

# BI-LIPSCHITZ INVARIANTS IN SINGULARITY THEORY: ŁOJASIEWICZ EXPONENT, MULTIPLICITY, AND EULER OBSTRUCTION

AMANDA S. ARAÚJO, THAÍS M. DALBELO, AND THIAGO DA SILVA

**ABSTRACT.** In this work, we investigate the bi-Lipschitz invariance of three fundamental local invariants in singularity theory: the Łojasiewicz exponent, the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity, and the local Euler obstruction. We draw inspiration from Bivià-Ausina and Fukui, whose framework we extend to ideals in analytic spaces. We establish conditions under which these invariants remain unchanged under bi-Lipschitz equivalence. In particular, we prove a special case of the metric version of Zariski's multiplicity conjecture. We show that multiplicity is preserved when the ideal coincides with the integral closure of its order ideal. We also provide a partial answer to the open question of whether the local Euler obstruction is a bi-Lipschitz invariant. For hypersurfaces with isolated singularities, we show that the Euler obstruction is preserved under non-degeneracy conditions. These results contribute to the understanding of metric invariants in complex analytic geometry.

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
1. Preliminary Notions and Results	2
2. The Bi-Lipschitz Invariance of the Łojasiewicz Exponent	7
3. Conditions for the Bi-Lipschitz Invariance of Multiplicity	11
4. The Bi-Lipschitz Invariance of the Euler Obstruction for Hypersurfaces	12
References	14

## INTRODUCTION

Bi-Lipschitz invariants have become a central focus in singularity theory, offering insights into the geometric and metric properties of analytic varieties beyond their topological and algebraic classifications. Many fundamental invariants, such as multiplicity, order, and the Łojasiewicz exponent, have been extensively studied under bi-Lipschitz equivalence. A central question in this context is the metric version of Zariski's multiplicity conjecture, which asks whether multiplicity is preserved under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms. Significant contributions by many authors, including L. Birbrair, J. F. Bobadilla, C. Bivià-Ausina, A. Fernandes, T. Fukui, Z. Jelonek, M. Pe Pereira, and J. E. Sampaio, among others, have advanced our understanding of this problem, revealing deep connections between metric geometry and algebraic invariants. Despite these developments, the behavior of more subtle invariants under bi-Lipschitz equivalence remains less understood.

In this work, we investigate the bi-Lipschitz invariance of three fundamental local invariants in singularity theory: the Łojasiewicz exponent, the Hilbert-Samuel multiplicity, and the local

---

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification:* 32S15, 32S05, 14M25.

*Key words:* Bi-Lipschitz equivalence, Multiplicity, Euler obstruction.

Amanda S. Araújo is supported by CAPES grant number 88887.827300/2023-00. Thaís M. Dalbelo is supported by FAPESP Grants 2019/21181-0 and 2024/22060-0, and by CNPq Grant 403959/2023-3. Thiago da Silva is funded by CAPES grant number 88887.909401/2023-00, and by FAPES (Universal: Edital FAPES 13/2025).

Euler obstruction. Building on the framework introduced by Bivià-Ausina and Fukui, we extend their techniques to ideals in analytic spaces and establish new conditions under which these invariants remain unchanged under bi-Lipschitz equivalence. Our analysis reveals that under suitable algebraic constraints, these invariants exhibit remarkable rigidity with respect to bi-Lipschitz transformations.

We prove a special case of the metric version of Zariski's multiplicity conjecture: multiplicity is preserved under bi-Lipschitz equivalence when the integral closure of the ideal of the variety is a power of the maximal ideal of the ring  $\mathcal{O}_n$ .

While multiplicity has long been conjectured to be a bi-Lipschitz invariant, the status of the local Euler obstruction remains more elusive. This invariant, introduced by MacPherson in the context of Chern classes for singular spaces, is known to be analytic but not a topological invariant. In this paper, we provide a partial answer to the open question of its bi-Lipschitz invariance, demonstrating that under some algebraic conditions for hypersurfaces with isolated singularities, the local Euler obstruction is indeed preserved. This result contributes positively to the broader understanding of metric invariants in complex analytic geometry.

The paper is organized as follows. Section 1 introduces the foundational definitions and notations concerning bi-Lipschitz equivalence, the Łojasiewicz exponent, and the local Euler obstruction and toric varieties. Section 2 extends the bi-Lipschitz invariance of the Łojasiewicz exponent to ideals in analytic spaces. Section 3 establishes conditions under which multiplicity is preserved. Finally, Section 4 addresses the bi-Lipschitz invariance of the local Euler obstruction for hypersurfaces with isolated singularities under some algebraic assumptions.

## 1. PRELIMINARY NOTIONS AND RESULTS

We fix the notational conventions used throughout this work. Let  $(X, x_0)$  be a germ of analytic space in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . Consider two real-valued function germs  $a, b : (X, x_0) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . The relation  $a(x) \lesssim b(x)$  near  $x_0$  means that there exists a constant  $C > 0$  and an open neighborhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  in  $X$  such that

$$a(x) \leq C \cdot b(x), \quad \text{for all } x \in U.$$

Furthermore, we write  $a(x) \sim b(x)$  near  $x_0$  whenever both  $a(x) \lesssim b(x)$  and  $b(x) \lesssim a(x)$  hold in some neighborhood of  $x_0$ .

For any  $n$ -tuple  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , we denote its norm by

$$\|x\| = \sqrt{|x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_n|^2}.$$

**1.1. Bi-Lipschitz equivalences.** We start by recalling the definition of a bi-Lipschitz map.

**Definition 1.1.** A map germ  $f : (X, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$  is Lipschitz if

$$\|f(x) - f(x')\| \lesssim \|x - x'\| \text{ near } 0.$$

A bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism between  $(X, 0)$  and  $(Y, 0)$  is a Lipschitz homeomorphism  $h : (X, 0) \rightarrow (Y, 0)$  whose inverse  $h^{-1} : (Y, 0) \rightarrow (X, 0)$  is also Lipschitz. Moreover, two subsets  $X_1$  and  $X_2$  of  $(X, 0)$  are bi-Lipschitz equivalent if there is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\varphi : (X, 0) \rightarrow (X, 0)$  so that  $\varphi(X_1) = X_2$ .

Two function germs  $f, g : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$  are called bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalent if there exists a bi-Lipschitz map germ  $\phi : (X, 0) \rightarrow (X, 0)$  such that

$$f = g \circ \phi.$$

Similarly,  $f$  and  $g$  are bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent if there exist bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms  $\varphi : (X, 0) \rightarrow (X, 0)$  and  $\phi : (\mathbb{C}^p, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$  such that

$$\phi \circ f = g \circ \varphi.$$

We also say that  $f$  and  $g$  are *bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{K}^*$ -equivalent* if there is a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\varphi : (X, 0) \rightarrow (X, 0)$  and a map  $A : (X, 0) \rightarrow GL(\mathbb{C}^p)$  such that  $A$  and  $A^{-1}$  are Lipschitz and

$$A(x)f(x) = g(\varphi(x))$$

for all  $x$  in some open neighborhood of  $0 \in X$ , where  $GL(\mathbb{C}^p)$  denotes the set of all  $p \times p$  complex matrices with nonzero determinant.

In particular, bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalence implies both bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalence and bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{K}^*$ -equivalence.

The notion of *bi-Lipschitz equivalence of ideals*, introduced by Bivià-Ausina and Fukui in [6], is originally defined for ideals in  $\mathcal{O}_n$ , by comparing their generators via a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\varphi : (\mathbb{C}^n, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$ . We extend this notion to ideals in  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , where  $X$  denotes a germ of analytic space in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and  $\mathcal{O}_X$  denotes the ring of germs of analytic functions  $(X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$ .

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $I$  and  $J$  be ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . We say that  $I$  and  $J$  are *bi-Lipschitz equivalent* if there exist families of functions  $f_1, \dots, f_p$  and  $g_1, \dots, g_q$  in  $\mathcal{O}_X$  such that:

- (1)  $\langle f_1, \dots, f_p \rangle \subseteq I$  and  $\overline{\langle f_1, \dots, f_p \rangle} = \bar{I}$ ;
- (2)  $\langle g_1, \dots, g_q \rangle \subseteq J$  and  $\overline{\langle g_1, \dots, g_q \rangle} = \bar{J}$ ;
- (3) There exists a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\varphi : (X, 0) \rightarrow (X, 0)$  such that

$$\|(f_1(x), \dots, f_p(x))\| \sim \|(g_1(\varphi(x)), \dots, g_q(\varphi(x)))\| \quad \text{near } 0,$$

where  $\bar{I}$  denotes the closure of the ideal  $I$ .

It follows directly from the definition that bi-Lipschitz equivalence between two ideals implies bi-Lipschitz equivalence between their respective zero sets. Moreover, if two germs are bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalent, then their zero sets are bi-Lipschitz equivalent.

**1.2. Łojasiewicz exponent of ideals.** The Łojasiewicz exponent is a fundamental numerical invariant that quantifies the comparative vanishing order of two ideals near a singular point. As shown in [14], this exponent can be characterized algebraically through inclusions between powers of ideals and their integral closures. In this subsection, we define the Łojasiewicz exponent  $\mathcal{L}_J(I)$  and explore its properties, highlighting its connections with other local invariants such as the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity and the order of an ideal.

**Definition 1.3.** Let  $X$  be a reduced equidimensional complex analytic space. Let  $I := \langle f_1, \dots, f_p \rangle$  and  $J := \langle g_1, \dots, g_q \rangle$  be two ideals in  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . Let us consider the maps

$$f = (f_1, \dots, f_p) : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$$

$$g = (g_1, \dots, g_q) : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^q, 0).$$

The Łojasiewicz exponent of  $I$  with respect to  $J$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{L}_J(I)$ , is the infimum of the set

$$\{\alpha \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} : \|g(x)\|^\alpha \lesssim \|f(x)\| \text{ near } 0\}.$$

If this set is empty, we say that  $\mathcal{L}_J(I) = \infty$ .

It is worth noting that  $\mathcal{L}_J(I) < \infty$  whenever  $I \subseteq \sqrt{J}$ . Furthermore, according to [14], if  $\mathcal{L}_J(I) < \infty$ , then it's a rational positive number.

Consider two ideals  $I, J \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$ . By [14], the Łojasiewicz exponent of  $I$  with respect to  $J$  is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_J(I) = \min \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \mid a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \quad J^a \subseteq \overline{I^b} \right\}.$$

Let us assume that the ideal  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X$  has finite colength, that is, the quotient  $\mathcal{O}_X/I$  is a finite-dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space, since  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra with residue field  $\mathbb{C}$ .

In this context, when  $J = \mathfrak{m}$  is the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , we denote the number  $\mathcal{L}_J(I)$  by  $\mathcal{L}_0(I)$ . That is,

$$\mathcal{L}_0(I) = \inf \left\{ \frac{a}{b} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1} : \mathfrak{m}^a \subseteq \overline{I^b} \right\}.$$

The quantity  $\mathcal{L}_0(I)$  is called the *Łojasiewicz exponent* of the ideal  $I$ .

We recall that the *order* of a function  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$ , denoted by  $\text{ord}(f)$ , is defined as the largest integer  $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$  such that  $f \in \mathfrak{m}^r$ . Accordingly, the order of an ideal  $I \subset \mathcal{O}_X$  is defined as  $\max\{r : I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^r\}$ .

The Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity of a finite-codimensional ideal  $I$  in  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is defined as

$$e(I) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n!}{k^n} \ell(\mathcal{O}_X/I^k \mathcal{O}_X),$$

where  $\ell(\mathcal{O}_X/I^k \mathcal{O}_X)$  denotes the colength of  $I^k$  (see [17]). There is a relationship between the Łojasiewicz exponent, the multiplicity of an ideal, and its order. This relation follows from the inequality  $e(\mathfrak{m}^a) \geq e(\overline{I^b}) = e(I^b)$  (see [9]), which implies that  $a^n \geq b^n e(I)$ , and consequently,  $\mathcal{L}_0(I)^n \geq e(I)$ . Furthermore, the inclusion  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I)}$  yields  $e(I) \geq e(\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I)}) = \text{ord}(I)^n e(\mathfrak{m})$ . Therefore, we obtain the following inequality:

$$\mathcal{L}_0(I)^n \geq e(I) \geq \text{ord}(I)^n. \quad (1)$$

**1.3. The local Euler obstruction.** The local Euler obstruction was defined by MacPherson in [15] as a tool to prove the conjecture about the existence and unicity of the Chern classes in the singular case. Since then, it has been extensively investigated by many authors such as Brasselet and Schwartz [5], Lê and Teissier [13], Kashiwara [12], and others.

Let  $(X, 0) \subset (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  be a pure-dimensional complex analytic subset  $X \subset U$  of an open set  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . We consider a complex analytic Whitney stratification  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_i\}$  of  $U$  adapted to  $X$  (i.e.  $X$  is a union of strata) and we assume that  $\{0\}$  is a stratum. We choose a representative  $X$  small enough of  $(X, 0)$  such that 0 belongs to the closure of all the strata. We write  $X = \cup_{i=0}^q V_i$  where  $V_0 = \{0\}$  and  $V_q = X_{\text{reg}}$  is the set of regular points of  $X$ . We assume that the strata  $V_0, \dots, V_{q-1}$  are connected. Note that the closures  $\overline{V_0}, \dots, \overline{V_{q-1}}$  are complex analytic subsets of  $U$ .

**Definition 1.4.** Let  $G(d, n)$  be the Grassmannian manifold and  $\tilde{X}$  the Nash modification of  $X$ . Consider the extension  $\mathcal{T}$  of the tautological bundle over  $U \times G(d, n)$ . Since  $\tilde{X} \subset U \times G(d, n)$ , we consider  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}$  the restriction of  $\mathcal{T}$  to  $\tilde{X}$ , called the **Nash bundle**, and  $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{T}} \rightarrow \tilde{X}$  the projection of this bundle.

In this context, denoting by  $\varphi$  the natural projection of  $U \times G(d, n)$  at  $U$ . Considering  $\|z\| = \sqrt{z_1 \overline{z_1} + \dots + z_n \overline{z_n}}$ , the 1-differential form  $w = d\|z\|^2$  over  $\mathbb{C}^n$  defines a section in  $T^* \mathbb{C}^n$  and its pullback  $\varphi^* w$  is a 1-form over  $U \times G(d, n)$ . Denote by  $\tilde{w}$  the restriction of  $\varphi^* w$  over  $\tilde{X}$ , which is a section of the dual bundle  $\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^*$ .

Choose  $\epsilon$  small enough for  $\tilde{w}$  to be a nonzero section over  $\nu^{-1}(z)$ ,  $0 < \|z\| \leq \epsilon$ , let  $B_\epsilon$  be the closed ball with center at the origin with radius  $\epsilon$  and denote by

- (1)  $\text{Obs}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^*, \tilde{w}) \in \mathbb{H}^{2d}(\nu^{-1}(B_\epsilon), \nu^{-1}(S_\epsilon), \mathbb{Z})$  the obstruction for extending  $\tilde{w}$  from  $\nu^{-1}(S_\epsilon)$  to  $\nu^{-1}(B_\epsilon)$ ;
- (2)  $O_{\nu^{-1}(B_\epsilon), \nu^{-1}(S_\epsilon)}$  the fundamental class in  $\mathbb{H}_{2d}(\nu^{-1}(B_\epsilon), \nu^{-1}(S_\epsilon), \mathbb{Z})$ .

**Definition 1.5.** The **local Euler obstruction** of  $X$  at 0,  $Eu_X(0)$ , is given by the evaluation

$$Eu_X(0) = \langle \text{Obs}(\tilde{\mathcal{T}}^*, \tilde{w}), O_{\nu^{-1}(B_\epsilon), \nu^{-1}(S_\epsilon)} \rangle.$$

In [4, Theorem 3.1], Brasselet, Lê, and Seade proved a formula to compute the local Euler obstruction using generic linear forms.

**Theorem 1.6.** *Let  $(X, 0)$  and  $\mathcal{V}$  be given as before, then for each generic linear form  $l$ , there exists  $\varepsilon_0$  such that for any  $\varepsilon$  with  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  and  $\delta \neq 0$  sufficiently small, the Euler obstruction of  $(X, 0)$  is equal to*

$$\text{Eu}_X(0) = \sum_{i=1}^q \chi(V_i \cap B_\varepsilon \cap l^{-1}(\delta)) \cdot \text{Eu}_X(V_i),$$

where  $\chi$  is the Euler characteristic,  $\text{Eu}_X(V_i)$  is the Euler obstruction of  $X$  at a point of  $V_i$ ,  $i = 1, \dots, q$  and  $0 < |\delta| \ll \varepsilon \ll 1$ .

The local Euler obstruction is known to be an analytic invariant, meaning it remains unchanged under analytic equivalence. However, it is not a topological invariant, as it can vary under homeomorphisms (see [11]). Whether the local Euler obstruction is a bi-Lipschitz invariant remains an open question. In this work, we provide a partial answer to this problem, showing that under certain conditions, the local Euler obstruction is indeed preserved by bi-Lipschitz transformations. Thus, our result offers a positive contribution toward understanding its behavior in the bi-Lipschitz category. This leads naturally to the study of polar multiplicities, which are closely linked to the local Euler obstruction and play a central role in its computation and geometric interpretation.

The next theorem, proved by Lê and Teissier, presents a way to compute the local Euler obstruction with the aid of González-Sprinberg's purely algebraic interpretation of this invariant.

**Theorem 1.7** ([13]). *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  be an analytic space of dimension  $d$  reduced at 0. Then  $\text{Eu}_0(X) = \sum_{k=0}^{d-1} (-1)^{d-k-1} m_k(X)$ , where  $m_k(X)$  denotes the  $k$ -th polar multiplicity of  $X$  at 0.*

In particular, the first polar multiplicity  $m_0(X)$  coincides with the classical notion of multiplicity, which is the central object in the metric version of Zariski's conjecture. This conjecture asks whether multiplicity is preserved under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms. In this work, we resolve a special case of this conjecture by proving that, under certain conditions, the polar multiplicity is invariant under bi-Lipschitz equivalence.

**1.4. Toric Varieties.** In this subsection, we recall some fundamental notions on toric varieties that will be used throughout this work. In particular, the combinatorial description via semigroups and convex polyhedra plays an essential role in the analysis of ideals and their invariants, especially in the construction and study of the Newton polyhedra that will appear in the subsequent results. For more references, see, for instance, [7].

Let  $S \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  be a finitely generated semigroup with respect to addition, satisfying  $0 \in S$ , with  $\mathbb{Z}S = \mathbb{Z}^n$ . Let  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  be a set of generators of  $S$ . This set induces a homomorphism of groups  $\pi_S : \mathbb{Z}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^n$  given by

$$\pi_S(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) = \alpha_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + \alpha_r \gamma_r.$$

For each  $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_r) \in \text{Ker}(\pi_S)$  we set

$$\alpha_+ = \sum_{\alpha_i > 0} \alpha_i e_i \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha_- = - \sum_{\alpha_i < 0} \alpha_i e_i,$$

where  $e_1, \dots, e_r$  are the elements of the standard basis of  $\mathbb{R}^r$ . Let us observe that  $\alpha = \alpha_+ - \alpha_-$  and  $\alpha_+, \alpha_- \in \mathbb{N}^r$ , where  $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ .

Consider the ideal

$$I_S = \langle x^{\alpha_+} - x^{\alpha_-}; \alpha \in \text{Ker}(\pi_S) \rangle \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_r],$$

where  $x^\beta = x_1^{\beta_1} \dots x_r^{\beta_r}$  with  $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_r) \in \mathbb{N}^r$ . It is possible to prove that  $I_S$  is a prime ideal (see Propositions 1.1.9 and 1.1.11 in [7]). The  $n$ -dimensional affine toric variety  $X(S) \subset \mathbb{C}^r$  generated by  $S$  is the irreducible variety  $\mathcal{V}(I_S)$  defined by the zero set of the ideal  $I_S$  as in (1.4), which is not necessarily normal.

In the following, we introduce the concepts of cone and dual cone, which are studied in convex geometry. Those elements will be necessary for defining the concept of Newton polyhedron, which we will use in this work.

**Definition 1.8.** Let  $\{\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r\} \subset \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$  be a finite generator set of  $S$ . The convex polyhedral cone associated with  $S$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  is the set

$$\text{cone}(S) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^r \lambda_i \gamma_i \mid \lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda_i \geq 0 \right\}.$$

The vectors  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$  are called generators of the cone( $S$ ). Also, set  $\text{cone}(\emptyset) = \{0\}$ .

In what follows, we will consider that  $\dim(\text{cone}(S)) = n$  and that  $\text{cone}(S)$  is strongly convex, i.e.  $\text{cone}(S) \cap (-\text{cone}(S)) = \{0\}$ . Let  $(\mathbb{R}^n)^*$  be the dual space of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . To each cone, we associate the dual cone, defined by

$$\widetilde{\text{cone}}(S) = \{u \in (\mathbb{R}^n)^* : \langle u, v \rangle \geq 0, \forall v \in \text{cone}(S)\}.$$

Let  $g \in \mathcal{O}_{X(S)}$ , i.e.,  $g = h|_{X(S)}$ , where  $h : \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  is an analytic function such that  $h = \sum_k a_k x^k$  is its Taylor expansion. The support of  $g \in \mathcal{O}_{X(S)}$  is defined by the set

$$\text{supp}(g) = \left\{ \nu(k) : \sum_{\nu(k')=\nu(k)} a_{k'} \neq 0 \text{ and } k = (k_1, \dots, k_r) \right\},$$

where  $\nu(k) = k_1 \gamma_1 + \dots + k_r \gamma_r \in S$ . where  $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_r$  are generators of  $S$ . If  $G \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X(S)}$  is a subset of the ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X(S)}$ , we define the support of  $G$  as

$$\text{supp}(G) = \bigcup_{g \in G} \text{supp}(g). \quad (2)$$

**Definition 1.9.** Let  $G$  be a subset of the ring  $\mathcal{O}_{X(S)}$ . The convex hull of

$$\bigcup_{\alpha \in \text{supp}(G)} (\alpha + \text{cone}(S)) \subset \text{cone}(S)$$

is called the Newton polyhedron of  $G$  and will be denoted by  $\Gamma_+(G)$ .

If  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X(S)}$  is an ideal generated by  $G$ , then, by the definition of convex hull and the properties of the support, it follows that  $\Gamma_+(I) = \Gamma_+(G)$ . Moreover, if  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_l\}$ , then  $\Gamma_+(I)$  is equal to the convex hull of  $\Gamma_+(g_1) \cup \dots \cup \Gamma_+(g_l)$ . Therefore,  $\Gamma_+(I)$  is independent of the chosen generating system.

Now, given a compact subset  $A \subseteq \text{cone}(S)$ , we denote by

$$g_A := \sum_{\nu(k) \in A \cap \text{supp}(g)} a_k x^k.$$

We set  $g_A = 0$  whenever  $A \cap \text{supp}(g) = \emptyset$ .

Let  $g$  be a representative of the germ  $g \in \mathcal{O}_{X(S)}$  such that  $0 \notin \text{supp}(g)$ . Then, we associate to  $g$  a polynomial  $L(g) \in \mathcal{O}_n$  given by

$$L(g) = \sum_{v \in \text{supp}(g)} a_v z^v,$$

where  $a_v = \sum_{\nu(k')=\nu(k)} a_{k'} \neq 0$  and  $v = \nu(k) \in S$ .

**Definition 1.10.** Let  $G = \{g_1, \dots, g_l\} \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X(S)}$ . We say that  $G$  is non-degenerate if, for each compact face  $\Delta$  of  $\Gamma_+(G)$ , the equations

$$L((g_1)_\Delta) = \dots = L((g_l)_\Delta) = 0$$

have no common solution in  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^s$ .

An ideal  $I \subseteq \mathcal{O}_{X(S)}$  is non-degenerate if  $I$  admits a non-degenerate system of generators.

**Remark 1.11.** Let  $\{e_1, \dots, e_s\}$  be a canonical basis of  $\mathbb{C}^s$ . If  $S = \langle e_1, \dots, e_s \rangle$ , then the toric variety  $X(S) = \mathbb{C}^s$ . In this case, we have  $\text{cone}(S) = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^s$ . Thus, the previous definition can be seen as a generalization of the Newton non-degeneracy condition for germs in  $\mathcal{O}_s$  presented by Saia in [19].

## 2. THE BI-LIPSCHITZ INVARIANCE OF THE ŁOJASIEWICZ EXPONENT

The study of bi-Lipschitz invariants plays a central role in singularity theory, as these invariants capture geometric properties of analytic varieties beyond their purely algebraic structure. This section generalizes the work of Bivia-Ausina and Fukui [6], extending their results on the bi-Lipschitz invariance of the order and Łojasiewicz exponent from ideals in  $\mathcal{O}_n$  to ideals in  $\mathcal{O}_X$ , where  $(X, 0)$  is a germ of a normal complex analytic variety. As a first step, we establish a general result on the bi-Lipschitz equivalence of ideals, which will serve as a fundamental tool for the subsequent analysis of Jacobian ideals and their integral closures.

From now on, we shall assume that the local ring  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is normal. The proofs of Theorems 2.1, 2.4, and Corollary 2.2 are inspired by the arguments presented in the work of Bivia-Ausina and Fukui [6].

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $I$  and  $J$  be ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ . If  $I$  and  $J$  are bi-Lipschitz equivalent, then  $\text{ord}(I) = \text{ord}(J)$ . Moreover, if  $I$  and  $J$  have finite colength, then  $\mathcal{L}_0(I) = \mathcal{L}_0(J)$ .

*Proof.* Since  $I$  and  $J$  are bi-Lipschitz equivalent, there exist germs of analytic maps

$$f = (f_1, \dots, f_p) : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^p, 0)$$

and

$$g = (g_1, \dots, g_q) : (X, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}^q, 0)$$

such that

$$\overline{I} = \overline{\langle f_1, \dots, f_p \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad \overline{J} = \overline{\langle g_1, \dots, g_q \rangle},$$

and there exists a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\varphi : (X, 0) \rightarrow (X, 0)$  satisfying

$$\|g(\varphi(x))\| \sim \|f(x)\| \quad \text{near } 0.$$

By symmetry, it suffices to prove that  $\mathcal{L}_0(I) \leq \mathcal{L}_0(J)$  and  $\text{ord}(I) \leq \text{ord}(J)$ . Let  $\mathcal{L}_0(J) = \theta \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  be such that

$$\|x\|^\theta \lesssim \|g(x)\| \quad \text{near } 0.$$

Then

$$\|x\|^\theta \sim \|\varphi(x)\|^\theta \lesssim \|g(\varphi(x))\| \sim \|f(x)\| \quad \text{near } 0,$$

and it follows that  $\mathcal{L}_0(I) \leq \mathcal{L}_0(J)$ . Moreover, since  $\mathcal{O}_X$  is normal, we have

$$\text{ord}(J) = \max\{s : J \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^s\} = \max\{s : J \subseteq \overline{\mathfrak{m}^s}\} = \max\{s : \|g(x)\| \lesssim \|x\|^s \text{ near } 0\},$$

where  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the maximal ideal of  $\mathcal{O}_X$ .

If  $\|f(x)\| \lesssim \|x\|^{\text{ord}(I)}$  near 0, then

$$\|g(x)\| \sim \|f(\varphi(x))\| \lesssim \|\varphi(x)\|^{\text{ord}(I)} \sim \|x\|^{\text{ord}(I)} \text{ near } 0,$$

and we obtain  $\text{ord}(I) \leq \max\{s : \|g(x)\| \lesssim \|x\|^s \text{ near } 0\} = \text{ord}(J)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 2.2.** *Let  $I$  and  $J$  be ideals of  $\mathcal{O}_X$  with finite colength. Let us suppose that  $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I)}$ . Then,  $I$  and  $J$  are bi-Lipschitz equivalent if and only if  $\bar{I} = \bar{J}$ .*

*Proof.* The “if” part is immediate. If  $I$  and  $J$  are bi-Lipschitz equivalent, then by Theorem 2.1 we have  $\text{ord}(I) = \text{ord}(J)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_0(I) = \mathcal{L}_0(J)$ . Since  $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I)}$ , it follows that  $\mathcal{L}_0(I) \leq \text{ord}(I)$ . Moreover,  $I \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I)}$  implies  $I^q \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{q \cdot \text{ord}(I)}$  and hence  $\bar{I}^q \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{q \cdot \text{ord}(I)}$  for all  $q \in \mathbb{N}$ . If  $\mathfrak{m}^p \subseteq \bar{I}^q$ , then  $p \geq q \cdot \text{ord}(I)$ , so

$$\text{ord}(I) \leq \inf\{p/q : \mathfrak{m}^p \subseteq \bar{I}^q\} = \mathcal{L}_0(I).$$

Therefore,  $\text{ord}(I) = \mathcal{L}_0(I)$ .

Since  $J \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(J)}$ , by (1) we obtain

$$e(\mathfrak{m}) \text{ord}(I)^n \geq \text{ord}(I)^n = \mathcal{L}_0(I)^n = \mathcal{L}_0(J)^n \geq e(J) \geq e(\mathfrak{m}) \text{ord}(J)^n = e(\mathfrak{m}) \text{ord}(I)^n.$$

Hence  $e(J) = e(\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I)})$ . By the Rees Multiplicity Theorem (see [10]),  $\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I)} \subseteq \bar{J}$ . Therefore,  $\bar{J} = \bar{I}$ .  $\square$

The following example illustrates a situation where the hypothesis  $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I)}$  of Corollary 2.2 is satisfied.

**Example 2.3.** *Let  $I \subset \mathcal{O}_{X(S)}$  be a non-degenerate ideal, where  $X(S)$  is a normal toric variety with  $S = \langle b_1, \dots, b_r \rangle$ . If  $\Gamma_+(I) = \Gamma_+(\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I)})$ , then  $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I)}$ . Indeed, by [1], the integral closure is given by*

$$\bar{I} = \langle x^k : k_1 b_1 + \dots + k_r b_r \in \Gamma_+(I) \rangle.$$

*The hypothesis  $\Gamma_+(I) = \Gamma_+(\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I)})$  implies that*

$$\bar{I} = \langle x^k : k_1 b_1 + \dots + k_r b_r \in \Gamma_+(\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I)}) \rangle = \overline{\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I)}}.$$

*Since  $X(S)$  is normal, the ideal  $\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I)}$  is integrally closed, and we conclude that  $\bar{I} = \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I)}$ .*

Before proceeding, we recall that for a germ  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$  the Jacobian ideal is defined by

$$J(f) = \left\langle \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n} \right\rangle \subseteq \mathcal{O}_X.$$

In the case of an isolated singularity at the origin, this ideal has finite colength.

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_X$  be germs with an isolated singularity at the origin. Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent or bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{K}^*$ -equivalent. Then the Jacobian ideals  $J(f)$  and  $J(g)$  are bi-Lipschitz equivalent. In particular,  $\text{ord}(f) = \text{ord}(g)$  and  $\mathcal{L}_0(J(f)) = \mathcal{L}_0(J(g))$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent, that is, there exist bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms  $\varphi : (X, 0) \rightarrow (X, 0)$  and  $\phi : (\mathbb{C}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  such that  $\phi \circ f = g \circ \varphi$ .

We identify  $(X, 0) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  with an analytic subvariety of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ ; in this setting, the regular part  $X_{\text{reg}}$  is a smooth real manifold of dimension  $2 \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X$ .

By Rademacher’s theorem,  $\phi$  and  $\varphi$  are differentiable almost everywhere on  $X_{\text{reg}}$  and on  $\mathbb{C}$ . Thus, the derivatives  $D\phi(x)$  and  $D\varphi(x)$  exist almost everywhere on  $X_{\text{reg}}$ . Moreover, since  $\varphi$  and



$\varphi^{-1}$  are bi-Lipschitz, their derivatives (as well as those of  $\phi$  and  $\phi^{-1}$ ) exist almost everywhere and are essentially bounded, with bounded inverses as well.

For every  $x \in X_{reg}$  where the derivatives exist, the chain rule yields

$$\nabla(g \circ \varphi)(x) = D\varphi(x)^T \nabla g(\varphi(x)), \quad (3)$$

where  $\nabla g$  denotes the real gradient of  $g$  restricted to  $X_{reg}$ . On the other hand,

$$\nabla(\phi \circ f)(x) = D\phi(f(x)) \nabla f(x). \quad (4)$$

Comparing (3) and (4) and taking norms, we obtain

$$\|D\varphi(x)^T \nabla g(\varphi(x))\| = \|D\phi(f(x)) \nabla f(x)\| \leq \|D\phi(f(x))\| \|\nabla f(x)\| \leq M \|\nabla f(x)\|.$$

Since  $D\phi(f(x))$  is invertible with  $\|D\phi(f(x))^{-1}\| \leq L$ , it follows that

$$\|\nabla g(\varphi(x))\| \leq \|D\phi(f(x))^{-T}\| \|D\phi(f(x)) \nabla f(x)\| \leq LM \|\nabla f(x)\|.$$

Similarly, using  $\varphi^{-1}$  and  $\phi^{-1}$ , we obtain

$$\|\nabla f(x)\| \leq LM \|\nabla g(\varphi(x))\|.$$

Therefore, there exist constants  $C_1, C_2 > 0$  such that, for almost every  $x \in X_{reg}$ ,

$$C_1 \|\nabla f(x)\| \leq \|\nabla g(\varphi(x))\| \leq C_2 \|\nabla f(x)\|.$$

We now extend this inequality to all points near the origin. Note that the singular locus of  $X$ ,  $X_{\text{sing}}$ , is closed, of real codimension at least 2, hence of measure zero, and that  $\nabla f$  and  $\nabla g$  are continuous on  $X$ . Thus, an inequality holding almost everywhere on  $X_{reg}$  extends, by density and continuity, to all points of  $X$ .

More precisely, given  $x_0 \in X_{reg}$ , there exists a sequence  $x_n \in X_{reg}$  with  $x_n \rightarrow x_0$  such that the inequality holds for all  $x_n$ . Taking the limit as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and using the continuity of  $\nabla f$  and  $\nabla g$ , the inequality also holds at  $x_0$ . At the origin  $0 \in X$ , since  $f$  and  $g$  have isolated singularities,  $\nabla f(0) = \nabla g(0) = 0$ , which is compatible with the inequality.

We conclude that the inequality holds in a neighborhood  $V \subset X$  of the origin. Hence,  $J(f)$  and  $J(g)$  are bi-Lipschitz equivalent.

Now suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{K}^*$ -equivalent. That is, there exist a bi-Lipschitz homeomorphism  $\varphi : (X, 0) \rightarrow (X, 0)$  and a Lipschitz function  $A : (X, 0) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ , with Lipschitz inverse  $A^{-1}$ , such that  $g \circ \varphi = A \cdot f$  in a neighborhood of the origin in  $X$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|(\nabla g)(\varphi(x))\| &\lesssim \|(\nabla g)(\varphi(x)) D\varphi(x)\| && \text{(since } \varphi \text{ is bi-Lipschitz)} \\ &= \|\nabla(g \circ \varphi)(x)\| && \text{(by 3)} \\ &= \|\nabla A(x) f(x) + A(x) \nabla f(x)\| && \text{(since } g(\varphi(x)) = A(x) f(x)) \\ &\leq \|\nabla A(x)\| |f(x)| + \|A(x)\| \|\nabla f(x)\| \\ &\lesssim |f(x)| + \|\nabla f(x)\| && \text{(since } A(x) \text{ is Lipschitz)} \\ &\lesssim \|x\| \|\nabla f(x)\| + \|\nabla f(x)\| && \text{(since } |f(x)| \lesssim \|x\| \|\nabla f(x)\|) \\ &\lesssim \|\nabla f(x)\|, \end{aligned}$$

almost everywhere. Similarly, we have

$$\|(\nabla f)(\varphi^{-1}(x))\| \lesssim \|\nabla g(x)\|$$

near 0, and hence we obtain that the ideals  $J(f)$  and  $J(g)$  are bi-Lipschitz equivalent.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.5.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$  be such that  $\overline{J(f)} = \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(f)-1}$ . If  $g \in \mathcal{O}_X$  is bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent to  $f$  or bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{K}^*$ -equivalent to  $f$ , then  $\overline{J(f)} = \overline{J(g)}$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from Theorem 2.1 and Corollary 2.2.  $\square$

Before presenting the example below, we briefly recall the notion of a semi-homogeneous function. A germ  $f \in \mathcal{O}_X$  is called *semi-homogeneous of order  $d$*  if it admits a decomposition of the form

$$f = f_d + (\text{higher order terms}),$$

where  $f_d$  is a nonzero homogeneous polynomial of degree  $d$ . Equivalently,  $f$  is semi-quasi-homogeneous with respect to the weight  $(1, \dots, 1)$ .

**Example 2.6.** *If  $f \in \mathcal{O}_n$  is semi-homogeneous of order  $d$  with an isolated singularity, then*

$$\overline{J(f)} = \mathfrak{m}^{d-1}.$$

*This follows from growth estimates comparing  $\nabla f = (\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_1}, \dots, \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_n})$  with monomials of degree  $d-1$ , which ensure that all such monomials belong to the integral closure of the Jacobian ideal.*

*We can write*

$$f = f_d + (\text{terms of order } > d),$$

*where  $f_d$  is homogeneous of degree  $d$  and has an isolated singularity at 0.*

*Since each partial derivative  $\partial f / \partial x_i$  has order  $\geq d-1$ , we obtain  $J(f) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{d-1}$  and hence  $\overline{J(f)} \subseteq \mathfrak{m}^{d-1}$ . For the reverse inclusion, let  $q(x)$  be any monomial of degree  $d-1$ . Writing  $x = ru$  with  $r = \|x\|$  and  $u \in S^{2n-1}$ , we have*

$$\nabla f_d(x) = r^{d-1} \nabla f_d(u) \quad \text{and} \quad q(x) = r^{d-1} q(u).$$

*Since  $f_d$  has an isolated singularity,  $\nabla f_d(u) \neq 0$  for all  $u \in S^{2n-1}$ ; by Weierstrass' Theorem there exist constants  $m_0 > 0$  such that*

$$\|\nabla f_d(x)\| \geq m_0 \|x\|^{d-1}.$$

*Taking  $M_0 := \max_{u \in S^{2n-1}} |q(u)| < \infty$ , we have  $|q(x)| \leq M_0 \|x\|^{d-1}$ .*

*Moreover,  $\nabla f(x) = \nabla f_d(x) + R(x)$ , where  $R(x)$  are the derivatives of the terms of order  $> d$ . Note that  $\text{ord } R \geq d$ , hence  $\|R(x)\| \leq \frac{m_0}{2} \|x\|^{d-1}$  in a neighborhood of 0, and consequently*

$$\|\nabla f(x)\| \geq \frac{m_0}{2} \|x\|^{d-1}$$

*in a neighborhood of 0. Hence,*


$$|q(x)| \leq \frac{2M_0}{m_0} \|\nabla f(x)\|$$

*in a neighborhood of 0. Therefore, in the same neighborhood, we get*

$$|q(x)| \leq C \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i}(x) \right\}$$

*for some constant  $C > 0$ , which by the Growth Condition implies  $q \in \overline{J(f)}$ . Since this holds for every monomial of degree  $d-1$ , we conclude  $\mathfrak{m}^{d-1} \subseteq \overline{J(f)}$ , and the equality follows.*

**Example 2.7.** *Consider the toric variety  $X(S)$  associated with the semigroup  $S = \{(1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2)\}$ . Let  $g = x^a + y^b + z^a + R(x, y, z) \in \mathcal{O}_{X(S)}$ , where  $b \geq a$  and  $R(x, y, z)$  consists of terms of order strictly greater than  $a$ . The Newton polyhedron of the Jacobian ideal  $J(g)$  is depicted in Figure 1.*

FIGURE 1. Newton polyhedron of  $J(g)$ .

Note that  $J(g)$  is non-degenerate, and by [1, Corollary 4.8] we have

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{J(g)} &= \langle x^a y^b z^c : a(1, 0) + b(1, 1) + c(1, 2) \in \Gamma(J(g)) \rangle \\ &= \langle x^a y^b z^c : a(1, 0) + b(1, 1) + c(1, 2) \in \Gamma(\mathfrak{m}^{a-1}) \rangle \\ &= \overline{\mathfrak{m}^{a-1}} = \mathfrak{m}^{a-1}. \end{aligned}$$

### 3. CONDITIONS FOR THE BI-LIPSCHITZ INVARIANCE OF MULTIPLICITY

In this section, we establish the bi-Lipschitz invariance of multiplicity under certain algebraic conditions. Theorem 3.1 shows that if the ideal of a variety  $X$  satisfies  $I(X) = \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I(X))}$ , then the multiplicity of  $X$  at the origin is preserved under bi-Lipschitz equivalence. This result highlights how rigidity in the algebraic structure of the ideal ensures that multiplicity becomes a metric invariant, contributing to the broader conjecture on the bi-Lipschitz invariance of multiplicity.

Suppose  $(X, 0) \subseteq (\mathbb{C}^n, 0)$  is a  $d$ -dimensional germ at zero of a complex analytic set. Let  $p : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$  be a generic linear projection with respect to  $X$ . It's well known, that the multiplicity of  $X$  at 0 is given by

$$m_0(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{\langle I(X), p \rangle},$$

which is the colength of the ideal  $\langle I(X), p \rangle$ .

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $X, Y \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  be complex analytic varieties with  $\dim X = \dim Y$ , and let  $I(X), I(Y) \subset \mathcal{O}_n$  be ideals of finite colength. Suppose that  $\overline{I(X)} = \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I(X))}$ . If  $I(X)$  and  $I(Y)$  are bi-Lipschitz equivalent, then  $m_0(X) = m_0(Y)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{U}_X, \mathcal{U}_Y \subset \mathcal{P}(d, n)$  be the sets of generic linear projections with respect to  $X$  and  $Y$ , respectively, where  $\mathcal{P}(d, n)$  denotes the space of all surjective linear projections  $\mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^d$ . It is well known that  $\mathcal{U}_X$  and  $\mathcal{U}_Y$  are Zariski open and dense subsets of the irreducible space  $\mathcal{P}(d, n)$ . Hence, their intersection  $\mathcal{U}_X \cap \mathcal{U}_Y$  is also Zariski open and dense, in particular nonempty.

Choose  $p \in \mathcal{U}_X \cap \mathcal{U}_Y$ . Then  $p$  is a generic linear projection for both  $X$  and  $Y$ . In this case, we have

$$m_0(X) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{\langle I(X), p \rangle} \quad \text{and} \quad m_0(Y) = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \frac{\mathcal{O}_n}{\langle I(Y), p \rangle}.$$

Since  $\overline{I(X)} = \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I(X))}$  and  $I(X), I(Y)$  are bi-Lipschitz equivalent, by Corollary 2.2 we have  $\overline{I(X)} = \overline{I(Y)}$ . In particular,  $I(X) \subseteq \overline{I(Y)} \subseteq \langle I(Y), p \rangle$ , which implies  $\langle I(X), p \rangle \subseteq \langle I(Y), p \rangle$ . Analogously, one obtains  $\langle I(Y), p \rangle \subseteq \langle I(X), p \rangle$  and therefore  $\langle I(X), p \rangle = \langle I(Y), p \rangle$ .

Consequently, the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicities of these ideals coincide, i.e.

$$e(\langle I(X), p \rangle) = e(\langle I(Y), p \rangle).$$

Moreover, since  $\mathcal{O}_n$  is Cohen–Macaulay, the Hilbert–Samuel multiplicity of an ideal coincides with its colength. Therefore, we conclude that  $m_0(X) = m_0(Y)$ .  $\square$

**Example 3.2.** Let  $I' = (x^2 - y^2, xy - z^2, xz - 2y^2, yz - 3x^2) \subset \mathcal{O}_3$ . This binomial ideal has order 2 and is Newton non-degenerate, since none of the face systems of its Newton polyhedron has solutions in  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^3$ . Moreover, the initial forms of the generators span all monomials of degree 2, and therefore  $\overline{I'} = \mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(I')}$ .

It is well established that the metric version of Zariski’s conjecture is generally false. Notably, counterexamples have been presented first in [2], demonstrating the failure of the conjecture in specific settings. However, the conjecture holds true for curves and surfaces (see [3, 8]), and it remains an important and open problem in several interesting and nontrivial cases.

Theorem 3.1 can be viewed as a partial confirmation of the metric version of Zariski’s multiplicity conjecture, suggesting that multiplicity may still behave well under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms in certain settings.

Moreover, thanks to the Lê–Teissier formula, we understand that the local Euler obstruction and the multiplicity are not entirely independent, revealing a profound link between invariants in singularity theory. In the next section, we present a case where the Euler obstruction itself behaves as a bi-Lipschitz invariant, highlighting its subtle and powerful role in the classification of singularities.

#### 4. THE BI-LIPSCHITZ INVARIANCE OF THE EULER OBSTRUCTION FOR HYPERSURFACES

In this section, we study the bi-Lipschitz invariance of the Euler obstruction for hypersurfaces with an isolated singularity defined in a toric variety  $X(S)$ . Using the formulas of Matsui and Takeuchi [16] for the Euler characteristic via Newton polyhedra, we show that, under the same hypotheses of Corollary 2.5, this obstruction is preserved under bi-Lipschitz equivalence of ideals.

**Theorem 4.1.** Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_{X(S)}$  be germs with an isolated singularity at the origin such that  $\overline{J(f)} = \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(f)-1}$ . Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent or bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{K}^*$ -equivalent. Then

$$Eu_{V(f)}(0) = Eu_{V(g)}(0),$$

where  $V(f)$  and  $V(g)$  are the hypersurfaces given by the zero sets of  $f$  and  $g$ , respectively.

*Proof.* Since  $\overline{J(f)} = \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(f)-1}$ , we have  $\Gamma_+(J(f)) = \Gamma_+(\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(f)-1})$ . Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} J(f)^\circ &= \langle x^k : k_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + k_r\gamma_r \in \Gamma_+(J(f)) \rangle \\ &= \langle x^k : k_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + k_r\gamma_r \in \Gamma_+(\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(f)-1}) \rangle \\ &= (\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(f)-1})^\circ. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(f)-1}$  is non-degenerate, [1, Corollary 4.8] implies that  $(\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(f)-1})^\circ = \overline{\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(f)-1}}$ . Thus  $\overline{J(f)} = J(f)^\circ$ . Applying [1, Corollary 4.8] once more, we conclude that  $J(f)$  is a non-degenerate ideal.

By Theorem 2.4, it follows that  $J(f)$  and  $J(g)$  are bi-Lipschitz equivalent. Since  $\overline{J(f)} = \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(f)-1}$ , the Corollary 2.5 implies that  $\overline{J(f)} = \overline{J(g)}$ . Hence  $\Gamma_+(J(f)) = \Gamma_+(J(g))$ . Note that the equality  $\Gamma_+(J(f)) = \Gamma_+(\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(f)-1})$  implies that  $\Gamma_+(f) = \Gamma_+(\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(f)})$ . Applying the same argument to  $g$ , we conclude that  $\Gamma_+(f) = \Gamma_+(g)$ .

Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}
J(g)^\circ &= \langle x^k : k_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + k_r\gamma_r \in \Gamma_+(J(g)) \rangle \\
&= \langle x^k : k_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + k_r\gamma_r \in \Gamma_+(J(f)) \rangle \\
&= (\mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(f)-1})^\circ \\
&= J(f)^\circ \\
&= \overline{J(f)} \\
&= \overline{J(g)},
\end{aligned}$$

then, applying [1, Corollary 4.8] once more, we conclude that  $J(g)$  is also an ideal non-degenerate. Thus,  $f$  and  $g$  are non-degenerate functions in the sense of [16, Definition 3.2].

Now, let  $L_f, L_g : \mathbb{C}^r \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be generic linear forms with respect to  $V(f)$  and  $V(g)$ , respectively. Without loss of generality, we can assume that

$$\begin{aligned}
L_g(x) &= a_1x_1 + \cdots + a_rx_r, \quad a_i \neq 0, \quad \text{for all } i \\
L_f(x) &= b_1x_1 + \cdots + b_rx_r, \quad b_i \neq 0, \quad \text{for all } i
\end{aligned}$$

so that  $\Gamma_+(L_f) = \Gamma_+(L_g)$ .

Consider the following subvarieties of  $X(S)$

$$V(f, L_f) := \{f = L_f = 0\} \subset V(f) := \{f = 0\}, \quad (5)$$

$$V(g, L_g) := \{g = L_g = 0\} \subset V(g) := \{g = 0\}. \quad (6)$$

Since  $f$  and  $g$  are non-degenerate functions, for  $L_f$  and  $L_g$  generic enough, we have  $(f, L_f)$  and  $(g, L_g)$  as non-degenerate complete intersections, as in [16, Definition 3.9] (see also [18]).

Therefore, by [16, Theorem 3.12], the Euler characteristic of the Milnor fiber  $(L_f)_0$  of the function  $L_f|_{V(f)} : V(f) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  at the point  $0 \in V(f)$  is given as a sum of volumes of the Newton polyhedron associated to the function  $f \cdot L_f$ .

Since  $\Gamma_+(f) = \Gamma_+(g)$  and  $\Gamma_+(L_f) = \Gamma_+(L_g)$ , it follows that  $\Gamma_+(f \cdot L_f) = \Gamma_+(g \cdot L_g)$ . Consequently, we conclude that  $\chi((L_f)_0) = \chi((L_g)_0)$ .

Moreover, since both  $V(f)$  and  $V(g)$  have isolated singularities, their local Euler obstructions at the origin coincide. Therefore, the Euler obstructions of  $V(f)$  and  $V(g)$  are equal.  $\square$

In [3] Bobadilla, Fernandes, and Sampaio proved the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.2.** *Let  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^{N+1}$  and  $Y \subset \mathbb{C}^{M+1}$  be two complex analytic surfaces. If  $(X, 0)$  and  $(Y, 0)$  are bi-Lipschitz homeomorphic, then  $m_0(X, 0) = m_0(Y, 0)$ .*

Then, as a Corollary of this result and from Theorems 4.1 and 1.7, we obtain.

**Corollary 4.3.** *Let  $f, g \in \mathcal{O}_3$  be germs with an isolated singularity at the origin such that  $\overline{J(f)} = \mathfrak{m}^{\text{ord}(f)-1}$ . Suppose that  $f$  and  $g$  are bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent or bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{K}^*$ -equivalent. Then  $m_1(X, 0) = m_1(Y, 0)$ .*

**Example 4.4.** *Consider the toric variety  $X(S)$  associated with the semigroup  $S = \{(1, 0), (1, 1), (1, 2)\}$ . Let  $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^a + z^2 \in \mathcal{O}_{X(S)}$ , where  $a > 2$ , and consider the germ*

$$g(x, y, z) = x^2 + (y + xy)^2 + (z + 2y^2 + xy^2)^2.$$

*First, note that both  $f$  and  $g$  have an isolated singularity at the origin. Moreover, since  $J(f) = \langle x, y, z \rangle$ , we obtain  $\overline{J(f)} = \mathfrak{m}$ , as required by the hypotheses. By construction,  $f$  and  $g$  are bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{R}$ -equivalent, and therefore also bi-Lipschitz  $\mathcal{A}$ -equivalent. Consequently, by Theorem 4.1,*

$$Eu_{V(f)}(0) = Eu_{V(g)}(0).$$

**Example 4.5.** Consider  $f(x, y, z) = x^2 + y^2 + z^2 \in \mathcal{O}_3$ . We have  $\text{ord}(f) = 2$ . The Jacobian ideal is  $J(f) = \mathfrak{m}$ . Let  $\Phi(x, y, z) = (x + y, y, z)$ . Define

$$g(x, y, z) = f(\Phi(x, y, z)) = (x + y)^2 + y^2 + z^2.$$

Since  $\Phi$  is a linear invertible transformation, it is bi-Lipschitz, and thus  $f$  and  $g$  are bi-Lipschitz equivalent. Therefore, all the assumptions of Theorem 4.1 are satisfied, and we conclude that

$$Eu_{V(f)}(0) = Eu_{V(g)}(0).$$

### Acknowledgments

We would like to thank Alexandre Fernandes and Carles Bivià-Ausina for all the helpful discussions they provided throughout the development of this work.

### REFERENCES

- [1] A. S. Araújo, T. M. Dalbelo and T. da Silva, *Newton polyhedra and the integral closure of ideals on toric varieties*. ArXiv:2409.07986, (2024).
- [2] L. Birbrair, A. Fernandes, J. E. Sampaio, and M. Verbitsky, *Multiplicity of singularities is not a bi-Lipschitz invariant*, Mathematische Annalen, 377:115–121, (2020).
- [3] J. F. Bobadilla, A. Fernandes, and J. E. Sampaio, *Multiplicity and degree as bi-Lipschitz invariants for complex sets*, Journal of Topology, 11(4):958–966, (2018).
- [4] J.-P. Brasselet, D. T. Lê e J. Seade, *Euler obstruction and indices of vector fields*, Topology, 6, 1193–1208, (2000).
- [5] J.-P. Brasselet e M.-H. Schwartz, *Sur les classes de Chern d'un ensemble analytique complexe*, Astérisque **82-83**, 93–147, (1981).
- [6] C. Bivià-Ausina and T. Fukui. *Invariants for bi-Lipschitz equivalence of ideals*. Q. J. Math., 68(3):791–815, (2017).
- [7] D. A. Cox, J. B. Little, and H. K. Schenck, *Toric varieties*. Graduate Studies in Mathematics 124, AMS, (2011).
- [8] A. Fernandes and J. E. Sampaio, *Multiplicity of analytic hypersurface singularities under bi-Lipschitz homeomorphisms*, Journal of Topology, 9:927–933, (2016).
- [9] T. Gaffney, *Multiplicities and equisingularity of ICIS germs*. Inventiones Mathematicae, 123(2):209–220, (1996).
- [10] C. Huneke and I. Swanson, *Integral closure of ideals, rings, and modules*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 336, Cambridge University Press (2006).
- [11] N. G. Grulha Jr., *Obstrução de Euler de Aplicações Analíticas*, Tese de Doutorado, ICMC - USP, (2007).
- [12] M. Kashiwara, *Systems of microdifferential equations*, Birkhauser (1983).
- [13] D. T. Lê and B. Teissier, *Variétés polaires locales et classes de Chern des variétés singulières*, Ann. of Math. 114, 457–491, (1981).
- [14] M. Lejeune and B. Teissier, *Clôture intégrale des idéaux et équisingularité, with an appendix by J. J. Risler*. Centre de Mathématiques, École Polytechnique (1974) and Ann. Fac. Sci. Toulouse Math. 17, 781–859, (2008).
- [15] R. D. MacPherson, *Chern classes for singular algebraic varieties*, Ann. of Math. 100, 423–432, (1974).
- [16] Y. Matsui and K. Takeuchi. *Milnor fibers over singular toric varieties and nearby cycle sheaves*. Tohoku Math. J., 63(1):113–136, (2011).
- [17] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, Cambridge studies in advanced mathematics no. 8, Cambridge University Press, (1986).
- [18] M. Oka. *Non-degenerate complete intersection singularity*. Hermann, Paris, (1997).
- [19] M. J. Saia, *The integral closure of ideals and the Newton filtration*, J. Algebraic Geom. 5, 1–11 (1996).

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS - UFSCAR, BRAZIL  
Email address: amandaaraujo@estudante.ufscar.br

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DE SÃO CARLOS - UFSCAR, BRAZIL  
Email address: thaisdalbelo@ufscar.br

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICA, UNIVERSIDADE FEDERAL DO ESPÍRITO SANTO - UFES, BRAZIL  
Email address: thiago.silva@ufes.br