

A PAIR OF MULTIPLICATION-TYPE OPERATORS IN QUATERNIONIC ANALYSIS AND THE 2-CAUCHY-FUETER EQUATION

YONG LI AND YUCHEN ZHANG*

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we introduce a pair of multiplication-like operations, L_0 and L_1 , which derive k -regular functions from $(k+1)$ -regular functions. The investigation of the inverse problem naturally leads to a deeper study of the 2-Cauchy-Fueter equation. In doing so, we provide a new acyclic resolution for the sheaf of 2-regular functions $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$. Furthermore, a complete topological characterization for the solvability of the 2-Cauchy-Fueter equation is established. Specifically, we prove that the 2-Cauchy-Fueter equation

$$\mathcal{D}^{(2)}f = g$$

is solvable for any g satisfying $\mathcal{D}_1^{(2)}g = 0$ on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ if and only if $H^3(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) = 0$, or equivalently, if and only if every real-valued harmonic function on Ω can be represented as the real part of a quaternionic regular function.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Preliminaries	3
2.1. Cauchy-Fueter operator	3
2.2. k -Cauchy-Fueter operators	4
3. The operator L_0 and L_1	6
4. A new resolution of sheaf $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$	8
5. Proof of Theorem 1.3	11
6. Proof of Theorem 1.2	14
References	16

1. INTRODUCTION

The quaternion algebra \mathbb{H} , with basis $1, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$, was introduced by Hamilton [Hamil66]. Quaternionic analysis—a generalization of complex analysis—encompasses a variety of distinct conceptual frameworks. Among these, the theory of slice regular functions, initiated by Gentili and Struppa [GS06, GS07], has emerged as a prominent area of research in recent quaternionic analysis [DRS23, JQSW25, GSS22].

The theory of quaternionic regular functions represents the most natural generalization of holomorphic function theory in complex analysis, originating from the seminal work

Date: December 2, 2025.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 30G35 ; Secondary 32F32.

Key words and phrases. k -Cauchy Fueter operator, k -regular functions, solvability of the k -Cauchy-Fueter equation .

* Corresponding author.

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12501100), the Anhui Provincial Natural Science Foundation (Grant No. 2508085QA031) and the University Natural Science Research Project of Anhui Province (Grant No. 2022AH050175).

of Fueter [Fuet35]. These functions constitute the quaternionic analogue of holomorphic functions, defined as those annihilated by the Cauchy–Fueter operator

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + \mathbf{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \mathbf{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.$$

Fueter established numerous properties of quaternionic regular functions that parallel those of holomorphic functions—including Laurent expansions and a Cauchy integral formula [Fuet35, Fuet36]. And it have extensive applications in theoretical physics [Adler86, Lanc29]. Over time, this function theory has matured considerably [Sudb79, CSSS04] and has since been extended to the broader framework of Clifford analysis [BDS82, Gilb91, LY24, CSSS04].

However, unlike holomorphic functions, quaternionic regular functions are not closed under multiplication—a direct consequence of the non-commutativity of the quaternions. Specifically, if f and g are quaternionic regular, neither fg nor gf is necessarily regular. This fundamental obstruction has been a major challenge in the development of the theory.

The k -Cauchy–Fueter operator $\mathcal{D}^{(k)}$ (see Definition (2.4)) originates in physics as the elliptic version of spin- $k/2$ massless field operators over Minkowski spacetime [CMW16, EPW80, PR84, PR86]. Functions annihilated by the k -Cauchy–Fueter operator are referred to as k -regular functions, which can be viewed as a generalization of Fueter’s theory of regular functions. Indeed, there exists a one-to-one correspondence τ (see Definition (2.5)) between 1-regular functions and quaternionic regular functions. Kang and Wang [KW13] derived a Taylor expansion for k -regular functions via the Penrose integral formula. A systematic introduction to k -Cauchy–Fueter operators and k -regular functions can be found in [Wang10, Wang15, RZ23].

The central question addressed in this work is how to overcome the lack of multiplicative closure among regular functions in order to construct new ones. By examining k -regular polynomials, we introduce a pair of multiplication-like operations, L_0 and L_1 (see Section 3), which generate k -regular functions from $(k+1)$ -regular functions. More precisely,

Theorem 1.1. *Let Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^4 , $k \geq 0$ and f, g be $(k+1)$ -regular on Ω . Then $L_0 f + L_1 g$ is a k -regular function. In particular, if f, g are 2-regular on Ω , then the function $\tau(L_0 f + L_1 g)$ is quaternionic regular. If f, g are 1-regular on Ω , then $L_0 f + L_1 g$ is harmonic.*

Having established this theorem, a natural question arises: does its converse hold? That is, given any complex-valued harmonic function h on a domain Ω , can one find 1-regular functions f and g such that $h = L_0 f + L_1 g$?

We establish a sufficient condition for this to be true.

Theorem 1.2. *Let Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^4 with $H^3(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) = 0$ and $0 \notin \Omega$. Then for any harmonic function $h \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, there is a pair of 1-regular functions f and g such that*

$$h = L_0 f + L_1 g.$$

More precisely, there exists $f_0, f_1, g_0, g_1 \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$(1.1) \quad h = (x_0 + x_1 i) f_0 - (x_2 + x_3 i) f_1 + (x_2 - x_3 i) g_0 + (x_0 - x_1 i) g_1$$

and $D(f_0 + \mathbf{j} f_1) = D(g_0 + \mathbf{j} g_1) = 0$.

As observed in (1.1), the right-hand side equals zero at the origin, whereas the left-hand side does not necessarily vanish there. This is one reason why we require $0 \notin \Omega$. More specifically, if we take $h = 1$, Theorem 1.2 yields a decomposition of the constant function 1 on certain domains excluding the origin.

It should also be noted that Theorem 1.2 imposes a topological condition on Ω , namely $H^3(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) = 0$. This condition is used here to solve the 2-Cauchy–Fueter equation. In fact, by constructing a new acyclic resolution of the sheaf $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ of 2-regular functions (see Section 4), we provide a complete topological characterization of the solvability of the 2-Cauchy–Fueter equation.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be a domain. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) $H^3(\Omega, \mathbb{R}) = 0$.
- (2) $H^1(\Omega, \mathcal{R}^{(2)}) = 0$. *Equivalent, the 2-Cauchy–Fueter equation is solvable on Ω .*
- (3) *For every real-valued harmonic function $h \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, there exists a quaternionic regular function $f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{H})$ such that $\operatorname{Re} f = h$.*
- (4) $H^1(\Omega, \tilde{\mathcal{R}}) = 0$, *where $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ is the subsheaf of quaternionic regular functions taking values in $\operatorname{Im}(\mathbb{H})$.*

It is also noteworthy that the equivalent description (3) can be viewed as a unification of the following two established theorems.

Theorem 1.4. (1) *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ be a domain, for every real-valued harmonic function $h \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{R})$, there exists a holomorphic function $f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ such that $\operatorname{Re} f = h$ if and only if Ω is simply connected.*
(2) [Nono86] *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{H}$ be a domain, for every complex-valued harmonic function $h \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$, there exists complex-valued harmonic function $g \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{H})$ such that $h + \mathbf{j}g$ is quaternionic regular if and only if Ω is domain of holomorphy in a suitable variable change.*

The organization of this paper is as follows. Section 2 covers the necessary background on the Cauchy–Fueter and k -Cauchy–Fueter operators. In Section 3, we introduce and define the operators L_0 and L_1 , and prove Theorem 1.1. Section 4 presents a new resolution of $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$, revealing the connection between the 2-Cauchy–Fueter complex and the de Rham complex. The proof of the equivalent topological characterization for the 2-Cauchy–Fueter equation (Theorem 1.3) is given in Section 5. Finally, in Section 6, we prove the converse of Theorem 1.1 (Theorem 1.2).

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Cauchy–Fueter operator. Let \mathbb{H} be the quaternion space with the imaginary units $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}$ satisfied that

$$\mathbf{i}^2 = \mathbf{j}^2 = \mathbf{k}^2 = \mathbf{ijk} = -1.$$

Denote an element q of \mathbb{H} by

$$q = x_0 + x_1\mathbf{i} + x_2\mathbf{j} + x_3\mathbf{k}.$$

The conjugate of q is defined by $\bar{q} = x_0 - x_1\mathbf{i} - x_2\mathbf{j} - x_3\mathbf{k}$. Define the real part and image part of q by

$$\operatorname{Re} q := \frac{1}{2}(q + \bar{q}) = x_0, \quad \operatorname{Im} q := x_1\mathbf{i} + x_2\mathbf{j} + x_3\mathbf{k}.$$

The Cauchy–Fueter operator is defined as following

$$D = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + \mathbf{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} + \mathbf{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + \mathbf{k} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}.$$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be an open subset and $f \in C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{H})$. If $Df = 0$ on Ω , we call f **quaternionic regular** on Ω . It is clearly that $\Delta = D\bar{D} = \bar{D}D$, which implies that each component of a quaternionic regular function f on Ω is harmonic.

Let \mathcal{R} denote the sheaf of quaternionic regular functions on a domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$, and \tilde{R} comprising the subsheaf of those functions whose real part vanishes.

The equation $Df = g$ is called Cauchy-Fueter equation. For the solution of Cauchy-Fueter equation, we have the following Lemma.

Lemma 2.1. [CSSS04, Theorem 3.2.1] *Let Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^4 and $g \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{H})$. Then there exists $f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{H})$ such that*

$$Df = g.$$

In addition, we have $H^1(\Omega, \mathcal{R}) = 0$.

2.2. k -Cauchy-Fueter operators. We embed the quaternions ring \mathbb{H} into the ring of complex 2×2 matrices via

$$\tau(q) := \begin{pmatrix} x_0 + ix_1 & -x_2 - ix_3 \\ x_2 - ix_3 & x_0 - ix_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z_{00'} & z_{01'} \\ z_{10'} & z_{11'} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This yields operators $\nabla_{AA'}$, defined by

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{00'} & \nabla_{01'} \\ \nabla_{10'} & \nabla_{11'} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We can write smooth quaternions valued functions u, f as $u = u_0 + \mathbf{j}u_1$ and $f = f_0 + \mathbf{j}f_1$ for complex valued functions u_0, u_1, f_0 and f_1 . Then the Cauchy-Fueter equation

$$Du = f$$

is equivalent to the following equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} \nabla_{00'} & \nabla_{01'} \\ \nabla_{10'} & \nabla_{11'} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_0 \\ u_1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} f_0 \\ f_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

By raising indices, we introduce derivatives

$$\nabla_A^{A'} := \sum_{B'=0,1} \nabla_{AB'} \epsilon^{B'A'},$$

where

$$\epsilon = (\epsilon^{B'A'}) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \tau(\mathbf{j}).$$

More precisely, we have

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{pmatrix} \nabla_0^{0'} & \nabla_0^{1'} \\ \nabla_1^{0'} & \nabla_1^{1'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} - i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} & -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} + i \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Similarly, we define the matrix $(z_A^{A'})$ by

$$(2.3) \quad \begin{pmatrix} z_0^{0'} & z_0^{1'} \\ z_1^{0'} & z_1^{1'} \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} -x_2 - ix_3 & -x_0 - ix_1 \\ x_0 - ix_1 & -x_2 + ix_3 \end{pmatrix} = \tau(q\mathbf{j}).$$

Before the introduction of k -Cauchy-Fueter operator, we first establish some necessary notations. An element of \mathbb{C}^2 is denoted by

$$(f_{A'}), \quad A' = 0', 1',$$

where $f_{A'} \in \mathbb{C}$. The symmetric power $\odot^k \mathbb{C}^2$ is a subspace of $\otimes^k \mathbb{C}^2$ whose element can be expressed by

$$(f_{A'_0 \dots A'_{k-1}}), \quad A'_0, \dots, A'_{k-1} = 0, 1,$$

where $f_{A'_0 \dots A'_{k-1}} \in \mathbb{C}$ are invariant under the permutation of subscripts, i.e.

$$f_{A'_0 \dots A'_{k-1}} = f_{A'_{\sigma(0)} \dots A'_{\sigma(k-1)}}$$

for any σ in the group S_k of permutations of k letters. An element of $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \odot^k \mathbb{C}^2$ is denoted by

$$(f_{AA'_0 \dots A'_{k-1}}), \quad A, A'_0, \dots, A'_{k-1} = 0, 1,$$

where $f_{AA'_0 \dots A'_{k-1}} \in \mathbb{C}$ are invariant under the permutation of subscripts $A'_0 \dots A'_{k-1}$.

Example 2.2. Let $k = 2$. An element $f \in \odot^2 \mathbb{C}^2$ is formally given by a tube $(f_{0'0'}, f_{0'1'}, f_{1'0'}, f_{1'1'})$ which satisfies $f_{0'1'} = f_{1'0'}$.

Now we introduce the k -Cauchy-Fueter operators

$$\mathcal{D}^{(k)} : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \odot^k \mathbb{C}^2) \longrightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \odot^{k-1} \mathbb{C}^2),$$

defined by

$$(2.4) \quad (\mathcal{D}^{(k)} f)_{AA'_0 \dots A'_{k-2}} := \begin{cases} \sum_{A'=0',1'} \nabla_A^{A'} f_{A'}, & k = 1, \\ \sum_{A'=0',1'} \nabla_A^{A'} f_{A'A'_0 \dots A'_{k-2}}, & k \geq 2. \end{cases}$$

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be an open subset and $f \in C^1(\Omega, \odot^k \mathbb{C}^2)$. If $\mathcal{D}^{(k)} f = 0$ on Ω , we call f **k -regular** on Ω . If f is harmonic on Ω , then we call f 0-regular on Ω .

Example 2.3. (1) Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be an open subset. Then function $f \in C^1(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^2)$ is a 1-regular function on Ω if and only if

$$(2.5) \quad \tau(f) := \mathbf{j}(f_0 + \mathbf{j}f_1)$$

is a quaternionic regular function on Ω .

(2) We can provide an explicit expression for 2-regular functions. If $f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \odot^2 \mathbb{C}^2)$ is 2-regular, then f satisfies the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_0^{0'} f_{0'0'} + \nabla_0^{1'} f_{1'0'} &= 0, & \nabla_1^{0'} f_{0'0'} + \nabla_1^{1'} f_{1'0'} &= 0, \\ \nabla_0^{0'} f_{0'1'} + \nabla_0^{1'} f_{1'1'} &= 0, & \nabla_1^{0'} f_{0'1'} + \nabla_1^{1'} f_{1'1'} &= 0, & f_{0'1'} &= f_{1'0'}. \end{aligned}$$

(3) Consider a quaternionic regular function $F = F_1 \mathbf{i} + F_2 \mathbf{j} + F_3 \mathbf{k}$ with real-valued components F_1, F_2, F_3 . We define a smooth function $f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \odot^2 \mathbb{C}^2)$ by

$$f_{0'0'} = F_2 - iF_3, \quad f_{0'1'} = f_{1'0'} = -iF_1, \quad f_{1'1'} = F_2 + iF_3.$$

Then, f is 2-regular.

Let $k \geq 2$. Denote by $\mathcal{R}^{(k)}$ the sheaf of k -regular functions over domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$. Denote by complex vector spaces

$$V_0^{(k)} = \odot^k \mathbb{C}^2, \quad V_1^{(k)} = \odot^{k-1} \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2, \quad V_2^{(k)} = \odot^{k-2} \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \Lambda^2 \mathbb{C}^2 \cong \odot^{k-2} \mathbb{C}^2.$$

For fixed $\alpha = 0, 1, 2$, denote by $E_\alpha^{(k)}$ the trivial bundle over Ω whose fiber is $V_\alpha^{(k)}$. Denote by $\mathcal{V}_\alpha^{(k)}$ the sheaf of $E_\alpha^{(k)}$. There is a acyclic resolution of the sheaf $\mathcal{R}^{(k)}$ [Wang10]:

$$(2.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{(k)} \xrightarrow{i} \mathcal{V}_0^{(k)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}^{(k)}} \mathcal{V}_1^{(k)} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{D}_1^{(k)}} \mathcal{V}_2^{(k)} \rightarrow 0.$$

Here i is the embedding operator and the operator

$$\mathcal{D}_1^{(k)} : C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \odot^{k-1} \mathbb{C}^2) \longrightarrow C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^4, \odot^{k-2} \mathbb{C}^2),$$

is defined by

$$(2.7) \quad (\mathcal{D}_1^{(k)} f)_{A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}} = \begin{cases} \sum_{A'=0',1'} (\nabla_0^{A'} f_{1A'} - \nabla_1^{A'} f_{0A'}), & k = 2, \\ \sum_{A'=0',1'} (\nabla_0^{A'} f_{1A'A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}} - \nabla_1^{A'} f_{0A'A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}}), & k \geq 3. \end{cases}$$

Since the resolution (2.6) is acyclic, we have

$$H^1(\Omega, \mathcal{R}^{(k)}) \cong \frac{\text{Ker } \mathcal{D}_1^{(k)}}{\text{Im } \mathcal{D}^{(k)}}.$$

3. THE OPERATOR L_0 AND L_1

In this section, we introduce a pair of multiplication-like operator L_0, L_1 and provide a proof of Theorem 1.1.

Sudbery [Sudb79] observed that if f is a quaternionic regular, then qf is harmonic. Similarly, for a 1-regular function (f_0, f_1) , the function $q\mathbf{j} \cdot (f_0 + \mathbf{j}f_1)$ is also harmonic. Consider the embedding of $q\mathbf{j}$ from \mathbb{H} to $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$, defined by

$$\tau(q\mathbf{j}) = \begin{pmatrix} z_{01'} & -z_{00'} \\ z_{11'} & -z_{10'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} z_0^{0'} & z_0^{1'} \\ z_1^{0'} & z_1^{1'} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then functions $z_0^{0'} f_0 + z_0^{1'} f_1$ and $z_1^{0'} f_0 + z_1^{1'} f_1$ are harmonic whenever (f_0, f_1) is 1-regular. Motivated by these facts, we define a pair of operator as follows:

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} L_0(f_0, f_1) &:= z_0^{0'} f_0 + z_0^{1'} f_1 = (-x_2 - x_3 i) f_0 + (-x_0 - x_1 i) f_1, \\ L_1(f_0, f_1) &:= z_1^{0'} f_0 + z_1^{1'} f_1 = (x_0 - x_1 i) f_0 + (-x_2 + x_3 i) f_1. \end{aligned}$$

The definition of operators L_0 and L_1 can be naturally extended to the spaces $C^\infty(\Omega, \odot^k \mathbb{C}^2)$ and $C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \odot^k \mathbb{C}^2)$.

Definition 3.1. Let Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^4 . Operators L_0, L_1 are defined on $C^\infty(\Omega, \odot^k \mathbb{C}^2)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} L_0, L_1 : C^\infty(\Omega, \odot^k \mathbb{C}^2) &\longrightarrow C^\infty(\Omega, \odot^{k-1} \mathbb{C}^2), \\ (L_0 f)_{A'_0 \dots A'_{k-2}} &:= z_0^{0'} f_{0'A'_0 \dots A'_{k-2}} + z_0^{1'} f_{1'A'_0 \dots A'_{k-2}}, \\ (L_1 f)_{A'_0 \dots A'_{k-2}} &:= z_1^{0'} f_{0'A'_0 \dots A'_{k-2}} + z_1^{1'} f_{1'A'_0 \dots A'_{k-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, on the space $C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \odot^k \mathbb{C}^2)$, operators L_0, L_1 are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} L_0, L_1 : C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \odot^k \mathbb{C}^2) &\longrightarrow C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \odot^{k-1} \mathbb{C}^2), \\ (L_0 f)_{AA'_0 \dots A'_{k-2}} &:= z_0^{0'} f_{A0'A'_0 \dots A'_{k-2}} + z_0^{1'} f_{A1'A'_0 \dots A'_{k-2}}, \\ (L_1 f)_{AA'_0 \dots A'_{k-2}} &:= z_1^{0'} f_{A0'A'_0 \dots A'_{k-2}} + z_1^{1'} f_{A1'A'_0 \dots A'_{k-2}}. \end{aligned}$$

The following properties of operators L_0 and L_1 are important.

Proposition 3.2. Operator L_0 and L_1 satisfy the following properties:

- (1) $L_0 L_1 = L_1 L_0$.
- (2) For $j = 0, 1$ and any $k \geq 2$, the following intertwining relation holds:

$$\mathcal{D}^{(k-1)} L_j = L_j \mathcal{D}^{(k)}.$$

Proof. We first prove part (1). Let $f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \odot^2 \mathbb{C}^2)$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.2) \quad L_0 L_1 f &= z_0^{0'}(L_1 f)_{0'} + z_0^{1'}(L_1 f)_{1'} \\
 &= z_0^{0'}(z_1^{0'} f_{0'0'} + z_1^{1'} f_{1'0'}) + z_0^{1'}(z_1^{0'} f_{0'1'} + z_1^{1'} f_{1'1'}) \\
 &= z_1^{0'}(z_0^{0'} f_{0'0'} + z_0^{1'} f_{1'0'}) + z_1^{1'}(z_0^{0'} f_{0'1'} + z_0^{1'} f_{1'1'}) \\
 &= z_1^{0'}(L_0 f)_{0'} + z_1^{1'}(L_0 f)_{1'} = L_1 L_0 f.
 \end{aligned}$$

This establishes the result for the case $k = 2$.

The proof of cases $k \geq 3$ and $f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \odot^k \mathbb{C}^2)$ follows from the applications of (3.2) on the term $(L_0 L_1 f)_{A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}}$. More precisely, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (L_0 L_1 f)_{A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}} &= z_0^{0'}(z_1^{0'} f_{0'0' A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}} + z_1^{1'} f_{1'0' A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}}) + z_0^{1'}(z_1^{0'} f_{0'1' A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}} + z_1^{1'} f_{1'1' A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}}) \\
 &= z_1^{0'}(z_0^{0'} f_{0'0' A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}} + z_0^{1'} f_{1'0' A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}}) + z_1^{1'}(z_0^{0'} f_{0'1' A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}} + z_0^{1'} f_{1'1' A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}}) \\
 &= (L_1 L_0 f)_{A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

The proof for the cases $k \geq 2$ and $f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \odot^k \mathbb{C}^2)$ proceeds similarly.

Now we prove the assertion (2). Consider the case $k = 2$ firstly. Assume that

$$f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \odot^2 \mathbb{C}^2).$$

It follows from directly calculations that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (3.3) \quad (\mathcal{D}^{(1)}(L_j f))_A &= \nabla_A^{0'}(L_j f)_{0'} + \nabla_A^{1'}(L_j f)_{1'} \\
 &= \nabla_A^{0'}(z_j^{0'} f_{0'0'} + z_j^{1'} f_{1'0'}) + \nabla_A^{1'}(z_j^{0'} f_{0'1'} + z_j^{1'} f_{1'1'}).
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $j = 0, 1$. Recall from the definition of $\nabla_A^{B'}$ (2.2) and $z_j^{k'}$ (2.3) that

$$(3.4) \quad \nabla_A^{B'} z_j^{k'} = 2\epsilon_{Aj}^{Bk}.$$

Then equations (3.3) and (3.4) imply that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{D}^{(1)}(L_j f))_A &= z_j^{0'} \nabla_A^{0'} f_{0'0'} + z_j^{1'} \nabla_A^{0'} f_{1'0'} + z_j^{0'} \nabla_A^{1'} f_{0'1'} + z_j^{1'} \nabla_A^{1'} f_{1'1'} + 2\delta_{Aj}^{01} f_{1'0'} + 2\delta_{Aj}^{10} f_{0'1'} \\
 &= z_j^{0'} \nabla_A^{0'} f_{0'0'} + z_j^{1'} \nabla_A^{0'} f_{1'0'} + z_j^{0'} \nabla_A^{1'} f_{0'1'} + z_j^{1'} \nabla_A^{1'} f_{1'1'} \\
 &= z_j^{0'} (\mathcal{D}^{(2)} f)_{A0'} + z_j^{1'} (\mathcal{D}^{(2)} f)_{A1'} = (L_j \mathcal{D}^{(2)} f)_A.
 \end{aligned}$$

This proves the result for the case $k = 2$.

Now we assume that $f \in C^\infty(\Omega, \odot^k \mathbb{C}^2)$ for some $k > 2$. It follows from directly calculations that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{D}^{(k-1)}(L_j f))_{AA'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}} &= z_j^{0'} \nabla_A^{0'} f_{0'0' A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}} + z_j^{1'} \nabla_A^{0'} f_{1'0' A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}} + z_j^{0'} \nabla_A^{1'} f_{0'1' A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}} \\
 &\quad + z_j^{1'} \nabla_A^{1'} f_{1'1' A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}} + 2\delta_{Aj}^{01} f_{1'0' A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}} + 2\delta_{Aj}^{10} f_{0'1' A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}} \\
 &= z_j^{0'} (\mathcal{D}^{(k)} f)_{A0' A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}} + z_j^{1'} (\mathcal{D}^{(k)} f)_{A1' A'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}} \\
 &= (L_j \mathcal{D}^{(k)} f)_{AA'_0 \dots A'_{k-3}}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $A'_0, \dots, A'_{k-3} = 0', 1'$. This completes the proof. \square

We conclude this section by proving Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We begin with the case where $k > 0$ and f, g are $(k+1)$ -regular functions. It follows from Proposition 3.2 that

$$\mathcal{D}^{(k)}(L_0 f + L_1 g) = L_0 \mathcal{D}^{(k+1)} f + L_1 \mathcal{D}^{(k+1)} g = 0.$$

This proves the case $k > 0$. The proof of case $k = 0$ follows directly from the results of Sudbery [Sudb79]. \square

4. A NEW RESOLUTION OF SHEAF $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$

In this section, we present a new resolution of the sheaf $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$ to reveal the relationship between its cohomology groups and de Rham cohomology. Before constructing the resolution, we first establish the necessary notations and preliminary lemmas.

Let $*$ denote the Hodge star operator associated with the Euclidean metric. A complex valued 2-form f is said to be self-dual if $*f = f$ and anti-self-dual if $*f = -f$. Let Λ_+^2 and Λ_-^2 be the vector bundles of self-dual and anti-self-dual forms over \mathbb{R}^4 , respectively. We focus on the anti-self-dual bundle Λ_-^2 , which is a trivial rank-3 bundle over \mathbb{R}^4 . A trivialization is given by the following global sections:

$$(4.1) \quad dx_0 \wedge dx_1 - dx_2 \wedge dx_3, \quad dx_0 \wedge dx_2 + dx_1 \wedge dx_3, \quad \text{and} \quad dx_0 \wedge dx_3 - dx_1 \wedge dx_2.$$

The following lemma is crucial for this article.

Lemma 4.1. *Let $U \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be a domain. If $H^3(U, \mathbb{R}) = 0$, then for any complex valued closed 3-form g on U , there exists $f \in C^\infty(U, \Lambda_-^2)$ such that $df = g$.*

Proof. Let g be a complex valued closed 3-form on U . Then there exists complex valued 2-form u such that $du = g$. Denote by

$$u = u_{01}dx_0 \wedge dx_1 + u_{02}dx_0 \wedge dx_2 + u_{03}dx_0 \wedge dx_3 + u_{12}dx_1 \wedge dx_2 + u_{13}dx_1 \wedge dx_3 + u_{23}dx_2 \wedge dx_3.$$

Note that $d(u + dF) = g$ holds for any 1-form F . Our goal is to find a 1-form

$$F = F_0dx_0 + F_1dx_1 + F_2dx_2 + F_3dx_3$$

such that

$$(4.2) \quad u + dF \in C^\infty(U, \Lambda_-^2).$$

It follows from directly calculations that

$$\begin{aligned} u + dF = & \left(u_{01} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}F_1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}F_0 \right) dx_0 \wedge dx_1 + \left(u_{02} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}F_2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}F_0 \right) dx_0 \wedge dx_2 \\ & + \left(u_{03} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}F_3 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}F_0 \right) dx_0 \wedge dx_3 + \left(u_{12} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}F_2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}F_1 \right) dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \\ & + \left(u_{13} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}F_3 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}F_1 \right) dx_1 \wedge dx_3 + \left(u_{23} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}F_3 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}F_2 \right) dx_2 \wedge dx_3. \end{aligned}$$

Then equation (4.2) is equivalent to the following equations:

$$(4.3) \quad \begin{cases} -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}F_0 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}F_1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}F_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}F_3 = -u_{01} - u_{23}, \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}F_0 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}F_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}F_2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}F_3 = u_{13} - u_{02}, \\ -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}F_0 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}F_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}F_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}F_3 = -u_{03} - u_{12}. \end{cases}$$

We claim that the solution of (4.3) is closed related to the solution of Cauchy-Fueter equation. To establish this relation, we denote the real and imaginary parts of 2-form u by $u^{(1)}$ and $u^{(2)}$, respectively. Let $F^{(1)}$ and $F^{(2)}$ be solutions of Cauchy-Fueter equations

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{aligned} DF^{(1)} &= -(u_{01}^{(1)} + u_{23}^{(1)})\mathbf{i} + (u_{13}^{(1)} - u_{02}^{(1)})\mathbf{j} - (u_{03}^{(1)} + u_{12}^{(1)})\mathbf{k}, \\ DF^{(2)} &= -(u_{01}^{(2)} + u_{23}^{(2)})\mathbf{i} + (u_{13}^{(2)} - u_{02}^{(2)})\mathbf{j} - (u_{03}^{(2)} + u_{12}^{(2)})\mathbf{k}, \end{aligned}$$

respectively. Lemma 2.1 implies that equations (4.4) are always solvable on domain U . We can express equations (4.4) as a system of equations as following:

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} F_0^{(j)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} F_1^{(j)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} F_2^{(j)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} F_3^{(j)} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} F_0^{(j)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} F_1^{(j)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} F_2^{(j)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} F_3^{(j)} = -u_{01}^{(j)} - u_{23}^{(j)}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} F_0^{(j)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} F_1^{(j)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} F_2^{(j)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} F_3^{(j)} = u_{13}^{(j)} - u_{02}^{(j)}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} F_0^{(j)} - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} F_1^{(j)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} F_2^{(j)} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} F_3^{(j)} = -u_{03}^{(j)} - u_{12}^{(j)}. \end{cases}$$

Here $F_i^{(j)}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3, j = 1, 2$, are real valued functions and

$$F^{(j)} = F_0^{(j)} + F_1^{(j)} \mathbf{i} + F_2^{(j)} \mathbf{j} + F_3^{(j)} \mathbf{k}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

Then we can take 1-form \tilde{F} as following:

$$\tilde{F}_0 = -F_0^{(1)} - iF_0^{(2)}, \quad \tilde{F}_1 = F_1^1 + iF_1^{(2)}, \quad \tilde{F}_2 = F_2^1 + iF_2^{(2)}, \quad \tilde{F}_3 = F_3^1 + iF_3^{(2)}.$$

It follows from equation (4.5) that \tilde{F} is a solution of equation (4.3). This completes the proof. \square

We now present a new resolution of the sheaf $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^4$ be a domain. Denote by \mathcal{E}^i the sheaf of complex-valued differential i -forms over Ω . Denote by \mathcal{E}_-^2 the sheaf of sections of the vector bundle Λ_-^2 over Ω . We have the following acyclic resolution of the sheaf $\mathcal{R}^{(2)}$:*

$$(4.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{R}^{(2)} \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathcal{E}_-^2 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^3 \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{E}^4 \rightarrow 0.$$

Here d is the exterior differentiation operator and η is defined by

$$(4.7) \quad \eta_U(f) := f_{0'0'} d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} + f_{0'1'} (-d\bar{z}_0^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} + d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{1'}) - f_{1'1'} d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{0'}$$

for any open set $U \subset \Omega$ and any $f \in C^\infty(U, \odot^2 \mathbb{C}^2)$. Hence we have

$$(4.8) \quad H^1(\Omega, \mathcal{R}^{(2)}) \cong \frac{\text{Ker}\{d : \mathcal{E}^3(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^4(\Omega)\}}{\text{Im}\{d : \mathcal{E}_-^2(\Omega) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}^3(\Omega)\}}.$$

Proof. Firstly, we will show that the image of η_U belongs to $C^\infty(U, \Lambda_-^2)$ for fixed open subset U . Recall from the definition of $z_A^{A'}$ (2.3). It follows from directly calculations that

$$(4.9) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{0'0'} d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} &= f_{0'0'} (-dx_0 + idx_1) \wedge (-dx_2 - idx_3) \\ &= f_{0'0'} (dx_0 \wedge dx_2 + dx_1 \wedge dx_3 + i(dx_0 \wedge dx_3 - dx_1 \wedge dx_2)). \end{aligned}$$

Then equation (4.1) implies that

$$f_{0'0'} d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} \in C^\infty(U, \Lambda_-^2).$$

Similarly, we can check that

$$(4.10) \quad f_{1'1'} d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{0'} = f_{1'1'} (-dx_0 \wedge dx_2 - dx_1 \wedge dx_3 + i(dx_0 \wedge dx_3 - dx_1 \wedge dx_2)) \in C^\infty(U, \Lambda_-^2).$$

It follows from directly calculations that

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} &f_{0'1'} (-d\bar{z}_0^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} + d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{1'}) \\ &= f_{0'1'} (-(-dx_2 + idx_3) \wedge (-dx_2 - idx_3) + (dx_0 + idx_1) \wedge (-dx_0 + idx_1)) \\ &= 2if_{0'1'} (dx_0 \wedge dx_1 - dx_2 \wedge dx_3) \in C^\infty(U, \Lambda_-^2). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that $\eta_U(f) \in C^\infty(U, \Lambda_-^2)$.

By the definition of a resolution of a sheaf [Wells08], we only need to show that the sequence (4.6) is exact. We will divide the proof into the following steps.

Step 1: For any $x \in \Omega$, there is a neighborhood U of x such that η_U is injective.

Assume that there exists $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(U, \odot^2 \mathbb{C}^2)$ such that

$$f_1 \neq f_2, \quad \eta_U(f_1) = \eta_U(f_2).$$

It follows from the definition of η_U (4.7) that

$$(f_1)_{0'0'} = (f_2)_{0'0'}, \quad (f_1)_{0'1'} = (f_2)_{0'1'}, \quad (f_1)_{1'1'} = (f_2)_{1'1'}, \quad (f_1)_{1'0'} \neq (f_2)_{1'0'}.$$

However, the fact that $f_1, f_2 \in C^\infty(U, \odot^2 \mathbb{C}^2)$ implies that

$$(f_1)_{0'1'} = (f_1)_{1'0'}, \quad (f_2)_{0'1'} = (f_2)_{1'0'},$$

a contradiction.

Step 2: For any $x \in \Omega$, there is a neighborhood U of x such that

$$\text{Ker } d \cap C^\infty(U, \Lambda_-^2) = \text{Im } \eta_U.$$

It follows from (4.9) (4.10) and (4.11) that differential forms

$$d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'}, \quad -d\bar{z}_0^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} + d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{1'}, \quad d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{0'}$$

form a basis at each fiber space of Λ_-^2 . Then we can denote an element $f \in C^\infty(U, \Lambda_-^2)$ by

$$f = f_0 d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} + f_1 (-d\bar{z}_0^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} + d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{1'}) - f_2 d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{0'}.$$

Note that the action of exterior differential operator d on a smooth function g can be represented as follows:

$$2dg = \nabla_0^{0'} g d\bar{z}_0^{0'} + \nabla_0^{1'} g d\bar{z}_0^{1'} + \nabla_1^{0'} g d\bar{z}_1^{0'} + \nabla_1^{1'} g d\bar{z}_1^{1'}.$$

Assume that $f \in \text{Ker } d \cap C^\infty(U, \Lambda_-^2)$. It follows from directly calculations that

$$\begin{aligned} 2df &= \nabla_0^{0'} f_0 d\bar{z}_0^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} + \nabla_1^{0'} f_0 d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} \\ &\quad - \nabla_0^{1'} f_1 d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} - \nabla_1^{1'} f_1 d\bar{z}_1^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} \\ &\quad + \nabla_0^{0'} f_1 d\bar{z}_0^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{1'} + \nabla_1^{1'} f_1 d\bar{z}_1^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \\ &\quad - \nabla_0^{1'} f_2 d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{0'} - \nabla_1^{1'} f_2 d\bar{z}_1^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{0'}. \end{aligned}$$

By exchanging the order of terms in the above equation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2df &= \nabla_0^{0'} f_0 d\bar{z}_0^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} - \nabla_0^{1'} f_1 d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} \\ &\quad + \nabla_1^{0'} f_0 d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} + \nabla_1^{1'} f_1 d\bar{z}_1^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \\ &\quad + \nabla_0^{0'} f_1 d\bar{z}_0^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{1'} - \nabla_0^{1'} f_2 d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{0'} \\ &\quad - \nabla_1^{0'} f_1 d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} - \nabla_1^{1'} f_2 d\bar{z}_1^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{0'}. \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = 2df &= (\nabla_0^{0'} f_0 + \nabla_0^{1'} f_1) d\bar{z}_0^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} + (\nabla_1^{0'} f_0 + \nabla_1^{1'} f_1) d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{1'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'} \\ &\quad + (\nabla_0^{0'} f_1 + \nabla_0^{1'} f_2) d\bar{z}_0^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{1'} - (\nabla_1^{0'} f_1 + \nabla_1^{1'} f_2) d\bar{z}_1^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_0^{0'} \wedge d\bar{z}_1^{1'}. \end{aligned}$$

Then equations

$$(4.12) \quad \nabla_A^{0'} f_0 + \nabla_A^{1'} f_1 = 0, \quad \nabla_A^{0'} f_1 + \nabla_A^{1'} f_2 = 0,$$

hold for any $A = 0, 1$.

Now we need to find $\tilde{f} \in C^\infty(U, \odot^2 \mathbb{C}^2) \cap \text{Ker } \mathcal{D}^{(2)}$ such that $\eta_U(\tilde{f}) = f$. We take \tilde{f} as follows:

$$\tilde{f}_{0'0'} = f_0, \quad \tilde{f}_{0'1'} = \tilde{f}_{1'0'} = f_1, \quad \tilde{f}_{1'1'} = f_2.$$

It is clearly that $\eta_U(\tilde{f}) = f$. The fact that $\tilde{f} \in \text{Ker } \mathcal{D}^{(2)}$ follows from equation (4.12)

Step 3: For any $x \in \Omega$, there is a neighborhood U of x such that for any complex valued closed 3-form g on U , there exists $f \in C^\infty(U, \Lambda_-^2)$ such that $df = g$.

By taking U to be a convex neighborhood of x in Lemma 4.1, the proof of Step 3 follows directly from Lemma 4.1.

Step 4: For any $x \in \Omega$, there is a neighborhood U of x such that for any complex valued 4-form g on U , there exists a complex valued 3-form f such that $df = g$.

The proof of **Step 4** follows from the well known Poincaré Lemma. Finally, since the sheaf \mathcal{E} is fine, the resolution is acyclic and equation (4.8) holds. \square

5. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.3, which begins with the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Let Ω be a domain of \mathbb{R}^4 and f_0, f_1, f_2 be three real valued functions on Ω . Let F be an anti-self-dual 2-form defined by*

$$F = f_1(dx_0 \wedge dx_1 - dx_2 \wedge dx_3) + f_2(dx_0 \wedge dx_2 + dx_1 \wedge dx_3) + f_3(dx_0 \wedge dx_3 - dx_1 \wedge dx_2).$$

Then we have

$$(5.1) \quad D(f_1 \mathbf{i} + f_2 \mathbf{j} + f_3 \mathbf{k}) = (dF)_{123} - (dF)_{023} \mathbf{i} + (dF)_{013} \mathbf{j} - (dF)_{012} \mathbf{k}.$$

Here each $(dF)_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ is the component of dF with respect to the form $dx_\alpha \wedge dx_\beta \wedge dx_\gamma$.

Proof. It follows from directly calculations that the left hand side of equation (5.1) equals to

$$(5.2) \quad \begin{aligned} D(f_1 \mathbf{i} + f_2 \mathbf{j} + f_3 \mathbf{k}) &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f_1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} f_2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} f_3 \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f_1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} f_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} f_3 \right) \mathbf{i} \\ &\quad + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} f_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f_2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f_3 \right) \mathbf{j} \\ &\quad + \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} f_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f_2 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f_3 \right) \mathbf{k}. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 dF = & \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f_1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} f_2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} f_3 \right) dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \\
 & + \left(-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} f_2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} f_3 \right) dx_0 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \\
 & + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} f_1 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f_2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f_3 \right) dx_0 \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_3 \\
 & + \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} f_1 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} f_2 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} f_3 \right) dx_0 \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{5.3}$$

It follows from (5.2) and (5.3) that the equation (5.1) holds true. This completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The proof of the equivalence (3) \Leftrightarrow (4) closely parallels the complex case treated in Theorem 1.4. Consider the short exact sequence of sheaves:

$$0 \rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{R}} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{R} \xrightarrow{\text{Re}} \mathcal{H} \rightarrow 0,$$

where \mathcal{R} denotes the sheaf of quaternionic regular functions over Ω , and \mathcal{H} the sheaf of real-valued harmonic functions over Ω . Exactness at \mathcal{H} follows from the fact that every real-valued harmonic function is locally the real part of a quaternionic regular function [Sudb79, Theorem 4]. This induces the long exact sequence in cohomology:

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(\Omega, \tilde{\mathcal{R}}) \rightarrow H^0(\Omega, \mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{\text{Re}} H^0(\Omega, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^1(\Omega, \tilde{\mathcal{R}}) \rightarrow H^1(\Omega, \mathcal{R}) \rightarrow \dots$$

Recall from Lemma 2.1 that $H^1(\Omega, \mathcal{R}) = 0$. Hence, the map $H^0(\Omega, \mathcal{R}) \xrightarrow{\text{Re}} H^0(\Omega, \mathcal{H})$ is surjective if and only if $H^1(\Omega, \tilde{\mathcal{R}}) = 0$, which is precisely the equivalence (3) \Leftrightarrow (4).

The proof of (1) \Rightarrow (2) follows from Proposition 4.2 and Lemma 4.1.

Next, we will prove (2) \Rightarrow (3). Let h be a real valued harmonic function on Ω . Then the existence of quaternionic regular function f with $\text{Re } f = h$ is equivalent to the fact that there exists solutions f_1, f_2, f_3 of equation

$$D(f_1 \mathbf{i} + f_2 \mathbf{j} + f_3 \mathbf{k}) = -Dh. \tag{5.4}$$

By Lemma 5.1, we need to find an anti-self-dual 2-form F such that

$$(dF)_{123} - (dF)_{023} \mathbf{i} + (dF)_{013} \mathbf{j} - (dF)_{012} \mathbf{k} = -Dh.$$

More precisely, F is required to satisfy that

$$(dF)_{123} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} h, \quad (dF)_{023} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} h, \quad (dF)_{013} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} h, \quad (dF)_{012} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} h., \tag{5.5}$$

or equivalently,

$$dF = H = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0} h dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} h dx_0 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} h dx_0 \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_3 - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3} h dx_0 \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2.$$

Note that

$$dH = \Delta h dx_0 \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 = 0.$$

Then the condition $H^1(\Omega, \mathcal{R}^{(2)}) = 0$ implies that there exists $\tilde{F} \in C^\infty(\Omega, \Lambda_-^2)$ such that $d\tilde{F} = H$. It is clearly that \tilde{F} satisfies the requirement in equation (5.5). Denote by

$$\tilde{F} = \tilde{F}_1(dx_0 \wedge dx_1 - dx_2 \wedge dx_3) + \tilde{F}_2(dx_0 \wedge dx_2 + dx_1 \wedge dx_3) + \tilde{F}_3(dx_0 \wedge dx_3 - dx_1 \wedge dx_2).$$

Then Lemma 5.1 implies that function

$$h + \tilde{F}_1 \mathbf{i} + \tilde{F}_2 \mathbf{j} + \tilde{F}_3 \mathbf{k}$$

is a quaternionic regular function with real part h .

Finally, we need to prove (3) \Rightarrow (1).

Assume that g is a smooth real-valued closed 3-form. Our goal is to find a 2-form H such that $dH = g$.

Denote by d^* the formal adjoint operator of d . It is clearly that $dd^* + d^*d = -\Delta$ and

$$(dd^* + d^*d)G = - \sum_{0 \leq \alpha < \beta < \gamma \leq 3} \Delta G_{\alpha\beta\gamma} dx_\alpha \wedge dx_\beta \wedge dx_\gamma$$

holds for any 3-form G . Denote by $G_{\alpha\beta\gamma}$ the solutions of equations

$$\Delta G_{\alpha\beta\gamma} = g_{\alpha\beta\gamma}, \quad 0 \leq \alpha < \beta < \gamma \leq 3,$$

respectively. The existence of such G follows from the facts that Dirac equations are always solvable for smooth functions on domain Ω [BDS82]. Denote by

$$G = \sum_{0 \leq \alpha < \beta < \gamma \leq 3} G_{\alpha\beta\gamma} dx_\alpha \wedge dx_\beta \wedge dx_\gamma.$$

Then we have

$$-dd^*G - d^*dG = g.$$

We only need to show that there exists an H_1 such that $dH_1 = d^*dG$.

Due to the commutativity of the Laplacian Δ with the partial derivatives $\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and since $dg = 0$, it follows that $(dG)_{0123}$ is a real valued harmonic function. Assuming from (3) that there exists real valued functions F_1, F_2, F_3 such that $(dG)_{0123} + F_1 \mathbf{i} + F_2 \mathbf{j} + F_3 \mathbf{k}$ is a quaternionic regular on Ω . It follows from Lemma 5.1 that there exists an anti-self-dual 2-form \hat{F} such that

$$-D((dG)_{0123}) = (d\hat{F})_{123} - (d\hat{F})_{023}\mathbf{i} + (d\hat{F})_{013}\mathbf{j} - (d\hat{F})_{012}\mathbf{k}.$$

It is equivalent to following equations:

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}(dG)_{0123} &= -(d\hat{F})_{123}, & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}(dG)_{0123} &= (d\hat{F})_{023}, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}(dG)_{0123} &= -(d\hat{F})_{013}, & \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}(dG)_{0123} &= (d\hat{F})_{012}. \end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$(5.7) \quad \begin{aligned} d^*dG &= -\frac{\partial}{\partial x_0}(dG)_{0123}dx_1 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1}(dG)_{0123}dx_0 \wedge dx_2 \wedge dx_3 \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2}(dG)_{0123}dx_0 \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_3 + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_3}(dG)_{0123}dx_0 \wedge dx_1 \wedge dx_2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from (5.6) and (5.7) that

$$d^*dG = d\hat{F}.$$

Then we have

$$g = -(d^*d + dd^*)G = d(-\hat{F} - d^*G).$$

This completes the proof. \square

6. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

This section presents the proof of Theorem 1.2. Based on the relation between quaternionic regular functions and 1-regular functions, it suffices to establish a result concerning operators L_0, L_1 .

Lemma 6.1. *Assume that the function $h \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ satisfies that*

$$h = L_0(f_0, f_1) + L_1(g_0, g_1),$$

where functions $f = (f_0, f_1)$ and $g = (g_0, g_1)$ are 1-regular on Ω . Then there exists $F_0, F_1, G_0, G_1 \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C})$ such that

$$h = (x_0 + x_1 i)F_0 - (x_2 + x_3 i)F_1 + (x_2 - x_3 i)G_0 + (x_0 - x_1 i)G_1,$$

and

$$D(F_0 + \mathbf{j}F_1) = D(G_0 + \mathbf{j}G_1) = 0.$$

Proof. We take

$$F_0 = -f_1, \quad F_1 = f_0, \quad G_0 = -g_1, \quad G_1 = g_0.$$

It is clearly that

$$h = (x_0 + x_1 i)F_0 - (x_2 + x_3 i)F_1 + (x_2 - x_3 i)G_0 + (x_0 - x_1 i)G_1,$$

Recall from the definition of operators $\nabla_{AA'}$ (2.1) and $\nabla_A^{A'}$ (2.2). The regularity of $F_0 + \mathbf{j}F_1$ and $G_0 + \mathbf{j}G_1$ follows from equations

$$\begin{aligned} D(F_0 + \mathbf{j}F_1) &= (\nabla_{00'}F_0 + \nabla_{01'}F_1) + \mathbf{j}(\nabla_{10'}F_0 + \nabla_{11'}F_1) \\ &= (\nabla_0^{1'}f_1 + \nabla_0^{0'}f_0) + \mathbf{j}(\nabla_1^{1'}f_1 + \nabla_1^{0'}f_0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} D(G_0 + \mathbf{j}G_1) &= (\nabla_{00'}G_0 + \nabla_{01'}G_1) + \mathbf{j}(\nabla_{10'}G_0 + \nabla_{11'}G_1) \\ &= (\nabla_0^{1'}g_1 + \nabla_0^{0'}g_0) + \mathbf{j}(\nabla_1^{1'}g_1 + \nabla_1^{0'}g_0) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Lemma 6.1 implies that it suffices to prove that for any harmonic function h , there exists 1-regular functions $f = (f_0, f_1)$ and $g = (g_0, g_1)$ such that

$$(6.1) \quad h = L_0(f_0, f_1) + L_1(g_0, g_1).$$

It is clearly that

$$(6.2) \quad 2h = L_0 \left(\frac{\overline{z_0^{0'}}}{|q|^2} h, \frac{\overline{z_0^{1'}}}{|q|^2} h \right) + L_1 \left(\frac{\overline{z_1^{0'}}}{|q|^2} h, \frac{\overline{z_1^{1'}}}{|q|^2} h \right).$$

Define functions $f_X = (f_{X0}, f_{X1})$ and $g_X = (g_{X0}, g_{X1})$ by

$$(6.3) \quad (f_{X0}, f_{X1}) = \left(\frac{\overline{z_0^{0'}}}{|q|^2} h, \frac{\overline{z_0^{1'}}}{|q|^2} h \right) + L_1 X, \quad (g_{X0}, g_{X1}) = \left(\frac{\overline{z_1^{0'}}}{|q|^2} h, \frac{\overline{z_1^{1'}}}{|q|^2} h \right) - L_0 X.$$

Here $X \in C^\infty(\Omega, \odot^2 \mathbb{C}^2)$.

It follows from Proposition 3.2 that $\frac{1}{2}f_X, \frac{1}{2}g_X$ satisfy the equation (6.1). It then suffices to find X such that f_X, g_X are 1-regular on Ω . More precisely, the function X is required to satisfy following equations:

$$(6.4) \quad \mathcal{D}^{(1)}(L_1 X) = -\mathcal{D}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\overline{z_0^{0'}}}{|q|^2} h, \frac{\overline{z_0^{1'}}}{|q|^2} h \right), \quad \mathcal{D}^{(1)}(L_0 X) = \mathcal{D}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\overline{z_1^{0'}}}{|q|^2} h, \frac{\overline{z_1^{1'}}}{|q|^2} h \right).$$

By Proposition 3.2, the requirement (6.4) is equivalent to

$$(6.5) \quad L_1 \mathcal{D}^{(2)} X = -\mathcal{D}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\overline{z_0^{0'}}}{|q|^2} h, \frac{\overline{z_0^{1'}}}{|q|^2} h \right), \quad L_0 \mathcal{D}^{(2)} X = \mathcal{D}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\overline{z_1^{0'}}}{|q|^2} h, \frac{\overline{z_1^{1'}}}{|q|^2} h \right).$$

We require the explicit form of equation (6.5). Recall from the definition of $\nabla_A^{B'}$ (2.2) and $z_j^{k'}$ (2.3) that

$$(6.6) \quad \overline{z_0^{0'}} = z_1^{1'}, \quad \overline{z_0^{1'}} = -z_1^{0'}, \quad \nabla_A^{B'} |q|^2 = 2z_A^{B'}, \quad \nabla_A^{B'} z_j^{k'} = 2\delta_{Aj}^{Bk}.$$

It follows from (6.6) that

$$(6.7) \quad \begin{aligned} -\left(\mathcal{D}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\overline{z_0^{0'}}}{|q|^2} h, \frac{\overline{z_0^{1'}}}{|q|^2} h \right) \right)_A &= -\frac{z_1^{1'}}{|q|^2} \nabla_A^{0'} h + \frac{z_1^{0'}}{|q|^2} \nabla_A^{1'} h - \delta_A^0 \frac{2h}{|q|^2} = L_1 \mathcal{D}^{(2)} X, \\ \left(\mathcal{D}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\overline{z_1^{0'}}}{|q|^2} h, \frac{\overline{z_1^{1'}}}{|q|^2} h \right) \right)_A &= -\frac{z_0^{1'}}{|q|^2} \nabla_A^{0'} h + \frac{z_0^{0'}}{|q|^2} \nabla_A^{1'} h + \delta_A^1 \frac{2h}{|q|^2} = L_0 \mathcal{D}^{(2)} X, \end{aligned}$$

where $A = 0, 1$. We take $Y \in C^\infty(\Omega, \mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2)$ by

$$(6.8) \quad (Y)_{Aj'} = \sum_{k=0,1} \left(\delta_{jk}^{01} \frac{1}{|q|^2} \nabla_A^{k'} h + 2\delta_{jk}^{01} \frac{z_A^{k'}}{|q|^4} h \right).$$

It follows from directly calculations that the Y satisfies the conditions:

$$(6.9) \quad L_1 Y = -\mathcal{D}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\overline{z_0^{0'}}}{|q|^2} h, \frac{\overline{z_0^{1'}}}{|q|^2} h \right), \quad L_0 Y = \mathcal{D}^{(1)} \left(\frac{\overline{z_1^{0'}}}{|q|^2} h, \frac{\overline{z_1^{1'}}}{|q|^2} h \right).$$

If there exists X such that $\mathcal{D}^{(2)} X = Y$, then X satisfies equation (6.4) and f_X, g_X are 1-regular.

However, the solvability of $\mathcal{D}^{(2)} X = Y$ requires that $\mathcal{D}_1^{(2)} Y = 0$. Thus, our next step is to check this condition.

It follows from the definition of $\mathcal{D}_1^{(2)}$ (2.7), definition of Y (6.8) and equation (6.6) that

$$(6.10) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}_1^{(2)} Y &= \sum_{A,B,j=0,1} \delta_{AB}^{01} \nabla_A^{j'} Y_{Bj'} \\ &= \sum_{A,B,j,k=0,1} \delta_{AB}^{01} \delta_{jk}^{01} \left(\frac{1}{|q|^2} \nabla_A^{j'} \nabla_B^{k'} h + \nabla_A^{j'} \frac{1}{|q|^2} \nabla_B^{k'} h + 2 \frac{z_B^{k'}}{|q|^4} \nabla_A^{j'} h + 2h \nabla_A^{j'} \frac{z_B^{k'}}{|q|^4} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We divide the calculations of equation (6.10) into following parts. The calculations of sum of the second derivative terms of h are given as following

$$(6.11) \quad \sum_{A,B,j,k=0,1} \delta_{AB}^{01} \delta_{jk}^{01} \frac{1}{|q|^2} \nabla_A^{j'} \nabla_B^{k'} h = \frac{2}{|q|^2} (\nabla_0^{0'} \nabla_1^{1'} - \nabla_0^{1'} \nabla_1^{0'}) h = \frac{2}{|q|^2} \Delta h = 0.$$

The calculations of sum of the first derivative terms of h follows from

$$(6.12) \quad \begin{aligned} &\sum_{A,B,j,k=0,1} \delta_{AB}^{01} \delta_{jk}^{01} \left(\nabla_A^{j'} \frac{1}{|q|^2} \nabla_B^{k'} h + 2 \frac{z_B^{k'}}{|q|^4} \nabla_A^{j'} h \right) \\ &= \sum_{A,B,j,k=0,1} \delta_{AB}^{01} \delta_{jk}^{01} \left(\nabla_A^{j'} \frac{1}{|q|^2} \nabla_B^{k'} h - \nabla_B^{k'} \frac{1}{|q|^2} \nabla_A^{j'} h \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the facts that $\delta_{AB}^{01}\delta_{jk}^{01} = \delta_{BA}^{01}\delta_{kj}^{01}$ and the sum is independent of the choice of summation indices. Finally, we calculate the sum of terms of h . It follows from equation (6.6) that

$$(6.13) \quad \sum_{A,B,j,k=0,1} 2\delta_{AB}^{01}\delta_{jk}^{01}h\nabla_A^{j'}\frac{z_B^{k'}}{|q|^4} = h \sum_{A,B,j,k=0,1} \left(4\delta_{AB}^{01}\delta_{jk}^{01}\delta_{AB}^{jk}\frac{1}{|q|^4} - 8\delta_{AB}^{01}\delta_{jk}^{01}\frac{z_A^{j'}z_B^{k'}}{|q|^6} \right) \\ = 16h\frac{1}{|q|^4} - 16h\frac{z_0^{0'}z_1^{1'} - z_0^{1'}z_1^{0'}}{|q|^6} = 0.$$

It follows from (6.10), (6.11), (6.12) and (6.13) that

$$\mathcal{D}_1^{(2)}Y = 0.$$

Then Theorem 1.3 implies that there exists $X \in C^\infty(\Omega, \odot^2\mathbb{C}^2)$ such that

$$(6.14) \quad \mathcal{D}^{(2)}X = Y.$$

Combine (6.2), (6.3), (6.5), (6.9) and (6.14) to get that

$$h = \frac{1}{2}L_0 \left(\left(\frac{\overline{z_0^{0'}}}{|q|^2}h, \frac{\overline{z_0^{1'}}}{|q|^2}h \right) + L_1X \right) + \frac{1}{2} \left(\left(\frac{\overline{z_1^{0'}}}{|q|^2}h, \frac{\overline{z_1^{1'}}}{|q|^2}h \right) - L_0X \right)$$

and functions

$$\left(\frac{\overline{z_0^{0'}}}{|q|^2}h, \frac{\overline{z_0^{1'}}}{|q|^2}h \right) + L_1X, \quad \left(\frac{\overline{z_1^{0'}}}{|q|^2}h, \frac{\overline{z_1^{1'}}}{|q|^2}h \right) - L_0X$$

are 1-regular. This completes the proof. \square

REFERENCES

- [Adler86] Adler S., *Quaternionic quantum field theory*. Comm. Math. Phys. **104** (1986), no. 4, 611-656.
- [BDS82] Brackx F., Delanghe R., Sommen F., *Clifford analysis*. Research Notes in Mathematics **76**. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA, 1982.
- [CMW16] Chang D., Markina I., Wang W., *On the Hodge-type decomposition and cohomology groups of k -Cauchy-Fueter complexes over domains in the quaternionic space*. J. Geom. Phys. **107** (2016), 15-34.
- [CSSS04] Colombo F., Sabadini I., Sommen F., Struppa D., *Analysis of Dirac systems and computational algebra*. Progress in Mathematical Physics, **39**. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.
- [DRS23] Dou X., Ren G., Sabadini I., *Extension theorem and representation formula in non-axially-symmetric domains for slice regular functions*. J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **25** (2023), no. 9, 3665-3694.
- [EPW80] Eastwood M., Penrose R., Wells R., *Cohomology and massless fields*. Comm. Math. Phys. **78** (1980/81), no.3, 305-351.
- [Fuet35] Fueter R., *Die Funktionentheorie der Differentialgleichungen $\Delta u = 0$ und $\Delta\Delta u = 0$ mit vier reellen Variablen*. Commentarii Mathematici Helvetici **7**(1934), 307-330.
- [Fuet36] Fueter R., *Über die analytische Darstellung der regulären Funktionen einer Quaternionenvariablen*. Comment. Math. Helv. **8** (1935), no. 1, 371-378.
- [GS06] Gentili G., Struppa D., *A new approach to Cullen-regular functions of a quaternionic variable*. C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris **342**(2006), 741-744.
- [GS07] Gentili G., Struppa D., *A new theory of regular functions of a quaternionic variable*. Adv. Math. **216**(2007), 279-301.
- [GSS22] Gentili G., Stoppato C., Struppa D., *Regular functions of a quaternionic variable*. Second edition, Springer Monogr. Math. Springer, Cham, 2022.
- [Gilb91] Gilbert J., Murray M., *Clifford Algebras and Dirac Operators in Harmonic Analysis*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **26**. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991.
- [LY24] Li Y., *Gilbert's conjecture and a new way to octonionic analytic functions from the Clifford analysis*. J. Geom. Anal. **34** (2024), no. 7, Paper No. 205, 20 pp.
- [Hamil66] Hamilton W., *Elements of quaternions*. London, Longmans Green, 1866.

- [KW13] Kang Q., Wang W., *On Penrose integral formula and series expansion of k -regular functions on the quaternionic space \mathbb{H}^n* . J. Geom. Phys. **64** (2013), 192-208.
- [JQSW25] Jin M., Qian T., Sabadini I., Wang J., *N -best adaptive Fourier decomposition for slice hyperholomorphic functions*. Adv. Math. **480**(2025), part B, Paper No. 110498, 29 pp.
- [Lanc29] Lanczos C., *Die tensoranalytischen Beziehungen der Diracschen Gleichung*. Z. Physik **57**(1929), 447-473 .
- [Nono86] Nōno K., *Characterization of domains of holomorphy by the existence of hyper-conjugate harmonic functions*. Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **31** (1986), no. 2, 159–161.
- [PR84] Penrose R., Rindler W., *Spinors and Space-time. Vol. 1. Two-spinor calculus and relativistic fields*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1984.
- [PR86] Penrose R., Rindler W., *Spinors and Space-time. Vol. 2. Spinor and twistor methods in space-time geometry*. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986.
- [RZ23] Ren G., Zhang Y., *Hartogs phenomena for discrete k -Cauchy-Fueter operators*. J. Geom. Anal. **33** (2023), no. 8, Paper No. 248, 51 pp.
- [Sudb79] Sudbery A., *Quaternionic analysis*. Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. **85** (1979), no.2, 199-224.
- [Wang10] Wang W., *The k -Cauchy-Fueter complex, Penrose transformation and Hartogs phenomenon for quaternionic k -regular functions*. J. Geom. Phys. **60** (2010), no. 3, 513–530.
- [Wang15] Wang W., *The k -Cauchy-Fueter operators in several quaternionic variables(in Chinese)*. Sci Sin Math, **45**(2015),1791–1810.
- [Wells08] Wells R., *Differential analysis on complex manifolds*. Third edition. With a new appendix by Oscar Garcia-Prada. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, **65**. Springer, New York, 2008.

(Yong Li) SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, ANHUI NORMAL UNIVERSITY, WUHU 241002, ANHUI, PEOPLE’S REPUBLIC OF CHINA

Email address: leeey@ahnu.edu.cn

(Yuchen Zhang) INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, ACADEMY OF MATHEMATICS AND SYSTEMS SCIENCES, CHINESE ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, BEIJING 100190, CHINA

Email address, Y. Zhang: yuchen95@amss.ac.cn