

# The chromatic number of finite projective spaces

Anurag Bishnoi\*      Wouter Cames van Batenburg<sup>†</sup>      Ananthakrishnan Ravi<sup>‡</sup>

## Abstract

The chromatic number of the finite projective space  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$ , denoted  $\chi_q(n)$ , is the minimum number of colors needed to color its points so that no line is monochromatic. We establish a recursive upper bound  $\chi_q(n) \leq \chi_q(d) + \chi_q(n-d)$  for all  $1 \leq d < n$  and use it to prove new upper bounds on  $\chi_q(n)$  for all  $q$ .

For  $q = 2$ , we further refine this recursion and prove that

$$\chi_2(n) \leq \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor + 1$$

for all  $n \geq 2$ , and that this bound is tight for all  $n \leq 7$ . In particular, this recovers all previously known cases for  $n \leq 6$  and resolves the first open case  $n = 7$ . On the lower-bound side, using a connection with multicolor Ramsey numbers for triangles, we note that

$$\chi_2(n) \geq (1 - o(1)) \frac{n}{\log n}.$$

We also consider  $\chi_q(t; n)$ , the minimum number of colors needed to color the points of  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$  with no monochromatic  $(t-1)$ -dimensional subspace, and establish an equivalence between  $\chi_q(t; n)$  and the multicolor vector-space Ramsey numbers  $R_q(t; k)$ . Using this equivalence together with our upper bounds on  $\chi_q(t; n)$ , we improve, for every fixed  $t$ , the best known lower bounds on  $R_q(t; k)$  from  $\Omega_q(\log k)$  to  $\Omega(k)$ . Finally, we improve the upper bound on  $R_2(2; k)$  from a tower function of height  $k$  to the function  $k \log k$ .

## 1 Introduction

Let  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  denote the  $n$ -dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  and let  $\text{PG}(n-1, 2)$  denote the corresponding  $(n-1)$ -dimensional projective space. We identify the points of  $\text{PG}(n-1, 2)$  with the non-zero vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . A line in  $\text{PG}(n-1, 2)$  then corresponds to a triple  $\{x, y, x+y\}$  with distinct  $x, y \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}$ . These lines define a 3-uniform hypergraph  $(V_n, E_n)$  with,

$$V_n = \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\} \quad \text{and} \quad E_n = \{\{x, y, x+y\} : x, y \in V_n, x \neq y\},$$

whose chromatic number is called the chromatic number  $\chi_2(n)$  of the binary projective space. This is the least number of colors needed to color the points of  $\text{PG}(n-1, 2)$  such that no line is monochromatic. Note that a color class contains no three collinear points; that is, each color class is a (projective) *cap* (see [16] for a recent survey on caps). Therefore,  $\chi_2(n)$  is also the minimum number of caps that partition  $\text{PG}(n-1, 2)$ . Equivalently,  $\chi_2(n)$  is the smallest number of sum-free sets in the abelian group  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  needed to partition the set of non-zero elements.

\*Delft University of Technology, Netherlands. *E-mail*: a.bishnoi@tudelft.nl.

<sup>†</sup>Université libre de Bruxelles, Belgium. Supported by the Belgian National Fund for Scientific Research (FNRS). *E-mail*: w.p.s.camesvanbatenburg@gmail.com.

<sup>‡</sup>Delft University of Technology, Netherlands. Supported by an NWO open competition grant (OCENW.M.22.090). *E-mail*: a.ravi@tudelft.nl.

It can be easily shown that  $\chi_2(2) = 2$ . It is a standard exercise in the context of Property B and minimal non-2-colorable hypergraphs (see, for example, [31, Section 1.3]) that  $\chi_2(3) = 3$ , that is, the chromatic number of the Fano plane is 3. The next case is  $\chi_2(4) = 3$ , which follows from the well-known partition of  $\text{PG}(3, 2)$  into elliptic quadrics [9].

The chromatic number of binary projective spaces was studied by Rosa [22, 23] in his investigation of more general Steiner triple systems (see [8, 5] for further results in this direction). He showed  $\chi_2(5) = 4$ , and asked if  $\chi_2(6) = 5$ ; this was confirmed by Fugère, Haddad and Wehlau [12]. As a corollary of our Theorem 1, we obtain  $\chi_2(7) = 5$ , thus solving the next open case.

*In this paper*, we prove new bounds on  $\chi_2(n)$ , recovering all the small cases proved earlier and improving the previous general upper bound. By coloring the complement of a hyperplane with one color and recursively iterating inside the hyperplane, it can be easily shown that  $\chi_2(n) \leq n$ . For example, let  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , define

$$C_i = \{x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\} : x_j = 0 \text{ for all } j < i, \text{ and } x_i = 1\}.$$

Then  $\text{PG}(n-1, 2) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^n C_i$ , and each  $C_i$  is a cap. This bound can be improved to

$$\chi_2(n) \leq n-1 \text{ for all } n \geq 4,$$

using the recursion  $\chi_2(n) \leq \chi_2(n-1) + 1$  that follows from the above argument, together with the well-known base case of  $n = 4$ .

Since  $\chi_2(4)$ ,  $\chi_2(5)$ , and  $\chi_2(6)$  are 3, 4, and 5, respectively, Haddad conjectured in [15] that  $\chi_2(n)$  must increase by 1 when the dimension increases by 1 for all  $n \geq 3$ . Rosa [24] mentions that this conjecture was disproved by Blokhuis. We were unable to find the argument of Blokhuis, but the recursion  $\chi_2(n) \leq \chi_2(d) + \chi_2(n-d)$  that follows from taking quotient spaces (we prove this in a more general setting in Lemma 1), combined with  $\chi_2(4) = 3$ , implies that  $\chi_2(n) \leq 3n/4 + O(1)$  (see Corollary 1). We prove the following improvement to this upper bound.

**Theorem 1.** *For all  $n \geq 2$*

$$\chi_2(n) \leq \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor + 1.$$

We will see below that this upper bound is tight for all  $n \leq 7$ . The key ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1 is the following improved recursion. For  $1 \leq d \leq n$ ,

$$\chi_2(n) \leq \chi_2(d) + \chi_2(n+1-d) - 1. \quad (1)$$

Starting from the base case of  $\chi_2(4) = 3$ , this yields Theorem 1. See Section 3 for the proof of the recursion, which uses a “reserved color” and a one-bit “lift”. This mechanism is in the same spirit as the “templates”-based approach for Schur numbers [25, 2].

For lower bounds on  $\chi_2(n)$ , we use a connection with multicolor Ramsey numbers for triangles. Recall that  $R(3; k)$  denotes the smallest  $N$  such that any  $k$ -coloring of the edges of a complete graph  $K_N$  yields a monochromatic triangle. Around a decade before Ramsey’s foundational work, Schur [27] implicitly showed that  $\Omega(2^k) \leq R(3; k) \leq O(k!)$ . Erdős later conjectured that  $R(3; k) = 2^{\Theta(k)}$ . We refer to [18] for a historical account.

If we could properly color  $\text{PG}(n-1, 2)$  with  $k$  colors, then by identifying the  $2^n$  vectors of  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  with the vertices of a  $K_{2^n}$ , we could color each edge  $\{u, v\}$  of  $K_{2^n}$  by the color of the difference  $(v-u) \in \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\}$ , identified as a point of  $\text{PG}(n-1, 2)$ . So, a proper  $k$ -coloring of  $\text{PG}(n-1, 2)$  would produce a  $k$ -edge-coloring of  $K_{2^n}$  with no monochromatic triangles, and thus

$$\chi_2(n) \leq k \implies R(3; k) > 2^n. \quad (2)$$

Our upper bound in Theorem 1 then implies that

$$R(3; k) > 2^{3(k-1)/2} > 2.828^{k-1}. \quad (3)$$

This connection between partitioning an abelian group into sum-free sets and  $R(3; k)$  goes back to Abbott and Hanson [1] and ultimately Schur [27].

In the other direction, using eq. (2) and the classical asymptotic upper bound [14] of  $R(3; k) \leq ek! + 1$ , we get the following lower bound on  $\chi_2(n)$ . All logarithms in this paper have base 2.

**Proposition 1.**

$$\chi_2(n) \geq (1 - o(1)) \frac{n}{\log n}.$$

This highlights a wide gap: current lower bounds for  $R(3; k)$  are exponential in  $k$  [25, 2], while the best upper bounds are super-exponential. Any sublinear improvement for  $\chi_2(n)$  would push these lower bounds of  $R(3; k)$  beyond the exponential regime, which would disprove the conjecture of Erdős. At a concrete level, the only exact values known are  $R(3; 1) = 3$ ,  $R(3; 2) = 6$ , and  $R(3; 3) = 17$ . For  $k = 4$ , the current status is  $51 \leq R(3; 4) \leq 62$  with the lower bound due to Chung [7], the upper bound due to Fettes, Kramer and Radziszowski [10], improving the earlier  $R(3; 4) \leq 64$  of Sánchez-Flores [26]; it is conjectured in [30] that  $R(3; 4) = 51$  (see also the dynamic survey [19]). We will see below that these small cases can be used to find good bounds on  $\chi_2(n)$ .

Since the seminal upper bound of Greenwood–Gleason [14], progress in the general upper bounds of  $R(3; k)$  has only focused on improving the leading constant in front of  $k!$ . The connection to  $R(3; k)$  highlights that the chromatic number of (binary) projective spaces is a difficult quantity to pin down, exactly or even asymptotically. Nevertheless, we hope that the new upper bound of Theorem 1 opens the door to further improvements in the asymptotics of  $R(3; k)$ .

*Beyond the binary case*, we also study chromatic number of projective spaces over general finite fields and allow higher-dimensional forbidden subspaces. For a prime power  $q$  and an integer  $t \geq 2$  we write  $\chi_q(t; n)$  for the chromatic number of the hypergraph on  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$  whose edges are the sets of points of  $(t-1)$ -dimensional projective subspaces. For  $t = 2$  this is the chromatic number  $\chi_q(n)$  of  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$  with respect to lines. In the general setting, we prove the following recursion in Lemma 1.

$$\chi_q(t; n) \leq \chi_q(t; n-d) + \chi_q(t; d).$$

Since  $\chi_q(t; n) = 1$  for all  $n \leq t-1$ , we obtain the following upper bound.

**Theorem 2.** *For all prime powers  $q$  and integers  $n, t \geq 2$ ,*

$$\chi_q(t; n) \leq \lceil n/(t-1) \rceil.$$

For  $t = 2$ , we use better base cases to obtain stronger bounds.

**Theorem 3.** *For all fixed prime powers  $q \geq 5$ ,*

$$\chi_q(n) \leq n/2 + O(1)$$

*and, for  $q = 3$  and  $4$ ,*

$$\chi_q(n) \leq 3n/5 + O(1).$$

We then show an equivalence between  $\chi_q(t; n)$  and multicolor vector space Ramsey numbers  $R_q(t; k)$ . These Ramsey numbers were originally introduced in [13] (also see [28]) and have recently been studied in [11, 17]. Recall that  $R_q(t; k)$  is the smallest integer  $n$  such that in every  $k$ -coloring of

the 1-dimensional linear subspaces of  $\mathbb{F}_q^n$ , there is a monochromatic  $t$ -dimensional linear subspace. Equivalently,  $R_q(t; k)$  is the smallest integer  $n$  such that in every  $k$ -coloring of the points of  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$  there exists a monochromatic  $(t-1)$ -dimensional projective subspace. Therefore,

$$\chi_q(t; n) \leq k \iff R_q(t; k) > n.$$

Using our results, we thus obtain new lower bounds for  $R_q(t; k)$  and also significantly improve the upper bound on  $R_2(2; k)$ .

**Theorem 4.** *For every prime power  $q \geq 2$  and all integers  $t \geq 2$ ,  $k \geq 1$*

$$R_q(t; k) > (t-1)k.$$

**Theorem 5.** *For all integers  $k \geq 1$*

$$R_2(2; k) = O(k \log k).$$

This improves the lower bounds on  $R_q(t; k)$  from  $\Omega(\log k)$  to  $\Omega(k)$ , and the upper bound on  $R_2(2; k)$  from a tower function of height  $k$  (see [11]) to the function  $k \log k$ . Note that for  $t = 2$ , the lower bound  $R_q(2; k) > k$  follows from  $\chi_q(n) \leq n$ , and thus the improved upper bounds in Theorem 3 give better lower bounds on  $R_q(2; k)$ . In particular,  $R_q(2; k) > 2k - O(1)$  for all fixed  $q \geq 5$ .

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce the general chromatic numbers  $\chi_q(t; n)$ , establish the basic recursion, and obtain upper bounds on  $\chi_q(n)$ . In Section 3 we focus on the binary case  $q = 2$ , prove the recursion in Equation (1), and derive Theorem 1. In Section 4 we develop the connection to classical multicolor Ramsey numbers for triangles and determine exact values of  $\chi_2(n)$  for small  $n$ . In Section 5 we make the link to multicolor vector space Ramsey numbers  $R_q(t; k)$  and use our bounds on  $\chi_q(t; n)$  to improve both lower and upper bounds for  $R_q(t; k)$ . In Section 6 we discuss future work and related open problems.

## 2 General upper bounds on the chromatic number

We use the convention that all dimensions are projective. Recall that we obtain a projective space  $\text{PG}(n-1, \mathbb{F})$  from the  $n$ -dimensional vector space  $V$  over the field  $\mathbb{F}$  in the following way: The points, lines,  $\dots$ ,  $(d-1)$ -spaces of  $\text{PG}(n-1, \mathbb{F})$  are the 1-dimensional, 2-dimensional,  $\dots$ ,  $d$ -dimensional vector subspaces of  $V$ . For  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in \mathbb{F}_q^n \setminus \{0\}$ , we write

$$[v] = [v_1 : \dots : v_n] \in \text{PG}(n-1, q)$$

for the point corresponding to the 1-dimensional subspace  $\langle v \rangle \subset \mathbb{F}_q^n$ . Thus,  $[v] = [\lambda v]$  for every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times$ .

Fix a prime power  $q$  and an integer  $t \geq 2$ . For each integer  $n \geq 1$ , let  $\chi_q(t; n)$  denote the smallest  $k$  such that the points of  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$  can be colored with  $k$  colors in such a way that no  $(t-1)$ -dimensional projective subspace is monochromatic. Equivalently, this is the chromatic number of the hypergraph whose vertices are the points of  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$  and whose hyperedges are the sets of points of each  $(t-1)$ -dimensional projective subspace.

For  $q = 2$  and  $t = 2$ , a color class is a cap (no three collinear), so a proper coloring is the same as a partition into caps. For  $q \geq 3$  and  $t = 2$ , a color class must avoid a full  $(q+1)$ -point line. In other words, its complement is a blocking set [3]. We denote  $\chi_q(2; n)$  as  $\chi_q(n)$ . In particular, partitions into caps give upper bounds on  $\chi_q(n)$ , but the true value of  $\chi_q(n)$  can be smaller.

We can prove the following upper bounds. Let  $S$  be a projective subspace of  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$  of dimension  $n-t$ . Then  $S \cong \text{PG}(n-t, q)$ , so we can color  $S$  properly with  $\chi_q(t; n-t+1)$  colors. Color all points outside  $S$  with one new color. Then no  $(t-1)$ -dimensional projective subspace is monochromatic. Hence, for all prime powers  $q \geq 2$  and for  $n \geq t \geq 2$ ,

$$\chi_q(t; n) \leq \chi_q(t; n-t+1) + 1. \quad (4)$$

In fact, we can do better. We have the following recursion for  $\chi_q(t; n)$  that gives an improved upper bound in general.

**Lemma 1.** *For all prime powers  $q \geq 2$ , for all integers  $t \geq 2$ , and for all integers  $1 \leq d \leq n-1$ ,*

$$\chi_q(t; n) \leq \chi_q(t; n-d) + \chi_q(t; d). \quad (5)$$

*Proof.* Represent the points of  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$  as  $[v_1 : \dots : v_n]$ . Define

$$A = \{[v_1 : \dots : v_n] : v_{n-d+1} = \dots = v_n = 0\},$$

$$B = \{[v_1 : \dots : v_n] : v_1 = \dots = v_{n-d} = 0\}.$$

Then  $A \cong \text{PG}(n-d-1, q)$  and  $B \cong \text{PG}(d-1, q)$ . If  $v = [v_1 : \dots : v_n] \in \text{PG}(n-1, q)$  is a point, then we write

$$v = [a : b].$$

The point  $[a : b]$  lies in  $A$  if and only if  $b = 0$ .

Let  $c_A$  be a proper coloring of  $A$  with  $\chi_q(t; n-d)$  colors, and let  $c_B$  be a proper coloring of  $B$  with  $\chi_q(t; d)$  colors. Use disjoint color palettes for  $c_A$  and  $c_B$ . Define a coloring  $c$  of  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$  by

$$c([a : b]) = \begin{cases} c_A([a : 0]), & \text{if } b = 0, \\ c_B([b]), & \text{if } b \neq 0. \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $c$  uses  $\chi_q(t; n-d) + \chi_q(t; d)$  colors.

We show that no  $(t-1)$ -dimensional projective subspace is monochromatic. Let  $S \subseteq \text{PG}(n-1, q)$  be a  $(t-1)$ -dimensional projective subspace. Then  $S$  must satisfy one of the three cases depending on the dimension of  $S \cap A$ .

- Suppose that  $S \cap A = \emptyset$ . Then every point of  $S$  has the form  $[a : b]$  with  $b \neq 0$ , and hence  $c([a : b]) = c_B([b])$ . Consider the projection  $\varphi([a : b]) = b$ , which maps points of  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$  to points of  $\text{PG}(d-1, q)$ . We show that  $\varphi|_S$  is injective, which would imply that  $\varphi(S)$  is a monochromatic  $(t-1)$ -space in  $B$ ; a contradiction.

Say,  $\varphi|_S$  is not injective, i.e., there exists  $[a_1 : b_1] \neq [a_2 : b_2]$  on  $S$  such that  $\varphi([a_1 : b_1]) = \varphi([a_2 : b_2])$ , and hence  $b_1 = \lambda b_2$ , for some  $\lambda \neq 0$ . Then, the point with coordinates  $[a_1 : b_1] - \lambda[a_2 : b_2] = [a_1 - \lambda a_2 : 0]$  lies in  $S \cap A$ , which is a contradiction.

- If  $S$  contains a point  $x = [a_1 : b_1]$  with  $b_1 = 0$  and a point  $y = [a_2 : b_2]$  with  $b_2 \neq 0$ , then  $c(x)$  is a color from the palette of  $c_A$  and  $c(y)$  is a color from the palette of  $c_B$ . Since the palettes are disjoint,  $c(x) \neq c(y)$ .
- If  $S \subseteq A$ , then the coloring  $c$  on  $S$  coincides with  $c_A$ . Since  $c_A$  is proper for  $(t-1)$ -spaces in  $A$ ,  $S$  is not monochromatic.

In all cases  $S$  is not monochromatic. Therefore,  $c$  is a proper coloring of  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$ , and this gives

$$\chi_q(t; n) \leq \chi_q(t; n-d) + \chi_q(t; d).$$

□

**Remark 1.** We can give a geometric coordinate-free explanation of the recursion as follows. Take an  $(n-d-1)$ -dimensional projective subspace  $A$  of  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$ , and let  $B = \text{PG}(n-1, q)/A \cong \text{PG}(d-1, q)$  be the quotient space with respect to  $A$ . Color the points of  $A$  according to a minimal proper coloring of  $A$  and the points outside  $A$  according to a minimal proper coloring of  $B$ , with a point  $x$  outside  $A$  in  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$  being identified with the point  $\langle x, A \rangle$  of  $B$ .

**Corollary 1.** For all integers  $n \geq 2$ ,

$$\chi_2(n) \leq \lceil 3n/4 \rceil.$$

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 1 with  $q = t = 2$ ,  $d = 4$  and  $\chi_2(4) = 3$ , we have

$$\chi_2(n) \leq 3 + \chi_2(n-4) \quad (n \geq 5).$$

Write  $n = 4m + r$  with  $r \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ . Iterating the inequality  $m$  times gives

$$\chi_2(n) \leq 3m + \chi_2(r).$$

Using  $\chi_2(r) = r$  for all  $r \in \{1, 2, 3\}$  and  $\chi_2(4) = 3$ , we get the stated bound. □

We now prove new bounds on  $\chi_q(n)$  for  $q > 2$ . A non-trivial blocking set<sup>1</sup> exists for  $\text{PG}(2, q)$ ,  $q > 2$  [21]. Coloring the points of this blocking set with one color and the remaining points with another color gives a proper 2-coloring, showing that  $\chi_q(3) \leq 2$  for all  $q > 2$ . Since  $\chi_q(2) = 2$  and  $\chi_q(3) \geq \chi_q(2)$ , we have  $\chi_q(3) = 2$  for all  $q > 2$ . Applying Lemma 1 with  $d = 3$  and iterating shows  $\chi_q(n) \leq 2n/3 + O(1)$ .

In fact, we can do better.

**Theorem 3.** For all fixed prime powers  $q \geq 5$ ,

$$\chi_q(n) \leq n/2 + O(1)$$

and, for  $q = 3$  and  $4$ ,

$$\chi_q(n) \leq 3n/5 + O(1).$$

*Proof.* For  $q \geq 5$ , non-trivial blocking sets in  $\text{PG}(3, q)$  exist (see [29] for  $q \geq 7$  and [20] for  $q = 5$ ). As in the case of  $\text{PG}(2, q)$  above, any non-trivial blocking set yields a proper 2-coloring, so  $\chi_q(4) \leq 2$ . Since  $\chi_q(4) \geq 2$ , we have  $\chi_q(4) = 2$ . Applying Lemma 1 with  $d = 4$  and iterating gives the first result.

For  $q = 3$  and  $q = 4$ , non-trivial blocking sets in  $\text{PG}(3, q)$  do not exist (for the proof of non-existence see [29] for  $q = 3$  and [6] for  $q = 4$ ). If there were a 2-coloring of  $\text{PG}(3, q)$ , then it would give a non-trivial blocking set, so  $\chi_3(4) > 2$  and  $\chi_4(4) > 2$ . Our computations [4] produced 3-colorings of  $\text{PG}(4, 3)$  and  $\text{PG}(4, 4)$ . Thus,  $\chi_3(5) \leq 3$  and  $\chi_4(5) \leq 3$ . Since  $\chi_q(4) \leq \chi_q(5)$ , we obtain  $\chi_3(4) = \chi_3(5) = \chi_4(4) = \chi_4(5) = 3$ . Applying Lemma 1 with  $d = 5$  and iterating then gives the second result. □

---

<sup>1</sup>A blocking set with respect to projective lines in  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$  is a set of points that has nonempty intersection with every line of  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$ . A blocking set that contains a line is called trivial.




Figure 1: Coordinate slice of  $\text{PG}(n-1, 2)$ :  $A$  (first  $n-d$  coordinates) and  $U$  (last  $d$ ).

### 3 Improved upper bounds for binary projective spaces

As we saw in Corollary 1,  $\chi_2(n) \leq 3n/4 + O(1)$ . In this section, we improve this upper bound by proving the following recursion in this setting.

**Lemma 2.** *For every integer  $1 \leq d \leq n-1$ ,*

$$\chi_2(n) \leq \chi_2(d) + \chi_2(n+1-d) - 1.$$

*Proof.* Let  $n-1 \geq d \geq 1$ . Represent the points of  $\text{PG}(n-1, 2)$  as  $[v_1 : \dots : v_n]$ . Define

$$A = \{[v_1 : \dots : v_n] : v_{n-d+1} = \dots = v_n = 0\},$$

$$U = \{[v_1 : \dots : v_n] : v_1 = \dots = v_{n-d} = 0\}.$$

Then  $A \cong \text{PG}(n-d-1, 2)$  and  $U \cong \text{PG}(d-1, 2)$ . If  $v = [v_1 : \dots : v_n] \in \text{PG}(n-1, 2)$  is a point, then we write  $v = [a : u]$  (see Figure 1).

Take a proper  $\chi_2(d)$ -coloring  $c_U$  of  $U \cong \text{PG}(d-1, 2)$  and fix a reserved color  $r$  of  $c_U$ . Define a function

$$t : \text{PG}(n-1, 2) \longrightarrow \{0, 1\}$$

by

$$t([a : u]) = \begin{cases} 0, & u = 0, \\ 1, & u \neq 0 \text{ and } c_U([u]) = r, \\ 0, & u \neq 0 \text{ and } c_U([u]) \neq r. \end{cases}$$

So  $t([a : u])$  depends only on  $[u] \in U$ .<sup>2</sup> Now extend  $A$  to  $A^+ \cong \text{PG}(n-d, 2)$  by adding an extra coordinate (see Figure 2). Take a proper  $\chi_2(n+1-d)$ -coloring  $c_{A^+}$  of  $A^+$ , using a palette disjoint

<sup>2</sup>The value of  $t$  in the third case will not be used later; we set it to 0 only to make  $t$  a well defined function on  $\text{PG}(n-1, 2)$ .




Figure 2: Reserved color  $r$  in  $U$  (vertical strip) and routing to  $A^+$  via the extra coordinate  $t$ .

from the colors of  $c_U$ . Define

$$c([a : u]) = \begin{cases} c_U([u]), & \text{if } u \neq 0 \text{ and } c_U([u]) \neq r, \\ c_{A^+}([a : t([a : u])]), & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Thus,  $r$  is never used, and the total number of colors is  $\chi_2(d) - 1 + \chi_2(n+1-d)$ .

We show that  $c$  is proper. Assume a line  $\ell \subset \text{PG}(n-1, 2)$  is monochromatic in color  $\alpha$ . As the palettes of  $c_U$  and  $c_{A^+}$  are disjoint, there are two cases.

*Case 1:*  $\alpha$  is a color of  $c_U$ . Then by the definition of  $c$ , for every  $x = [a : u] \in \ell$  we have  $u \neq 0$  and  $c_U([u]) \neq r$ . Consider the projection  $\varphi([a : u]) = u$ . Say  $\varphi|_\ell$  is not injective, i.e., there exists  $[a_1 : u_1] \neq [a_2 : u_2]$  on  $\ell$  such that  $\varphi([a_1 : u_1]) = \varphi([a_2 : u_2]) = u$ , and hence  $u_1 = u_2 = u$ . The third point on  $\ell$  is then  $[a_1 : u_1] + [a_2 : u_2] = [a_1 + a_2 : 0]$ . This point must be colored using the palette of  $c_{A^+}$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore, projecting  $\ell$  to  $U$  via  $[a : u] \mapsto [u]$  yields a line of  $U$  whose three points are all colored  $\alpha$  by  $c_U$ . This is again a contradiction.

*Case 2:*  $\alpha$  is a color of  $c_{A^+}$ . Then for every  $x = [a : u] \in \ell$  we have  $u = 0$  or  $c_U([u]) = r$  and  $c(x) = c_{A^+}([a : t(x)]) = \alpha$ . Let  $x_1 = [a_1 : u_1]$ ,  $x_2 = [a_2 : u_2]$  and  $x_3 = [a_1 + a_2 : u_1 + u_2]$  denote the three points on  $\ell$ . We will show that in all cases (i)  $t(x_3) = t(x_1) + t(x_2)$ , and (ii) the points  $y_1 = [a_1 : t(x_1)]$ ,  $y_2 = [a_2 : t(x_2)]$ , and  $y_3 = [a_1 + a_2 : t(x_3)]$  are distinct points in  $A^+ \cong \text{PG}(n-d, 2)$ . This gives three collinear points  $y_1, y_2$ , and  $y_3$  in  $A^+$ , all receiving the same color  $\alpha$  in the proper coloring  $c_{A^+}$ , a contradiction. Note that for (ii) it will suffice to show that  $y_1 \neq y_2$ .

The following are the three cases we need to consider.

- Say both  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are 0. Then  $u_1 + u_2 = 0$ , and hence  $t(x_1) + t(x_2) = 0 + 0 = 0 = t(x_3)$ . Since  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , we must have  $a_1 \neq a_2$  and thus  $y_1 \neq y_2$ .
- Say exactly one of  $u_1, u_2$  is 0 and the other is  $r$ -colored. Then  $u_1 + u_2 \neq 0$ , and hence it must also be  $r$ -colored. Then,  $t(x_1) + t(x_2) = 1 + 0 = 1 = t(x_3)$ . Since  $t(x_1) \neq t(x_2)$ , we have  $y_1 \neq y_2$ .



- Say both  $u_1$  and  $u_2$  are  $r$ -colored in the coloring  $c_U$ . If  $u_1 \neq u_2$ , then we must have  $u_1 + u_2 \neq 0$ , and hence  $u_1, u_2$  and  $u_3 = u_1 + u_2$  are three non-zero vectors with  $c_U(u_1) = c_U(u_2) = c_U(u_3) = r$ , contradicting the fact that  $c_U$  is proper. Therefore,  $u_1 = u_2$  and  $u_3 = u_1 + u_2 = 0$ . We again conclude that  $t(x_1) + t(x_2) = 1 + 1 = 0 = t(x_3)$ . Moreover,  $x_1 \neq x_2$ , but  $u_1 = u_2$ , and hence we must have  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , which again gives  $y_1 \neq y_2$ .

Therefore,  $c$  must be a proper coloring of  $\text{PG}(n-1, 2)$ , and thus

$$\chi_2(n) \leq (\chi_2(d) - 1) + \chi_2(n+1-d) = \chi_2(d) + \chi_2(n+1-d) - 1. \quad \square$$

**Theorem 1.** For all  $n \geq 2$

$$\chi_2(n) \leq \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor + 1.$$

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 2 with  $d = 4$  and  $\chi_2(4) = 3$ , we have

$$\chi_2(n) \leq 2 + \chi_2(n-3) \quad (n \geq 5).$$

Write  $n = 3m + r$  with  $r \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ . Iterating the inequality  $m$  times gives

$$\chi_2(n) \leq 2m + \chi_2(r).$$

Using  $\chi_2(r) = r$  for all  $r \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ , we get the stated bound.  $\square$

## 4 Connection to classical Ramsey theory

Here we prove the lower bound on  $\chi_2(n)$  given in Proposition 1. The same proof works for any finite abelian group  $G$  after fixing a strict linear order on  $G$ : for each unordered pair  $\{u, v\}$  with  $u < v$ , color the edge by the color of  $v - u$ . If the vertex-coloring of  $G$  has no monochromatic solution to  $x + y = z$  (i.e., each color class is sum-free), then the edge-coloring of the complete graph  $K_{|G|}$  has no monochromatic triangles. This argument goes back to Abbott and Hanson [1] who generalized Schur's idea [27]. For completeness, we make the connection with  $\chi_2(n)$  precise and provide a detailed proof. All logarithms in the statement and the proof have base two.

**Proposition 1.**  $\chi_2(n) \geq (1 - o(1))(n/\log n)$ .

*Proof.* We work in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ . Assume  $\chi_2(n) \leq k$ . Then there is a coloring  $c : \mathbb{F}_2^n \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow [k]$  such that no triple  $\{x, y, x+y\}$  is monochromatic. (Over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  these three elements are distinct, since  $x = y$  would give  $x + y = 0$ .)

Use  $c$  to color the edges of  $K_{2^n}$  on vertex set  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  as follows: for every edge  $\{u, v\}$ , color by  $c(v - u)$ . This is well-defined since  $v - u \neq 0$ . If  $u, v, w$  formed a monochromatic triangle, then

$$c(v - u) = c(w - v) = c(w - u),$$

but  $(v - u) + (w - v) = w - u$  in  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$ , which contradicts the assumption that  $c$  has no monochromatic triple. Hence, this edge-coloring of  $K_{2^n}$  has no monochromatic triangle, so

$$R(3; k) > 2^n. \quad (6)$$

We take the contrapositive. If  $R(3; k) \leq 2^n$ , then  $\chi_2(n) > k$ . Fix  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  and set  $k = \lfloor \alpha n / \log n \rfloor$ . Recall that the classical upper bound of Schur and all subsequent improvements are of the form  $R(3; k) = O(k!)$ . Therefore

$$R(3; k) = O(k!) = O(k^k) = O(2^{k \log k}) = O\left(2^{\frac{\alpha n}{\log n} \log(\alpha n)}\right) = O(2^{\alpha n}).$$

For all sufficiently large  $n$ , it follows that  $R(3; k) \leq 2^n$  and hence  $\chi_2(n) > k = \lfloor \alpha n / \log n \rfloor$ . Since  $\alpha \in (0, 1)$  was arbitrary,  $\chi_2(n) \geq (1 - o(1))(n/\log n)$ .  $\square$

## 4.1 Small values

We will use the known values and bounds [19] for the multicolor Ramsey numbers for triangles to obtain lower bounds on  $\chi_2(n)$  for small  $n$ .

$$R(3; 1) = 3, \quad R(3; 2) = 6, \quad R(3; 3) = 17,$$

$$51 \leq R(3; 4) \leq 62, \quad 162 \leq R(3; 5) \leq 307, \quad 538 \leq R(3; 6) \leq 1838.$$

These bounds give the following values of  $\chi_2(n)$ :

- $n = 5$ : Since  $R(3; 3) = 17 \leq 2^5$ , eq. (6) gives  $\chi_2(5) > 3$ . By Theorem 1,  $\chi_2(5) \leq 4$ . Hence  $\chi_2(5) = 4$ .
- $n = 6, 7$ : Since  $R(3; 4) \leq 62 < 2^6$ , we get  $\chi_2(7) \geq \chi_2(6) > 4$ . By Theorem 1,  $\chi_2(6) = \chi_2(7) = 5$

**Corollary 2.**  $\chi_2(7) = 5$

Thus  $n = 7$  is the first case  $n \geq 4$  where  $\chi_2(n) \neq n - 1$ . These small values highlight the usefulness of the Ramsey connection and also show that we recover known results for  $n = 5$  [23] and  $n = 6$  [12].

We record the current small cases and the best bounds that we have obtained.

$n$	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13
$\chi_2(n)$	2	3	3	4	5	5	[5, 6]	[6, 7]	[6, 7]	[7, 8]	[7, 9]	[7, 9]

## 5 Connection to vector space Ramsey numbers

We now recall the multicolor vector space Ramsey numbers. Let  $\mathcal{L}_1(V)$  denote the set of 1-dimensional subspaces of a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$  over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For integers  $t_1, \dots, t_k \geq 1$ , the number  $R_q(t_1, \dots, t_k)$  is defined to be the smallest  $n$  such that for every coloring  $f : \mathcal{L}_1(\mathbb{F}_q^n) \rightarrow [k]$  there exist an index  $i \in [k]$  and a  $t_i$ -dimensional subspace  $U \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  such that all 1-dimensional subspaces of  $U$  receive color  $i$ .

In the case  $t_1 = \dots = t_k = t$  we write  $R_q(t; k) := R_q(t, \dots, t)$ . Thus, the existence of a monochromatic  $(t-1)$ -space in  $\text{PG}(n-1, q)$  is the same as the existence of a  $t$ -dimensional subspace  $U \leq \mathbb{F}_q^n$  whose 1-dimensional subspaces are all the same color. This gives an equivalence between  $\chi_q(t; n)$  and the vector space Ramsey numbers  $R_q(t; k)$ . Let  $q$  be a prime power,  $t \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq t$ , and  $k \geq 1$ . Then

$$\chi_q(t; n) \leq k \iff R_q(t; k) > n. \quad (7)$$

Equivalently,

$$R_q(t; k) = \min\{n : \chi_q(t; n) > k\}.$$

Frederickson and Yepremyan [11] note that standard probabilistic arguments give lower bounds for  $R_2(t; k)$  of order  $\Omega(2^t \log k/t)$ , and the same argument over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  shows that, for all  $q$ ,

$$R_q(t; k) = \Omega\left(\frac{q^t \log_q k}{t}\right).$$

They further prove the following upper bounds for  $R_q(t; k)$  when  $q \in \{2, 3\}$ .

**Theorem 6** ([11, Theorem 1.3]). *There exists a constant  $C_0 \approx 13.901$  such that for  $\sigma_2 = 2$  and  $\sigma_3 = C_0$  the following holds. Let  $q \in \{2, 3\}$ , let  $k \geq 2$ , and let  $t_k \geq \dots \geq t_1 \geq 2$ . Then  $R_q(t_1, \dots, t_k)$  is at most a tower of height  $\sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (t_i - 1) + 1$  of the form*

$$R_q(t_1, \dots, t_k) \leq \sigma_q^{\sigma_q^{\dots^{\sigma_q^{3t_k}}}}.$$

*In particular, in the case  $t_1 = \dots = t_k = t$ , the height of the tower is  $(k - 1)(t - 1) + 1$ .*

For general  $t$ , we can use Lemma 1 to get improved lower bounds for  $R_q(t; k)$  for all  $t \geq 2$  and fixed  $q \geq 2$ . Note that there is no  $(t - 1)$ -dimensional projective subspace in  $\text{PG}(t - 2, q)$ , so every 1-coloring is proper. Hence  $\chi_q(t; t - 1) = 1$ .

**Theorem 4.** *For every prime power  $q \geq 2$  and all integers  $t \geq 2, k \geq 1$ ,*

$$R_q(t; k) > (t - 1)k.$$

*In particular,  $R_q(t; k) = \Omega_t(k)$ .*

*Proof.* Applying Lemma 1 with  $\chi_q(t; t - 1) = 1$  and iterating shows  $\chi_q(t; n) \leq \lceil \frac{n}{t-1} \rceil$ . For every integer  $k \geq 1$ , with equivalence (7) and choice  $n = (t - 1)k$  it follows that  $R_q(t; k) > (t - 1)k$ .  $\square$

**Remark 2.** *Using Equation (7), the recursion in Lemma 1 can be equivalently phrased as*

$$R_q(t; k_1 + k_2) > R_q(t; k_1) + R_q(t; k_2),$$

*which could be used directly to obtain these lower bounds.*

For  $t = 2$ , we provide a further improvements to the lower bound.

**Lemma 3.** *For every integer  $k \geq 1$ , the following holds.*

- *If  $q = 2$ , then*

$$R_2(2; k) \geq \frac{3}{2}k - O(1).$$

- *If  $q = 3$  or  $q = 4$ , then*

$$R_q(2; k) \geq \frac{5}{3}k - O(1).$$

- *If  $q \geq 5$ , then*

$$R_q(2; k) \geq 2k - O(1).$$

*Proof.* Assume that there exist constants  $\alpha > 0$  and  $C$  such that

$$\chi_q(2; n) \leq \alpha n + C \quad \text{for all } n \geq 2.$$

Fix  $k > C$ . If

$$n \leq \left\lfloor \frac{k - C}{\alpha} \right\rfloor,$$

then  $\alpha n + C \leq k$ , so  $\chi_q(2; n) \leq k$ . By equivalence (7) with  $t = 2$  this implies  $R_q(2; k) > n$ . Hence

$$R_q(2; k) \geq \frac{k}{\alpha} - O(1).$$

For  $q = 2$  put  $\alpha = 2/3$  (Theorem 1), for  $q = 3$  and  $q = 4$  put  $\alpha = 3/5$ , and for  $q \geq 5$  put  $\alpha = 1/2$  (by Theorem 3). This gives the three stated bounds.  $\square$

We can also significantly improve the tower-type upper bound on this number for  $q = t = 2$ .

**Theorem 5.**  $R_2(2; k) \leq k \log k + O(1)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $n = R_2(2; k) - 1$ . Because there is a coloring of the points of  $PG(n - 1, 2)$  with  $k$  colors and no monochromatic lines, (2) implies that

$$2^n < R(3; k) \leq ek! + 1 \leq 2^{k \log k + \log e}.$$

Since  $n = R_2(2; k) - 1$ , this gives

$$R_2(2; k) < \log R(3; k) + 1 < k \log k + \log e + 1.$$

□

## 6 Further directions

### 6.1 Binary projective spaces

The central problem is to determine the asymptotic behavior of  $\chi_2(n)$ .

$$\text{Is } \chi_2(n) = \Theta(n) \text{ or } \chi_2(n) = o(n) ?$$

Currently, we have

$$\chi_2(n) = \Omega\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \chi_2(n) \leq \lfloor 2n/3 \rfloor + 1.$$

Proving  $\chi_2(n) = o(n)$  would be a major breakthrough. A sublinear growth of  $\chi_2(n)$  would force much stronger lower bounds for multicolor triangle Ramsey numbers than is currently known. As a small step towards that goal, our recursion in Lemma 2 shows that a good bound  $\chi_2(s) \leq t$  for small values of  $s$  and  $t$  implies stronger asymptotic bounds, as follows.

**Proposition 2.** *For all integers  $n \geq d \geq 2$ ,*

$$\chi_2(n) \leq \left\lfloor \frac{\chi_2(d) - 1}{d - 1} n \right\rfloor + C_d,$$

where  $1 \leq C_d \leq \chi_2(d) - 1$  is a constant depending only on  $d$ . Moreover, one can take  $C_4 = 1$ .

**Corollary 3.** *For every integer  $d \geq 2$ ,*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} R(3; k)^{1/k} \geq 2^{(d-1)/(\chi_2(d)-1)}.$$

*Proof.* Combine Proposition 2 with the implication in (2). □

Applying this to  $d = 4$  from Theorem 1, we obtain:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} R(3; k)^{1/k} \geq 2^{3/2} \approx 2.828.$$

The best-known lower bound is

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} R(3; k)^{1/k} \geq (380)^{1/5} \approx 3.2806 \text{ [2]}$$

As a first concrete step, it is natural to improve the constant  $2/3$  in the upper bound of  $\chi_2(n)$ .

$$\chi_2(8) = 5 \Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} R(3; k)^{1/k} \geq 2^{7/4} \approx 3.364,$$

$$\chi_2(13) \leq 8 \Rightarrow \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} R(3; k)^{1/k} \geq 2^{12/7} \approx 3.2813.$$

Thus, proving  $\chi_2(8) = 5$  or  $\chi_2(13) = 8$  would improve the current best lower bound on the growth rate of  $R(3; k)$ .

**Problem 1.** *Determine whether  $\chi_2(8) = 5$  or  $\chi_2(13) = 8$ .*

## 6.2 Non-binary projective spaces

**Problem 2.** *Fix a prime power  $q \geq 3$ . Determine the asymptotic growth of  $\chi_q(n)$ . Is  $\chi_q(n) = \Theta(n)$ ?*

As a first step in this direction, one can try to improve the constants in our linear upper bounds. It will be interesting to improve the constant  $\alpha_q$  such that

$$\chi_q(n) \leq \alpha_q n + O(1).$$

By Theorem 3, for  $q \geq 5$  we have  $\chi_q(n) \leq n/2 + O(1)$  and for  $q = 3, 4$  we have  $\chi_q(n) \leq 3n/5 + O(1)$ .

**Problem 3.** *Develop  $q$ -ary analogs of the recursion  $\chi_2(n) \leq \chi_2(d) + \chi_2(n+1-d) - 1$ .*

If such recursions exist, then they may give better upper bounds on  $\chi_q(n)$  for fixed  $q$ .

## 6.3 General setting of vector space Ramsey numbers

As we have shown, improving the bounds in the more general setting of  $\chi_q(t; n)$ , will have direct consequences on the vector space Ramsey numbers  $R_q(t; k)$ .

**Problem 4.** *Fix a prime power  $q$  and an integer  $t \geq 3$ . Determine the asymptotic growth of  $\chi_q(t; n)$ . Is it true that  $\chi_q(t; n) = \Theta_t(n)$ ?*

If one improves the lower bounds on  $\chi_q(t; n)$ , then one also improves the upper bounds on vector space Ramsey numbers  $R_q(t; k)$ . The current upper bounds on  $R_q(t; k)$  for  $q = 3$  have tower growth (see [11]), in contrast to linear lower bounds. Determining the exact value of  $\chi_q(t; n)$  for small values of  $q, t$ , and  $n$ , will also be interesting, as it can improve the general upper bounds via the recursion that we have established in our work.

## Acknowledgements

The authors thank Ferdinand Ihringer and Sam Mattheus for valuable insights and discussions.

## References

- [1] H. L. Abbott and D. Hanson, *A problem of Schur and its generalizations*, Acta Arith. **20** (1972), 175–187.
- [2] R. Ageron, P. Casteras, T. Pellerin, Y. Portella, A. Rimmel, and J. Tomasik, *New lower bounds for Schur and weak Schur numbers*, 2021; revised 2022, arXiv:2112.03175 [math.CO], <https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.03175>.

- [3] A. Beutelspacher, *Blocking sets and partial spreads in finite projective spaces*, Geom. Dedicata **9** (4) (1980), 425–449.
- [4] A. Bishnoi, W. Cames van Batenburg and A. Ravi, *Data underlying the publication: The chromatic number of projective spaces*, Version 2, 4TU.ResearchData, 2025. <https://doi.org/10.4121/7f8caedc-9c09-478f-b76d-3b2d88a87635.v2>
- [5] A. Bruen, L. Haddad, and D. Wehlau, *Caps and Colouring Steiner Triple Systems*, Designs, Codes and Cryptography **13** (1998), 51–55.
- [6] N. Cassetta, *The non-existence of blocking sets in  $PG(3, 4)$* , Ital. J. Pure Appl. Math. **3** (1998), 79–84.
- [7] F. R. K. Chung, *On the Ramsey Numbers  $N(3, 3, \dots, 3; 2)$* , Discrete Math. **5** (1973), 317–321.
- [8] M. de Brandes, K. T. Phelps, and V. Rödl, *Coloring Steiner Triple Systems*, SIAM J. Algebraic Discrete Methods **3** (1982), no. 2, 241–249.
- [9] G. L. Ebert, *Partitioning projective geometries into caps*, Canad. J. Math. **37**(6) (1985), 1163–1175.
- [10] S. E. Fettes, R. L. Kramer, and S. P. Radziszowski, *An upper bound of 62 on the classical Ramsey number  $R(3, 3, 3, 3)$* , Ars Combin. **72** (2004), 41–63.
- [11] B. Frederickson and L. Yepremyan, *Vector space Ramsey numbers and weakly Sidorenko affine configurations*, Q. J. Math. **76** (2025), 77–94.
- [12] J. Fugère, L. Haddad, D. Wehlau. *5-Chromatic Steiner triple systems*. J. Comb. Des. **2** (1994): 287–299.
- [13] R. L. Graham, K. Leeb, and B. L. Rothschild, *Ramsey’s theorem for a class of categories*, Advances in Math. **8** (1972), 417–433.
- [14] R. E. Greenwood and A. M. Gleason, *Combinatorial relations and chromatic graphs*, Canad. J. Math. **7** (1955), 1–7.
- [15] L. Haddad, *On the Chromatic Numbers of Steiner Triple Systems*, J. Combin. Designs **7** (1999), 1–10.
- [16] J. W. P. Hirschfeld and J. A. Thas, *Arcs, Caps and Generalisations in a Finite Projective Space*, arXiv:2503.06243 [math.CO], 2025. <https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.06243>
- [17] Z. Hunter and C. Pohoata, *On off-diagonal Ramsey numbers for vector spaces over  $\mathbb{F}_2$* , Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **179** (2025), 503–518.
- [18] J. Nešetřil and M. Rosenfeld, *I. Schur, C.E. Shannon and Ramsey Numbers, a short story*, Discrete Mathematics, **229**, Issues 1–3 (2001), 185–195.
- [19] S. P. Radziszowski, *Small Ramsey Numbers*, Electron. J. Combin. **1** (1994), Dynamic Survey DS1, Revision #17 (2024).
- [20] S. Rajola, *A blocking set in  $PG(3, q)$ ,  $q \geq 5$* , Combinatorics ’86, Annals of Discrete Mathematics **37** (1988), 391–394.

- [21] M. Richardson, *On finite projective games*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **7** (1956), no. 3, 458–465.
- [22] A. Rosa. *On the chromatic number of Steiner triple systems*. Combinat. Structures and their Applications, Proc. Conf. Calgary 1969, Gordon & Breach (1970): 369–371.
- [23] A. Rosa. *Steiner triple systems and their chromatic number*. Acta Fac. Rerum Nat. Comen. Math., **24** (1970): 159–174.
- [24] A. Rosa, *Greedy triple systems*, Bulletin of the ICA. **85** (2019), 74–78.
- [25] F. Rowley, *A generalised linear Ramsey graph construction*, Australasian J. Combin. **81** (2021), no. 2, 245–256.
- [26] A. T. Sánchez-Flores, *An improved upper bound for Ramsey number  $N(3, 3, 3, 3; 2)$* , Discrete Math. **140** (1995), 281–286.
- [27] I. Schur, *Über die Kongruenz  $x^m + y^m = z^m \pmod{p}$* , Jahresbericht der Deutschen Mathematiker-Vereinigung **25** (1917), 114–116.
- [28] J. H. Spencer, *Ramsey’s theorem for spaces*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **249** (1979), no. 2, 363–371.
- [29] G. Tallini, *On blocking sets in finite projective and affine spaces*, Combinatorics ’86, Annals of Discrete Mathematics **37** (1988), 433–450.
- [30] X. Xu and S. P. Radziszowski, *On some open questions for Ramsey and Folkman numbers*, in: *Graph Theory: Favorite Conjectures and Open Problems – 1* (R. Gera, S. Hedetniemi, C. Larson, eds.), Problem Books in Mathematics, Springer, Cham, 2016, pp. 43–62.
- [31] Y. Zhao, *Probabilistic Methods in Combinatorics*, lecture notes, MIT, 2022.