

Wilson loops in ABJM theory reloaded

Bercel Boldis^{a,b}, Gregory P. Korchemsky^c and Alessandro Testa^c

^a*Department of Theoretical Physics, Institute of Physics, Budapest University of Technology and Economics Műegyetem rkp. 3, 1111 Budapest, Hungary*

^b*HUN-REN Wigner Research Centre for Physics, Konkoly-Thege Miklos ut 29-33, 1121 Budapest, Hungary*

^c*Institut de Physique Théorique¹, Université Paris Saclay, CNRS, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France*

ABSTRACT: We present a new technique for computing supersymmetric Wilson loops in the ABJM theory via supersymmetric localization, valid for arbitrary values of the rank of the gauge group N and the Chern–Simons level k . The approach relies on an operator representation of the Wilson loops within the Fermi gas formalism in terms of the resolvent of a certain integral operator previously encountered in the computation of the ABJM partition function on the round three-sphere. By deriving a set of nontrivial relations for this resolvent, we obtain exact expressions for the generating functions of Wilson loops in terms of the partition function. For large k , these expressions reproduce the weak-coupling expansion of the Wilson loops, and in the large- N limit at fixed k they match previously obtained high-precision numerical results. This analysis also resolves the longstanding discrepancy between numerical data and the semiclassical expression for the 1/6 BPS Wilson loop.

¹Unité Mixte de Recherche 3681 du CNRS

Contents

1	Introduction and summary	2
2	Fermi gas approach	4
2.1	Generating functions	5
2.2	Semiclassical approximation	7
3	Tracy-Widom approach	10
3.1	Properties of ψ -function	11
3.2	Baxter equation	12
3.3	Wronskian relation	13
3.4	Shift relation	14
3.5	Parity relation	16
4	Quantization condition	16
4.1	Consistency relations	17
4.2	Phase at even k	17
4.3	Phase at odd k	18
4.4	Solutions to the quantization conditions	19
4.5	Potential	19
4.6	Semiclassical approximation	20
5	Derivation of the Wilson loop	21
5.1	Recurrence relations	21
5.2	Results	24
5.3	Weak coupling regime	26
6	Large z regime	26
6.1	Coefficient functions at large μ	27
6.2	Phase at large μ	28
7	Concluding remarks	29
A	Derivation of (3.3)	30
B	Parity properties	31
C	Properties of the potentials	32
D	Recurrence relation	33

1 Introduction and summary

Supersymmetric gauge theories with extended supersymmetry provide a powerful framework for studying nonperturbative aspects of quantum field theory. In three dimensions, a prominent example is the ABJM model [1, 2]. It describes two supersymmetric Chern–Simons theories with gauge group $U(N)$ and opposite levels, k and $-k$, coupled to four matter supermultiplets in the bifundamental representation of $U(N) \times U(N)$. The theory exhibits $\mathcal{N} = 6$ superconformal symmetry which, for the special values $k = 1$ and $k = 2$, is enhanced to $\mathcal{N} = 8$, the maximal amount of supersymmetry allowed in three dimensions.

The parameter space of the ABJM model is fully characterised by two independent positive integers: the rank N of the gauge group and the Chern–Simons level k . The inverse level $1/k$ defines the coupling constant of the theory. The weak and strong coupling regime of the theory correspond, respectively, to the large and small k limit.

At large N , two qualitatively different regimes emerge, depending on the hierarchy between N and k . When both parameters are large, observables can be systematically expanded in powers of $1/N^2$ by keeping the effective 't Hooft coupling $\lambda = N/k$ fixed. Within the AdS/CFT correspondence [3], this limit corresponds to the perturbative genus expansion of a type IIA superstring propagating on $\text{AdS}_4 \times \mathbb{CP}^3$ [4–7].

The second regime arises when the level k is kept fixed as $N \rightarrow \infty$ or, equivalently, when λ grows linearly with N . In this regime, the curvature of the type IIA background becomes large and the ten-dimensional description is no longer valid. The appropriate dual description is instead provided by an eleven-dimensional M-theory compactified on $\text{AdS}_4 \times S^7/\mathbb{Z}_k$. This regime provides a nonperturbative completion of the ABJM theory and plays a pivotal role in understanding the dynamics of multiple M2-branes.

Supersymmetric localization [8] provides a powerful framework for studying different regimes of the ABJM theory. For a special class of observables preserving supersymmetry, this technique allows us to reduce the infinite-dimensional path integral to a finite-dimensional matrix integral. Originally developed for four-dimensional supersymmetric Yang–Mills theories [9], it was later generalised to three-dimensional supersymmetric Chern–Simons theories [10].

In the ABJM theory, the matrix model obtained via supersymmetric localization provides a nonperturbative representation of a wide class of observables, including the partition function on the three-sphere S^3 , circular Wilson loops [11–14] and the bremsstrahlung function [15–19]. Solving this matrix model and deriving exact expressions for the observables that are valid for arbitrary values of parameters of the ABJM theory is an extremely difficult and challenging task.

A powerful technique for addressing this problem is the *Fermi gas* approach [20]. In this framework, the ABJM matrix model is reinterpreted as a one-dimensional quantum gas of interacting fermions, with the Chern–Simons level k playing the role of an effective Planck constant, $\hbar = 2\pi k$. This approach has been successfully applied to the computation of the partition function $Z(N, k)$ of the ABJM theory on the round three-sphere S^3 , see [21, 22] for reviews. Remarkably, the generating function $\Xi(z, k) = \sum_{N \geq 0} z^N Z(N, k)$, which has the meaning of the grand canonical partition function of the Fermi gas, admits a compact representation as a Fredholm determinant of a certain integral operator.¹ In the strong-coupling regime, corresponding to the limit $\hbar = 2\pi k \rightarrow 0$, the Fredholm

¹It is noteworthy that various observables in four-dimensional maximally supersymmetric Yang–Mills theory can likewise be expressed in terms of a Fredholm determinant of an integrable Bessel kernel (see [23] and refer-

determinant can be evaluated using a semiclassical expansion. When supplemented with nonperturbative (instanton) corrections, this analysis leads to the expression for the partition function in terms of Airy function.

The Fermi gas formalism can also be applied to the computation of supersymmetric Wilson loops. In the ABJM theory, one can define two distinct supersymmetric Wilson loops, $W^{1/6}$ and $W^{1/2}$, which preserve four and twelve superconformal symmetries, respectively, out of the total 24 of the theory [11–14]. The 1/6 BPS Wilson loop is defined as the vacuum expectation value

$$W_n^{1/6} = \frac{1}{N} \left\langle \text{tr}_{\square} P \exp \left[\int_0^{2\pi n} ds \left(i A_{\mu}(x) \dot{x}^{\mu} + \frac{2\pi}{k} |\dot{x}| M_J^I C_I(x) \bar{C}^J(x) \right) \right] \right\rangle, \quad (1.1)$$

where the contour $x_{\mu} = x_{\mu}(s) = x_{\mu}(s + 2\pi)$ winds n times around the unit circle in \mathbb{R}^3 , and $\dot{x}^{\mu} = \partial_s x^{\mu}(s)$ denotes the tangent vector. The exponent in (1.1) contains the gauge field $A_{\mu}(x)$ of the Chern–Simons theory with level k ² as well as the scalar matter fields C_I (with $I = 1, \dots, 4$), which transform in the bi-fundamental representation of the gauge group $U(N) \times U(N)$ and in the fundamental representation of the R -symmetry group $SU(4)$. The constant matrix M_J^I is fixed by supersymmetry, it can be brought to the diagonal form $M = \text{diag}(1, 1, -1, -1)$ by an $SU(4)$ transformation. The trace in (1.1) is taken in the fundamental representation of the gauge group.

The 1/2 BPS Wilson loop is defined in terms of the holonomy of the supergroup $U(N|N)$. Its construction involves the gauge fields of both Chern–Simons theories, together with the matter fields (scalars and fermions). The expectation value of the 1/2 BPS Wilson loop with winding number n can be expressed as a linear combination of the 1/6 BPS Wilson loops (1.1) associated with the Chern–Simons theories at levels k and $-k$,

$$W_n^{1/2} = W_n^{1/6} - (-1)^n \overline{W_n^{1/6}}. \quad (1.2)$$

Both Wilson loops (1.1) and (1.2) depend on a nonnegative integer n , which specifies the winding number. For $n = 0$, they satisfy the normalization condition $W_0^{1/6} = 1$ and similarly $W_0^{1/2} = 1 - (-1)^n$. As a consequence of (1.2), it is sufficient to focus on the computation of the 1/6 BPS Wilson loop (1.1).

The semiclassical expansion of the Wilson loops (1.1) and (1.2) within the Fermi gas approach was derived in [27]. Neglecting nonperturbative (instanton) corrections, the resulting expressions for $W_n^{1/6}$ and $W_n^{1/2}$ take the form of ratios of Airy functions and their derivatives. In parallel development, the 1/6 BPS Wilson loop was computed numerically with high precision for a wide range of parameters k and N in [28]. These numerical studies revealed that, for winding number $n \geq 2$, the perturbative part of the 1/6 Wilson loop differs from the semiclassical expression obtained in [27]. This discrepancy was unexpected, especially given that the semiclassical expression for the partition function $Z(N, k)$ agrees perfectly with numerical data [29]. The origin of the mismatch remained unexplained.

The goal of this work is to develop a new technique for computing the supersymmetric Wilson loops (1.1) and (1.2) for arbitrary values of the parameters, without relying on the semiclassical expansion.

In the Fermi gas framework, the Wilson loop (1.1) admits an exact representation in terms of the resolvent of the same operator that appears in the Fredholm determinant expression for the partition

ences therein). This representation is particularly powerful, as it enables the computation of these observables for arbitrary values of the 't Hooft coupling [24–26].

²An analogous Wilson loop can be defined for the gauge field of the Chern–Simons theory at level $(-k)$. It is related to (1.1) by complex conjugation, or equivalently by the replacement $k \rightarrow -k$.

function $Z(N, k)$. The corresponding Fredholm determinant is known to satisfy a system of coupled nonlinear TBA-like equations. These equations were originally introduced independently in the study of $\mathcal{N} = 2$ models in two dimensions [30] and of self-avoiding 2d polymers [31], and were subsequently proven in [32].

Building on the method developed by Tracy and Widom in [32], we derive a set of exact equations governing the function $W_n^{1/6}$. We show that these equations are sufficiently powerful to determine the Wilson loops for arbitrary values of the parameters, without relying on the semiclassical approximation.

We show that for arbitrary k the resulting expressions for the generating functions $\mathcal{W}_n^{1/6}(z)$ and $\mathcal{W}_n^{1/2}(z)$ of the Wilson loops (1.1) and (1.2) admit a closed form representation in terms of the free energy $\mathcal{F}(z)$ (see (5.16) below). This relation takes a remarkably simple form for $n = 1$ and $k = 4$

$$\mathcal{W}_{n=1}^{1/2}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{z - 4 + 4e^{-2\mathcal{F}(z)}}. \quad (1.3)$$

Moreover, for arbitrary $k > 4$ and $n = 1, 2$ the corresponding generating functions are related to each other as

$$\mathcal{W}_{n=2}^{1/2}(z) = i \tan\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right) \left[\left(\mathcal{W}_{n=1}^{1/2}(z) \right)^2 + 1 - e^{-2\mathcal{F}(z)} \right]. \quad (1.4)$$

We verified that the obtained results for the Wilson loops are in perfect agreement with the expressions obtained in [28] from numerical fitting. Combined with the explicit expressions for the free energy, they provide an efficient method for computing the Wilson loops for arbitrary values of the parameters of the ABJM theory.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the Fermi gas approach and introduce the operator definition of the generating function \mathcal{W}_n for the 1/6 BPS Wilson loop. In Section 3, we apply the Tracy–Widom approach to obtain an integral representation of \mathcal{W}_n in terms of an auxiliary ψ -function, and derive a set of functional relations satisfied by this function. These relations are analyzed in Section 4. In Section 5, we combine the results of the preceding sections to compute the generating function \mathcal{W}_n . In Section 6, we study the large- z behavior of \mathcal{W}_n and compare it with the corresponding expressions obtained from the semiclassical expansion and numerical fitting. Finally, Section 7 contains our concluding remarks. Additional technical details are collected in the appendices.

2 Fermi gas approach

Supersymmetric localization allows us to express the partition function of the ABJM theory on the three-sphere and the 1/6 BPS Wilson loop (1.1) in terms of the same function $W_n = W_n(N, k)$. This function is given by a finite-dimensional integral [10]

$$W_n = \frac{1}{(N!)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \prod_{i=1}^N \frac{d\mu_i d\nu_i}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{\prod_{i < j} \left[2 \sinh\left(\frac{\mu_i - \mu_j}{2}\right) \right]^2 \left[2 \sinh\left(\frac{\nu_i - \nu_j}{2}\right) \right]^2}{\prod_{i, j} \left[2 \cosh\left(\frac{\mu_i - \nu_j}{2}\right) \right]^2} e^{\frac{ik}{4\pi} \sum_{i=1}^N (\mu_i^2 - \nu_i^2)} \sum_{i=1}^N e^{n\mu_i}. \quad (2.1)$$

It depends on the parameters of the theory, namely the Chern–Simons level k , the rank of the gauge group N , and the winding number n .

For arbitrary values of the parameters, the partition function $Z(N, k)$ and the 1/6 BPS Wilson loop $W_n^{1/6}$ are given by

$$Z = \frac{1}{N} W_0(N, k), \quad W_n^{1/6} = \frac{W_n(N, k)}{W_0(N, k)}. \quad (2.2)$$

The factor of $1/N$ in the first relation is introduced to compensate the last factor on the right-hand side of (2.1) evaluated for $n = 0$. The function $W_n(N, k)$ differs from the $1/6$ BPS Wilson loop $W_n^{1/6}$ by the overall factor $W_0(N, k)$ and is therefore conventionally referred to as the un-normalized Wilson loop.

For $n \geq 1$ the last factor on the right-hand side of (2.1) grows exponentially for large values of μ_i . As a result, the convergence of the integral (2.1) is not guaranteed for arbitrary values of the parameters. A closer examination shows that this integral is well-defined only if the Chern–Simons level satisfies

$$k > 2n. \quad (2.3)$$

To illustrate this, it is sufficient to consider the Abelian case with $N = 1$. In this case, the integral in (2.1) can be evaluated explicitly, yielding [28]

$$W_n(N = 1, k) = \frac{e^{i\pi n^2/k}}{4k \cos^2(\pi n/k)}. \quad (2.4)$$

This expression is regular for k obeying (2.3), but it develops a singularity at $k = 2n$. The singularity originates from the divergence of the integral (2.1) at this value of k . As we demonstrate below, the same singular behaviour persists for arbitrary N .

2.1 Generating functions

To compute the functions (2.2) for arbitrary N it is convenient to introduce an auxiliary fugacity parameter z and define generating functions

$$\begin{aligned} \Xi(z, k) &= 1 + \sum_{N \geq 1} z^N Z(N, k), \\ \mathcal{W}_n(z, k) &= \frac{1}{\Xi(z, k)} \sum_{N \geq 1} z^N W_n(N, k). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

As follows from the first relation in (2.2) these two functions are not independent and are related to each other as

$$\mathcal{W}_0(z, k) = z \partial_z \log \Xi(z, k). \quad (2.6)$$

The advantage of introducing the generating functions (2.5) is that the function $\Xi(z, k)$ can be interpreted as the grand canonical partition function of the Fermi gas with the chemical potential μ related to the fugacity parameter as

$$z = e^\mu. \quad (2.7)$$

In the similar manner, the generating function $\mathcal{W}_n(z, k)$ has the meaning of the expectation value of the holonomy $\text{tr}(U^n) = \sum_{i=1}^N e^{n\mu_i}$ in a grand canonical ensemble.

Having computed the generating functions (2.11) and (2.14) for arbitrary z we can apply (2.5) to find the partition function $Z(N, k)$ and un-normalized Wilson loop $W_n(N, k)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} Z(N, k) &= \int_{-i\pi}^{i\pi} \frac{d\mu}{2\pi i} e^{-N\mu} \Xi(e^\mu, k), \\ W_n(N, k) &= \int_{-i\pi}^{i\pi} \frac{d\mu}{2\pi i} e^{-N\mu} \Xi(e^\mu, k) \mathcal{W}_n(e^\mu, k). \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

Applying (2.2) and replacing the functions $Z(N, k)$ and $W_n(N, k)$ with their integral representation (2.1), we obtain rather complicated expressions for the generating functions (2.5). As was shown in [20], these expressions can be cast into a compact form by noticing that the function $Z(N, k)$ admits a determinant representation

$$Z(N, k) = \frac{1}{N!} \int d^N x \det \|\rho(x_i, x_j)\|_{1 \leq i, j \leq N}, \quad (2.9)$$

where the integration measure is $d^N x = dx_1 \dots dx_N$ and the function $\rho(x, y)$ is defined as

$$\rho(x, y) = \frac{1}{8\pi k \cosh(\frac{x}{2}) \cosh(\frac{x-y}{2k})}. \quad (2.10)$$

The dependence of $Z(N, k)$ on the Chern-Simons level k resides in this function.

Substituting the above relations into (2.5) leads to the representation of the generating function $\Xi(z, k)$ as a Fredholm determinant,

$$\Xi(z, k) = \det(1 + z \boldsymbol{\rho}), \quad (2.11)$$

where $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is an integral operator with kernel $\langle x | \boldsymbol{\rho} | y \rangle = \rho(x, y)$. This operator admits a quantum mechanical realization,

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} = \frac{1}{2 \cosh(\mathbf{x}/2)} \frac{1}{2 \cosh(\mathbf{p}/2)}, \quad (2.12)$$

where the operators \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{p} satisfy the canonical commutation relation $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{p}] = 2\pi i k$.

In a similar way, the generating function $\mathcal{W}_n(z, k)$ defined in (2.5) can be written in the operator form [27, 33],

$$\mathcal{W}_n(z, k) = \text{tr} \left(\frac{z \boldsymbol{\rho}}{1 + z \boldsymbol{\rho}} \mathbf{U}^n \right) \equiv \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \left\langle x \left| \frac{z \boldsymbol{\rho}}{1 + z \boldsymbol{\rho}} \mathbf{U}^n \right| x \right\rangle, \quad (2.13)$$

where the operator $\mathbf{U} = e^{(\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{p})/k}$ provides a representation of the holonomy in the grand canonical ensemble. We verify that for $n = 0$ the relations (2.11) and (2.13) are in agreement with (2.6). For $n \neq 0$, the operator in (2.13) can be simplified using the Baker-Campbell-Hausdorf formula as $\mathbf{U}^n = e^{\frac{n\mathbf{p}}{k}} e^{\frac{n(\mathbf{x} + i\pi n)}{k}}$ leading to the following representation [28]

$$\mathcal{W}_n(z, k) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{\frac{n(\mathbf{x} + i\pi n)}{k}} \left\langle x \left| \frac{z \boldsymbol{\rho}}{1 + z \boldsymbol{\rho}} \right| x + 2\pi i n \right\rangle. \quad (2.14)$$

Note that the matrix element on the right-hand side involves an argument shifted into the complex plane. This shift is generated by the operator $e^{n\mathbf{p}/k}$.

As we demonstrate below, relations (2.11) and (2.14) provides a powerful tool for evaluating un-normalized Wilson loop $W_n(N, k)$ for arbitrary positive N and k . For the first few values of N , this function can be found from small z expansion of the generating functions (2.5). Expanding the right-hand side of (2.11) and (2.14) in powers of z , we get from (2.5)

$$\sum_{N \geq 1} z^N W_n(N, k) = z \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho} \mathbf{U}^n) + z^2 \left[\text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho} \mathbf{U}^n) \text{tr} \boldsymbol{\rho} - \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho}^2 \mathbf{U}^n) \right] + O(z^3). \quad (2.15)$$

Matching the coefficients on both sides, we can obtain expressions for $W_n(N, k)$ for $N = 1, 2, \dots$

For instance, for $N = 1$ we find

$$W_n(N = 1, k) = \text{tr}(\boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{U}^n) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{\frac{n(x+i\pi n)}{k}} \rho(x, x + 2\pi i n). \quad (2.16)$$

Replacing the function $\rho(x, y)$ with its expression (2.10), we correctly reproduce (2.4). For $N \geq 2$, the corresponding expressions for $W_n(N, k)$ are given by multiple integrals which can not be computed in a closed form for general k .

To obtain $W_n(N, k)$ for large N we have to determine the generating functions (2.11) and (2.14) at large z . We solve this problem in the next section.

2.2 Semiclassical approximation

In the quantum mechanical formulation of the generating functions (2.11) and (2.12), the parameter k determines the Planck constant, $\hbar = 2\pi k$. Recall that this parameter also specifies the Chern-Simons level and therefore takes only positive integer values. Nevertheless, it proves convenient to relax this restriction and examine the small- k expansion of the generating functions (2.11) and (2.14).

The advantage of considering this regime is that, within the Fermi gas framework, the small- k behaviour of the generating functions $\Xi(z, k)$ and $\mathcal{W}_n(z, k)$ defined in (2.5) can be systematically derived from the semiclassical WKB expansion of the quantum Fermi gas. It is important to emphasize, however, that it is not obvious *a priori* whether this expansion remains valid for finite values of k , or, equivalently, whether the semiclassical expressions can be analytically continued from small k to positive integer values without encountering singularities. This question has been investigated in [28, 29] by comparing semiclassical predictions with numerical evaluations of (2.11) and (2.14). The analysis shows that, while the semiclassical expansion accurately describes the function $\Xi(z, k)$, it fails for $\mathcal{W}_n(z, k)$ when $n \geq 1$.

Partition function

By combining the semiclassical expansion with numerical analysis and imposing certain nontrivial consistency conditions, the grand canonical partition function $\Xi(z, k)$ defined in (2.5) and (2.11) was conjectured to have the following form at large $z = e^\mu$ and finite k , see [21] for a review,

$$\Xi(z, k) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{J(\mu+2\pi i m, k)}, \quad (2.17)$$

where the grand potential $J(\mu, k)$ decomposes into a perturbative and a nonperturbative part,

$$J(\mu, k) = J^{\text{pert}}(\mu, k) + J^{\text{np}}(\mu, k). \quad (2.18)$$

In contrast to the grand potential $J(\mu, k)$, the grand canonical partition function $\Xi(z, k)$ is a single-valued function of $z = e^\mu$ and must therefore remain invariant under the shift $\mu \rightarrow \mu + 2\pi i$. The summation in (2.17) is required to restore periodicity and ensure the single-valuedness of $\Xi(z, k)$.

The perturbative contribution to (2.18) is a cubic polynomial in $\mu = \log z$ with k -dependent coefficients,

$$J^{\text{pert}}(\mu, k) = \frac{2}{3\pi^2 k} \mu^3 + \left(\frac{1}{3k} - \frac{k}{12} \right) \mu + A(k), \quad (2.19)$$

where the constant term $A(k)$ admits an explicit integral representation [20, 34].

The nonperturbative part is given by an infinite series of terms that are exponentially suppressed at large μ

$$J^{\text{np}}(\mu, k) = \sum_{\substack{m, \ell=0 \\ (m, \ell) \neq (0, 0)}}^{\infty} f_{m, \ell}(\mu) e^{-\left(\frac{4m}{k} + 2\ell\right)\mu}, \quad (2.20)$$

where the coefficients $f_{m, \ell}(\mu)$ are polynomials in μ .

In the dual holographic description, the terms in (2.20) with $\ell = 0$ and $m = 0$ correspond to contributions from worldsheet and membrane instantons, respectively, while the remaining terms in (2.20) originate from bound states of these two types of instantons. The terms with $m \neq 0$ are genuinely nonperturbative and cannot be captured by the semiclassical expansion around $k = 0$. They were derived by exploiting the relation between the instanton coefficients $f_{m, 0}$ and Gopakumar-Vafa invariants of the topological string. The contribution of the bound states in (2.20) is not independent and it can be absorbed into the worldsheet instanton sector by redefining the chemical potential $\mu \mapsto \mu_{\text{eff}}$.

Furthermore, the grand canonical partition function (2.17) simplifies significantly for $k = 1, 2, 4, 8$ and the corresponding function $\Xi(N, k)$ can be written in a closed form in terms of the Jacobi theta functions [35–37].

Combining together the relations (2.8) and (2.17), we obtain the following representation for the free energy of the ABJM theory at large μ and fixed k

$$Z(N, k) = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{d\mu}{2\pi i} e^{J(\mu, k) - N\mu}. \quad (2.21)$$

As compared with (2.8) the integration contour in this relation extends to infinity. Replacing the grand potential in (2.21) with its expression (2.18) and performing integration, each term of the instanton expansion of the partition function $Z(N, k)$ can be expressed in terms of Airy functions.

1/6 BPS Wilson loop

The expectation value of the 1/6 BPS Wilson loop admits an integral representation analogous to (2.21)

$$W_n(N, k) = \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{d\mu}{2\pi i} \mathcal{W}_n(\mu, k) e^{J(\mu, k) - N\mu}. \quad (2.22)$$

The main difference between this relation and (2.8) lies in the integration region. To reconcile the two, it suffices to decompose the integration contour in (2.22) into a union of intervals $[i(2m-1)\pi, i(2m+1)\pi]$, with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$, and shift $\mu \rightarrow \mu + 2\pi m$ on each interval. Carrying out this procedure, we find that the function $\mathcal{W}_n(\mu, k)$ appearing on the right-hand side of (2.22) is related to the generating function $\mathcal{W}_n(z, k)$ defined in (2.5) and (2.14) by

$$\mathcal{W}_n(z, k) = \frac{1}{\Xi(z, k)} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{W}_n(\mu + 2\pi i m, k) e^{J(\mu + 2\pi i m, k)}. \quad (2.23)$$

As in the case of $\Xi(z, k)$, the sum in (2.23) restores the invariance of $\mathcal{W}_n(z, k)$ under $\mu \rightarrow \mu + 2\pi i$ and guarantees that the function is single-valued.

Previous studies have shown that, at large μ and finite k , the function $W_n(\mu, k)$ admits the following general representation [27, 28, 33]

$$W_n(\mu, k) = \frac{i^n e^{2n\mu/k}}{k \sin\left(\frac{2\pi n}{k}\right)} \left[W_n^{\text{pert}}(\mu, k) + W_n^{\text{np}}(\mu, k) \right], \quad (2.24)$$

where the prefactor is introduced for convenience. This normalization factor grows exponentially at large μ and develops a pole at $k = 2n$. The two terms inside the brackets in (2.24) represent, respectively, the perturbative and nonperturbative contributions. Their structure closely parallels that of the grand potential (2.18).

The perturbative part of (2.24) is linear in μ

$$W_n^{\text{pert}}(\mu, k) = \frac{\mu}{\pi} + C_n(k) - \frac{ik}{4}, \quad (2.25)$$

where $C_n(k)$ is a real constant. As compared with (2.19), this function develops an imaginary part. The nonperturbative part is given by the sum over worldsheet and membrane instantons

$$W_n^{\text{np}}(\mu, k) = \sum_{\substack{m, \ell=0 \\ (m, \ell) \neq (0, 0)}}^{\infty} w_{m, \ell}(\mu) e^{-\frac{4m}{k}\mu - 2\ell\mu}, \quad (2.26)$$

where the complex valued coefficients $w_{m, \ell}(\mu)$ depend on the winding number n and are linear in μ .

Thus, the problem of computing the 1/6 BPS Wilson loop reduces to determining the constant term $C_n(k)$ in the perturbative contribution (2.25) and the instanton coefficients $w_{m, \ell}(\mu)$ in the non-perturbative contribution (2.26).

The perturbative contribution (2.25) has been computed using two complementary approaches: through the semiclassical expansion of the Fermi gas ensemble [27] and via high-precision numerical evaluation of the winding Wilson loop [28]. The corresponding constant term was found to be different

$$\begin{aligned} C_n^{\text{WKB}}(k) &= -\cot\left(\frac{2\pi n}{k}\right) - \frac{k}{2\pi} H_{n-1}, \\ C_n^{\text{num}}(k) &= -\sum_{j=1}^n \cot\left(\frac{2\pi j}{k}\right), \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

where H_n denotes the harmonic number. The two expressions agree for $n = 1$ but differ for $n \geq 2$.

This discrepancy reflects a general limitation of the semiclassical approach discussed above. Recall that the localization integral (2.1), and consequently the generating function $\mathcal{W}_n(z, k)$ defined in (2.5), are well-defined only for $k > 2n$, developing a singularity at $k = 2n$. Therefore, for $n \geq 1$, the function $\mathcal{W}_n(z, k)$ cannot be analytically continued to the small- k region without encountering a singularity. As explained above, this obstruction invalidates the applicability of the semiclassical approximation in this case. Note, however, that this argument does not apply for $n = 0$, where $\mathcal{W}_0(z, k)$ is related to the generating function $\Xi(z, k)$ through (2.6). This explains why the semiclassical approximation remains valid for the partition function, but fails for the Wilson loop.

The imaginary and real parts of the nonperturbative contribution to the 1/6 BPS Wilson loop (2.26) were studied numerically in [28, 33] for the first few winding numbers, $n = 1, 2, 3, 4$.³ By

³In virtue of (1.2), the function $\text{Im } W_n^{\text{np}}(\mu, k)$ coincides with the nonperturbative part of the 1/2 BPS Wilson loop. Because of the normalization factor in (2.24), the function $\text{Re } W_n^{\text{np}}(\mu, k)$ corresponds to the imaginary part of the 1/6 BPS Wilson loop in the conventions of [28].

evaluating $W_n^{\text{np}}(\mu, k)$ numerically for some positive integer values of k , the exact values of the instanton coefficients $w_{m,\ell}(\mu)$ were guessed for the lowest values of m and ℓ through numerical fitting. Building on this analysis, a number of conjectures were formulated concerning the properties of the nonperturbative function $W_n^{\text{np}}(\mu, k)$.

It is worth emphasizing that, despite extensive numerical investigations, the large- μ expansion of the generating functions (2.17) and (2.23) – or, equivalently, the large- N expansion of the partition function and the winding Wilson loop – remains a conjecture. At present, no analytical method is known for deriving these functions directly from their operator representations (2.11) and (2.14). The purpose of this paper is to develop such a method.

3 Tracy-Widom approach

In this section, we present an alternative approach to computing the generating functions (2.11) and (2.14). Its main advantage over the semiclassical expansion is that it does not rely on any approximation, and it provides a powerful exact representation of these functions that remains valid for arbitrary values of the parameters N , k , and n .

This approach was originally developed by Tracy and Widom [32], who proved the conjecture formulated in [30, 31], according to which the Fredholm determinant of an integral operator of the same type as in (2.11) satisfies a TBA-like system of nonlinear equations. The integral operator in (2.11) involves the kernel $\rho(x, y)$ defined in (2.10). It represents a special case of the more general class of kernels analyzed in [32].⁴

We begin by introducing an auxiliary function which plays a central role in our analysis⁵

$$\psi(x|z) = \left\langle E \left| \frac{1}{1 - z\boldsymbol{\rho}} \right| x \right\rangle, \quad (3.1)$$

where the operator $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ is defined in (2.12) and the notation was introduced for $E(x) = \sqrt{2} e^{\frac{x}{2k}}$. At small z the function (3.1) admits an expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x|z) &= \sum_{\ell \geq 0} z^\ell \psi_\ell(x), \\ \psi_{\ell+1}(x) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \psi_\ell(y) \rho(y, x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

where $\psi_0(x) = \sqrt{2} e^{\frac{x}{2k}}$ and the kernel $\rho(x, y)$ is given by (2.10).

The rationale for introducing the auxiliary function (3.1) is that the generating function (2.14) can be expressed in terms of this function

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_n(z, k) &= \frac{iz}{2 \sin(\frac{2\pi n}{k})} \frac{e^{i\pi n(n-1)/k}}{4\pi k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{\frac{(n-1)x}{k}} \chi(x) \\ &\times \left[e^{-\frac{i\pi n}{k}} \psi(x|z) \psi(x + 2i\pi n| -z) - e^{\frac{i\pi n}{k}} \psi(x| -z) \psi(x + 2i\pi n| z) \right], \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

⁴Note that the kernel $\rho(x, y)$ is not symmetric, $\rho(x, y) \neq \rho(y, x)$. To bring it to the form considered in [32], it has to be symmetrized by a similarity transformation, which leaves the Fredholm determinant (2.11) invariant.

⁵In the notation of [32], this function is given by the sum of functions $Q(\theta)$ and $P(\theta)$ for $\theta = x/k$.

where $\chi(x) = 1/(2 \cosh(x/2))$. For $n \rightarrow 0$ this relation can be combined with (2.6) to obtain the derivative of the generating function $\Xi(z, k)$. The derivation of the relation (3.3) can be found in Appendix A.

Replacing the ψ -function in (3.3) with its small z expansion (3.2), we can systematically expand the generating function $\mathcal{W}_n(z, k)$ in powers of z and reproduce the relation (2.15). To find $\mathcal{W}_n(z, k)$ for arbitrary z , we have to determine the function (3.1). This will be done in two steps. First, we formulate a set of nontrivial relations the function $\psi(x|z)$ has to satisfy and, then, present the solution.

We emphasize that the relation (3.3) is exact and holds for arbitrary values of the parameters. Note the presence of the sine factor in the denominator of (3.3), which gives rise to a singularity at $k = 2n$. This behavior is consistent with the earlier observation (2.3) that the localization integral (2.1) is well defined only for $k > 2n$.

3.1 Properties of ψ -function

The function (3.1) can be expanded over the eigenspectrum of the operator ρ

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \rho(y, x) \phi_s(y) = \lambda_s \phi_s(x). \quad (3.4)$$

This operator possesses a discrete spectrum of real, positive eigenvalues that accumulate at the origin [29]. These eigenvalues can be conveniently parameterized as $\lambda_s = e^{-\varepsilon_s}$, where ε_s are monotonically increasing with s .

Using the operator representation (2.12), one finds that the eigenfunctions satisfy a finite-difference equation (see [22])

$$\phi_s(x + i\pi k) + \phi_s(x - i\pi k) = e^{\varepsilon_s} \chi(x) \phi_s(x), \quad (3.5)$$

where the function $\chi(x)$ was defined in (3.3). The ψ -function (3.1) can be expanded over these eigenfunctions as

$$\psi(x|z) = \sum_{s \geq 0} c_s \frac{\phi_s(x)}{1 - ze^{-\varepsilon_s}}, \quad (3.6)$$

with the expansion coefficients c_s independent on x and z . It then follows from the last relation that $\psi(x|z)$ is a meromorphic function of z with poles located at the real positive $z = e^{\varepsilon_s}$.

Similarly, the Fredholm determinant (2.11) can be written as

$$\Xi(z, k) = \prod_{s \geq 0} (1 + ze^{-\varepsilon_s}). \quad (3.7)$$

This function is entire in z and has zeros located on the negative real axis, at the positions of the poles of the function $\psi(x|-z)$. For reason that will become clear shortly, it is convenient to introduce the ratio of determinants

$$D(z) = \frac{\Xi(z, k)}{\Xi(-z, k)} = \prod_{s \geq 0} \frac{1 + ze^{-\varepsilon_s}}{1 - ze^{-\varepsilon_s}}. \quad (3.8)$$

Its dependence on k is understood implicitly.

The function $D(z)$ possesses an infinite set of poles and satisfies the identity $D(z)D(-z) = 1$. Consequently, its logarithm is an odd function of z ,

$$\mathcal{F}(z) = -\mathcal{F}(-z) = \log D(z). \quad (3.9)$$

It exhibits an infinite sequence of logarithmic branch cuts in the complex z -plane, starting at $z = \pm e^{\epsilon s}$ for $s \geq 0$. With a slight abuse of notation, we will refer to the function $\mathcal{F}(z)$ as the parity-odd free energy.

We can use the relations (3.8) and (2.6) to derive the following identity for the function $\mathcal{F}(z)$

$$z \partial_z \mathcal{F}(z) = z \partial_z \log \frac{\Xi(z, k)}{\Xi(-z, k)} = \mathcal{W}_0(z, k) - \mathcal{W}_0(-z, k). \quad (3.10)$$

Substituting the representation (3.3) of $\mathcal{W}_0(z, k)$, we find

$$z \partial_z \mathcal{F}(z) = \frac{z}{4\pi k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \chi(x) e^{-x/k} \psi(x|z) \psi(x|z). \quad (3.11)$$

This relation has a structure similar to (3.3). It provides an explicit equation for the ratio of determinants (3.8) in terms of the ψ -function.

3.2 Baxter equation

In the previous subsection, we analyzed the analytical properties of the function $\psi(x|z)$ in the complex z -plane. We now turn to its properties as a function of x .

For this purpose it is convenient to use the representation (3.2) and examine the recurrence relation between the functions $\psi_\ell(x)$

$$\psi_{\ell+1}(x) = \frac{1}{8\pi k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dy \psi_\ell(y)}{\cosh(\frac{x-y}{2k}) \cosh(\frac{y}{2})}, \quad (3.12)$$

where we replaced the kernel $\rho(y, x)$ with its expression (2.10). Note that the right-hand side acquires a sign factor $(-1)^m$ under the shift $x \rightarrow x + 2\pi i m k$ with m being integer. The same property holds for the function $\psi_0(x) = \sqrt{2}e^{\frac{x}{2k}}$. Together with (3.2) this leads to the periodicity condition

$$\psi(x + 2\pi i m k|z) = (-1)^m \psi(x|z), \quad (3.13)$$

where $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This relation holds for arbitrary complex x .

For complex x , the integral on the right-hand side of (3.12) is well-defined for $-\pi k < \text{Im } x < \pi k$ and, as a consequence, the function $\psi_{\ell+1}(x)$ is analytical within this strip. At the same time, for $\text{Im } x \rightarrow \pm \pi k$ the integral in (3.12) develops a logarithmic singularity from integration in the vicinity of $y = \text{Re } x$. Together with (3.13) this implies that the function $\psi(x|z)$ has an infinite number of logarithmic branch cuts at $\text{Im } x = (2m - 1)\pi k$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. These cuts run parallel to the real axis and are separated by $2\pi k$ along the imaginary axis. Notice that in the semiclassical limit $k \rightarrow 0$, discussed in the previous section, the analyticity strip $-\pi k < \text{Im } x < \pi k$ shrinks to the real axis.

We can use (3.12) to evaluate the discontinuity of $\psi_{\ell+1}(x)$ across the cut at $\text{Im } x = \pi k$. For real x and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi_{\ell+1}(x + i\pi(k - \epsilon)) - \psi_{\ell+1}(x + i\pi(k + \epsilon)) \\ &= \psi_{\ell+1}(x + i\pi(k - \epsilon)) + \psi_{\ell+1}(x - i\pi(k - \epsilon)) = \chi(x) \psi_\ell(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

where in the first relation we applied (3.13). It then follows from (3.2) that the function $\psi(x|z)$ satisfies the Baxter equation

$$\psi(x + i\pi k|z) + \psi(x - i\pi k|z) = z\chi(x)\psi(x|z). \quad (3.15)$$

This relation holds for real x . Note that the relation (3.15) closely resembles (3.5). Indeed, by substituting the expansion (3.6) into the Baxter equation (3.15), one readily recovers (3.5).

It is important to emphasize that the left-hand side of (3.15) is interpreted in the same manner as in the second line of (3.14). Namely, the functions $\psi(x + i\pi k|z)$ and $\psi(x - i\pi k|z)$ are defined just below and just above the branch cuts, respectively (see Figure 1 below).

3.3 Wronskian relation

It follows from the definition (3.1) that the function $\psi(x|z)$ obeys the differential equation

$$z\partial_z\psi(x|z) = \left\langle E \left| \frac{z\boldsymbol{\rho}}{(1 - z\boldsymbol{\rho})^2} \right| x \right\rangle = -\frac{1}{4\pi k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \chi(y)\psi(y|z)\Gamma(y, x|z), \quad (3.16)$$

where the notation was introduced for the resolvent kernel of the operator (2.12),

$$\Gamma(x, y|z) = \frac{4\pi k}{\chi(x)} \left\langle x \left| \frac{z\boldsymbol{\rho}}{1 + z\boldsymbol{\rho}} \right| y \right\rangle. \quad (3.17)$$

The prefactor is chosen to ensure that the function is symmetric under the exchange of its arguments (see (3.20) below).

Using the definition of the operator $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ in (2.12), we obtain an equivalent representation of (3.17)

$$\Gamma(x, y|z) = z \left\langle x \left| \mathbf{K} \frac{1}{1 + z\boldsymbol{\rho}} \right| y \right\rangle, \quad (3.18)$$

where the operator $\mathbf{K} = 2\pi k/\cosh(\mathbf{p}/2)$ has the kernel

$$K(x, y) = \langle x | \mathbf{K} | y \rangle = \frac{1}{\cosh\left(\frac{x-y}{2k}\right)}. \quad (3.19)$$

Note that this kernel develops a pole at $x - y = i\pi k$, a feature that will play an important role below.

Using operator identities for $\boldsymbol{\rho}$, we can show (see Appendix A) that the function (3.17) admits the representation

$$\Gamma(x, y|z) = \frac{z}{2\sinh\left(\frac{x-y}{k}\right)} \left[e^{-y/k}\psi(x|z)\psi(y|z) - e^{-x/k}\psi(x|z)\psi(y|z) \right]. \quad (3.20)$$

This function is symmetric, $\Gamma(x, y|z) = \Gamma(y, x|z)$. Moreover, it follows from (3.18) that it is meromorphic in z , with poles at $z = -e^{\varepsilon_s}$ (see (3.4)). Since the function $\psi(x|z)$ has poles at $z = e^{\varepsilon_s}$, the residues of $\Gamma(x, y|z)$ at these poles must vanish for (3.20) to hold.

Because of the prefactor in (3.20), the function $\Gamma(x, y|z)$ develops a pole at $x - y = i\pi k$. The same singularity appears in the kernel (3.19) of the operator \mathbf{K} , as expected from the small- z expansion of (3.18),

$$\Gamma(x, y|z) = z \langle x | \mathbf{K} | y \rangle - z^2 \langle x | \mathbf{K} \boldsymbol{\rho} | y \rangle + O(z^3). \quad (3.21)$$

Inspection of the integral representation of the matrix elements on the right-hans side shows that the pole at $x - y = i\pi k$ arises solely from the $O(z)$ term. Matching the residues of (3.20) and (3.21) at this pole then yields the relation

$$\psi\left(x + \frac{i\pi k}{2} \middle| z\right) \psi\left(x - \frac{i\pi k}{2} \middle| -z\right) + \psi\left(x + \frac{i\pi k}{2} \middle| -z\right) \psi\left(x - \frac{i\pi k}{2} \middle| z\right) = 4e^{x/k}. \quad (3.22)$$

It can be viewed as a Wronskian-type relation for the solutions of the Baxter equation (3.15). Unlike (3.15), however, equation (3.22) holds for arbitrary complex x . As in (3.20), both sides of (3.22) must have vanishing residues at the poles of the ψ -functions located at $z = \pm e^{\varepsilon_s}$.

3.4 Shift relation

Equation (3.22) relates the ψ -functions whose arguments differ by a shift of $i\pi k$. In this subsection, we derive a stronger relation that expresses $\psi(x+2\pi i|z)$ as a linear combination of $\psi(x|z)$ and $\psi(x|-z)$.

To this end, we replace $x \rightarrow x + 2\pi im$ (with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$) on both sides of (3.12) and simultaneously shift the integration variable as $y \rightarrow y + 2\pi im$. This yields

$$\psi_{\ell+1}(x + 2\pi im) = \frac{(-1)^m}{8\pi k} \int_{-\infty-2\pi im}^{\infty-2\pi im} \frac{dy \psi_{\ell}(y + 2\pi im)}{\cosh\left(\frac{x-y}{2k}\right) \cosh\left(\frac{y}{2}\right)}, \quad (3.23)$$

where the integration contour is shifted into the complex plane. In the next step, we deform the contour back to the real axis and collect the residues of the integrand enclosed within the strip $-2\pi m < \text{Im } y < 0$.

We have seen previously that the function $\psi_{\ell}(x)$ is analytic in the strip $-\pi k < \text{Im } x < \pi k$. Therefore, for $0 < m < k/2$, the function $\psi_{\ell}(y+2\pi im)$ has no poles within the strip $-2\pi m < \text{Im } y < 0$. The same is true for the first cosh function in the denominator of (3.23). Hence, the only poles of the integrand originate from the zeros of $\cosh(y/2)$ in the denominator of (3.23), which are located at $y = -i\pi(2s+1)$ for $0 \leq s \leq m-1$. Evaluating the residues at these poles, we obtain from (3.23)

$$\begin{aligned} \psi_{\ell+1}(x + 2\pi im) &= (-1)^m \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \rho(y, x) \psi_{\ell}(y + 2\pi im) \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m+s} K(-i\pi(2s+1), x) \psi_{\ell}(i\pi(2(m-s)-1)), \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

where the kernels $\rho(y, x)$ and $K(x, y)$ are defined in (2.10) and (3.19), respectively. This relation is illustrated in Figure 1.

Multiplying both sides of (3.24) by $z^{\ell+1}$ and summing over $\ell \geq 0$, we find, using (3.2),

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x + 2\pi im|z) - (-1)^m z \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \rho(y, x) \psi(y + 2\pi im|z) \\ = \psi_0(x + 2\pi im) - \frac{z}{2k} \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} (-1)^{m+s} K(-i\pi(2s+1), x) \psi(i\pi(2(m-s)-1)|z), \end{aligned} \quad (3.25)$$

where $\psi_0(x + 2\pi im) = e^{i\pi m/k} E(x)$ is defined in (3.2).

Note that the first line of (3.25) involves a convolution of the function $\psi(x + 2\pi im|z)$ with the kernel of the operator $1 - (-1)^m z \boldsymbol{\rho}$. Applying the inverse operator to both sides of this relation and




Figure 1. The function $\psi(x|z)$ is analytic in the complex x -plane within the strip $-\pi k < \text{Im } x < \pi k$, whose boundaries are indicated by dashed lines. The blue and red lines denote the integration contours appearing in relations (3.12) and (3.23), respectively. In going from relation (3.23) to (3.24), the red contour is deformed so as to coincide with the blue one, thereby enclosing the poles indicated by blue crosses, whose residues are then picked up.

using (3.1) and (3.18), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x + 2\pi im | z) &= e^{\frac{i\pi m}{k}} \psi(x | (-1)^m z) \\ &+ \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{s=0}^{m-1} (-1)^s \Gamma(x, -i\pi(2s+1) | (-1)^{m+1} z) \psi(i\pi[2(m-s)-1] | z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

This relation holds for $1 \leq m < k/2$ and for arbitrary complex x . Applying complex conjugation to both sides of (3.26) yields the corresponding relation for $\psi(x - 2\pi im, z)$.

Replacing the Γ -function by its representation (3.20), we find that the right-hand side of (3.26) can be written as a linear combination of the functions $\psi(x|(-1)^m z)$ and $\psi(x|(-1)^{m+1} z)$, with coefficients involving special values of these function evaluated at $x = \pm i\pi(2s+1)$ for $0 \leq s \leq m-1$. In the particular case $m = 1$, this expression simplifies to

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x + 2\pi i | z) &= z e^{\frac{i\pi}{k}} \frac{\psi(i\pi | z) \psi(-i\pi | -z)}{4k \sinh\left(\frac{x+i\pi}{k}\right)} \psi(x | z) \\ &+ \left[e^{\frac{i\pi}{k}} - z e^{-\frac{x}{k}} \frac{\psi(i\pi | z) \psi(-i\pi | z)}{4k \sinh\left(\frac{x+i\pi}{k}\right)} \right] \psi(x | -z). \end{aligned} \quad (3.27)$$

The coefficients of $\psi(x|z)$ and $\psi(x|-z)$ on the right-hand side depend on $\psi(i\pi|z)$, $\psi(-i\pi|z)$ and $\psi(-i\pi|-z)$. As we will see in the next section, these special values of the ψ -function play a special role in our analysis.

3.5 Parity relation

We observe that the relations (3.20), (3.22), and (3.27) involve the functions $\psi(x|z)$ and $\psi(x|-z)$. These two functions have different analytic properties in the complex z -plane. Namely, $\psi(x|z)$ has poles on the positive real semi-axis, while $\psi(x|-z)$ has poles on the negative real semi-axis. It is therefore not obvious that these two functions are related.

We show in Appendix B that, for arbitrary complex values of x and z , the functions $\psi(-x|z)$ and $\psi(x|-z)$ satisfy the relation

$$\psi(-x|z) = e^{-\frac{x}{k} + \mathcal{F}(z)} \psi(x|-z), \quad (3.28)$$

where the free energy $\mathcal{F}(z)$ is defined in (3.9). It follows from (3.8) that the exponential factor $e^{\mathcal{F}(z)}$ on the right-hand side of (3.28) precisely cancels the poles of $\psi(x|-z)$ and reproduces those of $\psi(-x|z)$, ensuring consistency of their analytic structure.

In what follows, we employ the relation (3.28) to express $\psi(x|-z)$ in terms of $\psi(-x|z)$. For instance, the relation (3.20) can be rewritten as

$$\Gamma(x, y|z) = \frac{z e^{-\mathcal{F}(z)}}{2 \sinh\left(\frac{x-y}{k}\right)} \left[\psi(x|z) \psi(-y|z) - \psi(-x|z) \psi(y|z) \right]. \quad (3.29)$$

4 Quantization condition

In the previous section, we expressed the generating function (3.3) in terms of the auxiliary ψ -function and derived a set of nontrivial relations satisfied by this function. These relations are exact and hold for arbitrary values of the Cher-Simons level k , the winding number n , and the fugacity parameter z .

In this section, we employ these relations to determine the special values of $\psi(x|z)$ along the imaginary x -axis, at the points $x = i\pi(2m+1)$ with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. The motivation for computing these values is that, as will be shown in the next section, they are required for the evaluation of the generating function (3.3).

Let us begin with $\psi(\pm i\pi|z)$. Since $\psi(x|z)$ is a real function of x , we can parameterize it as

$$\psi(i\pi|z) = r(z) e^{i\Phi(z)/2}, \quad \psi(-i\pi|z) = r(z) e^{-i\Phi(z)/2}, \quad (4.1)$$

where the dependence of $r(z)$ and $\Phi(z)$ on the parameter k is implicit. Substituting $x = i\pi$ into (3.28) and using (4.1), we find

$$r(-z) = e^{-\mathcal{F}(z)} r(z), \quad \Phi(-z) = \frac{2\pi}{k} - \Phi(z). \quad (4.2)$$

We show below that the functions $r(z)$ and $\Phi(z)$ can be expressed in terms of $\mathcal{F}(z)$ (see (4.9) and (4.20)).

Having determined the functions $r(z)$ and $\Phi(z)$, we can use (3.27) with $x = i\pi$ to express $\psi(3i\pi|z)$ and its complex conjugate in terms of the values in (4.1)

$$\psi(3i\pi|z) = e^{\frac{i\pi}{k}} \psi(i\pi|-z) + i \frac{z \psi(i\pi|z)}{4k \sin\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right)} \left[e^{-\frac{i\pi}{k}} \psi(-i\pi|z) \psi(i\pi|-z) - e^{\frac{i\pi}{k}} \psi(-i\pi|-z) \psi(i\pi|z) \right]. \quad (4.3)$$

By iterating this procedure for $x = 3i\pi, 5i\pi, \dots$, we can recursively compute the values of $\psi(\pm i\pi(2m+1)|z)$ for $m \geq 2$ using (4.1) as the initial condition. These values will be essential in what follows.

4.1 Consistency relations

By combining the relations (3.27) and (3.28), the function $\psi(x + 2i\pi|z)$ can be expressed as a linear combination of $\psi(x|z)$ and $\psi(-x|z)$

$$\psi(x + 2i\pi|z) = A(x)\psi(x|z) + B(x)\psi(-x|z), \quad (4.4)$$

where the notation was introduced for functions

$$\begin{aligned} A(x) &= \frac{z r^2(z)}{4k \sinh(\frac{x+i\pi}{k})} e^{-\mathcal{F}(z)+i\Phi(z)}, \\ B(x) &= e^{-\mathcal{F}(z)} \left[e^{\frac{x+i\pi}{k}} - \frac{z r^2(z)}{4k \sinh(\frac{x+i\pi}{k})} \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

For simplicity, the dependence of these functions on z is not shown explicitly.

The relation (4.4) imposes nontrivial constraints on the functions $A(x)$ and $B(x)$. To make this explicit, we first replace $x \rightarrow -x$ in (4.4), take the complex conjugate, and combine the resulting equation with the original one. The resulting system can then be recast in matrix form,

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi(x + 2i\pi|z) \\ \psi(-x - 2i\pi|z) \end{pmatrix} = T(x) \begin{pmatrix} \psi(x|z) \\ \psi(-x|z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.6)$$

where the matrix $T(x)$ encodes the action of a shift $x \rightarrow x + 2i\pi$ on the two-component vector of ψ -functions. Its matrix elements depend on the functions $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ and their complex conjugates

$$T(x) = \begin{bmatrix} A(x) & B(x) \\ \bar{B}(-x) & \bar{A}(-x) \end{bmatrix}. \quad (4.7)$$

Next, we replace $x \rightarrow x - 2i\pi$ in (4.6) and take the complex conjugate to obtain another relation among the same ψ -functions. Requiring consistency between the two matrix equations leads to the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} A(x - 2i\pi)\bar{B}(x) + B(x - 2i\pi)A(-x) &= 0, \\ A(x - 2i\pi)\bar{A}(x) + B(x - 2i\pi)B(-x) &= 1. \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

Substituting the expressions for $A(x)$ and $B(x)$ from (4.4), we find that the first relation is satisfied automatically, while the second yields

$$r^2(z) = \frac{2k}{z} \left(e^{2\mathcal{F}(z)} - 1 \right). \quad (4.9)$$

Thus, the absolute value of $\psi(\pm i\pi|z)$ is fixed by the function $\mathcal{F}(z)$ introduced in (3.9).

In the next step, we need to determine the phase $\Phi(z)$ defined in (4.1). The subsequent analysis then proceeds differently for odd and even values of k .

4.2 Phase at even k

Let us start with the Baxter equation (3.15) and put $x = 0$

$$\psi(i\pi k|z) + \psi(-i\pi k|z) = \frac{1}{2} z \psi(0|z). \quad (4.10)$$

For even k , we can apply the shift relation (4.6) recursively $k/2$ times to get

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi(i\pi k|z) \\ \psi(-i\pi k|z) \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{T}_e \begin{pmatrix} \psi(0|z) \\ \psi(0|z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.11)$$

where the notation was introduced for the transfer matrix

$$\mathbb{T}_e = T(i\pi(k-2)) \dots T(2i\pi)T(0) \quad (4.12)$$

and subscript refers to even value of k .

It follows from the Baxter equation (4.10) that the transfer matrix has to satisfy the following quantization condition

$$(1, 1) \mathbb{T}_e \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{2}z. \quad (4.13)$$

Being combined with (4.7) and (4.5) it leads to the equation for the phase $\Phi(z)$. The solution to this equation is discussed below.

4.3 Phase at odd k

Substituting $x = -i\pi k/2$ into the Wronskian relation (3.22) and using (3.28), we find

$$\psi(0|z) \psi(i\pi k|z) - \psi(0|z) \psi(-i\pi k|z) = 4i e^{\mathcal{F}(z)}, \quad (4.14)$$

where we used $\chi(0) = 1/2$. Combining this relation with the Baxter equation (4.10) and eliminating $\psi(0|z)$, we obtain

$$\psi^2(i\pi k|z) - \psi^2(-i\pi k|z) = 2iz e^{\mathcal{F}(z)}. \quad (4.15)$$

As in the even- k case, the relation (4.6) can be employed to express the ψ -values on the left-hand side in terms of $\psi(\pm i\pi|z)$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \psi(i\pi k|z) \\ \psi(-i\pi k|z) \end{pmatrix} = \mathbb{T}_o \begin{pmatrix} \psi(i\pi|z) \\ \psi(-i\pi|z) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (4.16)$$

where the corresponding transfer matrix is given by

$$\mathbb{T}_o = T(i\pi(k-2)) \dots T(3i\pi)T(i\pi). \quad (4.17)$$

In contrast to (4.12), the argument of the U -matrices here involves only odd multiples of $i\pi$.

Combining together (4.15) and (4.16), we obtain the quantization condition at odd k

$$(\psi(i\pi|z), \psi(-i\pi|z))(\mathbb{T}_o)^t \sigma_3 \mathbb{T}_o \begin{pmatrix} \psi(i\pi|z) \\ \psi(-i\pi|z) \end{pmatrix} = 2iz e^{\mathcal{F}(z)}, \quad (4.18)$$

where σ_3 is Pauli matrix and the superscript ‘t’ denotes the transposition. We can simplify this relation further by applying (4.1) and (4.9)

$$\begin{pmatrix} e^{i\Phi/2}, e^{-i\Phi/2} \end{pmatrix} (\mathbb{T}_o)^t \sigma_3 \mathbb{T}_o \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\Phi/2} \\ e^{-i\Phi/2} \end{pmatrix} = \frac{iz^2}{2k \sinh \mathcal{F}(z)}. \quad (4.19)$$

This relation allows us to compute the phase $\Phi(z)$ in terms of the function $\mathcal{F}(z)$.

4.4 Solutions to the quantization conditions

Let us now examine the quantization conditions (4.13) and (4.19) for several specific values of k . For convenience, we relax the restriction (2.3) and allow $k \geq 1$.

For the lowest values, $k = 1, 2, 3, 4$, the quantization conditions take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
z^2 &= -4 \sinh \mathcal{F} \sin \tilde{\Phi}, \\
z &= 4 \sinh \mathcal{F} \cos \tilde{\Phi}, \\
z^2 &= -6 \sinh \mathcal{F} \sin \tilde{\Phi} - 12 \sinh \mathcal{F} \sin(3\tilde{\Phi}) + 6 \sinh(3\mathcal{F}) \sin \tilde{\Phi} \\
&\quad + 4 \sinh(3\mathcal{F}) \sin(3\tilde{\Phi}) - 6\sqrt{3} \cosh \mathcal{F} \cos \tilde{\Phi} + 6\sqrt{3} \cosh(3\mathcal{F}) \cos \tilde{\Phi}, \\
z &= 4 \cosh(2\mathcal{F}) \sin(2\tilde{\Phi}) + 4 \sinh(2\mathcal{F}) - 4 \sin(2\tilde{\Phi}),
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

where the notation was introduced for a modified phase

$$\tilde{\Phi}(z) = \Phi(z) - \frac{\pi}{k}. \tag{4.21}$$

The phase $\tilde{\Phi}(z)$ is introduced because, by virtue of (4.2), it is an odd function of z . Recall that the function $\mathcal{F}(z)$ shares the same property, see (3.8). For odd and even values of k , the left-hand side of the relations (4.20) is, respectively, even and odd in z . It is straightforward to verify that each term on the right-hand side of (4.20) possesses a definite parity under the transformation $z \rightarrow -z$, matching that of the corresponding left-hand side.

The relations (4.20) take a particularly suggestive form. For higher values of k , the right-hand side of the quantization condition can be expressed as a linear combination of terms of the type $\sinh(m_1 \mathcal{F}) \cos(m_2 \tilde{\Phi})$ and $\cosh(m_1 \mathcal{F}) \sin(m_2 \tilde{\Phi})$ (with m_1, m_2 positive integer) when k is even, and of the type $\sinh(m_1 \mathcal{F}) \sin(m_2 \tilde{\Phi})$ and $\cosh(m_1 \mathcal{F}) \cos(m_2 \tilde{\Phi})$ when k is odd.

Solving the quantization conditions (4.20), we can determine the phase $\Phi(z)$ in terms of the function $\mathcal{F}(z)$. It is convenient to invert this dependence and express the function $D(z) = e^{\mathcal{F}(z)}$ in terms of the phase. For $k = 1, 2$ we find from (4.20)

$$D(z) = \frac{1}{4 \sin \Phi(z)} \left(\sqrt{z^{4/k} + 16 \sin^2 \Phi(z) + z^{2/k}} \right). \tag{4.22}$$

For $z = 0$ this relation correctly reproduces $D(0) = 1$ (see (3.8)). At large positive z we find instead

$$D(z) = \frac{z^{2/k}}{2 \sin \Phi(z)} + O(z^{-2/k}). \tag{4.23}$$

It is straightforward to verify that the last two relations in (4.20) imply the same asymptotic behaviour for $k = 3, 4$. Furthermore, using (4.13) and (4.19), one can show that the relation (4.23) holds for arbitrary k .

4.5 Potential

Before proceeding to the evaluation of the generating function (3.3), we introduce the final ingredient of our method.

We can generalize the definition of the function $E(x)$ in (3.1) by defining

$$E_n(x) = e^{\frac{x}{2k}(2n+1)}, \quad (4.24)$$

where n is a nonnegative integer. For $n = 0$, this function reduces to $E(x)$. Next, we define the matrix element of the resolvent of the operator (2.12) with respect to the functions (4.24)

$$V_n(z) = z \left\langle E_n \left| \frac{1}{1+z\rho} \chi \right| E_0 \right\rangle = z \left\langle E_0 \left| \frac{1}{1+z\rho} \chi \right| E_n \right\rangle, \quad (4.25)$$

where the second equality follows from the representation $\rho = \chi K / (4\pi k)$ and from the symmetry of the kernel (3.19) of the operator K under the exchange of its arguments. The quantities (4.25) are commonly referred to as *potentials*, and they play a central role in the analysis of Fredholm determinants of integrable kernels.

For $n = 0$, the potential $V_0(z)$ satisfies the differential equation

$$\partial_z V_0(z) = \left\langle E \left| \frac{1}{(1+z\rho)^2} \chi \right| E \right\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \chi(x) \psi^2(x|z). \quad (4.26)$$

Furthermore, for arbitrary n , the potential (4.25) can be expressed in terms of the ψ -functions defined in (3.1). To show this, we note that the potential (4.25) can be written through the function $\Gamma(x, y|z)$ defined in (3.18), evaluated at purely imaginary values of its arguments,

$$V_n(z) = 2\pi \Gamma(-i\pi, i\pi(2n+1)|z). \quad (4.27)$$

A derivation of this relation is presented in Appendix C.

Substituting the representation of $\Gamma(x, y|z)$ from (3.29), we obtain

$$V_n(z) = \frac{2\pi z e^{-\mathcal{F}(z)}}{\sin\left(\frac{2\pi(n+1)}{k}\right)} \operatorname{Im} \left[\psi(i\pi(2n+1)|z) \psi(i\pi|z) \right]. \quad (4.28)$$

This relation is a special case of the general formula (C.4) derived in Appendix C. As explained above, the values of the ψ -function appearing in (4.28) can be expressed in terms of the real functions $r(z)$ and $\Phi(z)$ introduced in (4.1), which, in turn, can be expressed through the function $\mathcal{F}(z)$ defined in (3.9).

4.6 Semiclassical approximation

The relations for the function $\psi(x|z)$ derived in this and the previous sections hold for arbitrary values of k . Recall that large and small values of k correspond, respectively, to the weak- and strong-coupling regimes of the ABJM theory. Our analysis shows that $\psi(x|z)$ as a function of x has different analyticity properties in these two regimes.

At large k , the function $\psi(x|z)$ can be expanded perturbatively in powers of $1/k$, see (5.24) below. Each term in this expansion is polynomial in x and z . This behaviour is consistent with the expected analytical properties of the function $\psi(x|z)$. Namely, for $k \rightarrow \infty$, the analyticity strip $-\pi k < \operatorname{Im} x < \pi k$ depicted in Figure 1 extends over the entire complex x -plane.

In contrast, for $k \rightarrow 0$, the analyticity strip collapses to the real x -axis. For real x , the function $\psi(x|z)$ satisfies the Baxter equation (3.15). Interpreting this equation as a Schrödinger-type equation

with k playing the role of the Planck constant, one may attempt a solution in the form of a WKB expansion

$$\psi_{\text{WKB}}(x|z) = e^{\frac{1}{k}S_0(x|z)+S_1(x|z)+O(k)}. \quad (4.29)$$

Substituting this ansatz into (3.15) and matching terms order by order in k , leads to a hierarchy of equations for the functions $S_m(x|z)$ with $m = 0, 1, \dots$.

Trying to apply the semiclassical approximation to the computation of the generating function (3.3), we encounter several obstacles. First, the Baxter equation does not uniquely determine the function $\psi(x|z)$. Indeed, the relation (3.15) is invariant under multiplication by an arbitrary $i\pi k$ -periodic function. To lift this ambiguity and select a unique solution of (3.15), one must supplement the Baxter equation with the analyticity conditions for $\psi(x|z)$ discussed above.

A second complication arises from the structure of relation (3.3), which involves the function $\psi(x + 2\pi in|z)$ evaluated for real x . For finite k obeying the constraint (2.3), the point $x + 2\pi in$ remains inside the analyticity strip, so the function $\psi(x + 2\pi in|z)$ is well defined. In the semiclassical limit $k \rightarrow 0$, however, this point moves outside the strip.⁶ Consequently, the semiclassical evaluation of the generating function (3.3) requires an analytic continuation of the WKB solution (4.29) into the complex x -plane. Such a continuation is inherently ambiguous unless the analytic structure of $\psi(x|z)$ is fixed a priori. The computation of the Wilson loop in [27] adopted a specific prescription for this analytic continuation. The discrepancy with the numerical results, described in the Introduction, suggests that this choice is not correct.

In the next section we show that the generating function (3.3) can in fact be computed for arbitrary k , without invoking the semiclassical approximation. Most importantly, this can be achieved without constructing explicit solutions of the Baxter equation (3.15).

5 Derivation of the Wilson loop

In this section, we apply the results derived previously to evaluate the generating function (3.3). For this purpose, it is convenient to recast the relation (3.3) in the form

$$\mathcal{W}_n(z, k) = \frac{iz}{2 \sin(2\pi n/k)} \frac{e^{i\pi n(n-1)/k}}{4\pi k} \left[e^{-i\pi n/k} w_n(-z) - e^{i\pi n/k} w_n(z) \right], \quad (5.1)$$

where we introduced the notation for the function

$$w_n(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{(n-1)x/k} \chi(x) \psi(x|-z) \psi(x + 2i\pi n|z), \quad (5.2)$$

depending on the winding number n .

In the preceding sections, we established several nontrivial identities satisfied by the functions $\psi(x|\pm z)$. We show below that the function $w_n(z)$ possesses a remarkable feature: the integral in (5.2) can be expressed entirely in terms of the function $\mathcal{F}(z)$, without requiring the explicit form of the functions $\psi(x|\pm z)$.

5.1 Recurrence relations

Let us evaluate the function (5.2) for $n = 0, 1, 2$ and then extend the result to general n .

⁶This issue is absent for $n = 0$ and, in particular, does not affect the computation of the partition function.

Winding number $n = 0$

For $n = 0$ the integral in (5.2) coincides with the one appearing in (3.11). This allows us to identify $w_0(z)$ with the derivative of the function $\mathcal{F}(z)$ defined in (3.9)

$$w_0(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{-\frac{x}{k}} \chi(x) \psi(x|z) \psi(x|z) = 4\pi k \partial_z \mathcal{F}(z). \quad (5.3)$$

Combining this relation with (5.1), we correctly reproduce the relation (3.10).

Winding number $n = 1$

For $n = 1$, applying relation (3.26) with $m = 1$ yields

$$w_1(z) = e^{\frac{i\pi}{k}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \chi(x) \psi^2(x|z) + \frac{1}{2k} \psi(i\pi|z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \chi(x) \Gamma(x, -i\pi|z) \psi(x|z). \quad (5.4)$$

The integrals in the first and second terms coincide with those entering (4.26) and (3.16), respectively. Using these relations, we obtain

$$w_1(z) = e^{\frac{i\pi}{k}} \partial_z V_0(z) - 2\pi \psi(i\pi|z) z \partial_z \psi(-i\pi|z). \quad (5.5)$$

Substituting the expression for the potential $V_0(z)$ from (4.28) and applying (3.28), we can express $w_1(z)$ in terms of the special values (4.1).

Winding number $n = 2$

For $n = 2$, we apply the relation (3.26) with $m = 2$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w_2(z) = & e^{\frac{2i\pi}{k}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{\frac{x}{k}} \chi(x) \psi(x|z) \psi(x|z) \\ & + \frac{1}{2k} \psi(3i\pi|z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{\frac{x}{k}} \chi(x) \Gamma(x, -i\pi|z) \psi(x|z) \\ & - \frac{1}{2k} \psi(i\pi|z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{\frac{x}{k}} \chi(x) \Gamma(x, -3i\pi|z) \psi(x|z). \end{aligned} \quad (5.6)$$

Compared with (5.4), the integrals in this relation involve an additional factor of $e^{x/k}$. This factor can be eliminated by applying the identity (see (A.5) in Appendix A)

$$e^{x/k} \Gamma(x, y|z) = \Gamma(x, y|z) e^{y/k} - z \psi(x|z) \psi(y|z). \quad (5.7)$$

Using this identity, the last two integrals in (5.6) can be evaluated with the help of (3.16) and (4.26):

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{x/k} \chi(x) \Gamma(x, y|z) \psi(x|z) = -4\pi k e^{y/k} z \partial_z \psi(y|z) - z \psi(y|z) \partial_z V_0(z), \quad (5.8)$$

after substituting $y = -i\pi$ and $y = -3i\pi$.

The integral in the first line of (5.6) requires special attention. It can be recognized as a special case of the general integral $U_n(z)$, defined in (5.12) and (5.14) below, evaluated at $n = 2$. In this way, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} w_2(z) = & e^{\frac{2i\pi}{k}} U_2(z) + \frac{1}{2k} \psi(3i\pi|z) \left(-4\pi k e^{-\frac{i\pi}{k}} z \partial_z \psi(-i\pi|z) - z \psi(-i\pi|z) \partial_z V_0(z) \right) \\ & - \frac{1}{2k} \psi(i\pi|z) \left(-4\pi k e^{-\frac{3i\pi}{k}} z \partial_z \psi(-3i\pi|z) - z \psi(-3i\pi|z) \partial_z V_0(z) \right), \end{aligned} \quad (5.9)$$

where $U_2(z)$ is given by (see (5.14))

$$U_2(z) = \partial_z V_1(z) - \frac{1}{4\pi k} V_0(-z) \partial_z V_0(z), \quad (5.10)$$

and the potential $V_n(z)$ is defined in (4.28).

Arbitrary winding number

For arbitrary positive integer n , we combine together (5.2) and (3.26) to find

$$w_n(z) = e^{\frac{i\pi n}{k}} U_n(z) + \frac{1}{2k} \sum_{m=0}^{n-1} (-1)^m \psi(i\pi(2(n-m)-1)|z) I_n(-i\pi(2m+1)|z), \quad (5.11)$$

where the notation was introduced for two different types of integrals

$$\begin{aligned} U_n(z) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{\frac{(n-1)x}{k}} \chi(x) \psi(x|z) \psi(x|(-1)^n z), \\ I_n(y|z) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx e^{\frac{(n-1)x}{k}} \chi(x) \psi(x|z) \Gamma(x, y|(-1)^{n+1} z). \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

For $n = 0$ and $n = 1$ the relation (5.11) reduces to (5.3) and (5.4). The corresponding values of the functions (5.12) can be identified as

$$\begin{aligned} U_0(z) &= 4\pi k \partial_z \mathcal{F}(z), & U_1(z) &= \partial_z V_0(z), \\ I_1(y|z) &= -4\pi k z \partial_z \psi(y|z). \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

For $n \geq 1$, we can show that the functions (5.12) satisfy a coupled system of recurrence relations

$$\begin{aligned} U_n(z) &= \partial_z V_{n-1}(z) - \frac{1}{4\pi k} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} V_{n-m-1}((-1)^m z) U_m(z), \\ I_n(y|z) &= e^{y/k} I_{n-1}(y|z) + (-1)^{n-1} z \psi(y|(-1)^n z) U_{n-1}(z), \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

where the potentials $V_n(z)$ are defined in (4.28). The second relation in (5.14) can be obtained by applying the identity (5.7) and replacing $\Gamma(x, y|(-1)^{n+1} z)$ in (5.12) with its expression in terms of $\Gamma(x, y|(-1)^n z)$. The first and second terms on the right-hand side of this relation correspond to the respective terms in (5.7). The derivation of the first relation in (5.14) can be found in Appendix D.

Being combined with the initial conditions (5.13), the first relation in (5.14) allows us to determine $U_n(z)$ for arbitrary positive n . In particular, we verify that for $n = 1$ it correctly reproduces the result (5.13) for $U_1(z)$. Continuing the second relation in (5.14), we find

$$I_n(y|z) = e^{\frac{y(n-1)}{k}} I_1(y|z) + z \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} (-1)^m e^{\frac{y(n-1-m)}{k}} \psi(y|(-1)^{m+1} z) U_m(z), \quad (5.15)$$

where $I_1(y|z)$ is given by (5.13). Substituting the explicit expressions for $U_n(z)$ into this relation, we can determine the functions $I_n(y|z)$ and, finally, compute the functions (5.11) for arbitrary n entirely in terms of the special values $\psi(i\pi(2m+1)|\pm z)$ (with $m \in \mathbb{Z}$) and their derivatives. These values

were computed in the previous section in terms of the functions $\mathcal{F}(z)$ and $\Phi(z)$. Recall that these two functions are related to each other through the quantization conditions (4.20).

Taking into account the relations (4.1), (4.9), (4.3) and (4.28), we find from (5.11) that the resulting expressions for $w_n(z)$ are given by linear combinations of the derivatives $\partial_z \mathcal{F}(z)$ and $\partial_z \Phi(z)$ with the coefficient functions depending on $\mathcal{F}(z)$ and $\Phi(z)$. To save space we do not present their expressions.

5.2 Results

Having determined the functions $w_n(z)$, we can now use the relation (5.1) to evaluate the generating function $\mathcal{W}_n(z)$ for arbitrary positive integer n . We find that $\mathcal{W}_n(z)$ can be written in the form

$$\mathcal{W}_n(z, k) = \frac{i^{n-1}}{\sin(\frac{2\pi n}{k})} \left[\mathcal{A}_n(z) + i z \partial_z \Phi(z) \mathcal{B}_n(z) + i z \partial_z \mathcal{F}(z) \mathcal{C}_n(z) \right], \quad (5.16)$$

where $\mathcal{A}_n(z)$, $\mathcal{B}_n(z)$, and $\mathcal{C}_n(z)$ are real functions of z . Notice that the last two terms in the brackets are purely imaginary.

For the first few values of n , the coefficient functions in (5.16) take particularly compact forms:

- For $n = 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1(z) &= \sinh \mathcal{F}(z) \sin \Phi(z), \\ \mathcal{B}_1(z) &= -\sinh \mathcal{F}(z) \left[2 \cot\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right) \cos \Phi(z) + \sin \Phi(z) \right], \\ \mathcal{C}_1(z) &= -\sinh \mathcal{F}(z) \cos \Phi(z) - \cosh \mathcal{F}(z) \left[2 \cot\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right) \sin \Phi(z) - \cos \Phi(z) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.17)$$

- For $n = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_2(z) &= (\cosh(2\mathcal{F}(z)) - 1) \left(\cos^2\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right) - \cos(2\Phi(z)) \right) + \sinh(2\mathcal{F}(z)) \sin^2\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right), \\ \mathcal{B}_2(z) &= (\cosh(2\mathcal{F}(z)) - 1) \left[\cos(2\Phi(z)) - \frac{2 \left(2 \cos\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right) + 1 \right)}{\sin\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right)} \sin(2\Phi(z)) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\cos\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right) + 3 \right) \right] \\ &\quad + \sinh(2\mathcal{F}(z)) \cos^2\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right), \\ \mathcal{C}_2(z) &= -(\cosh(2\mathcal{F}(z)) - 1) \left[\cos\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right) \tan\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right) + \sin(2\Phi(z)) \right] - \cos\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right) \tan\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right) \\ &\quad + \sinh(2\mathcal{F}(z)) \left[\sin(2\Phi(z)) + \frac{2 \left(2 \cos\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right) + 1 \right)}{\sin\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right)} \cos(2\Phi(z)) - \left(\cos\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right) + 2 \right) \cot\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right) \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (5.18)$$

We emphasize that these relations hold for arbitrary values of k and z . The phase $\Phi(z)$ obeys the quantization conditions (4.20) and depends on both k and the function $\mathcal{F}(z)$.

For higher values of n the expressions for the coefficient functions become increasingly cumbersome and we refrain from displaying them here.⁷ Instead, we demonstrate below that the coefficient functions in (5.16) acquire significantly simpler forms in the limits of small and large z .

⁷Explicit expressions for the coefficient functions \mathcal{A}_n , \mathcal{B}_n and \mathcal{C}_n for $n \leq 5$ can be found in as ancillary `Mathematica` file attached to this submission.

Recall that $\mathcal{W}_n(z)$ is the generating function of the 1/6 BPS Wilson loop. According to relation (1.2), the generating function of the 1/2 BPS Wilson loop is expressed in terms of $\mathcal{W}_n(z)$ as

$$\mathcal{W}_n^{1/2}(z) = \mathcal{W}_n(z, k) - (-1)^n \overline{\mathcal{W}_n(z, k)} = \frac{2i^{n-1}}{\sin(\frac{2\pi n}{k})} \mathcal{A}_n(z). \quad (5.19)$$

The last two terms inside the brackets in (5.16) do not contribute to $\mathcal{W}_n^{1/2}(z)$. We also note that the expressions for the coefficient function $\mathcal{A}_n(z)$ are substantially simpler than those for $\mathcal{B}_n(z)$ and $\mathcal{C}_n(z)$. This simplification can be viewed as a manifestation of the enhanced supersymmetry of the 1/2 BPS Wilson loop.

To illustrate the remarkable simplicity of the coefficient functions $\mathcal{A}_n(z)$, let us examine the expression for $\mathcal{A}_1(z)$ at the specific value of the Chern–Simons level $k = 4$. Using the last relation in (4.20), we find that the phase $\Phi(z)$ obeys

$$\cos(2\Phi(z)) = \frac{\sinh(2\mathcal{F}(z)) - \frac{1}{4}z}{\cosh(2\mathcal{F}(z)) - 1}. \quad (5.20)$$

Substituting this expression into (5.17), we obtain for $k = 4$

$$\mathcal{A}_1 = \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{z - 4 + 4e^{-2\mathcal{F}(z)}}. \quad (5.21)$$

Combined with the relation (5.19), this leads to an exact expression for the generating function of the 1/2 BPS Wilson loop in terms of the free energy $\mathcal{F}(z)$. We recall that the partition function $\Xi(z, k = 4)$, and hence the free energy $\mathcal{F}(z)$, admits a closed-form representation in terms of Jacobi theta functions [36]. Together with relation (5.21), this yields an exact expression for the 1/2 BPS Wilson loop at $k = 4$.

In a similar manner, for arbitrary values of k we can use the quantization conditions (4.13) and (4.19) to determine the phase $\Phi(z)$ in terms of $\mathcal{F}(z)$ and then apply the first relation in (5.17) to derive an equation for the coefficient function $\mathcal{A}_1(z)$. For example, carrying out this procedure for $k = 6$ leads to the polynomial equation

$$\begin{aligned} (64\mathcal{A}_1^3 + 24\mathcal{A}_1 - z)^2 - 9(256\mathcal{A}_1^4 + 96\mathcal{A}_1^2 - 4z\mathcal{A}_1 + 3)e^{-2\mathcal{F}(z)} \\ + 54(8\mathcal{A}_1^2 + 1)e^{-4\mathcal{F}(z)} - 27e^{-6\mathcal{F}(z)} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (5.22)$$

Moreover, using the first relation in (5.17), we can express the phase $\Phi(z)$ directly in terms of $\mathcal{A}_1(z)$. Substituting this expression into the remaining relations (5.17) and (5.18) then allows us to express all other coefficient functions solely in terms of $\mathcal{A}_1(z)$ and $\mathcal{F}(z)$. For instance,

$$\mathcal{A}_2(z) = 4\mathcal{A}_1^2(z) + \left(1 - e^{-2\mathcal{F}(z)}\right) \sin^2\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right). \quad (5.23)$$

This relation is valid for arbitrary k and z .

Substituting (5.21) and (5.23) into (5.19) yields the results (1.3) and (1.4) for the 1/2 BPS Wilson loop.

5.3 Weak coupling regime

As a nontrivial check of the exact relations (5.16) and (5.19) for the generating functions of supersymmetric Wilson loops, we can verify that the large- k expansion of \mathcal{W}_n correctly reproduces the weak-coupling expansion of the Wilson loop.

At large k , the kernel (2.10) scales as $O(1/k)$. This allows us to expand the matrix element in (3.1) in powers of ρ , or equivalently in powers of z , and thereby derive the $1/k$ expansion of the function $\psi(x|z)$,

$$\psi(x|z) = \sqrt{2} \left(1 + \frac{2x+z}{4k} + \frac{2x^2+z^2}{16k^2} + \frac{4x^3-6x^2z+3z^3}{192k^3} + O(1/k^4) \right). \quad (5.24)$$

Using this result, we obtain from (4.1) and (4.9) the corresponding large- k expansions for the phase $\Phi(z)$ and the free energy $\mathcal{F}(z)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi(z) &= \frac{\pi}{k} - \frac{\pi z}{4k^2} + \frac{\pi^3 z}{24k^4} + \frac{5\pi^3 z^3 - 128\pi^5 z}{3840k^6} + O(1/k^8), \\ \mathcal{F}(z) &= \frac{z}{2k} + \frac{z^3}{96k^3} + \frac{z^5 - 20\pi^2 z^3}{2560k^5} + \frac{3z^7 - 84\pi^2 z^5 + 1792\pi^4 z^3}{172032k^7} + O(1/k^9). \end{aligned} \quad (5.25)$$

Substituting these expansions into (5.17) and (5.18) yields the large- k behaviour of the coefficient functions \mathcal{A}_n , \mathcal{B}_n , and \mathcal{C}_n , which in turn allows us to determine the generating function (5.16).

We verified (see an ancillary `Mathematica` file) that the resulting expression for $\mathcal{W}_n(z, k)$ is in perfect agreement with the expected large k expansion

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{W}_n(z, k) &= \frac{z}{4k} + \frac{z(-z + 4i\pi n^2)}{16k^2} + \frac{z(-8\pi^2 n^4 + 4\pi n^2(4\pi - iz) + z^2)}{64k^3} \\ &\quad + \frac{z(12i\pi n^2 z^2 + 24\pi^2(n^2 - 1)^2 z - 32i\pi^3(n^2 - 6)n^4 - 3z^3)}{768k^4} + O(1/k^5). \end{aligned} \quad (5.26)$$

This expansion can be derived either by expanding (2.13) in powers of ρ or by substituting (5.24) into (3.3) and performing the integration.

6 Large z regime

In this section, we determine the leading asymptotic behaviour of the generating function (5.16) in the limit $z \rightarrow \infty$, with the parameter k held fixed. To analyse this regime, it is convenient to use the relation (2.7) and replace the fugacity parameter z with the chemical potential μ .

In the limit $\mu \rightarrow \infty$, the generating function $\mathcal{W}_n(\mu)$ is expected to take the form (2.23) and (2.24). Neglecting exponentially suppressed nonperturbative contributions, we obtain from (2.23) and (2.25)

$$\mathcal{W}_n(z, k) = \frac{i^{n-1} e^{2n\mu/k}}{k \sin\left(\frac{2\pi n}{k}\right)} \left[\frac{k}{4} + i \left(\frac{\mu}{\pi} + C_n(k) \right) + O\left(e^{-4\mu/k}\right) \right]. \quad (6.1)$$

This expression originates from the $m = 0$ term in the sum (2.23). The remaining terms with $m \neq 0$ are exponentially suppressed in the limit $\mu \rightarrow \infty$. As noted above, the coefficient $C_n(k)$ has been computed previously using different methods, leading to mutually inconsistent values (2.27).

Let us apply the relation (5.16) to derive (6.1) and determine the coefficient $C_n(k)$. A key simplification in the large- μ limit follows from relation (4.23), which yields

$$\mathcal{F}(\mu) = \frac{2\mu}{k} - \log(2 \sin \Phi(\mu)) + O(e^{-\frac{4\mu}{k}}). \quad (6.2)$$

Substituting this expression into (5.16), we obtain the simplified form

$$\mathcal{W}_n(\mu, k) = \frac{i^{n-1}}{\sin(\frac{2\pi n}{k})} \left[\mathcal{A}_n(\mu) + i \left(\mathcal{B}_n(\mu) - \mathcal{C}_n(\mu) \cot \Phi(\mu) \right) \Phi'(\mu) + \frac{2i}{k} \mathcal{C}_n(\mu) \right], \quad (6.3)$$

where $\Phi' = \partial_\mu \Phi(\mu)$.

6.1 Coefficient functions at large μ

As before, we compute the coefficient functions in (6.3) for $n = 1$ and $n = 2$, and then generalize the result to arbitrary n .

$n = 1$: Substituting relation (6.2) into (5.17), we find that the coefficient functions simplify to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1 &= \frac{1}{4} e^{2\mu/k} + \dots, \\ \mathcal{B}_1 &= -\frac{1}{4} e^{2\mu/k} \left(2 \cot \Phi(\mu) \cot\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right) + 1 \right) + \dots, \\ \mathcal{C}_1 &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{2\mu/k} \cot\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right) + \dots, \end{aligned} \quad (6.4)$$

where the dots denote subleading corrections suppressed by a factor of $e^{-4\mu/k}$. For $k = 4$ and $k = 6$, the resulting expression for \mathcal{A}_1 verifies the relations (5.21) and (5.22), providing a nontrivial consistency check.

Combining these relations we obtain from (6.3)

$$\mathcal{W}_1(z, k) = \frac{e^{2\mu/k}}{k \sin(\frac{2\pi}{k})} \left[\frac{k}{4} - i \left(\frac{k}{4} \Phi'(\mu) + \cot\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right) \right) + O(e^{-\frac{4\mu}{k}}) \right]. \quad (6.5)$$

$n = 2$: Repeating the same analysis for the coefficient functions in (5.18), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_2(z) &= \frac{1}{4} e^{\frac{4\mu}{k}} + \dots, \\ \mathcal{B}_2(z) &= -\frac{1}{4} e^{\frac{4\mu}{k}} \left[2 \cot(\Phi(\mu)) \left(\cot\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right) + \cot\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right) \right) + 1 \right] + \dots, \\ \mathcal{C}_2(z) &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{4\mu}{k}} \left(\cot\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right) + \cot\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right) \right) + \dots. \end{aligned} \quad (6.6)$$

where, as before, the dots denote corrections exponentially suppressed as $e^{-4\mu/k}$. We verify that the expressions for $\mathcal{A}_1(z)$ and $\mathcal{A}_2(z)$ satisfy the relation (5.23).

Substituting the above relations into (5.16) we get

$$\mathcal{W}_2(z, k) = \frac{i e^{4\mu/k}}{k \sin(\frac{4\pi}{k})} \left[\frac{k}{4} - i \left(\frac{k}{4} \Phi'(\mu) + \cot\left(\frac{2\pi}{k}\right) + \cot\left(\frac{4\pi}{k}\right) \right) + O(e^{-\frac{4\mu}{k}}) \right]. \quad (6.7)$$

$n \geq 3$: The above expressions display a clear structural pattern, indicating that in the large- z limit the coefficient functions obey

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{A}_n &= -\mathcal{B}_n + \mathcal{C}_n \cot \Phi = \frac{1}{4} e^{\frac{2n\mu}{k}} + \dots, \\ \mathcal{C}_n &= -\frac{1}{2} e^{\frac{2n\mu}{k}} \sum_{m=1}^n \cot\left(\frac{2\pi m}{k}\right) + \dots\end{aligned}\quad (6.8)$$

Further computations confirm that this structure persists for larger n . In particular, evaluating the coefficient functions for $3 \leq n \leq 10$ we found

$$\mathcal{W}_n(z, k) = \frac{i^{n-1} e^{2n\mu/k}}{k \sin\left(\frac{2\pi n}{k}\right)} \left[\frac{k}{4} - \frac{ik}{4} \Phi'(\mu) - i \sum_{m=1}^n \cot\left(\frac{2\pi m}{k}\right) + O(e^{-\frac{4\mu}{k}}) \right]. \quad (6.9)$$

The next step is to determine the large- μ behaviour of the derivative of the phase $\Phi'(\mu)$.

6.2 Phase at large μ

To determine the phase $\Phi(\mu)$, we complement relation (6.2) with the large- μ behaviour of the free energy $\mathcal{F}(z)$. Using the definitions (3.9) and (3.8), together with the expression (2.17) for the generating function $\Xi(z, k)$, we obtain

$$e^{\mathcal{F}(\mu)} = \frac{\Xi(\mu, k)}{\Xi(\mu + i\pi, k)} = \frac{\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{J(\mu+2\pi im, k)}}{\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} e^{J(\mu+\pi i(2m-1), k)}}. \quad (6.10)$$

Neglecting exponentially suppressed contributions to $\mathcal{F}(\mu)$, we may replace $J(\mu)$ in this expression by its perturbative part (2.19). In addition, only the $m = 0$ term in the numerator and the $m = 0$ and $m = 1$ terms in the denominator need to be retained.

In this way, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned}e^{\mathcal{F}(\mu)} &= \frac{e^{J^{\text{pert}}(\mu+2\pi im, k)}}{e^{J^{\text{pert}}(\mu-i\pi, k)} + e^{J^{\text{pert}}(\mu+i\pi, k)}} + O(e^{-\frac{4\mu}{k}}) \\ &= \frac{e^{2\mu/k}}{2 \cos\left(\frac{2}{\pi k} \mu^2 + \frac{\pi k}{24} - \frac{\pi}{3k}\right)} + O(e^{-\frac{4\mu}{k}}),\end{aligned}\quad (6.11)$$

where, in the second step, we replaced $J^{\text{pert}}(\mu)$ with its expression (2.19). Comparing this relation with (4.23) yields the large- μ behaviour of the phase,

$$\Phi(\mu) = -\frac{2}{\pi k} \mu^2 - \frac{\pi k}{24} + \frac{\pi}{2} + \frac{\pi}{3k} + O(e^{-\frac{4\mu}{k}}). \quad (6.12)$$

Substituting this expression into (6.9), we reproduce (6.1) and determine the coefficient $C_n = 2e^{-\frac{2n\mu}{k}} \mathcal{C}_n$

$$C_n(k) = -\sum_{m=1}^n \cot\left(\frac{2\pi m}{k}\right), \quad (6.13)$$

in complete agreement with the numerical results in (2.27).

7 Concluding remarks

The localization reduces the computation of supersymmetric Wilson loops in the ABJM theory to finite-dimensional matrix integrals. In this paper, we develop new techniques for evaluating these integrals for arbitrary values of the parameters. Our approach is based on an operator representation of the Wilson loops within the Fermi gas formalism in terms of the resolvent of the integral operator that previously appeared in the computation of the partition function of the ABJM theory on round sphere S^3 .

We derived a set of nontrivial relations for the resolvent and used them to obtain exact expressions for the generating functions of Wilson loops in terms of the free energy. We showed that, in the large- k limit at fixed N , these expressions reproduce the weak-coupling expansion of the Wilson loops, while in the large- N limit at fixed k , they agree with high-precision numerical results. As a byproduct, our analysis clarifies the origin of the previously observed discrepancy between numerical data and the semiclassical expression for the $1/6$ BPS Wilson loop.

In the above analysis, we have neglected the exponentially small corrections to (2.18) and (6.1) at large N . For large k with fixed 't Hooft coupling $\lambda = N/k$, in the type IIA string theory regime, the nonperturbative contribution (2.20) arises from string worldsheet instantons wrapping the \mathbb{CP}^1 inside \mathbb{CP}^3 [38, 39], as well as from D2-brane instantons wrapping the \mathbb{RP}^3 cycle in \mathbb{CP}^3 [38, 40]. In the M-theory regime, corresponding to large N at fixed k , these two types of corrections uplift to distinct M2-brane instantons wrapping, respectively, S^3/\mathbb{Z}_k (including the 11-dimensional circle) and $\mathbb{RP}^3 \subset \mathbb{CP}^3$ inside S^7/\mathbb{Z}_k (not including the 11-dimensional circle) [39, 41, 42].⁸

The nonperturbative corrections in ABJM theory were systematically investigated using the refined topological string representation in [29, 43–45], and through direct computations of the contribution of quantum M2-branes in [41, 42]. High-precision numerical analyses in these works uncovered the intricate structure of instanton corrections to both the ABJM partition function [21] and supersymmetric Wilson loops [28, 33]. The method developed in this paper provides a way to understand this structure directly within the Fermi gas formalism, without relying on a conjectured duality with topological string theory [46].

As mentioned above, the matrix model integral (2.1) obtained via localization is well-defined only for levels k satisfying (2.3). For $k = 2n$, the integral develops a pole. This pole does not appear in the weak-coupling expansion (5.26), but it arises for fixed k due to the factor $1/\sin(2\pi n/k)$ in (6.1). In the large- N limit with fixed k , the $1/2$ BPS Wilson loop admits a dual description in terms of an M2-brane wrapping the M-theory circle. It was shown in [41] that for $n = 1$ and $k > 2$, the same factor $1/\sin(2\pi/k)$ is precisely reproduced by the one-loop contribution in the partition function of the wrapped M2-brane. Interestingly, for $k = 1$ and $k = 2$, the holographic prediction for the Wilson loop remains finite, while the localization result becomes singular. This discrepancy calls for an explanation.

It would be interesting to generalize the method described in this paper to compute the latitude Wilson loop⁹ in ABJM theory [18, 47], as well as Wilson loops in the presence of deformations, such as

⁸We are grateful to Arkady Tseytlin for useful discussions regarding this point.

⁹The matrix model for the latitude Wilson loop was proposed in [18] and tested at weak coupling up to three loops, both through Feynman-diagrammatic computations and via comparison with the bremsstrahlung function, showing nontrivial agreement. It was later derived in [47], where it was shown, under mild assumptions, that supersymmetric localization on S^3 reproduces the expected matrix integral.

real masses [43, 48] and the squashing of the S^3 sphere, that break conformal invariance but preserve part of the supersymmetry. Notably, it has been conjectured (see [49] and references therein) that the large N partition function can still be expressed in terms of an Airy function, suggesting that Wilson loops may have the same property.

Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Luca Griguolo, Luigi Guerrini, Shota Komatsu, Valentin Reys and Arkady Tseytlin for interesting discussions. We are indebted to Kazumi Okayama for sharing with us the results of his work [28].

The research of B.B. was supported by the Doctoral Excellence Fellowship Programme funded by the National Research Development and Innovation Fund of the Ministry of Culture and Innovation and the Budapest University of Technology and Economics, under a grant agreement with the National Research, Development and Innovation Office (NKFIH). The work of G.K. and A.T. was supported by the French National Agency for Research grant “Observables” (ANR-24-CE31-7996).

A Derivation of (3.3)

In this appendix, we present the derivation of relation (3.3).

The relation (2.14) involves the matrix element (3.17), evaluated at $y = x + 2\pi in$,

$$\mathcal{W}_n(z, k) = \frac{\chi(x)}{4\pi k} \Gamma(x, x + 2\pi in | z). \quad (\text{A.1})$$

This matrix element admits an equivalent representation (3.18) in terms of the operator \mathbf{K} defined in (3.19). The kernel of this operator satisfies the relation

$$K(x, y) e^{y/k} + e^{x/k} K(x, y) = \frac{1}{4\pi k} E(x) E(y), \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where the function $E(x)$ is defined in (3.1). Multiplying both sides of this relation by $\chi(x)$, we can promote it to the operator identity

$$\boldsymbol{\rho} e^{x/k} + e^{x/k} \boldsymbol{\rho} = \frac{1}{4\pi k} \boldsymbol{\chi} |E\rangle \langle E|, \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where $\boldsymbol{\chi} = \chi(\mathbf{x})$ and $\langle x | \boldsymbol{\rho} | y \rangle = \chi(x) K(x, y)$. We can use this relation to get

$$\frac{z\boldsymbol{\rho}}{1+z\boldsymbol{\rho}} e^{x/k} + e^{x/k} \frac{z\boldsymbol{\rho}}{1-z\boldsymbol{\rho}} = \frac{z}{4\pi k} \frac{1}{1+z\boldsymbol{\rho}} \boldsymbol{\chi} |E\rangle \langle E| \frac{1}{1-z\boldsymbol{\rho}}. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

Taking matrix elements of both sides and using (3.1), (3.17), and (B.5), we find

$$\Gamma(x, y|z) e^{y/k} - e^{x/k} \Gamma(x, y|-z) = z \chi(x) \psi(x|-z) \psi(y|z). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

Replacing $z \rightarrow -z$ in this relation and combining the result with (A.5), we obtain a system of linear equations for $\Gamma(x, y|z)$ and $\Gamma(x, y|-z)$. Solving this system yields the expression (3.20). Substituting (3.20) into (A.1), we finally arrive at the relation (3.3).

B Parity properties

In this appendix, we derive the parity relation (3.28).

Let us define a parity operator \mathbf{P} by $\mathbf{P}|x\rangle = |-x\rangle$. Using definition (3.1), the function $\psi(-x|z)$ can then be written as

$$\psi(-x|z) = \langle E | \frac{1}{1 - z\boldsymbol{\rho}} \mathbf{P} | x \rangle = \langle E | \mathbf{P} \frac{1}{1 - z\boldsymbol{\rho}} | x \rangle = \langle E | e^{-\frac{x}{k}} \frac{1}{1 - z\boldsymbol{\rho}} | x \rangle. \quad (\text{B.1})$$

In the first step, we used the fact that the operator (2.12) preserves the parity, $[\mathbf{P}, \boldsymbol{\rho}] = 0$. In the last step, we employed the property of the function $E(x)$ defined in (3.1), namely $E(-x) = e^{-\frac{x}{k}} E(x)$.

We employ the operator identity (see (A.4))

$$e^{-\frac{x}{k}} \frac{1}{1 - z\boldsymbol{\rho}} - \frac{1}{1 + z\boldsymbol{\rho}} e^{-\frac{x}{k}} = \frac{z}{4\pi k} e^{-\frac{x}{k}} \frac{1}{1 - z\boldsymbol{\rho}} \boldsymbol{\chi} | E \rangle \langle E | \frac{1}{1 + z\boldsymbol{\rho}} e^{-\frac{x}{k}} \quad (\text{B.2})$$

to continue the previous relation as

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(-x|z) &= e^{-\frac{x}{k}} \psi(x|-z) f(z), \\ f(z) &= 1 + \frac{z}{4\pi k} \langle E | \mathbf{P} \frac{1}{1 - z\boldsymbol{\rho}} \boldsymbol{\chi} | E \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.3})$$

We next show that the function $f(z)$ coincides with the ratio of the Fredholm determinants defined in (3.8).

Differentiating both sides of (B.3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_z f(z) &= \frac{1}{4\pi k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \langle E | \mathbf{P} \frac{1}{1 - z\boldsymbol{\rho}} | x \rangle \langle x | \frac{1}{1 - z\boldsymbol{\rho}} \boldsymbol{\chi} | E \rangle \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi k} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \chi(x) \psi(-x|z) \psi(x|z) \\ &= \frac{1}{4\pi k} f(z) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \chi(x) e^{-\frac{x}{k}} \psi(x|-z) \psi(x|z), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.4})$$

where in the last relation we applied (B.3). In the second relation, we used the definition (3.1) and the identity

$$\langle x | \frac{1}{1 - z\boldsymbol{\rho}} \boldsymbol{\chi} | E \rangle = \langle E | \boldsymbol{\chi} \frac{1}{1 - z\boldsymbol{\rho}^t} | x \rangle = \langle E | \frac{1}{1 - z\boldsymbol{\rho}} \boldsymbol{\chi} | x \rangle = \chi(x) \psi(x|z), \quad (\text{B.5})$$

where $\boldsymbol{\rho}^t = \boldsymbol{\chi} \boldsymbol{\rho} \boldsymbol{\chi}^{-1}$ denotes the transposed integral operator with kernel $\rho(y, x)$.

Comparing the relation (B.4) with (3.11), we conclude that $\partial_z \log f(z) = \partial_z \mathcal{F}(z)$. Since both $\log f(z)$ and $\mathcal{F}(z)$ vanish at $z = 0$ (cf. (B.3) and (3.9)), it follows that

$$f(z) = e^{\mathcal{F}(z)} = D(z). \quad (\text{B.6})$$

Combining this result with (B.3), we arrive at the parity relation (3.28).

C Properties of the potentials

In general, the potential is defined as the matrix element of the resolvent of the operator (2.12) with respect to the functions introduced in (4.24)

$$V_{nm}(z) = V_{mn}(z) = z \left\langle E_n \left| \frac{1}{1 + z\boldsymbol{\rho}} \boldsymbol{\chi} \right| E_m \right\rangle. \quad (\text{C.1})$$

This is a real function of z that is symmetric under the exchange of indices n and m . The symmetry property follows from the relation between the operator $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ and its transpose, $\boldsymbol{\chi}\boldsymbol{\rho}^\dagger = \boldsymbol{\rho}\boldsymbol{\chi}$. In the special case of $m = 0$, the potential V_{n0} coincides with the function $V_n(z)$ introduced in (4.25).

The potentials $V_{nm}(z)$ are not independent. Taking matrix elements of both sides of (A.4) over the states E_n and E_m , we obtain ¹⁰

$$V_{n,m+1}(-z) + V_{n+1,m}(z) = \frac{1}{4\pi k} V_{n0}(-z) V_{0m}(z). \quad (\text{C.2})$$

These relations allow us to express $V_{nm}(z)$ for $m \neq 0$ in terms of $V_{n0}(z)$.

Furthermore, the potentials (C.1) can be expressed in terms of the ψ -function introduced in (3.1). This follows from the relation between the functions (C.1) and (3.18)

$$\begin{aligned} V_{nm}(z) &= 2\pi\Gamma(i\pi(2n+1), -i\pi(2m+1)|z) \\ &= 2\pi\Gamma(-i\pi(2n+1), i\pi(2m+1)|z), \end{aligned} \quad (\text{C.3})$$

where the second equality is obtained from the first one by complex conjugation. Using the representation (3.29) of the Γ -function, we then find

$$V_{nm}(z) = \frac{2\pi z e^{-\mathcal{F}(z)}}{\sin(\frac{2\pi(n+m+1)}{k})} \text{Im} \left[\psi(i\pi(2n+1)|z) \psi(i\pi(2m+1)|z) \right]. \quad (\text{C.4})$$

This relation is well defined for $n + m + 1 < k/2$.

Let us now prove the relation (C.3). Substituting (C.4) and (3.18) into (C.3), we arrive at the identity

$$\left\langle E_m \left| \frac{1}{1 + z\boldsymbol{\rho}} \boldsymbol{\chi} \right| E_n \right\rangle = 2\pi \langle i\pi(2m+1) | \mathbf{K} \frac{1}{1 + z\boldsymbol{\rho}} | -i\pi(2n+1) \rangle, \quad (\text{C.5})$$

where $E_n(x) = e^{x(2n+1)/(2k)}$ and $\boldsymbol{\chi} = 1/(2 \cosh(x/2))$. The operators $\boldsymbol{\rho}$ and \mathbf{K} are defined in (2.10) and (3.19), respectively, and are related by $\boldsymbol{\rho} = \boldsymbol{\chi}\mathbf{K}/(4\pi k)$.

Expanding both sides of (C.5) in powers of z , we obtain

$$\left\langle E_m \left| \boldsymbol{\chi}(\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\chi})^\ell \right| E_n \right\rangle = 2\pi \langle i\pi(2m+1) | (\mathbf{K}\boldsymbol{\chi})^\ell \mathbf{K} | -i\pi(2n+1) \rangle. \quad (\text{C.6})$$

For $\ell = 0$, this relation follows directly from the integral representation of the kernel (3.19),

$$\langle x | \mathbf{K} | y \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp e^{-ip(x-y)/(2\pi k)}}{2\pi \cosh(p/2)} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp}{\pi} e^{-ip(x-y)/(2\pi k)} \chi(p). \quad (\text{C.7})$$

¹⁰Similar relations have previously appeared in [50].

Indeed, substituting $x = i\pi(2m+1)$ and $y = -i\pi(2n+1)$ into this relation, the integral on the right-hand side can be identified with $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp E_m(p)\chi(p)E_n(p)/(2\pi)$, in agreement with (C.6). Note that the integral in (C.7) converges for $-\pi k < \text{Im}(x-y) < \pi k$, and therefore the matrix elements $\langle E_m|\chi|E_n\rangle$ are well-defined provided $m+n < k/2-1$.

The proof of (C.6) relies on the following identity

$$\begin{aligned}\langle i\pi(2m+1)|\mathbf{K}\chi\mathbf{K}|x\rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp}{\sqrt{2\pi}} E_m(p)\chi(p) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{ipy/(2\pi k)} \chi(y)K(y,x) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp}{\sqrt{2\pi}} E_m(p)\chi(p) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{ipy/(2\pi k)} \chi(y) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{dp'}{\pi} e^{ip'(x-y)/(2\pi k)} \chi(p') \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dp dp' E_m(p)\chi(p)K(p,p')\chi(p') e^{ip'x/(2\pi k)}.\end{aligned}\quad (\text{C.8})$$

In the first step, we used relation (C.7) for $x = i\pi(2m+1)$ and expressed the m -dependent exponential factor in terms of the function $E_m(p)$. In the second step, the kernel $K(y,x)$ was replaced by its representation (C.7). Finally, in the last step, we applied (C.7) once more to evaluate the y -integral in terms of $K(p,p')$.

Substituting $x = -i\pi(2n+1)$ in (C.8) and identifying the n -dependent exponential factor as $E_n(p')/\sqrt{2}$, we obtain identity (C.6) for $\ell = 1$. Applying the operator $\chi\mathbf{K}$ successively $(\ell-1)$ times to both sides of (C.8) and repeating the same steps yields the general identity (C.6), and consequently, the relation (C.5).

D Recurrence relation

In this appendix, we derive the recurrence relations (5.14) for the functions $U_n(z)$ introduced in (5.12).

We begin by examining the derivative of the potential $\partial_z V_{n-1}(z)$, which appears on the right-hand side of (5.14). In close analogy with (4.26), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_z V_{n-1}(z) &= \left\langle E_{n-1} \left| \frac{1}{(1+z\boldsymbol{\rho})^2} \chi \right| E \right\rangle \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \chi(x) \psi(x|-z) \left\langle E \left| e^{(n-1)x/k} \frac{1}{1+z\boldsymbol{\rho}} \right| x \right\rangle,\end{aligned}\quad (\text{D.1})$$

where in the second line we used (4.24). Combining this relation with (5.12), we find

$$U_n(z) - \partial_z V_{n-1}(z) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \chi(x) \psi(x|-z) \left\langle E \left| \frac{1}{1-(-1)^n z \boldsymbol{\rho}} e^{(n-1)x/k} - e^{(n-1)x/k} \frac{1}{1+z\boldsymbol{\rho}} \right| x \right\rangle. \quad (\text{D.2})$$

To simplify the matrix element on the right-hand side, we apply the identity (A.4). This gives

$$\begin{aligned}\langle E | e^{(n-1)x/k} \frac{1}{1+z\boldsymbol{\rho}} | x \rangle &= \langle E | e^{(n-2)x/k} \left[\frac{1}{1-z\boldsymbol{\rho}} e^{x/k} - \frac{z}{4\pi k} \frac{1}{1-z\boldsymbol{\rho}} \chi | E \right] \langle E | \frac{1}{1+z\boldsymbol{\rho}} | x \rangle \\ &= \langle E | e^{(n-2)x/k} \frac{1}{1-z\boldsymbol{\rho}} | x \rangle e^{x/k} + \frac{1}{4\pi k} V_{n-2}(-z) \psi(x|-z)\end{aligned}\quad (\text{D.3})$$

where in the second step we used (4.25) together with (3.1). Iterating this identity repeatedly, we obtain the following expression for the matrix element on the left-hand side of (D.3)

$$\langle E | \frac{1}{1-(-1)^n z \boldsymbol{\rho}} e^{(n-1)x/k} | x \rangle + \frac{1}{4\pi k} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} V_{n-m-1}((-1)^m z) \psi(x|(-1)^m z). \quad (\text{D.4})$$

Substituting this expression into (D.2) yields the recurrence relation (5.14).

References

- [1] O. Aharony, O. Bergman and D. L. Jafferis, *Fractional M2-branes*, *JHEP* **11** (2008) 043, [[0807.4924](#)].
- [2] O. Aharony, O. Bergman, D. L. Jafferis and J. Maldacena, *$\mathcal{N}=6$ Superconformal Chern-Simons-Matter Theories, M2-Branes and Their Gravity Duals*, *JHEP* **10** (2008) 091, [[0806.1218](#)].
- [3] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. M. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, *Large N field theories, string theory and gravity*, *Phys.Rept.* **323** (2000) 183–386, [[hep-th/9905111](#)].
- [4] M. Benna, I. Klebanov, T. Klose and M. Smedback, *Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories and $AdS(4)/CFT(3)$ Correspondence*, *JHEP* **09** (2008) 072, [[0806.1519](#)].
- [5] G. Arutyunov and S. Frolov, *Superstrings on $AdS(4) \times CP^{*3}$ as a Coset Sigma-model*, *JHEP* **09** (2008) 129, [[0806.4940](#)].
- [6] B. Stefanski, jr, *Green-Schwarz action for Type IIA strings on $AdS(4) \times CP^{*3}$* , *Nucl. Phys. B* **808** (2009) 80–87, [[0806.4948](#)].
- [7] K. Hosomichi, K.-M. Lee, S. Lee, S. Lee and J. Park, *$N=5,6$ Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories and M2-branes on Orbifolds*, *JHEP* **09** (2008) 002, [[0806.4977](#)].
- [8] V. Pestun et al., *Localization techniques in quantum field theories*, *J. Phys. A* **50** (2017) 440301, [[1608.02952](#)].
- [9] V. Pestun, *Localization of gauge theory on a four-sphere and supersymmetric Wilson loops*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **313** (2012) 71–129, [[0712.2824](#)].
- [10] A. Kapustin, B. Willett and I. Yaakov, *Exact Results for Wilson Loops in Superconformal Chern-Simons Theories with Matter*, *JHEP* **03** (2010) 089, [[0909.4559](#)].
- [11] N. Drukker, J. Plefka and D. Young, *Wilson Loops in 3-Dimensional $\mathcal{N}=6$ Supersymmetric Chern-Simons Theory and Their String Theory Duals*, *JHEP* **11** (2008) 019, [[0809.2787](#)].
- [12] B. Chen and J.-B. Wu, *Supersymmetric Wilson Loops in $\mathcal{N}=6$ Super Chern-Simons-Matter Theory*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **825** (2010) 38–51, [[0809.2863](#)].
- [13] N. Drukker and D. Trancanelli, *A Supermatrix Model for $\mathcal{N}=6$ Super Chern-Simons-Matter Theory*, *JHEP* **02** (2010) 058, [[0912.3006](#)].
- [14] N. Drukker et al., *Roadmap on Wilson loops in 3d Chern–Simons-matter theories*, *J. Phys. A* **53** (2020) 173001, [[1910.00588](#)].
- [15] A. Lewkowycz and J. Maldacena, *Exact results for the entanglement entropy and the energy radiated by a quark*, *JHEP* **05** (2014) 025, [[1312.5682](#)].
- [16] M. S. Bianchi, L. Griguolo, M. Leoni, S. Penati and D. Seminara, *BPS Wilson loops and Bremsstrahlung function in $ABJ(M)$: a two loop analysis*, *JHEP* **06** (2014) 123, [[1402.4128](#)].
- [17] L. Bianchi, L. Griguolo, M. Preti and D. Seminara, *Wilson lines as superconformal defects in $ABJM$ theory: a formula for the emitted radiation*, *JHEP* **10** (2017) 050, [[1706.06590](#)].
- [18] M. S. Bianchi, L. Griguolo, A. Mauri, S. Penati and D. Seminara, *A matrix model for the latitude Wilson loop in $ABJM$ theory*, *JHEP* **08** (2018) 060, [[1802.07742](#)].

- [19] L. Bianchi, M. Preti and E. Vescovi, *Exact Bremsstrahlung functions in ABJM theory*, *JHEP* **07** (2018) 060, [[1802.07726](#)].
- [20] M. Marino and P. Putrov, *ABJM theory as a Fermi gas*, *J. Stat. Mech.* **1203** (2012) P03001, [[1110.4066](#)].
- [21] Y. Hatsuda, S. Moriyama and K. Okuyama, *Exact instanton expansion of the ABJM partition function*, *PTEP* **2015** (2015) 11B104, [[1507.01678](#)].
- [22] M. Marino, *Localization at large N in Chern–Simons–matter theories*, *J. Phys. A* **50** (2017) 443007, [[1608.02959](#)].
- [23] M. Beccaria, G. P. Korchemsky and A. A. Tseytlin, *Strong coupling expansion in $\mathcal{N} = 2$ superconformal theories and the Bessel kernel*, *JHEP* **09** (2022) 226, [[2207.11475](#)].
- [24] Z. Bajnok, B. Boldis and G. P. Korchemsky, *Tracy–Widom Distribution in Four-Dimensional Supersymmetric Yang–Mills Theories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **133** (2024) 031601, [[2403.13050](#)].
- [25] Z. Bajnok, B. Boldis and G. P. Korchemsky, *Solving four-dimensional superconformal Yang–Mills theories with Tracy–Widom distribution*, *JHEP* **04** (2025) 005, [[2409.17227](#)].
- [26] Z. Bajnok, B. Boldis and G. P. Korchemsky, *Exploring superconformal Yang–Mills theories through matrix Bessel kernels*, *SciPost Phys.* **19** (2025) 004, [[2412.08732](#)].
- [27] A. Klemm, M. Marino, M. Schiereck and M. Soroush, *Aharony–Bergman–Jafferis–Maldacena Wilson Loops in the Fermi Gas Approach*, *Z. Naturforsch. A* **68** (2013) 178–209, [[1207.0611](#)].
- [28] K. Okuyama, *Instanton Corrections of $1/6$ BPS Wilson Loops in ABJM Theory*, *JHEP* **09** (2016) 125, [[1607.06157](#)].
- [29] Y. Hatsuda, S. Moriyama and K. Okuyama, *Exact Results on the ABJM Fermi Gas*, *JHEP* **10** (2012) 020, [[1207.4283](#)].
- [30] S. Cecotti, P. Fendley, K. A. Intriligator and C. Vafa, *A New supersymmetric index*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **386** (1992) 405–452, [[hep-th/9204102](#)].
- [31] A. B. Zamolodchikov, *Painleve III and 2-d polymers*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **432** (1994) 427–456, [[hep-th/9409108](#)].
- [32] C. A. Tracy and H. Widom, *Proofs of two conjectures related to the thermodynamic Bethe ansatz*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **179** (1996) 667–680, [[solv-int/9509003](#)].
- [33] Y. Hatsuda, M. Honda, S. Moriyama and K. Okuyama, *ABJM Wilson Loops in Arbitrary Representations*, *JHEP* **10** (2013) 168, [[1306.4297](#)].
- [34] M. Hanada, M. Honda, Y. Honma, J. Nishimura, S. Shiba and Y. Yoshida, *Numerical studies of the ABJM theory for arbitrary N at arbitrary coupling constant*, *JHEP* **05** (2012) 121, [[1202.5300](#)].
- [35] S. Codesido, A. Grassi and M. Mariño, *Exact results in $\mathcal{N} = 8$ Chern–Simons–matter theories and quantum geometry*, *JHEP* **07** (2015) 011, [[1409.1799](#)].
- [36] A. Grassi, Y. Hatsuda and M. Marino, *Quantization conditions and functional equations in $ABJ(M)$ theories*, *J. Phys. A* **49** (2016) 115401, [[1410.7658](#)].
- [37] K. Okuyama, *Orientifolding of the ABJ Fermi gas*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 008, [[1601.03215](#)].

- [38] N. Drukker, M. Mariño and P. Putrov, *From Weak to Strong Coupling in ABJM Theory*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **306** (2011) 511–563, [[1007.3837](#)].
- [39] A. Cagnazzo, D. Sorokin and L. Wulff, *String instanton in $AdS(4) \times CP^{*3}$* , *JHEP* **05** (2010) 009, [[0911.5228](#)].
- [40] N. Drukker, M. Marino and P. Putrov, *Nonperturbative aspects of ABJM theory*, *JHEP* **11** (2011) 141, [[1103.4844](#)].
- [41] S. Giombi and A. A. Tseytlin, *Wilson Loops at Large N and the Quantum $M2$ -Brane*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **130** (2023) 201601, [[2303.15207](#)].
- [42] M. Beccaria, S. Giombi and A. A. Tseytlin, *Instanton contributions to the ABJM free energy from quantum $M2$ branes*, *JHEP* **10** (2023) 029, [[2307.14112](#)].
- [43] T. Nosaka, *Instanton effects in ABJM theory with general R -charge assignments*, *JHEP* **03** (2016) 059, [[1512.02862](#)].
- [44] Y. Hatsuda, S. Moriyama and K. Okuyama, *Instanton Effects in ABJM Theory from Fermi Gas Approach*, *JHEP* **01** (2013) 158, [[1211.1251](#)].
- [45] Y. Hatsuda, M. Marino, S. Moriyama and K. Okuyama, *Non-perturbative effects and the refined topological string*, *JHEP* **09** (2014) 168, [[1306.1734](#)].
- [46] B. Boldis, G. P. Korchemsky and A. Testa, *to appear*, .
- [47] L. Griguolo, L. Guerrini and I. Yaakov, *Localization and duality for ABJM latitude Wilson loops*, *JHEP* **08** (2021) 001, [[2104.04533](#)].
- [48] E. Armanini, L. Griguolo and L. Guerrini, *BPS Wilson loops in mass-deformed ABJM theory: Fermi gas expansions and new defect CFT data*, *SciPost Phys.* **17** (2024) 035, [[2401.12288](#)].
- [49] N. Bobev, P.-J. De Smet, J. Hong, V. Reys and X. Zhang, *An Airy tale at large N* , *JHEP* **07** (2025) 123, [[2502.04606](#)].
- [50] C. A. Tracy and H. Widom, *Fredholm determinants and the mkdv/sinh-gordon hierarchies*, *Commun. Math. Phys.* **179** (1996) 1–9, [[solv-int/9506006](#)].