

Gravitational lensing inside and outside of a marginally unstable photon sphere in a general, static, spherically symmetric, and asymptotically-flat spacetime in strong deflection limits

Naoki Tsukamoto^{1*}

¹*Department of Physics, Faculty of Science,
Tokyo University of Science, 1-3, Kagurazaka,
Shinjuku-ku, Tokyo 162-8601, Japan*

It is believed that rays bent inside and outside photon spheres could affect partially the black hole shadow images by the Event Horizon Telescope and the rays near photon spheres would be detected by near-future space observations. The features of the rays near the photon spheres in not only black hole spacetimes but also exotic spacetimes would be important since one will need them to exclude black-hole mimickers. The deflection angles of the rays deflected by photon spheres diverge logarithmically and we can treat them by a strong-deflection-limit analysis. The error of the strong-deflection-limit analysis becomes large if antiphoton spheres exist in the spacetimes and the analysis breaks down when the photon spheres and the antiphoton spheres degenerate to form a marginally unstable photon sphere. This is because the deflection angles of the rays bent by the marginally unstable photon sphere diverge in powers. In this paper, we extend Eiroa, Romero, and Torres's method to gravitational lensing of rays inside and outside of the marginally unstable photon sphere in a general, static, spherically symmetric, and asymptotically-flat spacetime in strong deflection limits and we apply it to a Reissner-Nordström spacetime and a Hayward spacetime with the marginally unstable photon sphere. We have also confirmed that the deflection angles in the strong deflection limits by the method converge correctly to the deflection angle without approximations, while there are the mismatches of the coefficient of the power-divergent term of the deflection angles of the rays deflected just outside of the marginally unstable photon sphere in a semi-analytic calculation by the author previously.

I. INTRODUCTION

Recently, the direct detection of gravitational waves by binary black hole has been reported by the LIGO and VIRGO Collaborations [1–5] and the ring-shape images of supermassive black hole candidates at the centers of the giant elliptical galaxy M87 and the Milky way galaxy have been reported by the Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration [6, 7]. Strong gravity near black holes would cause high energy phenomena and it would be important to detect the black holes and to understand their astrophysical environments.

Compact objects such as black holes and wormholes have photon spheres which are spheres constructed by unstable circular light orbits due to their strong gravity [8]. Several aspects of the photon spheres such as the bounds of their radii [9–12], their numbers [13–16], and their relationship to photon absorption cross sections [17–19], quasinormal modes [20–25], a centrifugal force and gyroscopic precession [26–29], Bondi's sonic horizon [30–35], and the stability of thin-shell wormholes [36–38], were investigated eagerly. If the gravity of compact objects is moderate, they could have also antiphoton spheres which are spheres formed by stable circular light orbits, but the instability of the compact objects may be caused by the slow decay of linear waves near the antiphoton

spheres [39–44]. The alternatives to the photon spheres and the antiphoton spheres were also suggested by several researchers [42, 45–56].

Gravitational lenses are often used to survey dark objects such as the black holes, extrasolar planets, and dark matters [57, 58]. The gravitational lenses have been mainly studied in a weak gravitational field for a hundred years since we do not have enough technology to detect astrophysical phenomena in strong gravitational fields until the last decade. Rays deflected by the photon spheres of the black holes and their gravitational lensing effects in strong gravitational fields have been intermittently investigated [59–82]. The effects of the photon spheres on the apparent shape of stars collapsing into the black holes also have been investigated [83–86]. It is believed that the rays deflected just inside and outside photon spheres could affect partially the observed ring images of the supermassive black hole candidates at the center of our galaxy and the elliptic galaxy M87 by the Event Horizon Telescope [6, 7] and the rays bent near the photon spheres would be detected by near-future space observations [87, 88]. It is important to investigate the features of the rays near the photon spheres in not only black hole spacetimes but also exotic compact objects such as wormholes [80, 81, 89–109] and naked singularity [70, 110–113] since one needs to exclude exotic compact objects or black-hole mimickers to reinforce claims that the observed objects must be supermassive black holes.

Gravitational lensing of rays reflected by just outside

* tsukamoto@rikkyo.ac.jp

of a photon sphere in a Schwarzschild spacetime in a strong deflection limit $b \rightarrow b_m + 0$, where b and b_m an impact parameter and a critical impact parameter of the ray, by Bozza *et al.* [67]. The deflection angle of the rays which pass near outside of the photon sphere diverges logarithmically can be expressed by

$$\alpha = -\bar{a}_+ \log \left(\frac{b}{b_m} - 1 \right) + \bar{b}_+, \quad (1.1)$$

where \bar{a}_+ and \bar{b}_+ are a coefficient and a term which are determined by the metric of the spacetime with the photon sphere. Eiroa, Romero, and Torres suggested a method to obtain the coefficient \bar{a}_+ and the term \bar{b}_+ numerically and they applied it to a Reissner-Nordström black hole spacetime [114] while Bozza made a semi-analytic formula in a general, static, spherically symmetric, and asymptotically-flat spacetime with the photon sphere to obtain the coefficient \bar{a}_+ and the term \bar{b}_+ and got \bar{a}_+ in an analytic form and \bar{b}_+ partially numerically in the Reissner-Nordström black hole spacetime [68]. The strong-deflection-limits analysis has been intensively studied and it has been extended over the last two decades [95, 96, 98, 107, 113–128]. Tsukamoto [125, 126] investigated an alternative of Bozza's method [68], obtained \bar{a}_+ and \bar{b}_+ in analytic forms in the Reissner-Nordström spacetime and confirmed that they are consistent with \bar{a}_+ and \bar{b}_+ obtained by Eiroa, Romero, and Torres [114] and by Bozza [68].

If we consider gravitational lensing effects of rays passing inside and outside of the photon spheres in spacetimes without event horizons [100, 113], the deflection angles of the rays in strong deflection limits $b \rightarrow b_m \pm 0$ can be expressed by

$$\alpha = -\bar{a}_\pm \log \left| \frac{b}{b_m} - 1 \right| + \bar{b}_\pm, \quad (1.2)$$

where \bar{a}_- and \bar{b}_- are a coefficient and a term, respectively, for the ray reflected near inside of the photon sphere in the strong deflection limit $b \rightarrow b_m - 0$ and they can be obtained from the metric of the spacetimes. Shaikh *et al.* [113] obtained \bar{a}_- as an analytical form and \bar{b}_- numerically in a Reissner-Nordström naked singularity spacetime and the analytical form of \bar{b}_- was obtained by Tsukamoto [129].

The errors of deflection angles in the strong deflection limits becomes large if antiphoton spheres exist in the spacetimes and the strong-deflection-limit analysis for the photon spheres breaks down when the photon spheres and the antiphoton spheres degenerate to be a marginally unstable photon sphere since the deflection angles of the rays bent by the marginally unstable photon sphere in the strong deflection limits $b \rightarrow b_m \pm 0$ diverge in powers. In this paper, we treat the deflection angle of the rays in the following form:

$$\alpha(b) = \frac{\bar{c}_\pm}{\left| \frac{b}{b_m} - 1 \right|^{\frac{1}{6}}} + \bar{d}_\pm, \quad (1.3)$$

where \bar{c}_+ and \bar{d}_+ (\bar{c}_- and \bar{d}_-) are a coefficient and a term, respectively, for the rays reflected by just outside (inside) of the marginally unstable photon sphere in the strong deflection limit $b \rightarrow b_m + 0$ (-0). In Ref. [130], Tsukamoto extended Bozza's method to gravitational lensing of the rays reflected by just outside of the marginally unstable photon sphere in a general, static, spherically symmetric, and asymptotically-flat spacetime in strong deflection limit $b \rightarrow b_m + 0$ and applied it to the Reissner-Nordström naked singularity spacetime with the marginally unstable photon sphere. Recently, Sasaki [131] investigated the details of the gravitational lensing of the rays reflected inside and outside of the marginally unstable photon sphere in the Reissner-Nordström naked singularity spacetime and obtained the higher order terms of deflection angles in the strong deflection limits $b \rightarrow b_m \pm 0$. Sasaki [131] also pointed out that the deflection angle by Tsukamoto [130] in the strong deflection limit $b \rightarrow b_m + 0$ does not converge to the deflection angle with no approximations due to the invalid value of the coefficient \bar{c}_+ .

In this paper, we extend Eiroa, Romero, and Torres's method [114] to gravitational lensing of rays just inside and outside of the marginally unstable photon sphere in the general, static, spherically symmetric, and asymptotically-flat spacetime in strong deflection limits $b \rightarrow b_m \pm 0$ and we apply it to the Reissner-Nordström spacetime and a Hayward spacetime [132] with the marginally unstable photon sphere. We also confirm that mismatches in the coefficients \bar{c}_\pm which were calculated by the author in the semi-analytic method previously [130], are modified in the new approach.

This paper is organized as follows. We review the deflection angle of rays in Sec. II, we investigate extended Eiroa, Romero, and Torres's method to obtain the deflection angle of the rays inside and outside of the marginally unstable photon sphere in the strong deflection limits in the general, static, spherically symmetric, and asymptotically-flat spacetime and we apply it to the Reissner-Nordström spacetime and the Hayward spacetime with the marginally unstable photon spheres in Sec. III, and we investigate observable in gravitational lensing by using the deflection angles and a lens equation in Sec. IV. We conclude our results in Sec. V. We use a unit that a light speed and Newton's constant are unity in this paper.

II. DEFLECTION ANGLE OF RAYS

We consider a general, asymptotically flat, static and spherically symmetric spacetime with a line element, in coordinates $-\infty < t < +\infty$, $r < +\infty$, $0 \leq \vartheta \leq \pi$, and $0 \leq \varphi < 2\pi$,

$$ds^2 = -A(r)dt^2 + B(r)dr^2 + C(r)(d\vartheta^2 + \sin^2 \vartheta d\varphi^2), \quad (2.1)$$

with asymptotically flat conditions

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} A(r) = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} B(r) = 1 + O(r^{-1}), \quad (2.2)$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} C(r) = r^2 + O(r) \quad (2.3)$$

and we assume that $A(r)$, $B(r)$, and $C(r)$ are positive and finite in a region where we consider. We also assume that the spacetime has circular light orbits at $r = r_m$, or a condition

$$D_m = \frac{C'_m}{C_m} - \frac{A'_m}{A_m} = 0 \quad (2.4)$$

holds there. Here, we have defined $D(r)$ as

$$D(r) \equiv \frac{C'(r)}{C(r)} - \frac{A'(r)}{A(r)}, \quad (2.5)$$

where $'$ denotes a differentiation with respect to r and functions with the subscript m denotes the functions at $r = r_m$. The circular light orbits are unstable (stable) if a condition

$$D'_m = \frac{C''_m}{C_m} - \frac{A''_m}{A_m} > 0 \quad (< 0) \quad (2.6)$$

is held and we name a sphere formed by the unstable (stable) circular light orbits a photon (an antiphoton) sphere. We note that the derivatives of $D(r)$ are given by

$$D'(r) = \frac{C''(r)}{C(r)} - \frac{C'(r)^2}{C(r)^2} - \frac{A''(r)}{A(r)} + \frac{A'(r)^2}{A(r)^2} \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$D''(r) = \frac{C'''(r)}{C(r)} - 3\frac{C''(r)C'(r)}{C(r)^2} + 2\frac{C'(r)^3}{C(r)^3} - \frac{A'''(r)}{A(r)} + 3\frac{A''(r)A'(r)}{A(r)^2} - 2\frac{A'(r)^3}{A(r)^3}. \quad (2.8)$$

The spacetime has time-translational and axial Killing vectors $t^\mu \partial_\mu = \partial_t$ and $\varphi^\mu \partial_\mu = \partial_\varphi$ due to stationarity and axial symmetry of the spacetime, respectively, and we can assume $\vartheta = \pi/2$ without loss of generality because of the spherical symmetry of the spacetime.

From the wave number of a ray $k^\mu \equiv \dot{x}^\mu$, where x^μ is the coordinates and the dot denotes a differentiation with respect to an affine parameter along the trajectory of the ray, the trajectory of the ray is obtained as

$$-A(r)\dot{t}^2 + B(r)\dot{r}^2 + C(r)\dot{\varphi}^2 = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

We consider that the ray, which comes from a spatial infinity, is reflected by a lensing object at the closest distance $r = r_0$ and is away to the spatial infinity. In this paper, we do not consider a case that the rays coming from the spatial infinity, passes through a wormhole throat, and leave to another spatial infinity if we consider wormhole spacetimes. At the closest distance $r = r_0$, due to

$$\dot{r}_0 \equiv \dot{r}|_{r=r_0} = 0, \quad (2.10)$$

where functions with the subscript 0 denote the functions at the closest distance $r = r_0$, we obtain, from Eq. (2.9),

$$A_0 \dot{t}_0^2 = C_0 \dot{\varphi}_0^2. \quad (2.11)$$

From Eq. (2.11), the impact parameter b of the ray defined as $b \equiv L/E$, where $E \equiv -g_{\mu\nu} t^\mu k^\nu$ and $L \equiv g_{\mu\nu} \varphi^\mu k^\nu$ are the conserved energy and the angular momentum of the ray, respectively, is expressed as

$$b = b(r_0) = \frac{L}{E} = \frac{C_0 \dot{\varphi}_0}{A_0 \dot{t}_0} = \sqrt{\frac{C_0}{A_0}}, \quad (2.12)$$

and it is constant along the trajectory of the ray. For simplicity, unless otherwise stated, we assume that the value of b is positive.

By rescaling the affine parameter of the ray, the trajectory (2.9) is rewritten in

$$\dot{r}^2 + V(r, b) = 0, \quad (2.13)$$

where $V(r, b)$ is an effective potential for the radial motion of the ray defined by

$$V(r, b) \equiv \frac{1}{B(r)} \left(\frac{b^2}{C(r)} - \frac{1}{A(r)} \right). \quad (2.14)$$

The ray can be in regions, where the effective potential is nonnegative, i.e., $V(r, b) \leq 0$. From Eqs. (2.2) and (2.3), we obtain $\lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} V(r) = -1 < 0$. Thus, the ray can be at the spatial infinity.

The trajectory of the ray (2.9) can be rewritten in

$$\left(\frac{dr}{d\varphi} \right)^2 = \frac{C(r)}{B(r)} \left(\frac{C(r)}{A(r)b^2} - 1 \right) = -\frac{C^2(r)}{B(r)b^2} V(r, b) \quad (2.15)$$

and we obtain the deflection angle $\alpha(r_0)$ of the ray as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(r_0) &\equiv 2 \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{dr}{\sqrt{\frac{C(r)}{B(r)} \left(\frac{C(r)}{A(r)b^2(r_0)} - 1 \right)}} - \pi \\ &= 2 \int_{r_0}^{\infty} \frac{b(r_0) \sqrt{B(r)} dr}{C(r) \sqrt{-V(r, b(r_0))}} - \pi. \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

III. DEFLECTION ANGLE JUST INSIDE AND OUTSIDE OF THE marginally UNSTABLE PHOTON SPHERE IN THE STRONG DEFLECTION LIMITS

We extend Eiroa, Romero, and Torres's approach [114] to the marginally unstable photon sphere in the strong deflection limits $r_0 \rightarrow r_m \pm 0$ or $b(r_0) \rightarrow b_m \pm 0$, where $b_m \equiv b(r_m)$ is the critical impact parameter of the ray. Here and hereinafter, the upper (lower) sign is correspond with the image of rays reflected by outside (inside) of the marginally unstable photon sphere.

We assume that conditions

$$D_m = \frac{C'_m}{C_m} - \frac{A'_m}{A_m} = 0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$D'_m = \frac{C''_m}{C_m} - \frac{A''_m}{A_m} = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

and

$$D''_m = \frac{C'''_m}{C_m} - \frac{A'''_m}{A_m} > 0 \quad (3.3)$$

is satisfied so that the spacetime has the marginally unstable photon sphere. Under the assumptions, we obtain

$$V(r_m, b_m) = V'(r_m, b_m) = V''(r_m, b_m) = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

$$V'''(r_m, b_m) = -\frac{b_m D''_m}{B_m C_m} = -\frac{D''_m}{A_m B_m} < 0, \quad (3.5)$$

and the deflection angle of the ray bent outside and inside of the marginally unstable photon sphere in the strong deflection limits $r_0 \rightarrow r_m \pm 0$ or $b \rightarrow b_m \pm 0$ will be expressed by,

$$\alpha = \frac{c_{\pm}}{|r_0 - r_m|^{1/2}} + \bar{d}_{\pm}, \quad (3.6)$$

where c_{\pm} is the coefficient and \bar{d}_{\pm} is the term. From the relation

$$\lim_{r_0 \rightarrow r_m \pm 0} \left[\alpha - \frac{c_{\pm}}{|r_0 - r_m|^{1/2}} - \bar{d}_{\pm} \right] = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

where α is given by Eq. (2.16), and its derivative with respect to r_0 given by

$$\lim_{r_0 \rightarrow r_m \pm 0} \left[\frac{d\alpha}{dr_0} \pm \frac{c_{\pm}}{2|r_0 - r_m|^{3/2}} \right] = 0, \quad (3.8)$$

we can obtain the coefficient c_{\pm} and the term \bar{d}_{\pm} as, in numerical,

$$c_{\pm} = \lim_{r_0 \rightarrow r_m \pm 0} \left[\mp 2|r_0 - r_m|^{3/2} \frac{d\alpha}{dr_0} \right] \quad (3.9)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}_{\pm} &= \lim_{r_0 \rightarrow r_m \pm 0} \left[\alpha - \frac{c_{\pm}}{|r_0 - r_m|^{1/2}} \right] \\ &= \lim_{r_0 \rightarrow r_m \pm 0} \left(\alpha \pm 2|r_0 - r_m| \frac{d\alpha}{dr_0} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3.10)$$

respectively.

By using series of the expansion of $b(r_0)$ around $r_0 = r_m$,

$$b(r_0) = b_m + \frac{b_m D''_m}{12} (r_0 - r_m)^3 + O((r_0 - r_m)^4), \quad (3.11)$$

the deflection angle (3.6) can be expressed by

$$\alpha(b) = \frac{\bar{c}_{\pm}}{\left| \frac{b}{b_m} - 1 \right|^{1/6}} + \bar{d}_{\pm}, \quad (3.12)$$

where \bar{c}_{\pm} is given by

$$\bar{c}_{\pm} \equiv \left| \frac{D''_m}{12} \right|^{1/6} c_{\pm}. \quad (3.13)$$

A. Reissner-Nordström spacetime

In the Reissner-Nordström black hole spacetime, gravitational lensing of rays bent by outside of a photon sphere in the strong deflection limit $b \rightarrow b_m + 0$ was investigated by Eiroa, Romero, and Torres [114] in a numerical way and by Bozza [68] in a semi-analytical way, and extended source effects [114], the time delay of rays [134], retrolensing [117, 125, 126], and higher order terms of the deflection angle [137–139] were studied. The images of light sources at near the photon sphere in the Reissner-Nordström black hole spacetime were investigated in Ref. [78, 79] by using a strong-deflection-limit analysis with arbitrary source distances [123]. In the Reissner-Nordström naked singularity spacetime, the size of the photon sphere or the shadows [135] and gravitational lensing of rays deflected inside and outside of a photon sphere in the strong deflection limit $b \rightarrow b_m \pm 0$ were investigated in Refs. [113, 129, 136].

Tsukamoto [130] got the deflection angle with the coefficient

$$\bar{c}_+ = 2^{5/3} 3^{1/2} \sim 5.49892 \quad (3.14)$$

and the constant

$$\bar{d}_+ = -\sqrt{6} - \pi \sim -5.59108 \quad (3.15)$$

in the strong deflection limit $b \rightarrow b_m + 0$ in the semi-analytical method. Sasaki [131] investigated the deflection angle of the rays reflected inside and outside of the marginally unstable photon sphere the Reissner-Nordström naked singularity spacetime in the strong deflection limits $b \rightarrow b_m \pm 0$ and obtained

$$\bar{c}_+ = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{1}{12}) \Gamma(\frac{7}{12})}{2^{1/6} \sqrt{3} \Gamma(\frac{2}{3})} \sim 6.67748, \quad (3.16)$$

$$\bar{d}_+ = -\sqrt{6} - \pi \sim -5.59108, \quad (3.17)$$

$$\bar{c}_- = \sqrt{3} \bar{c}_+ \sim 11.5657, \quad (3.18)$$

and

$$\bar{d}_- = \bar{d}_+ = -\sqrt{6} - \pi \sim -5.59108 \quad (3.19)$$

where $\Gamma(z)$ is the gamma function, and higher terms in the deflection angles. Sasaki pointed out that \bar{c}_+ in Eq. (3.14) is invalid since that the deflection angle with \bar{c}_+ in Eq. (3.14) and \bar{d}_+ in Eq. (3.15) obtained by Tsukamoto [130] does not converge to the deflection angle without approximations.

The Reissner-Nordström spacetime with the functions of the metric

$$A(r) = B(r)^{-1} = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{9M^2}{8r^2}, \quad (3.20)$$

$$C(r) = r^2, \quad (3.21)$$

has the marginally unstable photon sphere, which is formed by rays with the critical impact parameter

$$b_m = \frac{3\sqrt{6}M}{2}, \quad (3.22)$$

at $r = r_m$, where r_m is given by

$$r_m = \frac{3M}{2}, \quad (3.23)$$

and it holds the conditions

$$D_m = D'_m = 0, \quad (3.24)$$

$$D''_m = \frac{64}{9} > 0. \quad (3.25)$$

Figure 1 shows the effective potential $V(r/M, b)$ for the radial motion of the rays.




FIG. 1. The effective potential $V(r/M, b)$ in the Reissner-Nordström spacetime with the marginally unstable photon sphere is shown. The broken (green), solid (red), and dotted (magenta) curves denote $V(r/M, b)$ for $b = 0.99b_m$, b_m , and $1.01b_m$, respectively.

From Eqs. (3.9), (3.10), and (3.13), we obtain

$$\bar{c}_+ \sim 6.67748 \quad (3.26)$$

and

$$\bar{d}_+ \sim -5.59011 \quad (3.27)$$

numerically for the rays just outside of the marginally unstable photon sphere in the Reissner-Nordström spacetime.

From Eqs. (3.9) and (3.10), for the rays just outside of the marginally unstable photon sphere in the Reissner-Nordström spacetime, we get

$$\bar{c}_- \sim 11.5658 \quad (3.28)$$

and

$$\bar{d}_- \sim -5.64071 \quad (3.29)$$

in numerical.

The deflection angle α is shown in Fig. 2 and the percent error of the deflection angle of Eq. (3.12) defined by

$$\frac{\alpha \text{ of Eq. (3.12)} - \alpha \text{ of Eq. (2.17)}}{\alpha \text{ of Eq. (2.17)}} \times 100 \quad (3.30)$$

is shown in Fig. 3.




FIG. 2. The deflection angles α in the Reissner-Nordström spacetime by using Eq. (2.17) is denoted by the dot-dashed (magenta) curve. The one by using Eq. (3.12) with \bar{c}_+ of Eq. (3.26), \bar{d}_+ of Eq. (3.27), \bar{c}_- of Eq. (3.28), and \bar{d}_- of Eq. (3.29) in our numerical method, with \bar{c}_+ of Eq. (3.16), \bar{d}_+ of Eq. (3.17), \bar{c}_- of Eq. (3.18), and \bar{d}_- of Eq. (3.19) by Sasaki [131], and with \bar{c}_+ of Eq. (3.14) and \bar{d}_+ of Eq. (3.15) by Tsukamoto [130] are denoted by the dashed (green), dotted (black), and solid (red) curves, respectively. Notice that the dashed (green) and dotted (black) curves are overlapped.

B. Hayward spacetime

The shadow images of the photon sphere of Hayward black holes [142, 143] and the deflection angle of a light




FIG. 3. The percent errors of deflection angles α of Eq. (3.12) against the deflection angle α of Eq. (2.17) in the Reissner-Nordström spacetime are plotted in top and bottom panels for rays producing images outside and inside of the marginally unstable photon sphere, respectively. In the top pannel, dashed (green), dotted (black), solid (red) curves denote the percent errors of deflection angles α of Eq. (3.12) with \bar{c}_+ of Eq. (3.26) and \bar{d}_+ of Eq. (3.27) in our numerical method, with \bar{c}_+ of Eq. (3.16) and \bar{d}_+ of Eq. (3.17) by Sasaki [131], and with \bar{c}_+ of Eq. (3.14) and \bar{d}_+ of Eq. (3.15) by Tsukamoto [130], respectively. In the bottom panel, dashed (green) and dotted (black) curves denote the percent errors of deflection angles α of Eq. (3.12) with \bar{c}_- of Eq. (3.28) and \bar{d}_- of Eq. (3.29) in our numerical method, and with \bar{c}_- of Eq. (3.18) and \bar{d}_- of Eq. (3.19) by Sasaki [131], respectively. Note that the dashed (green) and dotted (black) curves are overlapped.

in a weak-field approximation and in the strong deflection limit $b \rightarrow b_m + 0$ in the Hayward black hole spacetime [141] were studied. Chiba and Kimura [133] showed the shadow images in black hole and over-charged cases.

Chiba and Kimura [133] investigated the deflection angle of the rays reflected by the marginally unstable photon sphere and obtained the coefficient \bar{c}_+ as, from Eqs. (21) and (22) in Ref. [133],

$$\bar{c}_+ = \frac{c_+ M^{1/6}}{b_m^{1/6}} \sim 6.01316, \quad (3.31)$$

where

$$c_+ = 2^{11/6} 3^{2/3} 5^{5/4} \int_0^\infty \frac{dy}{\sqrt{432y + 900y^2 + 625y^3}} \sim 7.771. \quad (3.32)$$

but they do not consider the constants \bar{d}_+ and \bar{d}_- and the coefficient \bar{c}_- . Tsukamoto [130] studied the deflection angle of the rays reflected outside of a marginally unstable photon sphere in the strong deflection limit $b \rightarrow b_m + 0$ and got the coefficient as, by using the semi-analytic method,

$$\bar{c}_+ \sim \frac{2^{13/6} 3^{1/3}}{5^{1/6}} \sim 4.95196 \quad (3.33)$$

and the constant

$$\bar{d}_+ = -4\sqrt{\frac{6}{5}} + I_R(r_m) - \pi \sim -5.62607. \quad (3.34)$$

The percent errors of the deflection angle of Eq. (3.12) with the coefficients \bar{c}_+ and the constants \bar{d}_+ are plotted in Fig. 6.

The Hayward spacetime [132] has the marginally unstable photon sphere at $r = r_m = 25M/12$ made by the rays with the critical impact parameter

$$b_m = \frac{25\sqrt{5}M}{12} \quad (3.35)$$

and it holds the conditions

$$D_m = D'_m = 0, \quad (3.36)$$

$$D''_m = \frac{1728}{625} > 0 \quad (3.37)$$

when the functions of the metric are

$$A(r) = B(r)^{-1} = 1 - \frac{2Mr^2}{r^3 + 2q^2M}, \quad (3.38)$$

$$C(r) = r^2, \quad (3.39)$$

where we have set $2q^2M = 5^5 M^3 / (2^6 3^3)$. The effective potentials $V(r/M, b)$ of the rays for the radial motion are plotted in Fig. 4. From Eqs. (3.9), (3.10), and (3.13), we obtain

$$\bar{c}_+ \sim 6.01322 \quad (3.40)$$




FIG. 4. The effective potentials $V(r/M, b)$ for $b = 0.99b_m$, b_m , and $1.01b_m$ in the Hayward spacetime with the marginally unstable photon sphere are shown as the broken (green), solid (red), and dotted (magenta) curves, respectively.

and

$$\bar{d}_+ \sim -5.60584 \quad (3.41)$$

in numerical for the Hayward spacetime with the marginally unstable photon sphere. From Eqs. (3.9) and (3.10), we get the numerical values of

$$\bar{c}_- \sim 10.4155 \quad (3.42)$$

and

$$\bar{d}_- \sim -5.69675. \quad (3.43)$$

The deflection angle α in the Hayward spacetime with the marginally unstable photon sphere is shown in Fig. 5 and the percent error of the deflection angle of Eq. (3.12) with the coefficients \bar{c}_+ and the constants \bar{d}_+ is shown in Fig. 6. We have confirmed the coefficient \bar{c}_+ (3.31) by Chiba and Kimura [133] and the constant \bar{d}_+ (3.34) by Tsukamoto [130] are correct while the coefficient \bar{c}_+ (3.33) by using the semi-analytic method in Ref.[130] should be modified.

IV. OBSERVABLE OF GRAVITATIONAL LENSING IN THE STRONG DEFLECTION LIMITS

In this section, we consider the observable of gravitational lensing of the rays bent inside and outside of the




FIG. 5. The deflection angles α in the Hayward spacetime with the marginally unstable photon sphere are shown. The dot-dashed (magenta) curve denotes the deflection angle α of Eq. (2.17). The dashed (green), dotted (black), and solid (red) curves denote the deflection angles α of Eq. (3.12) with \bar{c}_+ of Eq. (3.40), \bar{d}_+ of Eq. (3.41), \bar{c}_- of Eq. (3.42), and \bar{d}_- of Eq. (3.43) in our numerical method, with \bar{c}_+ of Eq. (3.31) and $\bar{d}_+ = 0$ by Chiba and Kimura [133], and with \bar{c}_+ of Eq. (3.33) and \bar{d}_+ of Eq. (3.34) by Tsukamoto [130], respectively.

marginally unstable photon sphere in the strong deflection limits $b \rightarrow b_m \pm 0$ or $r \rightarrow r_m \pm 0$. We consider that a light source S with a source angle ϕ emits the ray with an impact parameter b , a lens L reflects the ray by a deflection angle α and an observer O sees the source S as an image I with an image angle θ . The lens configuration is shown as Fig. 7.

We introduce an effective deflection angle $\bar{\alpha}$ of the ray defined by

$$\bar{\alpha} \equiv \alpha \mod 2\pi \quad (4.1)$$

and the deflection angle α can be expressed by

$$\alpha = \bar{\alpha} + 2\pi n, \quad (4.2)$$

where a non-negative integer n is the winding number of the ray.

We assume that the angles $|\bar{\alpha}|$, $|\theta|$, and $|\phi|$ are small, i.e., $|\bar{\alpha}|, |\theta|, |\phi| \ll 1$. Then, a lens equation [140] is obtained as

$$\theta = \frac{d_{LS}}{d_{OS}} \bar{\alpha} + \phi, \quad (4.3)$$




FIG. 6. The percent errors of the deflection angles α of Eq. (3.12) against the deflection angle α of Eq. (2.17) in the Hayward spacetime are plotted in top and bottom panels for the rays producing images outside and inside of the marginally unstable photon sphere, respectively. In the top pannel, the dashed (green), dotted (black), and solid (red) curves denote the percent errors of deflection angles α of Eq. (3.12) with \bar{c}_+ of Eq. (3.40) and \bar{d}_+ of Eq. (3.41) in our numerical method, with \bar{c}_+ of Eq. (3.31) and $\bar{d}_+ = 0$ by Chiba and Kimura [133], and with \bar{c}_+ of Eq. (3.33) and \bar{d}_+ of Eq. (3.34) by Tsukamoto [130], respectively. In the bottom panel, the dashed (green) curve denote the percent error of the deflection angle α of Eq. (3.12) with \bar{c}_- of Eq. (3.42), and \bar{d}_- of Eq. (3.43) in our numerical method.




FIG. 7. A small lens configuration with an effective deflection angle $|\bar{\alpha}| \ll 1$, an image angle $|\theta| \ll 1$, and a source angle $|\phi| \ll 1$. A ray emitted by a light source S with an impact parameter b is bent by a lens object L and it reaches to an observer O who observes an image I. d_{OS} , d_{LS} , and d_{OL} are angular distances between O and S, between L and S, and between O and L, respectively.

where d_{LS} and d_{OS} are angular distances between L and S and between O and S, respectively, and the image angle θ can be expressed by

$$\theta = \frac{b}{d_{OL}}, \quad (4.4)$$

where $d_{OL} = d_{OS} - d_{LS}$ is an angular distance between O and L.

We express the deflection angle α of the ray reflected just inside and outside of the marginally unstable photon sphere and its derivative respective to the image angle θ as

$$\alpha(\theta) = \frac{\bar{c}_{\pm}}{\left| \frac{\theta}{\theta_{\infty}} - 1 \right|^{1/6}} + \bar{d}_{\pm}, \quad (4.5)$$

and

$$\frac{d\alpha}{d\theta} = \mp \frac{\bar{c}_{\pm}}{6\theta_{\infty} \left| \frac{\theta}{\theta_{\infty}} - 1 \right|^{7/6}}, \quad (4.6)$$

respectively, where θ_{∞} defined by

$$\theta_{\infty} \equiv \frac{b_m}{d_{OL}} \quad (4.7)$$

is an image angle for the marginally unstable photon sphere. By substituting $\theta_{n\pm}^0$, which is defined by

$$\alpha(\theta_{n\pm}^0) = 2\pi n \quad (4.8)$$

for each positive winding number $n \geq 1$, into the deflection angle $\alpha(\theta)$ expressed by Eq. (4.5) of the ray reflected just inside and outside of the marginally unstable photon sphere, we obtain $\theta_{n\pm}^0$ as

$$\theta_{n\pm}^0 = \left[1 \pm \left(\frac{\bar{c}_{\pm}}{2\pi n - \bar{d}_{\pm}} \right)^6 \right] \theta_{\infty}. \quad (4.9)$$

We expand the deflection angle $\alpha(\theta)$ around $\theta = \theta_{n\pm}^0$ for each positive winding number $n \geq 1$ as

$$\alpha(\theta) = \alpha(\theta_{n\pm}^0) + \left. \frac{d\alpha}{d\theta} \right|_{\theta=\theta_{n\pm}^0} (\theta - \theta_{n\pm}^0) + O\left((\theta - \theta_{n\pm}^0)^2\right). \quad (4.10)$$

From Eqs. (4.2), (4.6), (4.8), and (4.10), the effective deflection angle $\bar{\alpha}(\theta_{n\pm})$, where $\theta = \theta_{n\pm}$ is the image angle for each positive winding number $n \geq 1$, is given by

$$\bar{\alpha}(\theta_{n\pm}) = \mp \frac{\bar{c}_{\pm}(\theta_{n\pm} - \theta_{n\pm}^0)}{6\theta_{\infty} \left| \frac{\theta_{n\pm}^0}{\theta_{\infty}} - 1 \right|^{7/6}}. \quad (4.11)$$

From Eqs. (4.3), (4.9), and (4.11), the image angles $\theta_{n\pm} = \theta_{n\pm}(\phi)$ of the rays reflected inside and outside of the marginally unstable photon sphere for each positive winding number $n \geq 1$ are obtained as

$$\theta_{n\pm}(\phi) \sim \theta_{n\pm}^0 \mp \frac{d_{\text{OS}}}{d_{\text{LS}}} \frac{6\bar{c}_{\pm}^6}{(2\pi n - \bar{d}_{\pm})^7} \theta_{\infty}(\theta_{n\pm}^0 - \phi). \quad (4.12)$$

If the observer O, the lens L, and the source S are aligned in a straight line in this order, the observer sees ring-shaped images called Einstein rings. By setting $\phi = 0$, we obtain the image angle of the Einstein ring for each positive winding number $n \geq 1$ as

$$\theta_{\text{En}\pm} \equiv \theta_{n\pm}(0) \sim \theta_{n\pm}^0 \left[1 \mp \frac{d_{\text{OS}}}{d_{\text{LS}}} \frac{6\bar{c}_{\pm}^6}{(2\pi n - \bar{d}_{\pm})^7} \theta_{\infty} \right]. \quad (4.13)$$

The difference of the image angles between the image with $n = 1$ and the marginally unstable photon sphere with $n = \infty$ are obtained as

$$\bar{s}_{\pm} \equiv \theta_{1\pm} - \theta_{\infty} \sim \theta_{1\pm}^0 - \theta_{\infty}^0 = \pm \left(\frac{\bar{c}_{\pm}}{2\pi - \bar{d}_{\pm}} \right)^6 \theta_{\infty}. \quad (4.14)$$

The magnifications of the images of the ray reflected by just inside and outside of the marginally unstable photon sphere for each positive winding number $n \geq 1$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{n\pm}(\phi) &\equiv \frac{\theta_{n\pm}}{\phi} \frac{d\theta_{n\pm}}{d\phi} \\ &\sim \frac{d_{\text{OS}}}{d_{\text{LS}}} \frac{6\bar{c}_{\pm}^6}{(2\pi n - \bar{d}_{\pm})^{13}} \left[\bar{c}_{\pm}^6 \pm (2\pi n - \bar{d}_{\pm})^6 \right] \frac{\theta_{\infty}^2}{\phi}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.15)$$

Every gravitational lensed image with the winding number $n \geq 1$ and with a positive impact parameter makes a pair of the image with the same winding number $n \geq 1$ and with a negative impact parameter. The pair of images with every winding number $n \geq 1$ has the almost same absolute values of the image angles and the magnifications but a different signs under the assumptions of

the small angles. Thus, the diameter of the paired images is given by $2\theta_{n\pm}$ with the winding number $n \geq 1$ for the positive impact parameter and the total magnification $\mu_{\text{ntot}\pm}$, which is defined by sum of the absolute value of the magnification of the pair of the images with the winding number $n \geq 1$, is given by

$$\mu_{\text{ntot}\pm} \sim 2|\mu_{n\pm}|. \quad (4.16)$$

The ratio of the magnification of the image with $n = 1$ to the sum of the other images with $n \geq 2$ is given by

$$\bar{r}_{\pm} \equiv \frac{\mu_{1\pm}}{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \mu_{n\pm}} \sim \frac{K_{1\pm}}{\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} K_{n\pm}}, \quad (4.17)$$

where $K_{n\pm}$ for each positive winding number $n \geq 1$ is defined by

$$K_{n\pm} \equiv \frac{\bar{c}_{\pm}^6 \pm (2\pi n - \bar{d}_{\pm})^6}{(2\pi n - \bar{d}_{\pm})^{13}}. \quad (4.18)$$

In Table I, the observable with the distances $d_{\text{OS}} = 16\text{kpc}$ and $d_{\text{OL}} = d_{\text{LS}} = 8\text{kpc}$, the mass $M = 4 \times 10^6 M_{\odot}$ in the Reissner-Nordström spacetime and the Hayward spacetime is shown for the winding numbers $n = 1, 2$, and 3 .

V. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

In this paper, we have extent Eiroa, Romero, and Torres's method [114] to gravitational lensing of rays just inside and outside of a marginally unstable photon sphere in a general, static, spherically symmetric, and asymptotically-flat spacetime in the strong deflection limits $b \rightarrow b_{\text{m}} \pm 0$ and we have applied it to a Reissner-Nordström spacetime and a Hayward spacetime with the marginally unstable photon sphere.

We have confirmed that the deflection angles in the strong deflection limits $b \rightarrow b_{\text{m}} \pm 0$ by the method converge correctly to the deflection angle without approximations, while there were mismatches in semi-analytic calculations by the author previously [130], which was pointed out by Sasaki [131], for the coefficient \bar{c}_{+} of the power-divergent term of the deflection angles of the rays deflected just outside of the marginally unstable photon sphere in the Reissner-Nordström spacetime.

In Ref. [131], Sasaki found that the term \bar{d}_{-} has the same as the term \bar{d}_{+} analytically in the Reissner-Nordström spacetime with the marginally unstable photon sphere. We obtained $\bar{d}_{+} \sim -5.59011$ and $\bar{d}_{-} \sim -5.64071$ as shown Eq. (3.27) and Eq. (3.29), respectively, and its deference is few percentages by our method. We note that we have approximately read $r_0 \rightarrow r_{\text{m}} + 0$ in Eqs. (3.9) and (3.10) as $r_0 = r_{\text{m}} + 10^{-7}M$ for numerical calculations to get the values of \bar{c}_{+} and \bar{d}_{+} and we have used $r_0 = r_{\text{m}} - 10^{-5}M$ for numerical calculations of $r_0 \rightarrow r_{\text{m}} - 0$ in Eqs. (3.9) and (3.10) to get the values of \bar{c}_{-} and \bar{d}_{-} . One would reduce numerical errors

TABLE I. The coefficients \bar{c}_\pm and the terms \bar{d}_\pm in the deflection angles of the rays deflected inside and outside of the marginally unstable photon spheres in the strong deflection limits $b \rightarrow b_m \pm 0$ in the Reissner-Nordström (RN) spacetime obtained in our numerical method, by Sasaki [131], and a semi-analytical method by Tsukamoto [130] and the ones in the Hayward spacetime in our method, by Chiba and Kimura [133], and in the semi-analytical method by Tsukamoto [130], and observable calculated by them are shown in the case with distances $d_{\text{OS}} = 16\text{kpc}$ and $d_{\text{OL}} = d_{\text{LS}} = 8\text{kpc}$, and the mass $M = 4 \times 10^6 M_\odot$. The reduced critical impact parameter b_m/M , the diameter of the marginally unstable photon sphere $2\theta_\infty$, the diameters of the Einstein rings $2\theta_{\text{E}1\pm}$, $2\theta_{\text{E}2\pm}$, and $2\theta_{\text{E}3\pm}$ with the winding number $n = 1, 2$, and 3 , respectively, the difference of the radii $\bar{s}_\pm = \theta_{\text{E}1\pm} - \theta_\infty$, the total magnifications of the pair images $\mu_{1\text{tot}\pm}(\phi)$, $\mu_{2\text{tot}\pm}(\phi)$, and $\mu_{3\text{tot}\pm}(\phi)$ with the source angle $\phi = 1$ arcsecond, and with $n = 1, 2$, and 3 , respectively, and the ratio of the magnifications \bar{r}_\pm are shown.

	RN in our method	RN in [131]	RN in [130]	Hayward in our method	Hayward in [133]	Hayward in [130]
b_m/M	$3\sqrt{6}/2$	$3\sqrt{6}/2$	$3\sqrt{6}/2$	$25\sqrt{5}/12$	$25\sqrt{5}/12$	$25\sqrt{5}/12$
$2\theta_\infty [\mu\text{as}]$	36.4727	36.4727	36.4727	46.2429	46.2429	46.2429
\bar{c}_+	6.67748	6.67748	5.49892	6.01322	6.01316	4.95196
\bar{d}_+	-5.59011	-5.59108	-5.59108	-5.60584	-	-5.62607
$2\theta_{\text{E}1+} [\mu\text{as}]$	37.6267	37.6262	36.8324	47.0170	-	46.4819
$2\theta_{\text{E}2+} [\mu\text{as}]$	36.5629	36.5629	36.5008	46.3036	-	46.2617
$2\theta_{\text{E}3+} [\mu\text{as}]$	36.4879	36.4879	36.4774	46.2531	-	46.2460
$\bar{s}_+ [\mu\text{as}]$	0.57701	0.57673	0.17987	0.38706	-	0.11950
$\mu_{1\text{tot}+}(\phi) \times 10^{19}$	1063.82	1063.19	324.595	890.522	-	271.347
$\mu_{2\text{tot}+}(\phi) \times 10^{19}$	52.8675	52.8477	16.4542	44.996	-	13.9129
$\mu_{3\text{tot}+}(\phi) \times 10^{19}$	6.58948	6.58765	2.05397	5.62252	-	1.7433
\bar{r}_+	17.3389	17.3350	16.9947	17.0469	-	16.7917
\bar{c}_-	11.5658	11.5657	-	10.4155	-	-
\bar{d}_-	-5.64071	-5.59108	-	-5.69675	-	-
$2\theta_{\text{E}1-} [\mu\text{as}]$	6.09793	5.32981	-	26.2719	-	-
$2\theta_{\text{E}2-} [\mu\text{as}]$	34.0762	34.0367	-	44.6518	-	-
$2\theta_{\text{E}3-} [\mu\text{as}]$	36.0681	36.0631	-	45.9730	-	-
$\bar{s}_- [\mu\text{as}]$	-15.187	-15.571	-	-9.9855	-	-
$\mu_{1\text{tot}-}(\phi) \times 10^{19}$	4518.59	4066.21	-	12739.8	-	-
$\mu_{2\text{tot}-}(\phi) \times 10^{19}$	1304.72	1328.28	-	1131.53	-	-
$\mu_{3\text{tot}-}(\phi) \times 10^{19}$	173.347	175.793	-	147.045	-	-
\bar{r}_-	2.95597	2.61464	-	9.64064	-	-

by calculating the integrals for the deflection angles more precisely.

If the relation $\bar{d}_- = \bar{d}_+$ is also correct in other spacetimes, the relation would be used to check our numerical method. In the Hayward spacetime with the marginally unstable photon sphere, we have obtained $\bar{d}_+ \sim -5.60584$ and $\bar{d}_- \sim -5.69675$ in our method and they imply that the relation $\bar{d}_- = \bar{d}_+$ is almost held. We have approximately read $r_0 \rightarrow r_m + 0$ in Eqs. (3.9) and (3.10) as $r_0 = r_m + 5 \times 10^{-5}M$ for numerical calculations to get the values of \bar{c}_+ and \bar{d}_+ and we have used $r_0 = r_m - 5 \times 10^{-5}M$ for numerical calculations of $r_0 \rightarrow r_m - 0$ in Eqs. (3.9) and (3.10) to get the values of \bar{c}_- and \bar{d}_- .

In the Hayward spacetime with the marginally unstable photon sphere, we have obtained $\bar{c}_+ \sim 6.01322$ in our numerical method and its difference from the value of $\bar{c}_+ \sim 6.01316$ in Chiba and Kimura [133] is tiny but we have found that the value $\bar{c}_+ \sim 4.95196$ obtained by the semi-analytic calculation in [130] should be modified.

Thus, we conclude that our extent Eiroa, Romero, and Torres's method to gravitational lensing of the rays just inside and outside of a marginally unstable photon sphere in a general, static, spherically symmetric, and asymptotically-flat spacetime in strong deflection limits $b \rightarrow b_m \pm 0$ gives the correct values of \bar{c}_\pm and \bar{d}_\pm and that the semi-analytic method considered by the author previously in Ref. [130] gives the invalid values of \bar{c}_+ while it gives the correct values of \bar{d}_+ .

We comment on constraints on metrics from the shadow observations of supermassive black hole candidates at the centers of our galaxy and the elliptic galaxy M87 by the Event Horizon Telescope [6, 7]. The Event Horizon Telescope collaborations [144, 145] constrained the parameter of the metrics of spacetimes from the observations and the sizes of the photon spheres under assumptions for simplify. Thus, the constraints, which depend on the assumptions, are not very reliable [146, 147] and the constraints on the metrics should be confirmed

by near-future space observations [87, 88].

-
- [1] B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations], Phys. Rev. Lett. **116**, 061102 (2016).
 - [2] B. P. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo Collaborations], Phys. Rev. X **9**, 031040 (2019).
 - [3] R. Abbott *et al.* [LIGO Scientific and Virgo], Phys. Rev. X **11**, 021053 (2021).
 - [4] R. Abbott *et al.* [KAGRA, VIRGO and LIGO Scientific], Phys. Rev. X **13**, 041039 (2023).
 - [5] A. G. Abac *et al.* [LIGO Scientific, VIRGO and KAGRA], [arXiv:2508.18082 [gr-qc]].
 - [6] K. Akiyama *et al.* [Event Horizon Telescope Collaboration], Astrophys. J. **875**, L1 (2019).
 - [7] K. Akiyama *et al.* [Event Horizon Telescope], Astrophys. J. Lett. **930**, L12 (2022).
 - [8] V. Perlick, Living Rev. Relativity **7**, 9 (2004).
 - [9] S. Hod, Phys. Lett. B **727**, 345 (2013).
 - [10] Y. Peng, Phys. Lett. B **790**, 396-399 (2019).
 - [11] S. Hod, Phys. Rev. D **101**, 084033 (2020).
 - [12] S. Hod, JHEP **12**, 178 (2023).
 - [13] S. Hod, Phys. Lett. B **776**, 1 (2018).
 - [14] P. V. P. Cunha and C. A. R. Herdeiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **124**, 181101 (2020).
 - [15] P. V. P. Cunha, C. A. R. Herdeiro and J. P. A. Novo, Phys. Rev. D **109**, 064050 (2024).
 - [16] P. Padhye, K. Paithankar and S. Kolekar, [arXiv:2412.12665 [gr-qc]].
 - [17] N. G. Sanchez, Phys. Rev. D **18**, 1030 (1978).
 - [18] S. W. Wei, Y. X. Liu, and H. Guo, Phys. Rev. D **84**, 041501 (2011).
 - [19] Y. Decanini, A. Folacci, and B. Raffaelli, Phys. Rev. D **81**, 104039 (2010).
 - [20] W. H. Press, Astrophys. J. **170**, L105 (1971).
 - [21] C. J. Goebel, Astrophys. J. **172**, L95 (1972).
 - [22] B. Raffaelli, Gen. Rel. Grav. **48**, 16 (2016).
 - [23] T. Igata, [arXiv:2505.01848 [gr-qc]].
 - [24] T. Igata, [arXiv:2504.07906 [gr-qc]].
 - [25] I. Z. Stefanov, S. S. Yazadjiev, and G. G. Gyulchev, Phys. Rev. Lett. **104**, 251103 (2010).
 - [26] M. A. Abramowicz and A. R. Prasanna, Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc. **245**, 720 (1990).
 - [27] M. A. Abramowicz, Mon. Not. Roy. Astr. Soc. **245**, 733 (1990).
 - [28] B. Allen, Nature **347**, 615 (1990).
 - [29] W. Hasse and V. Perlick, Gen. Relativ. Gravit. **34**, 415 (2002).
 - [30] P. Mach, E. Malec, and J. Karkowski, Phys. Rev. D **88**, 084056 (2013).
 - [31] E. Chaverra and O. Sarbach, Class. Quant. Grav. **32**, 155006 (2015).
 - [32] M. Cvetič, G. W. Gibbons, and C. N. Pope, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 106005 (2016).
 - [33] Y. Koga and T. Harada, Phys. Rev. D **94**, 044053 (2016).
 - [34] Y. Koga and T. Harada, Phys. Rev. D **98**, 024018 (2018).
 - [35] Y. Koga, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 064034 (2019).
 - [36] C. Barcelo and M. Visser, Nucl. Phys. B **584**, 415 (2000).
 - [37] Y. Koga, Phys. Rev. D **101** 104022 (2020).
 - [38] N. Tsukamoto and T. Kokubu, Phys. Rev. D **109**, 024047 (2024).
 - [39] J. Keir, Class. Quant. Grav. **33**, 135009 (2016).
 - [40] V. Cardoso, L. C. B. Crispino, C. F. B. Macedo, H. Okawa, and P. Pani, Phys. Rev. D **90**, 044069 (2014).
 - [41] P. V. P. Cunha, E. Berti, and C. A. R. Herdeiro, Phys. Rev. Lett. **119**, 251102 (2017).
 - [42] P. V. P. Cunha, C. A. R. Herdeiro, and E. Radu, Phys. Rev. D **96**, 024039 (2017).
 - [43] P. V. P. Cunha, C. Herdeiro, E. Radu and N. Sanchis-Gual, Phys. Rev. Lett. **130**, 061401 (2023).
 - [44] Z. Zhong, V. Cardoso and E. Maggio, Phys. Rev. D **107**, 044035 (2023).
 - [45] C. M. Claudel, K. S. Virbhadra, and G. F. R. Ellis, J. Math. Phys. **42**, 818 (2001).
 - [46] G. W. Gibbons and C. M. Warnick, Phys. Lett. B **763**, 169 (2016).
 - [47] T. Shiromizu, Y. Tomikawa, K. Izumi, and H. Yoshino, PTEP **2017**, 033E01 (2017).
 - [48] H. Yoshino, K. Izumi, T. Shiromizu, and Y. Tomikawa, PTEP **2017**, 063E01 (2017).
 - [49] D. V. Gal'tsov and K. V. Kobialko, Phys. Rev. D **99**, 084043 (2019).
 - [50] D. V. Gal'tsov and K. V. Kobialko, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 104005 (2019).
 - [51] Y. Koga and T. Harada, Phys. Rev. D **100**, 064040 (2019).
 - [52] M. Siino, [arXiv:1908.02921 [gr-qc]].
 - [53] H. Yoshino, K. Izumi, T. Shiromizu, and Y. Tomikawa, PTEP **2020**, 023E02 (2020).
 - [54] L. M. Cao and Y. Song, [arXiv:1910.13758 [gr-qc]].
 - [55] H. Yoshino, K. Izumi, T. Shiromizu, and Y. Tomikawa, PTEP **2020**, 053E01 (2020).
 - [56] K. Lee, T. Shiromizu, H. Yoshino, K. Izumi, and Y. Tomikawa, [arXiv:2007.03139 [gr-qc]].
 - [57] P. Schneider, J. Ehlers, and E. E. Falco, *Gravitational Lenses* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992).
 - [58] P. Schneider, C. S. Kochanek, and J. Wambsganss, *Gravitational Lensing: Strong, Weak and Micro, Lecture Notes of the 33rd Saas-Fee Advanced Course*, edited by G. Meylan, P. Jetzer, and P. North (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006).
 - [59] Y. Hagihara, Jpn. J. Astron. Geophys., **8**, 67 (1931).
 - [60] C. Darwin, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A **249**, 180 (1959).
 - [61] R. d' E. Atkinson, Astron. J. **70**, 517 (1965).
 - [62] J.-P. Luminet, Astron. Astrophys. **75**, 228 (1979).
 - [63] H. C. Ohanian, Am. J. Phys. **55**, 428 (1987).
 - [64] R. J. Nemiroff, Am. J. Phys. **61**, 619 (1993).
 - [65] S. Frittelli, T. P. Kling, and E. T. Newman, Phys. Rev. D **61**, 064021 (2000).
 - [66] K. S. Virbhadra and G. F. R. Ellis, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 084003 (2000).
 - [67] V. Bozza, S. Capozziello, G. Iovane and G. Scarpetta, Gen. Rel. Grav. **33**, 1535-1548 (2001).
 - [68] V. Bozza, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 103001 (2002).
 - [69] K. S. Virbhadra, Phys. Rev. D **79**, 083004 (2009).
 - [70] K. Hioki and K. i. Maeda, Phys. Rev. D **80**, 024042

- (2009).
- [71] V. Bozza, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **42**, 2269 (2010).
 - [72] O. Y. Tsupko, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 104058 (2017).
 - [73] K. i. Nakao, C. M. Yoo and T. Harada, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 044027 (2019).
 - [74] S. E. Gralla, D. E. Holz and R. M. Wald, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 024018 (2019).
 - [75] K. Okabayashi, N. Asaka and K. i. Nakao, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 044011 (2020).
 - [76] F. Aratore and V. Bozza, *JCAP* **10**, 054 (2021).
 - [77] O. Y. Tsupko, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 064033 (2022).
 - [78] F. Aratore, O. Y. Tsupko and V. Perlick, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 124057 (2024).
 - [79] O. Y. Tsupko, F. Aratore and V. Perlick, [arXiv:2510.22804 [gr-qc]].
 - [80] V. Perlick, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 064017 (2004).
 - [81] K. K. Nandi, Y. Z. Zhang, and A. V. Zakharov, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 024020 (2006).
 - [82] T. Hsieh, D. S. Lee and C. Y. Lin, *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021), 104063.
 - [83] W. L. Ames and K. S. Thorne, *Astrophys. J.* **151**, 659 (1968).
 - [84] J. L. Synge, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **131**, no. 3, 463 (1966).
 - [85] H. Yoshino, K. Takahashi, and K. i. Nakao, *Phys. Rev. D* **100**, 084062 (2019).
 - [86] Y. Koga, N. Asaka, M. Kimura and K. Okabayashi, *Phys. Rev. D* **112**, 044069 (2025).
 - [87] A. Lupsasca, A. Cárdenas-Avendaño, D. C. M. Palumbo, M. D. Johnson, S. E. Gralla, D. P. Marrone, P. Galison, P. Tiede and L. Keeble, *Proc. SPIE Int. Soc. Opt. Eng.* **13092** (2024), 130926Q
 - [88] M. D. Johnson, K. Akiyama, R. Baturin, B. Bilyeu, L. Blackburn, D. Boroson, A. Cardenas-Avendano, A. Chael, C. k. Chan and D. Chang, *et al. Proc. SPIE Int. Soc. Opt. Eng.* **13092** (2024), 130922D
 - [89] H. G. Ellis, *J. Math. Phys.* **14** (1973), 104-118
 - [90] L. Chetouani and G. Clément, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **16**, 111-119 (1984).
 - [91] T. Muller, *Am. J. Phys.* **72**, 1045-1050 (2004).
 - [92] T. Muller, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008), 044043
 - [93] N. Tsukamoto, T. Harada and K. Yajima, *Phys. Rev. D* **86** (2012), 104062.
 - [94] T. Ohgami and N. Sakai, *Phys. Rev. D* **91**, 124020 (2015).
 - [95] N. Tsukamoto and T. Harada, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 024030 (2017).
 - [96] N. Tsukamoto, *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, 124001 (2016).
 - [97] R. Shaikh, *Phys. Rev. D* **98**, 024044 (2018).
 - [98] R. Shaikh, P. Banerjee, S. Paul, and T. Sarkar, *Phys. Lett. B* **789**, 270 (2019) Erratum: [*Phys. Lett. B* **791**, 422 (2019)].
 - [99] K. A. Bronnikov and K. A. Baleevskikh, *Grav. Cosmol.* **25**, 44-49 (2019).
 - [100] R. Shaikh, P. Banerjee, S. Paul, and T. Sarkar, *JCAP* **1907**, 028 (2019).
 - [101] K. A. Bronnikov, R. A. Konoplya and T. D. Pappas, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 124062 (2021).
 - [102] H. Maeda, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **39**, 075027 (2022).
 - [103] G. J. Olmo, J. L. Rosa, D. Rubiera-Garcia and D. Saez-Chillon Gomez, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **40**, 174002 (2023).
 - [104] M. A. Bugaev, P. S. Samorodskaya, I. D. Novikov and S. V. Repin, *Phys. Rev. D* **108**, 124059 (2023).
 - [105] N. Tsukamoto, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **84**, 1325 (2024).
 - [106] S. V. M. C. B. Xavier, C. A. R. Herdeiro and L. C. B. Crispino, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 124065 (2024).
 - [107] J. Zhang and Y. Xie, *Phys. Rev. D* **109**, 043032 (2024).
 - [108] M. Bugaev, I. Novikov, S. Repin, P. Samorodskaya and I. D. Novikov, jr., *Astron. Rep.* **69**, 67-76 (2025).
 - [109] S. N. Solodukhin and V. Tagiev, [arXiv:2511.03879 [gr-qc]].
 - [110] K. S. Virbhadra, D. Narasimha and S. M. Chitre, *Astron. Astrophys.* **337**, 1-8 (1998).
 - [111] K. S. Virbhadra and G. F. R. Ellis, *Phys. Rev. D* **65**, 103004 (2002).
 - [112] R. Shaikh, P. Kocherlakota, R. Narayan and P. S. Joshi, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **482**, 52-64, (2019).
 - [113] R. Shaikh, P. Banerjee, S. Paul, and T. Sarkar, *Phys. Rev. D* **99**, 104040 (2019).
 - [114] E. F. Eiroa, G. E. Romero, and D. F. Torres, *Phys. Rev. D* **66**, 024010 (2002).
 - [115] V. Bozza, *Phys. Rev. D* **67**, 103006 (2003).
 - [116] A. O. Petters, *Mon. Not. Roy. Astron. Soc.* **338**, 457 (2003).
 - [117] E. F. Eiroa and D. F. Torres, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 063004 (2004).
 - [118] V. Bozza and L. Mancini, *Astrophys. J.* **611**, 1045 (2004).
 - [119] V. Bozza, F. De Luca, G. Scarpetta, and M. Sereno, *Phys. Rev. D* **72**, 083003 (2005).
 - [120] V. Bozza and M. Sereno, *Phys. Rev. D* **73**, 103004 (2006).
 - [121] V. Bozza, F. De Luca, and G. Scarpetta, *Phys. Rev. D* **74**, 063001 (2006).
 - [122] S. V. Iyer and A. O. Petters, *Gen. Rel. Grav.* **39**, 1563 (2007).
 - [123] V. Bozza and G. Scarpetta, *Phys. Rev. D* **76**, 083008 (2007).
 - [124] A. Ishihara, Y. Suzuki, T. Ono, and H. Asada, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 044017 (2017).
 - [125] N. Tsukamoto and Y. Gong, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 064034 (2017).
 - [126] N. Tsukamoto, *Phys. Rev. D* **95**, 064035 (2017).
 - [127] K. Takizawa and H. Asada, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 104039 (2021).
 - [128] T. Igata, [arXiv:2503.02320 [gr-qc]].
 - [129] N. Tsukamoto, *Phys. Rev. D* **104**, 124016 (2021).
 - [130] N. Tsukamoto, *Phys. Rev. D* **102**, 104029 (2020).
 - [131] T. Sasaki, *Phys. Rev. D* **112**, 024072 (2025).
 - [132] S. A. Hayward, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **96**, 031103 (2006).
 - [133] T. Chiba and M. Kimura, *PTEP* **2017**, 043E01 (2017).
 - [134] M. Sereno, *Phys. Rev. D* **69**, 023002 (2004).
 - [135] A. F. Zakharov, *Phys. Rev. D* **90**, 062007 (2014).
 - [136] N. Tsukamoto, *Phys. Rev. D* **105**, 024009 (2022).
 - [137] N. Tsukamoto, *Phys. Rev. D* **106**, 084025 (2022).
 - [138] N. Tsukamoto, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83**, 284 (2023).
 - [139] T. Sasaki, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **41**, 135008 (2024).
 - [140] V. Bozza, *Phys. Rev. D* **78**, 103005 (2008).
 - [141] S. W. Wei, Y. X. Liu, and C. E. Fu, *Adv. High Energy Phys.* **2015**, 454217 (2015).
 - [142] Z. Li and C. Bambi, *JCAP* **01**, 041 (2014).
 - [143] N. Tsukamoto, *Phys. Rev. D* **97**, 064021 (2018).
 - [144] P. Kocherlakota *et al.* [Event Horizon Telescope], *Phys. Rev. D* **103** (2021), 104047.
 - [145] K. Akiyama *et al.* [Event Horizon Telescope], *Astrophys. J. Lett.* **930**, L17 (2022).
 - [146] S. E. Gralla, *Phys. Rev. D* **103**, 024023 (2021).
 - [147] N. Tsukamoto and R. Kase, *Phys. Rev. D* **110**, 044065 (2024).

(2024).