

# Weight distributions of simplex codes over finite chain rings and their Gray map images <sup>\*†‡</sup>

Cristina Fernández-Córdoba      Sergi Sánchez-Aragón  
Mercè Villanueva

December 3, 2025

## Abstract

A linear code of length  $n$  over a finite chain ring  $R$  with residue field  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is a  $R$ -submodule of  $R^n$ . A  $R$ -linear code is a code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (not necessarily linear) which is the generalized Gray map image of a linear code over  $R$ . These codes can be seen as a generalization of the linear codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$  with  $p$  prime and  $s \geq 1$ . In this paper, we present the construction of linear simplex codes over  $R$  and their corresponding  $R$ -linear simplex codes of type  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . Moreover, we show the fundamental parameters of these codes, including their minimum Hamming distance, as well as their complete weight distributions. We also study whether these simplex codes are optimal with respect to the Griesmer-type bound.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $R$  be a finite commutative ring with identity  $1 \neq 0$ . We say that  $R$  is a *chain ring* if its ideals form a unique chain ordered by inclusion. Equivalently,  $R$  is a chain ring if and only if it has a unique maximal ideal that is principal, that is, it is generated by one element, say  $\gamma$ . In fact, in  $R$ , all ideals are of the form  $\langle \gamma^i \rangle$  for  $i \in \{0, \dots, s\}$ , where  $s$  is the minimum natural number such that  $\gamma^s = 0$ , which is called the *nilpotency index*.

As  $R$  is finite, its *residue field*  $K = R/\langle \gamma \rangle$  is a finite field, so  $K \cong \mathbb{F}_q$  for some prime power  $q = p^r$ . There is a canonical projection homomorphism from  $R$  onto  $K$ . Denote by  $\bar{r}$  the image of an element  $r \in R$  under this projection. Let  $T = \{e_0, \dots, e_{q-1}\} \subseteq R$  such that  $\bar{e}_i \neq \bar{e}_j$  for all  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$ ,  $i \neq j$ . The set  $T$  is a set of coset representatives of  $K$ , so  $K = \{\bar{e}_0, \dots, \bar{e}_{q-1}\}$ . For convenience, we choose  $e_0 = 0$  and  $e_1 = 1$ . For every  $r \in R$ , there are unique  $r_0, \dots, r_{s-1} \in T$  such that  $r = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} r_i \gamma^i$  [17].

<sup>\*</sup>This work has been partially supported by the Spanish MICIN under Grant PID2022-137924NB-I00 (AEI / 10.13039/501100011033 / FEDER, UE), and by the Catalan AGAUR under grant 2021 SGR 00643.

<sup>†</sup>The material in this paper was presented in part at V Jornadas de la red MatSI (<https://web.ua.es/es/matsi2024/>) held from 5-7 June 2024 at the University of Alicante, Spain.

<sup>‡</sup>The material in this paper was presented in part at 8th Workshop on Designs, Hadamard matrices and Applications (<https://gestioneventos.us.es/hadamard2025>) held from 26-30 June 2025 at the University of Sevilla, Spain.

We refer to  $[r_0, \dots, r_{s-1}]_\gamma$  as the  $\gamma$ -adic representation of  $r$ .

A code over  $R$  of length  $n$  is a nonempty subset  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $R^n$ , and a linear code over  $R$  of length  $n$  is a code which is a  $R$ -submodule of  $R^n$ . Given a code  $\mathcal{C}$  over a ring  $R$  of length  $n$  and a distance function  $d_w$  defined by a weight function  $w$ , we define the *minimum distance* of  $\mathcal{C}$  as  $d_w(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{d_w(x, y) \mid x, y \in \mathcal{C}, x \neq y\}$ . We also define the *minimum weight* of  $\mathcal{C}$  as  $w(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{w(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{C}, x \neq (0, \dots, 0)\}$ . If  $W(\mathcal{C})$  denotes the maximum weight of the codewords in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $A_i$  denotes the number of codewords with weight equal to  $i$ , for  $i \in \{0, \dots, W(\mathcal{C})\}$ , we say that the values  $A_0, \dots, A_{W(\mathcal{C})}$  are the *weight distribution* of  $\mathcal{C}$  for the weight  $w$ . We define the *distance distribution* of  $\mathcal{C}$  for a distance  $d_w$  analogously. It is well-known that when  $\mathcal{C}$  is linear, the weight and distance distributions for  $w$  and  $d_w$ , respectively, coincide.

We are interested in two weights widely used in coding theory, namely, the Hamming weight and the homogeneous weight. The first one, the *Hamming weight*, is  $w_H(x) = |\{i \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \mid x_i \neq 0\}|$ , where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$  and  $R$  is a ring. Then, the *Hamming distance* is defined as  $d_H(x, y) = w_H(x - y)$  for any  $x, y \in R^n$ . The Hamming weight distribution of a code  $\mathcal{C}$  of length  $n$  is often condensed in its *weight enumerator*, which is the polynomial defined as  $W_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y) = \sum_{i=0}^{W(\mathcal{C})} A_i X^{n-i} Y^i$ . The second one, the *homogeneous weight*, is defined for any finite chain ring  $R$  as follows. Given  $x \in R$ ,

$$w_{Hom}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x = 0, \\ (q-1)q^{s-2} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \text{ and } x \notin \langle \gamma^{s-1} \rangle, \\ q^{s-1} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \text{ and } x \in \langle \gamma^{s-1} \rangle. \end{cases}$$

It is easily extended to  $R^n$  component-wise as  $w_{Hom}(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n w_{Hom}(x_i)$ , where  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$ . Then, the *homogeneous distance* is defined as  $d_{Hom}(x, y) = w_{Hom}(x, y)$  for any  $x, y \in R^n$ .

As shown in [17], any linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  over a finite chain ring  $R$  of length  $n$  is equivalent, via permutation of columns and multiplication of columns by units, to a linear code with a generator matrix of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{t_1} & A_{1,1} & A_{1,2} & \cdots & A_{1,s-1} & A_{1,s} \\ 0 & \gamma I_{t_2} & \gamma A_{2,2} & \cdots & \gamma A_{2,s-1} & \gamma A_{2,s} \\ 0 & 0 & \gamma^2 I_{t_3} & \cdots & \gamma^2 A_{3,s-1} & \gamma^2 A_{3,s} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \gamma^{s-1} I_{t_s} & \gamma^{s-1} A_{s,s} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1)$$

where  $A_{i,j}$  is a matrix with entries over  $R$  for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ ,  $i \leq j \leq s$ , and  $I_{t_i}$  is the  $t_i \times t_i$  identity matrix for  $1 \leq i \leq s$ .

Moreover, since the values  $t_1, \dots, t_s$  are unique [17], we say that the linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  is of *type*  $(n; t_1, \dots, t_s)$ . A linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  of type  $(n; t_1, \dots, t_s)$  has size  $|\mathcal{C}| = q^{\sum_{i=1}^s (s-(i-1))t_i}$ . It is worth noting that the type offers a characterization of free codes, since a linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $R$  is free if and only if its type is  $(n; t_1, 0, \dots, 0)$ .

Let  $H$  be a matrix over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We say that  $H$  is a *generalized Hadamard (GH) matrix*,  $H = H(q, \lambda) = (h_{ij})$ , of order  $n = q\lambda$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  if  $H$  is a  $q\lambda \times q\lambda$  matrix such that, for every  $i, j$ ,  $1 \leq i < j \leq q\lambda$ , each of the multisets  $\{h_{is} - h_{js} \mid 1 \leq s \leq q\lambda\}$  contains every element of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  exactly  $\lambda$  times. A code  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  is called a *generalized Hadamard (GH) code* if  $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{F}_q} (F + (a, \dots, a))$ , where

$F$  is a code having as codewords the rows of a GH matrix. A linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $R$  such that its Gray map image  $\Phi_R(\mathcal{C})$  is a GH code is called a  *$R$ -additive GH code*. The recursive construction of a family of these codes given in [2] is of special interest, as the families of codes we want to study are closely related to it. This notion is of special interest for the case when  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ , as simplex codes over these rings are closely related to GH codes [7, 2] and, in turn, their Gray map images are closely related to GH codes.

As shown in [19], the success of the original Gray map defined in [12] being an isometry from  $\mathbb{Z}_4$  with the Lee distance to  $\mathbb{Z}_2^2$  with the Hamming distance cannot, in general, be replicated. That is, there is no isometry  $f : \mathbb{Z}_{p^s} \mapsto \mathbb{Z}_p^s$  for the metric space  $(\mathbb{Z}_p^s, d_H)$  with  $s > 2$ . However, other isometries can be built, such as the generalized Gray maps from  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$  to  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{s-1}$  given in [1, 2, 3, 7, 8, 6, 13].

More generally, in [9], a Gray map for any finite chain ring  $R$  is defined as the function  $\Phi_R : R \mapsto \mathbb{F}_q^{q^{s-1}}$  such that

$$\Phi_R(r) = (\overline{r_0}, \dots, \overline{r_{s-1}})M_{s-1}^R, \quad (2)$$

where  $[r_0, \dots, r_{s-1}]_\gamma$  is the  $\gamma$ -adic representation of  $r$ , and  $M_{s-1}^R$  is a generator matrix of the  $q$ -ary first order Reed-Muller code,  $RM(s-1, 1)$ , over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . In fact,  $\Phi_R(R)$  is also a linear generalized Hadamard code of length  $q^{s-1}$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , which comes from a generalized Hadamard matrix  $H(q, q^{s-2})$ , known as the Sylvester Hadamard matrix [21].

We can extend  $\Phi_R$  to a Gray map on  $R^n$ , denoted also by  $\Phi_R$ , applying it component-wise.

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a linear code over  $R$  of type  $(n; t_1, \dots, t_s)$ . Then,  $C = \Phi_R(\mathcal{C})$  is a code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  of length  $n \cdot q^{s-1}$  with  $|\mathcal{C}|$  codewords. We also say that  $C$  is of type  $(n; t_1, \dots, t_s)$ . Note that  $C$  is not necessarily linear over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We refer to codes over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  that are Gray map images of linear codes over  $R$  as  *$R$ -linear codes*. Studying the preimage  $\mathcal{C}$  of a  $R$ -linear code  $C = \Phi_R(\mathcal{C})$  is of interest, as, for example, the homogeneous weights of the codewords in  $\mathcal{C}$  are the Hamming weights of the codewords in  $C$ . This is due to the fact that  $\Phi_R : (R^n, d_{Hom}) \mapsto ((\mathbb{F}_q^{q^{s-1}})^n, d_H)$  is an isometry [9].

Binary simplex codes are the dual of the well-known binary Hamming codes. They can also be obtained from binary linear Hadamard codes by considering just the codewords with 0 in the first coordinate and deleting this coordinate. As generalizations of the binary case,  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ -additive simplex codes of type  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are introduced in [10, 11], where their Hamming and homogeneous weight distributions are also studied. In [6], the relation of  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ -additive simplex of type  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  with  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ -additive Hadamard codes is established and the linearity of their Gray map images is studied. These  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ -additive Hadamard codes, and more generally, the  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -additive generalized Hadamard codes, have been constructed and studied in [1, 2, 7, 8, 22]. They are linear codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$  whose corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -linear codes are generalized Hadamard codes.

The motivation for this paper is to construct and study two families of linear simplex codes over finite chain rings, generalizing the constructions of  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ -additive simplex codes of type  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ . We study their fundamental parameters and those of their Gray map images. Also, we determine the Hamming and homogeneous weight distributions of these codes, and then we use these results to obtain the Hamming weight distribution of their Gray map images. In [14],

simplex codes of type  $\beta$  over finite chain rings are defined in terms of certain multisets of points in projective Hjelmslev geometries. We show how our simplex codes of type  $\beta$  relate to those ones, and provide original proofs for some of the results given in [14] from a different perspective.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we give the construction of the families of linear simplex codes of type  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  over any finite chain ring  $R$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$ , respectively. In Sections 3, we determine the minimum Hamming distance and the minimum homogeneous distance for the codes included in these families, together with their Hamming and homogeneous distributions. From the homogeneous distributions, we also obtain the Hamming weight distributions for their corresponding Gray map images,  $\Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha)$  and  $\Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\beta)$ . We also study whether these simplex codes are optimal with respect to the Griesmer-type bound introduced in [20]. In Section 4, we focus on the particular case when the finite chain ring is  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$  with  $s \geq 1$  and  $p$  a prime number. Finally, in Section 6, we discuss some conclusions and further research on this topic.

## 2 Construction of linear simplex codes

In this section, we present the construction of two families of linear simplex codes over finite chain rings, giving a recursive construction for their generator matrices. They are a generalization of the ones introduced in [10, 11] when  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ .

We now introduce some notation used in some proofs along the paper. Let  $R$  be a ring. If a vector  $x \in R^n$  appears as an entry of another vector  $y$ , it means that its coordinates are included as individual components of  $y$ . For example, if  $x = (1, 2, 3)$ , then  $y = (x, 4) = (1, 2, 3, 4)$ . Given a vector  $x \in R^n$  and a positive integer  $k$ , the vector  $(x, \dots, x)$ , where  $x$  is repeated  $k$  times, is denoted by  $x^{(k)}$ . For example,  $(1, 2, 3, 1, 2, 3) = (1, 2, 3)^{(2)}$ . The vector  $x = (a, \dots, a) \in R^n$  is also denoted by  $\mathbf{a}^{(n)}$ , or just  $\mathbf{a}$  if the length is clear from the context. For instance,  $(1, 1, 1) = \mathbf{1}^{(3)}$  or just  $\mathbf{1}$ .

Let  $R$  be a finite chain ring. Recall that  $T = \{e_0, \dots, e_{q-1}\} \subseteq R$  is a set of coset representatives of  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . We consider that the elements of  $T$  are ordered so that  $e_0 = 0$  and  $e_1 = 1$ . Given  $x, y \in R$  such that  $x = [x_0, \dots, x_{s-1}]_\gamma$  and  $y = [y_0, \dots, y_{s-1}]_\gamma$ , we say that  $x \geq_\gamma y$  if and only if  $x_i > y_i$  as elements in  $T$ , where  $i$  is the highest index such that  $x_i \neq y_i$ . From now on, we consider the elements of a finite chain ring  $R$  to be  $\{\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{q^s-1}\}$ , which are listed in ascending order. From the order definition, it follows that  $\rho_0 = 0$  and  $\rho_1 = 1$ .

**Definition 2.1** *Given a finite chain ring  $R$ , we define the matrix*

$$G_1^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \dots & \rho_{q^s-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Then, for  $k > 1$ , we define the matrix*

$$G_k^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 & \rho_1 & \rho_2 & \dots & \rho_{q^s-1} \\ G_{k-1}^\alpha & G_{k-1}^\alpha & G_{k-1}^\alpha & G_{k-1}^\alpha & G_{k-1}^\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

*The linear code over  $R$  generated by  $G_k^\alpha$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ , is called a linear simplex  $\alpha$  code with  $k$  generators.*

Another way to build the code  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ , without using an iterative construction, is to consider as its generator matrix the matrix that has as its columns all the elements from  $R^k$ , ordered appropriately to obtain the same matrix  $G_k^\alpha$  given in Definition 2.1. It is immediate to see that this code has length  $q^{sk}$ .

**Example 2.1** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{3^2}$ . We have that

$$G_1^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$G_2^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 00000000 & 11111111 & 22222222 & \cdots & 88888888 \\ 012345678 & 012345678 & 012345678 & \cdots & 012345678 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Example 2.2** Let  $R = GR(4, 2) \cong \mathbb{Z}_4[x]/(x^2 + x + 1)$ . Consider the elements of  $R$  in ascending order, that is,  $R = \{0, 1, \omega, \omega + 1, 2, 3, 2 + \omega, 3 + \omega, 2\omega, 2\omega + 1, 3\omega, 2\omega + 2, 2\omega + 3, 3\omega + 2, 3\omega + 3\}$ , where  $\omega$  is a root of the basic irreducible polynomial  $x^2 + x + 1$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ . Then, we have that

$$G_1^\alpha = (0 \ 1 \ \omega \ \omega + 1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 2 + \omega \ 3 + \omega \ \dots \ 3\omega + 2 \ 3\omega + 3),$$

and

$$G_2^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0}^{(16)} & \mathbf{1}^{(16)} & \omega^{(16)} & \cdots & \mathbf{3}\omega + \mathbf{3}^{(16)} \\ 01\omega \dots 3\omega + 3 & 01\omega \dots 3\omega + 3 & 01\omega \dots 3\omega + 3 & \cdots & 01\omega \dots 3\omega + 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proposition 2.1** Let  $s_i^k$  denote the  $i$ th row of  $G_k^\alpha$ ,  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ . Then,

$$s_i^k = (\rho_0^{(q^{s(k-i)}), \rho_1^{(q^{s(k-i)}), \dots, \rho_{q^s-1}^{(q^{s(k-i)})})^{(q^{s(i-1)}})}.$$

**Proof.** For  $k = 1$ , the result is trivial, since  $G_1^\alpha$  has only one row that lists all elements in  $R$ . Now, consider  $k \geq 2$ . For  $i = 1$ , by the construction of  $G_k^\alpha$ , we have that  $s_1^k = (\rho_0^{(q^{s(k-1)}), \rho_1^{(q^{s(k-1)}), \dots, \rho_{q^s-1}^{(q^{s(k-1)})})^{(q^{s(k-1)}})}.$  For  $2 \leq i \leq k$ , by construction, we have that  $s_i^k = (s_{i-1}^{k-1})^{(q^s)}$ . By applying this relation iteratively  $i - 1$  times, we obtain  $s_i^k = (s_1^{k-(i-1)})^{(q^{s(i-1)})}$ . Therefore, we have that  $s_i^k = (\rho_0^{(q^{s(k-i)}), \rho_1^{(q^{s(k-i)}), \dots, \rho_{q^s-1}^{(q^{s(k-i)})})^{(q^{s(i-1)}})}.$   $\square$

Let  $\langle \gamma \rangle = \{a_0\gamma, \dots, a_{q^s-1}\gamma\}$ , where the elements are in ascending order. Note that  $\{a_0, \dots, a_{q^s-1}\}$  is a set of representatives of  $R/\langle \gamma^{s-1} \rangle$  and  $a_0 = 0$ .

**Definition 2.2** Given a finite chain ring  $R$ , we define the matrix  $G_1^\beta = (1)$ . Then, for  $k > 1$ , we define the matrix

$$G_k^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & a_0\gamma & a_1\gamma & a_2\gamma & \cdots & a_{q^s-1}\gamma \\ G_{k-1}^\alpha & G_{k-1}^\beta & G_{k-1}^\beta & G_{k-1}^\beta & \cdots & G_{k-1}^\beta \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

The linear code over  $R$  generated by  $G_k^\beta$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$ , is called a linear simplex  $\beta$  code with  $k$  generators.

**Example 2.3** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{3^2}$ . We have that

$$G_1^\beta = (1)$$

and

$$G_2^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 11111111 & 0 & 3 & 6 \\ 012345678 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Example 2.4** Consider  $R = GR(4, 2)$  as in Example 2.2, we have that

$$G_1^\beta = (1),$$

and

$$G_2^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1}^{(16)} & 0 & 2 & 2\omega & 2\omega + 2 \\ 01\omega \dots 3\omega + 3 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall that the columns of the generator matrix  $G_k^\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  contain exactly all the elements of  $R^k$ . The construction of  $G_k^\beta$  is similar but removing some of the columns of  $G_k^\alpha$ , in order to assure that no two columns are multiples of each other, as we show in the next proposition.

**Proposition 2.2** Let  $G_k^\beta$ ,  $k \geq 1$ . Let  $\{g_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq n}$  be the set of its columns. If  $g_i = \lambda g_j$  for  $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $\lambda \in R$ , then  $\lambda = 1$  and  $i = j$ .

**Proof.** It follows from an induction argument on  $k \geq 1$ . For  $k = 1$ , it is immediate, as  $G_1^\beta$  has only one column. Let  $k > 1$ , and assume that the proposition is true for  $k - 1$ . By reduction to the absurd, suppose there are  $i \neq j$ , and  $\lambda \in R \setminus \{0, 1\}$  such that  $g_i = \lambda g_j$ . We consider four different cases. First, if  $i, j > q^{s(k-1)}$ , as the last  $k - 1$  coordinates of  $g_i$  and  $g_j$  are columns of  $G_{k-1}^\beta$  by construction (3), then equation  $g_i = \lambda g_j$  contradicts the induction hypothesis. Second, if  $i, j \leq q^{s(k-1)}$ , as the first coordinate of  $g_i$  and  $g_j$  is equal to one, then we obtain that  $1 = \lambda$ , so  $g_i = g_j$  which is a contradiction. Third, if  $i \leq q^{s(k-1)}$  and  $j > q^{s(k-1)}$ , looking at the first coordinate of  $g_i$  and  $g_j$ , then we have  $1 = \lambda z$ , where  $z$  is an element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ . However, this also means that  $z$  is a unit, which is a contradiction. Finally, we consider the case when  $i > q^{s(k-1)}$  and  $j \leq q^{s(k-1)}$ . Since  $g_i = \lambda g_j$ , looking at the first coordinate, we have that  $z = \lambda$ , where  $z \in \langle \gamma \rangle$ . Thus, all the entries in  $\lambda g_j$  are also in  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ . By induction, it is easy to see that any column of  $G_k^\beta$  has at least one entry equal to one. This means that there is at least one entry in  $g_i$  equal to one, which is a contradiction. Therefore, either  $i = j$  or  $\lambda = 1$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3** For  $k \geq 1$ , the linear simplex  $\beta$  code  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  has length

$$L_\beta(k) = q^{(s-1)(k-1)} \frac{q^k - 1}{q - 1}.$$

**Proof.** We prove the result by induction over  $k \geq 1$ . For  $k = 1$ , it is true since the length is  $L_\beta(1) = 1$ . If  $k > 1$ , by construction, we have that

$$L_\beta(k) = q^{s(k-1)} + q^{s-1} L_\beta(k-1). \quad (4)$$

Indeed, the first term of (4) comes from the number of columns of  $G_{k-1}^\alpha$ , given by Proposition 3.1, and the second comes from the number of elements of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ , which is  $q^{s-1}$ . Assume  $L_\beta(k-1) = q^{(s-1)(k-2)} \frac{q^{k-1}-1}{q-1}$ . Now, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_\beta(k) &= q^{s(k-1)} + q^{s-1} L_\beta(k-1) \\
&= q^{s(k-1)} + q^{s-1} q^{(s-1)(k-2)} \frac{q^{k-1}-1}{q-1} \\
&= q^{k-1} q^{(s-1)(k-1)} + q^{(s-1)(k-1)} \frac{q^{k-1}-1}{q-1} \\
&= q^{(s-1)(k-1)} \frac{(q-1)q^{k-1} + q^{k-1} - 1}{q-1} \\
&= q^{(s-1)(k-1)} \frac{q^k - 1}{q-1}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5}$$

□

From Proposition 2.2 and Proposition 2.3, it is direct to see that the code  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  is permutation equivalent to  $\text{Sim}(k, R)$ , defined in [14] geometrically.

### 3 Fundamental parameters and weight distributions

In this section, we calculate the fundamental parameters of the linear simplex codes  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  over a finite chain ring  $R$ , namely their number of codewords and their types, as well as their weight distributions for the Hamming and homogeneous weights. These results are a generalization of the ones given in [10, 11] for  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  when  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ .

The Hamming weight distribution of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  over a finite chain ring, given in Theorem 3.3, was previously proved in [14] using projective Hjelmslev geometries. However, we provide an original proof for this result from a completely different perspective using combinatorial techniques.

**Proposition 3.1** *The linear simplex  $\alpha$  code  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  has type  $(q^{sk}; k, 0, \dots, 0)$ .*

**Proof.** Straightforward from the construction of the generator matrix  $G_k^\alpha$  of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ . Note that  $G_k^\alpha$  has as its columns all the elements from  $R^k$ , so in particular it contains the identity matrix  $I_k$ . □

**Proposition 3.2** *The linear simplex  $\beta$  code  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  has type*

$$(q^{(s-1)(k-1)} \frac{q^k - 1}{q-1}; k, 0, \dots, 0).$$

**Proof.** The length of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  is given by Proposition 2.3. The matrix  $G_1^\beta$  has the identity matrix of size  $k = 1$ . Suppose that  $G_{k-1}^\beta$  contains the identity matrix  $I_{k-1}$ . Consider the matrix  $\bar{I}_k$  of size  $k \times (k-1)$  having all-zeros in the first row and the identity matrix  $I_{k-1}$  in the other rows. By construction and the induction hypothesis,  $\bar{I}_k$  is contained in some columns of  $G_k^\beta$ . The first column

of  $G_k^\beta$  is always  $(1, 0, \dots, 0)$  since  $\rho_0 = 0$ . Therefore,  $G_k^\beta$  has the identity matrix  $I_k$ .  $\square$

In order to determine the complete weight distributions for the linear simplex codes  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$ , we introduce the concept of valuation of elements in  $R$  and some remarks related to ideals in  $R$ .

For  $x \in R \setminus \{0\}$ , we define the *valuation* of  $x$ , denoted by  $\nu(x)$ , as the maximum natural number  $m$  such that  $x = \gamma^m \beta$  for a unit  $\beta \in R^*$ , so  $\nu(x) \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$ . If  $x = 0$ , we define  $\nu(x)$  as  $\infty$  formally. Given that any generator of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  must be of the form  $\gamma \beta$  for a unit  $\beta \in R^*$ ,  $\nu$  does not depend on which generator of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  is selected. In other words, it is associated with the chain ring itself rather than with a particular generator. Note that we can define a sum operation “+” over the valuation set  $\Gamma = \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\} \cup \{\infty\}$  in a natural way: for  $a, b \in \Gamma$ , define  $a + b = \infty$  if  $a = \infty$ , or  $b = \infty$ , or if  $a + b \geq s$  when summed as integers; otherwise, define  $a + b$  as the usual integer sum. The elements of  $\Gamma \setminus \{\infty\}$  are ordered as integers and  $a < \infty$  for any  $a \in \Gamma \setminus \{\infty\}$ .

From the definition of  $\nu$ , two basic properties immediately follow. For  $x \in R$ ,  $\nu(x) = \infty$  if and only if  $x = 0$ , and  $\nu(x) = 0$  if and only if  $x \in R^*$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that for  $x, y \in R$ ,  $\nu(xy) = \nu(x) + \nu(y)$ ,  $\nu(x+y) \geq \min\{\nu(x), \nu(y)\}$  with equality when  $\nu(x) \neq \nu(y)$ , and  $\nu(x) \leq \nu(y)$  if and only if  $x \mid y$ .

The valuation  $\nu$  can be extended to elements in  $R^n$  as follows. Given  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in R^n$ ,  $\nu(x) = \min\{\nu(x_1), \dots, \nu(x_n)\}$ . This function is also called valuation and denoted by  $\nu$ . It has similar properties to those of the valuation function for  $R$ , that is, for  $x \in R^n$ ,  $\nu(x) = \infty$  if and only if  $x = \mathbf{0}$ , and  $\nu(x) = \mathbf{0}$  if and only if there is some  $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $x_i \in R^*$ . Moreover, for  $a \in R$  and  $x \in R^n$ ,  $\nu(ax) = \nu(a) + \nu(x)$ , and for  $x, y \in R^n$ ,  $\nu(x+y) \geq \min\{\nu(x), \nu(y)\}$  with equality when  $\nu(x) \neq \nu(y)$ .

**Remark 3.1**  $|\langle \gamma^j \rangle| = q^{s-j}$ , for every  $j \in \{0, \dots, s\}$ . In particular,  $|R| = q^s$ .

**Remark 3.2** Given  $x, y \in R \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $x \in \langle \gamma^j \rangle$  for some  $j \in \{1, \dots, s-1\}$ . Then,  $xy = 0$  if and only if  $y \in \langle \gamma^{s-j} \rangle$ .

**Remark 3.3** Let  $\lambda \in R$  and  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$  such that  $\nu(\lambda) \geq j$ . Then,  $\langle \gamma^j \rangle + \lambda = \langle \gamma^j \rangle$ . In particular,  $R + \lambda = R$ .

Now, we determine the complete weight distributions for the linear simplex codes  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$ , for both the Hamming and homogeneous weights. Due to the fact that the Gray map  $\Phi_R$  is an isometry using the homogeneous metric in  $R$  and the Hamming metric in  $\Phi_R(R)$ , the Hamming weight distributions of  $\Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha)$  and  $\Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\beta)$  are also obtained. Note that the codes obtained after applying this Gray map satisfy the property that their Hamming weight distribution coincides with their distance distribution, as  $d_H(\Phi_R(u), \Phi_R(v)) = w_H(\Phi_R(u-v))$  for any  $u, v \in R$  [9].

We begin by computing the Hamming weight distribution for  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ . Let  $s_i^k$  be the  $i$ th row of  $G_k^\alpha$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Clearly, by construction, all rows of  $G_k^\alpha$  contain the same coordinates but permuted, and  $w_H(s_i^k) = q^{sk} - q^{s(k-1)}$ . Moreover, note that  $w_H(x) = w_H(ux)$ , for any  $x \in R$  and  $u \in R^*$ . Thus, we know the Hamming weight of every generator  $s_i^k$ , as well as the Hamming weight of every generator multiplied by an unit of  $R$ . Before tackling the Hamming weight distribution of

$\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ , we introduce some results dealing with how multiplying a generator  $s_i^k$  by a zero divisor affects its Hamming weight.

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $s_i^k$  be the  $i$ th row of  $G_k^\alpha$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . For all  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$ ,  $\gamma^j s_i^k$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma^j \rangle$  repeated  $q^{j+s(k-1)}$  times.*

**Proof.** By Proposition 2.1, since all rows  $s_i^k$  are equal up to a permutation, we can prove the result by just considering  $s_k^k = (\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{q^s-1})^{(q^{s(k-1)})}$ . Let  $v = (\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_{q^s-1})$ . Then, we have that  $\gamma^j v$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma^j \rangle$  repeated  $q^j$  times in its entries. This is a consequence of Remarks 3.1 and 3.2, as  $\gamma^j x = \gamma^j y$  if and only if  $x - y \in \langle \gamma^{s-j} \rangle$ . In consequence,  $\gamma^j s_k^k$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma^j \rangle$  repeated  $q^j q^{s(k-1)}$  times in its entries, which concludes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1** *Let  $s_i^k$  be the  $i$ th row of  $G_k^\alpha$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . For all  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$ ,  $w_H(\gamma^j s_i^k) = q^{sk} - q^{j+s(k-1)}$ .*

Now, we see that studying the Hamming weight of the codewords given in Lemma 3.1 is enough, as all codewords of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  are equal to one of those up to a permutation. We start giving a lemma.

**Lemma 3.2** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a linear code over  $R$  of type  $(n; k, 0, \dots, 0)$  with generator matrix  $G$ . Let  $\{u_1, \dots, u_k\}$  be the row vectors of  $G$  and  $c \in \mathcal{C}$ . If  $c = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i$  for some  $\alpha_i \in R$ , then  $\nu(c) = \min\{\nu(\alpha_1), \dots, \nu(\alpha_k)\}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $\eta = \min\{\nu(\alpha_1), \dots, \nu(\alpha_k)\}$ . For  $c = \mathbf{0}$ , as  $\mathcal{C}$  is free,  $c = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i = \mathbf{0}$  if and only if  $\alpha_i = 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . In consequence,  $\nu(\alpha_i) = \infty$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , so  $\eta = \infty = \nu(0)$  and the result is true.

For  $c \neq \mathbf{0}$ , we have that  $\nu(c) \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$  and  $c = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i$  with at least one nonzero  $\alpha_i$ . By definition of  $\eta$ , we can write every coefficient  $\alpha_i$  as  $\alpha_i = \gamma^\eta \beta_i$ , where  $\beta_i \in R$ . Therefore,  $c = \gamma^\eta (\sum_{i=1}^k \beta_i u_i)$ , which implies that  $\nu(c) \geq \eta$ .

By the definition of  $\nu(c)$ , we have that  $\gamma^{s-\nu(c)} c = \mathbf{0}$ . Again, as  $\mathcal{C}$  is free and  $c = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i u_i$ , we obtain that  $\gamma^{s-\nu(c)} \alpha_i = 0$  for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . In particular, this is true for some index  $i_0$  such that  $\nu(\alpha_{i_0}) = \eta$ . Thus,  $\gamma^{s-\nu(c)} \alpha_{i_0} = 0$ , which implies that  $\nu(\alpha_{i_0}) \geq \nu(c)$  by Remark 3.2, so  $\eta \geq \nu(c)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.3** *Let  $c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\alpha \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  and  $s_i^k$  be the  $i$ th row of  $G_k^\alpha$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Then,  $c$  is equal to  $\gamma^{\nu(c)} s_i^k$ , up to a permutation of coordinates, for all  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ .*

**Proof.** By Lemma 3.1, it suffices to prove that  $c$  is equal to  $\gamma^{\nu(c)} s_i^k$  up to a permutation for some  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ , since all rows of  $G_k^\alpha$  contain the same elements, but permuted. We have that  $c = \sum_{j=1}^r \alpha_{i_j} s_{i_j}^k$  for some  $1 \leq r \leq k$  and  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r \leq k$ , where  $0 \neq \alpha_{i_j} = \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j}$  and  $n_{i_j} = \nu(\alpha_{i_j})$ . Note that  $\nu(c) \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$  since  $c \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Moreover,  $\nu(c) = \min\{n_{i_1}, \dots, n_{i_r}\}$  by Lemma 3.2. Then, we can write

$$c = \sum_{j=1}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} s_{i_j}^k = \gamma^{\nu(c)} \left( \sum_{j=1}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j} - \nu(c)} \beta_{i_j} s_{i_j}^k \right) = \gamma^{\nu(c)} c',$$

with  $\nu(c') = 0$ . If the statement is true for  $c'$ , then the result also follows for  $c \neq \mathbf{0}$ . Therefore, from now on, we assume that  $\nu(c) = 0$ .

We prove the result by induction over  $k \geq 1$ . For  $k = 1$ , there is one summand in (3), so the result is trivially true. Suppose that it is true for  $k - 1$ . First, assume that  $i_1 > 1$ . In this case,  $c = (\sum_{j=1}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} s_{i_{j-1}}^{k-1})^{(q^s)}$ , so we have that  $c$  is equal to  $\bar{c} = (s_1^{k-1})^{(q^s)}$  up to a permutation, by induction hypothesis. By the recursive construction of  $G_k^\alpha$ , we have that  $\bar{c} = s_2^k$ , and the result holds.

Now, we assume that  $i_1 = 1$ . In this case,

$$c = \gamma^{n_1} \beta_1 s_1^k + \sum_{j=2}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} s_{i_j}^k = \gamma^{n_1} \beta_1 s_1^k + (\sum_{j=2}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} s_{i_{j-1}}^{k-1})^{(q^s)}.$$

Since  $s_1^k = (\rho_{\mathbf{0}}^{(q^{s(k-1)})}, \dots, \rho_{\mathbf{q}^s-1}^{(q^{s(k-1)})})$  by Proposition 2.1,  $c = (c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{q^s-1})$ , where  $c_\ell = \bar{c} + \gamma^{n_1} \beta_1 \rho_\ell \mathbf{1}^{(q^{s(k-1)})}$  and  $\bar{c} = \sum_{j=2}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} s_{i_{j-1}}^{k-1}$  for any  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, q^s - 1\}$ . By induction hypothesis, we know that  $\bar{c}$  is equal to  $\gamma^{\nu(\bar{c})} s_1^{k-1}$  up to a permutation. Clearly,  $\nu(c) = \min\{n_1, \nu(\bar{c})\}$ , so either  $\nu(\bar{c}) = 0$  or  $n_1 = 0$ . This allows us to divide the remainder of the argument in two different cases.

If  $\nu(\bar{c}) = 0$ , then  $\bar{c}$  is equal to  $s_1^{k-1}$  up to a permutation, so  $\bar{c}$  has every element of  $R$  repeated  $q^{s(k-2)}$  times. By Remark 3.3, the vectors  $c_\ell = \bar{c} + \gamma^{n_1} \beta_1 \rho_\ell \mathbf{1}^{(q^{s(k-1)})}$  have as well all elements of  $R$  repeated  $q^{s(k-2)}$  times for any  $\ell \in \{0, \dots, q^s - 1\}$ , so they are equal to  $s_1^{k-1}$  up to a permutation, making  $c$  equal to  $s_2^k$  up to a permutation.

Finally, if  $\nu(\bar{c}) > 0$ , then we have that  $n_1 = 0$ . Since  $\beta_1$  is a unit, up to a permutation,  $c$  is equal to  $c' = (c'_0, c'_1, \dots, c'_{q^s-1})$ , where  $c'_\ell = \bar{c} + \rho_\ell \mathbf{1}^{(q^{s(k-1)})}$ . We can assume that  $\bar{c} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , otherwise the result follows. We know that  $\bar{c}$  is equal to  $\gamma^{\nu(\bar{c})} s_1^{k-1}$  up to a permutation, so  $\bar{c}$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma^{\nu(\bar{c})} \rangle$  repeated  $q^{\nu(\bar{c})+s(k-2)}$  times by Lemma 3.1. Let  $I = \langle \gamma^{\nu(\bar{c})} \rangle$  and let  $v_I$  be a vector having exactly all elements of  $I$  in its coordinates. Note that the vector  $(v_I, v_I + \rho_1, \dots, v_I + \rho_{q^s-1})$  has every element of  $R$  repeated  $|I| = q^{s-\nu(\bar{c})}$  times, since  $R + \lambda = R$  for every  $\lambda \in I$  by Remark 3.3.

Thus, we have that  $c'$  has every element of  $R$  repeated  $q^{s-\nu(\bar{c})} q^{\nu(\bar{c})+s(k-2)} = q^{s(k-1)}$  times. Therefore,  $c'$  is  $s_1^k$  up to a permutation. □

**Corollary 3.2** *Let  $c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\alpha \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Then,  $w_H(c) = q^{sk} - q^{\nu(c)+s(k-1)}$ .*

**Proof.** It follows from Proposition 3.3 and Lemma 3.1. □

**Corollary 3.3** *The minimum Hamming distance of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  is*

$$d_H(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha) = q^{sk} - q^{sk-1} = (q-1)q^{sk-1}.$$

By Corollary 3.2, the Hamming weight of any nonzero codeword  $c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  is determined by its valuation  $\nu(c) \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$ . We now compute the number of codewords corresponding to each valuation  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$ ; that is, for each possible nonzero Hamming weight  $w_j = q^{sk} - q^{j+s(k-1)}$ , thereby completing the study of the Hamming weight distribution for the linear simplex  $\alpha$  code  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ . First, we count the number of codewords of each valuation  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$  in any free code over a finite chain ring  $R$ .

**Lemma 3.3** *Let  $R$  be a finite chain ring and  $\mathcal{C}$  a linear code over  $R$  of type  $(n; k, 0, \dots, 0)$  with generator matrix  $G$  as in (1). Let  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$ . The number of codewords of  $\mathcal{C}$  with valuation equal to  $j$  is  $q^{k(s-j)} - q^{k((s-j)-1)}$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $s_1, \dots, s_k$  be the row vectors of  $G$ . We have that any codeword  $c \in \mathcal{C}$  can be expressed as  $c = \sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i s_i$  for some  $\alpha_i \in R$ . Moreover,  $\nu(c) = \min\{\nu(\alpha_1), \dots, \nu(\alpha_k)\}$  by Lemma 3.2. Assume that  $\nu(c) = j$ . Let  $\ell$  be the number of coefficients  $\alpha_i$  with valuation equal to  $j$ . Note that  $\ell \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . If  $\nu(\alpha_i) \neq j$ , then  $\nu(\alpha_i) > j$ . We have that  $\{\lambda \in R \mid \nu(\lambda) \geq j\} = \langle \gamma^j \rangle$  for every  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$ , so  $\{\lambda \in R \mid \nu(\lambda) = j\} = \langle \gamma^j \rangle \setminus \langle \gamma^{j+1} \rangle$ . It is easy to see that  $|\langle \gamma^j \rangle| = q^{s-j}$  and  $|\langle \gamma^j \rangle \setminus \langle \gamma^{j+1} \rangle| = q^{s-j} - q^{(s-j)-1}$ . There are  $q^{s-j} - q^{(s-j)-1}$  options for  $\alpha_i$  such that  $\nu(\alpha_i) = j$ , and  $q^{(s-j)-1}$  such that  $\nu(\alpha_i) > j$ . Therefore, we have that  $|\{c \in \mathcal{C} \mid \nu(c) = j\}| = \sum_{\ell=1}^k \binom{k}{\ell} (q^{s-j} - q^{(s-j)-1})^\ell (q^{(s-j)-1})^{k-\ell}$ . This expression is almost a binomial expansion, as only the coefficient for  $\ell = 0$  is missing. Therefore, it is equal to  $(q^{s-j} - q^{(s-j)-1} + q^{(s-j)-1})^k - q^{k((s-j)-1)} = q^{k(s-j)} - q^{k((s-j)-1)}$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 3.1** *The Hamming weight enumerator for  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  is*

$$W_{\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha}(X, Y) = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} A_{w_j} X^{q^{sk}-w_j} Y^{w_j} + X^{q^{sk}},$$

where  $w_j = q^{sk} - q^{s(k-1)+j}$  and  $A_{w_j} = q^{k(s-j)} - q^{k((s-j)-1)}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ . By Corollary 3.2, either  $c = \mathbf{0}$  and  $w_H(c) = 0$ , or  $c \neq \mathbf{0}$  and  $w_H(c) = q^{sk} - q^{\nu(c)+s(k-1)}$ . We have that  $A_0 = 1$ . Let  $A_{w_j}$  be the number of codewords of weight  $w_j = q^{sk} - q^{j+s(k-1)}$  in  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ , for  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$ . Since  $A_{w_j} = |\{c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\alpha \mid w_H(c) = w_j\}|$ , where  $w_j = q^{sk} - q^{j+s(k-1)}$ , we can write that  $A_{w_j} = |\{c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\alpha \mid \nu(c) = j\}|$ . By Lemma 3.3,  $A_{w_j} = q^{k(s-j)} - q^{k((s-j)-1)}$  and the statement follows.  $\square$

Note that summing all the elements of the Hamming weight distribution of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  given by Theorem 3.1, we obtain the total number of codewords,  $|\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha| = q^{sk}$ . That is,  $\sum_{i=0}^n A_i = A_0 + \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} A_{w_j} = q^{sk}$ . Indeed,  $A_0 = 1$  and  $\sum_{j=0}^{s-1} A_{w_j} = \sum_{j'=1}^s q^{kj'} - q^{k(j'-1)}$ , where  $j' = s - j$ . This is a telescoping sum, so we have that  $\sum_{j=0}^{s-1} A_{w_j} = q^{sk} - 1$ .

Since the study of the Hamming weight distribution has provided extensive information about the codewords of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ , such as which elements they have and how many times each of them appears, we can also calculate the homogeneous weight of any codeword as well as the homogeneous weight distribution of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ .

**Proposition 3.4** *Let  $c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\alpha \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$ . Then,  $w_{Hom}(c) = q^{s(k+1)-2}(q-1)$ .*

**Proof.** To determine the homogeneous weight of a nonzero codeword  $c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ , we have to count how many coordinates of  $c$  are zero, and how many of those that are not zero are in  $\langle \gamma^{s-1} \rangle$ . By Proposition 3.3, it suffices to consider the codewords  $c = \gamma^j s_k^k$ , with  $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$ . By Lemma 3.1, we know that  $c$  has  $q^{j+s(k-1)}$  zero coordinates, which have weight zero and do not count. We can easily see that  $c$  has  $(q-1)q^{j+s(k-1)}$  nonzero coordinates that belong to

$\langle \gamma^{s-1} \rangle$ , as  $|\langle \gamma^{s-1} \rangle| = q$ . These coordinates have homogeneous weight equal to  $q^{s-1}$ . Finally, the remaining  $(q^{s-j} - q)q^{j+s(k-1)}$  coordinates have homogeneous weight equal to  $(q-1)q^{s-2}$ , because  $|\langle \gamma^j \rangle \setminus \langle \gamma^{s-1} \rangle| = q^{s-j} - q$ . Therefore, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} w_{Hom}(c) &= (q-1)q^{j+s(k-1)}q^{s-1} + (q^{s-j} - q)q^{j+s(k-1)}(q-1)q^{s-2} \\ &= q^{j+s(k-1)}(q-1)(q^{s-1} + (q^{s-j} - q)q^{s-2}) \\ &= q^{j+s(k-1)}(q-1)q^{2s-j-2} = q^{s(k-1)+2s-2}(q-1) = q^{s(k+1)-2}(q-1). \end{aligned}$$

Note that  $w_{Hom}(c)$  does not depend on  $j$ , so we conclude that all nonzero codewords have the same homogeneous weight.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.4** *The minimum homogeneous weight of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  is*

$$d_{Hom}(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha) = q^{s(k+1)-2}(q-1).$$

The next result gives us the fundamental parameters and the Hamming weight enumerator of the Gray map image of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ , that is, for the code  $\Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

**Theorem 3.2** *The  $R$ -linear code  $C = \Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha)$  is a*

$$(q^{s(k+1)-1}, q^{sk}, q^{s(k+1)-2}(q-1))$$

*code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Moreover, the Hamming weight enumerator for  $C$  is  $W_C(X, Y) = A_\ell X^{n'-\ell} Y^\ell + X^{n'}$ , where  $n' = q^{s(k+1)-1}$ ,  $A_\ell = q^{sk} - 1$  and  $\ell = q^{s(k+1)-2}(q-1)$ .*

**Proof.** The length of  $\Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha)$  is the length of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  multiplied by  $q^{s-1}$ , so  $q^{s-1}q^{sk} = q^{s(k+1)-1}$  by Proposition 3.1. The number of codewords is  $|\Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha)| = |\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha| = q^{sk}$ , since  $\Phi_R$  is injective and  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  is a free  $R$ -module.

We know that  $\Phi_R$  is an isometry from  $(R^n, d_{Hom})$  to  $(\mathbb{F}_q^{q^{s-1}n}, d_H)$ .

Thus, the Hamming weight of the codewords of  $\Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha)$  is equal to the homogeneous weight of the codewords of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ . By Proposition 3.4, for  $c \in \Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha)$ ,  $w_H(c) = 0$  if  $c = 0$  and  $w_H(c) = q^{s(k+1)-2}(q-1)$  otherwise. Indeed, the minimum Hamming distance of  $\Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha)$  is the minimum homogeneous weight of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ , which is equal to  $q^{s(k+1)-2}(q-1)$  by Corollary 3.4.  $\square$

We now aim to apply the same methods to find the Hamming and homogeneous weight distributions for  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$ . Since these distributions are trivial for  $\mathcal{S}_1^\beta = R$ , we will consider only  $k \geq 2$ . We begin with some basic observations about the rows of  $G_k^\beta$ . Let  $h_i^k$  be the  $i$ th row of this matrix,  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$ . Given  $n \geq 1$ , we denote as  $\mathbf{z}_{(n)} = (a_0\gamma^{(n)}, a_1\gamma^{(n)}, \dots, a_{q^{s-1}-1}\gamma^{(n)})$ , a vector listing all elements of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  repeated  $n$  times. Note that  $a_0\gamma^{(n)} = \mathbf{0}^{(n)}$ , since  $a_0 = 0$ . The following remark comes from the recursive construction of  $G_k^\beta$ .

**Remark 3.4** *Let  $s_i^k$  and  $h_i^k$  be the  $i$ th row of  $G_k^\alpha$  and  $G_k^\beta$ , respectively,  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  and  $k \geq 2$ . Then,  $h_1^k = (\mathbf{1}^{(q^{s(k-1)})}, \mathbf{z}_{(L_\beta(k-1))})$ , and  $h_i^k = (s_{i-1}^{k-1}, (h_{i-1}^{k-1})^{(q^{s-1})})$  for  $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ .*

**Lemma 3.4** *Let  $h_i^k$  be the  $i$ th row of  $G_k^\beta$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, k\}$  and  $k \geq 2$ . Then,  $h_i^k$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  repeated  $L_\beta(k-1)$  times and the remaining  $q^{s(k-1)}$  coordinates are in  $R^*$ .*

**Proof.** We show the result by induction over  $k \geq 2$ . For  $k = 2$ , we have that  $h_1^2 = (\mathbf{1}^{(q^s)}, \mathbf{z}_{(1)})$  and  $h_2^2 = (s_1^1, \mathbf{1}^{(q^{s-1})})$ . As  $L_\beta(1) = 1$ , we have that  $h_1^2$  satisfies the proposition trivially. Since every element in  $R$  appears exactly once in the coordinates of  $s_1^1$ ,  $h_2^2$  satisfies the property as well.

Now, suppose it is true for  $k-1$ . For  $h_1^k$ , the property follows directly from Remark 3.4. Given  $i \in \{2, \dots, k\}$ , we know that  $h_i^k = (s_{i-1}^{k-1}, (h_{i-1}^{k-1})^{(q^{s-1})})$  by the same remark. Applying the induction hypothesis and Lemma 3.1, we infer that every element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  appears  $q^{s(k-2)} + q^{s-1}L_\beta(k-2)$  times in  $h_i^k$ , which equals  $L_\beta(k-1)$  by (4). Finally, we have that there are  $q^{s(k-1)}$  coordinates remaining over  $R^*$ , again by equation (4), since  $|\langle \gamma \rangle| = q^{s-1}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 3.5** *Let  $c \in R^n$ , and  $\lambda \in R \setminus \{0\}$ . If  $c$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  repeated  $m$  times, then  $\lambda c$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma^{\nu(\lambda)+1} \rangle$  repeated  $q^{\nu(\lambda)}m$  times and the remaining coordinates are in  $\langle \gamma^{\nu(\lambda)} \rangle \setminus \langle \gamma^{\nu(\lambda)+1} \rangle$ .*

**Proof.** The coordinates of  $\lambda c$  corresponding to the  $n - q^{s-1}m$  units of  $c$  have valuation equal to  $\nu(\lambda)$ , so they are in  $\langle \gamma^{\nu(\lambda)} \rangle \setminus \langle \gamma^{\nu(\lambda)+1} \rangle$ . The coordinates of  $\lambda c$  that correspond to the elements of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  in  $c$  have valuation strictly greater than  $\nu(\lambda)$ , so they are elements of  $\langle \gamma^{\nu(\lambda)+1} \rangle$ . As  $\lambda = \gamma^{\nu(\lambda)}u$  for some unit  $u$  and  $\gamma^{\nu(\lambda)}uz_1 = \gamma^{\nu(\lambda)}uz_2$  if and only if  $z_1 - z_2 \in \langle \gamma^{s-\nu(\lambda)} \rangle$ , each element of  $\langle \gamma^{\nu(\lambda)+1} \rangle$  in  $\lambda c$  corresponds to  $|\langle \gamma^{s-\nu(\lambda)} \rangle| = q^{\nu(\lambda)}$  elements of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  in  $c$ . Therefore, if there are  $m$  of each element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  in  $c$ , there are  $q^{\nu(\lambda)}m$  of each element of  $\langle \gamma^{\nu(\lambda)+1} \rangle$  in  $\lambda c$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.5** *Let  $c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\beta \setminus \{0\}$  with  $k \geq 2$ . Then,  $c$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma^{\nu(c)+1} \rangle$  repeated  $q^{\nu(c)}L_\beta(k-1)$  times and the remaining  $q^{s(k-1)}$  coordinates are in  $\langle \gamma^{\nu(c)} \rangle \setminus \langle \gamma^{\nu(c)+1} \rangle$ .*

**Proof.** Given  $c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\beta$ ,  $c \neq 0$ , we have that  $c = \sum_{j=1}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} h_{i_j}^k$ , where  $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_r \leq k$ ,  $1 \leq r \leq k$ , and  $\nu(\beta_{i_j}) = 0$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, r\}$ . By Lemma 3.2,  $\nu(c) = \min \{n_{i_1}, \dots, n_{i_r}\}$ . Note that

$$c = \gamma^{\nu(c)} \sum_{j=1}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j} - \nu(c)} \beta_{i_j} h_{i_j}^k = \gamma^{\nu(c)} c',$$

where  $c'$  is also a codeword and  $\nu(c') = 0$ . Applying Lemma 3.5 to  $c'$ , we have that if the result is true for  $c'$ , then it is true for  $c$ . Therefore, we can assume that  $\nu(c) = 0$  for the remainder of the proof.

We prove the result by induction over  $k \geq 2$ . First, consider  $k = 2$ . By Remark 3.4,  $h_1^2 = (\mathbf{1}^{(q^s)}, \mathbf{z}_{(1)})$  and  $h_2^2 = (s_1^1, \mathbf{1}^{(q^{s-1})})$ . Given a codeword  $c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\beta \setminus \{0\}$  such that  $\nu(c) = 0$ , we show that  $c$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  exactly once. We have that  $c = \gamma^{n_1} \beta_1 h_1^2 + \gamma^{n_2} \beta_2 h_2^2 = (v_1, v_2)$ , where  $v_1 = \gamma^{n_1} \beta_1 \mathbf{1}^{(q^s)} + \gamma^{n_2} \beta_2 s_1^1$  and  $v_2 = \gamma^{n_1} \beta_1 \mathbf{z}_{(1)} + \gamma^{n_2} \beta_2 \mathbf{1}^{(q^{s-1})}$ . We consider two different cases, depending on whether  $n_1 = 0$  or  $n_2 = 0$ .

If  $n_2 = 0$ , since  $\beta_2 s_1^1$  has all elements of  $R$  exactly once, we obtain that  $v_1 = \gamma^{n_1} \beta_1 \mathbf{1}^{(q^s)} + \beta_2 s_1^1$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  exactly once by Remark 3.3. Now, for  $v_2 = \gamma^{n_1} \beta_1 \mathbf{z}_{(1)} + \beta_2 \mathbf{1}^{(q^{s-1})}$ , as all coordinates of  $\gamma^{n_1} \beta_1 \mathbf{z}_{(1)}$  are in  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  and  $\nu(\beta_2) = 0$ , all coordinates of  $v_2$  are units. In consequence,  $c$  satisfies the desired property.

If  $n_2 > 0$ , then  $n_1 = 0$ . For  $v_1 = \beta_1 \mathbf{1}^{(q^s)} + \gamma^{n_2} \beta_2 s_1^1$ , we have that all its coordinates are units. For  $v_2 = \beta_1 \mathbf{z}_{(1)} + \gamma^{n_2} \beta_2 \mathbf{1}^{(q^{s-1})}$ , we have that  $\beta_1 \mathbf{z}_{(1)}$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  exactly once, and  $\gamma^{n_2} \beta_2 \in \langle \gamma \rangle$  since  $n_2 > 0$ . Therefore, applying Remark 3.3,  $v_2$  contains every element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  exactly once. Then, again,  $c$  satisfies the desired property.

Now, consider  $k \geq 3$  and that the property is true for  $k-1$ . Consider  $c \in S_k^\beta \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  such that  $\nu(c) = 0$ . We have to prove that  $c$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  repeated  $L_\beta(k-1)$  times. We have that  $c = \sum_{j=1}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} h_{i_j}^k$ . We consider two cases taking into account whether  $i_1 = 1$  or  $i_1 > 1$ . First, suppose that  $i_1 > 1$ . By Remark 3.4,

$$c = \left( \sum_{j=1}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} s_{i_j-1}^{k-1}, \left( \sum_{j=1}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} h_{i_j-1}^{k-1} \right)^{(q^{s-1})} \right) = (v_1, v_2).$$

Here,  $v_1 \in S_{k-1}^\alpha$  and  $\nu(v_1) = 0$ , so  $v_1$  has every element of  $R$  repeated  $q^{s(k-2)}$  times by Lemma 3.1. In particular, this is true for every element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ . We have that  $v_2$  is equal to a codeword of  $S_{k-1}^\beta$  with valuation 0, repeated  $q^{s-1}$  times. Thus, by induction hypothesis,  $v_2$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  repeated  $q^{s-1} L_\beta(k-2)$  times. Therefore,  $c$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  repeated  $q^{s(k-2)} + q^{s-1} L_\beta(k-2) = L_\beta(k-1)$  times by (4) and the statement follows.

Now, suppose  $i_1 = 1$ . In this case,  $c = \gamma^{n_1} \beta_1 h_1^k + c' = (v_1, v_2)$ , where  $c' = \sum_{j=2}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} h_{i_j}^k$ ,  $v_1 = \gamma^{n_1} \beta_1 \mathbf{1}^{(q^{s(k-1)})} + \sum_{j=2}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} s_{i_j-1}^{k-1}$  and  $v_2 = \gamma^{n_1} \beta_1 \mathbf{z}_{L_\beta(k-1)} + \left( \sum_{j=2}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} h_{i_j-1}^{k-1} \right)^{(q^{s-1})}$  by Remark 3.4. Since  $0 = \nu(c) = \min\{n_1, \nu(c')\}$ , at least one of these values is equal to 0.

If  $\nu(c') = 0$ , it is clear that  $v_1$  has every element of  $R$  repeated  $q^{s(k-2)}$  times by Remark 3.3 and Lemma 3.1. In particular, this is true for every element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ . For  $v_2$ , we can argue the following. We know that  $w = \sum_{j=2}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} h_{i_j-1}^{k-1}$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  repeated  $L_\beta(k-2)$  times, by induction hypothesis and the fact that  $\nu(w) = 0$ . Now, every coordinate of  $\gamma^{n_1} \beta_1 \mathbf{z}_{(L_\beta(k-1))}$  is in  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ , and  $v_2$  is equal to the concatenation of the vectors  $v_z = w + \mathbf{1}^{(L_\beta(k-1))} \gamma^{n_1} \beta_1 z$ , for every  $z \in \langle \gamma \rangle$ . Applying Remark 3.3, we have that  $v_z$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  repeated  $L_\beta(k-2)$  times. In total,  $v_2$  has every element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  repeated  $q^{s-1} L_\beta(k-2)$  times. The statement follows by (4).

Finally, if  $\nu(c') > 0$ , then we have that  $n_1 = 0$ . Since multiplying by a unit does not modify the number of elements in  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ , we can assume that  $\beta_1 = 1$ . Thus, we have that  $c = (v_1, v_2)$  where  $v_1 = \mathbf{1}^{(q^{s(k-1)})} + \sum_{j=2}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} s_{i_j-1}^{k-1}$  and  $v_2 = \mathbf{z}_{L_\beta(k-1)} + \left( \sum_{j=2}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} h_{i_j-1}^{k-1} \right)^{(q^{s-1})}$ . First, note that every coordinate of  $\sum_{j=2}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} s_{i_j-1}^{k-1}$  is in  $\langle \gamma^{\nu(c')} \rangle$ . Note also that  $\langle \gamma^{\nu(c')} \rangle \subseteq \langle \gamma \rangle$  since  $\nu(c') > 0$ . The coordinates of  $v_1$  are units because they are the result of adding a unit to an element of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$ . Therefore, there are no elements of  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  in  $v_1$ . Now, consider  $w = \sum_{j=2}^r \gamma^{n_{i_j}} \beta_{i_j} h_{i_j-1}^{k-1} = (w_1, \dots, w_{L_\beta(k-1)})$ . Since  $\nu(c') > 0$ , we have that  $\nu(w) > 0$ . We can permute the coordinates of  $v_2$  so that we have  $(\mathbf{z}_{(1)} + (w_1, \dots, w_1), \dots, \mathbf{z}_{(1)} + (w_{L_\beta(k-1)}, \dots, w_{L_\beta(k-1)}))$ . For  $i \in \{1, \dots, L_\beta(k-1)\}$ ,

$\mathbf{z}_{(1)} + (w_i, \dots, w_i)$  is a permutation of  $\mathbf{z}_{(1)}$  by Remark 3.3, so each element in  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  appears once. Therefore, in  $v_2$ , each element in  $\langle \gamma \rangle$  appears  $L_\beta(k-1)$  times.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.5** *Let  $c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\beta \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  with  $k \geq 2$ . Then,*

$$w_H(c) = L_\beta(k) - q^{\nu(c)} L_\beta(k-1).$$

**Proof.** It follows from Proposition 3.5 and the fact that  $0 \in \langle q^{\nu(c)+1} \rangle$  for every valuation  $\nu(c) \in \{0, 1, \dots, s-1\}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.6** *The minimum Hamming distance of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  with  $k \geq 2$  is*

$$d_H(\mathcal{S}_k^\beta) = L_\beta(k) - q^{s-1} L_\beta(k-1) = q^{s(k-1)}.$$

Again, since the Hamming weight of any nonzero codeword of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  is determined by its valuation by Corollary 3.5, we can apply the same argument as for  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ , given in Theorem 3.1, to determine the Hamming weight distribution for the linear simplex  $\beta$  code  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$ , and we obtain the following result.

**Theorem 3.3** *The Hamming weight enumerator for  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  with  $k \geq 2$  is*

$$W_{\mathcal{S}_k^\beta}(X, Y) = \sum_{j=0}^{s-1} A_{w_j} X^{L_\beta(k)-w_j} Y^{w_j} + X^{L_\beta(k)},$$

where  $w_j = L_\beta(k) - q^j L_\beta(k-1)$  and  $A_{w_j} = q^{k(s-j)} - q^{k((s-j)-1)}$ .

Note that, while  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  offers less redundancy than  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ , since its length is smaller and both have the same number of codewords, it has smaller minimum distance as well, as  $d_H(\mathcal{S}_k^\beta) = q^{s(k-1)} \leq q^{sk-1} \leq (q-1)q^{sk-1} = d_H(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha)$ . Moreover, we have that  $d_H(\mathcal{S}_k^\beta) < d_H(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha)$  unless  $q = 2$  and  $s = 1$ .

Proposition 3.5 also allows us to calculate how many elements of each ideal of  $R$  there are in any codeword of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$ , which gives us the homogeneous weight of any codeword and the homogeneous weight distribution of the code as well.

**Proposition 3.6** *Let  $c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  with  $k \geq 1$ . Then, we have that*

$$w_{Hom}(c) = \begin{cases} q^{sk-1} & \text{if } \nu(c) = s-1, \\ q^{sk-k-1}(q^k - 1) & \text{if } \nu(c) < s-1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** For  $k = 1$ , the result follows from the definition of the homogeneous weight, as  $\mathcal{S}_1^\beta = R$ . Now, consider  $k \geq 2$ . We start by proving the case  $\nu(c) = s-1$ . By Proposition 3.5, we have that  $c$  has  $q^{s-1} L_\beta(k-1)$  zeroes and  $q^{s(k-1)}$  nonzero coordinates in  $\langle \gamma^{s-1} \rangle$ . Therefore, in this case, we have that  $w_{Hom}(c) = q^{s(k-1)} q^{s-1} = q^{sk-1}$ .

If  $\nu(c) < s-1$ , then we have that  $s > 1$ , since  $\nu(c) \geq 0$ . This means that  $\langle \gamma^{s-1} \rangle \subseteq \langle \gamma^{\nu(c)+1} \rangle$ , and neither  $\langle \gamma^{\nu(c)+1} \rangle$  nor  $\langle \gamma^{s-1} \rangle$  are equal to  $\{\mathbf{0}\}$ . By Proposition 3.5, there are  $q^{s(k-1)}$  coordinates of  $c$  that belong to  $\langle \gamma^{\nu(c)} \rangle \setminus$

$\langle \gamma^{\nu(c)+1} \rangle$ . Each of these coordinates has homogeneous weight  $(q-1)q^{s-2}$ , so the total homogeneous weight is  $(q-1)q^{s-2}q^{s(k-1)}$ .

Again, by Proposition 3.5, in the remaining coordinates, each element of  $\langle \gamma^{\nu(c)+1} \rangle$  is repeated  $q^{\nu(c)}L_\beta(k-1)$  times. Out of the total of  $q^{s-1-\nu(c)}$  different elements in  $\langle \gamma^{\nu(c)+1} \rangle$ , the  $q-1$  nonzero elements of  $\langle \gamma^{s-1} \rangle$  have homogeneous weight  $q^{s-1}$ , and the others have weight  $(q-1)q^{s-2}$ . Therefore, we have that these elements add up to a total homogeneous weight of  $((q-1)q^{s-1} + (q^{s-1-\nu(c)} - q)(q-1)q^{s-2})q^{\nu(c)}L_\beta(k-1) = (q-1)q^{s-2}q^{s-1}L_\beta(k-1)$ .

In consequence,  $w_{Hom}(c) = (q-1)q^{s-2}(q^{s(k-1)} + q^{s-1}L_\beta(k-1)) = (q-1)q^{s-2}L_\beta(k)$  by applying (4). Finally, using that  $L_\beta(k) = q^{(s-1)(k-1)}\frac{q^k-1}{q-1}$  by Proposition 2.3, we obtain  $w_{Hom}(c) = q^{s-2+sk-s-k+1}(q^k-1) = q^{sk-k-1}(q^k-1)$ .

Finally, if  $\nu(c) > s-1$ , then  $c = \mathbf{0}$  and  $w_{Hom}(c) = 0$ .

□

**Corollary 3.7** *The minimum homogeneous weight of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  with  $k \geq 2$  is*

$$d_{Hom}(\mathcal{S}_k^\beta) = q^{sk-k-1}(q^k-1).$$

Using the same arguments as for  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ , we obtain the fundamental parameters of the Gray map image of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$ , that is, of the code  $\Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\beta)$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Moreover, like for  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$ , recall that the homogeneous weight distribution of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  coincides with the Hamming weight distribution of  $\Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\beta)$ .

**Theorem 3.4** *The  $R$ -linear code  $C = \Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\beta)$  with  $k \geq 2$  is a*

$$(q^{(s-1)k}\frac{q^k-1}{q-1}, q^{sk}, q^{sk-k-1}(q^k-1))$$

*code over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Moreover, the Hamming weight enumerator for  $C$  is  $W_C(X, Y) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{W(C)} A_\ell X^{n'-\ell} Y^\ell$ , where  $n' = q^{(s-1)k}\frac{q^k-1}{q-1}$  and*

$$A_\ell = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ell = 0, \\ q^k - 1 & \text{if } \ell = q^{sk-1}, \\ q^{sk} - q^k & \text{if } \ell = q^{sk-k-1}(q^k-1), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** The length of  $C = \Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\beta)$  is  $q^{s-1}L_\beta(k) = q^{(s-1)k}\frac{q^k-1}{q-1}$  by Proposition 2.3. The number of codewords is  $|\Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\beta)| = |\mathcal{S}_k^\beta| = q^{sk}$ , and the minimum Hamming distance of  $C$  equals  $q^{sk-k-1}(q^k-1)$  by Corollary 3.7, since the homogeneous weight of  $c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  coincides with the Hamming weight of  $\Phi_R(c) \in C$ .

Since the Hamming distance distribution of  $C$  coincides with the homogeneous weight distribution of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$ , we just need to count the number of codewords of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  that there are for every possible homogeneous weight. Clearly, the only codeword of weight 0 is the zero vector. The codewords of homogeneous weight  $q^{sk-1}$  are those with valuation  $s-1$  by Proposition 3.6. By Lemma 3.3, there are  $q^{k(s-j)} - q^{k(s-j-1)}$  of them for  $j = s-1$ , so  $q^k - 1$ . As for the

codewords of homogeneous weight  $q^{sk-k-1}(q^k-1)$ , we know that there must be  $q^{sk}-1-(q^k-1)=q^{sk}-q^k$  of them.  $\square$

To end this section, we check whether the linear simplex  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  codes,  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$ , are optimal from the point of view of the Griesmer bound. This bound is stated for any linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  over any Frobenius local finite ring  $R$  in [20], so, in particular, it can be applied to linear codes over any finite chain ring  $R$ . We define  $k(\mathcal{C}) = \min\{\text{rank}(\mathcal{C}') \mid \mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}', \text{ and } \mathcal{C}' \text{ is a free submodule of } \mathcal{C}\}$ . When a code meets this bound, we say that it is *optimal* with respect to it.

**Proposition 3.7 (Griesmer Bound)** [20, Theorem 2.2] *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a linear code of length  $n$  over a Frobenius local finite ring  $R$  with residue field  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Then,*

$$n \geq \sum_{i=0}^{k(\mathcal{C})-1} \left\lceil \frac{d_H(\mathcal{C})}{q^i} \right\rceil. \quad (6)$$

**Proposition 3.8** *The linear simplex code  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  is not optimal with respect to the Griesmer bound for any finite chain ring and  $k \geq 1$ .*

**Proof.** By Corollary 3.3,  $d_H(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha) = (q-1)q^{sk-1}$ . Moreover,  $k(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha) = k$  since  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  is a free module. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left\lceil \frac{d_H(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha)}{q^i} \right\rceil &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{(q-1)q^{sk-1}}{q^i} = (q-1) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q^{sk-1-i} \\ &= (q-1) \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q^{(s-1)k+i} = (q-1)q^{(s-1)k} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q^i \\ &= (q-1)q^{(s-1)k} \frac{q^k-1}{q-1} = q^{sk} - q^{(s-1)k}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

By Proposition 3.1, the length of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  is  $q^{sk}$ , so these codes do not meet the Griesmer bound (6) since  $q^{sk} - q^{(s-1)k} < q^{sk}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.9** *The linear simplex code  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  is optimal with respect to the Griesmer bound for any finite chain ring  $R$  and  $k \geq 1$ .*

**Proof.** By Corollary 3.6,  $d_H(\mathcal{S}_k^\beta) = q^{s(k-1)}$ . Moreover,  $k(\mathcal{S}_k^\beta) = k$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left\lceil \frac{d_H(\mathcal{S}_k^\beta)}{q^i} \right\rceil &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q^{s(k-1)-i} = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q^{(s-1)(k-1)+i} \\ &= q^{(s-1)(k-1)} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q^i = q^{(s-1)(k-1)} \frac{q^k-1}{q-1} = L_\beta(k) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

by Proposition 3.1, and these codes meet the Griesmer bound (6).  $\square$

## 4 On $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -additive simplex codes

When  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ , we have  $\gamma = p$ , so  $K = \mathbb{Z}_p$ ,  $q = p$  and the valuation function is related to the usual  $p$ -adic valuation, see [18, p. 112]. In this case, as the structure of a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -module is the same as its abelian group structure, any property in terms of the valuation of a vector  $x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}^n$  can be rewritten in terms of its order and vice versa, as  $\nu(x) = s - \log_p(o(x))$  or, equivalently,  $o(x) = p^{s-\nu(x)}$ . Recall that given  $x \in R^n$ , the *order* of  $x$ , denoted as  $o(x)$ , is the minimum natural number  $m > 0$  such that  $mx = \mathbf{0}$ .

We show that, for the ring  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ , linear simplex codes  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  are closely related to  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -additive GH codes of type  $(n; k+1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . Recall that a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -additive GH code  $\mathcal{H}$  is a linear code over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$  such that it is corresponding  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -linear code  $\Phi_R(\mathcal{H})$  is a GH code. The Gray map  $\Phi_R$ , for  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ , is the generalized Carlet Gray map used in works such as [1, 2, 13].

For any sequence  $t_1, \dots, t_s$  of nonnegative integers such that  $t_1 \geq 1$ , we can construct a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -additive code  $\mathcal{H}^{t_1, \dots, t_s}$  of type  $(n; t_1, \dots, t_s)$ , by constructing a generator matrix, denoted as  $A^{t_1, \dots, t_s}$  [2]. A recursive construction goes as follows. The starting matrix is  $A^{1,0,\dots,0} = (1)$ , and, if we have  $A = A^{t_1, \dots, t_s}$ , by constructing

$$A_i = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \cdot \mathbf{p}^{i-1} & 1 \cdot \mathbf{p}^{i-1} & \dots & (p^{s-(i-1)} - 1) \cdot \mathbf{p}^{i-1} \\ A & A & \dots & A \end{pmatrix},$$

we have that  $A_i = A^{t'_1, \dots, t'_s}$ , where  $t_j = t'_j$  if  $j \neq i$  and  $t'_i = t_i + 1$ . We consider that this process is done by first computing  $A^{t, \dots, 0}$  for  $t$  up to the desired value  $t_1$ , then computing  $A^{t_1, t, \dots, 0}$  up to the desired value  $t_2$ , and so on. The  $\mathcal{H}^{t_1, \dots, t_s}$  code is a  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -additive GH code, as proven in [2].

By Definition 2.1, for  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ , the code  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  is defined as the linear code with generator matrix  $G_k^\alpha$ , where

$$G_1^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & \dots & p^s - 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

and, for  $k > 1$ ,

$$G_k^\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{2} & \dots & \mathbf{p}^s - \mathbf{1} \\ G_{k-1}^\alpha & G_{k-1}^\alpha & G_{k-1}^\alpha & G_{k-1}^\alpha & G_{k-1}^\alpha \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$G_k^\alpha = \tilde{A}^{k+1,0,\dots,0}, \quad (9)$$

where  $\tilde{A}^{k+1,0,\dots,0}$  is the matrix  $A^{k+1,0,\dots,0}$  after removing the last row, the all-one row. By Definition 2.2, the  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  code is the linear code generated by the matrix  $G_k^\beta$ , where  $G_1^\beta = (1)$  and, for  $k > 1$ ,

$$G_k^\beta = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{1} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{1p} & \mathbf{2p} & \dots & (p^{s-1} - 1)\mathbf{p} \\ G_{k-1}^\alpha & G_{k-1}^\beta & G_{k-1}^\beta & G_{k-1}^\beta & \dots & G_{k-1}^\beta \end{pmatrix}.$$

In Examples 2.1 and 2.3, we can see the generator matrices  $G_2^\alpha$  and  $G_2^\beta$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_9$ .

Next, we give the Hamming and homogeneous weight distributions of the linear simplex codes  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  over  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$  in terms of the order of the codewords. As corollaries of Propositions 3.3 and 3.5, we obtain Corollaries 4.1 and 4.2, which are a generalization of the results given by Gupta for linear simplex codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$  in [11].

**Corollary 4.1** *Let  $c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\alpha \setminus \{0\}$ . Then,  $w_H(c) = p^{sk} - \frac{p^s}{\text{ord}(c)} p^{s(k-1)}$ .*

**Corollary 4.2** *Let  $c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\beta \setminus \{0\}$  with  $k \geq 2$ . Then,*

$$w_H(c) = L_\beta(k) - \frac{p^s}{\text{ord}(c)} L_\beta(k-1).$$

The minimum Hamming distance of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  is  $(p-1)p^{sk-1}$ , which equals  $\varphi(p^{sk})$ , where  $\varphi$  is Euler's totient function. Stating Theorem 3.1 in terms of the order, we have that the number of codewords of order  $p^i$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ , that is, of Hamming weight  $\bar{w}_i = p^{sk} - p^{sk-i}$  is  $A_{\bar{w}_i} = p^{ki} - q^{k(i-1)}$ . Since the homogeneous weight of a codeword of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  does not depend on its order, from Theorem 3.2, we obtain directly the following result giving the fundamental parameters and Hamming weight distribution of its Gray map image  $\Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha)$ .

**Corollary 4.3** *The  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -linear code  $\Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha)$  is a  $(p^{s(k+1)-1}, p^{sk}, p^{s(k+1)-2}(p-1))$  code over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , having all codewords of Hamming weight  $p^{s(k+1)-2}(p-1)$ , except the all-zero codeword.*

The minimum Hamming distance of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  is  $p^{s(k-1)}$ . By Theorem 3.3, the number of codewords of order  $p^i$ ,  $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$ , that is of Hamming weight  $\bar{w}_i = L_\beta(k) - p^{s-i} L_\beta(k-1)$  is  $A_{\bar{w}_i} = p^{ki} - q^{k(i-1)}$ . Rewriting also the results given in Proposition 3.6 and Theorem 3.4 for the homogeneous weight of any codeword of  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  in terms of the order, we can also obtain the fundamental parameters and Hamming weight distribution of  $\Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\beta)$ .

**Corollary 4.4** *Let  $c \in \mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  with  $k \geq 2$ . Then, we have that*

$$w_{Hom}(c) = \begin{cases} p^{sk-1} & \text{if } \text{ord}(c) = p, \\ p^{sk-k-1}(p^k - 1) & \text{if } \text{ord}(c) > p, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Corollary 4.5** *The  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -linear code  $\Phi_R(\mathcal{S}_k^\beta)$  with  $k \geq 2$  is a  $(p^{(s-1)k} \frac{p^k-1}{p-1}, p^{sk}, p^{sk-k-1}(p^k-1))$  code over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ , having  $p^k-1$  codewords of Hamming weight  $p^{sk-1}$ ,  $p^{sk} - p^k$  codewords of Hamming weight  $p^{sk-k-1}(p^k - 1)$  and one of Hamming weight zero.*

## 5 Linear simplex codes over finite noncommutative chain rings

In the previous sections, we have studied linear simplex codes over finite commutative chain rings. In this section, we briefly cover the details needed in order to extend our results to finite noncommutative chain rings.

Given a finite ring that is not necessarily commutative, we denote the *left module*  $M$  over  $R$  as  ${}_R M$ , which is an additive subgroup of  $R$  such that  $rm \in M$  for every  $r \in R$  and  $m \in M$ . The notation for right modules is analogous. A *simple* left (right) module over a ring is a module such that it contains no nontrivial left (right) submodules. For an ideal  $I$  of  $R$ , we also define a *left* and

right ideal analogously, and, when a given set is a left and right ideal, we call it a *two-sided ideal* or, simply, an ideal. A finite left (right) chain ring  $R$  is a finite ring such that its left (right) ideals form a unique chain ordered by inclusion.

In the context of noncommutative rings, the *Jacobson radical*, defined as  $J(R) = \{r \in R \mid rM = 0 \text{ for every simple left (right) module } M\}$ , is an important tool to study their properties. Note that  $J(R)$  can be described as the intersection of all maximal left (right) ideals of  $R$  [15, p. 75]. Also note that defining  $J(R)$  in terms of simple left or right modules yields the same ideal, so  $J(R)$  is a two-sided ideal. In this context, we say that a noncommutative ring is *local* if it has a unique maximal left ideal, which then turns out to be  $J(R)$ , so it has a unique maximal right ideal as well. This is equivalent to  $R/J(R)$  being a division ring, that is, a ring  $F$  (not necessarily commutative) such that  $F \setminus \{0\}$  is a group with respect to the ring's multiplication.

**Proposition 5.1** [4, Lemma 1] *If  $R$  is a finite ring with Jacobson radical  $J(R) \neq \{0\}$ , then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- $R$  is a left chain ring.
- The principal left ideals of  $R$  form a chain with inclusion.
- $R$  is a local ring, and  $J(R) = \langle \gamma \rangle$  for any  $\gamma \in J(R) \setminus J(R)^2$ .
- $R$  is a right chain ring.

Moreover, if  $R$  satisfies the above conditions, then every proper left (right) ideal has the form  $J(R)^i = {}_R\langle \gamma^i \rangle = \langle \gamma^i \rangle_R$  for some positive integer  $i$ .

Let  $R$  be a finite left chain ring. As  $R$  is local, the quotient  $R/J(R)$  is a finite division ring, which is always commutative, hence a finite field [15, p. 20]. When  $J(R) = \{0\}$ , we have then that  $R$  is a finite field. Therefore, Proposition 5.1 covers all noncommutative left chain rings. In conclusion, a finite left chain ring is a right chain ring, and vice versa. Moreover, all right and left ideals are principal and powers of an element  $\gamma \in J(R) \setminus J(R)^2$ .

If  $R/J(R) \cong \mathbb{F}_q$  for a prime power  $q$ , we define a set  $T = \{e_0, e_1, \dots, e_{q-1}\} \subseteq R$  of representatives of the classes modulo  $\gamma$ , such that  $\bar{e}_i \neq \bar{e}_j$  for all  $i, j \in \{0, 1, \dots, q-1\}$  with  $i \neq j$ , where  $\bar{r}$  denotes the image of  $r \in R$  under the canonical projection onto the quotient  $R/J(R)$ . Analogously to the commutative case, we define the *nilpotency index* of a finite left chain ring  $R$  as the minimum positive integer  $s$  such that  $J(R)^s = 0$ . Equivalently,  $s$  is the minimum positive integer such that  $\gamma^s = 0$ .

**Proposition 5.2** [16, Theorem 1.1] *Let  $R$  be a finite left chain ring. Then, for every  $r \in R$ , there exist unique  $\ell_i \in T$  and unique  $r_i \in T$  for  $i \in \{0, \dots, s-1\}$  such that  $r = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \ell_i \gamma^i$  and  $r = \sum_{i=0}^s \gamma^i r_i$ .*

We refer to  $[\ell_0, \dots, \ell_{s-1}]_\gamma$  and  $[r_0, \dots, r_{s-1}]_\gamma$  as the *left* and *right*  $\gamma$ -adic representations of  $r$ , respectively. By Proposition 5.2,  $|_R\langle \gamma^i \rangle| = q^{s-i}$  for every  $i \in \{0, \dots, s\}$ . Since left and right ideals are the same sets for finite chain rings, by Proposition 5.1, a valuation function  $\nu$  can be defined in the same way as for the commutative case. For  $r \in R \setminus \{0\}$ ,  $\nu(r)$  is the maximum integer  $k$  such that  $r = u\gamma^k$ . For  $r = 0$ , we define  $\nu(0) = \infty$ . It is easy to check that this function

satisfies the same properties as the one defined in Section 3 for the commutative case. As before, we can extend it to elements in  $R^n$  by taking the minimum valuation of the entries.

In this setting, a *code* over  $R$  of length  $n$  is a nonempty subset  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $R^n$ , and a *left (right) linear code* over  $R$  of length  $n$  is a code which is a left (right)  $R$ -submodule of  $R^n$ . Any left (right) linear code  $\mathcal{C}$  over  $R$  is isomorphic to a unique direct sum of the form  $\mathcal{C} \cong (R/\langle \gamma^s \rangle)^{t_1} \times \cdots \times (R/\langle \gamma^{s-(i-1)} \rangle)^{t_i} \times \cdots \times (R/\langle \gamma \rangle)^{t_s}$  [5], and we say that  $\mathcal{C}$  has type  $(n; t_1, \dots, t_s)$ . We can always choose a generator matrix  $G$  for  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $t_i$  rows of valuation  $i - 1$ .

We can also define the Hamming and homogeneous weights, just in the same way as for the commutative case. Moreover, the Gray map  $\Phi_R$  for finite chain rings constructed in [9] can also be defined in a similar way for the noncommutative case, and it is still an isometry relating the homogeneous weights of codewords to the Hamming weight of the images. The Gray map images of left (right) linear codes over  $R$  are also distance invariant codes.

Finally, in the noncommutative case, we also have analogous versions of Remarks 3.2 and 3.3, and elements of valuation 0 in  $R$  are also units. We also obtain an equivalent version of Lemma 3.2 for left (right) free linear codes. Therefore, if we define the matrices  $G_k^\alpha$  and  $G_k^\beta$ , for  $k \geq 1$ , with entries over a finite left (right) chain ring and define the left (right) linear codes  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  as the codes generated by their rows as left (right)  $R$ -modules, we obtain analogous results for the corresponding weight distributions as the ones derived for the commutative case in the previous section.

## 6 Conclusions and further research

In this work, we have determined the complete weight distributions for the Hamming and homogeneous weights of the linear simplex codes  $\mathcal{S}_k^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{S}_k^\beta$  over any finite chain ring  $R$ . We provide explicit formulas in terms of the number  $k$  of generators of the code, the size  $q$  of the residue field of  $R$ , its nilpotency index  $s$ , and the valuation of the codewords. For  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ , we provide these results in terms of the order instead of the valuation of codewords.

A possible direction for future research is to derive explicit formulas for the Hamming and homogeneous weight distributions of the linear MacDonald codes  $\mathcal{M}_{k,u}^\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{M}_{k,u}^\beta$  over any finite chain ring  $R$ . These codes, introduced in [6] for  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ , are obtained by puncturing the corresponding linear simplex codes. Another potential research avenue is the construction of  $R$ -linear GH codes that generalize the construction given in [2] for  $R = \mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ , while also exploring their connection with linear simplex codes over  $R$ .

## References

- [1] D. K. Bhunia, C. Fernández-Córdoba, and M. Villanueva. “On the linearity and classification of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -linear generalized Hadamard codes”. In: *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* 90.4 (2022), pp. 1037–1058. DOI: 10.1007/S10623-022-01026-2.

- [2] D. K. Bhunia et al. “On the equivalence of  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -linear generalized Hadamard codes”. In: *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* 92.4 (2024), pp. 999–1022. DOI: 10.1007/s10623-023-01325-2.
- [3] C. Carlet. “ $\mathbb{Z}_{2^k}$ -linear codes”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 44 (1998), pp. 1543–1547. DOI: 10.1109/18.681328.
- [4] E. W. Clark and D. A. Drake. “Finite chain rings”. In: *Abhandlungen aus dem Mathematischen Seminar der Universität Hamburg* 39 (1973), pp. 147–153. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02992827>.
- [5] S. T. Dougherty and H. Liu. “Independence of vectors in codes over rings”. In: *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* 51 (2009), pp. 55–68. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10623-008-9243-1>.
- [6] C. Fernández-Córdoba, C. Vela, and M. Villanueva. “Nonlinearity and Kernel of  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ -Linear simplex and MacDonald Codes”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 68.11 (2022), pp. 7174–7183. DOI: 10.1109/TIT.2022.3172884.
- [7] C. Fernández-Córdoba, C. Vela, and M. Villanueva. “On  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ -linear Hadamard codes: kernel and partial classification”. English. In: *Designs, Codes, and Cryptography* 87.2-3 (2019), pp. 417–435. DOI: 10.1007/s10623-018-0546-6.
- [8] C. Fernández-Córdoba, C. Vela, and M. Villanueva. “On  $\mathbb{Z}_8$ -Linear Hadamard Codes: Rank and Classification”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 66.2 (2020), pp. 970–982. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1109/TIT.2019.2952599>.
- [9] M. Greferath and S. E. Schmidt. “Gray isometries for finite chain rings and a nonlinear ternary  $(36, 3^{12}, 15)$  code”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 45.7 (1999), pp. 2522–2524. DOI: 10.1109/18.796395.
- [10] M. K. Gupta. “On Some Linear Codes Over  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ ”. PhD thesis. Indian Institute of Technology, 2000.
- [11] M. K. Gupta, M. C. Bhandari, and A. K. Lal. “On linear codes over  $\mathbb{Z}_{2^s}$ ”. In: *Designs, Codes and Cryptography* 36.3 (2005), pp. 227–244. DOI: 10.1007/s10623-004-1717-1.
- [12] A. R. Hammons et al. “The  $\mathbb{Z}_4$ -linearity of Kerdock, Preparata, Goethals, and related codes”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 40.2 (1994), pp. 301–319. DOI: 10.1109/18.312154.
- [13] Z. Heng and Y. Qin. “Generalized Gray map and a class of  $p$ -ary nonlinear codes”. In: *Finite Fields and Their Applications* 36 (2015), pp. 81–97. ISSN: 1071-5797. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ffa.2015.06.006>.
- [14] T. Honold and I. Landjev. “Linear codes over finite chain rings”. In: *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics* 7.R11 (2000), pp. 1–22. DOI: 10.37236/1489.
- [15] B. R. McDonald. *Finite Rings With Identity*. Lecture notes in pure and applied mathematics. M. Dekker, 1974.
- [16] A. A. Nechaev. “Finite principal ideal rings”. In: *Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik* 20 (1973), pp. 364–382. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1070/SM1973v020n03ABEH001880>.

- [17] G. Norton and A. Sălăgean. “On the Structure of Linear and Cyclic Codes over a Finite Chain Ring”. In: *Applicable Algebra in Engineering, Communication and Computing* 10 (2000), pp. 489–506. DOI: [doi.org/10.1007/PL00012382](https://doi.org/10.1007/PL00012382).
- [18] M. Reid. *Undergraduate Commutative Algebra*. London Mathematical Society Student Texts. Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [19] A. Sălăgean-Mandache. “On the isometries between  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^k}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}_p^k$ ”. In: *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory* 45.6 (1999), pp. 2146–2148. DOI: [10.1109/18.782163](https://doi.org/10.1109/18.782163).
- [20] K. Shiromoto and L. Storme. “A Griesmer bound for linear codes over finite quasi-Frobenius rings”. In: *Discrete Applied Mathematics* 128.1 (2003). International Workshop on Coding and Cryptography (WCC2001)., pp. 263–274. DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-218X\(02\)00450-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0166-218X(02)00450-X).
- [21] J. J. Sylvester. “LX. Thoughts on inverse orthogonal matrices, simultaneous signsuccessions, and tessellated pavements in two or more colours, with applications to Newton’s rule, ornamental tile-work, and the theory of numbers”. In: *The London, Edinburgh, and Dublin Philosophical Magazine and Journal of Science* 34.232 (1867), pp. 461–475. DOI: [10.1080/14786446708639914](https://doi.org/10.1080/14786446708639914).
- [22] M. Villanueva and A. Torres-Martín. “Partial permutation decoding and PD-sets for  $\mathbb{Z}_{p^s}$ -linear generalized Hadamard codes”. English. In: *Finite Fields and Their Applications* 93 (2024), p. 102316. DOI: [10.1016/j.ffa.2023.102316](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ffa.2023.102316).