

An elimination forest F for a graph $G = (V, E)$ is a rooted forest on the vertex set V such that for every edge $uv \in E$, u is an ancestor or descendant of v in F . The treedepth $\text{td}(G)$ of a graph G is defined as the minimum height of an elimination forest for G . Treedepth is monotone under taking minors, thus, the class \mathcal{G}_k of graphs with treedepth at most k is a minor-closed class. From the Graph-Minor-Theorem [4] it follows that there is a finite set $\text{Obs}_{\leq}(\mathcal{G}_k)$ of forbidden minors that characterize \mathcal{G}_k . Dvořák et al. [1] computed $\text{Obs}_{\leq}(\mathcal{G}_3)$, and furthermore showed that for every k there exist obstructions for \mathcal{G}_k that contain 2^k vertices. They conjecture that this is an upper bound, i.e., that every graph $G \in \text{Obs}_{\leq}(\mathcal{G}_k)$ has at most 2^k vertices, as well as identical bounds for the set of forbidden subgraphs $\text{Obs}_{\subseteq}(\mathcal{G}_k)$ and forbidden induced subgraphs $\text{Obs}_{\sqsubseteq}(\mathcal{G}_k)$.

For a graph class \mathcal{G} , we define $\mathcal{G}^{(n)} := \{G \in \mathcal{G} : |V(G)| = n\}$ and $\mathcal{G}^{(\leq n)} := \{G \in \mathcal{G} : |V(G)| \leq n\}$. We compute for each $n \leq 16 = 2^4$, the set of n -vertex obstructions for treedepth at most 4, $\text{Obs}_R^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_4)$ with $R \in \{\leq, \subseteq, \sqsubseteq\}$. If the conjecture holds, these are the complete sets for treedepth at most 4.

The Algorithm

We sketch an algorithm that for $k, n \in \mathbb{N}$ computes $\text{Obs}_R^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k)$ with $R \in \{\leq, \subseteq, \sqsubseteq\}$. We use the fact that $\text{Obs}_{\sqsubseteq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k) \supseteq \text{Obs}_{\subseteq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k) \supseteq \text{Obs}_{\leq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k)$ to obtain the latter two sets by filtering the first set.

1. Recursively compute $\mathcal{G}_k^{(i)}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$.
2. Compute $\text{Obs}_{\sqsubseteq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k)$ as the set of graphs G satisfying: $\text{td}(G) = k+1$ and $\forall v \in V(G) : G-v \in \mathcal{G}_k^{(n-1)}$.
3. Compute $\text{Obs}_{\subseteq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k)$ as the set of graphs that are subgraph-minimal in $\text{Obs}_{\sqsubseteq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k)$.
4. Compute $\text{Obs}_{\leq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k)$ as the subset of graphs from $\text{Obs}_{\subseteq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k)$ that are minor-minimal in $\text{Obs}_{\subseteq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k) \cup \text{Obs}_{\sqsubseteq}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{G}_k)$.

In **Step 1** we initialize $\mathcal{G}_k^{(1)} := \{K_1\}$. Given $\mathcal{G}_k^{(i-1)}$, we compute $\mathcal{G}_k^{(i)}$ as the union over all single-vertex extensions of graphs in $\mathcal{G}_k^{(i-1)}$: For each $G = (V, E) \in \mathcal{G}_k^{(i-1)}$ and every set of vertices $A \subseteq V$, we define the graph $G_A := (V \cup \{v\}, E \cup \{uv \mid u \in A\})$. We may assume without loss of generality that v is a vertex of minimum degree in G_A , thereby greatly reducing the number of vertex sets A that need to be considered. We then test whether $\text{td}(G_A) \leq k$, compute a canonical representation G_A^* of G_A , and store G_A^* in a hash-set, allowing us to eliminate duplicates.

Step 2 is implemented similarly to step 1. For each extension $G_A = (V, E)$ of a graph $G \in \mathcal{G}_k^{(n-1)}$ we test that $\text{td}(G_A) > k$, as well as testing that $\forall v \in V : \text{td}(G_A - v) \leq k$. In our sequential algorithm we perform the latter test by a simple lookup in $\mathcal{G}_k^{(n-1)}$. The parallel algorithm recomputes the treedepth to save memory at the cost of runtime: Doing so allows us to discard graphs in $\mathcal{G}_k^{(n-1)}$ as soon as they are no longer needed.

For **Step 3**, note that for a graph $G = (V, E) \in \text{Obs}_{\subseteq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k) \subseteq \text{Obs}_{\sqsubseteq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k)$ it holds that $\forall e \in E : G - e \notin \text{Obs}_{\sqsubseteq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k)$, since

$\text{td}(G - e) \leq k$. Conversely, if this property holds for a graph $G \in \text{Obs}_{\sqsubseteq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k)$, then any proper subgraph $G' = (V', E')$ of G has treedepth at most k : If $|V'| < |V|$, then G' is also a subgraph of a proper induced subgraph of G , and thus, has treedepth at most k . If $V' = V, |E'| = |E| - 1$, then each proper induced subgraph of G' is a subgraph of a proper induced subgraph of G and, thus, has treedepth at most k . Since $G' \notin \text{Obs}_{\sqsubseteq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k)$, it follows that $\text{td}(G') \leq k$. Finally, if $V' = V, |E'| < |E| - 1$, then G' is a subgraph of $G - e$ for some $e \in E$, which by the previous case already has treedepth at most k .

For **Step 4**, observe that for a graph $G \in \text{Obs}_{\leq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k) \subseteq \text{Obs}_{\subseteq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k)$ it holds that $\forall e \in E(G) : G/e \notin \text{Obs}_{\subseteq}^{(n-1)}(\mathcal{G}_k)$, since $\text{td}(G/e) \leq k$. Once more, this property is also sufficient: Any minor of $G \in \text{Obs}_{\subseteq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_k)$ that is also a minor of a proper subgraph of G , has treedepth at most k . Any other minor G' of G is obtained by a series of edge contractions. In this case, as in step 3, the condition ensures that G' has treedepth at most k .

Implementation and Results

We implemented the algorithm utilizing the nauty library for graph canonization [3] and the Bute library for treedepth computation [5]. Both the source code as well as the computation results are available on Zenodo [2]. We computed $\text{Obs}_{\sqsubseteq}^{(\leq 16)}(\mathcal{G}_4)$, $\text{Obs}_{\subseteq}^{(\leq 16)}(\mathcal{G}_4)$ and $\text{Obs}_{\leq}^{(\leq 16)}(\mathcal{G}_4)$ to be of size 12204, 1718 and 1546 respectively. Further computation confirms that $\text{Obs}_{\sqsubseteq}^{(17)}(\mathcal{G}_4) = \text{Obs}_{\subseteq}^{(18)}(\mathcal{G}_4) = \emptyset$. However, we do not yet have a proof that for all $n > 18$ it holds that $\text{Obs}_{\sqsubseteq}^{(n)}(\mathcal{G}_4) = \emptyset$.

In testing the algorithm, we also computed $\text{Obs}_{\subseteq}(\mathcal{G}_3)$. For this, Dvořák et al. [1] gave a set of 29 graphs. However, we found the forbidden induced subgraph shown in Figure 1, which was overlooked by them. As a result, $|\text{Obs}_{\subseteq}(\mathcal{G}_3)| = 30$, rather than 29. The number of forbidden subgraphs and minors remains at 14 and 12 respectively.




Figure 1: An induced subgraph obstruction for treedepth 3.

References

- [1] Z. Dvořák, A. C. Giannopoulou, and D. M. Thilikos. Forbidden graphs for tree-depth. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 33(5):969–979, 2012.
- [2] K. Kühn. Code: Treedepth obstruction computation. [DOI](#).
- [3] B. D. McKay and A. Piperno. Practical graph isomorphism, II. *Journal of symbolic computation*, 60:94–112, 2014.
- [4] N. Robertson and P. D. Seymour. Graph minors. XX. Wagner’s conjecture. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 92(2):325–357, 2004.
- [5] J. Trimble. PACE Solver Description: Bute-Plus: A Bottom-Up Exact Solver for Treedepth. *IPEC 2020*, 180:34:1–34:4, 2020. [DOI](#).