The α -spectral Turán type problems for graphs*

Jiadong Wu¹, Yongchun Lu¹, Liying Kang^{1,2†}

Abstract

For $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, the α -spectral radius of a graph G is defined as the largest eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}(G) = \alpha D(G) + (1-\alpha)A(G)$, where D(G) and A(G) are the diagonal matrix of degrees and adjacency matrix of G, respectively. A graph is called color-critical if it contains an edge whose deletion reduces its chromatic number. The celebrated Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem asserts that $\operatorname{ex}(n,\mathcal{F}) = \left(1-\frac{1}{\chi(\mathcal{F})-1}+o(1)\right)\frac{n^2}{2}$, where $\chi(\mathcal{F})$ is the chromatic number of \mathcal{F} . Nikiforov and Zheng et al. established the adjacency spectral and signless Laplacian spectral versions of this theorem, respectively. In this paper, we present the α -spectral version of this theorem, which unifies the aforementioned results. Furthermore, we characterize the α -spectral extremal graphs for color-critical graphs, thereby extending the existing results on adjacency spectral and signless Laplacian spectral extremal graphs for such graphs.

Keywords: α -spectral radius; Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem, color-critical graph, extremal graph

1 Introduction

¹ Department of Mathematics, Shanghai University, Shanghai 200444, P.R. China

²Newtouch Center for Mathematics of Shanghai University, Shanghai, China, 200444

^{*}Research was partially supported by the National Nature Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 12331012, 12571375)

[†]Corresponding author. Email address: lykang@shu.edu.cn (L. Kang), 1753381890@qq.com (J. Wu), luyongchun@shu.edu.cn (Y. Lu)

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs. A graph is called \mathcal{F} -free if it does not contain any member of \mathcal{F} as a subgraph. The classic *Turán type problem* is to determine the maximum number of edges over all \mathcal{F} -free graphs of order n. The *Turán number* of \mathcal{F} is defined as follows:

$$ex(n, \mathcal{F}) = max\{e(G) | G \text{ is an } n\text{-vertex } \mathcal{F}\text{-free graph}\}.$$

Let $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = \min_{F \in \mathcal{F}} \chi(F)$. When $\mathcal{F} = \{F\}$, we simplify the notation to $\operatorname{ex}(n, F)$ instead of $\operatorname{ex}(n, \{F\})$. The same simplification applies to all other relevant functions. The *Turán density* of \mathcal{F} is defined as

$$\pi(\mathcal{F}) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})}{\binom{n}{2}},$$

for which the existence is guaranteed by an averaging argument due to Katona, Nemetz and Simonovits in [11].

Let G be a graph with adjacency matrix A(G), and let D(G) be the diagonal matrix of the degrees of G. The signless Laplacian matrix of a graph G is defined as Q(G) := D(G) + A(G). In 2017, Nikiforov [18] proposed to study the convex combinations $A_{\alpha}(G)$ of A(G) and D(G) defined by

$$A_{\alpha}(G) := \alpha D(G) + (1 - \alpha)A(G), \quad 0 \le \alpha < 1.$$

Obviously, $A(G) = A_0(G)$ and $Q(G) = 2A_{1/2}(G)$. The largest eigenvalue of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ is called the α -spectral radius of G, denoted by $\lambda_{\alpha}(G)$. The $\lambda_0(G)$ (resp. $2\lambda_{1/2}(G)$) of G is usually referred to as the spectral radius (resp. signless Laplacian spectral radius) of G, denoted by $\lambda(G)$ (resp. q(G)). In 2017, Nikiforov [18] proposed the following problem.

Problem 1.1. Given a family of graphs \mathcal{F} , what is the maximum $\lambda_{\alpha}(G)$ of a graph G of order n, with no subgraph isomorphic to any $F \in \mathcal{F}$?

Let $ex_{\alpha}(n, \mathcal{F})$ denote the maximum α -spectral radius among all \mathcal{F} -free graphs of order n. An \mathcal{F} -free graph of order n that achieves $ex_{\alpha}(n, \mathcal{F})$ is called an α -spectral extremal graph for \mathcal{F} , and we let $Ex_{\alpha}(n, \mathcal{F})$ denote the family of all such graphs. For specific spectral parameters, $ex_{\lambda}(n, \mathcal{F})$ stands for the maximum spectral radius of \mathcal{F} -free graphs of order n, while $ex_{q}(n, \mathcal{F})$ denotes the maximum signless Laplacian spectral radius of \mathcal{F} -free graphs on n vertices.

The study of α -spectral Turán problem was initiated by Nikiforov [18], who determined the α -spectral extremal graphs corresponding to complete graphs. For matching M_{k+1} , Yuan and Shao [22] showed that $\operatorname{Ex}_{\alpha}(n,M_{k+1})=S_{n,k}$. Further advancing the field, Chen, Liu, and Zhang [3] proved that $\operatorname{Ex}_{\alpha}(n,P_{2k+2})=S_{n,k}$ and $\operatorname{Ex}_{\alpha}(n,P_{2k+3})=S_{n,k}^+$, shedding light on the α -spectral extremal structures for paths of specific lengths. For cycles, Li and Yu [12] showed that $\operatorname{Ex}_{\alpha}(n,C_{2k+2})=S_{n,k}^+$ and $\operatorname{Ex}_{\alpha}(n,\{C_{2k+1},C_{2k+2}\})=S_{n,k}$. Expanding to disjoint cycles, Li, Yu, and Zhang [13] determined the α -spectral extremal graphs, they obtained $\operatorname{Ex}_{\alpha}(n,\mathcal{F})=S_{n,2k-1}$, where \mathcal{F} is the family of all disjoint unions of k cycles. A nice result was achieved by Chen et al. [4], who proved the α -spectral Erdős-Sós theorem. This theorem asserts that every n-vertex graph G with $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(S_{n,k})$ contains all trees on 2k+2 vertices unless $G=S_{n,k}$. Moreover, if $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(S_{n,k}^+)$, then G contains all trees on 2k+3 vertices unless $G=S_{n,k}^+$. Most recently, Byrne, Desai, and Tait [2] established a unified framework that characterizes the α -spectral extremal graphs for a broad class of graph families.

1.1 The spectral version Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem

The famous Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem gives an asymptotic value of the Turán number for a family of graphs.

Theorem 1.2 (Erdős-Stone-Simonovits [5, 6]). *If* \mathcal{F} *is a family of graphs with* $\chi(\mathcal{F}) \geq 2$, *then*

$$\operatorname{ex}(n,\mathcal{F}) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(\mathcal{F}) - 1} + o(1)\right) \frac{n^2}{2}.$$

As a direct consequence of Theorem 1.2, we have $\pi(\mathcal{F}) = 1 - \frac{1}{\chi(\mathcal{F}) - 1}$. Nikiforov [17] later proposed a spectral analog of the Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem, stated as follows.

Theorem 1.3 (Nikiforov [17]). *If* F *is a graph with* $\chi(F) \geq 2$, *then*

$$ex_{\lambda}(n, F) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(F) - 1} + o(1)\right)n.$$

More recently, Zheng, Li, and Su [24] established the signless Laplacian spectral counterpart of this theorem.

Theorem 1.4 (Zheng, Li, and Su [24]). *If* F *is a graph with* $\chi(F) \geq 3$, *then*

$$ex_q(n, F) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(F) - 1} + o(1)\right) 2n.$$

For a bipartite graph F, Zheng, Li, and Su [24] also derived the following result.

Theorem 1.5 (Zheng, Li, and Su [24]). If F is a bipartite graph, i.e., $\chi(F) = 2$, then

$$\exp_q(n,F) = \begin{cases} (1+o(1)) & \text{if } F \text{ is not a star}; \\ 2(k-1) & \text{if } F \text{ is a star } K_{1,k}. \end{cases}$$

1.2 The spectral extremal results for color-critical graphs

In 2007, Nikiforov [15] showed that if G is a K_{r+1} -free graph on n vertices, then $\lambda(G) \leq \lambda(T_{n,r})$, with equality if and only if $G = T_{n,r}$. Subsequently, He, Jin, and Zhang [9] extended this line of research to the signless Laplacian spectral radius, establishing the following theorem:

Theorem 1.6 (He, Jin, and Zhang [9]). If G is an n-vertex K_{r+1} -free graph, then

$$q(G) \leq q(T_{n,r}).$$

Moreover, the equality holds if and only if G is a complete bipartite graph for r = 2 and the r-partite Turán graph $T_{n,r}$ for every $r \geq 3$.

Later, Nikiforov [18] generalized the aforementioned results and obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 1.7 (Nikiforov [18]). Let $r \geq 2$ and G be a K_{r+1} -free graph of order n.

- (1) If $0 \le \alpha < 1 \frac{1}{r}$, then $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) < \lambda_{\alpha}(T_{n,r})$, unless $G = T_{n,r}$.
- (2) If $1 > \alpha > 1 \frac{1}{r}$, then $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) < \lambda_{\alpha}(S_{n,r-1})$, unless $G = S_{n,r-1}$.
- (3) If $\alpha = 1 \frac{1}{r}$, then $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \leq \left(1 \frac{1}{r}\right)n$, with equality if and only if G is a complete r-partite graph.

Color-critical graphs form a broad and fundamental class of graphs. Examples include cliques, odd cycles, book graphs, and even wheels-all of which are color-critical. Let F be a color-critical graph with $\chi(F)=r+1$. Simonovits [19] determined the Turán number of F, proving that $\operatorname{Ex}(n,F)=T_{n,r}$ for sufficiently large n. Nikiforov [16] established a spectral counterpart to this result, showing that $\operatorname{ex}_{\lambda}(n,F)=\lambda(T_{n,r})$. Subsequently, Zheng, Li, and Li [23] settled the signless Laplacian spectral extremal problem for F, determining $\operatorname{ex}_q(n,F)$ explicitly.

Theorem 1.8 (Zheng, Li, and Li [23]). Let F be a color-critical graph with $\chi(F) = r + 1 \ge 4$. Then there exists n_0 such that for every F-free graph G on $n \ge n_0$ vertices, we have

$$q(G) \leq q(T_{n,r}),$$

where the equality holds if and only if G is the r-partite Turán graph $T_{n,r}$.

2 Main results

Given a family of graphs with $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = r + 1 \geq 3$, we first establish the asymptotic value of $ex_{\alpha}(n, \mathcal{F})$ as follows.

Theorem 2.1. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs with $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = r + 1 \geq 3$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. Then

$$ex_{\alpha}(n, \mathcal{F}) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} + o(1)\right)n.$$

Remark. Theorem 2.1 does not hold when $\alpha > 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. Nikiforov [18] proved that $\operatorname{Ex}_{\alpha}(n, K_{r+1}) = S_{n,r-1}$ for $r \geq 2$ and $1 > \alpha > 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. Clearly, $\lambda_{\alpha}(S_{n,r-1}) \geq \alpha(n-1) > \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} + o(1)\right)n$ for sufficiently large n.

Suppose G is an \mathcal{F} -free graph of order n. By Lemma 3.2 (stated in Section 3, which asserts $e(G) \leq \lambda_{\alpha}(G)\frac{n}{2}$) and Theorem 2.1, we have $e(G) \leq \lambda_{\alpha}(G)\frac{n}{2} \leq \left(1-\frac{1}{r}+o(1)\right)\frac{n^2}{2}$. Thus, Theorem 2.1 implies Theorem 1.2 in the case where $\chi(\mathcal{F}) \geq 3$. For families \mathcal{F} containing a bipartite graph, we establish the following result.

Theorem 2.2. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs with $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = 2$ and $0 \le \alpha < 1$. Then

- (1) $\exp_{\alpha}(n, \mathcal{F}) = (\alpha + o(1)) n$ if \mathcal{F} does not contain $K_{1,k} \cup sK_1$ for any $k \geq 1, s \geq 0$.
- (2) $\operatorname{ex}_{\alpha}(n,\mathcal{F}) = O(1)$ if \mathcal{F} contains $K_{1,k} \cup sK_1$ for some $k \geq 1, s \geq 0$. Particularly, if $\mathcal{F} = \{K_{1,k}\}$, then $\operatorname{ex}_{\alpha}(n,\mathcal{F}) = k 1$.

When $\alpha = 0$, Theorem 2.1 combined with Theorem 2.2 implies Theorem 1.3. Furthermore, setting $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 readily yield Theorems 1.4 and 1.5.

For color-critical graphs F, we further determine the maximum α -spectral radius among all F-free graphs of order n.

Theorem 2.3. Let F be a color-critical graph with $\chi(F) = r + 1 \ge 3$, and let G be an F-free graph of order n. For sufficiently large n and $0 \le \alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, we have

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \leq \lambda_{\alpha}(T_{n,r}),$$

where equality holds if and only if $G = T_{n,r}$.

Remark. Theorem 2.3 does not hold when $\alpha = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. Indeed, for this specific value of α , Theorem 1.7 asserts that $\text{Ex}_{\alpha}(n, K_{r+1})$ consists of all complete r-partite graphs.

Clearly, Theorem 2.3 extends Theorem 1.7 for $0 \le \alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. When $\alpha = 0$, it recovers Nikiforov's spectral result for color-critical graphs [16]; when $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$, it implies Theorem 1.8.

The even wheel of order 2k + 2 is defined as $W_{2k+2} := K_1 \vee C_{2k+1}$. Notably, W_{2k+2} is color-critical with $\chi(W_{2k+2}) = 4$. By Theorem 2.3, we immediately obtain the following result.

Corollary 2.4. For $k \ge 1$, $0 \le \alpha < \frac{2}{3}$ and sufficiently large n, if G is a W_{2k+2} -free graph of order n, then

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \leq \lambda_{\alpha}(T_{n,3}),$$

where equality holds if and only if $G = T_{n,3}$.

The generalized book graph $B_{r,k}$ is defined as $B_{r,k} := K_r \vee I_k$. One can see that $B_{r,k}$ is a color-critical graph and $\chi(B_{r,k}) = r + 1$. Thus, Theorem 2.3 implies the following result.

Corollary 2.5. Suppose $k \ge 1$ and $r \ge 2$. For sufficiently large n and $0 \le \alpha < 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, if G is a $B_{r,k}$ -free graph of order n, then

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \leq \lambda_{\alpha}(T_{n,r}),$$

with equality if and only if $G = T_{n,r}$.

3 Preliminaries

For a graph G and integer $p \geq 1$, the *blow-up* of G, denoted by G^p , is the graph obtained from G by replacing each vertex of G by a set of p independent vertices and each edge of G by a complete bipartite graph $K_{p,p}$. A family of graphs G is called *multiplicative* if $G \in G$ implies $G^p \in G$ for all integers $p \geq 1$. A family G is said to be *hereditary* if it is closed under taking induced subgraphs.

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs and \mathcal{G} be a class of \mathcal{F} -free graphs. If there exist $\varepsilon > 0$ and N > 0 such that every \mathcal{F} -free graph G on $n \geq N$ vertices with $\delta(G) \geq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n$ is a subgraph of some member of \mathcal{G} , then we say that \mathcal{F} is *degree-stable* with respect to \mathcal{G} .

Given a family of graphs \mathcal{G} , let \mathcal{G}_n denote the collection of all n-vertex graphs contained in \mathcal{G} . For $0 \le \alpha < 1$, we define

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n) = \max_{G \in \mathcal{G}_n} \lambda_{\alpha}(G).$$

Nikiforov [18] established the following results, which will be invoked in our proofs.

Lemma 3.1 (Nikiforov [18]). Let G be a graph and $0 \le \alpha \le 1$. Then

$$\alpha \Delta(G) \le \lambda_{\alpha}(G) \le \alpha \Delta(G) + (1 - \alpha)\lambda(G).$$

Lemma 3.2 (Nikiforov [18]). Let $0 \le \alpha \le 1$. If G is of order n and m edges, then

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geq \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{u \in V(G)} d^2(u)} \ \ \text{and} \ \ \lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geq \frac{2m}{n}.$$

Equality holds in the second inequality if and only if G is regular. If $\alpha > 0$, equality holds in the first inequality if and only if G is regular.

Lemma 3.3. For $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, let G be a graph of order n and let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a non-negative unit eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{\alpha}(G)$. Suppose $w \in V(G)$ satisfies $x_w = \min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Then

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G-w) \ge \lambda_{\alpha}(G) \frac{1-2x_w^2}{1-x_w^2} - \alpha \frac{1-nx_w^2}{1-x_w^2}.$$

Proof. For simplicity, we write $x = x_w$. By the Rayleigh principle, it follows that

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G) = \mathbf{x}^{T} A_{\alpha}(G) \mathbf{x}$$

$$= \sum_{uv \in E(G)} (\alpha x_{u}^{2} + 2(1 - \alpha)x_{u}x_{v} + \alpha x_{v}^{2})$$

$$= \sum_{uv \in E(G-w)} (\alpha x_{u}^{2} + 2(1 - \alpha)x_{u}x_{v} + \alpha x_{v}^{2}) + \sum_{u \in N(w)} (\alpha x^{2} + 2(1 - \alpha)xx_{u} + \alpha x_{u}^{2})$$

$$\leq (1 - x^{2}) \lambda_{\alpha}(G - w) + \alpha d(w)x^{2} + 2(1 - \alpha)x \sum_{u \in N(w)} x_{u} + \alpha \sum_{u \in N(w)} x_{u}^{2}.$$
(1)

Note that $(1-\alpha)\sum_{u\in N(w)}x_u=(\lambda_\alpha(G)-\alpha d(w))x$. Consequently, we obtain the following inequality:

$$\alpha d(w)x^{2} + 2(1 - \alpha)x \sum_{u \in N(w)} x_{u} + \alpha \sum_{u \in N(w)} x_{u}^{2}$$

$$\leq \alpha d(w)x^{2} + 2(\lambda_{\alpha}(G) - \alpha d(w))x^{2} + \alpha(1 - (n - d(w))x^{2})$$

$$= 2\lambda_{\alpha}(G)x^{2} - \alpha nx^{2} + \alpha.$$

Combining this with (1), we obtain

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G-w) \ge \lambda_{\alpha}(G) \frac{1-2x^2}{1-x^2} - \alpha \frac{1-nx^2}{1-x^2}.$$

Lemma 3.4. Let \mathcal{G} be a hereditary graph family. For $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, if $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n) > (1 - \frac{1}{r})n - (1 - \frac{1}{r})$, then the limit

$$\pi_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n}$$

exists, and it satisfies

$$\pi_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n-1}.$$

Proof. Let $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$ satisfy $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) = \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n)$, and let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a non-negative unit eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{\alpha}(G)$. Suppose $w \in V(G)$ such that $x_w = \min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$. Since \mathcal{G} is hereditary, $G - w \in \mathcal{G}_{n-1}$, which implies that $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{n-1}) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(G - w)$. For simplicity, let $x = x_w$. Note that $x^2 \leq \frac{1}{n}$. By Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{n-1}) \ge \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n) \frac{1 - 2x^2}{1 - x^2} - \alpha \frac{1 - nx^2}{1 - x^2}.$$

Thus,

$$\frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{n-1})}{n-2} \geq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{n})}{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n-2} \right) \frac{1-2x^{2}}{1-x^{2}} - \alpha \frac{1-nx^{2}}{(n-2)(1-x^{2})}
= \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{n})}{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1-nx^{2}}{(n-2)(1-x^{2})} \right) - \alpha \frac{1-nx^{2}}{(n-2)(1-x^{2})}
\geq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{n})}{n-1},$$

where the last inequality holds as $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n) > \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n - \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)$ and $0 \le \alpha \le 1 - \frac{1}{r}$.

Therefore, $\frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{n-1})}{n-2} \geq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n-1} > 0$. This implies that the limit $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}) := \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n}$ exists. Moreover, $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}) \leq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n-1}$.

4 Proofs of Theorems 2.1 and 2.2

Lemma 4.1. For $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, let G be a graph on n vertices, and $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a non-negative unit eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{\alpha}(G)$. Denote $x = \min\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ and $\delta = \delta(G)$. If x > 0, then

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \le \alpha\delta + (1-\alpha)\sqrt{\delta^2 + \left(\frac{1}{nx^2} - 1\right)n\delta}.$$

Proof. Let $u \in V(G)$ such that $d(u) = \delta$. According to the eigenvector equation with respect to u, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{1-\alpha}(\lambda_{\alpha}(G) - \alpha\delta)x_u = \sum_{v \in N(u)} x_v.$$

Hence,

$$\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right)^{2} (\lambda_{\alpha}(G) - \alpha\delta)^{2} x^{2} \leq \left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}\right)^{2} (\lambda_{\alpha}(G) - \alpha\delta)^{2} x_{u}^{2}
\leq \delta \sum_{v \in N(u)} x_{v}^{2}
\leq \delta (1 - (n - \delta)x^{2}),$$

which implies that

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \le \alpha\delta + (1-\alpha)\sqrt{\delta^2 + \left(\frac{1}{nx^2} - 1\right)n\delta}.$$

Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs with $\chi(\mathcal{F})=r+1\geq 3$, and let \mathcal{G} denote the collection of all \mathcal{F} -free graphs. Clearly, \mathcal{G} is a hereditary graph family, and $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n)=\mathrm{ex}_{\alpha}(n,\mathcal{F})$. Note that the r-partite Turán graph $T_{n,r}\in\mathcal{G}_n$. By Lemma 3.2, we thus have

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n) \ge \lambda_{\alpha}(T_{n,r}) \ge \frac{2e(T_{n,r})}{n} \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n - \frac{r}{4n}.$$

Furthermore, Lemma 3.4 guarantees the existence of the limit $\lim_{n\to\infty} \frac{\exp_{\alpha}(n,\mathcal{F})}{n}$. We denote this limit as $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$, so that $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) = \pi_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G})$.

Lemma 4.2. For $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs with $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = r + 1 \geq 3$. Then $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \pi(\mathcal{F})$. Furthermore, if $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) > 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, then $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) = \pi(\mathcal{F})$.

Proof. We first prove that $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \pi(\mathcal{F})$. Let $G \in \text{Ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$. By Lemma 3.2,

$$\frac{\mathrm{ex}_{\alpha}(n,\mathcal{F})}{n} \ge \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G)}{n} \ge \frac{2\mathrm{ex}(n,\mathcal{F})}{n^2},$$

which implies that $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \pi(\mathcal{F})$.

Now, suppose $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) > 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. We will show $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) \leq \pi(\mathcal{F})$, thus finishing the proof. Clearly, $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) < 1$. So we assume $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) = 1 - \frac{1}{r} + \varepsilon$, where $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{r}$. Let \mathcal{G} be the collection of all \mathcal{F} -free graphs. Let $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$ such that $\lambda_{\alpha}(G_n) = \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n)$. Suppose $\mathbf{x}^{(n)} = \left(x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_n^{(n)}\right)$ is a non-negative unit eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G_n)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{\alpha}(G_n)$. Denote $x^{(n)} = \min\left\{x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_n^{(n)}\right\}$.

Claim 1. There exist infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\left(x^{(n)}\right)^2 > \frac{1}{n}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\ln n}\right) > 0.$$

Proof. Suppose, for contradiction, that there exists some n_0 such that for all $n \ge n_0$,

$$0 \le \left(x^{(n)}\right)^2 \le \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ln n}\right).$$

Note that \mathcal{G} is a hereditary graph family. By Lemma 3.3, we thus have

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{n-1}) \ge \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n) \frac{1 - 2(x^{(n)})^2}{1 - (x^{(n)})^2} - \alpha \frac{1 - n(x^{(n)})^2}{1 - (x^{(n)})^2}.$$

Moreover, since $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(T_{n,r}) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n - \frac{r}{4n}$, it follows from Lemma 3.4 that $\frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n-1} \geq \pi_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}) = \pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) = 1 - \frac{1}{r} + \varepsilon$. Now, let $n \geq n_0$ and let $x = x^{(n)}$. Then we get

$$\frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{n-1})}{n-2} \geq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{n})}{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n-2} \right) \frac{1-2x^{2}}{1-x^{2}} - \alpha \frac{1-nx^{2}}{(n-2)(1-x^{2})}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{n})}{n-1} \left(1 + \left(1 - \alpha \frac{n-1}{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{n})} \right) \frac{1-nx^{2}}{(n-2)(1-x^{2})} \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{n})}{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon(1-nx^{2})}{(n-2)(1-x^{2})} \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{n})}{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{n \ln n} \right),$$

where the second inequality holds as $0 \le \alpha \le 1 - \frac{1}{r}$ and $\frac{n-1}{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n)} \le \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{r} + \varepsilon}$, and the last inequality holds as $x^2 \le \frac{1}{n} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\ln n}\right)$. Thus, for any $i \ge n_0$, the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{i-1})}{i-2} - \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_i)}{i-1} \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{i \ln i} \cdot \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_i)}{i-1}$$

Summing both sides over i from n_0 to 2n, we further obtain

$$\frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{n_0-1})}{n_0-2} - \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{2n})}{2n-1} = \sum_{i=n_0}^{2n} \left(\frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{i-1})}{i-2} - \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_i)}{i-1} \right)$$

$$\geq \sum_{i=n_0}^{2n} \frac{\varepsilon}{i \ln i} \cdot \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_i)}{i-1}$$

$$\geq \varepsilon \pi_{\alpha}'(\mathcal{F}) \sum_{i=n_0}^{2n} \frac{1}{i \ln i},$$

where the last inequality holds as $\frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_i)}{i-1} \geq \pi_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}) = \pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F})$. Taking n sufficiently large, we get a contradiction as $\sum_{i=n_0}^{2n} \frac{1}{i \ln i}$ diverges. This completes the proof of Claim 1.

By Claim 1, there exists an infinite sequence $\{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$ of positive integers such that for each $n \in \{n_k\}_{k=1}^{\infty}$,

$$\left(x^{(n)}\right)^2 > \frac{1}{n}\left(1 - \frac{1}{\ln n}\right) > 0.$$

In light of Lemma 4.1, we deduce that

$$\frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G_n)}{n} \leq \frac{\alpha\delta(G_n)}{n} + (1 - \alpha)\sqrt{\left(\frac{\delta(G_n)}{n}\right)^2 + \left(\frac{1}{n\left(x^{(n)}\right)^2} - 1\right)\frac{\delta(G_n)}{n}}$$

$$\leq \frac{\alpha\delta(G_n)}{n} + (1 - \alpha)\left(\frac{\delta(G_n)}{n} + \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\ln n}} - 1\right)$$

$$\leq \frac{2\mathrm{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})}{n^2} + (1 - \alpha)\left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\ln n}} - 1\right).$$

Therefore, we obtain

$$\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G_n)}{n} \le \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(\frac{2\mathrm{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})}{n^2} + (1 - \alpha) \left(\frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\ln n}} - 1 \right) \right) = \pi(\mathcal{F}).$$

Thus, $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) = \pi(\mathcal{F})$. The proof is complete.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 2.1.

Proof of Theorem 2.1. It suffices to show that $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. We prove the assertion by contradiction. Recall that $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) \geq \pi(\mathcal{F}) = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. If $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) > 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, then by Lemma 4.2, we deduce that $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) = \pi(\mathcal{F}) = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\pi'_{\alpha}(\mathcal{F}) = \pi(\mathcal{F}) = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$. This completes the proof.

Next, we give the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Proof of Theorem 2.2. We first consider the case where \mathcal{F} does not contain $K_{1,k} \cup sK_1$ for any $k \geq 1, s \geq 0$. In this case, $K_{1,n-1}$ is \mathcal{F} -free. Let $G \in \operatorname{Ex}_{\alpha}(n,\mathcal{F})$. By Lemma 3.1, we have $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(K_{1,n-1}) \geq \alpha(n-1)$. Since $\chi(\mathcal{F})=2$, there exist a number t>0 and $F\in\mathcal{F}$ such that $F\subset K_{t,t}$. By the well-known Kővári-Sós-Turán theorem, $\operatorname{ex}(n,K_{t,t})=O(n^{2-\frac{1}{t}})$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.1, we obtain

$$\alpha(n-1) \le \lambda_{\alpha}(G) \le \alpha \Delta(G) + (1-\alpha)\lambda(G) \le \alpha(n-1) + (1-\alpha)\sqrt{2e(G)} = \alpha n + o(n).$$

Then $\exp_{\alpha}(n, \mathcal{F}) = (\alpha + o(1))n$. Next, we assume \mathcal{F} contains $K_{1,k} \cup sK_1$ for some $k \geq 1, s \geq 0$. Then $\Delta(G) \leq k - 1$. It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \le \alpha \Delta(G) + (1 - \alpha)\lambda(G) \le \Delta(G) \le k - 1.$$

Thus, $\exp_{\alpha}(n, \mathcal{F}) = O(1)$. If $\mathcal{F} = \{K_{1,k}\}$, then $K_k \cup I_{n-k}$ is \mathcal{F} -free. Thus $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(K_k \cup I_{n-k}) = k-1$. Hence, $\exp_{\alpha}(n, \mathcal{F}) = k-1$. The proof is complete.

5 Proof of Theorem 2.3

5.1 Some auxiliary lemmas

Keevash, Lenz, and Mubayi [10] established a criterion that allows spectral extremal problems involving the *p*-spectral radius of hypergraphs to be deduced from their corresponding hypergraph Turán problems.

Zheng, Li, and Li [23] later proposed a similar criterion for the signless Laplacian spectral radius. Motivated by these works, we develop a criterion for the α -spectral radius, which reduces α -spectral Turán-type problems to classical extremal problems satisfying the degree-stable property.

Theorem 5.1. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs with $\chi(\mathcal{F}) = r + 1 \geq 3$. Suppose $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$, $\sigma < \frac{\varepsilon^3}{7}$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r} - \varepsilon$. Let \mathcal{G}'_n be the set of all \mathcal{F} -free graphs on n vertices with minimum degree larger than $(\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n$. Suppose that there exists N > 0 such that for every n > N, we have

$$|ex(n,\mathcal{F}) - ex(n-1,\mathcal{F}) - \pi(\mathcal{F})n| \le \sigma n \tag{2}$$

and

$$\left| \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n) - \frac{2\mathrm{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})}{n} \right| \le \sigma. \tag{3}$$

Then there exists $n_0 > 0$ such that for any \mathcal{F} -free graph G on $n \geq n_0$ vertices, we have

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \leq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n).$$

In addition, if the equality holds, then $G \in \mathcal{G}'_n$.

Now we prove Theorem 5.1. Let G be an \mathcal{F} -free graph on n vertices. Let $\mathbf{x}=(x_1,\ldots,x_n)$ be a non-negative unit eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{\alpha}(G)$. Suppose $w \in V(G)$ such that $x=x_w=\min\{x_1,\ldots,x_n\}$. Let $\delta=\delta(G)$.

From (3), it is readily seen that $\frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n)}{n} - \frac{2\mathrm{ex}(n,\mathcal{F})}{n^2} = o(1)$. Recalling that $\pi(\mathcal{F}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{2\mathrm{ex}(n,\mathcal{F})}{n^2}$, this immediately implies

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n) = (\pi(\mathcal{F}) + o(1))n. \tag{4}$$

Clearly, $\delta(T_{n,r}) \geq (1-1/r)\,n-1 > (1-1/r-\varepsilon)\,n$, and $T_{n,r}$ is \mathcal{F} -free. Thus, $T_{n,r} \in \mathcal{G}'_n$. Combining Lemma 3.2 with the known lower bound $e(T_{n,r}) \geq \frac{r-1}{2r}n^2 - \frac{r}{8}$, we deduce

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n') \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n - \frac{r}{4n}.\tag{5}$$

Lemma 5.2. $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}) + \pi(\mathcal{F}) - 5\sigma$.

Proof. By the triangle inequality, combining (2) and (3), we deduce that

$$\left| \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_{n}) - \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}) - \pi(\mathcal{F}) \right|$$

$$\leq \left| \frac{2\operatorname{ex}(n,\mathcal{F})}{n} - \frac{2\operatorname{ex}(n-1,\mathcal{F})}{n-1} - \pi(\mathcal{F}) \right| + 2\sigma$$

$$= \left| \frac{2}{n}(\operatorname{ex}(n,\mathcal{F}) - \operatorname{ex}(n-1,\mathcal{F}) - \pi(\mathcal{F})n) + \pi(\mathcal{F}) - \frac{2\operatorname{ex}(n-1,\mathcal{F})}{n(n-1)} \right| + 2\sigma$$

$$\leq \left| \pi(\mathcal{F}) - \frac{2\operatorname{ex}(n-1,\mathcal{F})}{n(n-1)} \right| + 4\sigma.$$

Note that $\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{2\mathrm{ex}(n-1,\mathcal{F})}{n(n-1)}=\pi(\mathcal{F})$. Thus, for sufficiently large n, we have

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n) \ge \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}) + \pi(\mathcal{F}) - 5\sigma.$$

Lemma 5.3. $x^2 \leq \frac{\delta(1-\alpha)^2}{(\lambda_{\alpha}(G)-\alpha\delta)^2+\delta(n-\delta)(1-\alpha)^2}$

Proof. Let $u \in V(G)$ such that $d(u) = \delta$. According to the eigenvector equation with respect to the vertex u, we get

$$(\lambda_{\alpha}(G) - \alpha \delta)x_u = (1 - \alpha) \sum_{v \in N(u)} x_v.$$

Combining this with Power Mean inequality, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{1-\alpha}(\lambda_{\alpha}(G) - \alpha\delta)x\right)^{2} \leq \left(\sum_{v \in N(u)} x_{v}\right)^{2} \leq \delta \sum_{v \in N(u)} x_{v}^{2}$$

$$\leq \delta \left(1 - (n-\delta)x^{2}\right),$$

which implies that

$$x^{2} \leq \frac{\delta(1-\alpha)^{2}}{(\lambda_{\alpha}(G) - \alpha\delta)^{2} + \delta(n-\delta)(1-\alpha)^{2}}.$$

Lemma 5.4. If $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n)$ and $\delta \leq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n$, then for sufficiently large n, we have

$$x^2 < \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{n}$$
.

Proof. If $\delta=0$, then x=0, the result follows. In the following, we assume $\delta\geq 1$. Set $\theta=\frac{\varepsilon}{2}$. By the assumption that $\lambda_{\alpha}(G)\geq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n)$ and (5), we get

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \ge \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n) \ge \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n - \frac{r}{4n} \ge (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \theta)n.$$
 (6)

Let

$$f(y,z) = \frac{1}{y}(z - \alpha y)^2 + (n - y)(1 - \alpha)^2,$$

where $1 \le y \le (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n$ and $z \ge (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \theta)n$.

A straightforward calculation shows

$$\frac{\partial f(y,z)}{\partial y} = -\left(\frac{z^2}{y^2} + 1 - 2\alpha\right) \text{ and } \frac{\partial f(y,z)}{\partial z} = 2\left(\frac{z}{y} - \alpha\right),$$

which implies that $\frac{\partial f(y,z)}{\partial y} \leq 0$ and $\frac{\partial f(y,z)}{\partial z} > 0$ for $1 \leq y \leq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n$ and $z \geq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \theta)n$.

Combining this with (6) and assumption $\delta \leq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n$, we get

$$f(\delta, \lambda_{\alpha}(G)) \ge f((\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n, (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \theta)n).$$

By Lemma 5.3, we have

$$x^{2}n \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}}{f(\delta,\lambda_{\alpha}(G))}n \leq \frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}}{f((\pi(\mathcal{F})-\varepsilon)n,(\pi(\mathcal{F})-\theta)n)}n$$

$$= \frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}(\pi(\mathcal{F})-\varepsilon)}{((1-\alpha)(\pi(\mathcal{F})-\varepsilon)+\varepsilon-\theta)^{2}+(1-\alpha)^{2}(\pi(\mathcal{F})-\varepsilon)(1-\pi(\mathcal{F})+\varepsilon)}$$

$$= \frac{(1-\alpha)^{2}(\pi(\mathcal{F})-\varepsilon)}{(1-\alpha)^{2}(\pi(\mathcal{F})-\varepsilon)+2(1-\alpha)(\pi(\mathcal{F})-\varepsilon)(\varepsilon-\theta)+(\varepsilon-\theta)^{2}}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{1+\frac{2(\varepsilon-\theta)}{1-\alpha}} < 1-\varepsilon^{2},$$

completing the proof.

Lemma 5.5. Suppose G satisfies $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n)$ and $x^2 < \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{n}$. Then, for sufficiently large n, we have

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G-w) \ge \lambda_{\alpha}(G) \left(1 - \frac{1-\varepsilon^3}{n-1}\right)$$
 (7)

and

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G - w) > \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}). \tag{8}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, we obtain

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G-w) \ge \lambda_{\alpha}(G)\frac{1-2x^2}{1-x^2} - \alpha\frac{1-nx^2}{1-x^2}.$$

Combining this with the assumption $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n)$, (5), and $\alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r} - \varepsilon$, we get

$$\frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G-w)}{n-2} \geq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G)}{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n-2} \right) \frac{1-2x^2}{1-x^2} - \alpha \frac{1-nx^2}{(n-2)(1-x^2)}$$

$$= \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G)}{n-1} \left(1 + \left(1 - \alpha \frac{n-1}{\lambda_{\alpha}(G)} \right) \frac{1-nx^2}{(n-2)(1-x^2)} \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G)}{n-1} \left(1 + \left(1 - \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} - \varepsilon \right) \frac{n-1}{\left(1 - \frac{1}{r} \right) n - \frac{r}{4n}} \right) \cdot \frac{1-nx^2}{(n-2)(1-x^2)} \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G)}{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{1-\frac{1}{r}} \cdot \frac{1-nx^2}{(n-2)(1-x^2)} \right)$$

$$\geq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G)}{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{r\varepsilon^3}{(r-1)(n-1)} \right),$$
(9)

where the last inequality holds as $x^2 < \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{n}$.

Then, it follows that

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G - w) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(G) \left(1 - \frac{1}{n-1} \right) \left(1 + \frac{r\varepsilon^{3}}{(r-1)(n-1)} \right)$$
$$\geq \lambda_{\alpha}(G) \left(1 - \frac{1-\varepsilon^{3}}{n-1} \right).$$

Next we prove (8). By (9), we obtain

$$\frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G-w)}{n-2} \geq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G)}{n-1} \left(1 + \frac{1-nx^2}{(n-2)(1-x^2)} \right) - \alpha \frac{1-nx^2}{(n-2)(1-x^2)}
= \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G)}{n-1} + \left(\frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G)}{n-1} - \alpha \right) \frac{1-nx^2}{(n-2)(1-x^2)}
\geq \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G)}{n-1} + \left(\frac{\left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)(n-1)}{n-1} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{r} - \varepsilon\right) \right) \frac{1-nx^2}{(n-2)(1-x^2)}
= \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G)}{n-1} + \frac{\varepsilon(1-nx^2)}{(n-2)(1-x^2)}
> \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G)}{n-1} + \frac{\varepsilon^3}{n-2},$$
(10)

where the second inequality holds as $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)(n-1)$ and $\alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r} - \varepsilon$, and the last inequality holds as $x^2 < \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{n}$.

For sufficiently large n, by (4), we have $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}) \leq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) + \sigma)(n-1)$. Combining this with (10), Lemma 5.2 and the assumption $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n)$, we get

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G - w) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(G) \left(1 - \frac{1}{n-1} \right) + \varepsilon^{3}$$

$$\geq (\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}) + \pi(\mathcal{F}) - 5\sigma) \left(1 - \frac{1}{n-1} \right) + \varepsilon^{3}$$

$$\geq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}) - 7\sigma + \varepsilon^{3}$$

$$\geq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}),$$

where the last inequality holds as $\sigma < \frac{\varepsilon^3}{7}$. The proof is complete.

The following facts, proved by Zheng, Li, and Li [23], will be employed in our proof

Fact 1. If $0 < x < \frac{1}{2}$ and 0 < a < 1, then $\ln(1 - ax) + ax + x^2 > 0$.

Fact 2. If
$$x > 1$$
, then $\frac{1}{x} < \ln x - \ln(x - 1)$ and $\frac{1}{x^2} < \frac{1}{x - 1} - \frac{1}{x}$.

We are now ready to prove Theorem 5.1.

Proof of Theorem 5.1. We prove by contradiction. Suppose to the contrary that there exists an \mathcal{F} -free graph G with order n such that $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n)$ and $G \notin \mathcal{G}'_n$. Let N be a sufficiently large integer such that Lemma 5.4 and Lemma 5.5 hold for n > N. Moreover, according to (5), we assume $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n) \geq (1-\varepsilon)\pi(\mathcal{F})n$ for n > N. Let $n_0 = \left(\frac{Ne}{(1-\varepsilon)\pi(\mathcal{F})}\right)^{\frac{1}{\varepsilon^3}}$. Note that $n_0 > N$. Let $\mathbf{x}^{(n)} = \left(x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_n^{(n)}\right)$ be a non-negative unit eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G)$ corresponding to $\lambda_{\alpha}(G)$. Suppose $w^{(n)} \in V(G)$ such that $x_{w^{(n)}} = \min\left\{x_1^{(n)}, \dots, x_n^{(n)}\right\}$. Recall that $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n)$ and $\delta(G) \leq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)n$. Then, by Lemma 5.4, $x_{w^{(n)}}^2 < \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{n}$. For $n \geq n_0$, denote $G_n = G$ and $G_{n-1} = G_n - w^{(n)}$. Then, it follows from Lemma 5.5

that

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G_{n-1}) \ge \lambda_{\alpha}(G_n) \left(1 - \frac{1 - \varepsilon^3}{n-1}\right)$$

and

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G_{n-1}) > \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1}).$$

Since $\lambda_{\alpha}(G_{n-1}) > \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_{n-1})$, $G_{n-1} \notin \mathcal{G}'_{n-1}$. So $\delta(G_{n-1}) \leq (\pi(\mathcal{F}) - \varepsilon)(n-1)$. Let $\mathbf{x}^{(n-1)} = \left(x_1^{(n-1)}, \ldots, x_{n-1}^{(n-1)}\right)$ be a non-negative unit eigenvector of $A_{\alpha}(G_{n-1})$ corresponding to $\lambda_{\alpha}(G_{n-1})$. Suppose $w^{(n-1)} \in V(G_{n-1})$ such that $x_{w^{(n-1)}} = \min\left\{x_1^{(n-1)}, \ldots, x_{n-1}^{(n-1)}\right\}$. Denote $G_{n-2} = G_{n-1} - w^{(n-1)}$. Continue this operation, we can obtain a sequence of graphs G_n, G_{n-1}, \ldots , which satisfies

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G_{i-1}) \ge \lambda_{\alpha}(G_i) \left(1 - \frac{1 - \varepsilon^3}{i - 1}\right)$$

and

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G_{i-1}) > \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_{i-1})$$

for each $n \ge i > N$.

Then, for i = N + 1, we have

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G_{N}) \geq \lambda_{\alpha}(G_{N+1}) \left(1 - \frac{1 - \varepsilon^{3}}{N}\right)$$

$$\geq \lambda_{\alpha}(G_{n}) \prod_{i=N+1}^{n} \left(1 - \frac{1 - \varepsilon^{3}}{i - 1}\right)$$

$$\geq \lambda_{\alpha}(G_{n}) \exp\left(-\sum_{i=N+1}^{n} \left(\frac{1 - \varepsilon^{3}}{i - 1} + \left(\frac{1}{i - 1}\right)^{2}\right)\right)$$

$$\geq \lambda_{\alpha}(G_{n}) \exp\left(-(1 - \varepsilon^{3}) \ln \frac{n}{N - 1} - 1\right)$$

$$\geq (1 - \varepsilon)\pi(\mathcal{F}) n \left(\frac{n}{N - 1}\right)^{-(1 - \varepsilon^{3})} e^{-1}$$

$$\geq (1 - \varepsilon)\pi(\mathcal{F}) n_{0}^{\varepsilon^{3}} e^{-1}$$

$$\geq (1 - \varepsilon)\pi(\mathcal{F}) n_{0}^{\varepsilon^{3}} e^{-1} = N,$$

where the third inequality holds as Fact 1 and the fourth inequality holds as Fact 2. This contradicts the fact that $\lambda_{\alpha}(G_N) \leq N-1$. The proof is complete.

5.2 Proof of Theorem 2.3

Andrásfai, Erdős, and Sós [1] showed that K_{r+1} is degree-stable with respect to the family of r-partite graphs. Erdős and Simonovits [7] extended the result to all color-critical graphs.

Lemma 5.6 (Erdős and Simonovits [7]). Let F be a color-critical graph with $\chi(F) = r + 1 \ge 3$. There is n_0 such that if G is an F-free graph on $n \ge n_0$ vertices with $\delta(G) > \frac{3r-4}{3r-1}n$, then G is r-partite.

Next, we derive an upper bound for $\lambda_{\alpha}(T_{n,r})$. To this end, we first state the following lemma.

Lemma 5.7. Let \mathcal{G} be a hereditary and multiplicative graph family, and let $r \geq 2$. If for any $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, the inequality $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n) > \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n - \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)$ holds, then

$$\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \leq \pi_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G})n$$

for every $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$.

Proof. Let $H \in \mathcal{G}_n$ satisfy $\lambda_{\alpha}(H) = \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n)$. Since \mathcal{G} is multiplicative, $H^p \in \mathcal{G}_{pn}$ for all $p \geq 1$. Using the quotient matrix method, it can be verified that $\lambda_{\alpha}(H^p) = p\lambda_{\alpha}(H)$. Consequently,

$$\frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n} = \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(H)}{n} = \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(H^p)}{pn} \le \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{pn})}{pn}.$$

By Lemma 3.4 and the assumption $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n) > \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n - \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)$, we have $\lim_{p \to \infty} \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_{pn})}{pn} = \pi_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G})$. For any $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$, we thus have

$$\frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(G)}{n} \le \frac{\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n)}{n} \le \pi_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}),$$

which implies that $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \leq \pi_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G})n$.

Lemma 5.8. For $r \geq 2$ and $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, we have $\lambda_{\alpha}(T_{n,r}) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n$.

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} denote the collection of all K_{r+1} -free graphs. It is straightforward that \mathcal{G} is both hereditary and multiplicative. Since $T_{n,r} \in \mathcal{G}_n$, $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}_n) \geq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n - \frac{r}{4n}$. By Theorem 2.1, $\pi_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}) = 1 - \frac{1}{r}$, and thus Lemma 5.7 yields $\lambda_{\alpha}(T_{n,r}) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n$.

We are now ready to give the proof of Theorem 2.3.

Proof of Theorem 2.3. Let F be a color-critical graph with $\chi(F)=r+1\geq 3$, and let G be an arbitrary F-free n-vertex graph. Recall that $\operatorname{ex}(n,F)=e(T_{n,r})=\left(1-\frac{1}{r}\right)\frac{n^2}{2}+O(1)$ and $\pi(F)=1-\frac{1}{r}$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and $\sigma<\frac{\varepsilon^3}{7}$ be two sufficiently small constants. For sufficiently large n, we have

$$|ex(n,F) - ex(n-1,F) - \pi(F)n| = O(1) \le \sigma n.$$

Let \mathcal{G}'_n be the set of all F-free graphs on n vertices with minimum degree more than $(\pi(F) - \varepsilon)n$. Note that $1 - \frac{1}{r} - \varepsilon > \frac{3r-4}{3r-1}$ as ε is sufficiently small. Then, for sufficiently large n, every graph in \mathcal{G}'_n is an r-partite graph by Lemma 5.6. Clearly, $T_{n,r} \in \mathcal{G}'_n$, which implies that $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n) = \lambda_{\alpha}(T_{n,r})$ by Theorem 1.7. Combining with (5) and Lemma 5.8, we deduce that $\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n) = \lambda_{\alpha}(T_{n,r}) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right)n + o(1)$. Hence,

$$\left|\lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n) - \frac{2\mathrm{ex}(n,F)}{n}\right| = o(1) \le \sigma.$$

Therefore, by Theorem 5.1, $\lambda_{\alpha}(G) \leq \lambda_{\alpha}(\mathcal{G}'_n)$ with equality if and only if $G = T_{n,r}$. This completes the proof.

References

- [1] B. Andrásfai, P. Erdős, V.T. Sós, On the connection between chromatic number, maximal clique and minimum degree of a graph, Discrete Math. 8 (1974) 205-218.
- [2] J. Byrne, D.N. Desai, M. Tait, A general theorem in spectral extremal graph theory, arXiv preprint arXiv: 2401.07266 (2024).
- [3] M. Chen, A. Liu, X. Zhang, On the A_{α} -spectral radius of graphs without linear forests, Appl. Math. Comput. 450 (2023) 128005.
- [4] M. Chen, S. Li, Z. Li, Y. Yu, X. Zhang, An A_{α} Spectral Erdős-Sós Theorem, Electron. J. Combin. 30(3) (2023) #P3.34.
- [5] P. Erdős, A.H. Stone, On the structure of linear graphs, Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 52 (1946) 1087-1091.
- [6] P. Erdős, M. Simonovits, A limit theorem in graph theory, Studia Sci. Math. Hung. 1 (1966) 51-57.
- [7] P. Erdős, M. Simonovits, On a valence problem in extremal graph theory, Discrete Math. 5 (1973) 323-334.
- [8] M.A.A. de Freitas, V. Nikiforov, L. Patuzzi, Maxima of the Q-index: forbidden 4-cycle and 5-cycle, Electron. J. Linear Algebra. 26 (2013) 905-916.
- [9] B. He, Y. Jin, X. Zhang, Sharp bounds for the signless Laplacian spectral radius in terms of clique number, Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013) 3851-3861.
- [10] P. Keevash, J. Lenz, D. Mubayi, Spectral extremal problems for hypergraphs, SIAM J. Discrete Math. 28(4) (2014) 1838-1854.
- [11] G. Katona, T. Nemetz, M. Simonovits, On a problem of Turán in the theory of graphs, Mat. Lapok 15 (1964) 228-328.
- [12] S. Li, Y. Yu, On A_{α} spectral extrema of graphs forbidding even cycles, Linear Algebra Appl. 668 (2023) 11-27.
- [13] S. Li, Y. Yu, H. Zhang, An A_{α} -spectral Erdős-Pósa theorem, Discrete Math. 346(9) (2023) 113494.
- [14] Y. Li, W. Liu, L. Feng, A survey on spectral conditions for some extremal graph problems, Adv. Math. (China) 51(2) (2022) 193-258.
- [15] V. Nikiforov, Bounds on graph eigenvalues II, Linear Algebra Appl. 427 (2007) 183-189.
- [16] V. Nikiforov, Spectral saturation: inverting the spectral Turán theorem, Electron. J. Combin. 16(1) (2009) R33.
- [17] V. Nikiforov, A spectral Erdős-Stone-Bollobás theorem, Comb. Probab. Comput. 18 (2009) 455-458.

- [18] V. Nikiforov, Merging the A- and Q-spectral theories, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math. 11(1) (2017) 81-107.
- [19] M. Simonovits, A method for solving extremal problems in graph theory, stability problems, In Theory of Graphs (Proc. Colloq., Tihany, 1966) (1968) 279-319.
- [20] P. Turán, On an extremal problem in graph theory, Mat. Fiz. Lapok 48 (1941) 436-452.
- [21] X. Yuan, Maxima of the Q-index: forbidden odd cycles, Linear Algebra Appl. 458 (2014) 207-216.
- [22] X. Yuan, Z. Shao, On the maximal α -spectral radius of graphs with given matching number, Linear Multilinear Algebra. 71(10) (2023) 1681-1690.
- [23] J. Zheng, Y. Li, H. Li, The signless Laplacian spectral Turán problems for color-critical graphs, arXiv preprint arXiv: 2504.07852 (2025).
- [24] J. Zheng, H. Li, L. Su, A signless Laplacian spectral Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem, Discrete Math. 349 (2026) 114665.