

Non-solitary wave response generated by “soft” striker impact on strongly nonlinear weakly dissipative granular chains

Po-Hsun Chiu, Vitali F. Nesterenko*

Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, and Materials Science and Engineering Program, University of California at San Diego,
La Jolla, CA 92093-0411, USA

*Corresponding Author: Vitali F. Nesterenko (vnesterenko@ucsd.edu)

Abstract

It is well known that striker impact on strongly nonlinear, non-dissipative or weakly dissipative granular chains assembled from spheres (or cylinders with flat ends and spheres) having similar masses results in strongly nonlinear Nesterenko solitary waves on a very short distance from the impacted end. This paper presents the first experimental results on the nature of the wave response excited by striker impact on strongly nonlinear weakly dissipative discrete chains assembled from cylinders with flat ends and spheres having similar masses depending on the ramp time of the incoming pulse. Variation of the pulse ramp time was accomplished using “hard” and “soft” striker impact. In the case of “hard” impact, the incoming pulse with relatively short ramp time was split into Nesterenko solitary waves after traveling about 20 particles as in previous publications. The “soft” impact by the same striker with the same velocity was achieved by placing a small mass PTFE spherical particle inside a PTFE O-ring at the top of the first cylinder resulting in significantly longer ramp time of incoming pulse. The incoming pulse generated by “soft” impact did not split into a train of solitary waves despite the initial steepening of the leading front due to strong nonlinearity. Instead, it traveled as a shock-like stress wave a long distance of 131 particles. Thus, the generation of a train of solitary waves or shock-like stress wave in a weakly dissipative, strongly nonlinear discrete chain depends on the duration of the incoming pulse ramp. Ramping incoming pulse resulted in dramatic decrease of shock-like stress wave amplitude in comparison with amplitude of the leading solitary wave in case of “hard” impact.

Introduction

The Los Alamos Scientific Laboratory report by Fermi, Pasta, and Ulam [1] was “dealing with the behavior of certain nonlinear physical systems where the non-linearity is introduced as a

perturbation to a primarily linear problem” with “the primary aim of establishing, experimentally, the rate of approach to the equipartition of energy among the various degrees of freedom of the system”. They reported that “the results show very little, if any, tendency toward equipartition of energy among the degrees of freedom”. This result, at that time looked paradoxical, contradicting to generally accepted paradigm probably formulated first by D. Bernoulli’s in 1741: “for the elongation will not be proportional to the extending force ... and everything must be irregular” [2]. Attempts to solve this paradox started research into nonlinear wave dynamics in discrete systems. Zabusky [3] derived a long wave approximation (Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation) for a weakly nonlinear lattice which has the solitary wave as the stationary solution. It connected earlier research on solitary waves in hydrodynamics traced to amazing experimental discovery by Russell [4], [5] of waves of translation (solitary waves) and to theoretical works by Boussinesq [6], Korteweg and de Vries [7]. Zabusky and Kruskal [8] coined the term soliton applied to the stationary solution of KdV equation, which was subsequently adopted in many areas of physics [9], probably except for the mechanics of materials area.

The landscape of nonlinear wave dynamics has shifted to the mechanics of materials area after the publication of two papers—theoretical paper by Nesterenko [10] and experimental paper by Lazaridi and Nesterenko [11]. These papers addressed very different practically important physical problems than in papers [1], [3], [8]: damping high-amplitude pulses created by the impact or contact explosion. Investigation of wave propagation in one dimensional granular chain composed of spherical particles [10], [11], [14] was the first attempt to understand the damping properties of granular beds to impact or contact explosion. But unlike in examples considered in papers [1], [3], [8], interaction between spherical grains (or in physically similar granular chains assembled from spherical grains and cylinders with flat ends) is described by the strongly nonlinear Hertz law [12], [13]. This law for contact interaction between masses has no linear component if the chain is not precompressed. The initial static precompression of chain introduces the linear component of contact force which has a negligible effect if the amplitude of the dynamic perturbation is much larger than force at static precompression. Thus, in these cases the nonlinearity cannot be introduced “as a perturbation to a primarily linear problem” instead it was defined as strongly, or essentially nonlinear problem [10], [14], [15].

Strongly nonlinear interactions between elastic spherical particles in a one-dimensional granular chain required development of qualitatively new wave dynamics different from the

behavior of chains with elastically linear or weakly nonlinear interactions between elements [10], [14-16]. Granular systems are probably the only structures that allow easy tuning (by applying precompression static force) of their behavior from strongly nonlinear to weakly nonlinear and to linear. These chains, when not precompressed by a static force can be characterized as “sonic vacuum” (term coined by Nesterenko in [17], [18]) where the sound speed in its classical sense (the speed of long wave disturbances with negligible amplitude)) approaches zero. Unlike chains with weakly nonlinear interactions, chain in “sonic vacuum” state supports strongly nonlinear solitary waves—Nesterenko solitary waves, term coined by Coste, Falcon and Fauve [19] who independently confirmed the experimental results first presented in [11]. The strongly nonlinear solitary waves in “sonic vacuum” were first discovered as an exact stationary solution of a strongly nonlinear wave equation in the long-wave approximation first introduced in [10], which is more general than the weakly nonlinear KdV equation. The KdV wave equation is a limiting case of the strongly nonlinear wave equation when strains in the wave are much smaller than the initial strain due to precompression [10], [15-17]. It is well known that strongly nonlinear solitary waves are qualitatively different from weakly nonlinear solitary waves in a discrete chain and from corresponding KdV solitary waves [15-17]. For example, the spatial size of Nesterenko solitary waves in “sonic vacuum” is independent of wave amplitude unlike the case of KdV solitary waves or weakly nonlinear waves in discrete system. These waves behave like quasiparticles with an effective mass [20], and their more accurate description, compared with the exact solution of the long-wave approximation [10], can be found in papers by Sen and Manciu [21], [22] and Chatterjee [23].

Discrete strongly nonlinear mechanical systems allow not only experimental investigation of wave dynamics in all regimes (from “sonic vacuum”/strongly nonlinear to linear cases) but also guide the design of new metamaterials for impact mitigation and wave processing arranging various elements in different geometries. Many aspects of traditional wave dynamics should be reexamined in the case of “sonic vacuum.” For example, wave interaction with interfaces of two “sonic vacua” results in unusual phenomenon exhibiting “acoustic diode” behavior [24]. Classical Snell’s law cannot be applied due to the absence of sound speed instead; reflection and transmission laws of solitary waves is governed by their speeds [25].

It should be emphasized that a granular chain with Hertzian interaction law was the first theoretically and experimentally investigated example of strongly nonlinear discrete media.

Discrete chains with general power law interaction between particles (normal behavior, exponent larger than 1) [14-18], [26], with the abnormal power law (exponent less than 1) [15], [16], [27], double power law (being even stronger than classical Hertzian law between particles with two terms: Hertzian term with exponent $3/2$ and additional term with exponent 6 experimentally realizable using O-rings between cylinders with flat ends) [28], [29] and general interaction law [15], [30], [31] between particles were also analyzed.

Strongly nonlinear discrete materials can also be assembled based on complex metastructures [32]. Other examples are transverse motion of an elastic string with zero tension [15], [33] or using tensegrity cells [34].

The band gap in strongly nonlinear two-mass chains, consisting of particles with different masses arranged alternately, can be effectively tuned by static precompression [35]. They exhibit novel behavior, supporting solitary waves in “sonic vacuum” only at specific mass ratio of the particles [36].

The fact that dissipation in steel granular chains (e.g., friction between grains and between grains and supporting structure) was weak allowed the first experimental observation of Nesterenko solitary waves [11] and their observation by other researchers with particles made from different materials [19], [37-41]. It should be mentioned that dissipation was not considered in the theoretical approach and in the numerical calculations presenting the discovery of strongly nonlinear Nesterenko solitary wave in [10]. Validation of existence of strongly nonlinear solitary waves in experiments was crucial, and their existence was only possible at weak dissipation. The critical value of dissipation rate preventing generation of solitary waves in “sonic vacuum” is not known, but it is expected to be like a critical value of effective viscous dissipation related to a transition from oscillatory to monotonous shock waves found in [42]. The high level of dissipation prevents the generations of solitary waves in some granular chains despite the discrete nature and strong nonlinearity. For example, granular chains assembled using O-rings between cylinders with flat ends have nonlinearity stronger than classical Hertzian law with two terms, (Hertzian term with exponent $3/2$ and additional term with larger exponent 6). But they did not support strongly nonlinear solitary waves in experiments [29].

One of the remarkable features of discrete “sonic vacuum” systems is their ability to transform dynamic input caused by striker impact (or by contact explosion) into a train of

Nesterenko solitary waves over relatively short distances from the impacted end [10], [15], [37], [41]. The number of solitary waves depends on the incoming pulse duration determined by the mass of the striker or the duration of the incoming pulse. This unique feature was explored in many publications using impact generation of solitary waves in discrete systems. Weakly nonlinear systems require much longer distances for formation of solitary waves, thus experimental observation of KdV solitary waves is possible only at very low dissipation preventing waves attenuation.

A practically important aspect of striker impact on a granular chain is that the striker does not recoil after impact. This is a unique feature which is very important when granular beds (Fig. 4 in [16]) are used to support explosive working (e.g., welding, shock compression). It was explained by very low acoustic impedance of granular beds (which is zero if no precompression is applied – “sonic vacuum” case) compared with that of striker (or metal plates on top of granular bed under contact explosion) [16]), despite the acoustic impedance of iron grains in granular beds being like that of metal plates.

This article addresses the engineering problem enhancing mitigation of striker impact. We experimentally demonstrated that identical striker with the same velocity generates two significantly different ramp times of incoming pulse due to placement of “soft” PTFE sphere at the top of chain. It was the first experimental observation that ramping of the leading part of the incoming pulse, created by the same striker with the same velocity, from 30 microseconds (“hard” impact) to 250 microseconds (“soft” impact) dramatically changes the nature of the propagated wave in the strongly nonlinear, weakly dissipative discrete system. In the case of “soft” impact the incoming pulse was transformed into the shock-like stress wave propagating over relatively long distances, while “hard” impact resulted in the train of Nesterenko solitary waves. The amplitude of the shock-like stress wave generated by “soft” impact was dramatically smaller than amplitudes of solitary waves in the case of “hard” impact. This experimental observation reveals a crucial role of the incoming pulse ramping time to wave response of granular chain.

Experiments

Experiments were conducted with chains composed of SS304 stainless steel cylinders (McMaster-Carr) and 440C stainless steel balls having similar mass with the arrangement like in [13], [29] shown in Figure 1. Forces between cylinders and spheres follow Hertz law [12], [13] as in the

chain assembled from spheres only, the difference only in constants in Hertz law. Thus, these two granular systems are similar with respect to wave propagation. Granular chain composed from cylinders with flat ends and spheres was selected due to the following reasons: (a) it supports strongly nonlinear solitary waves similar to the chain composed from spheres [13]; (b) this system can be analyzed using experimentally validated dissipative numerical model [13]; (c) sensors embedded into cylinders are well aligned with the axis of granular chain and do not lose the alignment after each impact. This is especially important for reproducibility of results when multiple sensors are used in experiments as is the case in our article; (d) it allows experimental study of granular systems with arbitrary mass ratio without changing contact interaction [13].

It should be emphasized that wave dynamics of granular chains is governed by contact interaction law depending on radius of contacting surfaces, elastic properties of particles, particle masses and distance between their centers. Overall shape of particles does not play any role in wave dynamics when characteristic time of wave propagation inside particles is much smaller than characteristic time of propagating wave (e.g., duration of solitary wave) [10], [14], [15].

The chain was placed vertically inside the channel made by four aluminum alloy rods arranged in a square pattern. They provided alignment of balls and cylinders with minimal contact with the supporting rods minimizing dissipation due to friction. The steel cylinders and spheres have the same diameter, 8 mm and almost identical masses ($m=2.05$ g for cylinders and $m=2.08$ g for spheres). The height of the steel cylinder was $h = 5.3$ mm. The waves in the systems were generated by the impact of a steel striker, diameter = 7.9 mm with a rounded tip. The length of the striker was 26.8 mm; its mass was 10.3 g, about five times the mass of a steel sphere or a cylinder.

In experiments, 150 particles (cylinders and spheres) were arranged into a one-dimensional chain. Four piezoelectric sensors were embedded inside the steel cylinders (Fig. 1) with the same mass as steel spheres and the other cylinders without sensors. Sensors were placed at different positions along the chain to monitor the shape of the incoming pulses and their evolution during the propagation. Sensor 1 is located at the first particle (cylinder) from the top, Sensors 2, 3 and 4 are located at the 21st, 121st and 131st particles from the top, respectively. Sensor 4 is placed 20 particles from the bottom.

The piezoelectric sensors were cut from the piezoelectric ceramic plate, supplied by Piezo Systems, Inc., wired and embedded between two half-cylinder using epoxy, ensuring a similar mass and height to the other cylinders in the chain, as shown in Fig.1, like in papers [13], [28].

The piezoelectric sensors were calibrated using the impact of a 440C steel sphere (mass 2.08 g) based on linear momentum conservation, which gives the value of the force integral over time from the start of the impact to the maximum force detected by the sensor. Assuming linear relation between force and voltage generated by sensors calibration factors $k = 4.84, 13.25, 21.02$, and 7.08 (N/V) were obtained, respectively for Sensors 1, 2, 3, and 4.





Figure 1. Two experimental setups resulting in different ramp times of incoming pulses: “hard” impact (Fig. 1 (a)) and “soft” impact (Fig. 1 (b)). The embedded piezo gauge inside steel cylinder was shown closer to the bottom in the central part of the figure.

The signals from the sensors were recorded using a Tektronix TDS 2014 oscilloscope. The trigger source was the signal coming from channel connected to Sensor 1 (the first particle), configured with a Rising Edge trigger. The trigger threshold was set

to a voltage corresponding to the initial contact force, allowing all four channels to be recorded simultaneously once triggered by Sensor 1. This setup provided consistent and repeatable records generated by all 4 sensors in each experiment.

Responses to two types of impact loading were investigated with similar discrete systems. In the first, the striker impacted the top steel cylinder directly, called a “hard” impact (Fig. 1(a)). The second arrangement (Fig. 1(b)), called a “soft” impact, was identical to the first one except that the same striker with the same velocity impacted the top PTFE sphere creating a ramped incoming pulse. PTFE sphere (diameter: 4.8 mm) was put inside a PTFE O-ring (O-Rings West, O.D.: 7.9 mm, I.D.: 4.8 mm) to ensure central position of PTFE sphere on top of the cylinder with Sensor 1. The PTFE sphere mass (0.1226 g) was about 84 times smaller than striker mass. We would like to mention that PTFE O-ring was used only to position PTFE ball at the center of the top cylinder and did not play significant role in striker interaction with PTFE ball. In future numerical modeling PTFE O-ring can be neglected. The steel striker with about five times the mass of a particle was dropped from 50 mm above the first particle of the 1-D chain resulting in an impact velocity close to 1 m/s.

The shape and amplitudes of waves for “hard” and “soft” impact are presented in Fig. 2. They are dramatically different: “hard” impact generated train of solitary waves, while “soft” impact resulted in shock-like stress wave with smaller amplitude.






Figure 2. Waves excited by striker with a velocity 1 m/s: solitary waves train excited by “hard” (a) and shock-like stress wave excited by “soft” (b) impacts. The curves corresponding to Sensors 1-4 are offset by 25 N (a) and 10 N (b) for visual clarity.

Dissipation due to friction between cylinders and spheres and between them and supporting rods can depend on wave amplitudes. Thus, we investigated the nature of the wave response excited by “hard” impact when amplitude of incoming pulse was comparable to shock-like stress wave amplitude excited by “soft” impact (Fig. 2(b)) by reducing striker velocity (Fig. 3).




Figure 3. Solitary waves train generated by “hard” impact of striker with a lower velocity (0.4 m/s). The curves related to Sensors 1-4 are offset by 10 N for visual clarity.

Lower striker velocity in case of “hard” impact reduced the amplitude of leading solitary wave (Fig. 3) making it comparable to the amplitude of shock-like stress wave generated by “soft” impact presented in Fig. 2(b). But reducing amplitude of incoming pulse did not change the nature of the propagating wave – train of attenuating Nesterenko solitary waves in case of “hard” impact.

It can be also noticed that shock-like stress waves detected by sensors 3 and 4 in case of “soft” impact with striker velocity 1 m/s (Fig. 2(b)) have amplitudes comparable to amplitude of leading solitary wave detected by sensor 2 in case in case of “hard” impact with velocity 0.5 m/s (Fig. 3).

Discussion

The standard way to generate single or multiple Nesterenko solitary waves in granular chains in most previous investigations was a “hard” striker impact on the chain, [11], [13], [19], [36-40] or explosion [41]. This paper presents first experimental results comparing “hard” and “soft” impacts by identical striker with intention to explore how a ramp time of incoming pulse can change the nature of generated waves.

A few significant changes were observed in the nature and evolution of the incoming pulse between cases of direct impact of the striker (“hard” impact) and striker impact on a PTFE sphere inside a PTFE O-ring placed on top of the first cylinder (“soft” impact).

“Hard” impact generated a train of strongly nonlinear solitary waves similar to previous observations for identical chain composed from stainless steel cylinders and spheres [13] and in chains composed from spheres [11], [19], [36-40].

“Soft” impact resulted in dramatic increase in the ramp time of the incoming pulse to 250 microseconds in comparison with 30 microseconds in “hard” impact, as detected by Sensor 1 in Fig. 2(b) and Fig.2(a) correspondingly. Also, “soft” impact increased total duration of incoming pulses to 700 microseconds in comparison with 200 microseconds in “hard” impact as detected by Sensor 1 (compare Fig. 2(b) and Fig. 2(a)). Incoming pulse in case of “soft” impact is close to bell shape with slight oscillations (Fig. 2(b)), while for “hard” impact incoming pulse is less symmetrical without oscillations (Fig. 2(a)).

“Soft” impact resulted in dramatically decreased amplitudes of incoming pulse (Fig. 2(b), Sensor 1), by almost a factor of five at the same velocity of the striker. It means that placing small

mass soft PTFE particle on the top of the chain (its mass was 84 times smaller than striker mass) can be very beneficial in controlling the amplitude of the incoming pulse excited by the same striker impact.

The type of the propagated wave was dramatically changed from an attenuating train of solitary waves, Fig. 2(a) (“hard” striker impact) to an attenuating shock-like stress wave with small oscillations, Fig. 2(b) (“soft” striker impact). We emphasize that the same striker at the same velocity was used in both cases. This dramatic difference in wave response was observed in our experiments up to 131st particle.

It was natural to expect that in the investigated weakly dissipative chain incoming pulse with ramped profile excited by “soft” impact would steepen over short distances due to strong nonlinearity being subsequently transformed into the train of Nesterenko solitary waves or into oscillatory shock-like stress wave. The physical origin of train of solitary waves is related to the system propensity to evolve into stationary waves, which are Nesterenko solitary waves for strongly nonlinear discrete systems. The experimentally observed behavior only partially supports this expectation, demonstrating steepening of the leading front of the pulse approaching a duration like one-half the duration of the solitary wave and creating a shock-like stress wave with small oscillations (compare data from Sensors 1 and 2, Fig. 2(b)). But this shock-like stress wave did not develop into a train of solitary waves or into highly oscillatory shock-like stress wave. Instead, it was transformed into an attenuating slightly oscillating shock-like stress wave with monotonic tail at investigated relatively long travel distances up the 131st particle (Sensors 3 and 4, Fig. 2(b)).

Our experiments clearly demonstrated that solitary waves are generated by high gradients of stress in the front of incoming pulse if ramp time is short enough or comparable to the duration of solitary waves as in Fig. 2(a). We can say that steep front “radiates” solitary waves because their speed is higher than speed of shock-like stress wave

Based on evolution of shock-like stress wave (see data from Sensors 3 and 4, Fig. 2(b)) it is reasonable to expect that this difference in wave response will be preserved even at larger distances. We attribute these changes in system behavior mainly to the ramping of the front part of the incoming pulse and not to the smaller amplitude or to the longer overall incoming pulse duration in “soft” impact.

This assumption can be verified by conducting “hard” impact experiments with incoming pulse amplitude similar to “soft” impact experiments. To generate amplitudes of leading solitary wave, close to Fig. 2(b) for ramped incoming pulse, a “hard” impact with the same striker having smaller velocity 0.4 m/s (striker dropped from 10 mm above the 1-D chain) was used. Results are shown in Fig. 3.

The prevailing influence of ramp time (not amplitude or dissipation) on the nature of propagating waves is evident by comparing Fig. 2 (b) and Fig. 3. Even at smaller amplitude of the incoming pulse, the “hard” impact of the striker still results in a train of attenuating solitary waves. Thus, the main reason for generating shock-like stress wave (non-solitary-type wave propagation) is the ramped nature of incoming pulse front in “soft” impact (Fig. 2(b)) and not its smaller amplitude or increased influence of friction at smaller amplitude of shock-like stress wave.

The ramp time 300 microseconds (Sensor 1, Fig. 2(b)) which resulted in a shock-like stress wave is about five times longer than the duration of the leading solitary wave in Fig. 2(a). It would be interesting to establish a criterion for the critical ramp time to secure a transition to shock-like stress wave from solitary wave response. It is expected that this critical ramp time will be a few times larger than solitary wave duration with amplitude similar to the amplitude of incoming pulse.

We would like to mention that condition that impact is “soft” or “hard” depends on relative duration of incoming pulse ramp time in comparison to duration of solitary waves in the chain. This duration depends on elastic properties of particles material, their masses and interaction laws between them. For example, duration of solitary waves in chain of PTFE beads [43] is a few hundred microseconds, depending on their amplitude, while in chain of steel beads it is about 10 microseconds [11]. That is why the incoming pulse with ramp time as in “soft” impact test in this article probably could be qualified as “hard” impact for chain of PTFE particles.

The solitary pulse speed (e.g., for pulse detected by Sensor 2, Fig. 2(a)) can be calculated using the distance between Sensors 1 and 2 and time intervals between maxima of signals detected by these sensors. The following equation (Eq. (1)) to estimate Nesterenko solitary wave speed (V_s) was introduced in [13] for a similar chain of spheres and cylinders, which demonstrated a very good agreement with experiments

$$V_s \approx \frac{h + 2R}{\sqrt{5}} \left(\frac{2ER^{1/2}}{3m^{3/2}(1 - \nu^2)} \right)^{1/3} F_m^{1/6}, \quad (1)$$

where F_m is the maximum force in the solitary wave. This equation is like the equation for a solitary wave in a chain of spheres (Eq. 1.43 in [13]). Some modifications resulting in Eq.1 are due to a different constant in the Hertz law for contact between a sphere and the flat surface of a cylinder and considering that distance between centers of neighboring particles a in the sphere/cylinder chain is $a = (R + h/2)$, unlike $2R$ as in the sphere/sphere chain.

This equation was used to calculate speed of leading solitary wave detected by Sensor 2 in Fig. 2(a). Force amplitude in this pulse was equal to 186 N and experimental speed (based on the time interval between peaks detected by Sensor 1 and Sensor 2 and their separating distance) was 624 m/s; the speed from Eq. 1 was 648 m/s. A similar agreement between predicted solitary wave speed using Eq. 1 and experimental data was observed in [13].

This study has a few limitations. For example, it did not present the quantitative value of critical ramp time required for change of system response from solitary train response to monotonous stress shock-like wave response suggesting only order of magnitude for critical ramp time. Numerical modeling is desirable to find this critical value of ramp time using experimentally validated weakly dissipative model for similar granular chain [13]. The presented experimental set up was intentionally designed to be “friendly” for such numerical modeling.

Conclusions

This paper presents results of striker impact with a mass equal to five times the mass of a particle on a weakly-dissipative, strongly nonlinear granular chain of steel spheres and cylinders with flat ends. Two types of dynamic loading were investigated: “hard” impact with direct striker impact on the system and “soft” impact where the striker was impacting top PTFE sphere placed inside PTFE O-ring on the top cylinder in chain. In the first case the incoming pulse was split into a few Nesterenko solitary waves as in previously published studies of the chain with steel spheres (and spheres made from different materials) or in the granular chain of cylinders with flat ends and spheres having similar masses after travelling about twenty particles. In the case of “soft” impact the incoming pulse generated by the striker did not split into a train of solitary waves despite initial

steepening of the leading front due to strong nonlinearity. It propagated as a shock-like stress pulse for a long distance of 131 particles without splitting into a train of solitary waves. Thus, generation of the train of solitary waves or shock-like stress waves in a weakly dissipative “sonic vacuum” under striker impact over short distances from the impacted end depends on the duration of the incoming pulse front. “Soft” impact dramatically reduced the maximum force of the propagating shock-like stress pulse in comparison with amplitude of solitary waves generated by “hard” impact.

Author contributions

Po-Hsun Chiu – carried out experimental research, prepared the original draft.

Vitali F. Nesterenko – Original idea, reviewed and revised the article.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have influenced the work reported in this manuscript.

Funding Sources

This research did not receive any specific grants from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

References

1. Fermi, E., Pasta, J.R., and Ulam, S.M.: Studies of Non-Linear Problems. Technical Report. LA-1940, (1955) Los Alamos National Laboratory. Reprinted in *Collected Works of E. Fermi*, vol. II. University of Chicago Press, pp. 978–988.
2. Bernoulli, D. (1741) Letter of 28 January 1741, from Correspondance Mathematique et Physique de Quelques Celebres Geometres du XVIII eme Siecle, vol. 2, St. Petersburg, 1843. Facs. rep. Johnson, New York, 1968. English translation: In: Cannon, J.T., and Dostrovsky, S. (1981) *The Evolution of Dynamics: Vibration Theory from 1687 to 1742*. Springer-Verlag, New York, p. 46.
3. Zabusky, N.J.: Solitons and energy transport in nonlinear lattices, *Comp. Phys. Comm.*, **5**, (1), 1-10 (1973), [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655\(73\)90002-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655(73)90002-7)
4. Russel, J.S. (1838) Report of the Committee on Waves. Report of the 7th Meeting of the British Association for the Advancement of Science, Liverpool, pp. 417–496.

5. Russel, J.S. (1845) On Waves. Report of the 14th Meeting of the British Association for the Advancement of Science, York, pp. 311–390.
6. Boussinesq, J.: Theorie des ondes et des remous qui se propagent le long d'un canal rectangulaire horizontal, on communiquant au liquide contenu dans ce canal des vitesses sensiblement parcellles de la surface au fond. *J. Math. Pures Appl.* 17(2), 55-108 (1872).
7. Korteweg, D.J., and de Vries, G. (1895) On the Change of Form of Long Waves Advancing in a Rectangular Canal, and on an New Type of Long Stationary Waves. *London, Edinburgh and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science*, ser. 5, **39**, pp. 422–443.
8. Zabusky, N. J., Kruskal, M. D.: Interaction of “solitons” in a collisionless plasma and the recurrence of initial states, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 15 (6) 240–243 (1965), <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.15.240>.
9. Remoissenet, M. (1999) *Waves Called Solitons (Concepts and Experiments)*. 3rd revised and enlarged edition. Springer-Verlag, Berlin.
10. Nesterenko, V.: Propagation of nonlinear compression pulses in granular media, *J Appl Mech Tech Phys* **24**(5), 733–743 (1984). Translated from *Zhurnal Prikladnoi Mekhaniki i Tekhnicheskoi Fiziki*, No.5, pp. 136-148, September-October, (1983) <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00905892>
11. Lazaridi, A.N. and Nesterenko, V.F.: Observation of a new type of solitary waves in a one-dimensional granular medium, *J. Appl. Mech. Tech. Phys.* **26**(3), 405–408 (1985). Translated from *Zhurnal Prikladnoi Mekhaniki i Tekhnicheskoi Fiziki*, No.3, pp. 115-118, May-June, (1985) <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00910379>.
12. Hertz, H.: Uber den Kontakt elastischer Korper, *J. Reine Angew. Math* 92, 156 (1881).
13. Wang, S.Y., and Nesterenko, V. F.: Attenuation of short strongly nonlinear stress pulses in dissipative granular chains, *Phys. Rev.E*, **91**, (6), (2015), 062211; <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.91.062211>.
14. Nesterenko, V.F. High-Rate Deformation of Heterogeneous Materials, Ch. 2, Nauka, Novosibirsk, pp. 51–80, (1992), (in Russian).

15. Nesterenko, V.F.: Dynamics of Heterogeneous Materials, Ch.1, (Springer Science & Business Media, 2013).
16. Nesterenko, V.F.: Waves in strongly nonlinear discrete systems, Phil. Tran. R. Soc., A376: 20170130 (2018) <https://doi.org/10.1098/rsta.2017.0130>
17. Nesterenko, V.F.: Nonlinear waves in “sonic vacuum”, Fiz. Goreniya i Vzryva, **28**, 121-123 (1992), (<http://maeresearch.ucsd.edu/nesterenko/1publications.html>).
18. Nesterenko, V.F.: Solitary waves in discrete media with anomalous compressibility and similar to “sonic vacuum,” J. de Physique IV, Colloque C8, supplement au Journal de Physique III, **4**, C8-729--C8-734 (1994), <https://doi.org/10.1051/jp4:19948112>.
19. Coste, C., Falcon, E., and Fauve, S.: Solitary waves in a chain of beads under Hertz contact, Phys. Rev. E **56**(5), 6104–6117 (1997), <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.56.6104>.
20. Hinch, E.J. and Saint-Jean, S.: The fragmentation of a line of balls by an impact, Proceedings of the Royal Society of London. Series A: Mathematical, Physical and Engineering Sciences **455**(1989), 3201–3220 (1999). <https://doi.org/10.1098/rspa.1999.0447>
21. Sen, S., and Manciu, M. Discrete Hertzian Chains and Solitons. Physica A, **268**, pp. 644–649 (1999). [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371\(99\)00107-7](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0378-4371(99)00107-7).
22. Sen, S., Hong, J., Bang, J., Avalos, E., and Doney, R.: Solitary waves in the granular chain, Physics Reports **462**(2), 21–66 (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physrep.2007.10.007>.
23. Chatterjee, A.: Asymptotic solution for solitary waves in a chain of elastic spheres, Phys.Rev. E., **59** (5), 5912-5919 <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.59.5912> (1999).
24. Nesterenko, V.F., Daraio, Herbold, E., and S. Jin, S.: Anomalous wave reflection at the interface of two strongly nonlinear granular media, Physical Review Letters, **95**, pp. 158702-1 – 158702-4, 2005, <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.158702>.
25. Tichler, A. M., Gomez, L. R., Upadhyaya, N., Campman, X., Nesterenko, V. F. and Vitelli, V.: Transmission and reflection of strongly nonlinear solitary waves at granular interfaces, Physical Review Letters, **111**, 048001 (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.048001>.

26. Nesterenko V.F.: A new type of collective excitations in a “sonic vacuum”, *Acoustics of Heterogeneous Media*, Novosibirsk, **105**, pp. 228-233, (1992) (In Russian), (<http://maeresearch.ucsd.edu/nesterenko/1publications.html>).
27. Nesterenko, V.F.: Solitary Waves in Discrete Medium with Anomalous Compressibility, *Fizika gorenia i vzryva*, 1993, vol. 29, no.2, pp. 134-136 (in Russian), (<http://maeresearch.ucsd.edu/nesterenko/1publications.html>).
28. Herbold, E.B., V.F. Nesterenko, V.F.: Solitary and shock waves in discrete strongly nonlinear double power-law materials, *Applied Physics Letters* **90** (26), 261902 (2007), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.2751592>.
29. Xu, Yichao and Nesterenko Vitali F.: Attenuation of short stress pulses in strongly nonlinear dissipative metamaterial, *J. Appl. Phys.* **117**, 114303 (2015), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4914066>.
30. Nesterenko, V.F., *Solitons, Shock Waves in Strongly, Nonlinear Particulate Media*, in *Shock Compression of Condensed Matter-1999*, AIP, 2000, pp. 177-180.
31. Nesterenko, V.F.: Continuous Approximation for Wave Perturbations in Nonlinear Discrete Medium, *Combustion, Explosion, and Shock Waves*, **31**, no.1, pp. 116-119, (1995). Translated from *Fizika Gorenii i Vzryva*, **31**, no. 1, pp. 119-123, (1995). (<https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00755968>).
32. Fang, X., Lacarbonara, W. & Cheng, L. Advances in nonlinear acoustic/elastic metamaterials and metastructures. *Nonlinear Dyn* **113**, 23787–23814 (2025). <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11071-024-10219-4>.
33. Nesterenko, V.F., "Examples of "Sonic Vacuum", *Fizika gorenia i vzryva*, 1993, **29**, no.2, pp. 132-134 (in Russian), (<http://maeresearch.ucsd.edu/nesterenko/1publications.html>).
34. Fraternali, F., Carpentieri, G., Amendola, A., Skelton, R.E., and Nesterenko, V.F.: Multiscale tunability of solitary wave dynamics in tensegrity metamaterials, *Appl. Phys. Lett.* **105** (20) (2014), <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.4902071>.
35. Herbold E.B., Kim J., Nesterenko, V. F., Wang S. Y., Daraio C.: Pulse propagation in a linear and nonlinear diatomic periodic chain: effects of acoustic frequency band-gap, *Acta Mech* **205**, 85–103 (2009), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00707-009-0163-6>.

36. Jayaprakash, K. R., Starosvetsky, Yuli and Vakakis, Alexander F.: New family of solitary waves in granular dimer chains with no precompression, *Phys. Rev. E* **83**, 036606, (2011) <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.83.036606>.
37. Shukla, A., Sadd, M.H., Xu, Y., and Tai, Q.M.: Influence of loading pulse duration on dynamic load transfer in a simulated granular medium, *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids* 41(11), 1795–1808 (1993), [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5096\(93\)900032-B](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5096(93)900032-B).
38. Job, S., Melo, F., Sokolow, A., and Sen, S.: How Hertzian Solitary Waves Interact with Boundaries in a 1D Granular Medium, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **94**(17), 178002 (2005), <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.94.178002>.
39. Job, S., Melo, F., Sokolow, A., and Sen, S.: Solitary wave trains in granular chains: experiments, theory and simulations, *Granular Matter* 10(1), 13–20 (2007), <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10035-007-0054-2>.
40. Santibanez, F., Munoz, R., Caussarieu, A., Job, S., and Melo, F.: Experimental evidence of solitary wave interaction in Hertzian chains, *Phys. Rev. E* 84(2), 026604 (2011), <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.84.026604>.
41. Zhu, Yan, Shukla, Arun, and Sadd Martin H.: The effect of microstructural fabric on dynamic load transfer in two dimensional assemblies of elliptical particles, *Journal of the Mechanics and Physics of Solids*, **44**, Issue 8, pp. 1283-1303 (1996), [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5096\(96\)00036-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-5096(96)00036-1).
42. Herbold, E.B. and Nesterenko, V.F.: Shock wave structure in a strongly nonlinear lattice with viscous dissipation, *Phys. Rev. E* **75**(2), 021304 (2007), <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.75.021304>.
43. C. Daraio, C., Nesterenko, V. F., Herbold, E. B. and Jin, S.: Strongly nonlinear waves in a chain of Teflon beads, *PHYSICAL R REVIEW E* 72, 016603 (2005), <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.72.016603>.