

PFAFFIAN TRANSITION PROBABILITY AND CORRELATION KERNEL OF TASEP IN HALF-SPACE

JAN DE GIER, WILLIAM MEAD, DANIEL REMENIK, AND MICHAEL WHEELER

ABSTRACT. We present the transition probability for the asymmetric simple exclusion process on the half-space for general initial conditions and particle insertion at the boundary. In the limit of total asymmetry, where particles only jump to the right, we show that the transition probability reduces to a single Pfaffian for a large class of initial conditions. We further derive a Fredholm Pfaffian formula for the multipoint distribution of the totally asymmetric model conditioned on the number of particles in the system using a connection with a Pfaffian point process supported on Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Half-space ASEP	4
3. Half-space TASEP Pfaffian formulas	9
4. Pfaffian point processes	16
5. Conditional joint distributions	26
6. Details of the ASEP transition probability proof	42
Appendix A. Properties of Pfaffians	54
Appendix B. Skew-biorthogonal polynomials	56
Appendix C. Matching with Tracy–Widom transition probability	58
References	59

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Background. The asymmetric simple exclusion process (ASEP) is an integrable continuous-time Markov chain central to the KPZ universality class. It is a specialization of the stochastic six-vertex model which can be regarded as a discrete time stochastic process.

Literature on the ASEP is extensive. Its integrability is utilized in [GS92, Kim95] to study the spectral gap of the transition matrix as well as universal scaling behaviour by solving Bethe ansatz equations for a finite lattice with periodic boundary conditions, see also [GM04, LK06, BL18]. Similar results for the more intricate case of a finite lattice with open boundaries are given in [dGE05, dGE06, dGE08, Sim09, dGE11, GLMV12, LP14, Pro16, Pro24].

On the infinite line it is possible to make significant further progress because one does not need to solve Bethe equations. The transition probability for the totally asymmetric simple exclusion process (TASEP) is given in determinant form by [Sch97] using coordinate Bethe ansatz, and in terms of multiple contour integrals for the general ASEP by [TW08]. The fact that the asymptotic behaviour of related stochastic particle models can be described using random matrix distribution functions was established in [Joh00].

The determinant form in [Sch97] is not immediately amenable for asymptotic analysis, but can be rewritten using a determinantal point process supported on Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns [Sas05, BFPS07]. The correlation kernel for this point process is then expressed in terms of the solution of a biorthogonalization [problem] that can be solved for general boundary conditions, leading to

the KPZ fixed point [MQR21]. In a further development, a multi-time, formula has been solved in [JR21, Liu22] for some special initial conditions.

For the ASEP on the infinite half-line, the transition probability is given in the case of reflective boundary conditions by [TW13] and for general open boundaries for the discrete stochastic six-vertex model and continuous-time ASEP in half-space in [GdGMW25] in the case of empty initial conditions.

Half-space and other generalizations of [Joh00] have been studied in [BR01a, BR01b, SI04, BBCS18], while half-space Airy line ensembles have recently been analyzed in [DY25]. A structural analysis of Macdonald processes is developed in [BBC20], including integral formulas for moments and Laplace transforms of Macdonald measures and various specializations. Relationships between several half-space models and related distributions using shift invariance are established in [He25]. Formulas and KPZ scaling analyses for the expectation of the height function and integrated current were obtained for special choices of open boundary conditions for both ASEP and stochastic six-vertex model in [BBCW18] (off-diagonal) and [BC24] (Liggett's condition).

A KPZ scaling analysis for the boundary height function for the case of general boundary conditions is given in [He24]. A probability distribution of the totally asymmetric simple exclusion process (TASEP) in half-space for generic initial data, with its KPZ scaling analysis and subsequent description of the half-space KPZ fixed point, is obtained in [Zha24].

In this paper we provide the half-space ASEP transition probability for arbitrary initial conditions and without absorption. We then turn our attention to the TASEP for which the transition probability takes a Pfaffian form. This Pfaffian can be related to a Pfaffian point process supported on Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns [BR05, BBNV18], which allows us to establish the joint distribution conditioned on particle number. The relationship with the unconditional distribution of [Zha24] is intriguing and at present unclear.

1.2. Main results. In Section 2 we define the asymmetric simple exclusion process (ASEP) in half-space with open boundary conditions and finitely many particles at positions $1 \leq x_N < \dots < x_1$ obeying the following dynamics. A particle at site $x \geq 1$ jumps to $x+1$ at rate 1 and to $x-1$ (assuming $x \geq 2$) at rate $q \geq 0$, with jumps being permitted only if the target site is empty. Additionally, at rate $\alpha \geq 0$ new particles enter the system at site 1 whenever this site is empty and exit the system through site one at rate $\gamma \geq 0$.

Our first main result is an explicit multiple integral formula for the transition probability of the half-space ASEP with general initial conditions and $\gamma = 0$.

Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 2.2 in the main text). *Let $N \geq M \geq 0$ be fixed integers and let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$ be ordered coordinates of particles in the half-space ASEP. The transition probability between y and x for the half-space ASEP under the specialization $\gamma = 0$ is given by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x) = & V_{N-M} \alpha^{N-M} e^{-\alpha t} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_1} \frac{dw_1}{2\pi i} \dots \oint_{\mathcal{C}_N} \frac{dw_N}{2\pi i} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_j - w_i}{qw_j - w_i} \frac{1 - qw_i w_j}{1 - w_i w_j} \right] \\ & \times \prod_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{1 - qw_i^2}{w_i(q + \alpha - 1 - \alpha w_i)(1 - qw_i)} \left(\frac{1 - w_i}{1 - qw_i} \right)^{x_i-1} \exp \left(\frac{(1-q)^2 w_i t}{(1-w_i)(1-qw_i)} \right) \right] \\ & \times \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N} \sigma \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \prod_{i=1}^M \left[\frac{1 - q - \alpha + \alpha w_i}{1 - qw_i^2} \frac{(1-q)w_i}{1 - w_i} \left(\frac{1 - qw_i}{1 - w_i} \right)^{y_i-1} \right] \right), \end{aligned}$$

where the contours surround singularities at $w_i = 1$ and are defined according to Definition 2.1, and where \mathcal{B}_N is the group of signed permutations of size $\{1, \dots, N\}$ (see Section 2.2 and (2.4) for more details). The overall normalization is given by the following q -dependent factor

$$V_k = \frac{q^{\binom{k}{2}} (1-q)^k}{\prod_{i=1}^k [(1-q^i)(1+q^{i-1})]}.$$

The case of empty initial conditions, presented in [GdGMW25], is recovered from (2.5) as the special case $M = 0$ or $y = \emptyset$. In particular, this special case has a completely factorized integrand.

Remark 1.2. As discussed further in Section 2, Theorem 1.1 was originally derived within the context of the stochastic six vertex model on half space as defined in [GdGMW25], using a related Cauchy identity. In this paper we provide an alternative direct proof, presented in Sections 2 and 6.

We turn now to the totally asymmetric (TASEP) limit $\gamma = q = 0$, for which the transition probability takes a Pfaffian form akin to Schütz's determinantal formula [Sch97] for the full-space case. This is our second main result and we state here the simplest version, for empty initial conditions and even number of particles.

Theorem 1.3 (See Theorems 3.2 and 3.6 in the main text). *Let N be a fixed even integer and let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ be the ordered coordinates of half-space TASEP particles. Then the half-line open TASEP has transition probability from an empty state to x is given by the Pfaffian*

$$\mathbb{P}_t(\emptyset \rightarrow x) = (-1)^{\binom{N}{2}} e^{-\alpha t} \text{Pf}[\mathbf{Q}],$$

where \mathbf{Q} is a skew-symmetric $N \times N$ -dimensional matrix whose entries are given by

$$[\mathbf{Q}]_{i,j} := Q_{i,j}(x_{N-i+1}, x_{N-j+1}),$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ and

$$Q_{i,j}(x, y) = \alpha^2 \oint_{\beta} \frac{du}{2\pi i} \oint_{\beta} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{u-w}{1-u-w} \frac{w^{i-x} e^{t(w-1)}}{(w-\alpha)(w-1)^i} \frac{u^{j-y} e^{t(u-1)}}{(u-\alpha)(u-1)^j}, \quad (1.1)$$

where the contour β surrounds the points $1, 0, \alpha$ and $1-\alpha$.

The extension to odd N is straightforward and also provided in Theorem 3.2. More generally, a Pfaffian expression can be obtained for arbitrary initial conditions, as long as the leftmost initial particle is not too close to site 1. For fixed integers $N \geq M \geq 0$, let x be the ordered coordinates $1 \leq x_N < \dots < x_1$ of TASEP particles at time t and let y denote the ordered coordinates $1 \leq y_M < \dots < y_1$ of particles at time $t = 0$, then the transition probability $\mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x)$ is given in Theorem 3.6 as a Pfaffian for the case¹ $y_M > N - M + 1$.

Following ideas of [Sas05, BFPS07] for the full-line case, and adjusting these to the Pfaffian case using the framework developed in [BR05] and [Rai00], the above Pfaffian expression for the transition probability can be written in terms of a Pfaffian point processes supported on Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns, see Propositions 4.5 and 4.7 in the main text.

Using the formulation as a Pfaffian point process, correlation kernels can be computed via a result from [BR05] given in Proposition 4.4. This leads us to our third main result: a conditional distribution of half-space TASEP, which we present here for the simplest case of empty initial conditions. A Fredholm Pfaffian expression for the conditional distribution for more general initial conditions is given in Theorem 5.7 in Section 5.3.

Theorem 1.4 (Theorem 5.3 in the main text). *Let $N > 0$ be a fixed even integer. Let $\Psi(x, y) = Q_{1,1}(x, y)$ with Q defined in (1.1), and let $\Phi_0(x), \dots, \Phi_{N-1}(x)$ be a family of polynomials where Φ_k is of degree k . Assume that these polynomials satisfy the following skew-biorthogonality relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x,y=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{2i}(x) \Psi(x, y) \Phi_{2j}(y) &= 0, \\ \sum_{x,y=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{2i+1}(x) \Psi(x, y) \Phi_{2j+1}(y) &= 0, \\ \sum_{x,y=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{2i}(x) \Psi(x, y) \Phi_{2j+1}(y) &= -\delta_{i,j}, \end{aligned}$$

¹The restriction to $y_M > N - M + 1$ is of a technical nature. For a physical interpretation see Remark 3.7.

for all $0 \leq i, j < N/2$. Furthermore, for a fixed integer $m \geq 0$, let $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ be indices denoting particle labels such that $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_m$. Then the half-line TASEP with empty initial conditions has conditional joint distribution given by a Fredholm Pfaffian of the form

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\bigcap_{k=1}^m \{X_t(p_k) > a_k\} \mid |X_t| = N \right] = \text{Pf} \left((J - \bar{\chi}_a K \bar{\chi}_a)_{\ell^2(\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \times \mathbb{N})} \right),$$

where $\bar{\chi}_a(p, x) = \mathbb{1}_{x \leq a_p}$ and $a_1, \dots, a_k \geq 0$. The correlation kernel K is given explicitly in terms of the $\Phi_i(x)$ polynomials in Section 5.2.

This result, and its extension to more general initial data, provides a Fredholm Pfaffian for the TASEP joint distribution conditioned on there being N particles in the system at time t . Our approach is constructive starting from the ASEP transition probability, and the conditioning arises naturally in our setting from the Pfaffian point process formalism. Via a completely different approach, which essentially amounts to guessing a formula and then checking that it satisfies the Kolmogorov equations of the system, X. Zhang [Zha24] has recently obtained a Fredholm Pfaffian expression for the *unconditional* joint distribution of the TASEP height function, and is able to extract the KPZ scaling limit from it. It is of significant interest to understand how this and other approaches are related.

The skew-biorthogonalization problem in Theorem 1.4 seems to be more challenging than the full-line case, even for empty initial data. We suspect that one reason for this is related to the fact that the joint distribution is conditioned on the number of particles in the system at time t .

1.3. Acknowledgments. We warmly thank Guillaume Barraquand, Nick Beaton, Peter J. Forrester, Alexandr Garbali, Cengiz Gazi, Jimmy He, Axel Saenz and Tomohiro Sasamoto for helpful discussions. JdG and MW acknowledge support from the Australian Research Council. WM was supported by an Australian Government Research Training Program Scholarship. DR was supported by Centro de Modelamiento Matemático Basal Funds FB210005 from ANID-Chile and by Fondecyt Grant 1241974. Part of this research was performed while the authors were visiting the Institute for Pure and Applied Mathematics (IPAM), which is supported by the National Science Foundation (Grant No. DMS-1925919).

2. HALF-SPACE ASEP

2.1. Model outline. We consider the *asymmetric simple exclusion process* (ASEP) in half-space $\mathbb{N} := \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with open boundary conditions, and with finitely many particles. This is a continuous time Markov process with state space given by

$$\mathbb{W} = \{S \subset \mathbb{N} : S \text{ is finite}\}$$

(note that \mathbb{W} is countable). We index these half-space configurations by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N) \in \mathbb{W}$ satisfying $x_1 > \dots > x_N \geq 1$, and we think of the state $X_t = (X_t(1), \dots, X_t(N))$ of the process at time t as the positions (in decreasing order) of N particles present at that time t .

The dynamics of the process are as follows: a particle at site $x \geq 1$ jumps to $x + 1$ at rate 1 and to $x - 1$ (assuming $x \geq 2$) at rate $q \geq 0$, with jumps being permitted only if the target site is empty and, additionally, at rate $\alpha \geq 0$ new particles enter the system at site 1 whenever this site is empty and exit the system through site one at rate $\gamma \geq 0$ (see Figure 1). More precisely, the evolution of the system can be separated into *bulk dynamics*, with transition rates

$$\begin{aligned} x &\mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_i + 1, \dots, x_N) && \text{at rate } 1 && \text{if } x_{i-1} > x_i + 1, \\ x &\mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_i - 1, \dots, x_N) && \text{at rate } q && \text{if } x_{i+1} < x_i - 1 \quad (x_i \geq 2), \end{aligned}$$

and *boundary dynamics*, with transition rates

$$\begin{aligned} (x_1, \dots, x_N) &\mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_N, 1) && \text{at rate } \alpha && \text{if } N = 0 \text{ or } x_N > 1, \\ (x_1, \dots, x_N) &\mapsto (x_1, \dots, x_{N-1}) && \text{at rate } \gamma && \text{if } x_N = 1. \end{aligned}$$




Figure 1. Dynamics of the ASEP in half-space \mathbb{N} with generic open boundaries.

In this text we consider ASEP with generic open boundary conditions at the origin. Note that the number of particles $|X_t|$ is a random variable which evolves with t .

The ASEP Markov generator \mathcal{L} acts on functions $f : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}f(x) = & \alpha(1 - \eta_1)(f(x \cup \{1\}) - f(x)) + \gamma\eta_1(f(x \setminus \{1\}) - f(x)) \\ & + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \eta_s(1 - \eta_{s+1})(f(x^{s,s+1}) - f(x)) + \sum_{s=2}^{\infty} q\eta_s(1 - \eta_{s-1})(f(x^{s,s-1}) - f(x)), \end{aligned}$$

where $x^{s,s\pm 1} \in \mathbb{W}$ is the configuration obtained by the interchange of occupations at sites s and $s \pm 1$, and where we have used the notation η_s as the occupation of x at site $s \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$\eta_s = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x_j = s \text{ for some } j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let us define an inner product with an orthogonal basis indexed by half-space configurations \mathbb{W} by $\langle y|x \rangle = \delta_{y,x}$. With this inner product, the *transition matrix elements* of the Markov generator \mathcal{L} are expressed as $\langle y|\mathcal{L}|x \rangle$. That is, when $y \neq x$ the matrix element $\langle y|\mathcal{L}|x \rangle$ is equal to the possible single-particle transition rates to go from state y to state x (if there is no possible single particle transition from y to x then the matrix element is zero), while the diagonal terms are equally defined as $\langle y|\mathcal{L}|y \rangle = -\sum_{x' \neq y} \langle y|\mathcal{L}|x' \rangle$.

With this notation, the action of \mathcal{L} on $f : \mathbb{W} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{L}f(y) = \sum_z \langle x|\mathcal{L}|z \rangle f(z).$$

2.1.1. ASEP transition probability. In this section we present the transition probability for the half-space ASEP with generic initial conditions. We denote the transition probability by

$$\mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x) := \mathbb{P}(X_t = x | X_0 = y). \quad (2.1)$$

The transition probabilities $\mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x)$ can be formulated as the solution of the *master equation*, or *Kolmogorov forward equation*

$$\frac{d}{dt} \mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x) = \sum_{x'} \mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x') \langle x'|\mathcal{L}|x \rangle, \quad (2.2)$$

subject to the initial condition

$$\mathbb{P}_0(y \rightarrow x) = \delta_{y,x}.$$

Explicit integral formula expressions for transition probabilities have proven to be fruitful for obtaining exact asymptotic results for the ASEP under various boundary and initial conditions. Notably for the full-space \mathbb{Z} (i.e. no boundary), solutions of the master equation were obtained for TASEP ($q = 0$) [Sch97] and for generic bulk rates [TW08] using coordinate Bethe ansatz techniques. In half-space, explicit expressions were similarly obtained for closed boundary conditions ($\alpha = \gamma = 0$) [TW13]. For upper-triangular boundaries with empty initial conditions ($\gamma = 0$ and $y = \emptyset$) expressions were obtained using a reduction of the stochastic six-vertex model [GdGMW25].

The transition probability (2.1) is equivalently formulated as the unique² solution of the *Kolmogorov backward equation*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x) = \sum_{y'} \langle y | \mathcal{L} | y' \rangle \mathbb{P}_t(y' \rightarrow x), \quad (2.3)$$

with the same initial condition. Here we will employ (2.3) rather than (2.2) to derive a formula for $\mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x)$.

2.2. Integral formula for the transition probability. For a fixed integer $N > 0$ we denote the group of *signed permutations* of $\{1, \dots, N\}$ by $\mathcal{B}_N = S_N \ltimes \{\pm 1\}^N$. This group is of order $2^N N!$ and is sometimes known as the *hyperoctahedral group*. The group \mathcal{B}_N acts on functions of some alphabet (w_1, \dots, w_N) of length N by permuting the variables as

$$\sigma(f(w_1, \dots, w_N)) = f(w_{\sigma(1)}, \dots, w_{\sigma(N)}), \quad (2.4)$$

where the negative indices are defined by $w_{-k} := 1/(qw_k)$ for any $1 \leq k \leq N$. Wherever it is convenient, we will use either σ_i or $\sigma(i)$ to denote the image a signed permutation. The symmetric group of permutations, S_N , is contained within \mathcal{B}_N as a subgroup.

In order to state the transition probability as a complex contour integral we must explicitly outline the domain of integration.

Definition 2.1. For a fixed integer N , let $\mathcal{C}_1, \dots, \mathcal{C}_N$ be a collection of positively oriented closed complex integration contours satisfying:

- For all $1 \leq i \leq N$, each contour \mathcal{C}_i encloses the point 1 and does not enclose the points 0, q^{-1} and $(1 - q - \alpha)/\alpha$.
- For all $1 \leq i < j \leq N$, each \mathcal{C}_i is completely contained within the interior of each \mathcal{C}_j . Additionally, $q\mathcal{C}_j$ lies completely outside the interior of \mathcal{C}_i , where $q\mathcal{C}_j$ denotes the image of \mathcal{C}_j under multiplication by q . This also implies that no part of \mathcal{C}_j is contained within the interior of $q^{-1}\mathcal{C}_i$.
- For all $1 \leq k, \ell \leq N$, the contours \mathcal{C}_k and $q^{-1}\mathcal{C}_\ell^{-1}$ do not intersect and have completely disjoint interiors. The contour \mathcal{C}_ℓ^{-1} is the contour which traverses the points which are the reciprocal of those on \mathcal{C}_ℓ , and whose orientation is fixed so that $q^{-1}\mathcal{C}_\ell^{-1}$ encloses the point q^{-1} .

A depiction of nested contours which satisfy the the restrictions of Definition 2.1 is given in Figure 2.

We now present the main result of this section: an explicit multiple integral formula for the transition probability of the half-space ASEP.

Theorem 2.2. Let $N \geq M \geq 0$ be fixed integers and let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$ be ordered coordinates of particles in the half-space ASEP. The transition probability between y and x for the half-space ASEP under the specialization $\gamma = 0$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x) = & V_{N-M} \alpha^{N-M} e^{-\alpha t} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_1} \frac{dw_1}{2\pi i} \dots \oint_{\mathcal{C}_N} \frac{dw_N}{2\pi i} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_j - w_i}{qw_j - w_i} \frac{1 - qw_i w_j}{1 - w_i w_j} \right] \\ & \times \prod_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{1 - qw_i^2}{w_i(q + \alpha - 1 - \alpha w_i)(1 - qw_i)} \left(\frac{1 - w_i}{1 - qw_i} \right)^{x_i - 1} \exp \left(\frac{(1 - q)^2 w_i t}{(1 - w_i)(1 - qw_i)} \right) \right] \\ & \times \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N} \sigma \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \prod_{i=1}^M \left[\frac{1 - q - \alpha + \alpha w_i}{1 - qw_i^2} \frac{(1 - q)w_i}{1 - w_i} \left(\frac{1 - qw_i}{1 - w_i} \right)^{y_i - 1} \right] \right), \quad (2.5) \end{aligned}$$

²Since our state space \mathbb{W} is countable, the solution of the backward Kolmogorov equation is unique whenever the process is not explosive (see e.g. Theorem 2.33 in [Lig10]); non-explosivity holds in our case because the sequence of jump times is clearly dominated stochastically from below by a sequence of independent exponential random variables τ_k with parameters $|X_0| + k$, which satisfy $\sum_{k \geq 1} \tau_k = \infty$ almost surely.




Figure 2. Examples of nested complex contours $\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3$ satisfying the restrictions of Definition 2.1 for some $0 < q < 1$. The contours $q\mathcal{C}_1, q\mathcal{C}_2$ depicted with dashed lines while part of the contour $q^{-1}\mathcal{C}_3^{-1}$ is depicted with a dotted line. This example depicts parameters satisfying $\alpha > 1 - q$, however, this is not a requirement.

where the contours surround singularities at $w_i = 1$ and are defined according to Definition 2.1. The overall normalization is given by the following q -dependent factor

$$V_k = \frac{q^{\binom{k}{2}} (1-q)^k}{\prod_{i=1}^k [(1-q^i)(1+q^{i-1})]}. \quad (2.6)$$

The proof of this result is based on studying the following function, which encapsulates many of the essential algebraic properties of the half-space ASEP:

$$\mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) := V_{N-M} \alpha^{N-M} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N} \sigma \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \prod_{i=1}^M \varphi_{y_i}(w_i) \right), \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\varphi_y(w) := \frac{1 - q - \alpha + \alpha w}{1 - qw^2} \frac{(1-q)w}{1-w} \left(\frac{1-qw}{1-w} \right)^{y-1}.$$

For consistency with what follows, we define $\mathcal{F}_y(w) = 0$ whenever $M > N$. Our formula (2.5) for the transition probability may then be expressed in terms of \mathcal{F}_y :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x) &= e^{-\alpha t} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_1} \frac{dw_1}{2\pi i} \dots \oint_{\mathcal{C}_N} \frac{dw_N}{2\pi i} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_j - w_i}{qw_j - w_i} \frac{1 - qw_i w_j}{1 - w_i w_j} \right] \\ &\times \prod_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{1 - qw_i^2}{w_i(q + \alpha - 1 - \alpha w_i)(1 - qw_i)} \left(\frac{1 - w_i}{1 - qw_i} \right)^{x_i - 1} \exp \left(\frac{(1-q)^2 w_i t}{(1 - w_i)(1 - qw_i)} \right) \right] \mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

The case of empty initial conditions, presented in [GdGMW25], is recovered from (2.8) as the special case $M = 0$ or $y = \emptyset$, following from the fact that

$$\mathcal{F}_{\emptyset}(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \alpha^N,$$

which is proven in Section 6.1. Note, in particular, that this special case has a completely factorized integrand.

The next two results encapsulate the main identities needed in the proof of Theorem 2.2, which follow from properties of the function (2.7). Their proofs are very technical, and we defer them to Section 6.

The first of the two results shows that the function $\mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N)$ from (2.7) is an eigenvector of the ASEP Markov generator, and is equivalent to diagonalizing the generator using coordinate Bethe ansatz on the Kolmogorov backward equation (2.3). The proof of this result appears in Section 6.2.

Lemma 2.3. For fixed integers $0 \leq M \leq N$, let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$ be a half-space particle configuration and let $(w_1, \dots, w_N) \in \mathbb{C}^N$ be a fixed alphabet. Then the function (2.7) has the following eigenvector relation with the half-space ASEP Markov generator:

$$\sum_{y'} \langle y | \mathcal{L} | y' \rangle \mathcal{F}_{y'}(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \left(-\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(1-q)^2 w_i}{(1-w_i)(1-qw_i)} \right) \mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N).$$

The second intermediate result needed in the proof of Theorem 2.2 corresponds the initial condition of (2.5). This initial condition is equivalent to a homogeneous version of the spatial orthogonality property proposed as Conjecture 5.5 in [GdGMW25] at the level of the six-vertex model. We now present it for the half-space ASEP. The proof of this result appears in Section 6.3.

Lemma 2.4. For fixed integers $N \geq M \geq 0$ let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$ be half-space configurations. The following orthogonality holds:

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}_1} \frac{dw_1}{2\pi i} \dots \oint_{\mathcal{C}_N} \frac{dw_N}{2\pi i} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_j - w_i}{qw_j - w_i} \frac{1 - qw_i w_j}{1 - w_i w_j} \right] \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{1 - qw_i^2}{w_i(q + \alpha - 1 - \alpha w_i)(1 - qw_i)} \left(\frac{1 - w_i}{1 - qw_i} \right)^{x_i - 1} \right] \mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \delta_{x,y}.$$

We can now use these two results to prove Theorem 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. Consider the multiple contour integral expression on the right hand side of (2.8), which we want to show is equal to the half-space ASEP transition probability. This integral expression has the following factor in the integrand:

$$g_t(y) = e^{-\alpha t} \prod_{i=1}^N \exp \left(\frac{(1-q)^2 w_i t}{(1-w_i)(1-qw_i)} \right) \mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N).$$

Expanding the exponential and using Lemma 2.3 shows that

$$g_t(y) = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^m}{m!} \sum_{y^{(1)}, \dots, y^{(m)}} \langle y | \mathcal{L} | y^{(1)} \rangle \langle y^{(1)} | \mathcal{L} | y^{(2)} \rangle \dots \langle y^{(m-1)} | \mathcal{L} | y^{(m)} \rangle \mathcal{F}_{y^{(m)}}(w_1, \dots, w_N)$$

whence it follows that g_t satisfies

$$\frac{d}{dt} g_t(y) = \sum_{y'} \langle y | \mathcal{L} | y' \rangle g_t(y').$$

Letting $p_t(y, x)$ denote the right hand side of (2.8), this shows that

$$\frac{d}{dt} p_t(y, x) = \sum_{y'} \langle y | \mathcal{L} | y' \rangle p_t(y', x).$$

Hence $p_t(y, x)$ solves the Kolmogorov backward equation (2.3). Lemma 2.4 yields the initial condition $p_0(y, x) = \delta_{x,y}$, finishing the proof. \square

Remark 2.5. The proof of Theorem 2.2 is similar in character to the proofs of integral formulas of symmetric functions indexed by skew partitions defined via vertex models. These integral formulas are typically derived using a Cauchy identity and orthogonality of appropriate families of symmetric functions. For higher-spin vertex models in full-space, see for example [BP18, BW22, ABW23]. In fact, the integral formula for the transition probability (2.8) may be derived by taking the ASEP limit of the partition function $G_{\nu/\mu}$ from [GdGMW25], where it was shown to reduce to a solution of Kolmogorov forward equation of the half-space open ASEP (2.2). The proof of Theorem 2.2 is equivalent to the aforementioned vertex model approach adapted to the half-space function $G_{\nu/\mu}$, whereby Lemma 2.3 may be derived from its Cauchy identity and Lemma 2.4 is equivalent to the orthogonality of the dual family F_{ν} .

3. HALF-SPACE TASEP PFAFFIAN FORMULAS

3.1. Schütz-type Pfaffian transition probability. This section will be devoted to explicit Pfaffian expressions for the transition probabilities of half-space TASEP, that is, the totally asymmetric version of half-space ASEP where particles only jump to the right. The TASEP transition probability is obtained by taking the limit $q \rightarrow 0$ within the integral formula of Theorem 2.2. We will present the general expression for this result under both empty and general deterministic initial conditions.

Definition 3.1. Let us denote by β a positively oriented closed complex integration contour which is sufficiently large so that it surrounds the points $0, 1, \alpha$ and $1 - \alpha$.

3.1.1. *Empty initial conditions.*

Theorem 3.2. Let N be a fixed integer and let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ be the ordered coordinates of half-space TASEP particles. Then the half-line open TASEP has transition probability from an empty state to x given by the following: when N is even

$$\mathbb{P}_t(\emptyset \rightarrow x) = (-1)^{\binom{N}{2}} e^{-\alpha t} \text{Pf}[\mathbf{Q}], \quad (3.1)$$

and when N is odd the underlying matrix is augmented with an addition row and column

$$\mathbb{P}_t(\emptyset \rightarrow x) = (-1)^{\binom{N}{2}} e^{-\alpha t} \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{p} \\ -\mathbf{p}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Here, \mathbf{Q} is a skew-symmetric $N \times N$ -dimensional matrix and \mathbf{p} is a column vector of length N whose entries are given by

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{Q}]_{i,j} &:= Q_{i,j}(x_{N-i+1}, x_{N-j+1}), \\ [\mathbf{p}]_i &:= p_i(x_{N-i+1}), \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$. We define the integral kernels

$$Q_{i,j}(x, y) = \alpha^2 \oint_{\beta} \frac{du}{2\pi i} \oint_{\beta} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{u-w}{1-u-w} \frac{w^{i-x} e^{t(w-1)}}{(w-\alpha)(w-1)^i} \frac{u^{j-y} e^{t(u-1)}}{(u-\alpha)(u-1)^j}, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$p_i(x) = \oint_{\beta} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{w^{i-x} e^{t(w-1)}}{(w-\alpha)(w-1)^i}, \quad (3.3)$$

whose contours surround poles at $w, v = 1, 0, \alpha, 1 - \alpha$ whilst omitting all other singularities of the integrand.

Theorem 3.2 is a special case of Theorem 3.6 which appears later in the text. As such, we only present the proof of the later result. The skew-symmetric nature of the Pfaffian kernel is assured by the following property of (3.2)

$$Q_{k,\ell}(x, y) = -Q_{\ell,k}(y, x).$$

In particular, this implies that the function $Q_{k,k}$ is anti-symmetric.

Remark 3.3. We note here the resemblance of the transition probability (3.1) with its Pfaffian kernel to Schütz's solution of the full-line TASEP [Sch97] presented with a determinantal kernel.

Remark 3.4. The w and u contours of (3.2) can be deformed to coincide with each other despite the apparent singularity due to the factor of $1-u-w$ appearing in the denominator of the integrand. Without loss of generality, assume we perform the w integration first. Then the pole at $w = 1-u$ can be understood to be a removable singularity since the remaining u -integrand has vanishing residue at infinity.

Remark 3.5. Our overall probability measure for the transition probability of Theorem 3.2 is over all numbers of particles in the system. That is, for all $t \geq 0$ we have the normalization

$$\sum_{N=0}^{\infty} \sum_{1 \leq x_N < \dots < x_1} \mathbb{P}_t(\emptyset \rightarrow (x_1, \dots, x_N)) = 1.$$

3.1.2. General initial conditions.

Theorem 3.6. For fixed integers $N \geq M \geq 0$, let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ be the ordered coordinates of TASEP particles at time t and let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$ denote the ordered initial coordinates of the particles. In the case $y_M > N - M + 1$, the half-line open TASEP has transition probability from an empty state to x given by the following: when $N + M$ is even

$$\mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x) = (-1)^{\binom{N}{2}} e^{-\alpha t} \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{U} \\ -\mathbf{U}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.4)$$

when $N + M$ is odd

$$\mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x) = (-1)^{\binom{N}{2}} e^{-\alpha t} \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{Q} & \mathbf{p} & \mathbf{U} \\ -\mathbf{p}^T & 0 & 0 \\ -\mathbf{U}^T & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.5)$$

Here, \mathbf{Q} is a skew-symmetric $N \times N$ -dimensional matrix and \mathbf{p} is a column vector of length N which are the same as those in Theorem 3.2, while \mathbf{U} is a $N \times M$ -dimensional matrix whose entries are given by

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathbf{Q}]_{i,j} &:= Q_{i,j}(x_{N-i+1}, x_{N-j+1}), \\ [\mathbf{p}]_i &:= p_i(x_{N-i+1}), \\ [\mathbf{U}]_{i,k} &:= U_{i-k}(x_{N-i+1} - y_{M-k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ and $1 \leq k \leq M$. The functions $Q_{i,j}$ and p_i are defined by (3.2) and (3.3) respectively while we define the integral kernel

$$U_k(z) := \oint_{\gamma} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{(w-1)^{N-M-k} e^{t(w-1)}}{w^{z-k+N-M+1}}, \quad (3.6)$$

where the contour γ encloses the (possible) pole at the origin.

Remark 3.7. The restriction $y_M > N - M + 1$ on the initial conditions is technical in nature, and arises in the proof of the limit stated in Lemma 3.9 below. While this limit could, in principle, be computed without the restriction, the relatively simple Pfaffian structure obtained in the lemma appears not to persist beyond this case, so we do not attempt a generalization here. On the other hand, and despite arising in a purely technical manner, the restriction to $y_M > N - M + 1$ admits a clear physical interpretation: it is precisely the assumption ensuring that

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_t| = N \mid X_0 = y) = \mathbb{P}(|X_t| = N - M \mid X_0 = \emptyset).$$

In other words, given M particles initially in the system at positions y , the probability that exactly $N - M$ additional particles enter the system is independent of the specific configuration y provided that it satisfies the constraint $y_M > N - M + 1$.

Before proving Theorem 3.6, let us show how it recovers Schütz's classical determinantal formula for the full-space TASEP.

Corollary 3.8 (Schütz's formula). For fixed integer N , let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$ be fixed configurations of the full-space TASEP. The transition probability for the full-space TASEP is given by the following determinantal expression

$$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x) = \det [U_{i-j}(x_{N-i+1} - y_{N-j+1})]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}. \quad (3.7)$$

The expression (3.7) exactly coincides with Schütz's determinantal expression for the full-space TASEP transition probability from [Sch97].

Proof. Since $N = M$, we consider the even version of the transition probability (3.4) where x, y are half-space coordinates satisfying the conditions of Theorem 3.6. Using Proposition A.1 observe that this Pfaffian reduces to a determinant, so that the transition probability evaluates as

$$\mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x) = e^{-\alpha t} \det[U_{i-j}(x_{N-i+1} - y_{N-j+1})]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}.$$

In particular, we note this expression is completely independent of the kernel $Q_{k,\ell}$ so that the determinant is independent of α . The result follows by setting $\alpha = 0$ and extending the coordinates x, y to become coordinates of the full-space TASEP by simultaneously shifting $x \mapsto x - (c, \dots, c)$ and $y \mapsto y - (c, \dots, c)$ for any chosen constant integer $c \geq 0$. \square

The key to the proof of Theorem 3.6 is the following result:

Lemma 3.9. *Let (w_1, \dots, w_N) be an alphabet of length N and let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$ be a half-space ASEP particle configuration of length $M \leq N$ satisfying $y_M > N - M + 1$. Then the function (2.7) has the following Pfaffian limit: when $N + M$ is even*

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) = (-1)^{\binom{M}{2}} \alpha^{N-M} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{w_i - w_j} \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} S(w_i, w_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} & g_{k, y_k}(w_i)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N \\ 1 \leq k \leq M}} \\ -g_{k, y_k}(w_j)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq M \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.8)$$

and when $N + M$ is odd

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) &= (-1)^{\binom{M}{2}} \alpha^{N-M} \\ &\times \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{w_i - w_j} \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} S(w_i, w_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} & \vec{1}_N & g_{k, y_k}(w_i)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N \\ 1 \leq k \leq M}} \\ -\vec{1}_N^T & 0 & 0 \\ -g_{k, y_k}(w_j)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq M \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}} & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.9)$$

Here the skew-symmetric function S is the kernel of the Stembridge Pfaffian (see Lemma A.2)

$$S(v, w) = \frac{v - w}{1 - vw}$$

while the function $g_{k,y}$ for $y > N - i + 1$ is defined by

$$g_{k,y}(w) = \frac{(1 - \alpha + \alpha w)w^{N-k+1}}{(1 - w)^y}.$$

Proof. Recall the symmetrization formula (2.7). Let us define the function $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_y$ as the symmetrization formula (2.7) where the overall constant V_{N-M} is replaced by

$$\begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} q^{\binom{N-M}{2}} & \text{if } N > M \\ 1 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

so that $\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_y(w) = \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \mathcal{F}_y(w)$ for all appropriate alphabets $w = (w_1, \dots, w_N)$. We will now consider the $q \rightarrow 0$ limit on the function $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_y$ in the case $N > M$ only. The $N = M$ case follows by a very similar argument. For $N > M$, the symmetrization formula becomes:

$$\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) := \frac{1}{2} q^{\binom{N-M}{2}} \alpha^{N-M} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N} \sigma \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \prod_{i=1}^M \varphi_{y_i}(w_i) \right). \quad (3.10)$$

We first separate this symmetrization over signed permutations \mathcal{B}_N into a sum over the symmetric group S_N and a sum over signs. Observe that the factor

$$\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j}$$

in (3.10) is invariant under $w_j \rightarrow 1/qw_j$. By separating the product over i into $1 \leq i \leq M$ and $M + 1 \leq i \leq N$ we may re-write (3.10) as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \frac{1}{2} q^{\binom{N-M}{2}} \alpha^{N-M} \sum_{\{\epsilon_i = \pm 1\}} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \left(\prod_{i=M+1}^{N-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^N \left[\frac{w_{\epsilon_i \sigma_i} - q w_{\sigma_j}}{w_{\epsilon_i \sigma_i} - w_{\sigma_j}} \frac{1 - w_{\epsilon_i \sigma_i} w_{\sigma_j}}{1 - q w_{\epsilon_i \sigma_i} w_{\sigma_j}} \right] \times \right. \\ \left. \times \prod_{i=1}^M \left[\prod_{j=i+1}^N \left[\frac{w_{\epsilon_i \sigma_i} - q w_{\sigma_j}}{w_{\epsilon_i \sigma_i} - w_{\sigma_j}} \frac{1 - w_{\epsilon_i \sigma_i} w_{\sigma_j}}{1 - q w_{\epsilon_i \sigma_i} w_{\sigma_j}} \right] \varphi_{y_i}(w_{\epsilon_i \sigma_i}) \right] \right). \quad (3.11) \end{aligned}$$

The sum over the signs $\{\epsilon_i = \pm 1\}$ in (3.11) can now be performed independently for each i . Using $w_{-i} = 1/q w_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$, and absorbing the pre-factor $q^{\binom{N-M}{2}}$ we thus get

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \alpha^{N-M} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \sigma \left\{ \prod_{i=M+1}^{N-1} \left(q^{N-i} \prod_{j=i+1}^N \left[\frac{w_i - q w_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - q w_i w_j} \right] + \prod_{j=i+1}^N \left[\frac{q w_i - w_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - q^2 w_i w_j}{1 - q w_i w_j} \right] \right) \times \right. \\ \left. \times \prod_{i=1}^M \left(\prod_{j=i+1}^N \left[\frac{w_i - q w_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - q w_i w_j} \right] \varphi_{y_i}(w_{\sigma_i}) + q^{y_i - N + i - 1} \prod_{j=i+1}^N \left[\frac{q w_i - w_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - q^2 w_i w_j}{1 - q w_i w_j} \right] \tilde{\varphi}_{y_i}(w_{\sigma_i}) \right) \right\}. \quad (3.12) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\tilde{\varphi}_y(w) := q^{-y+1} \varphi_y \left(\frac{1}{q w} \right) = \frac{q w - a}{1 - q w^2} \frac{(1 - q) w}{1 - q w} \left(\frac{1 - w}{1 - q w} \right)^{y-1}.$$

The assumption $y_M > N - M + 1$ implies more generally that $y_i > N - i + 1$ for each $i = 1, \dots, N$ (because $y_1 > y_2 > \dots > y_M$), and under this condition the limit $q \rightarrow 0$ can be taken term-wise in (3.12)³. By using the symmetrization to simplify, we arrive at the following expression:

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \alpha^{N-M} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} \sigma \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{w_i - w_j} \prod_{i=1}^N w_i^{N-i} \prod_{i=1}^M \left[\frac{(1 - \alpha + \alpha w_i) w_i}{(1 - w_i)^{y_i}} \prod_{j=i+1}^N (1 - w_i w_j) \right] \right). \quad (3.13)$$

Overall factors can be removed from the symmetrization over permutations (3.13), which yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \alpha^{N-M} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{w_i - w_j} \\ \times \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \sigma \left(\prod_{M+1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1}{1 - w_i w_j} \prod_{i=M+1}^N w_i^{N-i} \prod_{i=1}^M \frac{(1 - \alpha + \alpha w_i) w_i^{N-i+1}}{(1 - w_i)^{y_i}} \right). \quad (3.14) \end{aligned}$$

From here, we may decompose the sum over the symmetric group using the following identity:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\sigma \in S_N} (-1)^{|\sigma|} f_1(w_{\sigma_1}, \dots, w_{\sigma_M}) f_2(w_{\sigma_{M+1}}, \dots, w_{\sigma_N}) \\ = (-1)^{\binom{N+1}{2} + \binom{M+1}{2}} \sum_{\substack{T \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\} \\ |T| = N-M}} (-1)^{\sum_i T_i} \sum_{\rho \in S_M} (-1)^{|\rho|} f_1(w_{T_{\rho_1}^c}, \dots, w_{T_{\rho_M}^c}) \sum_{\sigma \in S_{N-M}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} f_2(w_{T_{\sigma_1}}, \dots, w_{T_{\sigma_{N-M}}}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

for arbitrary appropriate functions f_1, f_2 , where T^c denotes the complement of $T \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$. And so, the symmetrization (3.14) can be simplified using identity (3.15) to yield:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) = (-1)^{\binom{N+1}{2} + \binom{M+1}{2}} \alpha^{N-M} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{w_i - w_j} \\ \times \sum_{\substack{T \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\} \\ |T| = N-M}} (-1)^{\sum_i T_i} \left[\left(\sum_{\sigma \in S_{N-M}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N-M} \frac{1}{1 - w_{T_{\sigma_i}} w_{T_{\sigma_j}}} \prod_{i=1}^{N-M} w_{T_{\sigma_i}}^{N-M-i} \right) \right. \\ \left. \times \left(\sum_{\rho \in S_M} (-1)^{|\rho|} \prod_{i=1}^M \frac{(1 - \alpha + \alpha w_{T_{\rho_i}^c}) w_{T_{\rho_i}^c}^{N-i+1}}{(1 - w_{T_{\rho_i}^c})^{y_i}} \right) \right]. \quad (3.16) \end{aligned}$$

Now, for each fixed $T \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$, the sum over σ in (3.16) can be identified as

³The $q \rightarrow 0$ limit still exists when this restriction is not satisfied, however, the evaluation is more complicated.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\sigma \in S_{N-M}} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N-M} \frac{1}{1 - w_{T_{\sigma_i}} w_{T_{\sigma_j}}} \prod_{i=1}^{N-M} w_{T_{\sigma_i}}^{N-M-i} \\
&= \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N-M} \frac{w_{T_i} - w_{T_j}}{1 - w_{T_i} w_{T_j}} = \begin{cases} \text{Pf} [S(w_{T_i}, w_{T_j})]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N-M} & \text{if } N-M \text{ is even,} \\ \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} S(w_{T_i}, w_{T_j})_{1 \leq i, j \leq N-M} & \vec{1}_{N-M} \\ \vec{1}_{N-M}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } N-M \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the evaluation of the Vandermonde determinant and the Stembridge Pfaffian of Lemma A.2. The sum over ρ in (3.16) is then also identified with the following determinant

$$\sum_{\rho \in S_M} (-1)^{|\rho|} \prod_{i=1}^M \frac{(1 - \alpha + \alpha w_{T_{\rho_i}^c}) w_{T_{\rho_i}^c}^{N-i+1}}{(1 - w_{T_{\rho_i}^c})^{y_i}} = \det [g_{j, y_j}(w_{T_i^c})]_{1 \leq i, j \leq M}.$$

Using both of these identifications, both the odd and even cases of the right hand side of (3.16) may be simplified using Proposition A.1. The result for both even (3.8) and odd (3.9) $N+M$ follow once the following identity is applied

$$(-1)^{\binom{N+1}{2} + \binom{M+1}{2}} (-1)^{\binom{N}{2}} = (-1)^{\binom{M}{2} - (N-M)}. \quad \square$$

Proof of Theorem 3.6. We proceed by considering the $q = \gamma = 0$ case of the half-space ASEP transition probability which is obtained as the $q \rightarrow 0$ limit of the expression (2.8). The evaluation of the $q \rightarrow 0$ limit on $\mathcal{F}_y(w)$ follows from Lemma 3.9. For simplicity, we will only present the case where $N+M$ is even, where the odd case follows similarly. In the case where $N+M$ is even, the this limit is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x) &= \alpha^N e^{-\alpha t} \oint_{\mathcal{C}_1} \frac{dw_1}{2\pi i} \dots \oint_{\mathcal{C}_N} \frac{dw_N}{2\pi i} \prod_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{(1 - w_i)^{x_i-1}}{w_i^{N-i+1}} \frac{\exp(\frac{w_i t}{1-w_i})}{\alpha - 1 - \alpha w_i} \right] \\
&\quad \times \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} S(w_i, w_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} & g_{k, y_k}(w_i)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N \\ 1 \leq k \leq M}} \\ -g_{k, y_k}(w_j)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq M \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.17)
\end{aligned}$$

where all contours \mathcal{C}_i satisfy the constraints of Definition 2.1. In particular, they all surround the point $w_i = 1$. It is important to note that, precisely when $q = 0$, we are able to deform all contours to be the same without crossing any singularities of the integrand. That is, for all i we deform $\mathcal{C}_i \mapsto \mathcal{D}$, for some appropriate contour \mathcal{D} which surrounds the point 1. Since all integrations are over the same contour, we may symmetrize the integrand of (3.17) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x) &= \frac{\alpha^{N-M} e^{-\alpha t}}{N!} (-1)^{\binom{M}{2}} \oint_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{dw_1}{2\pi i} \dots \oint_{\mathcal{D}} \frac{dw_N}{2\pi i} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{\exp(\frac{w_i t}{1-w_i})}{\alpha - 1 - \alpha w_i} \\
&\quad \times \det \left[\frac{(1 - w_i)^{x_j-1}}{w_i^{N-j+1}} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} S(w_i, w_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} & g_{k, y_k}(w_i)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N \\ 1 \leq k \leq M}} \\ -g_{k, y_k}(w_j)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq M \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.18)
\end{aligned}$$

while the odd case follows similarly with an enlarged Pfaffian as in Lemma 3.9. We then make the change of integration variable $w_i \mapsto 1 - w_i^{-1}$ and suitably deform the contours.

We note here that whenever $y = \emptyset$ (or $M = 0$), for both odd and even N , the Pfaffian in (3.18) is reduced to $\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \frac{w_i - w_j}{1 - w_i w_j}$, which is equal to Stembridge's Pfaffian from Lemma A.2. Theorem 3.2 follows by appropriate manipulation after applying de Bruijn's integration formula from Lemma A.3.

Whenever $M > 0$, regardless of whether $N+M$ is even or odd, we apply generalized version of de Bruijn's integration formula of Lemma A.4 to (3.18). When $N+M$ is even, this yields the following

single Pfaffian expression

$$\lim_{q \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x) = e^{-\alpha t} \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} Q_{N-i+1, N-j+1}(x_i, x_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} & U_{N-i+1-k}(x_i - y_{M-k+1})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N \\ 1 \leq k \leq M}} \\ -U_{N-j+1-k}(x_j - y_{M-k+1})_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq M \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.19)$$

where the factor of α^{N-M} has been absorbed into the Pfaffian by simultaneously multiplying into the first N rows and columns and dividing the last M rows and columns by a factor of α . The Pfaffian expression (3.19) can be brought into the form (3.4) by simultaneously reversing the order of the first N rows and columns which generates an overall factor of $(-1)^{\binom{N}{2}}$. The case where $N+M$ is odd case follows analogously to yield (3.5). \square

3.2. Kernel recurrence relations. The Pfaffian kernel functions of Theorems 3.2 and 3.6 satisfy important recurrence relations. Analogous relations have been used to construct a determinantal point process from Schütz's transition probability for the full-space TASEP in [Sas05, BFPS07] and will be used to define a Pfaffian point process for the half-space TASEP in Section 4.

Lemma 3.10. *The functions defined by (3.2), (3.3) and (3.6) satisfy the following recurrence relations*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{i+1, j}(x_i, x_j) &= \sum_{y_i \geq x_i} Q_{i, j}(y_i, x_j), \\ Q_{i, j+1}(x_i, x_j) &= \sum_{y_j \geq x_j} Q_{i, j}(x_i, y_j), \\ U_{k+1}(z) &= \sum_{y \geq z} U_k(y), \\ p_{k+1}(z) &= \sum_{y \geq z} p_k(y). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The argument follows a straightforward geometric series where uniform convergence is assured by deforming the contour radii of (3.2), (3.3) and (3.6) to be sufficiently large. \square

The recurrence relations also have a useful finite-summation version.

Lemma 3.11. *The functions defined by (3.2), (3.3) and (3.6) satisfy the following recurrence relations*

$$\begin{aligned} Q_{i+1, j}(s_i, x_j) - Q_{i+1, j}(x_i, x_j) &= \sum_{y_i = s_i}^{x_i-1} Q_{i, j}(y_i, x_j), \\ Q_{i, j+1}(x_i, s_j) - Q_{i, j+1}(x_i, x_j) &= \sum_{y_j = s_j}^{x_j-1} Q_{i, j}(x_i, y_j), \\ U_{k+1}(s) - U_{k+1}(z) &= \sum_{y=s}^{z-1} R_k(y), \\ p_{k+1}(s) - p_{k+1}(z) &= \sum_{y=s}^{z-1} p_k(y). \end{aligned}$$

3.3. Joint distribution. From Theorem 3.6, we can derive directly by summation a similar formula for the joint distribution of the particle currents in the half-space TASEP.

Proposition 3.12. *Let $N \geq M \geq$ be fixed integers and consider integers $s_1 > s_2 > \dots > s_N \geq 1$ and $y_1 > y_2 > \dots > y_M$. Then the joint distribution of particle positions in the half-space TASEP, given initial condition $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$ satisfying $y_M > N - M + 1$, is given by the following Pfaffian formulas: when $N + M$ is even*

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^N \{X_t(k) \geq s_k\} \text{ and } |X_t| = N \mid X_0 = y \right) = (-1)^{\binom{N}{2}} e^{-\alpha t} \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{Q} & \tilde{U} \\ -\tilde{U}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.20)$$

and when $N + M$ is odd

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^N \{X_t(k) \geq s_k\} \text{ and } |X_t| = N \mid X_0 = y \right) = (-1)^{\binom{N}{2}} e^{-\alpha t} \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{Q} & \tilde{p} & \tilde{U} \\ -\tilde{p}^T & 0 & 0 \\ -\tilde{U}^T & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.21)$$

Here, \tilde{Q}, \tilde{U} are $N \times N$ and $N \times M$ -dimensional matrices respectively and \tilde{p} and a vector of length N whose entries are given by

$$\begin{aligned} [\tilde{Q}]_{i,j} &:= Q_{i+1,j+1}(s_{N-i+1}, s_{N-j+1}), \\ [\tilde{p}]_i &:= p_{i+1}(s_{N-i+1}), \\ [\tilde{U}]_{i,k} &:= U_{i-k+1}(s_{N-i+1} - y_{M-k+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

for all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ and $1 \leq k \leq M$ where the functions are given by (3.2), (3.3) and (3.6) respectively.

A formula of this type can be derived also for TASEP on the full-line, either by arguing similarly directly on (3.7), or by specializing the half-space formula to full-space as done in the proof of Corollary 3.8. The disadvantage of such a formula is that it appears to be ill-suited for asymptotic analysis (although for periodic TASEP the idea has been used fruitfully, see e.g. [BL18]).

In our setting, we are interested in the proposition mostly due to the following corollary, which computes the probability of observing exactly N particles in the half-space TASEP at time t (this is equivalent to observing the integrated particle current at the boundary). This yields the normalization constant which will later appear in the conditional joint distribution in Section 5, and the explicit formula will be useful in the derivation there.

Corollary 3.13. *Let $N \geq M \geq 0$ be a fixed integers and let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$ be a fixed half-space configuration satisfying $y_M > N - M + 1$. Then the probability of the half-TASEP having exactly N particles, i.e.*

$$\mathbb{P}(|X_t| = N \mid X_0 = y),$$

is given by the Pfaffian on the right hand sides of (3.20) when $N + M$ is even and (3.21) when $N + M$ is odd, where the matrix entries are given by (3.22) with $s_1 = \dots = s_N = 1$.

Proof of Theorem 3.12. The joint distribution may be calculated from the transition probability as

$$\mathbb{P} \left(\bigcap_{k=1}^N \{X_t(k) \geq s_k\} \text{ and } |X_t| = N \mid X_0 = y \right) = \sum_{x_1=s_1}^{\infty} \sum_{x_2=s_2}^{x_1-1} \cdots \sum_{x_N=s_N}^{x_{N-1}-1} \mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x), \quad (3.23)$$

where the transition probabilities are given by the Pfaffian expressions of Theorem 3.2 when $y = \emptyset$ and Theorem 3.6 when y is non-empty. Note that all these sums are over non-empty ranges thanks to our assumption on the s_i 's. Let us consider the particular case of the Schütz-type Pfaffian transition probability where $N + M$ is odd (3.5) since the even case is simpler. We evaluate the sum over x_N in (3.23) first, where we note that the x_N -dependence only appears in the first row and column of the underlying matrix (3.5). The first row can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & Q_{1,2}(x_N, x_{N-1}) & \cdots & Q_{1,N}(x_N, x_1) & p_1(x_N) & U_0(x_N - y_M) & \cdots & U_{1-M}(x_N - y_1) \end{bmatrix},$$

where the sum over x_N can be evaluated on the individual rows and columns of the Pfaffian simultaneously using the multi-linearity of the Pfaffian. And so, the sum over x_N is evaluated readily using the recurrence relation of Lemma 3.11. This leads the the first row becoming

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Q_{2,2}(s_N, x_{N-1}) & \cdots & Q_{2,N}(s_N, x_1) & p_2(s_N) & U_1(s_N - y_M) & \cdots & U_{2-M}(s_N - y_1) \end{bmatrix} \\ & - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & Q_{2,2}(x_{N-1}, x_{N-1}) & \cdots & Q_{2,N}(x_{N-1}, x_1) & p_2(x_{N-1}) & U_1(x_{N-1} - y_M) & \cdots & U_{2-M}(x_{N-1} - y_1) \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

while the first column is modified simultaneously in the analogous way. Observe that, beyond the first entry, the second term in (3.24) is the equal to the second-row of the subsequent matrix after the x_N -summation has taken place. So, we apply the simultaneous row and column operations,

$r_1 \mapsto r_1 + r_2$ and $c_1 \mapsto c_1 + c_2$, which do not affect the value of the Pfaffian. These operations leave the first row of the resulting matrix as the first term in (3.24).

We will now perform the subsequent summations over x_{N-1}, \dots, x_2 along the same lines. That is, for each $1 < k < N$ we evaluate the sum over x_{N-k+1} in (3.23) by applying the recurrences of Lemma 3.11 simultaneously to the k -th row and column of the matrix. This is then followed by the simultaneous row and column operations $r_k \mapsto r_k + r_{k+1}$ and $c_k \mapsto c_k + c_{k+1}$.

Finally, after performing this procedure for all in order for all k , we may perform the summation over x_1 in (3.23) using Lemma 3.10. This process results in the joint distribution given as the Pfaffian over the matrices (3.21) or (3.20) depending on whether $N + M$ is odd or even respectively. \square

Proof of Corollary 3.13. Setting $s_i = N + 1 - i$ for $1 \leq i \leq N$ in Theorem 3.12 we get immediately that $\mathbb{P}(|X_t| = N \mid X_0 = y)$ is given by the claimed formulas with that choice of s_i 's. Now from Lemma 3.11 we obtain the recursions $Q_{i,j}(i, j) = Q_{i,j}(i - 1, j) - Q_{i-1,j}(i - 1, j)$, $Q_{i,j}(i, j) = Q_{i,j}(i, j - 1) - Q_{i,j-1}(i, j - 1)$, $U_i(s) = U_i(s - 1) - U_{i-1}(s - 1)$ and $p_i(s) = p_i(s - 1) - p_{i-1}(s - 1)$, so applying repeatedly row and column operations to the Pfaffians in the formulas, in a similar way as done in the previous proof, we can successively lower the values of the s_i 's to obtain the same formula evaluated at $s_1 = \dots = s_N = 1$; we omit the details. \square

4. PFAFFIAN POINT PROCESSES

4.1. Overview. Here we provide an overview of the essential definitions and properties of Pfaffian point processes.

Definition 4.1 (Pfaffian point process). Let \mathcal{Z} be a set of points and let $\mu : 2^{\mathcal{Z}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a measure on the powerset $2^{\mathcal{Z}}$. This measure is called a *Pfaffian point process* if there exists a matrix valued kernel $K : \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ which is skew-symmetric, i.e. $K_{ij}(x, y) = -K_{ji}(y, x)$, given by

$$K(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} K_{11}(x, y) & K_{12}(x, y) \\ K_{21}(x, y) & K_{22}(x, y) \end{bmatrix},$$

such that correlation functions $\rho(z_1, \dots, z_m) := \mu(\{A \in 2^{\mathcal{Z}} : z_1, \dots, z_m \in A\})$ have the form

$$\rho(z_1, \dots, z_m) = \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} K_{11}(z_i, z_j) & K_{12}(z_i, z_j) \\ K_{21}(z_i, z_j) & K_{22}(z_i, z_j) \end{bmatrix}_{1 \leq i, j \leq m}.$$

for all finite subsets $\{z_1, \dots, z_m\} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$. The kernel K is known as the *correlation kernel* of the process.

If μ is a Pfaffian point process on \mathcal{Z} and $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$ then the gap probability corresponding to seeing no points in \mathcal{B} is given as a Fredholm Pfaffian:

$$\sum_{X \subseteq \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{B}} \mu(X) = \text{Pf}(J - K)_{\ell^2(\mathcal{B})}. \quad (4.1)$$

This follows e.g. from Theorem 8.2 in [Rai00]. The Fredholm Pfaffian of a 2×2 matrix kernel K acting on a space $L^2(\mathcal{X}, \lambda)$ can be defined through its series expansion

$$\text{Pf}(J + K)_{L^2(\mathcal{X})} = 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{n!} \int_{\mathcal{X}^n} d\lambda^{\otimes n}(x_1, \dots, x_n) \text{Pf}[K(x_i, x_j)]_{i,j=1}^n;$$

see Section 8 of [Rai00] or Appendix B of [OQR17] for more details on and properties of Fredholm Pfaffians. The kernel J here is defined as

$$J(x, y) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \delta_{x,y},$$

for $x, y \in \mathcal{Z}$.




Figure 3. The Gelfand–Tsetlin pattern $\text{GT}_4(x)$ with left-edges fixed by $x = (x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)$.

Definition 4.2 (Pfaffian L -ensemble). Let \mathcal{Z} be a set of points, and let $L : \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ be a skew-symmetric 2×2 -matrix valued function. A measure μ on $2^{\mathcal{Z}}$ is a *Pfaffian L -ensemble* on \mathbb{Z} if its correlation function ρ is given by

$$\rho(X) = \frac{\text{Pf } L_X}{\text{Pf}(J + L)}, \quad X \subset \mathcal{Z}. \quad (4.2)$$

Here L_X denotes the $(2|X|) \times (2|X|)$ matrix $[L(x, x')]_{x, x' \in X}$, while $\text{Pf}(J + L)$ denotes the Fredholm Pfaffian of L on $\ell^2(\mathcal{Z})$ (which coincides with the Pfaffian of the matrix $J + L$ when \mathcal{Z} is finite).

Definition 4.3 (Conditional Pfaffian L -ensemble). Let \mathcal{Z} be a set of points with subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$ and complement $\mathcal{D}^c = \mathcal{Z} \setminus \mathcal{D}$, and let $L : \mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ be a skew-symmetric 2×2 -matrix valued function. A measure μ on the powerset of the subset $2^{\mathcal{D}}$ is a *conditional Pfaffian L -ensemble* on \mathcal{D} within \mathcal{Z} if its correlation function ρ is given by

$$\rho(Y) = \frac{\text{Pf } L_{Y \cup \mathcal{D}^c}}{\text{Pf}(J_{\mathcal{D}} + L)}, \quad (4.3)$$

where $J_{\mathcal{D}}$ denotes the kernel J restricted to \mathcal{D} , i.e.

$$J_{\mathcal{D}}(x, y) = J(x, y) \mathbb{1}_{x, y \in \mathcal{D}}.$$

Note that the conditional Pfaffian L -ensemble (4.3) reduces to the regular Pfaffian L -ensemble (4.2) when the chosen subset is the whole set of points $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{Z}$.

The following proposition is due to Borodin and Rains, Proposition 1.7 in [BR05].

Proposition 4.4. *Let \mathcal{Z} be a finite set of points with subset $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{Z}$ and let μ be a conditional Pfaffian L -ensemble on \mathcal{D} within \mathbb{Z} as in Definition 4.3. Then μ is a Pfaffian point process on \mathcal{D} whose correlation kernel is given by*

$$K = J_{\mathcal{D}} + (J_{\mathcal{D}} + L)^{-1} \Big|_{\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}},$$

where the second term denotes the inverse of the matrix $J_{\mathcal{D}} + L$ indexed by $\mathcal{Z} \times \mathcal{Z}$ restricted to $\mathcal{D} \times \mathcal{D}$.

4.2. Half-space TASEP measure. We will now proceed to develop the Schütz-type Pfaffian formulas for half-space TASEP from Section 3.1 into a Pfaffian point process. Theorems 3.2 and 3.6 give the transition probability for both odd and even values of $N + M$. However, for simplicity, we will restrict our analysis to the even case henceforth.

Let us denote the set of all *integer valued triangular arrays* of size N as

$$\Lambda_N := \left\{ \mathbf{z} = (z_i^k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{N(N+1)/2} \right\}, \quad (4.4)$$

where an individual entry on the triangular array is denoted by the coordinate $z_i^k \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k \leq N$. We must also define a more restricted subset of Λ_N : the *Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns* (sometimes

spelled Gelfand–Zetlin or Gelfand–Cetlin) which we define as

$$\mathbf{GT}_N := \left\{ \mathbf{z} = (z_i^k) \in \mathbb{Z}^{N(N+1)/2} : z_i^{k+1} < z_i^k \leq z_{i+1}^k, 1 \leq i \leq k < N \right\}.$$

We also let, for $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ with $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_N$,

$$\Lambda_N(x) := \{ \mathbf{z} \in \Lambda_N : z_1^k = x_k \}, \quad \mathbf{GT}_N(x) := \{ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{GT}_N : z_1^k = x_k \},$$

which are the spaces of triangular arrays and Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns whose left-edges are fixed by the coordinates of x . An example of the Gelfand–Tsetlin pattern $\mathbf{GT}_N(x)$ appears in Figure 3.

To simplify the exposition, and in particular the presentation of the proofs, we treat separately the cases of empty and general initial conditions throughout most of the remainder of the paper.

Proposition 4.5 (Triangular array marginal for empty initial conditions). *Let N be an even integer and let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ be the ordered coordinates of TASEP particles. Then the half-line open TASEP transition probability from an empty state to x can be written as a sum over Pfaffian kernels indexed by Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns with endpoints fixed by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$:*

$$\mathbb{P}_t(\emptyset \rightarrow x) = (-1)^{\binom{N}{2}} e^{-\alpha t} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{GT}_N(x)} \text{Pf}[\Psi(z_i^N, z_j^N)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}, \quad (4.5)$$

where we have defined

$$\Psi(x, y) := Q_{1,1}(x, y) = \alpha^2 \oint_{\beta} \frac{du}{2\pi i} \oint_{\beta} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{u-w}{1-u-w} \frac{w^{1-x} e^{t(w-1)}}{(w-\alpha)(w-1)} \frac{u^{1-y} e^{t(u-1)}}{(u-\alpha)(u-1)}, \quad (4.6)$$

where contour β , as in Definition 3.1, surrounds poles at $u, w = 1, 0, \alpha, 1 - \alpha$ and omits all other singularities of the integrand. The kernel $\Psi(x, y)$ is skew-symmetric under the interchange of x and y when $x, y \geq 1$.

The proof of this proposition will use the following result, which appears in [BFPS07].

Lemma 4.6. *Let f be an anti-symmetric function in N variables and let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ where $x_1 > \dots > x_N$ are integers. We have the following identity of sums over triangular arrays:*

$$\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{z} \in \Lambda_N(x) \\ z_{i+1}^{k+1} \geq z_i^k}} f(z_1^N, \dots, z_N^N) = \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \mathbf{GT}_N(x)} f(z_1^N, \dots, z_N^N).$$

Proof of Proposition 4.5. The result will follow from an expansion of the Pfaffian from (3.1) where we identify the coordinates $(x_1, \dots, x_N) = (z_1^1, \dots, z_1^N)$:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Pf}[Q_{i,j}(z_1^{N-i+1}, z_1^{N-j+1})] \\ &= \text{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q_{1,2}(z_1^N, z_1^{N-1}) & \cdots & Q_{1,N-1}(z_1^N, z_1^2) & Q_{1,N}(z_1^N, z_1^1) \\ Q_{2,1}(z_1^{N-1}, z_1^N) & 0 & \cdots & Q_{2,N-1}(z_1^{N-1}, z_1^2) & Q_{2,N}(z_1^{N-1}, z_1^1) \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ Q_{N-1,1}(z_1^2, z_1^N) & Q_{N-1,2}(z_1^2, z_1^{N-1}) & \cdots & 0 & Q_{N-1,N}(z_1^2, z_1^1) \\ Q_{N,1}(z_1^1, z_1^N) & Q_{N,2}(z_1^1, z_1^{N-1}) & \cdots & Q_{N,N-1}(z_1^1, z_1^2) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

Recall the property whereby Pfaffians are linear in expanding along rows and columns simultaneously. We may use the recurrence relations of Lemma 3.10 in the last row and column of (4.7). Expansion along this row and column yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Pf}[Q_{i,j}(z_1^{N-i+1}, z_1^{N-j+1})] \\ &= \sum_{z_2^2 \geq z_1^1} \text{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q_{1,2}(z_1^N, z_1^{N-1}) & \cdots & Q_{1,N-1}(z_1^N, z_1^2) & Q_{1,N-1}(z_1^N, z_1^2) \\ Q_{2,1}(z_1^{N-1}, z_1^N) & 0 & \cdots & Q_{2,N-1}(z_1^{N-1}, z_1^2) & Q_{2,N-1}(z_1^{N-1}, z_1^2) \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\ Q_{N-1,1}(z_1^2, z_1^N) & Q_{N-1,2}(z_1^2, z_1^{N-1}) & \cdots & 0 & Q_{N-1,N-1}(z_1^2, z_1^2) \\ Q_{N-1,1}(z_1^2, z_1^N) & Q_{N-1,2}(z_1^2, z_1^{N-1}) & \cdots & Q_{N-1,N-1}(z_1^2, z_1^2) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

This step can be repeated so that it occurs $N - 1$ times in total:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{Pf}[Q_{i,j}(z_1^{N-i+1}, z_1^{N-j+1})] \\ &= \sum_{z_N^N \geq \dots \geq z_2^N \geq z_1^N} \text{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q_{1,2}(z_1^N, z_1^{N-1}) & \dots & Q_{1,N-1}(z_1^N, z_1^2) & Q_{1,1}(z_1^N, z_N^N) \\ Q_{2,1}(z_1^{N-1}, z_1^N) & 0 & \dots & Q_{2,N-1}(z_1^{N-1}, z_1^2) & Q_{2,1}(z_1^{N-1}, z_N^N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ Q_{N-1,1}(z_1^2, z_1^N) & Q_{N-1,2}(z_1^2, z_1^{N-1}) & \dots & 0 & Q_{N-1,1}(z_1^2, z_N^N) \\ Q_{1,1}(z_N^N, z_1^N) & Q_{1,2}(z_N^N, z_1^{N-1}) & \dots & Q_{1,N-1}(z_N^N, z_1^2) & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

A similar procedure can then be applied to each row and column so that the j -th row has the recurrence relations of Lemma 3.10 applied $j-1$ times. This yields

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Pf}[Q_{i,j}(z_1^{N-i+1}, z_1^{N-j+1})] &= \sum_{z_N^N \geq \dots \geq z_2^N \geq z_1^N} \sum_{z_{N-1}^N \geq \dots \geq z_2^2 \geq z_1^2} \dots \sum_{z_2^N \geq z_1^{N-1}} \\ &\quad \times \text{Pf} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q_{1,1}(z_1^N, z_2^N) & \dots & Q_{1,1}(z_1^N, z_{N-1}^N) & Q_{1,1}(z_1^N, z_N^N) \\ Q_{1,1}(z_2^N, z_1^N) & 0 & \dots & Q_{1,1}(z_2^N, z_{N-1}^N) & Q_{1,1}(z_2^N, z_N^N) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ Q_{1,1}(z_{N-1}^N, z_1^N) & Q_{1,1}(z_{N-1}^N, z_2^N) & \dots & 0 & Q_{1,1}(z_{N-1}^N, z_N^N) \\ Q_{1,1}(z_N^N, z_1^N) & Q_{1,1}(z_N^N, z_2^N) & \dots & Q_{1,1}(z_N^N, z_{N-1}^N) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be identified as a sum over integer valued triangular arrays with endpoints fixed by the coordinates $x_1 > \dots > x_N$:

$$\text{Pf}[Q_{i,j}(z_1^{N-i+1}, z_1^{N-j+1})]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} = \sum_{\substack{\mathbf{z} \in \Lambda_N \\ z_{i+1}^{k+1} \geq z_i^k, z_1^j = x_j}} \text{Pf}[\Psi(z_i^N, z_j^N)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}. \quad (4.8)$$

Recall the property whereby a Pfaffian is anti-symmetric under the simultaneous interchange of two rows and columns. Therefore the function defined by

$$\text{Pf}[\Psi(z_i^N, z_j^N)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$$

is an anti-symmetric function under the permutation of the alphabet z_1^N, \dots, z_N^N . Then, using Lemma 4.6, the sum on the right hand side of (4.8) can be restricted to be of Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns which completes the argument. \square

Proposition 4.7 (Triangular array marginal for general initial conditions). *Let $0 \leq M \leq N$ be integers such that $N+M$ is even and let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$ and $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$ be ordered coordinates of TASEP particles satisfying $y_M > N-M+1$. Then the half-line open TASEP transition probability from y to x can be written as a sum over Pfaffian kernels indexed by Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns with endpoints fixed by $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N)$:*

$$\mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x) = (-1)^{\binom{N}{2}} e^{-\alpha t} \sum_{z \in \text{GT}_N(x)} \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} \Psi(z_i^N, z_j^N)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} & \Xi_{N-k}(z_i^N)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N \\ 1 \leq k \leq M}} \\ -\Xi_{N-k}(z_j^N)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq M \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (4.9)$$

where we define for each $1 \leq k \leq M$ the function⁴:

$$\Xi_{N-k}(z) = (-1)^k R_{k-M}(z - y_k) = (-1)^k \oint_{\gamma} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{(w-1)^{N-k} e^{t(w-1)}}{w^{z-y_k+N-k+1}}, \quad (4.10)$$

where the contour γ encloses the origin.

Proof. The proof follows a similar argument to the proof of Proposition 4.5 while using the recurrence relations of Lemma 3.10 for the kernel U_k . This leads to a sum over Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns whose terms are given by

$$(-1)^{\binom{N}{2}} e^{-\alpha t} \begin{bmatrix} \Psi(z_i^N, z_j^N)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} & U_{1-k}(z_i^N - y_{M-k+1})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N \\ 1 \leq k \leq M}} \\ -U_{1-k}(z_j^N - y_{M-k+1})_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq M \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.11)$$

⁴The choice of indexing $(\Xi_{N-k})_{k=1, \dots, M}$ is made to better match notation in the literature, see Remark 4.9 below.

Now, we may reverse the direction of last M rows and columns so that an element of the upper-right block of (4.11) has elements

$$U_{k-M}(z_i^N - y_k),$$

whose rows are represented by $1 \leq i \leq N$ and columns by $1 \leq k \leq M$. The process of performing these swaps contributes an overall factor of $(-1)^{\binom{M}{2}}$ to the Pfaffian expression. Following this, we multiply each of the last M rows and columns by $(-1)^k$ for each $1 \leq k \leq M$. This multiplication then exactly cancels the overall sign from which the result follows. \square

The goal of the rest of this section is to show that the Pfaffians appearing as summands in Propositions 4.5 and 4.7 constitute Pfaffian point processes supported on Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns, whose correlation kernels can be computed thanks to Proposition 4.4. We now proceed in deriving them, following the analogous derivation for TASEP on the full line [BFPS07], adjusting it to the Pfaffian case using the framework developed in [BR05] and [Rai00].

We are thinking of the summand $\text{Pf}[\Psi(z_i^N, z_j^N)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$ in (4.5) and the analogous one in (4.9) as measures on Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns \mathbf{z} . It will actually be more convenient to extend them to measures over the whole set of integer valued triangular arrays (4.4), by simply assigning zero weight to configurations outside GT_N . To this end we employ an identity due to [BFPS07], for any triangular array $\mathbf{z} \in \Lambda_N$ satisfying $z_1^{k+1} < z_i^k$ for $k = 1, \dots, N-1$, one has

$$\mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{z} \in \text{GT}_N} = \prod_{k=2}^N \det \left[\mathbb{1}_{z_i^{k-1} > z_j^k} \right]_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}, \quad (4.12)$$

where z_2^1, \dots, z_N^{N-1} play the role of *virtual coordinates* (added due to technical considerations) which should be thought of as taking the value ∞ , so that $\mathbb{1}_{z_i^{k-1} > y} = 1$ for any $y \in \mathbb{Z}$. We will also add an additional virtual coordinate z_1^0 which does not appear in (4.12) so that there are N in total; doing that, the product on the right hand side can be extended up to $k = 1$ straightforwardly. To easily distinguish these virtual coordinates from the coordinates on the triangular array, we will henceforth denote them by $\dagger_1, \dots, \dagger_N$ (so that \dagger_k plays the role of z_k^{k-1}).

Note that the last row in each determinant in (4.12) has all 1's. Subtracting it from each of the other rows flips the inequalities in each indicator function and (after accounting for the minus signs which result from this) leads to the following: letting⁵

$$\phi_k(x, y) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{1}_{x \leq y} & \text{if } x \in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 1 & \text{if } x = \dagger_k, \end{cases}$$

for $k = 1, \dots, N$ and for $x \in \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\dagger_k\}$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}$ (\dagger_k should now be thought of as taking the value 0), and assuming $z_1^{k+1} < z_1^k$ for $k = 1, \dots, N-1$, we have

$$\mathbb{1}_{\mathbf{z} \in \text{GT}_N} = (-1)^{\binom{N}{2}} \prod_{k=1}^N \det [\phi_k(z_i^{k-1}, z_j^k)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq k}, \quad (4.13)$$

with $z_k^{k-1} = \dagger_k$ for $k = 1, \dots, N$. This version of the identity will turn out to be more convenient for us than (4.12) (see Remark 5.2).

Definition 4.8. Given integers $N \geq 1$ and $M \geq 0$ such that $N + M$ is even, and given an ordered set of coordinates $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$ satisfying $y_M > N - M + 1$ ($y = \emptyset$ if $M = 0$), we define a measure on Λ_N corresponding to half-space TASEP with initial condition y as follows:

$$\mathcal{W}_{N,M}(\mathbf{z}|y) = \prod_{k=1}^N \det \begin{bmatrix} \phi_k(z_i^{k-1}, z_j^k)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq k-1 \\ 1 \leq j \leq k}} \\ \phi_k(\dagger_k, z_j^k)_{1 \leq j \leq k} \end{bmatrix} \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} \Psi(z_i^N, z_j^N)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N} & \Xi_{N-k}(z_i^N)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N \\ 1 \leq k \leq M}} \\ -\Xi_{N-k}(z_j^N)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq M \\ 1 \leq j \leq N}} & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.14)$$

Due to (4.13), the restriction of this measure to $\Lambda_N(x)$, with $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_N$, is supported on Gelfand–Tsetlin patterns.

⁵Note that the only difference between the different ϕ_k 's is that they are evaluated at different virtual variables. We could well have introduced a single function ϕ , but keeping the dependence on k makes some of the coming computations a bit more transparent.

Note that when $M = 0$, which corresponds to half-space TASEP with empty initial condition, only the block $\Psi(z_i^N, z_j^N)_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}$ remains in the Pfaffian on the right hand side of (4.14).

Remark 4.9. Just as in Corollary 3.8, the case $N = M$ recovers the known results for TASEP on the full line. In fact, the Pfaffian on the right hand side of (4.14) becomes $\det[\Xi_{N-k}(z_i^N; t)]_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq N \\ 1 \leq k \leq M}}$ thanks to (A.2), while the Ξ_{N-k} 's in this case become, modulo a sign change, the functions Ψ_{N-k}^N appearing in [BFPS07]. In that setting, the family $(\Psi_k^N)_{k=0, \dots, N-1}$ can be thought of follows: Ψ_k^N is the Charlier orthogonal polynomial of degree k , multiplied by the Charlier (i.e. Poisson) weight, and shifted by y_{N-k} . In our case (for general N), the family $(\Xi_k)_{k=N-M, \dots, N-1}$ can be interpreted similarly: Ξ_k is the Charlier orthogonal polynomial of degree $N - M + k$, multiplied by the Charlier weight, and shifted by y_{M-k} .

Using Propositions 4.5 and 4.7, the transition probability of the half-space TASEP can be recovered as a marginal of the measure from Definition 4.8 as:

$$\mathbb{P}_t(y \rightarrow x) = e^{-\alpha t} \sum_{z \in \Lambda_N(x)} \mathcal{W}_{N,M}(z|y), \quad (4.15)$$

for x of size $N \geq 1$ (with $x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_N \geq 1$) and y of size $M \geq 0$, and with $N + M$ being even.

4.3. Half-space TASEP as a Pfaffian point process. In this section, the measures defined in Definition 4.8 are shown to be conditional Pfaffian L -ensembles (see Definition 4.3). From this, using Proposition 4.4, we define a Pfaffian point process. We will first present the case of empty initial conditions using (4.14) with $y = \emptyset$ (or $M = 0$) before presenting the case of more general initial conditions.

4.3.1. L -ensemble for empty initial conditions. For fixed N , consider the spaces

$$\mathcal{X} = \{1, \dots, N\} \times \mathbb{N}, \quad \mathcal{V} = \{\dagger_1, \dots, \dagger_N\}, \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{X}. \quad (4.16)$$

We think of $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ as the state space of a point process; the \dagger_i 's correspond to the virtual coordinates, while (i, x) correspond to physical variables, representing a point with label i at x . We will refer to a point $(i, x) \in \mathcal{X}$ as belonging to the i -th fiber of \mathcal{X} .

We may identify a collection of points $A \subset \mathcal{X}$ as a triangular array, $A \in \Lambda_N$, if A has exactly i elements in its i -th fiber for all $1 \leq i \leq N$. In this way, we may (and will) identify Λ_N as a subset of \mathcal{X} . For example, the collection $A = \{(1, 2), (2, 2), (2, 3)\}$ is in Λ_2 .

Theorem 4.10. *Let N be a fixed even integer. Let $L : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ be defined as follows:*

$$\begin{aligned} L(\dagger_i, \dagger_j) &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{i+1=j} + \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{j+1=i}, \\ L(\dagger_i, (j, x_2)) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi_i(\dagger_i, x_2) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{i=j}, \\ L((i, x_1), \dagger_j) &= -L(\dagger_j, (i, x_1))^T, \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

$$L((i, x_1), (j, x_2)) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \phi_i(x_1, x_2) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{i+1=j < N} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \phi_j(x_2, x_1) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{j+1=i < N} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Psi(x_1, x_2) \end{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{i=j=N},$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ and $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for $A \subset \mathcal{X}$ we have

$$\text{Pf}[L|_{\mathcal{V} \cup A}] = \begin{cases} \mathcal{W}_{N,0}(A|\emptyset) & \text{if } A \in \Lambda_N, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. The first part of the proof will consist of showing that for $A \in \Lambda_N$, the measure $\mathcal{W}_{N,0}(A|\emptyset)$ defined in (4.14) can be written as the Pfaffian of the matrix $L|_{\mathcal{V} \cup A}$.

The measure $\mathcal{W}_{N,0}(\cdot|\emptyset)$ is defined over triangular arrays $z = (z_i^k)_{1 \leq i \leq k} \in \Lambda_N$. For $1 \leq k \leq N$ let $\mathcal{Z}^{(k)}$ denote the set of points corresponding to the k -th row of the triangular array, i.e. $\{z_1^k, \dots, z_k^k\}$, and set $\mathcal{Z}^{(0)} = \emptyset$. Let also $(\mathcal{Z}^{(k)})'$ and $(\mathcal{Z}^{(k)})''$ denote identical copies of $\mathcal{Z}^{(k)}$ (this is just a notational

device to help keep track of the two rows and columns associated to the 2×2 matrix entries of L). For $k = 0, \dots, N-1$ we introduce kernels V_k on $((\mathcal{Z}^{(k)})'' \cup \{\dagger_{k+1}\}) \times (\mathcal{Z}^{(k+1)})'$ defined by

$$V_k((z_i^k)'', (z_j^{k+1})') = \phi_{k+1}(z_i^k, z_j^{k+1}), \quad V_k(\dagger_{k+1}, (z_j^{k+1})') = \phi_{k+1}(\dagger_{k+1}, z_j^{k+1}).$$

We also regard Ψ as being defined on $(\mathcal{Z}^{(N)})'' \times (\mathcal{Z}^{(N)})''$.

Using the Pfaffian identity (A.2), we may write the measure (4.14) as

$$\mathcal{W}_{N,0}(\mathbf{z}|\emptyset) = \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & V_0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -V_0^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & V_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & -V_{N-1}^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \Psi \end{bmatrix}(\tilde{\mathbf{z}}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}), \quad (4.18)$$

where the rows and the columns of the matrix are indexed by

$$\{\dagger_1\} \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(1)})' \cup ((\mathcal{Z}^{(1)})'' \cup \{\dagger_2\}) \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(2)})' \cup \dots \cup ((\mathcal{Z}^{(N-1)})'' \cup \{\dagger_N\}) \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(N)})' \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(N)})''$$

and where $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ denotes a version of \mathbf{z} with duplicated $\mathcal{Z}^{(k)}$ variables for $k = 1, \dots, N$ and with the virtual variables added, all in the order specified by the above space. The kernel V_k can be split into its physical and virtual coordinate dependence as

$$V_k = \begin{bmatrix} W_k \\ e_k \end{bmatrix},$$

with W_k a kernel on $(\mathcal{Z}^{(k)})'' \times (\mathcal{Z}^{(k+1)})'$ and e_k a kernel on $\{\dagger_{k+1}\} \times (\mathcal{Z}^{(k+1)})'$, given by

$$W_k((z_i^k)'', (z_j^{k+1})') = \phi_{k+1}(z_i^k, z_j^{k+1}), \quad e_k(\dagger_{k+1}, (z_j^{k+1})') = \phi_{k+1}(\dagger_{k+1}, z_j^{k+1}).$$

We emphasize here that W_k depends only on the physical coordinates of the triangular array. Note also that W_0 is an empty array.

We aim to define a measure on the space of duplicated physical coordinates

$$\mathcal{Z} = (\mathcal{Z}^{(1)})' \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(1)})'' \cup \dots \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(N)})' \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(N)})''.$$

It will be convenient first to duplicate the alphabet of virtual coordinates so that there are two copies \dagger'_k, \dagger''_k of each \dagger_k . For each $0 \leq k \leq N-1$, henceforth we will regard e_k as a kernel on $\{\dagger''_{k+1}\} \times (\mathcal{Z}^{(k+1)})'$. The first copy of the virtual coordinates will then be identified through the kernel on $\{\dagger'_1, \dots, \dagger'_N\} \times \{\dagger'_1, \dots, \dagger'_N\}$ defined by the matrix⁶

$$\Lambda_N(\dagger'_i, \dagger'_j) = \delta_{i,j+1} - \delta_{i+1,j}. \quad (4.19)$$

When N is even, the Pfaffian of the matrix (4.19) satisfies $\text{Pf}[\Lambda_N(\dagger'_i, \dagger'_j)]_{1 \leq i,j \leq N} = 1$, so that (4.18) can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{W}_{N,0}(\mathbf{z}|\emptyset) = \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_N & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & V_0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -V_0^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & V_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -V_{N-1}^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \Psi \end{bmatrix}(\tilde{\mathbf{z}}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}),$$

where $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ is now a version of \mathbf{z} with duplicated physical and virtual variables. Now, recall the property by which a Pfaffian changes sign under the simultaneous interchange of two rows and

⁶In principle, we could have used any skew-symmetric $N \times N$ matrix whose Pfaffian is equal to 1.

columns. Performing row and column swaps shows that

$$\mathcal{W}_{N,0}(\mathbf{z}|\emptyset) = \text{Pf} \left[\begin{array}{cc|cccccc} \Lambda_N & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E_0 & 0 & E_1 & \cdots & 0 & E_{N-1} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -E_0^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & W_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -E_1^T & 0 & -W_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & W_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -E_{N-1}^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -W_{N-1}^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \Psi \end{array} \right] (\tilde{\mathbf{z}}, \tilde{\mathbf{z}}), \quad (4.20)$$

where, for each $0 \leq k \leq N-1$, the kernel E_k over $\{\dagger_1'', \dots, \dagger_N''\} \times (\mathcal{Z}^{(k)})'$ is defined by

$$E_k(\dagger_i'', (z_j^{k+1})') = \begin{cases} e_k(\dagger_{k+1}, z_j^{k+1}) & \text{if } i = k+1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases},$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq k+1$. Note here that, since each \dagger_k'' has to be swapped with all of the duplicated virtual variables of lower index, the number of simultaneous interchanges of rows and columns is even.

The rows and columns of the matrix in (4.20) are both indexed by the space

$$(\mathcal{V}' \cup \mathcal{V}'') \cup ((\mathcal{Z}^{(1)})' \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(1)})'' \cup \dots \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(N)})' \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(N)})'')$$

(and the duplicated variables in $\tilde{\mathbf{z}}$ are expressed now according to this ordering). Now we may permute rows and columns simultaneously so that pairs of duplicate variables appear next to each other. This corresponds to applying the permutation appearing in (A.1) separately to the blocks $\mathcal{V}' \cup \mathcal{V}''$ and $(\mathcal{Z}^{(k)})' \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(k)})''$, $k = 1, \dots, N$, so using that identity we get, after reordering, an extra factor of $(-1)^{\binom{N}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^N \binom{k}{2}} = (-1)^{\binom{N}{3}}$, which equals 1 since N is even. If we now identify \mathbf{z} with a set $A \in \mathcal{X}$, we may regard the resulting identity as the Pfaffian of the minor of a suitable 2×2 matrix kernel L over the variables $\mathcal{V} \cup A$. The kernel L as given in (4.17) can now be identified by reading from the entries of (4.20). This shows that

$$\text{Pf}[L|_{\mathcal{V} \cup A}] = \mathcal{W}_{N,0}(\mathbf{z}|\emptyset)$$

whenever $A \in \Lambda_N$.

It remains to extend the identity to $A \in 2^{\mathcal{X}} \setminus \Lambda_N$, in which case we need to show that the left hand side vanishes. Fix such an A and suppose first that there is no point in A in the i -th fiber of \mathcal{X} . Then the $(2i)$ -th row of $L|_{\mathcal{V} \cup A}$ (corresponding to the second row coming from the 2×2 blocks indexed by row \dagger_i in (4.17)) is clearly just zero, which means that the Pfaffian of the matrix has to vanish. So in order for the Pfaffian not to vanish there necessarily have to be points in all of the N fibers of \mathcal{X} .

Now suppose that A has at least two points in the first fiber of \mathcal{X} , say $(1, a)$ and $(1, b)$, and consider rows $2N+1$ and $2N+3$ of $L|_{\mathcal{V} \cup A}$. They correspond to the first rows coming from the blocks indexed respectively by rows $(1, a)$ and $(1, b)$. Each of these two blocks vanishes except at the second coordinate coming from the \dagger_1 column, so the two rows are linearly dependent and thus the Pfaffian again vanishes. So there has to be exactly one point in the first fiber of \mathcal{X} . The same argument allows one to show inductively that there have to be k points in the k -th fiber. \square

Now, we define the following measure on $\mathcal{X} = \{1, \dots, N\} \times \mathbb{N}$:

$$\mu_N(A) = \frac{\text{Pf}[L|_{\mathcal{V} \cup A}]}{\text{Pf}[J_{\mathcal{X}} + L]}. \quad (4.21)$$

The following result follows from the last theorem and Proposition 4.4:

Corollary 4.11 (Pfaffian point process for empty initial configuration). *The measure μ_N given in (4.21) defines a Pfaffian point process with correlation kernel given by*

$$K = J_{\mathcal{X}} + (J_{\mathcal{X}} + L)^{-1} \Big|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}}.$$

Moreover, μ_N is supported on configurations in Λ_N , and is proportional to $\mathcal{W}_{N,0}(\cdot|\emptyset)$ (defined in (4.14) with $M = 0$) there.

Proof. Fix $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let μ_N^m denote the restriction of the measure μ_N to $\mathcal{X}_m = \{1, \dots, N\} \times \{1, \dots, m\}$. Proposition 4.4 implies that μ_N^m defines a Pfaffian point process with correlation kernel given by

$$K_m = J_{\mathcal{X}_m} + (J_{\mathcal{X}_m} + L)^{-1} \Big|_{\mathcal{X}_m \times \mathcal{X}_m}.$$

This means that given any collection of points $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathcal{X}_m$,

$$\mu_N^m(\{A \subset \mathcal{X}_m : x_1, \dots, x_n \in A\}) = \text{Pf} \left[K_m(x_i, x_j) \right]_{i,j=1}^n. \quad (4.22)$$

Now we take $m \rightarrow \infty$ on both sides of the identity to get

$$\mu_N(\{A \subset \mathcal{X} : x_1, \dots, x_n \in A\}) = \text{Pf} \left[K(x_i, x_j) \right]_{i,j=1}^n$$

with K as in the statement of the result, which means precisely that the point process μ_N is Pfaffian, with correlation kernel K . That the left hand side of (4.22) converges as claimed follows directly, while for the right hand side it is enough to use that $(J_{\mathcal{X}_m} + L)^{-1} \Big|_{\mathcal{X}_m \times \mathcal{X}_m} (x_i, x_j) = (J_{\mathcal{X}_m} + L)^{-1} (x_i, x_j)$ for each i, j as long as $m > \max\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ while $J_{\mathcal{X}_m}$ converges to $J_{\mathcal{X}}$ in operator norm and L defines a bounded operator (which can be checked from its definition; we omit the details).

The remaining claims follow from Theorem 4.10. \square

4.3.2. L -ensemble for general initial conditions. Our goal now is to extend the above construction to the case of general initial configuration. In this case, in addition to the spaces \mathcal{X} and \mathcal{V} from (4.16), and for fixed integers M, N and half-space TASEP ordered coordinates (y_1, \dots, y_M) , we need to introduce the space

$$\mathcal{U} = \{\dagger_{N+1}, \dots, \dagger_{N+M}\}$$

and redefine $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ as

$$\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{X}.$$

We state the result corresponding to Theorem 4.10 and Corollary 4.11 as a single result:

Theorem 4.12 (Pfaffian point process for general initial conditions). *Let $N \geq M \geq 0$ be integers such that $N + M$ is even and fix $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$ satisfying $y_M > N - M + 1$. Let $L : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$ be defined by (4.17) where the domain of $L(\dagger_i, \dagger_j)$ is extended to $1 \leq i, j \leq N + M$. Additionally we require*

$$\begin{aligned} L((i, x), \dagger_{N+\ell}) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \Xi_{N-\ell}(x) \end{bmatrix} \mathbb{1}_{i=N}, \\ L(\dagger_{N+k}, (j, x)) &= -L((j, x), \dagger_{N+k})^T, \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$, $1 \leq k, \ell \leq M$ and $x \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\mu_{N,M}(A|y) = \frac{\text{Pf}[L_{\mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{U} \cup A}]}{\text{Pf}[J_{\mathcal{X}} + L]}.$$

defines a Pfaffian point process on \mathcal{X} with correlation kernel

$$K = J + (J_{\mathcal{X}} + L)^{-1} \Big|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} \quad (4.24)$$

(with $(J_{\mathcal{X}} + L)^{-1}$ now computed on the whole space $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{U} \cup \mathcal{X}$) which is supported on configurations in Λ_N , and is proportional to $\mathcal{W}_{N,M}(\cdot|y)$ (defined in (4.14)) there.

Proof. We will keep the notation employed in the proof of Theorem 4.10. We also let \mathcal{U}' and \mathcal{U}'' be two copies of the new set of virtual coordinates \mathcal{U} and introduce a kernel $\widetilde{\Xi}$ acting on $(\mathcal{Z}^{(N)})'' \times \mathcal{U}'$ as

$$\widetilde{\Xi}((z_i^N)'', \dagger'_{N+\ell}) := \Xi_{N-\ell}(z_i^N),$$

for each $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $1 \leq \ell \leq M$, where the function $\Xi_{N-\ell}$ is defined by (4.10) (with explicit dependence on the initial coordinate y_ℓ).

Now, beginning with the measure (4.14), we follow the same procedure as the proof of Theorem 4.10. This leads us to the Pfaffian expression (analogous to (4.20))

$$\mathcal{W}_{N,M}(\mathbf{z}|y) = \text{Pf} \left[\begin{array}{cc|cccccc|cc|c} \Lambda_{N+M} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E_0 & 0 & E_1 & \cdots & 0 & E_{N-1} & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -E_0^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & W_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -E_1^T & 0 & -W_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & W_{N-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -E_{N-1}^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -W_{N-1}^T & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \Psi & \widetilde{\Xi} \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -\widetilde{\Xi}^T & 0 \end{array} \right] (\widetilde{\mathbf{z}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}),$$

whose rows and columns are indexed by the space

$$(\mathcal{V}' \cup \mathcal{U}' \cup \mathcal{V}'') \cup \left((\mathcal{Z}^{(1)})' \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(1)})'' \cup \cdots \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(N)})' \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(N)})'' \right) \cup \mathcal{U}''.$$

Here we are taking Λ_{N+M} to be indexed by $\mathcal{V}' \cup \mathcal{U}'$ in the obvious way. Now, we move the \mathcal{U}'' rows and columns to end up with a matrix indexed by

$$(\mathcal{V}' \cup \mathcal{U}' \cup \mathcal{V}'' \cup \mathcal{U}'') \cup \left((\mathcal{Z}^{(1)})' \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(1)})'' \cup \cdots \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(N)})' \cup (\mathcal{Z}^{(N)})'' \right), \quad (4.25)$$

leading to

$$\mathcal{W}_{N,M}(\mathbf{z}|y) = \text{Pf} \left[\begin{array}{cc|cccccc|cc|c} \Lambda_{N+M} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & E_0 & 0 & E_1 & \cdots & 0 & E_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -\widetilde{\Xi}^T \\ \hline 0 & -E_0^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & W_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -E_1^T & 0 & 0 & -W_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & W_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -E_{N-1}^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -W_{N-1}^T & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \widetilde{\Xi} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & \Psi \end{array} \right] (\widetilde{\mathbf{z}}, \widetilde{\mathbf{z}}). \quad (4.26)$$

The arguments and evaluations of the L -ensemble (4.17) and (4.23) can be identified from (4.26) in the same way as in the proof of Theorem 4.10. A careful counting of the number of interchanges of rows and columns gives the same prefactor of $(-1)^{\binom{N}{3}}$, which may now not equal 1 since N may be odd. This shows that, for $A \in \Lambda_N$,

$$\text{Pf}[L|_{\mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{U} \cup A}] = (-1)^{\binom{N}{3}} \mathcal{W}_{N,M}(A|y).$$

All that is left to prove is that if $A \in 2^{\mathcal{X}} \setminus \Lambda_N$ then $\text{Pf}[L|_{\mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{U} \cup A}] = 0$. But this follows from exactly the same argument as the one used in Theorem 4.10 (noting that the relevant rows in the argument have been augmented with 0's on the $\mathcal{U}' \cup \mathcal{U}''$ coordinates). \square

5. CONDITIONAL JOINT DISTRIBUTIONS

We begin this section by explaining how (4.1) can be used to extract information about the finite-dimensional distributions of half-space TASEP. Consider the case of general initial conditions, and fix $1 \leq p_1 < \dots < p_m \leq N$ and $a_1, \dots, a_m \geq 0$. The identity (4.15) implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P} \left[\bigcap_{k=1}^m \{X_t(p_k) > a_k\}, |X_t| = N \right] &= e^{-\alpha t} \sum_{\substack{x_1 > \dots > x_N \geq 1: \\ x_{p_k} > a_k, k=1, \dots, m}} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \Lambda_N(x)} \mathcal{W}_{N,M}(\mathbf{z}|y) \\ &= e^{-\alpha t} \sum_{x_1 > \dots > x_N \geq 1} \sum_{\mathbf{z} \in \Lambda_N(x)} \prod_{k=1}^m \mathbb{1}_{z_1^{p_k} > a_k} \mathcal{W}_{N,M}(\mathbf{z}|y). \end{aligned}$$

Using Theorem 4.12, this can be rewritten as

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\bigcap_{k=1}^m \{X_t(p_k) > a_k\}, |X_t| = N \right] = c_N \sum_{A \in 2^{\mathcal{X} \setminus \mathcal{B}}} \frac{\text{Pf}[L|_{\mathcal{V} \cup \mathcal{U} \cup A}]}{\text{Pf}[J_{\mathcal{X}} + L]} \Big|_{v_1 = \dots = v_N = 1}$$

for some $c_N \neq 0$ and for $\mathcal{B} = \{B \in 2^{\mathcal{X}} : (p_k, x) \in B \text{ for some } k = 1, \dots, m \text{ and some } x \leq a_k\}$. The summand on the right hand side corresponds to the measure $\mu_{N,M}(A|y)$, which defines a Pfaffian point process thanks to the same theorem, with the correlation kernel K given in (4.24). So (4.1) implies that

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\bigcap_{k=1}^m \{X_t(p_k) > a_k\}, |X_t| = N \right] = c_N \text{Pf}(J - K)_{\ell^2(\mathcal{B})} = c_N \text{Pf}(J - \bar{\chi}_a K \bar{\chi}_a)_{\ell^2(\{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \times \mathbb{N})}, \quad (5.1)$$

where

$$\bar{\chi}_a(p, x) = \mathbb{1}_{x \leq a_p}. \quad (5.2)$$

Setting $a_k = 0$ for all k , the identity becomes $\mathbb{P}[|X_t| = N] = c_N \text{Pf}(J)_{\ell^2(\{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \times \mathbb{N})} = c_N^7$. This implies that the Fredholm Pfaffian on the right hand side of (5.1), which comes from representing half-space TASEP as a conditional Pfaffian L -ensemble, computes the finite-dimensional distributions of the system conditioned on the number of particles at time t :

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\bigcap_{k=1}^m \{X_t(p_k) > a_k\} \mid |X_t| = N \right] = \text{Pf}(J - \bar{\chi}_a K \bar{\chi}_a)_{\ell^2(\{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \times \mathbb{N})}. \quad (5.3)$$

The goal of the rest of this section is to obtain an expression for the kernel K appearing on the right hand side of (5.3).

5.1. Preliminaries. In order to explicitly evaluate the kernel, we must first introduce some notation and some preliminary computations. Given two kernels A, B we will denote their *half-space convolution* as

$$(A \star B)(x, y) = \sum_{\nu \geq 1} A(x, \nu) B(\nu, y).$$

Similarly, if A is a kernel and f a function of a single variable, we write

$$(A \star f)(x) = \sum_{\nu \geq 1} A(x, \nu) f(\nu).$$

We will also need to employ a convolution over the whole integers: we will denote the *full-space convolution* as

$$(A \diamond B)(x, y) = \sum_{\nu \in \mathbb{Z}} A(x, \nu) B(\nu, y).$$

We use the half-space convolution to define the following family of kernels on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$: for $1 \leq k, \ell \leq N$,

$$\phi_{(k, \ell]}(x, y) = \begin{cases} (\phi_{k+1} \star \dots \star \phi_{\ell})(x, y) & \text{for } k < \ell, \\ \text{id}(x, y) & \text{for } k = \ell, \\ 0 & \text{for } k > \ell. \end{cases} \quad (5.4)$$

⁷We note that the inverse of the normalization coefficient c_N coincides with result of Corollary 3.13

These kernels play an important role in the arguments that follow and we will now demonstrate some of their properties. For $k < \ell$ the kernel (5.4) can be evaluated explicitly as

$$\phi_{(k,\ell]}(x,y) = \oint_{\gamma_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{w^{x-y-1}}{(1-w)^{\ell-k}} = \binom{y-x+\ell-k-1}{\ell-k-1} \mathbb{1}_{x \leq y}, \quad (5.5)$$

where γ_0 encloses only the singularity at the origin $w = 0$. This follows from a simple computation which is in fact more general, and which we state as a lemma (see also [MR23], Lemma 5.6, for a full space version):

Lemma 5.1. *Let S_1 and S_2 be two kernels defined on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, which are given by*

$$S_i(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} dw w^{x-y-1} g_i(w),$$

where g_1, g_2 are complex functions which are both analytic on the disk $\{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < r\}$ for some $r > 0$ and γ is any simple closed contour contained in that disk. Then the sum defining the convolution $S_1 \star S_2$ is absolutely convergent and

$$S_1 \star S_2(x,y) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} dw w^{x-y-1} g_1(w) g_2(w) \quad (5.6)$$

for all $x, y \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 \star S_2(x,y) &= \sum_{z \geq 1} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint_{\gamma_1} dw \oint_{\gamma_2} dv w^{x-z-1} v^{z-y-1} g_1(w) g_2(v) \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint_{\gamma_1} dw \oint_{\gamma_2} dv \frac{w^{x-1} v^{-y}}{w-v} g_1(w) g_2(v) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} dv v^{x-y-1} g_1(v) g_2(v), \end{aligned}$$

where in the second equality the contours are chosen so that $|w| > |v|$ and in the third one we have computed the residue at $w = v$ (note that there is no residue at $w = 0$ because $x \geq 1$). \square

The formula (5.5) follows from this and the simple fact that $\phi_i(x,y) = \oint_{\gamma_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{w^{x-y-1}}{1-w}$ (recall that $\phi_i(x,y)$ actually does not depend on i , see the footnote in page 20).

We introduce another family of kernels on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, defined for $1 \leq j \leq m \leq N$ as

$$\phi_{-(j,m]}(x,y) = \oint_{\gamma_0} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} w^{x-y-1} (1-w)^{m-j} = (-1)^{y-x} \binom{m-j}{y-x} \mathbb{1}_{x \leq y}, \quad (5.7)$$

where γ_0 again encloses only the singularity at the origin $w = 0$. Thanks to (5.6), the kernels (5.7) satisfy the following annihilation relation with those defined by (5.4):

$$\phi_{(\ell,N]} \star \phi_{-(j,N]} = \begin{cases} \phi_{(\ell,j]} & \text{if } \ell \leq j, \\ \phi_{-(j,\ell]} & \text{if } \ell > j. \end{cases}$$

We extend the notation $\phi_{(k,\ell]}$ to $\phi_{[k,\ell]} = \phi_{(k-1,\ell]}$ and $\phi_{[k,\ell)} = \phi_{[k,\ell-1]}$, and we also extend $\phi_{-(k,\ell]}$ analogously.

The kernel $\phi_{[k,\ell]}$, which is originally defined on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, has a natural extension to virtual coordinates: we define it on $\{\dagger_k\} \times \mathbb{N}$ by

$$\phi_{[k,\ell]}(\dagger_k, x) = \phi_k \star \phi_{(k,\ell]}(\dagger_k, x) = \sum_{\nu \geq 1} \phi_{(k,\ell]}(\nu, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} dw \frac{w^{-x}}{(1-w)^{\ell-k+1}} \mathbb{1}_{k \leq \ell}, \quad (5.8)$$

where we computed again a geometric sum, with the contour γ chosen so that $|w| < 1$.

Remark 5.2. The identity (5.8) is the reason why it is useful to flip the indicator functions in (4.12). In fact, it is straightforward to check that if the $\phi_k(x,y)$'s were defined as $\mathbb{1}_{x > y}$ instead of $\mathbb{1}_{x \leq y}$, the convolution after the second equal sign above would be divergent. An alternative, though more cumbersome solution in our setting, would be to introduce additional parameters to our measure which, under the right ordering, make the convolutions convergent, and then to extend

the final answer analytically to the original situation; see e.g. [BF08, MR23], where this is done for full-space models, and where the additional parameters play the role of particle speeds.

For $x \geq 1$ and $k \leq \ell$ the integral in (5.8) can be computed explicitly as $\binom{x+\ell-k-1}{\ell-k}$, which is a polynomial in x on the positive integers of degree $\ell - k$. It will be convenient for us to extend the definition of $\phi_{[k,\ell]}(\dagger_k, x)$ to a polynomial in all $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, which may be done as follows:

$$\phi_{[k,\ell]}(\dagger_k, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} dw \frac{(1-w)^{-x}}{w^{\ell-k+1}} = \frac{(x)_{\ell-k}}{\Gamma(\ell-k+1)}, \quad (5.9)$$

where $(x)_n = x(x+1)\cdots(x+n-1)$ is the Pochhammer symbol (with $(x)_0 = 1$ and $(x)_n = 0$ for $n < 0$). Note that this extension coincides with the right hand side of (5.8) for all $x \geq 1$ and all k, ℓ , that it vanishes for $k > \ell$, and that it defines a polynomial of degree $\ell - k$ for $k \leq \ell$.

One reason why the extension (5.9) will be useful is the following full-space convolution identity⁸

$$\phi_{[\ell,N]} \diamond \phi_{-(j,N]}(\dagger_\ell, x) = \phi_{[\ell,j]}(\dagger_\ell, x) \quad (5.10)$$

for every $j, \ell = 1, \dots, N$ and any $x \in \mathbb{Z}$, and where $\phi_{-(j,N]}(x, y)$ is being extended to a kernel in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ straightforwardly using (5.7). To prove (5.10), compute the convolution over \mathbb{N} and over $\mathbb{Z} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ separately through geometric sums to get $\frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint \oint_{|u| < |1-w|} dw du \frac{u^{-x}(u-1)^{N-j}}{w^{N-j+1}(w+u-1)} - \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint \oint_{|u| > |1-w|} dw du \frac{u^{-x}(u-1)^{N-j}}{w^{N-j+1}(w+u-1)}$, and then shrink the u contour in the second term to cancel the first, picking up a residue at $u = 1 - w$ which gives $\phi_{[\ell,j]}(\dagger_\ell, x)$.

The functions (5.4) also allow for a natural extension of the kernel (4.6):

$$\Psi_{(k,\ell)}^N = \left(\phi_{(k,N]} \star \Psi \star \left(\phi_{(\ell,N]} \right)^T \right), \quad (5.11)$$

where $\left(\phi_{(\ell,N]} \right)^T(x, y) = \phi_{(\ell,N]}(y, x)$. Noting the form of the functions (5.5), the convolutions in (5.11) may be explicitly calculated as $\sum_{\nu \geq x} \sum_{\nu' \geq y} \phi_{(k,N]}(x, \nu) \Psi(\nu, \nu') \phi_{(\ell,N]}(\nu', y)$, which can be expressed explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{(k,\ell)}^N(x, y) &= \frac{\alpha^2}{(2\pi i)^4} \oint \oint \oint \oint du d\tilde{u} dw d\tilde{w} \frac{1}{(1-\tilde{w})^{N-k}} \frac{1}{(1-\tilde{u})^{N-\ell}} \\ &\quad \times \frac{u-w}{1-u-w} \frac{w^{2-x}}{1-w\tilde{w}} \frac{u^{2-y}}{1-u\tilde{u}} \frac{e^{t(w-1)}}{(w-\alpha)(w-1)} \frac{e^{t(u-1)}}{(u-\alpha)(u-1)}, \end{aligned}$$

whose contours satisfy $|u\tilde{u}| > 1$ and $|w\tilde{w}| > 1$ so that the \tilde{u} and \tilde{w} contours include the singularities at $\tilde{w} = 1/w$ and $\tilde{u} = 1/u$ while the u and w contours need to be large enough and include 0, 1, α and $1 - \alpha$. The simple poles at $\tilde{w} = 1/w$ and $\tilde{u} = 1/u$ can be evaluated to give

$$\Psi_{(k,\ell)}^N(x, y) = \frac{\alpha^2}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint \oint du dw \frac{u-w}{1-u-w} \frac{w^{N-k+1-x}}{(w-1)^{N-k+1}} \frac{u^{N-\ell+1-y}}{(u-1)^{N-\ell+1}} \frac{e^{t(w-1)}}{(w-\alpha)(w-1)} \frac{e^{t(u-1)}}{(u-\alpha)(u-1)}.$$

The kernel $\Psi_{(k,\ell)}^N$ is defined on $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$, but we can extend the definition naturally to virtual variables through

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_{(k,\ell)}^N(x, \dagger_\ell) &= \left(\phi_{(k,N]} \star \Psi \star \left(\phi_{[\ell,N]} \right)^T \right)(x, \dagger_\ell), \quad \Psi_{[k,\ell]}^N(\dagger_k, y) = \left(\phi_{[k,N]} \star \Psi \star \left(\phi_{(\ell,N]} \right)^T \right)(\dagger_k, y), \\ \Psi_{[k,\ell]}^N(\dagger_k, \dagger_\ell) &= \left(\phi_{[k,N]} \star \Psi \star \left(\phi_{[\ell,N]} \right)^T \right)(\dagger_k, \dagger_\ell). \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

⁸This identity fails in general if the full-space convolution \diamond is replaced by its half-space version \star ; for example, one has $\phi_{[N-1,N]} \star \phi_{-(N-1,N]}(\dagger_{N-1}, x) = \mathbb{1}_{x=1}$, while $\phi_{[N,N-1]}(\dagger_N, x) = 0$ by definition. It is not strictly necessary to convolve over all integers to get this identity in our setting, where we are only interested in evaluating at $x \geq 1$: convolving over $\mathbb{Z}_{>-N}$ would do. But since it makes no difference and it makes the presentation simpler, we stick to the full-space convolution in (5.7).

5.2. Empty initial conditions. We are ready to state our main result on the conditional multi-point distribution of half-space TASEP. We do it first for empty initial data.

Theorem 5.3 (Conditional multipoint distribution for empty initial condition). *Let $N > 0$ be a fixed even integer and let $\Phi_0(x), \dots, \Phi_{N-1}(x)$ be a family of polynomials where Φ_k is of degree k . Let these polynomials satisfy the following skew-biorthogonality relations:*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x,y=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{2i}(x) \Psi(x,y) \Phi_{2j}(y) &= 0, \\ \sum_{x,y=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{2i+1}(x) \Psi(x,y) \Phi_{2j+1}(y) &= 0, \\ \sum_{x,y=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{2i}(x) \Psi(x,y) \Phi_{2j+1}(y) &= -\delta_{i,j}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

for all $0 \leq i, j < N/2$. These polynomials are specified uniquely up to N free parameters which play no role in the sequel (see Remark 5.4). Then for a fixed integer $m \geq 0$, let $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ be indices denoting particle labels such that $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_m$. The half-line TASEP with empty initial conditions has conditional joint distribution given by a Fredholm Pfaffian of the form

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\bigcap_{k=1}^m \{X_t(p_k) > a_k\} \mid |X_t| = N \right] = \text{Pf} \left((J - \bar{\chi}_a K \bar{\chi}_a)_{\ell^2(\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \times \mathbb{N})} \right), \quad (5.14)$$

where $\bar{\chi}_a(p, x) = \mathbb{1}_{x \leq a_p}$ as in (5.2), where $a_1, \dots, a_k \geq 0$. The kernel K can be expressed as the sum of two terms

$$K = K^0 - K^A \quad (5.15)$$

which have 2×2 block matrix entries given as follows:

$$K^0(i, x_1; j, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{(i,j)} & -\mathbb{1}_{i < j} \phi_{(i,j)} \\ \mathbb{1}_{j < i} \phi_{(j,i)}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} (x_1, x_2) \quad (5.16)$$

and

$$K^A(i, x_1; j, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{(i,N)} \star \mathcal{T}_N \star \Psi_{(N,j)} & -\Psi_{(i,N)} \star \mathcal{T}_N \diamond \phi_{-(j,N)} \\ \phi_{-(i,N)}^T \diamond \mathcal{T}_N \star \Psi_{(N,j)} & -\phi_{-(i,N)}^T \diamond \mathcal{T}_N \diamond \phi_{-(j,N)} \end{bmatrix} (x_1, x_2), \quad (5.17)$$

with

$$\mathcal{T}_N(\nu_1, \nu_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{N/2-1} \Phi_{2k}(\nu_1) \Phi_{2k+1}(\nu_2) - \sum_{k=0}^{N/2-1} \Phi_{2k+1}(\nu_1) \Phi_{2k}(\nu_2).$$

Remark 5.4. Choosing the family of polynomials $\{\Phi_0, \dots, \Phi_{N-1}\}$ corresponds to specifying $\frac{1}{2}N(N+1)$ parameters, while (5.13) corresponds to solving $\frac{1}{2}(N-1)N$ equations, which leaves us with N free parameters. On the other hand, a skew-biorthogonalization problem of the form (5.13) always has $N/2$ free parameters, because the solution is preserved under the replacements

$$\Phi_{2k+1} \longmapsto \Phi_{2k+1} + \beta_k \Phi_{2k}$$

for $k = 0, \dots, N/2-1$ and any choices of $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{N/2-1} \in \mathbb{R}$. But the final answer (5.15) for the kernel K is clearly also preserved under these replacements, due to the structure of K^A , so we can indeed free to choose those $N/2$ parameters freely. This leaves us with $N/2$ additional free parameters, but they can be accounted for similarly from the structure of K^A , which only depends on the products $\Phi_{2k}(x) \Phi_{2k+1}(y)$ for $k = 0, \dots, N/2-1$, and not on each function separately⁹.

Remark 5.5. While the Φ_k 's are defined implicitly in the statement of the theorem as the solution of the skew-biorthogonalization problem (5.13), in the proof they will be constructed somewhat more explicitly in terms of the functions $\phi_{[j,N]}(\dagger_j, \cdot)$ and the skew-Borel decomposition of the matrix $[\Psi_{[k,\ell]}^N(\dagger_k, \dagger_\ell)]_{k,\ell=1}^N$, see (5.27). In Appendix B we provide formulas which can be used in principle

⁹So, for instance, we could specify the N free parameters by insisting that $\Phi_{2k+1}(x)$ is monic and its x^{2k} coefficient vanishes.

to derive explicit formulas for the Φ_k 's (and, hence, for the solution of the skew-biorthogonalization problem) based on that representation.

Before presenting the proof of the theorem, we need to state the following simple lemma.

Lemma 5.6. *The following skew-symmetric matrix written in block form has an inverse given by*

$$\begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -B^T & D \end{bmatrix}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{H}^{-1} & -\mathcal{H}^{-1}BD^{-1} \\ D^{-1}B^T\mathcal{H}^{-1} & D^{-1} - D^{-1}B^T\mathcal{H}^{-1}BD^{-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{H} = A + BD^{-1}B^T,$$

assuming that all relevant inverses exist.

Proof of Theorem 5.3. Recall that the kernel K is given as

$$K = J_{\mathcal{X}} + (J_{\mathcal{X}} + L)^{-1} \Big|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} \quad (5.18)$$

with L as in Theorem 4.10. Consider the matrix form of the kernel L indexed by the space $\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{X}}$. It involves duplicate sets of variables as in the proof of Theorem 4.10, which we may order as in that proof¹⁰. With this ordering convention, L can be expressed as the matrix on the right hand side of (4.20), and then $J_{\mathcal{X}} + L$ is represented by the matrix

$$(J_{\mathcal{X}} + L) \Big|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{X}}} = \left[\begin{array}{cc|cccccc} \Lambda_N & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & E_0 & 0 & E_1 & \cdots & 0 & E_{N-1} & 0 \\ \hline 0 & -E_0^T & 0 & \text{id} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\text{id} & 0 & W_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -E_1^T & 0 & -W_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & W_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -E_{N-1}^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -W_{N-1}^T & 0 & \text{id} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\text{id} & \Psi \end{array} \right]. \quad (5.19)$$

Let us define the following kernels using the blocks of (5.19)¹¹:

$$\begin{aligned} A(\dagger_i, \dagger_j) &= L(\dagger_i, \dagger_j), \\ B(\dagger_i, (j, x_2)) &= L(\dagger_i, (j, x_2)), \\ D((i, x_1), (j, x_2)) &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \text{id}(x_1, x_2) \\ -\text{id}(x_1, x_2) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \delta_{i,j} + L((i, x_1), (j, x_2)). \end{aligned}$$

In a similar way to [BR05], the matrix inverse of the function D is calculated explicitly as

$$D^{-1}((i, x_1), (j, x_2)) = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{(i,j)}(x_1, x_2) & -\phi_{(i,j]}(x_1, x_2) \\ (\phi_{(j,i]}^T(x_1, x_2) & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5.20)$$

In order to invert the matrix (5.19) using Lemma 5.6 we are required to take products like BD^{-1} . These are evaluated as matrix products indexed by \mathcal{X} , as

$$B \star D^{-1}(\dagger_i, (j, x)) = \sum_{(k, \nu) \in \mathcal{X}} B(\dagger_i, (k, \nu)) D^{-1}((k, \nu), (j, x)) = B(\dagger_i, (i, \cdot)) \star D^{-1}((i, \cdot), (j, x)),$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq N$ and $x \in \mathbb{N}$. Here, due to the form of the L -ensemble (4.17), the matrix product reduces to a half-space convolution. Through a slight abuse of notation, we will denote this and similar products as $(B \star D^{-1})(\dagger_i, (j, x))$. So, the matrix may be inverted using Lemma 5.6 as

$$[(J_{\mathcal{X}} + L)^{-1}]((i, x_1), (j, x_2)) = [D^{-1} - D^{-1} \star B^T \mathcal{H}^{-1} B \star D^{-1}]((i, x_1), (j, x_2)), \quad (5.21)$$

¹⁰As seen in that proof, this reordering does not change the sign in front of the Pfaffian (and, in any case, we will undo it once we get to the final formula for K in this proof).

¹¹The last kernel is defined explicitly on $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ as $D = J + L|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}}$.

where \mathcal{H} is the $N \times N$ -dimensional skew-symmetric matrix where entries are given by 2×2 matrices defined by

$$[\mathcal{H}]_{k,\ell} = (A + B \star D^{-1} \star B^T)(\dagger_k, \dagger_\ell),$$

and as long as \mathcal{H} is invertible. The matrix entries of \mathcal{H} are explicitly evaluated as

$$[\mathcal{H}]_{k,\ell} = \begin{bmatrix} [\Lambda_N]_{k,\ell} & 0 \\ 0 & [\mathcal{N}]_{k,\ell} \end{bmatrix}$$

where Λ_N and \mathcal{N} are the $N \times N$ -dimensional skew-symmetric matrices defined by (4.19) and

$$[\mathcal{N}]_{k,\ell} = \Psi_{[k,\ell]}^N(\dagger_k, \dagger_\ell) \quad (5.22)$$

respectively. Note that this means $[\mathcal{N}]_{k,\ell} = \phi_{(k,N)} \star \Psi^N \star (\phi_{(\ell,N)})^T(\dagger_k, \dagger_\ell)$, so (5.9) and Lemma B.3 imply that

$$[\mathcal{N}]_{k,\ell} = Q_{N-k+2, N-\ell+2}(1, 1).$$

In particular, $\text{Pf}[\mathcal{N}] = (-1)^{N/2} \text{Pf}[Q_{i+1, j+1}(1, 1)]_{i,j=1}^N$ which is non-zero by Corollary 3.13 (it being proportional to the probability of there being N particles within the system at time t). Hence \mathcal{N} is invertible.

We now turn our attention to the calculation of the second term from (5.21). This is

$$\begin{aligned} & [D^{-1} \star B^T \mathcal{H}^{-1} B \star D^{-1}]((i, x_1), (j, x_2)) \\ &= \sum_{k,\ell=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Psi_{(i,k]}(x_1, \dagger_k) \\ 0 & (\phi_{[k,i]}^T(x_1, \dagger_k)) \end{bmatrix} [\mathcal{H}^{-1}]_{k,\ell} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \Psi_{[\ell,j]}(\dagger_\ell, x_2) & -\phi_{[\ell,j]}(\dagger_\ell, x_2) \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

The matrix multiplication is calculated as

$$\begin{aligned} & [D^{-1} \star B^T \mathcal{H}^{-1} B \star D^{-1}]((i, x_1), (j, x_2)) \\ &= \sum_{k,\ell=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{(i,k]}(x_1, \dagger_k) [\mathcal{N}^{-1}]_{k,\ell} \Psi_{[\ell,j]}(\dagger_\ell, x_2) & -\Psi_{(i,k]}(x_1, \dagger_k) [\mathcal{N}^{-1}]_{k,\ell} \phi_{[\ell,j]}(\dagger_\ell, x_2) \\ (\phi_{[k,i]}^T(x_1, \dagger_k) [\mathcal{N}^{-1}]_{k,\ell} \Psi_{[\ell,j]}(\dagger_\ell, x_2) & -(\phi_{[k,i]}^T(x_1, \dagger_k) [\mathcal{N}^{-1}]_{k,\ell} \phi_{[\ell,j]}(\dagger_\ell, x_2) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5.23) \end{aligned}$$

Now define the following skew-symmetric kernel \mathcal{T}_N on $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$:

$$\mathcal{T}_N(\nu_1, \nu_2) = \sum_{k,\ell=1}^N (\phi_{[k,N]}^T(\nu_1, \dagger_k) [\mathcal{N}^{-1}]_{k,\ell} \phi_{[\ell,N]}(\dagger_\ell, \nu_2), \quad (5.24)$$

Then using (5.10), we may rewrite (5.23) as

$$\begin{aligned} & [D^{-1} \star B^T \mathcal{H}^{-1} B \star D^{-1}]((i, x_1), (j, x_2)) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} (\Psi_{(i,N)} \star \mathcal{T}_N \star \Psi_{(N,j)})(x_1, x_2) & -(\Psi_{(i,N)} \star \mathcal{T}_N \diamond \phi_{-(j,N)})(x_1, x_2) \\ ((\phi_{-(i,N)}^T \diamond \mathcal{T}_N \star \Psi_{(N,j)})(x_1, x_2) & -((\phi_{-(i,N)}^T \diamond \mathcal{T}_N \diamond \phi_{-(j,N)})(x_1, x_2) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5.25) \end{aligned}$$

Recall now the skew-Borel decomposition of a skew-symmetric matrix (Proposition B.1) whereby the matrix \mathcal{N} can be uniquely factorized as

$$\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{R}_N J_N \mathcal{R}_N^T, \quad (5.26)$$

where \mathcal{R}_N is a uniquely determined upper-triangular $N \times N$ matrix and J_N is the $N \times N$ block-diagonal matrix defined by

$$J_N = \text{diag} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

(so that there are $N/2$ blocks in total). The inverse of \mathcal{N} can be expressed as

$$\mathcal{N}^{-1} = -\mathcal{R}_N^{-T} J_N \mathcal{R}_N^{-1},$$

where \mathcal{R}_N^{-T} is the inverse transpose of \mathcal{R}_N . With this in mind, let us define a family of polynomials $\Phi_0(x), \dots, \Phi_{N-1}(x)$ by

$$\Phi_{N-k}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N [\mathcal{R}_N^{-1}]_{k,j} \phi_{[j,N]}(\dagger_j, x). \quad (5.27)$$

Recall from (5.9) that each $\phi_{[j,N]}(\dagger_j, x)$ is a polynomial of degree $N - j$ so, since \mathcal{R}_N^{-1} is upper-triangular, $\Phi_{N-k}(x)$ is a polynomial of degree $N - k$, as desired.

The kernel (5.24) can then be written in terms of these functions as

$$\mathcal{T}_N(\nu_1, \nu_2) = - \sum_{a,b=1}^N \Phi_{N-a}(\nu_1) [J_N]_{a,b} \Phi_{N-b}(\nu_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{N/2-1} \Phi_{2k}(\nu_1) \Phi_{2k+1}(\nu_2) - \sum_{k=0}^{N/2-1} \Phi_{2k+1}(\nu_1) \Phi_{2k}(\nu_2).$$

Substituting into (5.25) we obtain the kernel of the Fredholm Pfaffian (5.14) and using the result together with (5.20) and (5.21) in (5.18) leads to the desired formula (5.15) for K , with $K^0 = J + D^{-1}$ and $K^A = D^{-1} \star B^T \mathcal{H}^{-1} B \star D^{-1}$.

To derive the skew-biorthogonality, recall the definition of \mathcal{N} in (5.22) and the skew-Borel factorization (5.26). They yield

$$[J_N]_{k,\ell} = [\mathcal{R}_N^{-1} \mathcal{N} \mathcal{R}_N^{-T}]_{k,\ell} = \sum_{i,j=1}^N [\mathcal{R}_N^{-1}]_{k,i} \Psi_{[i,j]}(\dagger_i, \dagger_j) [\mathcal{R}_N^{-T}]_{j,\ell}.$$

Using (5.12) and the definition (5.27) of the functions Φ_k , this identity can now be expressed using the half-space convolution as

$$[J_N]_{k,\ell} = \Phi_{N-k} \star \Psi \star \Phi_{N-\ell}.$$

so the Φ_k 's solve the skew-biorthogonalization problem (5.13). \square

5.3. General initial conditions. In this section we will present an extension of Theorem 5.3. That is, a Fredholm Pfaffian expression for the conditional joint distribution of the half-space TASEP in the case of a restricted subset of general deterministic initial conditions. In order to state the result we need to introduce a new family of functions: for fixed $1 \leq i \leq N$ and $1 \leq k \leq M$, we let

$$\Xi_{N-k}^{(i)}(x) = \phi_{(i,N]} \star \Xi_{N-k}(x),$$

where Ξ_{N-k} is given by (4.10) (note in particular that when $i = N$ we have $\Xi_{N-k}^{(N)}(x) = \Xi_{N-k}(x)$). We can compute the convolution explicitly as $\phi_{(i,N]} \star \Xi_{N-k}(x) = \frac{(-1)^k}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint \oint dw du \frac{w^{x-1} (u-1)^{N-k}}{(1-w)^{N-i} u^{N-k+1-y_k}} \frac{e^{t(u-1)}}{uw-1}$ with contours enclosing $w = 0$ and $u = 0$ but not $w = 1$, and such that $|w||u| > 1$; note that if take the contours to be circles centered at the origin, this implies that $|u| > 1$. Since $x \geq 1$, the w integral has no singularity at the origin, and computing the remaining residue at $w = 1/u$ we get

$$\Xi_{N-k}^{(i)}(x) = (-1)^i \oint_{\gamma_{0,1}} \frac{du}{2\pi i} \frac{(1-u)^{i-k}}{u^{x-y_k+i-k+1}} e^{t(u-1)}, \quad (5.28)$$

where the contour $\gamma_{0,1}$ now encircles the singularities at both $u = 0$ and $u = 1$. It is important to emphasize here that this function has explicit dependence on the initial particle coordinate $y_k \in \mathbb{N}$ due to the definition of the function Ξ_{N-k} (to be more precise, Ξ_ℓ depends explicitly on $y_{N-\ell}$). It is useful to think of this as a dependence on the virtual coordinate \dagger_{N+k} , which we may identify with y_k , but in any case we will omit this dependence from the notation.

In the proof of the theorem that follows we will also need to use an extension of $\Xi_{N-k}^{(i)}$ to virtual coordinates:

$$\Xi_{N-k}^{(i)}(\dagger_i) = \phi_i \star \Xi_{N-k}^{(i)}(\dagger_i) = (-1)^{i+1} \oint_{\gamma_{0,1}} \frac{du}{2\pi i} \frac{(1-u)^{i-k-1}}{u^{-y_k+i-k+1}} e^{t(u-1)}. \quad (5.29)$$

From this it follows that, for fixed $k \in \{1, \dots, M\}$,

$$\Xi_{N-k}^{(i)}(\dagger_i) = 0 \quad \text{for all } i > k \quad \text{if } y_k > i - k. \quad (5.30)$$

Theorem 5.7. Let $0 < N \geq M \geq 0$ be fixed integers such that $N + M$ is even. Consider two families of polynomials $\Phi_0(x), \dots, \Phi_{N-M-1}(x)$ and $\Upsilon_{N-M}(x), \dots, \Upsilon_{N-1}(x)$ which are such that Φ_k is of degree k and Υ_ℓ is of degree ℓ . Additionally, for all $1 \leq j \leq N, 1 \leq k \leq M$, define

$$\Upsilon_{N-k}^{(j)}(x) = \Upsilon_{N-k} \diamond \phi_{-(j,N]}(x), \quad (5.31)$$

so that in particular $\Upsilon_{N-k}^{(N)} = \Upsilon_{N-k}$. Suppose that the first family of polynomials satisfies the following skew-biorthogonality relations:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x,y=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{2i}(x) \Psi(x,y) \Phi_{2j}(y) &= 0, \\ \sum_{x,y=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{2i+1}(x) \Psi(x,y) \Phi_{2j+1}(y) &= 0, \\ \sum_{x,y=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{2i}(x) \Psi(x,y) \Phi_{2j+1}(y) &= -\delta_{i,j}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

for all $0 \leq i, j < (N-M)/2$. Next, suppose that the second family of polynomials satisfy the following biorthogonality relation:

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \Upsilon_{N-k}(x) \Xi_{N-\ell}(x) = \delta_{k,\ell}, \quad (5.33)$$

for all $1 \leq k, \ell \leq M$. Additionally, suppose that both families satisfy the following orthogonality relation:

$$\sum_{x,y=1}^{\infty} \Upsilon_{N-k}(x) \Psi(x,y) \Phi_i(y) = 0 \quad (5.34)$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq M$ and $0 \leq i < N-M$. Then for a fixed integer $m \geq 0$, let $\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\}$ be indices denoting particles such that $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_m$. The half-space TASEP with initial conditions given by $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$ where $y_M > N - M + 1$ has conditional joint distribution given by the Fredholm Pfaffian

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\bigcap_{k=1}^m \{X_t(p_k) > a_k\} \mid |X_t| = N \right] = \text{Pf} \left((J - \bar{\chi}_a K \bar{\chi}_a)_{\ell^2(\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \times \mathbb{N})} \right), \quad (5.35)$$

where $\bar{\chi}_a(p, x) = \mathbb{1}_{x \leq a_p}$ and K is the kernel given by

$$K = K^0 - (K^A + K^B + K^C)$$

with the blocks on the right hand side specified as follows. Firstly, K^0 is given by (5.16), namely

$$K^0(i, x_1; j, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{(i,j)} & -\mathbb{1}_{i < j} \phi_{(i,j]} \\ \mathbb{1}_{j < i} \phi_{(j,i]}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} (x_1, x_2), \quad (5.36)$$

while K^A is given as in (5.17) but with \mathcal{T}_N replaced by \mathcal{T}_{N-M} , namely

$$K^A(i, x_1; j, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{(i,N)} \star \mathcal{T}_{N-M} \star \Psi_{(N,j)} & -\Psi_{(i,N)} \star \mathcal{T}_{N-M} \diamond \phi_{-(j,N]} \\ \phi_{-(i,N]}^T \diamond \mathcal{T}_{N-M} \star \Psi_{(N,j)} & -\phi_{-(i,N]}^T \diamond \mathcal{T}_{N-M} \diamond \phi_{-(j,N]} \end{bmatrix} (x_1, x_2), \quad (5.37)$$

Next, K^B is given as

$$K^B(i, x_1; j, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} K_{11}^B(i, x_1; j, x_2) & K_{12}^B(i, x_1; j, x_2) \\ K_{21}^B(i, x_1; j, x_2) & K_{22}^B(i, x_1; j, x_2) \end{bmatrix} \quad (5.38)$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
K_{11}^{\mathbf{B}}(i, x_1; j, y_2) &= \sum_{k=1}^M \left(\Xi_{N-k}^{(i)}(x_1) (\Upsilon_{N-k} \star \Psi_{(N,j)})(x_2) + (\Psi_{(i,N)} \star \Upsilon_{N-k})(x_1) \Xi_{N-k}^{(j)}(x_2) \right), \\
K_{12}^{\mathbf{B}}(i, x_1; j, x_2) &= - \sum_{k=1}^M \Xi_{N-k}^{(i)}(x_1) \Upsilon_{N-k}^{(j)}(x_2), \\
K_{21}^{\mathbf{B}}(i, x_1; j, x_2) &= \sum_{k=1}^M \Upsilon_{N-k}^{(i)}(x_1) \Xi_{N-k}^{(j)}(x_2) \\
K_{22}^{\mathbf{B}}(i, x_1; j, x_2) &= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Finally, $K^{\mathbf{C}}$ is given by

$$K^{\mathbf{C}}(i, x_1; j, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} \sum_{k,\ell=1}^M \Xi_{N-k}^{(i)}(x_1) (\Upsilon_{N-k} \star \Psi \star \Upsilon_{N-\ell}) \Xi_{N-\ell}^{(j)}(x_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (5.39)$$

Remark 5.8. Determining the combined family of polynomials $\{\Phi_0, \dots, \Phi_{N-M-1}, \Upsilon_{N-M}, \dots, \Upsilon_{N-1}\}$ corresponds to specifying $\frac{1}{2}N(N+1)$ parameters. Just as in Theorem 5.3 (see Remark 5.4), the family of polynomials $\{\Phi_0, \dots, \Phi_{N-M-1}\}$ is specified uniquely up to $N-M$ parameters which do not affect the Pfaffian since they only appear explicitly in the kernel through the form of $K^{\mathbf{A}}$ (5.37). So it remains to determine the family of polynomials $\{\Upsilon_{N-M}, \dots, \Upsilon_{N-1}\}$, a task which ultimately requires specifying $\frac{1}{2}M(M+1) + (N-M)M$ parameters. They are determined partially from the biorthogonality relations (5.33) for $1 \leq k \leq \ell \leq M$, which correspond to $\frac{1}{2}M(M+1)$ equations.

The $(N-M)M$ remaining parameters are determined by the orthogonality relations (5.34), which yield precisely that number of equations. However, we need to make sure that the outstanding $N-M$ free parameters involved in the choice of the Φ_i polynomial family do not interfere with the uniqueness of the Υ_{N-k} family (and hence do not affect the $K^{\mathbf{B}}$ and $K^{\mathbf{C}}$ parts of the kernel). To see this, recall that these $N-M$ free parameters arise from the freedom we have in making the replacements

$$\Phi_{2k+1} \mapsto \Phi_{2k+1} + \beta_k \Phi_{2k}$$

for $k = 0, \dots, (N-M)/2 - 1$ and any choices of $\beta_0, \dots, \beta_{(N-M)/2-1} \in \mathbb{R}$ and the simultaneous replacements

$$\Phi_{2k+1} \mapsto \gamma_k \Phi_{2k+1}, \quad \Phi_{2k} \mapsto \Phi_{2k}/\gamma_k$$

for $k = 0, \dots, (N-M)/2 - 1$ and any choices of $\gamma_0, \dots, \gamma_{(N-M)/2-1} \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. It is not hard to see that the solution of the Υ_{N-k} polynomials in the orthogonality relations (5.34) are independent of the values of the free parameters β_i, γ_j for all $0 \leq i, j < (N-M)/2$.

Proof of Theorem 5.7. The argument will follow the proof of Theorem 5.3 very closely. We will assume first for simplicity that N and M are even, and explain later how to extend the proof to the case when $N+M$ remains even but both N and M are odd.

The kernel K is given as

$$K = J + (J_{\mathcal{X}} + L)^{-1} \Big|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}}.$$

Using (4.26), we may represent $J_{\mathcal{X}} + L$ as the following matrix

$$(J_{\mathcal{X}} + L) \Big|_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}} \times \tilde{\mathcal{X}}} = \left[\begin{array}{c|ccc|cccc|ccc} \Lambda_{N+M} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \hline 0 & 0 & 0 & E_0 & 0 & E_1 & \cdots & 0 & E_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & -\tilde{\Xi}^T \\ \hline 0 & -E_0^T & 0 & 0 & \text{id} & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\text{id} & 0 & W_1 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -E_1^T & 0 & 0 & -W_1^T & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & W_{N-1} & 0 \\ 0 & -E_{N-1}^T & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & -W_{N-1}^T & 0 & \text{id} \\ 0 & 0 & \tilde{\Xi} & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & -\text{id} & \tilde{\Psi} \end{array} \right]. \quad (5.40)$$

Note that we are presenting the right hand side with rows and columns in the order prescribed by (4.25); this incurs in a sign change in front of the Pfaffian, which will be undone once we get to the expressions for K in the theorem, so for simplicity we omit it.

We define the following kernels as extensions of those that appear in the proof of Theorem 5.3:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{A}(\dagger_m, \dagger_n) &= L(\dagger_m, \dagger_n), \\ \tilde{B}(\dagger_m, (j, x)) &= L(\dagger_m, (j, x)), \end{aligned}$$

for each $1 \leq m, n \leq N + M$ and $1 \leq j \leq N, x \in \mathbb{N}$ and where the L -ensemble is defined by (4.17) and (4.23). We emphasize here that the definitions of \tilde{A}, \tilde{B} agree with the definitions of A, B from the proof of Theorem 5.3 when $1 \leq m, n \leq N$, but are otherwise extended to incorporate the extended virtual alphabet. The kernel denoted by D remains the same as in Theorem 5.3.

The $\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}$ block of the inverse of the matrix (5.40) can be written, using Lemma 5.6, as

$$(J_{\mathcal{X}} + L)^{-1} \Big|_{\mathcal{X} \times \mathcal{X}} = D^{-1} - D^{-1} \star \tilde{B}^T \tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{-1} \tilde{B} \star D^{-1} \quad (5.41)$$

with $\tilde{\mathcal{H}} = \tilde{A} + \tilde{B} \star D^{-1} \star \tilde{B}^T$. This matrix is represented as a matrix of 2×2 blocks which is explicitly calculated to yield

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{H}}]_{a,b} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} [\Lambda_{N+M}]_{a,b} & 0 \\ 0 & [\mathcal{N}]_{a,b} \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } a, b \leq N, \\ \begin{bmatrix} [\Lambda_{N+M}]_{a,b} & 0 \\ 0 & -[\mathcal{P}]_{a,b-N} \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } a \leq N, b > N, \\ \begin{bmatrix} [\Lambda_{N+M}]_{a,b} & 0 \\ 0 & [\mathcal{P}^T]_{a-N,b} \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } a > N, b \leq N, \\ \begin{bmatrix} [\Lambda_{N+M}]_{a,b} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } a, b > N, \end{cases}$$

where \mathcal{N} is the $N \times N$ -dimensional skew-symmetric matrix defined by (5.22) while the $N \times M$ -dimensional matrix \mathcal{P} is defined by

$$[\mathcal{P}]_{a,b} = \Xi_{N-b}^{(a)}(\dagger_a) \quad (5.42)$$

for $1 \leq a \leq N$, $1 \leq b \leq M$, where the function $\Xi_{N-k}^{[a]}(\dagger_a)$ is defined by (5.29). The inverse of $\tilde{\mathcal{H}}$ can be calculated using Lemma 5.6 again, after suitably reordering rows and columns, giving

$$[\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}]_{a,b} = \begin{cases} \begin{bmatrix} [\Lambda_{N+M}^{-1}]_{a,b} & 0 \\ 0 & [\mathcal{N}^{-1} - \mathcal{N}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{P}^T\mathcal{N}^{-1}]_{a,b} \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } a, b \leq N \\ \begin{bmatrix} [\Lambda_{N+M}^{-1}]_{a,b} & 0 \\ 0 & [\mathcal{N}^{-1}\mathcal{P}\mathcal{M}^{-1}]_{a,b-N} \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } a \leq N, b > N \\ \begin{bmatrix} [\Lambda_{N+M}^{-1}]_{a,b} & 0 \\ 0 & -[\mathcal{M}^{-1}\mathcal{P}^T\mathcal{N}^{-1}]_{a-N,b} \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } a > N, b \leq N \\ \begin{bmatrix} [\Lambda_{N+M}^{-1}]_{a,b} & 0 \\ 0 & [\mathcal{M}^{-1}]_{a-N,b-N} \end{bmatrix} & \text{if } a, b > N \end{cases},$$

where $\mathcal{M} = \mathcal{P}^T\mathcal{N}^{-1}\mathcal{P}$. \mathcal{M} is $M \times M$ -dimensional and skew-symmetric, and we will see shortly that it is in fact invertible (recall also that \mathcal{N} is invertible under our assumptions, as was checked in the proof of Theorem 5.3).

And so, the Pfaffian kernel can be identified, using (5.41), as

$$K = K^0 - (K^A + K^B + K^C) = J + D^{-1} - D^{-1} \star \tilde{B}^T \mathcal{H}^{-1} \tilde{B} \star D^{-1}.$$

The first term in the kernel is identified as $K^0 = J + D^{-1}$. This is explicitly calculated as (5.36) using the explicit formula for D^{-1} given by (5.20) (and is the same as K^0 in the case of empty initial condition).

Ultimately, in order to calculate the remaining terms in the kernel we will identify $K^A + K^B + K^C = D^{-1} \star \tilde{B}^T \mathcal{H}^{-1} \tilde{B} \star D^{-1}$. This may be written explicitly as

$$\begin{aligned} & [D^{-1} \star \tilde{B}^T \mathcal{H}^{-1} \tilde{B} \star D^{-1}]((i, x_1), (j, x_2)) \\ &= \sum_{a,b=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Psi_{(i,a)}(x_1, \dagger_a) \\ 0 & (\phi_{[a,i]})^T(x_1, \dagger_a) \end{bmatrix} [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}]_{a,b} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \Psi_{[b,j]}(\dagger_b, x_2) & -\phi_{[b,j]}(\dagger_b, x_2) \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \sum_{a=1}^N \sum_{d=1}^M \begin{bmatrix} 0 & \Psi_{(i,a)}(x_1, \dagger_a) \\ 0 & (\phi_{[a,i]})^T(x_1, \dagger_a) \end{bmatrix} [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}]_{a,N+d} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\Xi_{N-d}^{(j)}(x_2) & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \sum_{c=1}^M \sum_{b=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\Xi_{N-c}^{(i)}(x_1) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}]_{N+c,b} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \Psi_{[b,j]}(\dagger_b, x_2) & -\phi_{[b,j]}(\dagger_b, x_2) \end{bmatrix} \\ &+ \sum_{c,d=1}^M \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\Xi_{N-c}^{(i)}(x_1) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} [\tilde{\mathcal{H}}^{-1}]_{N+c,N+d} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -\Xi_{N-d}^{(j)}(x_2) & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.43)$$

Recall from the proof of Theorem 5.3 that the matrix \mathcal{N} has the skew-Borel decomposition given by (5.26) whereby the upper-triangular matrix \mathcal{R}_N defines the family of skew-orthogonal polynomials $\Phi_0(x), \dots, \Phi_{N-1}(x)$ by

$$\Phi_{N-k}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N [\mathcal{R}_N^{-1}]_{k,j} \phi_{[j,N]}(\dagger_j, x). \quad (5.44)$$

We emphasize here that, while this defines a family of N functions Φ_k , only the first $N - M$ will explicitly appear in final expression for the kernel.

Now consider the matrix \mathcal{P} defined by (5.42). Using (5.30), and due to the restriction on the initial coordinates $y_M > N - M + 1$, which implies that $y_k > N - k + 1$ for each $1 \leq k \leq M$, the matrix \mathcal{P} may be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{S}_M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5.45)$$

where \mathcal{S}_M is an upper-triangular $M \times M$ -dimensional matrix. Its diagonal entries are calculated using (5.29) as $[\mathcal{S}_M]_{k,k} = \Xi_{N-k}^{[k]}(\dagger_k) = (-1)^{k+1} \oint_{\gamma_{0,1}} \frac{du}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{(1-u)u^{-y_k+i-k+1}} e^{t(u-1)} = (-1)^k$ for $1 \leq k \leq M$ (again due to our condition on y_M), so it follows that this matrix is invertible.

From this and the skew-Borel decomposition of \mathcal{N} , the skew-symmetric matrix \mathcal{M} is given by

$$\mathcal{M} = - \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{S}_M^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_N^{-T} J_N \mathcal{R}_N^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{S}_M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since the matrix \mathcal{R}_N in the skew-Borel decomposition of \mathcal{N} is upper-triangular, one checks directly that if $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N$ is the $M \times M$ -dimensional minor of \mathcal{R}_N consisting of only the first M rows and columns¹², then the top left $M \times M$ block of \mathcal{R}_N^{-1} is $(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N)^{-1}$, and thus the above can be written as

$$\mathcal{M} = -\mathcal{S}_M^T (\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N)^{-T} J_M (\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N)^{-1} \mathcal{S}_M.$$

Thus the inverse of \mathcal{M} can be calculated as

$$\mathcal{M}^{-1} = \mathcal{S}_M^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N J_M (\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N)^T \mathcal{S}_M^{-T}. \quad (5.46)$$

Let us now define the family of functions $\Upsilon_{N-M}(x), \dots, \Upsilon_{N-1}(x)$ by

$$\Upsilon_{N-k}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N \left[\mathcal{S}_M^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N \begin{bmatrix} I_M & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_N^{-1} \right]_{k,j} \phi_{[j,N]}(\dagger_j, x) \quad (5.47)$$

for each $1 \leq k \leq M$.

We now proceed to evaluate each of the sums in (5.43) individually in order to calculate the terms K^A, K^B, K^C in the Pfaffian kernel explicitly.

- (A) The function $K^A(i, x_1; j, x_2)$ is identified with the first sum of (5.43) which is over $1 \leq a, b \leq N$. The calculation of this sum follows in a similar way to that of the proof of Theorem 5.3. We note that, using (5.46), we may express the product $\mathcal{N}^{-1} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{M}^{-1} \mathcal{P}^T \mathcal{N}^{-1}$ as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{R}_N^{-T} J_N \mathcal{R}_N^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{S}_M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{S}_M^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N J_M (\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N)^T \mathcal{S}_M^{-T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{S}_M^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_N^{-T} J_N \mathcal{R}_N^{-1} \\ &= \mathcal{R}_N^{-T} J_N \mathcal{R}_N^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} J_M \begin{bmatrix} (\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N)^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_N^{-T} J_N \mathcal{R}_N^{-1} = -\mathcal{R}_N^{-T} \begin{bmatrix} J_M & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_N^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In this calculation we have used the fact that $\mathcal{R}_N^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$, which follows from the upper-triangularity of \mathcal{R}_N . And so, we may express

$$\mathcal{N}^{-1} - \mathcal{N}^{-1} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{M}^{-1} \mathcal{P}^T \mathcal{N}^{-1} = -\mathcal{R}_N^{-T} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & J_{N-M} \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_N^{-1}. \quad (5.48)$$

Now let us define a kernel $\mathcal{T}_A : \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ by

$$\mathcal{T}_A(\nu_1, \nu_2) = \sum_{k, \ell=1}^N \left(\phi_{[k,N]} \right)^T (\nu_1, \dagger_k) \left[\mathcal{N}^{-1} - \mathcal{N}^{-1} \mathcal{P} \mathcal{M}^{-1} \mathcal{P}^T \mathcal{N}^{-1} \right]_{k, \ell} \phi_{[\ell, N]}(\dagger_\ell, \nu_2). \quad (5.49)$$

Using this kernel, we may write K^A given by the first sum in (5.43) as

$$\begin{aligned} & K^A((i, x_1), (j, x_2)) \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \left(\Psi_{(i,N)} \star \mathcal{T}_A \star \Psi_{[N,j]} \right) (x_1, x_2) & - \left(\Psi_{(i,N)} \star \mathcal{T}_A \star \phi_{-(j,N)} \right) (x_1, x_2) \\ \left(\left(\phi_{-(i,N)} \right)^T \star \mathcal{T}_A \star \Psi_{[N,j]} \right) (x_1, x_2) & - \left(\left(\phi_{-(i,N)} \right)^T \star \mathcal{T}_A \star \phi_{-(j,N)} \right) (x_1, x_2) \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned} \quad (5.50)$$

where, using the matrix decomposition (5.48) and (5.44), the kernel (5.49) can be expressed as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{T}_A(\nu_1, \nu_2) &= - \sum_{a, b=M+1}^N \Phi_{N-a}(\nu_1) [J_N]_{a,b} \Phi_{N-b}(x) \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{(N-M)/2-1} \Phi_{2k}(\nu_1) \Phi_{2k+1}(\nu_2) - \sum_{k=0}^{(N-M)/2-1} \Phi_{2k+1}(\nu_1) \Phi_{2k}(\nu_2), \end{aligned}$$

¹²In general, the minor $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N$ is not equal to the matrix \mathcal{R}_M which emerges from the skew-Borel decomposition of the analogous matrix \mathcal{N} for a system of size M . Rather, \mathcal{R}_M is the minor consisting of the *last* M rows and columns of \mathcal{R}_N .

so that \mathcal{T}_A coincides with the definition of \mathcal{T}_{N-M} . After substituting this into (5.50) we may identify the form of the kernel (5.37).

- (B) The function $K^B(i, x_1; j, x_2)$ is identified with the sum of the second and third sums of (5.43) which are over $1 \leq a \leq N, 1 \leq d \leq M$ and $1 \leq c \leq M, 1 \leq b \leq N$ respectively. We will explicitly calculate the third sum since the second sum follows in a similar fashion via skew-symmetry.

We have

$$\mathcal{M}^{-1} \mathcal{P}^T \mathcal{N}^{-1} = -\mathcal{S}_M^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N J_M (\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N)^T \mathcal{S}_M^{-T} \begin{bmatrix} \mathcal{S}_M^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_N^{-T} J_N \mathcal{R}_N^{-1} = \mathcal{S}_M^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N \begin{bmatrix} I_M & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_N^{-1}.$$

We may then calculate the third sum of (5.43) as

$$\sum_{c=1}^M \sum_{b=1}^N \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\Xi_{N-c}^{(i)}(x_1) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -[\mathcal{S}_M^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N \begin{bmatrix} I_M & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_N^{-1}]_{c,b} \end{bmatrix} \\ \times \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ \Psi_{[b,j]}(\dagger_b, x_2) & -\phi_{[b,j]}(\dagger_b, x_2) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Using the definition of the family of functions Υ_{N-k} (5.47), the previous expression may be written as

$$\sum_{c=1}^M \sum_{k=1}^M \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\Xi_{N-c}^{(i)}(x_1) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & [I_M]_{c,k} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ -(\Upsilon_{N-k} \star \Psi_{(N,j)})(x_2) & (\Upsilon_{N-k} \diamond \phi_{-(j,N)})(x_2) \end{bmatrix} \\ = \sum_{k=1}^M \begin{bmatrix} \Xi_{N-k}^{(i)}(x_1) (\Upsilon_{N-k} \star \Psi_{(N,j)})(x_2) & -\Xi_{N-k}^{(i)}(x_1) (\Upsilon_{N-k} \diamond \phi_{-(j,N)})(x_2) \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

in which the function $\Upsilon_{N-k}^{(j)}$ defined by (5.31) may be identified. Now accounting for both the second and third sums of (5.43), we may express the kernel term as the expression (5.38) for K^B .

- (C) The function $K^C(i, x_1; j, x_2)$ is identified with the fourth sum of (5.43) which is over $1 \leq c, d \leq M$. This is explicitly calculated as

$$K^C(i, x_1; j, x_2) = \sum_{c,d=1}^M \begin{bmatrix} \Xi_{N-c}^{(i)}(x_1) [\mathcal{M}^{-1}]_{c,d} \Xi_{N-d}^{(j)}(x_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

To see that this coincides with the expression for K^C given by (5.39) we need to show that

$$[\mathcal{M}^{-1}]_{c,d} = \Upsilon_{N-c} \star \Psi \star \Upsilon_{N-d}.$$

In order to check this we use the definition of the functions Υ_k to write the right hand side as

$$\sum_{i,j=1}^N [\mathcal{S}_M^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N \begin{bmatrix} I_M & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_N^{-1}]_{c,i} \phi_{[i,N]}(\dagger_i, \cdot) \star \Psi \star (\phi_{[j,N]})^T(\cdot, \dagger_j) \left[\mathcal{R}_N^{-T} \begin{bmatrix} I_M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N)^T \mathcal{S}_M^{-T} \right]_{j,d} \\ = \sum_{i,j=1}^N [\mathcal{S}_M^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N \begin{bmatrix} I_M & 0 \end{bmatrix} \mathcal{R}_N^{-1}]_{c,i} [\mathcal{N}]_{i,j} \left[\mathcal{R}_N^{-T} \begin{bmatrix} I_M \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N)^T \mathcal{S}_M^{-T} \right]_{j,d} \\ = [\mathcal{S}_M^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N J_M (\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N)^T \mathcal{S}_M^{-T}]_{c,d},$$

where we used again the skew-Borel decomposition of \mathcal{N} . In view of (5.46), the right hand side is exactly $[\mathcal{M}^{-1}]_{c,d}$.

With these calculations, we have explicitly determined the Pfaffian kernel in terms of known functions and the new functions $\Phi_0, \dots, \Phi_{N-M-1}$ and $\Upsilon_{N-M}, \dots, \Upsilon_{N-1}$. In order to finish proving that the kernel coincides with the one in the statement of the theorem, we need to prove that these functions are polynomials of the correct degrees and that they satisfy their defining relations (5.32), (5.33) and (5.34).

The functions $\Phi_0, \dots, \Phi_{N-M-1}$ were defined by (5.44) in the exact same way as the extended family $\Phi_0, \dots, \Phi_{N-1}$ was in the proof of Theorem 5.3, so, the first $N-M$ of these functions are polynomials of the correct degrees and they inherit their skew-orthogonality relations in exactly

the same manner. Note that the relations (5.32) for the first $N - M$ functions are self-contained, so these functions are determined by these relations (up to $N - M$ free parameters which are not consequential) as in the previous proof.

That Υ_ℓ is a polynomial of degree ℓ follows from (5.47) because $[\mathcal{S}_M^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N [I_M \ 0] \mathcal{R}_N^{-1}]_{k,j} = 0$ for $j > k$ and each $\phi_{[j,N]}(\dagger_j, x)$ is a polynomial of degree $N - j$. In order to determine the relation (5.33) we use the decomposition of the matrix \mathcal{P} from (5.45) to write $(\phi_{[j,N]} \star \Xi_{N-\ell})(\dagger_j) = [\begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{S}_M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}]_{j,\ell}$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \Upsilon_{N-k}(x) \Xi_{N-\ell}(x) &= \sum_{j=1}^N [\mathcal{S}_M^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N [I_M \ 0] \mathcal{R}_N^{-1}]_{k,j} (\phi_{[j,N]} \star \Xi_{N-\ell})(\dagger_j) \\ &= [\mathcal{S}_M^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N [I_M \ 0] \mathcal{R}_N^{-1} [\begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{S}_M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}]]_{k,\ell} = [[\mathcal{S}_M^{-1} \ \Delta] [\begin{smallmatrix} \mathcal{S}_M \\ 0 \end{smallmatrix}]]_{k,\ell} = \delta_{k,\ell}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the third equality we used once again the fact the top left $M \times M$ block of \mathcal{R}_N^{-1} is $(\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N)^{-1}$ and where the value of the Δ block is irrelevant.

To prove the skew-orthogonality relation between the two families of functions, (5.34), we write, for $1 \leq k \leq M$ and $1 \leq i \leq N - M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x_1, x_2=1}^{\infty} \Upsilon_{N-k}(x_1) \Psi(x_1, x_2) \Phi_i(x_2) &= \sum_{j=1}^N [\mathcal{S}_M^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N [I_M \ 0] \mathcal{R}_N^{-1}]_{k,j} \phi_{[j,N]} \star \Psi \star \Phi_i(\dagger_j) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^N [\mathcal{S}_M^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N [I_M \ 0]]_{k,\ell} \Phi_{N-\ell} \star \Psi \star \Phi_i = [\mathcal{S}_M^{-1} \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_M^N [J_M \ 0]]_{k, N-i} \end{aligned}$$

where we have used both the definition and the skew-biorthogonality relation of the entire family of functions $\{\Phi_0, \dots, \Phi_{N-1}\}$. The relation (5.34) follows by noting that the matrix entries of the final expression above are equal to zero whenever $i \leq N - M$.

To finish the proof we need to extend the result to the case of odd N and M . We will do this by comparing with a system with $\bar{M} = M + 1$ initial particles and $\bar{N} = N + 1$ final ones, placing an additional initial particle very far to the right. To this end, given the initial particle positions $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$, we introduce a new collection of particle positions $\bar{y} = (\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_{\bar{M}})$ by

$$\bar{y}_1 = L, \quad \bar{y}_i = y_{i-1}, \quad i = 2, \dots, M + 1,$$

where L is an auxiliary parameter which we will ultimately take to infinity. Note that, for large L , \bar{y} satisfies $\bar{y}_k > \bar{N} - k + 1$ thanks to the analogous conditions for y . Then from the case with N and M even,

$$\mathbb{P}_{\bar{y}} \left[\bigcap_{k=1}^m \{X_t(\bar{p}_k) > a_k\} \mid |X_t| = \bar{N} \right] = \text{Pf} \left((J - \bar{\chi}_a \bar{K} \bar{\chi}_a)_{\ell^2(\{\bar{p}_1, \dots, \bar{p}_m\} \times \mathbb{N})} \right) = \text{Pf} \left((J - \bar{\chi}_a \hat{K} \bar{\chi}_a)_{\ell^2(\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \times \mathbb{N})} \right), \quad (5.51)$$

where we have included the initial condition \bar{y} explicitly in the subscript, where $\bar{p}_i = p_i + 1$ with $1 \leq p_1 < \dots < p_m \leq N$ and where \bar{K} denotes the kernel K computed above, with N and M replaced by \bar{N} and \bar{M} and $\hat{K}(i, \cdot; j, \cdot) = \bar{K}(i+1, \cdot; j+1, \cdot)$. Now for very large L , the first particle (which starts at L) is very likely to not interact with the rest of the system by time t : in fact, the probability that the second particle is blocked by the first one at any moment before time t is bounded by the probability that a Poisson random variable with parameter t is greater than $L - y_1 - 2$. Using this, and after suitably shifting the particle labels, it is not hard to see that the left hand side above converges as $L \rightarrow \infty$ to

$$\mathbb{P}_y \left[\bigcap_{k=1}^m \{X_t(p_k) > a_k\} \mid |X_t| = N \right].$$

So what remains is to compute the limit of the Fredholm Pfaffian on the right hand side of (5.51). The argument is relatively simple, so we will only sketch it.

Consider each of the terms making up \hat{K} . The first one is \hat{K}^0 , which does not depend on N , M or L , and which clearly satisfies $\hat{K}^0 = K^0$. The second one is given by (5.37), and now reads

$$\hat{K}^A(i, x_1; j, x_2) = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_{(i+1, \bar{N})} \star \mathcal{T}_{N-M} \star \Psi_{(\bar{N}, j+1)} & -\Psi_{(i+1, \bar{N})} \star \mathcal{T}_{N-M} \diamond \phi_{-(j+1, \bar{N})} \\ \phi_{-(i+1, \bar{N})}^T \diamond \mathcal{T}_{N-M} \star \Psi_{(\bar{N}, j+1)} & -\phi_{-(i+1, \bar{N})}^T \diamond \mathcal{T}_{N-M} \diamond \phi_{-(j+1, \bar{N})} \end{bmatrix} (x_1, x_2).$$

Note that it also does not depend on L and that, in fact, $\hat{K}^A = K^A$.

For the remaining two terms we need to compute limits in L . But this is simple once one notes that $\Xi_{\bar{N}-1}(x) \xrightarrow{L \rightarrow \infty} 0$, as follows easily from (4.10) since $y_1 = L$. So, for instance,

$$\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \hat{K}_{12}^B(i, x_1; j, x_2) = - \sum_{k=2}^{\bar{M}} \Xi_{\bar{N}-k}^{(i+1)}(x_1) \Upsilon_{\bar{N}-k}^{(j+1)}(x_2) = K_{12}^B(i, x_1; j, x_2).$$

Similar expressions hold for the other limits, and we deduce that $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \hat{K}^B = K^B$. In a similar way we get $\lim_{L \rightarrow \infty} \hat{K}^C = K^C$. A bit more work shows that the limit holds in a strong enough sense (e.g. trace class) so that it can be taken inside the Fredholm Pfaffian. Using this on the right hand side of (5.51) yields the claimed formula. \square

5.4. Reduction to full-space TASEP. One important consequence of Theorem 5.7 is that the conditional joint distribution of the half-space TASEP reduces the well-known joint distribution of the full-space TASEP. In this way, Theorem 5.7 may be regarded as a generalization of the Fredholm determinant expressions obtained in the literature.

Corollary 5.9 (of Theorem 5.7). *Let $N > 0$ be a fixed integer and let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$ be an ordered set of coordinates, and assume that $y_N > 1$. Moreover, let $\Upsilon_0(x), \dots, \Upsilon_{N-1}(x)$ be a family of polynomials where Υ_k is of degree k . Let these polynomials satisfy the biorthogonality relation (5.33). The full-space TASEP with initial conditions given by y has joint distribution given by the Fredholm determinant expression*

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\bigcap_{k=1}^m \{X_t(p_k) > a_k\} \right] = \det(I - \bar{\chi}_a K \bar{\chi}_a)_{\ell^2(\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \times \mathbb{N})}, \quad (5.52)$$

whose kernel is given by

$$K(i, x_1; j, x_2) = -\mathbb{1}_{i < j} \phi_{[i, j]}(x_1, x_2) + \sum_{k=1}^j \Xi_{N-k}^{(i)}(x_1) \Upsilon_{N-k}^{(j)}(x_2). \quad (5.53)$$

More generally, if $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$ is any ordered set of coordinates in \mathbb{Z} , the full-space TASEP joint distribution with initial conditions given by y can be written as (note that the Fredholm determinant is now over $\ell^2(\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \times \mathbb{Z})$)

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\bigcap_{k=1}^m \{X_t(p_k) > a_k\} \right] = \det(I - \bar{\chi}_a K \bar{\chi}_a)_{\ell^2(\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \times \mathbb{Z})}, \quad (5.54)$$

and the kernel K can be expressed as

$$K(i, x_1; j, x_2) = -\mathbb{1}_{i < j} \phi_{[i, j]}(x_1, x_2) + \sum_{k=1}^j f_{i-k}^i(x_1) g_{j-k}^j(x_2), \quad (5.55)$$

with

$$f_{i-k}^i(x) = (-1)^k \Xi_{N-k}^{(i)}(x),$$

which we regard as being defined on \mathbb{Z} directly through (5.28), and where the family $\{g_0^j, \dots, g_{j-1}^j\}$ is defined as follows: g_ℓ^j is a polynomial of degree ℓ , and these j polynomials satisfy the (full-space) biorthogonality relations

$$\sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f_k^j(x) g_\ell^j(x) = \delta_{k, \ell}. \quad (5.56)$$

Moreover, the formula (5.54) recovers the one derived for this model in Proposition 3.1 of [BF08].

The formula derived in [BF08] is for a more general model in full-space, namely PushASEP with jump rates which depend on time and on the particle; to compare their formula to (5.54) one needs to set all their v_i 's to 1 and take $a(t) = 1$ and $b(t) = 0$. Similar formulas were derived earlier for full-space TASEP in [Sas05, BFPS07], under a formalism where the ϕ_i 's are flipped with respect to our setting.

Proof of Corollary 5.9. If $y_N > 1$, the probability on the left hand side of (5.52) can be computed from Theorem 5.7 with $\alpha = 0$ and $M = N$, and is given by the Fredholm Pfaffian on the right hand side of (5.35) satisfying $|X_t| = N$. Now, since $M = N$, we note that the only (bi)orthogonality relation of the Υ polynomials is (5.33), while K^A vanishes. In particular, the K_{22} of the kernel completely vanishes. Now recall the following property, found in Section 8 of [Rai00], whereby a Fredholm Pfaffian reduces to a Fredholm determinant:

$$\text{Pf}(J - K)_{L^2(\mathcal{X})} = \det(I - K_{12})_{L^2(\mathcal{X})},$$

whenever $K_{22}(x_1, x_2) = 0$ for all $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{X}$. This property implies that the right hand side of (5.52) reduces as

$$\det(I - \bar{\chi}_a K \bar{\chi}_a)_{\ell^2(\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \times \mathbb{N})}, \quad \text{with} \quad K = K_{12}^0 - K_{12}^B.$$

K can be expressed explicitly as

$$K(i, x_1; j, x_2) = -\mathbb{1}_{i < j} \phi_{[i, j]}(x_1, x_2) + \sum_{k=1}^N \Xi_{N-k}^{(i)}(x_1) \Upsilon_{N-k}^{(j)}(x_2). \quad (5.57)$$

Now note that since $g \diamond \phi_{-(j, j+1]}(x) = g(x) - g(x-1)$ and since Υ_{N-k} is a polynomial of degree $N-k$, then $\Upsilon_{N-k} \diamond \phi_{-(j, j+1]}$ is a polynomial of degree $N-k-1$, and thus $\Upsilon_{N-k}^{(j)}$ is a polynomial of degree $j-k$ if $k \leq j$, while

$$\Upsilon_{N-k}^{(j)} = 0 \quad \text{if } k > j.$$

In particular, the formula (5.57) becomes (5.53).

Now, assuming still that $y_N > 1$, define f_{i-k}^i as in the statement of the corollary and let

$$g_{j-k}^j(x) = (-1)^k \Upsilon_{N-k}^{(j)}(x),$$

so that (5.53) can be expressed as (5.55). We know already that g_ℓ^j is a polynomial of degree ℓ for $\ell = 0, \dots, j-1$, and we claim that the two families of functions satisfy (5.56). In fact,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} f_{j-k}^j(x) g_{j-\ell}^j(x) &= \sum_{x, y \in \mathbb{Z}} \Xi_{N-k}^{(j)}(x) \Upsilon_{N-\ell}(y) \phi_{-(j, N]}(y, x) = \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \phi_{-(j, N]} \diamond \Xi_{N-k}^{(j)}(x) \Upsilon_{N-\ell}(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathbb{Z}} \Xi_{N-k}(x) \Upsilon_{N-\ell}(x), \end{aligned}$$

and the last sum can be restricted to $x \geq 1$ because $\Xi_{N-k}(x) = 0$ for $x \leq 0$ thanks to the condition $y_k \geq y_N + N - k > N - k$, so (5.33) ensures that it equals $\delta_{k, \ell}$.

Consider now a general choice of initial condition $y = (y_1, \dots, y_N)$. By translation invariance, if we fix $L > 0$ and shift all the y_i 's and all the a_i 's by L , the probability on the left hand side of (5.54) does not change. Then letting $\bar{y}_i = y_i + L$ and $\bar{a}_i = a_i + L$, if L is large enough so that $\bar{y}_N > 1$ then previous case yields

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\bigcap_{k=1}^m \{X_t(p_k) > a_k\} \right] = \det(I - \bar{\chi}_{\bar{a}} \bar{K} \bar{\chi}_{\bar{a}})_{\ell^2(\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \times \mathbb{N})}, \quad (5.58)$$

where \bar{K} is defined as in (5.55) but using \bar{y} instead of y . But it is easy to see, from the definition of $\phi_{[i, j]}$ and f_{i-k}^i , and using the fact that the g_{i-k}^i 's are defined through the biorthogonalization problem (5.56), that $\bar{K}(i, x_1 + L; j, x_2 + L) = K(i, x_1; j, x_2)$ (i.e., shifting the variables in the kernel is equivalent to shifting back the y_i 's). So performing this change of variables in the kernel inside the Fredholm determinant in (5.58) we get

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\bigcap_{k=1}^m \{X_t(p_k) > a_k\} \right] = \det(I - \bar{\chi}_a K \bar{\chi}_a)_{\ell^2(\{p_1, \dots, p_m\} \times \mathbb{Z}_{\geq -M})},$$

Taking $L \rightarrow \infty$ yields (5.54). Checking that this formula coincides with the one given in [BF08] in the case of full-space TASEP is straightforward. \square

6. DETAILS OF THE ASEP TRANSITION PROBABILITY PROOF

In this section we present the details of the proof of Theorem 2.2 which were omitted from Section 2, namely the proofs of the eigenvalue relation Lemma 2.3 and the initial condition Lemma 2.4.

6.1. Preliminary results. Before proceeding to the proofs of the intermediate results of Lemmas 2.3 and 2.4, it is useful to present some initial properties of the function (2.7).

Proposition 6.1. *For any fixed integer $N \geq 0$ the following factorization holds on any alphabet (w_1, \dots, w_N) :*

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N} \sigma \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \right) = \frac{1}{V_N}, \quad (6.1)$$

where the constant V_N is given by (2.6).

Proof. Let us define the functions

$$f(w_1, \dots, w_N) := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right], \quad g(z_1, \dots, z_N) := \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{z_i - qz_j}{z_i - z_j} \frac{1 - qz_i^{-1} z_j^{-1}}{1 - z_i^{-1} z_j^{-1}} \right],$$

so that $f(w_1, \dots, w_N)$ is the summand of the symmetrization (6.1). Let us also consider the alphabet (z_1, \dots, z_N) defined by $z_i = q^{1/2} w_i$, so that $f(w_1, \dots, w_N) = q^{-\binom{N}{2}} g(z_1, \dots, z_N)$. The standard identification for negative indices under the action of \mathcal{B}_N is $w_{-i} = 1/(qw_i)$ which may be written in terms of z_i as $w_{-i} = q^{-1/2} z_i^{-1}$. And so, on the z -alphabet we make the identification $z_{-i} = z_i^{-1}$. It follows that the symmetrization (6.1) may be evaluated as

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N} \sigma(f(w_1, \dots, w_N)) = q^{-\binom{N}{2}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N} \sigma(g(z_1, \dots, z_N)),$$

where the action of the signed permutations $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N$ on the z -alphabet now uses $z_{-k} = z_k^{-1}$ for negative indices. The right hand side symmetrization is equivalent to a known identity from [Ven15]:

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N} \sigma(g(z_1, \dots, z_N)) = \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{(1 - q^i)(1 + q^{i-1})}{1 - q},$$

which follows from the fact that a BC_N -symmetric Hall–Littlewood polynomial indexed by the empty partition is equal to 1, i.e. $K_\emptyset(z_1^\pm, \dots, z_N^\pm) = 1$, with boundary parameters $t_0 = t_1 = t_2 = t_3 = 0$ and $a = -b = 1$. Application of this identity yields the result (6.1). \square

Observe that the scattering has the following invariance under $w_j \mapsto w_{-j} = 1/(qw_j)$:

$$\left. \frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right|_{w_j \mapsto w_{-j}} = \frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j}.$$

This observation allows for the symmetrization identity of Proposition 6.1 has the following extension to a partial symmetrization:

$$\sum_{\sigma \in \tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{N-M}} \sigma \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \right) = \frac{1}{V_{N-M}} \prod_{i=1}^M \prod_{j=i+1}^N \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right]. \quad (6.2)$$

for any fixed integer $0 \leq M < N$ where $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{N-M} \cong \mathcal{B}_{N-M}$ is the subgroup consisting of all signed permutations which act on w by leaving w_1, \dots, w_M fixed whilst permuting w_{M+1}, \dots, w_N . While Proposition 6.1 implies that whenever indexed by an empty state $\mathcal{F}_\emptyset(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \alpha^N$, the following result allows for an alternative expression of the function (2.7) using a partial symmetrization.

Proposition 6.2. *Let $N \geq M \geq 1$ be fixed integers and let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$ be a half-space configuration. Then, the function (2.7) has the following alternative expression:*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) &= \alpha^{N-M} \sum_{\substack{T \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\} \\ |T|=M}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_M} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq M} \left[\frac{w_{T_{\sigma(i)}} - qw_{T_{\sigma(j)}}}{w_{T_{\sigma(i)}} - w_{T_{\sigma(j)}}} \frac{1 - w_{T_{\sigma(i)}} w_{T_{\sigma(j)}}}{1 - qw_{T_{\sigma(i)}} w_{T_{\sigma(j)}}} \right] \prod_{i=1}^M \varphi_{y_i}(w_{T_{\sigma(i)}}) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^M \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \notin T}}^N \left[\frac{w_{T_{\sigma(i)}} - qw_j}{w_{T_{\sigma(i)}} - w_j} \frac{1 - w_{T_{\sigma(i)}} w_j}{1 - qw_{T_{\sigma(i)}} w_j} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.3)$$

Proof. Consider the symmetrization formula (2.7) and let us decompose the sum over \mathcal{B}_N as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) &= V_{N-M} \alpha^{N-M} \\ &\quad \times \sum_{\substack{T \subseteq \{1, \dots, N\} \\ |T|=M}} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_M} \sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{B}_{N-M}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq M} \left[\frac{w_{T_{\sigma(i)}} - qw_{T_{\sigma(j)}}}{w_{T_{\sigma(i)}} - w_{T_{\sigma(j)}}} \frac{1 - w_{T_{\sigma(i)}} w_{T_{\sigma(j)}}}{1 - qw_{T_{\sigma(i)}} w_{T_{\sigma(j)}}} \right] \prod_{i=1}^M \varphi_{y_i}(w_{T_{\sigma(i)}}) \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^M \prod_{j=1}^{N-M} \left[\frac{w_{T_{\sigma(i)}} - qw_{T_{\rho(j)}}}{w_{T_{\sigma(i)}} - w_{T_{\rho(j)}}} \frac{1 - w_{T_{\sigma(i)}} w_{T_{\rho(j)}}}{1 - qw_{T_{\sigma(i)}} w_{T_{\rho(j)}}} \right] \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N-M} \left[\frac{w_{T_{\rho(i)}} - qw_{T_{\rho(j)}}}{w_{T_{\rho(i)}} - w_{T_{\rho(j)}}} \frac{1 - w_{T_{\rho(i)}} w_{T_{\rho(j)}}}{1 - qw_{T_{\rho(i)}} w_{T_{\rho(j)}}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

We will proceed by explicitly evaluating the sum over $\rho \in \mathcal{B}_{N-M}$. Now, observe that, for fixed T , the first factor on the bottom line of (6.4) is invariant under the choice of $\rho \in \mathcal{B}_{N-M}$. Consequently, we may evaluate the sum over ρ using Proposition 6.1 as

$$\sum_{\rho \in \mathcal{B}_{N-M}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N-M} \left[\frac{w_{T_{\rho(i)}} - qw_{T_{\rho(j)}}}{w_{T_{\rho(i)}} - w_{T_{\rho(j)}}} \frac{1 - w_{T_{\rho(i)}} w_{T_{\rho(j)}}}{1 - qw_{T_{\rho(i)}} w_{T_{\rho(j)}}} \right] = \frac{1}{V_{N-M}},$$

which yields the desired result (6.3) once substituted into (6.4). \square

Proposition 6.3. *Let $N \geq M \geq 1$ be fixed integers and let $w = (w_1, \dots, w_N)$ be a fixed alphabet and let $y_1, \dots, y_M \in \mathbb{Z}$ be fixed so that $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$ is a collection of integers¹³. The function (2.7) satisfies the following recursion relation for all $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$:*

$$\mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) \Big|_{w_k=0} = \alpha \mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_N).$$

Proof. Observe that, whenever $w_k = 0$, the following property holds for any $y \in \mathbb{Z}$

$$\varphi_y(w_k) \Big|_{w_k=0} = \varphi_y\left(\frac{1}{qw_k}\right) \Big|_{w_k=0} = 0.$$

By setting $w_k = 0$ within the the symmetrization formula (2.7) observe that terms corresponding to $\sigma(\ell) = \pm k$ for any $1 \leq \ell \leq M$ vanish. Now observe the following property for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N$ which satisfies $\sigma(\ell) = \pm k$:

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_{\sigma(i)} - qw_{\sigma(j)}}{w_{\sigma(i)} - w_{\sigma(j)}} \frac{1 - w_{\sigma(i)} w_{\sigma(j)}}{1 - qw_{\sigma(i)} w_{\sigma(j)}} \right] \Big|_{w_k=0} \\ = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \leq N \\ i, j \neq \ell}} \left[\frac{w_{\sigma(i)} - qw_{\sigma(j)}}{w_{\sigma(i)} - w_{\sigma(j)}} \frac{1 - w_{\sigma(i)} w_{\sigma(j)}}{1 - qw_{\sigma(i)} w_{\sigma(j)}} \right] \times \begin{cases} q^{N-\ell} & \text{if } \sigma(\ell) = +k \\ q^{-(N-\ell)} & \text{if } \sigma(\ell) = -k \end{cases}. \end{aligned}$$

The application of this specialization to the symmetrization formula (2.7) yields:

$$\mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) \Big|_{w_k=0} = V_{N-M} \alpha^{N-M} \sum_{\ell=M+1}^N q^{N-\ell} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N \\ \sigma(\ell)=+k}} \sigma \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \leq N \\ i, j \neq \ell}} \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \prod_{i=1}^M \varphi_{y_i}(w_i) \right)$$

¹³Typically we consider the case where $y_1 \geq \dots \geq y_M \geq 1$ so that y is a half-space configuration. However we will need the more general statement in the proof of Lemma 2.3 later on.

$$+ V_{N-M} \alpha^{N-M} \sum_{\ell=M+1}^N q^{-(N-\ell)} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N \\ \sigma(\ell)=-k}} \sigma \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq j \leq N \\ i, j \neq \ell}} \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \prod_{i=1}^M \varphi_{y_i}(w_i) \right). \quad (6.5)$$

Now, for each fixed value of ℓ , both restricted sums over signed permutations can be identified as being proportional to $\mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_N)$. We emphasize that this identification is independent of ℓ so that we may re-write (6.5) by factoring out the \mathcal{F}_y function as

$$\mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) \Big|_{w_k=0} = \frac{V_{N-M}}{V_{N-M-1}} \sum_{\ell=M+1}^N (q^{N-\ell} + q^{-(N-\ell)}) \cdot \alpha \mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_N).$$

Finally, Proposition (6.3) follows by noting the following property of the normalization factor (2.6)

$$\frac{V_{m-1}}{V_m} = \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} (q^j + q^{-j}),$$

which holds for any integer $m > 0$. □

Proposition 6.4. *Let $N \geq M \geq 0$ be fixed integers and let $w = (w_1, \dots, w_N)$ be a fixed alphabet and let $y_1, \dots, y_M \in \mathbb{Z}$ be fixed so that $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$ is a collection of integers. Then the function $\mathcal{F}_y(w)$, given by the symmetrization formula (2.7), is a meromorphic function in each variable w_1, \dots, w_N whose singularities are all located at the points $w_i = 1, q^{-1}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq N$.*

Proof. Let us consider the function $\mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N)$ as a function of the variable w_k for some fixed integer $1 \leq k \leq N$. Excluding poles at $w_k = 1, q^{-1}$, by observing the individual terms of symmetrization formula (2.7), the other possible singularities are at the points $w_k = w_j, 1/(qw_j)$ for integers $j \neq k$ and also at $w_k = \pm q^{-1/2}$. We will proceed by showing that these singularities are removable, so that the function $\mathcal{F}_y(w)$ may be defined to be analytic at those points. Firstly, the simple poles at $w_k = w_j, 1/(qw_j)$ are removable by virtue of the symmetrization over \mathcal{B}_N and is therefore symmetric under the action of any signed permutation.

Let us now consider the apparent singularities at $w_k = \pm q^{-1/2}$, which are due to factors $(1 - qw_k^2)$ which appear in the denominator of $\varphi_{y_\ell}(w_k)$ and $\varphi_{y_\ell} \left(\frac{1}{qw_k} \right)$ for some integer $1 \leq \ell \leq M$. Let us consider the terms in (2.7) associated with signed permutations $\sigma, \sigma' \in \mathcal{B}_N$ satisfying $\sigma(\ell) = +k$ and $\sigma'(\ell) = -k$ but otherwise $\sigma(i) = \sigma'(i)$ for all other integers $i \neq \ell$. It is sufficient to consider the w_k -dependent factors of the sum of these two terms:

$$\begin{aligned} & \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \left[\frac{w_k - qw_{\sigma(i)}}{w_k - w_{\sigma(i)}} \frac{1 - w_k w_{\sigma(i)}}{1 - qw_k w_{\sigma(i)}} \right] \prod_{i=\ell+1}^N \left[\frac{w_{\sigma(i)} - qw_k}{w_{\sigma(i)} - w_k} \frac{1 - w_k w_{\sigma(i)}}{1 - qw_k w_{\sigma(i)}} \right] \varphi_{y_\ell}(w_k) \\ & + \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \left[\frac{qw_k - w_{\sigma(i)}}{q(w_k - w_{\sigma(i)})} \frac{1 - w_k w_{\sigma(i)}}{1 - qw_k w_{\sigma(i)}} \right] \prod_{i=\ell+1}^N \left[\frac{w_{\sigma(i)} - qw_k}{w_{\sigma(i)} - w_k} \frac{1 - w_k w_{\sigma(i)}}{1 - qw_k w_{\sigma(i)}} \right] \varphi_{y_\ell} \left(\frac{1}{qw_k} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

where overall shared factors are neglected. Now, observe that each of these terms both contain the factor $\frac{w_\ell}{1 - qw_\ell^2}$ which changes sign under $w_\ell \mapsto 1/(qw_\ell)$. This means we may write (6.6) as

$$\frac{w_k}{1 - qw_k^2} \left(h(w_k) - h \left(\frac{1}{qw_k} \right) \right) \prod_{i=\ell+1}^N \left[\frac{w_{\sigma(i)} - qw_k}{w_{\sigma(i)} - w_k} \frac{1 - w_k w_{\sigma(i)}}{1 - qw_k w_{\sigma(i)}} \right], \quad (6.7)$$

where the following function is analytic at $w_k = \pm q^{-1/2}$:

$$h(w_k) = \frac{(1-q)(1-q-\alpha+\alpha w_k)}{(1-w_k)} \left(\frac{1-qw_k}{1-w_k} \right)^{y_\ell} \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \left[\frac{w_k - qw_{\sigma(i)}}{w_k - w_{\sigma(i)}} \frac{1 - w_k w_{\sigma(i)}}{1 - qw_k w_{\sigma(i)}} \right].$$

From here, by showing that it has vanishing residue, we observe that the singularities in expression (6.7) at the points $w_k = \pm q^{-1/2}$ are removable. □

The following evaluation of the function (2.7) is particularly useful.

Lemma 6.5. *Let $N > 0$ be a fixed integer and let $w = (w_1, \dots, w_N)$ be a fixed alphabet. The function (2.7) indexed by the half-space configuration $y = (1)$ is explicitly given by:*

$$\mathcal{F}_{(1)}(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \alpha^{N-1} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{(1-q)^2 w_k}{(1-w_k)(1-qw_k)}. \quad (6.8)$$

Proof. Let us define the function $P(w_1, \dots, w_N)$ as the right hand side of (6.8). Then observe that

$$P(w_1, \dots, w_N) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^N [(1-w_i)(1-qw_i)] \quad (6.9)$$

is clearly a symmetric polynomial of maximal degree 2 in each variable w_1, \dots, w_N . As such, the polynomial (6.9) is completely determined by three independent specializations. The following are easily verifiable for each $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$:

(i) The residue at $w_k = 1$:

$$\text{Res}_{w_k=1} P(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \alpha^{N-1} (q-1).$$

(ii) The residue at $w_k = q^{-1}$:

$$\text{Res}_{w_k=q^{-1}} P(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \alpha^{N-1} (q^{-1} - 1).$$

(iii) The recurrence at $w_k = 0$:

$$P(w_1, \dots, w_N) \Big|_{w_k=0} = \alpha P(w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_N).$$

Lemma 6.5 follows by showing that each of these properties holds for the symmetrization formula (2.7) for the function $\mathcal{F}_{(1)}(w)$. But first we must show that

$$\mathcal{F}_{(1)}(w_1, \dots, w_N) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^N [(1-w_i)(1-qw_i)] \quad (6.10)$$

is a polynomial in each w -variable of maximal degree 2. By virtue of Proposition 6.4, the function (6.10) is a meromorphic function whose only possible poles occur at $w_k = 1, q^{-1}$ for each integer $1 \leq k \leq N$. By observing individual terms in its symmetrization formula (2.7), we observe that $F_{(1)}(w)$ has at most simple poles at $w_k = 1, q^{-1}$, so that (6.10) is indeed a polynomial in w_k . And so, we may determine its degree by investigating the behavior as $w_k \rightarrow \infty$ term-wise for each individual signed permutation $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N$. It is easily verifiable that for each $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N$:

$$\prod_{i=1}^N [(1-w_i)(1-qw_i)] \cdot \sigma \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \varphi_1(w_1) \right) = \mathcal{O}(w_k^2)$$

as $w_k \rightarrow \infty$ for each integer $1 \leq k \leq N$. So we conclude that (6.10) has maximal degree 2.

Let us now show that $\mathcal{F}_{(1)}(w_1, \dots, w_N)$ satisfies the required properties (i)–(iii). In what follows, let $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ be fixed positive integer.

(i) Observe that, in the symmetrization formula (2.7), the only terms with singularities at $w_k = 1$ are those where $\varphi_y(w_k)$ appears. In our case, these terms will correspond with signed permutations satisfying $\sigma(1) = +k$ where there is a simple pole at $w_k = 1$. Then observe that the scattering factor has the following specialization:

$$\left. \frac{w_k - qw_j}{w_k - w_j} \frac{1 - w_k w_j}{1 - qw_k w_j} \right|_{w_k=1} = 1.$$

This means that for individual signed permutations satisfying $\sigma(1) = +k$, we may evaluate the residue of the simple pole at $w_k = 1$ by evaluating

$$\text{Res}_{w_k=1} \varphi_1(w_k) = q - 1.$$

Meanwhile, on the symmetrization formula we have

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{Res}_{w_k=1} \mathcal{F}_{(1)}(w_1, \dots, w_N) &= \alpha^{N-1} V_{N-1} \sum_{\substack{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N \\ \sigma(1)=k}} \prod_{2 \leq i \leq j \leq N} \sigma \left(\left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \right) \operatorname{Res}_{w_k=1} \varphi_1(w_k) \\ &= \alpha^{N-1} (q - 1), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used Proposition 6.1 to simplify the last line. This is the desired result.

(ii) It follows by a similar argument that

$$\operatorname{Res}_{w_k=q^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{(1)}(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \alpha^{N-1} (q^{-1} - 1),$$

where the residue isolates signed permutations satisfying $\sigma(1) = -k$.

(iii) This property follows directly as a consequence of Proposition 6.3. \square

6.2. Proof of eigenvector property. Let us recall the result of Lemma 2.3 where, for a fixed half-space configuration with M particles y , the following holds on any alphabet $w = (w_1, \dots, w_N)$:

$$\sum_{y' \in \mathbb{W}} \langle y | \mathcal{L} | y' \rangle \mathcal{F}_{y'}(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \left(-\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(1-q)^2 w_i}{(1-w_i)(1-qw_i)} \right) \mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N). \quad (6.11)$$

So that the function $\mathcal{F}_y(w)$ from (2.7) may be regarded as an eigenvector of the transition matrix of the half-space ASEP with $\gamma = 0$.

Proof of Lemma 2.3. Let us first examine the left hand side of (6.11) more explicitly. Let us denote $\mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) = f(y)$ for some fixed half-space configuration $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$. The left hand side of (6.11) can be written as the following *free-evolution equation*:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{y' \in \mathbb{W}} \langle y | \mathcal{L} | y' \rangle f(y') &= q \sum_{i=1}^M f(y_1, \dots, y_i - 1, \dots, y_M) + \sum_{i=1}^M f(y_1, \dots, y_i + 1, \dots, y_M) \\ &\quad + \alpha f(y_1, \dots, y_M, 1) - (M(1+q) + \alpha) f(y_1, \dots, y_M), \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

whose right hand side is subject to the following scattering conditions:

(i) *Bulk scattering*: for each $1 < i \leq M$, whenever $y_{i-1} = y_i + 1$:

$$\begin{aligned} qf(y_1, \dots, y_i, y_i, \dots, y_M) + f(y_1, \dots, y_i + 1, y_i + 1, \dots, y_M) \\ = (1+q)f(y_1, \dots, y_i + 1, y_i, \dots, y_M). \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

(ii) *Boundary scattering*: whenever $y_M = 1$:

$$qf(y_1, \dots, y_{M-1}, 0) + \alpha f(y_1, \dots, y_{M-1}, 1, 1) = (\alpha + q)f(y_1, \dots, y_{M-1}, 1). \quad (6.14)$$

Observe that both scattering conditions are relations between functions indexed by both *physical* and *nonphysical* half-space configurations. For a fixed $y \in \mathbb{W}$, we may apply each of the scattering conditions to the right hand side of (6.12) to remove any nonphysical configurations. In this way, the bulk scattering (6.13) enforces the particle exclusion condition and the boundary scattering (6.14) enforces the boundary dynamics at $\gamma = 0$. One can readily check that this is consistent with the multiplication by the transition matrix on the left hand side, thus yielding the time-evolution of the half-space ASEP.

We first prove that the function (2.7) satisfies the free-evolution equation (6.12) before showing both scattering conditions (6.13), (6.14).

(a) *Free-evolution equation*: We begin by showing that $f(y) = \mathcal{F}_y(w)$ satisfies the following:

$$\left(-\alpha + \sum_{i=1}^N \frac{(1-q)^2 w_i}{(1-w_i)(1-qw_i)} \right) f(y_1, \dots, y_M)$$

$$= \alpha f(y_1, \dots, y_M, 1) + q \sum_{i=1}^M f(y_1, \dots, y_i - 1, \dots, y_M) + \sum_{i=1}^M f(y_1, \dots, y_i + 1, \dots, y_M) - (M(1+q) + \alpha) f(y_1, \dots, y_M). \quad (6.15)$$

Firstly, we may immediately eliminate terms $-\alpha f(y)$ from each side of (6.15). Then, on what remains, consider the term corresponding to $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N$ within the symmetrization formula (2.7) individually within the remaining terms of (6.15). The following property will be useful:

$$q\varphi_{y_i-1}(w_i) + \varphi_{y_i+1}(w_i) - (1+q)\varphi_{y_i}(w_i) = \frac{(1-q)^2 w_i}{(1-w_i)(1-qw_i)} \varphi_{y_i}(w_i).$$

Application of this property within the the right hand side of (6.15) yields the following

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{(1-q)^2 w_k}{(1-w_k)(1-qw_k)} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N} \sigma \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \prod_{i=1}^M \varphi_{y_i}(w_i) \right) \\ &= \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N} \sigma \left(\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \prod_{i=1}^M \varphi_{y_i}(w_i) \left(\frac{V_{N-M-1}}{V_{N-M}} \varphi_1(w_{M+1}) + \sum_{k=1}^M \frac{(1-q)^2 w_k}{(1-w_k)(1-qw_k)} \right) \right). \end{aligned}$$

By re-arrangement, we can see that (6.15) holds if the following vanishes under symmetrization:

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \prod_{i=1}^M \varphi_{y_i}(w_i) \left(\frac{V_{N-M-1}}{V_{N-M}} \varphi_1(w_{M+1}) - \sum_{k=M+1}^N \frac{(1-q)^2 w_k}{(1-w_k)(1-qw_k)} \right). \quad (6.16)$$

Observe, as a consequence of Lemma 6.5, that (6.16) vanishes under symmetrization of the variables w_{M+1}, \dots, w_N whilst leaving w_1, \dots, w_M fixed and the result follows.

(bi) Bulk scattering: Consider, for some fixed alphabet $w = (w_1, \dots, w_N)$ and configuration $y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$ with $y_{k-1} = y_k + 1$ for some $1 < k \leq M$, the following

$$\begin{aligned} & q\mathcal{F}_{(y_1, \dots, y_k, y_k, \dots, y_M)}(w) + \mathcal{F}_{(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_{k-1}, \dots, y_M)}(w) - (1+q)\mathcal{F}_{(y_1, \dots, y_{k-1}, y_k, \dots, y_M)}(w) \\ &= V_{N-M} \alpha^{N-M} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N} \sigma \left(\prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq N \\ (i,j) \neq (k-1,k)}} \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \prod_{i=1}^M \varphi_{y_i}(w_i) \right. \\ & \quad \times \frac{w_{k-1} - qw_k}{w_{k-1} - w_k} \left[q + \frac{1 - qw_{k-1}}{1 - w_{k-1}} \frac{1 - qw_k}{1 - w_k} - (1+q) \frac{1 - qw_{k-1}}{1 - w_{k-1}} \right] \Bigg). \quad (6.17) \end{aligned}$$

The top-line of the summand on the right hand side of (6.17) is symmetric under the interchange of w_{k-1} and w_k . Whereas the bottom line may be simplified as

$$\frac{1-q}{(1-w_{k-1})(1-w_k)} \frac{(w_{k-1} - qw_k)(w_k - qw_{k-1})}{w_{k-1} - w_k},$$

which is skew-symmetric under the same interchange. Therefore, the sum over over all permutations $\sigma \in S_N$ on the right hand side of (6.17) vanishes. The bulk scattering condition (6.13) follows as a result.

(bii) Boundary scattering: Let us, for a fixed alphabet $w = (w_1, \dots, w_N)$, consider the following

$$q\mathcal{F}_{(y_1, \dots, y_{M-1}, 0)}(w) + \alpha \mathcal{F}_{(y_1, \dots, y_{M-1}, 1, 1)}(w) - (q + \alpha) \mathcal{F}_{(y_1, \dots, y_{M-1}, 1)}(w), \quad (6.18)$$

which will ultimately be shown to vanish. Let us first consider (6.18) in the case $N = M$ separately. It is convenient to treat this case separately since the function $\mathcal{F}_y(w)$ from (2.7) vanishes whenever the half-space configuration y has more parts than its alphabet w . This means that the middle term in (6.18) does not appear whenever $N = M$. In this case, the symmetrization formula (2.7) can be compared term-wise, where the following function which appears in the summand

$$q\varphi_0(w_N) - (q + \alpha)\varphi_1(w_N), \quad (6.19)$$

while all other factors in the summand are invariant under the action of $w_N \mapsto 1/(qw_N)$. Now note the property whereby

$$\varphi_1(w) + \varphi_1\left(\frac{1}{qw}\right) = \frac{q}{q+\alpha} \left(\varphi_0(w) + \varphi_0\left(\frac{1}{qw}\right) \right) = \frac{(1-q)^2 w}{(1-w)(1-qw)}.$$

This property may be used to show that (6.19) changes sign under $w_N \mapsto 1/(qw_N)$. And so, whenever $N = M$, (6.18) vanishes.

Now let us consider (6.18) for $M < N$. We will ultimately show that the following function

$$\begin{aligned} f(w_M, \dots, w_N) = & \prod_{M \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \\ & \times V_{N-M} \left(q\varphi_0(w_M) + \frac{V_{N-M-1}}{V_{N-M}} \varphi_1(w_M) \varphi_1(w_{M+1}) - (q+\alpha) \varphi_1(w_M) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

vanishes when summed over signed permutations of the sub-alphabet (w_M, \dots, w_N) . The function $f(w_M, \dots, w_N)$ is part of the summand of the combined symmetrization formulas for the functions in (6.18). The fact that the vanishing partial symmetrization enforces the vanishing of the fully-symmetrized functions in (6.18) follows in along the same lines as the partial symmetrization formula (6.2). The vanishing of the function (6.20) under symmetrization is equivalent to the following condition in the function (2.7) for any $N \geq 2$:

$$\frac{q}{q+\alpha} \mathcal{F}_{(0)}(w_1, \dots, w_N) + \frac{\alpha}{q+\alpha} \mathcal{F}_{(1,1)}(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \mathcal{F}_{(1)}(w_1, \dots, w_N). \quad (6.21)$$

Let us then denote the left hand side of (6.21) as the function $\mathcal{G}(w_1, \dots, w_N)$, which is clearly a symmetric function. Moreover, we claim that the function defined by

$$\mathcal{G}(w_1, \dots, w_N) \cdot \prod_{i=1}^N [(1-w_i)(1-qw_i)]$$

is a symmetric polynomial of maximal degree 2 in each of the variables w_1, \dots, w_N . This claim follows from the observation that the function (6.10) is also a polynomial of maximal degree 2 when the configuration (1) is replaced by either (0) or (1, 1) by following an analogous argument which we do not explicitly present.

Before we proceed further, let us come up with an alternative expression for the function $\mathcal{G}(w_1, \dots, w_N)$. First, by invoking the alternative expression (6.3), the function $\mathcal{F}_{(0)}(w_1, \dots, w_N)$ has the following expression:

$$\mathcal{F}_{(0)}(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \alpha^{N-1} \sum_{1 \leq |i| \leq N} \frac{1-w_i}{1-qw_i} \varphi_1(w_i) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq |i|}}^N \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right], \quad (6.22)$$

where the sum over i is over $\{-N, \dots, 1\} \cup \{1, \dots, N\}$ where $w_{-k} = 1/(qw_k)$ for each $k > 0$. Similarly, we may apply the expression (6.3) to express

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}_{(1,1)}(w_1, \dots, w_N) = & \sum_{1 \leq |i| \leq N} \varphi_1(w_i) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq |i|}}^N \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \\ & \times \alpha^{N-2} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq |k| \leq N \\ |k| \neq |i|}} \varphi_1(w_k) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq |i|, j \neq |k|}}^N \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (6.23)$$

where we may recognize the bottom line of (6.23) as $\mathcal{F}_{(1)}(w_1, \dots, w_{|i|-1}, w_{|i|+1}, \dots, w_N)$. Then using Lemma 6.5, we may write (6.23) as

$$\mathcal{F}_{(1,1)}(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \alpha^{N-2} \sum_{1 \leq |i| \leq N} \varphi_1(w_i) \left(\sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq |i|}}^N \frac{(1-q)^2 w_j}{(1-w_j)(1-qw_j)} \right) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq |i|}}^N \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right]. \quad (6.24)$$

Now, we claim that the function $\mathcal{G}(w) = (q\mathcal{F}_{(0)}(w) + \alpha\mathcal{F}_{(1,1)}(w))/(q + \alpha)$ can be written using expressions (6.22) and (6.24) to yield:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(w_1, \dots, w_N) &= \frac{\alpha^{N-1}}{q + \alpha} \sum_{1 \leq |i| \leq N} \varphi_1(w_i) \left(\frac{q - w_i}{1 - w_i} + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{(1 - q)^2 w_j}{(1 - w_j)(1 - qw_j)} \right) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq |i|}}^N \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (6.25)$$

where, again, the sum over i is over $\{-N, \dots, 1\} \cup \{1, \dots, N\}$ where $w_{-k} = 1/(qw_k)$ for each $k > 0$. Now to complete the argument to prove the identity (6.21), we show that (6.25) constitutes a suitable expression on which to demonstrate the properties (i)–(iii) from the proof of Lemma 6.5.

(I) The residue at $w_k = 1$:

$$\text{Res}_{w_k=1} \mathcal{G}(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \alpha^{N-1}(q - 1).$$

To see this property let us expand (6.25) to separate the positive and negative sum over i :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(w_1, \dots, w_N) &= \frac{\alpha^{N-1}}{q + \alpha} \sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_1(w_i) \left(\frac{q(1 - w_i)}{1 - qw_i} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N \frac{(1 - q)^2 w_j}{(1 - w_j)(1 - qw_j)} \right) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \\ &+ \frac{\alpha^{N-1}}{q + \alpha} \sum_{i=1}^N \varphi_1\left(\frac{1}{qw_i}\right) \left(\frac{1 - qw_i}{1 - w_i} + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N \frac{(1 - q)^2 w_j}{(1 - w_j)(1 - qw_j)} \right) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^N \left[\frac{qw_i - w_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - q^2 w_i w_j}{q(1 - qw_i w_j)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.26)$$

Now, recall that $\varphi_1(w_i)$ has a simple pole at $w_i = 1$ while $\varphi_1\left(\frac{1}{qw_i}\right)$ is analytic at $w_i = 1$. Hence, we may recognize that each term in both sums in (6.26) has a simple pole at the point $w_k = 1$ for each integer $1 \leq k \leq N$. So evaluating the residue of $\mathcal{G}(w_1, \dots, w_N)$ at $w_k = 1$ amounts to calculating this residue on each term in both sums. This yields:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Res}_{w_k=1} \mathcal{G}(w_1, \dots, w_N) &= \frac{\alpha^{N-1}(q - 1)}{q + \alpha} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^N \frac{(1 - q)^2 w_j}{(1 - w_j)(1 - qw_j)} + \alpha^{N-1}(q - 1) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^N \left[\frac{q - w_j}{1 - w_j} \frac{1 - q^2 w_j}{q(1 - qw_j)} \right] \\ &+ \frac{\alpha^{N-1}(q - 1)}{q + \alpha} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^N \varphi_1(w_i) \frac{q - w_i}{1 - qw_i} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i, j \neq k}}^N \left[\frac{w_i - qw_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - w_i w_j}{1 - qw_i w_j} \right] \\ &+ \frac{\alpha^{N-1}(q - 1)}{q + \alpha} \sum_{\substack{i=1 \\ i \neq k}}^N \varphi_1\left(\frac{1}{qw_i}\right) \frac{1 - q^2 w_i}{q(1 - w_i)} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i, j \neq k}}^N \left[\frac{qw_i - w_j}{w_i - w_j} \frac{1 - q^2 w_i w_j}{q(1 - qw_i w_j)} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.27)$$

The first two terms in (6.27) are due to the residues of the terms in each sum of (6.26) corresponding to $i = k$, while the remaining sums correspond to the terms in (6.26) which are due to the terms corresponding with $i \neq k$.

For some arbitrary integer $1 \leq \ell \leq N$ satisfying $\ell \neq k$, let us denote the right hand side of (6.27) as a function of w_ℓ by $g(w_\ell)$. From here, we claim that $g(w_\ell)$ is in fact a constant. In order to demonstrate this, we first show that $g(w_\ell)$ is an entire function of w_ℓ . The possible singularities are at the points $w_\ell = w_j, 1/(qw_j)$ for $j \neq \ell, j \neq k$ and also $w_\ell = 1, q^{-1}, \pm q^{-1/2}$. However, by virtue of Proposition 6.4, $\mathcal{G}(w_1, \dots, w_N)$ is a meromorphic function in w_ℓ whose only singularities occur at $w_\ell = 1, q^{-1}$. It then follows that the singularities at $w_\ell = w_j, 1/(qw_j)$ present in $g(w_\ell)$ are removable. It remains to show that the singularities at $w_\ell = 1, q^{-1}$ are removable. Let us first consider the apparent singularities of (6.27) at $w_\ell = 1$, where each term has a simple pole. And so, neglecting the overall constants, we compute the residue of the first two terms on the right hand side as

$$\text{Res}_{w_\ell=1} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^N \frac{(1 - q)^2 w_j}{(1 - w_j)(1 - qw_j)} + (q + \alpha) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^N \left[\frac{q - w_j}{1 - w_j} \frac{1 - q^2 w_j}{q(1 - qw_j)} \right] \right\}$$

$$= q - 1 + \frac{(q + \alpha)(1 - q^2)}{q} \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k, j \neq \ell}}^N \left[\frac{q - w_j}{1 - w_j} \frac{1 - q^2 w_j}{q(1 - q w_j)} \right]. \quad (6.28)$$

A simple computation shows the contribution of the same residue on the two sums over i in (6.27) exactly cancels (6.28). From this we may conclude

$$\operatorname{Res}_{w_\ell=1} \operatorname{Res}_{w_k=1} \mathcal{G}(w_1, \dots, w_N) = 0$$

so that the $g(w_\ell)$ is analytic at $w_\ell = 1$. Although we do not present it, an analogous computation also shows that $g(w_\ell)$ is also analytic at $w_\ell = q^{-1}$, and thus we conclude that it is an entire function of w_ℓ .

By looking at each individual rational factor in the terms of the right hand side of (6.27), it is straightforward to see that the $g(w_\ell)$ is bounded in the limit $w_\ell \rightarrow \infty$.

And so, we may conclude that $g(w_\ell)$ is a bounded entire function in w_ℓ . Since ℓ was arbitrary, we may conclude that it is a bounded entire function in the remaining $w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_N$ alphabet, and by Liouville's theorem, it is a constant. As such, we may evaluate the right hand side of (6.27) by taking any specialization of the remaining w -alphabet. It is convenient to choose $w_1 = \dots = w_{k-1} = w_{k+1} = \dots = w_N = 0$ so that all terms in (6.27) vanish except the second one which yields the desired result:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{w_k=1} \mathcal{G}(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \alpha^{N-1} (q - 1) \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq k}}^N \left[\frac{q - w_j}{1 - w_j} \frac{1 - q^2 w_j}{q(1 - q w_j)} \right] \Big|_{w_1=\dots=w_N=0} = \alpha^{N-1} (q - 1).$$

(II) The residue at $w_k = q^{-1}$:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{w_k=q^{-1}} \mathcal{G}(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \alpha^{N-1} (q^{-1} - 1),$$

which follows by a analogous argument to the one used to calculate the residue at $w_k = 1$.

(III) The function \mathcal{G} inherits the property

$$\mathcal{G}(w_1, \dots, w_N) \Big|_{w_k=0} = \alpha \mathcal{G}(w_1, \dots, w_{k-1}, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_N)$$

from Proposition 6.3. □

6.3. Proof of initial condition. Let us recall the result of Lemma 2.4, where the following orthogonality statement on the function $\mathcal{F}_y(w)$ from (2.7)

$$\oint_{\mathcal{C}_1} \frac{dw_1}{2\pi i} \dots \oint_{\mathcal{C}_N} \frac{dw_N}{2\pi i} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_j - w_i}{q w_j - w_i} \frac{1 - q w_i w_j}{1 - w_i w_j} \right] \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{1 - q w_i^2}{w_i (q + \alpha - 1 - \alpha w_i) (1 - q w_i)} \left(\frac{1 - w_i}{1 - q w_i} \right)^{x_i - 1} \right] \mathcal{F}_y(w_1, \dots, w_N) = \delta_{x,y}, \quad (6.29)$$

serves as the initial condition of the half-space ASEP transition probability (2.5). The integration contours of (6.29) satisfy the requirements of Definition 2.1.

Proof of Lemma 2.4. The proof of this result is rather technical, so we will proceed in several steps. For some $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N$ and half-space configurations $x = (x_1, \dots, x_N), y = (y_1, \dots, y_M)$, let us define the following integral expression:

$$\mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\sigma) := \oint_{\mathcal{C}_1} \frac{dw_1}{2\pi i} \dots \oint_{\mathcal{C}_N} \frac{dw_N}{2\pi i} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_i - w_j}{w_i - q w_j} \frac{1 - q w_i w_j}{1 - w_i w_j} \frac{w_{\sigma(i)} - q w_{\sigma(j)}}{w_{\sigma(i)} - w_{\sigma(j)}} \frac{1 - w_{\sigma(i)} w_{\sigma(j)}}{1 - q w_{\sigma(i)} w_{\sigma(j)}} \right] \\ \times \prod_{i=1}^N \psi_{x_i}(w_i) \prod_{i=1}^M \varphi_{y_i}(w_{\sigma(i)}), \quad (6.30)$$

where the function defined by

$$\psi_x(w) := \frac{1 - qw^2}{w(q + \alpha - 1 - \alpha w)(1 - qw)} \left(\frac{1 - w}{1 - qw} \right)^{x-1}$$

is analytic at $w = 1$ for all integers $x > 0$. It is important to emphasize that the contours in the integral (6.30) are defined according to Definition 2.1 and do not depend on which signed permutation is being considering. The orthogonality statement (6.29) can then be restated as:

$$V_{N-M} \alpha^{N-M} \sum_{\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N} \mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\sigma) = \delta_{x,y},$$

where in particular, the constants outside the sum reduce to 1 whenever $M = N$. Our argument is then split into the following claims which together imply the result.

(i) For any configurations with $0 \leq M \leq N$, $\mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\sigma) = 0$ for any $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N \setminus S_N$.

(ii) For any $\sigma \in S_N$, $\mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\sigma) = 0$ whenever $M < N$.

(iii) Whenever $M = N$ the following summation vanishes:

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_N \setminus \{\text{id}\}} \mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\sigma) = 0.$$

(iv) When $\sigma = \text{id}$ and $M = N$, the integral may be evaluated $\mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\text{id}) = \delta_{x,y}$.

We will proceed by proving these claims individually. Before proceeding, it is useful to remark on the strategy within the proof regarding the order of integration of the contour alignments of Definition 2.1. The multiple integral expression (6.30) may be evaluated by successively taking residues at $w_1 = 1$, followed by $w_2 = 1$, and so on. However, we may switch the order of integration by deforming the contour of the w_2 -integral from \mathcal{C}_2 to lie completely within the interior of \mathcal{C}_1 . Due to the residue theorem, this deformation comes at the expense of the evaluation of residues due to possible poles at the points $w_2 = qw_1, w_1^{-1}$, or any other so-called *dynamic poles* that are crossed by this process. Due to the scattering factor in the integrand, the dynamic poles which are crossed will depend on the signed permutation σ .

In order to proceed, the following expressions will prove to be useful

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{x_i}(w_i) \varphi_{y_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}}(w_i) &= (q-1) \frac{1}{1-w_i} \frac{1}{1-qw_i} \left(\frac{1-w_i}{1-qw_i} \right)^{x_i - y_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}}, \\ \psi_{x_i}(w_i) \varphi_{y_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}} \left(\frac{1}{qw_i} \right) &= (q-1) \frac{\alpha + qw_i(1-q-\alpha)}{1-q-\alpha+\alpha w_i} \frac{q^{y_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}-1}}{(1-w_i)^2} \left(\frac{1-w_i}{1-qw_i} \right)^{x_i + y_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, since all individual coordinates are positive, the second expression has a removable singularity at $w_i = 1$. Additionally, whenever $\sigma \in S_N$ is an element of the symmetric group (that is, a signed permutation without any sign flips) then the scattering factor in the integrand of (6.30) may be presented as product over the inversions of σ :

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_i - w_j}{w_i - qw_j} \frac{1 - qw_i w_j}{1 - w_i w_j} \frac{w_{\sigma(j)} - qw_{\sigma(i)}}{w_{\sigma(i)} - w_{\sigma(j)}} \frac{1 - w_{\sigma(i)} w_{\sigma(j)}}{1 - qw_{\sigma(i)} w_{\sigma(j)}} \right] = (-1)^{|\sigma|} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq N \\ \sigma(i) > \sigma(j)}} \frac{w_{\sigma(i)} - qw_{\sigma(j)}}{w_{\sigma(j)} - qw_{\sigma(i)}}.$$

We now proceed with the proof of (i)–(iv).

(i) Let σ be a fixed signed permutation which contains at least one sign-flip, so that $\sigma \notin S_N$. Let $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ be the fixed integer that corresponds to the left-most sign flip within σ . That is, let $\ell \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ be the index satisfying $\sigma_\ell = -k$, so that we may represent the signed permutation as

$$\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1}, -k, \sigma_{\ell+1}, \dots, \sigma_N),$$

where $\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1} > 0$. We now show that $\mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\sigma) = 0$. First consider the integral expression (6.30) for a signed permutation with $k = 1$. The \mathcal{C}_1 contour is the inner-most contour according to Definition 2.1, and so, we may evaluate the $\mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\sigma)$ by taking the residue at $w_1 = 1$. The w_1 -dependence of the integrand is given by

$$\prod_{j=2}^N \left[\frac{w_1 - w_j}{w_1 - qw_j} \frac{1 - qw_1 w_j}{1 - w_1 w_j} \right] \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \frac{w_{\sigma_i} - w_1^{-1}}{w_{\sigma_i} - w_1^{-1}/q} \prod_{j=\ell+1}^N \frac{w_1^{-1}/q - qw_{\sigma_j}}{w_1/q - w_{\sigma_j}} \\ \times \prod_{i \neq \ell} \frac{1 - w_{\sigma_i} w_1^{-1}/q}{1 - w_{\sigma_i} w_1^{-1}} \begin{cases} \psi_{x_1}(w_1) \varphi_{y_\ell} \left(\frac{1}{qw_1} \right) & \text{if } \ell \leq M \\ \psi_{x_1}(w_1) & \text{if } \ell > M \end{cases},$$

which is analytic at $w_1 = 1$. We thus conclude that when $k = 1$ the integral expression (6.30) vanishes.

Now let us assume that $k > 1$. We aim to evaluate the w_k -integral in $\mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\sigma)$ by first deforming its contour from \mathcal{C}_k to be contained within the interior of \mathcal{C}_1 , and consequently also within the interiors of $\mathcal{C}_2, \dots, \mathcal{C}_{k-1}$. The possible dynamic poles of w_k in the integrand of (6.30) are listed below. All of these poles are either simple poles or removable singularities.

- $w_k = q^{-1}w_i$ for all $i < k$
- $w_k = qw_j$ for all $j > k$
- $w_k = w_i^{-1}$ for all $i \neq k$
- $w_k = q^{-1}w_{\sigma(i)}^{-1}$ for all $i < \ell$ (where $\sigma(i) > 0$)
- $w_k = w_{|\sigma(j)|}, q^{-1}w_{|\sigma(j)|}^{-1}$ for all $j > \ell$
- $w_k = w_{\sigma(i)}$ for all $i \neq \ell$ whenever $\sigma(i) > 0$

By virtue of the contour alignment in Definition 2.1 and the numerator of the integrand of (6.30), the only possible singularities crossed by deforming the contour of the w_k -integral are those at $w_k = w_i^{\pm 1}$ for all $i < k$. Note that the factor $\prod_{i \neq k} (w_i - w_k)$ in the numerator of the integrand implies that poles of the form $w_k = w_i$ are removable, so that we only need to consider the effect of poles of the form $w_k = w_i^{-1}$. We will now show that the contribution of these residues vanishes for all $1 \leq i < k$ so that the contour of the w_k -integral can be deformed to lie arbitrarily close to 1.

We first detail how the singularities $w_k = w_i^{-1}$ appear in the integrand of (6.30). For a fixed $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N$ whose left-most sign flip is $\sigma_\ell = -k$, consider all possible values $p \in \{1, \dots, k-1\}$ such that $p \neq \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{\ell-1}$. These possible values of p precisely correspond to the simple poles of the form $w_k = w_p^{-1}$ that are present in the integrand. For each such p , we also define $m = \sigma^{-1}(\pm p)$, which is the index that maps to either $+p$ or $-p$ under σ . We note that due to the restrictions on the values of p , it follows that $m > \ell$. The residue of all simple poles $w_k = w_p^{-1}$ ultimately do not contribute to the evaluation of $\mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\sigma)$ so that we may deform the contour of the w_k -integral. If no such p exists then the prescribed deformation can be performed freely without crossing any singularities.

Denote by A the set of all possible values of p as described above. In order to show that $\mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\sigma) = 0$, we will have to show that the any contributions due to residues at $w_k = w_p^{-1}$ vanish for all possible combinations of p . More explicitly for any non-empty $\{p_1, \dots, p_n\} \subseteq A$ with $p_1 > \dots > p_n$, we will show that the following combination of successive residues vanishes:

$$\oint_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}} \frac{dw_{p_n}}{2\pi i} \operatorname{Res}_{w_k=w_{p_1}^{-1}} \operatorname{Res}_{w_{p_1}=w_{p_2}^{-1}} \cdots \operatorname{Res}_{w_{p_{n-1}}=w_{p_n}^{-1}} g_{x,y}(w_1, \dots, w_N) = 0, \quad (6.31)$$

where $g_{x,y}$ is the integrand of (6.30) and $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a small circular complex contour, enclosing the point 1 with an arbitrarily small radius. For any value p as described above, consider the following residue on the w_k, w_p -dependent factors of $g_{x,y}$:

$$\operatorname{Res}_{w_k=w_p^{-1}} \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq N} \left[\frac{w_i - w_j}{w_i - qw_j} \frac{1 - qw_i w_j}{1 - w_i w_j} \frac{w_{\sigma_i} - qw_{\sigma_j}}{w_{\sigma_i} - w_{\sigma_j}} \frac{1 - w_{\sigma_i} w_{\sigma_j}}{1 - qw_{\sigma_i} w_{\sigma_j}} \right] \psi_{x_k}(w_k) \psi_{x_p}(w_p) \tilde{\varphi}_\ell \left(\frac{1}{qw_k} \right) \tilde{\varphi}_m(w_{\pm p}), \quad (6.32)$$

where

$$\tilde{\varphi}_\ell(z) = \begin{cases} \varphi_{y_\ell}(z) & \text{if } \ell \leq M \\ 1 & \text{if } \ell > M \end{cases}.$$

Should there be a simple pole at $w_k = w_p^{-1}$, then (6.32) can be evaluated which yields a factor of the form:

$$\psi_{x_k} \left(\frac{1}{w_p} \right) \psi_{x_p}(w_p) \tilde{\varphi}_\ell \left(\frac{w_p}{q} \right) \tilde{\varphi}_m(w_{\pm p}) \sim \begin{cases} (1 - w_p)^{x_k + x_p - 2} & \text{if } \ell, m > M \\ (1 - w_p)^{x_k + x_p + y_\ell - 3} & \text{if } \ell \leq M, m > M \\ (1 - w_p)^{x_k + x_p + (y_\ell - y_m) - 3} & \text{if } \ell, m < M, \sigma(m) = +p \\ (1 - w_p)^{x_k + x_p + y_\ell + y_m - 4} & \text{if } \ell, m < M, \sigma(m) = -p \end{cases}.$$

We note here that since $x_i, y_j \geq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq M$, all contributions are of the form $(1 - w_p)^b$ for some non-negative integer $b \geq 0$. The third item can be seen to have a non-negative exponent since $m > \ell$, it follows that $y_\ell - y_m \geq 1$. For each successive residue evaluation in the chain $p_1 > \dots > p_n$ in (6.31), we obtain a factor of the same form. Evaluating all the residues leads to a factor $(1 - w_{p_n})^{b_1 + \dots + b_n}$ in the w_{p_n} integral of (6.31) which is analytic at $w_{p_n} = 1$ since all $b_i \geq 0$. Therefore, the w_{p_n} integral of (6.31) vanishes. Finally, since this is true for all non-empty sets A , the multiple residues at the points $w_k = w_{p_i}^{-1}$ do not contribute to the evaluation of (6.30). Thus we can deform the contour of the w_k -integral to lie closely around $w_k = 1$ without picking up additional residues. The integration over w_k then vanishes and we thus conclude that $\mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\sigma) = 0$ whenever $\sigma \in \mathcal{B}_N \setminus S_N$.

(ii) For a fixed $\sigma \in S_N$ and configurations x, y satisfying $M < N$, we will prove here that the multiple integral expression (6.30) vanishes. Let us define $k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ such that $\sigma_N = k$.

Our proof strategy will be similar to the previous part, whereby we aim to deform the contour of the w_k -integral from \mathcal{C}_k to be arbitrarily close to 1. Since $M < N$, the integrand of (6.30) does not contain a φ function with w_k as an argument. Hence, due to the form of the ψ function, the integrand is analytic at $w_k = 1$. With this in mind, consider the w_k -dependent factors in the integrand:

$$(-1)^{|\sigma|} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < N \\ \sigma(i) > k}} \frac{w_{\sigma(i)} - qw_k}{w_k - qw_{\sigma(i)}}.$$

From this expression we can conclude that the only possible singularities are of the form $w_k = qw_{\sigma(i)}$ for $\sigma(i) > k$. The alignment of the contours outlined in Definition 2.1 ensures that these singularities are not enclosed by the \mathcal{C}_k contour so that the integrand is an analytic function of w_k both on and within the interior of \mathcal{C}_k . As a result, the integral (6.30) vanishes.

(iii) For any fixed permutation $\sigma \in S_N$ and configurations x, y both containing $M = N$ particles, the integral expression (6.30) can be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\sigma) &= (q-1)^N \oint_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}} \frac{dw_1}{2\pi i} \dots \oint_{\tilde{\mathcal{C}}} \frac{dw_N}{2\pi i} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq N \\ \sigma_i > \sigma_j}} \frac{w_{\sigma_i} - qw_{\sigma_j}}{w_{\sigma_j} - qw_{\sigma_i}} \\ &\quad \times \prod_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{1}{1 - w_i} \frac{1}{1 - qw_i} \left(\frac{1 - w_i}{1 - qw_i} \right)^{x_i - y_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (6.33)$$

We emphasize here that in the absence of sign flips in the signed permutation, the contours have been deformed to coincide, $\mathcal{C}_i \mapsto \tilde{\mathcal{C}}$, where $\tilde{\mathcal{C}}$ is a positively-oriented contour enclosing 1 with an arbitrarily small radius. From here, we claim that the expression (6.33) has the desired property

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_N \setminus \{\text{id}\}} \mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\sigma) = 0, \quad (6.34)$$

which is equivalent to a claim within the proof of Theorem 2.1 of [TW08]. We do not replicate the argument needed to prove the statement (6.34) due to its technical nature. However, the precise details of the matching with [TW08] are provided explicitly in Appendix C.

(iv) Consider finally the integral associated with the identity signed permutation $\text{id} \in \mathcal{B}_N$ for half-space configurations x, y with $M = N$:

$$\mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\text{id}) = (q-1)^N \oint_{\mathcal{C}_1} \frac{dw_1}{2\pi i} \dots \oint_{\mathcal{C}_N} \frac{dw_N}{2\pi i} \prod_{i=1}^N \left[\frac{1}{1-w_i} \frac{1}{1-qw_i} \left(\frac{1-w_i}{1-qw_i} \right)^{x_i-y_i} \right].$$

This integral is readily evaluated by taking successively taking residues at $w_i = 1$ which yields $\mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\text{id}) = \delta_{x,y}$ by the residue theorem. \square

APPENDIX A. PROPERTIES OF PFAFFIANS

A.1. Definition and identities. A *Pfaffian* is defined for a skew-symmetric $2n \times 2n$ -dimensional matrix A with entries $a_{i,j}$ as

$$\text{Pf } A = \frac{1}{2^n n!} \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} (-1)^{|\sigma|} \prod_{i=1}^n a_{\sigma(2i-1), \sigma(2i)}.$$

The determinant of the same even dimensional skew-symmetric matrix A is the square of a polynomial in its entries. It can be shown that the determinant is exactly the square of the Pfaffian:

$$\det A = (\text{Pf } A)^2.$$

The Pfaffian of a skew-symmetric $2n \times 2n$ matrix A changes sign under simultaneous interchange of rows and columns of the same index. A simple consequence is the following: if A is given and \hat{A} is the reordered matrix

$$[\hat{A}]_{i,j} = A_{\sigma(i), \sigma(j)}$$

with $\sigma \in S_{2n}$ specified as

$$\sigma(2i-1) = i, \quad \sigma(2i) = n+i \quad \text{for each } i = 1, \dots, n,$$

then

$$\text{Pf}(\hat{A}) = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \text{Pf}(A). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

Pfaffians may also be thought of as generalizations of determinants: for an arbitrary $m \times m$ -dimensional matrix B , the following identity also holds

$$\det(B) = (-1)^{\binom{m}{2}} \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ -B^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

The following Pfaffian identity, found in [Oka19], is a generalization of (A.2).

Proposition A.1. *Let A be a skew-symmetric $n \times n$ -dimensional matrix and let B be a $n \times m$ -dimensional matrix. The following Pfaffian evaluation holds: whenever $n+m$ is even*

$$\text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} A & B \\ -B^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2}} \times \begin{cases} \sum_S (-1)^{\sum_i S_i} \text{Pf } A_S \det B_{(S^c; [m])} & \text{if } n > m \\ \det B & \text{if } n = m, \\ 0 & \text{if } n < m \end{cases}$$

and whenever $n+m$ is odd

$$\text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} A & \vec{\mathbf{1}}_n & B \\ -\vec{\mathbf{1}}_n^T & 0 & 0 \\ -B^T & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = (-1)^{\binom{n}{2} + n - m} \times \begin{cases} \sum_S (-1)^{\sum_i S_i} \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} A_S & \vec{\mathbf{1}}_{n-m} \\ -\vec{\mathbf{1}}_{n-m}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \det B_{(S^c; [m])} & \text{if } n > m, \\ 0 & \text{if } n < m \end{cases},$$

where the sum is over subsets $S \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality $n-m$ and S^c denotes its complement. We have used the shorthand notation $[m] = \{1, \dots, m\}$.

Proof. The version of the result where $n+m$ is even is given explicitly as Corollary 2.4 of [Oka19]. Meanwhile, the odd version can be obtained as a special case of the even version where the last row and column of an extended skew-symmetric matrix A are set to be ± 1 appropriately and the last row of an extended matrix B is set to zero. \square

The following identity, due to Stembridge [Ste90] is crucial to our work.

Lemma A.2 (Stembridge's Pfaffian). *Let x_1, \dots, x_m be an alphabet of fixed length m . The following Pfaffian identities hold: when $m = 2n$ is even*

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n} \frac{x_i - x_j}{1 - x_i x_j} = \text{Pf} [S(x_i, x_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n},$$

and when $m = 2n - 1$ is odd:

$$\prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 2n-1} \frac{x_i - x_j}{1 - x_i x_j} = \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} S(x_i, x_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n-1} & \vec{1}_{2n-1} \\ -\vec{1}_{2n-1}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where

$$S(x_i, x_j) = \frac{x_i - x_j}{1 - x_i x_j}.$$

A.2. Pfaffian integration formulas. The following result, due to de Bruijn [dB55], is the Pfaffian analogue of the Andréief identity.

Lemma A.3 (de Bruijn's integration formula). *Let $m > 0$ be a fixed integer and let μ be an appropriate measure on a region X . Let g_1, \dots, g_m be functions and let h be an skew-symmetric function of two variables which are all integrable over X with respect to μ . Then the following identities hold: when $m = 2n$ is even*

$$\frac{1}{(2n)!} \int_X d\mu(w_1) \cdots \int_X d\mu(w_{2n}) \det[g_j(w_i)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n} \text{Pf}[h(w_i, w_j)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n} = \text{Pf}(A),$$

and when $m = 2n - 1$ is odd

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{(2n-1)!} \int_X d\mu(w_1) \cdots \int_X d\mu(w_{2n-1}) \det[g_j(w_i)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n-1} \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} h(w_i, w_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2n-1} & \vec{1}_{2n-1} \\ -\vec{1}_{2n-1}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix} \\ = \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} A & \vec{b} \\ -\vec{b}^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

where A is a skew-symmetric $m \times m$ -dimensional matrix and \vec{b} is a vector of length m . The matrix elements are given as:

$$\begin{aligned} [A]_{i,j} &= \int_X d\mu(w) \int_X d\mu(u) g_i(w) g_j(u) h(w, u), \\ [\vec{b}]_i &= \int_X d\mu(w) g_i(w), \end{aligned}$$

for each $1 \leq i, j \leq m$.

The following Lemma is generalization of Lemma A.3 which appeared in the work [KG10].

Lemma A.4 (Generalized de Bruijn's integration formula). *Let $\ell \geq m > 0$ be fixed integers and let μ be an appropriate measure on a region X . Let $f_1, \dots, f_{\ell-m}, g_1, \dots, g_m$ be functions and let h be an skew-symmetric function of two variables which are all integrable over X with respect to μ . Additionally let S be a constant skew-symmetric matrix of dimension $(\ell - m) \times (\ell - m)$. Then the following identities hold: when ℓ is even*

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(-1)^{\binom{\ell-m}{2}}}{m!} \int_X d\mu(w_1) \cdots \int_X d\mu(w_m) \det[g_j(w_i)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} h(w_i, w_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} & f_k(w_i)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq k \leq \ell-m}} \\ -f_k(w_j)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq \ell-m \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} & S \end{bmatrix} \\ = \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ -C^T & S \end{bmatrix} \quad (\text{A.3}) \end{aligned}$$

and when ℓ is odd

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(-1)^{\binom{\ell-m}{2}}}{m!} \int_X d\mu(w_1) \cdots \int_X d\mu(w_m) \det [g_j(w_i)]_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} h(w_i, w_j)_{1 \leq i, j \leq m} & \vec{\mathbf{1}}_m & f_k(w_i)_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq m \\ 1 \leq k \leq \ell-m}} \\ -\vec{\mathbf{1}}_m^T & 0 & 0 \\ -f_k(w_j)_{\substack{1 \leq k \leq \ell-m \\ 1 \leq j \leq m}} & 0 & S \end{bmatrix} \\ = \text{Pf} \begin{bmatrix} A & \vec{b} & C \\ -\vec{b}^T & 0 & 0 \\ -C^T & 0 & S \end{bmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

where A is a skew-symmetric $m \times m$ -dimensional matrix, C is an $m \times (\ell - m)$ -dimensional matrix and \vec{b} is a vector of length m . The matrix elements are given as:

$$\begin{aligned} [A]_{i,j} &= \int_X d\mu(u) \int_X d\mu(w) g_i(w) g_j(u) h(w, u), \\ [C]_{i,k} &= \int_X d\mu(w) g_i(w) f_k(w), \\ [\vec{b}]_i &= \int_X d\mu(w) g_i(w), \end{aligned}$$

for each $1 \leq i, j \leq m$ and $1 \leq k \leq \ell - m$.

In fact, a more general version of Lemma A.4 appeared in [KG10] involving a additional constant matrix augmented to the rows of the matrix in the determinant of (A.3). However, this more general result is not needed for our purposes here and we will not present it.

The well known Andréief integration identity can be recovered from (A.3) by taking $\ell = 2m$ with $h(w, u) = 0$ and $[S]_{i,j} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i, j \leq m$.

APPENDIX B. SKEW-BIORTHOGONAL POLYNOMIALS

In this appendix we provide explicit formulas for the skew-biorthogonal polynomials which are characterized by the relations (5.13). We start with a general result for the Cholesky type skew-Borel factorization of a skew-symmetric matrix.

Proposition B.1. *Let m be a fixed integer and let M be a fixed $2m \times 2m$ -dimensional skew-symmetric matrix such that the minors*

$$[M]_{k,\ell}, \quad \text{for } 2j-1 \leq k, \ell \leq 2m$$

are invertible for all $1 \leq j \leq m$. Then M has a skew-Borel factorization of the form

$$M = R_{2m} J_{2m} R_{2m}^T,$$

where R_{2m} is an upper-triangular $2m \times 2m$ -dimensional matrix which is uniquely determined up to setting $[R_{2m}]_{2j-1, 2j} = 0$ and $[R_{2m}]_{2j, 2j} = 1$ for each $1 \leq j \leq m$. Also where

$$J_{2m} = \text{diag} \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}.$$

A proof of this result can be found in [BBF⁺00] with an alternative formulation for the matrix J_{2m} . Instead of presenting a proof of Proposition B.1, we demonstrate that the matrix R_{2m} can be explicitly given in terms of 2×2 blocks of Pfaffian minors. For a $2m \times 2m$ matrix, let us denote its construction in terms of 2×2 blocks by:

$$\langle A \rangle_{i,j} = \begin{bmatrix} [A]_{2i-1, 2j-1} & [A]_{2i-1, 2j} \\ [A]_{2i, 2j-1} & [A]_{2i, 2j} \end{bmatrix},$$

for $1 \leq i, j \leq m$.

Proposition B.2. Let $I \subseteq \{1 \dots, 2m\}$ be an ordered list and let

$$\text{Pf } M_I := \text{Pf}[M_{k,\ell}]_{k,\ell \in I}$$

denote the Pfaffian of the sub-matrix of M consisting of columns and rows labeled by I with the convention that $M_\emptyset = 1$. The matrix R_{2m} of the skew-Borel factorization of M has the explicit form given in terms of 2×2 blocks as:

$$\langle R_{2m} \rangle_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\text{Pf } M_{\{2i-1,2j\} \cup [2j+1,2m]}}{\text{Pf } M_{[2j+1,2m]}} & -\frac{\text{Pf } M_{\{2i-1,2j-1\} \cup [2j+1,2m]}}{\text{Pf } M_{[2j-1,2m]}} \\ \frac{\text{Pf } M_{\{2i,2j\} \cup [2j+1,2m]}}{\text{Pf } M_{[2j+1,2m]}} & -\frac{\text{Pf } M_{\{2i,2j-1\} \cup [2j+1,2m]}}{\text{Pf } M_{[2j-1,2m]}} \end{pmatrix}, & 1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\text{Pf } M_{[2i-1,2m]}}{\text{Pf } M_{[2i+1,2m]}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & i = j \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & 1 \leq j < i \leq m \end{cases},$$

for each block $1 \leq i, j \leq m$. The inverse R_{2m}^{-1} similarly may also be written in terms of 2×2 blocks and is given explicitly by

$$\langle R_{2m}^{-1} \rangle_{i,j} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\text{Pf } M_{[2i-1,2m] \setminus \{2i,2j-1\}}}{\text{Pf } M_{[2i-1,2m]}} & \frac{\text{Pf } M_{[2i-1,2m] \setminus \{2i,2j\}}}{\text{Pf } M_{[2i-1,2m]}} \\ -\frac{\text{Pf } M_{[2i,2m] \setminus \{2j-1\}}}{\text{Pf } M_{[2i+1,2m]}} & \frac{\text{Pf } M_{[2i,2m] \setminus \{2j\}}}{\text{Pf } M_{[2i+1,2m]}} \end{pmatrix}, & 1 \leq i < j \leq m \\ \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\text{Pf } M_{[2i+1,2m]}}{\text{Pf } M_{[2i-1,2m]}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & i = j \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & 1 \leq j < i \leq m \end{cases}.$$

Recall that $\Psi(x, y) = Q_{1,1}(x, y)$, where $Q_{k,\ell}(x, y)$ is defined in (3.2) as

$$Q_{k,\ell}(x, y) = \alpha^2 \oint_{\beta} \frac{dv}{2\pi i} \oint_{\beta} \frac{dw}{2\pi i} \frac{v-w}{1-v-w} \frac{w^{k-x} e^{t(w-1)}}{(w-\alpha)(w-1)^k} \frac{v^{\ell-y} e^{t(v-1)}}{(v-\alpha)(v-1)^\ell},$$

The following is a simple application of the generalized binomial identity on the integrand of $Q_{k,\ell}(x, y)$

Lemma B.3. Let us denote, by Θ_i , the polynomials from (5.8) so that for a fixed integer N

$$\Theta_i(x) := \phi_{[N-i+1, N]}(\dagger_i, x) = \oint_{\gamma} \frac{dz}{2\pi i} \frac{1}{z^i} \left(\frac{1}{1-z} \right)^x = \binom{x+i-2}{x-1},$$

where the contour surrounds $z = 0$ while omitting all other singularities of the integrand. Then the following holds for all $1 \leq i, j \leq N$:

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \Theta_i(x) Q_{1,1}(x, y) \Theta_j(y) = Q_{i+1, j+1}(1, 1).$$

Recall the definition of the skew-symmetric matrix \mathcal{N} from (5.22). Lemma implies that the entries of this matrix are given by

$$[\mathcal{N}]_{k,\ell} = \phi_{[k, N]} \star \Psi \star (\phi_{[\ell, N]})^T(\dagger_k, \dagger_\ell) = Q_{N-k+2, N-\ell+2}(1, 1),$$

for each $1 \leq k, \ell \leq N$. The following result follows by the application of the explicit skew-Borel factorization of $\mathcal{N} = \mathcal{R}_N J_N \mathcal{R}_N^T$ from (5.26), so that \mathcal{R}_N is an upper-triangular $N \times N$ matrix and

determined by Proposition B.2. Let us also denote, for $I \subseteq [1, N]$ which has an even cardinality, the following sub-Pfaffian of the matrix which appears in Corollary 3.13:

$$\text{Pf } \tilde{Q}_I := \text{Pf} [Q_{i+1, j+1}(1, 1)]_{i, j \in I}.$$

Proposition B.4. *Let us define the family of polynomials $\tilde{\Phi}_0, \dots, \tilde{\Phi}_{N-1}$ which satisfy the skew-biorthogonalization relations from (5.13), so that $\tilde{\Phi}_k(x)$ is a polynomial in x of degree k :*

$$\sum_{x=1}^{\infty} \sum_{y=1}^{\infty} \tilde{\Phi}_{N-k}(x) \Psi(x, y) \tilde{\Phi}_{N-\ell}(y) = [J_N]_{k, \ell}, \quad 1 \leq k, \ell \leq N. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

These polynomials are constructed explicitly as

$$\tilde{\Phi}_{N-k}(x) = \sum_{j=1}^N [\mathcal{R}_N^{-1}]_{k, j} \Theta_{N-j+1}(x),$$

where \mathcal{R}_N^{-1} is the inverse of the upper-triangular skew-Borel matrix of \mathcal{N} . Moreover, it is constructed explicitly out of 2×2 -blocks, where¹⁴

$$\langle \mathcal{R}_N^{-1} \rangle_{i, j} = \begin{cases} \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\text{Pf } \tilde{Q}_{[1, N-2i+2] \setminus \{N-2i+1, N-2j+2\}}}{\text{Pf } \tilde{Q}_{[1, N-2i+2]}} - \frac{\text{Pf } \tilde{Q}_{[1, N-2i+2] \setminus \{N-2i+1, N-2j+1\}}}{\text{Pf } \tilde{Q}_{[1, N-2i+2]}} & \\ -\frac{\text{Pf } \tilde{Q}_{[1, N-2i+1] \setminus \{N-2j+2\}}}{\text{Pf } \tilde{Q}_{[1, N-2i]}} & \frac{\text{Pf } \tilde{Q}_{[1, N-2i+1] \setminus \{N-2j+1\}}}{\text{Pf } \tilde{Q}_{[1, N-2i]}} \end{pmatrix}, & 1 \leq i < j \leq \frac{N}{2} \\ \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\text{Pf } \tilde{Q}_{[1, N-2i]}}{\text{Pf } \tilde{Q}_{[1, N-2i+2]}} & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & i = j \\ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & 1 \leq j < i \leq \frac{N}{2} \end{cases},$$

for each $1 \leq i, j \leq N/2$.

Recall Remark 5.4, whereby the skew-biorthogonal polynomials which satisfy (B.1) are defined up to N free parameters. Proposition B.4 constructs an explicit family of these polynomials, $\tilde{\Phi}_k$, which corresponds to the choices $[\mathcal{R}_N]_{2j-1, 2j} = 0$ and $[\mathcal{R}_N]_{2j, 2j} = 1$ for each $1 \leq j \leq N/2$; as is made in Proposition B.1.

APPENDIX C. MATCHING WITH TRACY–WIDOM TRANSITION PROBABILITY

Let us consider the following expression from the proof of the initial condition of Theorem 2.1 of [TW08]. In the aforementioned work, the authors consider ASEP on \mathbb{Z} is considered with a left-hopping rate of q and a right-hopping right of p , subject to the normalization $p + q = 1$. We will consider a modification of their integral expression where the normalization is relaxed and we set $p = 1$. This is given by:

$$\mathcal{I}_{x, y}^{\text{TW}}(\sigma) := \oint_{\delta} \frac{d\xi_1}{2\pi i} \dots \oint_{\delta} \frac{d\xi_N}{2\pi i} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq N \\ \sigma_i > \sigma_j}} f(\xi_{\sigma(i)}, \xi_{\sigma(j)}) \prod_{i=1}^N \xi_{\sigma(i)}^{x_{N-i+1}} \xi_i^{-y_{N-i+1}-1}, \quad (\text{C.1})$$

where

$$f(\xi_i, \xi_j) = -\frac{1 + q\xi_i\xi_j - (1+q)\xi_i}{1 + q\xi_i\xi_j - (1+q)\xi_j},$$

and where δ is a contour surrounding the origin, $\xi_i = 0$, with a sufficiently small radius to avoid all other singularities. Let us also emphasize that the convention of labeling individual particle coordinates in (C.1) are consistent with the main body of this text. We note that the particle

¹⁴The signs have been modified from the conventions of Proposition B.2 since the underlying matrices satisfy $\text{Pf } \mathcal{N} = (-1)^{N/2} \text{Pf}[Q_{k+1, \ell+1}(1, 1)]_{1 \leq k, \ell \leq N}$.

coordinates of [TW08] are the reverse to our conventions. That is, we consider $x_1 > \dots > x_N$ as opposed to $x_1 < \dots < x_N$, which is the reason for x_{N-i+1} appearing in (C.1) rather than x_i .

For any configurations x, y , it is proven in [TW08] that the following sum vanishes:

$$\sum_{\sigma \in S_N \setminus \{\text{id}\}} \mathcal{I}_{x,y}^{\text{TW}}(\sigma) = 0. \quad (\text{C.2})$$

The proof of this statement is involved. It is made necessarily more complicated by the fact that individual terms in the sum (C.2) are in general non-zero after the integrals are evaluated.

Now recall the integral expression from the proof of Lemma 2.4 given by (6.33). We will show here that this integral expression obeys the vanishing summation property (6.34) by explicitly matching our expression with (C.1). Let us consider the integral expression (6.33) under the change of integration coordinates $w_i \mapsto \frac{1-\xi_i}{1-q\xi_i}$. After straightforward algebraic manipulation, for any $\tau \in S_N$, (6.33) is given by:

$$\mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\tau) := \oint_{\delta} \frac{d\xi_1}{2\pi i} \dots \oint_{\delta} \frac{d\xi_N}{2\pi i} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq N \\ \tau(i) > \tau(j)}} f(\xi_{\tau(i)}, \xi_{\tau(j)}) \prod_{i=1}^N \xi_i^{x_i} \xi_{\tau(i)}^{-y_i-1}. \quad (\text{C.3})$$

From here, for each individual fixed τ , we may simultaneously relabel the integration coordinates of (C.3) by $\xi_i \mapsto \xi_{\rho(i)}$ for the permutation defined by $\rho = (r(\tau))^{-1}$, where $r(\tau) = (\tau(N), \dots, \tau(1))$ is permutation which is the reverse ordering of τ . We emphasize here that we are allowed to freely change the order of integration after relabeling since all contours may be deformed to be the same. Under this procedure, the quantity (C.3) associated with τ is

$$\mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\tau) = \oint_{\delta} \frac{d\xi_1}{2\pi i} \dots \oint_{\delta} \frac{d\xi_N}{2\pi i} \prod_{\substack{1 \leq i < j \leq N \\ \tau(i) > \tau(j)}} f(\xi_{N-i+1}, \xi_{N-j+1}) \prod_{i=1}^N \xi_{r(\rho)(i)}^{x_{N-i+1}} \xi_i^{-y_{N-i+1}-1}. \quad (\text{C.4})$$

We now claim that the integrands of (C.1) and (C.4) agree whenever their respective permutations are related by the following

$$\tau = r((r(\sigma))^{-1}).$$

This relationship is precisely equivalent to saying that τ is the *mirror image* of σ . That is, if, as expressed a reduced word in simple transpositions s_i which generate S_N , we have $\tau = s_{k_1} \dots s_{k_n}$ for some sequence $k_1, \dots, k_n \in \{1, \dots, N-1\}$. Then we have the corresponding expression $\sigma = s_{N-k_1+1} \dots s_{N-k_n+1}$. Additionally, we note that this correspondence preserves the identity, i.e. $\tau = \text{id}$ corresponds with $\sigma = \text{id}$. Using this correspondence, we may conclude that

$$\sum_{\tau \in S_N \setminus \{\text{id}\}} \mathcal{I}_{x,y}(\tau) = \sum_{\sigma \in S_N \setminus \{\text{id}\}} \mathcal{I}_{x,y}^{\text{TW}}(\sigma) = 0,$$

which is the desired result.

REFERENCES

- [ABW23] A. Aggarwal, A. Borodin, and M. Wheeler. Colored fermionic vertex models and symmetric functions. *Comm. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 3(08):400–630, 2023. arXiv:2101.01605.
- [BBC20] G. Barraquand, A. Borodin, and I. Corwin. Half-space Macdonald processes. *Forum Math. Pi*, 8:e11, 2020. arXiv:1802.08210.
- [BBCS18] J. Baik, G. Barraquand, I. Corwin, and T. Suidan. Pfaffian Schur processes and last passage percolation in a half-quadrant. *Ann. Probab.*, 46(6), 2018. arXiv:1606.00525.
- [BBCW18] G. Barraquand, A. Borodin, I. Corwin, and M. Wheeler. Stochastic six-vertex model in a half-quadrant and half-line open ASEP. *Duke Math. J.*, 167(13), 2018. arXiv:1704.04309.
- [BBF⁺00] P. Benner, R. Byers, H. Fassbender, V. Mehrmann, and D. Watkins. Cholesky-like factorizations of skew-symmetric matrices. *Electron. Trans. Numer. Anal.*, 11:85–93, 2000.
- [BBNV18] D. Betea, J. Bouttier, P. Nejjar, and M. Vuletić. The free boundary Schur process and applications I. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 19(12):3663–3742, 2018. arXiv:1704.05809.
- [BC24] G. Barraquand and I. Corwin. Markov duality and Bethe ansatz formula for half-line open ASEP. *Prob. Math. Phys.*, 5(1):89–129, 2024. arXiv:2212.07349.
- [BF08] A. Borodin and P. L. Ferrari. Large time asymptotics of growth models on space-like paths I: PushASEP. *Electron. J. Probab.*, 13:1380–1418, 2008. arXiv:0707.2813.

- [BFPS07] A. Borodin, P. L. Ferrari, M. Prähofer, and T. Sasamoto. Fluctuation Properties of the TASEP with Periodic Initial Configuration. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 129(5):1055–1080, 2007. arXiv:math-ph/0608056.
- [BL18] J. Baik and Z. Liu. Fluctuations of TASEP on a ring in relaxation time scale. *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.*, 71(4):747–813, 2018.
- [BP18] A. Borodin and L. Petrov. Higher spin six vertex model and symmetric rational functions. *Sel. Math. New Ser.*, 24(2):751–874, 2018. arXiv:1601.05770.
- [BR01a] J. Baik and E. M. Rains. Algebraic aspects of increasing subsequences. *Duke Math. J.*, 109(1), 2001. arXiv:math/9905083.
- [BR01b] J. Baik and E. M. Rains. The asymptotics of monotone subsequences of involutions. *Duke Math. J.*, 109(2):205–281, 2001. arXiv:math/9905084.
- [BR05] A. Borodin and E. M. Rains. Eynard–Mehta Theorem, Schur Process, and their Pfaffian Analogs. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 121(3):291–317, 2005. arXiv:math-ph/0409059.
- [BW22] A. Borodin and M. Wheeler. Coloured stochastic vertex models and their spectral theory. *Astérisque*, 2022. arXiv:1808.01866.
- [dB55] N. G. de Bruijn. On some multiple integrals involving determinants. *J. Indian Math. Soc.*, 19:133–151, 1955.
- [dGE05] J. de Gier and F. H. L. Essler. Bethe ansatz solution of the asymmetric exclusion process with open boundaries. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 95:240601, 2005.
- [dGE06] J. de Gier and F. H. L. Essler. Exact spectral gaps of the asymmetric exclusion process with open boundaries. *J. Stat. Mech.*, 2006(12):P12011, 2006.
- [dGE08] J. de Gier and F. H. L. Essler. Slowest relaxation mode of the partially asymmetric exclusion process with open boundaries. *J. Phys. A Math. Theor.*, 41(48):485002, 2008.
- [dGE11] J. de Gier and F. H. L. Essler. Large deviation function for the current in the open asymmetric simple exclusion process. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 107:010602, 2011.
- [DY25] E. Dimitrov and Z. Yang. Half-space Airy line ensembles, 2025. arXiv:2505.01798.
- [GdGMW25] A. Garbali, J. de Gier, W. Mead, and M. Wheeler. Symmetric Functions from the Six-Vertex Model in Half-Space. *Ann. Henri Poincaré*, 26(7):2557–2624, 2025. arXiv:2312.14348.
- [GLMV12] M. Gorissen, A. Lazarescu, K. Mallick, and C. Vanderzande. Exact current statistics of the asymmetric simple exclusion process with open boundaries. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 109:170601, 2012.
- [GM04] O. Golinelli and K. Mallick. Bethe ansatz calculation of the spectral gap of the asymmetric exclusion process. *J. Phys. A Math. Gen.*, 37(10):3321, 2004.
- [GS92] L.-H. Gwa and H. Spohn. Bethe solution for the dynamical-scaling exponent of the noisy burgers equation. *Phys. Rev. A*, 46:844–854, 1992.
- [He24] J. He. Boundary current fluctuations for the half-space ASEP and six-vertex model. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, 128(2):e12585, 2024. arXiv:2303.16335.
- [He25] J. He. Shift invariance of half space integrable models. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 2025. arXiv:2205.13029.
- [Joh00] K. Johansson. Shape Fluctuations and Random Matrices. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 209(2):437–476, 2000. arXiv:math/9903134.
- [JR21] K. Johansson and M. Rahman. Multitime distribution in discrete polynuclear growth. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 74(12):2561–2627, 2021.
- [KG10] M. Kieburg and T. Guhr. Derivation of determinantal structures for random matrix ensembles in a new way. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.*, 43(7):075201, 2010. arXiv:0912.0654.
- [Kim95] D. Kim. Bethe ansatz solution for crossover scaling functions of the asymmetric xxz chain and the kardar-parisi-zhang-type growth model. *Phys. Rev. E*, 52:3512–3524, 1995.
- [Lig10] T. M. Liggett. *Continuous time Markov processes : an introduction*. American Mathematical Society, 2010.
- [Liu22] Z. Liu. Multipoint distribution of TASEP. *Ann. Probab.*, 50(4):1255 – 1321, 2022.
- [LK06] D.-S. Lee and D. Kim. Universal fluctuation of the average height in the early-time regime of one-dimensional kardar–parisi–zhang-type growth. *J. Stat. Mech.*, 2006(08):P08014, 2006.
- [LP14] A. Lazarescu and V. Pasquier. Bethe ansatz and q-operator for the open asep. *J. Phys. A Math. Theor.*, 47(29):295202, 2014.
- [MQR21] K. Matetski, J. Quastel, and D. Remenik. The KPZ fixed point. *Acta Math.*, 227(1):115–203, 2021. arXiv:1701.00018.
- [MR23] K. Matetski and D. Remenik. TASEP and generalizations: Method for exact solution. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, 185(1-2):615–698, 2023. arXiv:2107.07984.
- [Oka19] S. Okada. Pfaffian Formulas and Schur Q-Function Identities. *Adv. Math.*, 353:446–470, 2019. arXiv:1706.01029.
- [OQR17] J. Ortmann, J. Quastel, and D. Remenik. A Pfaffian representation for flat ASEP. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 70(1):3–89, 2017. arXiv:1501.05626.

- [Pro16] S. Prolhac. Finite-time fluctuations for the totally asymmetric exclusion process. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 116:090601, 2016.
- [Pro24] S. Prolhac. Approach to stationarity for the kpz fixed point with boundaries. *EPL*, 148(1):11002, 2024.
- [Rai00] E. M. Rains. Correlation functions for symmetrized increasing subsequences, 2000. arXiv:math/0006097.
- [Sas05] T. Sasamoto. Spatial correlations of the 1D KPZ surface on a flat substrate. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, 38(33):L549–L556, 2005. arXiv:cond-mat/0504417.
- [Sch97] G. M. Schütz. Exact solution of the master equation for the asymmetric exclusion process. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 88(1):427–445, 1997. arXiv:cond-mat/9701019.
- [SI04] T. Sasamoto and T. Imamura. Fluctuations of a one-dimensional polynuclear growth model in a half space. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 115(3-4):749–803, 2004. arXiv:cond-mat/0307011.
- [Sim09] D. Simon. Construction of a coordinate bethe ansatz for the asymmetric simple exclusion process with open boundaries. *J. Stat. Mech.*, 2009(07):P07017, 2009.
- [Ste90] J. R. Stembridge. Nonintersecting paths, pfaffians, and plane partitions. *Adv. Math.*, 83(1):96–131, 1990.
- [TW08] C. A. Tracy and H. Widom. Integral Formulas for the Asymmetric Simple Exclusion Process. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 279(3):815–844, 2008. arXiv:0704.2633.
- [TW13] C. A. Tracy and H. Widom. The Bose Gas and Asymmetric Simple Exclusion Process on the Half-Line. *J. Stat. Phys.*, 150(1):1–12, 2013. arXiv:1205.4054.
- [Ven15] V. Venkateswaran. Symmetric and nonsymmetric Koornwinder polynomials in the $q \rightarrow 0$ limit. *J. Algebr. Comb.*, 42(2):331–364, 2015. arXiv:1209.2933.
- [Zha24] X. Zhang. TASEP in half-space, 2024. arXiv:2409.09974.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, VICTORIA 3010, AUSTRALIA
Email address: jdgier@unimelb.edu.au

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, VICTORIA 3010, AUSTRALIA
Email address: wmead@student.unimelb.edu.au

DEPARTAMENTO DE INGENIERÍA MATEMÁTICA AND CENTRO DE MODELAMIENTO MATEMÁTICO (IRL-CNRS 2807), UNIVERSIDAD DE CHILE, AV. BEAUCHEF 851, TORRE NORTE, PISO 5, SANTIAGO, CHILE
Email address: dremenik@dim.uchile.cl

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF MELBOURNE, VICTORIA 3010, AUSTRALIA
Email address: wheelerm@unimelb.edu.au