

Global and local existence of solutions for a novel type of parabolic Kirchhoff system with singular term

Ahmed Aberqi^a, Abdesslam Ouaziz^b, Maria Alessandra Ragusa^{c,d,*}

^aLSATE, National School of Applied Sciences, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, BP 1796, 30000 Fez, Morocco.

^bLSATE, Faculty of Sciences Dhar EL Mahraz, Sidi Mohamed Ben Abdellah University, BP 1796, 30000 Fez, Morocco.

^cDipartimento di Matematica e Informatica, Università di Catania, Viale Andrea Doria, 6, 95125 Catania, Italy

^dFaculty of Fundamental Science, Industrial University of Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

Abstract

In this paper, we investigate solutions for a fractional system involving a novel class of Kirchhoff functions and logarithmic nonlinearity:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \mathcal{L}_p^s u = |v|^\sigma |u|^{\sigma-2} u \log |uv|, & \text{in } \mathcal{U} \times [0, T), \\ v_t + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \mathcal{L}_q^s v = |u|^\sigma |v|^{\sigma-2} v \log |uv|, & \text{in } \mathcal{U} \times [0, T), \\ u(x, t) = v(x, t) = 0, & \text{in } \partial\mathcal{U} \times [0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & \text{in } \mathcal{U}, \end{cases}$$

where \mathcal{K} is Kirchhoff function, and \mathcal{L}_p^s is the fractional p -Laplacian operator. We prove the existence of a weak solution using the Faedo-Galerkin method under suitable assumptions on the Kirchhoff function. We investigate the finite-time blow-up and global existence of solutions based on critical, subcritical, and supercritical initial energy levels. Subsequently, we establish the stabilization of the solution with positive initial energy by applying Komornik's integral inequality.

Keywords: Global existence, stabilization, fractional Sobolev space, fractional p -Laplacian operator, Potential well theory, parabolic system, logarithmic nonlinearity, blow-up.

Math. Subj. Classif. (2020): Primary 58J10, Secondary 58J20, 35J66

1. Introduction

In this work, we are concerned with study the local and global existence solution for parabolic system with Kirchhoff function and logarithmic nonlinearity. To be more precise, we study the following system:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \mathcal{L}_p^s u = |v|^\sigma |u|^{\sigma-2} u \log |uv|, & \text{in } \mathcal{U} \times [0, T), \\ v_t + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \mathcal{L}_q^s v = |u|^\sigma |v|^{\sigma-2} v \log |uv|, & \text{in } \mathcal{U} \times [0, T), \\ u(x, t) = v(x, t) = 0, & \text{in } \partial\mathcal{U} \times [0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & \text{in } \mathcal{U}, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ being a bounded domain with Lipschitz boundary $\partial\mathcal{U}$, $s \in (0, 1)$, p, q , and σ are three numbers positives verify some conditions that will be mentioned later. The main operator \mathcal{L}_p^s is the so-called the fractional p -Laplacian operator given by

$$\mathcal{L}_p^s u = 2 \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \int_{\mathcal{U} \setminus \mathcal{B}_\varepsilon(x)} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^{p-2} (u(x) - u(y))}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dy.$$

*Corresponding author

Email addresses: ahmed.aberqi@usmba.ac.ma (Ahmed Aberqi), abdesslam.ouaziz@usmba.ac.ma (Abdesslam Ouaziz), maragusa@dmf.unict.it (Maria Alessandra Ragusa)

Furthermore, we consider the continuous function $\mathcal{K} : [0, \infty) \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ which satisfy the following assumptions:

- (\mathcal{H}_1) The function \mathcal{K} is non- decreasing and continuous on $(0, \infty)$.
- (\mathcal{H}_2) For a given a constant $\beta \geq 0$, $z \mapsto \frac{\mathcal{K}(z)}{z^\beta}$ is decreasing on $(0, \infty)$.

Below, we present several examples of models that satisfy our assumptions.

Example 1.1. • $\mathcal{K}(t) = a + bt^c$, where $a, b, c > 0$.

- $\mathcal{K}(t) = \log(1 + t)$.

Furthermore, we suppose numbers p, q, β and σ satisfy the following inequality:

$$1 < p < q < \sigma < \sigma + 1 < p_s^* \text{ and } \max(2, p(\beta + 1), q(\beta + 1)) < \sigma < 2\sigma \leq \min \left\{ p \left(1 + \frac{2}{N} \right), q \left(1 + \frac{2}{N} \right), p_s^*, q_s^* \right\}, \quad (2)$$

with p_s^* and q_s^* are the criticals Sobolev exponent given by

$$p_s^* = \begin{cases} \frac{Np}{N-sp}, & \text{if } N > sp, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } N \leq sp, \end{cases}$$

and

$$q_s^* = \begin{cases} \frac{Nq}{N-sq}, & \text{if } N > sq, \\ +\infty, & \text{if } N \leq sq. \end{cases}$$

For the sake of simplicite, we note

$$[u]_p^s = \frac{1}{p} \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy, \text{ and } [v]_p^s = \frac{1}{q} \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy.$$

As of late, great attention has been paid on the study of equations involving double phase operator and variational problems with growth conditions with variable exponent. This kind of problem arises in numerous applications, such as, population dynamics, phase transition phenomena, continuum mechanics, typical outcome of stochastically stabilization of Lévy processes, image processing, electro-rheological fluids and thermo-rheological fluids [4, 5, 6, 11, 13, 35]. Kirchhoff in [21] was first introduced the following model of elastic string

$$\rho h u_{tt} + \delta u_t = \left(p_0 + \frac{Eh}{L} \mathcal{K}(u) \right) u_{xx} + f, \quad (3)$$

where $\mathcal{K}(u) = \int_0^L u_x^2 dx$, accounts for the effect of transversal oscillations. Additionally, Alembert in [19] developed the model (3), and he proposed the below equation for the free vibrations:

$$\varepsilon u_{tt}^\varepsilon + u_t^\varepsilon - \mathcal{K} \left(\int_{\mathcal{U}} |\nabla u^\varepsilon|^2 dx \right) \Delta u^\varepsilon = f(x, t). \quad (4)$$

Setting $\varepsilon = 0$ in equation (4) yields the Kirchhoff-type problem:

$$v_t - \mathcal{K} \left(\int_{\mathcal{U}} |\nabla v|^2 dy \right) \Delta v = f(y, t). \quad (5)$$

These models have attracted significant research interest in recent years, as they encompass various physical phenomena. Before highlighting the novelty of this work, we provide a review of the existing literature. In [28], the authors studied the p -Kirchhoff type models describing motion of a non-stationary fluid given by

$$u_t - \mathcal{K}(\|u\|_p^p) (-\Delta_p)(u) = |u|^{\alpha-2} u.$$

For the degenerate case, authors in [31], have proved the solvability of the fractional version

$$u_t - \mathcal{K}([u]_{s,p}^p) (-\Delta_p^s)(u) = |u|^{\alpha-2}u.$$

A third fascinating aspect of our problem is the presence of a logarithmic nonlinearity term. Indeed, considering the following parabolic equation:

$$u_t = \nabla u + |u|^{q-2} \log |u|, \quad u : \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad q, n \geq 2,$$

which it shows up in a lot of physical applications, such as theory of superfluidity, nuclear physics, diffusion phenomena, and transport. See [40] for more details. Due to these reasons, the following model

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (-\Delta)^s u = |u|^{r-2} u \log |u|, & \text{in } \mathcal{U} \times [0, T), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & \text{in } \partial\mathcal{U} \times [0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & \text{in } \mathcal{U}, \end{cases}$$

has been studied quite extensively; for example, the authors in previous papers [32, 33, 15, 30, 39] studied the asymptotic behavior, global existence, and finite blow up of solutions. In this context, many authors has been studied the blow-up and global existence of solutions to the following problem:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + (-\Delta) u = g(u), & \text{in } \mathcal{U} \times [0, T), \\ u(x, t) = 0, & \text{in } \partial\mathcal{U} \times [0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), & \text{in } \mathcal{U}, \end{cases}$$

where g is a function satisfies some conditions. See the references [3, 8, 34, 38, 27] for more details. Inspired by preVIOUS works, in this present paper, we study the effect of logarithmic nonlinearity term end a new class of Kirchhoff function that satsfies (\mathcal{H}_1) and (\mathcal{H}_2) . For this, we use potentiel well method what introduced by Sattinger and Payne [37, 34] and Galerkin's method, we show the following parabolic system:

$$\begin{cases} u_t + \mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \mathcal{L}_p^s u = |u|^\sigma |u|^{\sigma-2} u \log |uv|, & \text{in } \mathcal{U} \times [0, T), \\ v_t + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \mathcal{L}_q^s v = |u|^\sigma |v|^{\sigma-2} v \log |uv|, & \text{in } \mathcal{U} \times [0, T), \\ u(x, t) = v(x, t) = 0, & \text{in } \partial\mathcal{U} \times [0, T), \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x), v(x, 0) = v_0(x), & \text{in } \mathcal{U}, \end{cases}$$

has a weak solution. However, under some conditions on the intial data, we show the existence of global solution for system (1). An one of the main tools, Komormik's integral inequality. In addition, we show the global solution decays algebraically or exponentially depending on q, p, s, u_0 , and v_0 . Otherwise, we study blow-up phenomenna in subcritical initial energy case, and we give an upper bounded for the maximal existence time. The difficulty here is apparently the coupling term $\log(uv)$, in our problem, and the absence of logarithmic Sobolev inequality which seems not to exist logarithmic Sobolev inequality concerning the fractional p-Laplacian again.

The remainder of this paper is structured as follows. In Section 2, we give some preliminary results that will be used throughout the paper. In sections 3, 4, and 5, we will prove the main results.

2. Preliminaries

Fractional Sobolev spaces

In this subsection, we review key properties of fractional Sobolev spaces. For more details, see [9, 14]. Let $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^N$ be a bounded domain, and $\partial\mathcal{U}$ its boundary. For $s \in (0, 1)$, and $p \in [1; +\infty)$, we define Sobolev space fractional $W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})$ as follows:

$$W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) = \left\{ v \in L^p(\mathcal{U}) : \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|}{|x - y|^{\frac{n}{p} + s}} \in L^p(\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}) \right\},$$

i.e, an intermediary Banach space between $L^p(\mathcal{U})$ and $W^{1,p}(\mathcal{U})$, endowed with the natural norm

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})} = \left(\int_{\mathcal{U}} |\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x})|^p d\mathbf{x} + [\mathbf{v}]_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^p \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

where $[\mathbf{v}]_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}$ is the Gagliardo semi-norm given by

$$[\mathbf{v}]_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})} = \left(\iint_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{y})|^p}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{N+sp}} d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

and the Banach space

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{W_0^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{y})|^p}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{N+sp}} d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}},$$

endowed with the Gagliardo semi-norm:

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{W_0^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{y})|^p}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{N+sp}} d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Theorem 2.1. (See [14, 17]) For $1 \leq p < \infty$ and $0 < s < 1$ with $sp < N$. We have the embedding

$$W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathcal{U}) \text{ and } W_0^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathcal{U})$$

which are respectively continuous for $q \in [1, p_s^*)$, $q \in [1, p_s^*)$ and both compacts for $q \in [1, p_s^*)$.

$$W_0^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) := \{ \mathbf{v} \in W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N), \mathbf{v} = 0 \text{ a.e. in } \mathbb{R}^N \setminus \mathcal{U} \}$$

and the Banach norm in the space $W_0^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})$ is the Gagliardo semi-norm:

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{W_0^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})} := \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \int_{\mathbb{R}^N} \frac{|\mathbf{v}(\mathbf{x}) - \mathbf{v}(\mathbf{y})|^p}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|^{N+sp}} d\mathbf{x} d\mathbf{y} \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Theorem 2.2. (See [14]: Poincaré inequality) Let $\mathbf{v} \in W_0^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})$. Then, there exists a positive constant $c > 0$, such that

$$c^{-1} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)} \leq \|\mathbf{v}\|_{W_0^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})} \leq c \|\mathbf{v}\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathbb{R}^N)},$$

Lemma 2.1. (See [10]) If $1 < p_0 < p\mu < p_1 < \infty$. Then, we have

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_{p\mu} \leq \|\mathbf{v}\|_{p_0}^{1-\mu} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{p_1}^{\mu},$$

for any $\mathbf{v} \in L^{p_1}(\mathcal{U})$ with $\mu \in (0, 1)$ and $\frac{1}{\mu p} = \frac{1-\mu}{p_0} + \frac{\mu}{p_1}$.

Definition 2.1. (See [28]) (Maximal existence time)

The maximal existence time of a weak solution \mathbf{v} to the system (1) is defined as:

1. If \mathbf{v} exists for all $0 \leq t < +\infty$, then $T_{\max} = +\infty$.
2. If there exists a $t_0 \in (0, +\infty)$ such that \mathbf{v} exists for $0 \leq t < t_0$, but does not exist at $t = t_0$, then $T_{\max} = t_0$.

Inequalities algebrics

Lemma 2.2. (See [24]) Let K be a positive function $K(t) \in C^2([0, T], \mathbb{R})$ which satisfies

$$K''(t)K(t) - \alpha (K'(t))^2 \geq 0, \text{ for all } \alpha > 1, \text{ and } 0 < T \leq \infty.$$

If $K(0) > 0, K'(0) > 0$, then

$$T \leq \frac{K(0)}{(\alpha - 1)K'(0)} < \infty \text{ and } K(t) \rightarrow \infty \text{ as } t \rightarrow T.$$

Lemma 2.3. (See [29])

Let us consider the non-increasing function $R : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$. Suppose there exist constants $\eta \geq 0$ and $C > 0$ such that

$$\int_t^\infty R^{1+\eta}(\tau) d\tau \leq \frac{1}{C} R^\eta(0) R(t), \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0.$$

Then, R satisfies the following decay estimates:

- 1) **Exponential decay** ($\eta = 0$): If $\eta = 0$, then $R(t) \leq R(0)e^{1-Ct}$ for all $t \geq 0$.
- 2) **Polynomial decay** ($\eta > 0$): If $\eta > 0$, then $R(t) \leq R(0) \left(\frac{1+\eta}{1+\eta Ct} \right)^{1/\eta}$, for all $t \geq 0$.

3. Potential well theory

Lemma 3.1. For every $\eta > 0$, we get that

- (i) $\log(t) \leq \frac{t^\eta}{\eta \exp(1)}$, for all $t \in (1, \infty)$.
- (ii) $t^\eta |\log(t)| \leq \frac{1}{\eta \exp(1)}$, for all $t \in (0, 1]$.

Proof. Setting the function

$$g(t) = \left(\log(t) - \frac{t^\eta}{\eta \exp(1)} \right) \mathbf{1}_{(1, +\infty)},$$

where $\mathbf{1}_{(1, +\infty)}$ is an indicatrice function. It is evident that we prove that the function g achieves its maximum at $t_* = \exp\left(\frac{1}{\eta}\right)$. Thus, $g(t) \leq g(t_*)$, for all $t \geq 1$. Now, we prove (ii). Considering that $t \rightarrow t^\eta |\log(t)|$ is a continuous on $(0, 1]$ with $\lim_{t \rightarrow 0} t^\eta |\log(t)| = 0$, which achieves the maximum at $t_* = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{\eta}\right)$, we can easily conclude. This completes the proof. \square

Henceforward, Y denotes the Cartesian product of two fractional Sobolev spaces $W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})$ and $W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})$, that is $Y = W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \times W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})$ equipped with the norm $\|(u, v)\|_Y = \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})} + \|v\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}$.

3.1. Potential well

In this section, we introduce several key lemmas that play a crucial role in establishing our main results.

Lemma 3.2. Under assumptions $(\mathcal{H}_1) - (\mathcal{H}_2)$, the following assertions hold:

- (1) $\mu^\beta \mathcal{K}(t) \leq \mathcal{K}(\mu t)$, for all $0 \leq \mu \leq 1, z \geq 0$.
- (2) $\mathcal{K}(\mu z) \leq \mu^\beta \mathcal{K}(z)$, for all $\mu \geq 1, z \geq 0$.
- (3) $\frac{\mathcal{K}(\mu)}{\mu^\beta} \min\{\mu^\beta, z^\beta\} \leq \mathcal{K}(z) \leq \frac{\mathcal{K}(\mu)}{\mu^\beta} \max\{\mu^\beta, z^\beta\}$.
- (4) $\mathcal{K}(z) > 0$, for all $z > 0$.
- (5) $\frac{1}{\beta+1} z \mathcal{K}(z) \leq \widehat{\mathcal{K}}(\mu z) \leq t \mathcal{K}(z)$, for all $z \geq 0$.
- (6) $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}(\mu z) \geq \mu^{\beta+1} \widehat{\mathcal{K}}(z)$, for all $0 \leq \mu \leq 1, z \geq 0$.
- (7) $\widehat{\mathcal{K}}(\mu z) \leq \mu^{\beta+1} \widehat{\mathcal{K}}(z)$, for all $\mu \geq 1, z \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $\mu \in (0, 1)$ and $z > 0$. Applying the condition (\mathcal{H}_2) . Then, we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{K}(z)}{z^\beta} \leq \frac{\mathcal{K}(\mu z)}{(\mu z)^\beta}.$$

Clearly, we have (1). Identically, we establish (2). Combining (1) and (2) with condition (\mathcal{H}_1) , we obtain (3). From (3) and the fact that $\mathcal{K} \neq 0$, we get (4). Since \mathcal{K} is non-decreasing, it follows that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}}(z) = \int_0^z \mathcal{K}(t) dt \leq \int_0^z \mathcal{K}(z) dt = z \mathcal{K}(z).$$

Applying the condition (\mathcal{H}_2) , we get that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}}(z) = \int_0^z \frac{\mathcal{K}(t)}{t^\beta} t^\beta dt \geq \int_0^z \frac{\mathcal{K}(z)}{z^\beta} t^\beta dt = \frac{1}{\beta+1} z \mathcal{K}(z).$$

Let $\mu \in [0, 1]$, we get that

$$\widehat{\mathcal{K}}(\mu z) = \int_0^{\mu z} \mathcal{K}(t) dt = \int_0^z \mu \mathcal{K}(\mu t) dt \geq \mu^{\beta+1} \int_0^z \mathcal{K}(t) dt = \mu^{\beta+1} \widehat{\mathcal{K}}(z).$$

□

Let us define the energy functional $\varphi : W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and Nehari functional $\psi : W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by:

$$\varphi(u, v) := \frac{1}{p} \widehat{\mathcal{K}}([u]_p^s) + \frac{1}{q} \widehat{\mathcal{K}}([v]_q^s) + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma dx - \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma \log |uv| dx,$$

and

$$\psi(u, v) := \mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy - 2 \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^{\sigma+1} |v|^{\sigma+1} \log |uv| dx.$$

Lemma 3.3. *Let $(u, v) \in W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \times W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})$. Then, we have the following inequality:*

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma \log |uv| dx \leq \log[u]_{s,p} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u(x)|^\sigma dx + \log[v]_{s,q} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |v(x)|^\sigma dx + S \left([u]_{s,p}^{p_s^*} + [v]_{s,p}^{p_s^*} + [u]_{s,q}^{q_s^*} + [v]_{s,q}^{q_s^*} + [u]_{s,p}^\sigma + [v]_{s,q}^\sigma \right).$$

Proof. Let $(u, v) \in W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \times W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U}) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma \log |uv| dx &\leq \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma |\log |uv|| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{|u|^{2\sigma} + |v|^{2\sigma}}{2} (|\log |u|| + |\log |v||) dx \\ &\leq 2^{\sigma-2} \left(\int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^{2\sigma} |\log |u|| + \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^{2\sigma} |\log |v|| + \int_{\mathcal{U}} |v|^{2\sigma} |\log |u|| + \int_{\mathcal{U}} |v|^{2\sigma} |\log |v|| \right) dx \\ &= 2^{\sigma-2} (I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4). \end{aligned}$$

Now, we calculate the integrals I_i , for all $i \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$. Set $\mathcal{U}_1 := \{x \in \mathcal{U} : |u(x)| \leq [u]_{s,p}\}$ and $\mathcal{U}_2 := \{x \in \mathcal{U} : |u(x)| > [u]_{s,p}\}$. It is easy to see that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2$ and $\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2 = \emptyset$. So, we get that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma \left| \log \frac{u(x)}{[u]_{s,p}} \right| dx = \int_{\mathcal{U}_1} |u|^\sigma \left| \log \frac{u(x)}{[u]_{s,p}} \right| dx + \int_{\mathcal{U}_2} |u|^\sigma \left| \log \frac{u(x)}{[u]_{s,p}} \right| dx.$$

Using Lemma 3.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{U}_1} |u|^\sigma \left| \log \frac{u(x)}{[u]_{s,p}} \right| dx &= [u]_{s,p}^\sigma \int_{\mathcal{U}_1} \left| \frac{u(x)}{[u]_{s,p}} \right|^\sigma \left| \log \frac{u(x)}{[u]_{s,p}} \right| dx \\ &\leq \frac{2^{\sigma-2} [u]_{s,p}^\sigma}{\sigma \exp(1)} \end{aligned} \tag{6}$$

From Lemma 3.1 with $\eta = p_s^* - \sigma$ and fractional Sobolev embedding inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{U_2} |u|^\sigma \left| \log \frac{u(x)}{[u]_{s,p}} \right| dx &\leq \frac{2^\sigma}{\exp(p_s^* - \sigma) [u]_{s,p}^{p_s^* - \sigma}} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^{p_s^*} dx \\ &\leq \frac{2^\sigma}{\exp(p_s^* - \sigma) [u]_{s,p}^{p_s^* - \sigma}} S_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*} [u]_{s,p}^\sigma, \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

with $S_{p_s^*}$ is the best constant of embedding from $W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})$ to $L^{p_s^*}(\mathcal{U})$. From (6) and (7) follow that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma \left| \log \frac{u(x)}{[u]_{s,p}} \right| dx \leq \left(\frac{|\mathcal{U}|}{\sigma \exp(1)} + \frac{S_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*}}{\exp(p_s^* - \sigma)} \right) [u]_{s,p}^\sigma. \quad (8)$$

Using (8), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma \log |u(x)| dx &= \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma \left| \log \frac{|u(x)|}{[u]_{s,p}} \right| + \log [u]_{s,p} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma dx \\ &\leq \left(\frac{|\mathcal{U}|}{\sigma \exp(1)} + \frac{S_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*}}{\exp(p_s^* - \sigma)} \right) [u]_{s,p}^\sigma + \log [u]_{s,p} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma dx. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we prove that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} |v|^\sigma \log |v(x)| dx \leq \left(\frac{|\mathcal{U}|}{\sigma \exp(1)} + \frac{S_{q_s^*}^{q_s^*}}{\exp(q_s^* - \sigma)} \right) [v]_{s,q}^\sigma + \log [v]_{s,q} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |v|^\sigma dx$$

Now, we estimate I_3 . It is easy to show that $\log x \leq x - 1$, for all $x > 0$. remembering the numerical inequality

$$ab \leq \frac{a^2 + b^2}{2} \leq a^2 + b^2, \text{ for all } (a, b) \in \mathbb{R}^+ \times \mathbb{R}^+.$$

Using this inequality, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma \log |v(x)| dx &\leq \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u(x)|^\sigma (|v(x)| - 1) dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u(x)|^\sigma |v(x)| + \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u(x)|^\sigma dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|u(x)|^{2\sigma} + |v(x)|^2 + |u(x)|^\sigma) dx \\ &\leq C(\sigma, \mathcal{U}) \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|u(x)|^{p_s^*} + |v(x)|^{p_s^*} + |u(x)|^{p_s^*}) dx \\ &\leq C(\sigma, \mathcal{U}, p, s) S_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*} ([u]_{s,p}^{p_s^*} + [v]_{s,p}^{p_s^*}). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we prove that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} |v(x)|^\sigma \log |u(x)| dx \leq C(\sigma, \mathcal{U}, q, s) S_{q_s^*}^{q_s^*} ([u]_{s,q}^{q_s^*} + [v]_{s,q}^{q_s^*}). \quad (9)$$

Using (8)-(9), we deduce that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma \log |uv| dx \leq \log [u]_{s,p} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u(x)|^\sigma dx + \log [v]_{s,q} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |v(x)|^\sigma dx + S \left([u]_{s,p}^{p_s^*} + [v]_{s,p}^{p_s^*} + [u]_{s,q}^{q_s^*} + [v]_{s,q}^{q_s^*} + [u]_{s,p}^\sigma + [u]_{s,q}^\sigma \right),$$

$$\text{where } S := \max \left\{ C(\sigma, \mathcal{U}, q, s) S_{q_s^*}^{q_s^*}, C(\sigma, \mathcal{U}, p, s) S_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*}, \frac{|\mathcal{U}|}{\sigma \exp(1)} + \frac{S_{p_s^*}^{p_s^*}}{\exp(p_s^* - \sigma)}, \frac{|\mathcal{U}|}{\sigma \exp(1)} + \frac{S_{q_s^*}^{q_s^*}}{\exp(q_s^* - \sigma)} \right\}. \quad \square$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $(\mathcal{H}_1) - (\mathcal{H}_2)$ holds and $(u, v) \in W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \times W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U}) \setminus \{(0, 0)\}$. Then following results hold.*

- (1) *There exists a unique constant $\varepsilon_* > 0$ such that $\psi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v) > 0$ for all $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_*$, $\psi(\varepsilon_* u, \varepsilon_* v) = 0$, and $\psi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v) < 0$ for all $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_*$.*
- (2) *The function $\varepsilon \mapsto \varphi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v)$ strictly decreasing on (ε_*, ∞) , and it is strictly increasing on $(0, \varepsilon_*)$. Furthermore, we have $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v) = 0$, and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v) = -\infty$.*

Proof. For (1). From (2) in Lemma 3.2, we have, for all $\varepsilon > 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v) &= \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon^p |u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon^q |v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy - \varepsilon^{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |uv|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon^2 uv| dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon^{p(\beta+1)} \mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \varepsilon^{q(\beta+1)} \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy - \varepsilon^{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |uv|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon^2 uv| dx \\ &\leq \varepsilon^\eta \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] - \varepsilon^{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |uv|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon^2 uv| dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\eta := \max(p(\beta+1), q(\beta+1))$. Due to $\eta < 2(\sigma+1)$, we have that

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow \infty} \psi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v) = -\infty. \quad (10)$$

Using (i) in Lemma 3.2, for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v) &= \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon^p |u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon^q |v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy - \varepsilon^{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |uv|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon^2 uv| dx \\ &\geq \varepsilon^{p(\beta+1)} \mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \varepsilon^{q(\beta+1)} \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy - \varepsilon^{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |uv|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon^2 uv| dx \\ &\geq \varepsilon^\rho \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] - \varepsilon^{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |uv|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon^2 uv| dx, \end{aligned}$$

where $\rho := \min\{q(\beta+1), p(\beta+1)\}$, which yields that $\psi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v) > 0$, for all $0 < \varepsilon < 1$. Thanks to the intermediate value theorem and (10), there exists a $\varepsilon_* > 0$ such that $\psi(\varepsilon_* u, \varepsilon_* v) = 0$. For any $\varepsilon > \varepsilon_*$, we get that $\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*} > 1$. Using (2) in Lemma 3.2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v) &= \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon^p |u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon^q |v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy - \varepsilon^{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |uv|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon^2 uv| dx \\
&= \mathcal{K}\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^p \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^p |u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy\right) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^p \frac{\varepsilon_*^p |u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \\
&\quad + \mathcal{K}\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^q \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^q |v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy\right) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^q \frac{\varepsilon_*^q |v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\varepsilon_* u|^{\sigma+1} |\varepsilon_* v|^{\sigma+1} \log \left| \varepsilon_*^2 uv \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^2 \right| dx \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^{p(\beta+1)} \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon_\varepsilon u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^p |u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^{q(\beta+1)} \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon_* v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^q |v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\varepsilon_* u|^{\sigma+1} |\varepsilon_* v|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon_*^2 uv| dx \\
&\leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^\delta \left[\mathcal{K}([\varepsilon_\varepsilon u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^p |u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon_* v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^q |v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\varepsilon_* u|^{\sigma+1} |\varepsilon_* v|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon_*^2 uv| dx \\
&\leq \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^\delta - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^{2\sigma+2} \right] \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\varepsilon_* u|^{\sigma+1} |\varepsilon_* v|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon_*^2 uv| dx + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^\delta \psi(\varepsilon_* u, \varepsilon_* v),
\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta := \max\{p(\beta+1), q(\beta+1)\}$. Since $\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*} > 1$ and $\delta < 2(\sigma+1)$, we get that $\psi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v) < 0$. Similarly, for any $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_*$. Using (i) in Lemma 3.2, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\psi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v) &= \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon^p |u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon^q |v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy - \varepsilon^{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |uv|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon^2 uv| dx \\
&= \mathcal{K}\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^p \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^p |u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy\right) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^p \frac{\varepsilon_*^p |u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \\
&\quad + \mathcal{K}\left(\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^q \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^q |v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy\right) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^q \frac{\varepsilon_*^q |v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\varepsilon_* u|^{\sigma+1} |\varepsilon_* v|^{\sigma+1} \log \left| \varepsilon_*^2 v \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^2 \right| dx \\
&\geq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^{p(\beta+1)} \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon_\varepsilon u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^p |u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^{q(\beta+1)} \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon_* v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^q |v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\varepsilon_* u|^{\sigma+1} |\varepsilon_* v|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon_*^2 u| dx \\
&\geq \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^\mu \left[\mathcal{K}([\varepsilon_\varepsilon u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^p |u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon_* v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^q |v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] \\
&\quad - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^{2\sigma+2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\varepsilon_* u|^{\sigma+1} |\varepsilon_* v|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon_*^2 uv| dx \\
&\geq \left[\left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^\mu - \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^{2\sigma+2} \right] \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\varepsilon_* u|^{\sigma+1} |\varepsilon_* v|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon_*^2 v| dx + \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*}\right)^\mu \psi(\varepsilon_* u, \varepsilon_* v),
\end{aligned}$$

with $\mu := \min\{p(\beta + 1), q(\beta + 1)\}$. Since $\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon_*} < 1$ and $\mu < 2(\sigma + 1)$, we get that $\psi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v) > 0$. Now, we prove (2). According to the definition of φ , we get that

$$\varphi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v) = \frac{1}{p} \widehat{\mathcal{K}}([\varepsilon u]_p^s) + \frac{1}{q} \widehat{\mathcal{K}}([\varepsilon v]_q^s) + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\varepsilon u|^\sigma |\varepsilon v|^\sigma dx - \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\varepsilon u|^\sigma |\varepsilon v|^\sigma \log |\varepsilon u \varepsilon v| dx.$$

Then one can verify that

$$\frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \varphi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \psi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v). \quad (11)$$

Combining (11) with (1) in Lemma 3.4, we deduce that the map $\varepsilon \mapsto \varphi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v)$ attains its maximum at $\varepsilon = \varepsilon_*$, is strictly decreasing on (ε_*, ∞) , and is strictly increasing on $(0, \varepsilon_*)$. By using a similar discussion as (1) in Lemma 3.4, one can verify that $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \varphi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v) = 0$, and $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow +\infty} \varphi(\varepsilon u, \varepsilon v) = -\infty$. \square

Considering the following elliptic system:

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \mathcal{L}_p^s u = |v|^\sigma |u|^{\sigma-2} u \log |vu|, & \text{in } \mathcal{U}, \\ \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \mathcal{L}_q^s v = |u|^\sigma |v|^{\sigma-2} v \log |uv|, & \text{in } \mathcal{U}, \\ u(x) = v(x) = 0, & \text{in } \partial\mathcal{U}, \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

By Lemma 3.4, the problem (12) has a weak solution in $W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \times W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})$.

Corollary 3.1. *Let us define the Nehari manifold set*

$$\mathcal{N} := \{(u, v) \in W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \times W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U}) : \psi(u, v) = 0\} \neq \emptyset, \text{ and } d := \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}} \varphi(u, v).$$

The number d is called the depth of potential well.

In what follows, we prove an essential lemma for proving the strict positivity of depth of potential well.

Lemma 3.5. *If Kirchhoff's function satisfies the conditions $(\mathcal{H}_1) - (\mathcal{H}_2)$ and the numbers q, p, σ satisfy the condition (2). Then, we obtain the following results:*

- 1) $\varphi(u, v) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u, v) \leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma dx,$
- 2) $\varphi(u, v) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u, v) \geq \left(\frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right],$
- 3) *If $\psi(u, v) < 0 \Rightarrow \varphi(u, v) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u, v) > d_*$,*
- 4) *If $\psi(u, v) \geq 0 \Rightarrow \varepsilon_*^{-q(\beta+1)} - C'(\varepsilon_*, \sigma, d, u, v) \leq \varphi(u, v) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u, v)$ and*

$$\psi(u, v) \geq \left(1 - \varepsilon_*^{q(\beta+1)-2(\sigma+1)}\right) \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right].$$

Proof. According to φ and ψ 's definition, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u, v) &= \frac{1}{p} \widehat{\mathcal{K}}([u]_p^s) + \frac{1}{q} \widehat{\mathcal{K}}([v]_q^s) + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma dx - \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma \log |u| dx \\
&\leq \mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{p} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{q} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma \log |uv| dx \\
&\leq \frac{1}{p} \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma dx \quad (13) \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma \log |uv| dx \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] + \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u, v) \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma dx.
\end{aligned}$$

From (13), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u, v) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u, v) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Now, we prove (2). Using (5) in Lemma 3.2, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u, v) &= \frac{1}{p} \widehat{\mathcal{K}}([u]_p^s) + \frac{1}{q} \widehat{\mathcal{K}}([v]_q^s) + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma dx - \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma \log |u| dx \\
&\geq \widehat{\mathcal{K}}([u]_p^s) + \widehat{\mathcal{K}}([v]_q^s) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma \log |uv| dx \\
&\geq \frac{1}{\beta + 1} \mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{p} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \frac{1}{\beta + 1} \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{1}{q} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy - \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma \log |u| dx \\
&\geq \frac{1}{q(\beta + 1)} \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] - \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma \log |uv| dx \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{q(\beta + 1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] + \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u, v). \quad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

From (14), we deduce that

$$\varphi(u, v) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u, v) \geq \left(\frac{1}{q(\beta + 1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right]$$

Suppose that $\psi(u, v) < 0$. Thanks to Lemma 3.4, there exists $\varepsilon_* \in (0, 1)$ such that $\psi(\varepsilon_* u, \varepsilon_* v) = 0$. From the definition of d and (1) and (2) in Lemma 3.5, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
d &\leq \varphi(\varepsilon_* u, \varepsilon_* v) \\
&= \varphi(\varepsilon_* u, \varepsilon_* v) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(\varepsilon_* u, \varepsilon_* v) \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \left[\mathcal{K}([\varepsilon_* u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^p |u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon_* v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^q |v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\varepsilon_* u|^\sigma |\varepsilon_* v|^\sigma dx \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma dx \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \frac{\varphi(u, v) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u, v)}{\frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma}} + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma dx,
\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

which implies that

$$d_* := \frac{(d - C(\sigma, u, v, \mathcal{U})) \left(\frac{1}{q(\beta+1) - \frac{1}{\sigma}} \right)}{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\sigma}} \leq \varphi(u, v) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u, v),$$

with $C(\sigma, u, v, \mathcal{U}) = \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma dx$. Now, we prove (4). Assume that $\psi(u, v) \geq 0$. From Lemma 3.4, there exist $\varepsilon_* > 1$ such that $\psi(\varepsilon_* u, \varepsilon_* v) = 0$. So, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
d &\leq \varphi(\varepsilon_* u, \varepsilon_* v) = \varphi(\varepsilon_* u, \varepsilon_* v) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(\varepsilon_* u, \varepsilon_* v) \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \left[\mathcal{K}([\varepsilon_* u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^p |u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon_* v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^q |v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\varepsilon_* u|^\sigma |\varepsilon_* v|^\sigma dx \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \left[\varepsilon_*^{p(\beta+1)} \mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \varepsilon_*^{q(\beta+1)} \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] + \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\varepsilon_* u|^\sigma |\varepsilon_* v|^\sigma dx \\
&\leq \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \varepsilon_*^{q(\beta+1)} \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] + \frac{\varepsilon_*^{2\sigma}}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon_*^{q(\beta+1)} \left(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \frac{\varphi(u, v) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u, v)}{\frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma}} + \frac{\varepsilon_*^{2\sigma}}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma dx.
\end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

From (16), we deduce that

$$d_* \varepsilon_*^{-q(\beta+1)} - C'(\varepsilon_*, \sigma, d, u, v) \leq \varphi(u, v) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u, v),$$

where $C'(\varepsilon_*, \sigma, d, u, v) = \frac{\varepsilon_*^{2\sigma}}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^\sigma dx$. Finally, we prove the second inequality of (4). Due to $\varepsilon_* > 1$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \psi(\varepsilon_* u, \varepsilon_* v) \\
&= \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon_* u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^p |u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([\varepsilon_* v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{\varepsilon_*^q |v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy - 2 \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\varepsilon_* u|^{\sigma+1} |\varepsilon_* v|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon_*^2 uv| dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon_*^{p(\beta+1)} \mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \varepsilon_*^{q(\beta+1)} \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \\
&\quad - 2 \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\varepsilon_* u|^{\sigma+1} |\varepsilon_* v|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon_*^2 uv| dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon_*^{q(\beta+1)} \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] - 2 \int_{\mathcal{U}} |\varepsilon_* u|^{\sigma+1} |\varepsilon_* v|^{\sigma+1} \log |\varepsilon_*^2 uv| dx \\
&\leq \varepsilon_*^{q(\beta+1)} \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] - \varepsilon_*^{2(\sigma+1)} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^{\sigma+1} |v|^{\sigma+1} \log |uv| dx \\
&\leq \left(\varepsilon_*^{q(\beta+1)} - \varepsilon_*^{2(\sigma+1)} \right) \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] + \varepsilon_*^{2(\sigma+1)} \psi(u, v).
\end{aligned} \tag{17}$$

From (17), we deduce that

$$\psi(u, v) \geq \left(1 - \varepsilon_*^{q(\beta+1)-2(\sigma+1)} \right) \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right].$$

□

Theorem 3.1. *If Kirchhoff's function satisfies the conditions $(\mathcal{H}_1) - (\mathcal{H}_2)$ and the numbers q, p, σ satisfy the condition (2). Then, the potential well depth d is positive.*

Proof. We assume that $\mathcal{K}(1) > 0$. Using (1) and (3) in Lemma 3.2, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \\
&\geq \frac{1}{p^\beta} \mathcal{K} \left(\int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{q^\beta} \mathcal{K} \left(\int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \right) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \\
&\geq \frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{p^\beta} \min \left\{ \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^p, \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^{p(\beta+1)} \right\} + \frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{q^\beta} \min \left\{ \|v\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^q, \|v\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^{q(\beta+1)} \right\} \\
&\geq \frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{q^\beta} \min \left\{ \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^p + \|v\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^q, \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^{p(\beta+1)} + \|v\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^{q(\beta+1)} \right\} \\
&\geq \frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{q^\beta} \min \left\{ \|(u, v)\|_Y^q, \|(u, v)\|_Y^{q(\beta+1)} \right\}.
\end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Let $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}$. Using Lemma 3.3 and embedding compact theorem, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \\
&= 2 \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^{\sigma+1} |v|^{\sigma+1} \log |uv| dx \\
&\leq 2 \left[\log[u]_{s,p} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^{\sigma+1} dx + \log[v]_{s,q} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |v|^{\sigma+1} dx + S \left([u]_{s,p}^{p_s^*} + [v]_{s,p}^{p_s^*} + [u]_{s,q}^{q_s^*} + [v]_{s,q}^{q_s^*} + [u]_{s,p}^\sigma + [u]_{s,q}^\sigma \right) \right] \quad (19) \\
&\leq 2 \left[c_1(u, s, p, \mathcal{U}) \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^{\sigma+1} dx + c_2(v, s, q, \mathcal{U}) \int_{\mathcal{U}} |v|^{\sigma+1} dx + S_1' \right] \\
&\leq S_1'' \left(C_1 \|u\|_{L^{\sigma+1}(\mathcal{U})}^{\sigma+1} + C_2 \|v\|_{L^{\sigma+1}(\mathcal{U})}^{\sigma+1} + 1 \right) \\
&\leq S_1'' C_1' \| (u, v) \|_Y^{\sigma+1},
\end{aligned}$$

where $C_1' := \max(c_1, c_2)$, c_1 , and c_2 are embedding constant of $W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})$, $W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U}) \hookrightarrow L^{\sigma+1}(\mathcal{U})$. If $\|(u, v)\|_Y \leq 1$. Combining (18) with (19), we obtain that

$$\frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{q^\beta} \|(u, v)\|_Y^{\sigma+1} \leq S_1'' C_1' \|(u, v)\|_Y^{\sigma+1},$$

which yields that

$$\|(u, v)\|_Y \geq \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{q^\beta S_1'' C_1'} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma+1-q(\beta+1)}}.$$

Using the fact $\|(u, v)\|_Y > 0$ and $\sigma + 1 > q(\beta + 1)$. We get that, for any $(u, v) \in \mathcal{N}$

$$\|(u, v)\|_Y \geq \min \left\{ \left(\frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{q^\beta S_1'' C_1'} \right)^{\frac{1}{\sigma+1-q(\beta+1)}}, 1 \right\} := s \in (0, 1].$$

Thanks to Lemma 3.5, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\varphi(u, v) &= \varphi(u, v) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u, v) \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \left[\mathcal{K}([u]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x) - u(y)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x) - v(y)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] \quad (20) \\
&\geq \left(\frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) s^{q(\beta+1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the definition of d and (20), we have that

$$d = \inf_{(u,v) \in \mathcal{N}} \varphi(u, v) \geq \left(\frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) s^{q(\beta+1)} > 0.$$

□

Theorem 3.2. Assume that $(u_0, v_0) \in Y$. Under assumptions $(\mathcal{H}_1) - (\mathcal{H}_2)$. Then, there exists $T > 0$ such that the system (1) has a weak solution in Y . Moreover, there holds

$$\int_0^t \|u_s(s)\|_2^2 ds + \int_0^t \|v_s(s)\|_2^2 ds + \varphi(u(t), v(t)) \leq \varphi(u_0, v_0), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \quad (21)$$

Proof. Since Y is separable space and is dense in $L^2(\mathcal{U}) \times L^2(\mathcal{U})$, there exist a base $\overline{\mathcal{Z}}^{1-\|\cdot\|_Y} = Y$ and $(w_i, w_j) = (z_i, z_j) = \delta_{i,j}$, for all $j, i = 1, 2, \dots$ where $\delta_{i,j}$ denotes the Kronecker symbol. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we construct the approximation solutions $u_m(x, t) = u_m(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m g_{im}(t) w_i(x)$ and $v_m(x, t) = v_m(t) = \sum_{i=1}^m h_{im}(t) z_i(x)$ satisfying

$$\begin{cases} \int_{\mathcal{U}} u_m w_i dx + \langle u_m, w_i \rangle_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})} = \int_{\mathcal{U}} |v_m|^\sigma |u_m|^{\sigma-2} u_m w_i \log |u_m v_m| dx \\ \int_{\mathcal{U}} v_m z_i dx + \langle v_m, z_i \rangle_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})} = \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^{\sigma-2} v_m z_i \log |u_m v_m| dx \\ u_m(0) = u_{0m}, \quad v_m(0) = v_{0m}, \end{cases} \quad (22)$$

where $\langle u_m, w_i \rangle_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})} = \mathcal{K}([u_m]_s^p) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u_m(x,t) - u_m(y,t)|^{q-2} (u_m(x,t) - u_m(y,t))(w_i(x) - w_i(y))}{|x-y|^{N+sq}} dx dy$, $v_{tm} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} v_m(t)$, $\langle v_m, z_i \rangle_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})} = \mathcal{K}([v_m]_s^q) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v_m(x,t) - v_m(y,t)|^{q-2} (v_m(x,t) - v_m(y,t))(z_i(x) - z_i(y))}{|x-y|^{N+sq}} dx dy$ and $u_{tm} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} u_m(t)$. Since $(u_0, v_0) \in Y$, there exists $\{(\xi_{mi}, \zeta_{mi}), i = 1, \dots, m\}$ such that

$$u_{0m} = \sum_{i=1}^m \xi_{mi}(t) w_i(x) \rightarrow u_0 \text{ in } W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \text{ and } v_{0m} = \sum_{i=1}^m \zeta_{mi} z_i(x) \rightarrow v_0 \text{ in } W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U}). \quad (23)$$

Then (22) is equivalent to the following initial value problem for a system of nonlinear ordinary differential system:

$$\begin{cases} g'_{im}(t) = G_i(g, h), i = 1, \dots, m, \\ h'_{im}(t) = H_i(g, h), i = 1, \dots, m, \\ g_{im}(0) = \xi_{im}, \quad h_{im}(0) = \zeta_{im}, i = 1, \dots, m, \end{cases}$$

with $G_i(g, h) = -\langle u_m, w_i \rangle_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})} + \int_{\mathcal{U}} |v_m|^\sigma |u_m|^{\sigma-2} u_m w_i \log |u_m v_m| dx$ and

$$H_i(g, h) = -\langle v_m, z_i \rangle_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})} + \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^{\sigma-2} v_m z_i \log |u_m v_m| dx.$$

From the Picardo iteration method, there exists $t_{0,m} > 0$ depending on $|\xi|$ and $|\zeta|$ such that the system (22) admits a local solution $(g_{im}, h_{im}) \in C^1([0, t_{0,m}]) \times C^1([0, t_{0,m}])$. The following is an attempt to obtain some a priori estimations of the approximate solutions. We multiply the first equation in (22) by g_{im} and the second equation by h_{im} , after that summing with respect to i , we get that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|u_m\|_2^2 + \frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|v_m\|_2^2 + \mathcal{K}([u_m]_s^p) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u_m(x,t) - u_m(y,t)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v_m]_s^q) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v_m(x,t) - v_m(y,t)|^q}{|x-y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \\ & = 2 \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| dx. \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

Considering set

$$\mathcal{U}_1 := \{x \in \mathcal{U} : |u_m v_m(x)| \leq 1\} \text{ and } \mathcal{U}_2 := \{x \in \mathcal{U} : |u_m v_m(x)| > 1\}.$$

It is easy to see that $\mathcal{U} = \mathcal{U}_1 \cup \mathcal{U}_2$ and $\mathcal{U}_1 \cap \mathcal{U}_2 = \emptyset$. So, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| dx &= \int_{\mathcal{U}_1} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| dx + \int_{\mathcal{U}_2} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathcal{U}_1} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \frac{1}{\exp(1)} dx + \int_{\mathcal{U}_2} \frac{1}{\sigma} \frac{1}{\exp(1)} dx \\ &\leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}|}{\sigma \exp(1)} + \frac{1}{\sigma \exp(1)} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m v_m|^{\sigma+1} dx. \end{aligned}$$

Using Hölder's inequality and the classical interpolation inequality, we get that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| dx \leq \|u_m\|_2^{(\sigma+1)} \|v_m\|_2^{\kappa(\sigma+r)} \|v_m\|_q^{(1-\kappa)(\sigma+r)} \|u_m\|_p^{(1-\mu)(\sigma+r)}, \quad (25)$$

where $\mu, \kappa \in (0, 1)$, and $r > 0$ satisfy $\frac{1}{2(r+\mu)} = \frac{\mu}{2} + \frac{1-\mu}{p}$, $\frac{1}{2(r+\mu)} = \frac{\kappa}{2} + \frac{1-\kappa}{q}$, and $2 < 2(\sigma+r) < \min\{q, p\}$. From (25), and the compacts embeddings $W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \hookrightarrow L^p(\mathcal{U})$, $W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U}) \hookrightarrow L^q(\mathcal{U})$, we have that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| \, dx \leq C \|u_m\|_2^{(\sigma+1)} \|v_m\|_2^{\kappa(\sigma+r)} \|v_m\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^{(1-\kappa)(\sigma+r)} \|u_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^{(1-\mu)(\sigma+r)}.$$

If $\|u_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}, \|v_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})} \leq 1$. Once that is done, there is nothing more to prove. From now, we distinguish three cases:

Case 1: $\|u_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})} > 1$ and $\|v_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})} \leq 1$.

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| \, dx \leq \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma \log (|u_m|) \, dx.$$

Considering the following sets

$$\mathcal{U}'_1 := \{x \in \mathcal{U} : |u_m(x)| \leq 1\} \text{ and } \mathcal{U}'_2 := \{x \in \mathcal{U} : |u_m(x)| > 1\}.$$

Lemma 3.1 follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma \log (|u_m|) \, dx &= \int_{\mathcal{U}'_1} |u_m|^\sigma \log (|u_m|) \, dx + \int_{\mathcal{U}'_2} |u_m|^\sigma \log (|u_m|) \, dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\rho} \int_{\mathcal{U}'_1} |u_m|^{\sigma+\rho} \, dx, \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

where ρ is chosen sufficiently such that $0 < \rho < \frac{s\sigma^2}{N}$ and $\sigma < \sigma + \rho < p_s^*$. Combining (26) with continuous embedding $W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \hookrightarrow L^{p_s^*}(\mathcal{U})$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma \log (|u_m|) \, dx &\leq C \|u_m\|_{L^{p_s^*}}^{\mu(\sigma+\rho)} \|u_m\|_{L^\sigma}^{(1-\mu)(\sigma+\rho)} \\ &\leq C_1 \|u_m\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^{\mu(\sigma+\rho)} \|u_m\|_{L^\sigma}^{(1-\mu)(\sigma+\rho)}, \end{aligned}$$

where $\mu = \frac{s\rho}{N\sigma(\sigma+\rho)} \in (0, 1)$. Since $0 < \rho < \frac{s\sigma^2}{N}$, it follows that $\mu(\sigma + \rho) < \sigma$. Thanks to Young inequality for any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$, we get that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| \, dx \leq \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma \log (|u_m|) \, dx \leq \varepsilon \|u_m\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^\sigma + C(\varepsilon) \left(\|u_m\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^\gamma, \quad (27)$$

with $\gamma = \frac{(1-\mu)(\sigma+\rho)}{\sigma-\mu(\sigma+\rho)} > 1$.

- Case 2: $\|u_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})} < 1$ and $\|v_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})} \geq 1$.

Similarly, we show that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| \, dx \leq \int_{\mathcal{U}} |v_m|^\sigma \log (|v_m|) \, dx \leq \varepsilon \|v_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^\sigma + C(\varepsilon) \left(\|v_m\|_{L^2}^2 \right)^\gamma. \quad (28)$$

- Case 3: $\|u_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})} > 1$ and $\|v_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})} > 1$.

From (25), we get that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| \, dx \leq C \|u_m\|_2^{\mu(\sigma+1)} \|v_m\|_2^{\kappa(\sigma+r)} \|v_m\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^{(1-\kappa)(\sigma+r)} \|u_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^{(1-\mu)(\sigma+r)}. \quad (29)$$

Recalling the numeral inequality

$$abc \leq \frac{a^3 + b^3 + c^3}{3} \leq a^3 + b^3 + c^3, \text{ for all } a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}^+. \quad (30)$$

Using (29) and (30), we obtain that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| dx \leq C \|u_m\|_2^{3\mu(\sigma+1)} \|v_m\|_2^{3\kappa(\sigma+r)} + \|v_m\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^{3(\sigma+r)} + \|u_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^{3(1-\mu)(\sigma+r)}. \quad (31)$$

Using the Young inequality and (31), we get that

$$\int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| dx \leq C \|u_m\|_2^{6\mu(\sigma+1)} + C \|v_m\|_2^{6\kappa(\sigma+r)} + \varepsilon \|v_m\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^p + \varepsilon \|u_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^q, \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0. \quad (32)$$

From (27), (28), and (32), there exist $\lambda > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| dx &\leq \varepsilon \left(\|v_m\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^p + \varepsilon \|u_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^q \right) + C_\varepsilon \left(\|u_m\|_2^2 + \|v_m\|_2^2 \right)^\lambda \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left(1 + \min \left\{ \|u_m\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^p, \|u_m\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^{p(\beta+1)} \right\} + \min \left\{ \|v_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^q, \|v_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^{q(\beta+1)} \right\} \right) \\ &\quad + C_\varepsilon \left(\|u_m\|_2^2 + \|v_m\|_2^2 \right)^\lambda \\ &\leq \varepsilon \frac{p^\beta}{\mathcal{K}(1)} \left(\mathcal{K}([u_m]_s^p) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u_m(x,t) - u_m(y,t)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \right) \\ &\quad + \varepsilon \frac{q^\beta}{\mathcal{K}(1)} \left(\mathcal{K}([v_m]_s^q) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v_m(x,t) - v_m(y,t)|^q}{|x-y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right) + C_\varepsilon \left(\|u_m\|_2^2 + \|v_m\|_2^2 \right)^\lambda. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

From (24), (33), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \|u_m\|_2^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \|v_m\|_2^2 \right) &\leq \left(\frac{\varepsilon q^\beta}{\mathcal{K}(1)} - 1 \right) \mathcal{K}([u_m]_s^p) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u_m(x,t) - u_m(y,t)|^p}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\varepsilon q^\beta}{\mathcal{K}(1)} - 1 \right) \mathcal{K}([v_m]_s^q) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v_m(x,t) - v_m(y,t)|^q}{|x-y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \\ &\quad + C_\varepsilon \left(\|u_m\|_2^2 + \|v_m\|_2^2 \right)^\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By choosing $\varepsilon < \frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{q^\beta}$, it follows from the above inequality that

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{d}{dt} \|u_m\|_2^2 + \frac{d}{dt} \|v_m\|_2^2 \right) \leq 2C_\varepsilon \left(\|u_m\|_2^2 + \|v_m\|_2^2 + 1 \right)^\lambda. \quad (34)$$

Therefore, we arrive at the following differential inequality

$$Z'_n(t) \leq 4C (Z_n(t) + 1)^\lambda. \quad (35)$$

with $Z_n(t) = \|u_m\|_2^2 + \|v_m\|_2^2$. We distinguish three cases:

- If $\lambda \in (0, 1)$, then there exists $C'_1 = \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} Z_n(0) \in (0, \infty)$ such that

$$Z_n(t) \leq \left((C'_1 + 1)^{1-\lambda} + 2(1-\lambda)tC \right)^{\frac{1}{1-\lambda}}, \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, t_{0,n}).$$

- If $\lambda = 1$, using (35), we arrive at the following inequality

$$Z_n(t) \leq (1 + c_1) \exp(2Ct), \quad \text{for all } t \in (0, t_{0,n}).$$

- If $\lambda \geq 1$. Then, by integrating the inequality (34) with respect to time from 0 to t , we have

$$\|u_m\|_2^2 + \|v_m\|_2^2 \leq 2C'(\lambda) \int_0^t (\|u_m(z)\|_2^{2\lambda} dz + \|v_m(z)\|_2^{2\lambda} dz) + \lambda \int_0^t dz. \quad (36)$$

By using the Gronwall- Bellman inequality, it follows from (36) that there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that

$$\|u_m\|_2^2 + \|v_m\|_2^2 \leq C_6(T_0), \text{ for all } t \in (0, t_{0,n}).$$

We next multiply the first equation in (22) by $g'_{in}(t)$ and the second equation by $h'_{in}(t)$, summing over i and integrate with respect to time from 0 to t , we obtain that

$$\int_0^t \|u_{ms}(s)\|_2^2 ds + \|v_{ms}\|_2^2 ds + \varphi(u_m(t), v_m(t)) = \varphi(u_m(0), v_m(0)), \text{ for all } t \in (0, T_0). \quad (37)$$

Using (37), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u_m(0), v_m(0)) &\geq \varphi(u_m(t), v_m(t)) \\ &= \frac{1}{p} \widehat{\mathcal{K}}([u_m]_p^s) + \frac{1}{q} \widehat{\mathcal{K}}([v_m]_q^s) + \frac{1}{\sigma^2} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma dx - \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| dx \\ &\geq \widehat{\mathcal{K}}([u_m]_p^s) + \widehat{\mathcal{K}}([v_m]_q^s) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{p(\beta+1)} \mathcal{K}([u_m]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u_m(x, t) - u_m(y, t)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} \mathcal{K}([v_m]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v_m(x, t) - v_m(y, t)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy - \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} \left[\mathcal{K}([u_m]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u_m(x, t) - u_m(y, t)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v_m]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v_m(x, t) - v_m(y, t)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \right] \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| dx \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} - \frac{\varepsilon q^\beta}{\mathcal{K}(1)\sigma} \right) \frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{q^\beta} \min \left\{ \|u_m\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^p + \|v_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^q, \|u_m\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^{p(\beta+1)} + \|v_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^{q(\beta+1)} \right\} - \frac{C^\gamma}{\sigma} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} - \frac{\varepsilon q^\beta}{\mathcal{K}(1)\sigma} \right) \frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{q^\beta} \min \left\{ \|u_m\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^p + \|v_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^q - 1 \right\} - \frac{C^\gamma}{\sigma} \\ &\geq - \left(\frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} - \frac{\varepsilon q^\beta}{\mathcal{K}(1)\sigma} \right) \frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{q^\beta} - \frac{C^\gamma}{\sigma}. \end{aligned} \quad (38)$$

On the other hand, we deduce from (23) that $\varphi(u_m(0), v_m(0)) \rightarrow \varphi(u_0(x), v_0(x))$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. So, we have that

$$\varphi(u_m(0), v_m(0)) \leq C_7. \quad (39)$$

From (37) and (39), it follows that

$$\|u_m\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^p + \|v_m\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^q \leq C_8. \quad (40)$$

From continuous embedding and Lemma 3.1, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| dx &= \int_{\mathcal{U}_1} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| dx + \int_{\mathcal{U}_2} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma \log |u_m v_m| dx \\
&\leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}|}{\sigma \exp(1)} + \frac{1}{\exp(1)} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^\sigma dx \\
&\leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}|}{\sigma \exp(1)} + \frac{1}{\exp(1)} \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u_m|^{\sigma+1} |v_m|^{\sigma+1} dx \\
&\leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}|}{\sigma \exp(1)} + \frac{1}{\exp(1)} \int_{\mathcal{U}} (|u_m|^{2(\sigma+1)} + |v_m|^{2(\sigma+1)}) dx \\
&\leq \frac{|\mathcal{U}|}{\sigma \exp(1)} + \frac{1}{\exp(1)} (M_1 C_1^{2(\sigma+1)} + M_2 C^{2(\sigma+1)}),
\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

with $M_1 = \sup_{m>1} \|u_m\|_{L^{2(\sigma+1)}(\mathcal{U})}^{2(\sigma+1)}$, $M_2 = \sup_{m>1} \|v_m\|_{L^{2(\sigma+1)}(\mathcal{U})}^{2(\sigma+1)}$, C , and C_1 are the embeddings constants of $W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \hookrightarrow L^{2(\sigma+1)}(\mathcal{U})$ and $W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U}) \hookrightarrow L^{2(\sigma+1)}(\mathcal{U})$. It follows from (38), (40), and (41) that there exist a functions $(u, v) \in L^\infty(0, T; W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})) \times L^\infty(0, T; W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U}))$ and a sub-sequence of $(u_m, v_m)_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ still denote by itself such that

$$\begin{cases} (u_m, v_m) \rightarrow (u, v), \text{ weakly star in } L^\infty(0, T; W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})) \times L^\infty(0, T; W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})), \\ (u_{mt}, v_{mt}) \rightarrow (u_t, v_t), \text{ weakly in } L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{U})) \times L^2(0, T; L^2(\mathcal{U})), \\ \mathcal{K}([u_m]_s^p) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u_m(x,t) - u_m(y,t)|^{p-2} (u_m(x,t) - u_m(y,t))}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \rightarrow \chi_1, \text{ weakly in } L^\infty(0, T; L^{\frac{p}{p-1}}(\mathcal{U})), \\ \mathcal{K}([v_m]_s^q) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v_m(x,t) - v_m(y,t)|^{q-2} (v_m(x,t) - v_m(y,t))}{|x-y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \rightarrow \chi_2, \text{ weakly in } L^\infty(0, T; L^{\frac{q}{q-1}}(\mathcal{U})), \end{cases} \tag{42}$$

Then by using Aubin-Lions-Simon lemma and (42), we have that

$$(u_m, v_m) \rightarrow (u, v) \text{ in } C([0, T_0]; L^{r_1}(\mathcal{U})) \times C([0, T_0]; L^{r_2}(\mathcal{U})), \quad \text{for all } 2 < r_1, r_2 < \sigma \text{ and } x \in \mathcal{U}. \tag{43}$$

We followed the same method appearing in Lemma 8 [3], we get that

$$|v_m|^\sigma |u_m|^{\sigma-2} u_m \log |u_m v_m| \rightarrow |v|^\sigma |u|^{\sigma-2} u \log |uv| \quad \text{weakly in } L^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}(\mathcal{U} \times (0, T_0)), \text{ and} \tag{44}$$

$$|u_m|^\sigma |v_m|^{\sigma-2} v_m \log |u_m v_m| \rightarrow |u|^\sigma |v|^{\sigma-2} v \log |uv| \quad \text{weakly in } L^{\frac{\sigma}{\sigma-1}}(\mathcal{U} \times (0, T_0)). \tag{45}$$

Using (44), (45), and (42), and letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (22) and (23) we have that

$$\begin{cases} \langle u_t, w_1 \rangle + \langle \chi_1, w_1 \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{U}} |v|^\sigma |u|^{\sigma-2} u w_1 \log(|u|) dx \\ \langle v_t, w_2 \rangle + \langle \chi_2, w_2 \rangle = \int_{\mathcal{U}} |u|^\sigma |v|^{\sigma-2} v w_2 \log(|v|) dx \\ (u(0), v(0)) = (u_0, v_0) \end{cases} \tag{46}$$

for all $(w_1, w_2) \in W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \times W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})$ and $t \in (0, T_0)$. We followed the same method appearing in Lemma 4.3 [3], we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_1 &= \mathcal{K}([u]_s^p) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x,t) - u(y,t)|^{p-2} (u(x,t) - u(y,t))}{|x-y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \quad \text{and} \\ \chi_2 &= \mathcal{K}([v]_s^q) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x,t) - v(y,t)|^{q-2} (v(x,t) - v(y,t))}{|x-y|^{N+sq}} dx dy. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, our system (1) admits solution $(u, v) \in Y$. Now we turn to show inequality (21). In view of (23), (44), and (45), we have

$$\varphi(u_{0m}, v_{0m}) \rightarrow \varphi(u_0, v_0) \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty.$$

Furthermore, due to the weak lower semicontinuity of the Y -norm (44), and (45), we conclude

$$\varphi(u, v) \leq \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \varphi(u_m, v_m)$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty$ in (37), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^t (\|u_s(s)\|_2^2 + \|v_s(s)\|_2^2) ds + \varphi(u(t), v(t)) &\leq \liminf_{m \rightarrow \infty} \left[\int_0^t (\|u_{ms}(s)\|_2^2 + \|v_{ms}(s)\|_2^2) ds + \varphi(u_m(t), v_m(t)) \right] \\ &\leq \varphi(u_0, v_0), \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]. \end{aligned}$$

□

4. Global Existence and Asymptotic Behavior

Theorem 4.1. *Let $(\mathcal{H}_1) - (\mathcal{H}_2)$ hold. if $\varphi(u_0, v_0) < d'_*$ and $\psi(u_0, v_0) \geq 0$. Then, we have*

- 1) $T_{\max} = +\infty$
- 2) the energy functional φ satisfies the following estimates

$$\varphi(u(t), v(t)) \leq \begin{cases} \varphi(u_0, v_0) \left(\frac{p(\beta+1)}{2+(p(\beta+1)-2)\alpha t} \right)^{\frac{p(\beta+1)}{p(\beta+1)-2}}, & \text{if } q > \frac{2}{(\beta+1)} \\ \varphi(u_0, v_0) e^{1-\mu t}, & \text{if } q \leq \frac{2}{(\beta+1)} \end{cases}$$

with α and μ are some positive constants.

Proof. Firstly, we prove that $\psi(u(t), v(t)) \geq 0$, for all $t \in [0, T_{\max}]$. Considering the following sets

$$\mathcal{W} := \{(u, v) \in Y : \varphi(u, v) < d, \psi(u, v) > 0\} \cup \{0\}, \quad \text{and } \mathcal{M} := \{(u, v) \in Y : \varphi(u, v) < d, \psi(u, v) < 0\}$$

Due to $\varphi(u_0, v_0) < d'_* < d$ and $\psi(u_0, v_0) > 0$, we have $(u_0, v_0) \in \mathcal{W}$. Using a contradiction for proving $(u(t), v(t)) \in \mathcal{W}$, for all $t \in [0, T_{\max}]$. We suppose that there exist a $t_0 \in [0, T_{\max}]$ such that $(u(t_0), v(t_0)) \in \partial \mathcal{W}$. Noticing that 0 is an interior of \mathcal{W} . So, we get that $\varphi(u(t_0), v(t_0)) = d$ or $\psi(u(t_0), v(t_0)) = 0$ and $(u(t_0), v(t_0)) \neq (0, 0)$. Using (21), we obtain that $\varphi(u(t_0), v(t_0)) \leq (u_0, v_0) < d$, and hence $\psi(u(t_0), v(t_0)) = 0$. So, we deduce that $(u(t_0), v(t_0)) \in \mathcal{N}$. Using the definition of d , we have $\varphi(u(t_0), v(t_0)) \geq d$. We arrive at contradiction. Finally, we deduce that $\psi(u(t_0), v(t_0)) \geq 0$ for all $t \in [0, T_{\max}]$. Now, we show that $T_{\max} = \infty$. Using Lemma 3.5, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} d_* &> \varphi(u_0, v_0) \geq \varphi(u(t), v(t)) \\ &\geq \varphi(u(t), v(t)) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u(t), v(t)) \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \left[\mathcal{K}([u(t)]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x, t) - u(y, t)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v(t)]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x, t) - v(y, t)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \left[\frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{p^\beta} \min \{ \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^p, \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^{p(\beta+1)} \} + \frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{q^\beta} \min \{ \|v\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^q, \|v\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^{q(\beta+1)} \} \right] \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{q^\beta} \min \{ \|u\|_{W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U})}^q + \|v\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^q, \|u\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^{q(\beta+1)} + \|v\|_{W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})}^{q(\beta+1)} \} \\ &\geq \left(\frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma} \right) \frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{q^\beta} \min \{ \|(u, v)\|_Y^q, \|(u, v)\|_Y^{q(\beta+1)} \}, \end{aligned} \tag{47}$$

which yields that

$$\|(u, v)\|_Y \leq \max \left\{ 1, \left(\frac{d_* q^{\beta+1} (\beta+1) \sigma}{(\sigma - q(\beta+1)) \mathcal{K}(1)} \right)^{\frac{1}{q}} \right\} := C_1. \tag{48}$$

In the other words, $(u(t), v(t))$ is uniformly bounded in time in $W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \times W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U})$. So, we have $T_{\max} = +\infty$. Using (4) of Lemma 3.5, we get that

$$\varphi(u(t), v(t)) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u(t), v(t)) \geq \varepsilon_*^{-q(\beta+1)} - C. \quad (49)$$

However, we also have

$$\varphi(u(t), u(t)) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u(t), u(t)) \leq \varphi(u(t), u(t)) \leq \varphi(u_0, u_0). \quad (50)$$

It follows from (49) and (50) that

$$\varepsilon_* \geq \left(\frac{d_*}{\varphi(u_0, v_0) + C} \right)^{\frac{1}{q(\beta+1)}}. \quad (51)$$

Applying (4) of Lemma 3.5, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(u(t), v(t)) &\geq \left(1 - \varepsilon_*^{-q(\beta+1)-2(\sigma+1)}\right) \left[\mathcal{K}([u(t)]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x, t) - u(y, t)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v(t)]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x, t) - v(y, t)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right] \\ &\geq \left(1 - \left(\frac{d_*}{\varphi(u_0, v_0)}\right)^{1-\frac{2(\sigma+1)}{q(\beta+1)}}\right) \left[\mathcal{K}([u(t)]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x, t) - u(y, t)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy + \mathcal{K}([v(t)]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x, t) - v(y, t)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \right]. \end{aligned} \quad (52)$$

Combining (52) with Lemma 3.5, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(u(t), u(t)) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u(t), u(t)) &\leq \left(\frac{1}{p(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \mathcal{K}([u(t)]_p^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|u(x, t) - u(y, t)|^p}{|x - y|^{N+sp}} dx dy \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{1}{p(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \mathcal{K}([v(t)]_q^s) \int_{\mathcal{U} \times \mathcal{U}} \frac{|v(x, t) - v(y, t)|^q}{|x - y|^{N+sq}} dx dy \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{p(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{d_*}{\varphi(u_0, v_0)}\right)^{1-\frac{2(\sigma+1)}{q(\beta+1)}}\right)^{-1} \psi(u(t), u(t)). \end{aligned} \quad (53)$$

So, we get that

$$\varphi(u(t), u(t)) \leq C_2 \psi(u(t), u(t)), \quad (54)$$

with $C_2 := \left(\frac{1}{p(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{d_*}{\varphi(u_0, v_0)}\right)^{1-\frac{2(\sigma+1)}{q(\beta+1)}}\right)^{-1} > 0$. Now, our contribution is the decay estimates of the functional $\varphi(u(t), u(t))$. Using (47) and (48), we have that

$$\varphi(u(t), u(t)) \geq \left(\frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{q^\beta} \min \left\{ \| (u, v) \|_Y^q, \| (u, v) \|_Y^{q(\beta+1)} \right\} \quad (55)$$

$$\geq C_3 \| (u, v) \|_Y^{q(\beta+1)}, \quad (56)$$

where $C_3 := \left(\frac{1}{q(\beta+1)} - \frac{1}{\sigma}\right) \frac{\mathcal{K}(1)}{q^\beta} C_1^{q\beta}$. Conversely, however. By using the embedding $W^{s,p}(\mathcal{U}) \times W^{s,q}(\mathcal{U}) \hookrightarrow L^2(\mathcal{U}) \times L^2(\mathcal{U})$, we have that

$$\| (u, v) \|_{L^2(\mathcal{U})} \leq C_4 \| (u, v) \|_Y. \quad (57)$$

Combining (55) with (57), we get that

$$\| (u, v) \|_{L^2(\mathcal{U})} \leq C_5 [\varphi(u(t), u(t))]^{\frac{2}{q(\beta+1)}}, \quad (58)$$

where $C_5 = C_3^{-\frac{2}{p^+(s+1)}} C_4^2 > 0$. Let be z a number such that $t < z$. Combining (54) with (58), we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_t^z \varphi(u(h), v(h)) dh &\leq C_2 \int_t^z \psi(u(h), v(h)) dh \\ &= \frac{C_2}{2} \left[(\|u(t)\|_2^2 + \|v(t)\|_2^2) - (\|u(z)\|_2^2 + \|v(z)\|_2^2) \right] \\ &\leq \frac{C_2 C_5}{2} [\varphi(u(t), u(t))]^{\frac{2}{q(\beta+1)}} \end{aligned} \quad (59)$$

Letting $z \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\int_t^\infty \varphi(u(h), v(h)) dh \leq C_6 \varphi(u(t), u(t))^{\frac{2}{q(\beta+1)}}, \quad (60)$$

where $C_6 = \frac{C_2 C_5}{2} > 0$.

It is easy to show that $t \mapsto \varphi(u(t), u(t))$ is non-increasing with respect to t . Next, we separate the following two cases.

Case 1: If $q \leq \frac{2}{\beta+1}$.

Using (21) and (60), we obtain that

$$\int_t^\infty \varphi(u(h), v(h)) dh \leq C_5 [\varphi(u_0, v_0)]^{\frac{2}{q(\beta+1)}-1} \varphi(u(t), u(t)) = \frac{1}{\mu} \varphi(u(t), u(t)),$$

where

$$\mu = C_6^{-1} [\varphi(u_0, v_0)]^{1-\frac{2}{q(\beta+1)}} > 0.$$

Then using Lemma 2.3 to $G(t) = \varphi(u(t), u(t))$ and $\gamma = 0$, we obtain

$$\varphi(u(t), u(t)) \leq \varphi(u_0, v_0) e^{-\mu t}.$$

Case2: If $q > \frac{2}{\beta+1}$.

Considering the function $G(t) = [\varphi(u(t), u(t))]^{\frac{2}{q(\beta+1)}}$. Using (60), one has

$$\int_t^\infty G^{\frac{q(\beta+1)}{2}}(h) dh \leq \frac{1}{\eta} G^{\frac{q(\beta+1)}{2}-1}(0) G(t),$$

where

$$\eta = \frac{[\varphi(u_0, v_0)]^{1-\frac{2}{q(\beta+1)}}}{C_6} > 0.$$

Then applying Lemma 2.3 to $F(t) = G(t)$ and $\gamma = \frac{q(\beta+1)}{2} - 1 > 0$, we obtain

$$G(t) \leq G(0) \left(\frac{q(\beta+1)}{2 + (q(\beta+1) - 2) \gamma t} \right)^{\frac{2}{q(\beta+1)-2}}.$$

Then, we deduce that

$$\varphi(u(t), u(t)) \leq \varphi(u_0, v_0) \left(\frac{q(\beta+1)}{2 + (q(\beta+1) - 2) \gamma t} \right)^{\frac{q(\beta+1)}{q(\beta+1)-2}}.$$

□

5. Blow-up Phenomena

In this section, applying Nehari manifold and the potential well theory combined, we show that the local weak solution of system (1) exist Blow-up phenomena.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume that the assumptions $(\mathcal{H}_1) - (\mathcal{H}_2)$ hold. If $\varphi(u_0, v_0) < d_*$ and $\psi(u_0, v_0) < 0$. Then, we have $T_{\max} < +\infty$. Moreover, we also give an upper bound for the maximal existence time as follows*

$$T_{\max} \leq \frac{4(\sigma - 1)(\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2)}{\sigma(d_* - \varphi(u_0, v_0))(\sigma - 2)^2}$$

Proof. We show that $\psi(u(t), v(t)) < 0$ for all $t \in [0, T_{\max})$. Indeed, suppose by contradiction that, there exist $t_0 \in (0, T_{\max})$ such that $\psi(u(t), v(t)) < 0$ for all $t \in [0, t_0)$ and $\psi(u(t_0), v(t_0)) = 0$. Testing by (u, v) in (46), we get that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\|u(t)\|_2^2 + \frac{d}{dt}\|v(t)\|_2^2 &= 2(\langle u_t, u \rangle + \langle v_t, v \rangle) \\ &= -2\psi(u(t), v(t)) > 0, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, t_0). \end{aligned}$$

So, the map $t \rightarrow \|u(t)\|_2^2 + \|v(t)\|_2^2$ is strictly increasing on $[0, t_0]$. Therefore, $\|u(t_0)\|_2^2 + \|v(t_0)\|_2^2$. So, we get that $(u(t_0), v(t_0)) \in \mathcal{N}$. Using the definition of d , we obtain

$$\varphi(u(t_0), v(t_0)) \geq d. \quad (61)$$

However, it follows from (21)

$$\varphi(u(t_0), v(t_0)) \leq \varphi(u_0, v_0) < d_* \leq d. \quad (62)$$

Using (61) and (62), we arrive at a contradiction. So, we have $\psi(u(t), v(t)) < 0$ for all $t \in [0, T_{\max})$. Now, we give an upper bound of T_{\max} . Fixing $T \in (0, T_{\max})$ and considering the function L defined by

$$L(t) = \int_0^t (\|u_s(s)\|_2^2 + \|v_s(s)\|_2^2) ds + (T - t)(\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2) + (at + b)^2, \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, T]$$

with a, b are positive constants. By a direct computation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L'(t) &= \|u_s(t)\|_2^2 + \|v_s(t)\|_2^2 - (\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2) + 2a(at + b) \\ &= 2 \left[\int_0^t (\langle u_s(s), u(s) \rangle + \langle v_s(s), v(s) \rangle) ds + a(at + b) \right] \\ &> 0. \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} L''(t) &= 2(\langle u_s(t), u(t) \rangle + \langle v_s(t), v(t) \rangle + a^2) \\ &= 2(-\psi(u(t), v(t))) + a^2 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (63)$$

Thanks to Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get that

$$\begin{aligned}
(L'(t))^2 &= 4 \left[\int_0^t (\langle u_s(s), u(s) \rangle + \langle v_s(s), v(s) \rangle) ds + a(at + b) \right]^2 \\
&\leq 4 \left[\sqrt{\int_0^t \|u_s(s)\|_2^2 ds} \sqrt{\int_0^t \|u(s)\|_2^2 ds} + \sqrt{\int_0^t \|v_s(s)\|_2^2 ds} \sqrt{\int_0^t \|v(s)\|_2^2 ds} + a(at + b) \right]^2 \\
&\leq 4 \left[\int_0^t (\|u(s)\|_2^2 + \|v(s)\|_2^2) ds + (at + b)^2 \right] \left[\int_0^t (\|u_s(s)\|_2^2 + \|v_s(s)\|_2^2) ds + a^2 \right] \\
&= 4L(t) \left[\int_0^t (\|u_s(s)\|_2^2 + \|v_s(s)\|_2^2) ds + a^2 \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

On the other hand, using (3) of Lemma 3.5, we have

$$\varphi(u(t), v(t)) - \frac{1}{\sigma} \psi(u(t), v(t)) > d_*. \tag{65}$$

Using (21), (63), (64), and (65) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
L''(t) &= 2(-2\psi(u(t), v(t)) + a^2) \\
&\geq 2[\sigma(d_* - \varphi(u(t), v(t))) + a^2] \\
&\geq 2\left[\sigma \int_0^t (\|u(s)\|_2^2 + \|v(s)\|_2^2) ds + \sigma(d_* - \varphi(u_0, v_0)) + a^2\right] \\
&\geq \left[\sigma \left(\left(\frac{L'(t)}{4L(t)}\right)^2 - a^2\right) + \sigma(d_* - \varphi(u_0, v_0)) + a^2\right].
\end{aligned} \tag{66}$$

Using (66), we have that

$$L''(t)L(t) - \frac{\sigma}{2}(L'(t))^2 \geq 2L(t)[\sigma(d_* - \varphi(u_0, v_0)) + a^2] \tag{67}$$

Putting

$$0 < a < \sqrt{\frac{\sigma(d_* - \varphi(u_0, v_0))}{\sigma}}. \tag{68}$$

Combining (67) with (68), we have the above inequality

$$L''(t) - \frac{\sigma}{2}(L'(t))^2 \geq 0$$

By a direct computation, we have $L(0), L'(0) > 0$. Using Lemma 2.2, we have that $T \leq \frac{L(0)}{(\frac{\sigma}{2}-1)L'(0)} = \frac{T(\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2) + b^2}{ab(\frac{\sigma}{2}-1)}$, which implies that $T \leq \frac{b^2}{ab(\frac{\sigma}{2}-1) - (\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2)} := \xi(b)$, for all $b \in \left(\frac{\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2}{a(\frac{\sigma}{2}-1)}, \infty\right)$. Minimizing $\xi(b)$ for all $b \in \left(\frac{\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2}{a(\frac{\sigma}{2}-1)}, \infty\right)$. So, we get that

$$T \leq \frac{4(\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2)}{(a(\frac{\sigma}{2}-1))^2} := \varsigma(a), \text{ for all } 0 < a < \sqrt{\frac{\sigma(d_* - \varphi(u_0, v_0))}{\sigma}}.$$

Minimizing $\varsigma(a)$ and letting $T \rightarrow T_{\max}$, we deduce that

$$T_{\max} \leq \frac{4(\sigma-1)(\|u_0\|_2^2 + \|v_0\|_2^2)}{\sigma(d_* - \varphi(u_0, v_0))(\sigma-2)^2}.$$

□

Aknoledgements

The third author is a member of INdAM (Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica “Francesco Severi”) Research group GNAMPA (Gruppo Nazionale per l’Analisi Matematica, la Probabilit’a e le loro Applicazioni). The third author likes to thank Faculty of Fundamental Science, Industrial University of Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, for the opportunity to work in it.

Declarations

Conflict of Interests

The authors declare to have no conflict of interests.

Authors contributions

The authors declare that their contributions are equal.

Data availabilty

This manuscript contains no associated data.

Funding

Not Applicable

Orcid Data

ORCID ID of Ahmed Aberqi <https://orcid.org/0000-0003-3599-9099>

ORCID ID of Abdesslam Ouaziz <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-5523-5654>

ORCID ID of Maria Alessandra Ragusa <https://orcid.org/0000-0001-6611-6370>

References

- [1] Aberqi A., Benslimane O., Ouaziz A., Repovs D.D.: Fractional Sobolev spaces with kernel function on compact Riemannian manifolds. *Mediterranean Journal of Mathematics*. **21** (1), 6 (2024)
- [2] Aberqi A., Elmassoudi M., Hammoumi M.: Descret solution for a nonlinear parabolic equations with diffusion terms in museilak-spaces. *Mathematical Modeling and Computing*. **8** (4), 584-600 (2021)
- [3] Aberqi A., Ouaziz A.: Morse’s theory and local linking for a fractional $(p_1(x,), p_2(x,))$: Laplacian problems on compact manifolds, *Journal of Pseudo-Differential Operators and Applications*. **14** (3), 41 (2023)
- [4] Aboulaich R., Meskine D., Souissi A.: New diffusion models in image processing. *Computers Mathematics with Applications*. **56** (4), 874-882 (2008)
- [5] Applebaum D.: Lévy processes-from probability to finance and quantum groups. *Notices of the AMS*. **51** (11), 1336-1347 (2004)
- [6] Antontsev, S.N., Rodrigues, J.F.: On stationary thermo-rheological viscous flows. *Annali- Universita Di Ferrara*. **752** (1), 19 (2006)
- [7] Autuori G., Pucci P., Salvatori M. C.: Global nonexistence for nonlinear Kirchhoff systems, *Archive for Rational Mechanics and Analysis*. **196**, 489–516 (2010)
- [8] Ball J.: Remarks on blow-up and nonexistence theorems for nonlinear evolution equations, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* **28**, 473-486 (1977)
- [9] Bucur C., Valdinoci E.: *Nonlocal diffusion and applications*, 20. Ed. Springer. (2016)

- [10] Brezis H.: Functional Analysis, Sobolev Spaces and Partial Differential Equations, Ed. Springer New York, (2010).
- [11] Caffarelli, L.: Non-local equations, drifts and games. In: Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations. Abel Symposia. **7**, 37-52 (2012)
- [12] Chipot M., Valente V., Caffarelli G. V.: Remarks on a nonlocal problems involving the Dirichlet energy, Rend. Sem. Math. Univ. Padova. **110**, 199-220 (2003)
- [13] Chen Y., Levine S., Rao M.: Variable exponent, linear growth functionals in image restoration. SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics. **66** (4), 1383-1406 (2006)
- [14] Di Nezza, E., Palatucci G., Valdinoci E.: Hitchhiker's guide to the fractional Sobolev spaces. Bull. Sci. Math. **136** (5), 519-527 (2012)
- [15] D'Avenia P., Squassina M., Zenari M., Fractional logarithmic Schrodinger equations. Math. Methods Appl. Sci. **38**, 5207-5216 (2015)
- [16] Evans L.C.: Partial Differential Equations, Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, Providence. (1998)
- [17] Guo L., Zhang B., Zhang Y.: Fractional p -Laplacian equations on Riemannian manifolds. Electron. J. Differ. Equations. **156**, 1-17 (2018)
- [18] Ferreira L. C., Queiroz O. S. A.: singular parabolic equation with logarithmic nonlinearity and L^p -initial data, J. Differ. Equations. **249** (2), 349-365 (2010)
- [19] Ghisi M., Gobbino M.: Hyperbolic-parabolic singular perturbation for mildly degenerate Kirchhoff equations: time-decay estimates, J. Differ. Equations. **245**, 2979-3007 (2008)
- [20] Khaldi A., Ouaoua A., Maouni M.: Global existence and stability of solution for a nonlinear Kirchhoff type reaction-diffusion equation with variable exponents. Mathematica Bohemica. **147** (4), 471-484 (2022)
- [21] Kirchhoff G., Hensel K.: Vorlesungen uber mathematische physik, Druck und Verlag von BG Teubner. (1883)
- [22] Komornik V.: Exact Controllability and Stabilization, RAM: Research in Applied Mathematics. Masson, Paris; John Wiley, Ltd., Chichester. (1994)
- [23] Lee J., Kim J.-M., Kim Y.-H.: Existence and multiplicity of solutions for kirchhoff-schrodinger type equations involving $p(x)$ -laplacian on the entire space \mathbb{R}^N . Nonlinear Analysis: Real World Applications. **45**, 620-649 (2019)
- [24] Levine H. A.: Instability and nonexistence of global solutions to nonlinear wave equations of the form $Pu_{tt} = -Au + F(u)$. Trans Amer. Math. Soc. **192**, 1-21 (1974)
- [25] Lions J.-L.: Quelques méthodes de résolution des problèmes aux limites non linéaires, Dunod. 88-233 (1969)
- [26] Liu D.: On a p - Kirchhoff equation via fountain theorem and dual fountain theorem. Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods Applications. **72** (1), 302-308 (2010)
- [27] Liu Y., Zhao J.: On the potential wells and applications to semilinear hyperbolic equations and parabolic equations, Nonlinear Anal. **64** (12), 2665-2687 (2006)
- [28] Han Y., Li Q.: Threshold results for the existence of global and blow-up solutions to kirchhoff equations with arbitrary initial energy. Computers Mathematics with Applications. **75** (9), 3283-3297 (2018)
- [29] Keyantuo V., Tebou L., Warma M.: A gevery class semigroup for a thermoelastic plate model with a fractional laplacian: Between the Euler -Bernoulli and Kirchhoff models. Discrete Continuous Dynamical Systems: Series A. **40** (5) (2020)

- [30] Le C.N., Le X. T.: Global solution and blow-up for a class of p -Laplacian evolution equation with logarithmic nonlinearity, *Acta. Appl Math.* **151**, 149-169 (2017)
- [31] Pan N., Zhang B., Cao J.: Degenerate Kirchhoff-type diffusion problems involving the fractional p -Laplacian, *Nonlinear Anal. Real World Appl.* **37**, 56-70 (2017)
- [32] Ouaziz A., Aberqi A.: Infinitely many solutions to a Kirchhoff-type equation involving logarithmic nonlinearity via Morse's theory. *Boletín de la Sociedad Matemática Mexicana.* **30** (1), 10 (2024)
- [33] Ouaziz A., Aberqi A., Benslimane O.: On some weighted fractional $p(\cdot, \cdot)$ -Laplacian problems. *Palestine Journal of Mathematics.* **12** (4), (2023)
- [34] Payne L.E., Sattinger D.H.: Saddle points and instability of nonlinear hyperbolic equations, *Israel J. Math.* **22**, 273-303 (1975)
- [35] Rajagopal K.R., Růžická M.: Mathematical modeling of electrorheological materials. *Continuum mechanics and thermodynamics.* **13** (1), 59-78 (2001)
- [36] Samko S.: On progress in the theory of Lebesgue spaces with variable exponents: maximal and singular operators. *Integral Transforms and Special Functions.* **16** (5-6), 461-482 (2005)
- [37] Sattinger D.H.: On global solution of nonlinear hyperbolic equations, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **30**, 148-172 (1968)
- [38] Tan Z.: Global solution and blow-up of semilinear heat equation with critical Sobolev exponent, *Commun. Partial Differ. Equ.* **26**, 717-741 (2001)
- [39] Tian S.: Multiple solutions for the semilinear elliptic equations with the sign-changing logarithmic nonlinearity, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **454**, 816-828 (2017)
- [40] Zloshchastiev K. G.: Logarithmic nonlinearity in the theories of quantum gravity: origin of time and observational consequences. *Grav. Cosmol.* **16**, 288-297 (2017)