

GERSTEN CONJECTURE FOR K-THEORY ON HENSELIAN SCHEMES AND ϕ -MOTIVIC LOCALISATION

ANDREI E. DRUZHININ

ABSTRACT. A key triviality result for support extension maps for motivic \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopies of cellular motivic spaces S over a DVR spectrum B is proven. Combining with earlier known results on the K-theory Gersten complex and the K-theory motivic spectrum we achieve a proof of the Gersten Conjecture on essentially smooth local Henselian B -schemes. Additionally, we outline generalisations for Cousin complexes associated to motivic \mathbb{A}^1 - and \square -homotopies of cellular B -spectra.

The proof is based on two ingredients:

- (1) A new “motivic localisation” over B , called ϕ -*motivic*, giving rise to the ϕ -motivic homotopy category such that the triviality of the support extension maps and the acyclicity of Cousin complexes hold for all objects S , not necessarily cellular.
- (2) An interpretation of some classes in the motivic \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopies with support defined with respect to the Morel-Voevodsky motivic homotopy category of smooth B -schemes in terms of the construction of ϕ -motivic homotopy category mentioned in Point (1).

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Gersten Conjecture for K-theory	2
1.2. Proof for essentially smooth local Henselian schemes	3
1.3. Acknowledgement	5
1.4. Notation	6
2. ϕ -motivic localisation	7
2.1. Schemes category preparations	7
2.2. ∞ -category $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_B$	8
2.3. Types of morphisms in $\bar{\mathcal{A}}_B$.	10
2.4. ∞ -category \mathcal{O}_B	14
2.5. Localisations and endo-functors	15
2.6. $(\phi, \bar{\mathcal{A}}, \beta)$ -invariant objects	19
3. Presentation of morphisms in $H_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}^\bullet(\mathrm{Sm}_B)$.	19
3.1. Notation H_τ^K	19
3.2. Intersections of line bundle sections and blow-ups	20
3.3. Presentation	21
4. Injectivity Theorem	22
4.1. Lifting property and Injectivity	22
4.2. Acyclicity	24
5. Construction of the ϕ -motivic homotopy category	25
5.1. Sketch for Comparison Theorem	26
References	27

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14D99, 14F99.

Key words and phrases. K-theory, Gersten Conjecture, motivic homotopy over DVR.

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Gersten Conjecture for K-theory.

1.1.1. *Claim and Results.* The Gersten Conjecture [18], [39, Conjecture 5.10] claims the acyclicity of the complex

$$K_n(U) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{u \in U^{(0)}} K_n(u) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{u \in U^{(c)}} K_{n-c}(u) \rightarrow \cdots \quad (1.1)$$

for a local regular scheme U ^{1 2 3}, where K_* is the algebraic K-theory. The claim is proven

- by Gersten in [18], for DVR spectra U with finite residue fields,
- extended to finite fields algebraic extensions in [42] by Sherman,
- by Quillen in [38], for local schemes $U = \text{Spec}(O_{X,x})$, $X \in \text{Sm}_k$, over a field k ,
- extended to all local regular equi-characteristic schemes U in [34] by Panin.

Our article proves the claim

- for essentially smooth local Henselian B -schemes U over a DVR spectrum B .

Remark 1. We remark unpublished preprints by Mochizuki referring to the survey [30]. We do not have a consultation with specialists to comment the status and perspectives.

1.1.2. *Generalisations over k and obstructions over B .* The pioneer article [38] gave rise to a list of arguments that recover and generalise the result as the acyclicity of Cousin complexes associated with some classes of cohomology theories over base fields, which includes

- [5] by Bloch and Ogus concerned cohomology theories that got their names,
- [46] by Voevodsky on pretheories with transfers over perfect k ⁴,
- [31, 32] by Morel for $\text{SH}^{S^1}(k)$ -representable coh. th.⁵,
- [22] by Panin for coh. th. in sense of [35, Def. 2.1] for any k .

Summarising,

- || the \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance and Nisnevich excision of a cohomology theory A on Sm_k are enough for the acyclicity of the associated Cousin complex over any base field k .

Remark 2 (Stable Connectivity over B). The acyclicity of the Cousin complexes for all $\text{SH}(B)$ -representable theories on the schemes $U = X_x^h$, $X \in \text{Sm}_B$, would imply the statement of Connectivity Theorem for $\text{SH}(B)$ by the arguments of [32] for any noetherian separated base scheme B of finite Kull dimension. The latter claim got name Connectivity Conjecture and was disproven in [2] by Ayoub.

Remark 3 (Counter-example over B). The acyclicity fails for the cohomology theory represented by $\Sigma_{\mathbb{P}^1}^\infty(B - z)$ for any closed point z of positive codimension of any $B \in \text{Sch}$, [7]. So

- || the \mathbb{A}^1 -invariance and Nisnevich excision, and even $\text{SH}(B)$ -representability, are not enough for the acyclicity of the Cousin complex over B , whenever $\dim B > 0$,

and the proof for (1.1) should use other structures/properties on/of the K-theory.

1.1.3. *Results for “parts or modifications” of K-theory.* The acyclicity of (1.1) is proven for

- K-theory with finite coefficients $K_*(-, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ [19] by Gillet out of the characteristic, and [17] by Geisser and Levine at the characteristic,
- Milnor K-theory $K_*^M(-)$ [28] by Lüders and Morrow,

Remark 4 (Surjectivity Property). For any coh. th. A defined by

¹claimed after Gersten’s result, and formulated publically in such generality by Quillen.

²[27] by Kundu discusses generalisation of the initial morphism injectivity in (1.1) for valuation rings.

³The acyclicity holds for $n = 0$, $n = 1$ and for $n = 2$, [36] by Panin.

⁴extended later to K-transfers [26] and framed transfers [15], and extended to arbitrary base fields in [12]

⁵using Gabber’s Presentation Lemma [14, 23] for infinite k , proven later for finite fields in [21]

- + functors $(X, X - Z) \mapsto A^*(X, X - Z) =: A_Z^*(X)$ for $X \in \text{Sch}_B$, and
- + differentials $\partial: A^*(X - Z) \rightarrow A_Z^{*+1}(X)$,

and equipped additionally with

- + a module structure over the Milnor-Witt K-theory compatible with the differentials,
- + Gysin isomorphisms $A^*(x) \cong A_x^*(X)$, $x \in X$, compatible with the previous points,

the acyclicity of the Gersten complex for A on any DVR spectrum $U \in \text{Sch}_B$ can be deduced from the surjectivity of the restriction homomorphism

$$A^*(U) \twoheadrightarrow A^*(x), \quad x \in U^{(1)}, \quad (1.2)$$

which holds (0) for U equipped with a retraction to x for any A , and (1) for any local U for

- $K_*^M(-)$ by lifting of the generators, and
- $K_n(-)$, $n \leq 2$, because of the isomorphism $K_n^M(x) \rightarrow K_n(x)$,

and (2) for Henselian local U for

- $K_*(-, \mathbb{Z}/n)$ by the Rigidity Theorem [13, 44] by Suslin and Gabber, and
- $K_*(-)$ for such U that the residue field at x being an algebraic extension of a finite field because of the computation of K-theory of finite fields [40] by Quillen,

and it would follow for

- $K_3(-)$ for any Henselian local U from the Rigidity Conjecture [29, Conjecture 11.7].⁶

Nevertheless,

- it is unknown do the surjectivity (1.2) hold for higher groups $K_*(-, \mathbb{Q})$ for Henselian DVR spectra U of a characteristic $(0, p)$, $p > 0$, with an infinite residue field at x .

1.1.4. *Reduction to one-dimensional schemes.* The acyclicity of (1.1) for essentially smooth one-dimensional schemes U over \mathbb{Z} implies the acyclicity of (1.1)

- for all schemes of the form $U = X_x$ [20] by Gillet and Levine, and
- for all regular unramified local rings [43] by Skalit.

1.1.5. *Reduction to the injectivity claim.* [4] by Bloch provides a quasi-isomorphism of the complex (1.1) and the 3-term complex

$$K_n(U) \rightarrow K_n(U - U \times_B z) \rightarrow K_{n-1}(U \times_B z) \quad (1.3)$$

where $z \in B$ is the image of the closed point $x \in U$, for any essentially smooth local U scheme over a DVR.^{7 8} Consequently,

- the complex (1.1) is acyclic except the terms $K_n(U)$ and $\bigoplus_{x \in U^{(1)}} K_{n-1}(x)$,^{9 10} and
- the acyclicity of (1.1) reduces to the injectivity $K_*(U) \hookrightarrow K_*(U - U \times_B z)$.

1.2. **Proof for essentially smooth local Henselian schemes.** Constructing of Nisnevich squares and \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopies over netherian separated schemes B of finite Krull dimension allowed in [6, 11, 41] proving of the triviality of the support extension morphisms

$$A_W(U) \rightarrow A(U) \quad (1.4)$$

for any closed immersion $W \rightarrow U = X_x^h$ of positive relative codimension for all pointed functors $A: \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \text{Nis}}^\bullet(B) \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}^\bullet$. A novel instrument allows us to prove the claim for the closed fibre immersion

$$W = U \times_B z \rightarrow U = X_x^h, \quad (1.5)$$

where $z \in B$ is the image of $x \in X \in \text{Sm}_B$, for motivic homotopies of cellular motivic B -spaces.

⁶The latter two points are mentioned to the author by A. Ananyevsky.

⁷Generalised by [43] by Skalit to geometrically regular U over DVR.

⁸Generalised by [7, 12] to $\text{SH}(B)$ -representable coh. th. for any $B \in \text{Sch}$, $\dim B < \infty$.

⁹The bounded acyclicity is proven also in [43] for geometrically regular Henselian U over $B \in \text{Sch}$.

¹⁰The acyclicity except the mentioned terms is proven also in [36] by Panin for semi-local schemes U of smooth schemes and coh. th. in sense of [35, Def. 2.1] over a DVR.

Theorem (Theorems 4.1, 4.3). *Let $B \in \text{Sch}$ be regular of Krull dimension one. Let U be an essentially smooth local henselian scheme over B . Let ρ denote a regular function on B that vanishing locus equals a closed point in B as well as its inverse image on U .*

For any non-negative integer n , the morphism of the pointed sets

$$E_\tau: \text{Map}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}^\bullet(B)}(U_+/(U - Z(\rho))_+ \wedge S^n, S) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \text{Nis}}^\bullet(B)}(U_+ \wedge S^n, S) \quad (1.6)$$

is trivial for any $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}^\bullet(B)$ generated by \mathbb{P}_B^l for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ via colimits and extensions, and $\tau = \text{Zar}$.

Though the result holds for the Nisnevich topology, which follows from Remark 23, for simplicity, in Sections 3 and 4.1, we present the proof for

$$\tau = \text{Zar}.$$

This is enough for the triviality of (1.4) for K-theory proven in Section 4.2, because by [1] presheaves of vector bundles on affine schemes are represented by Grassmanians in both $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \text{Zar}}^\bullet(B)$ and $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \text{Nis}}^\bullet(B)$. Then the acyclicity of the 3-term complex (1.3) follows. Since as mentioned above the complexes (1.1) and (1.3) are quasi-isomorphic [4], and also [7, 12, 43], (1.1) is acyclic.

The method for the triviality of (1.6) in Theorem 4.3 in our article is the lifting of the elements of

$$\text{Map}_{\text{SH}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}^{S^1}(B)}(U_+/(U - Z(\rho))_+ \wedge S^n, S)$$

along the closed immersion of open pairs

$$(U, U - Z(\rho)) \xrightarrow{\rho^{\text{Map}}} (\mathbb{A}_U^N, \mathbb{A}_U^N - Z(t_0))_{\rho^{\text{Map}}(U)}^h,$$

where $\rho^{\text{Map}}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^1$ is the morphism defined by ρ , Theorem 4.1, which reduces the claim on (1.6) to the mentioned above one on (1.4) for $\text{codim}_{U/B} W > 0$, cited as Theorem 4.2.

The proof of the latter lifting in Section 4.1, Theorem 4.1, uses two ingredients:

- (1) a new type of motivic equivalences called ϕ -motivic equivalences defined as morphisms in a certain ∞ -category denoted O_B in Section 2 based on [8, 9],
- (2) an interpretation of the morphisms $U/(U - Z(\rho)) \wedge S^l \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_B^{\wedge n}$ in $\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}^\bullet(B)$ in terms of the category of presheaves $\text{Spc}(O_B) = \text{Func}(O_B^{\text{op}}, \text{Spc})$ in Section 3 based on [10].

1.2.1. ϕ -motivic localisation and homotopy category. The localisation of the ∞ -category $\text{Spc}(O_B)$ with respect to the ϕ -motivic equivalences gives rise further to the ϕ -motivic homotopy category over B , Definition 5.4. The triviality like for (1.6) holds for any pointed presheaf defined on the ϕ -motivic homotopy category over B , Remark 23, and the acyclicity of the Cousin complexes hold for any ϕ -motivic presheaf of spectra.

Remark 5. To continue the discussion from Remark 3, we note that following further the arguments of [32] we can deduce Stable Connectivity and Strict Homotopy Invariance Theorems for the S^1 - and \mathbb{P}^1 -stable ϕ -motivic categories from the acyclicity of the Cousin complexes.

The role played by the category Sm_B in the Morel-Voevodsky construction is played by the ∞ -category O_B , which definition in Sections 2.2 to 2.4, starts with the category A_B of data

$$(\mathbf{X}, (\rho, (\partial, \varsigma, \beta))), \quad (1.7)$$

where $\mathbf{X} \in \text{Sch}_B$, ρ is some set of sections of line bundles, ∂, ς are ρ -monomials, and β is some set of sets of ρ -monomials. The interpretation is as follows:

- \mathbf{X} is the underlying scheme of a compactification,
- ∂ relates to the “infinitely remote subscheme” called boundary of the compactification,
- ς relates to the support of cohomologies,
- β define centres of some blow-ups on \mathbf{X} ,

- ρ are parameters on \mathbf{X} that control ∂ , ς and β .

Then we define O_B as certain full subcategory of the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Spc}^{\vec{A}_B}$ of copresheaves on the category of arrows $\vec{A}_B = (A_B)^{\Delta^1}$, Definition 2.43. The conditions on the objects

$$\vec{X} = (X \rightarrow \underline{X}) \in O_B, \quad X \rightarrow \underline{X} \in \mathrm{Spc}^{A_B}, \quad (1.8)$$

in sense of functor $O_B \hookrightarrow \mathrm{Spc}^{\vec{A}_B} \simeq (\mathrm{Spc}^{A_B})^{\Delta^1}$, imply the diagram in the ∞ -category of copresheaves on Sch_B

$$\prod_{\alpha} \mathbb{P}_B^{N_{\alpha}} \simeq \mathbf{P} \xleftarrow{b} \mathbf{B} \xleftarrow{e} \mathbf{E} \simeq \mathbf{X}$$

where

- b is a limit of blow-ups that centres are intersections of coordinate divisors and exceptional divisors,
- e is a limit of affine étale morphisms,

The ϕ -motivic homotopy category is the localisation $\mathrm{Spc}_{\tau, \downarrow, r, \phi, \bar{A}, Bl_{\rho}}(O_B)$ of the ∞ -category of presheaves $\mathrm{Spc}(O_B)$ with respect to

- τ -equivalences, where τ is the topology on A_B induced by a topology τ on Sch_B ,
- ς -equivalences equivalence X with $\mathrm{cofib}((\mathbf{X}, (\rho, (\partial \cdot \varsigma, 1, \beta))) \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}, (\rho, (\partial, 1, \beta))))$
- \downarrow -equivalences defined by the counit of the adjunction $\vec{A}_B \simeq (A_B)^{\Delta^1} \rightleftarrows A_B$,
- r -equivalences, which allow modifying ρ on \underline{X} in (1.8),
- Bl_{ρ} -equivalences, which are limit morphisms of certain blow-ups controlled by ρ , Section 2.5.1,
- \bar{A}^1 -equivalences, which are like \square -equivalences in [3, 24],
- ϕ -equivalences, which are the main ingredient for the proof of the lifting property of elements in motivic homotopy groups w.r.t. closed immersions of essentially smooth local henselian B -schemes.

Remark 6 (Illuminating $(\downarrow, r, \varsigma)$ -equivalences and the data ρ). The ϕ -motivic homotopy category can be constructed equivalently skipping the data ρ and ς and the arrows category \vec{A}_B in the definitions of A_B and O_B , Equation (5.2). Nevertheless, all of this is useful for the proof of the triviality of support extension maps and acyclicity of Cousin complexes discussed in the article.

Remark 7 (Continuous presheaves without ϕ -invariance condition). There is a relation of the subcategory of continuous objects in the category of $(\tau, \downarrow, r, \bar{A}, Bl_{\rho})$ -invariant objects, i.e. without ϕ -invariance condition, to the \square -homotopy motivic categories from [3, 24]. A difference of the localisation procedures, nevertheless, is the type of blow-ups. Resolution of singularities over B could help to illuminate this difference.

1.2.2. Interpretation of Morel-Voevodsky \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopies in the ∞ -category O_B . The Part (2) interprets elements of

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \mathrm{Zar}}^{\bullet}(B)}(U_+/(U - Z(\rho))_+ \wedge K, \mathbb{P}_B^{\wedge n}) \quad (1.9)$$

as morphisms in $\mathrm{Spc}_{Bl_{\rho}, r}(O_B)$ from the object in O_B defined by

$$(U, (\rho, 1, \rho)) \in A_B$$

to the motivic sphere \mathbb{P}_B^l in A_B . The construction starts with coding of the element in (1.9) by sets of sections of line bundles on the compactified geometric realisation $|K|_{\bar{A}} \times U$ of K over U . The latter sections are replaced by sections on certain blow-up of $|K|_{\bar{A}} \times U$ using Theorem 3.2 from Section 3.3 based on the study of blow-ups of schemes with relation to the data ρ , Definition 2.8 applied to blow-ups in the category O_B .

1.3. Acknowledgement. The author is thankful to I. Panin, who involved the author to the theme. The author is thankful to A. Kuznetsov for a set of series of consultations during the research. The author thanks also M. Temkin and A. Ananyevsky.

1.4. **Notation.**

- (1) $\text{codim}_X(Z)$ is the codimension of Z in X . $\text{codim}_X(\emptyset) = \infty$.
- (2) $\text{codim}_{U/B} W$ is the relative codimension of W in U over B .
- (3) $\text{Map}_C(-, -)$, $\text{Map}_C^{\text{Spc}}(-, -)$ and $\text{Map}_C^{\text{Spt}}(-, -)$ denote respectively the mapping set or the mapping space, or the mapping spectrum in a category or an ∞ -category C , or a stable ∞ -category C .
- (4) $S_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1 \simeq \Delta_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1 / \partial \Delta_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1$, $S_{\mathbb{A}^1}^l \simeq (S_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1)^{\wedge l}$
- (5) Let $\text{ShifColim}_C(-)$ denote the subcategory of C generated via shifts and colimits.
- (6) $\text{Subsets}(S)$ is the set of subsets of a set S .
- (7) Let X be a scheme.
 $X^{(c)}$ is the set of points on codimension c .
 X_x and X_x^h are the local and Henselian local schemes of a scheme X at $x \in X$.
Given set of sections of line bundles I on X , $Z(I) = Z_X(I)$ is the vanishing locus.
Given closed subscheme Z in X , $I(Z)$ is the sheaf of vanishing ideals.
Given divisor D on X , $I(D) = I_X(D)$ is the sheaf of ideals defined by D . Let
$$Z_X(D) := Z_X(I_X(D)).$$
- (8) $A \cdot B = \{a \cdot b \in \text{Mon} \mid a \in A, b \in B\} \in \text{Subsets}(\text{Mon})$ for some $A, B \in \text{Subsets}(\text{Mon})$.
- (9) 2^S is a set of subsets of a given set S .
- (10) $\text{Mon}(S) = S^{\text{Mon}}$ is the monoid of monomials of a given set S .
- (11) We use the identification of $\text{Mon}(2^S) = (2^S)^{\text{Mon}}$ and the submonoid is the monoid of subsets of S^{Mon} generated by subsets of S , Notation 8.
- (12) A \cup -closed set is a set β such that for each $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \beta$, $\beta_1 \cup \beta_2 \in \beta$. β^\cup is the minimal \cup -closed subset containing given subset β .
- (13) Spc^\bullet denotes the ∞ -category of pointed spaces.
- (14) $S^C = \text{Func}(C, S)$, $S(C) = \text{Func}(C^{\text{op}}, S)$.
- (15) Sch and Sch_B are the categories of Noetherian separated schemes and B -schemes.
- (16) Spc , Spt are ∞ -categories of spaces and spectra.
- (17) $\text{Spc}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}(\text{Sch}_B)$ and $\text{Spt}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}(\text{Sch}_B)$ are the subcategories of \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant Nisnevich excisive presheaves of spaces and spectra on Sch_B , and similarly for Sm_B . $\text{Spt}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}(B) = \text{Spt}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}(\text{Sch}_B)$.
- (18) Given ∞ -category C , a presheaf $F \in \text{Spc}(\text{Spc}^C)$ is continuous, if for any $X \simeq \varprojlim_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ in C , the canonical morphism $F(X) \leftarrow \varprojlim_{\alpha} F(X_{\alpha})$ is an equivalence.
- (19) For any presheaf $F \in \text{Spc}(C)$ on an ∞ -category C , the same symbol F denotes the associated continuous presheaf on the ∞ -category of copresheaves C^{Spc} , Notation def:continuous.
- (20) Δ^\bullet denotes the category of finite linearly ordered sets with objects $\{0, \dots, n\} = [n] = \Delta^n$.
 $\Delta^{\bullet \leq l}$ is the subcategory spanned by Δ^n , $n \leq l$.
 $\Delta^{\times \bullet} = (\Delta^{\bullet \leq 1})^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}}$.
- (21) A cubical set S is a functor
$$S: (\Delta^{\times \bullet})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Set}.$$

(22) Let ρ be a subset in a monoid N , $\text{Mon}(\rho)$ denotes the submonoid generated by ρ .

(23) Sch is the category of noetherian separates schemes.

Sch_B is the category of B -schemes of such type.

Sm_B is the category of smooth B -schemes.

(24) Let $c: X \rightarrow Y$, $f: F \rightarrow G$ be morphisms of an ∞ -category. The morphism c satisfies LLP w.r.t. the morphism f or f satisfies RLP w.r.t. c if for any morphisms $X \rightarrow F$

and from $Y \rightarrow G$ such that the outer square in the diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & F \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & G \end{array}$$

the space of dashed arrows that fit into the commutative diagram is non empty.

- (25) Let $c: X \rightarrow Y$, $f: F \rightarrow G$ be morphisms of an ∞ -category. The morphism c satisfies uLLP w.r.t. the morphism f or f satisfies uRLP w.r.t. c if for any morphisms $X \rightarrow F$ and from $Y \rightarrow G$ such that the outer square in the diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \longrightarrow & F \\ \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ Y & \longrightarrow & G \end{array}$$

the space of dashed arrows that fit into the commutative diagram is contractible, i.e. the morphism

$$\mathrm{Map}(Y, F) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}(X, F) \times_{\mathrm{Map}(X, G)} \mathrm{Map}(Y, G).$$

- (26) Given a morphism of schemes $X \rightarrow X'$, and closed subscheme Z' in X' , $X_{Z'}^h := X_{Z' \times_{X'} X}^h$.
 (27) $\mathcal{S}_{\setminus \{0\}}(X) = \mathcal{S}(X) \setminus \{0\} = \mathcal{S}(X) - 0$.
 (28) Given $f: X \rightarrow X'$ in Sch , $f^*: (\mathcal{S} - \{0\})(X') \dashrightarrow (\mathcal{S} - \{0\})(X)$ is a partly defined map induced by $f^*: \mathcal{S}(X') \rightarrow \mathcal{S}(X)$ and immersion $\mathcal{S}_{\setminus \{0\}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$.

2. ϕ -MOTIVIC LOCALISATION

2.1. Schemes category preparations.

2.1.1. Line bundles and sections.

Definition 2.1. Define the morphism of presheaves on Sch

$$\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}; \quad (s, L) \mapsto L, \tag{2.1}$$

where \mathcal{L} denotes the presheaf of line bundles on Sch , and \mathcal{S} is the presheaf of line bundles sections, i.e.

$$\mathcal{S}(X) = \{(s \in L(X), L \in \mathcal{L}(X))\}.$$

Remark 8. The presheaf of sets $\mathcal{S}(X)$ has the monoid structure with respect to the tensor products of line bundles and products of sections.

Remark 9. Each submonoid of $\mathcal{S}(X)$ contains all invertible sections, i.e. elements (s, L) such that $Z_X(s) = \emptyset$, because the set $\mathcal{S}(X)$ is the set of isomorphism classes of the pairs (s, L) .

Definition 2.2. Given $X \in \mathrm{Sch}$, an element $s \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ is *irreducible* if the scheme $Z_X(s)$ is irreducible.

2.1.2. Subpresheaves of $\mathcal{S}^{\times l}$.

Definition 2.3. We use notation $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\times l}$ for powers of \mathcal{S} over \mathcal{L} w.r.t. the morphism (2.1), and

$$\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\times \mathbf{p}} := \prod_{l \in \mathfrak{L}} \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\times \mathfrak{S} \times_{\mathfrak{S}} \{l\}}$$

for a given morphism of sets $\mathbf{p}: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$.

Definition 2.4. The category sL^{div} is a full subcategory in $\text{Set}(\text{Sch})$ that objects are presheaves F of the form

$$F(X) = \{s \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\times \mathfrak{p}} \mid \cap_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\alpha}} Z(s_{\gamma}) \subset Z(\delta_{\alpha}), \alpha \in A\} \quad (2.2)$$

for a morphism of finite sets $\mathfrak{p}: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ and a set A with subsets $\Gamma_{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{S}$ and sections $\delta_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ for each $\alpha \in A$.

Definition 2.5. The category sL^{\emptyset} is a full subcategory in $\text{Set}(\text{Sch})$ that objects are presheaves F of the form

$$F(X) = \{s \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\times \mathfrak{p}} \mid \cap_{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\alpha}} Z(s_{\gamma}) \subset \emptyset, \alpha \in A\} \quad (2.3)$$

for a morphism of finite sets $\mathfrak{p}: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$ and a set A with subsets $\Gamma_{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{S}$ for each $\alpha \in A$.

2.1.3. *Retract closed immersions.*

Definition 2.6. An morphism $c: X \rightarrow \overline{X}$ in \mathcal{A}_B is a retract-closed immersion if it is a closed immersion and there is a morphism $\overline{X} \rightarrow X$ such that the composite

$$X \xrightarrow{c} \overline{X} \rightarrow X$$

equals id_X .

2.1.4. *Equational morphisms.*

Definition 2.7. A morphism $X \rightarrow Y$ in Sch is *equational* if it is isomorphic to the composite

$$X \simeq Z_{\mathbb{A}_Y^N}(V) - Z_{\mathbb{A}_Y^N}(C) \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_Y^N \rightarrow Y$$

for some sets of regular functions V and C on \mathbb{A}_Y^N .

2.2. ∞ -category $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$.

2.2.1. *Category A_B .*

Definition 2.8. An A -object over B is the data

$$X = (\mathbf{X}, (\rho, (\partial, \varsigma, \beta))), \quad (2.4)$$

where

- (o1) $\mathbf{X} \in \text{Sch}_B$,
- (o2) $\rho \in \text{Subsets}(\mathcal{S}(X) \setminus \{0\})$, Notation 28, such that for each finite subset $\rho^{\text{Subset}} \subset \rho$,
 - $\text{codim}_{\mathbf{X}}(Z(\rho^{\text{Subset}})) \geq \#\rho^{\text{Subset}}$,
 - connected components of the scheme $Z_X(\rho^{\text{Subset}})$ are irreducible and reduced, and moreover,
 - the scheme $Z_X(\rho^{\text{Subset}})$ is irreducible if $\#\rho^{\text{Subset}} = 1$, i.e. for each $\rho^{\text{el}} \in \rho$, the scheme $Z_X(\rho^{\text{el}})$ is irreducible,
- (o3) $\partial, \varsigma \in \rho^{\text{Mon}}$, where $\rho^{\text{Mon}} = \text{Mon}(\rho)$ is the submonoid of $\mathcal{S}(X)$ generated by ρ , Remark 8,
- (o4) β is a \cup -closed set of finite subsets of ρ^{Mon} , Notation 12, 11.

Notation 1. Denote by β^{Mon} the submonoid in the monoid of subsets of the monoid of monomials ρ^{Mon} generated by β .

Definition 2.9. An A -morphism over B

$$x: X = (\mathbf{X}, (\rho, (\partial, \varsigma, \beta))) \rightarrow \underline{X} = (\underline{\mathbf{X}}, (\underline{\rho}, (\underline{\partial}, \underline{\varsigma}, \underline{\beta}))) \quad (2.5)$$

is defined by

- (m1) a morphism of B -schemes

$$\mathbf{x}: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{X}} \quad (2.6)$$

such that

- (m2) $\rho^{\text{Mon}} \supset \mathbf{x}^*(\underline{\rho}^{\text{Mon}})$,
- (m3) $\partial \leq \mathbf{x}^*(\underline{\partial})$, $\varsigma \leq \mathbf{x}^*(\underline{\varsigma})$,

$$(m4) \quad \beta^{\text{Mon}} \supset \mathbf{x}^*(\underline{\beta}^{\text{Mon}}),$$

Remark 10. For any morphism (2.5) in A_B , the set of subschemes $Z_X(\rho)$ for all $\rho \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ contains the set of irreducible components of the subschemes $Z(\mathbf{x}^*(\underline{\rho}))$ for all $\underline{\rho} \in \mathcal{S}(\underline{X})$.

Definition 2.10. A_B is the category that objects and morphisms are A -objects and A -morphisms over B , Definitions 2.8 and 2.9, and the composition of morphisms of the form x (2.5) is induced by the composition of the respective morphisms \mathbf{x} (2.6) in Sch .

2.2.2. *Category \vec{A}_B .*

Definition 2.11. \vec{A}_B is the category of arrows of A_B , i.e. the morphisms of the category A_B , i.e.

$$\vec{A}_B := (A_B)^{\Delta^1}.$$

Notation 2. We use notation

$$\vec{X}: X = (X, (\rho, (\partial, \varsigma, \beta))) \rightarrow \underline{X} = (\underline{X}, (\underline{\rho}, (\underline{\partial}, \underline{\varsigma}, \underline{\beta}))) \quad (2.7)$$

for objects of \vec{A}_B .

Definition 2.12. Let \mathbf{a} be a class of morphisms in A_B closed with respect to base changes along arbitrary morphisms in A_B . We define the class of morphisms \mathbf{a} in \vec{A}_B as the class generated by the classes \mathbf{a}_r and \mathbf{a}^r via the composition. Here \mathbf{a}_r and \mathbf{a}^r denote the classes of morphisms in \vec{A}_B defined respectively by the commutative squares in A_B of the form

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \check{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}} & X \\ \downarrow & \mathbf{a}_r & \downarrow \\ \check{\underline{X}} & \xrightarrow[\mathbf{a}]{\simeq} & \underline{X} \end{array}, \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \check{X} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}} & X \\ \downarrow & \mathbf{a}^r & \downarrow \\ \check{\underline{X}} & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}} & \underline{X} \end{array}, \quad (2.8)$$

where the vertical arrows define the objects in \vec{A}_B and the horizontal ones define the morphisms,

- the left bottom arrow is an isomorphism, and the right square is a pullback, and
- all the horizontal arrows morphisms belong to \mathbf{a} .

2.2.3. *Monoidal structure.*

Definition 2.13. Given $X_1, X_2 \in A_B$,

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 \times X_2 &= (X_1, \rho_1, \partial_1, \varsigma_1, \beta_1) \times (X_2, \rho_2, \partial_2, \varsigma_2, \beta_2) := \\ & (X_1 \times X_2, \rho_1 \cup \rho_2, \partial_1 + \partial_2, \varsigma_1 + \varsigma_2, \beta_1 \cup \beta_2), \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho_\alpha, \partial_\alpha, \varsigma_\alpha, \beta_\alpha$, $\alpha = 1, 2$, at the right side stand for the inverse images along the projections $X_1 \times X_2 \rightarrow X_\alpha$.

2.2.4. *Functors to schemes.*

Definition 2.14. Sch_B^U is the functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sch}_B^U & : & O_B^c \rightarrow \text{Sch}_B \\ (x: X \rightarrow \underline{X}) & \mapsto & \underline{X}, \end{array} \quad (2.9)$$

where X , \underline{X} , and ∂ are from (2.5).

Notation 3. For any $F \in \text{Spc}(\text{Sch}_B)$, the same symbol denotes the inverse image of F along the functor (2.9).

Definition 2.15. Sch^V is the functor

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Sch}^V & : & O_B^c \rightarrow \text{Sch}_B \\ (x: X \rightarrow \underline{X}) & \mapsto & \underline{X} - Z(\partial), \end{array} \quad (2.10)$$

where X , \underline{X} , and ∂ are from (2.5).

Definition 2.16. Sch^Q is the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sch}^Q : \quad O_B^c &\rightarrow \text{Spc}(\text{Sch}_B) \\ (x: X \rightarrow \underline{X}) &\mapsto (\mathbf{X} - Z(\partial))/(\mathbf{X} - Z(\partial) - Z(\varsigma)), \end{aligned} \quad (2.11)$$

where X , \mathbf{X} , and ∂ are from (2.5).

The natural morphism in $\text{Spc}(\text{Sch}_B)$

$$(\mathbf{X} - Z(\partial)) \rightarrow (\mathbf{X} - Z(\partial))/(\mathbf{X} - Z(\partial) - Z(\varsigma))$$

defines the morphism of functors

$$\text{Sch}^V \rightarrow \text{Sch}^Q. \quad (2.12)$$

2.2.5. ∞ -category $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$.

Definition 2.17. The ∞ -category $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$ is the ∞ -category $(\vec{A}_B)^{\text{Spc}}$ of co-presheaves on the category of \vec{A}_B .

Notation 4. For any $F \in \text{Spc}(\text{Spc}^{\text{Sch}_B})$, the same symbol denotes both the inverse image along the functor

$$(\text{Sch}_B^U)^{\text{Spc}}: (A_B)^{\text{Spc}} \rightarrow (\text{Sch}_B)^{\text{Spc}}$$

induced by (2.9) and the inverse image along the composite with the functor

$$(\text{Sch}_B^U)^{\text{Spc}}: (\vec{A}_B)^{\text{Spc}} \rightarrow (A_B)^{\text{Spc}}$$

induced by the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{A}_B &\rightarrow A_B; \\ (x: X \rightarrow X') &\mapsto X. \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Remark 11. In view of the Grothendieck correspondence objects in $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$ are defined by diagrams in the category \vec{A}_B , which are diagrams in A_B of the form

$$D \times \Delta^1 \rightarrow A_B, \quad (2.14)$$

where D is an ∞ -category indexing the diagram in \vec{A}_B .

Definition 2.18. Given class of morphisms \mathbf{a} in \vec{A}_B , the same symbol \mathbf{a} denotes the class of morphisms in $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$ that are defined by term-wise \mathbf{a} -morphisms of diagrams in \vec{A}_B according to Remark 11.

2.3. Types of morphisms in $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$.

2.3.1. Types of morphisms with respect to ρ .

Definition 2.19. A morphism $x: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$ given by (2.5) is called

- ν -morphism if $\mathbf{x}: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \underline{\mathbf{X}}$ induces a well defined map

$$\underline{\rho} \rightarrow \rho,$$

- η -morphism if

$$\partial = \mathbf{x}^*(\underline{\partial}), \quad \varsigma = \mathbf{x}^*(\underline{\varsigma}),$$

- μ -morphism if for any $\rho^{\text{el}} \in \rho$ there is $\underline{\rho}^{\text{el}} \in \underline{\rho}$ such that $Z_{\mathbf{X}}(\rho^{\text{el}}) \subset Z_{\mathbf{X}}(\mathbf{x}^*(\underline{\rho}^{\text{el}}))$, and $\partial = \mathbf{x}^*(\underline{\partial})$, $\varsigma = \mathbf{x}^*(\underline{\varsigma})$, $\beta = \mathbf{x}^*(\beta')$.
- π -morphism if there is a map $r: \rho \rightarrow \underline{\rho}$ such that

$$\mathbf{x}^*(r(\rho^{\text{el}})) = \rho^{\text{el}}, \quad \forall \rho^{\text{el}} \in \rho,$$

$$\underline{\partial} = r(\partial), \quad \underline{\varsigma} = r(\varsigma),$$

$$\underline{\beta}^{\text{Mon}} = \{r(\beta^{\text{el}}) | \beta^{\text{el}} \in \beta^{\text{Mon}}\},$$

- τ -morphism if it is a π -morphism such that $x: X \rightarrow \underline{X}$ induces a bijection

$$\underline{\rho} \xrightarrow{\sim} \rho.$$

Lemma 2.1. *For any $X \in A_B$ given by (2.4) and morphism $x: X' \rightarrow X$ in Sch_B , the set of μ -morphisms $x: X' \rightarrow X$ in A_B given by (2.5) that image along the functor (2.10) equals x is either empty or consists of unique element.*

Proof. The inverse image along the morphism x uniquely defines the data $(\rho, \partial, \text{supp}, \beta)$. If the latter data satisfies conditions from Definition 2.8 it defines the unique morphism $x: X' \rightarrow X$, otherwise the set is empty. \square

Remark 12. Any π -morphism is a μ -morphism.

Remark 13. Any τ -morphism is a ν -morphism.

2.3.2. Closed immersions.

Definition 2.20. A morphism $c: X \rightarrow \bar{X}$ in A_B is a ρ -ci-closed immersion if

- the morphism $\text{Sch}_B^U(c)$ is a closed immersion in Sch ,
- there is a subset e of $\rho^{\text{Mon}}(\bar{X})$ such that

$$X = Z_{\bar{X}}(e).$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let $c: X \rightarrow \bar{X}$ given by (2.5) be a π - ρ -ci-closed immersion in A_B . Then*

$$\underline{\rho} = r(\rho) \amalg e,$$

where r and e are from Definitions 2.19 and 2.20.

Proof. Let $\underline{\rho}^{\text{el}} \in \underline{\rho}$, then $c^*(\underline{\rho}^{\text{el}}) \in \mathcal{S}(X)$ is either 0 or in ρ . Define

$$\underline{\rho}^{\text{el}'} = \begin{cases} \emptyset, & c^*(\underline{\rho}^{\text{el}}) = 0 \in \mathcal{S}(X), \\ r(c^*(\underline{\rho}^{\text{el}})), & c^*(\underline{\rho}^{\text{el}}) \in \rho \end{cases}.$$

Since

$$\#((e \cup \underline{\rho}^{\text{el}'})) = \text{codim}_{\bar{X}}((e \cup \underline{\rho}^{\text{el}'})) = \text{codim}_{\bar{X}}(\{\underline{\rho}^{\text{el}}\} \cup (e \cup \underline{\rho}^{\text{el}'})) = \#(\{\underline{\rho}^{\text{el}}\} \cup (e \cup \underline{\rho}^{\text{el}'})),$$

$$\underline{\rho}^{\text{el}} \in (e \cup \underline{\rho}^{\text{el}'}).$$

\square

Remark 14. ρ -ci-closed immersions in \vec{A}_B and in \bar{A}_B are defined by Definition 2.21 and Definitions 2.12 and 2.18.

Definition 2.21. A morphism $c: X \rightarrow \bar{X}$ in A_B is a *retract-closed immersion* if $\text{Sch}_B^U(c)$ from (2.9) is a retract-closed immersion in Sch , Definition 2.6.

Remark 15. Definition 2.21 and Definition 2.12. define *retract-closed immersions in \vec{A}_B* . Then Definition 2.18 defines *retract closed immersions in \bar{A}_B* .

2.3.3. Blow-ups.

Definition 2.22 (Blow-up in A_B). Given $X \in A_B$ (2.4) and a closed subscheme Z of the scheme X , a blow-up of X w.r.t. Z is an η -morphism

$$Bl_Z(X) \rightarrow X \tag{2.15}$$

that image along the functor (2.9) is isomorphic to the morphism $Bl_Z(X) \rightarrow X$ in Sch .

Definition 2.23 (Blow-up in \vec{A}_B). Given $x \in \vec{A}_B$ (2.5),

- (1) A blow-up of x w.r.t. a closed subscheme Z in X and
- (2) a blow-up of x w.r.t. a closed subscheme Z' in X'

are morphisms defined by the commutative squares in A_B

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Bl_Z(X) & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & (1) & \downarrow \\ \underline{X} & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\underline{X}}} & \underline{X}, \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} Bl_{X \times_{X'} Z'}(X) & \longrightarrow & X \\ \downarrow & (2) & \downarrow \\ Bl_{Z'}(\underline{X}) & \longrightarrow & \underline{X}, \end{array} \quad (2.16)$$

where the vertical arrows define objects in \vec{A}_B and the horizontal arrows define morphisms.

Definition 2.24 (ρ -blow-up). An *elementary ρ -blow-up-morphism* in A_B is a morphism equivalent to a bow-up morphism (2.15) for some $X \in A_B$ given by (2.4), and

$$Z = Z(\rho^{\text{Subset}}), \quad \rho^{\text{Subset}} \subset \rho.$$

A ρ -blow-up-morphism in A_B is a composite of a sequence of elementary ρ -blow-up-morphisms.

Definition 2.25. Given $X \in A_B$ (2.4), denote by ρ^F the set of subsets ρ^{subset} of ρ^{Mon} such that $Bl_{\rho^{\text{subset}}}(X) \rightarrow X$ is a ρ -blow-up.

Definition 2.26. A closed subscheme C of a scheme X is good w.r.t a closed subset Z of X , if either $C \subset Z$ or $\text{codim}_X(C \cap Z) \geq \text{codim}_X C + \text{codim}_X Z$.

A morphism of schemes $\tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ is a good blow-up w.r.t. a closed subset Z of X , if it is isomorphic to the blow-up $Bl_C(X) \rightarrow X$ for closed subscheme Z of X good w.r.t. C .

Definition 2.27 (β -blow-up). An *elementary β -blow-up-morphism* in A_B is a morphism equivalent to a bow-up morphism (2.15) for some $X \in A_B$ given by (2.4), and

$$Z = Z(\beta^{\text{el}}), \quad \beta^{\text{el}} \in \beta$$

that is a good blow-up w.r.t. the vanishing locus of each element of β , Definition 2.26, and a μ -morphism, Definition 2.19.

A β -blow-up-morphism in A_B is a composite of a sequence of elementary β -blow-up-morphisms.

Remark 16. ρ -blow-up-morphisms and β -blow-up-morphisms in \vec{A}_B and $\text{Spc}^{\vec{A}_B}$ are defined by Definitions 2.12 and 2.18 w.r.t Definition 2.24 and Definition 2.27.

Definition 2.28 (\mathcal{F} -object). An \mathcal{F} -object in A_B is an object X (2.4) such that $\beta \subset \rho^F$.

Lemma 2.3. A β -blow-up of an \mathcal{F} -object is an \mathcal{F} -object.

Proof. $bl^*(\beta^{\text{el}}) = bl_{\text{proper}}^*(\beta^{\text{el}}) \cdot e^p$ □

Lemma 2.4. Given $X \in A_B$, for any finite set of finite subsets ρ^{SC} in ρ^{Mon} and any set of finite subsets ρ^{SG} in ρ^{Mon} , there is a finite sequence of elementary ρ -blow-ups

$$X = X_0 \leftarrow \cdots = X_j \leftarrow Bl_{Z(\beta_j^{\text{el}})}(X_j) = X_{j+1} \leftarrow \cdots = X_l \quad (2.17)$$

such that each $Z(\beta_j^{\text{el}})$ is good w.r.t inverse images of $Z(\rho^G)$ for all $\rho^G \in \rho^{SG}$ and for each $\rho^C \in \rho^{SC}$, the blow-up $Bl_{Z(bl^*(\rho^C))}(X_l) \rightarrow X_l$ admits a section, where bl is the composite of (2.17).

Proof. The proof is by a cascade induction with respect to parameters

- (P1) $\#\rho^{SC}$,
- (P2) $(\sum_{\rho^C \in \rho^{SC}} \#Z(\rho^C), \sum_{\rho^C \in \rho^{SC}} \dim Z(\rho^C))$,
- (P3) $\sum_{\rho^C \in \rho^{SC}} \sum_{\rho^{\text{Mon-el}} \in \rho^C} \deg \rho^{\text{Mon-el}}$.

(P1 Base) Suppose $\#\rho^{SC} = 0$. Then the claim holds.

(P1 Step) Suppose the claim holds for any $\#\rho^{SC}$ less than the given one.

(P2 Base) Suppose $Z(\rho^C) = \emptyset$ for each $\rho^C \in \rho^{SC}$. Then the claim holds.

(P2 Step) Suppose the claim holds if (P2) is less than for the given ρ^{SC} .

(P3 Base) Suppose ρ^{SC} is a set of subsets of ρ . Let $\rho_f^C \in \rho^{SC}$.

By induction assumption on (P2) there is a sequences of elementary ρ -blow-ups that trivialise blow-ups with centres of the forms

$$Z(\rho_f^C \cup \rho^G), \quad \rho^C \in \rho^{SC}, \rho^G \in \rho^{SG},$$

and

$$Z(\rho_f^C \cup \rho^C), \quad \rho^C \in \rho^{SC}$$

that are not equal to $Z(\rho_f^C)$, and are good w.r.t. each element of ρ^{SC} and ρ^{SG} . Composing with the blow-up with respect to ρ_f^C reduces the claim to the claim for a smaller (P1).

(P3 Step) Suppose the claim would hold if (P3) would be less than the given one. Let $\rho_f^C \in \rho^{SC}$.

$$\rho^{SC} = (\rho_f^C, \hat{\rho}^{SC}),$$

and suppose

$$\rho_f^C = (\hat{\rho}_f^C, a \cdot b), \quad a, b \in \rho^{\text{Mon}} - \{1\}.$$

By the induction assumption on (P3) there is a sequence of elementary ρ -blow-ups bl that trivialises blow-up with the centre $Z(\hat{\rho}_f^C, a)$ and is good w.r.t. ρ^{SG} and $(\hat{\rho}_f^C, a)$. Then the claim reduces to the claim for the data

$$bl^*(\rho^{SC}, \rho^{SG}) = (bl^*(\rho_f^C), bl^*(\hat{\rho}^{SC})), bl^*(\rho^{SG}))$$

which is equivalent to the one with

$$bl^*(\rho_f^C) = (bl^*(\hat{\rho}_f^C), bl^*(a)bl^*(b))$$

substituted by

$$(bl_{\text{proper}}^*(\hat{\rho}_f^C), bl_{\text{proper}}^*(a)bl^*(b)), \quad (2.18)$$

because bl is good w.r.t. $(\hat{\rho}_f^C, a)$. The claim for (2.18) is equivalent to the one for

$$(bl_{\text{proper}}^*(\hat{\rho}_f^C), bl^*(b)), \quad (2.19)$$

because $Z(bl_{\text{proper}}^*(\hat{\rho}_f^C), bl_{\text{proper}}^*(a)) = \emptyset$, since b trivialises blow-up with the centre $Z(\hat{\rho}_f^C, a)$. The claim for (2.19) holds by the induction assumption on (P3). \square

Lemma 2.5. *Given $X \in A_B$, for any finite subset $\dot{\beta}$ in β let*

$$\beta^{\text{lim}} = \prod_{\dot{\beta}^{\text{el}} \in \dot{\beta} \cup} \dot{\beta}^{\text{el}} \quad (2.20)$$

there is a finite sequence of elementary β -blow-ups

$$X = X_0 \leftarrow \cdots = X_j \leftarrow Bl_{Z(\beta_j^{\text{el}})}(X_j) = X_{j+1} \leftarrow \cdots = X_l$$

such that the morphism $X_l \leftarrow Bl_{Z(\beta^{\text{el}})}(X) \times_X X_l$ admits a section.

Proof. Denote by β_n the set of subsets of ρ formed by unions of $\#\beta - n$ different elements in β

$$\beta_n = \{\bigcup \beta^{\text{Subset}} \mid \#\beta^{\text{Subset}} = \beta - n\}.$$

Let

$$\beta_n^{\text{el}} = \prod_{\dot{\beta}^{\text{el}} \in \beta_n} \dot{\beta}^{\text{el}}$$

Then inverse image of the ideal of β_n^{el} along the blow-up

$$Bl_{\beta_{n-1}^{\text{el}}}(X) \rightarrow X$$

equals the product of a product of exceptional divisors ideals and the product of the vanishing ideals of the inverse images of $Z_X(\beta^{\text{Subset}})$ for all β^{Subset} such that $\#\beta^{\text{Subset}} = \beta - n$ and the latter inverse images have empty intersection in $Bl_{\beta_{n-1}^{\text{el}}}(X)$. So the blow-up of $Bl_{\beta_{n-1}^{\text{el}}}(X)$ defined by the inverse image of β_n^{el} is a β -blow-up. \square

2.3.4. *Étale morphisms and infinitesimal neighbourhoods.*

Definition 2.29 (Étale in \vec{A}_B). A morphism e in A_B is called *étale* if $\mathrm{Sch}_B^U(e)$ is étale, where Sch_B^U is from (2.9). The class of étale morphisms is denoted Et .

Remark 17 (Étale in $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$). Then the classes Et called étale morphisms in \vec{A}_B and $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$ are defined by Definitions 2.12 and 2.18 w.r.t Definition 2.29.

Definition 2.30 (Infinitesimal étale neighbourhoods). The class of morphisms

$$\mathrm{InfEtNeigh} = \mathrm{uLLP}(\mathrm{Et}),$$

Notation 25, is called *infinitesimal étale neighbourhoods in $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$* .

Definition 2.31 (Étale in $\mathrm{Spc}(\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B)$). The class of *étale morphisms in $\mathrm{Spc}(\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B)$* is the class

$$\mathrm{uRLP}(\mathrm{InfEtNeigh}),$$

Notation 25.

2.3.5. *Étale-equational-morphisms.*

Definition 2.32 (EtEq-morphisms in A_B). A morphism in A_B is called *étale-equational* if the image along the functor $\mathrm{Sch}_B^U: A_B \rightarrow \mathrm{Sch}$ from (2.9) is equational and étale, Definition 2.7.

Definition 2.33 (EtEq $_\rho$ -morphisms in A_B). EtEq $_\rho$ -morphisms in A_B are étale-equational τ -morphisms, Definition 2.19.

Remark 18 (EtEq $_\rho$ -morphisms in $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$). The class of morphisms EtEq $_\rho$ in $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$ is defined by Definitions 2.12 and 2.18 with respect to Definition 2.33.

2.3.6. *Morphisms \mathcal{H} .*

Definition 2.34. \mathcal{H} denotes the class of morphisms in \vec{A}_B that is the image along the functor

$$A_B \rightarrow \vec{A}_B; X \mapsto \mathrm{id}_X,$$

of the class of ρ -ci-closed immersions in A_B of the form

$$c: X \rightarrow \bar{X}$$

such that $U(c)$ is a closed immersion of essentially smooth local henselian B -schemes.

2.4. ∞ -category O_B .

2.4.1. *Regularity.*

Definition 2.35. $A_{\mathrm{rs},B}$ is the subcategory of A_B spanned by objects of the form (2.4) such that strata of the filtration formed by schemes $Z(\rho^{\mathrm{Subset}})$ for all subsets $\rho^{\mathrm{Subset}} \subset \rho$ are regular.

Definition 2.36. $\vec{A}_{\mathrm{rs},B}$ and $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_{\mathrm{rs},B}$ are the subcategories of \vec{A}_B and $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$ formed by the objects defined by diagrams in A_B that belong to $A_{\mathrm{rs},B}$ of the respective type, Remark 11.

2.4.2. *\mathcal{P} -objects.*

Definition 2.37. An object $X \in A_B$ is a \mathcal{P} -object if there is an element in $\rho^{\mathrm{Mon}}(X)$ that is a section of a very ample line bundle on X .

Definition 2.38. \mathcal{P} -objects in \vec{A}_B and $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$ are such objects that are defined by diagrams of \mathcal{P} -objects in A_B of the respective type, Remark 11.

2.4.3. β -objects.

Definition 2.39. An object $X \in A_B$ is a β -object if for any $\beta^{\text{Subset}} \subset \beta$ there is a filtration

$$\emptyset = c_0 \subset \cdots \subset c_i \subset \cdots \subset c_{n-1} \subset \cup(\beta^{\text{Subset}})$$

where $n = \# \cup(\beta^{\text{Subset}})$, such that $\#c_i = i$ for each $i = 0, \dots, n-1$, and there are retractions

$$r_i: Z_X(c_{i-1}) \rightarrow Z_X(c_i), \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, n-1.$$

Definition 2.40. A β -object in \vec{A}_B is an object given by a diagram of β -objects in A_B , Remark 11.

2.4.4. \mathbb{P} -object.

Definition 2.41. A \mathbb{P} -morphism in A_B is a morphism $X \rightarrow \underline{X}$ that image along the functor Sch_B^U is isomorphic to

$$\mathbb{X} \simeq \prod_{\alpha \in A} (\mathbb{P}^{N_\alpha}) \times \underline{X} \xrightarrow{p} \underline{X}$$

for some set A and integers N_α , $\alpha \in A$, and ρ is the union of $p^*(\underline{\rho})$ and a subset

$$\rho_P \subset \bigcup_{\alpha \in A} \{t_{1,i}, \dots, t_{N_\alpha,i}, t_{\infty,i}\} \subset \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{X})$$

of the set of inverse images of the coordinate sections $t_{1,i}, \dots, t_{N_\alpha,i}, t_{\infty,i} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{P}^{N_\alpha})$ on the multiplicands \mathbb{P}^{N_α} .

Definition 2.42. A \mathbb{P} -object in \vec{A}_B is an object defined by a diagram, Remark 11, in subcategory of the comma-category $A_B/(B, (\emptyset, , \emptyset))$ that objects are \mathbb{P} -morphisms.

2.4.5. ∞ -category O_B .

Definition 2.43 (∞ -category O_B). The ∞ -category O_B is the full subcategory of \vec{A}_B formed by objects of the form \tilde{X} such that there is a diagram in $\vec{A}_{\text{rs},B}$, Definition 2.36,

$$X \xleftarrow{b} \text{Bl}_\rho(X) \xleftarrow{b} B \xleftarrow{e} E \simeq \tilde{X}$$

such that

- (O1) X is a \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{F} - β - \mathbb{P} -object in \vec{A}_B , Definitions 2.28, 2.38, 2.40 and 2.42.
- (O2) b is a composite of a finite set of elementary ρ -blow-up morphisms, b is a μ - ρ -blow-up morphism, Remark 16,
- (O3) e is an EtEq_ρ -morphism, Remark 18.

2.5. Localisations and endo-functors.

2.5.1. Bl_β -localisation and Bl_ρ -localisation. In this subsection, we define two types of localisations on $\text{Spc}(O_B)$ called Bl_β -localisation and Bl_ρ -localisation. The definitions and results for the first type, Bl_β , are written below. The definitions and results for Bl_ρ are the same where the role of the set β is played by the set of subsets of ρ .

Definition 2.44. An elementary β -blow-up-equivalence in \vec{A}_B is a β -blow-up-morphism given by (2.16) such that

$$Z \subset Z_X(\partial), \quad Z' \subset Z_{X'}(\partial').$$

Let Bl_β denote the classes of morphisms in \vec{A}_B and O_B generated by elementary β -blow-up-equivalences and called β -blow-up-equivalences. Let $\text{Spc}_{Bl_\beta}(O_B)$ denote the subcategory of Bl_β -invariant objects in $\text{Spc}(O_B)$. Let

$$L_{Bl_\beta}: \text{Spc}(O_B) \rightarrow \text{Spc}_{Bl_\beta}(O_B)$$

denote the localisation functor.

Definition 2.45. For any $X \in O_B$, $D_{\rho\text{-bl-eq}}(X)$ is the subcategory of the comma ∞ -category O_B/X formed by ρ -blow-up-equivalences, and $D_{\beta\text{-bl-eq}}(X)$ is the subcategory formed by ρ -blow-up-equivalences that are defined by elements of β^{Mon} .

Lemma 2.6. (1) The subcategory of the comma ∞ -category O_B/X formed by ρ -blow-up-equivalences is cofinal in $D_{\rho\text{-bl-eq}}(X)$. (2) The subcategory of the comma ∞ -category O_B/X formed by β -blow-up-equivalences is cofinal in $D_{\beta\text{-bl-eq}}(X)$.

Proof. The claim for β -blow-ups follows from Lemma 2.5. The claim for ρ -blow-ups follows from Lemma 2.4. \square

Lemma 2.7. For any $F \in \text{Spc}(O_B)$, and any $X \in O_B$, there is a natural equivalence

$$L_\beta(F)(X) \simeq \varinjlim_{(\tilde{X} \rightarrow X) \in D_{\beta\text{-bl-eq}}(X)} F(\tilde{X}).$$

Proof. Because of Lemma 2.6,

$$\varinjlim_{(\tilde{X} \rightarrow X) \in D_{\beta\text{-bl-eq}}(X)} F(\tilde{X}) \simeq \varinjlim_{(\tilde{X} \rightarrow X) \in D_{\beta\text{-bl-eq}}(X)} F(\tilde{X})$$

and for any β -blow-up-equivalence $b': \tilde{X}' \rightarrow X'$ in A_B and morphism $X \rightarrow X'$ there is a β -blow-up-equivalence $b: \tilde{X} \rightarrow X$ equipped with a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tilde{X} & \longrightarrow & \tilde{X}' \\ \downarrow b & & \downarrow b' \\ X & \longrightarrow & X'. \end{array}$$

Then it follows that the class of β -blow-up-equivalences in O_B satisfies similar property. Then the claim follows. \square

The functor

$$\lim \text{Bl}_\beta: A_B \rightarrow \text{Spc}^{A_B}$$

that takes X to

$$\lim \text{Bl}_\beta(X) = \lim D_{\beta\text{-bl-eq}}(X) \quad (2.21)$$

induces the functor

$$\lim \text{Bl}_\beta: \vec{A}_B \rightarrow \text{Spc}^{\vec{A}_B} \simeq \vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$$

and moreover, the functor $\lim \text{Bl}_\beta: \vec{\mathcal{A}}_B \rightarrow \vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$. The latter functor restricts to the functor

$$\lim \text{Bl}_\beta: O_B \rightarrow O_B. \quad (2.22)$$

Remark 19. By the construction the functor Bl_β from (2.22) preserves limits.

Lemma 2.8. The arrow $\lim \text{Bl}_\beta(X) \rightarrow X$ is the initial object of the ∞ -category of pro-objects of the ∞ -category $D_{\beta\text{-bl-eq}}(X)$ for any $X \in O_B$.

Proof. The claim holds for any $X \in \vec{A}_B$ by the construction of $\lim \text{Bl}_\beta$ from (2.21). Then it follows for any $X \in \vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$. \square

Lemma 2.9. For any continuous $F \in \text{Spc}(O_B)$, and any $X \in O_B$, there is a natural equivalence

$$L_\beta(F)(X) \simeq F(\lim \text{Bl}_\beta(X)).$$

Proof. The claim follows from Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8. \square

Lemma 2.10. For any $X \in O_B$ such that β is finite, $\lim \text{Bl}_\beta(X)$ is equivalent to $\text{Bl}_{\beta^{\text{lim}}}(X)$ from (2.20).

Proof. The claim follows because blow-up on $\text{Bl}_{\beta^{\text{lim}}}(X)$ defined by the inverse image of each element $\beta^{\text{el}} \in \beta$ is identity because (2.20) includes such multiplicant. \square

Remark 20. It follows from the construction that the functor $\lim \mathrm{Bl}_\beta$ from (2.22) preserves limits.

2.5.2. $(\bar{\mathbb{A}}, \mathrm{Bl}_\beta)$ -localisation.

Definition 2.46.

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^1 &:= ((\mathbb{P}_B^1, ((t_0, t_\infty), (t_\infty, 1, \{\{t_\infty\}\}))) \rightarrow (B, \emptyset, \cdot, \emptyset)) \in \vec{\mathcal{A}}_B, \\ \Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}, \mathrm{all}}^1 &:= \mathrm{id}_{(\mathbb{P}_B^1, ((t_0, t_\infty), (t_\infty, 1, \emptyset)))} \in \vec{\mathcal{A}}_B, \end{aligned}$$

where the morphism in the second row is the identity morphism.

Notation 5. $\Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^{\times l} := (\Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^1)^{\times l} = \prod_{\{1, \dots, l\}} (\Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^1)$, and $\Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^0 := (\Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^1)^{\times 0} = (\Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^1)^{\times 0}$.

Definition 2.47. $\Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^{\bullet \leq 1}, \Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^{\times \bullet} \in \mathcal{A}_B$ are the objects

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^{\bullet \leq 1} &:= \varprojlim \left(\Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^0 \rightrightarrows \Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^1 \right). \\ \Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^{\times \bullet} &:= \prod_{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} (\Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^{\bullet \leq 1}) \simeq \lim_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}} ((\Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^{\bullet \leq 1})^{\times l}). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 21. The image of $\Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^{\bullet \leq 1}, \Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^{\times \bullet}$ is $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$ is contained in O_B .

Definition 2.48. The ∞ -category $\mathrm{Spc}_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}, \beta}(O_B)$ is the subcategory in $\mathrm{Spc}(O_B)$ spanned by objects invariant with respect to morphisms of the form $X \leftarrow X \times \Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^1$, and β -blow-up-equivalences.

$$L_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}, \beta}: \mathrm{Spc}(O_B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spc}_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}, \beta}(O_B)$$

is the localisation functor. An $(\bar{\mathbb{A}}, \beta)$ -equivalence is a morphism that image along $L_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}, \beta}$ is an equivalence.

Lemma 2.11. For any $F \in \mathrm{Spc}(O_B)$ and all $X \in O_B$, there is a natural equivalence

$$(L_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}, \beta} F)(X) \simeq \varinjlim_{\Delta^{\times \bullet}} (L_\beta(F))(X \times \Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^{\times l}).$$

Proof. $\Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}, \mathrm{all}}^1$ and $\Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^1$ are intervals in $O_B[w_{\mathrm{Bl}_\beta}^{-1}]$, where w_{Bl_β} is the class of Bl_β -equivalences. \square

Corollary 2.12. For any continuous $F \in \mathrm{Spc}(O_B)$ and all $X \in O_B$, there is a natural equivalence

$$(L_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}, \beta} F)(X) \simeq F(\lim \mathrm{Bl}_\beta(X \times \Delta_{\bar{\mathbb{A}}}^{\times \bullet})).$$

2.5.3. Endo-functor $F \mapsto F^{\mathrm{Bl}_r}$.

Definition 2.49. Let χ denote the class of morphisms in \mathcal{A}_B that image along the functor $\mathrm{Sch}_B^U: \mathcal{A}_B \rightarrow \mathrm{Sch}$ from (2.9) is an isomorphism. Denote by χ^r the class of arrows in $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$ defined by Definition 2.12. Denote by the same symbol χ^r the class of arrows in $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$ defined by Definition 2.18. Denote by the same symbol χ the class of arrows in O_B that belong to the class χ in $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$.

Lemma 2.13. Let $X \in O_B$. For any χ^r -morphism

$$f: X^r \rightarrow X$$

in $\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B$ there is a χ^r -morphism

$$f': X^{r'} \rightarrow X$$

in O_B equipped with a χ^r -morphism $X^{r'} \rightarrow X^r$ that the composite with f is equivalent to f' .

Definition 2.50. For any $X \in O_B$ we consider the category $D(X)$ that objects are χ^r -morphisms of the form

$$X^r \rightarrow X$$

and morphisms given by commutative triangles of χ^r in O_B .

For any $F \in \text{Spc}(O_B)$, the assertion

$$F^{Bl}(X) := \varinjlim_{X^r \in D(X)} L_{Bl_\rho} F(X^r) \quad (2.23)$$

defines the object $F^{Bl_r} \in \text{Spc}(\vec{\mathcal{A}}_B)$. Moreover, the assertion (2.23) defines the endo-functor on $\text{Spc}(O_B)$ equipped with the natural transformation from the identity one

$$F \mapsto F^{Bl_r}, \quad F \rightarrow F^{Bl_r}.$$

2.5.4. *Endo-functor* $F \mapsto F^{|K|_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}, \text{all}}}$.

Definition 2.51. Let K be a pointed cubical set, Notation 21. Then $|K|_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}}$ is the image of K along the left Kan extension of the functor

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta^{\bullet \leq 1})^{\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} &\rightarrow \text{Spc}(O_B) \\ \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \Delta^{p_i} &\mapsto \prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}} \Delta_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}}^{p_i} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly for $|K|_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}, \text{all}}$ and $\Delta_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}, \text{all}}^{p_i}$.

For any cubical set K , there is the endo-functor $F \mapsto F^{|K|_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}, \text{all}}}$ on $\text{Spc}(O_B)$ such that there is a natural equivalence

$$(F^{|K|_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}, \text{all}}})(X) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Spc}^\bullet(O_B)}^{\text{Spc}}(X \wedge |K|_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}, \text{all}}, F) \quad (2.24)$$

2.5.5. *Properties of $L_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}, \beta}$: continuous presheaves and endo-functors.*

Lemma 2.14. *Let $F \in \text{Spc}(O_B)$ be continuous, then $L_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}, \beta}(F) \in \text{Spc}(O_B)$ is continuous, Item 18 and definition 2.48.*

Proof. Since F is continuous, by Lemma 2.9

$$(L_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}, \beta} F)(X) \simeq \varinjlim_{\Delta^l \in \Delta^{\times \bullet}} F(\lim \text{Bl}_\beta(X \times \Delta_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}}^{\times l}))$$

for all $X \in O_B$. By Remark 20 and since F is continuous,

$$F(\lim \text{Bl}_\beta(X \times \Delta_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}}^{\times l})) \simeq \varinjlim_{\alpha} F(\lim \text{Bl}_\beta(X_\alpha \times \Delta_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}}^{\times l})).$$

Then since colimits commute with colimits,

$$\varinjlim_{\Delta^l \in \Delta^{\times \bullet}} F(\lim \text{Bl}_\beta(X \times \Delta_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}}^{\times l})) \simeq \varinjlim_{\alpha} \varinjlim_{\Delta^l \in \Delta^{\times \bullet}} F(\lim \text{Bl}_\beta(X_\alpha \times \Delta_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}}^{\times \bullet})).$$

□

Lemma 2.15. *Let $F \in \text{Spc}(O_B)$ be continuous, then $F^{|K|_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}, \text{all}}} \in \text{Spc}(O_B)$ is continuous, Item 18 and definition 2.51.*

Proof. By (2.24) and since

$$(\varinjlim_{\alpha} X_\alpha) \times |K|_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}, \text{all}} \simeq \varinjlim_{\alpha} (X_\alpha \times |K|_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}}),$$

it follows that

$$F^{|K|_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}, \text{all}}}(\varinjlim_{\alpha} X_\alpha) \simeq \varinjlim_{\alpha} F^{|K|_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}, \text{all}}}(X_\alpha).$$

□

Lemma 2.16. *Let $F \in \text{Spc}(O_B)$. Then $L_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}, \beta}(F^{Bl_r}) \simeq L_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}, \beta}(F)^{Bl_r}$.*

Proof. It follows from Definition 2.46 that for any $X \in \vec{A}_B$, the subset

$$\rho(X \times \Delta_{\underline{A}}^{\times l}) \subset \mathcal{S}(X \times (\mathbb{P}^1)^{\times l})$$

equals the inverse image of $\rho(X)$ along the projection $X \times \Delta_{\underline{A}}^{\times l} \rightarrow X$, the natural morphism is an equivalence

$$(F^{\Delta_{\underline{A}}^{\times l}})^{Bl_r} \xrightarrow{\simeq} (F^{Bl_r})^{\Delta_{\underline{A}}^{\times l}}.$$

So the claim follows because $(-)^{Bl_r}$ preserve colimits in $\mathrm{Spc}(O_B)$. \square

Lemma 2.17. *Let $F \in \mathrm{Spc}(O_B)$. Then $L_{\underline{A},\beta}(F^{|K|_{\underline{A},\mathrm{all}}}) \simeq (L_{\underline{A},\beta}(F))^{|K|_{\underline{A},\mathrm{all}}}$.*

Proof. The claim follows because

$$(F^{|K|_{\underline{A},\mathrm{all}}})^{\Delta_{\underline{A}}^{\times l}} \simeq (F^{\Delta_{\underline{A}}^{\times l}})^{|K|_{\underline{A},\mathrm{all}}},$$

and $(-)^{|K|_{\underline{A},\mathrm{all}}}$ preserve colimits in $\mathrm{Spc}(O_B)$. \square

2.5.6. ϕ -equivalences.

Definition 2.52. A morphism ξ in O_B is an ϕ -equivalence if

- $\xi \in \mathrm{uLLP}(\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}, \mathcal{L} \rightarrow *)$, where $*$ is the terminal object of $\mathrm{Spc}(O_B)$ and $\mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}$ is from Definition 2.1, and
- ξ is an infinitesimal étale neighbourhood in \vec{A}_B , Definition 2.30.

2.6. $(\phi, \underline{A}, \beta)$ -invariant objects. We recollect some results and consequences on ϕ -invariant objects from [8, 9] used in the next section.

Theorem 2.1 ([9]). *For any $F \in sL^\emptyset$, $L_{\underline{A},\beta}(F)$ is ϕ -invariant.*

Theorem 2.2 ([9]). *Let F be continuous and $(\phi, \underline{A}, \beta)$ -invariant. Then $\pi_0(F)$ satisfies RLP w.r.t. \mathcal{H} -morphisms, Definition 2.34.*

Theorem 2.3 ([8]). *Let $F \in \mathrm{Spc}(O_B)$ be invariant w.r.t. $(\phi, \underline{A}, \beta)$ -equivalences. Then F^{Bl} is invariant w.r.t. $(\phi, \underline{A}, \beta)$ -equivalences.*

Theorem 2.4 ([8]). *Let $F \in \mathrm{Spc}(O_B)$ be invariant w.r.t. $(\phi, \underline{A}, \beta)$ -equivalences. Then $F^{|S^1|_{\underline{A}^1_{\mathrm{all}}}}$ is invariant w.r.t. $(\phi, \underline{A}, \beta)$ -equivalences. where $S^1 = \Delta^1 / \partial \Delta^1$.*

3. PRESENTATION OF MORPHISMS IN $H_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}^\bullet(\mathrm{Sm}_B)$.

Throughout the section $B \in \mathrm{Sch}$, and $\tau = \mathrm{Zar}$ or $\tau = \mathrm{Nis}$ is the Zariski or Nisnevich topology on Sch_B or Sm_B .

3.1. Notation H_τ^K . The fully faithful functor $\mathrm{Sm}_B \rightarrow \mathrm{Sch}_B$ induces the fully faithful functors

$$E^{\mathrm{Spc}}: \mathrm{Spc}(\mathrm{Sm}_B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spc}(\mathrm{Sch}_B), \quad E^{\mathrm{H}}: \mathrm{Spc}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}(\mathrm{Sm}_B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spc}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}(\mathrm{Sch}_B).$$

Definition-Notation 1. Given $S \in \mathrm{Spc}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}(\mathrm{Sch}_B)$ and pointed cubical set K ,

$$H_\tau^K(S): \mathrm{Spc}(\mathrm{Sch}_B) \rightarrow \mathrm{Spt}; W \mapsto \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Spc}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}(\mathrm{Sch}_B)}^{\mathrm{Spc}}(W \wedge K, S) \quad (3.1)$$

Notation 6. $H_\tau(S) := H_\tau^K(S)$ for $K = \Delta_+^0$. So $H_\tau(S)(W) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{Spc}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}(\mathrm{Sch}_B)}^{\mathrm{Spc}}(W, S)$.

Notation 7. We skip S or τ for $H_\tau^K(S)$ from (3.1), when the context defines any of them.

Notation 8. We use notation H^K for the presheaf on A_B and \vec{A}_B that is the inverse image along the functor $\vec{A}_B \rightarrow A_B \rightarrow \mathrm{Spc}(\mathrm{Sch}_B)$, and for the associated continuous presheaf on \vec{A}_B , and its restriction on O_B .

3.2. Intersections of line bundle sections and blow-ups.

Definition 3.1. The category sL^\subset is a full subcategory in $\text{Set}(O_B)$ that objects are presheaves of sets F on the ∞ -category O_B defined by presheaves on A_B of the form

$$F(X) = \{s \in \mathcal{S}_\mathcal{L}^{\times p} \mid \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\alpha} Z(s_\gamma) \subset Z(e_\alpha), \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma_\epsilon} Z(s_\gamma) = \emptyset\} \quad (3.2)$$

for a morphism of finite sets $\mathfrak{p}: \mathfrak{S} \rightarrow \mathfrak{L}$, a set A with subsets and elements

$$\Gamma_\alpha \subset \mathfrak{S}, \quad e_\alpha \in (s \cup \rho^{\text{Mon}})$$

for each $\alpha \in A$.

Theorem 3.1. *For any $F \in sL^\subset$, there is a morphism in $\text{Set}(A_B)$*

$$F' \rightarrow F$$

that is an equivalence on $A_{\text{rs},B}$ for some $F' \in \text{Set}(A_B)$ of the form

$$F' = (F'')_r^{\text{limBl}}, \quad F'' \in sL^{\text{div}},$$

Definition 2.4.

Proof. Let $X \in A_B$.

Let F be given by (3.2). Let $F' \in sL^{\text{div}}$ be the subpresheaf of $\mathcal{S}_\mathcal{L}^{\times p}$ defined by (2.2) with conditions like in (3.2) with the same α and γ_α as in (2.2) for F .

The element f is defined by a set of sections of line bundles s on \mathbf{X} . Then inverse images of s on the limit of blow-ups \tilde{X} of X with centres $Z(s_\alpha)$ define an element of F' . By [10] there is a finite sequence of morphisms

$$\tilde{X}_n \xrightarrow{b_n} X_n \xrightarrow{r_n} \dots \xrightarrow{b_l} X_l \xrightarrow{r_l} \tilde{X}_{l-1} \xrightarrow{b_{l-1}} \dots \xrightarrow{r_1} \tilde{X}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} X_0 = X$$

in A_B such that

- each b_l is a ρ -blow-up,
- each r_l is a π -morphism such that $\text{Sch}_B^U(r_n)$ is an isomorphism in Sch_B and
- the composite decomposes as

$$\tilde{X}_n \rightarrow \tilde{X} \rightarrow X.$$

Hence the inverse images of s defines an element in $F''(\tilde{X}_n)$. The latter element defines an element in $F'(X)$. \square

Lemma 3.1. *For any $F \in sL^{\text{div}}$, there is a morphism in $\text{Set}(A_B)$*

$$F' \rightarrow F$$

that induces an equivalence on $A_{\text{rs},B}$ for some $F' \in \text{Set}(A_B)$ such that $F' \in sL^\emptyset$, Definition 2.5.

Proof. Any element $f \in F(X)$ for any $X \in A_{\text{rs},B}$ is defined by the set of irreducible multiplicands of the sections defining the element f , and conversely, the set of irreducible multiplicands is defined by f . The latter sets of irreducible multiplicands form elements $f' \in F'_\alpha(X)$ for presheaves $F'_\alpha \in sL^\emptyset$ with the required morphism. \square

Corollary 3.2. *For any $F \in sL^\subset$, there is a morphism in $\text{Set}(A_B)$*

$$F' \rightarrow F$$

that is an equivalence on $A_{\text{rs},B}$ for some $F' \in \text{Set}(A_B)$ such that

$$F' = (F'')_r^{\text{limBl}}, \quad F'' \in sL^\emptyset,$$

Definition 2.5.

Proof. The claim follows by the combination of Theorem 3.1 and lemma 3.1. \square

3.3. Presentation.

Definition 3.2. Let $(\bigcup_n ((sL^\subset)^{(\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}^1)^{\times n\alpha}}))^{\text{Colim}}_{\text{Spc}(A_B)}$ denote the subcategory of presheaves generated by objects of the form

$$(F')^{(\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}^1)^{\times n\alpha}}, \quad F' \in sL^\subset$$

via colimits.

Definition 3.3. A $\overset{\circ}{\text{Zar}}$ -covering of $X \in A_B$ is a subset c in $\mathcal{S}(X)$ such that there is the equality $\bigcup_{s \in c} (\overset{\circ}{X} - Z(s)) = \overset{\circ}{X}$ of open subschemes in $\overset{\circ}{X} = \text{Sch}^V(X) \in \text{Sch}$.

Lemma 3.2. Any $\text{Sch}^{V*}(\text{Zar})$ -coverings of $X \in A_B$ admits a refinement by a $\overset{\circ}{\text{Zar}}$ -covering.

Definition 3.4. $\text{Cov}(X)$ is the diagram of $\overset{\circ}{\text{Zar}}$ -coverings of $X \in A_B$ with morphisms given by refinements. $\text{Cov}_l(X)$ is the set of $\overset{\circ}{\text{Zar}}$ -coverings with $\#c = l$, Definition 3.3.

Definition 3.5. We define the endo-functor L_{Zar} on $\text{Spt}(B)$ such that

$$L_{\text{Zar}}^{(1)}(F) \simeq \varinjlim_{e \in \text{Cov}(X)} \check{C}_e(F) \quad (3.3)$$

Let $\check{C}_e(F)$ denote $U \mapsto \varinjlim_l F(\tilde{X}^{\times l} \times_X U)$ and $\check{C}_e^{\mathbb{A}^1}(F)$ be the $\Delta_{\mathbb{A}^1}^\bullet$ -geometric realisation in $\text{Spc}(\text{Sch}_X)$. $\check{C}_{e,X}(F) = \check{C}_e(F)(X)$, $\check{C}_{e,X}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(F) = \check{C}_e^{\mathbb{A}^1}(F)(X)$.

Lemma 3.3. There is an equivalence

$$L_{\text{Zar}}^{(1),l}(F)(X) \simeq \varinjlim_l L_{\text{Zar}}^{(1),l}(F)(X)$$

where

$$L_{\text{Zar}}^{(1),l}(F)(X) = \coprod_{e \in \text{Cov}_l(X)} \check{C}_{e,X}(F).$$

Proof. The claim is provided by the definition of L_{Zar} (3.3), and because (1) the set $\text{Cov}(X)$ is the union of sets $\text{Cov}_l(X)$ (2) for each l , the subdiagram of $\text{Cov}(X)$ formed by $\text{Cov}_l(X)$ is discrete. \square

Lemma 3.4. There is a natural equivalence $L_{\text{Zar}}^{(1),l} L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(F)(X) \simeq \coprod_{e \in \text{Cov}_l(X)} \check{C}_{e,X}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(F)$.

Lemma 3.5. For any $F \in (sL^\subset)^{\text{Colim}}_{\text{Spc}(A_B)}$, $L_{\text{Zar}}^{(1)} L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(F)(X)$ is in $(\bigcup_n ((sL^\subset)^{(\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}^1)^{\times n\alpha}}))^{\text{Colim}}_{\text{Spc}(A_B)}$.

Proof. It from Lemma 3.4 and Lemma 3.3 that there are natural equivalences

$$L_{\text{Zar}}^{(1)} L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(F)(X) \simeq \varinjlim_l L_{\text{Zar}}^{(1),l} L_{\mathbb{A}^1}(F)(X) \simeq \varinjlim_l \coprod_{e \in \text{Cov}_l(X)} \check{C}_{e,X}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(F).$$

Then we note that $(X \mapsto \coprod_{e \in \text{Cov}_l(X)} \check{C}_{e,X}^{\mathbb{A}^1}(F)) \in sL^\subset$. \square

Lemma 3.6. For any $F \in (sL^\subset)^{\text{Colim}}_{\text{Spc}(A_B)}$, $L_{\text{Zar},\mathbb{A}^1}(X)$ is in $(\bigcup_n ((sL^\subset)^{(\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}^1)^{\times n\alpha}}))^{\text{Colim}}_{\text{Spc}(A_B)}$.

Proof. Since there is an equivalence

$$L_{\text{Zar},\mathbb{A}^1}(F) \simeq \varinjlim_p (L_{\text{Zar}}^{(1)} L_{\mathbb{A}^1})^{\circ p}(F),$$

and HS is in Hence the claim for the endo-functor $L_{\text{Zar},\mathbb{A}^1}$ reduces to the claim for the endo-functors $L_{\text{Zar}}^{(1)} L_{\mathbb{A}^1}$ provided by Lemma 3.5. \square

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\tau = \text{Zar}$. Let $S = \mathbb{P}_B^l / (\mathbb{P}_B^l - 0_B)$. Then there is a morphism in $\text{Spc}(A_B)$*

$$F \rightarrow \text{Sch}^{Q^*}(H),$$

that is an equivalence and such that F is in $(\bigcup_n ((sL^\subset)^{(\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}^1)^{\times n_\alpha}}))^{\text{Colim}}_{\text{Spc}(A_B)}$.

Proof. For any $X \in A_B$, $H(X) = L_{\text{Zar}, \mathbb{A}^1}(HS)((X - Z(\partial)) / (X - Z(\partial) - Z(\varsigma)))$, where $HS = \text{Map}(-, \mathbb{P}_B^l / (\mathbb{P}_B^l - 0_B))$, and $(X - Z(\partial)) / (X - Z(\partial) - Z(\varsigma)) = X \amalg_{X - Z(\partial)} (X - Z(\partial)) \times (\Delta_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1 / \Delta_{\mathbb{A}^1}^0)$. Since HS is in sL^\subset , $L_{\text{Zar}, \mathbb{A}^1}(HS)$ is in $(\bigcup_n ((sL^\subset)^{(\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}^1)^{\times n_\alpha}}))^{\text{Colim}}_{\text{Spc}(A_B)}$ by Lemma 3.6. Then H is in $(\bigcup_n ((sL^\subset)^{(\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}^1)^{\times n_\alpha}}))^{\text{Colim}}_{\text{Spc}(A_B)}$ because sections of line bundles on $(X - Z(\partial)) / (X - Z(\partial) - Z(\varsigma))$ are defined by sections of line bundles on $X \times \Delta_{\mathbb{A}^1}^1$. \square

Theorem 3.4. *Let $\tau = \text{Zar}$. Let $S = \mathbb{P}_B^l / (\mathbb{P}_B^l - 0_B)$, K be a pointed cubical set. Then there is a morphism in $\text{Spc}(A_B)$*

$$F \rightarrow \text{Sch}^{Q^*}(H(S)).$$

that is an equivalence on $A_{\text{rs}, B}$ and such that F is generated by objects of the form

$$((F')_r^{\text{limBl}})^{(\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}^1)^{\times n_\alpha}}, \quad F' \in sL^\emptyset$$

via colimits.

Proof. The claim follows from Theorem 3.3, Theorem 3.2. \square

Theorem 3.5. *Let $\tau = \text{Zar}$. Let $S = \mathbb{P}_B^l / (\mathbb{P}_B^l - 0_B)$, K be a pointed cubical set. Then there is a morphism in $\text{Spc}(A_B)$*

$$F \rightarrow \text{Sch}^{Q^*}(H^K(S)).$$

that is an equivalence on $A_{\text{rs}, B}$ and such that F is in $(\bigcup_n ((sL^\subset)^{(\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}^1)^{\times n_\alpha}}))^{\text{Colim}}_{\text{Spc}(A_B)}$, in other words F is generated by objects of the form

$$(((F')_r^{\text{limBl}})^{(\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}^1)^{\times n_\alpha}})^{|K|_{\mathbb{A}, \text{all}}}, \quad F' \in sL^\emptyset$$

via colimits.

Proof. The claim follows from Theorem 3.4, Equation (3.1), because the endo-functor $(-)^{|K|_{\mathbb{A}, \text{all}}}$ on $\text{Spc}(A_B)$ preserves colimits. \square

4. INJECTIVITY THEOREM

4.1. Lifting property and Injectivity.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\tau = \text{Zar}$, and $B \in \text{Sch}$ be a regular scheme of a finite Krull dimension. Let $S \in \text{Spc}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}(B)$ belong to the subcategory generated by \mathbb{P}_B^l for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ via colimits and extensions. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, and $H^{S^n} = H^{S^n}(S)$, Notation 7.*

Then

$$\text{Sch}^{Q^*}(H^{S^n}) \in \text{Spc}(O_B)$$

is $(\phi, \overline{\mathbb{A}^1}, Bl_\beta)$ -invariant, and the presheaf

$$\pi_0(\text{Sch}^{Q^*}(H^{S^n})) \in \text{Set}(O_B)$$

satisfies RLP w.r.t. \mathcal{H} -morphisms, Notation 24, Definition 2.34, and Definition 2.36.

Proof. By Theorems 3.2 and 3.5 there is an equivalence in $\text{Spc}(O_B)$

$$F' \rightarrow \text{Sch}^{Q^*}(H^{S^n}) \tag{4.1}$$

such that F is generated by objects of the form

$$F' = (((F'')_r^{\text{limBl}})^{(\Delta_{\mathbb{A}}^1)^{\times N}})^{|S^n|_{\mathbb{A}, \text{all}}}, \quad F'' \in \Sigma^\infty(sL^\emptyset)$$

via colimits and extensions. By Lemmas 2.16 and 2.17

$$L_{\underline{\mathbb{A}},\beta}(F') \simeq (((L_{\underline{\mathbb{A}},\beta}(F''))_{\text{r}})^{\lim \text{Bl}})^{(\Delta_{\underline{\mathbb{A}}}^1)^{\times N}}|^{S^n|_{\underline{\mathbb{A}},\text{all}}}. \quad (4.2)$$

By Theorem 2.1 $L_{\underline{\mathbb{A}},\beta}(F'')$ is ϕ -invariant. By Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.4 the right side presheaf of (4.2) is ϕ -invariant. Thus $L_{\underline{\mathbb{A}},\beta}(F')$ is $(\phi, \overline{\mathbb{A}}^1, Bl_\beta)$ -invariant. Then $\pi_0 L_{\underline{\mathbb{A}},\beta}(F')$ satisfies RLP w.r.t. \mathcal{H} -morphisms by Theorem 2.2. \square

Theorem 4.2 ([6, 11, 32, 41]). *Let $B \in \text{Sch}$ be a regular scheme of a finite Krull dimension. Let U be a local henselian essentially smooth scheme over B , and Z be a closed subscheme of positive relative codimension. Let $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \text{Nis}}^\bullet(B)$, and K be a pointed cubical set, Notation 21. Then the morphism of the pointed sets*

$$E_{\text{Nis}}: \text{Map}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \text{Nis}}^\bullet(B)}(U_+/(U - Z)_+ \wedge K, S) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \text{Nis}}^\bullet(B)}(U_+ \wedge K, S)$$

is trivial.

Theorem 4.3. *Let $B \in \text{Sch}$ be regular of Krull dimension one. Let U be an essentially smooth local henselian scheme over B . Let ρ denote a regular function on B that vanishing locus equals a closed point in B as well as its inverse image on U .*

For any non-negative integer n , the morphism of the pointed sets

$$E_\tau: \text{Map}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}^\bullet(B)}(U_+/(U - Z(\rho))_+ \wedge S^n, S) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \text{Nis}}^\bullet(B)}(U_+ \wedge S^n, S) \quad (4.3)$$

is trivial for any $S \in \mathbf{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \tau}^\bullet(B)$ such that $\pi_0 \text{Sch}^{Q^*}(H^{S^n}(S)) \in \text{Set}(O_B)$ satisfies RLP w.r.t. \mathcal{H} -morphisms, and $\tau = \text{Zar}$.

Proof. The object

$$\mathcal{U} = \text{id}_{(U, (\{\rho\}, (1, \rho, \emptyset)))} \in O_B$$

in $\vec{A}_{\text{rs}, B}$, Definition 2.36, goes by applying the natural transformation of the functors $\text{Sch}^V \rightarrow \text{Sch}^Q$ from (2.12) to the morphism

$$U/(U - Z) \rightarrow U \in \text{Spc}(\text{Sch}_B).$$

Then the morphism (4.3) is equivalent to the morphisms

$$E: \text{Sch}^{Q^*}(H^{S^n})(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \text{Sch}^{V^*}(H_{\text{Nis}}^{S^n})(\mathcal{U})$$

induced by the natural transformation $\text{Sch}^V \rightarrow \text{Sch}^Q$ and morphism $H^{S^n} \rightarrow H_{\text{Nis}}^{S^n}$ defined by (3.1).

Let $\rho^{\text{Map}}: U \rightarrow \mathbb{A}_U^N$ be a morphism such that $Z(\rho^{\text{Map}*}(t_0)) = Z(\rho)$. Let

$$\tilde{\mathcal{U}} = (\text{id}_{(\mathbb{A}_U^N, (\{t_0\}, (1, t_0, \emptyset)))})_{\rho^{\text{Map}}(U)}^h,$$

where $(-)^h$ stands for the Henselisation defined as the limit of étale neighbourhoods. The morphism

$$\tilde{c}: \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{U}}$$

is in \mathcal{H} , Definition 2.34. By assumption $\pi_0 \text{Sch}^{Q^*}(H^{S^n})$ satisfies RLP w.r.t. \mathcal{H} . Then for any $e \in \text{Sch}^{Q^*}(H^{S^n})(\mathcal{U})$, there is a lift \tilde{e} of e , i.e. there an element

$$\tilde{e} \in \text{Sch}^{Q^*}(H^{S^n})(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}), \quad \tilde{c}^*(\tilde{e}) = e. \quad (4.4)$$

Since by Theorem 4.2, the morphism

$$\text{Sch}^{Q^*}(H_{\text{Nis}}^{S^n})(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \text{Sch}^{V^*}(H_{\text{Nis}}^{S^n})(\tilde{\mathcal{U}})$$

is trivial, for any \tilde{e} as above, there is the equality $\tilde{E}(\tilde{e}) = *$, where

$$\tilde{E}: \text{Sch}^{Q^*}(H^{S^n})(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}) \rightarrow \text{Sch}^{V^*}(H_{\text{Nis}}^{S^n})(\tilde{\mathcal{U}}).$$

Hence by (4.4) $E(e) = *$ for any $e \in \text{Sch}^{Q^*}(H^{S^n})(\mathcal{U})$. \square

4.2. Acyclicity. Theorem 4.3 and [7] imply the following consequence.

Corollary 4.4. *Let B be a DVR spectrum.*

Let $S \in \mathrm{SH}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \mathrm{Zar}}(\mathrm{Sm}_B)$. Suppose that

- (1) S satisfies descent with respect to Nisnevich squares.*
- (2) S belongs to the subcategory of $\mathrm{SH}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \mathrm{Zar}}(\mathrm{Sm}_B)$ generated by suspension spectra of $\mathbb{P}_B^l/(\mathbb{P}_B^l - 0_B)$ via shifts and colimits.*

Then for any essentially smooth local henselian U over B the Cousin complex

$$S^n(U) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{u \in U^{(0)}} S_u^n(U) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{u \in U^{(c)}} S_u^{c+n}(U) \rightarrow \cdots, \quad (4.5)$$

where

$$S_W^l(U) = \pi_0 \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{SH}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \mathrm{Nis}}(B)}(U/(U - W), L_{\mathbb{A}^1, \mathrm{Nis}}(S)[l]),$$

is acyclic on U .

Proof. By Theorem 4.3 the complex

$$S^n(U) \rightarrow S_{\eta \times_B U}^n(U) \rightarrow S_{z \times_B U}^{1+n}(U) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

where $z \in B$ is the image of the closed point of U , and $\eta \in B$ be the image of the generic point, is acyclic. The morphism from the Cousin complex (4.5) to the complex

$$S^n(U) \rightarrow S_{\eta \times_B U}^n(U) \rightarrow S_{z \times_B U}^{1+n}(U) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

to the Cousin complex is a quasi-isomorphism by [7] for $U = X_x^h$ for any $X \in \mathrm{Sm}_B$, $x \in X$. Consequently, the morphism is a quasi-isomorphism for any essentially smooth local Henselian U because the Cousin complex is compatible with affine transition morphisms of schemes. Hence the (4.5) is acyclic. \square

Further, using [1, 33, 45], we deduce the claim of the Gersten conjecture for K -theory for local henselian essentially smooth schemes U over a one-dimensional regular base scheme B .

Theorem 4.5. *Let B be a DVR spectrum. Let U be an essentially smooth local henselian scheme over B . The complex (1.1) is acyclic.*

Proof. The K -theory presheaf is isomorphic to the presheaf of homotopies of S^1 -spectra presheaf K on Sm_B associated by Secal's machine to the ∞ -commutative monoid formed by nerves of the groupoids of vector bundles $\mathrm{Vect} = \bigoplus_L \mathrm{Vect}_L$,

The presheaves $\mathrm{Vect}_L \in \mathrm{Spc}(\mathrm{Sm}_B)$ satisfy Nisnevich descent by [1, Proof of Th 5.2.3]. Hence $K \in \mathrm{Spc}(\mathrm{Sm}_B)$ satisfies Nisnevich descent. Since presheaves K_n are \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant on smooth affine B -schemes for all $n \geq 0$ by [39, Prop 4.1] and Poincaré Duality [39, Section 7.1], the presheaf K is \mathbb{A}^1 -invariant. Then it follows from [1, Th 5.1.3] that $L_{\mathbb{A}^1, \mathrm{Zar}}(K) \simeq L_{\mathbb{A}^1, \mathrm{Nis}}(K)$ in $\mathrm{Spt}(\mathrm{Sm}_B)$ and

$$K_n(X) \simeq \pi_n(L_{\mathbb{A}^1, \mathrm{Zar}}(K)) \simeq \pi_n(L_{\mathbb{A}^1, \mathrm{Nis}}(K)). \quad (4.6)$$

Because of Bott periodicity, [45, Th 6.8], and by (4.6), there is a \mathbb{P}^1 -spectrum

$$(L_{\mathbb{A}^1, \mathrm{Zar}}(K), L_{\mathbb{A}^1, \mathrm{Zar}}(K), \dots) \in \mathrm{Spc}(\mathrm{Sm}_B)[\mathbb{P}_B^{\wedge -1}] \quad (4.7)$$

that represents K -theory. Since by [33, Prop 3.13, 4.14]

$$K_n(X) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{H}_{\mathbb{A}^1, \mathrm{Nis}}}(X \wedge S^n, \mathrm{Gr}_{\infty, \infty} \times B),$$

the schemes $\mathrm{Gr}_{N, L, B}$ are cellular for $N, L \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, the spectrum (4.7) is generated by \mathbb{P}_B^l for $l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Then the claim follows for by Theorem 4.4 applied to (4.7). \square

5. CONSTRUCTION OF THE ϕ -MOTIVIC HOMOTOPY CATEGORY

In this section we present the definition of ϕ -motivic homotopy category, and outline its properties.

5.0.1. *Motivic category of “compactified” schemes.*

Definition 5.1. $\text{Sch}_B^{\text{comp}}$ is the category with objects

$$(\mathbf{X}, \partial),$$

where

- $\mathbf{X} \in \text{Sch}_B$, and $\partial \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X})$ invertible at each generic point of \mathbf{X} and admits locally a presentation as a product $\prod_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha}$ for some $\partial_{\alpha} \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbf{X})$ such that for any subset A' of A , the connected components of $\cap_{A' \subset A} Z(\partial_{\alpha})$ are irreducible and have codimension $\#(A')$ in \mathbf{X} ,

and morphisms

$$(\mathbf{X}, \partial) \rightarrow (\mathbf{X}', \partial')$$

defined by

- a morphism $f: \mathbf{X} \rightarrow \mathbf{X}'$ in Sch_B such that
- $\partial \leq f^*(\partial')$.

Definition 5.2. The $(\tau, (\mathbb{P}^1, \infty), Bl)$ -motivic homotopy category of compactified schemes over B is the ∞ -category

$$\text{Spc}_{\tau, (\mathbb{P}^1, \infty), Bl}^{\bullet}(\text{Sch}_B^{\text{comp}})$$

that is the subcategory of $\text{Spc}(\text{Sch}_B^{\text{comp}})$, Definition 5.1, spanned by $(\tau, (\mathbb{P}^1, \infty), Bl)$ -invariant objects. Here

- τ indicates local equivalences with respect to the inverse image of the topology τ on Sch_B along the functor from Definition 5.5;
- (\mathbb{P}^1, ∞) indicates invariance with respect to morphisms

$$(\mathbf{X}, \partial) \leftarrow (\mathbf{X}, \partial) \times (\mathbb{P}^1, \infty);$$

- Bl indicates the invariance with respect to the blow-ups of objects (X, ∂) that centers $C \subset X$ that have locally on X a presentation $C = Z(ec_0, \dots, ec_l)$ for some sections ec_{α} of \mathcal{S} such that $\text{codim}_X C = l + 1$, and $ec_0 \leq \partial$.

Remark 22. The argument of Theorem 4.3 applies to S in $\text{Spc}_{\text{Zar}, (\mathbb{P}^1, \infty), Bl}^{\bullet}(\text{Sch}^{\text{comp}})$ generated by $\mathbb{P}_B^{\wedge l}$ via shifts and colimits and any

$$(U, \partial), \quad Z \subset U,$$

so that U is local henselian and $(U, Z(\prod I(\partial) \cdot I(Z))) \in \text{Sch}_{\text{reg}}^{\text{comp}}$, where $I(\partial)$ and $I(Z)$ denote the sheaf of ideals defined by the divisor ∂ and the closed subscheme Z , and $\text{Sch}_{\text{reg}}^{\text{comp}}$ is the subcategory of objects $(\mathbf{X}, \partial) \in \text{Sch}^{\text{comp}}$ such that \mathbf{X} is regular, and $Z(\partial)$ is regular.

5.0.2. $(Bl_{\rho}, \overline{\mathbb{A}^1}, \phi, r, \tau)$ -motivic homotopy category.

Definition 5.3. We define the following classes of morphisms in the category A_B , and consequently, O_B :

- τ -local equivalences, where τ is the topology on A_B induced by a topology τ on Sch_B as the inverse image along the functor Sch_B^U ,
- \downarrow -equivalences defined by the counit of the adjunction $\vec{A}_B \simeq (A_B)^{\Delta^1} \rightleftarrows A_B$, i.e. morphisms $\vec{Y} \rightarrow x$ such that the induced morphism $Y \rightarrow X$ is an equivalence,
- r -equivalences are defined by morphisms x given by (2.5) in A_B such that $\text{Sch}_B^U(x)$ is an isomorphism and $\partial = \text{Sch}_B^U(x)^*(\partial')$,

and define the following class of morphisms in the ∞ -category $\text{Spc}(O_B)$:

- ς -equivalences are morphisms $\text{cofiber} \rightarrow X$, where $X \in A_B$ is given by (2.4), and $\text{cofibre} = \text{cofib}((X, (\rho, (\partial \cdot \varsigma, 1, \beta))) \rightarrow (X, (\rho, (\partial, 1, \beta))))$.

Definition 5.4. $(Bl_\rho, \overline{\mathbb{A}^1}, \phi, r, \downarrow, \tau)$ -motivic homotopy category defined as the subcategory

$$\text{Spc}_{\tau, \varsigma, \downarrow, r, \phi, \overline{\mathbb{A}}, Bl_\rho}(O_B^{\varsigma=1})$$

of $(\tau, \downarrow, r, \phi, \overline{\mathbb{A}^1}, Bl_\rho)$ -invariant objects in $\text{Spc}(O_B^{\varsigma=1})$.

Definition 5.5. Sch^{comp} is the functor

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Sch}^{\text{comp}} : O_B^c &\rightarrow \text{Sch}_B^{\text{comp}} \\ (x: X \rightarrow \underline{X}) &\mapsto (X, \partial). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 5.6. Q^{comp} is the functor

$$\begin{aligned} Q^{\text{comp}} : O_B^c &\rightarrow \text{Spc}(\text{Sch}_B^{\text{comp}}) \\ (X, (\rho, (\partial, \varsigma, \beta))) &\mapsto (X, \partial) / (X, \partial \cdot \varsigma). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

Remark 23. The claim of Theorem 4.3 holds for $(Bl_\rho, \overline{\mathbb{A}^1}, \phi, r, \text{Nis})$ -motivic homotopy category, Definition 5.4, in the sense that the fibre of the map

$$F((U, (\rho, 1, \rho))) \rightarrow F((U, (\rho, 1, 1)))$$

is trivial for any $F \in \text{Spc}_{\text{Nis}, r, \phi, \overline{\mathbb{A}}, Bl_\rho}(O_B)$.

5.1. Sketch for Comparison Theorem. The subcategory of continuous objects in

$$\text{Spc}_{\tau, \varsigma, \downarrow, r, \phi, \overline{\mathbb{A}}, Bl_\rho}(O_B)$$

is equivalent to the ∞ -category

$$\text{Spc}_{\tau, (\mathbb{P}^1, \infty), Bl}(\text{Sch}_B^{\text{tcomp}}).$$

Here $\text{Sch}_B^{\text{tcomp}}$ is the intersection $\text{Sch}_B^{\text{comp}}$ and the subcategory $\lim \text{Sch}_B^{\text{comp}}$ of $\text{Spc}^{\text{Sch}_B^{\text{comp}}}$ defined by analogous conditions as for O_B in Definition 2.43. We outline the proof.

Firstly, we introduce notation $O_B^{\varsigma=1}$ for the subcategory of O_B spanned by the objects defined by diagrams in A_B of objects of the form (2.4) such that $\varsigma = 1$ is the trivial element in $\mathcal{S}(X)$. For any $\tau \supset \text{Zar}$, the adunction

$$\begin{aligned} O_B^{\varsigma=1} &\rightleftarrows O_B \\ (X, (\rho, (\partial, 1, \beta))) &\leftarrow (X, (\rho, (\partial, 1, \beta))), \\ (X, (\rho, (\partial, 1, \beta))) &\leftarrow (X, (\rho, (\partial, \varsigma, \beta))). \end{aligned}$$

induces an equivalence

$$\text{Spc}_{\tau, \varsigma, \downarrow, r, \phi, \overline{\mathbb{A}}, Bl_\rho}(O_B) \simeq \text{Spc}_{\tau, \downarrow, r, \phi, \overline{\mathbb{A}}, Bl_\rho}(O_B^{\varsigma=1}).$$

Further, there is an equivalence

$$\text{Spc}_{\tau, \downarrow, r, \overline{\mathbb{A}}, Bl_\rho}(O_B^{\varsigma=1}) \simeq \text{Spc}_{\tau, (\mathbb{P}^1, \infty), Bl}(\lim \text{Sch}_B^{\text{comp}}) \quad (5.2)$$

of the localisations of the categories of presheaves on O_B and $\lim \text{Sch}_B^{\text{comp}}$ with respect to the equivalences of the types mentioned in the subscripts. Without providing the required definitions, we explain that the arrows category $\vec{A}_B = A_B^{\Delta^1}$ and the data ρ can be reduced in the construction of the left side because \downarrow -equivalences equalise A_B and \vec{A}_B , and because (\downarrow, r) -equivalences allow modifying ρ in arbitrary way.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Asok, M. Hoyois, and M. Wendt. Affine representability results in \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory. I: Vector bundles. English. In: *Duke Math. J.* 166.10 (2017), pp. 1923–1953.
- [2] J. Ayoub. Un contre-exemple à la conjecture de \mathbb{A}^1 -connexité de F. Morel. In: *Comptes Rendus Mathématique* 12 (June 2006), pp. 943–948.
- [3] F. Binda, D. Park, and P. A. Østvær. Logarithmic motivic homotopy theory. 2024. arXiv: 2303.02729 [math.AG].
- [4] S. Bloch. A note on Gersten’s conjecture in the mixed characteristic case. In: *Applications of algebraic K-theory to algebraic geometry and number theory, Part I, II (Boulder, Colo., 1983)*. Vol. 55. Contemp. Math. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986, pp. 75–78.
- [5] S. Bloch and A. Ogus. Gersten’s conjecture and the homology of schemes. English. In: *Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4)* 7 (1974), pp. 181–201.
- [6] N. Deshmukh, A. Hogadi, G. Kulkarni, and Y. Suraj. Gabber’s Presentation Lemma over noetherian domains. In: *Journal of Algebra* 569 (2021), pp. 169–179.
- [7] A. Druzhinin, H. Kolderup, and P. A. Østvær. Cousin complexes in motivic homotopy theory. 2024. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2402.10541>.
- [8] A. Druzhinin. $(\phi, \underline{\mathbb{A}}, Bl_\beta)$ -localisation and blow-ups and loops functors. In: (). in preparation.
- [9] A. Druzhinin. ϕ -invariance and lifting properties. In: (). in preparation.
- [10] A. Druzhinin. Blow-ups and ρ -filtrations. In: (). in preparation.
- [11] A. Druzhinin. Stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity over a base. English. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 792 (2022), pp. 61–91.
- [12] A. Druzhinin. Strict \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy invariance theorem with integral coefficients over fields. 2021. arXiv: 2108.01006.
- [13] O. Gabber. K -theory of Henselian local rings and Henselian pairs. English. In: *Algebraic K-theory, commutative algebra, and algebraic geometry. Proceedings of the US-Italy joint seminar, held June 18-24, 1989 at Santa Margherita Ligure, Italy, with support from the National Science Foundation and Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche*. Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society, 1992, pp. 59–70.
- [14] O. Gabber. Gersten’s conjecture for some complexes of vanishing cycles. In: *Manuscripta Mathematica* 85.1 (1994), pp. 323–343.
- [15] G. Garkusha and I. Panin. Homotopy invariant presheaves with framed transfers. In: *Camb. J. Math.* 8.1 (2020), pp. 1–94.
- [16] G. Garkusha and I. Panin. The triangulated category of K -motives $DK_-^{\text{eff}}(k)$. In: *J. K-Theory* 14.1 (2014), pp. 103–137.
- [17] T. Geisser and M. Levine. The K -theory of fields in characteristic p . English. In: *Invent. Math.* 139.3 (2000), pp. 459–493.
- [18] S. M. Gersten. Some exact sequences in the higher K -theory of rings. English. *Algebr. K-Theory I*, Proc. Conf. Battelle Inst. 1972, Lect. Notes Math. 341, 211–243 (1973). 1973.
- [19] H. Gillet. Gersten’s conjecture for the K -theory with torsion coefficients of a discrete valuation ring. English. In: *J. Algebra* 103 (1986), pp. 377–380.
- [20] H. Gillet and M. Levine. The relative form of Gersten’s conjecture over a discrete valuation ring: The smooth case. English. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 46 (1987), pp. 59–71.
- [21] A. Hogadi and G. Kulkarni. Gabber’s Presentation Lemma for finite fields. In: *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)* (2018).
- [22] I. A. Panin. Nice triples and moving lemmas for motivic spaces. In: *Izv. RAN. Ser. Mat.* 83 (4 2019), pp. 158–193.
- [23] R. T. H. Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and B. Kahn. The Bloch-Ogus-Gabber theorem. In: *Algebraic K-Theory (Toronto, ON, 1996)* 16 (1997), pp. 31–94.

- [24] B. Kahn, H. Miyazaki, S. Saito, and T. Yamazaki. Motives with modulus. III: The categories of motives. English. In: *Ann. K-Theory* 7.1 (2022), pp. 119–178.
- [25] Y. Kato. Non-unital algebraic K -theory and almost mathematics. Preprint, arXiv:2207.08378 [math.KT] (2022). 2022.
- [26] A. Knizel. Homotopy invariant presheaves with Kor-transfers. MSc Diploma, St. Petersburg State University. 2012.
- [27] A. Kundu. Gersten’s Injectivity for Smooth Algebras over Valuation Rings. Preprint, arXiv:2404.06655 [math.AG] (2024). 2024.
- [28] M. Lüdgers and M. Morrow. Milnor K -theory of p -adic rings. English. In: *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 796 (2023), pp. 69–116.
- [29] A. S. Merkur’ev and A. A. Suslin. The group K_3 for a field. Russian. In: *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat.* 54.3 (1990), pp. 522–545.
- [30] S. Mochizuki. A survey of Gersten’s conjecture. Preprint, arXiv:1608.08114 [math.KT] (2016). 2016.
- [31] F. Morel. \mathbb{A}^1 -algebraic topology over a field. English. Vol. 2052. Lect. Notes Math. Berlin: Springer, 2012.
- [32] F. Morel. The stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity theorems. In: *K-Theory* 35.1-2 (2005), pp. 1–68.
- [33] F. Morel and V. Voevodsky. \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory of schemes. In: *Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math.* 90 (1999), 45–143 (2001).
- [34] I. A. Panin. The equicharacteristic case of the Gersten conjecture. In: *Труды Математического института имени В. А. Стеклова* 241 (2003), pp. 169–178.
- [35] I. Panin. Oriented Cohomology Theories of Algebraic Varieties II. In: *Homology, Homotopy and Applications* 11.1 (2009), pp. 349–405.
- [36] I. Panin. Moving lemmas in mixed characteristic and applications. Preprint, arXiv:2202.00896 [math.KT] (2022). 2022.
- [37] D. Park. Triangulated categories of motives over fs log schemes. arXiv: 1707.09435.
- [38] D. Quillen. Higher algebraic K -theory. I. English. *Algebr. K-Theory I*, Proc. Conf. Battelle Inst. 1972, Lect. Notes Math. 341, 85–147 (1973). 1973.
- [39] D. Quillen. Higher algebraic K -theory. I. English. In: *Cohomology of groups and algebraic K-theory. Selected papers of the international summer school on cohomology of groups and algebraic K-theory, Hangzhou, China, July 1–3, 2007*. Somerville, MA: International Press; Beijing: Higher Education Press, 2010, pp. 413–478.
- [40] D. Quillen. On the cohomology and K -theory of the general linear groups over a finite field. English. In: *Ann. Math. (2)* 96 (1972), pp. 552–586.
- [41] J. Schmidt and F. Strunk. Stable \mathbb{A}^1 -connectivity over Dedekind schemes. In: *Annals of K-Theory* 3.2 (2018), pp. 331–367.
- [42] C. C. Sherman. Gersten’s conjecture for arithmetic surfaces. English. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 14 (1979), pp. 167–174.
- [43] C. Skalit. Regular Morphisms and Gersten’s Conjecture. Preprint, arXiv:1710.00303 [math.KT] (2017). 2017.
- [44] A. A. Suslin. On the K -theory of local fields. English. In: *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* 34 (1984), pp. 301–318.
- [45] V. Voevodsky. \mathbb{A}^1 -homotopy theory. English. In: *Doc. Math.* Extra Vol. (1998), pp. 579–604.
- [46] V. Voevodsky. Cohomological theory of presheaves with transfers. In: *Cycles, transfers, and motivic homology theories*. Vol. 143. Ann. of Math. Stud. Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, NJ, 2000, pp. 87–137.

ST. PETERSBURG STATE UNIVERSITY, 14TH LINE V.O., 29B, SAINT PETERSBURG 199178 RUSSIA., ST. PETERSBURG DEPARTMENT OF V.A. STEKLOV INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS OF THE RUSSIAN ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 191023, 27 FONTANKA, ST. PETERSBURG, RUSSIA.

Email address: andrei.druzh@gmail.com