding recursion coefficients γ_n satisfy the following conditions.

$$(\mathcal{C}_1) \quad \gamma_n \rightarrow \infty;$$

$$(\mathcal{C}_2) \quad s(n) \rightarrow 0;$$

$$(\mathcal{C}_3) \quad \text{There exist } n_0, m_0 \text{ such that } \gamma_{n+m} > \gamma_n \text{ holds for all } n \geq n_0 \text{ and all } m \geq m_0.$$

$$(\mathcal{C}_4) \quad \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_j} = \infty;$$

$$(\mathcal{C}_5) \quad \text{there exists } \kappa > 1 \text{ such that } \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\gamma_j^\kappa} < \infty;$$

$$(\mathcal{C}_6) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|s(n)|}{\gamma_n^2} < \infty;$$

$$(\mathcal{C}_7) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|ds(n)|}{\gamma_n} < \infty.$$

Note that if the Hermite polynomials are normalised into a corresponding orthonormal family with respect to the weight $W(x) = e^{-x^2} / \sqrt{\pi}$, then their recursion coefficients are of the form $\gamma_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (n+1)^{1/2}$.

While at the first sight these conditions might appear rather complicated, it turns out that the recursion coefficients of many important families of orthonormal polynomials satisfy these conditions, as exemplified by the following lemma.

Lemma 6.1 ([16]). *Conditions (\mathcal{C}_1) – (\mathcal{C}_7) are satisfied by the Hermite polynomials, and more generally,*

- (a) *by families with recursion coefficients of the form $\gamma_n = c(n+1)^p$ for any $0 < p < 1$ and $c > 0$;*
- (b) *by families orthonormal with respect to the exponential weight $W(\omega) = \exp(-c|\omega|^\beta)$ for $\beta > 1$ and $c > 0$.*

Theorem 6.2 ([16]). *Assume that the recursion coefficients γ_n which correspond to a symmetric positive definite family of orthonormal polynomials $(p_n : n \in \mathbb{N})$ satisfy conditions (\mathcal{C}_1) – (\mathcal{C}_7) ; then the limit $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(p_n^2(\omega) + p_{n+1}^2(\omega))$ exists for every $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$; more over, for every $B > 0$ there exist m_B and M_B such that $0 < m_B < M_B < \infty$ and such that for all ω which satisfy $|\omega| \leq B$,*

$$(79) \quad m_B \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n(p_n^2(\omega) + p_{n+1}^2(\omega)) \leq M_B$$

with the limit converging uniformly on the set of all ω such that $|\omega| \leq B$.

Note that this is in contrast with the case when the recursion coefficients are bounded; a classical result of Nevai implies that in the bounded case the sequence $\gamma_n(p_n^2(\omega) + p_{n+1}^2(\omega))$ cannot converge; see [23]. So it is not surprising that in our case, the slower the γ_n grow to infinity, the slower the above limit converges.

Corollary 6.3 ([16]). *Let γ_n be as in Theorem 6.2; then the limits below exist and satisfy*

$$(80) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k^2(\omega)}{\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_k}} = \frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n (p_n^2(\omega) + p_{n+1}^2(\omega))$$

*and convergence of the two limits is uniform on every compact set.*¹⁴

Corollary 6.4 ([16]). *If $\gamma_n = c(n+1)^p$ for some $c > 0$ and some p such that $0 < p < 1$, then*

$$0 < \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k^2(\omega)}{(n+1)^{1-p}} < \infty,$$

and convergence of the limit is uniform on every compact set.

6.2. Spaces of signals of finite power.

Definition 6.5. *Assume that the recurrence coefficients satisfy conditions (\mathcal{C}_1) – (\mathcal{C}_7) . We denote by \mathcal{B} the vector space of functions $f(t) \in C_{\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}}^\infty$ for which the sequence of corresponding functions $(\beta_n^f(t) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ defined by*

$$(81) \quad \beta_n^f(t) = \gamma_n (|\mathcal{K}^n[f](t)|^2 + |\mathcal{K}^{n+1}[f](t)|^2)$$

converges uniformly on every compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$.

Lemma 6.6. *If $f(t) \in \mathcal{B}$ then $(\beta_n^f(t) : n \in \mathbb{N})$ converges to a constant function, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n^f(t) = L$. Moreover, if we define*

$$(82) \quad v_n^f(t) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n |\mathcal{K}^k[f(t)]|^2}{\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_k}};$$

then $v^f(t)$ converges to constant function $L/2$, uniformly on every compact interval.

Proof. Assume $\beta_n^f(t) \rightarrow l(t)$, uniformly on every compact interval $I \subset \mathbb{R}$. We now note that our assumptions on γ_n imply that

$$\frac{1}{2} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n (|\mathcal{K}^n[f](t)|^2 + |\mathcal{K}^{n+1}[f](t)|^2) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\mathcal{K}^{2n}[f](t)|^2 + |\mathcal{K}^{2n+1}[f](t)|^2}{\frac{1}{\gamma_{2n}} + \frac{1}{\gamma_{2n+1}}} = \frac{l(t)}{2}.$$

For odd n , $n = 2k + 1$, since $\sum_{j=0}^1 / \gamma_j$ diverges, the (general) Stolz - Cesàro Theorem applies and we obtain

$$\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{2k+1} |\mathcal{K}^j[f(t)]|^2}{\sum_{j=0}^{2k+1} \frac{1}{\gamma_j}} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^k (|\mathcal{K}^{2j}[f(t)]|^2 + |\mathcal{K}^{2j+1}[f(t)]|^2)}{\sum_{j=0}^k \left(\frac{1}{\gamma_{2j}} + \frac{1}{\gamma_{2j+1}} \right)} \rightarrow \frac{l(t)}{2}$$

For even $n = 2k + 2$ we observe that

$$\frac{\sum_{j=0}^{2k+2} |\mathcal{K}^j[f(t)]|^2}{\sum_{j=0}^{2k+2} \frac{1}{\gamma_j}} = \frac{\sum_{j=0}^{2k+1} |\mathcal{K}^j[f(t)]|^2}{\sum_{j=0}^{2k+1} \frac{1}{\gamma_j}} \left(1 - \frac{\frac{1}{\gamma_{2k+2}}}{\sum_{j=0}^{2k+2} \frac{1}{\gamma_j}} \right) + \frac{|\mathcal{K}^{2k+2}[f(t)]|^2}{\sum_{j=0}^{2k+2} \frac{1}{\gamma_j}}$$

We now prove that $v^f(t)$ is constant on \mathbb{R} . We observe that (29) with $g(x) = f(x)$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dt} v_n^f(t) \right| &\leq \frac{\gamma_n (|\mathcal{K}^{n+1}[f(t)] \overline{\mathcal{K}^n[f(t)]}| + |\mathcal{K}^n[f(t)] \overline{\mathcal{K}^{n+1}[f(t)]}|)}{\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_k}} \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma_n (|\mathcal{K}^n[f(t)]|^2 + |\mathcal{K}^{n+1}[f(t)]|^2)}{\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_k}} \end{aligned}$$

¹⁴Corollary 6.3 implies our conjecture from [14] (under the presence of additional assumptions stated above).

We now apply a theorem on the exchange of the order of differentiation and summation. Since the numerator is uniformly convergent on every compact interval it is bounded on every compact interval and since $\sum_{k=0}^n 1/\gamma_k$ diverges, the assumption (81) implies that $(v_n^f(t))'$ converges to 0 uniformly on I . Thus, for some $L \geq 0$, $\beta_n^f(t)$ and $v_n(t)$ converge to constant functions L and $L/2$ respectively. \square

Corollary 6.7. *The following expression, whose value does not depend on t , defines a semi-norm on \mathcal{B} :*

$$(83) \quad \|f\|^\mathcal{M} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n |\mathcal{K}^k[f(t)]|^2}{\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_k}}}.$$

Thus, if we let $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}$ to be the set of all $f \in \mathcal{B}$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n |\mathcal{K}^k[f(t)]|^2}{\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_k}}} = 0,$$

then $\|f\|^\mathcal{M}$ is a norm on the quotient space $\mathcal{B}_2 = \mathcal{B}/\mathcal{B}_0$.

Note that if $f(t) \in L^2_\mathcal{M}$ then $\sum_{k=0}^n |\mathcal{K}^k[f(t)]|^2$ converges and thus, since $\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_k}$ diverges, $\|f\|^\mathcal{M} = 0$, which means that $L^2_\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_0$.

Lemma 6.8. *Let $f, g \in \mathcal{B}$ and let*

$$(84) \quad \sigma_n^{fg}(t) = \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n \mathcal{K}^k[f(t)] \mathcal{K}^k[\overline{g(t)}]}{\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_k}}.$$

If for some $t = t_0$ the sequence $\sigma_n^{fg}(t_0)$ converges as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $\sigma_n^{fg}(t)$ converges for all t to a constant function.

Proof. Using (29) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{d}{dt} \sigma_n^{fg}(t) \right| &\leq \frac{\gamma_n (|\mathcal{K}_t^{n+1}[f(t)] \mathcal{K}_t^n[\overline{g(t)}]| + |\mathcal{K}_t^n[f(t)] \mathcal{K}_t^{n+1}[\overline{g(t)}]|)}{\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_k}} \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma_n (|\mathcal{K}_t^n[f(t)]|^2 + |\mathcal{K}_t^{n+1}[f(t)]|^2 + |\mathcal{K}_t^n[g(t)]|^2 + |\mathcal{K}_t^{n+1}[g(t)]|^2)}{2 \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_k}}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $f, g \in \mathcal{B}$, the numerator converges uniformly on every finite interval; since the denominator diverges, $\left| \frac{d}{dt} \sigma_n^{fg}(t) \right|$ converges uniformly to zero on every finite interval. Thus, if $\sigma_n^{fg}(t_0)$ converges for some $t = t_0$ it converges for all t to a constant function. \square

Theorem 6.9. *If the recurrence coefficients satisfy conditions (\mathcal{C}_2) – (\mathcal{C}_6) , then the complex exponentials $e_\omega(t) = e^{i\omega t}$ belong to the associated space \mathcal{B}_2 and for every $e_\omega(t) = e^{i\omega t}$ and $e_\sigma(t) = e^{i\sigma t}$ such that $\omega \neq \sigma$ we have*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n \mathcal{K}^k[e^{i\omega t}] \mathcal{K}^k[\overline{e^{i\sigma t}}]}{\sum_{j=0}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_j}} = 0.$$

Proof. Let $\omega > 0$; since

$$|\mathcal{K}^n[e^{i\omega t}]|^2 = |i^n p_n(\omega) e^{i\omega t}|^2 = p_n^2(\omega)$$

and thus

$$(85) \quad \gamma_n (|\mathcal{K}^n[e^{i\omega t}]|^2 + |\mathcal{K}^{n+1}[e^{i\omega t}]|^2) = \gamma_n (p_n^2(\omega) + p_{n+1}^2(\omega)),$$

our Corollary 6.3 implies that the sequence $\gamma_n(|\mathcal{K}^n[e^{i\omega t}]|^2 + |\mathcal{K}^{n+1}[e^{i\omega t}]|^2)$ converges to a positive finite limit uniformly in $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and uniformly in ω from any compact interval. Thus, $e^{i\omega t} \in \mathcal{B}_2$ and by Lemma 6.7 we have $0 < \|e^{i\omega t}\| < \infty$. Let $\omega \neq \sigma$. Note that

$$(86) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n \mathcal{K}^k[e^{i\omega t}] \mathcal{K}^k[e^{-i\sigma t}] = \sum_{k=0}^n p_k(\omega) p_k(\sigma) e^{i(\omega-\sigma)t}.$$

By the Christoffel Darboux equality (17) we have

$$(87) \quad \sum_{k=0}^n p_k(\omega) p_k(\sigma) = \frac{\gamma_n}{\omega - \sigma} (p_{n+1}(\omega) p_n(\sigma) - p_{n+1}(\sigma) p_n(\omega)).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \mathcal{K}^k[e^{i\omega t}] \mathcal{K}^k[e^{-i\sigma t}] \right| &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^n p_k(\omega) p_k(\sigma) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma_n}{\omega - \sigma} (|p_{n+1}(\omega) p_n(\sigma)| + |p_{n+1}(\sigma) p_n(\omega)|) \\ &\leq \frac{\gamma_n}{2(\omega - \sigma)} (p_{n+1}^2(\omega) + p_n^2(\sigma) + p_{n+1}^2(\sigma) + p_n^2(\omega)). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\gamma_n(p_{n+1}^2(\omega) + p_n^2(\omega))$ and $\gamma_n(p_{n+1}^2(\sigma) + p_n^2(\sigma))$ both converge to a finite limit and $\sum_{k=0}^n 1/\gamma_k$ diverges as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n \mathcal{K}^k[e^{i\omega t}] \mathcal{K}^k[e^{-i\sigma t}]}{\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_k}} = 0.$$

□

Thus, on the vector space of all finite linear combinations of complex exponentials $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n^{fg}(t)$ is independent of t and it defines an inner product which makes all complex exponentials of distinct frequencies mutually orthogonal. Such an inner product can now be extended by the same formula to spaces relevant to signal processing, such as spaces of functions of the form $f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q_k e^{i\omega_k t}$ where $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |q_k| < \infty$ and for some $B > 0$ all ω_k satisfy $|\omega_k| < B$. Such signals have bounded amplitude and finite bandwidth; they are important, for example, for representation of audio signals. The values of $\gamma_n(|\mathcal{K}^n[f(t)]|^2 + |\mathcal{K}^{n+1}[f(t)]|^2)$ for various n are measures of “local energy” around an instant t of a signal of infinite total energy (in the usual L_2 sense); increasing n reduces such localisation. Operators $\{\mathcal{K}^k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ can also be used for frequency estimation of multiple sinusoids in the presence of coloured noise; see [15].

As an example, in the space \mathcal{B}_2 associated with the Hermite polynomials (Example 4) we have $p = 1/2$ and a calculation shows that for $\gamma_n = c(n+1)^p$ we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{\gamma_k}}{\frac{(n+1)^{1-p}}{c(1-p)}} = 1$$

Thus, since for the Hermite polynomials $c = 1/\sqrt{2}$, the corresponding norm is defined by

$$\|f\|^H = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sqrt{\sum_{k=0}^n |\mathcal{K}^k[f](t)|^2}}{\sqrt[4]{8n+8}}.$$

Theorem 6.2 implies that for all $\omega > 0$ functions $f_\omega(t) = e^{i\omega t}$ have norm

$$(88) \quad \|f_\omega\|^H = \frac{e^{\omega^2/2}}{\sqrt[4]{4\pi}}.$$

It is an open problem if analogous equalities hold for all bounded moment functionals. However, for particular \mathcal{M} one can still define a norm and a scalar product on the vector space of trigonometric polynomials in which complex exponentials have finite positive norms and in which every two complex exponentials are mutually orthogonal. For example, for the Chebyshev polynomials (Example 2) one can define

$$\|f\|^T = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{k=0}^n |\mathcal{K}^k[f](t)|^2}{n+1}}; \quad \langle f, g \rangle^T = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n \mathcal{K}^k[f](t) \overline{\mathcal{K}^k[g](t)}}{n+1}.$$

Proposition 6.10. *Let $f_\omega(t) = e^{i\omega t}$; then $\|f_\omega\|^T = 1$ and $\langle f_\omega, f_\sigma \rangle^T = 0$ for all $-\pi \leq \omega, \sigma \leq \pi$ such that $\omega \neq \sigma$.*

Proof. Using (24) and (18), one can verify that for $-\pi < \omega < \pi$,

$$\|f_\omega\|^T = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{k=0}^n p_k^T(\omega)^2 = \frac{2n+1}{2n+2} + \frac{\sin((2n+1) \arccos \omega)}{(2n+2)\sqrt{1-\omega^2}} \rightarrow 1.$$

Consequently, $\|f_\omega(t)\|^T = 1$. Also, from (24) we get

$$\langle f_\omega, f_\sigma \rangle^T = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k^T(\omega) p_k^T(\sigma)}{n+1}$$

Since $p_n^T(\omega) \leq \sqrt{2}$ on $(-\pi, \pi)$, (17) implies that for $\omega \neq \sigma$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k=0}^n p_k^T(\omega) p_k^T(\sigma)}{n+1} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{p_{n+1}^T(\omega) p_n^T(\sigma) - p_{n+1}^T(\sigma) p_n^T(\omega)}{(n+1)(\omega - \sigma)} = 0.$$

Thus, $\langle f_\omega, f_\sigma \rangle^T = 0$. □

Note that in this case, unlike the case of the family associated with the Hermite polynomials, the norm of a pure harmonic oscillation does not depend on its frequency (but the frequency must belong to the support of the moment distribution function).

6.3. A geometric interpretation of chromatic derivatives. Let $\langle\langle a_n \rangle\rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ denote a square summable complex sequence, $\langle\langle a_n \rangle\rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l^2$. For every particular value of $t \in \mathbb{R}$ the mapping of $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ into l^2 given by $f \mapsto f^t = \langle\langle \mathcal{K}^n[f](t) \rangle\rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a unitary isomorphism which maps the basis of $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$, consisting of vectors $B_k^0(t) = (-1)^k \mathcal{K}^k[\mathbf{m}(t)]$, into vectors $b_k^t = \langle\langle (-1)^k (\mathcal{K}^n \circ \mathcal{K}^k)[\mathbf{m}(t)] \rangle\rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. Since the scalar product given by (49) is independent of t , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle b_k^t, b_m^t \rangle_{l^2} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (\mathcal{K}^n \circ \mathcal{K}^k)[\mathbf{m}](t) \overline{(-1)^m (\mathcal{K}^n \circ \mathcal{K}^m)[\mathbf{m}](t)} \\ &= \langle (-1)^k \mathcal{K}^k[\mathbf{m}](t), \overline{(-1)^m \mathcal{K}^m[\mathbf{m}](t)} \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (\mathcal{K}^n \circ \mathcal{K}^k)[\mathbf{m}](0) \overline{(-1)^m (\mathcal{K}^n \circ \mathcal{K}^m)[\mathbf{m}](0)} \\ &= \delta(n, k) \delta(n, m) = \delta(k, m) \end{aligned}$$

while (62) with $u = 0$ implies

$$\begin{aligned}\langle f^t, b_k^t \rangle_{l^2} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^n[f](t) \overline{(-1)^k (\mathcal{K}^n \circ \mathcal{K}^k)[\mathbf{m}](t)} \\ &= \langle f, (-1)^k \mathcal{K}^k[\mathbf{m}] \rangle_{\mathcal{M}} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^n[f](0) \overline{(-1)^k (\mathcal{K}^n \circ \mathcal{K}^k)[\mathbf{m}](0)} \\ &= \mathcal{K}^k[f](0)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, since $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} |\mathcal{K}^k[f](0)|^2 < \infty$,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^k[f](0) b_k^t &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^k[f](0) \langle (-1)^k (\mathcal{K}^n \circ \mathcal{K}^k)[\mathbf{m}](t) \rangle \\ &= \langle \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^k[f](0) (-1)^k (\mathcal{K}^n \circ \mathcal{K}^k)[\mathbf{m}](t) \rangle \\ &= \langle \mathcal{K}^n[f](t) \rangle\end{aligned}$$

we have $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^k[f](0) b_k^t \in l^2$ for every fixed t and

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle f^t, b_k^t \rangle b_k^t &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^k[f](0) b_k^t \\ &= \left\langle \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{K}^k[f](0) (-1)^k (\mathcal{K}^n \circ \mathcal{K}^k)[\mathbf{m}(t)] \right\rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.\end{aligned}$$

Applying Corollary 3.3 we obtain

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \langle f^t, b_k^t \rangle b_k^t = \langle \mathcal{K}^n[f](t) \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = f^t.$$

Thus, while the coordinates of $f^t = \langle \mathcal{K}^n[f](t) \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in the usual basis of l^2 vary with t , the coordinates of f_t in the bases $\{b_k^t\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ remain the same as t varies.

We now show that for symmetric \mathcal{M} , $\{b_n^t\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the moving frame of a helix $H : \mathbb{R} \mapsto l^2$.

Lemma 6.11 ([12]). *Assume that $f(t), f'(t) \in L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ and let $t \in \mathbb{R}$ vary; then $\{f^t : t \in \mathbb{R}\} = \{\langle \mathcal{K}^n[f](t) \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}} : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ is a continuous curve in l^2 .*

Proof. Let $f \in L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$ and $t, h \in \mathbb{R}$; then, using $|1 - e^{i\omega h}|^2 = 4 \sin^2(h\omega/2)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(t) - f(t+h)\|_{\mathcal{M}}^2 &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega)|^2 |1 - e^{i\omega h}|^2 d\alpha(\omega) \\ &= h^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |\omega \mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f](\omega)|^2 \left(\frac{\sin(\frac{h\omega}{2})}{\frac{h\omega}{2}} \right)^2 d\alpha(\omega) \\ &\leq h^2 \|\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{M}}[f'](\omega)\|_{d\alpha}^2 \rightarrow 0\end{aligned}$$

□

If $g(t), g'$ and $g''(t)$ belong to $L_{\mathcal{M}}^2$, then by Lemma 3.5 $\lim_{|h| \rightarrow 0} \left\| \frac{g(t) - g(t+h)}{h} - g'(t) \right\|_{\mathcal{M}} = 0$; thus, the curve $\vec{g}(t) = \langle \mathcal{K}^n[g](t) \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in l^2$ is differentiable, and $(\vec{g})'(t) = \langle \mathcal{K}^n[g'](t) \rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$.

Since $\mathcal{K}^k[\mathbf{m}](t) \in L^2_{\mathcal{M}}$ for all k , if we let $\vec{e}_{k+1}(t) = \langle\langle (\mathcal{K}^k \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](t) \rangle\rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $k \geq 0$, then $\vec{e}_k(t)$ are differentiable for all k . Since l^2 is a complete space and $\vec{e}_1(t)$ is continuous, $\vec{e}_1(t)$ has an antiderivative $\vec{H}(t)$. Using (23) for the symmetric case (i.e., when $\beta_n = 0$ for all n), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\vec{e}_1(t) &= \vec{H}'(t); \\ \vec{e}_1'(t) &= \langle\langle (D \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](t) \rangle\rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}} = \gamma_0 \langle\langle (\mathcal{K}^1 \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](t) \rangle\rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= \gamma_0 \vec{e}_2(t); \\ \vec{e}_k'(t) &= -\gamma_{k-2} \langle\langle (\mathcal{K}^{k-2} \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](t) \rangle\rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}} + \gamma_{k-1} \langle\langle (\mathcal{K}^k \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](t) \rangle\rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \\ &= -\gamma_{k-2} \vec{e}_{k-1}(t) + \gamma_{k-1} \vec{e}_{k+1}(t), \quad \text{for } k \geq 2.\end{aligned}$$

This means that the curve $\vec{H}(t)$ is a helix in l^2 because it has constant curvatures $\kappa_k = \gamma_{k-1}$ for all $k \geq 1$; the above equations are the corresponding Frenet–Serret formulas and $\vec{e}_{k+1}(t) = \langle\langle (\mathcal{K}^k \circ \mathcal{K}^n)[\mathbf{m}](t) \rangle\rangle_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ for $k \geq 0$ form the orthonormal moving frame of the helix $\vec{H}(t)$.

7. REMARKS

The special case of the chromatic derivatives presented in Example 2 were first introduced in [9]; the corresponding chromatic expansions were subsequently introduced in [17] and first published in [10, 11]. These concepts emerged in the course of the author’s design of a pulse width modulation power amplifier. Subsequently, the research team of the author’s startup, *Kromos Technology Inc.*, extended these notions to various systems corresponding to several classical families of orthogonal polynomials [2, 6]. We also designed and implemented a channel equaliser and a digital transceiver (unpublished), based on chromatic expansions. In [3] chromatic expansions were related to the work of Papoulis [25] and Vaidyanathan [33]. In [22] and [34] the theory was cast in the framework commonly used in signal processing. More recently, the theory of chromatic derivatives and expansions was further developed in [8, 12, 13, 20, 21, 27, 28, 35–38, 43–46] and successfully applied to several problems in signal processing in [15, 18, 19, 40–42].

REFERENCES

- [1] T. S. Chihara. *An introduction to Orthogonal Polynomials*. Gordon and Breach, 1978.
- [2] M. Cushman and T. Herron. The general theory of chromatic derivatives. Technical report, Kromos Technology, Los Altos, California, 2001.
- [3] M. Cushman, M. J. Narasimha, and P. P. Vaidyanathan. Finite-channel chromatic derivative filter banks. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 10(1):15–17, 2003.
- [4] P. Deift, T. Kriecherbauer, K. T. R. McLaughlin, S. Venekides, and X. Zhou. A riemann–hilbert approach to asymptotic questions for orthogonal polynomials. *Journal of Computational and Applied Mathematics*, 133:47–63, 2001.
- [5] G. Freud. *Orthogonal Polynomials*. Pergamon Press, 1971.
- [6] T. Herron and J. Byrnes. Families of orthogonal differential operators for signal processing. Technical report, Kromos Technology, Los Altos, California, 2001.
- [7] F. B. Hildebrand. *Introduction to Numerical Analysis*. Dover Publications, 2013.
- [8] Á. P. Horváth. Chromatic derivatives and expansions with weights. *Calcolo*, 54:1265–1291, 2017.
- [9] A. Ignjatovic. Signal processor with local signal behavior, 2000. US Patent 6115726. Provisional Patent Disclosure
- [10] A. Ignjatovic. Numerical differentiation and signal processing. Technical report, Kromos Technology, Los Altos, California, 2001.
- [11] A. Ignjatovic. Numerical differentiation and signal processing. In *Proc. International Conference on Information, Communications and Signal Processing (ICICSP)*, Singapore, October 2001.
- [12] A. Ignjatovic. Local approximations based on orthogonal differential operators. *Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications*, 13(3):pp 309–330, 2007.
- [13] A. Ignjatovic. Chromatic derivatives and local approximations. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 57:2998–3007, 2009.
- [14] A. Ignjatovic. Chromatic derivatives, chromatic expansions and associated spaces. *East Journal on Approximations*, 15:263–302, 2009.

- [15] A. Ignjatovic. Frequency estimation using time domain methods based on robust differential operators. In *Proceedings of the 10th IEEE International Conference on Signal Processing (ICSP)*, Beijing, China, November 2010.
- [16] A. Ignjatovic. Asymptotic behaviour of some families of orthonormal polynomials and an associated hilbert space. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 210:41–79, 2016.
- [17] A. Ignjatovic and N. Carlin. Method and a system of acquiring local signal behavior parameters for representing and processing a signal, 2001. US Patent 6313778. Provisional Patent Disclosure
- [18] A. Ignjatovic, C. Wijenayake, and G. Keller. Chromatic derivatives and approximations in practice-part I: A general framework. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 66:1498–1512, 2018.
- [19] A. Ignjatovic, C. Wijenayake, and G. Keller. Chromatic derivatives and approximations in practice-part II: Nonuniform sampling, zero-crossings reconstruction, and denoising. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 66:1513–1525, 2018.
- [20] A. Ignjatovic and A.I. Zayed. Multidimensional chromatic derivatives and series expansions. *Proceedings of the American Mathematical Society*, 139:3513–3525, 2010.
- [21] Devendra Kumar. Prolate spheroidal wavelets and multidimensional chromatic series expansions. *Gulf Journal of Mathematics*, 2:75–82, 2014.
- [22] M. J. Narasimha, A. Ignjatovic, and P. P. Vaidyanathan. Chromatic derivative filter banks. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 9(7):215–216, 2002.
- [23] P. Nevai. *Orthogonal Polynomials*, volume 213. Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 1979.
- [24] A. Oppenheim and R. Schaffer. *Discrete-Time Signal Processing*. Prentice-Hall, 1975.
- [25] A. Papulis. Generalized sampling expansion. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems*, 24(11):652 – 654, 1977.
- [26] M. Riesz. Sur le problème des moments et le théorème de parseval correspondent. *Acta Lit. ac Sci*, 1, 1922-23.
- [27] B. Savković. Decorrelating properties of chromatic derivative signal representations. *IEEE Signal Processing Letters*, 17(8):770–773, 2010.
- [28] T. Soleski and G. Walter. Chromatic series for functions of slow growth. *Applicable Analysis*, 90(5):811–829, 2011.
- [29] G. Świderski. Periodic perturbations of unbounded jacobi matrices II: Formulas for density. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 216:67–85, 2017.
- [30] G. Świderski and B. Trojan. Periodic perturbations of unbounded jacobi matrices I: Asymptotics of generalized eigenvectors. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 216, 2017.
- [31] G. Świderski and B. Trojan. Asymptotic behaviour of christoffel – darboux kernel via three-term recurrence relation I. *Constr Approx*, 54:49–116, 2021.
- [32] G. Świderski and B. Trojan. Asymptotic behaviour of christoffel – darboux kernel via three-term recurrence relation II. *Journal of Approximation Theory*, 261, 2021.
- [33] P. P. Vaidyanathan. *Multirate Systems and Filter Banks*. Prentice-Hall, 1993.
- [34] P. P. Vaidyanathan, A. Ignjatovic, and S. Narasimha. New sampling expansions of band limited signals based on chromatic derivatives. In *Proc. 35th Asilomar Conference on Signals, Systems, and Computers*, Monterey, California, November 2001.
- [35] G. Walter. Chromatic Series and Prolate Spheroidal Wave Functions. *Journal of Integral Equations and Applications*, 20(2):263–280, 2008.
- [36] G. Walter and X. Shen. A sampling expansion for non bandlimited signals in chromatic derivatives. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 53:1291–1298, 2005.
- [37] G. G. Walter. Chromatic series with prolate spheroidal wave functions. *J. Integral Equations Applications*, 20(2):263–280, 2008.
- [38] Gilbert G. Walter. Discrete chromatic series. *Applicable Analysis*, 90(3-4):579–594, 2011.
- [39] G. N. Watson. *A Treatise on the Theory of Bessel Functions*. Cambridge University Press, 1966.
- [40] C. Wijenayake, A. Antonir, G. Keller, and A. Ignjatovic. An adaptive denoising algorithm for improving frequency estimation and tracking. *IEEE Transactions on Circuits and Systems II: Express Briefs*, 67:172–176, 2020.
- [41] C. Wijenayake, A. Ignjatovic, and G. Keller. On reconstruction of bandlimited signals from purely timing information. *Signal Processing*, 159:89–92, 2019.
- [42] C. Wijenayake, J. Scutts, and A. Ignjatovic. Signal recovery algorithm for 2-level amplitude sampling using chromatic signal approximations. *Signal Processing*, 153:143–152, 2018.
- [43] A. Zayed. Chromatic expansions and the bargmann transform. In S. Xiaoping and Z. Ahmed, editors, *Multiscale Signal Analysis and Modeling*. Springer New York, 2013.
- [44] A. Zayed. Chromatic expansions in function spaces. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 366:4097–4125, 2014.
- [45] A. I. Zayed. Generalizations of chromatic derivatives and series expansions. *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, 58(3):1638–1647, 2010.
- [46] A. I. Zayed. Chromatic expansions of generalized functions. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions*, 22(4-5):383–390, 2011.

SCHOOL OF COMPUTER SCIENCE AND ENGINEERING, UNIVERSITY OF NEW SOUTH WALES SYDNEY, NSW 2052,
AUSTRALIA

Email address: `aleksignjat@gmail.com`