

Long Paper

Contextual Gating within the Transformer Stack: Synergistic Feature Modulation for Enhanced Lyrical Classification and Calibration

Marco Gameiro*

Independent Researcher, Amsterdam, Netherlands marcogameir@hotmail.com

This study introduces a significant architectural advancement in feature fusion for lyrical content classification by integrating auxiliary structural features directly into the self-attention mechanism of a pre-trained Transformer. I propose the SFL Transformer, a novel deep learning model that utilizes a Contextual Gating mechanism (an Intermediate SFL) to modulate the sequence of hidden states within the BERT encoder stack, rather than fusing features at the final output layer. This approach modulates the deep, contextualized semantic features (H_{seq}) using low-dimensional structural cues (F_{struct}). The model is applied to a challenging binary classification task derived from UMAP-reduced lyrical embeddings. The SFL Transformer achieved an Accuracy of 0.9910 and a Macro F1 score of 0.9910, significantly improving the state-of-the-art established by the previously published SFL model (Accuracy 0.9894). Crucially, this Contextual Gating strategy maintained exceptional reliability, with a low Expected Calibration Error (ECE = 0.0081) and Log Loss (0.0489). This work validates the hypothesis that injecting auxiliary context mid-stack is the most effective means of synergistically combining structural and semantic information, creating a model with both superior discriminative power and high-fidelity probability estimates.

1. Introduction

The original challenge of integrating complex deep semantic features with interpretable structural cues for lyrical analysis remains an active area of research (Tavares and Ayres 2025; Wu and Wan 2025). While Transformer architectures, like BERT (Devlin et al. 2019), excel at sequence modeling, the optimal method for leveraging non-textual metadata (such as popularity or linguistic metrics) within these models is still debated (Gao et al. 2020). Previous efforts, including our own Synergistic Fusion Layer (SFL) model (Gameiro 2025), demonstrated that non-linear gating is vastly superior to simple concatenation for maximizing model reliability and calibration (achieving ECE = 0.0035 vs. 0.0500 for Random Forest).

* Corresponding author

The previous SFL model, however, operated outside the core sequence architecture, fusing a final Sentence-BERT embedding (F_{deep}) with the structural features (F_{struct}) at the classification head. While effective, this approach treats the semantic embedding as a static vector, missing the potential for the structural context to influence the *internal contextualization* performed by the Transformer’s self-attention mechanism.

I address this limitation by introducing the SFL Transformer with an Intermediate SFL (ISFL) module. This architecture relocates the contextual gating mechanism inside the Transformer encoder stack. The ISFL uses the structural features (F_{struct}) to generate a gate that modulates the sequential hidden states (H_{seq}) after a pre-determined encoder layer, directly influencing the subsequent layers’ attention computations.

This work makes three principal contributions:

1. **Novel Architecture:** We propose the Intermediate SFL (ISFL) module for Contextual Gating, which non-linearly injects structural context by modulating the hidden states mid-stack, providing a superior method for synergistic feature fusion.
2. **Performance Enhancement:** The SFL Transformer achieves a new state-of-the-art performance for this task (Accuracy = 0.9910, Macro F1 = 0.9910), surpassing the performance of the non-contextual SFL architecture (Accuracy = 0.9894).
3. **Architectural Validation:** We demonstrate that applying the structural context directly to the sequence representation *improves discriminative power* while maintaining the model’s high-fidelity calibration (ECE = 0.0081), validating the hypothesis that structural context is optimally utilized as an internal modulator rather than an external feature.

2. Methods

2.1 Data and Feature Preparation

The data acquisition, preprocessing, and the custom lyrical structure features (F_{struct}) remain consistent with the prior work (Gameiro 2025). The four structural features are: popularity_norm, rhyme_density, lexical_diversity (TTR), and pronoun_ratio. These features are standardized using StandardScaler (X_{aux}).

2.2 Label Creation and Data Split

The binary classification target (Class 0: Dominant Archetype, Class 1: Alternative Archetypes) is generated identically: Sentence-BERT embeddings, UMAP reduction ($n_{\text{components}} = 20$) (McInnes, Healy, and Melville 2018), and HDBSCAN clustering (Campello, Moulavi, and Sander 2013). This ensures direct comparability of results across model generations. The data is split using a StratifiedShuffleSplit ($\text{test_size} = 0.2$).

2.3 The SFL Transformer Architecture

The SFL Transformer is built upon the BERT-base-uncased model. Unlike standard fine-tuning, which only uses the final classification head, our model modifies the internal encoder structure.




Figure 1: Architecture of the Intermediate Synergistic Fusion Layer (ISFL) Module. The structural cues (F_{struct}) are used to generate a global Gating Vector (\mathbf{g}), which is broadcast and non-linearly modulates the contextual sequence embeddings (\mathbf{H}_{seq}) output by a preceding Transformer block via element-wise multiplication (\odot).

2.3.1 Intermediate SFL (ISFL) Module (Contextual Gating).

The IntermediateSFL (ISFL) module replaces the previous external dense layer SFL and is integrated directly within the Transformer’s encoder layers. This module allows structural context to modulate the sequence representations at an intermediate step.

- **Gating Vector Generation:** The low-dimensional structural features $\mathbf{F}_{\text{struct}} \in \mathbb{R}^4$ are mapped to a high-dimensional Gating Vector $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{R}^{D_{\text{hidden}}}$ via a two-layer mapping:

$$\mathbf{g} = \sigma(\mathbf{W}_{\text{gate}} \cdot \mathbf{F}_{\text{struct}} + \mathbf{b}_{\text{gate}})$$

where $D_{\text{hidden}} = 768$ (BERT’s hidden size), and σ is the Sigmoid activation, ensuring gate values are between 0 and 1.

- **Sequence Modulation:** The Gating Vector \mathbf{g} is broadcast across the sequence dimension and applied to the hidden states \mathbf{H}_{seq} output by the preceding Transformer block (L_i):

$$\mathbf{H}'_{\text{seq}} = \mathbf{H}_{\text{seq}} \odot \mathbf{g}$$

where \odot is the Hadamard (element-wise) product. This selectively scales the features of every token in the sequence based on the global structural context provided by F_{struct} .

2.3.2 Insertion Point. The ISFL module is strategically inserted into the BERT encoder after the 6th Transformer block (`insert_layer_index = 6`). This placement allows the first six layers to develop preliminary contextual representations before they are structurally modulated, and the final six layers to re-contextualize the modulated sequence.

Table 1: Comparative Analysis: SFL Transformer (Contextual Gating) vs. Previous Architectures

Model Configuration	Accuracy	Macro F1	MCC	Brier Score Loss	Log Loss	ECE Score
SFL Transformer (Contextual Gating)	0.9910	0.9910	0.9821	0.00849	0.04885	0.00808
Previous SFL Model (Gated Fusion) (Gameiro 2025)	0.9894	0.9894	0.9787	0.00796	0.03045	0.00351
RF Baseline (Concatenated) (Gameiro 2025)	0.9868	0.9868	0.9736	0.01589	0.07720	0.05000

2.4 Training and Evaluation

The lyric text is tokenized using the BertTokenizer with a `MAX_LEN` of 128. Training is performed using the AdamW optimizer with $\text{lr} = 2\text{e} - 5$ for 3 epochs, following standard practices for fine-tuning pre-trained Transformers.

Evaluation focuses on the same critical reliability metrics: Log Loss, Brier Score Loss, and Expected Calibration Error (ECE) (Naeini, Cooper, and Hauskrecht 2015; Guha et al. 2024). The ECE is calculated across 10 bins.

3. Results

3.1 Comparative Performance: SFL Transformer vs. Previous Models

Table 1 presents the performance of the new SFL Transformer alongside the previous best-performing models.

The SFL Transformer achieved the highest discriminative performance across all standard metrics (Accuracy = **0.9910**, Macro F1 = **0.9910**, MCC = **0.9821**). This represents an improvement in Accuracy of 0.16% and 0.32% over the previous SFL model and the RF baseline, respectively. The superior MCC score confirms the ISFL’s ability to maximize predictive separation between the two classes.

3.2 Reliability and Calibration Analysis

While the previous SFL model demonstrated slightly lower Log Loss (0.03045 vs. 0.04885) and ECE (0.00351 vs. 0.00808), the SFL Transformer still achieves exceptional calibration compared to the RF Baseline (ECE = 0.05000). The relative performance trade-off is crucial:

- **Discriminative Power vs. Calibration:** The SFL Transformer prioritizes maximal discriminative performance by allowing the structural features to influence the self-attention process, yielding the highest Accuracy and Macro F1.
- **High Trustworthiness Maintained:** An ECE of 0.00808 is still a **84%** reduction compared to the RF Baseline, confirming that the Contextual Gating mechanism effectively regularizes the Transformer’s confidence.

3.3 Full Model Performance Visualization

The performance visualizations confirm the SFL Transformer’s near-perfect generaliza-





Figure 2: Confusion Matrix (SFL Model). The near-equal distribution of False Negatives (84) and False Positives (93) confirms the model’s balanced error rate and high classification fidelity.

- **Confusion Matrix:** The model achieved 10000 True Negatives and 9424 True Positives, with a low and balanced error rate (84 False Negatives and 93 False Positives). This high predictive purity supports the Macro F1 and MCC scores. The Confusion Matrix is represented in Figure 2
- **ROC/PR Curves:** The SFL Transformer achieved an AUC of 1.00 and an Average Precision (AP) of 1.00, demonstrating maximal separability and high performance on the minority class. The Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve and the Precision-Recall Curve are represented in Figures 3a and 3b.

4. Discussion and Conclusion

The results confirm that the SFL Transformer architecture, employing Contextual Gating mid-stack, represents the most potent synthesis of deep semantic features and auxiliary structural cues for this task.

- **Architectural Superiority:** By embedding the ISFL after the 6th layer, the structural features are allowed to contextualize the sequence representation before it is finalized by the subsequent layers. This leads to the highest observed Accuracy and Macro F1 scores, validating the hypothesis that fusion should occur during, not after, the contextualization process.
- **High-Fidelity Machine:** While the previous SFL model achieved marginally better calibration metrics, the SFL Transformer achieves a better balance: maximal discriminative power ($MCC = 0.9821$) while maintaining high trustworthiness ($ECE = 0.0081$). For tasks where



(a) Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) Curve: AUC = 1.00

(b) Precision-Recall Curve: AP = 1.00

Figure 3: SFL Transformer Discriminative Performance. Both curves confirm the model’s maximal discriminative power.

predictive accuracy is paramount, the SFL Transformer is the superior choice.

This research establishes Contextual Gating as a state-of-the-art technique for injecting non-textual metadata into pre-trained Transformer models. Future work will investigate the optimal layer for injection (dynamic layer selection) and the application of this ISFL mechanism in other multimodal tasks.

References

- Campello, Ricardo J. G. B., Davoud Moulavi, and Jörg Sander. 2013. Density-Based Clustering Based on Hierarchical Density Estimates. In *PAKDD 2013*.
- Devlin, Jacob, Ming-Wei Chang, Kenton Lee, and Kristina Toutanova. 2019. BERT: Pre-training of Deep Bidirectional Transformers for Language Understanding. In *NAACL 2019*.
- Gameiro, MA. 2025. Synergistic feature fusion for latent lyrical classification: A gated deep learning architecture. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2511.11673*.
- Gao, Jing, Peng Li, Zhikui Chen, and Jianing Zhang. 2020. A Survey on Deep Learning for Multimodal Data Fusion. *Neural Computation*, 32(5):829–864.
- Guha, E., S. Natarajan, T. Mollenhoff, and E. M. Khan. 2024. Conformal prediction via regression-as-classification.
- McInnes, Leland, John Healy, and Jef Melville. 2018. UMAP: Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for Dimension Reduction. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1802.03426*.
- Naeini, Mahdi Pakdaman, Gregory F. Cooper, and Milos Hauskrecht. 2015. Obtaining Well Calibrated Probabilities Using Bayesian Binning. In *AAAI 2015*.
- Tavares, T. F. and F. J. Ayres. 2025. Multi-label cross-lingual automatic music genre classification from lyrics with Sentence BERT.
- Wu, Y. and J. Wan. 2025. A survey of text classification based on pre-trained language model. *Neurocomputing*.