

Unitarizing non-relativistic scattering

Marcos M. Flores^{*1,2} and Kalliopi Petraki^{† 1}

¹*Laboratoire de Physique de l'École Normale Supérieure, ENS, Université PSL, CNRS, Sorbonne Université, Université Paris Cité, Paris, F-75005, France*

²*Department of Physics, University of Oslo, Box 1048, N-0316 Oslo, Norway*

December 3, 2025

Abstract

Unitarity imposes coupled constraints on elastic and inelastic amplitudes. Satisfying them requires resummation of the self-energy contributions from both elastic and inelastic channels. Inelastic channels generate anti-Hermitian contributions that can be consistently deduced from the unitarity relation underlying the optical theorem, leading to non-local separable potentials and a compact unitarization scheme in the non-relativistic regime. We present two alternative derivations of the anti-Hermitian kernel, from the continuity equation and by integrating out inelastic channels. We further extend this framework to treat non-analytic and non-convergent behavior of inelastic amplitudes in the complex momentum plane and to incorporate bound states. For non-convergent amplitudes, we demonstrate a simple renormalization procedure in which anti-Hermitian separable potentials necessarily induce Hermitian separable counterterms, yielding finite cross sections consistent with unitarity. These results provide a general tool for non-relativistic scattering problems, with clear applications to dark-matter phenomenology.

^{*}marcos.flores@fys.uio.no

[†]kalliopi.petraki@phys.ens.fr

Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Partial-wave bounds and self-energy kernel from unitarity	5
2.1	General analysis	5
2.2	Partial-wave optical theorem and unitarity bounds	7
2.3	Anti-Hermitian instantaneous self-energy kernel	8
3	Resummation	10
3.1	Schrödinger equation with a non-Hermitian non-local potential	10
3.2	Hermitian potential	12
3.3	Anti-Hermitian potential	13
3.4	Full potential and solution of the Schrödinger equation	15
3.5	Regulated phase shift and inelastic amplitudes	16
3.6	Regulated cross sections	18
3.7	Impact of analytic structure of inelastic amplitudes on unitarization	20
4	Renormalization	22
4.1	Setup	22
4.2	Divergence	23
4.3	Renormalizable theories: $\gamma = 0$	23
4.4	Effective theories: $\gamma > 0$	26
5	Analytic properties of radial wave functions for real potentials	27
5.1	Radial Schrödinger equation and solutions	27
5.2	Analytic properties of Jost functions	31
5.3	The Green's Function	33
5.4	The Coulomb wave functions	35
6	Optical potential	37
6.1	Feshbach projection	37
6.2	Absorptive potential in terms of irreducible inelastic amplitudes	38
7	Discussion	39
A	Mathematical formulas	41
A.1	Proof of identity	41
A.2	Standard identities	41
B	Notation	42
	References	43

1 Introduction

Unitarity plays a central role in particle physics. A direct consequence of it, the optical theorem imposes *coupled* constraints on elastic and inelastic partial-wave scattering amplitudes. Because the optical theorem and the resulting bounds are non-linear in the amplitudes, they cannot be exactly satisfied in calculations truncated at any finite order in perturbation theory. Preserving unitarity therefore requires resummation of the relevant interactions. In the presence of elastic interactions only, the self-energy kernel of a (multiparticle) state is Hermitian, and its resummation ensures consistency with the unitarity constraint on elastic amplitudes. Inelastic channels, however, generate anti-Hermitian contributions to self-energy kernels that encode the probability flux into other states. Consistency with the coupled unitarity constraints on elastic and inelastic amplitudes then requires a resummation of these contributions as well.

The anti-Hermitian part of the self-energy kernel can be deduced directly from the unitarity condition underpinning the optical theorem. On this basis, Ref. [1] formulated a unitarization scheme in the non-relativistic regime for *both elastic and inelastic* amplitudes. The anti-Hermitian potential obtained in this way has a distinctive structure: it is a sum of non-local but separable potentials, a consequence of the fact that it originates from contracting inelastic amplitudes at different momenta. Remarkably, this structure permits compact analytic solutions that can be readily applied in phenomenological investigations, accommodating all partial waves and multiple inelastic channels. The form of the anti-Hermitian potential constitutes an important difference between Ref. [1] and other unitarization approaches that include imaginary self-energy contributions in the computation of inelastic amplitudes, either with [2–5] or without resummation [6, 7].

The goal of this work is twofold. First, we generalize aspects of the formalism introduced in Ref. [1] to establish a solid foundation for phenomenological applications. In particular, we develop a framework that consistently encodes possible non-analytic and non-convergent behavior of inelastic amplitudes in the complex momentum plane, which, as already recognized in Ref. [1], affects unitarization. When such amplitudes generate UV-divergent separable optical potentials, we demonstrate a renormalization procedure and show that the absorptive (anti-Hermitian) separable interactions necessarily induce dispersive (Hermitian) separable counterterms. Including these Hermitian separable contributions is essential for renormalizability, and it extends the solution of Ref. [1] beyond the purely absorptive case; the reverse implication does not hold in general, i.e. Hermitian separable terms need not generate anti-Hermitian ones of the same form. Second, we expand on the theoretical underpinnings of the unitarization framework. We incorporate the possible presence of bound states, which introduce poles that contribute to the analytic structure, and present two alternative ways to derive the anti-Hermitian potential: one based on the continuity equation and LSZ reduction, and one based on the Feshbach formalism for integrating out states. Throughout the paper, we clarify various subtle points of the previous analysis.

The impetus for unitarizing inelastic cross sections arose in large part from renewed interest in long-range interactions in the non-relativistic regime in the context of dark matter. Long-range interactions play an important role in several dark-matter frontiers, including multi-TeV thermal-relic dark matter [8], self-interacting dark matter [9], and primordial black holes [10]. A strong connection has been drawn between long-range interactions and the saturation of non-relativistic unitarity bounds [1, 11, 12].

However, unitarity violations have been identified in several settings. Inelastic processes that are Sommerfeld-enhanced by light but massive mediators can exhibit parametric resonances that grow with decreasing velocity faster than unitarity allows [2]. Attractive Coulomb-like potentials can violate inelastic unitarity bounds at sufficiently large coupling [11, 13]. Even more striking unitarity violations, *for arbitrarily small couplings*, occur in radiative transitions between states governed by different Coulomb-like potentials, as in bound-state formation with emission of a

charged scalar [14–17] or a non-Abelian gauge boson [18–20]. These issues have highlighted theoretical deficiencies and impelled the development of a systematic and consistent unitarization procedure. The phenomenological significance of the unitarization prescription of Ref. [1] has already been demonstrated in the context of dark-matter production via thermal freeze-out [21].

The paper is structured as follows. Starting with the unitarity relation, in Section 2, we reproduce the bounds on elastic and inelastic processes and extract the anti-Hermitian part of the self-energy kernel. In Section 3 we review the unitarization formalism of Ref. [1], provide additional justification for the anti-Hermitian kernel from the continuity equation and LSZ reduction, and extend the formalism to systematically incorporate possible non-analyticities and non-convergence of inelastic amplitudes, exhibiting a renormalization procedure for the latter case in Section 4. In Section 5, we incorporate bound states into the unitarization scheme, using the Jost function formalism. In Section 6, we review the optical potential via the Feshbach projection, from where we derive, in a third way, the anti-Hermitian self-energy kernel in terms of inelastic amplitudes. We conclude in Section 7 by discussing the implications of this work, with a focus on dark-matter-motivated examples. For convenience, Section A collects useful mathematical proofs and identities, while Section B compiles the notation used in this document with references to the defining equations.

2 Partial-wave bounds and self-energy kernel from unitarity

Setting $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{1} + i\mathbb{T}$, as is standard, the unitarity of the \mathbb{S} matrix, $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{S}^\dagger = \mathbb{S}^\dagger\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{1}$, implies

$$-i(\mathbb{T} - \mathbb{T}^\dagger) = \mathbb{T}^\dagger\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{T}\mathbb{T}^\dagger. \quad (2.1)$$

We shall use this relation in two ways: first, to reproduce the known constraints on partial-wave amplitudes and cross sections for elastic and inelastic processes; and second, to predict the contribution to the self-energy kernel generated by inelastic processes [1]. For the latter purpose, the \mathbb{T} -matrix will be evaluated at general kinematics that do not necessarily satisfy the on-shell conditions. While asymptotic states obey on-shell conditions, we can use the operator relation (2.1) to compute or constrain off-shell Green's functions or interaction kernels. Our analysis in this section largely follows Ref. [1], but we provide additional details and clarify the conjugation properties of the interaction kernel.

2.1 General analysis

In what follows, we denote by $\mathcal{M}^{ab}(\tau^a, \tau^b)$ the amplitude for the transition from state a to state b , where τ collectively represents the particle momenta of each state in the center-of-momentum (CM) frame. For two-particle states, only one independent momentum exists, and we therefore write $\tau \rightarrow \mathbf{p}$. For simplicity, we neglect spin throughout.

Partial-wave expansion for 2-particle states

For transitions between the 2-particle states a and b , with momenta \mathbf{p}^a and \mathbf{p}^b in the CM frame, we analyze the amplitudes as follows

$$\mathcal{M}^{ab}(\mathbf{p}^a, \mathbf{p}^b) = 16\pi \sum_{\ell} (2\ell + 1) P_{\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}^a \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}^b) \mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{ab}(p^a, p^b), \quad (2.2a)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{ab}(p^a, p^b) = \frac{2}{(8\pi)^3} \int d\Omega_a d\Omega_b P_{\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}^a \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}^b) \mathcal{M}^{ab}(\mathbf{p}^a, \mathbf{p}^b). \quad (2.2b)$$

where $p = |\mathbf{p}|$ denotes the magnitude of the corresponding 3-momentum.¹ Note that if the incoming and/or outgoing particles are off-shell, p^a and p^b are in general different, and cannot be related to the total energy of the system, parametrized by the first Mandelstam variable, s . We leave implicit the dependence of the off-shell amplitudes on the zeroth components of the CM momenta.

Unitarity

Projecting Eq. (2.1) on the 2-particle state a , for different outgoing and incoming momenta, \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{p}' , respectively, and inserting a complete set of on-shell states between \mathbb{T} and \mathbb{T}^\dagger on the right-hand side, we obtain

$$-\frac{i}{2} [\mathcal{M}^{aa}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) - \mathcal{M}^{aa*}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')] = \sum_{c: \text{on-shell}} \mathcal{X}^{ac}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = \sum_{c: \text{on-shell}} \tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{ac}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}), \quad (2.3)$$

with

$$\mathcal{X}^{ac}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau^c \mathcal{M}^{ac*}(\mathbf{p}, \tau^c) \mathcal{M}^{ac}(\mathbf{p}', \tau^c), \quad (2.4a)$$

$$\tilde{\mathcal{X}}^{ac}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int d\tau^c \mathcal{M}^{ca}(\tau^c, \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{M}^{ca*}(\tau^c, \mathbf{p}'), \quad (2.4b)$$

¹We assume the orthonormality condition $\int d\Omega Y_{\ell m}(\Omega) Y_{\ell' m'}^*(\Omega) = \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{mm'}$ for the spherical harmonics, and recall the useful decomposition $P_{\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{a}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{b}}) = [(4\pi)/(2\ell + 1)] \sum_{m=-\ell}^{\ell} Y_{\ell m}(\Omega_a) Y_{\ell m}^*(\Omega_b)$ for the Legendre polynomials.

where τ^c denotes collectively all the momentum variables that characterize the *on-shell* state c , consisting of N_c particles, and $d\tau^c$ stands for the corresponding phase-space element with the energy-momentum conservation included,

$$d\tau^c \equiv \frac{1}{c^c} \prod_{j=1}^{N_c} \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}_j}{(2\pi)^3 2E_j} (2\pi) \delta\left(\sqrt{s} - \sum_j (k_j)^0\right) (2\pi)^3 \delta^3\left(\sum_j \mathbf{k}_j\right). \quad (2.5)$$

Here, $E_j = \sqrt{\mathbf{k}_j^2 + m_j^2}$ is the on-shell energy for the j th particle, and c^c is the symmetry factor of the state c that ensures the phase space is not multiply counted ($c = j_1! j_2! \dots$ if a state contains j_1, j_2, \dots identical particles of species 1, 2, etc). For 1-particle and 2-particle states, the phase-space elements are

$$\text{1-particle states : } d\tau^c = 2\pi \delta(s - m_c^2), \quad (2.6a)$$

$$\text{2-particle states : } d\tau^c = \frac{k^c}{c^c 16\pi^2 \sqrt{s}} d\Omega_c. \quad (2.6b)$$

For the inverse decay processes, m_c is the mass of the intermediate particle, while for the 2-particle intermediate states, the magnitude of the momentum, k^c , is fully specified by s and the masses of the particles involved. We emphasize that in \mathcal{X}^{ac} , defined Eq. (2.4a), the c states are always on-shell, with their phase space fully integrated.

Acting on Eq. (2.3) with $(8\pi)^{-2} \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{p}'} d\Omega_{\mathbf{p}} Y_{\ell m}^*(\Omega_{\mathbf{p}'}) Y_{\ell m}(\Omega_{\mathbf{p}})$, and using Eqs. (2.2) and (2.4a), the unitarity relation becomes

$$-\frac{i}{2} \left[\mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{aa}(p', p) - \mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{aa*}(p, p') \right] = \sum_{c: \text{on-shell}} \mathcal{X}_{\ell}^{ac}(p', p), \quad (2.7)$$

where

$$\mathcal{X}_{\ell}^{ac}(p', p) \equiv \frac{2}{(8\pi)^3} \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{p}'} d\Omega_{\mathbf{p}} P_{\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}) \mathcal{X}^{ac}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}), \quad (2.8a)$$

$$\mathcal{X}^{ac}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = 16\pi \sum_{\ell} (2\ell + 1) P_{\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}) \mathcal{X}_{\ell}^{ac}(p', p). \quad (2.8b)$$

For 1-particle and 2-particle states intermediate states, Eqs. (2.4a), (2.6) and (2.8) yield

$$\mathcal{X}_{\ell}^{ac}(p', p) = \begin{cases} \frac{\delta_{\ell,0}}{16} \delta(s - m_c^2) \mathcal{M}^{ac*}(p, 0) \mathcal{M}^{ac}(p', 0), & \text{1-particle,} \\ \frac{2k^c}{c^c \sqrt{s}} \mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{ac*}(p, k^c) \mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{ac}(p', k^c), & \text{2-particle.} \end{cases} \quad (2.9)$$

Note that in the absence of spin or other internal degrees of freedom, the inverse-decay amplitudes cannot depend on the orientation of the three-momenta \mathbf{p} and \mathbf{p}' by rotational invariance, so only the $\ell = 0$ mode is present. Higher- ℓ modes can appear for resonances with spin or internal angular momentum (e.g. for composite states).

Rescaling of amplitudes

For the 2-particle states a and b , it is convenient to define the rescaled partial-wave amplitudes,

$$M_{\ell}^{ab}(p^a, p^b) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{4p^a p^b}{c^a c^b s}} \mathcal{M}_{\ell}^{ab}(p^a, p^b). \quad (2.10)$$

For a 2-particle state a and an on-shell state c of any multiplicity, we also define

$$X_\ell^{ac}(p', p) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{4p'p}{(\mathcal{C}^a)^2 s}} X_\ell^{ac}(p', p) \xrightarrow{\text{c: 2-particle state}} M_\ell^{ac*}(p, k^c) M_\ell^{ac}(p', k^c), \quad (2.11)$$

where the last expression was obtained using Eqs. (2.9) and (2.10).

Cross sections

To compute cross sections, we evaluate the amplitudes on-shell. The cross section for a 2-to- N process $a \rightarrow c$, is

$$\sigma^{a \rightarrow c}(k) = \frac{1}{4k\sqrt{s}} \int d\tau^c |\mathcal{M}^{ac}(\mathbf{k}, \tau^c)|^2 = \frac{1}{4k\sqrt{s}} 2\mathcal{X}^{ac}(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}) = \frac{8\pi}{k\sqrt{s}} \sum_\ell (2\ell + 1) X_\ell^{ac}(k, k), \quad (2.12)$$

where \mathbf{k} is the on-shell momentum in the CM frame of the state a , determined by s , and we used Eqs. (2.4a) and (2.8b). As in Ref. [1], we define the *unitarity cross section*

$$\sigma_\ell^U(k) = \mathcal{C}_\ell \frac{4\pi(2\ell + 1)}{\mathbf{k}^2}, \quad (2.13)$$

where \mathcal{C}_ℓ is the symmetry factor of the incoming state. Although the symmetry factor does not depend on the partial wave, we introduce the index ℓ to indicate that $\mathcal{C}_\ell = 1$ for distinguishable particles, $\mathcal{C}_\ell = 2$ for identical particles in allowed partial waves, while cross-sections for other partial waves vanish. With this, the partial-wave cross section can be expressed as

$$\sigma_\ell^{a \rightarrow c}(k) = \sigma_\ell^U(k) \left(\frac{2k}{\mathcal{C}_\ell \sqrt{s}} X_\ell^{ac}(k, k) \right) = \sigma_\ell^U(k) X_\ell^{ac}(k, k) \xrightarrow{\text{c: 2-particle state}} \sigma_\ell^U(k) |M_\ell^{ac}(k, k^c)|^2, \quad (2.14)$$

where the rescaled quantities, M_ℓ^{ac} and X_ℓ^{ac} , have been defined in Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11).

2.2 Partial-wave optical theorem and unitarity bounds

We now return to the partial-wave unitarity relation (2.7), which we rescale using Eqs. (2.10) and (2.11). Taking the incoming and outgoing particles be on-shell, $p = p' \rightarrow k$, and setting $M_\ell^{\text{elas}}(k) \equiv M_\ell^{aa}(k, k)$, we obtain

$$\text{Im}[M_\ell^{\text{elas}}(k)] = \sum_c X_\ell^{ac}(k, k) = \sigma_\ell^{\text{tot}}(k) / \sigma_\ell^U(k), \quad (2.15)$$

where the total partial-wave cross section σ_ℓ^{tot} includes all elastic and inelastic processes, and we used Eq. (2.14). This is the partial-wave optical theorem. Equation (2.15) also implies that the rescaled elastic scattering amplitude obeys the inequality

$$\text{Im}[M_\ell^{\text{elas}}(k)] \geq |M_\ell^{\text{elas}}(k)|^2, \quad (2.16)$$

or, equivalently, it is bounded by the unitarity circle centered on $i/2$ on the complex plane,

$$|M_\ell^{\text{elas}}(k) - i/2| \leq 1/2, \quad (2.17)$$

with the equality holding in the absence of inelastic processes. For inelastic scatterings, the rescaled amplitudes lie within a circle of radius $1/2$ centered on zero on the complex plane,

$$\sum_{c \neq a} X_\ell^{ac} = \text{Im}(M_\ell^{aa}) - |M_\ell^{aa}|^2 \leq \text{Im}(M_\ell^{aa}) - [\text{Im}(M_\ell^{aa})]^2 \leq 1/4. \quad (2.18)$$

We can deduce a stronger, coupled constraint between elastic and inelastic processes, considering that

$$(\sigma_\ell^{\text{elas}} + \sigma_\ell^{\text{inel}})/\sigma_\ell^U = \sum_c X_\ell^{ac} = \text{Im} M_\ell^{aa} \leq |M_\ell^{aa}| = (\sigma_\ell^{\text{elas}}/\sigma_\ell^U)^{1/2}, \quad (2.19)$$

or equivalently

$$\sigma_\ell^{\text{inel}}/\sigma_\ell^U \leq \sqrt{\sigma_\ell^{\text{elas}}/\sigma_\ell^U} \left(1 - \sqrt{\sigma_\ell^{\text{elas}}/\sigma_\ell^U}\right). \quad (2.20)$$

The unitarity constraint (2.20) implies the global upper bounds²

$$\sigma_\ell^{\text{elas}}/\sigma_\ell^U \leq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_\ell^{\text{inel}}/\sigma_\ell^U \leq 1/4. \quad (2.21)$$

Notably, the constraint (2.20) implies also a *lower* bound on $\sigma_\ell^{\text{elas}}$ that depends on $\sigma_\ell^{\text{inel}}$, attesting to the fact that inelastic interactions generate elastic scattering.

2.3 Anti-Hermitian instantaneous self-energy kernel

To properly compute the self energy of a state, the irreducible kernel must be first identified. For a 2-particle state, this consists of all 4-point diagrams that cannot be separated into two 4-point sub-diagrams (contributing to the same 4-point function) by cutting two internal propagators, and are thus 2-particle irreducible (2PI).

In the instantaneous approximation, any dependence of the kernel on the zeroth components of the incoming and outgoing CM momenta is neglected. Moreover, in the non-relativistic regime, the total energy in the CM frame is approximated by the total mass of the interacting particles, $\sqrt{s} \rightarrow m_T$ (see e.g. Ref. [22]).

The unitarity relations (2.3) and (2.7) imply that inelastic processes participate in the self energy of a state. Their anti-Hermitian contribution can be deduced directly from the unitarity relation underpinning the optical theorem [1]. In particular, Eq. (2.3) implies that, under the instantaneous approximation, inelastic processes generate contributions to the self-energy kernel that satisfy the equation

$$-\frac{i}{2} [\mathcal{K}^{aa}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) - \mathcal{K}^{aa*}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')] = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c: \text{on-shell}} \int d\tau^c \mathcal{A}^{ac*}(\mathbf{p}, \tau^c) \mathcal{A}^{ac}(\mathbf{p}', \tau^c) \quad (2.22a)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{c: \text{on-shell}} \int d\tau^c \mathcal{A}^{ca}(\tau^c, \mathbf{p}) \mathcal{A}^{ca*}(\tau^c, \mathbf{p}'), \quad (2.22b)$$

where $\mathcal{A}^{ac}(\mathbf{p}, \tau^c)$ are the inelastic amplitudes, *with all initial-state 2PI factors amputated*.³ The incoming and outgoing states on the left-hand side of Eqs. (2.22) are in general off-shell. On the

²If the incoming particles carry spins, the orbital angular momentum in the unitarity constraints (2.20) and (2.21) should be replaced by the total angular momentum, $\ell \rightarrow j$. We may then attempt to derive constraints on the cross sections for a given ℓ by averaging over all possible spin configurations. Considering that

$$\frac{1}{(2s_1 + 1)(2s_2 + 1)} \sum_{s=|s_1-s_2|}^{s_1+s_2} \sum_{j=\ell-s}^{\ell+s} (2j+1) = 2\ell+1,$$

with s_1 and s_2 being the spins of the two interacting particles, the global constraints (2.21) remain valid for the spin-averaged cross sections for a given orbital angular momentum, ℓ . This implies that the upper bounds on the mass of thermal-relic dark matter for a given ℓ remain as in [1, Section 8].

³ $\mathcal{A}^{\text{inel}}$ is often termed the ‘hard-scattering’ amplitude, since it typically involves large momentum transfers, in contrast to the low-momentum (soft) interactions usually resummed in the wavefunction. However, some inelastic processes, such as bound-state formation with emission of an ultrasoft boson, involve only small momentum transfers. We thus avoid this terminology here, and will instead refer to $\mathcal{A}^{\text{inel}}$ as ‘inelastic vertex’ or ‘irreducible inelastic amplitude’.

other hand, the products of the inelastic interactions (i.e. the intermediate states on the right-hand sides of Eqs. (2.22)), are on-shell, as mandated by the insertion of a complete set of physical states in the operator relation (2.1). The momenta of the intermediate states, collectively denoted by τ^c , are thus constrained by the energy imparted in the system and the on-shell dispersion relations.

We may analyze the kernel in Hermitian and anti-Hermitian contributions,

$$\mathcal{K}^{aa}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = \mathcal{K}_H^{aa}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) + \mathcal{K}_A^{aa}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}), \quad (2.23a)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_H^{aa}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{K}^{aa}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) + \mathcal{K}^{aa\dagger}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})], \quad (2.23b)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_A^{aa}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{K}^{aa}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) - \mathcal{K}^{aa\dagger}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})], \quad (2.23c)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}^{aa\dagger}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = \mathcal{K}^{aa*}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'). \quad (2.24)$$

Evidently, $\mathcal{K}_H^{aa\dagger}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = \mathcal{K}_H^{aa}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})$ and $\mathcal{K}_A^{aa\dagger}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = -\mathcal{K}_A^{aa}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})$. We emphasize that the Hermitian and anti-Hermitian components of the kernel are *not* in general real and imaginary, respectively. They become so only under time-reversal invariance (TRI), which sets $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) \stackrel{\text{TRI}}{=} \mathcal{K}(-\mathbf{p}, -\mathbf{p}') = \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')$, where the last equality holds for spinless particles due to rotational invariance. We shall not assume TRI in this work.

Clearly, the left-hand side of Eqs. (2.22) is equal to $-\mathfrak{i}\mathcal{K}_A(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})$. In terms of partial waves, Eq. (2.22a) becomes

$$-\mathfrak{i}\mathcal{K}_{A,\ell}^{aa}(p', p) = \frac{1}{(8\pi)^3} \sum_{c: \text{ on-shell}} \int d\tau^c \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{p}'} d\Omega_{\mathbf{p}} P_\ell(\hat{\mathbf{p}}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}) \mathcal{A}^{ac*}(\mathbf{p}, \tau^c) \mathcal{A}^{ac}(\mathbf{p}', \tau^c) \quad (2.25a)$$

$$\supset \sum_{r: \text{ 1-particle}} \frac{\delta_{\ell,0}}{16} \delta(s - m_r^2) \mathcal{A}^{ar*}(p, 0) \mathcal{A}^{ar}(p', 0) + \sum_{b: \text{ 2-particle}} \frac{2k^b}{c^b \sqrt{s}} \mathcal{A}_\ell^{ab*}(p, k^b) \mathcal{A}_\ell^{ab}(p', k^b), \quad (2.25b)$$

where in the last line we included the phase-space integrated forms due to 1-particle and 2-particle intermediate states, following directly from Eq. (2.9).

3 Resummation

3.1 Schrödinger equation with a non-Hermitian non-local potential

Because unitarity implies a non-linear relation between scattering amplitudes, as seen directly from Eq. (2.1), it cannot be exactly satisfied by calculations truncated at a finite order in perturbation theory. Ensuring consistency of the scattering amplitudes with Eq. (2.1) necessitates the appropriate resummation of all interaction kernels entering a calculation. The resummation consistently determines the self energies of the participating states, which in turn affect all scattering amplitudes. It is evident that elastic interactions contribute to the self-energy of a state. As discussed in the previous section, inelastic interactions contribute as well.

In the following, we shall denote by $\mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})$ the 2PI kernel of the state under consideration, with \mathbf{p}' and \mathbf{p} being, respectively, the incoming and outgoing momenta of the particles in the CM frame. Under the instantaneous approximation, the resummation of the self-energy kernel amounts to solving the Schrödinger equation. We consider Schrödinger's equation, in both momentum and position space, for a potential that is *not* assumed to be local,⁴

$$\frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{2\mu}\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{p}) - \frac{1}{4m_T\mu} \int \frac{d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^3} \mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{p}') = \mathcal{E}\tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{p}), \quad (3.1a)$$

$$-\frac{\nabla^2}{2\mu}\psi(\mathbf{r}) + \int d^3 \mathbf{r}' \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) \psi(\mathbf{r}') = \mathcal{E}\psi(\mathbf{r}), \quad (3.1b)$$

where $\mathcal{E} \equiv E - m_T$, with E being the total energy of the state, m_T and μ are the total and reduced masses of the interacting particles. The Fourier transformations of the wavefunction and interaction kernel relating Eqs. (3.1a) and (3.1b) are

$$\psi(\mathbf{r}) = \int \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} e^{i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \tilde{\psi}(\mathbf{p}), \quad (3.2)$$

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) = -\frac{1}{4m_T\mu} \int \frac{d^3 p'}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3 p}{(2\pi)^3} e^{+i\mathbf{p}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) e^{-i\mathbf{p}'\cdot\mathbf{r}'} . \quad (3.3)$$

Note that in the non-relativistic approximation leading to Schrödinger's equation, the total energy in the CM frame in the *interaction term* is set to $\sqrt{s} \rightarrow m_T$ (see e.g. Ref. [22]). We therefore do not indicate any dependence of $\mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}}$ or \mathcal{V} on s . This approximation ensures that the potential does not depend on \mathcal{E} , and Eqs. (3.1) are linear eigenvalue equations. This, in turn, permits the spectral decomposition of the Green's function associated with Eqs. (3.1) for a Hermitian potential, that we will introduce in Section 3.2. A strong dependence of the Hermitian kernel on the incoming energy would introduce a non-linear eigenvalue problem, and require a different treatment that we do not attempt here.

Partial-wave expansion

We shall assume for simplicity that $\mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})$ does not depend on any external vector, such as a spin direction or a background field. We may then expand it into partial waves in accordance to

⁴We draw attention to the fact that the incoming momentum in the kernel should be the one on which the state is projected, i.e. the momentum that is being integrated over.

Eq. (2.2a),

$$\mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = 16\pi \sum_{\ell} (2\ell + 1) P_{\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{p}}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}) \mathcal{K}_{\ell}^{2\text{PI}}(p', p), \quad (3.4a)$$

$$\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{\ell} (2\ell + 1) P_{\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \mathcal{V}_{\ell}(r', r), \quad (3.4b)$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{\ell}(r', r) = -\frac{1}{4m_T\mu} \frac{16}{\pi^2} \int_0^{\infty} dp' p'^2 j_{\ell}(p'r') \int_0^{\infty} dp p^2 j_{\ell}(pr) \mathcal{K}_{\ell}^{2\text{PI}}(p', p). \quad (3.4c)$$

where j_{ℓ} are the spherical Bessel functions of first kind.

Equations (3.1) admit a 3-dimensional continuum of scattering-state solutions, $\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r})$ and $\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p})$, with the wavevector $\mathbf{k} = \mu \mathbf{v}_{\text{rel}}$ denoting the (classical) momentum of the interacting particles in the CM frame, \mathbf{v}_{rel} being their relative velocity. The energy eigenvalues $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{k}} = \mathbf{k}^2/2\mu$ correspond to the kinetic energy of the system in the CM frame. Depending on the potential, Eqs. (3.1) may also admit a discrete spectrum of bound-state solutions, with $\mathcal{E} < 0$ being the binding energy; we shall enumerate these solutions with the discrete quantum number n . We analyze the scattering-state and bound-state wavefunctions in partial waves as follows

$$\tilde{\psi}_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{p}) = \sum_{\ell} (2\ell + 1) P_{\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{p}}) \tilde{\psi}_{k,\ell}(p), \quad \tilde{\psi}_{n\ell m}(\mathbf{p}) = \tilde{\psi}_{n\ell}(p) Y_{\ell m}(\Omega_{\mathbf{p}}), \quad (3.5a)$$

$$\psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) = \sum_{\ell} (2\ell + 1) P_{\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{k}} \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \psi_{k,\ell}(r), \quad \psi_{n\ell m}(\mathbf{r}) = \psi_{n\ell}(r) Y_{\ell m}(\Omega_{\mathbf{r}}), \quad (3.5b)$$

$$\psi_{k,\ell}(r) = \frac{i^{\ell}}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{\infty} dp p^2 \tilde{\psi}_{k,\ell}(p) j_{\ell}(pr), \quad \psi_{n\ell}(r) = \frac{i^{\ell}}{2\pi^2} \int_0^{\infty} dp p^2 \tilde{\psi}_{n\ell}(p) j_{\ell}(pr), \quad (3.5c)$$

where $k = |\mathbf{k}|$ and $p = |\mathbf{p}|$. With the above, and setting

$$u_{k,\ell}(r) = k r \psi_{k,\ell}(r) \quad \text{and} \quad u_{n\ell}(r) = r \psi_{n\ell}(r), \quad (3.6)$$

for the scattering and bound states, we obtain the radial Schrödinger equation

$$\left(-\frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2\mu r^2} \right) u_{\ell}(r) + \int_0^{\infty} dr' r' r \mathcal{V}_{\ell}(r', r) u_{\ell}(r') = \mathcal{E} u_{\ell}(r), \quad (3.7)$$

where we omitted the principal quantum number, k or n , for generality. Note that for the discrete spectrum, the energy eigenvalues may depend both on n and ℓ , $\mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{n\ell}$.

Central potentials

If $\mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = \mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}}(|\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p}|)$, then we obtain a central potential, $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) = V(r) \delta^3(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r})$, with⁵

$$V(r) = -\frac{1}{4m_T\mu} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{q}}{(2\pi)^3} e^{-i\mathbf{q}\cdot\mathbf{r}} \mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}}(q) = -\frac{1}{4m_T\mu} \frac{1}{2\pi^2 r} \int_0^{\infty} dq q \mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}}(q) \sin(qr). \quad (3.8)$$

Upon analyzing into partial waves according to Eqs. (3.4a) and (3.4b), we find

$$\mathcal{V}_{\ell}(r', r) = \frac{1}{4\pi} \int d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}'} d\Omega_{\mathbf{r}} P_{\ell}(\hat{\mathbf{r}}' \cdot \hat{\mathbf{r}}) \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) = \frac{\delta(r' - r)}{r^2} V(r), \quad (3.9a)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_{\ell}^{2\text{PI}}(p', p) = -m_T\mu \int_0^{\infty} dr r^2 j_{\ell}(p'r) j_{\ell}(pr) V(r). \quad (3.9b)$$

Evidently, central potentials entail correlations among different partial waves [1, 23], and therefore cannot, in general, account for the self-energy kernels arising from independent inelastic processes [1].

⁵The more relaxed assumption $\mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = \mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}}(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p})$ leads to local but not necessarily central potentials, $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) = V(\mathbf{r}) \delta^3(\mathbf{r}' - \mathbf{r})$. In this case, the scalar kernel $\mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}}(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p})$ must depend also on an external vector, which renders the partial-wave analysis of Eqs. (3.4) inapplicable.

Decomposition of the potential

As in Section 2.3, the kernel and the potential can be decomposed in Hermitian and anti-Hermitian components,

$$\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = \mathcal{K}_H(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) + \mathcal{K}_A(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}), \quad \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{V}_H(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) + \mathcal{V}_A(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}), \quad (3.10a)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_H(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) + \mathcal{K}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})], \quad \mathcal{V}_H(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) + \mathcal{V}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r})], \quad (3.10b)$$

$$\mathcal{K}_A(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) - \mathcal{K}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})], \quad \mathcal{V}_A(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} [\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) - \mathcal{V}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r})], \quad (3.10c)$$

where

$$\mathcal{K}^\dagger(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) = \mathcal{K}^*(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{V}^\dagger(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) = \mathcal{V}^*(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'). \quad (3.11)$$

We reiterate that, in general, the Hermitian and anti-Hermitian parts of the potentials are not real and imaginary, respectively. This would require TRI, which imposes $\mathcal{K}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}') = \mathcal{K}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p})$ and $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') = \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r})$. No such assumption is made here.

We analyze the Hermitian and anti-Hermitian components of the kernel and the potential in partial waves analogously to Eqs. (3.4).

3.2 Hermitian potential

Considering a Hermitian potential only, $\mathcal{V}^{(0)}(r', r)$, we denote by $u_\ell^{(0)}(r)$ the solution to the corresponding radial Schrödinger equation,

$$\mathcal{S}_\ell u_{k,\ell}^{(0)}(r) = \mathcal{E} u_{k,\ell}^{(0)}(r) \quad (3.12)$$

where \mathcal{S}_ℓ is the integro-differential operator

$$\mathcal{S}_\ell u_\ell^{(0)}(r) \equiv \left(-\frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2\mu r^2} \right) u_\ell^{(0)}(r) + \int_0^\infty dr' r' r \mathcal{V}_\ell^{(0)}(r', r) u_\ell^{(0)}(r'). \quad (3.13)$$

In most phenomenological applications, the Hermitian potential is dominated by contributions that are central, and is therefore also real, $\mathcal{V}_\ell^{(0)}(r', r) \rightarrow [V(r)/r^2] \delta(r' - r)$, with $V(r) \in \mathbb{R}$. However, non-local contributions to the Hermitian potential can be generated by on-shell and off-shell inelastic interactions, as suggested by the Feshbach projection that we discuss in Section 6 (cf. Eq. (6.9a)). The potential $\mathcal{V}^{(0)}(r', r)$ will thus not be the only part of the Hermitian potential. We return to this point in Section 3.4.

Scattering-state and bound-state wavefunctions

Following the conventions of Ref. [1], we denote by $\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r)$ the two independent scattering-state solutions of Eq. (3.12), corresponding to the regular and irregular families, respectively. We also introduce the linear combinations $\mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(\pm)}(r) = \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r) \pm i \mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r)$, which represent outgoing and incoming waves. The potential may additionally support bound-state solutions, which we denote by $\mathcal{B}_{n\ell}(r)$. All solutions are characterized by their asymptotic behavior at $r \rightarrow 0$ and/or $r \rightarrow \infty$, and are defined precisely in Section 5.1. Their normalization is chosen such that, for the solutions corresponding to physical states, $\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{n\ell}$, it reproduces the standard conditions for the full wavefunctions governed by Hermitian potentials. For distinguishable particles, these are $\int d^3\mathbf{r} \psi_{\mathbf{k}}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{\mathbf{k}'}^*(\mathbf{r}) = (2\pi)^3 \delta^3(\mathbf{k} - \mathbf{k}')$, $\int d^3\mathbf{r} \psi_{n\ell m}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{n'\ell'm'}^*(\mathbf{r}) = \delta_{nn'} \delta_{\ell\ell'} \delta_{mm'}$, as well as $\int d^3\mathbf{r} \psi_{n\ell m}(\mathbf{r}) \psi_{k,\ell'}^*(\mathbf{r}) = 0$. Appropriate symmetry factors for identical particles arise as described e.g. in [16, Appendix A].

Green's function

We will be interested in the Green's function of the operator corresponding to Eq. (3.12), i.e., the solution to the differential equation,

$$(\mathcal{S}_\ell - \mathcal{E}_\mathbf{k})G_{k,\ell}(r, r') = \delta(r - r'), \quad (3.14)$$

that behaves as a regular solution at $r \rightarrow 0$ and an outgoing wave at $r \rightarrow \infty$. It is possible to explicitly construct the Green's function, and to derive its spectral decomposition using the complete set of eigenstates obtained from solving Eq. (3.12). These two methods yield

$$G_{k,\ell}(r, r') = +\frac{2\mu\mathfrak{i}}{c_\ell k} \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}^*(r_<) \mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(+)}(r_>) \quad (3.15a)$$

$$= \frac{2\mu}{c_\ell} \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{\mathcal{F}_{q,\ell}(r) \mathcal{F}_{q,\ell}^*(r')}{q^2 - k^2 - \mathfrak{i}\epsilon} - \sum_n \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n\ell}(r) \mathcal{B}_{n\ell}^*(r')}{\kappa_{n\ell}^2 + k^2} \right], \quad (3.15b)$$

where $r_< \equiv \min\{r, r'\}$, $r_> \equiv \max\{r, r'\}$, $\kappa_{n\ell}^2 = -2\mu\mathcal{E}_{n\ell} > 0$ and $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$. Equations (3.15) are both very important for the unitarization prescription, we therefore derive them in Section 5.3, and prove their equivalence.

3.3 Anti-Hermitian potential

Unitarity relation

Inelastic processes generate anti-Hermitian contributions to the 2PI kernel that must be resummed in order to obtain the complete wavefunctions. The anti-Hermitian part of the potential can be deduced from the unitarity relation underpinning the optical theorem [1], as reviewed in Section 2. Although we do not assume TRI in the following, we show the additional relations that would apply if it were imposed.

As in [1], we shall consider for simplicity 2-to-2 inelastic processes only. From Eqs. (2.25),

$$\mathcal{K}_{A,\ell}^{2\text{PI}}(p', p) = \mathfrak{i} \sum_j \frac{2k^j}{c^j \sqrt{s}} \mathcal{A}_\ell^{\text{inel},j*}(p, k^j) \mathcal{A}_\ell^{\text{inel},j}(p', k^j) \quad (3.16a)$$

$$\stackrel{\text{TRI}}{=} \mathfrak{i} \sum_j \frac{2k^j}{c^j \sqrt{s}} \mathcal{A}_\ell^{\text{inel},j}(p, k^j) \mathcal{A}_\ell^{\text{inel},j*}(p', k^j), \quad (3.16b)$$

where the index j denotes the inelastic channel and encompasses all relevant discrete indices that characterize it. We recall from Section 2.3 that $\mathcal{A}_\ell^{\text{inel},j}(p, k^j)$ is the corresponding inelastic vertex, i.e. the inelastic amplitude with all initial-state 2PI factors amputated, and that the products of the inelastic interaction are on-shell; the magnitude of their momenta, k^j , is thus fully determined by the total energy imparted in the system, parametrized by $s \rightarrow m_T^2$. c^j stands for the symmetry factor of the final state. We reiterate that Eq. (3.16b) assumes TRI and we do not make use of it here. Equations (3.4c) and (3.16) imply that the partial-wave imaginary potential in position space is a sum⁶ of *non-local, separable* potentials [1]

$$\mathcal{V}_{A,\ell}(r', r) = -\mathfrak{i} \sum_j (\eta_{A,\ell}^j)^2 v_\ell^j(r') v_\ell^{j*}(r) \stackrel{\text{TRI}}{=} -\mathfrak{i} \sum_j (\eta_{A,\ell}^j)^2 v_\ell^{j*}(r') v_\ell^j(r), \quad (3.17)$$

⁶Inverse decay (2-to-1) processes can also be accommodated by the form (3.17) of the imaginary potential, as follows from Eq. (2.25b). On the other hand, inelastic channels with final states of multiplicity ≥ 3 render the imaginary potential an integro-sum of separable potentials, as seen from Eq. (2.25a). While this can be incorporated in the regularization procedure, it introduces technical complications that would obscure the primary goal of the present analysis, which is encoding the non-analytic behavior of inelastic amplitudes. We leave this extension for future work.

where

$$\eta_{A,\ell}^j v_\ell^j(r) \equiv (-i)^\ell \sqrt{\frac{8k^j}{c^j \pi^2 m_T^j \mu}} \int_0^\infty dp p^2 j_\ell(pr) \mathcal{A}_\ell^{\text{inel},j}(p, k^j). \quad (3.18)$$

In Eqs. (3.17) and (3.18), $\eta_A^j \in \mathbb{R}$ parametrize the strength of the anti-Hermitian potentials; we have factored these parameters outside the functions $v_\ell^j(r)$ for later convenience.⁷

Continuity equation

The form (3.17) of the imaginary potential with the specification of Eq. (3.18) is also supported by the continuity equation and LSZ reduction. Considering the probability current, $\mathbf{j}_k(\mathbf{r}) \equiv \text{Im}[\psi_k^*(\mathbf{r}) \nabla \psi_k(\mathbf{r})]/\mu$, the total inelastic cross section is the loss of flux through a closed surface S , normalized to the incoming flux,

$$\sigma^{\text{inel}} = -\frac{\mu}{k} \oint_S d\mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{j}_k(\mathbf{r}) = -\frac{\mu}{k} \int d^3\mathbf{r} \nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}_k(\mathbf{r}) \quad (3.19a)$$

$$= -\frac{\mu}{k} \int d^3\mathbf{r} d^3\mathbf{r}' 2 \text{Im} [\psi_k^*(\mathbf{r}) \mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) \psi_k(\mathbf{r}')] \quad (3.19b)$$

$$= +\frac{1}{4m_T k} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3} 2 \text{Im} [\tilde{\psi}_k^*(\mathbf{p}) \mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) \tilde{\psi}_k(\mathbf{p}')] \quad (3.19c)$$

$$= -\frac{i}{4m_T k} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}'}{(2\pi)^3} \tilde{\psi}_k^*(\mathbf{p}) [\mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) - \mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}*}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')] \tilde{\psi}_k(\mathbf{p}'), \quad (3.19d)$$

where (3.19a) arises from Gauss' divergence theorem, (3.19b) expresses the continuity equation obtained using the Schrödinger Eq. (3.1), and (3.19c) is found by Fourier transforming according to Eqs. (3.2) and (3.3). To reach the final form (3.19d), we expanded $\text{Im}[\dots]$ in terms of the difference between the conjugate quantities, and then swapped the integration variables.

On the other hand, the inelastic amplitudes can be expressed as the convolution of the inelastic vertex, i.e. the part of the amplitude that involves the inelastic interaction only, with the wavefunction of the incoming state (see e.g. [22] for derivation via LSZ reduction),

$$\mathcal{M}^{\text{inel},j}(\mathbf{k}, \tau^j) = \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{p}}{(2\pi)^3} \tilde{\psi}_k(\mathbf{p}) \mathcal{A}^{\text{inel},j}(\mathbf{p}, \tau^j), \quad (3.20)$$

with the total inelastic cross section given by the standard expression

$$\sigma^{\text{inel}}(\mathbf{k}) = \frac{1}{4m_T k} \sum_j \int d\tau^j |\mathcal{M}^{\text{inel},j}(\mathbf{k}, \tau_j)|^2, \quad (3.21)$$

where the phase-space element $d\tau^j$ has been defined in Eq. (2.5), and we used the non-relativistic approximation for the flux factor, $\sqrt{s} \rightarrow m_T$. Comparing Eq. (3.19d) to Eqs. (3.20) and (3.21), we can identify

$$-i[\mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{p}) - \mathcal{K}^{2\text{PI}*}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}')] = \sum_j \int d\tau^j \mathcal{A}^{\text{inel},j*}(\mathbf{p}, \tau^j) \mathcal{A}^{\text{inel},j}(\mathbf{p}', \tau^j), \quad (3.22)$$

which agrees exactly with the expression (2.22a) deduced from the unitarity relation.

⁷Allowing for complex values of η_A^j would mean that $(\eta_{A,\ell}^j)^2$ in Eq. (3.17) should be replaced by $|\eta_{A,\ell}^j|^2$. Since all of our results depend on $|\eta_{A,\ell}^j|^2$, we set $\eta_A^j \in \mathbb{R}$ and include complex phases in the $v_\ell^j(r)$ factors, without loss of generality. The factors $\eta_{A,\ell}^j v_\ell^j(r)$ are specified by Eqs. (3.16) and (3.17), and Eq. (3.4c) that relates them, up to an r -independent phase. In Eq. (3.18), the phase $(-i)^\ell$ is chosen to simplify subsequent expressions, in particular Eqs. (3.36) below.

3.4 Full potential and solution of the Schrödinger equation

As already pointed out in Ref. [1] and will be discussed in more detail in the following sections, inelastic amplitudes that do not decrease at large momenta generate separable potentials of the type (3.17) that lead to divergences and require renormalization. In Section 4, we will see that the renormalization of these potentials generates Hermitian potentials of the same type. This is to be expected, as on- and off-shell inelastic interactions generate Hermitian contributions to the 2PI kernel, a fact that becomes explicit in the optical potential derived via the Feshbach projection that we review in Section 6. To account for these terms and properly treat the divergences, we introduce these Hermitian separable potentials explicitly, by generalizing Eq. (3.17) to complex couplings. The full potential we consider is, therefore,

$$\mathcal{V}_\ell(r', r) = \mathcal{V}_\ell^{(0)}(r', r) - \sum_j (\eta_\ell^j)^2 v_\ell^j(r') v_\ell^{j*}(r), \quad (3.23)$$

with $[\mathcal{V}_\ell^{(0)}(r', r)]^\dagger = \mathcal{V}_\ell^{(0)}(r', r)$ as in Section 3.2, and

$$\eta_\ell^j \equiv \eta_{R,\ell}^j + i\eta_{I,\ell}^j, \quad (3.24a)$$

$$\eta_{R,\ell}^j \equiv \left(\frac{\sqrt{(\eta_{H,\ell}^j)^2 + (\eta_{A,\ell}^j)^4} + \eta_{H,\ell}^j}{2} \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta_{I,\ell}^j \equiv \left(\frac{\sqrt{(\eta_{H,\ell}^j)^2 + (\eta_{A,\ell}^j)^4} - \eta_{H,\ell}^j}{2} \right)^{1/2}, \quad (3.24b)$$

such that

$$(\eta_\ell^j)^2 = (\eta_{R,\ell}^j + i\eta_{I,\ell}^j)^2 = \eta_{H,\ell}^j + i(\eta_{A,\ell}^j)^2, \quad (3.24c)$$

with $\eta_{H,\ell}^j, \eta_{A,\ell}^j \in \mathbb{R}$, where $\eta_{H,\ell}^j$ parametrizes the strength of the Hermitian separable potential. The chosen parametrization permits $\eta_{H,\ell}^j \neq 0$ even when $\eta_{A,\ell}^j = 0$, and accommodates either $\eta_{H,\ell}^j$ sign.

Considering this potential, the radial Schrödinger equation (3.7) for scattering states becomes

$$(\mathcal{S}_\ell - \mathcal{E}_k) u_{k,\ell}(r) = + \sum_j \left\{ (\eta_\ell^j)^2 r v_\ell^{j*}(r) \int_0^\infty dr' r' v_\ell^j(r') u_{k,\ell}(r') \right\}. \quad (3.25)$$

To solve it, we proceed as in Ref. [1]. We first define

$$\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^j(k) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{c_\ell k}} \int_0^\infty dr r \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r) v_\ell^j(r), \quad (3.26a)$$

$$\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{reg}}^j(k) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{2\mu}{c_\ell k}} \int_0^\infty dr r u_{k,\ell}(r) v_\ell^j(r), \quad (3.26b)$$

where the pre-factor of the integrals is introduced for later convenience. With this, we can write an implicit solution of Eq. (3.25) as follows

$$u_{k,\ell}(r) = \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r) + \sum_j \left[(\eta_\ell^j)^2 \int_0^\infty dr' r' G_{k,\ell}(r, r') v_\ell^{j*}(r') \right] \sqrt{\frac{c_\ell k}{2\mu}} \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{reg}}^j(k), \quad (3.27)$$

Defining the *regularization matrix* $\mathbb{N}_\ell(k)$,

$$[\mathbb{N}_\ell(k)]^{ij} \equiv \delta^{ij} - \eta_\ell^i \eta_\ell^j \int_0^\infty dr r \int_0^\infty dr' r' \left[v_\ell^i(r) G_{k,\ell}(r, r') v_\ell^{j*}(r') \right], \quad (3.28)$$

Eq. (3.27) implies

$$\sum_j [\mathbb{N}_\ell(k)]^{ij} \eta_\ell^j \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{reg}}^j(k) = \eta_\ell^i \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^i(k), \quad (3.29)$$

Inverting Eq. (3.29), we obtain the solution to Eq. (3.25),

$$u_{k,\ell}(r) = \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r) + \sum_{i,j} \eta_\ell^i \eta_\ell^j \left(\int_0^\infty dr'' r'' G_{k,\ell}(r, r'') v_\ell^{i*}(r'') \right) [\mathbb{N}_\ell^{-1}(k)]^{ij} \left(\int_0^\infty dr' r' \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r') v_\ell^j(r') \right). \quad (3.30)$$

Equation (3.30) generalizes the solution of Ref. [1], to include both Hermitian and anti-Hermitian separable potentials, as given by Eq. (3.23).

Note that the sum of separable potentials appearing in Eq. (3.23), is an operator of rank lower or equal to the number of inelastic channels (assuming $v_\ell^j(r) \in L^2$). By Weyl's theorem on the essential spectrum [24], a finite-rank perturbation of an operator leaves its essential spectrum unchanged. In particular, the continuous spectrum of \mathcal{S}_ℓ is preserved. Consequently, the energies of the scattering eigenstates of the full Hamiltonian span the same continuum as those of \mathcal{S}_ℓ , while the eigenfunctions are modified according to Eq. (3.30).

3.5 Regulated phase shift and inelastic amplitudes

For convenience in the following, we opt for matrix notation, wherever possible. The inelastic amplitudes, in the various versions that will be defined below, will constitute vectors in the space spanned by inelastic channels. We also define the diagonal matrices η_ℓ and $\eta_{A,\ell}$, with elements

$$\eta_\ell^{ij} \equiv \eta_\ell^i \delta^{ij}, \quad \eta_{A,\ell}^{ij} \equiv \eta_{A,\ell}^i \delta^{ij}, \quad \eta_{H,\ell}^{ij} \equiv \eta_{H,\ell}^i \delta^{ij}. \quad (3.31)$$

It follows from Eqs. (3.24) that

$$\eta_{A,\ell}^\dagger = \eta_{A,\ell}, \quad \eta_{H,\ell}^\dagger = \eta_{H,\ell}, \quad \eta_\ell^2 = \eta_{H,\ell} + i\eta_{A,\ell}^2. \quad (3.32)$$

Phase shift

To compute the *regulated* elastic cross section, arising from the full potential (3.23), we need the phase shift for the solution of Eq. (3.30), found by expanding in the $r \rightarrow \infty$ limit. Considering the asymptotic behaviors for $\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r)$ and $G_{k,\ell}(r, r')$, provided in Section 5 (cf. Eqs. (5.24) and (5.44)), we obtain

$$u_{k,\ell}(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} + \frac{\sqrt{c_\ell}}{2i} \left(e^{ikr} e^{2i\Delta_\ell(k)} - e^{-i(kr-\ell\pi)} \right), \quad (3.33)$$

with

$$\Delta_\ell \equiv \theta_\ell + \delta_\ell, \quad (3.34)$$

where θ_ℓ is the phase shift of $\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}$, and

$$e^{2i\delta_\ell(k)} = 1 + 2i \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^\dagger(k) \eta_\ell \mathbb{N}_\ell^{-1}(k) \eta_\ell \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}(k). \quad (3.35)$$

Note that δ_ℓ is in general complex.

Inelastic amplitudes

We define the *unregulated* and *regulated* inelastic amplitudes as

$$\mathcal{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{\text{inel},j}(k) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dp p^2 \tilde{\psi}_{k,\ell}^{(0)}(p) \mathcal{A}_\ell^{\text{inel},j}(p, k^j) = \frac{\eta_{A,\ell}^j}{k} \sqrt{\frac{c^j m_T^2 \mu}{2k^j}} \int_0^\infty dr r \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r) v_\ell^j(r), \quad (3.36a)$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{\ell,\text{reg}}^{\text{inel},j}(k) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \int_0^\infty dp p^2 \tilde{\psi}_{k,\ell}(p) \mathcal{A}_\ell^{\text{inel},j}(p, k^j) = \frac{\eta_{A,\ell}^j}{k} \sqrt{\frac{c^j m_T^2 \mu}{2k^j}} \int_0^\infty dr r u_{k,\ell}(r) v_\ell^j(r), \quad (3.36b)$$

where the former neglects the separable potentials and the latter includes them. Both include the potential of Section 3.2. The rescaled versions of Eqs. (3.36) can be obtained according to Eq. (2.10),

$$M_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}^{\text{inel},j}(k) \equiv \sqrt{\frac{4kk^j}{c_\ell c^j m_T^2}} \mathcal{M}_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}^{\text{inel},j}(k). \quad (3.37)$$

Moreover,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}^{\text{inel}}(k) = \eta_{A,\ell} \hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}(k), \quad (3.38a)$$

$$M_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}^{\text{inel}}(k) = \eta_{A,\ell} \hat{M}_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}(k), \quad (3.38b)$$

where we used matrix notation and omitted the channel indices. Equation (3.38a) constitutes the definition of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}^j(k)$, while Eq. (3.38b) arises from Eqs. (3.26), (3.36) and (3.37). The regulated and unregulated amplitudes, in all four versions introduced above ($\mathcal{M}_\ell^{\text{inel}}$, $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_\ell$, M_ℓ^{inel} and \hat{M}_ℓ), are related by the regularization matrix defined in Eq. (3.28), using Eq. (3.29) and its inversion, expressed in matrix form as follows

$$\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}(k) = \eta_\ell^{-1} \mathbb{N}_\ell(k) \eta_\ell \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{reg}}(k), \quad (3.39a)$$

$$\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{reg}}(k) = \eta_\ell^{-1} [\mathbb{N}_\ell(k)]^{-1} \eta_\ell \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}(k). \quad (3.39b)$$

The \mathbb{N}_ℓ matrix and its inverse

To compute the regulated inelastic and elastic cross sections from Eqs. (3.35) and (3.39), we first examine regularization matrix, \mathbb{N}_ℓ , defined in Eq. (3.28). Using the position-space expression for the Green's function, Eq. (3.15a) and the unregulated inelastic amplitudes (3.26), we find

$$\mathbb{N}_\ell(k) = \mathbb{1} - i \eta_\ell \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}(k) \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^\dagger(k) \eta_\ell + \eta_\ell \mathbb{W}_\ell(k) \eta_\ell, \quad (3.40)$$

where the matrix $\mathbb{W}_\ell(k)$ is defined as (cf. Eq. (5.22a))

$$[\mathbb{W}_\ell(k)]^{ij} \equiv \frac{2\mu}{c_\ell k} \int_0^\infty dr r \int_0^\infty dr' r' \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}^*(r_<) \mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r_>) v_\ell^i(r) v_\ell^{j*}(r'). \quad (3.41)$$

Considering that $\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}$ are real up to the same r -independent phase (cf. Eq. (5.27c)), it is easy to show that $\mathbb{W}_\ell(k)$ is Hermitian,

$$\mathbb{W}_\ell^\dagger(k) = \mathbb{W}_\ell(k). \quad (3.42)$$

We emphasize that the Hermiticity of \mathbb{W}_ℓ does *not* presuppose TRI. The inverse of Eq. (3.40) is obtained from the Sherman–Morrison formula [25],

$$\mathbb{N}_\ell^{-1} = (\mathbb{1} + \eta_\ell \mathbb{W}_\ell \eta_\ell)^{-1} + \mathrm{i} \frac{(\mathbb{1} + \eta_\ell \mathbb{W}_\ell \eta_\ell)^{-1} \eta_\ell \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}} \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^\dagger \eta_\ell (\mathbb{1} + \eta_\ell \mathbb{W}_\ell \eta_\ell)^{-1}}{1 - \mathrm{i} \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^\dagger \eta_\ell (\mathbb{1} + \eta_\ell \mathbb{W}_\ell \eta_\ell)^{-1} \eta_\ell \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}}. \quad (3.43)$$

As we shall discuss in Section 3.7, the \mathbb{W} matrix encodes the non-analytic and non-convergent behavior of the inelastic amplitudes in the complex momentum plane. Equation (3.43) generalizes the simpler expression found in Ref. [1] in the limit $\mathbb{W} \rightarrow 0$ and $\eta_{H,\ell}^j \rightarrow 0$.

Phase shift and inelastic amplitudes, revisited

The form (3.43) of \mathbb{N}_ℓ^{-1} enables us to express the regulated inelastic amplitudes and the complex phase shift δ_ℓ , Eqs. (3.35) and (3.39), as follows

$$\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{reg}} = \eta_\ell^{-1} \frac{(\mathbb{1} + \eta_\ell \mathbb{W}_\ell \eta_\ell)^{-1} \eta_\ell \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}}{1 - \mathrm{i} \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^\dagger \eta_\ell (\mathbb{1} + \eta_\ell \mathbb{W}_\ell \eta_\ell)^{-1} \eta_\ell \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}}, \quad (3.44a)$$

$$e^{2\mathrm{i}\delta_\ell} = \frac{1 + \mathrm{i} \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^\dagger \eta_\ell (\mathbb{1} + \eta_\ell \mathbb{W}_\ell \eta_\ell)^{-1} \eta_\ell \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}}{1 - \mathrm{i} \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^\dagger \eta_\ell (\mathbb{1} + \eta_\ell \mathbb{W}_\ell \eta_\ell)^{-1} \eta_\ell \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}}. \quad (3.44b)$$

From these results, we can derive expressions for the regulated elastic and inelastic cross sections. To cast them into a more practical form, we define

$$w_{A,\ell}^j \equiv \left| \left[\eta_{A,\ell} \eta_\ell^{-1} (\mathbb{1} + \eta_\ell \mathbb{W}_\ell \eta_\ell)^{-1} \eta_\ell \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}} \right]^j \right|^2, \quad (3.45a)$$

$$w_\ell \equiv -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^\dagger \left[\eta_\ell (\mathbb{1} + \eta_\ell \mathbb{W}_\ell \eta_\ell)^{-1} \eta_\ell - \text{h.c.} \right] \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}, \quad (3.45b)$$

$$\tilde{w}_\ell \equiv +\frac{1}{2} \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^\dagger \left[\eta_\ell (\mathbb{1} + \eta_\ell \mathbb{W}_\ell \eta_\ell)^{-1} \eta_\ell + \text{h.c.} \right] \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}, \quad (3.45c)$$

where by construction $w_{A,\ell}^j, w_\ell, \tilde{w}_\ell \in \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, $\sum_j w_{A,\ell}^j = w_\ell$ (we provide the proof in Section A.1). With these definitions, Eqs. (3.44) yield

$$\left| M_{\ell,\text{reg}}^{\text{inel},j} \right|^2 = \frac{w_{A,\ell}^j}{(1 + w_\ell)^2 + \tilde{w}_\ell^2}, \quad (3.46a)$$

$$e^{2\mathrm{i}\delta_\ell} = \frac{1 - (w_\ell - \mathrm{i}\tilde{w}_\ell)}{1 + (w_\ell - \mathrm{i}\tilde{w}_\ell)} = \frac{1 - w_\ell^2 - \tilde{w}_\ell^2 + \mathrm{i}2\tilde{w}_\ell}{(1 + w_\ell)^2 + \tilde{w}_\ell^2}. \quad (3.46b)$$

3.6 Regulated cross sections

For convenience, we first define the cross sections normalized to the unitarity limit,

$$x_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}} \equiv \sigma_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}^{\text{elas}} / \sigma_\ell^U, \quad (3.47a)$$

$$y_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}^j \equiv \sigma_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}^{\text{inel},j} / \sigma_\ell^U, \quad (3.47b)$$

$$y_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}} \equiv \sum_j y_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}^j, \quad (3.47c)$$

Equation (3.46a) gives $y_{\ell,\text{reg}}^j$. To obtain $x_{\ell,\text{reg}}$ from Eq. (3.46b), we consider the relations [1, 26]⁸

$$x_{\ell,\text{reg}} + y_{\ell,\text{reg}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \text{Re} \left(e^{i2\Delta_\ell} \right) \right], \quad y_{\ell,\text{reg}} = \frac{1}{4} \left(1 - e^{-4\text{Im}\Delta_\ell} \right), \quad (3.48a)$$

$$x_{\ell,\text{unreg}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \text{Re} \left(e^{i2\theta_\ell} \right) \right]. \quad (3.48b)$$

Recalling that $\Delta_\ell = \theta_\ell + \delta_\ell$, we find

$$x_{\ell,\text{reg}} = \frac{1}{2} \left[1 - \text{Re} \left(e^{i2\theta_\ell} \right) \text{Re} \left(e^{i2\delta_\ell} \right) + \text{Im} \left(e^{i2\theta_\ell} \right) \text{Im} \left(e^{i2\delta_\ell} \right) \right] - y_{\ell,\text{reg}}. \quad (3.49)$$

The θ_ℓ -dependent factors are related to the unregulated elastic cross section as follows

$$\text{Re} \left(e^{i2\theta_\ell} \right) = \cos(2\theta_\ell) = 1 - 2x_{\ell,\text{unreg}}, \quad (3.50a)$$

$$\text{Im} \left(e^{i2\theta_\ell} \right) = \sin(2\theta_\ell) = \pm \sqrt{1 - \cos^2(2\theta_\ell)} = \pm 2 \sqrt{x_{\ell,\text{unreg}}(1 - x_{\ell,\text{unreg}})}, \quad (3.50b)$$

where the sign in (3.50b) is fixed by $\text{sgn}(\text{Re } M_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{\text{elas}})$, and cannot be inferred from $\sigma_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{\text{elas}}(k)$ alone.

Collecting the above, we arrive at

$$x_{\ell,\text{reg}} = \frac{x_{\ell,\text{unreg}} + (1 - x_{\ell,\text{unreg}})(w_\ell^2 + \tilde{w}_\ell^2) \pm 2\tilde{w}_\ell \sqrt{x_{\ell,\text{unreg}}(1 - x_{\ell,\text{unreg}})}}{(1 + w_\ell)^2 + \tilde{w}_\ell^2}, \quad (3.51a)$$

$$y_{\ell,\text{reg}}^j = \frac{w_{A,\ell}^j}{(1 + w_\ell)^2 + \tilde{w}_\ell^2}, \quad (3.51b)$$

$$y_{\ell,\text{reg}} = \frac{w_\ell}{(1 + w_\ell)^2 + \tilde{w}_\ell^2}. \quad (3.51c)$$

Equations (3.51) encapsulate the result of the unitarization procedure. We recall that the parameters $w_{A,\ell}$, w_ℓ , \tilde{w}_ℓ are defined in Eqs. (3.45), and note for completeness that $y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^j = |[\eta_{A,\ell} \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}]^j|^2$ and $y_{\ell,\text{unreg}} = \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^\dagger \eta_{A,\ell}^2 \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}$.

Illustrative limits

Single channel. Considering both dispersive and absorptive couplings, $\eta_\ell^2 = \eta_{H,\ell}^2 + i\eta_{A,\ell}^2$, we find $w_{A,\ell} = w_\ell = y_{\ell,\text{unreg}} [(1 + \eta_{H,\ell}^2 W_\ell)^2 + \eta_{A,\ell}^4 W_\ell^2]^{-1}$ and $\tilde{w}_\ell = w_\ell [(\eta_{H,\ell}^2 + \eta_{A,\ell}^4) W_\ell + \eta_{H,\ell}]/\eta_{A,\ell}^2$.

Fully elastic interactions. For $\eta_{A,\ell} \rightarrow 0$, the inelastic cross sections vanish, $w_{A,\ell} = w_\ell = 0$ and $y_{\ell,\text{reg}}^j = y_{\ell,\text{reg}} = 0$. The parameter \tilde{w}_ℓ , found from Eq. (3.45c) with $\eta_\ell^2 = \eta_{H,\ell} \in \mathbb{R}$, encodes the effect of the Hermitian separable potential. Equation (3.51a), reducing to

$$x_{\ell,\text{reg}} = \frac{\left(\sqrt{x_{\ell,\text{unreg}}} \pm \tilde{w}_\ell \sqrt{1 - x_{\ell,\text{unreg}}} \right)^2}{1 + \tilde{w}_\ell^2}, \quad (3.52)$$

gives the elastic cross section analytically, with the effect of any long-range (or non-separable) potential integrated in $x_{\ell,\text{unreg}}$. For $\mathcal{V}^{(0)}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) \rightarrow 0$, $x_{\ell,\text{unreg}} \rightarrow 0$. This limit applies to a pure ϕ^4 theory, where the self-energy kernel arises from the elastic four-point vertex, yielding an exactly contact interaction, $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}', \mathbf{r}) \propto \delta^3(\mathbf{r})\delta^3(\mathbf{r}')$, which is both central and separable.

⁸The unregulated cross sections violate unitarity, this is why Eq. (3.48a) with $\Delta_\ell \rightarrow \theta_\ell \in \mathbb{R}$ implies $\sigma_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{\text{inel}} \rightarrow 0$ even when $M_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{\text{inel}}$ is non-zero.

Convergent and analytic separable potentials. For $\mathbb{W}_\ell \rightarrow 0$, Eqs. (3.45) yield

$$w_{A,\ell}^j = y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^j, \quad w_\ell = y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}, \quad \tilde{w}_\ell = \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^\dagger \eta_{H,\ell} \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}. \quad (3.53)$$

The regularized cross sections obtained from Eqs. (3.51) using (3.53) generalize the results of Ref. [1] to provide analytic treatment of Hermitian separable potentials. Considering further the fully absorptive case, $\eta_{H,\ell} \rightarrow 0$, sets $\tilde{w}_\ell \rightarrow 0$, leading to [1]

$$x_{\ell,\text{reg}} = \frac{x_{\ell,\text{unreg}} + (1 - x_{\ell,\text{unreg}})y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^2}{(1 + y_{\ell,\text{unreg}})^2}, \quad y_{\ell,\text{reg}}^j = \frac{y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^j}{(1 + y_{\ell,\text{unreg}})^2}. \quad (3.54)$$

3.7 Impact of analytic structure of inelastic amplitudes on unitarization

To shed light on the origin and content of the \mathbb{W}_ℓ matrix contributing to \mathbb{N}_ℓ , as per Eq. (3.40), we now examine \mathbb{N}_ℓ , defined in Eq. (3.28), using the spectral decomposition of the Green's function (3.15b), rather than its integrated form (3.15a) that led to Eq. (3.40). Considering the contribution to the Green's function (3.15b) from the scattering states,

$$[\mathbb{N}_\ell(k)]^{ij} \supset -\frac{1}{\pi} \eta_\ell^i \eta_\ell^j \sqrt{\frac{4k^i}{c^i c_\ell m_T^2}} \sqrt{\frac{4k^j}{c^j c_\ell m_T^2}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \, q^2 \frac{\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^i(q) \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{j*}(q)}{q^2 - k^2 - i\epsilon}, \quad (3.55)$$

where for $q \in \mathbb{R}$ we define

$$\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{j*}(q) \equiv \text{Re}[\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^j(q)] - i \text{Im}[\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^j(q)]. \quad (3.56)$$

We make the distinction between $\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{j*}(q)$ and $\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{j*}(q)$ to ensure that, when the above integral is extended to the complex plane, the momentum q is not subject to complex conjugation and the integrand is a meromorphic function of q . For $q \in \mathbb{R}$, $\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{j*}(q) = \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{j*}(q)$.




Figure 1: Example sketch of a contour (red line) required to compute the integral in Eq. (3.55). The scaling of the unregulated inelastic amplitudes at $|q| \rightarrow \infty$, and their singularities on the upper complex q plane (poles or branch cuts) determine the \mathbb{W}_ℓ matrix and affect regularization.

Doing so, the integral in Eq. (3.55) can be evaluated by applying the Cauchy residue theorem. Assuming, as an example, that the integrand has only poles in the upper plane, as illustrated in

Fig. 1, this yields the following contributions⁹

$$[\mathbb{N}_\ell(k)]^{ij} \supset -i\eta_\ell^i \eta_\ell^j \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^i(k) \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{j*}(k) \quad (3.57a)$$

$$- 2i\eta_\ell^i \eta_\ell^j \sum_{q_\rho \neq k} \text{Res} \left\{ \frac{q_\rho}{q_\rho^2 - k^2} \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^i(q_\rho) \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{j*}(q_\rho) \right\} \quad (3.57b)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{\pi} \eta_\ell^i \eta_\ell^j \sqrt{\frac{4k^i}{c^i c_\ell m_T^2}} \sqrt{\frac{4k^j}{c^j c_\ell m_T^2}} \lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \int_{C_\Lambda} dq q^2 \frac{\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^i(q) \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{j*}(q)}{q^2 - k^2}. \quad (3.57c)$$

Several points are in order:

- The term (3.57a) arises from the pole of the integrand of Eq. (3.55) at $q = +k$. In it, we recognize the contribution to the \mathbb{N}_ℓ matrix from the regular wavefunctions, identified in Eq. (3.40).

- The terms (3.57b) arise from poles of the inelastic amplitudes at $q = q_\rho \neq \pm k$.

If the Hermitian potential accommodates bound states with binding energies $\mathcal{E}_{n\ell} = -\kappa_{n\ell}^2/2\mu$, then the scattering-state wavefunctions $\mathcal{F}_{q,\ell}(r)$, and by consequence the unregulated inelastic amplitudes, exhibit poles at $q = \pm i\kappa_{n\ell}$. The contributions of these poles to (3.57b) cancel exactly with the contribution to the spectral decomposition of the Green's function from the bound-state wavefunctions, shown in Eq. (3.15b). We prove this point in Section 5.

- The term (3.57c) arises from the arc C_Λ at infinity. This is nonzero if $\mathcal{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{\text{inel},j}(|q| \rightarrow \infty) \propto q^\gamma$ with $\gamma \geq 0$. Should this be the case, renormalization is required to render the regulated cross sections finite. This will be demonstrated explicitly in Section 4.

We note that if the inelastic amplitudes exhibit branch cuts, the integration contour may need to be deformed accordingly, and corresponding contributions will arise.

The terms (3.57b) and (3.57c) represent the two types of contributions to \mathbb{W}_ℓ . The \mathbb{W}_ℓ matrix, which depends on the irregular solution of the Hermitian potential at large distances, according to its definition (3.41), encodes the non-analytic structure and the large- $|q|$ behavior of the unregulated inelastic amplitudes.

Two important implications of the \mathbb{W}_ℓ matrix are worth highlighting. First, the non-diagonal elements of \mathbb{W}_ℓ imply that an inelastic amplitude may be generated via loops that contain other inelastic channels, even if it vanishes at tree level. Second, in the fully absorptive case ($\eta_\ell^2 \rightarrow i\eta_{A,\ell}^2$) and for a single channel, the leading fractional corrections in the regulated cross sections of Eqs. (3.51), with respect to the $\mathbb{W} \rightarrow 0$ limit of Eq. (3.54) are of order

$$\pm \frac{2y_{\ell,\text{unreg}} \sqrt{x_{\ell,\text{unreg}}(1-x_{\ell,\text{unreg}})}}{x_{\ell,\text{unreg}} + (1-x_{\ell,\text{unreg}})y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^2} \eta_{A,\ell}^2 \mathbb{W}_\ell + \frac{y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}}{(1+y_{\ell,\text{unreg}})^2} \frac{2x_{\ell,\text{unreg}} + y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}(2x_{\ell,\text{unreg}} - 1)}{x_{\ell,\text{unreg}} + (1-x_{\ell,\text{unreg}})y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^2} \eta_{A,\ell}^4 \mathbb{W}_\ell^2, \quad (3.58a)$$

$$- \frac{\eta_{A,\ell}^4 \mathbb{W}_\ell^2}{(1+y_{\ell,\text{unreg}})^2}, \quad (3.58b)$$

for the elastic and inelastic cases, respectively. This helps assess the importance of the corrections.

In summary, \mathbb{W}_ℓ collects contributions from non-analytic and high-momentum behavior of the inelastic amplitudes, and mixes different inelastic channels at loop level.

⁹We retain $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^i$ inside the integrals, rather than using the more convenient rescaled versions, $\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^i$ that absorb the prefactors, because the rescaling by \sqrt{q} that defines $\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^i$ generally disrupts the analytic properties of $\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^i$.

4 Renormalization

Inelastic interactions can give rise to contact optical potentials that produce divergent contributions to the unitarization prescription, as discussed in Section 3.7. We now discuss how these divergences can be renormalized within our unitarization scheme.

4.1 Setup

For simplicity, we consider a single inelastic channel. If the Hermitian potential $\mathcal{V}^{(0)}(r', r)$ is well behaved at $r \rightarrow 0$, satisfying the convergence condition (5.2), then the inelastic amplitude at large momenta is insensitive to $\mathcal{V}^{(0)}$ and is determined by the irreducible inelastic amplitude $\mathcal{A}_\ell^{\text{inel}}$. We shall assume that at large $|q|$,

$$\mathcal{M}_\ell^{\text{inel}}(q) \stackrel{|q| \rightarrow \infty}{\simeq} \mathcal{A}_\ell^{\text{inel}}(q) = \eta_A (q/\mu)^\gamma, \quad (4.1)$$

where $\eta_A \in \mathbb{R}$ is a dimensionless constant, and $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.¹⁰ We do not specify γ further, but note that for standard contact annihilations of non-relativistic particles into relativistic final states, the perturbative inelastic vertex carries the scaling (4.1) with $\gamma = \ell$. Extrapolated into the UV for the purpose of evaluating W_Λ , such growth generates divergences, which will be computed and renormalized below.

At finite momenta, $\mathcal{M}_\ell^{\text{inel}}(q)$ is affected by the potential $\mathcal{V}^{(0)}$, we thus set

$$\mathcal{M}_\ell^{\text{inel}}(q) = \eta_A h(q) (q/\mu)^\gamma \quad \text{with} \quad \lim_{q \rightarrow \infty} |h(q)| = 1. \quad (4.2)$$

We leave the detailed form of $h(q)$ unspecified, requiring only, for simplicity, that it has no singularities, except perhaps for poles emanating from bound-state solutions of $\mathcal{V}^{(0)}$, which do not contribute to the regularization matrix, \mathbb{N}_ℓ , due to the cancellation described in Section 3.7. As in Eq. (3.23), we allow for a Hermitian and anti-Hermitian coupling,

$$\eta^2 = \eta_H + i\eta_A^2, \quad \eta_H, \eta_A \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (4.3)$$

for the separable potential. This will be a minimal setup to exhibit the renormalization procedure.

In this setup,

$$\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\ell, \text{unreg}}(q) = h(q) \left(\frac{q}{\mu}\right)^\gamma \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{M}_{\ell, \text{unreg}}(q) = \sqrt{\frac{4\mu k^f}{c_\ell c^f m_T^2}} h(q) \left(\frac{q}{\mu}\right)^{\gamma+1/2}, \quad (4.4)$$

where, as previously, c_ℓ and c^f are the initial-state and final-state symmetry factors, and k^f is the final-state CM momentum, with $k^f \simeq m_T/2$ for fully relativistic species. The matrices \mathbb{N}_ℓ and \mathbb{W}_ℓ reduce to a complex and a real number, respectively, with (cf. Eq. (3.40))

$$N_\ell(k) = 1 - i\eta^2 |\hat{M}_{\ell, \text{unreg}}(k)|^2 + \eta^2 W_\Lambda(k), \quad (4.5)$$

where $W_\Lambda(k)$ arises from the integration along the C_Λ arc, as described in Section 3.7, and we compute it shortly. The parameters defined in Eqs. (3.45) are

$$w_\ell(k) = w_{A, \ell}(k) = \frac{2\mu}{c_\ell c^f m_T} |h(k)|^2 \left(\frac{k}{\mu}\right)^{1+2\gamma} \frac{\eta_A^2}{1 + 2\eta_H W_\Lambda + (\eta_H^2 + \eta_A^4) W_\Lambda^2}, \quad (4.6a)$$

$$\tilde{w}_\ell(k) = \frac{2\mu}{c_\ell c^f m_T} |h(k)|^2 \left(\frac{k}{\mu}\right)^{1+2\gamma} \frac{(\eta_H^2 + \eta_A^4) W_\Lambda + \eta_H}{1 + 2\eta_H W_\Lambda + (\eta_H^2 + \eta_A^4) W_\Lambda^2}, \quad (4.6b)$$

¹⁰To simplify the notation, we drop the index ℓ in most couplings and functions we introduce in this section, as there is no risk of confusion.

where we also note that

$$y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}(k) = \eta_A^2 |\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}(k)|^2 = \frac{2\mu}{c_\ell c^f m_T} \eta_A^2 |h(k)|^2 \left(\frac{k}{\mu}\right)^{1+2\gamma}. \quad (4.7)$$

With Eqs. (4.6), the regularized elastic and inelastic cross sections can be deduced using Eqs. (3.51).

4.2 Divergence

The arc contribution to the \mathbb{W}_ℓ matrix is (cf. Eqs. (3.40) and (3.57c))

$$W_\Lambda(k) = \frac{2}{\pi c^f c_\ell m_T} \int_{C_\Lambda} dq q^2 \frac{\hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}(q) \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^*(q)}{q^2 - k^2} = \frac{2}{\pi c^f c_\ell m_T \mu^{2\gamma}} \int_{C_\Lambda} dq \frac{q^{2+2\gamma}}{q^2 - k^2}, \quad (4.8)$$

where we used the large- $|q|$ behavior (4.1). We parameterize the upper semicircle as $q = \Lambda e^{i\phi}$, $\phi \in [0, \pi]$, so that $dq = i\Lambda e^{i\phi} d\phi$, and expand with respect to k/Λ , to obtain

$$\int_{C_\Lambda} dq \frac{q^{2+2\gamma}}{q^2 - k^2} = i\Lambda^{1+2\gamma} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^n \int_0^\pi d\phi e^{i(1+2\gamma-2n)\phi} = \Lambda^{1+2\gamma} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^n \frac{e^{i(1+2\gamma-2n)\pi} - 1}{1 + 2\gamma - 2n}. \quad (4.9)$$

Only the terms with non-negative powers of Λ survive in the limit $\Lambda \rightarrow \infty$, which, for $\gamma \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, implies $n \leq \gamma$. Hence, the divergent contribution from the arc is

$$W_\Lambda(k) \stackrel{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty}{\sim} -\frac{4}{\pi c^f c_\ell m_T \mu^{2\gamma}} \sum_{n=0}^{\gamma} \frac{\Lambda^{1+2\gamma-2n}}{1 + 2\gamma - 2n} k^{2n}. \quad (4.10)$$

This is a real polynomial in k^2 of degree γ with coefficients $d_n(\Lambda)$ that diverge as powers of Λ . In the following, we consider the cases $\gamma = 0$ and $\gamma > 0$ separately.

4.3 Renormalizable theories: $\gamma = 0$

We first focus on $\gamma = 0$, which is a case of particular physical significance. The partial-wave unitarity bounds discussed in Section 2 imply that a UV-complete theory cannot sustain an amplitude that grows at large $|q|$, thereby imposing $\gamma \leq 0$. Hence, $\gamma = 0$ is the only asymptotic scaling of a contact interaction compatible with unitarity.

As seen in Eq. (4.10), $\gamma = 0$ makes W_Λ momentum-independent,

$$W_\Lambda(k) = -\frac{4}{\pi c^f c_\ell m_T} \frac{\Lambda}{\mu}, \quad (4.11)$$

so renormalization requires only a finite set of parameters. In particular, all UV sensitivity will be absorbed into η_H and η_A . This is in contrast to the $\gamma > 0$ case, that will be discussed in Section 4.4. The scaling $\gamma = 0$ corresponds therefore to the unique fully renormalizable contact interaction.

Before proceeding, we note that for $\ell = 0$ and a momentum-independent $\mathcal{A}_\ell^{\text{inel}}$, as hypothesized here, using the distributional limit $\int_0^\infty dp p^2 j_0(pr) = (\pi/2)\delta(r)/r^2$, Eq. (3.18), yields $v_{\ell=0}(r) \propto \delta(r)/r^2 \propto \delta^3(\mathbf{r})$. This is the case considered in the unitarization analysis of Ref. [2]. The δ -function potential, $\mathcal{V}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}') \propto \delta^3(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')\delta^3(\mathbf{r}')$, is the unique example of a both local and separable potential.

Renormalization conditions

At a reference momentum $k = k_\diamond$, the renormalization scale, we set

$$w_\diamond \equiv w_\ell(k_\diamond) = \frac{2\mu}{c_\ell c^f m_T} |h(k_\diamond)|^2 \left(\frac{k_\diamond}{\mu} \right) \frac{\eta_A^2}{1 + 2\eta_H W_\Lambda + (\eta_H^2 + \eta_A^4) W_\Lambda^2}, \quad (4.12a)$$

$$\tilde{w}_\diamond \equiv \tilde{w}_\ell(k_\diamond) = \frac{2\mu}{c_\ell c^f m_T} |h(k_\diamond)|^2 \left(\frac{k_\diamond}{\mu} \right) \frac{(\eta_H^2 + \eta_A^4) W_\Lambda + \eta_H}{1 + 2\eta_H W_\Lambda + (\eta_H^2 + \eta_A^4) W_\Lambda^2}. \quad (4.12b)$$

where W_Λ is given by Eq. (4.11), and the bare couplings η_H and η_A depend on the cutoff, Λ , such that w_\diamond and \tilde{w}_\diamond do not. It is evident from Eqs. (4.12) that, had we assumed only the absorptive coupling, setting $\eta_H \rightarrow 0$, w_\diamond and \tilde{w}_\diamond could not be kept finite and non-zero for any choice of $\eta_A = \eta_A(\Lambda)$. Indeed, the only scaling that can retain finite w_\diamond and \tilde{w}_\diamond is

$$\eta_H = -\frac{1}{W_\Lambda} - b \frac{\tilde{w}_\diamond}{w_\diamond^2 + \tilde{w}_\diamond^2} \frac{1}{W_\Lambda^2} + O(W_\Lambda^{-3}), \quad \eta_A^2 = b \frac{w_\diamond}{w_\diamond^2 + \tilde{w}_\diamond^2} \frac{1}{W_\Lambda^2} + O(W_\Lambda^{-3}) \quad (4.13)$$

with $b = 2k_\diamond |h(k_\diamond)|^2 / (c_\ell c^f m_T)$. In terms of W_Λ^{-1} expansion, $|\eta_H| \sim O(\eta_A)$. The above attests to the fact that *on-shell inelastic contact interactions generate both absorptive and conservative potentials*, a notion that becomes explicit in the Feshbach formalism for the optical potential, which we review in Section 6. On the other hand, in Eqs. (4.12) and (4.13), it is possible to set $\eta_A \rightarrow 0$, or equivalently $w_\diamond \rightarrow 0$, while keeping $\eta_H \neq 0$ and $\tilde{w}_\diamond \neq 0$. Physically, this situation can arise from inelastic vertices where the interaction products are kinematically forbidden from going on-shell.

Renormalized cross sections

From Eqs. (4.6) and Eq. (4.12), it follows that

$$w_\ell(k) = w_\diamond \frac{k}{k_\diamond} \frac{|h(k)|^2}{|h(k_\diamond)|^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{w}_\ell(k) = \tilde{w}_\diamond \frac{k}{k_\diamond} \frac{|h(k)|^2}{|h(k_\diamond)|^2}. \quad (4.14)$$

With this, the *regularized and renormalized* cross sections can be found from Eq. (3.51). The resulting relations, evaluated at $k = k_\diamond$,

$$x_\diamond = \frac{x_{\diamond, \text{unreg}} + (1 - x_{\diamond, \text{unreg}})(w_\diamond^2 + \tilde{w}_\diamond^2) \pm 2\tilde{w}_\diamond \sqrt{x_{\diamond, \text{unreg}}(1 - x_{\diamond, \text{unreg}})}}{(1 + w_\diamond)^2 + \tilde{w}_\diamond^2}, \quad (4.15a)$$

$$y_\diamond = \frac{w_\diamond}{(1 + w_\diamond)^2 + \tilde{w}_\diamond^2}, \quad (4.15b)$$

with

$$x_\diamond \equiv x_{\ell, \text{reg}}(k_\diamond), \quad y_\diamond \equiv y_{\ell, \text{reg}}(k_\diamond), \quad (4.16a)$$

$$x_{\diamond, \text{unreg}} \equiv x_{\ell, \text{unreg}}(k_\diamond), \quad y_{\diamond, \text{unreg}} \equiv y_{\ell, \text{unreg}}(k_\diamond), \quad (4.16b)$$

can be inverted to express the renormalization parameters w_\diamond and \tilde{w}_\diamond in terms of the elastic and inelastic cross sections at $k = k_\diamond$.

Running

The regularized and renormalized cross sections must not depend on the renormalization scale, k_\diamond , which results in the running of w_\diamond and \tilde{w}_\diamond . We find this by requiring

$$\frac{dw_\ell(k)}{dk_\diamond} = \frac{d\tilde{w}_\ell(k)}{dk_\diamond} = 0, \quad \forall k \geq 0, \quad (4.17)$$

which yield the renormalization group equations for w_\diamond and \tilde{w}_\diamond ,

$$\frac{1}{w_\diamond} \frac{dw_\diamond(k_\diamond)}{dk_\diamond} = \frac{1}{\tilde{w}_\diamond} \frac{d\tilde{w}_\diamond(k_\diamond)}{dk_\diamond} = \frac{1}{k_\diamond |h(k_\diamond)|^2} \frac{d(k_\diamond |h(k_\diamond)|^2)}{dk_\diamond}. \quad (4.18)$$

The running of w_\diamond and \tilde{w}_\diamond can be attributed to running of the couplings η_H and η_A . Defining the renormalized couplings $\eta_{A,\diamond}$ and $\eta_{H,\diamond}$, such that

$$w_\diamond = \frac{2\mu}{c_\ell c^f m_T} |h(k_\diamond)|^2 \left(\frac{k_\diamond}{\mu}\right) \eta_{A,\diamond}^2, \quad (4.19a)$$

$$\tilde{w}_\diamond = \frac{2\mu}{c_\ell c^f m_T} |h(k_\diamond)|^2 \left(\frac{k_\diamond}{\mu}\right) \eta_{H,\diamond}, \quad (4.19b)$$

we find the trivial renormalization group equations,

$$\frac{d\eta_{A,\diamond}^2}{dk_\diamond} = \frac{d\eta_{H,\diamond}}{dk_\diamond} = 0. \quad (4.20)$$

Renormalized cross sections, revisited

Considering Eq. (4.7), we identify the renormalized unregulated cross section as

$$y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{\text{ren}}(k) = \frac{2\mu}{c_\ell c^f m_T} \eta_{A,\diamond}^2 |h(k)|^2 \left(\frac{k}{\mu}\right) = w_\ell(k). \quad (4.21)$$

Moreover, considering Eqs. (4.14), (4.19) and (4.21),

$$\tilde{w}_\ell(k) = \frac{\tilde{w}_\diamond}{w_\diamond} w_\ell(k) = \frac{\eta_{H,\diamond}}{\eta_{A,\diamond}^2} y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{\text{ren}}(k). \quad (4.22)$$

Setting $\beta_\diamond \equiv \eta_{H,\diamond}/\eta_{A,\diamond}^2$, we arrive via Eq. (3.51) to

$$x_{\ell,\text{reg}}^{\text{ren}} = \frac{x_{\ell,\text{unreg}} + (1 - x_{\ell,\text{unreg}}) (y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{\text{ren}})^2 (1 + \beta_\diamond^2) \pm 2\beta_\diamond y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{\text{ren}} \sqrt{x_{\ell,\text{unreg}}(1 - x_{\ell,\text{unreg}})}}{(1 + y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{\text{ren}})^2 + \beta_\diamond^2 (y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{\text{ren}})^2}, \quad (4.23a)$$

$$y_{\ell,\text{reg}}^{\text{ren}} = \frac{y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{\text{ren}}}{(1 + y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{\text{ren}})^2 + \beta_\diamond^2 (y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^{\text{ren}})^2}. \quad (4.23b)$$

The dispersive coupling $\eta_{H,\diamond}$ comes from the principal-value part of the same short-distance inelastic physics that generates $\eta_{A,\diamond}^2$, as seen explicitly in the optical-potential picture (cf. Section 6). It can be fixed by matching to an observable, either the elastic or inelastic cross section at a chosen scale, thus determined from experimental input. In a multichannel system, determining the full set of dispersive couplings requires either measurements of the corresponding inelastic cross sections, or, equivalently, elastic cross section measurements at multiple momenta.

We close this analysis by noting that the result (4.23) is of the same form as that obtained from the general solution (3.51) by setting $\mathbb{W}_\ell \rightarrow 0$ (cf. Eq. (3.53)), with the bare couplings replaced by their renormalized versions.

4.4 Effective theories: $\gamma > 0$

Next, we consider $\gamma > 0$. Equation Eq. (4.10) shows that the arc term $W_\Lambda(k)$ acquires cutoff divergences multiplying successive powers of k^2 , forming in particular a polynomial of degree γ . Canceling these divergences requires contact counterterms with up to 2γ derivatives, and hence a growing set of renormalization conditions as γ increases. This UV growth also violates partial-wave unitarity at large momentum. In this framework, the loss of unitarity tracks the loss of renormalizability: a contact interaction with $\gamma > 0$ cannot represent the true UV behavior and must be replaced above some scale by dynamics that softens the high-momentum growth. When such scaling appears in UV-complete theories, it is an artifact of the approximations employed.

Renormalization conditions at several momenta

To renormalize the polynomial UV sensitivity in $W_\Lambda(k)$, we may impose a set of conditions on both w_ℓ and \tilde{w}_ℓ at several reference momenta $k = k_n$, with $n \in [0, \gamma]$, in direct analogy with matching successive terms of the effective-range expansion in contact effective field theories [27, 28]. Concretely, we fix $w_{\diamond,n} \equiv w_\ell(k_n)$ and $\tilde{w}_{\diamond,n} \equiv \tilde{w}_\ell(k_n)$, which provides $2(1+\gamma)$ renormalization conditions. The resulting renormalized parameters depend on the chosen set $\{k_n\}$, and the corresponding renormalization-group flow can be viewed as a multi-parameter (or multi-scale) generalization of the $\gamma = 0$ running.

Low-momentum truncation

If one truncates $W_\Lambda(k)$ to leading order in k^2 , i.e., keeps only the $n = 0$ term in Eq. (4.10), then W_Λ becomes effectively momentum-independent. In such an approximation, the renormalization reduces to the $\gamma = 0$ analysis, with only two parameters required to absorb the UV sensitivity. This corresponds to treating the interaction as an effective field theory valid below the scale where the higher- k^2 divergences become relevant.

5 Analytic properties of radial wave functions for real potentials

In this section we examine the analytic properties of solutions to the radial Schrödinger equation with a Hermitian potential that we shall assume to be central, therefore also real, with the ultimate goal of understanding the Green's function necessary for our unitarization prescription. The following discussion only examines the analytic properties necessary for our analysis. For a more detailed and complete look at the analytic properties of radial wave functions, we refer to [29–32].

5.1 Radial Schrödinger equation and solutions

We begin with the radial Schrödinger equation with a real central potential, $V(r) \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\left[\frac{d^2}{dr^2} - \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{r^2} + k^2 \right] u_{k,\ell}(r) = 2\mu V(r) u_{k,\ell}(r). \quad (5.1)$$

with $u_{k,\ell}(r) \equiv kr\psi_{k,\ell}(r)$. In the following, we investigate the solutions of Eq. (5.1) for $k \in \mathbb{C}$. Physically meaningful scattering-state solutions correspond to $k \geq 0$, while, as we shall see, bound-state solutions may arise for discrete values of purely imaginary k .

In particular, we are interested in studying potentials which satisfy

$$\int_0^\infty dr \, r |V(r)| < \infty. \quad (5.2)$$

While the Coulomb potential does not strictly meet this criterion, it shares many, if not all, of the analytic properties we will deduce. For a potential that satisfies the condition (5.2), hence growing more slowly than $1/r^2$ at $r \rightarrow 0$, Eq. (5.1) admits two independent solutions, scaling as $u(r) \propto r^{\ell+1}$ and $r^{-\ell}$ near the origin, which we now discuss.

5.1.1 Regular solution

We define the *regular solution*, $\varphi_{k,\ell}(r)$, that vanishes at the origin, with its normalization determined by

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \left\{ (2\ell+1)!! \, r^{-(\ell+1)} \varphi_{k,\ell}(r) \right\} = 1. \quad (5.3)$$

Crucially, the regular solution is real since the differential equation and the boundary conditions are real. Furthermore, $\varphi_{k,\ell}$ is a function of k^2 only, since k enters into Eq. (5.1) via k^2 and the boundary condition (5.3) is k -independent [30–32]. That is,

$$[\varphi_{k,\ell}(r)]^* = \varphi_{k^*,\ell}(r), \quad (5.4a)$$

$$\varphi_{-k,\ell}(r) = \varphi_{k,\ell}(r). \quad (5.4b)$$

5.1.2 Jost solution

We define another solution to Eq. (5.1), the *Jost solution* $H_{k,\ell}(r)$, that satisfies

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ e^{-i\pi\ell/2} e^{-ikr} H_{k,\ell}(r) \right\} = 1. \quad (5.5)$$

It can be shown that the Jost solution is an analytic function of k in the upper half complex plane [31]. As such, for $\text{Im } k > 0$ the boundary condition (5.5) implies that

$$H_{-k,\ell}(r) = (-1)^\ell [H_{k^*,\ell}(r)]^* \xrightarrow{k \in \mathbb{R}} (-1)^\ell H_{k,\ell}^*(r). \quad (5.6)$$

From Eq. (5.5) we can compute the Wronskian¹¹

$$\mathcal{W}\{H_{k,\ell}(r), H_{-k,\ell}(r)\} = (-1)^{\ell+1} 2ik, \quad (5.7)$$

which demonstrates that for $k \neq 0$ the solutions $H_{\pm k,\ell}(r)$ are independent.

5.1.3 Jost function

The independence of $H_{k,\ell}(r), H_{-k,\ell}(r)$ allows us to expand the regular solution as

$$\varphi_{k,\ell}(r) = \frac{i}{2} k^{-(\ell+1)} [\ell_\ell(k) H_{-k,\ell}(r) - (-1)^\ell \ell_\ell(-k) H_{k,\ell}(r)], \quad (5.8)$$

where we took into account that $\varphi_{k,\ell}(r) = \varphi_{-k,\ell}(r)$. The function $\ell_\ell(k)$ is known as the *Jost function*. This function can be directly defined through the Wronskian¹²

$$\ell_\ell(k) \equiv (-k)^\ell \mathcal{W}\{H_{k,\ell}(r), \varphi_{k,\ell}(r)\}. \quad (5.9)$$

Considering Eqs. (5.4), (5.6) and (5.9) the Jost function satisfies

$$\ell_\ell(-k) \stackrel{\text{Im } k \geq 0}{=} [\ell_\ell(k^*)]^* \xrightarrow{k \in \mathbb{R}} \ell_\ell^*(k). \quad (5.10)$$

The restriction in the first equality is due to the fact that the Jost solution, $H_{k,\ell}(r)$, is only analytic in the upper-half plane [31]. For real momenta, we define the *phase shift*, $\theta_\ell(k)$, via

$$\ell_\ell(k) = |\ell_\ell(k)| e^{-i\theta_\ell(k)}, \quad k \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (5.11)$$

By virtue of Eq. (5.10),

$$\theta_\ell(-k) = -\theta_\ell(k), \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.12)$$

up to integer multiples of 2π . From the above, we find the asymptotic behavior of the regular solutions at $r \rightarrow \infty$,

$$\varphi_{k,\ell}(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{i}{2k^{\ell+1}} [\ell_\ell(k) e^{-i(kr - \pi\ell/2)} - \ell_\ell(-k) e^{i(kr - \pi\ell/2)}] \quad (5.13a)$$

$$\xrightarrow{k \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{|\ell_\ell(k)|}{k^{\ell+1}} \sin[kr - \ell\pi/2 + \theta_\ell(k)]. \quad (5.13b)$$

We may similarly determine the asymptotic behavior of the Jost solution, $H_{k,\ell}(r)$ at $r \rightarrow 0$. In this limit, we expand in the irregular and regular solutions, as follows

$$H_{k,\ell}(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} \alpha_{k,\ell} r^{-\ell} + \beta_{k,\ell} \varphi_{k,\ell}(r), \quad (5.14)$$

where

$$\alpha_{k,\ell} = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mathcal{W}\{H_{k,\ell}(r), \varphi_{k,\ell}(r)\}}{\mathcal{W}\{r^{-\ell}, \varphi_{k,\ell}(r)\}} = \frac{(2\ell - 1)!! \ell_\ell(k)}{(-k)^\ell}. \quad (5.15)$$

Because this is non-zero, except at the zeros of the Jost function (cf. Section 5.2), we need not consider the contribution from the regular solution, which is subdominant in the $r \rightarrow 0$ limit. Thus,

$$H_{k,\ell}(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} (2\ell - 1)!! \ell_\ell(k) (-kr)^{-\ell}. \quad (5.16)$$

¹¹By Abel's identity, the Wronskian of two solutions to Eq. (5.1) is r -independent. Thus, it is sufficient to only examine the $r \rightarrow \infty$ limit.

¹²The definition of the Jost function varies across the literature. We follow the convention of Ref. [30] where the zeros of the Jost function lie in the upper half complex plane.

5.1.4 Orthonormality of regular and Jost solutions

For any two solutions, $u_{k,\ell}(r)$ and $u_{k',\ell}(r)$, Schrödinger's Eq. (5.1) implies

$$\frac{d}{dr} \mathcal{W}\{u_{k,\ell}(r), u_{k',\ell}(r)\} = (k^2 - k'^2) u_{k,\ell}(r) u_{k',\ell}(r). \quad (5.17)$$

From this, and taking into account the asymptotic behavior of the regular and Jost solutions at the origin and at infinity, Eqs. (5.3), (5.5), (5.13) and (5.16), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty dr \varphi_{k,\ell}(r) \varphi_{k',\ell}(r) &= \frac{\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}\{\varphi_{k,\ell}(r), \varphi_{k',\ell}(r)\}}{k^2 - k'^2} \\ &= \frac{i}{4(kk')^{\ell+1}} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{\ell_\ell(k) \ell_\ell(-k') e^{-i(k-k')r} - \ell_\ell(-k) \ell_\ell(k') e^{+i(k-k')r}}{k - k'} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + (-1)^\ell \frac{\ell_\ell(-k) \ell_\ell(-k') e^{+i(k+k')r} - \ell_\ell(k) \ell_\ell(k') e^{-i(k+k')r}}{k + k'} \right], \end{aligned} \quad (5.18a)$$

$$\int_0^\infty dr H_{k,\ell}(r) H_{k',\ell}^*(r) = (-1)^\ell \frac{\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}\{H_{k,\ell}(r), H_{-k',\ell}(r)\}}{k^2 - k'^2} = -i \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \frac{e^{i(k-k')r}}{k - k'}, \quad (5.18b)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty dr \varphi_{k,\ell}(r) H_{k',\ell}(r) &= \frac{\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{W}\{\varphi_{k,\ell}(r), H_{k',\ell}(r)\} + (-k')^{-\ell} \ell_\ell(k')}{k^2 - k'^2} \\ &= -\frac{1}{2k^{\ell+1}} \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \left[(-1)^\ell \frac{\ell_\ell(k) e^{-i(k-k')r}}{k - k'} + \frac{\ell_\ell(-k) e^{i[(k+k')r]}}{k + k'} \right] + \frac{\ell_\ell(k')}{(-k')^\ell (k^2 - k'^2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (5.18c)$$

For real momenta, $k, k' \in \mathbb{R}$, considering the distributional limit

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{e^{\pm iqr}}{q} = \pm i\pi \delta(q), \quad q \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.19)$$

and Eq. (5.10), we find

$$\int_0^\infty dr \varphi_{k,\ell}(r) \varphi_{k',\ell}(r) = \frac{|\ell_\ell(k)|^2}{k^{2\ell+2}} \frac{\pi}{2} [\delta(k - k') + \delta(k + k')], \quad (5.20a)$$

$$\int_0^\infty dr H_{k,\ell}(r) H_{k',\ell}^*(r) = \pi \delta(k - k'), \quad (5.20b)$$

$$\int_0^\infty dr \varphi_{k,\ell}(r) H_{k',\ell}(r) = -\frac{i\pi}{2(-k')^{\ell+1}} [\ell_\ell(k) \delta(k - k') + \ell_\ell(k') \delta(k + k')] + \frac{\ell_\ell(k')}{(-k')^\ell (k^2 - k'^2)}. \quad (5.20c)$$

For momenta at which the Jost function vanishes, which, as we shall see, occurs for bound states, Eqs. (5.18) do not suffice to determine the normalization. The normalization of bound states is discussed in Section 5.2.

5.1.5 Physical, incoming-wave, outgoing-wave and irregular solutions

We now define the solutions to Eq. (5.1),

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r) \equiv \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell} \frac{\mathfrak{i}^\ell k^{\ell+1}}{\ell_\ell(k)} \varphi_{k,\ell}(r), \quad (5.21a)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(+)}(r) \equiv \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell} (-\mathfrak{i})^{\ell+1} \frac{\ell_\ell(-k)}{\ell_\ell(k)} H_{k,\ell}(r), \quad (5.21b)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(-)}(r) \equiv \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell} \mathfrak{i}^{\ell+1} H_{-k,\ell}(r), \quad (5.21c)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r) \equiv \frac{1}{2\mathfrak{i}} \left[\mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(+)}(r) - \mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(-)}(r) \right]. \quad (5.21d)$$

Note that

$$\mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(\pm)} = \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell} \pm \mathfrak{i} \mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}, \quad (5.22a)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{-k,\ell}(r) = (-1)^{\ell+1} \frac{\ell_\ell(k)}{\ell_\ell(-k)} \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r), \quad (5.22b)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{-k,\ell}^{(+)}(r) = (-1)^{\ell+1} \frac{\ell_\ell(k)}{\ell_\ell(-k)} \mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(-)}(r), \quad (5.22c)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{-k,\ell}(r) = (-1)^\ell \frac{\ell_\ell(k)}{\ell_\ell(-k)} \mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r). \quad (5.22d)$$

Considering the asymptotic behaviors of $\varphi_{k,\ell}$ and $H_{k,\ell}$, given by Eqs. (5.3), (5.5), (5.13) and (5.16), the asymptotic behavior of the solutions (5.21) are the following:

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} + \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell} \mathfrak{i}^\ell \times \frac{1}{\ell_\ell(k)} \frac{(kr)^{\ell+1}}{(2\ell+1)!!}, \quad (5.23a)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(+)}(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} - \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell} \mathfrak{i}^{\ell+1} \times \ell_\ell(-k) (2\ell-1)!! (kr)^{-\ell}, \quad (5.23b)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(-)}(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} + \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell} \mathfrak{i}^{\ell+1} \times \ell_\ell(-k) (2\ell-1)!! (kr)^{-\ell}, \quad (5.23c)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow 0} - \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell} \mathfrak{i}^\ell \times \ell_\ell(-k) (2\ell-1)!! (kr)^{-\ell}, \quad (5.23d)$$

and

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} + \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell}}{2\mathfrak{i}} \left[\frac{\ell_\ell(-k)}{\ell_\ell(k)} e^{\mathfrak{i}kr} - e^{-\mathfrak{i}(kr-\ell\pi)} \right] \xrightarrow{k \in \mathbb{R}} + \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell}}{2\mathfrak{i}} \left(e^{\mathfrak{i}kr} e^{2\mathfrak{i}\theta_\ell(k)} - e^{-\mathfrak{i}(kr-\ell\pi)} \right), \quad (5.24a)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(+)}(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} - \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell} \mathfrak{i} \frac{\ell_\ell(-k)}{\ell_\ell(k)} e^{\mathfrak{i}kr} \xrightarrow{k \in \mathbb{R}} - \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell} \mathfrak{i} e^{\mathfrak{i}[kr+2\theta_\ell(k)]}, \quad (5.24b)$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(-)}(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} + \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell} \mathfrak{i} e^{-\mathfrak{i}(kr-\ell\pi)}, \quad (5.24c)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r) \xrightarrow{r \rightarrow \infty} - \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell}}{2} \left[\frac{\ell_\ell(-k)}{\ell_\ell(k)} e^{\mathfrak{i}kr} + e^{-\mathfrak{i}(kr-\ell\pi)} \right] \xrightarrow{k \in \mathbb{R}} - \frac{\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell}}{2} \left(e^{\mathfrak{i}kr} e^{2\mathfrak{i}\theta_\ell(k)} + e^{-\mathfrak{i}(kr-\ell\pi)} \right). \quad (5.24d)$$

$\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}$ is the *physical* scattering-state solution and belongs to the family of regular wavefunctions, owing to its normalization (see below). $\mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}$ is a purely irregular solution, while $\mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{+}$ and $\mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{-}$ represent outgoing and incoming waves, respectively. From Eq. (5.23a), we see that the amplitude of the Jost function is related directly to the Sommerfeld factors for annihilation, via [33]

$$S_\ell(k) \equiv \left| \frac{(2\ell+1)!!}{\sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell} k^{\ell+1} (\ell+1)!} \frac{d^{\ell+1}}{dr^{\ell+1}} \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r) \right|_{r=0}^2 = |\ell_\ell(k)|^{-2}. \quad (5.25)$$

The wavefunctions $\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r)$ and $\mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r)$ are real up to the same ℓ - and k -dependent (but r -independent) phase. In particular,

$$[\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r)]^* = (-1)^\ell \frac{\ell_\ell(k^*)}{\ell_\ell(-k^*)} \mathcal{F}_{k^*,\ell}(r), \quad (5.26a)$$

$$[\mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r)]^* = (-1)^\ell \frac{\ell_\ell(k^*)}{\ell_\ell(-k^*)} \mathcal{G}_{k^*,\ell}(r), \quad (5.26b)$$

where we used Eqs. (5.4) and (5.6). This, in turn, implies

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r) [\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r')]^* = [\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r)]^* \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r'), \quad (5.27a)$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r) [\mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r')]^* = [\mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r)]^* \mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r'), \quad (5.27b)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r) [\mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r')]^* = [\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r)]^* \mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r'). \quad (5.27c)$$

For real momenta, $k, k' \in \mathbb{R}$, the solutions (5.21) obey the following orthonormality conditions, derived from Eqs. (5.20),

$$\int_0^\infty dr \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r) \mathcal{F}_{k',\ell}^*(r) = c_\ell \frac{\pi}{2} [\delta(k - k') + \delta(k + k')], \quad (5.28a)$$

$$\int_0^\infty dr \mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r) \mathcal{G}_{k',\ell}^*(r) = c_\ell \frac{\pi}{2} [\delta(k - k') + \delta(k + k')], \quad (5.28b)$$

$$\int_0^\infty dr \mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(+)}(r) [\mathcal{H}_{k',\ell}^{(+)}(r)]^* = \int_0^\infty dr \mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(-)}(r) [\mathcal{H}_{k',\ell}^{(-)}(r)]^* = c_\ell \pi \delta(k - k'). \quad (5.28c)$$

5.1.6 Bound states

Possible bound-state solutions to Eq. (5.1) may arise at (discrete) imaginary values of the momentum, $k \rightarrow i\kappa_{n\ell}$, with $\kappa_{n\ell} \in \mathbb{R}$, as we shall see in Section 5.2. We shall denote these solutions by $u_{n\ell}(r) \rightarrow \hat{\mathcal{B}}_{n\ell}(r) \equiv \mathcal{B}_{n\ell}(r)/(i\kappa_{n\ell})$. The solutions $\mathcal{B}_{n\ell}$, must be regular at the origin and vanish at infinity. We define them via the boundary conditions

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} [r^{-\ell-1} \mathcal{B}_{n\ell}(r)] = b_{n\ell} \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} \mathcal{B}_{n\ell}(r) = 0, \quad (5.29)$$

such that

$$\int_0^\infty dr \mathcal{B}_{n\ell}(r) \mathcal{B}_{n'\ell}^*(r) = c_\ell \delta_{nn'}. \quad (5.30)$$

In Eq. (5.30), we have incorporated the orthogonality condition arising from Eq. (5.17) when applied to different bound-state solutions. Similarly, from Eq. (5.17), applied to a physical scattering-state and a bound-state solution, we obtain

$$\int_0^\infty dr \mathcal{B}_{n\ell}(r) \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}^*(r) = 0. \quad (5.31)$$

5.2 Analytic properties of Jost functions

We now examine the zeros of the Jost function. We focus on solutions in the upper complex plane,

$$\ell(k_0) = 0, \quad k_0 \in \mathbb{C}_+. \quad (5.32)$$

From Eq. (5.9) it follows that, when $k = k_0$, the regular and Jost solutions are linearly dependent,

$$H_{k_0, \ell}(r) = c_0 \varphi_{k_0, \ell}(r). \quad (5.33)$$

In view of the asymptotic behavior (5.5) of $H_{k, \ell}$ at $r \rightarrow \infty$, the left-hand side of Eq. (5.33) vanishes at infinity for $\text{Im } k_0 > 0$. The boundary conditions of the regular solution further imply that the right-hand side of Eq. (5.33) vanish at the origin. Hence, both sides of Eq. (5.33) are square integrable. Since k_0^2 is an eigenvalue of a Hermitian operator, as per Eq. (5.1), corresponding to a square-integrable function, k_0^2 must be real. This implies that k_0 is purely imaginary.

We will now prove that the zeros of the Jost functions are simple zeros, i.e., that $\ell'_\ell(k_0) \neq 0$. Differentiating Eq. (5.9) with respect to k and evaluating the subsequent expression at $k = k_0$, yields

$$\ell'_\ell(k_0) = c_0^{-1}(-k_0)^\ell \mathcal{W}\{H'_{k_0, \ell}(r), H_{k_0, \ell}(r)\} + c_0(-k_0)^\ell \mathcal{W}\{\varphi_{k_0, \ell}(r), \varphi'_{k_0, \ell}(r)\} \quad (5.34)$$

where $' \equiv d/dk$. In order to evaluate the Wronskians, we consider Eq. (5.17) for $\{\varphi_{k, \ell}, \varphi_{k', \ell}\}$ and for $\{H_{k, \ell}, H_{k', \ell}\}$ differentiate them with respect to k' and set $k = k' = k_0$, to obtain

$$\frac{d}{dr} \mathcal{W}\{\varphi_{k_0, \ell}(r), \varphi'_{k_0, \ell}(r)\} = -2k_0 [\varphi_{k_0, \ell}(r)]^2, \quad (5.35a)$$

$$\frac{d}{dr} \mathcal{W}\{H'_{k_0, \ell}(r), H_{k_0, \ell}(r)\} = +2k_0 [H_{k_0, \ell}(r)]^2. \quad (5.35b)$$

Integrating both sides, recalling that $\varphi_{k_0, \ell}(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} H_{k_0, \ell}(r) = 0$, we find

$$\mathcal{W}\{\varphi_{k_0, \ell}(r), \varphi'_{k_0, \ell}(r)\} = -2k_0 \int_0^r dr' [\varphi_{k_0, \ell}(r')]^2, \quad (5.36a)$$

$$\mathcal{W}\{H'_{k_0, \ell}(r), H_{k_0, \ell}(r)\} = -2k_0 \int_r^\infty dr' [H_{k_0, \ell}(r')]^2. \quad (5.36b)$$

Combining Eqs. (5.33), (5.34) and (5.36) gives

$$\ell'_\ell(k_0) = 2c_0(-k_0)^{\ell+1} \int_0^\infty dr [\varphi_{k_0, \ell}(r)]^2. \quad (5.37)$$

Due to the boundary condition (5.5), $c_0 \neq 0$. Furthermore, since the regular solution is a function of k^2 , $\varphi_{k_0, \ell}(r)$ is real for purely imaginary k_0 . This implies that Eq. (5.37) cannot vanish. Since $\ell'_\ell(k_0) \neq 0$ when $\ell_\ell(k_0) = 0$, we conclude that the zero at k_0 is always simple.

The solutions (5.33), being square-integrable with energies $\mathcal{E} = k_0^2/(2\mu) < 0$, correspond to bound states. Setting $k_0 \rightarrow i\kappa_{n\ell}$, with $\kappa_{n\ell} > 0$, and defining

$$|N_{n\ell}|^2 \equiv \int_0^\infty dr [\varphi_{i\kappa_{n\ell}, \ell}(r)]^2 = \frac{\ell'_\ell(i\kappa_{n\ell})}{2c_{n\ell}(-i\kappa_{n\ell})^{\ell+1}}. \quad (5.38)$$

the bound-state wavefunctions normalized according to Eq. (5.30) are simply

$$\mathcal{B}_{n\ell}(r) \equiv \frac{\sqrt{c_\ell} \varphi_{i\kappa_{n\ell}, \ell}(r)}{N_{n\ell}}. \quad (5.39)$$

Note that the complex phase of $N_{n\ell}$ is arbitrary, and can render the wavefunctions $\mathcal{B}_{n\ell}(r)$ complex.

If the Jost function has no zeros, then there are no regular solutions that vanish at spatial infinity (cf. Eq. (5.13)), that is, the potential does not support bound levels.

5.3 The Green's Function

5.3.1 Position space

Having developed the above machinery, we will now use the regular solution, the Jost solution and the Jost function to construct the Green's function corresponding to Eq. (5.1). In particular, we want to solve

$$[S_\ell(r) - \mathcal{E}_k]G_{k,\ell}(r, r') = \delta(r - r'), \quad (5.40)$$

where

$$S_\ell(r) \equiv -\frac{1}{2\mu} \frac{d^2}{dr^2} + \frac{\ell(\ell+1)}{2\mu r^2} + V(r). \quad (5.41)$$

The Green's function is a solution of Schrödinger's equation for $r \neq r'$, continuous at $r = r'$ with discontinuous derivative, as follows from Eq. (5.40),

$$\left. \frac{d}{dr} G_{k,\ell}(r, r') \right|_{r=r'-\epsilon}^{r=r'+\epsilon} = -2\mu. \quad (5.42)$$

We are interested in a Green's function that is regular at $r \rightarrow 0$ and behaves as an outgoing (incoming) wave for $k > 0$ ($k < 0$) at $r \rightarrow \infty$. It follows that

$$G_{k,\ell}(r, r') = \begin{cases} a_{k,\ell}(r') \varphi_{k,\ell}(r), & r < r', \\ b_{k,\ell}(r') H_{k,\ell}(r), & r > r'. \end{cases} \quad (5.43)$$

Continuity at $r = r'$ gives $a_{k,\ell}(r) = c_{k,\ell} H_{k,\ell}(r)$ and $b_{k,\ell}(r) = c_{k,\ell} \varphi_{k,\ell}(r)$, while the discontinuity (5.42) implies $c_{k,\ell} = 2\mu / \mathcal{W}\{H_{k,\ell}(r), \varphi_{k,\ell}(r)\} = 2\mu(-k)^\ell / \ell_\ell(k)$. Collecting the above, the Green's function can be concisely written as

$$G_{k,\ell}(r, r') = \frac{2\mu(-k)^\ell}{\ell_\ell(k)} \varphi_{k,\ell}(r_{<}) H_{k,\ell}(r_{>}) \xrightarrow{k \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{i 2\mu}{c_\ell k} \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}^*(r_{<}) \mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(+)}(r_{>}), \quad (5.44)$$

where $r_{<} = \min\{r, r'\}$, $r_{>} = \max\{r, r'\}$, and in the second step, we used the solutions defined in Eqs. (5.21). This reproduces the Green's function presented in (3.15a).

5.3.2 Spectral decomposition

For $k \in \mathbb{R}$, the r dependence of the Green's function can be expanded in terms of the eigenfunctions of the Hermitian operator \mathcal{S}_ℓ that are consistent with the desired asymptotic scalings (5.43). Considering the small r behavior, we write

$$G_{k,\ell}(r, r') = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq g_{k,\ell}(q, r') \varphi_{q,\ell}(r) + \sum_n g_{k,\ell}(n, r') \mathcal{B}_{n\ell}(r), \quad (5.45)$$

where we can take $g_{k,\ell}(-q, r) = g_{k,\ell}(q, r)$, since $\varphi_{q,\ell}(r)$ is even in q (cf. Eq. (5.4b)) and we integrate over a symmetric q interval. The functions $g_{k,\ell}(q, r')$ will determine the large r behavior of Eq. (5.45). Inserting Eq. (5.45) into Eq. (5.40) gives

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq g_{k,\ell}(q, r') [\mathcal{E}_q - \mathcal{E}_k] \varphi_{q,\ell}(r) + \sum_n g_{k,\ell}(n, r') [\mathcal{E}_{n\ell} - \mathcal{E}_k] \mathcal{B}_{n\ell}(r) = \delta(r - r'). \quad (5.46)$$

Acting with $\int_0^\infty dr \varphi_{\tilde{q},\ell}(r)$ and $\int_0^\infty dr \mathcal{B}_{n\ell}^*(r)$ to project on scattering and bound states, and using the orthonormality Eqs. (5.20a), (5.30) and (5.31), valid for real momenta, yields

$$\frac{1}{2}[g_{k,\ell}(q, r) + g_{k,\ell}(-q, r)] = g_{k,\ell}(q, r) = \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{q^{2\ell+2}}{|\ell_\ell(q)|^2} \frac{\varphi_{q,\ell}(r)}{\mathcal{E}_q - \mathcal{E}_k} = \frac{2\mu}{\pi} \frac{q^{2\ell+2}}{|\ell_\ell(q)|^2} \frac{\varphi_{q,\ell}(r)}{q^2 - k^2}, \quad (5.47a)$$

$$g_{k,\ell}(n, r) = \frac{1}{c_\ell} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n\ell}^*(r)}{\mathcal{E}_{n\ell} - \mathcal{E}_k} = -\frac{2\mu}{c_\ell} \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n\ell}^*(r)}{\kappa_{n\ell}^2 + k^2}. \quad (5.47b)$$

Combining the above, and exchanging $\varphi_{q,\ell}$ for $\mathcal{F}_{q,\ell}$ according to Eq. (5.21a), leads to the spectral decomposition of the Green's function presented in Eq. (3.15b),

$$G_{k,\ell}(r, r') = \frac{2\mu}{c_\ell} \times \left[\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{\mathcal{F}_{q,\ell}(r) \mathcal{F}_{q,\ell}^*(r')}{q^2 - k^2 - i\epsilon} - \sum_n \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n\ell}(r) \mathcal{B}_{n\ell}^*(r')}{\kappa_{n\ell}^2 + k^2} \right], \quad k > 0, \quad (5.48)$$

where the prescription $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ ensures the desired asymptotic behavior at large r given in Eq. (5.43), for the physical range, $k > 0$, as we show next.

5.3.3 Equivalence of Green's function representations

Our principal goal is to now demonstrate the equivalence of Eqs. (5.44) and (5.48). To do so, we must evaluate the integral

$$I \equiv \frac{1}{c_\ell \pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{\mathcal{F}_{q,\ell}(r) \mathcal{F}_{q,\ell}^*(r')}{q^2 - k^2 - i\epsilon} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{q^{2\ell+2}}{|\ell_\ell(q)|^2} \frac{\varphi_{q,\ell}(r) \varphi_{q,\ell}(r')}{q^2 - k^2 - i\epsilon}, \quad (5.49)$$

where in the second equality, we used Eq. (5.21a).

We begin by assuming that $r < r'$ and expanding $\varphi_{q,\ell}(r')$ in terms of Jost solutions

$$I = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{q^{2\ell+2}}{|\ell_\ell(q)|^2} \frac{\varphi_{q,\ell}(r)}{q^2 - k^2 - i\epsilon} \frac{i}{2} q^{-(\ell+1)} [\ell_\ell(q) H_{-q,\ell}(r') - (-1)^\ell \ell_\ell(-q) H_{q,\ell}(r')]. \quad (5.50)$$

To continue, we define

$$I_1 \equiv \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{q^{\ell+1}}{\ell_\ell(-q)} \frac{\varphi_{q,\ell}(r) H_{-q,\ell}(r')}{q^2 - k^2 - i\epsilon} = \left[(-1)^{\ell+1} \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{q^{\ell+1}}{\ell_\ell(q)} \frac{\varphi_{q,\ell}(r) H_{q,\ell}(r')}{q^2 - k^2 + i\epsilon} \right]^*, \quad (5.51a)$$

$$I_2 \equiv (-1)^{\ell+1} \frac{i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dq \frac{q^{\ell+1}}{\ell_\ell(q)} \cdot \frac{\varphi_{q,\ell}(r) H_{q,\ell}(r')}{q^2 - k^2 - i\epsilon}, \quad (5.51b)$$

such that $I = I_1 + I_2$. We may evaluate these integrals using Cauchy's Residue theorem. To select the appropriate contour, we must consider the asymptotic behavior at infinity of the Jost solutions, given in Eq. (5.5).

We evaluate I_1^* and I_2 by closing the integration contour in the *upper half* of the complex q plane, since $H_{q,\ell}(r') \sim \exp[+iqr]$. The poles within the contour are $q = -k + i\epsilon$ and $q = +k + i\epsilon$ for I_1^* and I_2 , respectively, in addition to those due to the simple zeros of the Jost function (cf. Section 5.2), at $q = i\kappa_{n\ell}$. Therefore, we find

$$I_1^* = -\frac{i^\ell}{\sqrt{c_\ell} 2k} \mathcal{F}_{-k,\ell}(r) H_{-k,\ell}(r') + \sum_n \frac{(-i\kappa_{n\ell})^{\ell+1}}{\ell'_\ell(i\kappa_{n\ell})} \frac{\varphi_{i\kappa_{n\ell},\ell}(r) H_{i\kappa_{n\ell},\ell}(r')}{\kappa_{n\ell}^2 + k^2}, \quad (5.52a)$$

$$I_2 = +\frac{i^\ell}{\sqrt{c_\ell} 2k} \mathcal{F}_{+k,\ell}(r) H_{+k,\ell}(r') + \sum_n \frac{(-i\kappa_{n\ell})^{\ell+1}}{\ell'_\ell(i\kappa_{n\ell})} \cdot \frac{\varphi_{i\kappa_{n\ell},\ell}(r) H_{i\kappa_{n\ell},\ell}(r')}{\kappa_{n\ell}^2 + k^2}. \quad (5.52b)$$

From this, it follows that $I_1 = I_2$, where we used the properties of the wavefunctions described in the previous sections, including (cf. Eqs. (5.6) and (5.10)): $[H_{\mathfrak{i}\kappa_{n\ell},\ell}(r')]^* = (-1)^\ell H_{\mathfrak{i}\kappa_{n\ell},\ell}(r')$ and $[\mathcal{J}_\ell(\mathfrak{i}x)]^* = \mathcal{J}_\ell(\mathfrak{i}x)$ for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, thus by Taylor expanding in x , $[\mathcal{J}'_\ell(\mathfrak{i}\kappa_{n\ell})]^* = -\mathcal{J}'_\ell(\mathfrak{i}\kappa_{n\ell})$. The above imply that

$$I = \frac{\mathfrak{i}}{\mathcal{C}_\ell k} \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}^*(r) \mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(+)}(r') + \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}_\ell} \sum_n \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n\ell}(r) \mathcal{B}_{n\ell}^*(r')}{\kappa_{n\ell}^2 + k^2}, \quad r < r', \quad k \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (5.53)$$

where we made use of Eqs. (5.21), (5.26a), (5.33) and (5.39). Performing a similar calculation of I for $r > r'$, we obtain the same result with $r \leftrightarrow r'$. Therefore, we conclude that

$$I = \frac{\mathfrak{i}}{\mathcal{C}_\ell k} \mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}^*(r_{<}) \mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(+)}(r_{>}) + \frac{1}{\mathcal{C}_\ell} \sum_n \frac{\mathcal{B}_{n\ell}(r) \mathcal{B}_{n\ell}^*(r')}{\kappa_{n\ell}^2 + k^2}. \quad (5.54)$$

After inserting this result into Eq. (5.48), we recover Eq. (5.44).

5.4 The Coulomb wave functions

Next, we illustrate how the Jost function formalism manifests for a Coulomb potential,

$$V^C(r) = -\alpha/r. \quad (5.55)$$

The superscript C here and below indicates that the solutions correspond to a Coulomb potential. We define $\zeta \equiv \mu\alpha/k$. For $\alpha > 0$ ($\alpha < 0$) the potential is attractive (repulsive).

The regular and the Jost solutions are

$$\varphi_{k,\ell}^C(r) = \frac{2^\ell \Gamma(\ell+1)}{\Gamma(2\ell+2)} r^{\ell+1} e^{+\mathfrak{i}kr} {}_1F_1(1+\ell-\mathfrak{i}\zeta; 2\ell+2; -2\mathfrak{i}kr), \quad (5.56a)$$

$$H_{k,\ell}^C(r) = -\mathfrak{i} e^{-\pi\zeta/2} (2kr)^{\ell+1} e^{+\mathfrak{i}kr} U(1+\ell-\mathfrak{i}\zeta; 2\ell+2; -2\mathfrak{i}kr). \quad (5.56b)$$

where ${}_1F_1$ and U are the confluent hypergeometric functions of the first and second kind, respectively (see Ref. [34]).¹³ From Eq. (5.9), we may find the Jost function,

$$\mathcal{J}_\ell^C(k) = \frac{\ell! e^{-\pi\zeta/2}}{\Gamma(1+\ell-\mathfrak{i}\zeta)}, \quad (5.57)$$

whose zeros correspond to $k \rightarrow \mathfrak{i}\kappa_n = \mathfrak{i}\mu\alpha/n$, or $\mathfrak{i}\zeta \rightarrow n$, with $n = 1, 2, \dots$ and $n \geq \ell+1$, if $\alpha > 0$. There are no zeros for $\alpha < 0$, since a repulsive Coulomb potential does not support bound states. As expected from Eq. (5.25), $1/|\mathcal{J}_\ell^C(k)|^2$ coincides exactly with the well-known expression for the Coulomb Sommerfeld factor for annihilation [33].

The physical solutions are found by Eq. (5.21a),

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}^C(r) = \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell} \frac{(2\mathfrak{i})^\ell e^{\pi\zeta/2}}{\Gamma(2\ell+2)} \Gamma(1+\ell-\mathfrak{i}\zeta) (kr)^{\ell+1} e^{+\mathfrak{i}kr} {}_1F_1(1+\ell-\mathfrak{i}\zeta; 2\ell+2; -2\mathfrak{i}kr). \quad (5.58)$$

The Coulomb phase shift is

$$\theta_\ell^C(k) \equiv -\arg[\mathcal{J}_\ell^C(k)] = \arg[\Gamma(1+\ell-\mathfrak{i}\zeta)]. \quad (5.59)$$

¹³Despite its appearance, $\varphi_{k,\ell}^C$ is a real function. This can be demonstrated with one of Kummer's transformations, i.e., Eq. (A.5)

At $r \rightarrow \infty$, these three solutions behave asymptotically as

$$\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}^C(r) \rightarrow \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell} \, i^\ell e^{i\theta_\ell(k)} \sin[kr + \zeta \ln(2kr) - \ell\pi/2 + \theta_\ell(k)], \quad (5.60)$$

$$\varphi_{k,\ell}^C(r) \rightarrow \frac{\ell! e^{-\pi\zeta/2}}{k^{\ell+1} |\Gamma(1 + \ell - i\zeta)|} \sin[kr + \zeta \ln(2kr) - \ell\pi/2 + \theta_\ell(k)], \quad (5.61)$$

$$H_{k,\ell}^C(r) \rightarrow e^{i[kr + \zeta \ln(2kr) + \ell\pi/2]}. \quad (5.62)$$

This behavior is consistent with our definitions of each solution up to the logarithmic phase shift which appears for the Coulomb potential.

We are interested in determining the bound-state wave functions from the regular solutions. To do so, we must calculate the normalization factor (5.38). This requires determining the relative constant which appears when the regular and Jost solutions are evaluated at a zero of the Jost function. Using Eq. (A.6) one can show that

$$c_{n\ell} = \frac{H_{i\kappa_n\ell}^C(r)}{\varphi_{i\kappa_n\ell}^C(r)} = (-i)^{n+1} \frac{2(n+\ell)!}{\ell!} (-i\kappa_n)^{\ell+1}. \quad (5.63)$$

Additionally, we must use the fact that

$$\left. \frac{d}{dk} \mathcal{F}_\ell^C(k) \right|_{k=i\kappa_n} = i^n (-1)^{n-\ell} n(n-\ell-1)! \ell! (-i\kappa_n)^{-1} \quad (5.64)$$

It follows then that,

$$|N_{n\ell}|^2 = \frac{\mathcal{F}_\ell'(i\kappa_n)}{2c_{n\ell}(-i\kappa_n)^{\ell+1}} = \frac{n(\ell!)^2(n-\ell-1)!}{4(n+\ell)!} \frac{1}{\kappa_n^{2\ell+3}}. \quad (5.65)$$

The normalized bound-state solution is then found from Eqs. (5.39) and (5.56a)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_{n\ell}^C(r) &= \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell} \frac{\kappa_n^{1/2}}{\Gamma(2\ell+2)} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n+\ell+1)}{n\Gamma(n-\ell)}} (2\kappa_n r)^{\ell+1} e^{-\kappa_n r} {}_1F_1(1+\ell-n; 2\ell+2; +2\kappa_n r) \\ &= \sqrt{\mathcal{C}_\ell} \kappa_n^{1/2} \sqrt{\frac{\Gamma(n-\ell)}{n\Gamma(n+\ell+1)}} (2\kappa_n r)^{\ell+1} e^{-\kappa_n r} L_{n-\ell-1}^{2\ell+1}(2\kappa_n r). \end{aligned} \quad (5.66)$$

where we rewrote the expression in terms of associated Laguerre polynomials using Eq. (A.7). With the aid of Eq. (A.8), it is easy to show that $\mathcal{B}_{n\ell}^C(r)$ is normalized according to Eq. (5.30).

6 Optical potential

In a Hermitian theory, the contribution of inelastic processes to the self-energy kernel can be obtained by isolating the state of interest and integrating out all other degrees of freedom, namely the final states of the inelastic interactions, using the Feshbach projection [35, 36]. We review the standard derivation of the optical potential in this framework, and then extend it to express the anti-Hermitian kernel in terms of irreducible inelastic amplitudes. To our knowledge, this explicit representation has not previously appeared in the literature.

6.1 Feshbach projection

We consider the full Hermitian Hamiltonian of a system, $H_{\text{full}} = H_{\text{full}}^\dagger$, acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} decomposed into orthogonal subspaces, with projection operators P and Q ,

$$\mathcal{H} = P\mathcal{H} \oplus Q\mathcal{H}, \quad P + Q = 1, \quad P^2 = P, \quad Q^2 = Q, \quad PQ = 0, \quad (6.1)$$

i.e. a general state can be decomposed as

$$|\Psi\rangle = |\Psi_P\rangle + |\Psi_Q\rangle, \quad \text{where } |\Psi_P\rangle \equiv P|\Psi\rangle, \quad |\Psi_Q\rangle \equiv Q|\Psi\rangle. \quad (6.2)$$

Then, applying the two projection operators, P and Q , on the Schrödinger equation,

$$H_{\text{full}} |\Psi\rangle = E |\Psi\rangle, \quad (6.3a)$$

we obtain the projected equations

$$PH_{\text{full}}P|\Psi_P\rangle + PH_{\text{full}}Q|\Psi_Q\rangle = E|\Psi_P\rangle, \quad (6.3b)$$

$$QH_{\text{full}}P|\Psi_P\rangle + QH_{\text{full}}Q|\Psi_Q\rangle = E|\Psi_Q\rangle. \quad (6.3c)$$

We may solve Eq. (6.3c) for $|\Psi_Q\rangle$,

$$|\Psi_Q\rangle = \frac{1}{E - QH_{\text{full}}Q + i\epsilon} QH_{\text{full}}P|\Psi_P\rangle, \quad (6.4)$$

with the prescription $\epsilon \rightarrow 0^+$ ensuring an outgoing spherical wave. Substituting into Eq. (6.3b),

$$(PH_{\text{full}}P + V_{\text{opt}}) |\Psi_P\rangle = E |\Psi_P\rangle, \quad (6.5)$$

where V_{opt} is the optical potential,

$$V_{\text{opt}} \equiv PH_{\text{full}}Q \frac{1}{E - QH_{\text{full}}Q + i\epsilon} QH_{\text{full}}P. \quad (6.6)$$

Hermitian and anti-Hermitian contributions

As any operator, the optical potential can be decomposed into Hermitian and anti-Hermitian parts,

$$V_{\text{opt}} = V_H^{\text{opt}} + V_A^{\text{opt}}, \quad \text{where } V_H^{\text{opt}} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(V_{\text{opt}} + V_{\text{opt}}^\dagger), \quad V_A^{\text{opt}} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(V_{\text{opt}} - V_{\text{opt}}^\dagger). \quad (6.7)$$

Considering Eq. (6.6), and using

$$\frac{1}{x + i0^+} = \mathcal{P} \frac{1}{x} - i\pi\delta(x), \quad (6.8)$$

where \mathcal{P} denotes the Principal Value, we find

$$V_H^{\text{opt}} = PH_{\text{full}}Q \mathcal{P} \left(\frac{1}{E - QH_{\text{full}}Q} \right) QH_{\text{full}}P, \quad (6.9a)$$

$$V_A^{\text{opt}} = -i\pi PH_{\text{full}}Q \delta(E - QH_{\text{full}}Q) QH_{\text{full}}P. \quad (6.9b)$$

V_A^{opt} is the absorptive part of the optical potential. It is easy to see that iV_A^{opt} is positive semi-definite for any state in P space, $\langle P\Psi | iV_A^{\text{opt}} | P\Psi \rangle \geq 0$.

6.2 Absorptive potential in terms of irreducible inelastic amplitudes

Moving beyond the standard Feshbach formalism, we shall now project V_A^{opt} into P -space states, and aim to express it in terms of P -state interaction amplitudes.

Let χ^j be the eigenstates of $QH_{\text{full}}Q$. Considering that $Q^2 = Q$,

$$(QH_{\text{full}}Q)\chi^j = E^j \chi^j \xrightarrow{Q^2=Q} (QH_{\text{full}}Q)(Q\chi^j) = E^j (Q\chi^j). \quad (6.10)$$

If the $QH_{\text{full}}Q$ spectrum is non-degenerate, it follows that $Q\chi^j = \lambda_j \chi^j$ (no summation over j), i.e. χ^j are eigenstates of Q as well, with $\lambda_j = 1$. In the case of degeneracy, we may rotate the χ space to diagonalize $QH_{\text{full}}Q$ and Q simultaneously. The Q operator can thus be expanded as

$$Q = \sum_j \frac{1}{c^j} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} |\chi^j(\mathbf{k})\rangle_{\text{NR}} {}_{\text{NR}}\langle\chi^j(\mathbf{k})|, \quad (6.11)$$

where we assumed for simplicity that $|\chi^j(\mathbf{k})\rangle_{\text{NR}}$ are 2-particle states, with $\pm\mathbf{k}$ being the momenta of the constituent particles in the CM frame; for Q eigenstates of different particle multiplicity, the phase-space integration can be adapted accordingly. As previously, c^j is the symmetry factor of the state j . The index NR denotes the normalization of states in non-relativistic quantum mechanics, ${}_{\text{NR}}\langle\chi^i(\mathbf{k})|\chi^j(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})\rangle_{\text{NR}} = c^j(2\pi)^3\delta^3(\mathbf{k} - \tilde{\mathbf{k}})\delta^{ij}$ (without implying that $|\chi^j(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})\rangle_{\text{NR}}$ is non-relativistic). Inserting the above into Eq. (6.9b), and setting $\mathcal{T} \equiv QH_{\text{full}}P$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} V_A^{\text{opt}} &= -\frac{i}{2} \sum_{i,j} \frac{1}{c^i c^j} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{d^3\tilde{\mathbf{k}}}{(2\pi)^3} \mathcal{T}^\dagger |\chi^i(\mathbf{k})\rangle_{\text{NR}} {}_{\text{NR}}\langle\chi^i(\mathbf{k})| (2\pi)\delta(E - QH_{\text{full}}Q) |\chi^j(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})\rangle_{\text{NR}} {}_{\text{NR}}\langle\chi^j(\tilde{\mathbf{k}})| \mathcal{T} \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \sum_j \frac{1}{c^j} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^3} (2\pi)\delta(E - E^j) \mathcal{T}^\dagger |\chi^j(\mathbf{k})\rangle_{\text{NR}} {}_{\text{NR}}\langle\chi^j(\mathbf{k})| \mathcal{T} \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \sum_j \frac{1}{c^j} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^3} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^3} (2\pi)\delta(E - E^j) (2\pi)^3\delta^3(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \mathcal{T}^\dagger |\chi^j(\mathbf{k}_1)\rangle_{\text{NR}} {}_{\text{NR}}\langle\chi^j(\mathbf{k}_1)| \mathcal{T}, \end{aligned}$$

where in the third step, setting $\mathbf{k} \rightarrow \mathbf{k}_1$, we re-expressed the phase-space integration over intermediate states in the more standard form $\int d^3\mathbf{k}/(2\pi)^3 = \int d^3\mathbf{k}_1/(2\pi)^3 \int d^3\mathbf{k}_2/(2\pi)^3 (2\pi)^3\delta^3(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2)$.

Next, we project on incoming and outgoing P states, with CM momenta \mathbf{p}' and \mathbf{p} respectively. Taking into account that,

$${}_{\text{NR}}\langle\chi^j(\mathbf{k})|\mathcal{T}|\mathbf{p}\rangle_{\text{NR}} = \frac{\mathcal{A}^{\text{inel},j}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k})}{\sqrt{2E_1(\mathbf{p})2E_2(-\mathbf{p})2E_1^j(\mathbf{k}_1)2E_2^j(\mathbf{k}_2)}}, \quad (6.12a)$$

$${}_{\text{NR}}\langle\mathbf{p}|\mathcal{T}^\dagger|\chi^j(\mathbf{k})\rangle_{\text{NR}} = {}_{\text{NR}}\langle\chi^j(\mathbf{k})|\mathcal{T}|\mathbf{p}\rangle_{\text{NR}}^*, \quad (6.12b)$$

where $E_{1,2}$ and $E_{1,2}^j$ are the energies of the particles in the P and Q states, respectively, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} {}_{\text{NR}}\langle\mathbf{p}|\mathcal{V}_A^{\text{opt}}|\mathbf{p}'\rangle_{\text{NR}} &= -\frac{i}{2} \sum_j \frac{1}{c^j} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}_1}{(2\pi)^3 2E_1^j(\mathbf{k}_1)} \int \frac{d^3\mathbf{k}_2}{(2\pi)^3 2E_2^j(\mathbf{k}_2)} (2\pi)\delta(E - E^j) (2\pi)^3\delta^3(\mathbf{k}_1 + \mathbf{k}_2) \\ &\quad \times \frac{\mathcal{A}^{\text{inel},j*}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{k}) \mathcal{A}^{\text{inel},j}(\mathbf{p}', \mathbf{k})}{\sqrt{2E_1(\mathbf{p}')2E_2(-\mathbf{p}')2E_1(\mathbf{p})2E_2(-\mathbf{p})}}. \end{aligned} \quad (6.13)$$

Setting $E_1(\mathbf{p})E_2(-\mathbf{p}) \simeq E_1(\mathbf{p}')E_2(-\mathbf{p}') \simeq m_\tau\mu$ for non-relativistic P states, and recalling the definition of the phase-space measure (2.5), we arrive at

$${}_{\text{NR}}\langle\mathbf{p}|\mathcal{V}_A^{\text{opt}}|\mathbf{p}'\rangle_{\text{NR}} \simeq -\frac{i}{2} \sum_j \frac{1}{4m_\tau\mu} \int d\tau^j \mathcal{A}^{\text{inel},j*}(\mathbf{p}, \tau^j) \mathcal{A}^{\text{inel},j}(\mathbf{p}', \tau^j). \quad (6.14)$$

This reproduces the momentum-space potential obtained via the unitarity relation and the continuity equation [cf. Eqs. (2.22a), (3.1a) and (3.22)].

7 Discussion

Methodology

The unitarization method developed in Ref. [1] ensures that scattering amplitudes satisfy the coupled unitarity constraints governing elastic and inelastic processes. By properly resumming the inelastic contributions to the self-energy of the incoming state, in addition to those from purely elastic interactions, this approach establishes a precise relation between the regulated and unregulated amplitudes, computed with and without inclusion of the inelastic effects, respectively.

The consistency of the method relies on the form of the anti-Hermitian potential, expressed in terms of the irreducible inelastic vertices. As argued in Ref. [1], this specific form emanates from the unitarity relation underpinning the optical theorem. In the present work, we showed that it can also be derived from the continuity equation and from the Feshbach formalism. The anti-Hermitian potential – non-local but separable – gives rise to a Schrödinger equation that admits an analytic solution and yields a compact relation between the regulated and unregulated amplitudes, for both elastic and inelastic channels. Under suitable analyticity and convergence conditions, the regularization scheme depends solely on the unregulated inelastic amplitudes in a remarkably simple manner [1].

In this work, we have employed the analytical solution previously obtained in Ref. [1] to systematically encode the effects of possible non-analytic or non-convergent behavior of the unregulated inelastic amplitudes, as well as the presence of bound states. This behavior is encapsulated in the \mathbb{W}_ℓ matrix defined in Eq. (3.41), which enters the unitarization prescription through Eqs. (3.51). The \mathbb{W}_ℓ matrix can be evaluated in three equivalent ways:

- (i) By (numerically) computing the integral involving the unregulated inelastic amplitudes, given in Eq. (3.55), along the real momentum axis.
- (ii) Through contour integration of the expression (3.55) on the complex momentum plane, as in Eqs. (3.57), which makes manifest the contributions arising from their non-analyticities and from their divergent behavior at large momentum.
- (iii) Directly from the \mathbb{W}_ℓ definition (3.41), involving overlap integrals of the irreducible inelastic vertices with the regular and irregular wavefunctions of the $\mathcal{V}^{(0)}$ potential.

For amplitudes that are non-convergent at large momentum, we demonstrated a renormalization procedure within the unitarization scheme. An important outcome is that absorptive (anti-Hermitian) separable interactions necessarily induce Hermitian separable counterterms of the same structure, and these must be included for renormalization to succeed. In doing so, we have generalized the solution of Ref. [1], which, conversely, can now be utilized to handle Hermitian separable potentials, even in the absence of absorptive counterparts.

Phenomenology

Having developed this framework, it is now possible to apply the unitarization method to a broad range of cases. Let us return to the examples mentioned in the introduction, which have provided much of the phenomenological motivation for this and other related works [2–5].

Bound-state-formation amplitudes are ultra-soft and therefore fall rapidly at large momenta, giving a vanishing contribution from the large-momentum arc in Eq. (3.57c). They may nevertheless contain poles or branch cuts in the complex momentum plane, which yield finite contributions to the \mathbb{W}_ℓ matrix. These terms may be obtained either by contour integration, as in (3.57), or by evaluating (3.55) along the real momentum axis.

Regularizing bound-state formation is phenomenologically crucial when capture proceeds via emission of a scalar or vector boson that carries a conserved charge: without regularization, such processes violate unitarity severely at sufficiently low velocities, even for arbitrarily small couplings [14–16, 18–20]. Even when this pathology is absent, e.g. for capture via emission of an Abelian gauge boson or a neutral scalar, unregulated cross sections can exceed the unitarity bound at large but still perturbative couplings [11, 13, 22]. The unitarization procedure developed here applies in both situations, and requires minimal input: the unregulated bound-state formation cross sections. (The unregulated elastic cross sections are also required to obtain the regulated ones, but do not enter the regularization of the inelastic cross sections.)

Contact-type inelastic interactions (such as annihilation of non-relativistic particles into relativistic species), when calculated perturbatively, violate unitarity at large enough couplings that may still be well within the perturbative regime. The problem is ameliorated if the interacting particles couple via a long-range force (e.g. a Coulomb potential) that is integrated in the computation (see e.g. [11]), but persists nonetheless.

In these cases, resumming the irreducible inelastic interactions introduces divergences that can be absorbed into the \mathbb{W}_ℓ matrix and renormalized following the procedure described in Section 4. After renormalization, the resulting cross sections satisfy unitarity. The final expressions take a form analogous to Eqs. (4.23), potentially extended to a multi-channel framework, with the necessary inputs consisting of the unregulated inelastic cross sections together with the dispersive couplings induced by the inelastic vertices.

Sommerfeld (parametric) resonances arise when particles interact through a light but massive mediator and a bound level lies near threshold. For s -wave annihilation processes, these resonances drive the cross sections to $\sigma_{\ell=0}^{\text{ann}} \propto v_{\text{rel}}^{-3}$ at low velocities, thereby violating unitarity even at small couplings. Equivalently, the unregulated partial-wave amplitudes behave as $\mathcal{M}_{\ell=0, \text{unreg}} \sim 1/k$ at small momenta. (For higher partial waves, the centrifugal barrier removes the infrared divergence at very low momenta.)

Upon regularization, this infrared divergence is canceled by phase-space suppression in the integral of Eq. (3.55) and causes no problem. The ultraviolet issue due to the contact part of the interaction remains, and, as mentioned above, can be treated according to Section 4, with the result of unitarization and renormalization shown in Eqs. (4.23) for a single channel.

These examples have a wide range of phenomenological applications, including dark-matter production in the early universe and indirect-detection signals. We leave detailed phenomenological studies to future work, though we note Ref. [21], where the simplest version of the prescription [1] has already been applied to dark-matter freeze-out. We anticipate that the unitarization framework developed in Ref. [1], together with the extensions presented here, will prove useful across a range of physics beyond the Standard Model, and potentially also within the Standard Model, where long-standing interest in unitarization has motivated a variety of approaches, particularly in hadronic physics (see [37] for a recent review).

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the European Union’s Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement No 101002846, ERC CoG CosmoChart. MMF is supported through a FRIPRO grant of the Norwegian Research Council (project ID 353561 ‘DarkTurns’).

Appendices

A Mathematical formulas

A.1 Proof of identity

We aim to prove that

$$\sum_j w_{A,\ell}^j = w_\ell, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

with w_ℓ and $w_{A,\ell}$ defined in Eqs. (3.45a) and (3.45b). We first define $R \equiv (\mathbb{1} + \eta_\ell \mathbb{W}_\ell \eta_\ell)^{-1}$. Then, starting from the definition (3.45a) of $w_{A,\ell}^j$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_j w_{A,\ell}^j &= \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^\dagger \left[\eta_\ell^\dagger R^\dagger (\eta_\ell^\dagger)^{-1} (\eta_{A,\ell}^\dagger \eta_{A,\ell}) \eta_\ell^{-1} R \eta_\ell \right] \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}} \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^\dagger \left[\eta_\ell^\dagger R^\dagger (\eta_\ell^\dagger)^{-1} \eta_\ell^2 \eta_\ell^{-1} R \eta_\ell - \text{h.c.} \right] \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}} \\ &= -\frac{i}{2} \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^\dagger \left[\eta_\ell^\dagger R^\dagger (\eta_\ell^\dagger)^{-1} \eta_\ell R \eta_\ell - \text{h.c.} \right] \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.2})$$

where in the second line we used $\eta_{A,\ell}^\dagger \eta_{A,\ell} = \eta_{A,\ell}^2 = -(\frac{i}{2})[\eta_\ell^2 - (\eta_\ell^\dagger)^2]$ (cf. Eq. (3.32)). To proceed, we set $X \equiv \eta_\ell R \eta_\ell$ and $Y \equiv X^\dagger = \eta_\ell^\dagger R^\dagger \eta_\ell^\dagger$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} Y^{-1} - X^{-1} &= (\eta_\ell^\dagger)^{-1} (R^\dagger)^{-1} (\eta_\ell^\dagger)^{-1} - \eta_\ell^{-1} R^{-1} \eta_\ell^{-1} \\ &= \left[(\eta_\ell^\dagger)^{-2} + \mathbb{W}_\ell \right] - \left[\eta_\ell^{-2} + \mathbb{W}_\ell \right] = (\eta_\ell^\dagger)^{-2} - \eta_\ell^{-2}. \end{aligned}$$

Then, using the identity $X - Y = Y(Y^{-1} - X^{-1})X$, we find

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_\ell R \eta_\ell - \text{h.c.} &= X - Y \\ &= Y \left[(\eta_\ell^\dagger)^{-2} - \eta_\ell^{-2} \right] X \\ &= \eta_\ell^\dagger R^\dagger (\eta_\ell^\dagger)^{-1} \eta_\ell R \eta_\ell - \text{h.c.}, \end{aligned} \quad (\text{A.3})$$

The right-hand side of the above is the square brackets in the last lines of Eq. (A.2). Thus

$$\sum_j w_{A,\ell}^j = -\frac{i}{2} \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^\dagger [\eta_\ell R \eta_\ell - \text{h.c.}] \hat{M}_{\ell,\text{unreg}} \stackrel{\text{Eq. (3.45b)}}{=} w_\ell. \quad (\text{A.4})$$

A.2 Standard identities

The relevant Kummer's transformation for our work is [38]

$${}_1F_1(a, b, z) = e^z {}_1F_1(b - a, b, -z). \quad (\text{A.5})$$

For $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the confluent hypergeometric functions of the first and second kind are related [39],

$$U(-m, b, z) = (-1)^m \frac{\Gamma(b+m)}{\Gamma(b)} {}_1F_1(-m, b, z). \quad (\text{A.6})$$

For the Coulomb potential, it is standard to express the bound-state wave function in terms of the Laguerre polynomials using [40],

$${}_1F_1(-m, \alpha + 1, z) = \frac{\Gamma(\alpha + 1)\Gamma(m + 1)}{\Gamma(\alpha + m + 1)} L_m^\alpha(z), \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where the Laguerre polynomials are normalized to satisfy [41],

$$\int_0^\infty x^{\alpha+1} e^{-x} [L_n^\alpha(x)]^2 dx = \frac{\Gamma(n + \alpha + 1)}{\Gamma(n + 1)} (2n + \alpha + 1). \quad (\text{A.8})$$

B Notation

Symbol	Description	Defining Equation
$u_{k,\ell}^{(0)}(r)$	Reduced wave function for Hermitian potential $\mathcal{V}^{(0)}$	(3.12)
$u_{k,\ell}(r)$	Reduced wave function for total potential	(3.25)
$\varphi_{k,\ell}(r)$	The regular solution	(5.3)
$H_{k,\ell}(r)$	The Jost solution	(5.5)
$\mathcal{F}_{k,\ell}(r)$	The physical scattering-state solution	(5.21a)
$\mathcal{G}_{k,\ell}(r)$	The irregular scattering-state solution	(5.21d)
$\mathcal{H}_{k,\ell}^{(\pm)}(r)$	Outgoing/incoming spherical wave functions	(5.21b), (5.21c)
$\mathcal{B}_{n\ell}(r)$	Bound-state solution	(5.39)
$G_{k,\ell}(r, r')$	Radial Green's function	(3.14), (3.15)
$\ell_{\ell}(k)$	The Jost function	(5.9)
$\theta_{\ell}(k)$	Phase shift due to Hermitian potential $\mathcal{V}^{(0)}$	(5.24)
$\Delta_{\ell}(k)$	Total phase shift	Above (3.35)
$\mathbb{N}_{\ell}(k)$	Matrix relating regulated and unregulated inelastic amplitudes	(3.28)
$\mathbb{W}_{\ell}(k)$	Matrix encoding non-analytic and non-convergent behavior of unregulated inelastic amplitudes, contributing to $\mathbb{N}_{\ell}(k)$	(3.41)
$\mathcal{M}_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}^{\text{inel},j}$	ℓ -wave inelastic amplitude, channel j	(3.36)
$\mathcal{M}_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}^{\text{inel},j}$	ℓ -wave inelastic amplitude, channel j , momentum-rescaled	(3.37)
$\hat{\mathcal{M}}_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}^{\text{inel},j}$	ℓ -wave inelastic amplitude, channel j , modulo coupling strength	(3.38a)
$\hat{M}_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}^{\text{inel},j}$	ℓ -wave inelastic amplitude, channel j , modulo coupling strength, momentum-rescaled	(3.26), (3.38b)
$\sigma_{\ell}^U(k)$	The unitarity cross section	(2.13)
$x_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}$	(Un)regulated elastic cross section normalized to σ_{ℓ}^U	(3.47a)
$y_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}^j$	(Un)regulated inelastic cross section for channel j , normalized to σ_{ℓ}^U	(3.47b)
$y_{\ell,(\text{un})\text{reg}}$	(Un)regulated total inelastic cross section, normalized to σ_{ℓ}^U	(3.47c)
w_{ℓ}^j	Generalization of $y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}^j$ entering the regularization prescription	(3.45a)
w_{ℓ}	Generalization of $y_{\ell,\text{unreg}}$ entering the regularization prescription	(3.45b)
\tilde{w}_{ℓ}	Parameter encoding strength of Hermitian separable potentials, entering the regularization prescription	(3.45c)

References

- [1] Marcos M. Flores and Kalliopi Petraki. Unitarity in the non-relativistic regime and implications for dark matter. *Phys. Lett. B*, 858:139022, 2024.
- [2] Kfir Blum, Ryosuke Sato, and Tracy R. Slatyer. Self-consistent Calculation of the Sommerfeld Enhancement. *JCAP*, 1606(06):021, 2016.
- [3] Eric Braaten, Evan Johnson, and Hong Zhang. Zero-range effective field theory for resonant wino dark matter. Part III. Annihilation effects. *JHEP*, 05:062, 2018.
- [4] Aditya Parikh, Ryosuke Sato, and Tracy R. Slatyer. Regulating Sommerfeld resonances for multi-state systems and higher partial waves. 10 2024.
- [5] Yuki Watanabe. Unitarization of the Sommerfeld enhancement through the renormalization group. 8 2025.
- [6] Ufuk Aydemir, Mohamed M. Anber, and John F. Donoghue. Self-healing of unitarity in effective field theories and the onset of new physics. *Phys. Rev. D*, 86:014025, 2012.
- [7] Ayuki Kamada, Shin Kobayashi, and Takumi Kuwahara. Perturbative unitarity of strongly interacting massive particle models. *JHEP*, 02:217, 2023.
- [8] Junji Hisano, Shigeki Matsumoto, and Mihoko M. Nojiri. Explosive dark matter annihilation. *Phys.Rev.Lett.*, 92:031303, 2004.
- [9] Sean Tulin and Hai-Bo Yu. Dark Matter Self-interactions and Small Scale Structure. *Phys. Rept.*, 730:1–57, 2018.
- [10] Marcos M. Flores and Alexander Kusenko. Primordial Black Holes from Long-Range Scalar Forces and Scalar Radiative Cooling. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 126(4):041101, 2021.
- [11] Benedict von Harling and Kalliopi Petraki. Bound-state formation for thermal relic dark matter and unitarity. *JCAP*, 12:033, 2014.
- [12] Iason Baldes and Kalliopi Petraki. Asymmetric thermal-relic dark matter: Sommerfeld-enhanced freeze-out, annihilation signals and unitarity bounds. *JCAP*, 1709(09):028, 2017.
- [13] Kalliopi Petraki, Marieke Postma, and Jordy de Vries. Radiative bound-state-formation cross-sections for dark matter interacting via a Yukawa potential. *JHEP*, 04:077, 2017.
- [14] Ruben Oncala and Kalliopi Petraki. Dark matter bound state formation via emission of a charged scalar. *JHEP*, 02:036, 2020.
- [15] Ruben Oncala and Kalliopi Petraki. Bound states of WIMP dark matter in Higgs-portal models. Part II. Thermal decoupling. *JHEP*, 08:069, 2021.
- [16] Ruben Oncala and Kalliopi Petraki. Bound states of WIMP dark matter in Higgs-portal models. Part I. Cross-sections and transition rates. *JHEP*, 06:124, 2021.
- [17] Pyungwon Ko, Toshinori Matsui, and Yi-Lei Tang. Dark matter bound state formation in fermionic Z_2 DM model with light dark photon and dark Higgs boson. *JHEP*, 10:082, 2020.
- [18] Julia Harz and Kalliopi Petraki. Radiative bound-state formation in unbroken perturbative non-Abelian theories and implications for dark matter. *JHEP*, 07:096, 2018.

- [19] Tobias Binder, Mathias Garny, Jan Heisig, Stefan Lederer, and Kai Urban. Excited bound states and their role in dark matter production. *Phys. Rev. D*, 108(9):095030, 2023.
- [20] Martin Beneke, Tobias Binder, Lorenzo de Ros, Mathias Garny, and Stefan Lederer. Perturbative unitarity violation in radiative capture transitions to dark matter bound states. *JHEP*, 02:189, 2025.
- [21] Kalliopi Petraki, Anna Socha, and Christiana Vasilaki. The role of unitarisation on dark-matter freeze-out via metastable bound states. *JCAP*, 09:026, 2025.
- [22] Kalliopi Petraki, Marieke Postma, and Michael Wiechers. Dark-matter bound states from Feynman diagrams. *JHEP*, 1506:128, 2015.
- [23] Yoshio Yamaguchi. Two nucleon problem when the potential is nonlocal but separable. 1. *Phys. Rev.*, 95:1628–1634, 1954.
- [24] Tosio Kato. *Perturbation Theory for Linear Operators*, volume 132 of *Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 2 edition, 1980.
- [25] Jack Sherman and Winifred J. Morrison. Adjustment of an Inverse Matrix Corresponding to a Change in One Element of a Given Matrix. *The Annals of Mathematical Statistics*, 21(1):124 – 127, 1950.
- [26] V. B. Berestetskii, E. M. Lifshitz, and L. P. Pitaevskii. *Relativistic Quantum Theory, Part I*, volume 4 of *Course of Theoretical Physics*. Pergamon Press, 1971. Translated from the Russian by J. B. Sykes and J. S. Bell.
- [27] David B. Kaplan. Five lectures on effective field theory. 10 2005.
- [28] Paulo F. Bedaque and Ubirajara van Kolck. Effective field theory for few nucleon systems. *Ann. Rev. Nucl. Part. Sci.*, 52:339–396, 2002.
- [29] Roger G. Newton. Analytic Properties of Radial Wave Functions. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 1(4):319–347, April 1960.
- [30] Khosrow Chadan and Pierre C. Sabatier. *Inverse Problems in Quantum Scattering Theory*. Theoretical and Mathematical Physics. Springer, 1977.
- [31] Roger G. Newton. *Scattering Theory of Waves and Particles*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2nd edition, 1982.
- [32] Sergei A Rakityansky. *Jost functions in quantum mechanics*. Springer, 2022.
- [33] S. Cassel. Sommerfeld factor for arbitrary partial wave processes. *J. Phys. G*, 37:105009, 2010.
- [34] Frank W. J. Olver, Daniel W. Lozier, Ronald F. Boisvert, and Charles W. Clark. NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, §13.2, 2024. National Institute of Standards and Technology. Release 1.1.10, May 2024.
- [35] Herman Feshbach. Unified theory of nuclear reactions. *Annals Phys.*, 5:357–390, 1958.
- [36] Herman Feshbach. A unified theory of nuclear reactions ii. *Ann. Phys.*, 19:287–313, 1962.

- [37] J. A. Oller. Unitarization Technics in Hadron Physics with Historical Remarks. *Symmetry*, 12(7):1114, 2020.
- [38] Frank W. J. Olver, Daniel W. Lozier, Ronald F. Boisvert, and Charles W. Clark. NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, §13.2.39, 2024. National Institute of Standards and Technology. Release 1.1.10, May 2024.
- [39] Frank W. J. Olver, Daniel W. Lozier, Ronald F. Boisvert, and Charles W. Clark. NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, §13.2.7, 2024. National Institute of Standards and Technology. Release 1.1.10, May 2024.
- [40] Frank W. J. Olver, Daniel W. Lozier, Ronald F. Boisvert, and Charles W. Clark. NIST Digital Library of Mathematical Functions, §13.6.19, 2024. National Institute of Standards and Technology. Release 1.1.10, May 2024.
- [41] George B Arfken, Hans J Weber, and Frank E Harris. *Mathematical methods for physicists: a comprehensive guide*. Academic press, 2011.