

Hessian geometry and Frobenius manifolds with curvature

ANDREAS VOLLMER

University of Hamburg, Department of Mathematics, Bundesstraße 55, 20146 Hamburg

December 2, 2025

Abstract

A Riemannian metric is called Hessian if, locally, it can be written as the Hessian of a function called the Hessian potential. A (flat) Manin-Frobenius manifold is a flat Riemannian manifold furnished with a commutative and associative product compatible with the metric, such that a certain potentiality property is satisfied. *Curved Frobenius manifolds* generalize this concept to spaces with non-vanishing curvature, and they have applications in supersymmetric mechanics and within the theory of submanifolds.

Curved Frobenius manifolds naturally arise from Hessian metrics, and we find that they, conceptually, are the typical non-trivial examples. We obtain that Curved Frobenius structures on constant curvature spaces are consistent with a Hessian structure, if they satisfy a closed prolongation system of finite type. Consistency means that the Frobenius potential and the Hessian potential can be identified.

As an application, we show that certain second-order maximally superintegrable systems correspond 1-to-1 to Curved Frobenius structures that are consistent with a Hessian structure.

MSC2020: 53D45; 53B50, 53B12, 58A15

KEYWORDS: Frobenius manifold, Hessian metric, superintegrability

1 Introduction

Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 2$. Throughout the paper we assume that g is conformally flat. We denote by $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ the bundle of vector fields on M . We denote the Levi-Civita connection of g by ∇ . For a product $\star : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$, we call

$$\text{Assoc}_\star(X, Y, Z) = (X \star Y) \star Z - X \star (Y \star Z) = [\star(Z), \star(X)]Y$$

the *associator* of \star . We omit the subscript, whenever the underlying product is clear.

Definition 1. A Curved Frobenius manifold is a Riemannian manifold (M, g) together with a product

$$\star : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$$

such that

1. \star is commutative

2. \star is compatible with g , i.e.

$$g(X \star Y, Z) = g(X, Y \star Z) \quad (1)$$

where $X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$

3. the associator of \star satisfies

$$[\star(X), \star(Y)] = \mu R(X, Y) \quad (2)$$

for a constant μ .

4. $\nabla\star$ is symmetric (in its contravariant arguments)

Curved Frobenius manifolds generalize the (flat) *Manin-Frobenius manifolds*, which are recovered, if g is assumed to be flat:

Example 1. We call a *Curved Frobenius manifold* whose underlying metric g is a flat metric, i.e. $R = 0$, a *Manin-Frobenius manifold*. In this case, the underlying product \star is commutative, associative and g -compatible. The symmetry of $\nabla\star$, in this case, is called the potentiality property, e.g. [9, 18].

There exists a vast literature on Manin-Frobenius manifolds. They appear in many contexts such as topological and quantum field theory [4, 5, 6, 18, 22], Gromov-Witten theory [9, 10] and information geometry [2]. Examples with curvature also naturally arise in various contexts. For instance, the condition (2) appears as curved Witten-Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verlinde equation in [11, 12, 13], establishing a correspondence between certain Curved Frobenius manifolds and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric models on manifolds of dimension n . Curved Frobenius manifolds also appear naturally in the theory of submanifolds. Specifically, [19] establishes a duality relationship between Curved Frobenius manifolds and a special class of submanifolds, namely the so-called submanifolds with potential of normals. A further application was identified by the author in [21], in the context of second-order superintegrable systems. Examples of Curved Frobenius manifolds with non-vanishing curvature naturally arise in Hessian geometry.

Definition 2. A Riemannian metric g is called *Hessian*, if there is a flat connection D such that, in a neighborhood of any point of M ,

$$g = Ddf$$

for a (locally defined) function f . The function f is called *Hessian potential*. A Hessian structure is a triple (M, g, D) of this kind.

Example 2 ([13]). Let (M, g, D) be a Hessian manifold. It follows in particular that D is flat and, locally, we have

$$g = Dd\phi.$$

Then $\hat{P} = \nabla - D$ is a $(1, 2)$ -tensor field and Codazzi, i.e. $\nabla\hat{P}$ is symmetric in its contravariant arguments. Moreover, $P = \hat{P}^b$ is a totally symmetric $(0, 3)$ -tensor field with

$$P = \frac{1}{2} D^3\phi$$

locally, where ϕ is defined. It follows that

$$R(X, Y) = [\hat{P}(Y), \hat{P}(X)]$$

and, therefore, $\star := \hat{P}$ defines a commutative product on $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ that is g -compatible and satisfies the potentiality property. Its associator satisfies the condition in the definition of a Curved Frobenius manifold. Hence, $(M, g, D = \nabla \pm \star)$ both satisfy the conditions of a Curved Frobenius manifold.

This example is discussed in [13], see also the references therein.

2 Initial observations

We begin with some basic findings that are useful for the characterization of Curved Frobenius manifolds. In particular, the following observation is a useful tool for the study of Curved Frobenius structures. Its proof is straightforward.

Lemma 1. *Let (M, g, \star) be a Curved Frobenius manifold with*

$$[\star(X), \star(Y)] = \mu R(X, Y) .$$

We define a new product \circ by

$$\circ := \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \mu = 0 \\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{|\mu|}} \star & \text{if } \mu \neq 0 \end{cases} . \quad (3)$$

Then (M, g, \circ) is a Curved Frobenius manifold with

$$[\circ(X), \circ(Y)] = \varepsilon R(X, Y) ,$$

where $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 0, +1\}$, $\varepsilon = \text{sign}(\mu)$.

The sign of μ is zero, if and only if $\mu = 0$, and otherwise it is $\text{sign}(\mu) = \frac{\mu}{|\mu|}$. We call ε the *signature* of the Curved Frobenius manifold. Also, we say that (M, g, \circ) is the *normalization* of (M, g, \star) .

Definition 3. *We say that two Curved Frobenius manifolds are equivalent up to rescaling, if their normalizations coincide.*

Example 3. *The simplest case of Curved Frobenius manifolds is that with $\mu = 0$. In this case, the endomorphisms $P_X : \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$, $P_X Y = \hat{P}(X, Y)$, $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$, always necessarily commute. Hence, \star in Definition 1 can be any commutative and associative product that is compatible with the metric g , and such that the potentiality property is satisfied. In particular, if and only if the metric is flat, the product \star defines a Manin-Frobenius manifold with underlying metric g .*

We continue our exploration with the associator of Curved Frobenius manifolds. Note that the associator Assoc of \star induces a map $A : \Gamma(\Lambda^2(TM)) \rightarrow \text{End}(TM)$ via

$$A(X \wedge Y)(Z) = \text{Assoc}(X, Z, Y) . \quad (4)$$

For our next lemma, we introduce Lie triple systems.

Definition 4. A Lie triple system is a tri-linear map $C : V^3 \rightarrow V$ on a (finite dimensional) vector space V such that

$$\begin{aligned} C(u, v, w) &= -C(v, u, w) \\ 0 &= C(u, v, w) + C(v, w, u) + C(w, u, v) \\ C(u, v, C(w_1, w_2, w_3)) &= C(C(u, v, w_1), w_2, w_3) \\ &\quad + C(w_1, C(u, v, w_2)) + C(w_1, w_2, C(u, v, w_3)) \end{aligned}$$

for $u, v, w, w_1, w_2, w_3 \in V$.

We observe that the associator of \star in a Curved Frobenius manifold is always skew-symmetric and that it satisfies the cyclic condition. Indeed, due to the Bianchi identity, we have for a Curved Frobenius manifold (M, g, \star) that the map $C : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$,

$$C(X, Y, Z) = A(X \wedge Y)(Z),$$

satisfies the cyclic identity

$$C(X, Y, Z) + C(Y, Z, X) + C(Z, X, Y) = 0, \quad (5)$$

proving the claim. In particular, for Curved Frobenius manifolds of constant sectional curvature, we have obtained:

Lemma 2. Let (M, g, \star) be a (normalized) Curved Frobenius manifold of constant sectional curvature. Then the following two statements are true:

1. The map $C : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$,

$$C(X, Y, Z) = A(X \wedge Y)(Z),$$

defines a Lie triple structure.

2. The map A takes values in $\mathfrak{so}(n)$. The map is either trivial or a constant multiple of the standard map $\Psi : \Gamma(\Lambda^2 TM) \rightarrow \text{End}(TM)$,

$$\Psi : X \wedge Y \mapsto (Z \mapsto g(X, Z)Y - g(Y, Z)X).$$

It is trivial precisely if g is flat.

Consider a Manin-Frobenius manifold, i.e. that g is flat. Then, both C and Ψ are trivial. In this case, (2) implies $[\star(X), \star(Y)] = 0$ and hence

$$\hat{P}(X, \hat{P}(Y, Z)) - \hat{P}(\hat{P}(X, Z), Y) = X \star (Y \star Z) - Y \star (X \star Z) = 0,$$

where we write $\hat{P} = \star$ for better legibility. It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} (X \star X) \star (X \star Y) - X \star ((X \star X) \star Y) \\ = \hat{P}(X, X) \left(\hat{P}(-, \hat{P}(X, Y)) - \hat{P}(X, \hat{P}(-, Y)) \right) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since \star is also commutative, it follows that \star defines a Jordan algebra on $\mathfrak{X}(M)$.

3 Hesse-Frobenius structures

We characterize Curved Frobenius manifolds that arise from Hessian structures. In preparation, consider the tensor field $P \in \Gamma(\text{Sym}_3(T^*M))$, $P(X, Y, Z) = g(X \star Y, Z)$. Note that, because of commutativity, P is symmetric in its first two arguments. It is then totally symmetric because of g -compatibility. Since $\nabla \star$ is symmetric, it furthermore follows that ∇P is symmetric and, hence, that P is a (rank-3) Codazzi tensor.

Solutions of rank-3 Codazzi equations are discussed in [17, 20]. For example, in the case of a flat manifold, the tensor field P is, locally, the third derivative of a (locally defined) function ψ , $P_{ijk} = \nabla_{ijk}^3 \psi$. Contrast this with the local existence of a Hessian potential ϕ , which implies $P_{ijk} = \frac{1}{2} D_{ijk}^3 f$ on a (maybe smaller) local neighborhood. Neither of these two potentials ψ and ϕ is unique, but we may ask whether it is possible to choose ϕ and ψ such that they coincide. We will return to this question momentarily, but first we need to clarify further when a Curved Frobenius manifold arises as in Example 2.

Lemma 3. *1. Let (M, g, \star) be a Curved Frobenius manifold with $\mu < 0$. Then its normalization (M, g, \circ) arises as in Example 2 from the Hessian structure $(g, D = \nabla - \star)$.*

2. Assume that g is flat and that (M, g, \star) is a Curved Frobenius manifold. Then there is a real number $r \in \mathbb{R}$ and a product $\circ = r\star$, such that (M, g, \circ) arises as in Example 2 from the Hessian structure $(g, D = \nabla - \star)$.

3. Consider a Curved Frobenius manifold (M, g, \star) . If there is a real number $r \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $(M, g, D = \nabla - r\circ)$ is Hessian, then (M, g, \star) is as in the first or second number of this theorem.

In short, Example 2 essentially covers Manin-Frobenius manifolds as well as non-flat Curved Frobenius manifolds with $\mu < 0$.

Proof. In Example 2, the Curved Frobenius structure is already in normalized form. We can therefore work directly with the normalization and assume $\mu \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ without loss of generality.

Claim 1 then follows by Example 2. The same is true for the claim 2, since the right hand side in (2) vanishes due to the flatness of g .

For claim 3, we obtain D and P as in Example 2. Note that the flatness of D immediately implies (2), and that P is a Codazzi tensor, i.e. that ∇P is symmetric. The claim follows. \square

We now specialize to Curved Frobenius structures of constant sectional curvature. In this case, the Codazzi equation $\nabla_k P_{ij}^\ell - \nabla_k P_{ik}^\ell = 0$ can be solved explicitly. Indeed, from [7, 17], it follows that, locally, there is a function ψ such that

$$P_{ijk} = \nabla_{(ijk)}^3 \psi + 4\kappa g_{(ij} \nabla_{k)} \psi = \nabla_{ijk}^3 \psi + \kappa (2g_{ij} \nabla_k \psi + g_{ik} \nabla_j \psi + g_{jk} \nabla_i \psi).$$

Here, by $\nabla_{ijk}^3 \psi$ we mean the triple covariant derivative in the order $\nabla_k \nabla_j \nabla_i \psi$.

Lemma 4. *In a Curved Frobenius manifold (M, g, \star) of constant sectional curvature κ , the Hessian potential ϕ ,*

$$g = Dd\phi$$

and the Frobenius potential ψ ,

$$P_{ijk} = \nabla_{ijk}^3 \psi + \kappa (2g_{ij} \nabla_k \psi + g_{ik} \nabla_j \psi + g_{jk} \nabla_i \psi),$$

satisfy, in a neighborhood where both are simultaneously defined,

$$\nabla_{ijk}^3(\psi + \phi) = -\nabla_k P_{ij}^a \nabla_a \phi + P_{ij}^b P_{bk}^a \nabla_a \phi - \kappa (2g_{ij} \nabla_k \psi + g_{ik} \nabla_j \psi + g_{jk} \nabla_i \psi). \quad (6)$$

Proof. We have $D = \nabla - \star$ and define $P = \star^b$. We also write $\star = \hat{P}$. We then compute

$$\begin{aligned} 2P_{ijk} &= D_{ijk}^3 \phi = D_k \left(\nabla_{ij}^2 \phi + \hat{P}_{ij}^a \nabla_a \phi \right) \\ &= \nabla_{ijk}^3 \phi + \nabla_k \hat{P}_{ij}^a \nabla_a \phi + P_{ijk} - \hat{P}_{ij}^b \hat{P}_{bk}^a \nabla_a \phi + 2P_{ijk}. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$-P_{ijk} = \nabla_{ijk}^3 \phi + \nabla_k \hat{P}_{ij}^a \nabla_a \phi - \hat{P}_{ij}^b \hat{P}_{bk}^a \nabla_a \phi.$$

Moreover, we have

$$P_{ijk} = \nabla_{ijk}^3 \psi + \kappa (2g_{ij} \nabla_k \psi + g_{ik} \nabla_j \psi + g_{jk} \nabla_i \psi),$$

and hence

$$\nabla_{ijk}^3(\psi + \phi) + \kappa (2g_{ij} \nabla_k \psi + g_{ik} \nabla_j \psi + g_{jk} \nabla_i \psi) + \nabla_k \hat{P}_{ij}^a \nabla_a \phi - \hat{P}_{ij}^b \hat{P}_{bk}^a \nabla_a \phi = 0.$$

□

Note that neither ϕ nor ψ are uniquely determined. Indeed, the Hessian potential is unique only up to the addition of D-affine terms. Similarly, ψ can be altered by adding any solution χ of

$$\nabla_{ijk}^3 \chi + \kappa (2g_{ij} \nabla_k \chi + g_{ik} \nabla_j \chi + g_{jk} \nabla_i \chi) = 0,$$

since this does not change the underpinning Curved Frobenius structure. It is thus a natural question whether the Hessian potential ϕ and the Frobenius potential ψ can be chosen to coincide. In order to answer this question, we introduce for Curved Frobenius manifolds a concept of consistency with a Hessian structure.

Definition 5. *We say that a Curved Frobenius manifold (M, g, \star) is a Hesse-Frobenius manifold of constant sectional curvature κ , if there exists a Hessian structure (g, D) such that*

$$\star : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad X \star Y := \nabla_X Y - D_X Y. \quad (7)$$

and such that, locally around any given point, any Hessian potential f of (g, D) satisfies

$$P_{ijk} = -\nabla_{(ijk)}^3 f - 4\kappa g_{(ij} \nabla_{k)} f,$$

where round brackets indicate symmetrization in the enclosed indices.

Hesse-Frobenius manifolds are rich geometric structures. They are interesting as geometric structures in their own right. We will also explain later how they naturally appear in mathematical physics, and more specifically in the context of superintegrable Hamiltonian systems. Examples can also be found in supersymmetric mechanics [12, 13] and submanifold theory [19]. Definition 5 however has limitations as it requires the underlying metric to have constant sectional curvature. This requirement ensures the existence of

the Frobenius potential via the solution of the Codazzi equation described in [7, 17]. Yet one quickly realizes that whenever there is an explicit solution of $\nabla_k P_{ijl} - \nabla_j P_{ikl} = 0$ of the form

$$P_{ijk} = \nabla_{ijk}^3 \psi + \Theta_{ijk}^a \nabla_a \psi,$$

where Θ depends only on the data (g, P) , and not on the choice of ψ , then a condition similar to the above one can be obtained.

We now characterize Curved Frobenius manifolds of constant sectional curvature that arise from Hessian structures.

Theorem 1. *Assume that g is of constant sectional curvature κ .*

In a Hesse-Frobenius manifold (M, g, \star) , the product satisfies the first-order prolongation system

$$\nabla_k \star_{ij}^\ell = \star_{ij}^a \star_{ak}^\ell + \kappa (2g_{ij}g_k^\ell + g_{ik}g_j^\ell + g_{jk}g_i^\ell). \quad (8)$$

Conversely, if the latter condition holds for a commutative and g -compatible product \star , and if $\nabla \star$ is symmetric, then (M, g, \star) is a Hesse-Frobenius structure.

Proof. In a Hesse-Frobenius manifold (M, g, \star) , we have that the (flat) connection $D = \nabla - \star$ defines the Hessian structure (M, g, D) . Hence, locally, there is a function ϕ such that

$$g_{ij} = (Dd\phi)_{ij}, \quad -2P_{ijk} = D_{ijk}^3 \phi$$

and

$$P_{ijk} = \nabla_{ijk}^3 \phi + \kappa (2g_{ij} \nabla_k \phi + g_{ik} \nabla_j \phi + g_{jk} \nabla_i \phi)$$

where $P_{ijk} = g_{ka} \star_{ij}^a$. We compute

$$-2P_{ijk} = D_{ijk}^3 \phi = \nabla_{ijk}^3 \phi + \nabla_k \hat{P}_{ij}^a \nabla_a \phi + P_{ijk} - \hat{P}_{ij}^b \hat{P}_{bk}^a \nabla_a \phi + 2P_{ijk},$$

and hence

$$-P_{ijk} = \nabla_{ijk}^3 \phi + \nabla_k P_{ij}^a \nabla_a \phi - P_{ij}^b P_{bk}^a \nabla_a \phi. \quad (9)$$

Combining this with

$$P_{ijk} = \nabla_{ijk}^3 \psi + \kappa (2g_{ij} \nabla_k \psi + g_{ik} \nabla_j \psi + g_{jk} \nabla_i \psi),$$

we obtain

$$0 = \nabla_{ijk}^3 (\phi - \psi) = (\nabla_k P_{ij}^a - P_{ij}^b P_{bk}^a - \kappa (2g_{ij}g_k^a + g_{ik}g_j^a + g_{jk}g_i^a)) \nabla_a \phi. \quad (10)$$

Now, observe that ϕ , being the Hessian potential, is determined up to D -affine changes. Hence, by changing the Hessian potential, we can obtain a basis of $\Omega^1(M)$. It follows that

$$\nabla_k P_{ij}^a = P_{ij}^b P_{bk}^a + \kappa (2g_{ij}g_k^a + g_{ik}g_j^a + g_{jk}g_i^a).$$

Conversely, assuming this identity, note that (2) follows under the hypothesis. Hence, (g, \star) defines a Curved Frobenius structure. Resubstituting (8) it into (6), we arrive at

$$\nabla_{ijk}^3 (\psi + \phi) = -\kappa (2g_{ij} \nabla_k \phi + g_{ik} \nabla_j \phi + g_{jk} \nabla_i \phi) - \kappa (2g_{ij} \nabla_k \psi + g_{ik} \nabla_j \psi + g_{jk} \nabla_i \psi).$$

Therefore,

$$\nabla_{ijk}^3 (\psi + \phi) = -\kappa (2g_{ij} \nabla_k (\psi + \phi) + g_{ik} \nabla_j (\psi + \phi) + g_{jk} \nabla_i (\psi + \phi)).$$

For any Hessian potential ϕ , $\psi = -\phi$ is a solution, and we conclude that the structure is Hesse-Frobenius. \square

Remark 1. Note that our definition of a Hesse-Frobenius structure requires any Hessian potential to also be a Frobenius potential. If we only require to find one such Hessian potential ϕ , it has to be such that

$$(\nabla_k \star_{ij}^\ell - \star_{ij}^a \star_{ak}^\ell - \kappa(2g_{ij}g_k^\ell + g_{ik}g_j^\ell + g_{jk}g_i^\ell))(d\phi)_\ell = 0. \quad (11)$$

This guarantees that

$$\nabla_{ijk}^3(\psi + \phi) + \kappa(2g_{ij}\nabla_k(\psi + \phi) + g_{ik}\nabla_j(\psi + \phi) + g_{jk}\nabla_i(\psi + \phi)) = 0,$$

and hence $\psi - (\psi + \phi) = -\phi$ is also an admissible Frobenius potential. Note that, if the data (g, κ, \star) and a Hessian potential ϕ' in D-affine coordinates are known, (11) is a linear condition on the D-affine correction to ϕ' that yields ϕ .

Let us now turn our attention to (8). We characterize the initial data from which suitable structures can be locally obtained.

Corollary 1. Consider a Riemannian manifold (M, g) of constant sectional curvature κ . Moreover, let $p \in M$ and let $b = g_p$ be the metric in p . Assume that $(T_p M, +, \diamond)$ is an algebra such that $+$ is the usual addition on $T_p M$ and

- \diamond is commutative
- \diamond is b -compatible, i.e. $b(u \diamond v, w) = b(u, v \diamond w)$ for $v, w \in T_p M$
- the associator of \diamond satisfies

$$\text{Assoc}_\diamond(u, v, w) = g(u, w)v - g(v, w)u.$$

Then there is a neighborhood $U \subset M$ of p with a Hessian structure

$$(U, g|_U, \mathbf{D}),$$

such that $(M, g, \star = \nabla - \mathbf{D})$ defines a Hesse-Frobenius manifold.

Proof. We consider the Ricci identity for (8). Indeed, taking a further derivative and antisymmetrizing, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (-\nabla_l \nabla_k P_{ij}^a + \nabla_l P_{ij}^b P_{bk}^a + P_{ij}^b \nabla_l P_{bk}^a) - (-\nabla_k \nabla_l P_{ij}^a + \nabla_k P_{ij}^b P_{bl}^a + P_{ij}^b \nabla_k P_{bl}^a) \\ &= R_{ikl}^b P_{bj}^a + R_{jkl}^b P_{ib}^a + P_{ij}^b R_{bkl}^a + \nabla_l P_{ij}^b P_{bk}^a + \cancel{P_{ij}^b \nabla_l P_{bk}^a} - \nabla_k P_{ij}^b P_{bl}^a - \cancel{P_{ij}^b \nabla_k P_{bl}^a} \\ &= R_{ikl}^b P_{bj}^a + R_{jkl}^b P_{ib}^a + P_{ij}^b R_{bkl}^a + P_{ij}^c (P_{cl}^b P_{bk}^a - P_{ck}^b P_{bl}^a) \\ &\quad + \kappa(g_{il} P_{jk}^a + g_{jl} P_{ik}^a - g_{ik} P_{jl}^a - g_{jk} P_{il}^a) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, at p , the integrability condition for (8) is always satisfied due to the (non-)associativity of \diamond . Using a result in [8], we conclude that the initial data \diamond thus can be extended in a small neighborhood $U \ni p$ to a product \star such that $(g|_U, \star)$ defines a Hesse-Frobenius structure on $(U, g|_U)$. \square

The latter characterizes Hesse-Frobenius manifolds as Curved Frobenius manifolds whose product is determined by that in any single point and fitted together in a way that ensures that the Frobenius and the Hessian potential are (up to sign) identical.

4 Characterizing Curved Frobenius manifolds

We conclude our study with a characterization of Curved Frobenius manifolds of positive signature. In preparation, consider a Curved Frobenius manifold (M, g, \star) such that \star is not associative and $\mu > 0$. Then it is a solution of

$$\begin{aligned} P_{ijk} &= P_{(ijk)} \\ \nabla_k P_{ij}^\ell &= \nabla_{(k} P_{ij)}^\ell \\ P_{ik}^a P_{ja}^\ell - P_{jk}^a P_{ia}^\ell &= R_{ijk}^b \end{aligned}$$

and vice versa.

Definition 6. *For the purposes of this paper, we call a triple (M, g, \mathbf{D}) consisting of a Riemannian manifold (M, g) and a torsion-free connection \mathbf{D} a skew-Hessian manifold, if*

1. *the g -dual connection \mathbf{D}^* of \mathbf{D} is torsion-free as well,*
2. *the curvature of \mathbf{D} is non-vanishing, and*
3. *the curvature of \mathbf{D} is twice the curvature of g .*

This provides us with a characterization of the remaining cases of Curved Frobenius manifolds

Theorem 2. *Curved Frobenius manifolds correspond to skew-Hessian structures. More precisely:*

1. *A skew-Hessian manifold defines a Curved Frobenius manifold with $\mu = 1$ via*

$$\star = \mathbf{D} - \nabla.$$

2. *A Curved Frobenius manifold with $\mu = 1$ is a skew-Hessian manifold, if its underlying metric is non-flat.*

Proof. For the first claim, we observe that the torsion-freeness of \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{D}^* implies that P defined by $g(P(X, Y), Z) = g(\mathbf{D}_X Y - \nabla_X Y, Z)$ ($X, Y, Z \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$), is totally symmetric, which implies that \star is commutative and g -compatible. Note that the curvature tensor of \mathbf{D} decomposes, according to irreducible representations of the symmetric group. Hence, the condition that the curvature tensor of \mathbf{D} is a non-zero multiple of R^g ,

$$R^{\mathbf{D}} = \lambda R^g,$$

decomposes into two independent conditions: firstly, P has to be a Codazzi tensor with respect to ∇ , and hence \star satisfies the potentiality property. Secondly, we have (with $X, Y \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$)

$$R^g(X, Y) + [\star(X), \star(Y)] = 2 R^g(X, Y).$$

Therefore, (2) is satisfied with $\mu = 1$.

Under the hypothesis of the second claim, we have

$$[\star(X), \star(Y)] = R^g(X, Y),$$

which does not vanish. We define $\mathbf{D} = \nabla + \star$. Since $P = \star^\flat$ is totally symmetric, \mathbf{D} and \mathbf{D}^* are therefore torsion-free. Moreover, we find

$$R^{\mathbf{D}}(X, Y) = R^g(X, Y) + [\star(X), \star(Y)] = 2 R^g(X, Y)$$

and hence that $R^{\mathbf{D}}$ is non-vanishing. The theorem is therefore proved. \square

We now obtain the following characterization of Curved Frobenius manifolds.

Corollary 2. *A Curved Frobenius manifold falls into precisely one of the following cases*

- *its normalization is a Hessian manifold in the sense of Example 2, or*
- *its underlying metric is non-flat and \star is associative, or*
- *its normalization is a skew-Hessian manifold.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Example 3, together with Lemma 3 and Theorem 2. \square

As a consequence, it appears justified to say that there exist few qualitatively different types of Curved Frobenius manifolds. Indeed, up to rescalings, Curved Frobenius manifolds are either Hessian structures, skew-Hessian structures or they are non-flat and associative. Note that the first condition in Definition 6 makes (M, g, \mathbf{D}) a so-called *statistical manifold*. We can therefore say that the typical example of a Curved Frobenius manifold is a statistical manifold such that the curvatures of \mathbf{D} and ∇ are the same up to multiplication by a constant.

5 Application to second-order superintegrability

Applications of curved Frobenius manifolds have been described across the literature in various contexts. Flat (Manin-)Frobenius structures are ubiquitous in the literature on topological and quantum field theory, e.g. [4, 5, 6, 18, 22]. They are also linked to Gromov-Witten theory [9, 10] and information geometry [2]. Examples with non-vanishing curvature are less frequent, but also appear naturally in applications in physics and geometry [11, 12, 13, 19]

We report a further class of examples here, namely second-order superintegrable systems. Our discussion below is based on [21] and [3] as well as [1, 14, 15]. Consider a Riemannian manifold (M, g) . The cotangent space T^*M carries a natural symplectic structure ω . We denote by (x, p) canonical Darboux coordinates on T^*M . Then the map $H : T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,

$$H(x, p) = g^{-1}(p, p) + V(x)$$

is called *Hamiltonian* with potential $V : M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. The vector field X_H on $T(T^*M)$ with $\omega(X_H, -) = dH$ is called the *Hamiltonian vector field* for H .

A (maximally) *superintegrable system* is defined by a collection of functions $F^{(\nu)} : T^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, $1 \leq \nu \leq 2n - 2$, such that

1. $(H, F^{(1)}, \dots, F^{(2n-2)})$ is functionally independent
2. each $F^{(\nu)}$ is a constant of the motion for H , i.e. $X_H(F^{(\nu)}) = 0$.

A superintegrable system is called *second-order* if the constants of the motion can be chosen in the form (we drop the Einstein convention temporarily)

$$F^{(\nu)}(x, p) = \sum_{i,j=1}^n K^{(\nu)ij}(x) p_i p_j + W^{(\nu)}(x),$$

where we assume $K^{(\nu)ij} = K^{(\nu)ji}$ without loss of generality. One can show that the coefficients $K^{(\nu)ij}$ define components of Killing tensors

$$K_{(\nu)} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n \left(\sum_{a,b=1}^n g_{ai} g_{bj} K^{(\nu)ab} \right) dx^i \otimes dx^j$$

A second-order superintegrable system is called *irreducible*, if the endomorphisms associated to these Killing tensors via g do not share a common invariant subspace.

For irreducible second-order superintegrable systems, there is a tensor field $T = \sum_{i,j,k=1}^n T_{ij}^k dx^i \otimes dx^j \otimes \partial_k$ which is symmetric in (i, j) and trace-free with respect to g in (i, j) , such that (we use the Einstein convention again)

$$\nabla_{ij}^2 V = T_{ij}^k \nabla_k V + \frac{1}{n} g_{ij} \Delta V$$

Here, Δ is the Laplace-Beltrami operator. We define the one-form t via

$$t(X) = \text{tr}(Y \rightarrow T(X, Y))$$

and moreover the tensor field

$$P(X, Y, Z) = \frac{1}{3} \left(g(T(X, Y), Z) + \frac{1}{n-1} g(X, Y) t(Z) \right).$$

In [14, 15, 16], the structural equations for irreducible second-order superintegrable systems are obtained for the case when all integrability conditions for V and $K_{(\nu)}$ are satisfied generically (these systems are called *abundant*). For $n \geq 3$, it is found in [14, 15] that under this abundantness assumption and for g of constant sectional curvature κ , P is totally symmetric and satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} g^{-1}(P(X, Y), P(Z, W)) - g^{-1}(P(X, Z), P(Y, W)) \\ = -\kappa (g(X, Y)g(Z, W) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W)) \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

for any vector fields X, Y, Z, W . Moreover, the tensor T is subject to the differential conditions (in index notation, \circ denotes the trace-free part)

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{T}_{ijk,l} = \frac{1}{13} \Pi_{(ijk)\circ} \left[\dot{T}_{ij}^a \dot{T}_{kla} + \dot{T}_{ijk} \bar{t}_l + 3 \dot{T}_{ijl} \bar{t}_k \right. \\ \left. + \left(\frac{4}{n-2} \dot{T}_i^{ab} \dot{T}_{jab} - 3 \dot{T}_{ij}^a \bar{t}_a \right) g_{kl} \right] \end{aligned} \quad (13a)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{t}_{k,l} = \frac{1}{3} \left(-\frac{2}{n-2} \dot{T}_k^{ab} \dot{T}_{lab} + 3 \dot{T}_{kl}^a \bar{t}_a + 4 \bar{t}_k \bar{t}_l \right)_{\circ} \\ + \frac{1}{n} g_{kl} \left(\frac{3n+2}{6(n+2)(n-1)} \dot{T}^{abc} \dot{T}_{abc} - \frac{n-2}{6} \bar{t}^a \bar{t}_a + \frac{3}{2(n-1)} R \right) \end{aligned} \quad (13b)$$

where $\Pi_{(ijk)\circ}$ is the projector onto the symmetric trace-free part with respect to the enclosed indices, and where $\bar{t} = \frac{n}{(n-1)(n+2)} t$. For details see [14].

Now assume that (M, g) is a Riemannian manifold of dimension $n \geq 3$ and of constant sectional curvature and that P is a totally symmetric, cubic tensor field on M satisfying (12) and (13). Let $X \star Y := P(X, Y, -)$ for vector fields X, Y on M . It was shown in [1] that $D = \nabla - \star$ defines a Hessian structure (g, D) and that, for any Hessian potential ϕ ,

$$P = D^3 \phi.$$

Hence, we are in the case of a Hesse-Frobenius structure and conclude

$$\nabla_l C_{ijk} = C_{ija} g^{ab} C_{bkl} + \kappa (2g_{ij} g_{kl} + g_{ik} g_{jl} + g_{jk} g_{il}). \quad (14)$$

Remark 2. Note that (14) implies both (12) and (13). We remark that the form (14) can also be obtained similarly to the respective computation in [21], where the flat case is discussed (i.e. the case of Manin-Frobenius manifolds).

We therefore obtain:

Theorem 3. On Riemannian manifolds of constant sectional curvature, abundant second-order superintegrable systems correspond 1-to-1 to Hesse-Frobenius structures.

6 Conclusion

We found that, possibly up to rescaling, Curved Frobenius manifolds fall into three distinct types, namely (non-flat) spaces with a commutative and associative, metric-compatible product, Hessian structures, and skew-Hessian structures. These types all fit under the broader umbrella of statistical manifolds.

In particular, we have investigated the case of Hesse-Frobenius structures, which describe the case of Curved Frobenius structures that are consistent with a Hessian structure in the sense that the Hessian potentials serve simultaneously as Frobenius potentials. We have characterized Hesse-Frobenius structures intrinsically via a stronger potentiality property, which also encodes the associator-curvature condition (2). This case is both geometrically natural and relevant in mathematical physics, which we illustrated with an example from the theory of second-order maximally superintegrable systems.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank John Armstrong, Olaf Lechtenfeld and Andrey Konyaev for discussions. I thank the University of Hanover, the Forschungsfonds of the Department of Mathematics at the University of Hamburg and the SFB/CRC 1624: Higher structures, moduli spaces and integrability for financial support at the University of Hamburg for financial support.

References

- [1] J. Armstrong and A. Vollmer. “Abundant Superintegrable Systems and Hessian Structures”. In: *Journal of Geometry and Physics* 214 (2025), p. 105503. DOI: 10.1016/j.geomphys.2025.105503.
- [2] N. Combe and Y. I. Manin. “F-Manifolds and geometry of information”. In: *Bulletin LMS* 52 (5 2020), pp. 777–792. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1112/blms.12411>.
- [3] V. Cortés and A. Vollmer. *Affine hypersurfaces and superintegrable systems*. 2025. arXiv: 2504.05200.
- [4] R. Dijkgraaf, H. Verlinde, and E. Verlinde. “Topological strings in $d < 1$ ”. In: *Nuclear Physics B* 352 (1 1991), pp. 59–86.
- [5] B. Dubrovin. *Flat pencils of metrics and Frobenius manifolds*. SISSA-25-98-FM. Apr. 1998.

- [6] B. Dubrovin. “Geometry of 2D topological field theories”. In: *Integrable Systems and Quantum Groups: Lectures given at the 1st Session of the Centro Internazionale Matematico Estivo (C.I.M.E.) held in Montecatini Terme, Italy, June 14–22, 1993*. Ed. by M. Francaviglia and S. Greco. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1996, pp. 120–348. ISBN: 978-3-540-47706-8. DOI: 10.1007/BFb0094793. URL: <https://doi.org/10.1007/BFb0094793>.
- [7] D. Ferus. “A remark on codazzi tensors in constant curvature spaces”. In: ed. by D. Ferus et al. Vol. 838. Lecture Notes in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, 1981, p. 257.
- [8] H. Goldschmidt. “Integrability criteria for systems of nonlinear partial differential equations”. In: *J. Differential Geom.* 1.3–4 (1967), pp. 269–307.
- [9] C. Hertling. *Frobenius Manifolds and Moduli Spaces for Singularities*. 2009 (online), 2002 (print). Cambridge University Press, 2002. ISBN: 9780511543104. DOI: 10.1017/CB09780511543104.
- [10] C. Hertling and M. Marcolli, eds. *Frobenius Manifolds. Quantum Cohomology and Singularities*. Aspects of Mathematics. Vieweg+Teubner Verlag Wiesbaden, 2012. ISBN: 978-3-322-80238-5. DOI: 10.1007/978-3-322-80238-5.
- [11] N. Kozyrev. “The curved WDVV equations and superfields”. In: *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 1194 (2019), p. 012061.
- [12] N. Kozyrev et al. “ $\mathcal{N} = 4$ supersymmetric mechanics on curved spaces”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 97 (2018), p. 085015. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.97.085015>.
- [13] N. Kozyrev et al. “Curved Witten-Dijkgraaf-Verlinde-Verlinde equation and $\mathcal{N} = 4$ mechanics”. In: *Phys. Rev. D* 96 (2017), 101702(R).
- [14] J. Kress, K. Schöbel, and A. Vollmer. “An Algebraic Geometric Foundation for a Classification of Second-Order Superintegrable Systems in Arbitrary Dimension”. In: *J. Geom. Anal.* 33.360 (2023). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12220-023-01413-8>. arXiv: 1911.11925 [math.DG].
- [15] J. Kress, K. Schöbel, and A. Vollmer. “Algebraic Conditions for Conformal Superintegrability in Arbitrary Dimension”. In: *Comm. Math. Phys.* 405.92 (2024). arXiv: 2006.15696 [math.DG].
- [16] J. Kress, K. Schöbel, and A. Vollmer. *Superintegrable systems on conformal surfaces*. 2024. arXiv: 2403.09191.
- [17] H. Liu, U. Simon, and C. Wang. “Higher order Codazzi tensors on conformally flat spaces.” eng. In: *Beiträge zur Algebra und Geometrie* 39.2 (1998), pp. 329–348. URL: <http://eudml.org/doc/228646>.
- [18] Y. I. Manin. *Frobenius Manifolds, Quantum Cohomology, and Moduli Spaces*. Vol. 47. Colloquium Publications, 1999, 303 pages.
- [19] O. I. Mokhov. “Duality in a special class of submanifolds and Frobenius manifolds”. In: *Russian Mathematical Surveys* 63 (2 2008), pp. 378–380.
- [20] I. Shandra, S. Stepanov, and J. Mikeš. “On higher-order Codazzi tensors on complete Riemannian manifolds”. In: *Ann Glob Anal Geom* 56 (2019), pp. 429–442. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10455-019-09673-w>.
- [21] A. Vollmer. “Manifolds with a Commutative and Associative Product Structure that Encodes Superintegrable Hamiltonian Systems”. In: *Ann. Henri Poincaré* (2025). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00023-025-01608-5>.
- [22] E. Witten. “On the structure of the topological phase of two-dimensional gravity”. In: *Nuclear Physics B* 340 (2–3 1990), pp. 281–332.