

# On the $C^2$ -local systolic optimality of Zoll odd-symplectic forms

Samanyu Sanjay

RWTH Aachen

## Abstract

An odd-symplectic form is a closed and maximally non-degenerate 2-form on a compact odd-dimensional manifold. It describes the dynamics of an autonomous Hamiltonian system on a regular energy level. It is called Zoll if the induced dynamics is a free circle action, up to a global time reparameterization. This article establishes a normal form theorem for odd-symplectic forms close to a Zoll one and cohomologous to it, which is then used to prove that Zoll odd-symplectic forms are the local maximizers of the associated systolic ratio. This generalizes the known systolic optimality of Zoll contact forms in the  $C^3$ -topology. As an application, local systolic inequalities are established in symplectic manifolds for hypersurfaces close to Zoll ones. In particular, this applies to certain non-exact twisted cotangent bundles of manifolds of dimension greater than two.

## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Motivation from metric and contact geometry

This work is concerned with the study of a dynamical analogue of the  $\pi_1$ -systolic ratio, a very well-studied quantity in metric geometry. Consider a closed, connected smooth manifold  $W$  of dimension  $n$ . If  $g$  is a smooth Riemannian metric on  $W$ , the associated systolic ratio is

$$\rho_{\text{sys}}(g) := \frac{\text{sys}^n(g)}{\text{Vol}(g)} \quad (1)$$

where  $\text{sys}(g)$  is the  $g$ -length of the shortest non-constant closed  $g$ -geodesic and  $\text{Vol}(g)$  is the Riemannian volume of  $W$  computed with respect to the volume form of the metric  $g$ . The key question in the field is to find an upper bound for the systolic ratio of metrics  $g$  on  $W$  which only depends on  $W$  but not on the specific metric  $g$ . Such a uniform bound has been established on surfaces (see

[Kat07] and [Ber03, Section 7.2]) and for the class of essential manifolds in any dimension ([Gro83]). The book [Kat07] provides more details about the history and development of systolic geometry.

Finding the sharpest upper bound for the systolic ratio on  $W$ , deciding if the bound is attained, and characterizing the (possibly non-smooth) metrics realizing the bound are all intriguing questions whose answer is known in just a handful of cases (see [Pu52], [Bav86]).

Croke explored this problem on  $S^2$  in [Cro88] and showed that the upper-bound was finite. Interestingly, the round metric  $g_0$  is not a *global* maximizer of the systolic ratio on  $S^2$ . However, Balacheff showed in [Bal06] that  $g_0$  is a *local* maximizer of the systolic ratio in an appropriate topology. Along with Babenko he then conjectured that Zoll metrics on  $S^2$  were the local maximizers of this ratio in the  $C^2$ -topology [ABHSa17]. A metric on  $S^2$  is called *Zoll* if its geodesic flow induces a free  $S^1$ -action on the cosphere bundle  $S^*S^2$ . Recall that the cosphere bundle of a Riemannian manifold  $(W, g)$ , denoted by  $S^*W$ , is the set of unit covectors in  $T^*W$  and that its geodesic flow is the flow of the Hamiltonian vector field determined by the metric Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{kin}}$  and canonical symplectic form  $d\lambda$  that is, the vector field  $X_{H_{\text{kin}}}$  uniquely defined by the following equation:

$$\iota_{X_{H_{\text{kin}}}} d\lambda = dH_{\text{kin}} \quad (2)$$

where  $\lambda := pdq$  is the Liouville 1-form on  $T^*W$  and the metric Hamiltonian is defined in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\text{kin}} : T^*W &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (p, q) &\mapsto \frac{\|p\|_g^2}{2} \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The restriction of the 2-form  $d\lambda$  to the hypersurface  $S^*W \hookrightarrow T^*W$  in the symplectic manifold  $(T^*W, d\lambda)$  is an instance of a contact form. More precisely, a pair  $(\Sigma, \Omega)$  made up of a closed, connected orientable manifold  $\Sigma$  of dimension  $2n-1$  and a closed 2-form  $\Omega \in \Omega^2(\Sigma)$  is said to satisfy the contact type condition if  $\Omega$  is exact that is, if  $\Omega = d\alpha$  for some  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$  and if the  $2n-1$ -form  $\alpha \wedge d\alpha^{n-1}$  is everywhere positive  $\Sigma$  [Wei79]. In such a situation, the distribution  $\xi := \ker(\alpha)$  is called a contact structure on  $\Sigma$  and the pair  $(\Sigma, \xi)$  is called a contact manifold and  $\alpha$  a contact form defining the contact structure  $\xi$  on  $M$ . The Reeb vector field of  $\alpha$  is the vector field  $R_\alpha$  on  $\Sigma$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\alpha(R_\alpha) \equiv 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \iota_{R_\alpha} d\alpha \equiv 0 \quad (4)$$

A flow line of  $R_\alpha$  on  $M$  is called a Reeb orbit of the contact form  $\alpha$ . A contact form  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$  is called *Zoll* if the flow of  $R_\alpha$  induces a free  $S^1$ -action on  $\Sigma$ .

So, contact Reeb flows generalize geodesic flows. This motivates us to define the contact systolic ratio to be the following ([ABH18], [APB14]):

$$\rho_{\text{sys}}(\alpha) := \frac{T_{\min}(\alpha)^n}{\text{Vol}(\alpha)} \quad (5)$$

where  $T_{\min}(\alpha)$  is the minimal period of a periodic orbit of the Reeb vector field associated with  $\alpha$  and  $\text{Vol}(\alpha) := \int_{\Sigma} \alpha \wedge d\alpha^{n-1}$  is the contact volume. If a contact form  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$  does not admit any closed Reeb orbits we set  $\rho_{\text{sys}}(\alpha) = +\infty$ .

Since the dynamics of a contact form defining a tight contact structure on the lens space  $S^*S^2$  is *uniquely* determined by its lift to the double cover  $S^3$  (for any  $g \in \mathcal{M}(S^2)$ ) [HLS15] (see also [HWZ95] and [HWZ99]), the conjecture of Babenko and Balacheff motivated Abbondandolo, Bramham, Hryniewicz, and Salomão to study the local behavior (in the  $C^3$ -topology) of the contact systolic ratio on the set of contact forms defining the standard contact structure on  $S^3$ . Indeed, using tools from symplectic and contact geometry, they were able to show in [ABH18] that Zoll contact forms on  $S^3$  were the  $C^3$ -local maximizers of the contact systolic ratio on the set of contact forms defining the standard contact structure on  $S^3$ . Using these techniques, they were also able to construct counterexamples to this statement in the  $C_{\text{loc}}^0$ -topology. In [BK21] Benedetti and Kang showed that Zoll contact forms maximized the contact systolic ratio in dimension 3. Abbondandolo and Benedetti proved the higher dimensional analog of this result in [AB23]. Finally, in [ABE23], Abbondandolo, Benedetti, and Edtmair showed that Zoll contact forms were the  $C^2$ -local maximizers of the contact systolic ratio on any contact manifold. The same authors also construct counterexamples to the  $C^1$ -local systolic optimality of Zoll contact forms.

The purpose of this work is to show that Zoll odd-symplectic forms are the  $C^2$ -local maximizers of the associated systolic ratio. The main result of this work is stated as Theorem 1.4 below.

## 1.2 Odd-symplectic systolic geometry: The Main Theorem

**Definition 1.1.** *A closed 2-form  $\Omega$  on a closed and oriented smooth manifold  $\Sigma$  of an odd dimension strictly greater than 1 is called an **odd-symplectic form** if it has a 1-dimensional kernel at every point.*

We would now like to define the notion of a Zoll odd-symplectic form in analogy with the notion of a Zoll contact form. Toward that end, we first define the characteristic distribution of an odd-symplectic form.

**Definition 1.2.** *Any odd-symplectic form  $\Omega$  on a manifold  $\Sigma$  determines a one-dimensional distribution  $\ker(\Omega) \subset T\Sigma$ , we call this the **characteristic distribution** of  $\Omega$ .*

Being one-dimensional, the characteristic distribution of an odd-symplectic form is always involutive, and as a result, it induces a foliation of  $\Sigma$  by smooth, embedded 1-dimensional submanifolds. This foliation is called the **characteristic foliation** of  $\Omega$ .

Given an odd-symplectic manifold  $(\Sigma, \Omega)$  such that  $\Sigma$  is orientable, upon fixing an orientation of  $\Sigma$ , this choice of orientation and the  $2n - 2$ -form  $\Omega^{n-1}$  define an orientation on the leaves of the characteristic foliation of  $\Omega$ .

**Definition 1.3.** *An odd-symplectic form  $\Omega \in \Omega^2(\Sigma)$  on an oriented smooth manifold  $\Sigma$  is called **Zoll** if the oriented leaves of its characteristic foliation correspond with the oriented fibers of an  $S^1$ -bundle with total space  $\Sigma$  under an orientation-preserving diffeomorphism of  $\Sigma$ .*

Examples of Zoll odd-symplectic forms are constructed in Section 3. The main result of this work is the following. Its proof can be found in Section 2. Below, we will make use of the following notational convention: given a smooth manifold  $\Sigma$  and a cohomology class  $B_0 \in H^k(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ , we will denote by  $\Xi_{B_0}^k(\Sigma) \subset \Omega^k(\Sigma)$  the set of representatives of this class.

**Theorem 1.4.** *Consider a closed oriented  $2n - 1$ -dimensional smooth manifold  $\Sigma$  for  $n \geq 2$  admitting a cohomology class  $C_0 \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$  that can be represented by a Zoll odd-symplectic form  $\Omega_0$ . Then, there exists a  $C^2$ -neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$  of  $\Omega_0$  such that for any odd-symplectic form  $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}$  the following inequalities hold:*

$$P(\inf \mathcal{A}_\Omega) \leq \text{Vol}_{\Omega_0}(\Omega) \leq P(\sup \mathcal{A}_\Omega) \quad (6)$$

*with equality holding if and only if  $\Omega$  is Zoll. In particular, if  $\text{Vol}_{\Omega_0}(\Omega) = 0$  then, the following inequality holds:*

$$\inf \mathcal{A}_\Omega \leq 0 \quad (7)$$

*with equality holding if and only if  $\Omega$  is Zoll.*

In Theorem 1.4 above,  $\mathcal{A}_\Omega$  denotes the Hamiltonian action of the odd-symplectic form  $\Omega$  (it is introduced in Section 1.2.1 below), and  $P$  is a polynomial called the Zoll polynomial; it is monotonic close to  $\inf \mathcal{A}_\Omega$  if  $\Omega$  is sufficiently  $C^1$ -close to  $\Omega_0$ . This polynomial can be thought of as being motivated by the polynomial expression appearing in Weyl's tube formula [BH21]; we introduce it in Section 1.2.3 below.  $\text{Vol}_{\Omega_0}(\Omega)$  is a volume-like average of  $\Omega$  that Benedetti and Kang introduced in [BK20] and can be thought of as a generalization of the Chern-Simons functional for  $S^1$ -bundles [BK20, Remark 2.1]; this volume function is introduced in Section 1.2.2 below. Applications of Theorem 1.4 to the study of autonomous Hamiltonian systems are considered in Section 1.3.

**Remark 1.5.** *In [BK20] Benedetti and Kang illustrate that in the contact case, the above inequality reduces to the local contact systolic inequality in the  $C^3$ -topology. They also prove the above theorem for a sub-class of odd-symplectic*

forms associated with a certain class of quasi-autonomous Hamiltonians. They also conjecture that the statement of Theorem 1.4 should be true in the  $C^k$ -topology for  $k \geq 1$  [BK20, Conjecture 1]. In forthcoming work [San] we establish this conjecture of Benedetti and Kang also in the  $C^1$ -topology and construct  $C^0$ -counter-examples in every cohomology class admitting a Zoll odd-symplectic form.

The proof of Theorem 1.4 relies on two main technical results; the first is a normal form theorem stated as Theorem 1.10 below. This result was motivated by the normal form theorem for contact forms of Abbondandolo and Benedetti in [AB23]. It shows that any cohomologous odd-symplectic form that is sufficiently close to a Zoll one admits a convenient decomposition in terms of this Zoll odd-symplectic form and an exact 2-form that can be parametrized by the action. Similar to the work of Abbondandolo and Benedetti, this normal form theorem allows us to prove a variational principle that grants a strictly positive lower bound on the number of closed leaves of the characteristic foliation of an odd-symplectic form that is sufficiently close to a Zoll one; this result is stated as Corollary 1.11 below. The second technical result required to prove Theorem 1.4 is a formula for the function  $Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega)$  which is stated as Theorem 1.12 below.

We preface the definitions of the quantities in (6) with a brief discussion of the geometric set up: it follows from the definition of a Zoll odd-symplectic form that any oriented Zoll odd-symplectic manifold  $(\Sigma, \Omega_0)$  determines an isomorphism class of oriented  $S^1$ -bundles over a symplectic manifold  $(M, \omega)$ . We will call any representative of this isomorphism class an  $S^1$ -bundle *associated with*  $\Omega_0$ . For the remainder of this section, we fix a closed, oriented Zoll odd-symplectic manifold  $(\Sigma, \Omega_0)$  and an  $S^1$ -bundle  $\Sigma \xrightarrow{p} M$  associated with  $\Omega_0$  and a connection 1-form  $\alpha_0 \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$  for this  $S^1$ -bundle. We will denote by  $C_0 \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$  the cohomology class represented by  $\Omega_0$ . We will also assume that  $\Omega_0$  was chosen so that the cohomology class  $C_0$  satisfies the condition  $C_0^{n-1} = 0$  (c.f Remark 1.6). We note here that this assumption is only for a convenient presentation of the definitions that follow; the normal form theorem and the associated variational principle referenced above work independently of this assumption.

### 1.2.1 The Action

In this section, we outline a variational principle that allows us to look for closed leaves of the characteristic foliation of an odd-symplectic form  $\Omega \in \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$  that is  $C^1$ -close to  $\Omega_0$ . By Lemma 10.2 and [AB23, Proposition B.2], we expect to find such leaves in a small neighborhood of the fibers of the  $S^1$ -bundle  $\Sigma \xrightarrow{p} M$  associated with  $\Omega_0$  in the loop space  $\Lambda(\Sigma)$  i.e, the set of smooth  $(C^\infty)$ , embedded loops in  $\Sigma$ . More precisely, given a point  $x \in \Sigma$ , we denote by  $p_x$  the  $S^1$ -fiber through it, we call a neighborhood  $p_x \subset \mathcal{B}_x$  *good* if there exists a Darboux chart  $\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{p(x)} \subset M$  such that  $\mathcal{B}_x \subset p^{-1}(\tilde{\mathcal{B}}_{p(x)})$ .

Given a loop  $\gamma_x \subset \Sigma$  passing through  $x$ , we say that it is a *short loop* if there exists a good neighborhood  $\mathcal{B}_x$  of the fiber  $p_x$  such that  $\gamma_x \subset \mathcal{B}_x$ . Upon denoting by  $\mathfrak{h} \in \pi_1(\Sigma)$  the homotopy class represented by the fibers of the fixed  $S^1$ -bundle associated with  $\Omega_0$ , we will denote by  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Sigma)$  the set of short loops in  $\Sigma$ . By the inverse function theorem, we know this is an open subset of  $\Lambda(\Sigma)$  [GK02]. In the sequel, we will always suppress the base point  $x \in \Sigma$  of the loop  $\gamma_x$  in the notation.

Upon fixing an arbitrary representative  $\Omega_* \in \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$ , for any odd-symplectic form  $\Omega \in \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$  there exists an  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$  such that  $\Omega = \Omega_* + d\alpha$ , we define the action  $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}$  of  $\Omega$  in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(\gamma) : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Sigma) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \gamma &\mapsto \int_{\gamma} \alpha + \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma}} \Omega_* + d\alpha \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

where  $\Gamma_{\gamma}$  is an embedded cylinder such that  $\Gamma_{\gamma} \subset \mathcal{B}_x$  that is obtained parameterizing a homotopy from  $\gamma$  to an  $S^1$ -fiber. We will call such cylinders *short cylinders*.

In [BK20], it is shown that the above function is well defined and that it is invariant when pulled back by diffeomorphisms that are isotopic to the identity.

The relevance of the action functional defined in (8) is that a loop  $\gamma \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Sigma)$  is a critical point of  $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}$  if and only if it is a leaf of the characteristic foliation of  $\Omega$ . This was proven in [BK20, Corollary 6.5]. In Corollary 1.11, we will use this functional to show that for any odd-symplectic form  $\Omega \in \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$  that is sufficiently close to  $\Omega_0$ ,  $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}$  has a strictly positive number of critical points in  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Sigma)$ .

We denote by  $\chi(\Omega)$  the set of leaves of the characteristic foliation of an odd-symplectic form  $\Omega \in \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$  and make the following definitions:

$$\begin{aligned} \inf \mathcal{A}_{\Omega} &:= \inf_{\gamma \in \chi(\Omega) \cap \Lambda_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Sigma)} \mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(\gamma) \\ \sup \mathcal{A}_{\Omega} &:= \sup_{\gamma \in \chi(\Omega) \cap \Lambda_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Sigma)} \mathcal{A}_{\Omega}(\gamma) \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

$\inf \mathcal{A}_{\Omega}$  and  $\sup \mathcal{A}_{\Omega}$  are finite and close to zero when  $\Omega$  is  $C^1$ -close to  $\Omega_0$ , see [BK20] for a proof.

### 1.2.2 The Volume

Here, we introduce an approach of Benedetti and Kang in [BK20] to computing a volume-like average of an odd-symplectic  $\Omega \in \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$  on an appropriate manifold  $\Sigma$  with respect to the fixed reference form  $\Omega_* \in \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$ . This average reduces to the contact volume when the odd-symplectic form being considered is the differential of a contact form. In addition to this, as in the contact case,

this quantity coincides with the helicity when  $\Omega$  is exact and  $\Sigma$  is of dimension three [BK20, Pg. 331].

We define the volume functional to be:

$$\begin{aligned} Vol_{\Omega_*} : \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \Omega &\mapsto \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} \alpha \wedge (\Omega_* + r d\alpha)^{n-1} dr \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

In [BK20], it is shown that the above functional is well defined.

The relevance of this functional here is that, as shown in [BK20, Theorem 6.14], if  $\Omega$  is odd-symplectic and Zoll, the functional  $Vol_{\Omega_*}(\Omega)$  is a polynomial. Indeed, since the class  $C_0 \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$  admits a Zoll odd-symplectic representative, there exists a cohomology class  $c_0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$  with the property that  $p^*c_0 = C_0$  and as a consequence, the following holds:

$$Vol_{\Omega_*}(\Omega) = \int_0^{\mathcal{A}_{\Omega}} \langle (c_0 + te_0)^{n-2}, [M] \rangle dt \quad \text{for } \mathcal{A}_{\Omega} \in \mathbb{R} \quad \text{is the action of } \Omega \quad (11)$$

In addition to this fact, as observed earlier, if  $\Omega$  is sufficiently close to  $\Omega_0$ , its action is close to zero, as a consequence of this fact, we can then conclude that the above polynomial is monotonic close to zero because the class  $c_0$  can be represented by a symplectic form on  $M$ . Indeed, the following is true:

$$\left. \frac{Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega)}{dA} \right|_{A=0} = \langle c_0^{n-2}, [M] \rangle > 0 \quad (12)$$

By the discussion about the action above, we see that the action of *any* odd-symplectic form is close to zero if it is sufficiently close to  $\Omega_0$  in  $\Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$ . This suggests that we can use this polynomial to compare the action of odd-symplectic forms close to Zoll ones. This is the context of the Zoll polynomial defined below.

### 1.2.3 The Zoll polynomial

The Zoll polynomial is defined in the following way:

$$P(A) = \int_0^A \langle (c_0 + te_0)^{n-2}, [M] \rangle dt \quad \text{for } A \in \mathbb{R} \quad (13)$$

where  $\Sigma \xrightarrow{p} M$  is the associated  $S^1$ -bundle,  $e_0 \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$  is  $(-1)$  times the real Euler class,  $[M]$  is the fundamental class of  $M$  and  $c_0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$  is such that  $p^*c_0 = C_0 \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ . It follows from (13) that

$$\left. \frac{dP(A)}{dA} \right|_{A=0} = \langle c_0^{n-2}, [M] \rangle > 0 \quad (14)$$

where the last inequality follows from the fact that  $c_0$  can be represented by a symplectic form.

**Remark 1.6.** *The Gysin sequence gives us the following equivalence:*

$$C_0^{n-1} = 0 \Leftrightarrow e_0 \neq 0 \quad (15)$$

*A proof of this equivalence can be found in [BK20, Lemma 4.5]. The local systolic-diastric optimality of Zoll odd-symplectic forms representing a cohomology class  $C_0 \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$  satisfying  $C_0^{n-1} \neq 0$  was proven in [BK20]. Therefore, we lose nothing by restricting ourselves to the case when the  $(n-1)^{\text{th}}$  power of the cohomology class  $C_0$  vanishes. Note that  $C_0^{n-1} = 0 \Rightarrow C_0 = 0$ .*

We take the opportunity to introduce some notation that will be useful much later in this work: given an oriented odd-symplectic manifold  $(\Sigma, \Omega)$ , we will call a 1-form  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$  positively transverse to  $\Omega$  if it evaluates positively with any vector field spanning the characteristic distribution of  $\Omega$ . The set of such positively transverse 1-forms to the odd-symplectic manifold is a convex cone and will be denoted by  $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma, \Omega)$ . Given an  $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma, \Omega)$ , the Reeb or Hamiltonian vector field determined by the pair  $(\alpha, \Omega)$  is the unique vector field  $R_{\alpha, \Omega}$  satisfying the following:

$$\alpha(R_{\alpha, \Omega}) \equiv 1 \quad \iota_{R_{\alpha, \Omega}} \Omega \equiv 0 \quad (16)$$

## 1.3 Applications

### 1.3.1 Systolic inequalities for hypersurfaces in symplectic manifolds

In this section, we interpret Theorem 1.4 as using the relative complexity of the dynamics on hypersurfaces in a symplectic manifold to compare the growth of volumes of tubular neighborhoods of such hypersurfaces. We preface the statement of the main results of this section with a brief outline of the geometric setup.

Let  $(W, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold of dimension  $2n$  for  $n \geq 2$  and let  $\Sigma_0 \hookrightarrow W$  be a closed, connected, oriented, embedded hypersurface. By slightly abusing terminology, we will refer to the characteristic foliation of  $\omega|_{\Sigma_0}$  as the characteristic foliation of  $\Sigma_0$ . We will say that the hypersurface  $\Sigma_0 \hookrightarrow W$  is Zoll if the oriented leaves of its characteristic foliation are the oriented fibers of an  $S^1$ -bundle. Such a hypersurface can always be realized as the regular level set of a locally defined smooth function. Given another closed, connected, oriented, and embedded hypersurface  $\Sigma \hookrightarrow W$ , we will say that it is **non-intersecting** if it does not intersect  $\Sigma_0$  and we will say that it is  **$C^2$ -close to  $\Sigma_0$**  if it can be realized as the regular level set of a smooth function whose domain coincides with that of a function realizing  $\Sigma_0$  as a regular level set and such that these two functions are  $C^2$ -close. In such a situation, if  $\gamma \in \Lambda(W)$  is a leaf of the characteristic foliation of  $\Sigma$  that is sufficiently  $C^1$ -close to a leaf  $\gamma_0$  of the characteristic foliation of  $\Sigma_0$ , we define its action in the following way:

$$\mathcal{A}_\Sigma(\gamma) := \int_{\gamma} \omega \quad (17)$$



where  $\Gamma_\gamma$  is an embedded short cylinder in  $W$  interpolating between  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma_0$  as in (8). We will denote by  $\text{sys}(\Sigma)$  the infimum of the action of  $\Sigma$  over such loops. We will denote by  $\tilde{D}(\Sigma)$  the region in  $W$  between  $\Sigma_0$  and  $\Sigma$ .

If  $\Sigma_0$  is Zoll, then there exists an  $\epsilon > 0$  such that a tubular neighborhood of  $\Sigma_0$  of radius  $\epsilon > 0$  is foliated by Zoll hypersurfaces. We will denote the leaves of this foliation by  $\hat{\Sigma}_t$  for  $t \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  and we will assume that the parameter  $t$  was chosen so that  $\text{sys}(\hat{\Sigma}_t) = t$  (see Section 7.1 for more details). We observe here that it is a priori unclear if Zoll hypersurfaces exist in an arbitrary symplectic manifold, especially if one is interested in hypersurfaces that lie outside the paradigm of Weinstein's contact type condition, as we are in this work. We present the construction of such examples in Theorem 3.1.

The following is the first main result of this section; its proof can be found in Section 7.1:

**Theorem 1.7.** *Let  $(W, \omega)$  be a connected symplectic manifold of dimension  $2n$  for  $n \geq 2$  and  $\Sigma_0 \hookrightarrow W$  a connected and embedded Zoll hypersurface. Then, for any closed, connected, oriented and embedded non-intersecting hypersurface  $\Sigma \hookrightarrow W$  that is sufficiently  $C^2$ -close to  $\Sigma_0$ , the following holds:*

$$\text{Vol}(\tilde{D}(\hat{\Sigma}_{\text{sys}(\Sigma)})) \leq n \text{Vol}(\tilde{D}(\Sigma)) \quad (18)$$

*with equality holding if and only if the hypersurface  $\Sigma \hookrightarrow W$  is Zoll, where the volumes above are computed with respect to  $\omega$ .*

### 1.3.2 Systolic inequalities for magnetic systems

Consider a Riemannian manifold  $(W, g)$  of dimension at least two and an arbitrary closed 2-form  $\sigma \in \Omega^2(W)$ . One can now define a symplectic form on  $T^*W$  in the following way:

$$\Omega := d\lambda - \pi^*\sigma \quad (19)$$

where  $\lambda \in \Omega^1(T^*W)$  is the Liouville 1-form and  $\pi : T^*W \rightarrow W$  is the usual foot-point projection. This symplectic form and the metric Hamiltonian determine a Hamiltonian vector field  $X_{g,\sigma}$  on  $T^*W$  in the following way:

$$\iota_{X_{g,\sigma}}\Omega = dH_{\text{kin}} \quad (20)$$

The dynamical system on  $T^*W$  determined by the flow of  $X_{g,\sigma}$  is called the magnetic system on  $W$  determined by the pair  $(g, \sigma)$ . The flow  $\Phi_{g,\sigma}^t$  of the vector field  $X_{g,\sigma}$  is called the magnetic geodesic flow of the pair  $(g, \sigma)$ ; and the projections of the flow lines of  $X_{g,\sigma}$  to  $W$  are called the geodesics of the magnetic system determined by the pair  $(g, \sigma)$  when they are parametrized by arc length. Observe that if  $\sigma$  was chosen to be the cocycle that is constantly zero everywhere on  $W$  then the magnetic geodesic flow determined by the pair  $(g, \sigma)$  coincides with the geodesic flow of the Riemannian manifold  $(W, g)$  and

the magnetic geodesics on  $W$  are just the geodesics of the metric  $g$ . The study of magnetic systems was initiated by V. Arnol'd [Arn88] and S.P Novikov [Nov82] and has since grown into a vibrant area of study in symplectic dynamics; see [CFP10] for a nice introduction to the subject.

From the point of view of theoretical physics, magnetic dynamical systems can be thought of as modeling the motion of a charged particle in a magnetic field represented by  $\sigma$ . (cf. [CFP10]).

Given an  $k \in \mathbb{R}$ , the flow of the vector field  $X_{g,\sigma}$  on a regular energy level  $H_{\text{kin}}^{-1}(k)$  can be conjugated to that of the Hamiltonian vector field determined by the metric Hamiltonian of  $g$  and the following symplectic form on  $S^*W$ :

$$\tilde{\Omega}_s := d\lambda - s\pi^*\sigma \quad \text{for } s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \quad (21)$$

The constant  $s$  is called the *strength* of the magnetic system determined by the pair  $(g, \sigma)$ .

We will call the magnetic system determined by a pair  $(g, \sigma) \in \mathcal{M}(W) \times \Omega^2(W)$  *Zoll* if for some  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , the flow of the magnetic geodesic vector field determined by  $(g, \sigma)$  induces a free  $S^1$ -action at strength  $s$ . In such a setting, upon fixing a pair that is Zoll, and given another pair  $(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\sigma}) \in \mathcal{M}(W) \times \Omega^2(W)$ , we will denote by  $\chi(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\sigma})$  its set of closed magnetic geodesics that are sufficiently  $C^2$ -close to those of the Zoll pair of the same strength. In this setting, an immersed cylinder  $\Gamma \hookrightarrow W$  parametrizing a homotopy between an element of  $\chi(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\sigma})$  and an element of  $\chi(g_0, \sigma_0)$  will be called *short* if  $T^*W$  admits a section over  $\Gamma$  that coincides with  $\Phi_{\tilde{g}, \tilde{\sigma}}^t$  and  $\Phi_{g_0, \sigma_0}^t$  on the boundary of  $\Gamma$  and whose image is contained in a good neighborhood of  $\Phi_{g_0, \sigma_0}^t$ .

In the sequel, when discussing the magnetic system determined by a Zoll pair, we will always assume the system is being considered at a fixed strength where it is Zoll. If the strength at which a particular magnetic system is considered is obvious, we will suppress it in the notation. It is also worth observing here that there are examples of magnetic systems that are Zoll at some energies but not at others. One such class of examples was constructed by Bimmerman in [Bim23], we recall the relevant version of Bimmerman's statement here as Theorem 9.1.

The first result of this section is the following; its proof can be found in Section 8.1.:

**Theorem 1.8.** *Let  $(W, g_0)$  be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension at least three with the property that there exists a cohomology class  $C_0 \in H^2(W, \mathbb{R})$  and a representative  $\sigma_0 \in \Xi_{C_0}^2(W)$  such that the pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$  is Zoll. Then, there exists a  $C^2$ -neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}(W) \times \Xi_{C_0}^2(W)$  of the pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$  with that property that for any pair  $(g, \sigma) \in \mathcal{U}$  such that  $\text{vol}_g(W) = \text{vol}_{g_0}(W)$ , the*

following holds:

$$\inf_{\gamma \in \chi(g, \sigma)} \left( \text{length}_g(\gamma) - \int_{\Gamma_\gamma} \sigma \right) \leq \text{length}_{g_0}(\gamma_0) - \int_{\gamma_0} \eta \quad (22)$$

with equality holding if and only if the pair  $(g, \sigma)$  is Zoll at the same energy as  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$ ; where  $\eta \in \Omega^1(W)$  is any 1-form such that  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + d\eta$ ,  $\gamma_0 \in \chi(g_0, \sigma_0)$  and  $\Gamma_{\tilde{\gamma}} \subset W$  is an immersed short cylinder such that  $\partial\Gamma_\gamma = \{\gamma_0\} \cup \{\gamma\}$ .

From [BK20, Lemma 4.5], it is clear that the class in  $H_1(W, \mathbb{Z})$  represented by Zoll magnetic geodesics is torsion. If this class vanishes, then every such magnetic geodesic admits a capping surface, that is, for every Zoll magnetic geodesic  $\gamma$  there exists an immersed surface  $\mathbb{D}_\gamma \hookrightarrow W$  whose boundary coincides with  $\gamma$ . We will call such a surface a capping surface for  $\gamma$ . In such a setting, we can define the magnetic length functional in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} l_{\text{mag}}^{g, \sigma} : \chi(g, \sigma) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \gamma &\mapsto \text{length}_g(\gamma) - \int_{\mathbb{D}_\gamma} \sigma \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

where  $\mathbb{D}_\gamma$  is a capping surface for the magnetic geodesic  $\gamma$  obtained by concatenating to a capping surface  $\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$  of a Zoll magnetic geodesic  $\gamma_0$ , an immersed short cylinder  $\Gamma_\gamma \hookrightarrow W$  parameterizing a homotopy between  $\gamma_0$  and  $\gamma$ . The inequality in (22) can now be expressed in the following succinct form:

$$l_{\min}^{g, \sigma} \leq c(g_0, \sigma_0) \quad (24)$$

where the constant  $c(g_0, \sigma_0)$  is the value of the magnetic length functional  $l_{\text{mag}}^{g_0, \sigma_0}$  evaluated on a geodesic of the  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$ -magnetic system and  $l_{\min}^{g, \sigma}$  is defined in the following way:

$$l_{\min}^{g, \sigma} := \inf_{\gamma \in \chi(g, \sigma)} l_{\text{mag}}^{g, \sigma}(\gamma) \quad (25)$$

When the manifold  $W$  in Theorem 1.8 is a Kähler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, the integral homology class represented by Zoll magnetic geodesics is always zero for topological reasons [Bim23]. In such a setting, we also have enough topological information to compute explicitly the Zoll polynomial, and as a consequence, we obtain the next main result of this section; it can be seen as a generalization of the main result in [BK22]; its proof can be found in Section 9.1:

**Theorem 1.9.** *Consider a closed Kähler manifold  $(M, \sigma_0, J)$  with the property that the associated Kähler metric  $g_0$  has constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $\kappa$  and denote by  $C_0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$  the cohomology class represented by  $\sigma_0$ . Then, upon fixing a strength  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s^2 + \kappa > 0$ , the following holds. There exists a  $C^2$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}(M) \times \Xi_{C_0}^2(M)$  of the pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$  with*

the property that the magnetic system determined by any pair  $(g, \sigma) \in \mathcal{U}$  satisfies the following local systolic inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \frac{l_{\min}^{g, \sigma}}{a^2(1)\pi} \right)^{2n} &\leq C(\kappa, s, n) \left( \frac{\text{vol}_g(M)}{\text{vol}_{g_0}(M)} \right) \quad \text{if } \kappa \neq 0 \\ \left( \frac{l_{\min}^{g, \sigma}}{a^2(1)\pi} \right)^{2n} &\leq \left( \frac{2n!}{(n-1)! [a^2(1)]^{4n} \pi} \right) \left( \text{vol}_g(M) - \text{vol}_{g_0}(M) \right) \quad \text{if } \kappa = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

with equality holding if and only if the magnetic system determined by the pair  $(g, \sigma)$  is Zoll. If in addition,  $\text{vol}_{g_0}(M) = \text{vol}_g(M)$  then, the above inequalities simplify to the following:

$$l_{\min}^{g, \sigma} \leq a^2(1)\pi \quad (27)$$

once again with equality only in the Zoll case; where  $l_{\min}^{g, \sigma}$  is as defined in (25). The constant  $C(\kappa, s, n)$  has the following expression:

$$\left[ \frac{\pi}{(n!)^2} [a^2(1)]^{4n} \left( \frac{\kappa}{2} \right)^n \left( a^2(1) + s \right)^{n-1} \left( 1 + 2 \left( s + a^2(1) \right) \right) \right]^{-1} \frac{2}{\text{vol}_{g_0}(M)(n-1)!} \quad (28)$$

and the constant  $a^2(1)$  has the following expression:

$$a^2(1) = \frac{2}{\kappa} \left( \sqrt{s_0^2 + \kappa} - s_0 \right) \quad (29)$$

When the Kähler manifold  $M$  above is of dimension two, Theorem 1.9 is a generalization of the main result in [BK19] and when  $\text{vol}_g(M) = \text{vol}_{g_0}(M)$ , it reduces to this result. Indeed, observe that the following is true:

$$a^2(1)\pi = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{s_0^2 + \kappa} - s_0} \quad (30)$$

Combining (27) with the above equation and accounting for the sign change due to the assumption [BK19, Equation (1.1)], we see that (27) reduces to the first inequality in [BK19, Theorem 1.9] as required.

## 1.4 Main technical results

### 1.4.1 Normal form theorem

The following normal form theorem was motivated by [AB23, Theorem 2], its proof can be found in Section 4.

**Theorem 1.10.** *Let  $(\Sigma, \Omega_0)$  be a closed Zoll odd-symplectic manifold and fix an  $S^1$ -bundle  $\Sigma \xrightarrow{p} M$  associated with  $\Omega_0$  with connection 1-form  $\alpha_0$ . Let  $C_0 \in$*

$H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$  be the cohomology class represented by  $\Omega_0$ . Then, there exists a  $C^1$ -neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$  of  $\Omega_0$  with the property that if  $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}$  is any odd-symplectic form, there exists a diffeomorphism  $u : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  isotopic to the identity such that:

$$u^*\Omega = \Omega_0 + d(S\alpha_0 + \eta) \quad (31)$$

where:

1.  $S : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function that is invariant under the  $S^1$ -action on  $\Sigma$ . It is defined in (52).
2.  $\eta \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$  satisfies  $\iota_{R_0}\eta = 0$  for the generating vector field  $R_0$  of the  $S^1$ -action on  $\Sigma$ .
3.  $\iota_{R_0}d\eta = \mathcal{F}(dS)$  where  $\mathcal{F} : T^*\Sigma \rightarrow T^*\Sigma$  is a bundle endomorphism lifting the identity.

Moreover, for each integer  $k \geq 1$  there exists a monotonically increasing continuous function  $\omega_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that the following bounds hold:

$$\left\{ \text{dist}_{C^{k+1}}(u, Id), \|S\|_{C^k}, \|dS\|_{C^k}, \|\eta\|_{C^k}, \|d\eta\|_{C^k}, \|\mathcal{F}\|_{C^k} \right\} \leq \omega_{k+1}(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^{k+1}}) \quad (32)$$

The following is an immediate corollary of the above normal form theorem and is a qualitative refinement of [Ker99, Theorem 3.10] in the Zoll case; its proof can be found in Section 5. In the sequel, unless otherwise specified, when talking about a cohomology functor, we will always mean the singular cohomology functor computed with the ring of real numbers as coefficients.

**Corollary 1.11.** *Given a Zoll odd-symplectic manifold  $(\Sigma, \Omega_0)$  with associated  $S^1$ -bundle  $\Sigma \xrightarrow{p} M$  and connection 1-form  $\alpha_0$ , there exists a  $C^1$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$  of  $\Omega_0$  such that any odd-symplectic form  $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}$  has the property that any vector field spanning its characteristic distribution has at least as many periodic orbits as the cup-length of  $M$ . Moreover, the following chain of inequalities holds:*

$$\inf \mathcal{A}_\Omega \leq \min S \leq \max S \leq \sup \mathcal{A}_\Omega \quad (33)$$

with equality holding if and only if  $\Omega$  is Zoll; where  $S$  is the function appearing in the statement of Theorem 1.10.

#### 1.4.2 A formula for the volume

The following theorem furnishes a convenient expression for the volume functional defined in (10). Its proof can be found in Section 6.

**Theorem 1.12.** *Let  $(\Sigma, \Omega_0)$  be a Zoll odd-symplectic manifold and  $C_0 \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$  be the cohomology class represented by  $\Omega_0$ . Then, there exists a  $C^2$ -neighbourhood*

$\mathcal{U} \subset \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$  such that if  $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}$  is an odd-symplectic form, the volume  $Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega)$  can be written in the form:

$$Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega) = \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} S \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + r S d\alpha_0)^n dr + \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} \frac{1}{r} D(x, rS(x)) \alpha_0 \wedge \Omega_0^n dr \quad (34)$$

where  $D : \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function such that  $D(x, 0) = 0$  for every  $x \in \Sigma$  and  $S$  is the function whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 1.10 and defined in (52). For every fixed  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  the function  $D(\cdot, s)$  integrates to 0 over the  $\Sigma$ . In addition, given an  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $C^2$ -neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}_\epsilon \subset \mathcal{U}$  and a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}_\epsilon$  and  $s \in [-\delta, \delta]$  then:

$$\left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} D \right\|_{C^0(\Sigma \times [-\delta, \delta])} < \epsilon \quad (35)$$

## Acknowledgments

I am very grateful to my advisors, to Gabriele Benedetti for suggesting the problem to me and for his valuable help and guidance while working on the project and writing it down, and to Umberto Hryniewicz for numerous helpful discussions, for his advice on writing, and for the environment at MathGA. I am also very grateful to B.Albach, L.Asselle, V.Assenza, D.Bechara, J.Bimmermann, L.Dahinden, U.Fuchs, R.Loiola, F.Morabito, and M.Voguel for several useful discussions. I am supported by the DFG SFB/TRR 191 "Symplectic Structures in Geometry, Algebra and Dynamics", Projektnummer 281071066-TRR 191.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 1.4

Recall the setup of Theorem 1.4:  $\Sigma$  is a closed and oriented  $2n - 1$ -dimensional smooth manifold and  $\Omega_0 \in \Omega^2(\Sigma)$  is a Zoll odd-symplectic form representing the cohomology class  $C_0 \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ . We will denote the set of representatives of the cohomology class  $C_0$  by  $\Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma) \subset \Omega^2(\Sigma)$  and we will fix an  $S^1$ -bundle  $\Sigma \xrightarrow{p} M$  associated with  $\Omega_0$  with connection 1-form  $\alpha_0$ .

By Theorem 1.10 there exists a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$  of  $\Omega_0$  such that for any odd-symplectic form  $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}$  there exists a diffeomorphism  $u : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  isotopic to the identity with the property that the odd-symplectic form  $\tilde{\Omega} := u^*\Omega$  has the following decomposition:

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega_0 + dS \wedge \alpha_0 + S d\alpha_0 + d\eta \quad (36)$$

where  $S : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function invariant under the relevant  $S^1$ -action on  $\Sigma$  and  $\eta \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$  is as in the statement of Theorem 1.10. Since  $S$  is a smooth function on  $\Sigma$  that is invariant under the relevant  $S^1$ -action, we can define a function  $\bar{S} : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as the quotient of  $S$  by this  $S^1$ -action.

By Theorem 1.12  $Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega)$  has the following form:

$$Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega) = \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} S \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + r S d\alpha_0)^n dr + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Sigma} D(x, rS(x)) \alpha_0 \wedge \Omega_0^n dr \quad (37)$$

When the volume functional  $Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega)$  is written out in the form (37), Theorem 1.12 guarantees that it is monotonic in the variable  $S$  if the  $C^1$ -norm of  $S$  is sufficiently small. Indeed, notice that if  $S$  and therefore  $\bar{S}$  is constant then by Theorem 1.12 the second summand in (37) vanishes and the first summand in (37) is a real-valued polynomial in the argument  $S$  and projecting to the base (of the  $S^1$ -bundle  $\Sigma \xrightarrow{p} M$  by integrating along the fibers as in [BT82] or [BK20, Pg. 386], we obtain:

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} S \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + r S d\alpha_0)^n dr = \int_0^1 \int_M \bar{S}(\omega_0 + r \bar{S} \kappa)^n dr \quad (38)$$

where  $\omega_0 \in \Omega^2(M)$  is a symplectic form satisfying  $p^* \omega_0 = \Omega_0$  and  $\kappa \in \Omega^2(M)$  is the 2-form satisfying the relation  $p^* \kappa = d\alpha_0$ . Therefore, if  $S$  is constant,  $Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega)$  equals the integral in (38) which is just the Zoll polynomial (c.f (13)) and monotonicity of  $Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega)$  in a neighborhood of  $\bar{S}$  follows from (14) since (32) tells us that  $\|S\|_{C^1} \leq \|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^2}$  and  $\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^2}$  is assumed to be small. On the other hand, if  $S$  is not constant then there exists a smooth function  $Q : \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:

$$Q(x, S(x), r) \alpha_0 \wedge \Omega_0^n dr = S \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + r S d\alpha_0)^n dr \quad (39)$$

Differentiating both sides of (39) with respect to  $S$  we see that  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial S}|_{S=0} \alpha_0 \wedge \Omega_0^n = \alpha_0 \wedge \Omega_0^n$  therefore:

$$\frac{\partial Q}{\partial S}|_{S=0} = 1 \quad (40)$$

In light of the expansion of  $Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega)$  as given by (37), by Theorem 1.12 there exists a  $\delta > 0$  such that if  $|S| < \delta$  then, the following bounds hold:

$$\left\| \frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial D(x, rS)}{\partial S} \right\|_{C^0(\Sigma \times [-\delta, \delta])} = \left\| \frac{\partial D(x, rs)}{\partial s} \right\|_{C^0(\Sigma \times [-\delta, \delta])} < \epsilon$$

The above inequality combined with the observation in (40) shows that  $Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega)$  is monotonic in  $S$  if  $|S| < \delta$  which is always true if  $\Omega$  is sufficiently  $C^2$ -close enough to  $\Omega_0$ .

This implies the following inequality:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} S_{min} \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + r S_{min} d\alpha_0)^n dr \\ & \leq Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega) \\ & \leq \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} S_{max} \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + r S_{max} d\alpha_0)^n dr \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

where  $S_{\min} := \inf_{x \in \Sigma} S(x)$  and  $S_{\max} := \sup_{x \in \Sigma} S(x)$  and any of these inequalities is strict if and only if  $S$  is non-constant.

Since  $\alpha_0$  is a connection 1-form for the  $S^1$ -bundle associated with the Zoll odd-symplectic form  $\Omega_0$ ,  $d\alpha_0$  represents the Euler class of the bundle over a chart. Projecting to the base  $M$  of the  $S^1$ -bundle associated with  $\Omega_0$  by integrating along the  $S^1$ -fibers, for every constant  $S_0$  we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} S_0 \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + r S_0 d\alpha_0)^n dr &= \int_0^1 \int_M \bar{S}_0 (\omega_0 + r \bar{S}_0 \kappa)^n dr = \\ &\int_0^1 \langle (c_0 + r \bar{S}_0 e_0)^n, [M] \rangle dr = P(\bar{S}_0) \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where  $c_0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$  is the cohomology class represented by  $\omega_0 \in \Omega^2(M)$  and  $e_0 \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$  is  $(-1)$  times the real Euler class of the  $S^1$ -bundle associated with  $\Omega_0$ .

Putting (41) and (42) together and noting that the action and the volume are invariant under diffeomorphisms isotopic to the identity, we obtain:

$$P(\inf \mathcal{A}_{\Omega}) \leq P(\bar{S}_{\min}) \leq Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega) \leq P(\bar{S}_{\max}) \leq P(\sup \mathcal{A}_{\Omega}) \quad (43)$$

where the first and last inequalities above follow from Corollary 1.11 and the fact that by [BK20][Proposition 7.4]  $\inf \mathcal{A}_{\Omega}$  and  $\sup \mathcal{A}_{\Omega}$  are close to zero if  $\Omega$  is sufficiently  $C^2$  close to  $\Omega_0$ .

Finally, recall from Corollary 1.11 that  $S$  is constant if and only if  $\Omega$  is Zoll and in this case  $\inf \mathcal{A}_{\Omega} = \min S = \max S = \sup \mathcal{A}_{\Omega}$ .

### 3 Examples of Zoll odd-symplectic forms

This section is dedicated to a result in which we present the construction of a large class of examples of Zoll odd-symplectic forms that are not necessarily of contact type. We preface the statement of this result by giving a brief outline of the geometric setup, which is very similar to the one described in Section 7: let  $(W, \omega)$  be a connected symplectic manifold of an arbitrary dimension and  $Q \hookrightarrow W$  be a closed, connected, symplectic submanifold. Then,  $Q$  can be realized as the Bott non-degenerate minimum of a smooth function  $H_0 : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . In this setting, the following is the main result of this section:

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $(W, \omega)$  be a symplectic manifold of an arbitrary dimension. Then, for every closed, connected, embedded symplectic submanifold  $Q \hookrightarrow W$  of a positive dimension then, there exists tubular neighborhood  $T(Q) \subset W$  of  $Q$  with the property that every regular level set of  $H_0$  in  $T(Q)$  is Zoll; where  $H_0 : W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the smooth function that realizes  $Q$  as the unique Bott non-degenerate minimum.*



*Proof.* By Weinstein's neighborhood theorem [Wei71] there exists a neighborhood  $T(Q) \subset NQ^{\perp\omega}$  of the zero-section of the symplectic normal bundle to  $Q$  with the property that the symplectic manifold  $(T(Q), \bar{\omega})$  also admits the structure of a symplectic vector bundle over  $Q$ ; where  $\bar{\omega} := \omega|_{T(Q)}$ .

Upon fixing an almost complex structure  $J_0$  on  $T(Q)$  that is compatible with  $\bar{\omega}$ , and denoting the resulting Riemannian metric by  $g_0$ , we see that the choice of a connection  $\nabla$  on  $T(Q)$  that preserves both  $J_0$  and  $g_0$  allows us to decompose  $TT(Q)$  into the vertical (denoted by  $\mathcal{V}$ ) and horizontal (denoted by  $\mathcal{H}$ ) distributions in the following way:

$$TT(Q) \cong \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V} \quad (44)$$

To keep this proof short and to the point, we provide a more detailed description of this orthogonal splitting of  $TT(Q)$  in Section 8. In these coordinates, the following is called the angular 1-form on  $T(Q)$ :

$$\tau_{(q,p)}(\xi) := g_0(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\xi), J_0 p) = \bar{\omega}(\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}}(\xi), p) \quad \text{for } q \in Q, p \in NQ_q^{\perp\omega}|_{T(Q)} \text{ and } \xi \in TT(Q) \quad (45)$$

where  $\mathcal{P}_{\mathcal{V}} : TT(Q) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}$  is the projection to the vertical distribution. If the tubular neighborhood  $T(Q)$  is chosen to be sufficiently small, the 2-form  $\frac{1}{2}d\tau - p^*\omega$  is another symplectic form on  $T(Q)$  where, by a slight abuse of notation, we denote by  $\omega$  the restriction  $Q|_{\omega}$ .

Consider now the following scalar multiple of the metric Hamiltonian of the Riemannian manifold  $(T(Q), g_0)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} H_0 : T(Q) &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (q, p) &\mapsto \pi \|p\|_{g_0}^2 \quad \text{where } \pi \in \mathbb{R} \text{ is the real constant} \end{aligned} \quad (46)$$

We now claim that the Hamiltonian vector field determined by  $H_0$  and  $\frac{1}{2}d\tau - p^*\omega$  is fully periodic with prime period equal to 1. Indeed, by definition of the symplectic form  $\frac{1}{2}d\tau - p^*\omega$ , this Hamiltonian vector field is completely supported in the vertical distribution of  $TT(Q)$  and it is generated by the fiber-wise rotation  $e^{2\pi J_0 t} : TT(Q) \rightarrow TT(Q)$ . As a consequence, the restriction of the 2-form  $\frac{1}{2}d\tau - p^*\omega$  to an arbitrary regular level set of  $H_0$  will be a Zoll odd-symplectic form that is exact if and only if  $\omega|_Q$  is exact.

We now finish the proof by observing that the two 2-forms  $\bar{\omega}$  and  $\frac{1}{2}d\tau - p^*\omega$  are symplectomorphic by the version of Weinstein's neighborhood theorem in [MS17, Theorem 3.4.10].  $\square$

It is worth observing here that in the three-dimensional setting, as observed in [Pra23], the trajectories of any volume-preserving flow on a closed 3-manifold can be realized as the characteristic foliation of an odd-symplectic form. Therefore, if such a flow induces a free  $S^1$ -action on the underlying manifold, the

corresponding odd-symplectic form will be Zoll. Such odd-symplectic forms will not be of contact type if and only if the associated  $S^1$ -bundle is trivial and they have been completely classified up to orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of the associated  $S^1$ -bundles, see [BK20, Proposition 1.11].

In Theorem 3.1 above, if the submanifold  $Q$  has exactly half the dimension of  $W$ , the example falls into the class of Hamiltonian dynamical systems called magnetic systems (cf. Section 1.3.2). In [Bim24b, Theorem A & Theorem B] Bimmermann constructs examples of Zoll odd-symplectic forms that are not of contact type within the paradigm of such Hamiltonian systems (the relevant version of Bimmermann's result is stated as Theorem 9.1 below). In fact, the construction of Zoll odd-symplectic forms in the previous paragraph can be thought of as a generalization of the ideas leading to the proof of Bimmermann's result to a more general geometric context.

## 4 Proof of the normal form theorem

Recall the setup of Theorem 1.10:  $\Sigma$  is a closed, oriented  $2n - 1$ -dimensional smooth manifold and  $\Omega_0 \in \Omega^2(\Sigma)$  is a Zoll odd-symplectic form representing the cohomology class  $C_0 \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$ . The  $S^1$ -bundle associated with  $\Omega_0$  is the  $S^1$ -bundle  $\Sigma \xrightarrow{p} M$  whose oriented fibers are the leaves of  $\ker(\Omega_0)$  and  $\alpha_0 \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$  is a connection 1-form. In particular, the Reeb vector field  $R_{\alpha_0, \Omega_0}$  is the vector field generating the relevant  $S^1$ -action on  $\Sigma$ .

Since we are working with smooth (i.e.  $C^\infty$ ) objects, the set  $\Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$  of representatives of the cohomology class  $C_0$  can be given the  $C^k$ -topology for any  $k \geq 0$ . So, we fix the  $C^1$ -topology on  $\Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$  and note that there exists a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$  of  $\Omega_0$  such that  $\alpha_0$  is positively transverse to  $\ker(\Omega)$  for any odd-symplectic form  $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}$ . So, upon fixing such a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  of  $\Omega_0$  we denote by  $R_\Omega$  the Reeb vector field satisfying (16) with respect to the pair  $(\alpha_0, \Omega)$  for an odd-symplectic form  $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}$ ; for ease of notation we will write  $R_0$  for the vector field  $R_{\alpha_0, \Omega_0}$ . Lemma 10.2 in the Appendix tells us that for each  $k \geq 0$  there exists a monotonically increasing continuous function  $\omega_k : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that:

$$\|R_\Omega - R_0\|_{C^k} \leq \omega_k(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^k}) \quad (47)$$

for  $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}$ ; we refer to such functions as moduli of continuity. Using this fact and [AB23, Theorem 2.1] we can assume without loss of generality that the neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U}$  is chosen such that for any  $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}$  the following holds:

$$hu^*R_\Omega = R_0 - \mathcal{P}_u[V] \quad (48)$$

such that:

1.  $u : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma$  is a diffeomorphism isotopic to the identity.

2.  $V$  is a vector field on  $\Sigma$  satisfying  $\mathcal{L}_{R_0} V = 0$  and  $g(R_0, V) = 0$  for some Riemannian metric  $g$  invariant under the flow  $\Phi_0^t$  of  $R_0$ .
3.  $h : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a function satisfying  $\mathcal{L}_{R_0} h = 0$ .
4.  $\mathcal{P}_u : T\Sigma \rightarrow T\Sigma$  is a bundle isomorphism dependent on the diffeomorphism  $u$  and lifting the identity.
5. For each integer  $k \geq 0$  there exist moduli of continuity  $\tilde{\omega}_k$  satisfying:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \text{dist}_{C^{k+1}}(u, Id_\Sigma), \|h - 1\|_{C^{k+1}}, \|V\|_{C^{k+1}}, \|\mathcal{P}_u - Id\|_{C^k} \right\} \\ \leq \tilde{\omega}_{k+1}(\|R_\Omega - R_0\|_{C^{k+1}}) \end{aligned} \quad (49)$$

Recall that we denote the  $S^1$ -bundle associated with  $\Omega_0$  by  $\Sigma \xrightarrow{p} M$ . We denote by  $\mathfrak{h}$  the homotopy class of the fibers of  $\Sigma \xrightarrow{p} M$  and by  $\tilde{\Lambda}_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Sigma, x)$  the set of all short homotopies  $\Gamma : S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$  such that  $\Gamma(\cdot, 0) = \mathfrak{p}_x$  where  $\mathfrak{p}_x$  is the oriented  $S^1$ -fiber through the point  $x \in \Sigma$ . Since we have fixed a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$  of  $\Omega_0$  such that  $\alpha_0$  is positively transverse to any odd-symplectic form  $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}$  and (48) holds for the Reeb vector field  $R_\Omega$ , we can now fix an arbitrary odd-symplectic form  $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}$  and define  $\tilde{\Omega} := u^* \Omega$  so that  $hR_{\tilde{\alpha}_0, \tilde{\Omega}} = R_0 - \mathcal{P}_u(V)$  where  $\tilde{\alpha}_0 := u^* \alpha_0$ . By [AB23, Lemma 1.1] we have the following bounds:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{\Omega} - \Omega_0\|_{C^k} &\leq \|\tilde{\Omega} - \Omega\|_{C^k} + \|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^k} \\ &\leq \|\Omega\|_{C^{k+1}} \left(1 + \|du\|_{C^k}^{k+2}\right) \text{dist}_{C^{k+1}}(u, Id_\Sigma) + \|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^k} \leq \omega_{k+1}(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^{k+1}}) \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

The last inequality above is justified by (49) and by the fact that by Lemma 10.2 for each such  $k$ , there exists a modulus of continuity  $\omega_k$  such that:

$$\tilde{\omega}_k(\|R_\Omega - R_0\|_{C^k}) \leq \omega_k(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^k}) \quad (51)$$

The function  $S_{\tilde{\Omega}} : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is defined in the following way:

$$S_{\tilde{\Omega}}(x) := \mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Omega}}(\bar{\Gamma}_x) \quad \text{where } \bar{\Gamma}_x \text{ is the homotopy } \bar{\Gamma}_x(\cdot, t) = \mathfrak{p}_x \quad \forall t \in [0, 1] \quad x \in \Sigma \quad (52)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Omega}}$  is defined in (8).

Given a representation  $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega_0 + d\alpha$  it is easy to see that  $S_{\tilde{\Omega}}$  has the following convenient expression:

$$S_{\tilde{\Omega}}(x) := \int_{\mathfrak{p}_x} \alpha \quad \text{for } x \in \Sigma \quad (53)$$

By [BK20, Proposition 6.7] the representation of the function  $S_{\tilde{\Omega}}$  in (53) is independent of the choice of parametrizing 1-form  $\alpha$  since the fibers of the bundle  $\Sigma \xrightarrow{p} M$  are null-homologous. Since we have fixed  $\tilde{\Omega}$ , we suppress the

subscript in  $S_{\tilde{\Omega}}$  for ease of notation. The function  $S$  is invariant under the flow of  $R_0$  and note that for any 1-form  $\alpha$  such that  $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega_0 + d\alpha$ , the difference  $\alpha - S\alpha_0$  satisfies:

$$\int_{\mathfrak{p}_x} \alpha - S\alpha_0 = 0 \text{ for all } x \in \Sigma \quad (54)$$

Therefore, by [AB23, Lemma 1.3] the following is true:

$$\alpha = S\alpha_0 + \eta + df \quad (55)$$

where  $f$  is some smooth function and  $\eta \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$  satisfies  $\iota_{R_0}\eta = 0$ . Therefore  $\tilde{\Omega}$  has the following representation:

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega_0 + dS \wedge \alpha_0 + Sd\alpha_0 + d\eta \quad (56)$$

We now make the following definition:

$$\begin{aligned} K_u : R_0^\perp &\longrightarrow [R_0^\perp]^* \\ X &\longmapsto \int_{S^1} u^* \Omega(\mathcal{P}_u(d\Phi_0^t(X)), d\Phi_0^t \cdot) dt \end{aligned} \quad (57)$$

Since  $\Omega$  is  $C^1$ -close to  $\Omega_0$  (49) and Lemma 10.2 tell us that  $\ker \Omega$  is  $C^1$ -close to  $R_0$  therefore, by the argument in [Ker99, Claim 3.9] the map  $K_{Id_\Sigma}$  is invertible. Since the neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  was chosen so that  $u$  is  $C^1$ -close to the identity on  $\Sigma$ , using Neumann's series argument, we know that non-degeneracy is an open condition. So, we see that this implies that the map  $K_u$  is also invertible.

We know from [BK20, Pg. 33] the function  $\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Omega}}$  is a primitive of the following 1-form:

$$\mathfrak{a}_{\tilde{\Omega}}(\xi(t))|_\gamma := \int_{S^1} \tilde{\Omega}(\xi(t), \dot{\gamma}(t)) \quad \forall \gamma \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Sigma) \text{ and } \xi \in T_\gamma \Lambda_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Sigma) \quad (58)$$

where  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Sigma)$  is the fiber of the free loop space  $\Lambda(\Sigma)$  of  $\Sigma$  over the homotopy class  $\mathfrak{h}$ . So, it is clear that  $dS$  has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} dS|_x[w] &= d\mathcal{A}_{\tilde{\Omega}}|_{\tilde{\Gamma}_x}[d\Phi_0^t(w)] \stackrel{(58)}{=} \int_{S^1} \tilde{\Omega}(d\Phi_0^t(w), \frac{\partial \mathfrak{p}_x}{\partial t}) dt \\ &\stackrel{(48)}{=} \int_{S^1} \tilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{P}_u((V \circ \Phi_0^t)) - h(\Phi_0^t)R_{\tilde{\Omega}}(u \circ \Phi_0^t), d\Phi_0^t(w)) dt \\ &= \int_{S^1} \tilde{\Omega}(\mathcal{P}_u((V \circ \Phi_0^t)), d\Phi_0^t(w)) dt = K_u(V)[w] \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

where  $\frac{\partial \mathfrak{p}_x}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial \Phi_0^t(x)}{\partial t}$  and the final equality follows from the fact that  $\mathcal{L}_{R_0}(V) = 0$ .

So using (56), (57) and (59), the contraction  $\iota_{R_0}d\eta$  can be written as:

$$\iota_{R_0}d\eta = \iota_{R_0}\tilde{\Omega} + \iota_{R_0}Sd\alpha_0 - \iota_{R_0}\alpha_0 \wedge dS \stackrel{(48)}{=} \iota_{\mathcal{P} \circ K_u^{-1}(dS)}\tilde{\Omega} - dS \quad (60)$$

We now define the endomorphism  $\mathcal{F} : T^*\Sigma \rightarrow T^*\Sigma$  in the statement of Theorem 1.10 in the following way

$$\mathcal{F}(\lambda) = \iota_{\mathcal{P} \circ K_u^{-1}(\lambda|_{[R_0]^\perp})} \tilde{\Omega} - \lambda|_{[R_0]^\perp} \quad \text{for } \lambda \in T^*\Sigma \quad (61)$$

Clearly,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a linear endomorphism and since  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $K_u$  lift the identity on  $\Sigma$ , so does  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Putting (55), (60) and (61) together we see that:

$$\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega_0 + d(S\alpha_0 + \eta) \quad (62)$$

such that  $\eta \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$  satisfies:

$$\iota_{R_0}\eta = 0 \quad \& \quad \iota_{R_0}d\eta = \mathcal{F}(dS) \quad (63)$$

So, in order to finish the proof of Theorem 1.10, we need to only establish (32).

Towards that end, we first remind the reader that by Lemma 10.2 we can assume without any loss of generality that the neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}$  is chosen such that for each  $k \geq 1$  there exists a modulus of continuity  $\omega_k$  such that:

$$\tilde{\omega}_k(\|R_\Omega - R_0\|_{C^k}) \leq \omega_k(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^k}) \quad (64)$$

In each step of the sequel below, we will successively obtain new moduli of continuity by shrinking the previous ones but for ease of notation, we will continue to denote these by  $\omega_k$ .

By Proposition 10.1 and (50) there exists an  $\hat{\alpha} \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$  and moduli of continuity  $\omega_k$  for  $k \geq 1$  such that  $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega_0 + d\hat{\alpha}$  and  $\|\hat{\alpha}\|_{C^k} \leq \omega_k(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^k})$ . By [BK20, Proposition 6.7] the representation of  $S$  in (53) is independent of the 1-form  $\alpha$ , therefore:

$$S_{\tilde{\Omega}}(x) = \int_{\mathfrak{p}_x} \hat{\alpha}$$

So without any loss of generality we will continue to write  $\alpha$  for  $\hat{\alpha}$ . This implies the following:

$$\|S_{\tilde{\Omega}}\|_{C^k} \leq \omega_{k+1}(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^{k+1}}) \quad (65)$$

We know from (59) that  $dS = K_u(V)$  for  $V$  as in (48). In addition to this by [AB23, Lemma 1.1] the following holds:

$$\|\tilde{\Omega}\|_{C^k} \leq \|\Omega\|_{C^k} \cdot \|du\|_{C^k}^2 (1 + \|du\|_{C^{k-1}}) \quad (66)$$

Using (49) and combining (57) with (66) we obtain the following:

$$\|dS_{\tilde{\Omega}}\|_{C^k} \leq \|K_u\|_{C^k} \|V\|_{C^k} \leq \omega_{k+1}(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^{k+1}}) \quad (67)$$

Recall that the 1-form  $\eta \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$  was obtained by applying [AB23, Lemma 1.3] to the 1-form  $\alpha - S\alpha_0$  where  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(\Sigma)$  is such that  $\tilde{\Omega} = \Omega_0 + d\alpha$  and  $\|\alpha\|_{C^k} \leq \omega_k(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^k})$ .

Therefore again by [AB23, Lemma 1.3] the following is true:

$$\|\eta\|_{C^k} \leq \|\alpha - S\alpha_0\|_{C^k} + \|d\iota_{R_0}(\alpha - S\alpha_0)\|_{C^k} \quad (68)$$

In light of this fact, [AB23, Lemma 1.1] allows us to deduce the following bounds:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\alpha - S\alpha_0\|_{C^k} &\leq \|\alpha - u^*\alpha\|_{C^k} + \|u^*\alpha - S\alpha_0\|_{C^k} \\ &\leq \left[ \|\alpha\|_{C^{k+1}} \operatorname{dist}_{C^{k+1}}(u, Id_\Sigma)(1 + \|du\|_{C^k}^{k+1}) \right] + \|u^*\alpha\|_{C^k} + \|S\alpha_0\|_{C^k} \\ &\leq \omega_{k+1}(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^{k+1}}) \end{aligned} \quad (69)$$

Similarly, we arrive at the following bounds for  $\|d(\iota_{R_0}\alpha - S\alpha_0)\|_{C^k}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \|d(\iota_{R_0}\alpha - S\alpha_0)\|_{C^k} &\leq \|d(\iota_{R_0}\alpha)\|_{C^k} + \|dS \wedge \alpha_0\|_{C^k} + \|Sd\alpha_0\|_{C^k} \\ &\leq \omega_{k+1}(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^{k+1}}) \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

Plugging (67), (69) and (70) into (68) we obtain:

$$\|\eta\|_{C^k} \leq \omega_{k+1}(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^{k+1}}) \quad (71)$$

For the required bound on  $\|d\eta\|_{C^k}$  observe that:

$$\|d\eta\|_{C^k} \leq \|\tilde{\Omega} - \Omega_0\|_{C^k} + \|dS \wedge \alpha_0\|_{C^k} + \|Sd\alpha_0\|_{C^k} \quad (72)$$

Applying the bounds in (50) and (67) to (72) we obtain

$$\|d\eta\|_{C^k} \leq \omega_{k+1}(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^{k+1}}) \quad (73)$$

We now only need to show that  $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{C^k} \leq \omega_{k+1}(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^{k+1}})$ . Towards this end, we make the following definitions:

$$\mathcal{F}_1 := \iota_{K_u^{-1}|_{[R_0]^\perp}} \Omega - Id|_{[R_0]^\perp} \quad (74)$$

$$\mathcal{F}_0 := \iota_{K_0^{-1}|_{[R_0]^\perp}} \Omega_0 - Id|_{[R_0]^\perp}$$

where  $K_0 : R_0^\perp \rightarrow [R_0^\perp]^*$  is defined by:

$$X \mapsto \int_{S^1} \Omega_0(d\Phi_0^t(X), d\Phi_0^t \cdot) \quad (75)$$

Now observe that:

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|_{C^k} \leq \|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}_1\|_{C^k} + \|\mathcal{F}_1 - \mathcal{F}_0\|_{C^k} + \|\mathcal{F}_0\|_{C^k} \quad (76)$$

Note that by (49) and Lemma 10.2,  $\|\mathcal{P}_u - Id\|_{C^k} \leq \omega_k(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^k})$ ; combining this observation with (50) we see that:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F} - \mathcal{F}_1\|_{C^k} &\leq \omega_k(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^k}) \text{ \& } \\ \|\mathcal{F}_1 - \mathcal{F}_0\|_{C^k} &\leq \omega_k(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^k}) \end{aligned} \quad (77)$$

Plugging (77) in (76) and observing that  $\|\mathcal{F}_0\|_{C^k} = 0$  we obtain:

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|_{C^k} \leq \omega_{k+1}(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^{k+1}}) \quad (78)$$

## 5 Proof of Corollary 1.11

Since the pair  $(\Sigma, \Omega_0)$  is a Zoll odd-symplectic manifold, Theorem 1.10 guarantees the existence of a  $C^1$ -neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$  of  $\Omega_0$  such that if  $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}$  there exists a diffeomorphism  $u$  of  $\Sigma$  with the property that  $u^*\Omega$  has the form (31). Denoting by  $\tilde{\Omega} := u^*\Omega$  and using the same notation as in the statement of Theorem 1.10, we see that (31) implies the following chain of equalities:

$$\iota_{R_0}\tilde{\Omega} = \iota_{R_0}d\eta - dS = \mathcal{F}[dS] - dS \quad (79)$$

where  $R_0$  is the generating vector field for the  $S^1$ -action on  $\Sigma$  that interests us and  $S$  is the invariant function whose existence is guaranteed by Theorem 1.10. Denoting by  $\bar{S}$  the quotient of  $S$  by the  $S^1$ -action on  $\Sigma$ , we observe that by (79), for any  $y \in \Sigma$  contained in an  $S^1$ -fiber over a critical point  $x$  of  $\bar{S}$  the following holds:

$$\iota_{R_0}\tilde{\Omega}|_y = 0 \quad (80)$$

So, any vector field that spans the characteristic distribution of  $\tilde{\Omega}$  at  $y$  is a scalar multiple of  $R_0$ . As a result, at every critical point  $x \in \Sigma$  of  $S$ , the image  $u(\mathfrak{p}_x)$  of the  $S^1$ -fiber  $\mathfrak{p}_x$  through  $x$  is the trajectory of a vector field that spans the fiber of the distribution  $\ker \Omega$  over  $x$ . Since the critical point  $x$  of  $\bar{S}$  and the point  $y$  in the  $S^1$ -fiber over it were arbitrary, we have shown that any vector field spanning the characteristic distribution of  $\Omega$  has at least as many periodic orbits as  $\bar{S}$  has critical points. We also know from [MS17, Theorem 11.2.9] that the following chain of inequalities hold:

$$\text{Crit } \bar{S} \geqslant cl(M) \quad (81)$$

where  $cl(M)$  is the cup-length of  $M$  computed for the singular cohomology functor with real coefficients.

To finish the proof, we only need to show that  $\Omega$  is Zoll if and only if  $\bar{S}$  is constant. Toward that end, observe that if  $S$  and therefore  $\bar{S}$  is constant, then the characteristic foliation of  $\Omega$  can be conjugated to that of  $\Omega_0$  and  $\Omega$  is therefore Zoll. If, on the other hand,  $\Omega$  is Zoll then by [BK20, Proposition 7.4 (iii)] we know that  $\inf \mathcal{A}_\Omega = \sup \mathcal{A}_\Omega$ .

The function  $S$  is defined in (52) below to be the following:

$$S(x) = \mathcal{A}_\Omega(\bar{\Gamma}_x)$$

where  $\bar{\Gamma} : S^1 \times [0, 1] \rightarrow \Sigma$  is just the constant homotopy  $\bar{\Gamma}(\cdot, t) = \mathfrak{p}_x \ \forall t \in [0, 1]$ . The inequalities in (33) now follow.

## 6 Proof of Theorem 1.12

Recall the setup of Theorem 1.12:  $\Sigma$  is closed, oriented  $2n - 1$ -dimensional smooth manifold and  $\Omega_0 \in \Omega^2(\Sigma)$  is a Zoll odd-symplectic form representing

the cohomology class  $C_0 \in H^2(\Sigma, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $C_0^n = 0$ . On the tangent space  $T_{\Omega_0} \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma) \cong \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$ , the volume functional (c.f (10)) was defined as:

$$Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega) = \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} \alpha \wedge (\Omega_0 + rd\alpha)^n dr \quad (82)$$

where  $\alpha \in \bar{\Omega}^1(\Sigma) := \frac{\Omega^1(\Sigma)}{\Xi^1(\Sigma)}$  ( $\Xi^1(\Sigma)$  is the set of closed 1-forms on  $\Sigma$ ) is such that  $\Omega = \Omega_0 + d\alpha$ .

As always, denote the  $S^1$ -bundle associated with  $\Omega_0$  by  $\Sigma \xrightarrow{p} M$  and let  $\alpha_0$  be a connection 1-form of this  $S^1$ -bundle that is, the 1-form satisfying:  $\alpha_0(R_0) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{R_0}\alpha_0 = 0$  where  $R_0$  is the vector field generating the relevant  $S^1$ -action on  $\Sigma$ . Then, by Theorem 1.10 there exists a  $C^2$ -neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \Xi_{C_0}^2(\Sigma)$  of  $\Omega_0$  such that for any odd-symplectic form  $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}$  there exists a diffeomorphism  $u$  of  $\Sigma$  isotopic to the identity and a smooth function  $\tilde{S} : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that:

$$\tilde{\Omega} := u^*\Omega = \Omega_0 + d(\tilde{S}\alpha_0 + \tilde{\eta}) \quad (83)$$

where the 1-form  $\tilde{\eta}$  is as in the statement of Theorem 1.10. Since  $u$  is isotopic to the identity, it follows from [BK20, Proposition 2.8] that  $Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega) = Vol_{\Omega_0}(\tilde{\Omega})$ . Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega) &= \int_0^1 \int_{\Sigma} (\tilde{S}\alpha_0 + \tilde{\eta}) \wedge (\Omega_0 + rd(\tilde{S}\alpha_0 + \tilde{\eta}))^n dr \\ &= \int_0^1 \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Sigma} (S\alpha_0 + \eta) \wedge (\Omega_0 + d(S\alpha_0 + \eta))^n dr \end{aligned} \quad (84)$$

where  $S := r\tilde{S}$  and  $\eta := r\tilde{\eta}$ .

We now consider the following two summands in (84):

1.  $S\alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + d(S\alpha_0 + \eta))^n$
2.  $\eta \wedge (\Omega_0 + d(S\alpha_0 + \eta))^n$

**A formula for  $\int_{\Sigma} S\alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + d(S\alpha_0 + \eta))^n$**

**Step 1:** We now claim that the following expansion follows from the binomial theorem:

$$(\Omega_0 + d(S\alpha_0 + \eta))^n = (\Omega_0 + d\eta + Sd\alpha_0)^n + n(\Omega_0 + d\eta + Sd\alpha_0)^{n-1} \wedge (dS \wedge \alpha_0) \quad (85)$$

To see that this is true, we first write out the binomial expansion of  $(\Omega_0 + d(S\alpha_0 + \eta))^n$ :

$$(\Omega_0 + d(S\alpha_0 + \eta))^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (\Omega_0 + d\eta + Sd\alpha_0)^{n-k} \wedge (dS \wedge \alpha_0)^k \quad (86)$$



it is now easy to see that for  $k > 1$  the product  $(dS \wedge \alpha_0)^k = 0$  by skew-symmetry of the wedge product. So, only the first two summands in (86) remain, thereby justifying the validity of (85). Since  $S\alpha_0 \wedge n(\Omega_0 + d\eta + Sd\alpha_0)^{n-1} \wedge (dS \wedge \alpha_0) = 0$  by skew-symmetry of the wedge product, the product we obtain:

$$S\alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + d(S\alpha_0 + \eta))^n = S\alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + Sd\alpha_0)^n + S \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + Sd\alpha_0)^{n-k} \wedge d\eta^k \right] \quad (87)$$

We now focus just on the second summand in (87) and write:

$$\beta_k := \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + Sd\alpha_0)^{n-k} \wedge d\eta^k \quad \text{for } 1 \leq k \leq n \quad (88)$$

Using the binomial theorem to expand  $(\Omega_0 + Sd\alpha_0)^{n-k}$  in  $\beta_k$  we get:

$$\beta_k = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} S^j \alpha_0 \wedge d\alpha_0^j \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge d\eta^k \quad (89)$$

By definition, the exterior derivative satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} S^j \cdot d(\alpha_0 \wedge d\alpha_0^j \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{k-1}) = \\ S^j [d\alpha_0^{j+1} \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{k-1}] - S^j \alpha_0 \wedge d\alpha_0^j \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge d\eta^k \end{aligned} \quad (90)$$

The term in (89) is:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} S^j [d\alpha_0^{j+1} \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{k-1}] \\ - \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} S^j \cdot d(\alpha_0 \wedge d\alpha_0^j \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{k-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (91)$$

Plugging (91) into (87) we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} S\alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + d(S\alpha_0 + \eta))^n = S\alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + Sd\alpha_0)^n \\ + S \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n \binom{n}{k} (\Omega_0 + Sd\alpha_0)^{n-k} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{k-1} \wedge d\alpha_0 - \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} S^j \cdot \tilde{p}_j^k \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0)^n \right] \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

where for each  $k, j$  satisfying  $1 \leq k \leq n$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n-k$ , the functions  $\tilde{p}_j^k : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are defined by:

$$\tilde{p}_j^k \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0)^n = \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{j} d(\alpha_0 \wedge d\alpha_0^j \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{k-1}) \quad (93)$$

**Step 2:** We now focus on the first part of the second summand in (92) and write it as  $\sigma_{k,j}$ :

$$\sigma_{k,j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} S^{j+1} [d\alpha_0^{j+1} \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{k-1}] \quad (94)$$

Since  $\alpha_0$  is the connection 1-form of the  $S^1$ -bundle  $\Sigma \xrightarrow{p} M$  associated with  $\Omega_0$  and  $R_0$  is the vector field generating the  $S^1$ -action, it is clear that the operator  $[\alpha_0 \wedge \iota_{R_0}] : \Omega^{2n+1}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Omega^{2n+1}(\Sigma)$  acts as the identity. Therefore, on applying the operator  $\alpha_0 \wedge \iota_{R_0}$  to  $\sigma_{k,j}$  we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma_{k,j} &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} S^{j+1} [\alpha_0 \wedge \iota_{R_0}] (d\alpha_0^{j+1} \wedge \Omega_0^{n-k-j} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{k-1}) \quad (95) \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} S^{j+1} [\alpha_0 \wedge d\alpha_0^{j+1} \wedge \Omega_0^{n-k-j} \wedge \eta \wedge (k-1) \mathcal{F}(dS) \wedge d\eta^{k-2}] \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that  $\iota_{R_0} d\alpha_0 = \iota_{R_0} \eta = \iota_{R_0} \Omega_0 = 0$  and  $\iota_{R_0} d\eta = \mathcal{F}(dS)$  (c.f Theorem 1.10). So, we can write  $\sigma_{k,j}$  as:

$$\sigma_{k,j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} (k-1) S^{j+1} \mathcal{F}(dS) \wedge [\alpha_0 \wedge d\alpha_0^{j+1} \wedge \Omega_0^{n-k-j} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{k-2}] \quad (96)$$

Before going further, we recall the following observation extracted from [AB23]: the wedge product induces an invertible map  $L : \Omega^{2n}(\Sigma) \times \Omega^1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Omega^{2n+1}(\Sigma)$  in the following way:

$$(\omega_1, \omega_2) \mapsto \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2 \quad \forall (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^{2n}(\Sigma) \times \Omega^1(\Sigma) \quad (97)$$

So, the endomorphism  $\mathcal{F} : \Omega^1(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Omega^1(\Sigma)$  in Theorem 1.10 has a dual  $\hat{\mathcal{F}} : \Omega^{2n}(\Sigma) \rightarrow \Omega^{2n}(\Sigma)$  defined in the following way:

$$\mathcal{F}(\omega_1) \wedge \omega_2 = \omega_1 \wedge \hat{\mathcal{F}}(\omega_2) \quad \forall (\omega_1, \omega_2) \in \Omega^{2n}(\Sigma) \times \Omega^1(\Sigma) \quad (98)$$

Combining this observation with (96) we obtain:

$$\sigma_{k,j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} (k-1) \frac{1}{j+2} dS^{j+2} \wedge \hat{\mathcal{F}}[\alpha_0 \wedge d\alpha_0^j \wedge \Omega_0^{n-k-j} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{n-k-2}] \quad (99)$$

where  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$  is the dual to  $\mathcal{F}$  defined in (98).

After applying Stokes' theorem, for each fixed  $k$  we can now write the integral  $\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{k,j}$  as:

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sigma_{k,j} = \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{j} (k-1) \frac{1}{j+2} \int_{\Sigma} S^{j+2} d\hat{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha_0 \wedge d\alpha_0^{j+1} \wedge \Omega_0^{n-k-j} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{k-2}) \quad (100)$$

**Step 3:**

Finally, because  $\sigma_{k,j}$  is a top-rank form on  $\Sigma$ , for each fixed  $k, j$  there exist smooth functions  $p_j^k : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  given by:

$$p_j^k \cdot \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0)^n = \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{j} \frac{1}{j+2} (k-1) d\hat{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha_0 \wedge d\alpha_0^{j+1} \wedge \Omega_0^{n-k-j} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{k-2}) \quad (101)$$

Plugging (101) into (92) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Sigma} S\alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + Sd\alpha_0 + d\eta)^n \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} S\alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + Sd\alpha_0)^n + \int_{\Sigma} \left[ \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} S^{j+2} \cdot p_j^k + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} S^{j+1} \cdot \tilde{p}_j^k \right] \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0)^n \\ &= \int_{\Sigma} S\alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + Sd\alpha_0)^n + \int_{\Sigma} \tilde{P}(x, S) \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0)^n \end{aligned} \quad (102)$$

where  $\tilde{P}(x, s) := \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \tilde{P}_{k,j}(x, s)$  and the functions  $\tilde{P}_{k,j}(x, s)$  are given by

$$\tilde{P}_{k,j}(x, s) := \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} s^{j+2} \cdot p_j^k + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} s^{j+1} \cdot \tilde{p}_j^k \quad (103)$$

**Part 3: A formula for  $\int_{\Sigma} \eta \wedge (\Omega_0 + d(S\alpha_0 + \eta))^n$**

**Step 1:**

First note that by (85), we can write  $\eta \wedge (\Omega_0 + d(S\alpha_0 + \eta))^n$  as:

$$\eta \wedge (\Omega_0 + Sd\alpha_0 + d\eta)^n + n[\eta \wedge (\Omega_0 + Sd\alpha_0 + d\eta)^{n-1} \wedge (dS \wedge \alpha_0)] \quad (104)$$

Looking just at the second summand above, see that we can write it as:

$$\begin{aligned} & ndS \wedge \alpha_0 \wedge \eta \wedge (\Omega_0 + Sd\alpha_0 + d\eta)^{n-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \binom{n-k-1}{j} \frac{n}{j+1} dS^{j+1} \wedge \alpha_0 \wedge \eta \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge (d\alpha_0)^j \wedge (d\eta)^k \end{aligned} \quad (105)$$

After applying Stokes' theorem, the integral of (105) above can be written as:

$$-\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-1}{k} \binom{n-k}{j} \frac{n}{j+1} \int_{\Sigma} S^{j+1} d(\alpha_0 \wedge \eta \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge (d\alpha_0)^j \wedge (d\eta)^k) \quad (106)$$

For each fixed  $k$  and  $j$  we can write the top-rank form in (106) as:

$$\tilde{Q}_2^{k,j} \cdot \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0)^n = \binom{n-1}{k} \binom{n-k}{j} \frac{-n}{j+1} d(\alpha_0 \wedge \eta \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge (d\alpha_0)^j \wedge (d\eta)^k) \quad (107)$$

where  $\tilde{Q}_2^{k,j} : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  are smooth functions for each  $k$  and  $j$ .

**Step 2:**

Applying the operator  $[\alpha_0 \wedge \iota_{R_0}]$  to the first summand  $\eta \wedge (\Omega_0 + Sd\alpha_0 + d\eta)^n$  in (104) we get:

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{j} k S^j \mathcal{F}[dS] \wedge \alpha_0 \wedge \eta \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge (d\alpha_0)^j \wedge d\eta^{k-1} \quad (108)$$

On applying Stokes' theorem, the integral of the above differential form can be written as the integral of the following differential form:

$$\int_{\Sigma} \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{j} \frac{-k}{j+1} S^{j+1} \cdot d\hat{\mathcal{F}}[\alpha_0 \wedge \eta \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge (d\alpha_0)^j \wedge d\eta^{k-1}] \quad (109)$$

For each fixed  $k, j$  we define smooth functions  $\tilde{Q}_1^{k,j} : \Sigma \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfying:

$$\tilde{Q}_1^{k,j} \cdot \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0)^n = \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{j} \frac{-k}{j+1} \cdot d\hat{\mathcal{F}}[\alpha_0 \wedge \eta \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge (d\alpha_0)^j \wedge d\eta^{k-1}] \quad (110)$$

$\hat{\mathcal{F}}$  is again the dual to  $\mathcal{F}$  defined in (98).

Plugging (107) and (110) into (104) we see that the integral  $\int_{\Sigma} \eta \wedge (\Omega_0 + d(S\alpha_0 + \eta))^n$  can be written as:

$$\int_{\Sigma} \eta \wedge (\Omega_0 + d(S\alpha_0 + \eta))^n = \int_{\Sigma} Q_1(x, s) \alpha_0 \wedge \Omega_0^n + \int_{\Sigma} Q_2(x, s) \alpha_0 \wedge \Omega_0^n \quad (111)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 : \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
(x, s) &\mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} s^{j+1} \cdot \tilde{Q}_1^{k,j}(x) \\
Q_2 : \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\
(x, s) &\mapsto \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} s^{j+1} \cdot \tilde{Q}_2^{k,j}(x)
\end{aligned} \tag{112}$$

#### Part 4: Putting the previous steps together

By (84)  $Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega)$  can be written as:

$$Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Sigma} (S\alpha_0 + \eta) \wedge (\Omega_0 + rd(S\alpha_0 + \eta))^n dr \tag{113}$$

By (103), (112) we see that:

$$Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega) = \int_0^1 \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Sigma} S\alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0 + Sd\alpha_0)^n dr + \int_0^1 \frac{1}{r} \int_{\Sigma} D(x, S) \alpha_0 \wedge \Omega_0^n dr \tag{114}$$

where:

$$D := \tilde{P} + Q_1 + Q_2 \tag{115}$$

We now note the following:

1. For each  $k$  and  $j$  the following is true just from the definition of the functions  $\tilde{Q}_1^{k,j}$  (c.f (112)) :

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_1 &= \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} S^{j+1} \cdot \tilde{Q}_1^{k,j} \cdot \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0)^n \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{j} (j+1) S^{j+1} d\hat{\mathcal{F}}[\alpha_0 \wedge \eta \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge (d\alpha_0)^j \wedge d\eta^{k-1}]
\end{aligned} \tag{116}$$

It follows that the functions  $\tilde{Q}_1$  integrates to 0 over  $\Sigma$  when  $S$  is constant.

2. Similarly for the functions  $\tilde{Q}_2^{k,j}$  we see that for each  $k$  and  $j$  the following equality follows from their definition (c.f (112)) :

$$\begin{aligned}
Q_2 &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} S^{j+1} \cdot \tilde{Q}_2^{k,j} \cdot \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0)^n \\
&= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{j} S^{j+1} \cdot d(\alpha_0 \wedge \eta \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge (d\alpha_0)^j \wedge (d\eta)^k)
\end{aligned} \tag{117}$$

The function  $\tilde{Q}_2$  integrates to 0 over  $\Sigma$  when  $S$  is constant because the left-hand side of the above equation is exact.

3. for each  $k, j$  the functions  $\tilde{P}_{k,j}(x, S)$  integrates to zero over  $\Sigma$  when  $S$  is constant because they are defined by:

$$\tilde{P}_{k,j}(x, S) := \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} S^{j+2} \cdot p_j^k + \sum_{k=1}^n \sum_{j=0}^{n-k} S^{j+1} \cdot \tilde{p}_j^k$$

Where for each fixed  $k$  and  $j$  the functions  $p_j^k(x)$  satisfy:

$$p_j^k \cdot \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0)^n = d\hat{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha_0 \wedge d\alpha_0^{j+1} \wedge \Omega_0^{n-k-j} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{k-2}) \quad (118)$$

And the functions  $\tilde{p}_j^k$  satisfy:

$$\tilde{p}_j^k \cdot \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0)^n = d(\alpha_0 \wedge d\alpha_0^j \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{k-1}) \quad (119)$$

4. It also follows from the definition of  $\tilde{P}$ ,  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  that  $D(x, 0) = 0 \ \forall \ x \in \Sigma$ .

To finish the proof of Theorem 1.12 we need only to establish (35). This is the context of the following result.

**Proposition 6.1.** *Let  $D : \Sigma \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be the function defined in (115) then, given an  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists a  $\delta_0 > 0$  such that the following is true when  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  is close to 0:*

$$\|\Omega_0 - \Omega\|_{C^2} < \delta_0 \implies \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial s} D \right\|_{C^0} < \epsilon \quad (120)$$

*Proof. Step 1: The bounds on  $p_j^k$*

We need to show that given an  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist real constants  $\delta, c > 0$  such that  $\|p_j^k\|_{C^0} < \epsilon$  if the following hold:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \left\{ \|\eta\|_{C^0}, \|d\eta\|_{C^0}, \|\mathcal{F}\|_{C^0} \right\} &< \delta \\ \max \left\{ \|\eta\|_{C^1}, \|d\eta\|_{C^1}, \|\mathcal{F}\|_{C^1} \right\} &< c \end{aligned} \quad (121)$$

Since  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}$  is the dual of  $\mathcal{F}$ , the bounds in (121) imply that there exists a real constant  $b_0 > 0$  such that:

$$\|\hat{\mathcal{F}}\|_{C^0} < b_0 \delta \ \& \ \|\hat{\mathcal{F}}\|_{C^1} < b_0 c \quad (122)$$

Now, recall that for each  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n-k$  the functions  $p_j^k$  satisfy:

$$p_j^k \cdot \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0)^n = \binom{n-1}{k} \binom{n-k}{j} \left[ d\hat{\mathcal{F}}(\alpha_0 \wedge d\alpha_0^{j+1} \wedge \Omega_0^{n-k-j} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{k-2}) \right]$$

Consider now the following differential form:

$$\gamma_{k,j} := \alpha_0 \wedge \eta \wedge d\alpha_0^j \wedge \Omega_0^{n-k-j} \wedge d\eta^{k-2} \quad (123)$$

The Libnitz formula along with (121) implies that there exists a real number  $b_1 > 0$  such that:

$$\|\gamma_{k,j}\|_{C^0} \leq b_1 \delta^{k-1} \quad \& \quad \|\gamma_{k,j}\|_{C^1} \leq b_1 c^{k-1} \quad (124)$$

Using the Libnitz formula, (122) and (124) we see that the following is true:

$$\begin{aligned} & \|d\hat{\mathcal{F}}[\alpha_0 \wedge \eta \wedge d\alpha_0^j \wedge \Omega_0^{n-k-j} \wedge d\eta^{k-2}]\|_{C^0} \\ & \leq \|\hat{\mathcal{F}}\|_{C^1} \cdot \|\gamma_{k,j}\|_{C^0} + \|\hat{\mathcal{F}}\|_{C^0} \|\gamma_{k,j}\|_{C^1} \leq b_0 b_1 \delta^k + b_0 b_1 c^k \end{aligned} \quad (125)$$

It is clear that by choosing  $\delta, c$  in (121) small enough we can make  $\|p_j^k\|_{C^0} < \epsilon$  for any given  $\epsilon > 0$ .

### **Step 2: The bounds on $\tilde{p}_j^k$**

Recall from (93) that the functions  $\tilde{p}_j^k$  are defined by:

$$\tilde{p}_j^k \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0)^n = d(\alpha_0 \wedge d\alpha_0^j \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{k-1})$$

In analogy with the previous step we make the following definition:

$$\hat{\gamma}_{k,j} := \alpha_0 \wedge d\alpha_0^j \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge \eta \wedge d\eta^{k-1} \quad (126)$$

Now, it is clear from (121) that there exists a real  $d_1 > 0$  such that:

$$\|\hat{\gamma}_{k,j}\|_{C^1} < d_1 c^k \quad (127)$$

So, we now conclude that the following holds for each  $1 \leq k \leq n-1$  and  $0 \leq j \leq n-k$ :

$$\|\tilde{p}_j^k\|_{C^0} \leq \|\hat{\gamma}_{k,j}\|_{C^1} < d_1 c^k \quad (128)$$

### **Step 3: The bounds on $\tilde{Q}_1^{k,j}$**

First recall that the functions  $\tilde{Q}_1^{k,j}$  are defined by the equations:

$$\tilde{Q}_1^{k,j} \cdot \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0)^n = d\hat{\mathcal{F}}[\alpha_0 \wedge \eta \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge (d\alpha_0)^j \wedge d\eta^{k-1}] \quad (129)$$

So, if we write  $\kappa_{k,j} := \alpha_0 \wedge \eta \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge (d\alpha_0)^j \wedge d\eta^{k-1}$ . Then, by (121) there exists a real constant  $d_2 > 0$  such that the following hold:

$$\|\kappa_{k,j}\|_{C^0} \leq d_2 \delta^k \quad \& \quad \|\kappa_{k,j}\|_{C^1} \leq d_2 c^k \quad (130)$$

This allows us to conclude as in step 1 above that there exists a  $d_3 > 0$  such that:

$$\|\tilde{Q}_1^{k,j}\|_{C^0} \leq \|\hat{\mathcal{F}}\|_{C^0} \cdot \|\kappa_{k,j}\|_{C^1} + \|\hat{\mathcal{F}}\|_{C^1} \cdot \|\kappa_{k,j}\|_{C^0} \leq d_3(\delta^{k+1} + c^{k+1}) \quad (131)$$

#### Step 4: The bounds on $\tilde{Q}_2^{k,j}$

First, recall that for each  $k$  and  $j$  the functions  $\tilde{Q}_2^{k,j}$  are defined by the equations:

$$\tilde{Q}_2^{k,j} \cdot \alpha_0 \wedge (\Omega_0)^n = d(\alpha_0 \wedge \eta \wedge (\Omega_0)^{n-k-j} \wedge (d\alpha_0)^j \wedge (d\eta)^k) \quad (132)$$

$$\|\tilde{Q}_2^{k,j}\|_{C^0} \leq a_1 c^{k+1} \quad (133)$$

So, by choosing  $c$  in (121) small enough we can guarantee that for a given  $\epsilon > 0$  and for each  $k$  and  $j$  the functions  $\tilde{Q}_2^{k,j}$  satisfy the bounds:

$$\|\tilde{Q}_2^{k,j}\|_{C^0} < \epsilon \quad (134)$$

Since  $D(x, s)$  is a polynomial in  $s$  with coefficients given by  $\tilde{P}$ ,  $Q_1$  and  $Q_2$  we see that if  $\delta$  and  $c$  in (121) are small enough then  $\|\frac{\partial D}{\partial s}\|_{C^0} < \epsilon$  as claimed.  $\square$

## 7 Hamiltonian dynamics on hypersurfaces

### 7.1 Proof of Theorem 1.7

Let  $(W, \omega)$  be a connected symplectic manifold and  $\Sigma_0 \hookrightarrow W$  a Zoll hypersurface as in Theorem 1.7. Then there exists a locally defined smooth function  $H : A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that  $H^{-1}(0) = \Sigma_0$ ; where  $A \subset W$  is a neighborhood of  $\Sigma_0$ . We can also assume without any loss of generality that  $H$  was chosen so that there exists an  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  with the property that the interval  $(-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$  does not contain any critical values. Given another hypersurface  $\Sigma$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1.7, it follows that  $\Sigma$  is contained in a tubular neighborhood of  $\Sigma_0$  and is transverse to the gradient vector field of  $H$ , we can therefore assume without any loss of generality that  $H$  was chosen so that both  $\Sigma$  and  $\Sigma_0$  are regular levels of  $H$  and that the regular value  $a \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $H^{-1}(a) = \Sigma$  satisfies:  $a \in (0, \epsilon_0)$ . We define the region  $\tilde{D}(\Sigma) \subset W$  in the following way:

$$\tilde{D}(\Sigma) := \{x \in W \mid H(x) \in [0, a]\} \quad (135)$$

For  $t \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$  we will denote by  $\varphi^t$  the flow at time  $t$  of the vector field  $X := \frac{\nabla H}{\|\nabla H\|^2}$ . This implies that the following holds:  $H(\varphi^t) = t$  for  $t \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$ . This allows us to define the following diffeomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi : \Sigma_0 \times [0, a] &\longrightarrow \tilde{D}(\Sigma) \\ (x, t) &\longmapsto \varphi^t(x) \end{aligned} \quad (136)$$

Upon denoting by  $\phi^t$  the pullback  $\Phi^*(\varphi^t)$ , we see that  $\phi^t$  satisfies the following properties:

$$\phi^0 \equiv \text{Id} \quad \phi^t : \Sigma_0 \times \{0\} \rightarrow \Sigma_0 \times \{t\} \quad (137)$$



It then follows that the pullback  $\Omega_t := (\phi^t)^*(\Phi^*\omega)$  is cohomologous with  $\Omega_0 := \omega|_{\Sigma_0}$ . Indeed, a simple computation using Cartan's magic formula and [Gei08, Lemma 2.2.1] shows that the following holds:

$$\Omega_t - \Omega_0 = \Phi^* \left( d \left( \int_0^t (\varphi^s)^*(\iota_X \omega) ds \right) \right) = d \left( \int_0^t \eta_s ds \right) \quad (138)$$

Upon denoting by  $\alpha_t := \int_0^t \eta_s ds$  for  $t \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$ . We see that (138) then has the following convenient expression:

$$\Omega_t = \Omega_0 + d\alpha_t \quad \text{where } \alpha_t \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_0, \Omega_t) \text{ for } t \in (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0) \quad (139)$$

we also recall that  $\mathcal{C}(\Sigma_0, \Omega_t)$  was the set of 1-forms on  $\Sigma_0$  that were positively transverse to  $\Omega_t$ .

The following proposition shows that the Volume of the region  $\tilde{D}(\Sigma)$  has a convenient expression in terms of the Volume functional defined in (10):

**Proposition 7.1.** *Let  $(W, \omega)$  be a connected symplectic manifold of dimension  $2n$  and  $\Sigma_0, \Sigma \hookrightarrow W$  be two closed, connected, oriented embedded submanifolds with the property that they are close enough that the region  $\tilde{D}(\Sigma)$  is well defined. Then, the following holds:*

$$\int_{\Sigma_0 \times [0, a]} (\Phi^*\omega)^n = n \text{Vol}_{\Omega_0}(\Omega_a) \quad (140)$$

where  $\Omega_0 := \omega|_{\Sigma_0}$  and  $\Omega_a = (\phi^a)^*\tilde{\Omega}_a$  where  $\tilde{\Omega}_a := \omega|_{\Sigma}$ .

*Proof.* On the product manifold  $\Sigma_0 \times [0, a]$ , the 2-form  $\Phi^*\omega$  has the following expression:

$$\Phi^*\omega = dt \wedge \eta_t + \Omega_t \quad (141)$$

The  $2n$ -form  $(\Phi^*\omega)^n$  has the following binomial expansion:

$$(\Phi^*\omega)^n = (dt \wedge \eta_t + \Omega_t)^n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (dt \wedge \eta_t)^k \wedge (\Omega_t)^{n-k} \quad (142)$$

The differential form  $(dt \wedge \eta_t)^k = 0$  for all  $k > 1$  and the  $2n$ -form  $\Omega_t^n = 0$  because  $\Omega_t \in \Omega^2(\Sigma_0)$  for all  $t$  and  $\Sigma_0$  has dimension  $2n - 1$ . Therefore, we can rewrite (142) as the following:

$$(\Phi^*\omega)^n = n dt \wedge \eta_t \wedge \tilde{\Omega}_t^{n-1} \quad (143)$$

The required integral then has the following expression:

$$\int_{\Sigma_0 \times [0, a]} (\Phi^*\omega)^n = \int_{\Sigma_0 \times [0, a]} n dt \wedge \eta_t \wedge \tilde{\Omega}_t^{n-1} = n \int_0^a \int_{\Sigma_0} \eta_t \wedge \Omega_t^{n-1} dt \quad (144)$$

Computing further, we obtain the following:

$$\begin{aligned} n \int_0^a \int_{\Sigma_0} \eta_t \wedge \Omega_t^{n-1} dt &= n \int_0^a \frac{d}{dt} Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega_t) dt = n \left( Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega_a) - Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega_0) \right) \\ &= n Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega_a) \end{aligned} \quad (145)$$

Where the first equality follows from the fact that by [BK20] the following is true:

$$d_{\alpha_a} Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega_a) \cdot \beta = \int_{\Sigma_0} \beta \wedge \Omega_a^{n-1} \quad (146)$$

□

Proposition 7.1 motivates us to define the action and systole of an arbitrary regular level set of  $H$ .

Let  $\tilde{X}_H$  be the pullback:  $\Phi^*(X_H)$  where  $X_H$  is the Hamiltonian vector field on  $W$  determined by  $\omega$  and  $H$ . When restricted to  $\Sigma_0 \times \{a\}$ , the flow of  $\tilde{X}_H$  generates the characteristic distribution of  $\tilde{\Omega}_a = \Phi^*\omega|_{\Sigma_0 \times \{a\}}$ , as a consequence, given a short cylinder  $\Gamma_\gamma$  interpolating between a closed leaf  $\gamma$  of the characteristic foliation on  $\tilde{\Omega}_a$  and one of the characteristic foliation of  $\Omega_0 = \Phi^*\omega|_{\Sigma_0 \times \{0\}}$ , the action  $\mathcal{A}_\Sigma(\gamma)$  was defined to be the following:

$$\mathcal{A}_\Sigma(\gamma) := \int_{\Gamma_\gamma} \Phi^*\omega \quad (147)$$

Since  $\omega$  is closed, the above integral is invariant under homotopies with a fixed boundary. We therefore choose a short cylinder in the following way: let  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\gamma$  be a projection of  $\Gamma_\gamma$  to  $\Sigma_0$  and consider the cylinder defined to be the concatenation  $\tilde{\Gamma}_\gamma \# (\phi^t)^*\gamma$ . Using (141), we observe that the above integral has the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\Gamma_\gamma} \Phi^*\omega &= \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_\gamma \# (\phi^t)^*\gamma} \Phi^*\omega = \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_\gamma \# (\phi^t)^*\gamma} dt \wedge \eta_t + \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_\gamma \# (\phi^t)^*\gamma} \tilde{\Omega}_t \\ &= \int_0^a \int_{(\phi^t)^*\gamma} \eta_t dt + \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_\gamma} \Omega_0 \end{aligned} \quad (148)$$

By definition of the 1-form  $\alpha_a$ , the first factor in (148) can be written as the following:

$$\int_0^a \int_{(\phi^t)^*\gamma} \eta_t dt = \int_{(\phi^a)^*\gamma} \alpha_a \quad (149)$$

Putting (148) and (149) together, we obtain the following expression for  $\mathcal{A}_\Sigma(\gamma)$ :

$$\mathcal{A}_\Sigma(\gamma) = \int_{\Gamma_\gamma} \Phi^*\omega = \int_{(\phi^a)^*\gamma} \alpha_a + \int_{\tilde{\Gamma}_\gamma} \Omega_0 = \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_a}((\phi^a)^*\gamma) \quad (150)$$

Denoting by  $\mathfrak{h} \in \pi_1(\Sigma_0)$  the homotopy class represented by the leaves of the characteristic foliation of  $\Sigma_0$ , we recall that we denote by  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Sigma_0)$  the set of short loops in  $\Sigma_0$  (c.f Section 1.2.1). We can now conveniently express the systole of  $\Sigma$  in the following way:

$$\text{sys}(\Sigma) = \inf_{\tilde{\gamma} \in \Lambda_{\mathfrak{h}}(\Sigma_0)} \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_a}(\tilde{\gamma}) \quad (151)$$

Since the pair  $(\Sigma_0, \Omega_0)$  is a Zoll odd-symplectic manifold, there exists an oriented  $S^1$ -bundle  $\Sigma_0 \rightarrow M$  whose leaves are the leaves of characteristic foliation of  $\Omega_0$ . Given a connection 1-form  $\alpha_0 \in \Omega^1(\Sigma_0)$  for such an  $S^1$ -bundle, it is easy to see that the following relations are automatically satisfied

$$\alpha_0 \in \mathcal{C}(\Sigma_0, \Omega_0) \quad \text{and} \quad \ker \Omega_0 \subset \ker d\alpha_0 \quad (152)$$

Therefore, the odd-symplectic form  $\Omega_0$  and 1-form  $\alpha_0$  determine a stable Hamiltonian structure on  $\Sigma_0$  in the sense of [CFP10]. Therefore, there exists an  $\tilde{\epsilon}_0 > 0$  such that the neighborhood  $\Sigma_0 \times (-\tilde{\epsilon}_0, \tilde{\epsilon}_0)$  is foliated by Zoll hypersurfaces. By re-normalizing if necessary we can parametrize the leaves of this foliation in the following way: we denote the leaves by  $\hat{\Sigma}_t$  for  $t \in (-\tilde{\epsilon}_0, \tilde{\epsilon}_0)$  such that the following holds for every  $t \in (-\tilde{\epsilon}_0, \tilde{\epsilon}_0)$ :

$$\text{sys}(\hat{\Sigma}_t) = t = \mathcal{A}_{\hat{\Sigma}_t}(\tilde{\gamma}) \quad \text{for any leaf } \tilde{\gamma} \text{ of the characteristic foliation of } \hat{\Sigma}_t \quad (153)$$

We can also assume with any loss of generality that  $\tilde{\epsilon}_0$  was chosen so that  $\text{sys}(\Sigma) \in [0, \tilde{\epsilon}_0)$ . In such a situation, since the hypersurface  $\hat{\Sigma}_{\text{sys}(\Sigma)}$  is Zoll, by Proposition 7.1 the following holds:

$$\text{Vol}(\tilde{D}(\hat{\Sigma}_{\text{sys}(\Sigma)})) = P(\text{sys}(\Sigma)) \quad (154)$$

The 1-form  $\eta_a$  defined in (138) has the following Taylor expansion:

$$\eta_a = \eta_0 + a\dot{\eta}_0 + \frac{a^2}{2}\ddot{\eta}_0 + \frac{a^3}{6}\partial_a\ddot{\eta}_0 + \mathcal{O}(a^4) \quad (155)$$

Therefore, by (138), the odd-symplectic form  $\Omega_a$  will be  $C^2$ -close to  $\Omega_0$  if  $a > 0$  is chosen so that  $a^3$  is close to zero. So, we can now use Theorem 1.4 to obtain the following inequality:

$$\text{Vol}(\tilde{D}(\hat{\Sigma}_{\text{sys}(\Sigma)})) = P(\text{sys}(\Sigma)) \leq \text{Vol}_{\Omega_0}(\Omega_a) = \text{Vol}(\tilde{D}(\Sigma)) \quad (156)$$

with equality holding if and only if the action of every leaf of the characteristic foliation of  $\Omega_a$  equals  $\text{sys}(\Sigma)$ , which happens if and only if  $\Sigma$  is a Zoll hypersurface as required.

## 8 Magnetic dynamics on Riemannian manifolds

We begin this section with a justification that the problem of studying the dynamics of the magnetic geodesic flow on a regular energy level can be modeled

by an odd-symplectic form.

Towards our set end, observe that given a magnetic system determined by a pair  $(g, \sigma)$  on a Riemannian manifold  $(W, g)$ , the trajectories of  $\Phi_{g, \sigma}^t$  on a regular level set  $H_{\text{kin}}^{-1}(k)$  can be viewed as the leaves of the characteristic foliation of the following odd-symplectic form defined on the manifold  $S^*W \cong H_{\text{kin}}^{-1}(\frac{1}{2})$ :

$$\tilde{\Omega}_{g, \sigma}^s := d\lambda - \pi^* \sigma_s \quad \text{where } \sigma_s := s\sigma \text{ and } s = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2k}} \quad (157)$$

Therefore, given an interval  $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ , the dynamics of the magnetic geodesic flow of the above one-parameter family of odd-symplectic forms defined on  $S^*W$  represent that of the flow  $\Phi_{g, \sigma}^t$  on arbitrary regular levels contained in the interval  $I$  of the metric Hamiltonian. If the particular level set of the metric Hamiltonian is obvious, in the interest of a more concise presentation of the formulas we will suppress the subscript in the above equation and simply write  $\sigma$  for  $\sigma_s$ .

Given a magnetic pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$  on a Riemannian manifold  $(W, g_0)$ , we see that given any other magnetic pair  $(g, \sigma) \in \mathcal{M}(W) \times \Omega^2(W)$ , the flow lines of  $\Phi_{g, \sigma}^t$  at some energy level  $s$  are the leaves of the characteristic foliation of the following odd-symplectic form defined on  $S^*W$ :

$$\Omega_{g, \sigma} := d(f_g \lambda) - s\pi^* \sigma \Big|_{S^*W} \quad (158)$$

where  $f_g : T^*W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is a smooth function defined in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} f_g : T^*W &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (p, q) &\mapsto \frac{\|p\|_g}{\|p\|_{g_0}} \end{aligned} \quad (159)$$

Recall that a magnetic pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$  on a Riemannian manifold  $(W, g_0)$  is said to be Zoll if there exists real number  $s$  such that the odd-symplectic form  $d\lambda - s\pi^* \sigma_0$  is Zoll on  $S^*W$ . In such a situation, we will denote by  $\mathfrak{h} \in \pi_1(S^*W)$  the homotopy class represented by the fibers of an  $S^1$ -bundle associated with the above mentioned Zoll odd-symplectic form. In this setting, we define the magnetic action of a pair  $(g, \sigma) \in \mathcal{M}(W) \times \Xi_{C_0}^2(W)$  (where  $C_0 \in H^2(W, \mathbb{R})$  is the class represented by  $\sigma_0$ ), to be the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{g, \sigma}^{\text{mag}} : \Lambda_{\mathfrak{h}}(S^*W) &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ \gamma &\mapsto \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_{g, \sigma}}(\gamma) \end{aligned} \quad (160)$$

where  $\Omega_{g, \sigma} := d(f_g \lambda) - \pi^* \sigma \Big|_{S^*W}$ . Recall that the set of closed magnetic geodesics (parametrized by arc length) was denoted by the set  $\chi(g, \sigma)$ , and we will denote by  $\tilde{\chi}(g, \sigma)$  the lifts of curves in  $\chi(g, \sigma)$  to  $S^*W$ . More precisely, we have the following:

$$\tilde{\chi}(g, \sigma) := \{(\gamma, \dot{\gamma}) \subset S^*W \mid \gamma \in \chi(g, \sigma)\} \quad (161)$$

In this context, the following proposition furnishes a convenient expression for the action of an odd-symplectic form determined by a magnetic pair that is sufficiently close to a Zoll pair:

**Proposition 8.1.** *Let  $(W, g_0)$  be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension at least three with the property that it admits a Zoll magnetic pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$ . Then, for any other magnetic pair  $(g, \sigma)$  with the property that  $\sigma$  represents the same cohomology class as  $\sigma_0$  and the set  $\Lambda_{\mathfrak{h}}(S^*W) \cap \tilde{\chi}(g, \sigma)$  is non-empty, the following holds:*

$$\mathcal{A}_{g, \sigma}^{\text{mag}}(\gamma) = \text{length}_g(\gamma) - \int_{\Gamma_\gamma} \sigma - \text{length}_{g_0}(\gamma_0) + \int_{\gamma_0} \eta \quad (162)$$

where  $\gamma_0$  is a trajectory of  $\Phi_{g_0, \sigma_0}^t$  contained in the same good chart as  $\gamma$  and  $\Gamma_\gamma$  is a short homotopy between  $\gamma$  and  $\gamma_0$ .

*Proof.* Since the pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$  is Zoll on the Riemannian manifold  $(W, g_0)$ , there exists by definition an  $s \in \mathbb{R}$  with the property that the odd-symplectic form  $d\lambda - s\pi^*\sigma_0|_{S^*W}$  is a Zoll odd-symplectic; we now fix this constant  $s$ .

Let  $(g, \sigma) \in \mathcal{M}(W) \times \Xi_{C_0}^2(W)$  be another pair such that the set  $\chi(g, \sigma)$  is non-empty. The action  $\mathcal{A}_{g, \sigma}^{\text{mag}}(\gamma)$  of a loop  $\gamma \in \tilde{\chi}(g, \sigma)$  has the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_{g, \sigma}^{\text{mag}}(\gamma) &= \int_{\gamma_0} \pi^* \eta - \int_{\Gamma_\gamma} d(f_g \lambda) - \pi^* \sigma \\ &= \int_{\dot{\gamma}_0} (f_g - 1) \lambda - \int_{\gamma_0} \pi^* \eta + \int_{\dot{\gamma}_1} (f_g \lambda) - \int_{\dot{\gamma}_0} (f_g \lambda) - \int_{\Gamma_\gamma} \pi^* \sigma \\ &= \int_{\dot{\gamma}_1} f_g \lambda - \int_{\Gamma_\gamma} \pi^* \sigma - \int_{\dot{\gamma}_0} \lambda - \int_{\gamma_0} \pi^* \eta \end{aligned} \quad (163)$$

where  $\eta \in \Omega^1(W)$  is a 1-form with the following property:

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 + d\eta \quad (164)$$

The integral  $\int_{\gamma_0} \pi^* \eta$  is independent of the choice of 1-form satisfying (164). To see this observe that given an arbitrary closed 1-form  $\theta \in \Omega^1(W)$ , we can define  $\eta' := \eta + \theta$  and the following holds:

$$\int_{\gamma_0} \pi^* \eta' = \int_{\gamma_0} \pi^* \eta + \int_{\gamma_0} \pi^* \theta \quad (165)$$

However, since  $\gamma_0$  is the orbit of the magnetic geodesic flow of a Zoll magnetic system, we know that it can be conjugated to a fiber of a  $S^1$ -bundle, and by [BK20, Lemma 4.5] we know that our assumption on the dimension of  $W$  guarantees that the homology class represented by  $\gamma_0$  is trivial. And since  $\theta$  is a closed 1-form, the integral  $\int_{\gamma_0} \pi^* \theta$  vanishes identically. As a result, we can conclude from (165) that (163) is independent of the choice of 1-form  $\eta$  satisfying  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + d\eta$ . This concludes the proof.  $\square$

Before proceeding further, we recall some facts about the geometry of cotangent bundles of Riemannian manifolds. Given a Riemannian manifold  $(W, g)$ , a point  $q \in W$  and some  $p \in T_q^*W$ , the tangent space  $T_pTW$  can be written as the direct sum of two vector spaces  $\mathcal{H}(p)$  and  $\mathcal{V}(p)$  called the horizontal and vertical components respectively. This isomorphism is constructed by combining the following two endomorphisms:

$$\begin{aligned} pr_{\mathcal{H}} : T_pT^*W &\rightarrow T_qW \cong \mathcal{H}(p) \\ \xi &\mapsto \xi_{\mathcal{H}} := d_p\pi(\xi) \end{aligned} \quad (166)$$

$$\begin{aligned} pr_{\mathcal{V}} : T_pT^*W &\rightarrow T_q^*W \cong \mathcal{V}(p) \\ \xi &\mapsto \xi_{\mathcal{V}} := \nabla_{\partial t}(Z(0)) \end{aligned} \quad (167)$$

where  $Z : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \rightarrow T^*W$  is a curve such that  $Z(0) = p$  and  $\dot{Z}(0) = \xi$  and  $\nabla_t$  represents the covariant derivative along the curve  $(\pi \circ Z)$ . We now define  $\mathcal{H}(p)$  to be the set of all  $\xi \in T_pT^*W$  such that  $\xi_{\mathcal{V}} = 0$  and  $\mathcal{V}(p)$  to be the set of all  $\xi \in T_pT^*W$  such that  $\xi_{\mathcal{H}} = 0$ . We also have the following identifications given by the maps  $pr_{\mathcal{H}}$  and  $pr_{\mathcal{V}}$  respectively:  $\mathcal{H}(p) \cong T_qW$  and  $\mathcal{V}(p) \cong T_q^*W$ . The Sasaki metric on  $T^*W$  is defined with respect to this direct sum decomposition in the following way:

$$\hat{g} := g \oplus g \quad (168)$$

**Proposition 8.2.** *Let  $(W, g_0)$  be a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n > 2$ , and we fix a cohomology class  $C_0 \in H^2(W, \mathbb{R})$  and a representative  $\sigma_0 \in \Xi_{C_0}^2(W)$ . Then, given another pair  $(g, \sigma) \in \mathcal{M}(W) \times \Xi_{C_0}^2(W)$  we can define the following two odd-symplectic forms on  $S^*W$ :*

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega &:= d(f_g \lambda) - \pi^* \sigma \\ \Omega_0 &:= d\lambda - \pi^* \sigma_0 \end{aligned} \quad (169)$$

where  $f_g$  is the function defined in (159).

In this setting, the following is true:

$$Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega) = \frac{2\pi^{2n}}{(n-1)!} \left( vol_g(W) - vol_{g_0}(W) \right) \quad (170)$$

In particular if  $vol_g(W) = vol_{g_0}(W)$  then:

$$Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega) = 0 \quad (171)$$

*Proof.* We begin the proof by fixing some notation. On the Riemannian manifold  $(W, g_0)$ , we will make use of the following notation:

$$\mathbb{D}_r W := \{(q, p) \in TW \mid \|p\|_q^2 = r\} \text{ for } r \in \mathbb{R}_+ \quad (172)$$

The integral being computed here is the following:

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\partial(\mathbb{D}_1 W)} ((f_g - 1)\lambda + \eta) \wedge \left( \Omega_0 + d(rf_g - 1)\lambda + srd\eta \right)^{2n-1} dr \quad (173)$$

where  $\Omega_0$  is the following odd-symplectic form:

$$\Omega_0 := d\lambda - z\pi^*\sigma_0 \Big|_{S^*W} \quad (174)$$

The expression for the 2-form  $\Omega_0 + d(rf_g - 1)\lambda + srd\eta$  can be simplified in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_0 + d(rf_g - 1)\lambda + srd\eta &= d\lambda - s\sigma_0 + d(r(f_g - 1)\lambda + sr\eta) \\ &= d((1 - r) + rf_g)\lambda + s(rd\eta - \sigma_0) = d(f_g^r \lambda) + s\sigma_r \end{aligned} \quad (175)$$

where  $f_g^r := (1 - r) + rf_g$  and  $\sigma_r := -(\sigma_0 - rd\eta)$ . Using this simplified expression for the 2-form  $\Omega_0 + d(rf_g - 1)\lambda + srd\eta$ , the integral in (173) takes the following form:

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\partial(\mathbb{D}_1 W)} ((f_g - 1)\lambda + \eta) \wedge (d(f_g^r \lambda) + s\sigma_r)^{2n-1} \quad (176)$$

Using the binomial theorem, we see that the  $2n - 1$ -form  $((f_g - 1)\lambda + \eta) \wedge (d(f_g^r \lambda) + s\sigma_r)^{2n-1}$  has the following expression:

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \binom{2n-1}{k} (f_g - 1)\lambda \wedge (d(f_g^r \lambda))^k \wedge (s\sigma_r)^{2n-1-k} \\ &+ \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \binom{2n-1}{k} \eta \wedge (d(f_g^r \lambda))^k \wedge (s\sigma_r)^{2n-1-k} \end{aligned} \quad (177)$$

The integral of the second summand in (177) over  $\partial(\mathbb{D}_1 W)$  is zero if  $k = 0$  because  $T\partial(\mathbb{D}_1 W)$  has only  $2n$  linearly independent horizontal directions. If  $k \neq 0$  in (177), by the Fubini-Tonelli theorem, the integral of the second summand in (177) over  $\partial(\mathbb{D}_1 W)$  is the product of its vertical and horizontal components. However, for each non-zero  $k$  the only factor in the product  $\eta \wedge (d(f_g^r \lambda))^k \wedge (s\sigma_r)^{2n-1-k}$  that contributes to the vertical component is the factor  $(d(f_g^r \lambda))^k$  which is exact and since the manifold  $\partial(\mathbb{D}_1 W)$  is closed, Stokes' theorem implies that the integral of the vertical component of the following integral vanishes:

$$\int_{\partial(\mathbb{D}_1 W)} \binom{2n-1}{k} \eta \wedge (d(f_g^r \lambda))^k \wedge (s\sigma_r)^{2n-1-k} \quad (178)$$

We can now conclude that the following integral vanishes for every  $k$ , that is:

$$\binom{2n-1}{k} \int_{\partial(\mathbb{D}_1 W)} \eta \wedge (d(f_g^r \lambda))^k \wedge (s\sigma_r)^{2n-1-k} = 0 \quad \forall k \quad (179)$$

Therefore, only the first summand in (177) contributes to the integral (173) i.e, the following equality holds:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\partial(\mathbb{D}_1 W)} ((f_g - 1)\lambda + \eta) \wedge \left( \Omega_0 + d(rf_g - 1)\lambda + srd\eta \right)^{2n-1} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{2n-1} \binom{2n-1}{k} \int_{\partial(\mathbb{D}_1 W)} (f_g - 1)\lambda \wedge (d(f_g^r \lambda))^k \wedge (s\sigma_r)^{2n-1-k} \end{aligned} \quad (180)$$

Observe that  $T\partial(\mathbb{D}_1 W)$  has exactly  $2n$  horizontal and  $2n - 1$  vertical directions and the 2-form  $\sigma_r$  along with the 1-form  $(f_g - 1)\lambda$  only evaluates as non-zero on vectors in the horizontal distribution in  $T\partial(\mathbb{D}_1 W)$  and the 2-form  $d(f_g^r \lambda)$  must be evaluated on one vector in the horizontal distribution and one in the vertical distribution to be non-zero. Therefore, for dimensional reasons, the only summand in the integral (180) that is non-zero is the one with  $k = 2n - 1$ . This observation results in the following chain of equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 \int_{\partial(\mathbb{D}_1 W)} ((f_g - 1)\lambda + \eta) \wedge \left( \Omega_0 + d(rf_g - 1)\lambda + srd\eta \right)^{2n-1} dr \\ &= \int_0^1 \int_{\partial(\mathbb{D}_1 W)} (f_g - 1)\lambda \wedge (d(f_g^r \lambda))^{2n-1} dr = \frac{1}{2n} \int_{\partial(\mathbb{D}_1 W)} (f_g^{2n} - 1)\lambda \wedge d\lambda^{2n-1} \end{aligned} \quad (181)$$

The evaluation of the integral (181) is now straightforward. By the Fubini-Tonelli theorem, it is the product of its horizontal and vertical components (c.f [AB23, Pg.3]). We thus obtain the following:

$$Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega) = \frac{2\pi^{2n}}{(n-1)!} \left( vol_g(W) - vol_{g_0}(W) \right) \quad (182)$$

□

## 8.1 Proof of Theorem 1.8

We begin by recalling the setup of Theorem 1.8.  $(W, g_0)$  is a closed Riemannian manifold of dimension at least 3 admitting a cohomology class  $C_0 \in H^2(W, \mathbb{R})$  with the property that there exists a representative  $\sigma_0 \in \Xi_{C_0}^2(W)$  such that the pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$  determines a Zoll magnetic system on  $W$  at some energy  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ . For the remainder of this proof, we fix one such Zoll pair and the energy  $s$  and observe that all the magnetic systems being considered in the sequel will be of this energy unless otherwise specified. And as before, in the sequel, we will omit the energy from our notation for a more concise presentation.

This Zoll pair determines the following Zoll odd-symplectic form on  $S^*W$ :

$$\Omega_0 := d\lambda - \pi^* \sigma_0$$



By a slight abuse of notation, we continue to denote by  $C_0 \in H^2(S^*W, \mathbb{R})$  the cohomology class represented by  $\Omega_0$ . Observe that Theorem 1.4 guarantees the existence of a  $C^2$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U}' \subset \Xi_{C_0}^2(S^*W)$  of  $\Omega_0$  with the property that for any odd-symplectic form  $\Omega \in \mathcal{U}'$  with the property that  $Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega) = 0$  the following inequality holds:

$$\inf \mathcal{A}_{\Omega} \leq 0 \quad (183)$$

Therefore, combining this fact with Propositions 8.1 and 8.2, we see that there exists a  $C^2$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}(W) \times \Xi_{C_0}^2(W)$  of the pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$  with the property that the odd-symplectic form  $\Omega_{g, \sigma}$  determined by any magnetic pair  $(g, \sigma)$  with  $(g, \sigma) \in \mathcal{U}$  satisfies the following inequality if  $vol_g(W) = vol_{g_0}(W)$ .

$$\inf_{\gamma \in \chi(g, \sigma)} \left( \text{length}_g(\gamma) - \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma}} \sigma \right) \leq \text{length}_{g_0}(\gamma_0) - \int_{\gamma_0} \eta \quad (184)$$

## 9 Magnetic dynamics on Kähler manifolds

To make this work self-contained, we begin with some relevant preliminaries about Kähler manifolds.

A Kähler manifold is defined to be a triple  $(M, \sigma_0, J)$  where  $M$  is a smooth manifold,  $\sigma_0 \in \Omega^2(M)$  a symplectic form and  $J$  an integrable almost complex structure that is compatible with  $\sigma_0$ . Recall that an almost complex structure  $J$  is said to be integrable if it induces complex charts on  $M$  and it is compatible with  $\sigma_0$  if the pair  $(\sigma_0, J)$  determines a Riemannian metric on  $M$  in the following way:

$$g(u, v) := \sigma_0(u, Jv) \quad \forall u, v \in TM. \quad (185)$$

The Riemannian metric determined by the symplectic form and complex structure corresponding to a Kähler manifold will be called the associated Kähler metric. The holomorphic sectional curvature of a Kähler metric  $g_0$  associated with a given Kähler manifold  $(M, \sigma_0, J)$  is defined to be:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hol} : TM \setminus \{0\} &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (q, v) &\mapsto \frac{g_0(v, R(v, Jv)Jv)}{\|v\|_{g_0}^4} \end{aligned} \quad (186)$$

where  $R$  is the Riemannian curvature tensor of  $g_0$ . We observe here that if  $u, v \in TM$  span the same complex line, then  $\text{Hol}(u) = \text{Hol}(v)$ . Therefore, the holomorphic sectional curvature can be considered a function from the complex projectivization  $\mathbb{P}(TM)$  to the real line.

A recent result of J. Bimmermann asserts the existence of Zoll magnetic systems on Kähler manifolds of constant holomorphic sectional curvature (c.f Theorem

9.1). We fix some notational conventions before we state the relevant version of Bimmermann's result here. Firstly, for  $\rho \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$ , we will write:

$$\mathbb{D}_\rho M := \{p \in T^*M \mid \|p\|_{g_0}^2 \leq \rho\} \quad (187)$$

Next, given a Kähler manifold  $(M, \sigma_0, J)$  the following vector field generates the fiber-wise rotation on  $T^*M$ :

$$V_{(q,p)} := (Jp)^\mathcal{V} \quad (188)$$

where the  $\mathcal{V}$  in the superscript above denotes the lift of the relevant vector to the vertical distribution in  $TT^*M$ . We will denote by  $\tau$  the dual to the above vector field with respect to the Sasaki metric. In addition to this, to stress the strength of the magnetic system being considered, given some  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ , we will denote by  $X_{g_0, \sigma}^s$  the magnetic geodesic vector field of the magnetic system determined by the pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$  on the Riemannian manifold  $(M, g_0)$  of strength  $s$ ; where  $\lambda \in \Omega^1(T^*W)$  was the Liouville 1-form and the metric Hamiltonian is defined using the associated Kähler metric  $g_0$ . The relevant version of the result of Bimmermann referenced above is the following:

**Theorem 9.1.** *[Bim24b, Theorem A & Theorem B] Let  $(M, \sigma_0, J)$  be a Kähler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $\kappa$ . Then, there exists a monotonically decreasing function  $a : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and the following symplectomorphism  $\Psi$ :*

$$\Psi : \left( \mathbb{D}_\rho M, d\lambda - s\pi^*\sigma_0 \right) \rightarrow \left( \mathbb{D}_{a(\rho)} M, \frac{d\tau}{2} - s\pi^*\sigma_0 \right) \quad \text{for all } \rho \text{ such that } s^2 + \kappa\rho^2 > 0 \quad (189)$$

whose composition with the inclusion  $\iota : \mathbb{D}_{a(\rho)} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{D}_\rho$  is isotopic to the identity and the vector field  $\Psi_*(X_{g_0, \sigma_0}^s)$  is generated by the fiber-wise rotation:  $e^{2\pi Jt} : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$  up to time reparametrization. The 1-form  $\tau$  is the Sasaki-dual to the vector field defined in (188) and the function  $a$  has the following form:

$$a(r) = \begin{cases} \left( \frac{2}{|\kappa|} (\sqrt{s^2 + \kappa r^2} - s) \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} & \text{if } \kappa \neq 0 \\ \frac{r}{\sqrt{s}} & \text{if } \kappa = 0 \end{cases} \quad (190)$$

In the theorem above, if the Kähler manifold  $M$  has constant negative holomorphic sectional curvature, then there exists a finite  $s_c \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $s_c^2 = -\kappa\rho^2$  [Bim24b]. It is well known that at this strength, the magnetic geodesic flow can be conjugated to a Horocycle flow, see for instance [Bim24b], [CFP10] and [Pat06].

Having justified the existence of Zoll magnetic systems on Kähler manifolds,

we know from Theorem 1.4 that magnetic systems sufficiently close to such Zoll ones satisfy a local systolic inequality. We now endeavor to compute said local systolic inequality. We begin by fixing some notational conventions, for the remainder of this section, we will fix a closed Kähler manifold  $(M, \sigma_0, J)$  with constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $\kappa$  and denote the associated Kähler metric by  $g_0$ .

By Bimmermann's theorem, the magnetic geodesic flow of the triple  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s)$  for all  $s$  satisfying  $s^2 + \kappa > 0$ , can be conjugated to the fiber-wise rotation  $e^{2\pi Jt} : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$ . It is also easy to see that this rotation is an isometry for the Sasaki metric on  $T^*M$ . In fact, observe that given some  $q_0 \in M$  and  $p_0 \in T_{q_0}^*M$ , the horizontal lift of some  $u \in T^*M$  is defined by:

$$\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}(u) := \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{t=0} (q(t), v(t)) \quad (191)$$

where  $(q(t), v(t))$  is a path in  $T^*M$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} q(0) &= q_0 & \dot{q}(0) &= u \\ v(0) &= p_0 & \nabla_{\partial_t} v(0) &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (192)$$

and where  $\nabla_{\partial_t}$  denotes the covariant derivative of the curve  $\pi \circ v(t)$ . If we denote by  $\Phi^s$  the fiber-wise rotation  $e^{2\pi J s} : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$  then, the pushforward  $d\Phi^s|_{(q(0), v(0))} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}(u)$  can be easily computed in the following way:

$$d\Phi^s|_{(q(0), v(0))} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}(u) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{(q(0), v(0))} \Phi^s(q(t), v(t)) = \left. \frac{d}{dt} \right|_{(q(0), v(0))} (q(t), e^{2\pi J s} v(t)) \quad (193)$$

From the definition of the curve  $(q(t), v(t))$  it is clear that  $\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}(u) \in \mathcal{H}(p_0)$  however, the above computation shows that  $d\Phi^s|_{(q(0), v(0))} \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{H}}(u) \in \mathcal{H}(p_0)$  because  $\nabla_{\partial_t} (e^{2\pi J s} v(t)) = 0$ . It is now clear that with respect to the orthogonal splitting  $TT^*M \cong \mathcal{H} \oplus \mathcal{V}$  the map  $d\Phi^s$  can be written in the following way:

$$d\Phi^s = \begin{bmatrix} Id & 0 \\ 0 & e^{2\pi J s} \end{bmatrix} \quad (194)$$

It is worth noting here that in [Bim24b, Proposition 2.5] it is observed that upon denoting by  $\eta := J^* \lambda$ , the 2-form  $d\tau$  has the following expression on  $T^*M$ :

$$d\tau = +2\sigma_0^{\mathcal{V}} - \frac{\kappa}{2} \left[ \lambda \wedge \eta + 2H_{\text{kin}} \pi^*(\sigma_0) \right] \quad (195)$$

where  $\sigma_0^{\mathcal{V}}$  is the vertical lift of  $\sigma_0$  and  $H_{\text{kin}} : T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is the metric Hamiltonian. We note here that the formula in (195) differs from the one appearing

in [Bim24b] by a sign due to a difference in conventions with respect to the definition of curvature used.

The following proposition computes the Zoll polynomial of the Zoll magnetic system determined by a triple  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$  for any  $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying  $s_0^2 + \kappa > 0$ .

**Proposition 9.2.** *Let  $(M, J_0, \sigma_0)$  be a closed Kähler manifold of constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $\kappa$  and denote by  $g_0$  the associated Kähler metric. Then, the Zoll polynomial of the magnetic system determined on  $M$  by any Zoll triple  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$  has the following expression:*

$$P_{s_0}(A) = \begin{cases} \tilde{K}(\kappa, s_0, n) \operatorname{vol}_{g_0}(M) \left( \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{A}{a^2(1)\pi} \right)^{2n} - 1 \right] \right) & \text{if } \kappa \neq 0 \\ \frac{2\pi^{2n+1}}{2n!} [a^2(1)]^{4n} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{A}{a^2(1)\pi} \right)^{2n} - 1 \right] & \text{if } \kappa = 0 \end{cases} \quad (196)$$

where the constant where  $\tilde{K}(\kappa, s_0, n)$  has the following expression:

$$\tilde{K}(\kappa, s_0, n) := \frac{\pi^{2n+1}}{(n!)^2} [a^2(1)]^{4n} \left( \frac{\kappa}{2} \right)^n \left( a^2(1) + s_0 \right)^{n-1} \left( 1 + 2 \left( s_0 + a^2(1) \right) \right) \quad (197)$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 9.1, a triple  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$  is Zoll if  $s_0^2 + \kappa > 0$ . This Zoll triple then determines the Zoll odd-symplectic form  $\Omega_0 = \left( \frac{d\tau}{2} - s_0 \pi^* \sigma_0 \right) \big|_{\partial(\mathbb{D}_1 M)}$ . The Zoll polynomial we are trying to compute is the one determined by this odd-symplectic form and the following  $S^1$ -bundle:

$$\partial(\mathbb{D}_{a(1)} M) \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{P}(T^* M) \quad (198)$$

This is because by Bimmermann's theorem i.e, Theorem 9.1, the magnetic geodesic flow determined by a Zoll triple  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$  on  $\mathbb{D}_1 M$  can be conjugated to the fiber-wise rotation  $e^{2\pi Jt} : \partial(\mathbb{D}_{a(1)} M) \rightarrow \partial(\mathbb{D}_{a(1)} M)$  up to time reparameterization. In the sequel, we will refer to this  $S^1$ -bundle as the magnetic  $S^1$ -bundle determined by the Zoll triple  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$ .

Observe that given a Zoll triple  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$ , the free circle action on the magnetic  $S^1$ -bundle it determines is generated by a linear rescaling of the vector field (188) and as shown in (194) the flow of this vector field leaves the Sasaki metric invariant. As a result, the connection 1-form for this  $S^1$ -bundle is  $\frac{\tau}{2\pi a^2(1)}$ . Therefore, there exists a closed 2-form  $\omega \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{P}(T^* M))$  such that  $p^* \omega = \frac{d\tau}{2\pi a^2(1)}$ . The cohomology class  $e_0 \in H^2(\mathbb{P}(T^* M), \mathbb{R})$  represented by  $\omega$  is  $-1$  times the real Euler class of the bundle (198). In addition to this, there exists a symplectic form  $\Omega_0 \in \Omega^2(\mathbb{P}(T^* M))$  that is uniquely determined by the relation  $p^* \Omega_0 = \Omega_0$  and we will denote by  $c_0^{s_0} \in H^2(\mathbb{P}(T^* M), \mathbb{R})$  the cohomology class represented by  $\Omega_0$ .

The relation  $\Omega_0 = \left(\frac{d\tau}{2} - s_0\pi^*\sigma_0\right)|_{\partial(\mathbb{D}_1M)}$  implies the following cohomological equation:

$$c_0^{s_0} = \pi a^2(1)e_0 - s_0\pi^*[\sigma_0] \quad (199)$$

where  $\pi : \mathbb{P}(T^*M) \rightarrow M$  is the standard foot-point projection and  $[\sigma_0] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$  is the cohomology class represented by the Kähler form  $\sigma_0$ .

The Zoll polynomial we are trying to compute is then the following integral:

$$P_{s_0}(A) = \int_0^A \langle (c_0^{s_0} + te_0)^{2n-1}, [\mathbb{P}(T^*M)] \rangle dt \quad \text{for some } A \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (200)$$

where the orientation on the manifold  $\mathbb{P}(T^*M)$  is that induced by the symplectic form  $\Omega_0$ .

By (199), the sum  $c_0^{s_0} + te_0$  takes the form:

$$(t + a^2(1)\pi)e_0 - s_0\pi^*[\sigma_0] \quad (201)$$

For each  $p \in \mathbb{P}(T^*M)$  the tangent space  $T_p\mathbb{P}(T^*M)$  has the following direct sum decomposition:

$$T_p\mathbb{P}(T^*M) \cong \mathcal{H}(p) \oplus \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(p) \quad (202)$$

where  $\mathcal{H}(p) \cong T_pM \subset \partial(\mathbb{D}_1M)$  is (the restriction of) the horizontal distribution of  $T_pT^*M$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(p)$  is the quotient of (the restriction of) the vertical distribution of  $T_pT^*M$  by the relevant  $S^1$ -action. Using this information and (195), we see that the cohomological equation (201) has a representative  $\Delta_{s_0}$  on  $\mathbb{P}(T^*M)$  given by:

$$\Delta_{s_0}(t) = \left(\frac{t}{\pi a^2(1)} + 1\right)\sigma_0^\mathcal{V} - \left(\frac{\kappa(t + a^2(1)\pi)}{2\pi}\right) \left[ \left(\frac{1}{2a^2(1)}\right)\lambda \wedge \eta + (1 + s_0)\sigma_0^\mathcal{H} \right] \quad (203)$$

where  $\sigma_0^\mathcal{H}$  is the horizontal lift of  $\sigma_0$  that is,  $\sigma_0^\mathcal{H} := \pi^*\sigma_0$ . In order to see that formula (203) follows from (201), observe that by (194) the relevant  $S^1$ -action on  $\partial(\mathbb{D}_{a(1)}M)$  given by the fiber-wise rotation (c.f Theorem 9.1) is an isometry for the Sasaki metric on  $T^*M$ . And since the 1-form  $\tau$  is defined to be the Sasaki dual to the vector field defining this action, we know that  $d\tau$  is also invariant under this  $S^1$ -action. In the sequel, we endeavor to compute the integral (200). We will do so in two separate parts: by first considering the case  $\kappa \neq 0$  and then looking at the situation with  $\kappa = 0$ .

### Case 1: $\kappa \neq 0$

We want to evaluate  $\Delta_{s_0}^{2n-1}$  with  $\kappa \neq 0$  which has the following binomial expansion:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{s_0}^{2n-1}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{2n-1} \left( \frac{\kappa(t + a^2(1)\pi)}{2\pi} \right)^i \binom{2n-1}{i} \left( \left( \frac{1}{2a^2(1)} \right) \lambda \wedge \eta + (1 + s_0) \sigma_0^{\mathcal{H}} \right)^i \\ \wedge \left( \frac{t}{\pi a^2(1)} + 1 \right)^{2n-1-i} (\sigma_0^{\mathcal{V}})^{2n-1-i} \end{aligned} \quad (204)$$

The first two summands in (203) act only on the horizontal distribution in  $T(\mathbb{P}(T^*M))$  and the third only on the vertical one, therefore the only summand in (204) that survives is the one with  $i = n$  because  $\mathbb{P}(T^*M)$  has exactly  $2n$  horizontal and  $2n - 1$  vertical directions. Therefore, the  $4n - 2$ -form (204) takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{s_0}^{2n-1}(t) = \left( \frac{\kappa(t + a^2(1)\pi)}{2\pi} \right)^n \binom{2n-1}{n} \left( \left( \frac{1}{2a^2(1)} \right) \lambda \wedge \eta + (1 + s_0) \sigma_0^{\mathcal{H}} \right)^n \\ \wedge \left( \frac{t}{\pi a^2(1)} + 1 \right)^{n-1} (\sigma_0^{\mathcal{V}})^{n-1} \end{aligned} \quad (205)$$

We begin by considering only the horizontal part and make use of the following notation:

$$H := \left( \frac{\kappa(t + a^2(1)\pi)}{2\pi} \right) \left( \left( \frac{1}{2a^2(1)} \right) \lambda \wedge \eta + \left( 1 + \frac{s_0}{a^2(1)} \right) \sigma_0^{\mathcal{H}} \right) \quad (206)$$

Therefore the  $2n$ -form  $H^n$  can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} H^n &= \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} \left( \frac{\kappa(t + a^2(1)\pi)}{2\pi} \right)^n \left( \frac{1}{2a^2(1)} \right)^i \left( 1 + \frac{s_0}{a^2(1)} \right)^{n-i} (\lambda \wedge \eta)^i \wedge (\sigma_0^{\mathcal{H}})^{n-i} \\ &= n \left( \frac{\kappa(t + a^2(1)\pi)}{2\pi} \right)^n \left( \frac{1}{2a^2(1)} \right) \left( 1 + \frac{s_0}{a^2(1)} \right)^{n-1} (\lambda \wedge \eta) \wedge (\sigma_0^{\mathcal{H}})^{n-1} + \\ &\quad \left( \frac{\kappa(t + a^2(1)\pi)}{2\pi} \right)^n \left( 1 + \frac{s_0}{a^2(1)} \right)^n (\sigma_0^{\mathcal{H}})^n \\ &= (\sigma_0^{\mathcal{H}})^n \left( \frac{\kappa(t + a^2(1)\pi)}{2\pi} \right)^n \left( 1 + \frac{s_0}{a^2(1)} \right)^{n-1} \left( \frac{1 + 2s_0}{2a^2(1)} + 1 \right) \\ &= \left( \frac{\kappa}{2} \right)^n (\sigma_0^{\mathcal{H}})^n \left( \frac{t}{a^2(1)\pi} + 1 \right)^n \left( (a^2(1) + s_0)^{n-1} + 2(s_0 + a^2(1))^n \right) \end{aligned} \quad (207)$$

where the final equality is a consequence of the following fact: given some point  $(q, p) \in \mathbb{P}(T^*M)$  and a unitary basis  $\{v_i, Jv_i\}_{i=1}^n$  for  $H(p) \cong T_q M$  with the property that  $v_1$  is the metric dual to  $p$ , the following is true:

$$\begin{aligned} (\lambda \wedge \eta \wedge (\sigma_0^{\mathcal{H}})^{n-1})|_{(q,p)}(v_1, Jv_1, \dots, v_n, Jv_n) &= \frac{(n-1)!}{n!} (\sigma_0^{\mathcal{H}})|_{(q,p)}^n(v_1, Jv_1, \dots, v_n, Jv_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} (\sigma_0^{\mathcal{H}})|_{(q,p)}^n(v_1, Jv_1, \dots, v_n, Jv_n) \end{aligned} \quad (208)$$

the first equality above is a consequence of the fact that given the unitary basis  $\{u_i\}_{i=0}^{2n}$  of  $T\mathbb{P}(T^*M)$ ,  $[\lambda \wedge \eta](u_i, u_j) \neq 0$  if and only if  $\{u_i, u_j\} = \{u_1, Ju_1\}$ .

Using the Fubini-Tonelli theorem, the integral in (200) takes the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^n \binom{2n-1}{n} \left( (a^2(1) + s_0)^{n-1} + 2(s_0 + a^2(1))^n \right) \int_0^A \int_{\mathbb{P}(T^*M)} \left( \frac{t}{a^2(1)\pi} + 1 \right)^{n-1} H^n \wedge [\sigma_0^{\mathcal{V}}]^{n-1} dt \\ = K(\kappa, s_0, n) \left( \int_{\mathbb{P}(T^*M)} (\sigma_0^{\mathcal{H}})^n \wedge (\sigma_0^{\mathcal{V}})^{n-1} \right) \left( \int_0^A \left( \frac{t}{a^2(1)\pi} + 1 \right)^{2n-1} dt \right) \end{aligned} \quad (209)$$

where  $K(\kappa, s_0, n)$  is a constant with the following expression:

$$K(\kappa, s_0, n) := \left(\frac{\kappa}{2}\right)^n \binom{2n-1}{n} \left( (a^2(1) + s_0)^{n-1} + 2(s_0 + a^2(1))^n \right) \quad (210)$$

Upon integration, (209) then becomes:

$$P_{s_0}(A) = \tilde{K}(\kappa, s_0, n) \text{vol}_{g_0}(M) \left( \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{A}{a^2(1)\pi} \right)^{2n} - 1 \right] \right) \quad (211)$$

where  $\tilde{K}(\kappa, s_0, n) := \frac{2\pi^{2n+1}}{2n!} [a(1)]^{4n} K(\kappa, s_0, n)$ .

### **Case 2: $\kappa = 0$**

Taking  $\kappa = 0$  in (203) we obtain:

$$\Delta_{s_0}(t) = \left( \frac{t}{\pi a^2(1)} + 1 \right) \sigma_0^{\mathcal{V}} \quad (212)$$

Therefore,  $\Delta_{s_0}^{2n-1}(t)$  has the following expression:

$$\Delta_{s_0}^{2n-1}(t) = \left( \frac{t}{\pi a^2(1)} + 1 \right)^{2n-1} (\sigma_0^{\mathcal{V}})^{2n-1} \quad (213)$$

From which we obtain the following:

$$\int_0^A \int_{\mathbb{P}(T^*M)} \left( \frac{t}{\pi a^2(1)} + 1 \right)^{2n-1} (\sigma_0^\vee)^{2n-1} dt = \frac{2\pi^{2n+1}}{2n!} [a^2(1)]^{4n} \left[ \left( 1 + \frac{A}{\pi a^2(1)} \right)^{2n} - 1 \right] \quad (214)$$

□

Recall that the magnetic length of a magnetic geodesic  $\gamma_0$  of the magnetic system determined by the Zoll pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$  was defined in (23) to be the following:

$$l_{\text{mag}}^{g_0, \sigma_0}(\gamma_0) := \text{length}_{g_0}(\gamma_0) - \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} \sigma_0 \quad (215)$$

Observe that each Zoll magnetic geodesic  $\gamma_0$  has a unique lift  $\tilde{\gamma}_0$  to the universal cover  $\tilde{M}$  of  $M$  and the curve  $\tilde{\gamma}_0$  bounds a disk. The capping surface  $\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$  in (215) is obtained by just projecting this disk to  $M$ . If  $\kappa \leq 0$  then,  $\pi_2(\tilde{M}) = \{e\}$  as a result, the magnetic action stated above is independent of the choice of capping disk  $\mathbb{D}_{\tilde{\gamma}_0}$  for the curve  $\tilde{\gamma}_0$ . However if  $\kappa > 0$  then  $\pi_2(\tilde{M}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . In such a situation, the capping disk we use to compute the action of the curve  $\tilde{\gamma}_0$  is obtained in the following way: upon lifting a given magnetic geodesic  $\gamma_0$  to the universal cover  $\tilde{M} \cong \mathbb{CP}^n$  of  $M$ , as observed in [Bim24a, Pg. 27], there exists an immersion  $\mathbb{CP}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^n$  with the property that this projectivised complex line completely contains the lift of the chosen magnetic geodesic  $\gamma_0$ . In addition to this, there is a well-defined normal direction to the lifted magnetic geodesic  $\gamma_0$  determined by the orientation on  $\mathbb{CP}^1$  induced by the lift of  $\sigma_0$ . We now define the disk  $\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$  to be the one for which this normal vector points inward.

The following proposition computes the value of this action for any such magnetic geodesic.

**Proposition 9.3.** *Given a magnetic geodesic  $\gamma_0$  of the Zoll magnetic system determined by the pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$  of an energy  $s_0$  satisfying the condition  $s_0^2 + \kappa > 0$ , it's magnetic length has the following expression:*

$$l_{\text{mag}}^{g_0, \sigma_0}(\gamma_0) = \text{length}_{g_0}(\gamma_0) - \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} \sigma_0 = \pi a(1)^2 \quad (216)$$

where  $a$  is the function defined in (190).

*Proof.* **Case 1:  $\kappa > 0$ :**

As observed earlier, we know from [Bim24a, Pg. 27] that given a magnetic geodesic  $\gamma_0$  of the  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$ -magnetic system, there exists an immersion  $\mathbb{CP}^1 \hookrightarrow M$  with the property that  $\gamma_0 \subset \mathbb{CP}^1$ . Therefore, for any given magnetic geodesic  $\gamma_0$ , we can carry out the computations in the proof completely within such an



embedded  $\mathbb{CP}^1$ .

Recall that  $\text{length}_{g_0}(\gamma_0^{s_0})$  is the length with respect to  $g_0$  of the curve  $\gamma_0^{s_0}$ . In [Bim24a, Pg. 27], the following equality was established:

$$\text{length}_{g_0}(\gamma_0) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{s^2 + \kappa}} \quad (217)$$

To compute the integral  $s_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} \sigma_0$ , we will use polar coordinates  $(\theta, \phi)$  on  $S^2$  where  $\theta \in (0, \pi)$  is the angle a vector in the standard  $\mathbb{R}^3$  makes with the vertical z-axis and  $\phi \in \mathbb{R} \setminus 2\pi\mathbb{Z}$  is the angular coordinate of the projection of the same vector to the x,y-plane. In these coordinates, the magnetic geodesic  $\gamma_0$  has the following expression:

$$\gamma_0(\theta) = \frac{s_0}{\kappa} \sin(\sqrt{\kappa}\theta) \quad (218)$$

The Kähler form  $\sigma_0$  has the following expression:

$$\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{\kappa} \sin(\sqrt{\kappa}\theta) d\theta \wedge d\phi \quad (219)$$

Therefore, the desired integral has the following expression:

$$s_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} \sigma_0 = \frac{s_0}{\kappa} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \int_0^\theta \sin(\sqrt{\kappa}t) dt \right) d\phi = \frac{2\pi s_0}{\kappa} [1 - \cos(\sqrt{\kappa}\theta)] \quad (220)$$

We know from the proof of [Bim24a, Lemma 5.4] that the  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$ -magnetic geodesics have constant geodesic curvature of  $s_0$ . In addition, it was proven in [Bim24a, (10)] that the geodesic curvature  $\kappa_{g_0}$  satisfies  $\kappa_{g_0} = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{\tan(\sqrt{\kappa}\theta)}$ . Combining these two facts, we obtain the following:

$$\tan(\sqrt{\kappa}\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{\kappa}}{s_0} \quad (221)$$

combining this with the identity  $\cos((\sqrt{\kappa}\theta)) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2(\sqrt{\kappa}\theta)}}$  we see that we can rewrite (220) in the form:

$$s_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} \sigma_0 = \frac{2\pi}{\kappa} \left[ s_0 - \frac{s_0^2}{\sqrt{s_0^2 + \kappa}} \right] \quad (222)$$

Subtracting (222) from (217), we obtain the following expression for  $l_{\text{mag}}^{g_0, \sigma_0}(\gamma_0)$ :

$$2\pi \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{s^{s_0} + \kappa}} - \frac{s}{\kappa} + \frac{s^2}{\kappa \sqrt{s^{s_0} + \kappa}} \right] = \pi \left[ \frac{2}{\pi} \left( \sqrt{\kappa + s^2} - s \right) \right] = \pi a^2(1) \quad (223)$$

The final equality in (223) above follows directly from the definition of the function  $a$  in (190).

**Case 2:  $\kappa < 0$**

Given a magnetic geodesic  $\gamma_0$  of the  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$ -magnetic system, we know from [Bim24b] that it is completely contained in an immersed surface  $\Sigma_{g_0} \hookrightarrow M$  and that  $\Sigma_{g_0}$  has Gaussian curvature  $\kappa$ . As a consequence of this, we can carry out the following computation of the magnetic action evaluated at the magnetic geodesic  $\gamma_0$  inside this surface  $\Sigma_{g_0}$ .

The following formula was established in [Bim24b]:

$$\text{length}_{g_0}(\gamma_0) = \frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{s_0^2 + \kappa}} \quad (224)$$

To compute the integral  $\int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} \sigma_0$  we begin by lifting the curve  $\gamma_0$  to the universal cover  $\mathbb{CH}^n$  of  $M$  and observe that we know from [Bim24b] that  $\mathbb{CH}^n$  is foliated by fully geodesic hyperbolic disks that is to say, there exists an embedding of a Poincaré disk  $\mathbb{D}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{CH}^n$  of Gaussian curvature  $\kappa$  with the property that  $\gamma_0 \subset \mathbb{D}_0$  and the surface  $\Sigma_{g_0}$  mentioned in the previous paragraph is the projection of  $\mathbb{D}_0$  to  $M$ . In addition to this, in the  $(\theta, \phi)$  coordinates used in the case with  $\kappa > 0$  above, a magnetic geodesic of the  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$ -magnetic system has the following expression:

$$\gamma_0(\theta) = \frac{s_0}{\sqrt{-\kappa}} \sinh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\theta) \quad (225)$$

And the metric induced on  $\mathbb{D}_0$  by the lift of  $g_0$  to  $\mathbb{CH}^n$  has the following expression:

$$d\theta^2 + \frac{1}{-\kappa} \sinh^2(\sqrt{-\kappa}\theta) d\phi^2 = d\theta^2 + (\gamma_0^1(\theta))^2 d\phi^2 \quad (226)$$

And the Kähler form  $\sigma_0$  has the following expression:

$$\sigma_0 = \frac{1}{-\kappa} \sinh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\theta) d\theta \wedge d\phi \quad (227)$$

We obtain the following expression for the second summand in the magnetic length of a geodesic of the  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$ -magnetic system:

$$s_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} \sigma_0 = \frac{s_0}{-\kappa} \int_0^{2\pi} \left( \int_0^\theta \sinh(\sqrt{-\kappa}t) dt \right) d\phi = \frac{2s_0\pi}{-\kappa} [\cosh \sqrt{-\kappa}\theta - 1] \quad (228)$$

Using (225) and (226) we obtain the following formula for the geodesic curvature  $\kappa_{g_0}$ :

$$\kappa_{g_0} = \frac{\frac{d\gamma_0}{dt}}{\gamma_0} = \frac{\sqrt{-\kappa} \cosh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\theta)}{\sinh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\theta)} = \frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{\tanh(\sqrt{-\kappa}\theta)} \quad (229)$$

Combining (229) with the equation  $\kappa_{g_0} = s$  obtained from [Bim24a, (10)] we obtain the following:

$$\tanh(-\kappa\theta) = \frac{\sqrt{-\kappa}}{s_0} \quad (230)$$

Plugging (230) into the identity  $\cosh x = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-\tanh^2 x}}$  we obtain the following expression for (228):

$$s_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} \sigma_0 = \frac{2\pi}{-\kappa} \left[ s_0 - \frac{s_0^2}{\sqrt{s_0^2 + \kappa}} \right] = \pi a^2(1) \quad (231)$$

The final equality above follows from the definition of the function  $a$  in (190) above. Subtracting (231) from (224) we obtain the following:

$$2\pi \left[ \frac{1}{\sqrt{s_0^2 + \kappa}} + \frac{s_0}{\kappa} - \frac{s_0^2}{\kappa \sqrt{s_0^2 + \kappa}} \right] = \pi \left[ \frac{2}{-\kappa} \left( \sqrt{\kappa + s_0^2} - s_0 \right) \right] = \pi a^2(1) \quad (232)$$

### Case 3: $\kappa = 0$

We begin with the observation that the right-hand side of the equation (224) is continuous in the variable  $\kappa$  and its Taylor expansion about  $\kappa = 0$  with  $s_0, \kappa > 0$  is the following:

$$\frac{2\pi}{\sqrt{s_0^2 + \kappa}} = \frac{2\pi}{s_0} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\kappa}{s_0^2} + \frac{3}{8} \left( \frac{\kappa}{s_0^2} \right)^2 - \frac{5}{16} \left( \frac{\kappa}{s_0^2} \right)^3 + \dots \right] \quad (233)$$

Evaluating the above expression at  $\kappa = 0$ , we see that given a geodesic  $\gamma_0$  of the  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$ -magnetic system, its length has the following expression:

$$\text{length}_{g_0}(\gamma_0) = \frac{2\pi}{s_0} \quad (234)$$

Similarly, the right hand side of (231) is a continuous function of  $\kappa$  and it's Taylor expansion about  $\kappa = 0$  with  $s_0, \kappa > 0$  has the following expression:

$$\frac{2\pi}{\kappa} \left[ s_0 - \frac{s_0^2}{\sqrt{s_0^2 + \kappa}} \right] = \frac{\pi}{s_0} - \frac{3\pi}{4s_0^3} \kappa + \frac{5\pi}{8s_0^5} \kappa^2 - \dots \quad (235)$$

Evaluating the above expression at  $\kappa = 0$  we see that, given any capping disk  $\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$  of the magnetic geodesic  $\gamma_0$  we obtain the following expression for the integral of  $\sigma_0$  over this disk:

$$s_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} \sigma_0 = \frac{\pi}{s_0} \quad (236)$$

Subtracting (236) from (234) we obtain:

$$\frac{2\pi}{s_0} - \frac{\pi}{s_0} = \frac{\pi}{s_0} = \pi a^2(1) \quad (237)$$

□

Since we have already fixed a closed Kähler manifold  $(M, \sigma_0, J_0)$  of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, and we know from Bimmermann's theorem that the magnetic system determined by the pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$  is Zoll on every energy  $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the condition  $s_0^2 + \kappa > 0$ , in the interest of a more concise presentation of the results to follow, in the sequel, we will talk about magnetic triples of the form  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$  to mean the magnetic system determined by the pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$  at the energy  $s_0$ . Unless otherwise specified, we will also assume that the energy levels we are considering satisfy the condition  $s_0^2 + \kappa > 0$ .

In the sequel, we would like to perturb the  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$ -magnetic system and compare the systolic ratio of the perturbed system with that of the  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$ -magnetic system. Towards that end, given an arbitrary Riemannian metric  $g \in \mathcal{M}(M)$ , recall the following function defined in (159):

$$\begin{aligned} f_g : T^*M &\rightarrow \mathbb{R} \\ (p, q) &\mapsto \frac{\|p\|_g}{\|p\|_{g_0}} \end{aligned} \quad (238)$$

Consider now the following diffeomorphism:

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_g : T^*M &\rightarrow T^*M \\ (p, q) &\mapsto (f_g p, q) \end{aligned} \quad (239)$$

The following are direct consequences of the definition of the map  $\varphi_g$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S_g^* M &= \varphi_g(S_{g_0}^* M) \\ \varphi_g^* \lambda &= f_g \lambda \end{aligned} \quad (240)$$

Recall that we have already fixed a Kähler manifold  $(M, \sigma_0, J_0)$  such that the associated Kähler metric  $g_0$  has constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $\kappa$ . The symplectic form determined on  $T^*M$  by an arbitrary pair  $(g, \sigma) \in \mathcal{M}(M) \times \Xi_{C_0}^2(M)$  (where  $C_0 := [\sigma_0] \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$  is the cohomology class represented by  $\sigma_0$ ) at energy  $s_0$ , is the following:

$$\Omega_2^{s_0} := \varphi_g^*(d\lambda - s_0 \pi^* \sigma) \quad (241)$$

Henceforth, by slightly abusing the notation, we will denote  $\Omega_2^{s_0}|_{S_{g_0}^* M}$  by  $\Omega_2^{s_0}$ .

We now observe here that using the diffeomorphism  $\varphi_g$ , the magnetic geodesic flow of the  $(g, \sigma, s_0)$ -magnetic system can be conjugated to the Hamiltonian flow

determined by the symplectic form  $\Omega_2^{s_0}$  and the Hamiltonian  $H_{\text{kin}} \circ \varphi_g$  on the level set  $S_{g_0}^* M$ .

As discussed in the introduction, given a Zoll triple  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$ , by Corollary 1.11, there exists a  $C^2$ -neighborhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}(M) \times \Xi_{C_0}^2(M)$  of the pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$ , with the property that for any pair  $(g, \sigma) \in \mathcal{U}$ , the set  $\chi(g, \sigma, s_0)$  determined by the triple  $(g, \sigma, s_0)$  is non-empty. And for each  $\gamma_1 \in \chi(g, \sigma, s_0)$  and an appropriate  $\gamma_0 \in \Lambda_{g_0, \sigma_0}^{s_0}(M)$  we obtain an immersed cylinder  $\Gamma_{\gamma_1, \gamma_0}$  in  $M$  by parametrizing a short homotopy as in (??). In this context, we now compute the action of such perturbations of the  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$ -magnetic system.

**Proposition 9.4.** *Let  $(M, \sigma_0, J_0)$  be a closed Kähler manifold such that associated Kähler metric  $g_0$  has constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $\kappa$  and denote by  $C_0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$  the cohomology class represented by  $\sigma_0$ . Then, given an  $s_0 \in \mathbb{R}$  such that the triple  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$  is Zoll, there exists a neighborhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}(M) \times \Xi_{C_0}^2(M)$  of the pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$  with the property that if  $(g, \sigma) \in \mathcal{U}$  then, the action  $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_2^{s_0}}$  of the odd-symplectic form  $\Omega_2^{s_0}$  (c.f (241)) determined by the triple  $(g, \sigma, s_0)$  on the Riemannian manifold  $(M, g_0)$ , has the following expression:*

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_2}[\Gamma_{\gamma_1, \gamma_0}] = l_{\text{mag}}^{g, \sigma}(\gamma_1) - \pi a^2(1) \quad (242)$$

where  $a$  is the function defined in (190),  $\Gamma_{\gamma_1, \gamma_0}$  is an immersed cylinder in  $M$  obtained by parametrizing a short homotopy as in (??) and  $l_{\text{mag}}^{g, \sigma}$  is the magnetic length functional defined in (23).

*Proof.* Since  $\sigma$  and  $\sigma_0$  are cohomologous, there exists an  $\eta \in \Omega^1(M)$  with the property that  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + d\eta$ . The following is a direct consequence of the definitions of  $\varphi_g$  and  $\Omega_2^{s_0}$ :

$$\Omega_2^{s_0} = \Omega_0^{s_0} + d((f_g - 1)\lambda - s_0 \pi^* \eta) \quad (243)$$

To avoid overloading the notation, in the sequel, we will slightly abuse the notation and write  $\eta$  for  $\pi^* \eta$ .

By (8) the action  $\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_2^{s_0}}[\Gamma_{\gamma_1, \gamma_0}]$  has the following expression:

$$\mathcal{A}_{\Omega_2^{s_0}}[\Gamma_{\gamma_1, \gamma_0}] = \int_{\gamma_0} ((f_g - 1)\lambda - s_0 \eta) + \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma_1, \gamma_0}} \Omega_0^{s_0} + d((f_g - 1)\lambda - s_0 \eta) \quad (244)$$

where  $\gamma_0$  is a magnetic geodesic of the magnetic system determined by the Zoll triple  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$ . The integral (244) then splits into the following two parts:

$$\text{I} := \int_{\gamma_0} ((f_g - 1)\lambda - s_0 \eta) + \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma_1, \gamma_0}} d((f_g - 1)\lambda - s_0 \eta) \quad (245)$$

$$\text{II} := \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma_1, \gamma_0}} d\lambda - s_0 \sigma_0 \quad (246)$$

By Stokes' theorem, the integral I has the form:

$$I = \int_{\gamma_1} (f_g - 1)\lambda - s_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_1}} d\eta = \text{length}_g(\gamma_1) - \text{length}_{g_0}(\gamma_1) - s_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_1}} d\eta \quad (247)$$

Using Stokes' theorem once again, we see that the integral II has the following form:

$$II = \int_{\gamma_1} \lambda - \int_{\gamma_0} \lambda - s_0 \int_{\Gamma_{\gamma_1, \gamma_0}} \sigma_0 = \text{length}_{g_0}(\gamma_1) - \text{length}_{g_0}(\gamma_0) - s_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_1}} \sigma_0 + s_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} \sigma_0 \quad (248)$$

where  $\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$  is a capping disk for  $\gamma_0$  obtained as in Proposition 9.3 and  $\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_1} := \Gamma_{\gamma_1, \gamma_0} \# \mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}$ . The second equality above follows from the fact that  $\lambda$  is the canonical 1-form on  $T^*M$ . Since  $\sigma = \sigma_0 + d\eta$ , the integral I + II can now be written in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{length}_g(\gamma_1) - s \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_1}} \sigma - \text{length}_{g_0}(\gamma_0) + s_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} \sigma_0 \\ &= l_{\text{mag}}^{g, \sigma}(\gamma_1) - \text{length}_{g_0}(\gamma_0) + s_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} \sigma_0 \end{aligned} \quad (249)$$

In Proposition 9.3, the following identity was established:

$$\text{length}_{g_0}(\gamma_0) + s_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}_{\gamma_0}} \sigma_0 = \pi a^2(1) \quad (250)$$

Plugging (250) into (249), we obtain the required expression for the action.  $\square$

## 9.1 Proof of Theorem 1.9

We begin by recalling the setting of Theorem 1.9,  $(M, \sigma_0, J)$  is a Kähler manifold such that the associated Kähler metric  $g_0$  has constant holomorphic sectional curvature  $\kappa$ .

By Bimmermann's Theorem 9.1 we know that the geodesic flow of the magnetic system determined by the triple  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$  can be conjugated to the fiber-wise rotation  $e^{2\pi Jt} : T^*M \rightarrow T^*M$  for any  $s_0$  satisfying  $s_0^s + \kappa > 0$ . Fixing such a triple, we have a Zoll magnetic system. Since the symplectomorphism  $\Psi$  constructed in Bimmermann's theorem is isotopic to the identity, we know from [BK20] that the Zoll polynomial of the odd-symplectic form determined by the Zoll triple is given by Proposition 9.2.

Denoting by  $C_0 \in H^2(M, \mathbb{R})$  the cohomology class represented by  $\sigma_0$ , from Theorem 1.4 we know that there exists a  $C^2$ -neighbourhood  $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{M}(M) \times \Xi_{C_0}^2(M)$  of the pair  $(g_0, \sigma_0)$  with the property that for any pair  $(g, \sigma) \in \mathcal{U}$ , the magnetic

system determined by the triple  $(g, \sigma, s_0)$  i.e the magnetic system determined by the pair  $(g, \sigma)$  at strength  $s_0$ , satisfies the following local systolic inequality:

$$P(\inf \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_2}) \leq Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega_2) \leq P(\sup \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_2}) \quad (251)$$

where  $P$  is the Zoll polynomial of the  $S^1$ -bundle associated with the odd-symplectic form determined by the magnetic triple  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$  and the two 2-forms  $\Omega_0$  and  $\Omega_2$  are defined in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \Omega_0 &= d\lambda - s_0 \pi^* \sigma_0 \Big|_{S_{g_0}^* M} \\ \Omega_2 &= \varphi_g^*(d\lambda - s_0 \pi^* \sigma) \Big|_{S_g^* M} \end{aligned}$$

The function  $\varphi_g$  was defined in (239). In this context, the value  $Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega_2)$  was calculated in Proposition 8.2.

Since the triple  $(g, \sigma, s_0)$  is assumed to be  $C^2$ -close to the Zoll triple  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$ , we know from Colollary 1.11 that the set  $\chi(g, \sigma, s_0)$  is non-empty and the quantity  $\inf \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_2}$  is finite. Combining this fact with the expression for the action in Proposition 9.4, we see that the quantity  $\inf \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_2}$  has the following expression:

$$\inf \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_2} := \inf_{\gamma \in \Lambda_{(g, \sigma)}^{s_0}(M)} \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_2}[\Gamma_{\gamma, \gamma_0}] = l_{g, \sigma}^{\min} - \pi a^2(1) \quad (252)$$

where for each  $\gamma \in \chi(g, \sigma, s_0)$ ,  $\Gamma_{\gamma, \gamma_0}$  is an immersed short cylinder obtained by parameterizing a short homotopy between  $\gamma$  and a magnetic geodesic  $\gamma_0$  of the Zoll triple  $(g_0, \sigma_0, s_0)$  and  $l_{g, \sigma}^{\min}$  is the value of the magnetic length functional  $l_{\text{mag}}^{g, \sigma}$  evaluated at a minimal magnetic geodesic.

Combining (252) with the expression for the Zoll polynomial computed in Proposition 9.2, we obtain the follwing expression for  $P(\inf \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_2})$ :

$$P(\inf \mathcal{A}_{\Omega_2}) = \begin{cases} \tilde{K}(\kappa, s_0, n) vol_{g_0}(M) \left( \left[ \left( \frac{l_{\min}^{g, \sigma}}{a^2(1)\pi} \right)^{2n} - 1 \right] \right) & \text{for } \kappa \neq 0 \\ \frac{2\pi^{2n+1}}{2n!} [a^2(1)]^{4n} \left[ \left( \frac{l_{\min}^{g, \sigma}}{a^2(1)\pi} \right)^{2n} - 1 \right] & \text{for } \kappa = 0 \end{cases} \quad (253)$$

where  $\tilde{K}(\kappa, s_0, n)$  is the real constant depending on  $\kappa, s_0$  and  $n$  defined in (197),

According to Proposition 8.2 the volume  $Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega_2)$  has the following expression:

$$Vol_{\Omega_0}(\Omega_2) = \frac{2\pi^{2n}}{(n-1)!} \left( vol_g(M) - vol_{g_0}(M) \right) \quad (254)$$

Combining (252) with (254) we see that if  $\kappa \neq 0$ , the first inequality in (251) has the following expression:

$$\left( \frac{l_{\min}^{g,\sigma}}{a^2(1)\pi} \right)^{2n} \leq C(\kappa, s_0, n) \left( \frac{\text{vol}_g(M)}{\text{vol}_{g_0}(M)} \right) \quad (255)$$

where  $C(\kappa, s_0, n)$  is a real constant depending on  $\kappa, s_0$  and  $n$ . With equality holding in (255) if and only if the magnetic system determined by the triple  $(g, \sigma, s_0)$  is Zoll. In terms of the constant  $\tilde{K}(\kappa, s_0, n)$ , it has the following expression:

$$\tilde{K}(\kappa, s_0, n) \cdot \frac{2\pi^{2n}}{(n-1)!} \cdot \frac{1}{\text{vol}_{g_0}(M)} \quad (256)$$

If in addition,  $\text{vol}_g(M) = \text{vol}_{g_0}(M)$  then, the above inequality simplifies to:

$$\left( l_{\min}^{g,\sigma} \right)^{2n} \leq \left( a^2(1)\pi \right)^{2n} \quad (257)$$

If  $\kappa = 0$ , then the inequality (251) has the following expression:

$$\left( \frac{l_{\min}^{g,\sigma}}{a^2(1)\pi} \right)^{2n} \leq \left( \frac{2n!}{(n-1)! [a^2(1)]^{4n}\pi} \right) \left( \text{vol}_g(M) - \text{vol}_{g_0}(M) \right) \quad (258)$$

with equality holding if and only if the magnetic system determined by the triple  $(g, \sigma, s_0)$  is Zoll. As before, if we assume in addition that  $\text{vol}_g(M) = \text{vol}_{g_0}(M)$ , the above inequalities take the following form:

$$\left( l_{g,\sigma}^{\min} \right)^{2n} \leq \left( a^2(1)\pi \right)^{2n} \quad (259)$$

Since both the quantities  $l_{g,\sigma}^{\min}$  and  $a^2(1)\pi$  are positive, we can take the  $2n$ -th roots of (259) to obtain the following:

$$l_{g,\sigma}^{\min} \leq a^2(1)\pi \quad (260)$$

## 10 Appendix: Some facts about differential forms

### 10.1 The size of a $k$ -form in terms of its differential

**Proposition 10.1.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a smooth closed Riemannian manifold of dimension  $n$  and let  $\tilde{\alpha} \in \Omega^k(M)$  for  $k < n$ . Then, we can find an  $\alpha \in \Omega^1(M)$  and a sequence  $L_k \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  such that:*

1.  $d\alpha = d\tilde{\alpha}$
2.  $\|\alpha\|_{C^k} \leq L_k \|d\tilde{\alpha}\|_{C^k} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{N}_{\geq 1}$ .



*Proof.* The idea of the proof is simple, we use the ellipticity of the Hodge Laplacian to obtain the required bounds after using the Hodge decomposition of  $\Omega^k(M)$  to find the required  $\alpha$ .

Towards that end, recall that the Hodge Laplacian is a formally self-adjoint elliptic operator defined in the following way (c.f [Nic07, 10.1.22 & 10.1.29]):

$$H_{\Delta,k} := \delta_{k+1} \circ d_k + d_{k-1} \circ \delta_k : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^k(M) \quad (261)$$

where  $d_k : \Omega^k(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{k+1}(M)$  is the deRahm exterior derivative and  $\delta_{k+1} : \Omega^{k+1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^k(M)$  it's formal adjoint with respect to the  $L^2$ -inner product. By the Hodge decomposition theorem [Nic07][Theorem 10.4.30 (3)] we know that  $\Omega^k(M)$  has the following orthogonal decomposition:

$$\Omega^k(\Sigma) = \ker(H_{\Delta,k}) \oplus \text{Im}(d_{k-1}) \oplus \text{Im}(\delta_{k+1})$$

We now define  $\alpha$  to be the projection of  $\tilde{\alpha}$  onto the third factor in the above direct sum decomposition. This ensures that  $d\alpha = d\tilde{\alpha}$  because, clearly  $\ker(H_{\Delta,k}) = \ker(d_k) \cap \ker(\delta_k)$ . So, to finish the proof, we need only to establish the bounds in the statement of Proposition 10.1.

Since  $\alpha$  is orthogonal to the kernel of  $H_{\Delta,k}$ , the Poincaré inequality ([Nic07, Lemma 10.4.9]) and Morrey's inequality [Nic07, 10.2.36 (d)] imply the following bounds:

$$\|\alpha\|_{C^q} \leq K_1 \|\alpha\|_{W^{q+1,2}} \leq K_2 \|H_{\Delta,k}(\alpha)\|_{W^{q+1,2}} \quad (262)$$

where  $W^{q,2}$  is the corresponding Sobolev space and  $q, K_1, K_2 \in \mathbb{R}_{>1}$  some constants. Using the Sobolev embedding theorem and the definition of the Hodge Laplacian in (261) we get the following chain of inequalities:

$$\|H_{\Delta,k}(\alpha)\|_{W^{q,2}} \leq C_1 \|\delta_{k-1}(d_k(\alpha))\|_{C^q} \leq C_1 \|\sum_{i=1}^n \nabla_{e_i} (\iota_{e_i} d\alpha)\|_{C^q} \leq C_2 \|d\alpha\|_{C^k} \quad (263)$$

The last inequality in (263) follows from [Lee18, Chapter 4, Lemma 4.6]. Combining (262) and (263) we obtained the required bounds on  $\alpha$ .  $\square$

## 10.2 The size of Reeb vector fields of odd-symplectic forms

**Lemma 10.2.** *Let  $\Omega_0$  be an odd-symplectic form on a closed  $2n+1$ -dimensional manifold  $\Sigma$ . If  $\Omega \in \Omega^2(M)$  is another odd-symplectic form on  $\Sigma$  satisfying:*

$$\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^k} < \epsilon \text{ for some } k \geq 1$$

*Then, for each  $k' \geq k$  there exists a strictly increasing positive function  $\omega_{k'}$  such that:*

$$\|R_\Omega - R_0\|_{C^{k'}} \leq \omega_{k'}(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^{k'}})$$

*where  $R_\Omega$  and  $R_0$  are the Reeb vector fields of  $\Omega$  and  $\Omega_0$  respectively defined with respect to some fixed 1-form  $\alpha_0$ .*

*Proof.* For  $\Omega_0$  and  $\alpha_0$  as in the statement of the lemma, we define the following map:

$$K_{\Omega_0} : TM \rightarrow \Omega^{2n}(M) \quad (264)$$

given by:

$$K_{\Omega_0}(X) \mapsto \iota_X[(\alpha_0) \wedge \Omega_0^n]$$

This map is smooth and invertible. We now use this inverse to define a vector field in the following way:

$$\tilde{R}_\Omega := K_{\Omega_0}^{-1}(\Omega^n)$$

We also have the following chain of equalities:

$$\iota_{\tilde{R}_\Omega} \Omega^n = \iota_{\tilde{R}_\Omega} K_{\Omega_0}(\tilde{R}_\Omega) = \iota_{\tilde{R}_\Omega} \iota_{\tilde{R}_\Omega}(\alpha_0 \wedge \Omega_0^n) = 0$$

therefore we can write the Reeb vector field associated with the pair  $(\alpha_0, \Omega)$  in the following form:

$$R_\Omega = \frac{\tilde{R}_\Omega}{\alpha_0(\tilde{R}_{\Omega_0})} = \frac{K_{\Omega_0}^{-1}(\Omega^n)}{\alpha_0(\tilde{R}_{\Omega_0})}$$

So, we now have:

$$\|R_\Omega - R_0\|_{C^k} = \left\| \frac{1}{\alpha_0} \right\|_{C^k} \|K_{\Omega_0}^{-1}(\Omega^n) - K_{\Omega_0}^{-1}(\Omega_0^n)\|_{C^k} \leq \omega_k(\|\Omega - \Omega_0\|_{C^k})$$

where the last inequality follows from the smoothness of  $K_{\Omega_0}$  defined in (264).  $\square$

## References

- [AB23] Alberto Abbondandolo and Gabriele Benedetti. On the local systolic optimality of Zoll contact forms. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 33(2):299–363, 2023.
- [ABE23] Alberto Abbondandolo, Gabriele Benedetti, and Oliver Edtmair. Symplectic capacities of domains close to the ball and banach-mazur geodesics in the space of contact forms, 2023.
- [ABHSa17] Alberto Abbondandolo, Barney Bramham, Umberto L. Hryniewicz, and Pedro A. S. Salomão. A systolic inequality for geodesic flows on the two-sphere. *Math. Ann.*, 367(1-2):701–753, 2017.
- [ABHSa18] Alberto Abbondandolo, Barney Bramham, Umberto L. Hryniewicz, and Pedro A. S. Salomão. Sharp systolic inequalities for Reeb flows on the three-sphere. *Invent. Math.*, 211(2):687–778, 2018.

- [APB14] J. C. Álvarez Paiva and F. Balacheff. Contact geometry and isosystolic inequalities. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 24(2):648–669, 2014.
- [Arn88] V. I. Arnold. *On some problems in symplectic topology*, pages 1–5. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1988.
- [Bal06] Florent Balacheff. Sur la systole de la sphère au voisinage de la métrique standard. *Geom. Dedicata*, 121:61–71, 2006.
- [Bav86] C. Bavard. Inégalité isosystolique pour la bouteille de Klein. *Math. Ann.*, 274(3):439–441, 1986.
- [Ber03] Marcel Berger. *A panoramic view of Riemannian geometry*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2003.
- [BH21] Annegret Burtscher and Gert Heckman. Variations of Weyl’s tube formula. *J. Geom. Anal.*, 31(12):11952–11970, 2021.
- [Bim23] Johanna Bimmerman. *On the Hofer–Zehnder Capacity of Twisted Tangent Bundles*. PhD thesis, University of Heidelberg, 2023.
- [Bim24a] Johanna Bimmermann. Hofer–Zehnder capacity of disc tangent bundles of projective spaces. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 110(1):e12948, 2024.
- [Bim24b] Johanna Bimmermann. On symplectic geometry of tangent bundles of Hermitian symmetric spaces, 2024.
- [BK19] Gabriele Benedetti and Jungsoo Kang. A local systolic-diastric inequality in contact and symplectic geometry, 2019.
- [BK20] Gabriele Benedetti and Jungsoo Kang. On a local systolic inequality for odd-symplectic forms. *Port. Math.*, 76(3-4):327–394, 2020.
- [BK21] Gabriele Benedetti and Jungsoo Kang. A local contact systolic inequality in dimension three. *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)*, 23(3):721–764, 2021.
- [BK22] Gabriele Benedetti and Jungsoo Kang. On a systolic inequality for closed magnetic geodesics on surfaces. *J. Symplectic Geom.*, 20(1):99–134, 2022.
- [BT82] Raoul Bott and Loring W. Tu. *Differential forms in algebraic topology*, volume 82 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin, 1982.
- [CFP10] Kai Cieliebak, Urs Frauenfelder, and Gabriel P. Paternain. Symplectic topology of Mañé’s critical values. *Geometry & Topology*, 14(3):1765 – 1870, 2010.

- [Cro88] Christopher B. Croke. Area and the length of the shortest closed geodesic. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 27(1):1 – 21, 1988.
- [Gei08] Hansjörg Geiges. *An introduction to contact topology*, volume 109 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2008.
- [GK02] Viktor L. Ginzburg and Ely Kerman. Periodic orbits of Hamiltonian flows near symplectic extrema. *Pacific J. Math.*, 206(1):69–91, 2002.
- [Gro83] Mikhael Gromov. Filling Riemannian manifolds. *Journal of Differential Geometry*, 18(1):1 – 147, 1983.
- [HLS15] Umberto L. Hryniewicz, Joan E. Licata, and Pedro A. S. Salomão. A dynamical characterization of universally tight lens spaces. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 110(1):213–269, 2015.
- [HWZ95] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder. A characterisation of the tight three-sphere. volume 81, pages 159–226. 1995. A celebration of John F. Nash, Jr.
- [HWZ99] H. Hofer, K. Wysocki, and E. Zehnder. A characterization of the tight 3-sphere. II. *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, 52(9):1139–1177, 1999.
- [Kat07] Mikhail G. Katz. *Systolic geometry and topology*, volume 137 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2007. With an appendix by Jake P. Solomon.
- [Ker99] Ely Kerman. Periodic orbits of Hamiltonian flows near symplectic critical submanifolds. *Internat. Math. Res. Notices*, (17):953–969, 1999.
- [Lee18] J.M. Lee. *Introduction to Riemannian Manifolds*. Graduate texts in mathematics. Springer, 2018.
- [MS17] D. McDuff and D. Salamon. *Introduction to Symplectic Topology*. Oxford graduate texts in mathematics. Oxford University Press, 2017.
- [Nic07] Liviu I. Nicolaescu. *Lectures on the geometry of manifolds*. World Scientific Publishing Co. Pte. Ltd., Hackensack, NJ, second edition, 2007.
- [Nov82] S. P. Novikov. The Hamiltonian formalism and a multivalued analogue of Morse theory. *Uspekhi Mat. Nauk*, 37(5(227)):3–49, 248, 1982.
- [Pat06] Gabriel P. Paternain. Magnetic rigidity of horocycle flows. *Pacific J. Math.*, 225(2):301–323, 2006.

- [Pra23] Rohil Prasad. Invariant probability measures from pseudoholomorphic curves I. *J. Mod. Dyn.*, 19:31–74, 2023.
- [Pu52] P. M. Pu. Some inequalities in certain nonorientable Riemannian manifolds. *Pacific J. Math.*, 2:55–71, 1952.
- [San] Samanyu Sanjay. Sharp local systolic inequalities for perturbations of autonomous Hamiltonian flows. In prepration.
- [Wei71] Alan Weinstein. Symplectic manifolds and their Lagrangian submanifolds. *Advances in Math.*, 6:329–346, 1971.
- [Wei79] Alan Weinstein. On the hypotheses of Rabinowitz’s periodic orbit theorems. *Journal of Differential Equations*, 33(3):353–358, 1979.