

NON-INTEGRABILITY OF THE SASANO SYSTEM OF TYPE $A_5^{(2)}$

TSVETANA STOYANOVA

Faculty of Mathematics and Informatics, Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski",
5 J. Bourchier Blvd., Sofia 1164, Bulgaria, cveti@fmi.uni-sofia.bg

ABSTRACT. The Sasano system of type $A_5^{(2)}$ is a four-dimensional non-linear system of ordinary differential equations, which has an affine Weyl group of symmetries of type $A_5^{(2)}$. It is also a time dependent Hamiltonian system, which can be considered as coupled Painlevé III systems. In this paper, utilizing the Morales-Ramis-Simó theory for integrability of Hamiltonian systems, we prove rigorously that for all values of the parameters, for which the Sasano system of type $A_5^{(2)}$ admits a particular rational solution, it is non-integrable by rational first integrals.

Key words: Sasano systems, Non-integrability of Hamiltonian systems, Differential Galois theory, Whittaker equation

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34M55, 37J65, 34M40

1. INTRODUCTION

In a series of papers [20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26] Yusuke Sasano has introduced higher order nonlinear systems of ordinary differential equations, which have certain affine Weyl groups of symmetries as groups of Bäcklund transformations. All of these systems, which are called the Sasano systems, are time dependent Hamiltonian systems. The four-dimensional Sasano systems can be considered as coupled Painlevé systems. In this paper we study the integrability of the Sasano system of type $A_5^{(2)}$ given by

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x} &= \frac{2x^2y - x^2 + (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3)x}{t} - 1 + 4w + \frac{2xzw}{t}, \\ \dot{y} &= \frac{-2xy^2 + 2xy - (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3)y + \alpha_0}{t} - \frac{2yzw}{t}, \\ \dot{z} &= \frac{2z^2w - z^2 + (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3)z}{t} - 1 + 4y + \frac{2xyz}{t}, \\ \dot{w} &= \frac{-2zw^2 + 2zw - (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3)w + \alpha_1}{t} - \frac{2xyw}{t},\end{aligned}\tag{1.1}$$

where $\alpha_j, 0 \leq j \leq 3$ are complex parameters which satisfy the relation

$$\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = \frac{1}{2}.\tag{1.2}$$

The Sasano system (1.1) admits a group of Bäcklund transformations [21], which are defined by

$$\begin{aligned}
s_0 : \quad (*) &\rightarrow \left(x + \frac{\alpha_0}{y}, y, z, w, t; -\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_0, \alpha_3 \right), \\
s_1 : \quad (*) &\rightarrow \left(x, y, z + \frac{\alpha_1}{w}, w, t; \alpha_0, -\alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_1, \alpha_3 \right), \\
s_2 : \quad (*) &\rightarrow \left(x, y - \frac{\alpha_2 z}{x z + t}, z, w + \frac{\alpha_2 x}{x z + t}, t; \alpha_0 + \alpha_2, \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, -\alpha_2, \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_2 \right), \\
s_3 : \quad (*) &\rightarrow \left(x + \frac{\alpha_3}{y + w - 1}, y, z + \frac{\alpha_3}{y + w - 1}, w, t; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + \alpha_3, -\alpha_3 \right), \\
\pi : \quad (*) &\rightarrow (z, w, x, y, t; \alpha_1, \alpha_0, \alpha_2, \alpha_3),
\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

where we denote $(*) := (x, y, z, w, t; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3)$. The transformations (1.3) satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
s_i^2 &= 1, \quad i = 0, 1, 2, 3, & (s_0 s_1)^2 &= (s_0 s_3)^2 = (s_1 s_3)^2 = 1, \\
(s_0 s_2)^3 &= (s_1 s_2)^3 = 1, & (s_2 s_3)^4 &= 1, & \pi^2 &= 1.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus $s_i, i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and π generate the extended affine Weyl group $\widetilde{W}(A_5^{(2)})$.

The system (1.1) is a time-dependent Hamiltonian system of $2 + 1/2$ degrees of freedom

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial y}, \quad \frac{dy}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial x}, \quad \frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial w}, \quad \frac{dw}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial z},$$

with the polynomial Hamiltonian

$$\begin{aligned}
H &= \frac{1}{t} \left[y(y-1)x^2 + (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3)xy - \alpha_0 x - ty + \right. \\
&\quad \left. + w(w-1)z^2 + (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3)zw - \alpha_1 z - tw + 4tyw + 2xyzw \right] = \\
&= H_{III}(x, y, t; \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_0) + H_{III}(z, w, t; \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_1) + \\
&\quad + 4yw + \frac{2xyzw}{t},
\end{aligned}$$

where H_{III} is the Hamiltonian associated with the third Painlevé equation given in the form

$$H_{III}(q, p, t; a_1, a_2) = \frac{1}{t} [p(p-1)q^2 + (a_1 + a_2)qp - tp - a_2q].$$

In this way the Sasano system (1.1) can be considered as a coupled Painlevé III system. The system (1.1) can be turned into an autonomous Hamiltonian system with three degrees of freedom by introducing two new dynamical variables : t and a conjugate to it F . The new Hamiltonian becomes

$$\widehat{H} = H + F$$

and the associated Hamiltonian system is

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{dx}{ds} &= \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial y}, & \frac{dy}{ds} &= -\frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial x}, & \frac{dz}{ds} &= \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial w}, & \frac{dw}{ds} &= -\frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial z}, \\
\frac{dt}{ds} &= \frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial F}, & \frac{dF}{ds} &= -\frac{\partial \widehat{H}}{\partial t}.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

The symplectic structure Ω is canonical in the variables (x, y, z, w, t, F) , that is $\Omega = dy \wedge dx + dw \wedge dz + dF \wedge dt$.

In this paper we will prove that for all values of the parameters, for which the Sasano system (1.1) admits a particular rational solution, it is non-integrable by rational first integrals. Recall that from the theorem of Liouville-Arnold [1] this means the non-existence of three functionally independent first integrals. This article falls in the frame of the applications of the Morales-Ramis-Simó theory to the integrability of the Painlevé systems and their higher-order analogues. Roughly speaking, depending on the approach, there are three basic schools studying such kind of problems for the Painlevé systems or equations. The Japanese school, in the person of Umemura, Nishioka, Noumi and Okamoto provides the first rigorous proofs of the irreducibility of solutions of the Painlevé equations utilizing nonlinear finite-dimensional differential Galois theory [17, 18, 33]. The French school, in the person of Casale, Weil, Cantat and Loray proves the irreducibility of the Painlevé equations, not of their solutions, applying the theory on Malgrange groupoid [2, 3, 4]. The third school, to which the author belongs, proves the non-integrability of the Painlevé systems and their higher order analogues utilizing the Morales-Ramis-Simó theory for the integrability of Hamiltonian systems [5, 6, 8, 12, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32]. The Morales-Ramis-Simó theory transforms the problem of integrability of a given analytic Hamiltonian system to the problem of integrability of the variational equations along a non-equilibrium particular solution. Since the variational equations are linear ordinary differential equations, their integrability is well defined in the context of the differential Galois theory. With the present paper we continue our study on the integrability of the Sasano systems. In [31] we have proved that for all values of the parameters, for which the Sasano system of type $A_4^{(2)}$ has a particular rational solution, it is non-integrable by rational first integrals. In [32] we have provided a non-integrable result for a one-parametric family of the Sasano system of type $D_5^{(2)}$.

In [11] Matsuda classify all the rational solutions of the Sasano system of type $A_5^{(2)}$. More precisely,

Theorem 1.1. (Matsuda) *For a rational solution of the Sasano system (1.1), by some Bäcklund transformations, the solution and the parameters can be transformed so that*

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y, z, w) &= 0, 1/4, 0, 1/4 \quad \text{and} \\ (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) &= (\alpha_3/2, \alpha_3/2, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (\alpha_3/2, \alpha_3/2, 1/4 - \alpha_3, \alpha_3), \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

respectively. Furthermore, for the Sasano system (1.1), there exists a rational solution if and only if one of the following occurs:

$$\begin{aligned} -2\alpha_0 + \alpha_3 &\in \mathbb{Z}, & -2\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 &\in \mathbb{Z}, \\ -2\alpha_0 + \alpha_3 &\in \mathbb{Z}, & 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 &\in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 2\alpha_0 + \alpha_3 &\in \mathbb{Z}, & -2\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 &\in \mathbb{Z}, \\ 2\alpha_0 + \alpha_3 &\in \mathbb{Z}, & 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 &\in \mathbb{Z}, \\ -2\alpha_0 + \alpha_3 &\in \mathbb{Z}, & \alpha_3 - 1/2 &\in \mathbb{Z}, \\ -2\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 &\in \mathbb{Z}, & 2\alpha_3 - 1/2 &\in \mathbb{Z}. \end{aligned}$$

From Theorem 1.1 it follows that all rational solutions of the Sasano system of type $A_5^{(2)}$ can be obtained from the seed solution (1.5) by using the Bäcklund transformations (1.3).

Studying the first normal variational equations along the seed solution (1.5) we obtain the first key non-integrable result of this paper.

Theorem 1.2. *Assume that $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \frac{\alpha_3}{2}$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{4} - \alpha_3$, where $\alpha_3 \in \mathbb{C}$ is arbitrary, such that $4\alpha_3 - \frac{1}{2} \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then the Sasano system (1.1) is not integrable by rational first integrals.*

In the case when $4\alpha_3 - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$, using only the Morales-Ramis theorem, we cannot say if the Sasano system (1.1) is integrable or non-integrable, since the connected component of the unit element of the differential Galois group of the first normal variational equations for these values of the parameters, is Abelian. In Lemma 4.3 we prove that all rational solutions of the Sasano system of type $A_5^{(2)}$ for which $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \frac{\alpha_3}{2}$, $\alpha_2 = \frac{1}{4} - \alpha_3$ and $4\alpha_3 - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$ can be obtained from the seed solution (1.5) with $\alpha_3 = \frac{1}{8}$ using the Bäcklund transformations (1.3). Then, studying the second normal variational equations along (1.5) for $\alpha_3 = \frac{1}{8}$, we obtain the second key non-integrable result of this paper.

Theorem 1.3. *Assume that $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8})$. Then the Sasano system (1.1) is not integrable by rational first integrals.*

The Bäcklund transformations (1.3) are canonical transformations, which are rational in all dynamical variables. With the aim of this fact we can extend the results of Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 to the main theorem of this paper.

Theorem 1.4. *Assume that the Sasano system of type $A_5^{(2)}$ admits a particular rational solution. Then it is not integrable by rational first integrals.*

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section we briefly recall the basic notations and theorems from the Morales-Ramis-Simó theory and Picard-Vessiot theory. In section 3 we give a proof of Theorem 1.2. In section 4 we provide a proof of Theorem 1.3. In the last section, using Bäcklund transformations, we generalize the results of the sections 2 and 4 and establish the main result of this paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Non-integrability of Hamiltonian systems and differential Galois theory. In this section we briefly recall the Morales-Ramis theory on non-integrability of Hamiltonian systems following [13, 14, 15, 16].

Consider a complex analytical Hamiltonian system

$$\dot{x}(t) = X_H(x) \tag{2.6}$$

with a Hamiltonian $H : M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ on a complex symplectic manifold M of dimension $2n$. Recall that by the theorem of Liouville-Arnold [1] the Hamiltonian system (2.6) is completely integrable if there exist n functionally independent first integrals $f_1 = H, f_2, f_3, \dots$ and in involution. Let $x(t)$ be a non-equilibrium particular solution of (2.6). The phase curve Γ is the connected Riemann surface corresponding to this solution. The variational equations (VE) along Γ have the form

$$\dot{\xi} = \frac{\partial X_H(x(t))}{\partial x} \xi, \quad \xi \in T_\Gamma M.$$

We always can reduce the variational equations using the Hamiltonian in the following sense. Consider the normal bundle of Γ on the level variety $M_h = \{x \mid H(x) = h\}$. The projection of the variational equations on this bundle is called the first normal variational equations (NVE)₁. The dimension of the (NVE)₁ is $2n - 2$. Assume, in addition, that

$x(t)$ is a rational non-equilibrium particular solution of (2.6) and let as above Γ be the phase curve corresponding to it. Assume also that the differential field K of coefficients of the $(\text{NVE})_1$ is the field of rational functions, that is $K = \mathbb{C}(t)$ and that $t = \infty$ is an irregular singularity for the $(\text{NVE})_1$. The solutions of the $(\text{NVE})_1$ define a Picard-Vessiot extension L_1/K of the basic field K . This in its turn defines a differential Galois group $G_1 = \text{Gal}(L_1/K)$. Then the main theorem of the Morales-Ramis theory states [12, 14]

Theorem 2.1. (Morales-Ruiz and Ramis) *If the Hamiltonian system (2.6) is completely integrable by rational first integrals in a neighborhood of Γ , not necessarily independent on Γ itself, then the connected component $(G_1)^0$ of the unit element of the differential Galois group G_1 is Abelian.*

Theorem 2.1 provides a necessary condition for complete integrability and a sufficient condition for non-integrability of a given Hamiltonian system. That is, if the connected component $(G_1)^0$ of the unit element of the Galois group G_1 is not Abelian, then the corresponding Hamiltonian system (2.6) is not integrable by rational first integrals.

Unfortunately, the opposite is not true in general. That is if the connected component $(G_1)^0$ of the unit element of the differential Galois group G_1 is Abelian, one cannot deduce that the corresponding Hamiltonian system (2.6) is completely integrable. Beyond the first variational equations Morales-Ruiz, Ramis and Simó suggest in [16] to use higher order variational equations to solve such integrability problems. Let as above $x(t)$ be a rational non-equilibrium particular solution of the Hamiltonian system (2.6). We write the general solution as $x(t, z)$, where z parametrizes it near $x(t)$ as $x(t, z_0) = x(t)$. Then we can write the system (2.6) as

$$\dot{x}(t, z) = X_H(x(t, z)). \quad (2.7)$$

Denote by $x^{(k)}(t, z)$, $k \geq 1$ the derivatives of $x(t, z)$ with respect to z and by $X_H^{(k)}(x)$, $k \geq 1$ the derivatives of $X_H(x)$ with respect to x . By successive derivations of (2.7) with respect to z and evaluations at z_0 we obtain the so called k -th variational equations $(\text{VE})_k$ along the solution $x(t)$

$$\dot{x}^{(k)}(t) = X_H^{(1)}(x(t)) x^{(k)}(t) + P \left(x^{(1)}(t), x^{(2)}(t), \dots, x^{(k-1)}(t) \right). \quad (2.8)$$

Here P denotes polynomial terms in the monomials of order $|k|$ of the components of its arguments. The coefficients of P depend on t through $X_H^{(j)}(x(t))$, $j < k$. For every $k > 1$ the linear non-homogeneous system (2.8) can be arranged as a linear homogeneous system of higher dimension by making the monomials of order $|k|$ in P new variables and adding to (2.8) their differential equations. If we restrict the system (2.8) to the variables that define the $(\text{NVE})_1$ the corresponding linear homogeneous system is the so called k -th linearized normal variational equations $(\text{LNVE})_k$. The solutions of the chain of $(\text{LNVE})_k$ define a chain of Picard-Vessiot extensions of the main field $K = \mathbb{C}(t)$ of the coefficients of $(\text{NVE})_1$, i.e. we have $K \subset L_1 \subset L_2 \subset \dots \subset L_k$, where L_1 is above, L_2 is the Picard-Vessiot extension of K associated with $(\text{LNVE})_2$, etc. Then we can define the differential Galois groups $G_1 = \text{Gal}(L_1/K)$, $G_2 = \text{Gal}(L_2/K)$, \dots , $G_k = \text{Gal}(L_k/K)$. Assume as above that $t = \infty$ is an irregular singularity for the $(\text{NVE})_1$ and therefore for $(\text{NVE})_k$ for all $k \geq 2$. Then the main theorem of the Morales-Ruiz - Ramis - Simó theory states

Theorem 2.2. (Morales-Ramis-Simó) *If the Hamiltonian system (2.6) is completely integrable with rational first integrals, then for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the connected component of the unit element $(G_k)^0$ of the differential Galois group $G_k = \text{Gal}(L_k/K)$ is Abelian.*

From Theorem 2.2 it follows, that if we find a group $(G_k)^0$, which is not Abelian, then the Hamiltonian system (2.6) will be non-integrable by rational first integrals. Note that this non-commutative group $(G_k)^0$ will be automatically a solvable group. In this way non-integrability in the sense of the Hamiltonian dynamics will correspond to integrability in the Picard-Vessiot sense.

2.2. The differential Galois group at an irregular singularity. In this section we recall the basic definitions and results from the theory of linear differential systems and Picard-Vessiot theory, which are required to describe the differential Galois group at an irregular singularity ([10, 19, 27]). All angular directions and sectors are considered on the Riemann surface of the natural logarithm.

Consider a linear differential system with coefficient rational functions

$$\eta'(\tau) = A(\tau) \eta(\tau), \quad A(\tau) \in M_n(\mathbb{C}(\tau)). \quad (2.9)$$

Definition 2.3. The differential Galois group G of the system (2.9) over $\mathbb{C}(\tau)$ is the group of all differential automorphisms of a Picard-Vessiot extension of $\mathbb{C}(t)$ associated to (2.9), which leave fixed the elements of $\mathbb{C}(\tau)$. This group is isomorphic to an algebraic subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ with respect to a given fundamental matrix solution of (2.9)

Assume that the system (2.9) has a non-resonant irregular singularity at $\tau = 0$ of Poincaré rank 1. The classical theorem of Hukuhara-Turrittin-Wasow [34] says that the system (2.9) possesses a unique formal fundamental matrix solution $\hat{\Phi}(\tau)$ at $\tau = 0$ in the form

$$\hat{\Phi}(\tau) = \hat{H}(\tau) \tau^\Lambda \exp\left(\frac{Q}{\tau}\right), \quad (2.10)$$

where

$$\Lambda = \mathrm{diag}(\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n), \quad Q = \mathrm{diag}(q_1, \dots, q_n), \quad \lambda_j, q_j \in \mathbb{C}, \quad 1 \leq j \leq n.$$

The entries of the matrix $\hat{H}(\tau)$ are in general divergent power series. Consider the system (2.9) and its formal fundamental matrix solution $\hat{\Phi}(\tau)$ over the field $\mathbb{C}((\tau))$ of formal power series in τ .

Definition 2.4. With respect to the formal fundamental matrix solution $\hat{\Phi}(\tau)$ given by (2.10), we define the formal monodromy matrix $\hat{M}_0 \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ around the origin as

$$\hat{\Phi}(\tau.e^{2\pi i}) = \hat{\Phi}(\tau) \hat{M}_0.$$

In particular,

$$\hat{M}_0 = e^{2\pi i \Lambda}.$$

Definition 2.5. With respect to the formal fundamental matrix solution $\hat{\Phi}(\tau)$ given by (2.10), we define the exponential torus \mathcal{T} as the differential Galois group $\mathrm{Gal}(E/F)$, where $F = \mathbb{C}((\tau))(\tau^{\lambda_1}, \dots, \tau^{\lambda_n})$ and $E = F(e^{q_1/\tau}, \dots, e^{q_n/\tau})$.

Since for $\sigma \in \mathcal{T}$ we have that $\sigma(\tau^\lambda) = \tau^\lambda$ and $\sigma(e^{q/\tau}) = c e^{q/\tau}$, $c \in \mathbb{C}^*$, we can consider \mathcal{T} as a subgroup of $(\mathbb{C}^*)^n$.

Denote by $\hat{h}_{ij}(\tau)$ the entries of the matrix $\hat{H}(\tau)$, that is $\hat{H}(\tau) = (\hat{h}_{ij}(\tau))_{i,j=1}^n$.

Definition 2.6. For every divergent power series $\hat{h}_{ij}(\tau)$ we define a family Θ_j of admissible singular directions

$$\Theta_j = \{\theta_{ji}, 0 \leq \theta_{ji} < 2\pi\},$$

where θ_{ji} is the bisector of the sector $\left\{ \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{q_i - q_j}{\tau} \right) < 0 \right\}$. In particular,

$$\Theta_j = \{ \theta_{ji}, 0 \leq \theta_{ji} < 2\pi, \theta_{ji} = \arg(q_j - q_i), 1 \leq i \leq n, i \neq j \}.$$

Denote by Θ the set of all admissible singular directions of the system (2.9), that is

$$\Theta = \cup_j \Theta_j.$$

Denote $F(\tau) = \tau^\Lambda \exp \left(\frac{Q}{\tau} \right)$. The applications of summability theory to the ordinary differential equations leads to the following remarkable result

Theorem 2.7. *In the formal fundamental matrix solutions $\hat{\Phi}(\tau)$ from (2.10) the entries of the matrix $\hat{H}(\tau)$ are 1-summable in every non-singular direction θ . If we denote by $H_\theta(\tau)$ the 1-sum of the matrix $\hat{H}(\tau)$ along a nonsingular direction θ and by $F_\theta(\tau)$ the branch of $F(\tau)$ for $\theta = \arg(\tau)$ then the matrix $\Phi_\theta(\tau) = H_\theta(\tau) F_\theta(\tau)$ gives an actual fundamental matrix solution at the origin of the system (2.9) on a small sector bisected by θ .*

The reader can find needed aspects of the summability theory in works of Loday-Richaud [9] and Ramis [19].

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a small number. Let $\theta - \epsilon$ and $\theta + \epsilon$ be two nonsingular neighboring directions of the singular direction $\theta \in \Theta$. Let $\Phi_{\theta - \epsilon}(\tau)$ and $\Phi_{\theta + \epsilon}(\tau)$ be the actual fundamental matrix solutions at the origin of the system (2.9) related to the directions $\theta - \epsilon$ and $\theta + \epsilon$ in the sense of Theorem ???. Then

Definition 2.8. With respect to the actual fundamental matrix solutions $\Phi_{\theta - \epsilon}(\tau)$ and $\Phi_{\theta + \epsilon}(\tau)$, the Stokes matrix $St_\theta \in \operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ corresponding to the singular direction θ is defined as

$$St_\theta = (\Phi_{\theta + \epsilon}(\tau))^{-1} \Phi_{\theta - \epsilon}(\tau).$$

The density theorem of Ramis describes the local differential Galois group at $\tau = 0$ of the system (2.9).

Theorem 2.9. (Ramis) **The differential Galois group at $\tau = 0$ of the system (2.9) is the Zariski closure in $\operatorname{GL}_n(\mathbb{C})$ of the group generated by the formal monodromy \hat{M}_0 , the exponential torus \mathcal{T} , and the Stokes matrices St_θ for all singular directions θ .**

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

In this section we will prove Theorem 1.2. When $\alpha_0 = \alpha_1 = \alpha_3/2, \alpha_2 = 1/4 - \alpha_3$ the Hamiltonian system (1.4) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{dx}{ds} &= \frac{2x^2y - x^2 + 2\alpha_3x}{t} - 1 + 4w + \frac{2xzw}{t}, \\ \frac{dy}{ds} &= \frac{-2xy^2 + 2xy - 2\alpha_3y + \alpha_3/2}{t} - \frac{2yzw}{t}, \\ \frac{dz}{ds} &= \frac{2z^2w - z^2 + 2\alpha_3z}{t} - 1 + 4y + \frac{2xyz}{t}, \\ \frac{dw}{ds} &= \frac{-2zw^2 + 2zw - 2\alpha_3w + \alpha_3/2}{t} - \frac{2xyw}{t}, \\ \frac{dt}{ds} &= 1, \\ \frac{dF}{ds} &= \frac{y(y-1)x^2 + 2\alpha_3xy - \alpha_3/2x + w(w-1)z^2 + 2\alpha_3zw - \alpha_3/2z + 2xyzw}{t^2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.11}$$

The system (3.11) possesses the following non-equilibrium particular solution

$$x = z = 0, \quad y = w = \frac{1}{4}, \quad t = s, \quad F = 0. \quad (3.12)$$

Since $t = s$ we use t as an independent variable instead of s .

For the first normal variational equations of the system (3.11) along the solution (3.12) we obtain the system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{x}_1 &= \frac{2\alpha_3}{t} x_1 + 4w_1, \\ \dot{y}_1 &= \frac{3}{8t} x_1 - \frac{2\alpha_3}{t} y_1 - \frac{1}{8t} z_1, \\ \dot{z}_1 &= 4y_1 + \frac{2\alpha_3}{t} z_1, \\ \dot{w}_1 &= -\frac{1}{8t} x_1 + \frac{3}{8t} z_1 - \frac{2\alpha_3}{t} w_1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

The transformation $t = \tau^2$ takes the system (3.13) into the system

$$\tau^{-1} X'(\tau) = A(\tau) X(\tau), \quad ' = \frac{d}{d\tau} \quad (3.14)$$

where $X(\tau) = (x_1(\tau), y_1(\tau), z_1(\tau), w_1(\tau))^T$ and

$$A(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{4\alpha_3}{\tau^2} & 0 & 0 & 8 \\ \frac{3}{4\tau^2} & -\frac{4\alpha_3}{\tau^2} & -\frac{1}{4\tau^2} & 0 \\ 0 & 8 & \frac{4\alpha_3}{\tau^2} & 0 \\ -\frac{1}{4\tau^2} & 0 & \frac{3}{4\tau^2} & -\frac{4\alpha_3}{\tau^2} \end{pmatrix}.$$

We will study the differential Galois group of the system (3.14) instead of the system (3.13). The system (3.14) has two singular points over \mathbb{CP}^1 : $\tau = 0$ and $\tau = \infty$. The point $\tau = 0$ is a regular singularity and the point $\tau = \infty$ is an irregular singularity of Poincaré rank 1.

Theorem 3.1. *The transformation*

$$X(\tau) = T_1 S_1(\tau) T_2 S_2(\eta) T_3 V(\eta), \quad \tau = \frac{1}{4} \eta \quad (3.15)$$

reduces the system (3.14) to the system

$$\eta^{-1} \frac{dV(\eta)}{d\eta} = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{3}{\eta^2} - 1 & \frac{8\alpha_3 - 1}{\eta^2} & 0 & 0 \\ \frac{8\alpha_3 - 1}{\eta^2} & \frac{3}{\eta^2} + 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{3}{\eta^2} - i\sqrt{2} & \frac{8\alpha_3 - 1}{\eta^2} \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{8\alpha_3 - 1}{\eta^2} & \frac{3}{\eta^2} + i\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} V(\eta). \quad (3.16)$$

The matrices $S_1(\tau)$ and $S_2(\eta)$ are diagonal matrices given by

$$S_1(\tau) = \text{diag}(1, \tau^{-1/2}, \tau^{-1}, \tau^{-3/2}), \quad S_2(\eta) = \text{diag}(1, \eta^{-1}, \eta^{-2}, \eta^{-3}).$$

The matrices $T_j, j = 1, 2, 3$ are constant invertible matrices given by

$$T_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 8 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 8 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad T_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 2/3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 8/3 \\ 1/4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$T_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1/4 & 1/4 & 1/4 & 1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 & -i\sqrt{2}/4 & i\sqrt{2}/4 \\ 3/8 & 3/8 & -3/8 & -3/8 \\ -3/8 & 3/8 & 3i\sqrt{2}/8 & -3i\sqrt{2}/8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. The proof is straightforward. \square

Remark 3.2. The transformation (3.15) is a chain of shearing (by the matrices $S_1(\tau)$ and $S_2(\eta)$) and similar (by the matrices $T_j, j = 1, 2, 3$) transformations.

Theorem 3.3. Assume that $4\alpha_3 - \frac{1}{2} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the connected component $(G_1)^0$ of the unit element of the differential Galois group G_1 of the system (3.14) is Abelian. Otherwise $(G_1)^0 = SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$, that is $(G_1)^0$ is not Abelian.

Proof. Denote $V(\eta) = (v_1(\eta), v_2(\eta), v_3(\eta), v_4(\eta))^T$. When $8\alpha_3 - 1 \neq 0$ the system (3.16) is equivalent to the following independent second order scalar equations

$$v_1'' - \frac{5}{\eta} v_1' + \left[2 - \eta^2 - \frac{(8\alpha_3 - 1)^2 - 9}{\eta^2} \right] v_1 = 0, \quad (3.17)$$

$$v_3'' - \frac{5}{\eta} v_3' + \left[2i\sqrt{2} + 2\eta^2 - \frac{(8\alpha_3 - 1)^2 - 9}{\eta^2} \right] v_3 = 0. \quad (3.18)$$

The change $\eta^2 = \xi$ takes the equations (3.17) and (3.18) into the equations

$$\frac{d^2 v_1}{d\xi^2} - \frac{2}{\xi} \frac{dv_1}{d\xi} + \left[-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2\xi} - \frac{(8\alpha_3 - 1)^2 - 9}{4\xi^2} \right] v_1 = 0, \quad (3.19)$$

$$\frac{d^2 v_3}{d\xi^2} - \frac{2}{\xi} \frac{dv_3}{d\xi} + \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{2\xi} - \frac{(8\alpha_3 - 1)^2 - 9}{4\xi^2} \right] v_3 = 0. \quad (3.20)$$

Next, the transformations $v_j(\xi) = \xi \omega_j(\xi), j = 1, 3$ take the equations (3.19) and (3.20) into their normal forms

$$\frac{d^2 \omega_1}{d\xi^2} + \left[-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{2\xi} + \frac{1/4 - ((8\alpha_3 - 1)/2)^2}{\xi^2} \right] \omega_1 = 0, \quad (3.21)$$

$$\frac{d^2 \omega_3}{d\xi^2} + \left[\frac{1}{2} + \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{2\xi} + \frac{1/4 - ((8\alpha_3 - 1)/2)^2}{\xi^2} \right] \omega_3 = 0. \quad (3.22)$$

The equation (3.21) is the Whittaker equation [35]

$$\frac{d^2 \omega}{d\xi^2} + \left[-\frac{1}{4} + \frac{\kappa}{\xi} + \frac{1/4 - \mu^2}{\xi^2} \right] \omega = 0$$

with $\kappa = 1/2$ and $\mu = (8\alpha_3 - 1)/2$. The equation (3.22) is not a Whittaker equation but the change $\xi = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \zeta$ takes it into the Whittaker equation with the same parameters $\kappa = 1/2, \mu = (8\alpha_3 - 1)/2$. The differential Galois group of the Whittaker equation is well determined by Martinet and Ramis in [10]. They show that the differential Galois group of

the Whittakes equation is in fact determined by the local differential Galois group at the irregular singularity at $\xi = \infty$. Following [10] we can construct a local formal fundamental set of solutions $\{\hat{\omega}_{11}(\xi), \hat{\omega}_{12}(\xi)\}$ at $\xi = \infty$ of the equation (3.21)

$$\hat{\omega}_{11}(\xi) = \xi^{1/2} e^{-\xi/2} \hat{\phi}_1(\xi), \quad \hat{\omega}_{12}(\xi) = \xi^{-1/2} e^{\xi/2} \hat{\phi}_2(\xi), \quad (3.23)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}_1(\xi) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(4\alpha_3 - \frac{1}{2})^2 \left[(4\alpha_3 - \frac{1}{2})^2 - 1^2 \right] \dots \left[(4\alpha_3 - \frac{1}{2})^2 - (n-1)^2 \right]}{n!} \xi^{-n}, \\ \hat{\phi}_2(\xi) &= 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\left[(4\alpha_3 - \frac{1}{2})^2 - 1^2 \right] \dots \left[(4\alpha_3 - \frac{1}{2})^2 - n^2 \right]}{n!} (-\xi)^{-n}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Obviously when $4\alpha_3 - 1/2 \in \mathbb{N}$ or $4\alpha_3 - 1/2 \in -\mathbb{N}$ the power series $\hat{\phi}_1(\xi)$ and $\hat{\phi}_2(\xi)$ are together polynomials in ξ^{-1} . In this case the local differential Galois group of the Whittaker equation at $\xi = \infty$, and therefore its whole differential Galois group G , is Abelian [10]. In particular, in this case

$$G^0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \right\}.$$

When $4\alpha_3 - 1/2 \notin \mathbb{N}$ or $4\alpha_3 - 1/2 \notin -\mathbb{N}$ both power series $\hat{\phi}_1(\xi)$ and $\hat{\phi}_2(\xi)$ are divergent and we observe non-trivial Stokes phenomena. In this case the differential Galois group of the equation (3.21) is the group $SL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

The transformations $\eta^2 = \xi$, $v_j(\xi) = \xi \omega_j(\xi)$, $j = 1, 3$ and $\xi = -\frac{i}{\sqrt{2}} \zeta$ (for the equation (3.22)) change the differential Galois groups of the equations (3.17) and (3.18) but they preserve the corresponding connected components of their unit elements. Since the scalar equations (3.17) and (3.18) and the corresponding linear systems including in the system (3.16) belong to the same differential modules their differential Galois groups coincide [27].

We complete the proof considering the case when $8\alpha_3 - 1 = 0$ or equivalently $4\alpha_3 - 1/2 = 0$. In this save the system (3.16) splits into 4 solvable first order scalar equations

$$\begin{aligned} v_1' &= \left(\frac{3}{\eta} - \eta \right) v_1, & v_2' &= \left(\frac{3}{\eta} + \eta \right) v_2, \\ v_3' &= \left(\frac{3}{\eta} - i\sqrt{2}\eta \right) v_3, & v_4' &= \left(\frac{3}{\eta} + i\sqrt{2}\eta \right) v_4. \end{aligned}$$

As a result we have that when $4\alpha_3 - 1/2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ the connected component $(G_1)^0$ of the unit element of the differential Galois group of the system (3.16) is Abelian and in particular

$$(G_1)^0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_1^{-1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \lambda_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*, \quad \lambda_1, \lambda_2 \text{ are not roots of the unit} \right\}.$$

When $4\alpha_3 - 1/2 \notin \mathbb{Z}$ the group $(G_1)^0$ is not Abelian and in particular, $(G_1)^0 = SL_2(\mathbb{C}) \times SL_2(\mathbb{C})$.

Finally, the proof follows from the fact that the transformation (3.15) preserves the connected component of the unit element of the differential Galois group of the system (3.14).

□

Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof follows from Theorem 3.3 and the observation that the transformation $t = \tau^2$ preserves the connected component of the unit element of the differential Galois group of first normal variational equations (3.13). \square

4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.3

In this section we will prove the key Theorem 1.3 by studying the second normal variational equations of the Hamiltonian system (3.11) along the solution (3.12).

For the second normal variational equations we obtain the following fourth-order system of non-homogeneous equations

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{x}_2 &= \frac{2\alpha_3}{t} x_2 + 4w_2 - \frac{1}{2t} x_1^2 + \frac{1}{2t} x_1 z_1, \\ \dot{y}_2 &= \frac{3}{8t} x_2 - \frac{2\alpha_3}{t} y_2 - \frac{1}{8t} z_2 + \frac{1}{t} x_1 y_1 - \frac{1}{2t} y_1 z_1 - \frac{1}{2t} w_1 z_1, \\ \dot{z}_2 &= 4y_2 + \frac{2\alpha_3}{t} z_2 - \frac{1}{2t} z_1^2 + \frac{1}{2t} x_1 z_1, \\ \dot{w}_2 &= -\frac{1}{8t} x_2 + \frac{3}{8t} z_2 - \frac{2\alpha_3}{t} w_2 - \frac{1}{2t} x_1 y_1 - \frac{1}{2t} x_1 w_1 + \frac{1}{t} z_1 w_1.\end{aligned}$$

This system can be arranged as a homogeneous linear system of fourteenth-order. Instead of this higher-order system we will study a fifth-order linear homogeneous system obtained from the (NVE)₂ in the following way. We put

$$q := x_2 + z_2, \quad p := y_2 + w_2, \quad u := (x_1 - z_1)(y_1 - w_1), \quad v := (x_1 - z_1)^2, \quad (4.25)$$

$$g := (y_1 - w_1)^2, \quad \tau^2 := 1/t. \quad (4.26)$$

Then the (LNVE)₂ are equivalent to the following fifth-order linear homogeneous system

$$\begin{aligned}q' &= -\frac{4\alpha_3}{\tau} q - \frac{8}{\tau^3} p + \frac{1}{\tau} v, \\ p' &= -\frac{1}{2\tau} q + \frac{4\alpha_3}{\tau} p - \frac{1}{\tau} u, \\ v' &= -\frac{8\alpha_3}{\tau} v + \frac{16}{\tau^3} u \\ u' &= -\frac{1}{\tau} v + \frac{8}{\tau^3} g, \\ g' &= -\frac{2}{\tau} u + \frac{8\alpha_3}{\tau} g,\end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

where $' = \frac{d}{d\tau}$. The system (4.27) has two singularities over \mathbb{CP}^1 : the point $\tau = 0$ is an irregular singularity of Poincaré rank 1, while the point $\tau = \infty$ is a regular singularity. In what follows we study the local differential Galois group at the origin of the system (4.27).

From here on we assume that $4\alpha_3 = n + \frac{1}{2}$, where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. The system (4.27) is equivalent to the following second-order non-homogeneous linear differential equation

$$q'' + \frac{3}{\tau} q' - \left[\frac{4}{\tau^4} + \frac{(2n+1)(2n-3)}{4\tau^2} \right] q = \frac{3}{2\tau} v' + \frac{2}{\tau^2} v. \quad (4.28)$$

If we find 3 particular solutions of the equation (4.28) then we will build a fundamental matrix solution of the system (4.27).

The variables $x_1 - z_1$ and $x_1 + z_1$ satisfy the following second-order linear homogeneous differential equations

$$(x_1 - z_1)'' + \frac{3}{\tau} (x_1 - z_1)' + \left[\frac{8}{\tau^4} - \frac{(2n+1)(2n-3)}{4\tau^2} \right] (x_1 - z_1) = 0, \quad (4.29)$$

$$(x_1 + z_1)'' + \frac{3}{\tau} (x_1 + z_1)' - \left[\frac{4}{\tau^4} - \frac{(2n+1)(2n-3)}{4\tau^2} \right] (x_1 + z_1) = 0 \quad (4.30)$$

respectively.

We have

Lemma 4.1. *The equations (4.29) and (4.30) have local fundamental sets of solutions at $\tau = 0$ in the form*

$$\left\{ \tau^{-1/2} e^{-2i\sqrt{2}/\tau} f_1, \tau^{-1/2} e^{2i\sqrt{2}/\tau} f_2 \right\} \quad \text{and} \quad \left\{ \tau^{-1/2} e^{-2/\tau} g_1, \tau^{-1/2} e^{2/\tau} g_2 \right\}, \quad (4.31)$$

respectively. Depending on n the polynomials $f_j, g_j, j = 1, 2$ are given by

(1) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} a_k \tau^k, & f_2 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k a_k \tau^k, \\ g_1 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} b_k \tau^k, & g_2 &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k b_k \tau^k. \end{aligned}$$

(2) If $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \sum_{k=0}^{-n} a_k \tau^k, & f_2 &= \sum_{k=0}^{-n} (-1)^k a_k \tau^k, \\ g_1 &= \sum_{k=0}^{-n} b_k \tau^k, & g_2 &= \sum_{k=0}^{-n} (-1)^k b_k \tau^k. \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a_k = (-1)^k \frac{n^{(k)} (-n+1)^{(k)}}{(4i\sqrt{2})^k k!}, \quad b_k = (-1)^k \frac{n^{(k)} (-n+1)^{(k)}}{4^k k!}.$$

Proof. The change $\tau = -\frac{4i\sqrt{2}}{\xi}$, $x_1 - z_1 = \xi^{1/2} u$ takes the equation (4.29) into the Whittaker equation

$$\frac{d^2 u}{d\xi^2} + \left[-\frac{1}{4} - \frac{n(n-1)}{\xi^2} \right] u = 0.$$

It is well known [10] that such an Whittaker equation has a local fundamental set of solutions at $\xi = \infty$ in the form

$$u_1(\xi) = e^{-\xi/2} f_1(\xi), \quad u_2(\xi) = e^{\xi/2} f_2(\xi).$$

Then the equation (4.29) will have a local fundamental set of solutions at $\tau = 0$ in the form (4.31).

Looking for solutions $x_1 - z_1 = \tau^{-1/2} e^{-2i\sqrt{2}/\tau} f_1$ and $x_1 - z_1 = \tau^{-1/2} e^{2i\sqrt{2}/\tau} f_2$ of the equation (4.29), we find that f_1 and f_2 must satisfy the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \tau^2 f_1'' + [4i\sqrt{2} + 2\tau] f_1' - n(n-1) f_1 &= 0, \\ \tau^2 f_2'' + [-4i\sqrt{2} + 2\tau] f_2' - n(n-1) f_2 &= 0, \end{aligned} \quad (4.32)$$

respectively. Now, it is not difficult to show that f_1 and f_2 have the pointed forms. In the same manner one can derive a local fundamental set of solutions at $\tau = 0$ of the equation (4.30). \square

Lemma 4.2. *The polynomial $f = f_1 f_2$ has the form*

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} c_{2k} \tau^{2k}, \quad \text{when } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

or

$$f = \sum_{k=0}^{-n} c_{2k} \tau^{2k}, \quad \text{when } n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\leq 0},$$

where

$$c_{2k} = (-1)^k \frac{(2k-1)!! n^{(k)} (-n+1)^{(k)}}{4^{2k} k!}, \quad c_0 = 1.$$

Proof. From $f_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{\sigma} a_k \tau^k$, $f_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\sigma} (-1)^k a_k \tau^k$, $\sigma \in \{n-1, -n\}$ it follows that

$$f = f_1 f_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{\sigma} c_{2k} \tau^{2k}.$$

Using the differential equations (4.32) for the polynomials $f_j, j = 1, 2$, we find that the polynomial f satisfies the following third-order ordinary differential equation

$$\tau^4 f''' + 6\tau^3 f'' - [2(2n^2 - 2n - 3)\tau^2 - 32] f' - 4n(n-1)\tau f = 0. \quad (4.33)$$

Looking for f in the above form, we find that the coefficients c_{2k} must satisfy the relation

$$c_{2k} = \frac{(2k-1)(n-k)(n+k-1)}{4^2 k} c_{2k-2}.$$

Then choosing $c_0 = 1$, we prove the lemma. \square

Using the fundamental set of solutions, given by Lemma 4.1, we choose the following basis for the variable $v = (x_1 - z_1)^2$

$$v_1 = \tau^{-1} f, \quad v_2 = \tau^{-1} e^{-4i\sqrt{2}/\tau} f_1^2, \quad v_3 = \tau^{-1} e^{4i\sqrt{2}/\tau} f_2^2. \quad (4.34)$$

With this basis we associate three right hand sides $F = \frac{3}{2\tau} v' + \frac{2}{\tau^2} v$ of the equation (4.28)

$$\begin{aligned} F_1 &= \frac{1}{2\tau^3} f + \frac{3}{2\tau^2} f', \\ F_2 &= \left[\frac{1}{2\tau^3} + \frac{6i\sqrt{2}}{\tau^4} \right] e^{-4i\sqrt{2}/\tau} f_1^2 + \frac{3}{2\tau^2} e^{-4i\sqrt{2}/\tau} (f_1^2)', \\ F_3 &= \left[\frac{11}{2\tau^3} - \frac{6i\sqrt{2}}{\tau^4} \right] e^{4i\sqrt{2}/\tau} f_2^2 + \frac{3}{2\tau^2} e^{4i\sqrt{2}/\tau} (f_2^2)'. \end{aligned}$$

The next lemma allows us to reduce the problem of integrability of the Sasano system (1.1) for

$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = \left(\frac{2n+1}{16}, \frac{2n+1}{16}, \frac{1-2n}{8}, \frac{2n+1}{8} \right)$$

to the same problem but for

$$(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = \left(\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8} \right).$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let*

$$(x, y, z, w; \alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = \left(0, \frac{1}{4}, 0, \frac{1}{4}; \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8} \right)$$

be a rational solution of the Sasano system (1.1). Then starting with it, using the Bäcklund transformations (1.3), we obtain again a rational solution of the system (1.1), for which $\alpha_3 = \frac{2n+1}{8}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and the parameters $\alpha_j, j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ satisfy one of the first four conditions of Theorem 1.1.

Proof. Following Matsuda [11], we define the shift operators $T_j, j = 0, 1, 2$ by

$$T_0 = \pi s_2 s_3 s_2 s_1 s_0, \quad T_1 = s_0 T_0 s_0, \quad T_2 = s_2 T_0 s_2,$$

respectively. They act on the parameters $\alpha_j, j = 0, 1, 2, 3$ as

$$\begin{aligned} T_0(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) &= (\alpha_0 + 1/2, \alpha_1 + 1/2, \alpha_2 - 1/2, \alpha_3), \\ T_1(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) &= (\alpha_0 - 1/2, \alpha_1 + 1/2, \alpha_2, \alpha_3), \\ T_2(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) &= (\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2 + 1/2, \alpha_3 - 1). \end{aligned}$$

We firstly will show how from $\alpha_3 = 1/8$ we can obtain $\alpha_3 = 3/8, \alpha_3 = 5/8$ and $\alpha_3 = 7/8$ using Bäcklund transformation (1.3) and the shift operators $T_j, j = 0, 1, 2$. Denote by $\alpha^0 = (\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8})$ the vector of the initial values of the parameters $\alpha_j, j = 0, 1, 2, 3$. Note that the vector α^0 satisfies the first condition of Theorem 1.1. Under the transformation s_2 the vector α^0 becomes $\alpha = (\frac{3}{16}, \frac{3}{16}, -\frac{1}{8}, \frac{3}{8})$, which also satisfies the first condition of Theorem 1.1. Under the transformation $T_2 s_3$ the vector α^0 consecutively becomes

$$\alpha^0 \longrightarrow \alpha^1 = \left(\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{5}{8}, -\frac{7}{8} \right) \longrightarrow \alpha^2 = \left(\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16}, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{7}{8} \right).$$

The vector α^1 satisfies the first condition, while the vector α^2 satisfies the fourth condition of Theorem 1.1. Similarly, the action of the transformation $T_2 s_3$ on the vector α consecutively leads to

$$\alpha \longrightarrow \alpha^4 = \left(\frac{3}{16}, \frac{3}{16}, \frac{3}{8}, -\frac{5}{8} \right) \longrightarrow \alpha^5 = \left(\frac{3}{16}, \frac{3}{16}, -\frac{1}{4}, \frac{5}{8} \right).$$

Now, applying consecutively the transformations $s_3, s_3 T_2$ and $s_3 T_2 s_3$ to the vectors $\alpha, \alpha^j, j = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ we obtain all values of the parameters, for which $\alpha_3 = \frac{2n+1}{8}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. \square

So, to the end of this section we assume, that $\alpha_3 = \frac{1}{8}$ (resp. $n = 0$).

Proposition 4.4. *Assume that $n = 0$. Then depending on F the equation (4.28) possesses particular solutions $q_j(\tau)$, $j = 1, 2, 3$ in the form*

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(\tau) &= -\frac{1}{16} [\hat{\phi}_1(\tau) + \hat{\phi}_2(\tau)], \\ q_2(\tau) &= -\frac{i\sqrt{2}}{6} \exp\left(\frac{-4i\sqrt{2}}{\tau}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{-4i\sqrt{2}}{\tau}\right) \left[\frac{5+i\sqrt{2}}{432} \hat{\phi}_1(\tau) + \frac{5-i\sqrt{2}}{432} \hat{\phi}_2(\tau) \right], \\ q_3(\tau) &= \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{6} \exp\left(\frac{4i\sqrt{2}}{\tau}\right) + \exp\left(\frac{4i\sqrt{2}}{\tau}\right) \left[\frac{5-i\sqrt{2}}{432} \hat{\psi}_1(\tau) + \frac{5+i\sqrt{2}}{432} \hat{\psi}_2(\tau) \right], \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}_1(\tau) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2k+1)!!}{4^k} \tau^{k+1}, \quad \hat{\phi}_2(\tau) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{(2k+1)!!}{4^k} \tau^{k+1}, \\ \hat{\varphi}_1(\tau) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2k+1)!!}{2^k (2-4i\sqrt{2})^k} \tau^{k+1}, \quad \hat{\varphi}_2(\tau) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{(2k+1)!!}{2^k (2+4i\sqrt{2})^k} \tau^{k+1}, \\ \hat{\psi}_1(\tau) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2k+1)!!}{2^k (2+4i\sqrt{2})^k} \tau^{k+1}, \quad \hat{\psi}_2(\tau) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{(2k+1)!!}{2^k (2-4i\sqrt{2})^k} \tau^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Consider the equation

$$\tau^4 q'' + 3\tau^3 q' - \left[4 - \frac{3}{4}\tau^2\right] q = \frac{\tau}{2},$$

which is the equation (4.28) with $n = 0$ and $F = F_1 = \frac{1}{2\tau^3}$. This equation admits a particular power series solution $q_1(\tau)$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned} q_1(\tau) &= -\frac{1}{8} \left[\tau + \frac{3.5}{4^2} \tau^3 + \frac{3.5 \cdot 7.9}{4^4} \tau^5 + \dots \right] = -\frac{1}{8} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{3.5 \cdot 7 \dots (4k+1)}{4^{2k}} \tau^{2k+1} = \\ &= -\frac{1}{16} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2k+1)!!}{4^k} \tau^{k+1} - \frac{1}{16} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{(2k+1)!!}{4^k} \tau^{k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Consider the equation

$$q'' + \frac{3}{\tau} q' - \left[\frac{4}{\tau^4} - \frac{3}{4\tau^2} \right] q = \left[\frac{1}{2\tau^3} + \frac{6i\sqrt{2}}{\tau^4} \right] e^{-4i\sqrt{2}/\tau}.$$

Looking for a solution $q_2(\tau) = e^{-4i\sqrt{2}/\tau} h(\tau)$, we find that $g(\tau)$ satisfies the equation

$$\tau^4 h''(\tau) + \left[8i\sqrt{2}\tau^2 + 3\tau^3 \right] h'(\tau) + \left[-36 + 4i\sqrt{2}\tau + \frac{3\tau^2}{4} \right] h(\tau) = \frac{\tau}{2} + 6i\sqrt{2}.$$

This equation has a power series solution

$$h(\tau) = a_0 + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \tau^k = a_0 + \hat{\varphi}(\tau),$$

where the coefficients a_k satisfy the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(2k-1)(2k-3)}{4} a_{k-2} + 4i\sqrt{2}(2k-1)a_{k-1} - 36a_k &= 0, \quad k \geq 2, \\ a_0 &= -\frac{i\sqrt{2}}{6}, \quad a_1 = \frac{5}{6.36}, \quad a_2 = \frac{11i\sqrt{2}}{2.36^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.35)$$

It is not so difficult to show that the power series $\hat{\varphi}(\tau)$ has the form

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\varphi}(\tau) &= \frac{5+i\sqrt{2}}{432} \left[\tau + \frac{3}{2} \frac{\tau^2}{2-4i\sqrt{2}} + \cdots + \frac{(2k+1)!!}{2^k} \frac{\tau^{k+1}}{(2-4i\sqrt{2})^k} + \cdots \right] + \\ &+ \frac{5-i\sqrt{2}}{432} \left[\tau - \frac{3}{2} \frac{\tau^2}{2+4i\sqrt{2}} + \cdots + (-1)^k \frac{(2k+1)!!}{2^k} \frac{\tau^{k+1}}{(2+4i\sqrt{2})^k} + \cdots \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The statement for the particular solution $q_3(\tau)$ is proved in a similar way. \square

Denote

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}(\tau) &= \hat{\phi}_1(\tau) + \hat{\phi}_2(\tau), \\ \hat{\varphi}(\tau) &= \frac{5+i\sqrt{2}}{432} \hat{\varphi}_1(\tau) + \frac{5-i\sqrt{2}}{432} \hat{\varphi}_2(\tau), \\ \hat{\psi}(\tau) &= \frac{5-i\sqrt{2}}{432} \hat{\psi}_1(\tau) + \frac{5+i\sqrt{2}}{432} \hat{\psi}_2(\tau). \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

Now we can build a local formal fundamental matrix solution of the system (4.27) at $\tau = 0$.

Theorem 4.5. *Assume that $\alpha_3 = \frac{1}{8}$. Then the system (4.27) possesses an unique formal fundamental matrix solution $\hat{\Phi}(\tau)$ at the origin in the form*

$$\hat{\Phi}(\tau) = \hat{H}(\tau) \tau^\Lambda \exp\left(\frac{Q}{\tau}\right),$$

where

$$\Lambda = \text{diag}\left(-\frac{1}{2}, -\frac{1}{2}, -1, -1, -1\right), \quad Q = \text{diag}\left(-2, 2, 0, -4i\sqrt{2}, 4i\sqrt{2}\right).$$

The matrix $\hat{H}(\tau)$ is given by

$$\hat{H}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -\frac{\tau}{16} \hat{\phi}(\tau) & -\frac{i\sqrt{2}\tau}{6} + \tau \hat{\varphi}(\tau) & \frac{i\sqrt{2}\tau}{6} + \tau \hat{\psi}(\tau) \\ -\frac{\tau}{4} & \frac{\tau}{4} & h_{23}(\tau) & h_{24}(\tau) & h_{25}(\tau) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & h_{43}(\tau) & h_{44}(\tau) & h_{45}(\tau) \\ 0 & 0 & h_{53}(\tau) & h_{54}(\tau) & h_{55}(\tau) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{H}_1(\tau) & \hat{H}_{12}(\tau) \\ 0 & \hat{H}_2(\tau) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\hat{\phi}(\tau), \hat{\varphi}(\tau), \hat{\psi}(\tau)$ are defined by (4.36).

The entries $h_{i3}(\tau), h_{i4}(\tau), h_{i5}(\tau)$, $i = 2, 4, 5$ of the matrix $\hat{H}(\tau)$ are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}
h_{23}(\tau) &= \frac{\tau^4}{128} \hat{\phi}'(\tau) + \frac{\tau^3}{256} \hat{\phi}(\tau) + \frac{\tau^2}{8}, \\
h_{24}(\tau) &= -\frac{\tau^2}{24} + \frac{i\sqrt{2}\tau^3}{96} - \left(\frac{i\sqrt{2}\tau^2}{2} + \frac{\tau^3}{16} \right) \hat{\varphi}(\tau) - \frac{\tau^4}{8} \hat{\varphi}'(\tau), \\
h_{25}(\tau) &= -\frac{\tau^2}{24} - \frac{i\sqrt{2}\tau^3}{96} + \left(\frac{i\sqrt{2}\tau^2}{2} - \frac{\tau^3}{16} \right) \hat{\psi}(\tau) - \frac{\tau^4}{8} \hat{\psi}'(\tau), \\
h_{43}(\tau) &= 0, \quad h_{44}(\tau) = \frac{i\sqrt{2}\tau}{4}, \quad h_{45}(\tau) = -\frac{i\sqrt{2}\tau}{4}, \\
h_{53}(\tau) &= \frac{\tau^2}{8}, \quad h_{54}(\tau) = -\frac{\tau^2}{8}, \quad h_{55}(\tau) = -\frac{\tau^2}{8}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof. When $\alpha_3 = \frac{1}{8}$ (resp. $n = 0$) the system (4.27) admits a local formal fundamental matrix solution $\hat{\Phi}(\tau)$ at the origin in the form

$$\hat{\Phi}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \hat{\Phi}_1(\tau) & \hat{\Phi}_{12}(\tau) \\ 0 & \hat{\Phi}_2(\tau) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\hat{\Phi}_1(\tau)$ is a local fundamental matrix solution at the origin of the system

$$\begin{aligned}
q' &= -\frac{1}{2\tau} q - \frac{8}{\tau^3} p, \\
p' &= -\frac{1}{2\tau} q + \frac{1}{2\tau} p.
\end{aligned}$$

In particular,

$$\hat{\Phi}_1(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{-1/2} e^{-2/\tau} & \tau^{-1/2} e^{2/\tau} \\ -\frac{\tau^{1/2} e^{-2/\tau}}{4} & \frac{\tau^{1/2} e^{2/\tau}}{4} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $\hat{\Phi}_2(\tau)$ is a local fundamental matrix solution at the origin of the system, spanned by the last three equations of the system (4.27). In particular, taking account of the following choice of $v_1(\tau) = \tau^{-1}$, $v_2(\tau) = \tau^{-1} e^{-4i\sqrt{2}/\tau}$, $v_3(\tau) = \tau^{-1} e^{4i\sqrt{2}/\tau}$ we find, that

$$\hat{\Phi}_2(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} v_1(\tau) & v_2(\tau) & v_3(\tau) \\ u_1(\tau) & u_2(\tau) & u_3(\tau) \\ g_1(\tau) & g_2(\tau) & g_3(\tau) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \tau^{-1} & \tau^{-1} e^{-4i\sqrt{2}/\tau} & \tau^{-1} e^{4i\sqrt{2}/\tau} \\ 0 & \frac{i\sqrt{2}}{4} e^{-4i\sqrt{2}/\tau} & -\frac{i\sqrt{2}}{4} e^{4i\sqrt{2}/\tau} \\ \frac{\tau}{8} & -\frac{\tau}{8} e^{-4i\sqrt{2}/\tau} & -\frac{\tau}{8} e^{4i\sqrt{2}/\tau} \end{pmatrix}.$$

The matrix $\hat{\Phi}_{12}(\tau)$ has the form

$$\hat{\Phi}_{12}(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} q_1(\tau) & q_2(\tau) & q_3(\tau) \\ p_1(\tau) & p_2(\tau) & p_3(\tau) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $q_j(\tau), j = 1, 2, 3$ are given by Proposition 4.4 and $p_j(\tau), j = 1, 2, 3$ are computed by

$$p_j(\tau) = \frac{\tau^3}{8} \left[-\frac{1}{\tau} q_j(\tau) - q_j'(\tau) + \frac{1}{\tau} v_j(\tau) \right]$$

under the above choice of the solutions $v_j(\tau), j = 1, 2, 3$. Now the statement is a direct application of the theorem of Hukuhara-Turrittin-Wasov [34]. \square

Proposition 4.6. *With respect to the formal fundamental matrix solution $\hat{\Phi}(\tau)$ at the origin, built by Theorem 4.5, the formal monodromy \hat{M}_0 and the exponential torus \mathcal{T} of the system (4.27) are given by*

$$\hat{M}_0 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{T} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_1^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, \quad c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}^* \right\}.$$

The next lemma provides the 1-sums of the power series $\hat{\phi}_j(\tau), \hat{\varphi}_j(\tau), \hat{\psi}_j(\tau), j = 1, 2$ defined by Proposition 4.4.

Lemma 4.7. *For any direction $\theta \neq 0$ the function*

$$\phi_{1,\theta}(\tau) = \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{2}\right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\xi}{\tau}} d\xi$$

defines the 1-sum of the power series $\hat{\phi}_1(\tau)$ in such a direction. Similarly, for any direction $\theta \neq \pi$ the function

$$\phi_{2,\theta}(\tau) = \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{2}\right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\xi}{\tau}} d\xi$$

defines the 1-sum of the power series $\hat{\phi}_2(\tau)$ in such a direction.

Next, for any direction $\theta \neq \arg(-4i\sqrt{2} + 2)$ the function

$$\varphi_{1,\theta}(\tau) = \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{2 - 4i\sqrt{2}}\right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\xi}{\tau}} d\xi$$

defines the 1-sum of the power series $\hat{\varphi}_1(\tau)$ in such a direction. Similarly, for any direction $\theta \neq \arg(-4i\sqrt{2} - 2)$ the function

$$\varphi_{2,\theta}(\tau) = \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{2 + 4i\sqrt{2}}\right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\xi}{\tau}} d\xi$$

defines the 1-sum of the power series $\hat{\varphi}_2(\tau)$ in such a direction.

Finally, for any direction $\theta \neq \arg(4i\sqrt{2} + 2)$ the function

$$\psi_{1,\theta}(\tau) = \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{2 + 4i\sqrt{2}}\right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\xi}{\tau}} d\xi$$

defines the 1-sum of the power series $\hat{\psi}_1(\tau)$ in such a direction. Similarly, for any direction $\theta \neq \arg(4i\sqrt{2} - 2)$ the function

$$\psi_{2,\theta}(\tau) = \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{2 - 4i\sqrt{2}}\right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\xi}{\tau}} d\xi$$

defines the 1-sum of the power series $\hat{\psi}_2(\tau)$ in such a direction.

Proof. Let $a \in \{2, 2 - 4i\sqrt{2}, 2 + 4i\sqrt{2}\}$. Since $(2k-1)!! < (2k)!! = 2^k k!$ then

$$\left| \frac{(-1)^{k-1} (2k-1)!!}{2^{k-1} a^{k-1}} \right| < \frac{2^k k!}{2^{k-1} |a|^{k-1}} = \frac{2|a|}{|a|^k} k!.$$

Hence the power series $\hat{\phi}_j(\tau), \hat{\varphi}_j(\tau), \hat{\psi}_j(\tau), j = 1, 2$ are Gevrey-1 series with constants $C = 4, A = 1/2$ for the series $\hat{\phi}_j(\tau), j = 1, 2$ and $C = 12, A = 1/6$ for the power series

$\hat{\varphi}_j(\tau), \hat{\psi}_j(\tau), j = 1, 2$. Next, the corresponding formal Borel transforms $\hat{B}_1 \hat{\phi}_j(\xi), j = 1, 2$ converge in the open disk $|\xi| < 2$, while $\hat{B}_1 \hat{\varphi}_j(\xi), \hat{B}_1 \hat{\psi}_j(\xi), j = 1, 2$ converge in the open disk $|\xi| < 6$. There, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{B}_1 \hat{\phi}_1(\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2k+1)!!}{2^k} \frac{\xi^k}{2^k k!} = \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{2}\right)^{-3/2} = \phi_1(\xi), \\ \hat{B}_1 \hat{\phi}_2(\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{(2k+1)!!}{2^k} \frac{\xi^k}{2^k k!} = \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{2}\right)^{-3/2} = \phi_2(\xi), \\ \hat{B}_1 \hat{\varphi}_1(\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2k+1)!!}{2^k} \frac{\xi^k}{(2-4i\sqrt{2})^k k!} = \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{2-4i\sqrt{2}}\right)^{-3/2} = \varphi_1(\xi), \\ \hat{B}_1 \hat{\varphi}_2(\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{(2k+1)!!}{2^k} \frac{\xi^k}{(2+4i\sqrt{2})^k k!} = \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{2+4i\sqrt{2}}\right)^{-3/2} = \varphi_2(\xi), \\ \hat{B}_1 \hat{\psi}_1(\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(2k+1)!!}{2^k} \frac{\xi^k}{(2+4i\sqrt{2})^k k!} = \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{2+4i\sqrt{2}}\right)^{-3/2} = \psi_1(\xi), \\ \hat{B}_1 \hat{\psi}_2(\xi) &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{(2k+1)!!}{2^k} \frac{\xi^k}{(2-4i\sqrt{2})^k k!} = \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{2-4i\sqrt{2}}\right)^{-3/2} = \psi_2(\xi). \end{aligned}$$

Denote $\theta := \arg(\xi)$. The functions $\phi_j(\xi), \varphi_j(\xi), \psi_j(\xi), j = 1, 2$ are continued analytically along any ray θ from 0 to $+\infty e^{i\theta}$, except for $\theta = 0, \theta = \pi, \theta = \arg(-4i\sqrt{2} + 2), \theta = \arg(-4i\sqrt{2} - 2), \theta = \arg(4i\sqrt{2} + 2), \theta = \arg(4i\sqrt{2} - 2)$, respectively.

Consider the functions

$$\omega_1(\xi) = \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{a}\right)^{-3/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \omega_2(\xi) = \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{a}\right)^{-3/2}. \quad (4.37)$$

Denote $\theta_1 := \arg(a)$. We have that

$$|\omega_1(\xi)| \leq \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \theta - \theta_1 \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right], \\ 1/|\sin(\theta - \theta_1)|^{3/2}, & \text{if } \theta - \theta_1 \in \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}\right) \end{cases}$$

and

$$|\omega_2(\xi)| \leq \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \theta - \theta_1 \in \left(\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{3\pi}{2}\right), \\ 1/|\sin(\theta - \theta_1)|^{3/2}, & \text{if } \theta - \theta_1 \in \left[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right]. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, the Laplace transforms $(\mathcal{L}_1 \omega_1)(\tau)$ and $(\mathcal{L}_1 \omega_2)(\tau)$ are well defined along any ray $\theta \neq \arg(-a)$ and $\theta \neq \arg(a)$, respectively, from 0 to $+\infty e^{i\theta}$. Moreover, the above

estimates for $|\omega_j(\xi)|, j = 1, 2$ ensure that the Laplace transforms

$$\begin{aligned}\phi_{1,\theta}(\tau) &= \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{2}\right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\xi}{\tau}} d\xi, & \phi_{2,\theta}(\tau) &= \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{2}\right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\xi}{\tau}} d\xi, \\ \varphi_{1,\theta}(\tau) &= \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{2 - 4i\sqrt{2}}\right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\xi}{\tau}} d\xi, \\ \varphi_{2,\theta}(\tau) &= \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{2 + 4i\sqrt{2}}\right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\xi}{\tau}} d\xi, \\ \psi_{1,\theta}(\tau) &= \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{2 + 4i\sqrt{2}}\right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\xi}{\tau}} d\xi, \\ \psi_{2,\theta}(\tau) &= \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{2 - 4i\sqrt{2}}\right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\xi}{\tau}} d\xi\end{aligned}$$

define holomorphic functions in the open sector with opening π

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ \tau \in \mathbb{C}^* \mid \operatorname{Re} \left(\frac{e^{i\theta}}{\tau} \right) > 0 \right\}$$

for every ray $\theta \neq \{0, \pi, \arg(-4i\sqrt{2} + 2), \arg(-4i\sqrt{2} - 2), \arg(4i\sqrt{2} + 2), \arg(4i\sqrt{2} - 2)\}$, respectively.

□

From Lemma 4.7 it follows that the sytem (4.27) has 6 singular directions $\theta_1 = 0, \theta_2 = \pi, \theta_3 = \arg(2 - 4i\sqrt{2}), \theta_4 = \arg(-2 - 4i\sqrt{2}), \theta_5 = \arg(2 + 4i\sqrt{2}), \theta_6 = \arg(-2 + 4i\sqrt{2})$.

Remark 4.8. Denote by $\tilde{\mathbb{C}}$ the Riemann surface of the natural logarithm. Let as above $a \in \{2, 2 - 4i\sqrt{2}, 2 + 4i\sqrt{2}\}$. Denote also by $I = (\arg(a), \arg(a) + 2\pi) \subset \mathbb{R}$ and $J = (\arg(-a), \arg(-a) + 2\pi) \subset \mathbb{R}$ the sets of allowed directions of summation of the power series $\hat{\phi}_1(\tau), \hat{\varphi}_1(\tau), \hat{\psi}_1(\tau)$ and $\hat{\phi}_2(\tau), \hat{\varphi}_2(\tau), \hat{\psi}_2(\tau)$, respectively. When we move the direction $\theta \in I$ (resp. $\theta \in J$), the holomorphic functions $\phi_{1,\theta}(\tau), \varphi_{1,\theta}(\tau), \psi_{1,\theta}(\tau)$ (resp. $\phi_{2,\theta}(\tau), \varphi_{2,\theta}(\tau), \psi_{2,\theta}(\tau)$) glue together analytically and define functions $\tilde{\phi}_1(\tau), \tilde{\varphi}_1(\tau), \tilde{\psi}_1(\tau)$ (resp. $\tilde{\phi}_2(\tau), \tilde{\varphi}_2(\tau), \tilde{\psi}_2(\tau)$), which are holomorphic functions on the sector

$$\tilde{D}_1 = \left\{ \tau \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}} \mid \arg(a) - \frac{\pi}{2} < \arg(\tau) < \arg(a) + 2\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} \right\}$$

(resp.

$$\tilde{D}_2 = \left\{ \tau \in \tilde{\mathbb{C}} \mid \arg(-a) - \frac{\pi}{2} < \arg(\tau) < \arg(-a) + 2\pi + \frac{\pi}{2} \right\}).$$

On these sectors, the functions $\tilde{\phi}_j(\tau), \tilde{\varphi}_j(\tau), \tilde{\psi}_j(\tau)$ are asymptotic to the power series $\hat{\phi}_j(\tau), \hat{\varphi}_j(\tau), \hat{\psi}_j(\tau), j = 1, 2$, respectively, in Gevrey 1-sense and define their 1-sums there. The sectors \tilde{D}_1 and \tilde{D}_2 are the widest sector where 1-Gevrey asymptotic remains valid. The restriction of the function $\tilde{\phi}_j(\tau), \tilde{\varphi}_j(\tau), \tilde{\psi}_j(\tau), j = 1, 2$ on \mathbb{C}^* is a multivalued function. It has only one value $\phi_{j,\theta}(\tau), \varphi_{j,\theta}(\tau), \psi_{j,\theta}(\tau)$ on the sector with opening π and bisected by $\theta = \arg(-a)$ for $j = 1$ and $\theta = \arg(a)$ for $j = 2$. On the sector with opening π and bisected by the corresponding singular direction θ the restriction of $\tilde{\phi}_j(\tau), \tilde{\varphi}_j(\tau), \tilde{\psi}_j(\tau)$ has two different values : $\phi_{j,\theta}^+(\tau) = \phi_{j,\theta+\epsilon}(\tau)$ and $\phi_{j,\theta}^-(\tau) = \phi_{j,\theta+\epsilon}(\tau), j = 1, 2$ (resp. $\varphi_{j,\theta}^+(\tau) = \varphi_{j,\theta+\epsilon}(\tau)$ and $\varphi_{j,\theta}^-(\tau) = \varphi_{j,\theta+\epsilon}(\tau), \psi_{j,\theta}^+(\tau) = \psi_{j,\theta+\epsilon}(\tau)$ and $\psi_{j,\theta}^-(\tau) = \psi_{j,\theta+\epsilon}(\tau), j = 1, 2$) for a small number $\epsilon > 0$.

Thanks to Lemma 4.7 and Remark 4.8 we build an actual fundamental matrix solution at the origin of the system (4.27), which is associated with the formal fundamental matrix solution $\hat{\Phi}(\tau)$ from Theorem 4.5. Denote $F(\tau) = \tau^\Lambda \exp\left(\frac{Q}{\tau}\right)$. Denote also, as above,

$$\begin{aligned}\hat{\phi}_\theta(\tau) &= \hat{\phi}_{1,\theta}(\tau) + \hat{\phi}_{2,\theta}(\tau), \\ \hat{\varphi}_\theta(\tau) &= \frac{5+i\sqrt{2}}{432}\hat{\varphi}_{1,\theta}(\tau) + \frac{5-i\sqrt{2}}{432}\hat{\varphi}_{2,\theta}(\tau), \\ \hat{\psi}_\theta(\tau) &= \frac{5-i\sqrt{2}}{432}\hat{\psi}_{1,\theta}(\tau) + \frac{5+i\sqrt{2}}{432}\hat{\psi}_{2,\theta}(\tau).\end{aligned}\tag{4.38}$$

Theorem 4.9. *Assume that $\alpha = \frac{1}{8}$. Then for every nonsingular direction θ the system (4.27) admits a unique actual fundamental matrix solution $\Phi_\theta(\tau)$ at the origin in the form*

$$\Phi_\theta(\tau) = H_\theta(\tau) F_\theta(\tau), \tag{4.39}$$

where $F_\theta(\tau)$ is the branch of the matrix $F(\tau)$ for $\theta = \arg(\tau)$. The matrix $\hat{H}_\theta(\tau)$ is given by

$$\hat{H}_\theta(\tau) = \begin{pmatrix} H_1(\tau) & (H_{12}(\tau))_\theta \\ 0 & H_2(\tau) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $H_j(\tau) = \hat{H}_j(\tau)$, $j = 1, 2$. The matrix $(H_{12}(\tau))_\theta$ is defined as

$$(H_{12}(\tau))_\theta = \begin{pmatrix} -\frac{\tau}{16}\phi_\theta(\tau) & -\frac{i\sqrt{2}\tau}{6} + \tau\varphi_\theta(\tau) & \frac{i\sqrt{2}\tau}{6} + \tau\psi_\theta(\tau) \\ (h_{23}(\tau))_\theta & (h_{24}(\tau))_\theta & (h_{25}(\tau))_\theta \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\phi_\theta(\tau), \varphi_\theta(\tau), \psi_\theta(\tau)$ are given by (4.38). The entries $(h_{2j}(\tau))_\theta, j = 3, 4, 5$ of the matrix $(\hat{H}_{12}(\tau))_\theta$ are defined as follows

$$\begin{aligned}h_{23}(\tau) &= \frac{\tau^4}{128}\hat{\phi}'_\theta(\tau) + \frac{\tau^3}{256}\hat{\phi}_\theta(\tau) + \frac{\tau^2}{8}, \\ h_{24}(\tau) &= -\frac{\tau^2}{24} + \frac{i\sqrt{2}\tau^3}{96} - \left(\frac{i\sqrt{2}\tau^2}{2} + \frac{\tau^3}{16}\right)\hat{\varphi}_\theta(\tau) - \frac{\tau^4}{8}\hat{\varphi}'_\theta(\tau), \\ h_{25}(\tau) &= -\frac{\tau^2}{24} - \frac{i\sqrt{2}\tau^3}{96} + \left(\frac{i\sqrt{2}\tau^2}{2} - \frac{\tau^3}{16}\right)\hat{\psi}_\theta(\tau) - \frac{\tau^4}{8}\hat{\psi}'_\theta(\tau).\end{aligned}$$

Near the singular directions $\theta_j, j = 1, \dots, 6$, the system (4.27) admits two different actual fundamental matrix solutions at the origin

$$\Phi_{\theta_j+\epsilon}(\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi_{\theta_j-\epsilon}(\tau),$$

where $\Phi_{\theta_j\pm\epsilon}(\tau)$ are defined (4.39) for a small number $\epsilon > 0$.

In the next theorem we compute explicitly the Stokes matrices $St_{\theta_j}, j = 1, \dots, 6$.

Theorem 4.10. *With respect to the actual fundamental matrix solution at the origin given by Theorem 4.5, the system (4.27) has Stokes matrices St_0 and St_π in the form*

$$St_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \mu_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad St_\pi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \mu_2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\mu_1 = \frac{i 2^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi}}{4}, \quad \mu_2 = \frac{i (-2)^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi}}{4}.$$

Similarly, with respect to the actual fundamental matrix solution at the origin given by Theorem 4.5, the system (4.27) has Stokes matrices St_{θ_3} and St_{θ_4} in the form

$$St_{\theta_3} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & \mu_3 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad St_{\theta_4} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \mu_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\mu_3 = \frac{(2 - 5i\sqrt{2})(1 - 2i\sqrt{2})^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi}}{54}, \quad \mu_4 = -\frac{(2 + 5i\sqrt{2})(-1 - 2i\sqrt{2})^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi}}{54}.$$

Similarly, with respect to the actual fundamental matrix solution at the origin given by Theorem 4.5, the system (4.27) has Stokes matrices St_{θ_5} and St_{θ_6} in the form

$$St_{\theta_5} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \mu_5 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad St_{\theta_6} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \mu_6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where

$$\mu_5 = -\frac{(2 + 5i\sqrt{2})(1 + 2i\sqrt{2})^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi}}{54}, \quad \mu_6 = \frac{(2 - 5i\sqrt{2})(-1 + 2i\sqrt{2})^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi}}{54}.$$

Proof. Let just above $a \in \{2, 2 - 4i\sqrt{2}, 2 + 4i\sqrt{2}\}$. Consider the Laplace transforms

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\omega_1, \theta, a) = \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} \left(1 + \frac{\xi}{a}\right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\xi}{\tau}} d\xi$$

and

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\omega_2, \theta, a) = \int_0^{+\infty e^{i\theta}} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{a}\right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\xi}{\tau}} d\xi$$

for $\theta \neq \arg(-a)$ and $\theta \neq \arg(a)$, respectively, of the functions $\omega_1(\xi)$ and $\omega_2(\xi)$ from (4.37).

Let $\epsilon > 0$ be a small number. Let $\theta - \epsilon$ and $\theta + \epsilon$ be two nonsingular neighboring directions of the singular direction θ . Comparing $\mathcal{L}_1(\omega_2, \theta + \epsilon, a)$ and $\mathcal{L}_1(\omega_2, \theta - \epsilon, a)$, we find that

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\omega_2, \theta - \epsilon, a) - \mathcal{L}_1(\omega_2, \theta + \epsilon, a) = \int_{\gamma} \left(1 - \frac{\xi}{a}\right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\xi}{\tau}} d\xi,$$

where $\gamma = (\theta - \epsilon) - (\theta + \epsilon)$. Without changing the integral, we can deform the path γ into a Hankel-type contour γ_1 , going along $\theta = \arg(a)$, starting from $+\infty e^{i \arg(a)}$, encircling a in the positive sense and returning to $+\infty e^{i \arg(a)}$. The change $1 - \frac{\xi}{a} = -\frac{\eta}{a}$ takes the contour γ_1 into a Hankel-type contour γ_2 along $\theta = \arg(a)$ from $+\infty e^{i \arg(a)}$, encircling 0 in the positive sense and returning to $+\infty e^{i \arg(a)}$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\omega_2, \theta - \epsilon, a) - \mathcal{L}_1(\omega_2, \theta + \epsilon, a) = e^{-a/\tau} \int_{\gamma_2} \left(-\frac{\eta}{a}\right)^{-3/2} e^{-\frac{\eta}{\tau}} d\eta.$$

Next, the transformation $\eta/\tau = -\beta$ takes the contour γ_2 into a Hankel-type contour γ_3 , which winds around \mathbb{R}^- , starting from $-\infty$, encircling 0 in the positive sense and returning to $-\infty$. Then

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\omega_2, \theta - \epsilon, a) - \mathcal{L}_1(\omega_2, \theta + \epsilon, a) = -a^{3/2} \tau^{-1/2} e^{-a/\tau} \int_{\gamma_3} \beta^{-3/2} e^\beta d\beta.$$

The last contour integral is the Hankel's representation of the reciprocal Gamma function $1/\Gamma(m)$, $m \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots$

$$\frac{1}{\Gamma(m)} = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\gamma_3} e^\beta \beta^m d\beta, \quad |\arg(\beta)| \leq \pi.$$

As a result, we find that

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\omega_2, \theta - \epsilon, a) - \mathcal{L}_1(\omega_2, \theta + \epsilon, a) = -4i \sqrt{\pi} a^{3/2} \tau^{-1/2} e^{-a/\tau}. \quad (4.40)$$

In the same manner, one can compute that

$$\mathcal{L}_1(\omega_1, \theta - \epsilon, a) - \mathcal{L}_1(\omega_1, \theta + \epsilon, a) = -4i \sqrt{\pi} (-a)^{3/2} \tau^{-1/2} e^{a/\tau}. \quad (4.41)$$

In order to compute the multiplier μ_1 we have to compare the solutions $[q_1(\tau)]_0^-$ and $[q_1(\tau)]_0^+$, corresponding to the directions $0 - \epsilon$ and $0 + \epsilon$, respectively. Then for $\operatorname{Re}(\tau) > 0$ applying the formula (4.40), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} [q_1(\tau)]_0^- - [q_1(\tau)]_0^+ &= -\frac{1}{16} [\mathcal{L}_1(\omega_2, 0 - \epsilon, 2) - \mathcal{L}_1(\omega_2, 0 + \epsilon, 2)] = \frac{i 2^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi}}{4} \tau^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{\tau}\right) \\ &= \mu_1 \tau^{-1/2} \exp\left(-\frac{2}{\tau}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In the same manner, for $\operatorname{Re}(\tau) < 0$ applying the formula (4.41), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} [q_1(\tau)]_\pi^- - [q_1(\tau)]_\pi^+ &= -\frac{1}{16} [\mathcal{L}_1(\omega_1, \pi - \epsilon, 2) - \mathcal{L}_1(\omega_1, \pi + \epsilon, 2)] = \frac{i (-2)^{3/2} \sqrt{\pi}}{4} \tau^{-1/2} \exp\left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right) \\ &= \mu_2 \tau^{-1/2} \exp\left(\frac{2}{\tau}\right). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way, applying the formulas (4.40) and (4.41) one can compute the Stokes multipliers μ_j , $j = 3, 4, 5, 6$. \square

Now we are in a position to describe the differential Galois group of the system (4.27).

Theorem 4.11. *Assume that $\alpha_3 = \frac{1}{8}$. Then the connected component of the unit element of the differential Galois group of the system (4.27) is not Abelian.*

Proof. The local differential Galois group at $\tau = \infty$ of the system (4.27) can be interpreted as a subgroup of the local differential Galois group at the origin. Hence if we prove that the connected component of the local differential Galois group at the origin is not Abelian and so be the connected component of the whole differential Galois group.

From Proposition ?? it follows that the group generated by the formal monodromy and the exponential torus is not a connected group. However, the connected component of the unit element of this group coincides with the exponential torus \mathcal{T} . Then from the theorem of Ramis it follows that the local differential Galois group at the origin of the system (4.27) is generated topologically by the exponential torus \mathcal{T} and the Stokes matrices

$St_{\theta_j}, j = 1, \dots, 6$. Denote by S the Zariski closure of the subgroup generated by the Stokes matrices. Then the element S_ν of S has the form

$$S_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \nu_1 & \nu_3 & \nu_5 \\ 0 & 1 & \nu_2 & \nu_4 & \nu_6 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $\nu_j \in \mathbb{C}, j = 1, \dots, 6$. Denote by T the Zariski closure of the subgroup generated by the exponential torus \mathcal{T} . Then the element T_{c_1, c_2} of T has the form

$$T_{c_1, c_2} = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_1^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_2^{-1} \end{pmatrix},$$

where $c_1, c_2 \in \mathbb{C}^*$.

When $\nu_j \neq 0, j = 1, \dots, 6$ and $c_1 \neq 1$, the commutator between S_ν and T_{c_1, c_2}

$$S_\nu T_{c_1, c_2} S_\nu^{-1} T_{c_1, c_2}^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \nu_1(1 - c_1) & \nu_3(1 - c_1 c_2^{-1}) & \nu_5(1 - c_1 c_2) \\ 0 & 1 & \nu_2(1 - c_1^{-1}) & \nu_4(1 - c_2^{-1} c_2^{-1}) & \nu_6(1 - c_1^{-1} c_2) \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is not identically equal to the identity matrix.

The condition $c_1 = 1$ implies that for every element σ of the differential Galois group of the system (4.27) we will have $\sigma(e^{-2/\tau}) = e^{-2/\tau}$, which is a contradiction since $e^{-2/\tau} \notin \mathbb{C}(\tau)$. Thus the connected component of the unit element of the differential Galois group of the system (4.27) is not Abelian. \square

Proof of Theorem 1.3. The transformations (4.25) change the differential Galois group of the $(\text{LNVE})_2$. The differential Galois group of the reduced system (4.27) is isomorphic to the differential Galois group of the $(\text{LNVE})_2$. Then combining Theorem 4.11 with the Morales-Ramis-Simó theory, we obtain that when $(\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3) = (\frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{16}, \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{8})$ the Sasano system of type $A_5^{(2)}$ is not integrable by rational first integrals. \square

5. PROOF OF THE THEOREM 1.4

In this section, with the aid of the Bäcklund transformations (1.3), we will extend the results of the previous two sections and we will establish the main result of this paper.

Let us first note that if $y \equiv 0$ then $\alpha_0 = 0$, if $w \equiv 0$ then $\alpha_1 = 0$, if $y + w - 1 = 0$ then $\alpha_3 = 0$, and if $xz + t = 0$ then $\alpha_2 = 0$. This observation allows us to consider the transformations s_0, s_1, s_2, s_3 as identically transformations when $y \equiv 0, w \equiv 0, xz + t \equiv 0, y + w - 1 \equiv 0$, respectively.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Proof follows from Lemma 4.3, Theorem 1.1 and the fact that the Bäcklund transformations 1.3 are canonical transformations, which are rational ones in all canonical variables. \square

Acknowledgments. The author was partially supported by Grant 80-10-30 / 21.05.2025 of the Sofia University "St. Kliment Ohridski" Science Foundation.

Data availability statement. No new data were created or analysed during the current study.

REFERENCES

- [1] Arnol'd, V. I., *Mathematical methods in classical mechanics*, 2nd ed., New York: Springer, 1989
- [2] Cantat, S. and Loray, F., *Dynamics of character varieties and Malgrange irreducibility of Painlevé VI equation*, Ann. Inst. Fourier **59** (7), 2009, pp. 2927-2978.
- [3] Casale, G., *The Galois groupoid of P_1 and its irreducibility*, Comment. Math. Helv. **83** (3), 2008, pp. 471-519.
- [4] Casale, G. and Weil J.-A., *Galoisian methods for testing irreducibility of order two nonlinear differential equations*, Pac. J. Math. **297** (2), 2018, pp. 299-337.
- [5] Christov, O. and Georgiev, G., *Non-integrability of some higher-order Painlevé equations in the sense of Liouville*, SIGMA **11**, paper 045, 2015, 20 p.
- [6] Filipuk, G., *A remark about quasi-Painlevé equations of P_{II} type*, C. R. Acad. Bulg. Sci. **68**(4), 2015, pp. 427-430.
- [7] Fujii, K. and Suzuki, T., *Higher order Painlevé system of type $D_{2n+2}^{(1)}$ arising from integrable hierarchy*, Int. Math. Res. Not., 2008, vol. 2008, pp. 1-21.
- [8] Horozov E. and Stoyanova T., *Non-integrability of some Painlevé VI-equations and dilogarithms*, Regul. Chaotic Dyn. **12**(6), 2007, pp. 622-629.
- [9] Loday-Richaud, M., *Divergent series, summability and resurgence II. Simple and multiple summability*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 2016, vol. **2154**, Springer, Berlin.
- [10] Martinet, J. and Ramis, J.-P., *Théorie de Galois différentielle et resommation*, In Computer Algebra and Differential Equations, E. Tournier. Ed., Academic Press, London, 1988, pp. 117-214.
- [11] Matsuda, K., *Rational solutions of the Sasano system of type $A_5^{(2)}$* , SIGMA **7**, 2011, 030, 20 pp.
- [12] Morales-Ruiz, J., *A remark about Painlevé transcendents*, Théories asymptotiques équations de Painlevé, Sémin. Congr. **14**, 2006, Soc. Math. France Paris, pp. 229-235.
- [13] Morales-Ruiz, J., *Differential Galois theory and non-integrability of Hamiltonian systems*, Basle : Birkhäuser, 1995.
- [14] Morales-Ruiz J. and Ramis, J.-P., *Galois obstruction to integrability of Hamiltonian systems. I, II*, Methods and Appl. Anal., 2001, vol. 8, no. 1, pp. 33-95, 97-111.
- [15] Morales-Ruiz J. and Ramis, J.-P., *Integrability of dynamical systems through differential Galois theory : a practical guide*, Differential algebra, complex analysis and orthogonal polynomials, Contemp. Math. **509**, PI 2010, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, pp. 143-220.
- [16] Morales-Ruiz, J., Ramis, J.-P. and Simó, C., *Integrability of Hamiltonian systems and differential Galois groups of higher variational equations*, Ann. Sci.École Norm. Sup., Serie 4, 2007, vol. **40**, no. **6**, pp. 845-884.
- [17] Nishioka, K., *A note on the transcendency of Painlevé's first transcendent*, Nagoya Math. J. **109**, 1988, pp. 63-67.
- [18] Noumi, M. and Okamoto, K., *Irreducibility of the second and the fourth Painlevé equations*, Funkcial. Ekvac. **40**, 1997, pp. 139-163.
- [19] Ramis, J.-P., *Gevrey asymptotics and applications to holomorphic ordinary differential equations*, Differential Equations and Asymptotic Theory in Mathematical Physics, (Series in Analysis vol **2** (2004)) ed. C. Hua and R. Wong (Singapore: World Scientific) pp. 44-99
- [20] Sasano, Y., *Higher order Painlevé equations of type $D_l^{(1)}$* , RIMS Kôkyûroku, 2006, vol. 1473, pp. 143-163.
- [21] Sasano, Y., *Symmetries in the system of type $A_5^{(2)}$* , arXiv : 0704.2327
- [22] Sasano, Y., *Symmetry in the Painlevé systems and their extensions to four-dimensional systems*, Func. Ekvacioj, Ser. Int. **51** (3), 2008, pp. 351-369.
- [23] Sasano, Y., *Coupled Painlevé VI systems in dimension four with affine Weyl group symmetry of type $D_6^{(1)}$. II.*, RIMS Kôkyûroku Bassatsu B5, 2008, pp. 137-152.

- [24] Sasano, Y., *Coupled Painlevé II systems in dimension four and the symmetry of type $A_4^{(1)}$* , Tohoku Math. J. (2), **58** (4), 2006, pp. 529-548.
- [25] Sasano, Y., *Coupled Painlevé IV systems in dimension four*, Kumamoto J. Math. **20**, 2007, pp. 13-31.
- [26] Sasano, Y., *Coupled Painlevé systems in dimension 4*, Funkc. Ekvacioj, Se. Int. **49** (1), 2006, pp. 133-161.
- [27] Singer, M., *Introduction to the Galois theory of linear differential equations, Algebraic theory of differential equations*, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., no. 357, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2009, pp. 1-82.
- [28] Stoyanova, Ts., *Non-integrability of the Painlevé VI equations in the Liouville sense*, Nonlinearity **22** (9), 2009, pp. 2201-2230.
- [29] Stoyanova, Ts., *Non-integrability of the fourth Painlevé equation in the Liouville-Arnolds sense*, Nonlinearity **27** (5), 2014, pp. 1029-1044.
- [30] Stoyanova, Ts., *Nonintegrability of the Painlevé IV equation in the Liouville-Arnolds sense and Stokes phenomena*, Stud. Appl. Math. **151** (4), 2023, pp. 1380-1405.
- [31] Stoyanova, Ts., *Nonintegrability of coupled Painlevé systems with affine Weyl group symmetry of type $A_4^{(2)}$* , Eur. J. Math. **10** (4), paper 62, 2024, 15 p.
- [32] Stoyanova, Ts., *Non-integrability of the Sasano system of type $D_5^{(1)}$ and Stokes phenomena*, SIGMA **21**, paper 020, 2025, 24 p.
- [33] Umemura, H., *Second proof of the irreducibility of the first differential equations of Painlevé*, Nagoya Math. J. **117**, 1990, pp. 125-171.
- [34] Wasow, W., *Asymptotic expansions for ordinary differential equations*, New York:Dover, 1965.
- [35] Whittaker, E. and Watson, E., *A course of modern analysis*, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1989.