

Continuity inequalities for sandwiched Rényi and Tsallis conditional entropies with application to the channel entropy continuity

Anna Vershynina

*Department of Mathematics, Philip Guthrie Hoffman Hall,
University of Houston, 3551 Cullen Blvd., Houston, TX 77204-3008, USA*

(Dated: December 2, 2025)

For the sandwiched Rényi entropy the conditional entropy can be defined two ways: $\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho, \tilde{H}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_\rho$. In the limiting case, $\alpha = 1$, both definitions consolidate into conditional entropy $H(A|B) = S(AB) - S(B)$. The continuity inequality for conditional entropy $H(A|B)$, called the Alicki-Fannes-Winter (AWF) inequality, shows that if the states are close in trace-distance, then the conditional entropies are also close. Having the AWF inequality for conditional entropy, we show that the channel entropy defined through the relative entropy is continuous with respect to the diamond-distance between channels. Inspired by this, similar continuity inequalities for the Rényi conditional entropy $\tilde{H}_\alpha^\uparrow$ for states with the same marginal were presented in [24]. We provide continuity bounds for the sandwiched Rényi and Tsallis conditional entropies $\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho, \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho$ for states with the same marginal on the conditioning system. Similar to the previous bounds, our bound depends only on the dimension of the conditioning system. We apply this result to prove continuity of the channel entropy for Rényi and Tsallis channel entropies defined through the sandwiched Rényi and Tsallis relative entropies.

I. INTRODUCTION

For quantum states a well-known continuity bound of the quantum entropy is given by the Fannes-Audenaert inequality [1, 6, 11, 36]. The inequality provides an upper bound on the entropy difference in terms of the trace-distance:

$$|S(\rho) - S(\sigma)| \leq T \log[d - 1] + s_2(T) ,$$

where $T = \frac{1}{2} \|\rho - \sigma\|_1$, d is the Hilbert space dimension, and $s_2(p) = -p \log p - (1 - p) \log(1 - p)$ is the binary entropy. Various proofs and generalization were found afterwards [1, 4, 5, 28, 36]. These bounds are applied, in particular, to entanglement measures [27], the capacity of quantum channels [22, 30], and others.

We ask a similar continuity question for the entropy of a channel. The channel entropy is defined through the relative entropy of channels [10]: for two quantum channels $\mathcal{N}_{A \rightarrow B}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{A \rightarrow B}$, the relative entropy between them is defined as

$$D(\mathcal{N} \parallel \mathcal{M}) = \sup_{\rho_{AR}} D(\mathcal{N} \otimes I(\rho) \parallel \mathcal{M} \otimes I(\rho)) .$$

Here the supremum is taken over all systems R of any dimension and all states ρ_{AR} . However, it is sufficient to consider only pure states ρ_{AR} with system R being isomorphic to system A , because of the state purification, the data-processing inequality, and the Schmidt decomposition theorem. This definition was generalized in [20] by taking any generalized divergence instead of the relative entropy (i.e. satisfying the data processing inequality), leading to a divergence of channels. When divergence is a trace-distance [34], then the divergence of channels is called a diamond-distance of channels.

The entropy of a channel \mathcal{N} is then defined as [38]

$$S(\mathcal{N}) = \log |B| - D(\mathcal{N} \parallel \mathcal{R}) , \tag{I.1}$$

here D is the relative entropy of the channels, $\mathcal{R}_{A \rightarrow B}(\rho_A) = \text{Tr}(\rho_A) \pi_B$ is a completely randomizing/depolarizing channel, and $\pi_B = I_B/|B|$ is the maximally mixed state. This definition generalizes the static case, when the entropy of a state can be written as $S(\rho) = \log d - D(\rho \parallel \pi)$.

In Section III A we show that if two quantum channels are close to each other in diamond-distance, then their channel entropy is also close

$$|S(\mathcal{N}) - S(\mathcal{M})| \leq f(\epsilon, |B|) , \tag{I.2}$$

here $f(\epsilon, |B|)$ is the upper bound discussed below in (I.3). The proof relies on the fact that the channel entropy $S(\mathcal{N})$ can be written in terms of the conditional entropy $H(A|B)$. And the continuity inequality for the channel entropy reduces to a continuity inequality for the conditional entropy. For the conditional entropy, $H(A|B) = S(AB) - S(B)$, a continuity inequality was first proved by Alicki and Fannes [1] and later improved by Winter [36]. The inequality is now known as the Alicki-Fannes-Winter (AFW) inequality

$$|H(A|B)_\rho - H(A|B)_\sigma| \leq 2\epsilon \log |A| + (\epsilon + 1) \log(\epsilon + 1) - \epsilon \log \epsilon =: f(\epsilon, |A|), \quad (\text{I.3})$$

where the trace-distance $T \leq \epsilon \in [0, 1]$. Various analogues and generalizations of this inequality have since been obtained in the literature. Either of these can be used in place of the AFW inequality to derive the upper bound in the continuity of the channel entropy inequality (I.2). In particular, the authors in [8] proved that for states ρ and σ with the same marginal $\rho_B = \sigma_B$, for ϵ close to 1, it holds that

$$|H(A|B)_\rho - H(A|B)_\sigma| \leq \epsilon \log(|A| \cdot \text{SN}(\rho_{AB})) + \epsilon \log \epsilon + (1 - \epsilon) \log(1 - \epsilon),$$

where $\text{SN}(\rho)$ is the Schmidt number of ρ , which is upper bounded by $\min\{|A|, |B|\}$. The equal-marginal setting is precisely the case needed for the continuity of the entropy itself, and therefore is a natural regime for continuity bounds.

Note that the conditional entropy can be written in three equivalent ways

$$\begin{aligned} H(A|B)_\rho &= S(\rho_{AB}) - S(\rho_B) \\ &= -D(\rho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \rho_B) \\ &= -\min_{\sigma_B} D(\rho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned} \quad (\text{I.4})$$

For the Rényi entropy, it was shown that the generalization of the first expression (I.4) has severe limitations, as it does not satisfy the data processing inequality [31]. The other two expressions, however, give a very useful generalizations, studied in particular in [3, 13, 16, 17, 24, 26, 31, 32, 37]. Here, we focus on the last two expressions for the sandwiched Rényi relative entropy \tilde{D}_α and the sandwiched Tsallis relative entropy \tilde{D}_α^T .

Conditional sandwiched Rényi entropies for a state ρ_{AB} are then defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho &= -\tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \rho_B) = \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \text{Tr}\{(\rho_B^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \rho \rho_B^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}})^\alpha\}, \\ \tilde{H}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_\rho &= -\min_{\sigma_B} \tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Continuity inequality for the conditional Rényi entropy $\tilde{H}_\alpha^\uparrow$ was derived in [24]: if $\frac{1}{2}\|\rho_{AB} - \sigma_{AB}\|_1 \leq \epsilon$ for $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ then

$$|\tilde{H}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_\rho - \tilde{H}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_\sigma| \leq f_{\alpha, |A|}^\uparrow(\epsilon),$$

where

$$f_{\alpha, d}^\uparrow(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} \log(1 + \epsilon) + \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \left(\epsilon^\alpha d^{2(1 - \alpha)} + 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{(1 + \epsilon)^{1 - \alpha}} \right), & \alpha < 1, \\ \log(1 + \sqrt{2\epsilon}) + \frac{1}{1 - \beta} \log \left(\sqrt{2\epsilon}^\beta d^{2(1 - \beta)} + 1 - \frac{\sqrt{2\epsilon}}{(1 + \sqrt{2\epsilon})^{1 - \beta}} \right), & \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

Here $\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1} = 2$. The last case, when $\alpha > 1$, is derived from the first case, when $\alpha < 1$, because of the duality property: for a pure state ρ_{ABC} , we have $\tilde{H}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_\rho + \tilde{H}_\beta^\uparrow(A|C)_\rho = 0$, for $\alpha^{-1} + \beta^{-1} = 2$. Note that there is no similar duality inequality for $\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow$, so each case for α must be considered separately.

In Section III B, we prove the continuity of the conditional entropy $\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow$: if $\frac{1}{2}\|\rho_{AB} - \sigma_{AB}\|_1 \leq \epsilon$ for $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$ and $\rho_B = \sigma_B$, then

$$|\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho - \tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma| \leq f_{\alpha, |A|}^\downarrow(\epsilon),$$

where

$$f_{\alpha,d}(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} \log(1+\epsilon) + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log\left(1 + \epsilon^\alpha d^{2(1-\alpha)}\right), & \alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1) \\ \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log(1+\epsilon), & \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

Note that for every fixed α , the $f_{\alpha,d}(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

Using this inequality, we prove the continuity of the Rényi channel entropy defined through the sandwiched Rényi relative entropy: if two quantum channels are close in diamond-distance, $\frac{1}{2}\|\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M}\|_\diamond \leq \epsilon$, then the Rényi channel entropy for $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$ is also close

$$|\tilde{S}_\alpha(\mathcal{N}) - \tilde{S}_\alpha(\mathcal{M})| \leq f_{\alpha,|B|}(\epsilon).$$

In Section III C, we provide similar continuity inequalities for the sandwiched Tsallis conditional entropy and the Tsallis channel entropy defined in terms of the sandwiched Tsallis relative entropy for $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1) \cup (1, 2)$. The sandwiched Tsallis relative entropy is defined as

$$\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho\|\sigma) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \left(\text{Tr}\{(\sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}})^\alpha\} - 1 \right),$$

for $\alpha < 1$, or $\alpha > 1$ and $\text{supp } \rho \subseteq \text{supp } \sigma$.

Conditional sandwiched Tsallis entropies for a state ρ_{AB} are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho &= -\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho_{AB}\|I_A \otimes \rho_B) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\text{Tr}\{(\rho_B^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \rho \rho_B^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}})^\alpha\} - 1 \right), \\ \tilde{T}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_\rho &= -\min_{\sigma_B} \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho_{AB}\|I_A \otimes \sigma_B). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly to the sandwiched Rényi conditional entropy we have the following continuity inequality. For states with the same marginals $\rho_B = \sigma_B$ such that $\frac{1}{2}\|\rho - \sigma\|_1 = \epsilon \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$|\tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho - \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma| \leq f_{\alpha,|A|}^T(\epsilon),$$

where

$$f_{\alpha,d}^T(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} ((1+\epsilon^\alpha)(1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} - 1) d^{1-\alpha}, & \alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1) \\ \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \left[\left((1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} - 1 \right) d^{\alpha-1} + \epsilon(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} d^{1-\alpha} \right], & \alpha \in (1, 2). \end{cases}$$

Note that for every fixed α , the $f_{\alpha,d}^T(\epsilon) \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

We define the α -Tsallis channel entropy as follows

$$\tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N}) = \frac{|B|^{1-\alpha} - 1}{1-\alpha} - |B|^{1-\alpha} \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N}\|\mathcal{R}).$$

This definition is different from the form (I.1) for the relative entropy and the Rényi relative entropies. It is because the Tsallis relative entropy has a different scaling: $\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho\|c\sigma) = \frac{c^{1-\alpha}-1}{\alpha-1} + c^{1-\alpha} \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho\|\sigma)$, resulting in a different form for the entropy of a state in terms of the relative entropy.

This Tsallis channel entropy is monotone under uniformity preserving superchannels, normalized, bounded (Theorem III.9), and pseudo-additive. Note that to show boundedness, we used the conditional Tsallis entropy $\tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow$ defined through the Tsallis relative entropy (non-sandwiched). In Theorem III.10, we show that the pseudo-additivity takes the form

$$\tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = \tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N}) + \tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{M}) + (1-\alpha) \tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N}) \tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{M}).$$

In Theorem III.11, we show the continuity of the Tsallis channel entropy: for two quantum channels close to each other in diamond-distance, $\frac{1}{2}\|\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M}\|_\diamond \leq \epsilon$, we have

$$|\tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N}) - \tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{M})| \leq f_{\alpha,|B|}^T(\epsilon).$$

II. PRELIMINARIES

A. Definitions

In this paper all Hilbert spaces are finite dimensional. We denote them as $\mathcal{H}_A, \mathcal{H}_B, \dots$, where subscripts indicate the corresponding system. A Hilbert space corresponding to multiple systems, e.g. AB , is a tensor product of individual subsystems, e.g. $\mathcal{H}_A \otimes \mathcal{H}_B$. For a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_A , its dimension is denoted as $|A| := \dim \mathcal{H}_A$. For a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , we denote $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ the space of all linear operators on \mathcal{H} .

A quantum state or a density operator $\rho_A \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H}_A is a positive semidefinite, trace-normalized operator, i.e. $\rho_A \geq 0$ and $\text{Tr} \rho_A = 1$. A state is pure if it is rank-one. A pure state ψ_A has an associated vector $|\psi\rangle_A \in \mathcal{H}_A$ such that $\langle\psi|\psi\rangle = 1$ and $\psi_A = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|_A$.

A quantum channel $\mathcal{N} : A \rightarrow B$ is a linear completely-positive trace-preserving (CPTP) map from $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ to $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H}_B)$. The channel can also be denoted as $\mathcal{N}_{A \rightarrow B}$. The identity channel on system A is denoted as I_A . The subscript in the channels is dropped if it is evident which systems are involved.

Completely depolarizing/randomizing channel is defined as

$$\mathcal{R}_{A \rightarrow B}(\rho_A) = \text{Tr}(\rho_A) \pi_B ,$$

where $\pi_B = I_B/|B|$ is the maximally mixed state.

A superchannel [9] Λ transforms a quantum channel $\mathcal{N}_{A \rightarrow B}$ to a channel from C to D as follows

$$\Lambda(\mathcal{N}_{A \rightarrow B})_{C \rightarrow D} = \mathcal{M}_{BE \rightarrow D} \circ (\mathcal{N}_{A \rightarrow B} \otimes I_E) \circ \mathcal{K}_{C \rightarrow AE} ,$$

with the ancillary system E , and channels $\mathcal{M}_{BE \rightarrow D}$ and $\mathcal{K}_{C \rightarrow AE}$.

A uniformity preserving superchannel Λ is the one sending a completely randomizing channel to a completely randomizing one, i.e. $\Lambda(\mathcal{R}_{A \rightarrow B}) = \mathcal{R}_{C \rightarrow D}$ with $|A| = |C|$ and $|B| = |D|$.

The quantum (Umegaki) relative entropy [33] is defined as $D(\rho \parallel \sigma) = \text{Tr}(\rho \log \rho - \rho \log \sigma)$ when $\text{supp} \rho \subseteq \text{supp} \sigma$, and $+\infty$ otherwise. The quantum entropy of a state ρ is defined as $S(\rho) = -\text{Tr}(\rho \log \rho)$. The quantum conditional entropy can be defined any one of the following three ways:

$$\begin{aligned} H(A|B)_\rho &= S(\rho_{AB}) - S(\rho_B) \\ &= -D(\rho_{AB} \parallel I_A \otimes \rho_B) \\ &= -\min_{\sigma_B} D(\rho_{AB} \parallel I_A \otimes \sigma_B) . \end{aligned}$$

For two quantum channels $\mathcal{N}_{A \rightarrow B}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{A \rightarrow B}$, the relative entropy of channels [10] is defined as

$$D(\mathcal{N} \parallel \mathcal{M}) = \sup_{\rho_{AR}} D(\mathcal{N} \otimes I_R(\rho) \parallel \mathcal{M} \otimes I_R(\rho)) .$$

Here the supremum is taken over all systems R of any dimension and all states ρ_{AR} . However, it is sufficient to consider only pure states ρ_{AR} with system R being isomorphic to system A , because of the state purification, the data-processing inequality, and the Schmidt decomposition theorem.

Taking other divergences instead of the relative entropy $D(\cdot \parallel \cdot)$ above, results in various relative entropies of channels, e.g. Rényi and Tsallis relative entropies.

Trace-norm of a linear map X is defined as $\|X\|_1 = \text{Tr} \sqrt{X^* X}$. Then the trace-distance between two states ρ and σ is defined as $\|\rho - \sigma\|_1 = \text{Tr} |\rho - \sigma|$. Sometimes a factor of $\frac{1}{2}$ is added in the definition of a trace-distance. Will use these notions interchangeably, as it will be clear whether or not a factor is present. or it makes no difference.

The trace-distance of quantum channels $\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M} : A \rightarrow B$ is defined as

$$\|\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M}\|_1 = \sup_{\rho_A} \|\mathcal{N}(\rho) - \mathcal{M}(\rho)\|_1 .$$

The diamond-distance of channels $\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M} : A \rightarrow B$ is defined as

$$\|\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M}\|_\diamond = \sup_{\rho_{AR}} \|\mathcal{N}_{A \rightarrow B} \otimes I_R(\rho) - \mathcal{M}_{A \rightarrow B} \otimes I_R(\rho)\|_1 ,$$

where, similarly to the above, it is enough to consider $\dim R = \dim A$ and only pure states in the maximization.

B. Relative entropy

The **entropy of a quantum channel** [38] $\mathcal{N}_{A \rightarrow B}$ is defined as

$$S(\mathcal{N}) = \log |B| - D(\mathcal{N} \| \mathcal{R}) , \quad (\text{II.1})$$

where $D(\cdot \| \cdot)$ is based on the Umegaki relative entropy $D(\rho \| \sigma) = \text{Tr}(\rho \log \rho - \rho \log \sigma)$.

Note that

$$D(\mathcal{N} \| \mathcal{R}) = \sup_{\psi} D(\mathcal{N}_{A \rightarrow B} \otimes I_R |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|_{AR} \| \mathcal{R}_{A \rightarrow B} \otimes I_R |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|_{AR}) = \sup_{\psi} D(\rho_{BR} \| \pi_B \otimes \rho_R) ,$$

where $\rho_{BR} = \mathcal{N} \otimes I |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$.

The entropy of a quantum channel has the following properties [14, 15, 38]:

- (Monotonicity) Since the divergence is monotone under quantum channels, the generalized channel entropy is monotone under uniformity preserving superchannels: For any uniformity preserving superchannel Λ (i.e. sending a completely randomizing channel to a completely randomizing one, $\Lambda(\mathcal{R}_{A \rightarrow B}) = \mathcal{R}_{C \rightarrow D}$ with $|A| = |C|$ and $|B| = |D|$), we have

$$S(\Lambda(\mathcal{N})) \geq S(\mathcal{N}) .$$

- (Normalization) By definition, the entropy of a completely randomizing channel \mathcal{R} is $S(\mathcal{R}) = \log |B|$.

Let $\Phi_\sigma(\rho_A) = \sigma_B$ be a replacer channel for some fixed state σ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(\Phi_\sigma \otimes I |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|_{AR} \| \mathcal{R} \otimes I |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|_{AR}) &= D(\sigma_B \otimes \psi_R \| \pi_B \otimes \psi_R) \\ &= D(\sigma \| \pi) . \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the stability property of the divergence, which is a consequence of the monotonicity property.

Therefore, the entropy of the replacer channel is

$$\begin{aligned} S(\Phi_\sigma) &= \log |B| - D(\mathcal{N} \| \mathcal{R}) \\ &= \log |B| - D(\sigma \| \pi) \\ &= S(\sigma) . \end{aligned}$$

And for a replacer channel that replaces any state with a pure state, the entropy of this channel is zero, i.e. $S(\Phi_\phi) = 0$ for $\Phi_\phi(\rho) = |\phi\rangle \langle \phi|$ for some fixed pure state $|\phi\rangle$.

- (Additivity) For any two quantum channels, $S(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = S(\mathcal{N}) + S(\mathcal{M})$.
- (Boundedness) The entropy of a channel could be negative, but it is bounded, $|S(\mathcal{N})| \leq \log |B|$. The lowest value is achieved for the identity channel, and the highest value is achieved for a completely randomizing channel.

III. CONTINUITY INEQUALITIES

A. Relative entropy

The channel entropy can be written as a infimum of a conditional entropy:

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathcal{N}) &= \log |B| - D(\mathcal{N} \| \mathcal{R}) \\ &= \log |B| - \sup_{\psi} D(\mathcal{N}_{A \rightarrow B} \otimes I_R |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|_{AR} \| \mathcal{R}_{A \rightarrow B} \otimes I_R |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|_{AR}) \\ &= \log |B| - \sup_{\psi} D(\mathcal{N} \otimes I |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| \| \pi_B \otimes \psi_R) \\ &= - \sup_{\psi} D(\mathcal{N} \otimes I |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| \| I_B \otimes \psi_R) \\ &= \inf_{\psi} H(B|R)_{\mathcal{N} \otimes I |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|} . \end{aligned}$$

Here $\pi_B = I_B/|B|$ and $\psi_R = \text{Tr}_A |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$. We used $D(\rho\|c\sigma) = D(\rho\|\sigma) - \log c$ and $H(B|R)_\rho = -D(\rho_{BR}\|I_B \otimes \rho_R)$.

Recall the AFW continuity inequality for the conditional entropy [1, 36]: Let ρ_{AB}, σ_{AB} be two states such that $\frac{1}{2}\|\rho - \sigma\|_1 \leq \epsilon$ for $\epsilon \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$|H(A|B)_\rho - H(A|B)_\sigma| \leq 2\epsilon \log |A| + (\epsilon + 1) \log(\epsilon + 1) - \epsilon \log \epsilon =: f(\epsilon, |A|) .$$

One may use any other valid upper bound above, which will appear in the continuity theorem below.

III.1 Theorem. (*Continuity of channel entropy*) Let \mathcal{N} and \mathcal{M} be two channels from A to B such that $\frac{1}{2}\|\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M}\|_\diamond \leq \epsilon$. Then

$$|S(\mathcal{N}) - S(\mathcal{M})| \leq f(\epsilon, |B|) ,$$

where $f(\epsilon, |B|) = 2\epsilon \log |B| + (\epsilon + 1) \log(\epsilon + 1) - \epsilon \log \epsilon$.

Proof. WLOG suppose that $S(\mathcal{N}) \geq S(\mathcal{M})$.

Since $S(\mathcal{M}) = \inf_\psi H(B|R)_{\mathcal{M} \otimes I|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|}$, for any $\delta > 0$, there exists a state ω such that

$$H(B|R)_{\mathcal{M} \otimes I|\omega\rangle\langle\omega|} < S(\mathcal{M}) + \delta .$$

Then, since $S(\mathcal{N}) = \inf_\psi H(B|R)_{\mathcal{N} \otimes I|\psi\rangle\langle\psi|} \leq H(B|R)_{\mathcal{N} \otimes I|\omega\rangle\langle\omega|}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(\mathcal{N}) - S(\mathcal{M}) &< H(B|R)_{\mathcal{N} \otimes I|\omega\rangle\langle\omega|} - H(B|R)_{\mathcal{M} \otimes I|\omega\rangle\langle\omega|} + \delta \\ &\leq f(\epsilon, |B|) + \delta , \end{aligned}$$

since $\|\mathcal{N} \otimes I|\omega\rangle\langle\omega| - \mathcal{M} \otimes I|\omega\rangle\langle\omega|\|_1 \leq \|\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M}\|_\diamond = \sup_\rho \|\mathcal{N} \otimes I(\rho) - \mathcal{M} \otimes I(\rho)\|_1 \leq 2\epsilon$ and the AWF inequality.

Taking $\delta \rightarrow 0$, we obtain the necessary continuity inequality. \square

B. Sandwiched Rényi relative entropy

Rényi entropy is defined as, for $\alpha > 0$ and $\alpha \neq 1$,

$$S_\alpha(\rho) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \text{Tr}\{\rho^\alpha\} .$$

The sandwiched Rényi relative entropy is defined as

$$\tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho\|\sigma) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log \text{Tr}\{(\sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}})^\alpha\} ,$$

for $\alpha < 1$, or $\alpha > 1$ and $\text{supp } \rho \subseteq \text{supp } \sigma$.

Note that the sandwiched Rényi relative entropy obeys the data processing inequality for $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$ and is jointly convex for $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Moreover, the functional $(\rho, \sigma) \mapsto \text{Tr}\{(\sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}})^\alpha\}$ is jointly concave for $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and jointly convex for $\alpha > 1$, see [12].

Conditional sandwiched Rényi entropies for a state ρ_{AB} are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho &= -\tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho_{AB}\|I_A \otimes \rho_B) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \text{Tr}\{(\rho_B^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \rho \rho_B^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}})^\alpha\} , \\ \tilde{H}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_\rho &= -\min_{\sigma_B} \tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho_{AB}\|I_A \otimes \sigma_B) . \end{aligned}$$

A number of inequalities relating and bounding these and other conditional entropies was presented in a unified form in [39], some original and some from other sources [7, 18, 21, 26, 31]. In particular, we will be using the boundedness of both conditional entropies: for any state ρ_{AB} ,

$$|\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho| \leq \log |A| , \tag{III.1}$$

$$|\tilde{H}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_\rho| \leq \log |A| . \tag{III.2}$$

III.2 Theorem. Let $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Suppose that the states ρ_{AB} and σ_{AB} have the same marginals $\rho_B = \sigma_B$ and they are close to each other in trace-distance $\frac{1}{2}\|\rho - \sigma\|_1 = \epsilon \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$|\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho - \tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma| \leq \log(1 + \epsilon) + \frac{1}{1 - \alpha} \log \left(1 + \epsilon^\alpha |A|^{2(1-\alpha)} \right).$$

Proof. When $\epsilon = 0$, the bound is trivial. Then suppose that $\epsilon > 0$.

Denote $\omega_{AB} = I_A \otimes \rho_B = I_A \otimes \sigma_B$ and $\gamma = \frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}$. Then for $\delta = \rho$ or $\delta = \sigma$ we have $\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\delta = -\tilde{D}_\alpha(\delta_{AB} \|\omega_{AB}) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \delta \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\}$. Therefore,

$$\text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \rho \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} = 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho}, \quad (\text{III.3})$$

$$\text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \sigma \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} = 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma}. \quad (\text{III.4})$$

Moreover, for any state δ_{AB} ,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_\delta &= -\min_{\xi_B} \tilde{D}_\alpha(\delta_{AB} \| I_A \otimes \xi_B) \\ &= \max_{\xi_B} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \text{Tr}\{(\xi_B^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \delta \xi_B^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}})^\alpha\} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \max_{\xi_B} \text{Tr}\{(\xi_B^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \delta \xi_B^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}})^\alpha\}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, for any state δ_{AB} ,

$$\max_{\xi_B} \text{Tr}\{(\xi_B^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \delta \xi_B^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}})^\alpha\} = 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_\delta}.$$

And, in particular,

$$\text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \delta \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} \leq 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_\delta}. \quad (\text{III.5})$$

Let us decompose $\rho - \sigma = P' - Q'$ into positive $P' \geq 0$ and negative $Q' \geq 0$ commuting parts. Then $\text{Tr} P' = \text{Tr} Q' = \epsilon$. Denote $P = P'/\epsilon$ and $Q = Q'/\epsilon$. Then P, Q are density operators.

Denote

$$\Delta_{AB} := \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \rho + \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} Q = \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \sigma + \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} P.$$

Recall McCarthy's inequality [25] or Rotfel'd inequality [29]: for $X, Y \geq 0$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$\text{Tr}\{(X + Y)^\alpha\} \leq \text{Tr}\{X^\alpha\} + \text{Tr}\{Y^\alpha\}.$$

Taking $X = \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \omega^\gamma \rho \omega^\gamma$ and $Y = \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \omega^\gamma Q \omega^\gamma$ in the McCarthy's inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \Delta \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} &\leq \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \rho \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} + \frac{\epsilon^\alpha}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma Q \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} \\ &= \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho} + \frac{\epsilon^\alpha}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma Q \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho} + \frac{\epsilon^\alpha}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_Q} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho} + \frac{\epsilon^\alpha}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} |A|^{1-\alpha}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.6})$$

Here the first inequality follows from McCarthy's inequality since $\omega^\gamma \Delta \omega^\gamma = X + Y$. The first equality follows from (III.3). Second inequality follows (III.5). The third inequality follows from the upper bound (III.2). Note that (III.6) was proved in [24], but was applied to different states while proving the continuity inequality for $\tilde{H}_\alpha^\uparrow$.

On the other hand, since the trace functional $\Delta_{AB} \mapsto \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \Delta_{AB} \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\}$ is concave for $\frac{1}{2} \leq \alpha < 1$ [12], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \Delta \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} &\geq \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \sigma \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} + \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma P \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.8})$$

The second inequality holds from (III.4) and since $\text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma P \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} \geq 0$.

Thus, combining (III.7) and (III.8), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{1+\epsilon} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma} \leq \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho} + \frac{\epsilon^\alpha}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} |A|^{1-\alpha} .$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma - \tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho &\leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log\{(1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho} + (1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} \epsilon^\alpha |A|^{1-\alpha}\} - \tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log\{(1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho} + (1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} \epsilon^\alpha |A|^{1-\alpha}\} + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log 2^{-(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log\{(1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} + (1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} \epsilon^\alpha |A|^{1-\alpha} 2^{-(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho}\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log\{(1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} + (1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} \epsilon^\alpha |A|^{1-\alpha} |A|^{1-\alpha}\} \\ &= \log(1+\epsilon) + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \left\{ 1 + \epsilon^\alpha |A|^{2(1-\alpha)} \right\} . \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the lower dimensional bound (III.1): $-\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho \leq \log |A|$.

This inequality also holds with ρ and σ interchanged. \square

With a similar proof, a continuity inequality can be shown for $\alpha > 1$.

III.3 Theorem. *Let $\alpha > 1$. Suppose that the states ρ_{AB} and σ_{AB} have the same marginals $\rho_B = \sigma_B$ and they are close to each other in trace-distance $\frac{1}{2}\|\rho - \sigma\|_1 = \epsilon \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$|\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho - \tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma| \leq \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log(1+\epsilon) .$$

Note that his bound is dimension-independent.

Proof. Recall McCarthy's inequality [25]: for $X, Y \geq 0$ and $\alpha > 1$, we have

$$\text{Tr}\{(X+Y)^\alpha\} \geq \text{Tr}\{X^\alpha\} + \text{Tr}\{Y^\alpha\} .$$

Following the proof of the previous theorem, take $X = \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \omega^\gamma \rho \omega^\gamma$ and $Y = \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \omega^\gamma Q \omega^\gamma$ in the McCarthy's inequality. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \Delta \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} &\geq \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \rho \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} + \frac{\epsilon^\alpha}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma Q \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} \\ &\geq \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.9})$$

Here the first inequality follows from McCarthy's inequality since $\omega^\gamma \Delta \omega^\gamma = X + Y$. The second inequality follows from (III.3) and since $\text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma Q \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} \geq 0$.

On the other hand, since the trace functional $\Delta_{AB} \mapsto \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \Delta_{AB} \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\}$ is convex for $\alpha > 1$ [12], we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \Delta \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} &\leq \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \sigma \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} + \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma P \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} \\ &= \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma} + \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma P \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma} + \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_P} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma} + \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} |A|^{1-\alpha} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.10})$$

The second inequality holds from (III.5), and the last one from (III.2).

Thus, combining (III.9) and (III.10), we obtain

$$\frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho} \leq \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma} + \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} |A|^{1-\alpha}.$$

Since $\alpha > 1$,

$$-\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho \leq \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log\{(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma} + \epsilon(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} |A|^{1-\alpha}\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma - \tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho &\leq \tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma + \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log\{(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma} + \epsilon(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} |A|^{1-\alpha}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log 2^{(\alpha-1)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma} + \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log\{(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} 2^{(1-\alpha)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma} + \epsilon(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} |A|^{1-\alpha}\} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log\{(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} + \epsilon(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} |A|^{1-\alpha} 2^{(\alpha-1)\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma}\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \log\{(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} + \epsilon(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} |A|^{1-\alpha} |A|^{\alpha-1}\} \\ &= \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log(1+\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the bound (III.1): $\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma \leq \log |A|$.

This inequality also holds with ρ and σ interchanged. □

The α -**Rényi channel entropy** [15] is then defined as

$$\tilde{S}_\alpha(\mathcal{N}) = \log |B| - \tilde{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{N} \| \mathcal{R}). \quad (\text{III.11})$$

The channel entropy can be written as a infimum of a Rényi conditional entropy:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{S}_\alpha(\mathcal{N}) &= \log |B| - \sup_{\psi} \tilde{D}(\rho_{BR} \| \pi_B \otimes \rho_R) \\ &= - \sup_{\psi} \tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho_{BR} \| I_B \otimes \rho_R) \\ &= \inf_{\psi} \tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow(B|R)_{\mathcal{N}(\psi)} \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.12})$$

Here $\rho_{BR}(\psi) = \mathcal{N} \otimes I |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$, and we used that $\tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho \| c\sigma) = \tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho \| \sigma) - \log c$.

Similar to the channel entropy (II.1), the Rényi channel entropy is monotone, normalized, additive, and bounded [15]. In particular, because of (III.12), the boundedness follows from (III.1). Similarly, the lowest value is achieved for the identity channel, and the highest value for the completely randomizing channel.

III.4 Theorem. (*Continuity of the sandwiched Rényi channel entropy*) Let $\mathcal{N}_{A \rightarrow B}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{A \rightarrow B}$ be two channels from A to B such that $\frac{1}{2} \|\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M}\|_\diamond \leq \epsilon$. Then

$$|\tilde{S}_\alpha(\mathcal{N}) - \tilde{S}_\alpha(\mathcal{M})| \leq f_{\alpha, |B|}(\epsilon),$$

where

$$f_{\alpha, |B|}(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} \log(1+\epsilon) + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \log \left(1 + \epsilon^\alpha |B|^{2(1-\alpha)} \right), & \alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1) \\ \frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \log(1+\epsilon), & \alpha > 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Because of the expression of the channel entropy in terms of the conditional entropy (III.12), the proof follows the same line of argument as the proof of Theorem III.1. Since the marginals are the same $\text{Tr}_B(\mathcal{N}_{A \rightarrow B} \otimes I_R |\omega\rangle \langle \omega|_{AR}) = \text{Tr}_B(\mathcal{M}_{A \rightarrow B} \otimes I_R |\omega\rangle \langle \omega|_{AR})$, we use Theorems III.2 and III.3 to complete the proof. □

C. Sandwiched Tsallis relative entropy

Tsallis entropy is defined as for $\alpha \in (0, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$

$$S_\alpha^T(\rho) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} (\text{Tr} \rho^\alpha - 1) .$$

The sandwiched Tsallis relative entropy is defined as

$$\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho \parallel \sigma) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \left(\text{Tr} \{ (\sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}})^\alpha \} - 1 \right) ,$$

for $\alpha < 1$, or $\alpha > 1$ and $\text{supp } \rho \subseteq \text{supp } \sigma$.

The sandwiched Tsallis relative entropy obeys the data processing inequality for $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1) \cup (1, \infty)$ and is jointly convex for $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Moreover, the functional $(\rho, \sigma) \mapsto \text{Tr} \{ (\sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \rho \sigma^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}})^\alpha \}$ is jointly concave for $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$ and jointly convex for $\alpha > 1$ [12].

Note that for d -dimensional quantum states

$$0 \leq S_\alpha^T(\rho) = \frac{d^{1-\alpha} - 1}{1-\alpha} - d^{1-\alpha} \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho \parallel \pi) \leq \frac{d^{1-\alpha} - 1}{1-\alpha} , \quad (\text{III.13})$$

where $\pi = \frac{I}{d}$.

Conditional sandwiched Tsallis entropies for a state ρ_{AB} are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho &= -\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho_{AB} \parallel I_A \otimes \rho_B) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\text{Tr} \{ (\rho_B^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}} \rho \rho_B^{\frac{1-\alpha}{2\alpha}})^\alpha \} - 1 \right) , \\ \tilde{T}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_\rho &= -\min_{\sigma_B} \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho_{AB} \parallel I_A \otimes \sigma_B) . \end{aligned}$$

Since sandwiched Tsallis relative entropy is monotone under partial traces, we have

$$\tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho = -\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho_{AB} \parallel I_A \otimes \rho_B) \leq -\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho_A \parallel I_A) = S_\alpha^T(\rho_A) \leq \frac{|A|^{1-\alpha} - 1}{1-\alpha} , \quad (\text{III.14})$$

and

$$\tilde{T}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_\rho = -\min_{\sigma_B} \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho_{AB} \parallel I_A \otimes \sigma_B) \leq -\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho_A \parallel I_A) = S_\alpha^T(\rho_A) \leq \frac{|A|^{1-\alpha} - 1}{1-\alpha} . \quad (\text{III.15})$$

To show the lower bounds on these conditional entropies, consider the Tsallis relative entropy

$$D_\alpha^T(\rho \parallel \sigma) = \frac{1}{\alpha-1} (\text{Tr} \{ \rho^\alpha \sigma^{1-\alpha} \} - 1) .$$

The conditional Tsallis entropies for a state ρ_{AB} are defined as

$$\begin{aligned} T_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho &= -D_\alpha^T(\rho_{AB} \parallel I_A \otimes \rho_B) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\text{Tr} \{ \rho^\alpha \rho_B^{1-\alpha} \} - 1 \right) , \\ T_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_\rho &= -\min_{\sigma_B} D_\alpha^T(\rho_{AB} \parallel I_A \otimes \sigma_B) = \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left(\left(\text{Tr} \{ (\text{Tr}_A \{ \rho_{AB}^\alpha \})^{\frac{1}{\alpha}} \} \right)^\alpha - 1 \right) . \end{aligned}$$

The closed expression for T_α^\uparrow is derived similarly to the Rényi conditional entropy, as was done in [31, Lemma 1]. Here, however, we will only focus on T_α^\downarrow .

Since Tsallis relative entropy is monotone under quantum channels we have the upper bound

$$T_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho \leq S_\alpha^T(\rho_A) \leq \frac{|A|^{1-\alpha} - 1}{1-\alpha} . \quad (\text{III.16})$$

The lower bound on T_α^\downarrow is shown through the duality inequality. Similarly to the Rényi conditional entropy [32], we have the following duality equality:

III.5 Proposition. Let ρ_{ABC} be a pure state. Then for $\alpha \in (0, 2)$, we have

$$T_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho + T_{2-\alpha}^\downarrow(A|C)_\rho = 0 .$$

Proof. Let $\rho_{ABC} = |\phi\rangle\langle\phi|_{ABC}$. Then the marginal states satisfy $(\rho_{AB} \otimes I_C)|\phi\rangle = (I_{AB} \otimes \rho_C)|\phi\rangle$ and $(I_A \otimes \rho_B \otimes I_C)|\phi\rangle = (\rho_{AC} \otimes I_B)|\phi\rangle$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (1-\alpha)T_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho &= (\alpha-1)D_\alpha^T(\rho_{AB}\|I_A \otimes \rho_B) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\rho_{AB}^\alpha \rho_B^{1-\alpha}) - 1 \\ &= \text{Tr}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|_{ABC} \rho_{AB}^{\alpha-1} \rho_B^{1-\alpha}) - 1 \\ &= \langle\phi| \rho_{AB}^{\alpha-1} \rho_B^{1-\alpha} |\phi\rangle - 1 \\ &= \langle\phi| \rho_C^{\alpha-1} \rho_{AC}^{1-\alpha} |\phi\rangle - 1 \\ &= \text{Tr}(|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|_{ABC} \rho_{AC}^{1-\alpha} \rho_C^{\alpha-1}) - 1 \\ &= \text{Tr}(\rho_{AC}^{2-\alpha} \rho_C^{1-(2-\alpha)}) - 1 \\ &= (2-\alpha-1)D_{2-\alpha}(\rho_{AC}\|I_A \otimes \rho_C) \\ &= -(1-\alpha)T_{2-\alpha}^\downarrow(A|C)_\rho . \end{aligned}$$

□

Using this duality relation, we show that all conditional entropies are bounded.

III.6 Proposition. For $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ both conditional entropies are bounded

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{|A|^{\alpha-1} - 1}{\alpha - 1} &\leq T_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho \leq \frac{|A|^{1-\alpha} - 1}{1 - \alpha} , \\ -\frac{|A|^{\alpha-1} - 1}{\alpha - 1} &\leq \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho \leq \frac{|A|^{1-\alpha} - 1}{1 - \alpha} . \end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.17})$$

Proof. Tsallis conditional entropies are upper bounded by the arguments above (III.14), (III.16). To show the lower bound on the Tsallis conditional entropy, let us take a purification $|\phi\rangle\langle\phi|_{ABC}$ of ρ_{AB} . Then from the Proposition III.5 and (III.16), we have

$$T_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho = T_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\phi = -T_{2-\alpha}^\downarrow(A|C)_\phi \geq -S_{2-\alpha}^T(\rho_A) \geq -\frac{|A|^{\alpha-1} - 1}{\alpha - 1} .$$

Also, for $\alpha \in [0, \infty]$, we have the relation $\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho) \leq D_\alpha^T(\rho)$, [2, 19, 23], and therefore,

$$T_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho \leq \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho .$$

□

Similarly to the sandwiched Rényi conditional entropy we have the following continuity inequality.

III.7 Theorem. Let $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. Suppose that the states ρ_{AB} and σ_{AB} have the same marginals $\rho_B = \sigma_B$ and they are close to each other in trace-distance $\frac{1}{2}\|\rho - \sigma\|_1 = \epsilon \in [0, 1]$. Then

$$|\tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho - \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma| \leq \frac{1}{1-\alpha}((1+\epsilon^\alpha)(1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} - 1)|A|^{1-\alpha} .$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem III.2 with a few differences. Equalities (III.3, III.4) are replaced with

$$\text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \rho \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} = (1-\alpha)\tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho + 1 , \quad \text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \sigma \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} = (1-\alpha)\tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma + 1 . \quad (\text{III.18})$$

And inequality (III.5) is replaced with, for any state δ_{AB} ,

$$\text{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \delta \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} \leq (1-\alpha)\tilde{T}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_\delta + 1 .$$

And therefore, the upper bound (III.7) becomes

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \Delta \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} \leq \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} \left((1-\alpha) \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho + 1 \right) + \frac{\epsilon^\alpha}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} |A|^{1-\alpha}.$$

Here we either used the upper bound $\tilde{T}_\alpha^\uparrow(A|B)_Q \leq \frac{|A|^{1-\alpha}-1}{1-\alpha}$ (III.15) or the fact that we showed that $\mathrm{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma Q \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} \leq |A|^{1-\alpha}$.

The lower bound (III.8) becomes

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \Delta \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} \geq \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \left((1-\alpha) \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma + 1 \right).$$

Combining the last two inequalities, we obtain

$$(1-\alpha) \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma + 1 \leq (1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} \left((1-\alpha) \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho + 1 \right) + \epsilon^\alpha (1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} |A|^{1-\alpha}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma - \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho &\leq (1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} \left(\tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \right) + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \epsilon^\alpha (1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} |A|^{1-\alpha} - \frac{1}{1-\alpha} - \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho \\ &= ((1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} - 1) \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \epsilon^\alpha (1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} |A|^{1-\alpha} + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} ((1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} - 1) \\ &\leq ((1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} - 1) \frac{|A|^{1-\alpha} - 1}{1-\alpha} + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \epsilon^\alpha (1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} |A|^{1-\alpha} + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} ((1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} - 1) \\ &\leq ((1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} - 1) \frac{|A|^{1-\alpha}}{1-\alpha} + \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \epsilon^\alpha (1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} |A|^{1-\alpha} \\ &= \frac{1}{1-\alpha} ((1+\epsilon)^\alpha (1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} - 1) |A|^{1-\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used the upper dimensional bound $\tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho \leq \frac{|A|^{1-\alpha}-1}{1-\alpha}$ (III.14), since $(1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} - 1 > 0$ for $\alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1)$. \square

For $\alpha > 1$, we have the following continuity inequality.

III.8 Theorem. *Let $\alpha \in (1, 2)$. Suppose that the states ρ_{AB} and σ_{AB} have the same marginals $\rho_B = \sigma_B$ and they are close to each other in trace-distance $\frac{1}{2} \|\rho - \sigma\|_1 = \epsilon \in [0, 1]$. Then*

$$|\tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho - \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma| \leq \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \left\{ \left((1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} - 1 \right) |A|^{\alpha-1} + \epsilon (1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} |A|^{1-\alpha} \right\}.$$

Proof. Using expressions (III.18) instead of (III.3, III.4) in the proof of Theorem III.3, instead of (III.9), we obtain

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \Delta \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} \geq \frac{1}{(1+\epsilon)^\alpha} \left((1-\alpha) \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho + 1 \right).$$

Instead of (III.10) we obtain

$$\mathrm{Tr}\{(\omega^\gamma \Delta \omega^\gamma)^\alpha\} \leq \frac{1}{1+\epsilon} \left((1-\alpha) \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma + 1 \right) + \frac{\epsilon}{1+\epsilon} |A|^{1-\alpha}.$$

Thus, combining the last two inequalities, since $\alpha > 1$, we have

$$\tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho \geq \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left\{ (1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} \left((1-\alpha) \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma + 1 \right) + \epsilon (1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} |A|^{1-\alpha} - 1 \right\}.$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma - \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\rho &\leq \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma + \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \left\{ (1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} \left((1-\alpha)\tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma + 1 \right) + \epsilon(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1}|A|^{1-\alpha} - 1 \right\} \\
&= \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma \left(1 - (1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \left\{ (1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} + \epsilon(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1}|A|^{1-\alpha} - 1 \right\} \\
&\leq \frac{|A|^{\alpha-1} - 1}{\alpha-1} \left((1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \left\{ (1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} + \epsilon(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1}|A|^{1-\alpha} - 1 \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \left\{ \left(|A|^{\alpha-1} - 1 \right) \left((1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} - 1 \right) + (1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} + \epsilon(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1}|A|^{1-\alpha} - 1 \right\} \\
&= \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \left\{ \left((1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} - 1 \right) |A|^{\alpha-1} + \epsilon(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1}|A|^{1-\alpha} \right\}
\end{aligned}$$

Here, since $1 - (1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} < 0$ for $\alpha > 1$, we used the bound (III.17): $-\tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(A|B)_\sigma \leq \frac{|A|^{\alpha-1}-1}{\alpha-1}$. \square

Note that the entropy of a state (III.13) is related to the Tsallis sandwiched relative entropy as

$$S_\alpha^T(\rho) = \frac{|B|^{1-\alpha} - 1}{1-\alpha} - |B|^{1-\alpha} \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho \| \pi),$$

where $\pi = I/|B|$.

Similarly, the α -Tsallis channel entropy is defined as

$$\tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N}) = \frac{|B|^{1-\alpha} - 1}{1-\alpha} - |B|^{1-\alpha} \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N} \| \mathcal{R}). \quad (\text{III.19})$$

The channel entropy can be written as a infimum of a Tsallis conditional entropy:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N}) &= \frac{|B|^{1-\alpha} - 1}{1-\alpha} - |B|^{1-\alpha} \sup_{\psi} \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho_{BR} \| \pi_B \otimes \rho_R) \\
&= - \sup_{\psi} \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho_{BR} \| I_B \otimes \rho_R) \\
&= \inf_{\psi} \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow(B|R)_{\mathcal{N}(\psi)}
\end{aligned} \quad (\text{III.20})$$

Here $\rho_{BR}(\psi) = \mathcal{N} \otimes I |\psi\rangle \langle \psi|$, and we used that $\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho \| c\sigma) = \frac{c^{1-\alpha}-1}{\alpha-1} + c^{1-\alpha} \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho \| \sigma)$.

Similarly to the channel entropy (II.1) and Rényi channel entropy (III.11), the Tsallis channel entropy is monotone under the uniformity preserving superchannels and it is normalized.

(Normalization) By definition, the entropy of a completely randomizing channel \mathcal{R} is $\tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{R}) = \frac{|B|^{1-\alpha}-1}{1-\alpha}$. And the entropy of the replacer channel is $\tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\Phi_\sigma) = S_\alpha^T(\sigma)$. Therefore, for a replacer channel that replaces any state with a pure state, the entropy of this channel is zero, i.e. $\tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\Phi_\phi) = 0$ for $\Phi_\phi(\rho) = |\phi\rangle \langle \phi|$ for some fixed pure state $|\phi\rangle$.

From the bound on the conditional entropy (III.17), the Tsallis channel entropy is also bounded. The upper bound is reached for the completely randomizing channel, and the lower bound is reached for the identity channel.

III.9 Theorem. (Boundedness) Let $\alpha \in (0, 2)$. The α -Tsallis channel entropy is bounded

$$-\frac{|B|^{\alpha-1} - 1}{\alpha - 1} \leq \tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N}) \leq \frac{|B|^{1-\alpha} - 1}{1 - \alpha}.$$

Note that the Tsallis entropy S_α^T is upper bounded with a bound that is α -dependent (III.13), resulting in different lower and upper bound on the Tsallis channel entropy.

Tsallis relative entropy is pseudo-additive:

$$\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho_1 \otimes \rho_2 \| \sigma_1 \otimes \sigma_2) = \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho_1 \| \sigma_1) + \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho_2 \| \sigma_2) + (\alpha - 1) \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho_1 \| \sigma_1) \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho_2 \| \sigma_2).$$

Therefore, the channel entropy is pseudo-additive.

III.10 Theorem. (*Pseudo-additivity*) Let $\mathcal{N}_{A_1 \rightarrow B_1}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{A_2 \rightarrow B_2}$ be two channels. Then

$$\tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = \tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N}) + \tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{M}) + (1 - \alpha)\tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N})\tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{M}) .$$

Proof. For completely randomizing channels $\mathcal{R}_1 = \mathcal{R}_{A_1 \rightarrow B_1}$ and $\mathcal{R}_2 = \mathcal{R}_{A_2 \rightarrow B_2}$, we have

$$\tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}) = \frac{|B_1|^{1-\alpha}|B_2|^{1-\alpha} - 1}{1 - \alpha} - |B_1|^{1-\alpha}|B_2|^{1-\alpha}\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M} \| \mathcal{R}_1 \otimes \mathcal{R}_2) .$$

Therefore, the equality follows if

$$\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M} \| \mathcal{R}_1 \otimes \mathcal{R}_2) = \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N} \| \mathcal{R}_1) + \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{M} \| \mathcal{R}_2) + (\alpha - 1)\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N} \| \mathcal{R}_1)\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{M} \| \mathcal{R}_2) .$$

The " \geq " inequality follows directly from the definition of the relative entropy between channels and the pseudo-additivity of the relative entropy between states. The " \leq " inequality follows from the proof of additivity for the Rényi entropy [15]. We adapt this argument to the Tsallis case by using the relation between \tilde{D}_α^T and \tilde{D}_α .

Let $\psi_{RA_1A_2}$ be an arbitrary pure state. Define $\rho_{A_1R'} = \mathcal{M}(\psi_{RA_1A_2})$ and $\sigma_{A_1R'} = \mathcal{R}_2(\psi_{RA_1A_2})$, where $R' = B_2R$. Then $\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}(\psi_{RA_1A_2}) = \mathcal{N}(\rho_{A_1R'})$ and $\mathcal{R}_1 \otimes \mathcal{R}_2(\psi_{RA_1A_2}) = \mathcal{R}_1(\sigma_{A_1R'})$. Thus,

$$\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}(\psi_{RA_1A_2}) \| \mathcal{R}_1 \otimes \mathcal{R}_2(\psi_{RA_1A_2})) = \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N}(\rho_{A_1R'}) \| \mathcal{R}_1(\sigma_{A_1R'})) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \text{Tr}\{(\mathcal{R}_1(\sigma)^\gamma \mathcal{N}(\rho) \mathcal{R}_1(\sigma)^\gamma)^\alpha\} - \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} .$$

Note that the Rényi channel entropy is additive, as was discussed in Proposition 15 in [15]. The proof of the additivity relies on the inequality:

$$\tilde{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{N}(\rho_{A_1R'}) \| \mathcal{R}_1(\sigma_{A_1R'})) \leq \tilde{D}_\alpha(\mathcal{N}(\rho_{A_1R'}) \| \mathcal{R}_1(\rho_{A_1R'})) + \tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho_{A_1R'} \| \sigma_{A_1R'}) .$$

This inequality is shown as (24), (25) in [15] for relative entropy; the proof for the Rényi relative entropy is based on the proof of Proposition 41 in [35]. This inequality is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log X(\mathcal{N}(\rho_{A_1R'}) \| \mathcal{R}_1(\sigma_{A_1R'})) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log X(\mathcal{N}(\rho_{A_1R'}) \| \mathcal{R}_1(\rho_{A_1R'})) + \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log X(\rho_{A_1R'} \| \sigma_{A_1R'}) , \quad (\text{III.21})$$

where $X(\rho \| \sigma) = \text{Tr}\{(\sigma^\gamma \rho \sigma^\gamma)^\alpha\}$, therefore $\tilde{D}_\alpha(\rho \| \sigma) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \log X(\rho \| \sigma)$ and $\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\rho \| \sigma) = \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \left(X(\rho \| \sigma) - 1 \right)$. Now, (III.21) is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{\alpha - 1} X(\mathcal{N}(\rho_{A_1R'}) \| \mathcal{R}_1(\sigma_{A_1R'})) \leq \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} X(\mathcal{N}(\rho_{A_1R'}) \| \mathcal{R}_1(\rho_{A_1R'})) \cdot X(\rho_{A_1R'} \| \sigma_{A_1R'}) .$$

Therefore, applying this result to the Tsallis relative entropy, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N} \otimes \mathcal{M}(\psi_{RA_1A_2}) \| \mathcal{R}_1 \otimes \mathcal{R}_2(\psi_{RA_1A_2})) &= \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N}(\rho_{A_1R'}) \| \mathcal{R}_1(\sigma_{A_1R'})) \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} X(\mathcal{N}(\rho_{A_1R'}) \| \mathcal{R}_1(\sigma_{A_1R'})) - \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} X(\mathcal{N}(\rho_{A_1R'}) \| \mathcal{R}_1(\rho_{A_1R'})) \cdot X(\rho_{A_1R'} \| \sigma_{A_1R'}) - \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \\ &= \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} X(\mathcal{N}(\rho_{A_1R'}) \| \mathcal{R}_1(\rho_{A_1R'})) \cdot X(\mathcal{M}(\psi_{RA_1A_2}) \| \mathcal{R}_2(\psi_{RA_1A_2})) - \frac{1}{\alpha - 1} \\ &\leq \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N}(\rho_{A_1R'}) \| \mathcal{R}_1(\rho_{A_1R'})) + \tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{M}(\psi_{RA_1A_2}) \| \mathcal{R}_2(\psi_{RA_1A_2})) \\ &\quad + (\alpha - 1)\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N}(\rho_{A_1R'}) \| \mathcal{R}_1(\rho_{A_1R'}))\tilde{D}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{M}(\psi_{RA_1A_2}) \| \mathcal{R}_2(\psi_{RA_1A_2})) . \end{aligned}$$

Taking supremum over all states $\psi_{RA_1A_2}$ on both sides, we reach the necessary inequality. \square

III.11 Theorem. (*Continuity of the sandwiched Tsallis channel entropy*) Let $\mathcal{N}_{A \rightarrow B}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{A \rightarrow B}$ be two channels from A to B such that $\frac{1}{2}\|\mathcal{N} - \mathcal{M}\|_\diamond \leq \epsilon$. Then

$$|\tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{N}) - \tilde{S}_\alpha^T(\mathcal{M})| \leq f_{\alpha, |B|}^T(\epsilon) .$$

Here

$$f_{\alpha,d}^T(\epsilon) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \left((1+\epsilon^\alpha)(1+\epsilon)^{1-\alpha} - 1 \right) d^{1-\alpha}, & \alpha \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1) \\ \frac{1}{\alpha-1} \left(((1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1} - 1)d^{\alpha-1} + \epsilon(1+\epsilon)^{\alpha-1}d^{1-\alpha} \right), & \alpha \in (1, 2) \end{cases}.$$

Proof. Because of the expression of the channel entropy in terms of the conditional entropy (III.20), the proof follows the same line of argument as the proof of Theorem III.1. Since the marginals are the same $\text{Tr}_B(\mathcal{N}_{A \rightarrow B} \otimes I_R |\omega\rangle \langle \omega|_{AR}) = \text{Tr}_B(\mathcal{M}_{A \rightarrow B} \otimes I_R |\omega\rangle \langle \omega|_{AR})$, we use Theorems III.7 and III.8 to complete the proof. \square

IV. CONCLUSION

We proved uniform continuity bounds for the sandwiched Rényi and Tsallis conditional entropies $\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow, \tilde{T}_\alpha^\downarrow$ for states with the same marginal on the conditioning system. The bound depends only on the dimension of the conditioning system, except for the bound of $\tilde{H}_\alpha^\downarrow$ for $\alpha > 1$, where the bound is independent of any dimension. We applied these bounds to show that the Rényi and Tsallis channel entropies defined through the corresponding sandwiched entropies are continuous with respect to the diamond distance on the channels. Note that we did not consider channel entropies defined through the regular (non-sandwiched) relative entropies, as it is not clear whether these channel entropies are additive. However, it would be of a separate mathematical interest to derive continuity inequalities for the non-sandwiched conditional entropies.

Also note, that looking at the definitions of channel entropies (II.1), (III.11), (III.19), it is clear that generalizing channel entropy by using a generalized divergence $D(\cdot||\cdot)$ to produce a meaningful definition of a channel entropy one must have $S_D(\mathcal{N}) = f(D(\mathcal{N}||\mathcal{R}))$, where $D(\rho||c\sigma) = -f(D(\rho||\sigma))$.

Acknowledgments. A. V. is supported by NSF grant DMS-2105583.

-
- [1] Robert Alicki and Mark Fannes. Continuity of quantum conditional information. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and General*, 37(5):L55, 2004.
 - [2] Huzihiro Araki. On an inequality of Lieb and Thirring. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 19(2):167–170, 1990.
 - [3] Suguru Arimoto. Information measures and capacity of order α for discrete memoryless channels. *Topics in information theory*, 1977.
 - [4] K. Audenaert and J. Eisert. Continuity bounds on the quantum relative entropy -ii. *J Math Phys*, 52(11):112201, 2011.
 - [5] Koenraad Audenaert, Bjarne Bergh, Nilanjana Datta, Michael G Jabbour, Ángela Capel, and Paul Gondolf. Continuity bounds for quantum entropies arising from a fundamental entropic inequality. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2408.15306*, 2024.
 - [6] Koenraad MR Audenaert. A sharp continuity estimate for the von Neumann entropy. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 40(28):8127, 2007.
 - [7] Salman Beigi. Sandwiched Rényi divergence satisfies data processing inequality. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 54(12), 2013.
 - [8] Mario Berta, Ludovico Lami, and Marco Tomamichel. Continuity of entropies via integral representations. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 2025.
 - [9] G. Chiribella, G. M. D’Ariano, and P. Perinotti. Transforming quantum operations: Quantum supermaps. *Europhysics Letters*, 83(3):30004, 2008.
 - [10] T. Cooney, M. Mosonyi, and M. M. Wilde. Strong converse exponents for a quantum channel discrimination problem and quantum-feedback-assisted communication. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 344(3):797–829, 2016.
 - [11] M. Fannes. A continuity property of the entropy density for spin lattice systems. *Commun. Math. Phys.*, 31:291–294, 1973.
 - [12] Rupert L Frank and Elliott H Lieb. Monotonicity of a relative Rényi entropy. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 54(12), 2013.

- [13] Robert G Gallager. Source coding with side information and universal coding. *Proc. IEEE ISIT*, 21, 1979.
- [14] G. Gour. Comparison of quantum channels by superchannels. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 65(9):5880–5904, 2019.
- [15] G. Gour and M. M. Wilde. Entropy of a quantum channel. *Physical Review Research*, 3(2):023096, 2021.
- [16] Masahito Hayashi. Security analysis of ε -almost dual universal2 hash functions. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1309.1596*, 2013.
- [17] Masahito Hayashi. Large deviation analysis for quantum security via smoothing of Rényi entropy of order 2. *IEEE Transactions on Information Theory*, 60(10):6702–6732, 2014.
- [18] Masahito Hayashi. *Quantum information theory*. Springer, 2017.
- [19] Fumio Hiai. Equality cases in matrix norm inequalities of Golden-Thompson type. *Linear and Multilinear Algebra*, 36(4):239–249, 1994.
- [20] F. Leditzky, E. Kaur, N. Datta, and M. M. Wilde. Approaches for approximate additivity of the Holevo information of quantum channels. *Physical Review A*, 97(1):012332, 2018.
- [21] Felix Leditzky, Cambyse Rouzé, and Nilanjana Datta. Data processing for the sandwiched Rényi divergence: a condition for equality. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 107:61–80, 2017.
- [22] D. Leung and G. Smith. Continuity of quantum channel capacities. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 292(1):201–215, 2009.
- [23] Elliott H Lieb and Walter E Thirring. Inequalities for the moments of the eigenvalues of the Schrödinger Hamiltonian and their relation to Sobolev inequalities. *The Stability of Matter: From Atoms to Stars: Selecta of Elliott H. Lieb*, pages 205–239, 2001.
- [24] Ashutosh Marwah and Frédéric Dupuis. Uniform continuity bound for sandwiched Rényi conditional entropy. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 63(5), 2022.
- [25] Charles A McCarthy. c_p . *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 5(4), 1967.
- [26] Martin Müller-Lennert, Frédéric Dupuis, Oleg Szehr, Serge Fehr, and Marco Tomamichel. On quantum Rényi entropies: A new generalization and some properties. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 54(12), 2013.
- [27] Michael A Nielsen. Continuity bounds for entanglement. *Physical review a*, 61(6):064301, 2000.
- [28] D. Petz. *Quantum information theory and quantum statistics*. 53, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2008.
- [29] S. Yu. Rotfel’d. *The Singular Numbers of the Sum of Completely Continuous Operators*, pages 73–78. Springer US, Boston, MA, 1969.
- [30] M. E. Shirokov. Tight uniform continuity bounds for the quantum conditional mutual information, for the Holevo quantity, and for capacities of quantum channels. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 58(10):102202, 2017.
- [31] Marco Tomamichel, Mario Berta, and Masahito Hayashi. Relating different quantum generalizations of the conditional Rényi entropy. *Journal of Mathematical Physics*, 55(8), 2014.
- [32] Marco Tomamichel, Roger Colbeck, and Renato Renner. A fully quantum asymptotic equipartition property. *IEEE Transactions on information theory*, 55(12):5840–5847, 2009.
- [33] H. Umegaki. Conditional expectation in an operator algebra. *IV. Entropy and Information, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.*, 14:2, 1962.
- [34] J. Watrous. *The theory of quantum information*. university press, Cambridge, 2018.
- [35] Mark M Wilde, Mario Berta, Christoph Hirche, and Eneet Kaur. Amortized channel divergence for asymptotic quantum channel discrimination. *Letters in Mathematical Physics*, 110(8):2277–2336, 2020.
- [36] Andreas Winter. Tight uniform continuity bounds for quantum entropies: conditional entropy, relative entropy distance and energy constraints. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 347:291–313, 2016.
- [37] Hideki Yagi. Finite blocklength bounds for multiple access channels with correlated sources. In *2012 International Symposium on Information Theory and its Applications*, pages 377–381. IEEE, 2012.
- [38] X. Yuan. Relative entropies of quantum channels with applications in resource theory. *arXiv preprint*, 2018.
- [39] Huangjun Zhu, Masahito Hayashi, and Lin Chen. Coherence and entanglement measures based on Rényi relative entropies. *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical*, 50(47):475303, 2017.