

LAMBERT W -FUNCTION AND GAUSS CLASS NUMBER ONE CONJECTURE

IGOR V. NIKOLAEV¹

All data are available as part of the manuscript

ABSTRACT. We study fixed points of a function arising in a representation theory of the Drinfeld modules by the bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space. We prove that such points correspond to number fields of the class number one. As an application, one gets a solution to the Gauss conjecture for the real quadratic fields of class number one.

1. INTRODUCTION

Drinfeld modules are powerful invariants of the non-abelian class field theory for the function fields [Drinfeld 1974] [3]. Recall that if $\mathbb{k} := \mathbf{F}_{p^n}$ is a finite field and $\tau(x) = x^p$, then one can consider a ring $\mathbb{k}\langle\tau\rangle$ of the non-commutative polynomials given by the commutation relation $\tau a = a^p \tau$ for all $a \in A$, where $A := \mathbb{k}[T]$ is the ring of polynomials in variable T over \mathbb{k} . The Drinfeld module $\text{Drin}_A^r(\mathbb{k})$ of rank $r \geq 1$ is a homomorphism $\rho : A \xrightarrow{\tau} \mathbb{k}\langle\tau\rangle$ given by a polynomial $\rho_a = a + c_1 \tau + \dots + c_r \tau^r$, where $a \in A$ and $c_i \in \mathbb{k}$ [Rosen 2002] [9, Section 12]. Consider a torsion submodule $\Lambda_\rho[a] := \{\lambda \in \bar{\mathbb{k}} \mid \rho_a(\lambda) = 0\}$ of the A -module $\bar{\mathbb{k}}$. Drinfeld modules $\text{Drin}_A^r(\mathbb{k})$ and associated torsion submodules $\Lambda_\rho[a]$ define generators of a non-abelian class field theory for the function fields. Namely, for each non-zero $a \in A$ the function field $\mathbb{k}(T)(\Lambda_\rho[a])$ is a Galois extension of the field $\mathbb{k}(T)$ of rational functions in variable T over \mathbb{k} , such that its Galois group is isomorphic to a subgroup of the matrix group $GL_r(A/aA)$ [Rosen 2002] [9, Proposition 12.5].

We consider the norm closure of a representation of the multiplicative semi-group [Li 2017] [5] of the ring $\mathbb{k}\langle\tau\rangle$ by bounded linear operators on a Hilbert space [7]. The latter is a C^* -algebra \mathcal{A}_{RM}^{2r} generated by the unitary operators u_1, \dots, u_{2r} satisfying the commutation relations $\{u_j u_i = e^{2\pi i \theta_{ij}} u_i u_j \mid 1 \leq i, j \leq 2r\}$, where θ_{ij} are algebraic numbers and $\Theta = (\theta_{ij}) \in M_{2r}(\mathbf{R})$ is a skew-symmetric matrix [Rieffel 1990] [8]. The Grothendieck semi-group [Blackadar 1986] [1, Chapter III] of \mathcal{A}_{RM}^{2r} is given by the formula $K_0^+(\mathcal{A}_{RM}^{2r}) \cong \mathbf{Z} + \alpha_1 \mathbf{Z} + \dots + \alpha_r \mathbf{Z} \subset \mathbf{R}$, where $\alpha_j \in \mathbf{R}$ are algebraic integers of degree $2r$ over \mathbf{Q} . The following is true [7, Theorem 3.3]: (i) there exists a functor $F : \text{Drin}_A^r(\mathbb{k}) \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{RM}^{2r}$ from the category of Drinfeld modules \mathfrak{D} to a category of the noncommutative tori \mathfrak{A} , which maps any pair of isogenous (isomorphic, resp.) modules $\text{Drin}_A^r(\mathbb{k}), \widetilde{\text{Drin}}_A^r(\mathbb{k}) \in \mathfrak{D}$ to a pair of the homomorphic (isomorphic, resp.) tori $\mathcal{A}_{RM}^{2r}, \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{RM}^{2r} \in \mathfrak{A}$, (ii) $F(\Lambda_\rho[a]) = \{e^{2\pi i \alpha_j + \log \log \varepsilon} \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\}$, where $\mathcal{A}_{RM}^{2r} = F(\text{Drin}_A^r(\mathbb{k}))$ and ε is a unit of the number field $\mathbf{Q}(\alpha_j)$ and (iii) the number field $K = \mathbf{Q}(F(\Lambda_\rho[a]))$ is the extension of its subfield with the Galois

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 11M55; Secondary 46L85.

Key words and phrases. Drinfeld modules, class field theory, noncommutative tori.

group $G \subseteq GL_r(A/aA)$. The above formulas imply a non-abelian class field theory for the number fields. Namely, fix a non-zero $a \in A$ and let $G := \text{Gal}(\mathfrak{k}(\Lambda_\rho[a]) | \mathfrak{k}) \subseteq GL_r(A/aA)$, where $\Lambda_\rho[a]$ is the torsion submodule of the A -module $\overline{\mathfrak{k}}_\rho$. Consider the number field $K = \mathbf{Q}(F(\Lambda_\rho[a]))$. If k is the maximal subfield of K fixed by the action of all elements of the group G , then the number field

$$K \cong \begin{cases} k(e^{2\pi i \alpha_j + \log \log \varepsilon}), & \text{if } k \subset (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}, \\ k(\cos 2\pi \alpha_j \times \log \varepsilon), & \text{if } k \subset \mathbf{R} \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

is a Galois extension of k , such that $\text{Gal}(K|k) \cong G$ [7, Corollary 3.4]. Specifically, $k \cong \mathbf{Q}(i\alpha_j)$ if $k \subset (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}$ and $k \cong \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_j)$ if $k \subset \mathbf{R}$ (Lemma 3.1).

The aim of our note are number fields $k \subseteq K$, such that $K \cong k$. It follows from (1.1) that this property depends on the solvability of equations in variables $\{\alpha_j \in \mathbf{R} \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\}$:

$$\begin{cases} i\alpha_j = e^{2\pi i \alpha_j + \log \log \varepsilon}, & \text{if } k \subset (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}, \\ \alpha_j = \cos 2\pi \alpha_j \times \log \varepsilon, & \text{if } k \subset \mathbf{R}, \end{cases} \quad (1.2)$$

where $\varepsilon \in O_k^\times$ is a constant in the group of units O_k^\times of the field k . Denote by $W_j(z)$ the j -th branch of the Lambert W -function [Corless, Gonnet, Hare, Jeffrey & Knuth 1996] [2]. Our main results can be formulated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *The number fields $K \cong k$ given by formulas (1.1) are isomorphic, if and only if:*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_j = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} W_j(-2\pi \log \varepsilon), & \text{if } \varepsilon \in (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}, \\ \alpha_j = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} [W_j(-2\pi i \log \varepsilon) - W_j(2\pi i \log \varepsilon)], & \text{if } \varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}. \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

Theorem 1.1 can be used to calculate the class number h_k of the field k . Indeed, consider the Hilbert class field K of the number field k . By the class field theory, the class group $Cl(k) \cong \text{Gal}(K|k) \subseteq GL_r(A/aA)$ is trivial if and only if $h_k := |Cl(k)| = 1$. In other words, the set $\{\alpha_j\}$ of roots (1.3) is counting fields k having class number one. Namely, one gets the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *If k is a Galois extension of degree $2r$ over \mathbf{Q} , then:*

$$\#\{k \mid h_k = 1\} = \begin{cases} 8, & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ and } k \subset (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}, \\ \infty, & \text{if } r = 1 \text{ and } k \subset \mathbf{R}, \\ \infty, & \text{if } r \geq 2. \end{cases} \quad (1.4)$$

Remark 1.3. The total number of imaginary quadratic fields $\mathbf{Q}(\sqrt{d})$ of class number one is known to be 9 corresponding to the discriminants $d \in \{-1, -2, -3, -7, -11, -19, -43, -67, -163\}$. However, the value $d = -1$ cannot be covered by our method. Indeed, the root $\pm i$ of the minimal polynomial $p(x) = x^2 + 1$ is linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} , while the root ± 1 of the corresponding minimal polynomial $q(x) = x^2 - 1$ does not, see item (i) in the proof of Lemma 3.1 for the notation and details. Hence the first line in formula (1.4). The middle line in formula (1.4) corresponds to a class number one conjecture for the real quadratic number fields dating back to [Gauss 1801] [4, Article 304].

The paper is organized as follows. A brief review of the preliminary facts is given in Section 2. Theorem 1.1 and Corollary 1.2 are proved in Section 3.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We briefly review the Lambert W -function and non-abelian class field theory. We refer the reader to [Corless, Gonnet, Hare, Jeffrey & Knuth 1996] [2], [Rieffel 1990] [8], [Rosen 2002] [9, Chapters 12 & 13] and [7] for a detailed exposition.

2.1. Lambert W -function. The Lambert W -function is a multivalued inverse of the function:

$$f(w) = we^w, \quad \text{where } w \in \mathbf{C}. \quad (2.1)$$

For each $i \in \mathbf{Z}$ there is a branch of the Lambert W -function denoted by $W_i(z)$. In other words, if z and w are any complex numbers, then

$$z = we^w, \quad (2.2)$$

if and only if $w = W_j(z)$ for some $j \in \mathbf{Z}$. We denote the principal branch by $W(z) := W_1(z)$. The Taylor series of the Lambert W -function is given by the formula:

$$W(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-n)^{n-1}}{n!} z^n. \quad (2.3)$$

The following lemma is an implication of the formula (2.2).

Lemma 2.1. ([2, p. 332]) *Let A, B and C be complex numbers, such that $BC \neq 0$. A root of the equation:*

$$z = A + Be^{Cz} \quad (2.4)$$

is given by the general formula:

$$z = A - \frac{1}{C} W(-BCe^{AC}). \quad (2.5)$$

2.2. Non-abelian class field theory. Let $\mathfrak{k} := \mathbf{F}_q(T)$ ($A := \mathbf{F}_q[T]$, resp.) be the field of rational functions (the ring of polynomial functions, resp.) in one variable T over a finite field \mathbf{F}_q , where $q = p^n$ and let $\tau_p(x) = x^p$. Recall that the Drinfeld module $\text{Drin}_A^r(\mathfrak{k})$ of rank $r \geq 1$ is a homomorphism

$$\rho : A \xrightarrow{r} \mathfrak{k}\langle\tau_p\rangle \quad (2.6)$$

given by a polynomial $\rho_a = a + c_1\tau_p + c_2\tau_p^2 + \cdots + c_r\tau_p^r$ with $c_i \in \mathfrak{k}$ and $c_r \neq 0$, such that for all $a \in A$ the constant term of ρ_a is a and $\rho_a \notin \mathfrak{k}$ for at least one $a \in A$ [Rosen 2002] [9, p. 200]. For each non-zero $a \in A$ the function field $\mathfrak{k}(\Lambda_\rho[a])$ is a Galois extension of \mathfrak{k} , such that its Galois group is isomorphic to a subgroup G of the matrix group $GL_r(A/aA)$, where $\Lambda_\rho[a] = \{\lambda \in \bar{\mathfrak{k}} \mid \rho_a(\lambda) = 0\}$ is a torsion submodule of the non-trivial Drinfeld module $\text{Drin}_A^r(\mathfrak{k})$ [Rosen 2002] [9, Proposition 12.5]. Clearly, the abelian extensions correspond to the case $r = 1$.

Let G be a left cancellative semigroup generated by τ_p and all $a_i \in \mathfrak{k}$ subject to the commutation relations $\tau_p a_i = a_i^p \tau_p$.¹ Let $C^*(G)$ be the semigroup C^* -algebra [Li 2017] [5]. For a Drinfeld module $\text{Drin}_A^r(\mathfrak{k})$ defined by (2.6) we consider a homomorphism of the semigroup C^* -algebras:

$$C^*(A) \xrightarrow{r} C^*(\mathfrak{k}\langle\tau_p\rangle). \quad (2.7)$$

It is proved that (2.7) defines a map $F : \text{Drin}_A^r(\mathfrak{k}) \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{RM}^{2r}$ [7, Definition 3.1].

¹In other words, we omit the additive structure and consider a multiplicative semigroup of the ring $\mathfrak{k}\langle\tau_p\rangle$.

Theorem 2.2. ([7]) *The following is true:*

(i) *the map $F : \text{Drin}_A^r(\mathfrak{k}) \mapsto \mathcal{A}_{RM}^{2r}$ is a functor from the category of Drinfeld modules \mathfrak{D} to a category of the noncommutative tori \mathfrak{A} , which maps any pair of isogenous (isomorphic, resp.) modules $\text{Drin}_A^r(\mathfrak{k}), \widetilde{\text{Drin}}_A^r(\mathfrak{k}) \in \mathfrak{D}$ to a pair of the homomorphic (isomorphic, resp.) tori $\mathcal{A}_{RM}^{2r}, \widetilde{\mathcal{A}}_{RM}^{2r} \in \mathfrak{A}$;*

(ii) *$F(\Lambda_\rho[a]) = \{e^{2\pi i \alpha_i + \log \log \varepsilon} \mid 1 \leq i \leq r\}$, where $\mathcal{A}_{RM}^{2r} = F(\text{Drin}_A^r(\mathfrak{k}))$, α_i are generators of the Grothendieck semi-group $K_0^+(\mathcal{A}_{RM}^{2r})$, $\log \varepsilon$ is a scaling factor and $\Lambda_\rho(a)$ is the torsion submodule of the A -module \mathfrak{k}_ρ ;*

(iii) *the Galois group $\text{Gal}(k(e^{2\pi i \alpha_i + \log \log \varepsilon}) \mid k) \subseteq GL_r(A/aA)$, where k is a subfield of the number field $\mathbf{Q}(e^{2\pi i \alpha_i + \log \log \varepsilon})$.*

Theorem 2.2 implies a non-abelian class field theory as follows. Fix a non-zero $a \in A$ and let $G := \text{Gal}(\mathfrak{k}(\Lambda_\rho[a]) \mid \mathfrak{k}) \subseteq GL_r(A/aA)$, where $\Lambda_\rho[a]$ is the torsion submodule of the A -module \mathfrak{k}_ρ . Consider the number field $K = \mathbf{Q}(F(\Lambda_\rho[a]))$. Denote by k the maximal subfield of K which is fixed by the action of all elements of the group G .

Corollary 2.3. (Non-abelian class field theory) *The number field*

$$K \cong \begin{cases} k(e^{2\pi i \alpha_j + \log \log \varepsilon}), & \text{if } k \subset (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}, \\ k(\cos 2\pi \alpha_j \times \log \varepsilon), & \text{if } k \subset \mathbf{R}, \end{cases} \quad (2.8)$$

is a Galois extension of k , such that $\text{Gal}(K|k) \cong G$.

3. PROOFS

3.1. Proof of Theorem 1.1. For the sake of clarity, let us outline the main ideas. Roughly speaking, the proof of Theorem 1.1 consists of a straightforward calculation of the roots of equation (1.2) using formulas (2.4) and (2.5) for the Lambert W -function. We split the proof in a series of lemmas.

Lemma 3.1. *The number field $k \subset K \cong \mathbf{Q}(F(\Lambda_\rho[a]))$ is defined by the formulas:*

$$k \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{Q}(i\alpha_1, \dots, i\alpha_r), & \text{if } k \subset (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}, \\ \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r), & \text{if } k \subset \mathbf{R}. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

Proof. (i) **Case $k \subset (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}$.** Let k_0 be a totally real field and denote by k_{CM} (k_{RM} , resp.) its complex (real, resp.) multiplication field, i.e. a totally imaginary (totally real, resp.) quadratic extension of k_0 . The minimal polynomial of k_{CM} is an alternating sum of monomials of the minimal polynomial of the field k_{RM} . Indeed, since both k_{RM} and k_{CM} are quadratic extensions, their minimal polynomials can be written in the form $p(x) = x^{2r} + a_2 x^{2r-2} + \dots + a_{2r-2} x^2 + a_{2r}$. In particular, if x is a real root of $p(x)$, then ix is a complex root of the polynomial $q(x) = x^{2r} - a_2 x^{2r-2} + \dots + (-1)^{r+1} a_{2r-2} x^2 + (-1)^r a_{2r}$, i.e. an alternating sum of the monomials of $p(x)$. (We refer the reader to [6, Remark 6.6.3] for the explicit matrix formulas.) On the other hand, $\text{Gal}(K \mid k_{CM}) \cong G \subseteq GL_r(A/aA)$ and therefore $k \cong k_{CM} \cong \mathbf{Q}(i\alpha_j)$, where $1 \leq j \leq r$.

(ii) **Case $k \subset \mathbf{R}$.** Using notation and argument of item (i), one gets $\text{Gal}(K \mid k_{RM}) \cong G \subseteq GL_r(A/aA)$. In particular, $k \cong k_{RM} \cong \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_j)$, where $1 \leq j \leq r$.

Lemma 3.1 is proved. \square

Lemma 3.2. *The number fields defined by formulas (2.8) are isomorphic $K \cong k$ if and only if:*

$$\begin{cases} i\alpha_j = e^{2\pi i\alpha_j + \log \log \varepsilon}, & \text{if } k \subset (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}, \\ \alpha_j = \cos 2\pi\alpha_j \times \log \varepsilon, & \text{if } k \subset \mathbf{R}. \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

Proof. In view of Lemma 3.1, one can write (2.8) in the form:

$$K \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{Q}(i\alpha_j, e^{2\pi i\alpha_j + \log \log \varepsilon}), & \text{if } k \subset (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}, \\ \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_j, \cos 2\pi\alpha_j \times \log \varepsilon), & \text{if } k \subset \mathbf{R}, \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

where $1 \leq j \leq r$.

(i) If conditions (3.2) hold, then formulas (3.3) imply:

$$K \cong \begin{cases} \mathbf{Q}(i\alpha_j, i\alpha_j) \cong k, & \text{if } k \subset (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}, \\ \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_j, \alpha_j) \cong k, & \text{if } k \subset \mathbf{R}. \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

In other words, one gets from (3.4) an isomorphism of the number fields $K \cong k$.

(ii) Conversely, let $K \cong k$. In view of formulas (2.8), we obtain the following inclusions:

$$\begin{cases} e^{2\pi i\alpha_j + \log \log \varepsilon} \in k \cong \mathbf{Q}(i\alpha_j), & \text{if } k \subset (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}, \\ \cos 2\pi\alpha_j \times \log \varepsilon \in k \cong \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_j), & \text{if } k \subset \mathbf{R}. \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

Since algebraic numbers $\{e^{2\pi i\alpha_j + \log \log \varepsilon} \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ ($\{\cos 2\pi\alpha_j \times \log \varepsilon \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\}$, resp.) are linearly independent over \mathbf{Q} , one can take them for a basis of the ring of integers of the field $k \cong \mathbf{Q}(i\alpha_j)$ ($k \cong \mathbf{Q}(\alpha_j)$, resp.). But any such a basis must coincide with $\{i\alpha_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ ($\{\alpha_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\}$, resp.) after a linear transformation over \mathbf{Q} . Thus $e^{2\pi i\alpha_j + \log \log \varepsilon} = i\alpha_j (\cos 2\pi\alpha_j \times \log \varepsilon = \alpha_j, \text{ resp.})$. In other words, one gets the system of equations (3.2).

Lemma 3.2 is proved. \square

Lemma 3.3. *The roots $\{\alpha_j \mid 1 \leq j \leq r\}$ of equations (3.2) are given by the formulas:*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_j = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} W_j(-2\pi \log \varepsilon), & \text{if } \varepsilon \in (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}, \\ \alpha_j = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} [W_j(-2\pi i \log \varepsilon) - W_j(2\pi i \log \varepsilon)], & \text{if } \varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}, \end{cases} \quad (3.6)$$

where $W_j(z)$ is a j -th branch of the Lambert W -function.

Proof. (i) **Case** $\varepsilon \in (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}$. In this case $\varepsilon \in k \subset (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}$ and we must use the first equation (3.2). The latter can be written in an equivalent form:

$$\beta_j + i\alpha_j = \beta_j + \frac{\log \varepsilon}{e^{2\pi\beta_j}} e^{2\pi(\beta_j + i\alpha_j)}, \quad (3.7)$$

where $\beta_j \in \mathbf{R}$ is an arbitrary constant. We shall denote:

$$\begin{cases} z = \beta_j + i\alpha_j, \\ A = \beta_j, \\ B = \frac{\log \varepsilon}{e^{2\pi\beta_j}}, \\ C = 2\pi. \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

In this notation equation (3.7) takes the form $z = A + Be^{Cz}$, where $BC \neq 0$. We apply Lemma 2.1 to find the roots of the latter using formula (2.5) and we get:

$$\beta_j + i\alpha_j = \beta_j - \frac{1}{2\pi} W_j(-2\pi \log \varepsilon). \quad (3.9)$$

One can cancel β_j in the both sides of equation (3.9) to obtain a formula:

$$\alpha_j = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} W_j(-2\pi \log \varepsilon). \quad (3.10)$$

Remark 3.4. Unless $r = 1$ the roots α_j given by formula (3.10) belong to the distinct branches $W_j(z)$ of the Lambert W -function; hence the notation. If $r = 1$, then $W_j(z)$ is the principal branch of the W -function. The value of $\log \varepsilon$ in (3.10) is given by the complex logarithm $\log \rho + i(\theta + 2\pi j)$, where $\varepsilon = \rho e^{2\pi i \theta}$.

(ii) **Case $\varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}$.** In this case $\varepsilon \in k \subset \mathbf{R}$ and we use the second equation (3.2). Applying Euler's formula $\cos 2\pi\alpha_j = \frac{1}{2}(e^{2\pi i\alpha_j} + e^{-2\pi i\alpha_j})$ to the latter, one gets:

$$2\alpha_j = \log \varepsilon e^{2\pi i\alpha_j} + \log \varepsilon e^{-2\pi i\alpha_j}. \quad (3.11)$$

Consider the following two equations:

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_j = \log \varepsilon e^{2\pi i\alpha_j}, \\ \alpha_j = \log \varepsilon e^{-2\pi i\alpha_j}. \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

Clearly, every pair of solutions $\alpha_j^{(1)}$ and $\alpha_j^{(2)}$ of equations (3.12) imply a solution α_j of equation (3.11) by the formula $\alpha_j = \alpha_j^{(1)} + \alpha_j^{(2)}$ and vice versa. Consider Lemma 2.1 for the constants $A = 0, B = \log \varepsilon$ and $C = \pm 2\pi i$. Since $BC \neq 0$ one can apply formulas (2.5) to calculate the roots $\alpha_j^{(1)}$ and $\alpha_j^{(2)}$ of equations (3.12):

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_j^{(1)} &= -\frac{1}{2\pi i} W_j(-2\pi i \log \varepsilon), \\ \alpha_j^{(2)} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} W_j(2\pi i \log \varepsilon). \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

Therefore, one gets from (3.13):

$$\alpha_j = \alpha_j^{(1)} + \alpha_j^{(2)} = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} [W_j(-2\pi i \log \varepsilon) - W_j(2\pi i \log \varepsilon)]. \quad (3.14)$$

Lemma 3.3 is proved. \square

Corollary 3.5. *The number fields $K \cong k$ are isomorphic, if and only if:*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_j = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} W_j(-2\pi \log \varepsilon), & \text{if } \varepsilon \in (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}, \\ \alpha_j = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} [W_j(-2\pi i \log \varepsilon) - W_j(2\pi i \log \varepsilon)], & \text{if } \varepsilon \in \mathbf{R}. \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

Proof. Corollary 3.5 follows from Lemmas 3.2 and 3.3. \square

Theorem 1.1 follows from Corollary 3.5.

3.2. Proof of Corollary 1.2. For the sake of clarity, let us outline the main ideas. Consider the Hilbert class field K of the number field k and let $Cl(k)$ be the class group of k . By the class field theory, the abelian groups $Cl(k) \cong Gal(K|k) \subseteq GL_r(A/aA)$ are trivial if and only if the class number $h_k := |Cl(k)| = 1$. In other words, the cardinality of the set $\{\alpha_j\}$ of solutions (1.3) is equal to the number of fields k , such that $h_k = 1$. On the other hand, the size of the set $\{\alpha_j\}$ depends on how many distinct units $\varepsilon \in k$ the fields k of fixed degree $2r$ over \mathbf{Q} can afford. Dirichlet's Unit Theorem says that the number of such units is always infinite unless k is an imaginary quadratic field in which case there are only 8 of them. Let us pass to a detailed argument.

Denote by k a Galois extension of degree $2r$ over \mathbf{Q} . Recall that the group of units O_k^\times of the field k is described by Dirichlet's Unit Theorem:

$$O_k^\times \cong \mu(k) \oplus \mathbf{Z}^{\sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - 1}, \quad (3.16)$$

where $\mu(k)$ is a finite group of the n -th roots of unity ζ_n contained in the field k and σ_1 (σ_2 , resp.) is the number of real (pairs of complex, resp.) embeddings of k , so that:

$$\begin{cases} \sigma_1 + 2\sigma_2 &= 2r, \\ \sigma_1 \sigma_2 &= 0. \end{cases} \quad (3.17)$$

The second line in (3.17) is true, since k is a Galois extension, i.e. it is either totally real or totally imaginary extension of \mathbf{Q} .

(i) **Case $r = 1$ and $k \subset (\mathbf{C} - \mathbf{R}) \cup \mathbf{Q}$.** In this case one gets $\sigma_1 = 0$ and $\sigma_2 = 1$ in formulas (3.17), i.e. k are imaginary quadratic fields. Hence by formula (3.16) $O_k^\times \cong \mu(k)$ is a finite group. On the other hand, the cyclotomic quadratic number fields are well known and their groups of units are exhausted by the following cases:

$$\{\mathbf{Z}[\zeta_n]^\times \mid n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6\}. \quad (3.18)$$

It is immediate from (3.18) that only 8 units $\varepsilon \in k$ are distinct, namely:

$$\varepsilon \in \left\{ 1, \frac{1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}, i, \frac{-1+i\sqrt{3}}{2}, -1, \frac{-1-i\sqrt{3}}{2}, -i, \frac{1-i\sqrt{3}}{2} \right\}. \quad (3.19)$$

Likewise, $r = 1$ implies $j = 1$ in formulas (1.3). We denote $\alpha = \alpha_1$ and let $W(z) = W_1(z)$ be the principal branch of the Lambert W -function. By Remark 3.4, one gets $\log \varepsilon = i(\theta + 2\pi) \neq 0$ in this case. Theorem 1.1 says that cardinality of the set of number fields $\{k \mid h_k = 1\}$ is equal to such of the set of distinct roots:

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} W(-2\pi \log \varepsilon). \quad (3.20)$$

The $W(z)$ is an invertible function, since its derivative $\frac{dW}{dz} = \frac{1}{z + e^{W(z)}} \neq 0$. We conclude that distinct roots α given by (3.20) are in a one-to-one correspondence with the units ε listed in formula (3.19). Therefore $\#\{k \mid h_k = 1\} = 8$ in this case, see also Remark 1.3.

(ii) **Case $r = 1$ and $k \subset \mathbf{R}$.** In this case formulas (3.17) imply $\sigma_1 = 2$ and $\sigma_2 = 0$, i.e. k are real quadratic fields. Using Dirichlet's formula (3.16), one gets an infinite group of units:

$$O_k^\times \cong \mu(k) \oplus \mathbf{Z}. \quad (3.21)$$

Again $r = 1$ implies $j = 1$ in formulas (1.3). We denote $\alpha = \alpha_1$ and let $W(z) = W_1(z)$ be the principal branch of the Lambert W -function. Likewise, Theorem 1.1 implies that cardinality of the set of number fields $\{k \mid h_k = 1\}$ is equal to such of the set of distinct roots:

$$\alpha = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} [W(-2\pi i \log \varepsilon) - W(2\pi i \log \varepsilon)]. \quad (3.22)$$

Function $W(-z) - W(z)$ at the RHS of (3.22) is invertible, since its derivative $\frac{1}{z - e^{W(-z)}} - \frac{1}{z + e^{W(z)}} \neq 0$; for otherwise one gets $W(-z) + \log(-1) = W(z)$ which is impossible due to formula (2.3). We conclude that distinct roots α given by (3.22) are in a one-to-one correspondence with the units $\varepsilon \in O_k^\times$ given by Dirichlet's formula (3.21). Since there are infinitely many distinct values of ε , we infer from Theorem 1.1 that $\#\{k \mid h_k = 1\} = \infty$.

(iii) **Case $r \geq 2$.** It follows from Dirichlet's formula (3.16) that the rank of the group of units of the field k is defined as follows:

$$\text{rank } O_k^\times = \sigma_1 + \sigma_2 - 1. \quad (3.23)$$

In view of formula (3.17) we have the following two cases to consider:

Case (a) $\sigma_1 = 2r$ and $\sigma_2 = 0$. In other words, the number fields k are totally real of degree ≥ 4 over \mathbf{Q} . By formula (3.23) one gets $\text{rank } O_k^\times = 2r - 1$.

Case (b) $\sigma_1 = 0$ and $\sigma_2 = r$. In this case the number fields k are totally imaginary of degree ≥ 4 over \mathbf{Q} . By formula (3.23) we have $\text{rank } O_k^\times = r - 1$.

In both of the above cases one gets $\text{rank } O_k^\times \geq 1$. On the other hand, Theorem 1.1 implies that cardinality of the set of number fields $\{k \mid h_k = 1\}$ is equal to such of the set of distinct roots:

$$\alpha_j = -\frac{1}{2\pi i} [W_j(-2\pi i \log \varepsilon) - W_j(2\pi i \log \varepsilon)], \quad 1 \leq j \leq r. \quad (3.24)$$

We repeat the argument in item (ii) and conclude that distinct roots α_j given by (3.24) are in a one-to-one correspondence with the units $\varepsilon \in O_k^\times$. It remains to notice that by $\text{rank } O_k^\times \geq 1$ there are infinitely many distinct values of ε . We conclude from Theorem 1.1 that $\#\{k \mid h_k = 1\} = \infty$ in this case.

Corollary 1.2 is proved.

Remark 3.6. Roughly speaking, Corollary 1.2 says that the Galois extensions k of degree $2r$ over \mathbf{Q} having class number one are classified by the units $\varepsilon \in O_{k'}^\times$ of a field k' of the same degree over \mathbf{Q} . Notice that $k' \not\cong k$ due to a transcendental nature of the Lambert W -function [Corless, Gonnet, Hare, Jeffrey & Knuth 1996] [2]. Elsewhere, a relation between the units and class numbers is long known, e.g. for the cyclotomic fields [Sinnott 1980/81] [10].

DATA AVAILABILITY

Data sharing not applicable to this article as no datasets were generated or analyzed during the current study.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

On behalf of all co-authors, the corresponding author states that there is no conflict of interest.

FUNDING DECLARATION

The author was partly supported by the NSF-CBMS grant 2430454.

REFERENCES

1. B. Blackadar, *K-Theory for Operator Algebras*, MSRI Publications, Springer, 1986.
2. R. M. Corless, G. H. Gonnet, D. E. G. Hare, D. J. Jeffrey & D. E. Knuth, *On the Lambert W function*, Adv. Comput. Math. **5** (1996), 329-359.
3. V. G. Drinfeld, *Эллиптические модули*, Матем. Сборник **94** (1974), 594-627.
4. C. F. Gauss, *Disquisitiones Arithmeticae*, Leipzig, 1801.
5. X. Li, *Semigroup C^* -algebras*, Operator algebras and applications – the Abel Symposium 2015, 191-202, Abel Symp., 12, Springer, 2017.
6. I. V. Nikolaev, *Noncommutative Geometry*, Second Edition, De Gruyter Studies in Math. **66**, Berlin, 2022.
7. I. V. Nikolaev, *Non-abelian class field theory and higher dimensional noncommutative tori*, Algebr. Represent. Theory **28** (2025), 1041-1053.
8. M. A. Rieffel, *Non-commutative tori – a case study of non-commutative differentiable manifolds*, Contemp. Math. **105** (1990), 191-211.
9. M. Rosen, *Number Theory in Function Fields*, GTM **210**, Springer, 2002.
10. W. Sinnott, *On the Stickelberger ideal and the circular units of an abelian field*, Invent. Math. **62** (1980/81), 181-234.

¹ DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, ST. JOHN'S UNIVERSITY, 8000 UTOPIA PARKWAY, NEW YORK, NY 11439, UNITED STATES.

Email address: igor.v.nikolaev@gmail.com