





THREE DIXON-ROSENFELD PLANES

December 3, 2025

David Chester^{1*} , Alessio Marrani^{2†} , Daniele Corradetti^{3‡} , Raymond
Aschheim^{1,4§} 

¹ Quantum Gravity Research, Topanga, CA, USA

² Centre for Mathematics and Theoretical Physics,
University of Hertfordshire, AL10 9AB Hatfield, UK

³ Grupo de Física Matemática, Instituto Superior Técnico,
Av. Rovisco Pais, 1049-001, Lisboa, Portugal

⁴ Gauge Freedom Inc., Los Angeles, CA, USA

Abstract

Rosenfeld postulated “generalized” projective planes, which exploit a correspondence between rank-one idempotents of Jordan algebras $\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{A})$ and points of projective planes $\mathbb{A}P^2$. The isometry groups of the generalized projective planes (which were later defined rigorously as homogeneous spaces) are entries of the Tits-Freudenthal magic square. Given recent interest in the Dixon algebra $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}$, we extend Rosenfeld’s approach and present three new coset manifolds. These *Dixon-Rosenfeld planes* have isometry algebras that are obtained from Tits’ magic formula and involve all tensorial components of the Dixon algebra. We show that these are the only three planes obtainable with Tits’ formula that preserve the analogy with Rosenfeld’s planes. These non-simple Lie algebras generalize \mathfrak{f}_4 , \mathfrak{e}_6 , \mathfrak{e}_7 and \mathfrak{e}_8 for the octonionic plane $\mathbb{O}P^2$ and in the octonionic Rosenfeld planes $(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$, $(\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$ and $(\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$. We finally investigate the relationships between the isometry algebras of the Dixon-Rosenfeld planes and the exceptional Lie algebras.

Keywords: Projective geometry, Rosenfeld projective planes, division algebras, Dixon algebra

* davidc@quantumgravityresearch.org

† a.marrani@herts.ac.uk

‡ danielecorradetti@tecnico.ulisboa.pt

§ raymond@quantumgravityresearch.org

Contents

1	INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION	3
2	JORDAN ALGEBRAS OVER TENSOR PRODUCTS	4
3	THE DIXON ALGEBRA	5
4	THREE LIE ALGEBRAS	6
5	THREE DIXON-ROSENFELD PLANES	9
6	RELATIONS WITH THE ROSENFELD PLANES	13
7	DIXON-ROSENFELD LINES AND PLANES	18
8	CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER DEVELOPMENTS	19
9	ACKNOWLEDGMENTS	20

1 INTRODUCTION AND MOTIVATION

Recent works by Furey and Hughes [Fu14, Fu15, FH22] along with those by Castro Perelman [Ca19b, Ca19] revived interest in the Dixon algebra \mathbb{T} defined by Geoffrey Dixon in [Di] as the tensor product of the four normed division algebras, i.e., $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}$, which can be regarded as $\mathbb{C} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{H} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{O}$ or $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}$ without risk of ambiguity. These works are a part of a broader research program that involves the application of division algebras to physics, which has been active for over 80 years [Con37, GG73, MD 2010, BH, To18, Ma].

Although some algebraic features of the Dixon algebra have already been investigated, to our knowledge, no geometrical space was concretely linked to \mathbb{T} . Moreover, in a wider sense, the research on this field is mainly organized in two major trends. One is focused on the Lie groups arising from the magic square over Hurwitz algebras (see, for example, the recent excellent work of Wilson, Dray and Manogue [WDM], following [DHK]). Another trend focuses on the study of non-Hurwitz algebras such as the sedenions [Ma] or the Dixon algebra. The present work unites both these trends, presenting a geometrical construction that arises from a magic-square approach involving the Dixon algebra. Indeed, in this work, we show the only three possible Lie algebras obtainable through the use of Tits' magic formula for $n = 3$ over tensorial components of the Dixon algebra. We then define the only three compact coset manifolds of real dimension 128, which we call *Dixon-Rosenfeld planes*, whose isometry group arises from those Lie algebras.

These geometrical constructions might be useful for physical applications of the Dixon algebra. In the usual quantum-mechanical setup, Hermitian matrices represent physical observables, while the Jordan product over them is obtained by a plain linearization of the square product. Since the Dixon algebra $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}$ is not alternative, it is not possible to define a Jordan algebra over its Hermitian three-by-three matrices. Nevertheless, it is well known that in the case of alternative composition algebra \mathbb{A} , Hermitian matrices $H_3(\mathbb{A})$ that are rank-1 idempotent elements of the Jordan algebra, which correspond to points of the projective plane $\mathbb{A}P^2$ [McCr]. Similarly, the group $\text{Aut}(H_3(\mathbb{A}))$ corresponds to the group of isometries of the plane, i.e., $\text{Isom}(\mathbb{A}P^2)$. Therefore, the study of the isometries of a Dixon-Rosenfeld plane, i.e., the Lie algebras we present in Section 5 and the isometry groups we present in Section 6, might give for, physical purposes, a geometrical equivalent for a class of Hermitian matrices and their automorphisms, when the algebraic setup breaks down.

To fully grasp the motivation of this work, we need to expand the previous statement starting from the geometric correspondence [CMCAB] between rank-one idempotents of Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{A})$ over a Hurwitz algebras $\mathbb{A} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}\}$ and elements of the projective planes $\mathbb{A}P^2$ that was introduced by Jordan, von Neumann, and Wigner [JWV] in 1934. This correspondence was explored by Tits, Freudenthal and Rosenfeld in a series of seminal works [Ti, Fr65, Ro93, Vin66] that led to a geometric interpretation of Lie algebras and to the construction of the Tits-Freudenthal magic square, i.e.,

\mathbb{A}/\mathbb{B}	\mathbb{R}	\mathbb{C}	\mathbb{H}	\mathbb{O}
\mathbb{R}	A_1	A_2	C_3	F_4
\mathbb{C}	A_2	$A_2 \times A_2$	A_5	E_6
\mathbb{H}	C_3	A_5	D_6	E_7
\mathbb{O}	F_4	E_6	E_7	E_8

While Freudenthal interpreted the entries of the magic square as different forms of automorphisms of the projective plane such as isometries, collineations, homography etc., Rosenfeld investigated the idea that every row of the magic square is the Lie algebra of the isometry group of a (generalized) projective plane of tensorial product over Hurwitz algebras [Ro93]. In Rosenfeld's picture, F_4 is the isometry group of the *octonionic plane* $\mathbb{O}P^2$, E_6 is the isometry group of the *bioctonionic plane* $(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$, E_7 would be that of a generalised projective plane named *quateroctonionic plane* $(\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$ and E_8 would be that of the *octooctonionic plane* $(\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$ (cf. [AB, Ba, LM01] for further insights). It was soon realized that $(\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$ and $(\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$ could not be defined following the same procedure used for projective spaces, and that they could not satisfy the axioms of projective geometry. Nevertheless, Rosenfeld's approach was fruitful, since it is possible to define homogeneous spaces of the correct dimensions having isometry groups of the desired form and that are therefore dubbed as $(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$, $(\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$ and $(\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$ and named *Rosenfeld planes*. In this spirit, in Section 5, we show three different Lie algebras arising from

Tits' formula and related to the Dixon algebra. In Section 6, we define three Dixon-Rosenfeld planes as three coset manifolds with 128 real dimensions whose isometry groups are the obtained Lie algebras.

From the previous discussion, it follows that outside the purely algebraical and geometrical motivations, the goal of this work is to offer a way of studying a surrogate of the automorphism group of a Jordan algebra over three-by-three Hermitian matrices that, in the case of coefficients in the Dixon algebra $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}$, is not possible (as it is shown in Section 3). More specifically, we identified three different Lie algebras, i.e., \mathfrak{A}_1 , $\mathfrak{A}_2^{\text{enh}}$ and \mathfrak{A}_3 . These arise from Tits' formula, are related to the isometry groups of the Dixon-Rosenfeld planes, and replicate the role that \mathfrak{f}_4 , \mathfrak{e}_6 , \mathfrak{e}_7 and \mathfrak{e}_8 have in the case of the octonionic plane $\mathbb{O}P^2$ and in the octonionic Rosenfeld planes $(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$, $(\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$ and $(\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$. Relationships between \mathfrak{A}_1 , $\mathfrak{A}_2^{\text{enh}}$ and \mathfrak{A}_3 and the exceptional Lie algebras are investigated in Section 7.

2 JORDAN ALGEBRAS OVER TENSOR PRODUCTS

In this work, we make use of Tits' formula [BS03] to obtain a Lie algebra in the vector space given by

$$\mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) = \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{A}) \oplus \mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{B})) \oplus (\mathbb{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{J}_3'(\mathbb{B})), \quad (2.1)$$

where the meaning of the term $\mathbb{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{J}_3'(\mathbb{B})$ will be explained later. The formula, which is not symmetric in the entries, requires the alternativity of \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} , together with the definition of a Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{B})$ over the second term of the formula, i.e., the algebra \mathbb{B} . With $\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{B})$, we denote the Jordan algebra obtained on three-by-three Hermitian matrices $H_3(\mathbb{B})$ endowed with the *Jordan product*

$$x \cdot y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx), \quad (2.2)$$

that turns the algebra commutative but not associative. In fact, it is easy to show that in the case of \mathbb{B} being an Hurwitz algebra, then in $\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{B})$ the following properties are fulfilled,

$$x \cdot y = y \cdot x, \quad (2.3)$$

$$(x \cdot y) \cdot (x \cdot x) = x \cdot (y \cdot (x \cdot x)), \quad (2.4)$$

for every $x, y \in \mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{B})$.

The existence of an algebra $\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{B})$ depends on the properties of the algebra \mathbb{B} and the involution used to define the Hermitian matrices $H_3(\mathbb{B})$. As a general definition, an involution $x \rightarrow \bar{x}$ over the algebra \mathbb{A} is called *central* if xx^* is in the center of the algebra, i.e., elements that commutes with all the algebra, and *nuclear* if xx^* is in the nucleus of the algebra, i.e., elements that associate with all the algebra. In general, non-nuclear involutions prevent the Jordan identity from holding. In this setup, we are ready to state a theorem [McCr, Theo C.1.3] that strictly defines the necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of $\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{B})$.

Theorem 2.1. *A three-by-three matrix algebra $H_3(\mathbb{B})$ under the Jordan product $x \cdot y = \frac{1}{2}(xy + yx)$ is a Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{B})$ if and only if \mathbb{B} is alternative and matrices are Hermitian with respect to a nuclear involution.*

It is worth stating here a corollary that will be useful in Section 5.

Corollary 2.2. *Over the algebra of biquaternions $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ there exists the Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H})$ with biquaternionic coefficients on the off-diagonal and real coefficients in the diagonal, while in the case of bioctonions $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}$ the involution that gives Hermitian matrices $H_3(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})$ with real elements on the diagonal is not nuclear and therefore a $\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})$ does not exist.*

Remark 2.3. We used the notation $\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{B})$ to identify a Jordan algebra arising from three-by-three Hermitian matrices on the algebra \mathbb{B} with respect to an involution that yields to real elements on the diagonal. Therefore, the Corollary *does not state* that there are not possible involutions on bioctonions $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}$ that give rise to Jordan algebras on $H_3(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})$. Indeed, the involution $\tilde{\gamma} : x \rightarrow x^* = z_0 - \sum z_k i_k^{\mathbb{O}}$ provides a Jordan algebra over Hermitian matrices that have complex elements on the diagonal. In fact, this is the well-known Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}_3^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{O})$, which is the complexification of the exceptional Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{O})$.

Proof. On the algebra of biquaternions $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ we can define involution γ that acts on the element $x = z_0 + z_1 \mathbf{i}_1^{\mathbb{H}} + z_2 \mathbf{i}_2^{\mathbb{H}} + z_3 \mathbf{i}_3^{\mathbb{H}}$ as

$$\gamma : x \longrightarrow \tilde{x} = \bar{z}_0 - z_1 \mathbf{i}_1^{\mathbb{H}} - z_2 \mathbf{i}_2^{\mathbb{H}} - z_3 \mathbf{i}_3^{\mathbb{H}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Since the whole algebra $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ is associative, the involution is nuclear. To better understand the resulting Jordan algebra, consider the elements on the diagonal of Hermitian matrices. The symmetric part of the involution gives those elements, i.e., $(x + \tilde{x})/2$, which in our case gives elements of the form

$$\frac{1}{2} (x + \tilde{x}) = \Re(z_0), \quad (2.6)$$

and therefore γ yields a Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H})$ with biquaternionic coefficients on the off-diagonal and real coefficients on the diagonal. For the second part of the corollary, let us consider the complex decomposition of a bioctonion

$$x = z_0 + \sum_{k=1}^7 z_k \mathbf{i}_k^{\mathbb{O}}, \quad (2.7)$$

and let us define the involution that leads to real elements on the diagonal of a Hermitian matrix, i.e.,

$$\gamma : x \longrightarrow \tilde{x} = \bar{z}_0 - \sum_{k=1}^7 z_k \mathbf{i}_k^{\mathbb{O}}. \quad (2.8)$$

Then, consider the product

$$x\tilde{x} = \|z_0\| + (\bar{z}_0 - z_0)\alpha + \alpha^2, \quad (2.9)$$

where $\alpha = x - z_0 \in \mathbb{O}$. It is easy to see the elements $x\tilde{x}$ are octonions that do not belong to the nucleus of \mathbb{O} ; therefore, the involution is not nuclear. \square

Corollary 2.4. *In the case of the Dixon algebra $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}$, the involution that gives Hermitian matrices $H_3(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O})$ with real elements on the diagonal is not nuclear and therefore $\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O})$ does not exist.*

3 THE DIXON ALGEBRA

The *Dixon Algebra* \mathbb{T} is defined as the \mathbb{R} -linear tensor product of the four normed division algebras, i.e., $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}$ or equivalently $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}$ with linear product defined by

$$(z \otimes q \otimes w)(z' \otimes q' \otimes w') = zz' \otimes qq' \otimes ww', \quad (3.1)$$

with $z, z' \in \mathbb{C}$, $q, q' \in \mathbb{H}$ and $w, w' \in \mathbb{O}$. From the previous formula, it is evident that \mathbb{T} is unital with unit element $\mathbf{1} = 1 \otimes 1 \otimes 1$.

It is often easier to work with the \mathbb{R}^{64} decomposition of the algebra, where every element t is of the form

$$t = \sum_{\substack{\alpha=0,1 \\ \beta=0,\dots,3 \\ \gamma=0,\dots,7}} t^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \mathbf{i}_\alpha^{\mathbb{C}} \mathbf{i}_\beta^{\mathbb{H}} \mathbf{i}_\gamma^{\mathbb{O}}, \quad (3.2)$$

where $t^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma} \in \mathbb{R}$, $\mathbf{i}_\alpha^{\mathbb{C}}$, $\mathbf{i}_\beta^{\mathbb{H}}$ and $\mathbf{i}_\gamma^{\mathbb{O}}$ are a basis for \mathbb{C} , \mathbb{H} , \mathbb{O} with

$$\mathbf{i}_0^{\mathbb{C}} = \mathbf{i}_0^{\mathbb{H}} = \mathbf{i}_0^{\mathbb{O}} = 1, \quad (3.3)$$

$$(\mathbf{i}_\alpha^{\mathbb{C}})^2 = (\mathbf{i}_\alpha^{\mathbb{H}})^2 = (\mathbf{i}_\alpha^{\mathbb{O}})^2 = -1, \quad (3.4)$$

$$[\mathbf{i}_\alpha^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbf{i}_\beta^{\mathbb{H}}] = [\mathbf{i}_\beta^{\mathbb{H}}, \mathbf{i}_\gamma^{\mathbb{O}}] = [\mathbf{i}_\alpha^{\mathbb{C}}, \mathbf{i}_\gamma^{\mathbb{O}}] = 0, \quad (3.5)$$

$$\{\mathbf{i}_\alpha^{\mathbb{H}}, \mathbf{i}_\beta^{\mathbb{H}}\} = \{\mathbf{i}_\gamma^{\mathbb{O}}, \mathbf{i}_\delta^{\mathbb{O}}\} = 0, \quad (3.6)$$




Figure 3.1: Multiplication rule of Octonions \mathbb{O} (right), Quaternions \mathbb{H} (middle) and Complex \mathbb{C} (left).

and other rules of multiplication are given in Fig. 3.1, when $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \neq 0$. It is straightforward to see that every element in

$$D = \{ (i_\alpha^{\mathbb{C}} i_\beta^{\mathbb{H}} \pm 1), (i_\alpha^{\mathbb{H}} i_\beta^{\mathbb{O}} \pm 1), (i_\alpha^{\mathbb{C}} i_\beta^{\mathbb{O}} \pm 1); \alpha, \beta \neq 0 \} \quad (3.7)$$

is a *zero divisor* and therefore \mathbb{T} is not a division algebra. Moreover, the Dixon algebra is a non-associative, non-alternative, non-flexible, and non-power-associative algebra.

Nevertheless, \mathbb{T} can be equipped with a *norm* N from \mathbb{T} in \mathbb{R} given by

$$N(t) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha=0,1 \\ \beta=0,\dots,3 \\ \gamma=0,\dots,7}} (t^{\alpha,\beta,\gamma})^2, \quad (3.8)$$

where t is an element of the algebra with decomposition given in (3.2). Along with the norm we have its associated *polar form* $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ given by the symmetric bilinear form

$$2 \langle t_1, t_2 \rangle = N(t_1 + t_2) - N(t_1) - N(t_2). \quad (3.9)$$

Finally, one might consider the conjugation on \mathbb{T} given by $\bar{t} = 2 \langle t, \mathbf{1} \rangle \mathbf{1} - t$, even though it will not be necessary for our purposes.

4 THREE LIE ALGEBRAS

In this section, we define three Lie algebras, i.e., \mathfrak{A}_1 , \mathfrak{A}_2 and \mathfrak{A}_3 , that correspond to the isometry groups needed for the definition of the three Dixon-Rosenfeld planes. The process used to construct these algebras is well known and is linked to Tits-Freudenthal's magic square [Fr65, Ti], which is an array of Lie algebras usually obtained from two Hurwitz algebras, but that can be extended to composition algebras and even to alternative algebras [BS03, CCM].

A few remarks on magic squares

An entry of the Tits-Freudenthal's magic square $\mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$ is a Lie algebra that can be realized from three different recipes based on two algebras \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} . We will briefly present them here without the explicit definition of the Lie brackets found in [BS03]. These three magic square formulas are not the only known formulas of this kind. It is worth mentioning the general formula of Santander-Herranz based on Hurwitz algebras [SH], the Atsuyama construction [Ats] for any composition algebra, and the Elduque magic square designed for symmetric composition algebras, i.e., composition algebras that are flexible instead of unital and alternative [El04, El02].

A general requirement for all the formulas, except Tits' formula, is that the two algebras \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} are composition algebras. In this case, a symmetric formula on the two algebras, i.e., $\mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) = \mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{A})$, was obtained by Vinberg [Vin66]

$$\mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) = \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{A}) \oplus \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{B}) \oplus \mathfrak{sa}_3(\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}), \quad (4.1)$$

involving only the derivation algebras of \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} together with the three-by-three antisymmetric matrices over $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}$. More recently, Barton and Sudbury [BS03] utilized the triality algebras $\mathfrak{tri}(\mathbb{A})$ and $\mathfrak{tri}(\mathbb{B})$ together with three copies of the tensor product $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) = \mathfrak{tri}(\mathbb{A}) \oplus \mathfrak{tri}(\mathbb{B}) \oplus 3(\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}). \quad (4.2)$$

While the previous formulas require \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} to be composition algebras, Tits' formula [Ti] is more general because it only requires \mathbb{A} to be alternative and $\mathfrak{J}_n(\mathbb{B})$ to be a Jordan algebra,

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) = \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{A}) \oplus \mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{J}_n(\mathbb{B})) \oplus (\mathbb{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{J}'_n(\mathbb{B})), \quad (4.3)$$

where the meaning of the tensor product $\mathbb{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{J}'_n(\mathbb{B})$ will be explained soon.

Tits' construction is not manifestly symmetric. Since it was the first formula discovered, its symmetry in the case of $n = 2, 3$ when \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} are Hurwitz algebras appeared to be “magic”, resulting in the so-called “magic square”. Nevertheless, the symmetry is not always ensured, since $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{O}$ and $\mathbb{B} \in \{\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}\}$ with $n > 3$ give

$$\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{O}, \mathbb{B}) = \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{O}) \oplus \mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{J}_n(\mathbb{B})) \oplus (\mathbb{O}' \otimes \mathfrak{J}'_n(\mathbb{B})). \quad (4.4)$$

This is a perfectly reasonable construction, since both \mathbb{O} and \mathbb{B} are alternative algebras and the Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}_n(\mathbb{B})$ is well defined for every $n > 3$. Alternatively, there are no Jordan algebras $\mathfrak{J}_n(\mathbb{O})$ over the octonions with $n > 3$. In this case, $\mathcal{L}_n(\mathbb{B}, \mathbb{O})$ cannot even be defined.

Three Lie algebras for the isometries of Dixon-Rosenfeld planes

Given the Dixon algebra, we are interested in extending Rosenfeld's approach [Ro98, Ro97], where the Lie algebra from Tits' formula was considered as the Lie algebra of the isometry group of a projective plane over the tensor product of the two algebras. This characterization of the projective plane $(\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B})P^2$ as a symmetric space from an isometry group whose algebra was given by the entry of the magic square $\mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) \cong \mathfrak{isom}((\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B})P^2), \quad (4.5)$$

was already well known by Cartan in the non-octonionic case [Car]. Rosenfeld postulated the existence of a projective plane $(\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B})P^2$ for the octonionic case, which was proved to be incorrect in a strict projective sense, but true in a “wider sense” as rigorously investigated by Atsuyama [Ats2, AB]. In this wider sense, the approach was reversed. Instead of defining a projective plane and then studying its isometry group, the projective plane was directly defined as a homogeneous space starting from a specific isometry group and only then its projective properties were studied [CMCAa2, CMCAa].

This is the line of thought we will adopt in defining three Dixon-Rosenfeld planes. Therefore, we will write the Dixon algebra $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}$ as a tensor product of the form $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{B}$ to obtain the Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B})$ of the isometry group. Since the only unital composition algebras are $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{C}_s, \mathbb{H}_s, \mathbb{O}_s$ (cf. [El18]) and since all other formulas make essential use of the algebra being composition, this leaves Tits' formula as the only formula suitable for our purposes, since it's the only one that only requires alternativity on both \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} . Moreover, since $\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}$ is not alternative, the possible candidates for $\mathfrak{isom}(\mathbb{T}P^2)$ can *a priori* only be related with the following four different \mathbb{A} and \mathbb{B} , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} I : \quad (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) &= (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}), \\ II : \quad (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) &= (\mathbb{O}, \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H}), \\ III : \quad (\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) &= (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{H}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

A fourth case $(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) = (\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})$ requires the existence of a Jordan algebra $\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})$, which is not possible (see Corollary 2.2).¹ Therefore, we are left with only three different possibilities,

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}), \quad (4.7)$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{O}, \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H}), \quad (4.8)$$

$$\mathfrak{A}_3 = \mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{H}). \quad (4.9)$$

¹We intentionally exclude the cases where the involution on Hermitian matrices doesn't involve the whole algebra, i.e., the case that would involve $\mathfrak{J}_3^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{O})$ and $\mathfrak{J}_3^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{H})$ for which the analogy with Rosenfeld construction does not hold.

To define these algebras, we follow [BS03, Sec. 3] in notation. Given an algebra \mathbb{A} , we define

$$X' = X - \frac{1}{n} \text{Tr}(X) \mathbf{1}, \quad (4.10)$$

as the projection of an element of the algebra in the subspace orthogonal to the identity denoted as $\mathbf{1}$. We then define $\mathfrak{J}'_3(\mathbb{B})$ as the algebra obtained by such elements with the product \bullet given by the projection back on the subspace orthogonal to the identity of the Jordan product, i.e.,

$$X' \bullet Y' = X' \cdot Y' - \frac{4}{3} \langle X', Y' \rangle \mathbf{1}, \quad (4.11)$$

where, as usual we intended $X \cdot Y = XY + YX$ and $\langle X, Y \rangle = 1/2 \text{Tr}(X \cdot Y)$ for every $X, Y \in \mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{B})$. With the previous notation, Tits' formula defines a Lie algebra structure on the vector space

$$\mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}) = \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{A}) \oplus \mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{B})) \oplus (\mathbb{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{J}'_3(\mathbb{B})), \quad (4.12)$$

endowed with the following brackets:

1. The usual brackets on the Lie subalgebra $\mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{A}) \oplus \mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{B}))$.
2. When $a \in \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{A}) \oplus \mathfrak{der}(\mathfrak{J}_3(\mathbb{B}))$ and $A \in \mathbb{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{J}'_3(\mathbb{B})$ then

$$[a, A] = a(A). \quad (4.13)$$

3. When $a \otimes A, b \otimes B \in \mathbb{A}' \otimes \mathfrak{J}'_3(\mathbb{B})$ then

$$[a \otimes A, b \otimes B] = \frac{1}{3} \langle A, B \rangle D_{a,b} - \langle a, b \rangle [L_A, L_B] + \frac{1}{2} [a, b] \otimes (A \bullet B), \quad (4.14)$$

where L_x and R_x are the left and right actions on the algebra and $D_{x,y}$ is given by

$$D_{x,y} = [L_x, L_y] + [L_x, R_y] + [R_x, R_y]. \quad (4.15)$$

Applying the previous formula to the allowed cases in (4.6) gives the following:

I: For $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{O}$, the vector space is given by

$$\mathfrak{A}_1 = \mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}) = \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus ((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H})' \otimes \mathfrak{J}'_3(\mathbb{O})), \quad (4.16)$$

where $(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H})' \otimes \mathfrak{J}'_3(\mathbb{O})$ corresponds to the representation $(\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{26})$ of $\mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_4$. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{A}_1 has therefore real dimension $237 = 3 + 52 + 7 \times 26$.

II: For $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{O}$ and $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H}$, we have the real vector space

$$\mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{O}, \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H}) = \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbb{O}' \otimes \mathfrak{J}'_3(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H})), \quad (4.17)$$

where $\mathbb{O}' \otimes \mathfrak{J}'_3(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H})$ gives the representation $(7, 3 \cdot \mathbf{7} + 5 \cdot \mathbf{1})$ of $\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2$. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{A}_2 has real dimension $199 = 14 + 3 + 7 \times 26$.

III: For $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}$ and $\mathbb{B} = \mathbb{H}$, Tits' construction gives the real vector space

$$\mathfrak{A}_3 = \mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{H}) = \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_6 \oplus ((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})' \otimes \mathfrak{J}'_3(\mathbb{H})), \quad (4.18)$$

where $(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})' \otimes \mathfrak{J}'_3(\mathbb{H})$ is the $(2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{14})$ representation of $\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_6$. The Lie algebra \mathfrak{A}_3 has dimension $245 = 14 + 21 + 15 \times 14$.

5 THREE DIXON-ROSENFELD PLANES

In this section, we define three *coset manifolds* of real dimension 128 whose isometry groups are the Lie algebras \mathfrak{A}_1 , \mathfrak{A}_2 , and \mathfrak{A}_3 . In the framework of coset manifolds, the Lie algebra of the isometry group $\mathfrak{Lie}(G)$, the isometry group itself G , and the isotropy group H are the only objects required to specify a coset manifold and its metric properties. The definitions of the Dixon-Rosenfeld planes given here are self-contained and include both geometrical and metrical properties.

A few remarks on coset manifolds

It is well known from (pseudo-)Riemannian geometry that the condition for a diffeomorphism ϕ to be an isometry of a Riemannian manifold (\mathcal{M}, g) is the condition for its components $\phi^\mu(x) \simeq x^\mu + k^\mu(x)$ to solve the equation

$$\nabla_\mu k_\nu + \nabla_\nu k_\mu = 0. \quad (5.1)$$

Hence, the vector $k = (k^1, \dots, k^n)$ must be a *Killing vector* for the metric g . It is also well-known that Killing vector fields form a finite dimensional Lie algebra $\mathfrak{isom}(\mathcal{M}, g)$ which is, in fact, the Lie algebra of the manifold's isometry group. Reversing the argument, we are now interested in constructing (pseudo-)Riemannian manifolds that have a prescribed isometry algebra.

Coset manifolds arise from coset spaces over a Lie group G given by an equivalence relation of the type

$$g \sim g' \iff gh = g', \quad (5.2)$$

where $g, g' \in G$, $h \in H$, and H is a subgroup of G . In this case, the coset space G/H , obtained from the equivalence classes gH , inherits a manifold structure from G and is therefore a manifold of dimension

$$\dim(G/H) = \dim(G) - \dim(H). \quad (5.3)$$

Moreover, G/H can be endowed with invariant metrics such that all elements of the original group G are isometries of the constructed metric [FF17]. More specifically, the generators of the infinitesimal isometries are the Killing vector fields as desired. The structure constants of the Lie algebra of G , i.e., $\mathfrak{Lie}(G)$, completely define the metric and therefore all the metric-dependent tensors, such as the curvature tensor, the Ricci tensor, etc. Finally, by construction, the coset space G/H is a homogeneous manifold, i.e., the group G acts transitively, and its *isotropy subgroup* is precisely H , i.e., the group H is such that for any given point p in the manifold, $hp = p$.

The Dixon-Rosenfeld planes

Given the Lie algebras \mathfrak{A}_1 , \mathfrak{A}_2 , and \mathfrak{A}_3 obtained from Tits' formula in (4.16), (4.17) and (4.18) we are allowed to define three different coset spaces having the previous as Lie algebras of the isometry group. More specifically, we will use \mathfrak{A}_1 and \mathfrak{A}_3 as $\mathfrak{isom}(\mathbb{TP}_I^2)$ and $\mathfrak{isom}(\mathbb{TP}_{III}^2)$ respectively, while the algebra \mathfrak{A}_2 is not suitable to be used directly as $\mathfrak{isom}(\mathbb{TP}_{II}^2)$ and will need an enhancement $\mathfrak{A}_2^{\text{enh}}$. Having defined the isometry algebras, knowing that every Dixon-Rosenfeld plane is a 128-dimensional plane, i.e., $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{TP}^2 = 2\dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{T} = 128$, we will find three suitable isotropy algebras and, therefore, three suitable isotropy groups to define the three coset manifolds of the desired dimension. The three Dixon-Rosenfeld planes will then be realized as homogeneous spaces, whose coset algebra $\mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{TP}^2)$ is the complementary of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{isot}(\mathbb{TP}^2)$ within the larger Lie algebra $\mathfrak{isom}(\mathbb{TP}^2)$ in the Cartan decomposition

$$\mathfrak{isom}(\mathbb{TP}^2) = \mathfrak{isot}(\mathbb{TP}^2) \oplus \mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{TP}^2). \quad (5.4)$$

The Lie algebra of the coset space, namely $\mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{TP}^2)$, carries a generally reducible module of $\mathfrak{isot}(\mathbb{TP}^2)$, which provides a projective representation of $\mathbb{T} \oplus \mathbb{T} \simeq \mathbb{T}^{\oplus 2}$ itself, and determines the tangent space $T(\mathbb{TP}^2)$ of the plane \mathbb{TP}^2 under consideration (see below). Finally, it is worth noting that in general, the follow holds

$$[\mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{TP}^2), \mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{TP}^2)] \subset \mathfrak{isom}(\mathbb{TP}^2), \quad (5.5)$$

while the condition $[\mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{TP}^2), \mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{TP}^2)] \subset \mathfrak{isot}(\mathbb{TP}^2)$ is satisfied only when \mathbb{TP}^2 is a *symmetric* coset, which we will show is not the case.

The first Dixon-Rosenfeld plane

We now define the first Dixon-Rosenfeld plane $\mathbb{T}P_I^2$, starting from the Lie algebra of its isometry group \mathfrak{A}_1 , which in this case is $\mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O})$. Applying Tits' formula, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) &:= \mathfrak{A}_1 \\ &= \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{26}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

thus giving the projective representation of $\mathbb{T}^{\oplus 2}$ given by

$$T(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) \simeq 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \text{ of } \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \quad (5.7)$$

where $\mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ is found in Tits' formula from $\mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H}) \oplus \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{O})$. By iterated branchings of $\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_I^1)$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{26}) &= \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_9 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{9} + \mathbf{16}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{16}) \\ &= \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_8 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{8}_v + \mathbf{8}_s + \mathbf{8}_c) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{8}_v + \mathbf{8}_s + \mathbf{8}_c) \\ &= \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{8}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{8} + \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \\ &= \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{5} \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{7}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5} \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{1}), \end{aligned}$$

and, since $\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) \simeq \text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) \oplus T(\mathbb{T}P_I^2)$, the following isotropy algebra is found,

$$\text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) \simeq \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{7}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}). \quad (5.8)$$

From the previous considerations, we obtain a realization of the first Dixon projective plane $\mathbb{T}P_I^2$ as the homogeneous space given by

$$\mathbb{T}P_I^2 := \frac{SU_2 \times F_4 \ltimes (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{26})}{SU_2 \times G_2 \ltimes ((\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{7}) \times (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}))}. \quad (5.9)$$

A simple dimensional check shows that the plane has the desired dimension, twice that of the Dixon algebra, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \dim(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) &= 3 + 52 + 182 - 3 - 14 - 70 - 22 \\ &= 128 = 2\dim\mathbb{T}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.10)$$

Finally, it is worth noting that the coset (5.9) is non-symmetric since

$$[\mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2), \mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2)] \simeq 4 \cdot (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \otimes_a (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \not\subseteq \text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2). \quad (5.11)$$

The second Dixon-Rosenfeld plane

We now proceed with identifying the isometry algebra of the second Dixon-Rosenfeld plane, i.e., $\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2)$. Our starting point is given by $\mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{O}, \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H})$. In this case, the projective representation of $\mathbb{T}^{\oplus 2}$ is still given by (5.7), i.e.,

$$T(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) \simeq 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \text{ of } \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2, \quad (5.12)$$

and the use of Tits' formula gives

$$\mathfrak{A}_2 = \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{5} \cdot \mathbf{1}). \quad (5.13)$$

Unfortunately, considering (5.7) and (5.12), we notice that

$$\mathbb{T}^{\oplus 2} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{5} \cdot \mathbf{1}), \quad (5.14)$$

because the \mathfrak{g}_2 -singlets of (5.7) are missing in the r.h.s. of (5.14). Thus, if we want to define a Dixon-Rosenfeld plane starting from the Lie algebra $\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^1)$ determined by Tits' formula, we must necessarily add *at least* by a set of generators sitting in the $2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{2} \cdot \mathbf{1}$ of \mathfrak{su}_2 , such that the “minimal”

enhancement of \mathfrak{A}_2 , i.e., $\mathfrak{A}_2^{\text{enh}}$, is suitable to be candidate of the isometry algebra of a Dixon-Rosenfeld plane $\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2)$. We thus have

$$\begin{aligned}\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) &:= \mathfrak{A}_2^{\text{enh}} \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, 3 \cdot \mathbf{7} + 5 \cdot \mathbf{1}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1})\end{aligned}\quad (5.15)$$

$$\simeq \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{7} + 3 \cdot \mathbf{1}) \oplus \mathbb{T}^{\oplus 2}.\quad (5.16)$$

Consequently, from (5.4), (5.12) and the “minimally” enhanced Lie algebra $\mathfrak{A}_2^{\text{enh}}$ in (5.16), we have the isotropy algebra and the coset algebra given by

$$\text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) \simeq \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{7} + 3 \cdot \mathbf{1}),\quad (5.17)$$

$$\mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) \simeq T(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2).\quad (5.18)$$

Therefore, one obtains the following characterization of the Dixon projective plane $\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2$ as homogeneous space

$$\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2 \simeq \frac{G_2 \times SU_2 \ltimes ((\mathbf{7}, 3 \cdot \mathbf{7} + 5 \cdot \mathbf{1}) \times 2 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}))}{G_2 \times SU_2 \ltimes (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{7} + 3 \cdot \mathbf{1})}.\quad (5.19)$$

The dimensional count gives back the desired dimension

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \mathbb{T}P_{II}^2 &= 14 + 3 + 7 \cdot 26 + 16 - 14 - 3 - 70 \\ &= 128 = 2 \dim \mathbb{T},\end{aligned}\quad (5.20)$$

and, once again, the coset (5.19) is not symmetric, since

$$[\mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^1), \mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^1)] \simeq (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \otimes_a (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \not\subseteq \text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^1).\quad (5.21)$$

The third Dixon-Rosenfeld plane

Finally, we proceed with defining the isometry algebra of the third Dixon-Rosenfeld plane, i.e., $\text{iso}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2) \cong \mathfrak{A}_3 = \mathcal{L}_3(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}, \mathbb{H})$. Here, the Dixon algebra \mathbb{T} is again a $\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2$ -module, but a different one with respect to the cases I and II, namely

$$\mathbb{T}^{\oplus 2} \simeq T(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2) \simeq 4 \cdot (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{1}) \text{ of } \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2,\quad (5.22)$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2$ is found from $\mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}) \oplus \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{H})$. In this case, the isometry algebra is given directly by the \mathfrak{A}_3 algebra resulting from Tits' formula, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2) &:= \mathfrak{A}_3 \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_6 \oplus (2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{14}),\end{aligned}\quad (5.23)$$

where $\mathbf{14} \equiv \wedge_0^2 \mathbf{6}$ of \mathfrak{sp}_6 . Therefore, by iterated branchings of $\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2)$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_6 \oplus (2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{14}) &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_{2,I} \oplus \mathfrak{su}_{2,II} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{5}) \oplus (2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5}) \oplus (2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_{2,I} \oplus (\mathbf{1}, 3 \cdot \mathbf{1} + 5 \cdot \mathbf{3}) \oplus (2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, 5 \cdot \mathbf{1} + 3 \cdot \mathbf{3}) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, 4 \cdot \mathbf{1} + 2 \cdot \mathbf{3}) \oplus 2 \cdot ((\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})) \oplus \mathbb{T}^{\oplus 2}\end{aligned}$$

where the isotropy algebra is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2) &:= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, 4 \cdot \mathbf{1} + 2 \cdot \mathbf{3}) \\ &\quad \oplus 2 \cdot ((\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})).\end{aligned}\quad (5.24)$$

Consequently, the Dixon projective plane $\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2$ is realized as the homogeneous space:

$$\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2 \simeq \frac{G_2 \times Sp_6 \ltimes (2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{14})}{G_2 \times SU_2 \ltimes ((\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, 4 \cdot \mathbf{1} + 2 \cdot \mathbf{3}) \times 2 \cdot ((\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})))},\quad (5.25)$$

Plane	Covariance	Realization of $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}$
$\mathbb{T}P_I^2$	$\mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H}) \oplus \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{O})$, i.e., $\mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$	$(\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1})$
$\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2$	$\mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{O}) \oplus \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H})$, i.e., $\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2$	$(\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1})$
$\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2$	$\mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}) \oplus \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{H})$, i.e., $\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2$	$2 \cdot (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{1})$

Table 1: Realization of the Dixon algebra $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}$ with respect to the maximal manifest covariance of the isometry group in the three different Dixon-Rosenfeld planes.

Plane	Isometry Group	Dim	Isotropy Group	Dim
$\mathbb{T}P_I^2$	$(SU_2 \times F_4) \ltimes (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{26})$	237	$(SU_2 \times G_2) \ltimes ((\mathbf{7}, 3 \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{7}) \times (\mathbf{1}, 3 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}))$	109
$\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2$	$(G_2 \times SU_2) \ltimes ((\mathbf{7}, 3 \cdot \mathbf{7} + 5 \cdot \mathbf{1}) \times 2 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}))$	215	$(G_2 \times SU_2) \ltimes (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{7} + 3 \cdot \mathbf{1})$	87
$\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2$	$(G_2 \times Sp_6) \ltimes (2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{14})$	245	$(G_2 \times SU_2) \ltimes ((\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, 4 \cdot \mathbf{1} + 2 \cdot \mathbf{3}) \times 2 \cdot ((\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})))$	117

Table 2: The three Dixon-Rosenfeld plane with isometry group and isotropy group with their respective dimensions.

with the desired dimension count

$$\begin{aligned} \dim \mathbb{T}P_{III}^1 &= 14 + 21 + 210 - 14 - 3 - 80 - 20 \\ &= 128 = 2 \dim \mathbb{T}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

Here again, the coset (5.25) is not symmetric, since

$$[\mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2), \mathfrak{c}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2)] \simeq 16 \cdot (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{1}) \otimes_a (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{1}) \not\subseteq \mathfrak{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2). \quad (5.27)$$

Relations between Dixon-Rosenfeld planes

We have defined three Dixon-Rosenfeld planes as the coset manifolds

$$\mathbb{T}P_I^2 \simeq \frac{SU_2 \times F_4 \ltimes (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{26})}{SU_2 \times G_2 \ltimes ((\mathbf{7}, 3 \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{7}) \times (\mathbf{1}, 3 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}))}, \quad (5.28)$$

$$\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2 \simeq \frac{G_2 \times SU_2 \ltimes ((\mathbf{7}, 3 \cdot \mathbf{7} + 5 \cdot \mathbf{1}) \times 2 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}))}{G_2 \times SU_2 \ltimes (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{7} + 3 \cdot \mathbf{1})}, \quad (5.29)$$

$$\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2 \simeq \frac{G_2 \times Sp_6 \ltimes (2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{14})}{G_2 \times SU_2 \ltimes ((\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, 4 \cdot \mathbf{1} + 2 \cdot \mathbf{3}) \times 2 \cdot ((\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})))}. \quad (5.30)$$

Since our main interest for physical applications is on the isometry algebra of the planes, we will now briefly analyze the mutual relationships between these. By comparing the isometry algebra of the first plane, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{26}, \mathbf{7}) \\ &= \mathfrak{so}_9 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{16} + \mathbf{9} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7}) \oplus (\mathbf{16}, \mathbf{1}) \end{aligned} \quad (5.31)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathfrak{so}_8 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{8}_v + \mathbf{8}_s + \mathbf{8}_c + 2 \cdot \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7}) \oplus (\mathbf{8}_v + \mathbf{8}_s + \mathbf{8}_c, \mathbf{1}) \\ &= \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7} + 2 \cdot \mathbf{8} + 3 \cdot \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7}) \oplus (2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1} + 2 \cdot \mathbf{8}, \mathbf{1}) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus 3 \cdot (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{7}) \oplus 5 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7}) \oplus 5 \cdot (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 3 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}), \end{aligned} \quad (5.32)$$

with the one of the second plane, i.e., $\mathfrak{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2)$ given by $\mathfrak{A}_2^{\text{enh}}$ in (5.16), it follows that $\mathfrak{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) \subsetneq \mathfrak{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2)$. On the other hand, by comparing (5.8) and (5.17), the same relation holds between the isotropy algebras, i.e., $\mathfrak{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) \subsetneq \mathfrak{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2)$.

As for the relations between the first and the third plane, i.e., $\mathbb{T}P_I^2$ and $\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2$, we have

$$\mathbf{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) \simeq \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{26}, \mathbf{7}) \quad (5.33)$$

$$= \mathfrak{sp}_6 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \quad (5.34)$$

$$\oplus (\mathbf{14}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7}) \oplus (\mathbf{6}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{7}) \oplus (\mathbf{14}', \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}), \quad (5.35)$$

where we intended $\mathbf{14}' \equiv \wedge_0^3 \mathbf{6}$ of \mathfrak{sp}_6 . Therefore, from (5.23), we have

$$\mathbf{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^1) \simeq \mathfrak{sp}_6 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{14}, \mathbf{7}) \oplus (\mathbf{14}, \mathbf{1}). \quad (5.36)$$

We immediately notice that the isometry algebra $\mathbf{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2)$ is not contained within and cannot contain $\mathbf{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2)$. On the other hand, it follows from (5.8) and (5.24), that the same happens for the isotropy algebras $\mathbf{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2)$ and $\mathbf{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2)$.

By virtue of (5.16), (5.17), (5.23) and (5.24), one obtains that the same results hold in the case of $\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2$ such that the isometry algebra $\mathbf{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2)$ is not contained within and cannot contain $\mathbf{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2)$.

6 RELATIONS WITH THE ROSENFELD PLANES

It is interesting to point out the relations between the isometry algebras of the Dixon-Rosenfeld planes and those of the octonionic Rosenfeld planes, the exceptional Lie algebras \mathfrak{f}_4 , \mathfrak{e}_6 , \mathfrak{e}_7 and \mathfrak{e}_8 . The definitions of the octonionic Rosenfeld planes from a historical perspective are found in [Ro97, Ro98], with a more rigorous approach found in [LM01]. In another paper, we presented an alternative definition of all the possible Rosenfeld planes using a magic square approach [CMCAa2]. Let us recall here the homogeneous space realizations of the Rosenfeld planes over $\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{O}$, with² $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$ (see [Ro98, Ro97] and [CMCAa2, CMCAb, CMCAa]), i.e., for the *octonionic projective plane* $(\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2$, the *bioctonionic Rosenfeld plane* $(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2$, the *quateroctonionic Rosenfeld plane* $(\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2$ and, finally, for the *octooctonionic Rosenfeld plane* $(\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2 &= \frac{F_4}{SO_9}, \\ (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2 &= \frac{E_6}{SO_{10} \times U_1}, \\ (\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2 &= \frac{E_7}{SO_{12} \times SU_2}, \\ (\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2 &= \frac{E_8}{SO_{16}}. \end{aligned}$$

From such realizations, it follows that³ the tangent space $T(\mathbb{O}P^2)$ can be identified with the $\mathbf{16}$ representation of \mathfrak{so}_9 , and since it is also equivalent to $2 \cdot \mathbf{8}$ of \mathfrak{so}_7 , we then have

$$T(\mathbb{O}P^2) \simeq 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \text{ of } \mathfrak{g}_2. \quad (6.1)$$

Similarly, in the *bioctonionic* case, we have that $T((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2)$ can be identified with the $\mathbf{16}_+ \oplus \overline{\mathbf{16}}_-$ representation of $\mathfrak{so}_{10} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1$, which can be thought of as $4 \cdot \mathbf{8}$ of \mathfrak{so}_7 and therefore,

$$T((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) \simeq 4 \cdot (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \text{ of } \mathfrak{g}_2. \quad (6.2)$$

For the *quateroctonionic* Rosenfeld plane, the identification of $T((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2)$ with the $(\mathbf{32}, \mathbf{2})$ of $\mathfrak{so}_{12} \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2$ gives

$$T((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) \simeq 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{1}) \text{ of } \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2. \quad (6.3)$$

²Note that $\mathbf{isot}((\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) \simeq \mathbf{isom}((\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^1)$ (including in the latter the global algebras $\mathcal{A}_q \simeq \mathfrak{tri}(\mathbb{A}) \oplus \mathfrak{soi}(\mathbb{A}) = \emptyset, \mathfrak{u}_1, \mathfrak{su}_2, \emptyset$ for $q = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{A} = 1, 2, 4, 8$ - corresponding to $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{H}, \mathbb{O}$ -, respectively).

³The representations of the tangent spaces are up to *spinor polarizations* [Min].

Finally, in the *octooctonic* case, the identification of $T((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2)$ with the **128** of \mathfrak{so}_{16} can be thought of as $2 \cdot (\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{8})$ of $\mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_7$, therefore giving

$$T((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2) \simeq 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \text{ of } \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2.$$

Considering that $\mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{O}) = \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})$, $\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 = \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O})$, and $\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 = \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})$, the previous relations illustrate how the tangent spaces of octonionic projective planes generally carry an enhancement of the symmetry with respect to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{A} \otimes \mathbb{O}) \simeq \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{A}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$. Furthermore, from the previous characterization, we also have

$$\dim \mathbb{O}P^2 = 16, \quad (6.4)$$

$$\dim (\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2 = 32, \quad (6.5)$$

$$\dim (\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2 = 64, \quad (6.6)$$

$$\dim (\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2 = 128. \quad (6.7)$$

We now have all the ingredients to see the relationships between Dixon-Rosenfeld planes and the octonionic Rosenfeld planes. Of course,

$$\dim (\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2 = \dim (\mathbb{T}P_I^2) = \dim (\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) = \dim (\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2) = 128, \quad (6.8)$$

but the relations among such projective spaces are generally not trivial, as resulting from the discussion below.

Relations with $\mathbb{T}P_I^2$

By recalling (5.7), we report here the $(\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2)$ -covariant representation of two copies of the tensor algebra

$$(\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})^{\oplus 2} \simeq 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \text{ of } \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2, \quad (6.9)$$

where $\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2$ is $\mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{O}) \oplus \mathfrak{der}(\mathbb{O})$. Again, one observes that, when restricting $\mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}_{2,P}$ (where the subscript “ P ” denotes the *principal* \mathfrak{su}_2 [Ko]), the irrepr. $\mathbf{7}$ of \mathfrak{g}_2 remains irreducible into the spin-3 irrepr. $\mathbf{7}$ of \mathfrak{su}_2 , and therefore, the passage from the octooctonions to the Dixon algebra is characterized by

$$\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O} \simeq \underbrace{(\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1})}_{\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{g}_2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{su}_{2,P}} \underbrace{(\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1})}_{\mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2} \simeq \mathbb{T}. \quad (6.10)$$

On the other hand, since the isometry algebra of the octooctonionic Rosenfeld plane $(\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{isom}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{e}_8 \\ &= \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{26}, \mathbf{7}) \\ &= \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_{2,P} \oplus (\mathbf{26}, \mathbf{7}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{11}) \\ &\simeq \text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{11}), \end{aligned} \quad (6.11)$$

and the isotropy algebra

$$\begin{aligned} \text{isot}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{so}_{16} \\ &= \mathfrak{so}_8 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_8 \oplus (\mathbf{8}_v, \mathbf{8}_v) \\ &= \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7}) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7}) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{11}) \\ &\simeq \text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{11}), \end{aligned} \quad (6.12)$$

it holds that

$$\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) \subsetneq \text{isom}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2), \quad (6.13)$$

$$\text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) \subsetneq \text{isot}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2). \quad (6.14)$$

Isometry Algebra of the Plane	Relations with Isometry Algebra of the Rosenfeld Planes
$\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2)$	$\text{isom}(\mathbb{O}P^2) \subset \text{isom}((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2) \subset \text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) \subset \text{isom}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2)$
$\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2)$	$\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) \subset \text{isom}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2)$
$\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2)$	$\text{isom}(\mathbb{O}P^2) \subset \text{isom}((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2) \subset \text{isom}((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2) \subset \text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2)$

Isotropy Algebra of the Plane	Relations with Isotropy Algebra of the Rosenfeld Planes
$\text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2)$	$\text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) \subset \text{isot}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2)$
$\text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2)$	$\text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) \subset \text{isot}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2)$
$\text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2)$	$\text{isot}(\mathbb{O}P^2) \subset \text{isot}((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2) \subset \text{isot}((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2) \subset \text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2)$

Table 3: The isometry and isotropy algebras of the three Dixon-Rosenfeld plane, in their relationships with the isometry and isotropy algebras of the octonionic Rosenfeld planes.

Notice that the isometry and isotropy Lie algebras of $(\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$ is enlarged from the isometry and isotropy Lie algebras of $\mathbb{T}P_I^2$ by the following \mathfrak{su}_2 -covariant set of generators, respectively:

$$\text{isom}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2) \ominus \text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) \simeq \text{isot}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2) \ominus \text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) \simeq \mathbf{11}, \quad (6.15)$$

i.e., by the spin-5 irrepr. $\mathbf{11}$ of \mathfrak{su}_2 .

Passing to the quateroctonionic Rosenfeld plane, we notice that since

$$\begin{aligned} \text{isom}((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{e}_7 \\ &= \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{26}, \mathbf{3}), \end{aligned} \quad (6.16)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{isot}((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{so}_{12} \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \\ &= \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_5 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1}) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_5 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}), \end{aligned} \quad (6.17)$$

and since (5.8), we then have that

$$\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) \not\subseteq \text{isom}((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2), \quad (6.18)$$

$$\text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2) \not\subseteq \text{isot}((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2). \quad (6.19)$$

Finally, in the case of the bioctonionic Rosenfeld plane, the isometry and isotropy algebras are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{isom}((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{e}_6 \\ &= \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus \mathbf{26}, \end{aligned} \quad (6.20)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{isot}((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{so}_{10} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1 \\ &= \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3})_0 \end{aligned} \quad (6.21)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1})_0 \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.22)$$

By recalling (5.7), the (doubling of the) \mathfrak{g}_2 -covariant representation of two copies of the tensor algebra $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}$ and (5.8), we then have

$$\text{isom}((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2) \subsetneq \text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2), \quad (6.23)$$

$$\text{isot}((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2) \not\subseteq \text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_I^2). \quad (6.24)$$

Relations with $\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2$

The same line of reasoning on the algebra level leads to the inclusion of the second Dixon-Rosenfeld plane $\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2$ within the octooctonionic plane $(\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2$. By iterated branchings, one computes that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{isom}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{e}_8 \\ &= \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus (26, 7) \end{aligned} \quad (6.25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus (7, 3, 7) \oplus (1, 5, 7) \oplus (7, 5, 1) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_{2,P} \oplus (7, 3, 7) \oplus (1, 5, 7) \oplus (7, 5, 1) \oplus (1, 1, 11) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_{2,P} \oplus 3 \cdot (7, 7) \oplus 5 \cdot (7, 1) \oplus 5 \cdot (1, 7) \oplus 3 \cdot (1, 1) \oplus (1, 11) \\ &= \text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) \oplus 3 \cdot (1, 7) \oplus (1, 1) \oplus (1, 11), \end{aligned} \quad (6.26)$$

and, as for the isotropy algebra we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{isot}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{so}_{16} \\ &= \mathfrak{so}_8 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_8 \oplus (8_v, 8_v) \end{aligned} \quad (6.27)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus (7 + 1, 7 + 1) \oplus (7, 1) \oplus (1, 7) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus (7 + 1, 7 + 1) \oplus 2 \cdot (7, 1) \oplus 2 \cdot (1, 7) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (7, 7) \oplus 3 \cdot (7, 1) \oplus 3 \cdot (1, 7) \oplus (1, 1) \oplus (1, 11) \\ &\simeq \text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) \oplus 3 \cdot (1, 7) \oplus (1, 1) \oplus (1, 11). \end{aligned} \quad (6.28)$$

In other words,

$$\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) \subsetneq \text{isom}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2), \quad (6.29)$$

$$\text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) \subsetneq \text{isot}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2). \quad (6.30)$$

It is interesting to notice that the isometry (and isotropy, resp.) Lie algebra of $(\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2$ is enlarged with respect to the isometry (and isotropy, resp.) Lie algebra of $\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2$ by the following \mathfrak{su}_2 -covariant set of generators, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{isom}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) \ominus \text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) &\simeq \\ \text{isot}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) \ominus \text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) &\simeq 3 \cdot 7 \oplus 1 \oplus 11, \end{aligned} \quad (6.31)$$

namely by three copies of spin-3, one spin-0 and one spin-5 irreprs. of \mathfrak{su}_2 .

On the other hand, with the quateroctonionic plane, have that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{isom}((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{e}_7 \\ &= \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (26, 3) \end{aligned} \quad (6.32)$$

$$= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (7, 5, 1) \oplus (7, 3, 3) \oplus (1, 5, 3), \quad (6.33)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{isot}((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{so}_{12} \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \\ &= \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_5 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (7, 5, 1) \end{aligned} \quad (6.34)$$

$$= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_5 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (7, 5, 1) \oplus (7, 1, 1), \quad (6.35)$$

and, since the (5.8), we therefore have again the case of

$$\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) \not\subseteq \not\supseteq \text{isom}((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2), \quad (6.36)$$

$$\text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2) \not\subseteq \not\supseteq \text{isot}((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2). \quad (6.37)$$

Finally, for the bioctonionic Rosenfeld plane,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{isom}((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{e}_6 \\ &= \mathfrak{so}_{10} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1 \oplus \mathbf{16}_+ \oplus \overline{\mathbf{16}}_- \end{aligned} \quad (6.38)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{2})_+ \oplus (\mathbf{8}, \mathbf{2})_- \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_+ \oplus (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2})_- \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1})_0 \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}); \end{aligned} \quad (6.39)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{isot}((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{so}_{10} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1 \\ &= \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3})_0 \end{aligned} \quad (6.40)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{u}_1 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3})_0 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1})_0 \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.41)$$

Recalling (5.7) and the \mathfrak{g}_2 -covariant representation of two copies of $\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}$ in (5.16) and (5.17), we also have

$$\mathbf{isom}((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) \subsetneq \mathbf{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2), \quad (6.42)$$

$$\mathbf{isot}((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) \not\subseteq \not\supseteq \mathbf{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{II}^2). \quad (6.43)$$

Relations with $\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2$

The isometries of the third Dixon-Rosenfeld plane are quite different from the others, indeed by suitably branching $\mathbf{isom}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2)$ and $\mathbf{isot}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2)$, one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{isom}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{e}_8 \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{26}) \end{aligned} \quad (6.44)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_6 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{14}') \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{14}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{6}, \mathbf{2}) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_6 \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{14}') \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{14}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{6}) \oplus 3 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.45)$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{isot}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{so}_{16} = \mathfrak{so}_8 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_8 \oplus (\mathbf{8}_v, \mathbf{8}_v) \\ &= \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7}) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7}) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_{2,P} \oplus (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{11}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.46)$$

Thus, by recalling (5.23) and (5.24), one obtains

$$\mathbf{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2) \not\subseteq \not\supseteq \mathbf{isom}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2), \quad (6.47)$$

$$\mathbf{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2) \not\subseteq \not\supseteq \mathbf{isot}((\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2). \quad (6.48)$$

As for the quateroctonionic plane $(\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{isom}((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{e}_7 \\ &= \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{26}, \mathbf{3}) \end{aligned} \quad (6.49)$$

$$= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{3}), \quad (6.50)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{isot}((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{so}_{12} \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \\ &= \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_5 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1}) \end{aligned} \quad (6.51)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_5 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{1}) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}) \oplus 3 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \\ &= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_{2,d} \oplus 3 \cdot (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 4 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}). \end{aligned} \quad (6.52)$$

Algebra	Definition	Relations with Exc. Algebras
\mathfrak{A}_1	$\mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{26})$	$\mathfrak{f}_4 \subset \mathfrak{e}_6 \subset \mathfrak{A}_1 \subset \mathfrak{e}_8$
$\mathfrak{A}_2^{\text{enh}}$	$\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{3} \cdot \mathbf{1})$	$\mathfrak{f}_4 \subset \mathfrak{e}_6 \subset \mathfrak{A}_2^{\text{enh}} \subset \mathfrak{e}_8$
\mathfrak{A}_3	$\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_6 \oplus (2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{14})$	$\mathfrak{f}_4 \subset \mathfrak{e}_6 \subset \mathfrak{e}_7 \subset \mathfrak{A}_3$

Table 4: The three Lie algebras of isometries corresponding to the three Dixon-Rosenfeld plane in their relationships with the exceptional Lie algebras \mathfrak{f}_4 , \mathfrak{e}_6 , \mathfrak{e}_7 and \mathfrak{e}_8 .

Therefore, by suitably branching (5.23) and (5.24), one obtains

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_6 \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{14}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{14}) \\
&= \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}) \\
&\simeq \text{isom}((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}, \mathbf{3}), \tag{6.53}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2) &\simeq \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus 6 \cdot (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 4 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus 4 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}) \\
&\simeq \text{isot}((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) \oplus 3 \cdot (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{3}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}), \tag{6.54}
\end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\text{isom}((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) \subsetneq \text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2), \tag{6.55}$$

$$\text{isot}((\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) \subsetneq \text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2). \tag{6.56}$$

Considering (5.22) allows one to conclude that the (tangent space) algebra of $\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2$ is exactly the double of the (tangent space) algebra of $(\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2$, thus implying

$$\text{isom}((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) \subsetneq \text{isom}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2), \tag{6.57}$$

$$\text{isot}((\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O}) P^2) \subsetneq \text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_{III}^2). \tag{6.58}$$

7 DIXON-ROSENFELD LINES AND PLANES

In our previous work [CMCAc], we analyzed Dixon-Rosenfeld lines in a similar fashion, resulting in the following definitions as homogeneous spaces

$$\mathbb{T}P_I^1 \simeq \frac{SU_2 \times SO_9 \ltimes (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{9})}{SU_2 \times G_2 \ltimes ((\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \times 2 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7}))}, \tag{7.1}$$

$$\mathbb{T}P_{II}^1 \simeq \frac{SU_2 \times G_2 \ltimes ((2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7}) \times (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}))}{SU_2 \times G_2 \ltimes (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{7})}, \tag{7.2}$$

$$\mathbb{T}P_{III}^1 \simeq \frac{SO_5 \times G_2 \ltimes (\mathbf{5}, 2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1})}{SU_2 \times G_2 \ltimes ((\mathbf{1}, 2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \times (\mathbf{3}, \mathbf{1}))}. \tag{7.3}$$

For consistency, we now check that each Dixon-Rosenfeld line is a strict sub-manifold of the corresponding Dixon-Rosenfeld plane. Indeed, we notice that since

$$\mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_9 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{9}) \subset \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{f}_4 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{26}),$$

the isometry Lie algebra of the Dixon-Rosenfeld line $\mathbb{T}P_I^1$ is a subalgebra of $\mathbb{T}P_I^2$. For the isotropy Lie algebra $\text{isot}(\mathbb{T}P_I^1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus ((\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7})) &= \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus ((\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7})) \\
&\subset \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus ((\mathbf{7}, 3 \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{7}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, 2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1})) \\
&= \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus ((\mathbf{7}, 3 \cdot \mathbf{1} + \mathbf{7}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, 3 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1})). \tag{7.4}
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that the first Dixon-Rosenfeld line \mathbb{TP}_I^1 is a submanifold of the first Dixon-Rosenfeld plane \mathbb{TP}_I^2 , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{TP}_I^1 \subsetneq \mathbb{TP}_I^2. \quad (7.5)$$

Something similar happens for the second Dixon-Rosenfeld line \mathbb{TP}_{II}^1 , since the enhanced isometry Lie algebra is a subalgebra of the enhanced isometry Lie algebra of the second Dixon-Rosenfeld plane \mathbb{TP}_{II}^2 , i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) &= \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, 2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}) \\ &\subset \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus ((3 \cdot \mathbf{7} + 4 \cdot \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})) \\ &= \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus ((3 \cdot \mathbf{7} + 5 \cdot \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7}) \oplus 2 \cdot (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1})). \end{aligned} \quad (7.6)$$

For the isotropy Lie algebra $\mathfrak{isot}(\mathbb{TP}_{II}^1)$, we have

$$\mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \subset \mathfrak{so}_7 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{7} + 2 \cdot \mathbf{1}). \quad (7.7)$$

Thus, we find that the second Dixon-Rosenfeld line \mathbb{TP}_{II}^1 is a submanifold of the second Dixon-Rosenfeld plane \mathbb{TP}_{II}^2 , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{TP}_{II}^1 \subsetneq \mathbb{TP}_{II}^2. \quad (7.8)$$

Finally, for the third Dixon-Rosenfeld line, we notice that

$$\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{so}_5 \oplus (2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{5}) \subset \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{sp}_6 \oplus (2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{14}). \quad (7.9)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (2 \cdot \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}) \oplus (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3}) &\subset \mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2 \oplus (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, 4 \cdot \mathbf{1} + 2 \cdot \mathbf{3}) \oplus 2 \cdot ((\mathbf{7}, \mathbf{1}) + (\mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3})), \end{aligned}$$

it follows that the third Dixon-Rosenfeld line \mathbb{TP}_{III}^1 is also a submanifold of the third Dixon-Rosenfeld plane \mathbb{TP}_{III}^2 , i.e.,

$$\mathbb{TP}_{III}^1 \subsetneq \mathbb{TP}_{III}^2. \quad (7.10)$$

8 CONCLUSIONS AND FURTHER DEVELOPMENTS

In this work, we introduced three inequivalent coset manifolds, i.e., \mathbb{TP}_I^2 , \mathbb{TP}_{II}^2 , and \mathbb{TP}_{III}^2 , that arise from the same procedure that leads to the definition of the octonionic projective plane (also called Cayley-Moufang plane) and of the octonionic Rosenfeld planes as homogeneous spaces. We refer to such cosets as to the Dixon-Rosenfeld planes, since they can be regarded as generalized projective planes over the Dixon algebra $\mathbb{T} = (\mathbb{R} \otimes) \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O}$. More specifically, we introduced three different Lie algebras, i.e., \mathfrak{A}_1 , $\mathfrak{A}_2^{\text{enh}}$ and \mathfrak{A}_3 , arising from Tits' formula applied to the isometry algebras of the Dixon-Rosenfeld planes. Such algebras can be regarded as analogues of the exceptional Lie algebras \mathfrak{f}_4 , \mathfrak{e}_6 , \mathfrak{e}_7 and \mathfrak{e}_8 . While the latter are isometries of the octonionic plane $\mathbb{O}P^2$ as well as of the octonionic Rosenfeld planes $(\mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$, $(\mathbb{H} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$, and $(\mathbb{O} \otimes \mathbb{O})P^2$, respectively, the former are isometries of the Dixon-Rosenfeld planes. The various relationships between these two classes of Lie algebras are summarized in Table 4. For consistency and completeness, we also discussed the embedding of the so-called Dixon-Rosenfeld lines into the aforementioned Dixon-Rosenfeld planes.

From the treatment given in Section 6, we obtained two different realizations of the Dixon algebra \mathbb{T} as the $(\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1})$ and as the $2 \cdot (\mathbf{7} + \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{3} + \mathbf{1})$ of $\mathfrak{g}_2 \oplus \mathfrak{su}_2$ which might be interesting for physical applications. The two realizations can be related by trading the spin-3 representation of \mathfrak{su}_2 for a pair of spin-1 (vector) representations and a spin-0 (scalar) representation. In future work, it will be worthwhile to investigate the potential relationship of the isometry Lie algebras/groups of the Dixon-Rosenfeld planes with an interesting model for three generations of fermions in the Standard Model of particle physics recently introduced by Furey and Hughes [FH24].

9 ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Thank you to Cohl Furey for discussions relating to the Dixon algebra and her work with Mia Hughes on three generations of fermions in the standard model.

References

- [AB] M. Atiyah, J. Berndt, *Projective planes, Severi varieties and spheres*, Surveys in Differential Geometry Volume **8** (2003), 1-27.
- [Ats] K. Atsuyama, *Another construction of real simple Lie algebras*. Atsuyama, Kenji. Kodai Math. J., Tome **6** (1983) no. 1, 122-133.
- [Ats2] K. Atsuyama, *Projective spaces in a wider sense. II*. Kenji Atsuyama. Kodai Math. J. **20**(1), 41-52 (1997).
- [ABDHN] A. Anastasiou, L. Borsten, M.J. Duff, L.J. Hughes, S. Nagy, *A magic pyramid of supergravities*, JHEP **04** (2014) 178 .
- [ABHN] A. Anastasiou, L. Borsten, M.J. Hughes, S. Nagy, *Global symmetries of Yang-Mills squared in various dimensions*, JHEP **01** (2016) 148 .
- [ABDHN 2014] A. Anastasiou, L. Borsten, M.J. Duff, M.J. Hughes, S. Nagy, *Super Yang-Mills, division algebras and triality* JHEP **1408** (2014) 080.
- [Ba] J.C. Baez, *The octonions*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **39** (2002), 145-205. Erratum: Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **42** (2005), 213.
- [BH] J.C. Baez, J. Huerta, *Division Algebras and Supersymmetry I*, Proc. Symp. Pure Maths. **81** (2010) 65-80.
- [BM] L. Borsten, A. Marrani, *A Kind of Magic*, Class. Quant. Grav. **34** (2017) 23, 235014 .
- [BS03] C.H. Barton, A. Sudbery, *Magic squares and matrix models of Lie algebras*, Adv. Math. **180** (2) (2003) 596-647. See also: C.H. Barton, A. Sudbery, *Magic Squares of Lie Algebras*, math/0001083 [math.RA].
- [CCM] S.L. Cacciatori, B.L. Cerchiai, A. Marrani, *Squaring the Magic*, Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **19** (2015) 923-954 .
- [Car] E. Cartan, *La theorie des groupes continus et la geometrie*, extended translation of a paper by G.Fano for Encycl. Sci. Math., Oeuvres completes III, (1915), 1727-1861.
- [Ca19] C. Castro Perelman, *RCHO-valued gravity as a grand unified field theory*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras **29** (2019) no.1, 22.
- [Ca19b] C. Castro Perelman, *On CHO-valued gravity, sedenions, hermitian matrix geometry and nonsymmetric Kaluza- Klein theory*, Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebras **29** (2019) no.3, 58.
- [CFG] S. Cecotti, S. Ferrara, L. Girardello, *Geometry of Type II Superstrings and the Moduli of Superconformal Field Theories*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A4** (1989) 2475.
- [Con37] A. Conway, *Quaternion treatment of relativistic wave equation*, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. Ser. A, Math. Phys. Sci. **162**, 909 (1937).
- [CMCAa] D. Corradetti, A. Marrani, D. Chester, R. Aschheim, *Conjugation Matters. bioctonionic Veronese Vectors and Cayley-Rosenfeld Planes*, Int. J. Geom. Meth. Mod. Phys. **19** (2022) 09, 2250142.

- [CMCAa2] D. Corradetti, A. Marrani, D. Chester, R. Aschheim, K. Irwin, *A Magic Approach to Rosenfeld Planes*, Rev. Math. Phys. **35** (2023) 10, 2350032.
- [CMCAb] D. Corradetti, A. Marrani, D. Chester, R. Aschheim, *octonionic Planes and Real Forms of G_2 , F_4 and E_6* , Geom. Integr. Quantization **23** (2022) 1-18.
- [CMCAc] D. Chester, A. Marrani, D. Corradetti, R. Aschheim, K. Irwin, *Dixon-Rosenfeld lines and the Standard Model*, Eur. Phys. J. **C83** (2023) 9, 849.
- [Di] G.M. Dixon, *Division algebras: Octonions, quaternions, complex numbers and the algebraic design of physics*, Kluwer Academic Publishers (1994).
- [DHK] T. Dray, J. Huerta, J. Kincaid, *The Magic Square of Lie Groups: The 2×2 Case*, Lett. Math. Phys. **104** (2014) 11, 1445-1468 .
- [El02] A. Elduque, *The magic square and symmetric compositions*; Revista Mat. Iberoamericana **20** (2004), no. 2, 475-491.
- [El04] A. Elduque, *A new look at Freudenthal's magic square*, in: *Non Associative Algebra and Its Applications*, (L. Sabinin, L. Sbitneva and I.P. Shestakov, eds.); Lecture Notes in Pure and Applied Mathematics, vol. **246**, 149-165, Chapman and Hall (2006).
- [El18] A. Elduque, *Composition algebras*, in: *Algebra and Applications I: Non-associative Algebras and Categories*, Chapter 2, 27-57 (A. Makhlouf ed.), Sciences-Mathematics, ISTE-Wiley (2021).
- [FF17] P. Fre, A. Fedotov, *Groups and Manifolds: Lectures for Physicists with Examples in Mathematica*, De Gruyter (2017); see pp. 323-350.
- [Fr63] H. Freudenthal, *Beziehungen der E_7 und E_8 zur Oktavenebene. X, XI*, Indagationes Mathematicae **25** (1963) 457-471, 472-487.
- [Fr65] H. Freudenthal, *Lie groups in the foundations of geometry*, Advances in Mathematics, volume **1**, (1965) 145-190.
- [Fu14] C. Furey, *Generations: three prints, in colour*, JHEP **10**, 046 (2014).
- [Fu15] C. Furey, *Standard model physics from an algebra?*, PhD thesis, University of Waterloo (2015).
- [Fu18] C. Furey, *Three generations, two unbroken gauge symmetries, and one eight-dimensional algebra*, Phys. Lett. **B785** (2018), 84-89.
- [FH22] N. Furey, M. Hughes, *One generation of standard model Weyl representations as a single copy of $R \otimes C \otimes H \otimes O$* , Phys. Lett. **B827** (2022) 136959.
- [FH24] N. Furey and M. J. Hughes, *Three generations and a trio of trialities*, Phys. Lett. B **865**, 139473 (2025).
- [Gr] N. Gresnigt N., *Sedenions, the Clifford algebra $Cl(8)$, and three fermion generations*, PoS EPS-HEP2019 (2020) 615.
- [GG73] M. Günaydin, F. Gürsey, *Quark structure and the octonions*, J. Math. Phys. **14** (11) (1973).
- [Gun76] M. Günaydin, *Octonionic Hilbert spaces, the Poincare group and $SU(3)$* J. Math. Phys. **17** (1976), 1875.
- [GSS] M. Günaydin, H. Samtleben, E. Sezgin, *On the Magical Supergravities in Six Dimensions*, Nucl. Phys. **B848** (2011) 62-89.

- [Hur] A. Hurwitz, *Über die Composition der quadratischen Formen von beliebig vielen Variabeln*, Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Gottingen, 309-316 (1898).
- [JVW] P. Jordan, J. von Neumann, E. Wigner, *On an algebraic generalization of the quantum mechanical formalism*, Ann. Math. **35** (1934), 29-64.
- [Ko] B. Kostant, *The Principal Three-Dimensional Subgroup and the Betti Numbers of a Complex Simple Lie Group*, Am. J. Math. Vol. **81** 4 (1959), 973-1032.
- [Kr11] K. Krasnov, *Spin(11, 3), particles and octonions*, J. Math. Phys. **63** (2022) 3, 031701 .
- [KT] T. Kugo, P.K. Townsend, *Supersymmetry and the Division Algebras*, Nucl. Phys. **B221** (1983) 357-380.
- [LM01] J. Landsberg, L. Manivel, *The Projective Geometry of Freudenthal's Magic Square*, J. of Algebra **239** 2 (2001) 477-512.
- [LG72] M. Lorente, B. Gruber, *Classification of Semisimple Subalgebras of Simple Lie Algebras*, J. Math. Phys. **13** 10 (1972) 1639-1663.
- [MD 2010] C.A. Manogue, T. Dray, *Octonions, E_6 , and particle physics* J. Phys. Conf. Ser. **254** (2010) 012005.
- [Ma] N. Masi, *An exceptional $G(2)$ extension of the Standard Model from the correspondence with Cayley–Dickson algebras automorphism groups*, Sci Rep **11**, 22528 (2021).
- [McCr] K. McCrimmon, *A Taste of Jordan Algebras*, Springer-Verlag (2004).
- [Min] A.N. Minchenko, *The Semisimple Algebras of Exceptional Lie Algebras*, Trans. Moscow Math. Soc. **67** (2006) 225-259.
- [N8] L. Borsten, D. Dahanayake, M.J. Duff, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, W. Rubens, *Observations on Integral and Continuous U-duality Orbits in $\mathcal{N}=8$ Supergravity*, Class. Quant. Grav. **27** (2010) 185003 .
- [OV] A.L. Onishchik, E.B. Vinberg, *Lie Groups and Lie Algebras III*, Springer (1991).
- [Ro93] B.A. Rosenfeld, *Spaces with Exceptional Fundamental Groups*, Publications de l'Institut Mathématique, nouvelle série tome **54** (68), 97-119 (1993).
- [Ro97] B.A. Rosenfeld, *Geometry of Lie Groups*, Kluwer (1997).
- [Ro98] B.A. Rosenfeld, *Geometry of Planes over Nonassociative Algebras*, Acta Appl. Math. **50** (1998) 103-110.
- [SH] M. Santander, F. Herranz, *'Cayley-Klein' schemes for real Lie algebras and Freudenthal magic squares*, in: *Group21. Physical Applications and Mathematical Aspects of Geometry, Groups and Algebras, Vol. I* (H.D. Doebner, P. Nattermann, W. Scherer eds.), World Scientific (1997), 151-156.
- [SO] L. Borsten, M.J. Duff, S. Ferrara, A. Marrani, W. Rubens, *Small Orbits*, Phys. Rev. **D85** (2012) 086002 .
- [Sud] A. Sudbery, *Division algebras, (pseudo) orthogonal groups and spinors*, J. Phys. **A17**, vol. 5, 939 (1984).
- [Ti] J. Tits, *Algèbres alternatives, algèbres de Jordan et algèbres de Lie exceptionnelles*, Indagationes Mathematicae **28** (1966) 223-237.
- [To18] I. Todorov, M. Dubois-Violette, *Deducing the symmetry of the standard model from the automorphism and structure groups of the exceptional Jordan algebra*, Int. J. Mod. Phys. **A33** (20) (2018) 1850118.

- [Vin66] E.B. Vinberg, *A Construction of Exceptional Lie Groups* (Russian), Tr. Semin. Vektorn. Tensorn. Anal., **13** (1966) 7-9.
- [WDM] R.A. Wilson, T. Dray, C.A. Manogue , *An octonionic construction of E_8 and the Lie algebra magic square*, e-Print: 2204.04996 [math.GR].