

(Iso)spin from Isospin in Top-Down Holography

Marcelo Oyarzo^{a,b} [1](#), Ricardo Stuardo^{c,d} [2](#)

^aDepartamento de Física, Universidad de Concepción,
Casilla 160-C, Concepción, Chile

^bDipartimento di Fisica, Politecnico di Torino,
Corso Duca degli Abruzzi 24, I-10129 Turin, Italy and INFN, Sezione di Torino, Italy

^cDepartamento de Física, Universidad de Oviedo,
Avda. Federico García Lorca 18, 33007 Oviedo, Spain

^dInstituto Universitario de Ciencias y Tecnologías Espaciales de Asturias (ICTEA),
Calle de la Independencia 13, 33004 Oviedo, Spain

Abstract

Motivated by the spin from isospin mechanism of Jackiw-Rebbi-Hasenfratz-'t Hooft, we study two $SU(2)$ gauged supergravity solutions of the form $M_d \times S^2$ containing non-Abelian hedgehog monopole on the 2-sphere. Due to the presence of the monopole, the $SO(3)$ isometry group of the 2-sphere is not a symmetry of the configuration. Instead, a diagonal combination of the $SU(2)$ gauge and the $SO(3)$ isometry of the 2-sphere is the true symmetry of the configuration. Uplifting the solutions to Type II, the gauge-isometry diagonal symmetry becomes a diagonal combination between the $SO(3)$ symmetry of the 2-sphere and a $SU(2)$ symmetry of a 3-sphere used to uplift the configuration. One of the uplifts is supersymmetric and corresponds to the I-brane theory on a 2-sphere. The second background is a deformation of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and is not supersymmetric. We study dilaton fluctuations on the later geometry. Due to the diagonal symmetry, the fluctuations show angular momentum mixing between the $SU(2)$ and $SO(3)$ spins, mimicking the spin from isospin mechanism.

¹moyarzocal@gmail.com

²ricardostuardotroncoso@gmail.com

Contents

1	Introduction	1
2	On the Meron Gauge Field and its Uplifts on S^3	2
2.1	SU(2) Meron Field	2
2.2	Uplifts using 3-spheres	3
3	I-branes on S^2 with a Meron	6
3.1	Supersymmetric Solution	7
4	$AdS_3 \times S^2$ with a Meron	10
4.1	5D SU(2) \times U(1) Gauged Supergravity	10
4.2	Type IIB Uplift	11
5	Holographic (Iso)spin from Isospin	13
5.1	Scalar Fluctuation	13
5.2	Scalar Eigenfunctions of the M_7 Laplacian	14
6	Conclusion	18

1 Introduction

String theory and the Gauge/Gravity duality [1–5] have been fruitful for both sides of the duality. On one hand, the construction of Type II background have provided different insights on the field theory side. Some remarkable examples include the study of non-lagrangian field theories, such as the 6D $\mathcal{N} = (2, 0)$ theory (see for example [6–8]), and the study of confinement [references] and phase transitions [9]. On the other hand, field theory ideas have also contributed to the development of gravitational solutions or methods to compute quantities holographically. For example, the Gaiotto-Maldacena backgrounds [10] were constructed to holographically describe 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ quiver field theories, while the Ryu–Takayanagi formula for entanglement entropy [11] and holographic c-theorems [12, 13] are different tools whose construction was motivated by field theory. In this paper we follow the later approach, focusing on a field theory result and use that as inspiration to look for supergravity solutions realising a similar phenomena.

Specifically, we focus on 4D $SU(2)$ gauge theories in flat spacetime that allow for hedgehog magnetic monopoles. There is an interesting phenomena mixing global and gauge symmetries [14, 15]: the non-Abelian field strength is not invariant under the $SO(3)$ Lorentz symmetry, but this rather $SO(3)$ invariant up to an $SU(2)$ gauge transformation. This makes it so that the true symmetry of the configuration is a diagonal combination of the spacetime and gauge symmetry, and hence quantum fluctuations of fields around this configuration are to be classified in representations of this diagonal symmetry.

Consider for example a scalar in a given representation of the gauge group. Fluctuations of this field appears as spin-0 with respect to the $SO(3)$ symmetry, could actually have non-zero spin depending on its representation of the $SU(2)$ gauge group, since the conserved charge that classifies the representation is the sum of the orbital $SO(3)$ and internal $SU(2)$ spin. Due to this feature, this mechanism is known as “spin from isospin”. It was then suggested in [16] that this mechanism could be used in the context of holography to obtain observables with fermionic quantum numbers, using only the naive bosonic fields.

To this aim, we consider two gauged supergravities that contain at least an $SU(2)$ factor as their gauge group. For the gauge field, we use the same configuration as in [16], which are called Merons: these are a simple non-Abelian configuration of the form $A = \lambda \hat{A}$, with \hat{A} pure gauge and $\lambda \neq 1$. These configurations are then uplifted to 10-dimensions using internal manifolds than contain a 3-sphere. At this level, the gauge-isometry mixing becomes a diagonal combination of isometries of the external and internal manifolds, which is the closest one can get to the results of [14, 15] from holography¹. A different approach to this problem can be found in [17].

This paper is organized as follows: In Section 2 we review generic aspects of the geometries we consider and the Meron gauge field and its uplifts using 3-spheres. Section 3 studied the first of two configurations containing Meron gauge fields. In this case, the theory under consideration is the I-brane theory [18] on S^2 with a $SU(2)$ background Meron gauge field for the R-symmetry, which was found at the level of 4D gauged supergravity in [19]. We show that the solutions preserves four supercharges for a choice of parameters, and that the R-symmetry of the configuration is in fact the diagonal symmetry. The second background configuration consists of a deformation of $AdS_5 \times S^5$ and

¹This is due to the fact that in a holographic set-up, one does not have access to the gauge symmetry of the dual theory, only to the global symmetries.

is studied in Section 4. This solution is new, and is constructed at the level of the 5D $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauged supergravity. In Section 5 we study dilaton fluctuations on the later background. We find a spectrum which shows angular momentum coupling between the symmetries of the configuration, mimicking the mechanism of [14, 15]. Section 6 contains a summary of our results, conclusions and ideas for future research.

2 On the Meron Gauge Field and its Uplifts on S^3

2.1 $SU(2)$ Meron Field

In Yang-Mills theory, a Meron gauge field [20, 21] is defined as

$$A = \lambda U^{-1} dU. \quad (2.1)$$

Although this configuration is proportional to a pure gauge one, it is in fact the simplest intrinsically non-Abelian configuration. In fact, its field strength, $F = dA + gA \wedge A$ with g the gauge coupling, is non-zero²

$$F = \lambda(1 - g\lambda) dU^{-1} \wedge dU, \quad (2.2)$$

so that this configuration is only pure gauge if $\lambda = g^{-1}$, as expected. In what follows we focus on $SU(2)$ gauge theories, so that $U \in SU(2)$, and on spacetimes solutions of $SU(2)$ gauged supergravities containing a 2-sphere, that is

$$ds^2 = g_{ab} dx^a dx^b + f(x) ds^2(S^2). \quad (2.3)$$

To parametrize the 2-sphere, it is convenient to use the embedding coordinates μ^i , with $i = 1, 2, 3$, satisfying $\mu^i \mu^i = 1$, so that $ds^2(S^2) = d\mu^i d\mu^i$. This allows us to choose the following hedgehog configuration for the $SU(2)$ Meron³

$$U = 2\mu^i T^i, \quad (2.4)$$

where the $SU(2)$ generators are $T^i = -\frac{i}{2}\sigma^i$, with σ^i the Pauli matrices, which sets the structure constants to $f_{ijk} = \epsilon_{ijk}$. With this ansatz, the gauge field and the field strength take the form

$$A^i = -2\lambda \epsilon_{ijk} \mu^j d\mu^k, \quad F^i = -4\lambda(1 - g\lambda) \mu^i \text{vol}(S^2). \quad (2.5)$$

At this stage, it is already possible to see the mixing of the spacetime $SO(3)$ with the gauge $SU(2)$ symmetries. It is clear from (2.3) that the spacetime under consideration is invariant under the $SO(3)$ isometry group of the 2-sphere. However, the field strength (2.5) is not $SO(3)$ invariant due to factor of μ^i . Interestingly, under a simultaneous $SO(3)$ and $SU(2)$ action, the field strength is indeed invariant, revealing the true symmetry of the system. This $SO(3)$ invariance up to a $SU(2)$ transformation was discussed in [14, 15] for a different type of hedgehog configuration. There it was argued that since the conserved charge is the sum of spin \vec{J} and isospin \vec{T}

$$\vec{L}_{\text{total}} = \vec{J} + \vec{T}, \quad (2.6)$$

²We are in conventions where the coupling constant appears in the definition of the field strength instead of as a coefficient on the kinetic term. A similar, and more detailed, discussion in the other convention can be found in [16].

³A more general ansatz can be chosen, but this is enough for our discussion.

one should classify quantum fluctuations with respect to the quantum numbers of this symmetry. Hence, a naive $\text{SO}(3)$ boson could actually have fermionic quantum numbers depending on its $\text{SU}(2)$ representation. The proportionality factor depends on the specific theory under consideration. It is important to also remark that the components of the Meron gauge field (2.5) are 1-forms dual to the S^2 Killing vectors.

In the following sections, we will work on gauged supergravities where the equation of motion of the non-Abelian gauge field reduces to

$$\mathrm{d} \star F + g [A, \star F] = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2g} \quad (2.7)$$

Below, we focus on lifts to 10D of $\text{SU}(2)$ gauged supergravities on geometries that contain a 3-sphere. We show below that in this lifts the same isometry mixing occurs (2.6), with the gauge symmetry replaced by the isometries of the internal manifold used to lift the configuration. Before discussing two solutions explicitly, we discuss some general aspects of the 10D geometries resulting from these lifts.

2.2 Uplifts using 3-spheres

Let us consider a pseudo-Riemannian manifold (\hat{M}, g) , which is of the form

$$\hat{M} = M \ltimes [\text{S}^2 \ltimes (\text{S}^3 \times \cdots \times \text{S}^3)]$$

The manifold M is Lorentzian and the internal manifold is defined as the semi-direct product (the metric is not block diagonal) between a 2-sphere and a set of n 3-spheres. It is convenient to use the fact that $\text{S}^3 \cong \text{SU}(2)$ to write the 3-spheres using the left-invariant Maurer-Cartan 1-forms $\omega_\alpha = g_\alpha^{-1} \mathrm{d}g_\alpha$ with $\alpha = 1, \dots, n$. These satisfy

$$\mathrm{d}\omega^i = -\frac{1}{2}\epsilon_{ijk}\omega^j \wedge \omega^k, \quad (2.8)$$

for any α . The spacetimes that we consider are of the form⁴

$$\mathrm{d}s^2 = \mathrm{d}s^2(M) + H_0 \mathrm{d}s^2(\text{S}^2) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha=1}^n H_\alpha \sum_{i=1}^3 (\omega_\alpha^i + A^i)^2 \quad (2.9)$$

where H_0, H_α are scalar fields on M . We now show how the diagonal symmetry between $\text{SO}(3)$ spacetime and $\text{SU}(2)$ gauge in the lower dimensional configurations (2.6) is realised when uplifting using 3-spheres.

⁴Generically, the uplifted $\text{SU}(2)$ gauge fields appear as a fiber over the 3-sphere by means of the Maurer-Cartan forms as $\omega^i - gA^i$. Since the gauge field (2.5), together with $\lambda = 1/2g$, what enters the 10D metric is the combination $\omega^i + \epsilon_{ijl}\mu^j d\mu^k$. By abuse of notation from here and below we write $A^i = \epsilon_{ijl}\mu^j d\mu^k$.

2.2.1 Uplifted Diagonal Isometry

As stated before, the one forms $A^i = \epsilon_{ijk}\mu^j d\mu^k$ are dual to the Killing vectors (J^i) on the 2-sphere

$$(J^i)^b = \epsilon_{ijk}\mu^j \partial_a \mu^k \bar{\gamma}^{ab}, \quad (2.10)$$

where $a = 1, 2$ are coordinates along the 2-sphere and $\bar{\gamma}^{ab}$ is inverse metric of the unit-radius 2-sphere. Then, the one forms A^i satisfy

$$\mathcal{L}_{J^i} A^j = -\epsilon_{ijk} A^k. \quad (2.11)$$

On the other hand, on each 3-sphere (without the fibration) there is a $SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_R$ isometry, generated by the left (L^i) and right (R^i) Killing vectors, which satisfy⁵

$$[L^i, L^j] = -\epsilon_{ijk} L^k, \quad [R^i, R^j] = \epsilon_{ijk} R^k. \quad (2.12)$$

While the R/L are invariant under the R/L action, they are charged under the opposite one. For our purposes, we only require

$$\mathcal{L}_{R^i} \omega^j = \epsilon_{ijk} \omega^k. \quad (2.13)$$

At this point we note that this action is of the same form as (2.11), which is the key element for the existence of the diagonal symmetry. Consider the vector

$$K^i = -J^i + \sum_{\alpha} R_{\alpha}^i, \quad (2.14)$$

where we have restored the index α labelling the different 3-spheres. We now compute the Lie derivative of the metric (2.9) along K^i

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{K^i} ds^2 &= \mathcal{L}_{K^i} ds^2(M) + \mathcal{L}_{K^i} H_0 ds^2(S^2) + H_0 \mathcal{L}_{K^i} ds^2(S^2) + \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha} \mathcal{L}_{K^i} H_{\alpha} \sum_i (\omega_{\alpha}^i + A^i)^2 + \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} H_{\alpha} \sum_i (\omega_{\alpha}^i + A^i) \otimes \mathcal{L}_{K^i} (\omega_{\alpha}^i + A^i). \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Since the Lie derivative only has support on the 2-sphere and on the 3-spheres

$$\mathcal{L}_{K^i} ds^2(M) = \mathcal{L}_{K^i} H_0 = \mathcal{L}_{K^i} H_{\alpha} = 0. \quad (2.16)$$

On the other hand, the action of the Lie derivative is linear in the vector fields $\mathcal{L}_{X+Y} Z = \mathcal{L}_X Z + \mathcal{L}_Y Z$, we have that on the metric on the 2-sphere

$$\mathcal{L}_{K^i} ds^2(S^2) = \mathcal{L}_{-J^i + \sum_{\alpha} R_{\alpha}^i} ds^2(S^2) = \mathcal{L}_{-J^i} ds^2(S^2) = 0. \quad (2.17)$$

Finally, the action on the fibered 3-spheres is

$$\mathcal{L}_{K^j} (\omega_{\alpha}^i + A^i) = \sum_{\beta} \mathcal{L}_{R_{(\beta)}^j} \omega_{\alpha}^i + \mathcal{L}_{-J^j} A^i = \epsilon_{jil} (\omega_{\alpha}^l + A^l), \quad (2.18)$$

⁵The L/R vectors are dual to the R/L invariant forms.

from where the Lie derivative of the metric (2.15)

$$\mathcal{L}_{K^j} ds^2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha} H_{\alpha} \sum_i (\omega_{\alpha}^i + A^i) \otimes \epsilon_{jil} (\omega_{\alpha}^l + A^l) = 0, \quad (2.19)$$

Hence, K^i is a Killing vector of the fibered metric (2.9). Let us remark that the key element for this result is that the actions of J^i and R^i on their dual forms is the same, (2.11) and (2.13) respectively, which allows one to obtain (2.18). Also, it is direct to check that

$$[K^i, K^j] = \epsilon_{ijk} K^k \quad (2.20)$$

so that it closes as an $SU(2)$ algebra.

With this, the complete set of isometries of the spacetime (2.9) is

$$\text{Isom}(M) \times \underbrace{SU(2) \times \cdots \times SU(2)}_{n\text{-times}} \times SU(2)_D \quad (2.21)$$

where, the n copies of $SU(2)$ factors correspond to the $SU(2)_L$ of each 3-sphere which is not broken by the fibrations (as ω^i invariant under L^i). It is also possible to show that this is the case by following Appendix C of [22].

When lifting to Type II, we need to ensure that the dilaton and the NS-NS and RR fluxes are also invariant under the (2.21) for it to be a symmetry of the full configuration. For the dilaton we just require that it does not depend on the 2- and 3-spheres. In order to construct p -forms which are invariant under $SU(2) \times \cdots \times SU(2) \times SO(3)_D$ we can use the invariant tensors δ_{ij} and ϵ_{ijk} to construct $SU(2) \times \cdots \times SU(2) \times SO(3)_D$ scalars using $(\mu^i, d\mu^j, \omega^k)$. Such p -forms must be the only ones appearing in the 10D fluxes.

2.2.2 A Possible Generalization

We can also consider a Riemannian manifold \mathcal{B} such that it admits a projection map $\pi : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow S^2$ and there is a set of Killing vectors \hat{J}^i realizing the $SO(3) \subset \text{Isom}(\mathcal{B})$. More precisely, \hat{J}^i is the lift of the 2-sphere Killing vectors to \mathcal{B} (it can possibly contain terms along directions of \mathcal{B} which are not of the 2-sphere). Importantly, since A^i is only takes values on the 2-sphere co-tangent space

$$\mathcal{L}_{\hat{J}^i} A^j = \epsilon_{ijk} A^k, \quad (2.22)$$

as before. When this happens, the proof on the invariance of the metric under the diagonal symmetry is a straightforward generalization of the previous one. The metric under consideration now takes the form

$$ds^2(\hat{M}) = ds^2(M) + H_0 ds^2(\mathcal{B}) + \sum_{\alpha} H_{\alpha} \sum_i (\omega_{\alpha}^i + A^i)^2, \quad (2.23)$$

and its invariance under $K^i = \sum_{\alpha} R_{\alpha}^i - \hat{J}^i$ is direct.

We now focus on solutions of the form described in this section, showing one example for each of the two constructions discussed above.

3 I-branes on S^2 with a Meron

The first family of configuration, that belongs to class of backgrounds discuss in Section 2.2.1, is obtained by lifting the double-Meron solution of the 4D $SU(2) \times SU(2)$ gauged supergravity [19] to Type IIB. It corresponds to a holographic dual of the I-brane theory [18] on S^2 with a magnetic monopole on the 2-sphere. In string frame, the metric is the direct product between a 2-dimensional manifold with coordinates (t, ρ) , and an internal 8-dimensional manifold

$$ds^2 = -(1 - me^{2\Phi})dt^2 + \frac{d\rho^2}{1 - me^{2\Phi}} + ds^2(M_8), \quad (3.1)$$

where the 8-dimensional manifold is of the form $S^2 \times (S^3 \times S^3)$ given by

$$ds^2(M_8) = \ell_{S^2}^2 d\mu^i d\mu^i + \frac{N_B}{4} h_A^i h_A^i + \frac{N_A}{4} h_B^i h_B^i, \quad (3.2)$$

$$h_\alpha^i = \omega_\alpha^i + \epsilon_{ijk} \mu^j d\mu^k, \quad \alpha = A, B. \quad (3.3)$$

and the matter fields supporting the configuration are

$$H_3 = \frac{N_B}{4} [\mu^i h_A^i \wedge \text{vol}(S^2) + \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{ijk} h_A^{ijk}] + \frac{N_A}{4} [\mu^i h_B^i \wedge \text{vol}(S^2) + \frac{1}{3!} \epsilon_{ijk} h_B^{ijk}], \quad (3.4)$$

$$\Phi = -\mathfrak{C} \rho. \quad (3.5)$$

The dilaton slope and the S^2 radius are

$$\mathfrak{C}^2 = \frac{1}{N_A} + \frac{1}{N_B} + \frac{1}{N_A + N_B}, \quad \ell_{S^2}^2 = \frac{N_A}{4} + \frac{N_B}{4}. \quad (3.6)$$

The configuration is characterized by the integration constants m, N_A, N_B . The constants N_A, N_B are flux of the 3-form on each 3-sphere.

$$\frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{S_A^3} H_3 = N_B, \quad \frac{1}{(2\pi)^2} \int_{S_B^3} H_3 = N_A \quad (3.7)$$

Notice that the configuration is symmetric under $A \leftrightarrow B$. The 2-dimensional manifold with coordinates (t, ρ) is asymptotically flat, as $\rho \rightarrow +\infty$. For $m > 0$ it corresponds to a black hole with the horizon located at $\rho_H = \log(m)/2\mathfrak{C}$. Upon compactification, the 2-dimensional manifold corresponds to a 2-dimensional black hole (similar configuration were studied in [23, 24]), and corresponds to the coset $SL(2, \mathbb{R})_k/U(1)$, with level $k = \mathfrak{C}^{-2}$ [25]. The black hole temperature and the Bekenstein-Hawking entropy are⁶

$$T = \frac{\mathfrak{C}}{2\pi}, \quad S = 4\pi^3 m N_A^{3/2} N_B^{3/2} \ell_{S^2}^2. \quad (3.8)$$

From these quantities, one can show that the following relation between variation of the parameters holds

$$\delta M = T\delta S + \Omega_A \delta N_A + \Omega_B \delta N_B, \quad (3.9)$$

⁶These quantities are to be computed in Einstein frame

with

$$M = 2\pi^2 m N_A^{3/2} N_B^{3/2} \ell_{S^2}^2 \mathfrak{C}, \quad \Omega_A = \frac{S}{2\pi} \frac{\partial \mathfrak{C}}{\partial N_A}, \quad \Omega_B = \frac{S}{2\pi} \frac{\partial \mathfrak{C}}{\partial N_B}. \quad (3.10)$$

The finite thermodynamic quantities that we have derived satisfy a Smarr formula $M = TS$, which implies that the free energy vanishes identically. This is a consequence of the fact that the black hole temperature is independent on the mass parameter m .

The symmetry of the configuration, that in general is a subgroup of the isometry group, is given by

$$\mathbb{R} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{SU}(2)_L \times \mathrm{SO}(3)_D \quad (3.11)$$

where \mathbb{R} is associated to time translations, and $\mathrm{SO}(3)_D$ is generated by a combination of $\mathrm{SU}(2)_R$ Killing vectors of the 3-spheres (R_α^i) and the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ ones of the S^2 (J^i), as was explained in Section 2.2.1.

The Ricci tensor of the 8-dimensional internal manifold (3.2) can be written as

$$R(M_8)_{\bar{\mu}\bar{\nu}} dx^{\bar{\mu}} \otimes dx^{\bar{\nu}} = \frac{1}{2} (d\mu^i d\mu^i + h_A^i h_A^i + h_B^i h_B^i) + \frac{2}{N_A + N_B} \mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A}$$

where $\bar{\mu}$ labels coordinates of the 8D manifold. The vector \mathbf{A} , given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_A + N_B}} (N_B \mu^i \omega_A^i + N_A \mu^i \omega_B^i), \quad (3.12)$$

is a unit norm 1-form. Evidently, the space is not Einstein and the trace of the Ricci tensor is $6\mathfrak{C}^2$.

3.1 Supersymmetric Solution

The configuration is supersymmetric for $m = 0$. In this case, it might seem that the isometry group of the 2-dimensional manifold get enhanced to Poincare, but the explicit dependence of the dilaton in one of the coordinates breaks it, leading to the symmetry group given in (3.11).

To integrate the Killing spinors, we start with the natural set of vielbeins

$$\begin{aligned} e^0 &= dt, & e^1 &= d\rho, & e^2 &= \ell_{S^2} d\theta, & e^3 &= \ell_{S^2} \sin \theta d\varphi, \\ e^{i+3} &= V_A^i \equiv \frac{\sqrt{N_B}}{2} h_A^i, & e^{i+6} &= V_B^i \equiv \frac{\sqrt{N_A}}{2} h_B^i, & i &= 1, 2, 3. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

It is convenient to perform a local rotation $\mathbf{R} \in \mathrm{SO}(6) \subset \mathrm{O}(1, 9)$ on the vielbeins (3.13) as follows

$$\tilde{e}^a = \mathbf{R}^a_b e^b, \quad (\mathbf{R}^a_b) = \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} \mathbb{1}_4 & & \\ \hline & \mathbb{1}_2 & \\ & S_A & -S_B \\ \hline & S_B & \mathbb{1}_2 \\ & & S_A \end{array} \right) \left(\begin{array}{c|c|c} \mathbb{1}_4 & & \\ \hline & \mathbf{R} & \\ \hline & & \mathbf{R} \end{array} \right), \quad (3.14)$$

where $\mathbf{R} \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ is defined in term of μ^i and its derivatives, S_A, S_B are constants. They are given by

$$\mathbf{R} = \left(\begin{array}{c} \frac{\partial_\theta \mu^i}{\sin \theta} \\ \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \partial_\varphi \mu^i \\ \mu^i \end{array} \right), \quad S_A = \sqrt{\frac{N_A}{N_A + N_B}}, \quad S_B = \sqrt{\frac{N_B}{N_A + N_B}}. \quad (3.15)$$

We consider the basis \tilde{e}^a , and therefore, define the Clifford map as $\tilde{e}^a \mapsto \Gamma^a$. In Type II in the pure NS sector, the supersymmetry conditions reduce to two independent system of equations for two decoupled Majorana-Weyl spinors (ϵ^1, ϵ^2) of opposite (IIA) or same (IIB) chiralities. We take ϵ^1 to be of positive chirality, while ϵ^2 is of negative/positive chirality in Type II A/B. The supersymmetry variations are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta\lambda^1 &= \left(d\Phi - \frac{1}{2}H_3\right)_/ \epsilon^1, & \delta\Psi_\mu^1 &= \left(D_\mu - \frac{1}{4}H_\mu\right)_/ \epsilon^1, \\ \delta\lambda^2 &= \left(d\Phi + \frac{1}{2}H_3\right)_/ \epsilon^2, & \delta\Psi_\mu^2 &= \left(D_\mu + \frac{1}{4}H_\mu\right)_/ \epsilon^2\end{aligned}\tag{3.16}$$

where $D_\mu = \partial_\mu + \frac{1}{4}\omega_\mu^{ab}\Gamma_{ab}$ is the spinorial covariant derivative. It is possible to obtain algebraic integrability conditions from the gravitino variation. First, we start by defining the 1-form connections

$$W^1 = \frac{1}{4}(\omega_\mu - H_\mu)_/ dx^\mu, \quad W^2 = \frac{1}{4}(\omega_\mu + H_\mu)_/ dx^\mu, \tag{3.17}$$

which have

$$\Xi^I = dW^I + W^I \wedge W^I, \quad I = 1, 2, \tag{3.18}$$

as field strengths. With this, the gravitino variations can be written as

$$\mathcal{D}_\mu^{(1)}\epsilon^1 = 0, \quad \mathcal{D}_\mu^{(2)}\epsilon^2 = 0, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathcal{D}_\mu^{(I)} = \partial_\mu + W_\mu^I. \tag{3.19}$$

We now consider the commutator of two covariant derivatives

$$[\mathcal{D}_\mu^1, \mathcal{D}_\nu^1]\epsilon^1 \equiv \Xi_{\mu\nu}^1\epsilon^1, \quad [\mathcal{D}_\mu^2, \mathcal{D}_\nu^2]\epsilon^2 \equiv \Xi_{\mu\nu}^2\epsilon^2 \tag{3.20}$$

since the left hand side of each of these equations is zero, then each of the components of Ξ^I (recall that they are matrices due to the Clifford map) acting on the spinor defines an algebraic condition on the spinor

$$\Xi_{\mu\nu}^1\epsilon^1 = 0, \quad \Xi_{\mu\nu}^2\epsilon^2 = 0. \tag{3.21}$$

In order to find non-trivial solutions, each of these components must have zero determinant. We find that $\det(\Xi_{34}^2) \neq 0$, which sets $\epsilon^2 = 0$. On the other hand, all the components of Ξ^1 have zero determinant. In fact, all but one of its components are zero. That component leads to the following condition on ϵ^1

$$(1 - \Gamma^{4578})\epsilon^1 = 0.$$

The solution is

$$\epsilon^1 = P_1\eta, \quad P_1 \equiv \frac{1}{2}(1 + \Gamma^{4578}). \tag{3.22}$$

We now turn to the dilatino variation, upon using the solution (3.22), it can be recasted as

$$-\frac{1}{\mathfrak{e}}\Gamma^1\delta\lambda^1 = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_A + N_B}\mathfrak{e}}\Gamma^{1239} - \frac{1}{\mathfrak{e}}\sqrt{\frac{1}{N_A} + \frac{1}{N_B}}\Gamma^{1456}\right)\epsilon^1 = 0 \tag{3.23}$$

This is again a projector acting on the spinor ϵ^1 whose solution is

$$\epsilon^1 = P_1 P_2 \eta, \quad (3.24)$$

$$P_2 \equiv \frac{1}{2} \left(1 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_A + N_B}} \mathfrak{E} \Gamma^{1239} + \frac{1}{\mathfrak{E}} \sqrt{\frac{1}{N_A} + \frac{1}{N_B}} \Gamma^{1456} \right) \quad (3.25)$$

The two projectors commute $[P_1, P_2] = 0$, and they do not depend on coordinates which simplifies the integration of the differential equations. We now proceed to the Killing spinor equation for ϵ^1 (3.16), which comes from the gravitino variation. Upon using the projectors, it takes the form

$$\left\{ d + \frac{1}{\sqrt{N_A + N_B}} [\Gamma^{39} \tilde{e}^2 - (\Gamma^{29} + \Gamma^{23} \cot \theta_1) \tilde{e}^3] \right\} \epsilon^1 = 0. \quad (3.26)$$

The only non-trivial equations are

$$\partial_\theta \epsilon^1 + \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{39} \epsilon^1 = 0, \quad (3.27)$$

$$\partial_\phi \epsilon^1 - \frac{1}{2} \Gamma^{23} (\cos \theta + \Gamma^{39} \sin \theta) \epsilon^1 = 0. \quad (3.28)$$

Which can be directly integrated to find Killings spinor to be

$$\epsilon^1 = e^{-\frac{\theta}{2} \Gamma^{39}} e^{\frac{\phi}{2} \Gamma^{23}} P_1 P_2 \eta_0, \quad (3.29)$$

where η_0 is a constant spinor Majorana-Weyl with 16 real components. Since each projector halves the number of independent components the background preserves 4 supercharges.

This spinor is uncharged under the $SU(2)_{L_A} \times SU(2)_{L_B}$ symmetry

$$\mathcal{L}_{L_{A,B}^i} \epsilon^1 = 0. \quad (3.30)$$

On the other hand, writing the four independent components of the spinors as

$$\epsilon^1 = \sum_a^4 \chi_a, \quad (3.31)$$

it is possible to check that the spinor is charged under the diagonal $SU(2)_D$ symmetry

$$\mathcal{L}_{K^i} \chi^a = -(\Sigma_i)^a_b \chi^b, \quad (3.32)$$

where the matrices Σ_i are

$$\Sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \Sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & 0 & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.33)$$

These closed the $\mathfrak{su}(2)$ algebra

$$[\Sigma_i, \Sigma_j] = \epsilon_{ijk} \Sigma_k. \quad (3.34)$$

From here, there is a similarity transformation that maps these matrices to the ones in [26], which explicitly shows that the Killing spinors χ^a transform in the $2 + \bar{2}$ of $SU(2)_D$.

4 $\text{AdS}_3 \times \text{S}^2$ with a Meron

In this section we derive a new solution of a 5D $\mathcal{N} = 4$ $\text{SU}(2) \times \text{U}(1)$ gauged supergravity [27], which contains a Meron configuration for the $\text{SU}(2)$ gauge field. We then proceed to lift this solution to Type IIB using [28]. This lift fits in the class of embeddings discussed in Section 2.2.2, and we show explicitly the construction of the diagonal symmetry generators.

4.1 5D $\text{SU}(2) \times \text{U}(1)$ Gauged Supergravity

The bosonic sector of the theory consists of (we follow the notation of [28]): the metric tensor, two 2-forms $A^\alpha_{\mu\nu}$, a $\text{SU}(2)$ gauge field A_μ^a with gauge coupling g_2 , a $\text{U}(1)$ gauge field B_μ with gauge coupling g_1 , and a scalar ϕ . By setting the 2-forms A^α to zero, and focusing on the $g_1 = g$ and $g_2 = \sqrt{2}g$ sector, the bosonic action is

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} = & R \star 1 - 3X^{-2} dX \wedge \star dX - \frac{1}{2} X^4 G_{(2)} \wedge \star G_{(2)} - \frac{1}{2} X^{-2} F_{(2)}^i \wedge \star F_{(2)}^i \\ & - \frac{1}{2} F_{(2)}^i \wedge F_{(2)}^i \wedge B_{(1)} + 4g(gX^2 + gX^{-1}) \star 1, \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

where $X = e^{-\frac{\phi}{\sqrt{6}}}$, $G_{(2)} = dB_{(1)}$ and the non-Abelian field strength is given by

$$F_{(2)}^i = dA^i + \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} g \varepsilon_{ijk} A^j \wedge A^k. \quad (4.2)$$

The convention for the $\text{SU}(2)$ generators is the same as in Section 2, $T^i = -\frac{i}{2}\sigma^i$, with structure constants to $f_{ijk} = \varepsilon_{ijk}$.

We now propose an ansatz for the spacetime. In order to have the Meron configuration, it has to contain a 2-sphere. The three remaining directions must span a space of negative curvature. With these requirements, the simplest possible ansatz for the background fields is

$$ds^2 = \ell_{\text{AdS}_3}^2 ds^2(\text{AdS}_3) + \ell_{\text{S}^2}^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2), \quad (4.3a)$$

$$A_{(1)} = \lambda U^{-1} dU, \quad (4.3b)$$

$$B_{(1)} = q_m \cos \theta d\varphi, \quad (4.3c)$$

$$\phi = 0, \quad (4.3d)$$

where λ and q_m are constant and the $ds^2(\text{AdS}_3)$ is of unit radius. Besides the $\text{SU}(2)$ Meron gauge field, we have turned on the 1-form $B_{(1)}$ as a monopole on the 2-sphere. This is useful since it is what allows one to set the scalar ϕ to the minimum of the potential. More precisely, recall from Section 2 that $F_{(2)}^i = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}g} \mu^i \text{Vol}(S^2)$ (with $g_2 = \sqrt{2}g$). It is direct to show that⁷

$$F_{(2)}^i \wedge \star F_{(2)}^i \sim \frac{1}{2} G_{(2)} \wedge \star G_{(2)}, \quad (4.4)$$

⁷At this point one may wonder if the Meron configuration can be gauge transformed to an Abelian monopole configuration $A^1 = A^2 = 0$, $A^3 \sim \cos(\theta)d\varphi$. This gauge transformation is singular and therefore changes the properties of the system.

where the proportionality constant depends on λ and q_m . We now turn to the scalar equation of motion

$$d(X^{-1} \star dX) - \frac{1}{3} X^4 G_{(2)} \wedge \star G_{(2)} + \frac{1}{6} X^{-2} (F_{(2)}^i \wedge \star F_{(2)}^i + A_{(2)}^\alpha \wedge \star A_{(2)}^\alpha) + \frac{4}{3} g^2 (X^2 - X^{-1}) \star 1 = 0 \quad (4.5)$$

we see that exact combination of (4.4) appears, allowing one to set the scalar to the minimum, provided the constants λ and q_m are related. The equations of motion fix the constants as

$$q_m = \frac{1}{2g}, \quad \lambda = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}g}, \quad \ell_{\text{AdS}_3}^2 = \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{2}{7 + \sqrt{5}}, \quad \ell_{\text{S}^2}^2 = \frac{1}{g^2} \frac{1}{2(1 + \sqrt{5})}, \quad (4.6)$$

leaving no free parameters.

4.2 Type IIB Uplift

In order to embed this solution in 10D, we follow [28]. The 5D solution is lifted on a 5-sphere parametrisation that makes the $\text{SU}(2) \times \text{U}(1)$ symmetry manifest. The 10D background contains only the metric and 5-form and reads,

$$ds_{10D}^2 = ds_{5D}^2 + \frac{1}{g^2} \left[d\xi^2 + \frac{1}{4} \sin^2 \xi (d\tau + \cos(\theta) d\varphi)^2 + \frac{1}{4} \cos^2 \xi \sum_{i=1}^3 (\omega^i - \sqrt{2}gA^i)^2 \right], \quad (4.7)$$

$$F_5 = (1 + \star_{10})G_5,$$

where

$$G_5 = 4g \text{Vol}(\mathcal{M}_{5D}) + \frac{\cos^2(\xi)}{8\sqrt{2}g^2} \star_5 F^i \wedge h^j \wedge h^k \epsilon_{ijk} - \frac{\sin(\xi) \cos(\xi)}{2\sqrt{2}g^2} \star_5 F^i \wedge h^i \wedge d\xi$$

$$- \frac{\sin \xi \cos \xi}{g^2} \star_5 dB \wedge d\xi \wedge (d\tau - gB).$$

for $h^i = \omega^i - \sqrt{2}gA^i$. The internal manifold with coordinates $(\tau, \xi, \psi, \theta_2, \phi_2)$ corresponds to a 5-sphere which is written as the product $[0, \pi/2] \times (\text{S}^1 \times \text{S}^3)$, where the interval is parameterized by ξ and the S^1 by τ , with $\tau \sim \tau + 4\pi$. The S^3 is written in the Hopf fibration form in terms of the $\text{SU}(2)$ left-invariant Maurer-Cartan forms

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1 &= \cos \psi d\theta_2 + \sin \theta_2 \sin \psi d\phi_2, \\ \omega_2 &= -\sin \psi d\theta_2 + \sin \theta_2 \cos \psi d\phi_2, \\ \omega_3 &= d\psi + \cos \theta_2 d\phi_2, \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

There is a flux of the 5-form along the 5-sphere (we work in units such that $\alpha' = g_s = 1$)

$$N = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^4} \int_{\text{S}^5} F_5 = \frac{1}{4\pi g^4}. \quad (4.10)$$

Explicitly, one can find the 4-form potential for the background

$$\begin{aligned}
C_4 = g^{-1} \text{Vol}(\text{AdS}^3) \wedge & \left[\frac{2}{1+\sqrt{5}} \cos \theta d\varphi + (1+\sqrt{5}) \frac{\cos^2 \xi}{4} (d\tau + \cos \theta d\varphi + \mu^i \omega^i) \right] - \\
& - \frac{\cos^3 \xi}{16g^4} [4 \sin \xi d\xi \wedge (d\tau + \cos \theta d\varphi) + \cos \xi \text{Vol}(S^2)] \wedge (\epsilon_{ijk} \mu^i d\mu^j \wedge \omega^k - \cos \theta_2 d\varphi_2 \wedge d\psi) - \\
& - \frac{\cos^2 \xi \sin^2 \xi}{8g^4} \mu^i \omega^i \wedge \text{Vol}(S^2) \wedge d\tau
\end{aligned} \tag{4.11}$$

Interestingly, the direct product structure of the 5-dimensional manifold of Section 4.1 allows one to write the 10-dimensional metric as a direct product between AdS_3 and a compact 7-dimensional manifold M_7

$$ds_{10D}^2 = \ell_{\text{AdS}_3}^2 ds^2(\text{AdS}_3) + \frac{1}{g^2} ds^2(M_7), \tag{4.12}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
ds^2(M_7) = d\xi^2 + \Lambda^2 (d\theta^2 + \sin^2 \theta d\varphi^2) + \frac{1}{4} \sin^2 \xi (d\tau + \cos(\theta) d\varphi)^2 \\
+ \frac{1}{4} \cos^2 \xi \sum_{i=1}^3 (\omega^i + \epsilon_{ijk} \mu^j d\mu^k)^2,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.13}$$

with $\Lambda^2 = \frac{1}{2(1+\sqrt{5})}$. The range of the coordinates are $\xi \in [0, \pi/2]$, $\theta \in [0, \pi]$, $\varphi \sim \varphi + 2\pi$, $\tau \sim \tau + 4\pi$ and for the 3-sphere are $\theta_2 \in [0, \pi]$, $\varphi_2 \sim \varphi_2 + 2\pi$, $\psi \sim \psi + 4\pi$.

The 3-dimensional submanifold obtained by fixing $(\xi, \theta_1, \varphi_2, \psi)$ to constants is a squashed 3-sphere written in the Hopf fibration coordinates (θ, ϕ, τ) , where the base manifold is the 2-sphere and the fiber is the S^1 . This space corresponds to \mathcal{B} in the notation of Section 2.2.2. The Killing vectors on the 2-sphere J^i are lifted to Killing vectors on the squashed 3-sphere as

$$\hat{J}^i = (J^i)^a \partial_a - 2g B_b \bar{\gamma}^{ba} \partial_\tau. \tag{4.14}$$

with B_a the components of $B_{(1)}$ along the 2-sphere. On the other hand, on the 3-sphere $(\theta_2, \varphi_2, \psi)$ without fibrations, there are two sets of Killing vectors L^i and R^i of the $\text{SU}(2)_R \times \text{SU}(2)_L$ symmetry. As explained in Section 2, the fibration by $\epsilon_{ijk} \mu^j d\mu^k$ mixes the $\text{SU}(2)_R$ with the isometries of the 2-sphere, while preserving the $\text{SU}(2)_L$. The Killing vector of the diagonal symmetry is not obtained by using (4.14), but rather

$$\tilde{L}^i = \hat{J}^i - \mu^i \partial_\tau. \tag{4.15}$$

In terms of these, the diagonal symmetry $\text{SU}(2)_D$ is generated by

$$K^i = R^i + \tilde{L}^i, \quad [K^i, K^j] = \epsilon_{ijk} K^k. \tag{4.16}$$

The vectors \tilde{L}^i are actually related to the generators of the $\text{SU}(2)_L$ symmetry on the squashed 3-sphere (θ, φ, τ) . This can be understood as follows: the 3D metric on this sphere can be obtained by considering the group element $g = e^{\varphi T_3} e^{\theta T_1} e^{\tau T_3} \in \text{SU}(2)$ and their left-invariant 1-forms, $\hat{\omega} = g^{-1} dg$. Then, the squashed metric is obtained by

$$ds_{3D}^2 = \gamma_{ij} \hat{\omega}^i \hat{\omega}^j, \quad \gamma_{ij} = \text{diag} \left(\Lambda^2, \Lambda^2, \frac{1}{4} \sin^2(\xi) \right). \tag{4.17}$$

Since we are using left-invariant forms to construct the metric, the $SU(2)_L$ symmetry, generated by \hat{L}^i is preserved. On the other hand, since γ_{ij} is not invariant under $SU(2)_R$, this symmetry is broken to $U(1)$, generated by ∂_τ . The relation between \tilde{L}^i and \hat{L}^i is as follows

$$\tilde{L}^i = S^i_j \hat{L}^j \quad S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \in O(3). \quad (4.18)$$

We also find that $W = \mu^i K^i$ is a Killing vector that generates a $U(1)$ symmetry. With these considerations, the isometries of M_7 is

$$SU(2)_L \times SU(2)_D \times U(1) \times U(1). \quad (4.19)$$

We note that F_5 is also invariant under these transformations, so they are a true symmetry of the entire background.

Finally, we note that M_7 is not Einstein. Indeed, considering the following orthonormal basis

$$\begin{aligned} \eta^1 &= d\xi, & \eta^2 &= \Lambda d\theta, & \eta^3 &= \Lambda \sin \theta d\varphi, & \eta^4 &= \frac{\sin \xi}{2} (d\tau + \cos \theta d\varphi) \\ \eta^i &= \frac{1}{2} \cos \xi (\omega^i + \epsilon_{ijk} \mu^j d\mu^k), & i &= 5, 6, 7, \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

the Ricci tensor of M_7 can be written as

$$R(M_7)_{IJ} \eta^I \otimes \eta^J = 4\eta^I \otimes \eta^I + (3 + \sqrt{5})\mathbf{A} \otimes \mathbf{A} + (5 - \sqrt{5})(\eta^2 \otimes \eta^2 + \eta^3 \otimes \eta^3)$$

where $I, J = 1, \dots, 7$. The last factor is showing that the curvature of the S^2 is different from the S^5 by a numerical factor. The 1-form \mathbf{A} of constant norm is given by

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 \xi (2d\tau + \cos \theta d\varphi) - \frac{1}{2} \cos^2 \xi \mu^i \omega^i. \quad (4.21)$$

Since the solution corresponds to an AdS_3 vacuum, we can use the supersymmetry conditions defined in appendix D. of [29]. It is straightforward to check from the algebraic conditions given in that reference that the solution presented here is not supersymmetric.

5 Holographic (Iso)spin from Isospin

In this section we consider a fluctuation of the 10D dilaton, and show how the quantum numbers of the 2-sphere are mixed with the ones of the 3-sphere used to lift the lower dimensional gauged supergravity to 10D, mimicking the spin from isospin mechanism described in [14, 15].

5.1 Scalar Fluctuation

Since we are working in a pure 5-form sector of type II supergravity, in Einstein frame it is consistent to consider only dilaton fluctuations. The equation of motion for this fluctuation is just the 10D Laplacian

$$\nabla^2 \Phi = \frac{1}{\ell_{AdS_3}^2} \nabla_{AdS_3}^2 \Phi + g^2 \nabla_{M_7}^2 \Phi = 0, \quad (5.1)$$

where $\nabla_{\text{AdS}_3}^2$ is the Laplacian on AdS_3 of unit radius. We use a separation of variables ansatz

$$\Phi = \Phi_{\text{AdS}_3} \Phi_{\text{M}_7}, \quad (5.2)$$

where $\Phi_{\text{AdS}_3}/\Phi_{\text{M}_7}$ has only support along AdS_3/M_7 . Then, the 10D Laplacian amounts to solving two eigenvalue problems⁸

$$\nabla_{\text{AdS}_3}^2 \Phi_{\text{AdS}_3} = \frac{2}{7 + \sqrt{5}} M^2 \Phi_{\text{AdS}_3}, \quad \nabla_{\text{M}_7}^2 \Phi_{\text{M}_7} = -M^2 \Phi_{\text{M}_7}. \quad (5.3)$$

The eigenvalues of the Laplacian of the internal manifold plays the role of a mass in the AdS_3 equation $m^2 = \frac{2}{7 + \sqrt{5}} M^2$. Then, in order for the solution to be stable, it should satisfy the Breitenlohner-Freedman (BF) bound, which for a scalar in AdS_{d+1} reads $m^2 \geq -d^2/4$. In this case, M^2 must satisfy

$$M^2 \geq -\frac{7 + \sqrt{5}}{2}. \quad (5.4)$$

5.2 Scalar Eigenfunctions of the M_7 Laplacian

The Laplacian on the 7D internal manifold can be written in terms of the Casimir elements of the isometry (4.19) as

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_{\text{M}_7}^2 \Phi = & \Lambda^{-2} (K^i K^i \Phi - W^2 \Phi) + \frac{4}{\sin^2(\xi)} \partial_\tau^2 \Phi + \frac{4}{\cos^2(\xi)} L^i L^i \Phi, \\ & + \frac{1}{\cos^3(\xi) \sin(\xi)} \partial_\xi (\cos^3(\xi) \sin(\xi) \partial_\xi \Phi). \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

At this level, solving the eigenvalues of the Laplacian is equivalent to the quantum mechanics problem of finding eigenstates of the Hamiltonian given by the Laplacian above. Such eigenstates are irreducible representations of the global symmetries of the Hamiltonian, which in this case are given by (4.19).

It is however important to recall that these $\text{SU}(2)$ symmetries come from 3-spheres. For a round 3-sphere, with $\text{SO}(4) \simeq \text{SU}(2)_L \times \text{SU}(2)_R$ symmetry, the Laplacian eigenfunctions are labelled by the eigenvalues of the two Casimir elements of each $\text{SU}(2)$, ℓ_L and ℓ_R , and their respective magnetic moments, m_L and m_R . For scalar eigenfunctions we have $\ell_L = \ell_R = \ell$, which is equivalent to $L^i L^i = R^i R^i$. In what follows we denote by (ℓ, m_L, m_R) the labels on the 3-sphere $(\theta_2, \varphi_2, \psi)$ and $(\hat{\ell}, \hat{m}_L, \hat{m}_R)$ the labels on the squashed 3-sphere (θ, φ, τ) .

In the case at hand, there is a mixing of symmetries between two 3-spheres. Since the $\text{SU}(2)_L$ symmetry of the 3-sphere $(\theta_2, \varphi_2, \psi)$ is preserved, the eigenfunctions are labelled by ℓ and m_L . On the other hand, the $\text{U}(1)$ symmetry generated by ∂_τ corresponds to the Cartan \hat{R}^3 element of the $\text{SU}(2)_R$ of the 3-sphere (θ, φ, τ) , this adds the label \hat{m}_R . The subtle point is the $\text{SU}(2)$ generator of the $\text{SU}(2)_D$ symmetry. On one hand, its eigenstates must satisfy

$$4K^i K^i = -k(k+2), \quad K^3 = i m_k. \quad (5.6)$$

⁸Recall from (4.6), $\ell_{\text{AdS}_3}^2 g^2 = \frac{2}{7 + \sqrt{5}}$.

However, since $K^i = \tilde{L}^i + R^i$, then $K^i K^i = R^i R^i + \dots$, and since $R^i R^i = L^i L^i$, clearly k is related to ℓ . Similar argument applies for m_k and m_R . We find that it is simpler to deal with \tilde{L}^i and R^i as if they were independent generators, and then impose that their eigenstates must satisfy (5.6). This is similar to angular momentum coupling in quantum mechanics.

The solutions below are given in terms of eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on the two 3-spheres. These corresponds to the 3-sphere scalar spherical harmonics, which are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
Y_{m_L, m_R}^\ell(\theta_2, \varphi_2, \psi) &= C_{\ell; m_L, m_R} e^{i(m_L \psi + m_R \varphi_2)} \cos^{m_L + m_R} \left(\frac{\theta_2}{2} \right) \sin^{m_L - m_R} \left(\frac{\theta_2}{2} \right) P_{\frac{\ell}{2} - m_L}^{(m_L - m_R, m_L + m_R)}(\cos \theta_2), \\
Y_{\hat{m}_L, \hat{m}_R}^{\hat{\ell}}(\theta, \varphi, \tau) &= C_{\ell; \hat{m}_L, \hat{m}_R} e^{i(\hat{m}_L \tau + \hat{m}_R \varphi)} \cos^{\hat{m}_L + \hat{m}_R} \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) \sin^{\hat{m}_L - \hat{m}_R} \left(\frac{\theta}{2} \right) P_{\frac{\hat{\ell}}{2} - \hat{m}_L}^{(\hat{m}_L - \hat{m}_R, \hat{m}_L + \hat{m}_R)}(\cos \theta), \\
C_{\ell; m_1, m_2} &= \sqrt{\frac{\ell+1}{2\pi^2}} \sqrt{\frac{(\frac{\ell}{2} + m_1)! (\frac{\ell}{2} - m_1)!}{(\frac{\ell}{2} + m_2)! (\frac{\ell}{2} - m_2)!}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.7}$$

where $P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)$ are the Jacobi polynomials satisfying

$$(1-x^2) \frac{d^2 P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)}{dx^2} + (\beta - \alpha - (\alpha + \beta + 2)x) \frac{d P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x)}{dx} + n(n + \alpha + \beta + 1) P_n^{(\alpha, \beta)}(x) = 0. \tag{5.8}$$

Each family of functions defined in (5.7) satisfies

$$L^i L^i Y_{m_L, m_R}^\ell = -\frac{\ell(\ell+2)}{4} Y_{m_L, m_R}^\ell, \tag{5.9}$$

$$\hat{L}^i \hat{L}^i Y_{\hat{m}_L, \hat{m}_R}^{\hat{\ell}} = -\frac{\hat{\ell}(\hat{\ell}+2)}{4} Y_{\hat{m}_L, \hat{m}_R}^{\hat{\ell}}. \tag{5.10}$$

Regularity of the solutions require

$$\ell = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots \tag{5.11}$$

$$m_L, m_R \in \left\{ -\frac{\ell}{2} + n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \ell \right\} \tag{5.12}$$

With this, we now proceed to the study of eigenfunctions of the Laplacian on M_7 .

5.2.1 Zero modes on the spheres

The simplest case corresponds to $\Phi_{M_7} = \Phi_{M_7}(\xi)$. In this case, the equation for the dilaton reduces to

$$\frac{1}{\cos^3(\xi) \sin(\xi)} \partial_\xi (\cos^3(\xi) \sin(\xi) \partial_\xi \Phi) = -M^2 \Phi_{M_7}, \tag{5.13}$$

with solution

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{M_7} &= \frac{c_1}{z} {}_2F_1 \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4+M^2}, \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4+M^2}; 0; \cos^2(\xi) \right) \\
&\quad + c_2 {}_2F_1 \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4+M^2}, 1 + \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{4+M^2}; 2; \cos^2(\xi) \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{5.14}$$

were ${}_2F_1(a, b; c; z)$ is the ordinary hypergeometric function. Regularity of the solution at $\xi = 0$ and $\xi = \pi/2$ requires

$$c_1 = 0, \quad M^2 = 4(p^2 - 1), \quad \text{with } p = 1, 2, 3, \dots \quad (5.15)$$

The mode $p = 0$ is excluded since ${}_2F_1(1, 1; 2; z) = -\log(1 - z)/z$, which is singular at $z = 1$ (here $z = \cos^2(\xi)$ so that $z = 1$ is $\xi = \pi/2$).

Having determined M^2 , we can explicitly check that the BF bound is satisfied since $M^2 > 0$ for any p .

5.2.2 Spherical Harmonics on the squashed 3-sphere

The next simplest subsector is to allow the dilaton to depend on $(\xi, \theta, \varphi, \tau)$. Since we are not exciting modes on the 3-sphere $(\theta_2, \varphi_2, \psi)$, effectively $K^i = \tilde{L}^i$ and $W = -\partial_\tau$. The equation now reads

$$\Lambda^{-2} \tilde{L}^i \tilde{L}^i \Phi + \left(\frac{4}{\sin^2(\xi)} - \Lambda^{-2} \right) \partial_\tau^2 \Phi + \frac{1}{\cos^3(\xi) \sin(\xi)} \partial_\xi (\cos^3(\xi) \sin(\xi) \partial_\xi \Phi) = -M^2 \Phi_{M_7} \quad (5.16)$$

The first two terms correspond to the Laplacian on the squashed 3-sphere. We can then use a decomposition in spherical harmonics

$$\Phi = h(\xi) Y_{\hat{m}_L, \hat{m}_R}^{\hat{\ell}}(\theta, \varphi, \tau), \quad (5.17)$$

which leads to the following equation for $h(\xi)$

$$-\frac{\Lambda^{-2}}{4} \hat{\ell}(\hat{\ell} + 2)h - \left(\frac{4}{\sin^2(\xi)} - \Lambda^{-2} \right) \hat{m}_R^2 h + \frac{1}{\cos^3(\xi) \sin(\xi)} \partial_\xi (\cos^3(\xi) \sin(\xi) \partial_\xi h) = -M^2 h \quad (5.18)$$

with solution

$$h(\xi) = (-1)^{\hat{m}_R} (1 - z)^{-\hat{m}_R} {}_2F_1(1 - \hat{m}_R - p, 1 - \hat{m}_R + p; 2; \cos^2(\xi)), \quad (5.19)$$

$$M^2 = 4(p^2 - 1) + \frac{1}{\Lambda^2} \left(\frac{1}{4} \hat{\ell}(\hat{\ell} + 2) - \hat{m}_R^2 \right), \quad p \geq |\hat{m}_R| + 1,$$

where the condition $p \geq |\hat{m}_R| + 1$ is imposed for regularity at the boundary. Again, all these fluctuations are above the BF bound.

5.2.3 Solutions with angular momentum coupling

We now study solutions with non-trivial dependencies on the two 3-spheres. A general solution of the eigenvalue problem (5.3) is a linear combination of products of the functions in (5.7), with coefficients depending on ξ . For simplicity, let us consider a set of solutions of (5.3) that depend on all the coordinates of the internal space but are annihilated by the operators

$$K^i K^i \Phi = 0, \quad W \Phi = 0. \quad (5.20)$$

In the quantum mechanical problem, this is equivalent to finding a set of pairs of states of quantum numbers $|\ell, m\rangle \otimes |\hat{\ell}, \hat{m}\rangle$ such that the total angular momentum of the system (the K^i quantum numbers) are $|k = 0, m_k = 0\rangle$.

It is possible to find a set of functions satisfying (5.20) labelled by ℓ, m_R, \hat{m}_L . Explicitly, they are given by

$$\Phi_0^{\ell, m_R, \hat{m}_L} = \sum_{n=-\ell/2}^{\ell/2} e^{-\frac{i\pi m_R}{2}} Y_{n, m_R}^\ell(\theta_2, \varphi_2, \psi) Y_{\hat{m}_L, n}^\ell(\theta, \varphi, \tau). \quad (5.21)$$

These functions also satisfy

$$L^i L^i \Phi_0^{\ell, m_R, \hat{m}_L} = -\frac{\ell(\ell+2)}{4} \Phi_0^{\ell, m_R, \hat{m}_L}, \quad (5.22)$$

$$\partial_\tau^2 \Phi_0^{\ell, m_R, \hat{m}_L} = -\hat{m}_L^2 \Phi_0^{\ell, m_R, \hat{m}_L}. \quad (5.23)$$

Let us consider $\Phi_{M_7} = h(\xi) \Phi_0^{\ell, m_R, \hat{m}_L}$. Then, the eigenvalue problem for the M_7 Laplacian reads

$$\frac{1}{\cos^3 \xi \sin \xi} \partial_\xi (\cos^3 \xi \sin \xi \partial_\xi h) - \frac{4\hat{m}_L^2}{\sin^2 \xi} h - \frac{\ell(\ell+2)}{\cos^2 \xi} h = -M^2 h. \quad (5.24)$$

The solution of this equation is given by

$$h(\xi) = \frac{1}{\sin^{2\hat{m}_L}(\xi)} \left[\frac{C_1}{\cos^{2+\ell}(\xi)} {}_2F_1(a-1-\ell, b-1-\ell; -\ell; \cos^2 \xi) + C_2 \cos^\ell(\xi) {}_2F_1(a, b; \ell+2; \cos^2 \xi) \right] \quad (5.25)$$

$$a \equiv 1 + \frac{\ell}{2} - \sqrt{1 + \frac{M^2}{2}} - 2\hat{m}_L, \quad (5.26)$$

$$b \equiv 1 + \frac{\ell}{2} + \sqrt{1 + \frac{M^2}{2}} - 2\hat{m}_L. \quad (5.27)$$

where C_1, C_2 are integration constants. Let us analyse the regularity at $\xi = 0, \frac{\pi}{2}$. Let $\Gamma(x)$ be the Euler Gamma function. For any ${}_2F_1(a, b, c; \cos^2 \xi)$, the expansion closed to $\xi = 0$ goes as

$${}_2F_1(a, b, c; \cos^2 \xi) \simeq \Gamma(c) \left(\frac{\pi}{90 \sin(\pi(a+b-c)) \Gamma(1+a+b-c) \Gamma(-a+c) \Gamma(-b+c)} + \mathcal{O}(\xi^2) \right), \quad (5.28)$$

for $-a-b+c > 0$.

where $\mathcal{O}(\xi^2)$ are subleading terms that do not contain $\Gamma(c)$. The hypergeometric function controlled by C_1 in (5.28) has coefficient $c = -\ell$, thus, this branch is ill defined at $\xi = 0$. We set $C_1 = 0$. The branch controlled by C_2 has the following expansion

$$\xi^{-2\hat{m}_1} \left(\frac{\pi \Gamma(2+\ell)}{\Gamma(-1+a+b-\ell) \Gamma(2-a+\ell) \Gamma(2-b+\ell)} + \mathcal{O}(\xi^2) \right) - \xi^{2\hat{m}_1} \left(\frac{\pi \Gamma(2+\ell)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \Gamma(3-a-b+\ell)} + \mathcal{O}(\xi^2) \right)$$

Since $\hat{m}_1 \in \{-\ell/2, \dots, \ell/2\}$, let us split the analysis in three cases:

- For $\hat{m}_1 > 0$, then regularity can be obtained by imposing

$$2 - a + \ell = -p, \quad p = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (5.29)$$

which is solved by the quantization condition

$$M^2 = \ell^2 + 4\ell(1 + p + \hat{m}_1) + 4[\hat{m}_1^2 + 2\hat{m}_1(1 + p) + (2 + p)p]. \quad (5.30)$$

- For $\hat{m}_1 < 0$, regularity at $\xi = 0$ is achieved by imposing $a = -p$, which leads to the quantization condition

$$M^2 = \ell^2 + 4\ell(1 - \hat{m}_1 + p) + 4(\hat{m}_1^2 - 2\hat{m}_1(1 + p) + p(2 + p)), \quad \hat{m}_1 < 0.$$

- For $\hat{m}_1 = 0$ (which is only possible for even ℓ) a logarithmic branch appears, which can be eliminated by imposing

$$M^2 = \ell^2 + 4\ell(1 + p) + 4p(p + 2),$$

leading to constant behaviour near $\xi = 0$.

Regularity at $\xi = \pi/2$ does not require any extra condition. In all the cases discussed above we have $M^2 > 0$, hence, we are always above the BF bound.

6 Conclusion

Motivated by the global-gauge symmetry mixing produced by the presence of non-Abelian hedgehog monopoles in 4D $SU(2)$ gauge theories discussed in [14, 15], in this paper we reviewed a particular $SU(2)$ non-Abelian monopole configuration known as Meron gauge fields. We showed that for this configuration, the argument of [14, 15] applies, and also reviewed generalities of uplifts of this type of gauge fields using 3-spheres. At this level, the global-gauge symmetry mixing becomes a diagonal combination of the isometries of the 2-sphere where the non-Abelian monopole is valued, and the 3-sphere used to lift the configuration.

We studied two examples of Type II configurations that have the features described above. The first case, corresponds to a deformation of the 3D theory known as I-branes. The background corresponds to placing the theory on a 2-sphere with a Meron monopole, and it was obtained in [19] at the level of gauged supergravity. The background corresponds to a 2-dimensional black hole studied in [23–25] and an 8-dimensional internal manifold, formed by a 2-sphere fibered over two 3-spheres by means of the Meron gauge field. For vanishing black hole mass the configuration is supersymmetric. We find that the Killing spinor is charged under the diagonal symmetry.

The second configuration is new and it corresponds to a solution of 5D $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauged supergravity. The metric is a direct product of the form $AdS_3 \times S^2$, while the $SU(2)$ gauge field is a Meron and there is an Abelian monopole for the $U(1)$ gauge field. When uplifted to Type IIB using [28], the background corresponds to a deformation of $AdS_5 \times S^5$, where the D3-branes are wrapping a 2-sphere. In this case, the lower dimensional 2-sphere and one of the S^5 $U(1)$ directions can be

though as (locally) a squashed 3-sphere whose fiber shrinks. There is a second 3-sphere which the squashed one is fibered over. The diagonal symmetry in this case mixes the $SU(2)_L$ of the squashed 3-sphere with the $SU(2)_R$ of second 3-sphere. This AdS_3 configuration is not supersymmetric for any range of the parameters.

Finally, we studied dilaton fluctuations on the uplift of the $AdS_3 \times S^2$ background. The equation of motion for the fluctuation reduced to an eigenvalue equation for the Laplacian on AdS_3 and M_7 , where M_7 corresponds to the 2-sphere fiber over the 5-sphere used to uplift the geometry to 10-dimensions. We find that for all fluctuations, the effective AdS_3 mass is above the Breitenlohner-Freedman bound, so that the non-supersymmetric background is stable with respect to dilaton fluctuations. On M_7 , the eigenfunctions are labeled by quantum numbers of the global symmetries of the manifold. We find fluctuations which show angular momentum mixing between the $SU(2)$ spins of two 3-spheres inside M_7 , mimicking the spin from isospin mechanism of [14, 15].

Going back to the $AdS_3 \times S^2$ solution, from the dual 2D CFT perspective, the diagonal $SU(2)$ symmetry between the $SO(3)$ global and $SU(2)$ gauge symmetries corresponds to an symmetry of the internal space. This is to be compared with [14, 15] where the symmetry being mixed is a global (Lorentz) symmetry. Due to this, the diagonal symmetry does not produce a shift of the spin, in the sense of representations of the Lorentz group, but rather a shift of the $SO(3)$ isospin, so that we instead have an “isospin from isospin” mechanism. Furthermore, in [14, 15] the global symmetry is mixed with a gauge one, whereas here the internal symmetry is mixed with a second internal symmetry. In any case, these results serve as a first approach to obtaining a background which truly realises the results of [14, 15].

From here, there are several interesting directions to explore

- We note that the 5D $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauged supergravity can also be uplifted to the infinite family of Gaiotto-Maldacena solutions [10] using [30, 31]. Due to our construction, it is guaranteed that there will be a diagonal symmetry between the 2-sphere from the gauged supergravity, and the 2-sphere of the internal manifold of the Gaiotto-Maldacena solutions. This shows the universality of this phenomena. It would be interesting to study this uplift as a non-supersymmetric reduction of 4D $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SCFTs.
- One possibility to obtain a solution realising a diagonal symmetry between a Lorentz symmetry and a internal symmetry, is to interpret the AdS_3 solution presented here as a IR fixed point of a more general background of the form

$$ds_{5D}^2 = e^{2f(r)} dx_{1,1}^2 + e^{2g(r)} ds^2(S^2) + dr^2. \quad (6.1)$$

If this solution exists, from the point of view of a 4D observer, there would really be a spin from isospin effect. A more general profile for the $SU(2)$ and $U(1)$ gauge fields and a non-zero dilaton might be necessary.

- It would be interesting to further study solutions containig a Meron gauge field, for example the Meron gauge field on a D5-brane wraped on a 2-sphere of [32].
- The key ingredient in realizing the diagonal symmetry is that the manifolds S^2 and S^3 share the same isometry algebra. A possible generalization is to consider manifolds that share a common

subalgebra of their isometry groups, allowing one to construct 1-forms whose Lie derivatives along this subalgebra close among themselves. In principle, this would allow the construction of manifolds with non-trivial fibrations that can serve as internal spaces for solutions of 10- or 11-dimensional supergravity.

We hope to report on these and related topic in the future.

Acknowledgements

We thank Evangelos Afxonidis, Adolfo Guarino, Yolanda Lozano, Niall T. Macpherson, Carlos Nunez, Mario Trigiante for valuable discussions. The work of M.O. is partially funded by Beca ANID de Doctorado grant 21222264. The work of R. S. is supported by the Ramón y Cajal fellowship RYC2021-033794-I, and by grants from the Spanish government MCIU-22-PID2021-123021NB-I00 and principality of Asturias SV-PA-21-AYUD/2021/52177.

References

- [1] J.M. Maldacena, *The Large N limit of superconformal field theories and supergravity*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 231 [[hep-th/9711200](#)].
- [2] S.S. Gubser, I.R. Klebanov and A.M. Polyakov, *Gauge theory correlators from noncritical string theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **428** (1998) 105 [[hep-th/9802109](#)].
- [3] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space and holography*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 253 [[hep-th/9802150](#)].
- [4] N. Itzhaki, J.M. Maldacena, J. Sonnenschein and S. Yankielowicz, *Supergravity and the large N limit of theories with sixteen supercharges*, *Phys. Rev. D* **58** (1998) 046004 [[hep-th/9802042](#)].
- [5] H.J. Boonstra, K. Skenderis and P.K. Townsend, *The domain wall / QFT correspondence*, *JHEP* **01** (1999) 003 [[hep-th/9807137](#)].
- [6] M. Henningson and K. Skenderis, *The Holographic Weyl anomaly*, *JHEP* **07** (1998) 023 [[hep-th/9806087](#)].
- [7] C. Beem, L. Rastelli and B.C. van Rees, *\mathcal{W} symmetry in six dimensions*, *JHEP* **05** (2015) 017 [[1404.1079](#)].
- [8] M. Bullimore and H.-C. Kim, *The Superconformal Index of the $(2,0)$ Theory with Defects*, *JHEP* **05** (2015) 048 [[1412.3872](#)].
- [9] E. Witten, *Anti-de Sitter space, thermal phase transition, and confinement in gauge theories*, *Adv. Theor. Math. Phys.* **2** (1998) 505 [[hep-th/9803131](#)].

- [10] D. Gaiotto and J. Maldacena, *The Gravity duals of $N=2$ superconformal field theories*, *JHEP* **10** (2012) 189 [[0904.4466](#)].
- [11] S. Ryu and T. Takayanagi, *Holographic derivation of entanglement entropy from AdS/CFT*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96** (2006) 181602 [[hep-th/0603001](#)].
- [12] H. Casini and M. Huerta, *A Finite entanglement entropy and the c-theorem*, *Phys. Lett. B* **600** (2004) 142 [[hep-th/0405111](#)].
- [13] R.C. Myers and A. Sinha, *Holographic c-theorems in arbitrary dimensions*, *JHEP* **01** (2011) 125 [[1011.5819](#)].
- [14] R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi, *Spin from Isospin in a Gauge Theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **36** (1976) 1116.
- [15] P. Hasenfratz and G. 't Hooft, *A Fermion-Boson Puzzle in a Gauge Theory*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **36** (1976) 1119.
- [16] F. Canfora, F. Correa, A. Giacomini and J. Oliva, *Exact meron Black Holes in four dimensional $SU(2)$ Einstein-Yang-Mills theory*, *Phys. Lett. B* **722** (2013) 364 [[1208.6042](#)].
- [17] J.R. David, S. Minwalla and C. Nunez, *Fermions in bosonic string theories*, *JHEP* **09** (2001) 001 [[hep-th/0107165](#)].
- [18] N. Itzhaki, D. Kutasov and N. Seiberg, *I-brane dynamics*, *JHEP* **01** (2006) 119 [[hep-th/0508025](#)].
- [19] F. Canfora, J. Oliva and M. Oyarzo, *New BPS solitons in $\mathcal{N} = 4$ gauged supergravity and black holes in Einstein-Yang-Mills-dilaton theory*, *JHEP* **02** (2022) 057 [[2111.11915](#)].
- [20] V. de Alfaro, S. Fubini and G. Furlan, *A New Classical Solution of the Yang-Mills Field Equations*, *Phys. Lett. B* **65** (1976) 163.
- [21] T. Eguchi, P.B. Gilkey and A.J. Hanson, *Gravitation, Gauge Theories and Differential Geometry*, *Phys. Rept.* **66** (1980) 213.
- [22] A. Legramandi, G. Lo Monaco and N.T. Macpherson, *All $\mathcal{N} = (8, 0)$ AdS_3 solutions in 10 and 11 dimensions*, *JHEP* **05** (2021) 263 [[2012.10507](#)].
- [23] R.B. Mann, M.S. Morris and S.F. Ross, *Properties of asymptotically flat two-dimensional black holes*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **10** (1993) 1477 [[hep-th/9202068](#)].
- [24] J.P.S. Lemos, *Two-dimensional black holes and planar general relativity*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **12** (1995) 1081 [[gr-qc/9407024](#)].
- [25] E. Witten, *On string theory and black holes*, *Phys. Rev. D* **44** (1991) 314.
- [26] Y. Lozano, N.T. Macpherson, C. Nunez and A. Ramirez, *AdS_3 solutions in Massive IIA with small $\mathcal{N} = (4, 0)$ supersymmetry*, *JHEP* **01** (2020) 129 [[1908.09851](#)].

- [27] L.J. Romans, *Gauged $N = 4$ Supergravities in Five-dimensions and Their Magnetovac Backgrounds*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **267** (1986) 433.
- [28] H. Lu, C.N. Pope and T.A. Tran, *Five-dimensional $N=4$, $SU(2) \times U(1)$ gauged supergravity from type IIB*, *Phys. Lett. B* **475** (2000) 261 [[hep-th/9909203](#)].
- [29] A. Conti, Y. Lozano and N.T. Macpherson, *New AdS_2/CFT_1 pairs from AdS_3 and monopole bubbling*, *JHEP* **07** (2023) 041 [[2304.11003](#)].
- [30] D. Chatzis, A. Fatemiabhari, C. Nunez and P. Weck, *SCFT deformations via uplifted solitons*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **1006** (2024) 116659 [[2406.01685](#)].
- [31] D. Chatzis, A. Fatemiabhari, C. Nunez and P. Weck, *Conformal to confining SQFTs from holography*, *JHEP* **08** (2024) 041 [[2405.05563](#)].
- [32] C. Nunez, M. Oyarzo and R. Stuardo, *Confinement and D5-branes*, *JHEP* **03** (2024) 080 [[2311.17998](#)].