# ON THE STABILITY OF EINSTEIN METRICS CARRYING A SPECIAL TWISTED SPINOR

## DIEGO ARTACHO

ABSTRACT. We prove linear semi-stability for a large class of Einstein metrics of non-positive scalar curvature. More precisely, we show that any Einstein n-manifold with non-positive scalar curvature carrying a parallel twisted pure  $\operatorname{spin}^r$  spinor is linearly semi-stable, under mild restrictions on n and r. We thus extend the parallel spin and  $\operatorname{spin}^c$  stability results of Dai-Wang-Wei. As an application, our result implies linear semi-stability for all negative quaternion-Kähler manifolds of dimension greater than 8.

# 1. Introduction

A Riemannian metric g on a smooth manifold M is said to be Einstein if its Ricci curvature is a constant multiple of the metric,

$$\operatorname{Ric}_g = E g$$
,

for some real constant E [Bes87]. For compact manifolds, Einstein metrics are precisely the critical points of the Einstein–Hilbert functional

$$\mathcal{S}: \mathcal{M}_1 \to \mathbb{R}, \qquad \mathcal{S}(g) := \int_M \mathrm{scal}_g |\mathrm{vol}_g|$$

on the space  $\mathcal{M}_1$  of unit-volume Riemannian metrics, where  $|\text{vol}_g|$  denotes the volume density determined by g.

Given such a metric g, a fundamental problem in geometric analysis is to understand the second variation of S at g. We refer to the recent survey [SS25] for a comprehensive overview. An Einstein metric is called stable if it is a local maximum of S restricted to the space

$$\mathcal{C} := \{ g \in \mathcal{M}_1 \mid \operatorname{scal}_g \text{ is constant on } M \}.$$

The linearisation of this notion leads to the definition of linear (semi-)stability: an Einstein metric g is linearly stable (resp. semi-stable) if the second variation  $\mathcal{S}''_g$  is negative-definite (resp. negative semi-definite) on the space of transverse-traceless tensors

$$S_{\text{tt}}^2 := \{ h \in S^2(M) \mid \text{tr}_g h = 0, \ \delta_g h = 0 \},$$

where  $S^2(M) := \Gamma(\operatorname{Sym}^2 T^*M)$  and  $\delta_g$  denotes the divergence.

It is well known that linear semi-stability is governed by the spectrum of the so-called *linearised Einstein operator*; namely, it corresponds to

$$\nabla^* \nabla - 2\mathring{R} \ge 0$$

on  $S_{\rm tt}^2$ , where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection of g and  $\mathring{R}$  denotes the curvature action on symmetric 2-tensors – see (1). Linear stability corresponds to the inequality being strict.

Several conditions for stability have been obtained in terms of the curvature [Koi78, Fuj79, BCG91, IN05, Krö15, BK25]. A long-standing conjecture in the field asserts that every Einstein metric of non-positive scalar curvature is stable. This problem has attracted significant attention, with substantial progress in several geometric settings. For example:

- in the presence of a parallel spinor, Dai-Wang-Wei [DWW05] proved linear semistability by exploiting a spinorial Bochner identity; in fact, full S-stability holds in this setting – see [SS25, Cor. 5.7];
- in the Kähler–Einstein case, an extension of this method using spin<sup>c</sup> geometry yields linear semi-stability in the negative scalar curvature regime [DWW07];
- more recently, Kröncke–Semmelmann [KS24] proved strict stability for negative quaternion-Kähler manifolds using representation-theoretic methods.

In this paper, we prove linear semi-stability for a broad class of Einstein metrics of non-positive scalar curvature, namely those admitting a parallel twisted pure spin<sup>r</sup> spinor. Our main result is as follows.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $(M^n, g)$  be a Riemannian manifold carrying a parallel twisted pure spin<sup>r</sup> spinor, for some  $r \geq 3$ ,  $r \neq 4$ ,  $n \neq 8$ , and  $n + 4r - 16 \neq 0$ . Then g is Einstein and, if  $\operatorname{scal}_g \leq 0$ , it is linearly semi-stable.

The class of manifolds that carry parallel twisted pure  $spin^r$  spinors will be introduced in Section 2. For now, let us remark that this is a vast family: it includes, for example, all even-dimensional manifolds with special Riemannian holonomy [HS19, Sec. 4].

Our proof generalises the strategy initiated by Dai–Wang–Wei: we construct an isometric bundle map from symmetric 2-tensors to twisted spinor-valued 1-forms, and use it to relate the linearised Einstein operator to the square of a Dirac-type operator. We do this in Section 3. The key new ingredient comes from the algebraic identities satisfied by the 2-forms associated to twisted pure spinors [HS19], which yield a curvature relation that allows us to control the extra terms appearing in a general Bochner-like formula that we obtain in Section 3. This makes it possible to derive the required lower bound for the linearised Einstein operator in the non-positive scalar curvature case, which we accomplish in Section 4.

# 2. Preliminaries

Let (M, g) be an *n*-dimensional Riemannian manifold. Throughout the paper, we will work locally: we fix a point  $x \in M$  and a local orthonormal frame  $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$  around it such that  $\nabla e_i(x) = 0$  for all i.

For vector fields X, Y, the Riemannian curvature endomorphism is given by

$$R_{XY} := [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y] - \nabla_{[X,Y]},$$

and for  $i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots n\}$ 

$$R_{ijkl} := g\left(R_{e_i e_j} e_k, e_l\right).$$

The Ricci and scalar curvature are given, respectively, by

$$Ric_{ij} := Ric_q(e_i, e_j) = R_{ikkj}, \quad scal_q = R_{ikki},$$

where we adopt the convention that a summation is taken whenever indices are repeated.

The curvature operator acts on symmetric 2-tensors by

$$(\mathring{R}h)(X,Y) := h\left(R_{Xe_i}e_i,Y\right).$$

We say that M is  $spin^r$  if there exists an oriented Euclidean rank-r vector bundle  $F \to M$  such that  $TM \oplus F$  is spin [EH16, AM21]. Such an F is called an *auxiliary vector bundle* for TM. If  $\Sigma M$  and  $\Sigma F$  denote the locally defined complex spinor bundles of TM and F respectively, the fact that  $TM \oplus F$  is spin implies that

$$\Sigma(M, F, m) := \Sigma M \otimes (\Sigma F)^{\otimes m}$$

is an honest vector bundle over M for all odd values of m. If M is itself spin, even values of m are also allowed. This bundle is equipped with a natural Hermitian product, which we denote by  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ . Sections of these bundles are called  $spin^r$  spinors, twisted spinors or simply spinors, and they can be Clifford-multiplied by sections of  $TM \oplus F$  in a natural way.

Each metric connection  $\theta$  on F, together with the Levi-Civita connection on TM, uniquely determines a Hermitian connection  $\nabla^{\theta}$  on  $\Sigma(M, F, m)$ .

We now fix a local orthonormal frame  $(f_1, \ldots, f_r)$  of F around x. Each spinor  $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma(M, F, m))$  determines a 2-form on M for each  $1 \le k, l \le r$ :

$$\eta_{kl}^{\psi}(X,Y) := \Re \langle X \wedge Y f_k f_l \cdot \psi, \psi \rangle,$$

where  $X \wedge Y := XY + g(X, Y)$  and  $\Re$  denotes the real part.

Every section of  $\omega$  of  $T^*M \otimes T^*M$  naturally defines a section of  $\operatorname{End}(TM) = T^*M \otimes TM$  by  $\hat{\omega}(X) := \omega(X, \cdot)^{\sharp}$ , which locally reads

$$g(\hat{\omega}(e_i), e_j) = \omega(e_i, e_j).$$

We now define the central property of spinors that we study in this paper:

**Definition 2** ([HS19, Def. 3.5]). A spinor  $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma(M, F, m))$  is said to be twisted pure if the following two conditions are satisfied for all  $1 \le k < l \le r$ :

• 
$$\hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi} \circ \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi} = -\mathrm{Id}$$
, and

• 
$$r=2 \text{ or } \left(\eta_{kl}^{\psi}+2f_kf_l\right)\cdot\psi=0.$$

Twisted pure spinors are of interest because they can be used to characterise Riemannian manifolds with special holonomy, as shown in [HS19, Sec. 4]. They generalise the classical notion of pure spinor for r = 2 used to describe Kähler holonomy.

#### 3. A Bochner-type formula

Throughout this section,  $(M^n, g)$  is a compact spin<sup>r</sup> Einstein manifold with auxiliary vector bundle F that admits a non-zero spin<sup>r</sup> spinor  $\psi \in \Gamma(\Sigma(M, F, m))$  that is parallel with respect to an auxiliary connection  $\theta$  with curvature 2-form  $\Theta$ . For simplicity, we denote  $\nabla = \nabla^{\theta}$ . Since  $\psi$  necessarily has constant length, we may assume without loss of generality that  $|\psi| = 1$ .

Following the classical ideas of [DWW05, DWW07], we define a bundle map from the space of symmetric 2-tensors to the space of  $\Sigma(M, F, m)$ -valued 1-forms:

(2) 
$$\Phi \colon \operatorname{Sym}^{2}(T^{*}M) \to \Sigma(M, F, m) \otimes T^{*}M$$
$$h \mapsto \left(X \mapsto \hat{h}(X) \cdot \psi\right).$$

With respect to a local orthonormal frame  $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ , it takes the form

$$\Phi(h) = h_{ij}e_i \cdot \psi \otimes e^j,$$

This map is easily seen to be parallel and isometric.

Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be the Dirac operator on  $\Sigma M \otimes T^*M$ , given locally by

$$\mathcal{D} = e_i \cdot \nabla_{e_i}.$$

We now prove a relationship between the linearised Einstein operator  $\nabla^*\nabla - 2\mathring{R}$  on symmetric 2-tensors and  $\mathcal{D}^*\mathcal{D}$  via the bundle map  $\Phi$ .

**Lemma 3.** For each symmetric 2-tensor h on M,

$$\mathcal{D}^*\mathcal{D}\Phi(h) = \Phi\left(\nabla^*\nabla h - 2\mathring{R}h + (\operatorname{Ric}\circ h)\right) - \frac{1}{2}h_{ip}\left(\hat{\Theta}_{kl}\right)_{pj}e_if_kf_l\cdot\psi\otimes e^j,$$

where  $\Phi$  is interpreted as in (2), extended in the obvious way to general (not necessarily symmetric) 2-tensors.

*Proof.* Using that  $\mathcal{D}^* = \mathcal{D}$  and that  $\Phi$  is parallel, we compute

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{D}^*\mathcal{D}(\Phi(h)) &= \mathcal{D}\left(e_l \cdot \nabla_{e_l}\Phi(h)\right) = e_k \cdot \nabla_{e_k}\left(e_l \cdot \Phi(\nabla_{e_l}h)\right) \\ &= e_k \cdot \left(\left(\nabla_{e_k}e_l\right) \cdot \Phi(\nabla_{e_l}h) + e_l \cdot \nabla_{e_k}\Phi(\nabla_{e_l}h)\right) \\ &= e_k e_l \cdot \nabla_{e_k}\Phi(\nabla_{e_l}h) = e_k e_l \cdot \Phi(\nabla_{e_k}\nabla_{e_l}h) \\ &= \left(\nabla_{e_k}\nabla_{e_l}h\right)\left(e_i,e_j\right) e_k e_l e_i \cdot \psi \otimes e^j \\ &= \left(-\left(\nabla_{e_k}\nabla_{e_k}h\right)\left(e_i,e_j\right) e_i + \sum_{k < l}\left(\nabla_{e_k}\nabla_{e_l}h - \nabla_{e_l}\nabla_{e_k}h\right)\left(e_i,e_j\right) e_k e_l e_i\right) \cdot \psi \otimes e^j \\ &= \left(\left(\nabla^*\nabla h\right)\left(e_i,e_j\right) e_i + \sum_{k < l}\left(h\left(R_{e_l e_k}e_i,e_j\right) + h\left(e_i,R_{e_l e_k}e_j\right)\right) e_k e_l e_i\right) \cdot \psi \otimes e^j \\ &= \Phi(\nabla^*\nabla h) + \frac{1}{2}h(R_{e_l e_k}e_i,e_j) e_k e_l e_i \cdot \psi \otimes e^j + \frac{1}{2}h(e_i,R_{e_l e_k}e_j) e_k e_l e_i \cdot \psi \otimes e^j \\ &= \Phi(\nabla^*\nabla h) + \frac{1}{2}h_{jp}R_{lkip}e_k e_l e_i \cdot \psi \otimes e^j + \frac{1}{2}h_{ip}R_{lkjp}e_k e_l e_i \cdot \psi \otimes e^j. \end{split}$$

Now, using the first Bianchi identity and the Clifford relations, we can simplify the last two terms of the previous sum as follows:

$$\begin{split} R_{lkip}e_ke_le_i &= R_{pikl}e_ke_le_i = -R_{pkli}e_ke_le_i - R_{plik}e_ke_le_i \\ &= -R_{pkli}e_ke_le_i + R_{plik}e_le_ke_i + 2\delta_{kl}R_{plik}e_i \\ &= -R_{pkli}e_ke_le_i - R_{plik}e_le_ie_k - 2\delta_{ik}R_{plik}e_l + 2R_{pkik}e_i \\ &= -2R_{pkli}e_ke_le_i - 2\mathrm{Ric}_{pi}e_i \\ &= -\frac{2}{3}\sum_{\substack{k,l,i\\\mathrm{distinct}}} \left(R_{pkli} + R_{plik} + R_{pikl}\right)e_ke_le_i \\ &- 2R_{pkki}e_ke_ke_i - 2R_{pklk}e_ke_le_k - 2\mathrm{Ric}_{pi}e_i \\ &= -4R_{pklk}e_l - 2\mathrm{Ric}_{pi}e_i = 2\mathrm{Ric}_{pl}e_l, \end{split}$$

and

$$\begin{split} R_{lkjp}e_ke_le_i &= -R_{jpkl}e_ke_le_i = R_{jpkl}e_ke_ie_l + 2\delta_{il}R_{jpkl}e_k\\ &= -R_{jpkl}e_ie_ke_l - 2\delta_{ik}R_{jpkl} + 2\delta_{il}R_{jpkl}e_k\\ &= -R_{jpkl}e_ie_ke_l - 2R_{jpil}e_l + 2R_{jpki}e_k\\ &= -R_{jpkl}e_ie_ke_l - 4R_{jpik}e_k. \end{split}$$

Hence, we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}^* \mathcal{D} \Phi(h) = \Phi(\nabla^* \nabla h) + h_{jp} \operatorname{Ric}_{pl} e_l \cdot \psi \otimes e^j + \frac{1}{2} h_{ip} \left( -R_{jpkl} e_i e_k e_l - 4R_{jpik} e_k \right) \cdot \psi \otimes e^j$$

$$= \Phi(\nabla^* \nabla h) + \left( (\operatorname{Ric} \circ h)_{lj} e_l - 2(\mathring{R}h)_{kj} e_k - \frac{1}{2} h_{ip} R_{jpkl} e_i e_k e_l \right) \cdot \psi \otimes e_j$$

$$= \Phi\left( \nabla^* \nabla h + (\operatorname{Ric} \circ h) - 2(\mathring{R}h) \right) + \frac{1}{2} h_{ip} R_{pjkl} e_i e_k e_l \cdot \psi \otimes e^j.$$

Finally, since  $\psi$  is parallel,

$$0 = R_{pjkl}e_k e_l \cdot \psi + (\Theta_{kl})_{pj} f_k f_l \cdot \psi,$$

and this finishes the proof.

Corollary 4. For each symmetric 2-tensor h on M,

(3) 
$$\left\langle \nabla^* \nabla h - 2\mathring{R}h, h \right\rangle \ge - \left\langle \operatorname{Ric} \circ h, h \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi} \circ h \circ \hat{\Theta}_{kl}, h \right\rangle.$$

*Proof.* Using Lemma 3 and the fact that  $\Phi$  is isometric, we compute

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \nabla^* \nabla h - 2\mathring{R}h, h \right\rangle &= \left\langle \Phi(\nabla^* \nabla h - 2\mathring{R}h), \Phi(h) \right\rangle \\ &= \left\langle \mathcal{D}^* \mathcal{D}\Phi(h), \Phi(h) \right\rangle - \left\langle \Phi(\operatorname{Ric} \circ h), \Phi(h) \right\rangle \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} h_{ip} \left( \hat{\Theta}_{kl} \right)_{pj} \left\langle e_i f_k f_l \cdot \psi \otimes e^j, \Phi(h) \right\rangle \\ &\geq - \left\langle \operatorname{Ric} \circ h, h \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} h_{ip} \left( \hat{\Theta}_{kl} \right)_{pj} \left\langle e_i f_k f_l \cdot \psi \otimes e^j, h_{st} e_s \cdot \psi \otimes e^s \right\rangle \\ &= - \left\langle \operatorname{Ric} \circ h, h \right\rangle + \frac{1}{2} h_{ip} h_{qj} \left( \hat{\Theta}_{kl} \right)_{pj} \left\langle e_i f_k f_l \cdot \psi \otimes e^j, e_q \cdot \psi \otimes e^j \right\rangle \\ &= - \left\langle \operatorname{Ric} \circ h, h \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} h_{ip} h_{qj} \left( \hat{\Theta}_{kl} \right)_{pj} \left\langle e_q e_i f_k f_l \cdot \psi, \psi \right\rangle \\ &= - \left\langle \operatorname{Ric} \circ h, h \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} h_{ip} h_{qj} \left( \hat{\Theta}_{kl} \right)_{pj} \left( \eta_{kl}^{\psi} \right)_{qi} \\ &= - \left\langle \operatorname{Ric} \circ h, h \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi} \circ h \circ \hat{\Theta}_{kl}, h \right\rangle. \end{split}$$

**Remark 5.** Every oriented Riemannian n-manifold admits a natural spin<sup>n</sup> structure, given by taking the tangent bundle itself as the auxiliary vector bundle, which we endow with the Levi-Civita connection. This structure carries a non-zero parallel spin<sup>n</sup> spinor [EH16, Prop. 3.3]. An elementary calculation applying Corollary 4 to this spinor yields

$$\left\langle \nabla^* \nabla h - 2 \mathring{R} h, h \right\rangle \ge - \left\langle \operatorname{Ric} \circ h, h \right\rangle - \left\langle \mathring{R} h, h \right\rangle.$$

In particular, if an Einstein metric with Einstein constant E satisfies  $\mathring{R} < E$ , then it is stable, which recovers a well-known result by Koiso [Koi78, Thm. 3.3].

In the next section, we assume an additional condition on  $\psi$  that will establish a relation between  $\hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi}$  and  $\hat{\Theta}_{kl}$  and will allow us to deduce stability in some cases from (3).

## 4. Stability of metrics with parallel twisted pure spinors

We continue to assume that  $(M^n, g)$  is a compact Einstein manifold endowed with a parallel spin<sup>r</sup> spinor  $\psi$  with  $|\psi| = 1$ . As mentioned earlier, we will now impose a further condition on  $\psi$ , namely that

$$\psi = \frac{1}{|\psi'|}\psi',$$

with  $\psi'$  twisted pure – see Definition 2, and note that it is not invariant by rescaling.

Noting that there is a sign error in [HS19, eq. (4.1)], we have the following:

**Lemma 6** ([HS19, Lem. 4.8]). Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold carrying a parallel twisted pure spin<sup>r</sup> spinor  $\psi'$ , and let  $\Theta = \Theta_{kl} f_k \wedge f_l$  be the curvature form of the auxiliary connection. Then, if  $r \geq 3$ ,  $r \neq 4$ ,  $n \neq 8$ , and  $n + 4r - 16 \neq 0$ ,

$$\hat{\Theta}_{kl} = \frac{-\operatorname{scal}_g}{n(\frac{n}{4} + 2r - 4)} \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi'},$$

for  $1 \le k < l \le r$ , and g is Einstein.

We can now prove the main result of this paper, Theorem 1:

Proof of Theorem 1. The fact that g is Einstein follows from Lemma 6. Let E be the Einstein constant,  $\psi'$  a parallel twisted pure spin<sup>r</sup> spinor,  $\psi = \psi'/|\psi'|$ , and  $\Theta = \Theta_{kl}f_k \wedge f_l$  the curvature 2-form of the auxiliary connection. By Corollary 4,

$$\left\langle \nabla^* \nabla h - 2\mathring{R}h, h \right\rangle \ge - \left\langle \operatorname{Ric} \circ h, h \right\rangle - \frac{1}{2} \left\langle \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi} \circ h \circ \hat{\Theta}_{kl}, h \right\rangle$$
$$= -E|h|^2 + \frac{E}{\frac{n}{2} + 4r - 8} \left\langle \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi} \circ h \circ \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi}, h \right\rangle.$$

If E=0, the result follows immediately, so suppose that E<0. Then, by the triangle inequality, the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, and the fact that  $\hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi'} \circ \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi'} = -\mathrm{Id}$ ,

$$\left| \left\langle \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi} \circ h \circ \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi}, h \right\rangle \right| \leq |h| |\hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi} \circ h \circ \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi}| = \frac{|h|}{|\psi'|^2} |\hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi'} \circ h \circ \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi'}|$$

$$= \frac{|h|}{|\psi'|^2} \operatorname{tr} \left( \left( \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi'} \circ h \circ \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi'} \right) \circ \left( \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi'} \circ h \circ \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi'} \right)^t \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= \frac{|h|}{|\psi'|^2} \operatorname{tr} \left( \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi'} \circ h \circ \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi'} \circ \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi'} \circ h \circ \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi'} \right)^{1/2}$$

$$= r(r-1) \frac{|h|}{|\psi'|^2} \operatorname{tr} \left( h \circ h \right)^{1/2} = r(r-1) \frac{|h|^2}{|\psi'|^2}.$$

And, by [EH16, Thm. 3.1],

$$|\psi'|^2 \text{Ric} = \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi'} \circ \hat{\Theta}_{kl} = -\frac{E}{\frac{n}{4} + 2r - 4} \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi'} \circ \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi'} = E \frac{r(r-1)}{\frac{n}{4} + 2r - 4} \text{Id},$$

which implies that

$$|\psi'|^2 = \frac{r(r-1)}{\frac{n}{4} + 2r - 4}.$$

Putting everything together, we see that

$$\left| \frac{E}{\frac{n}{2} + 4r - 8} \left\langle \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi} \circ h \circ \hat{\eta}_{kl}^{\psi}, h \right\rangle \right| \leq \frac{|E|}{\frac{n}{2} + 4r - 8} r(r - 1) \frac{|h|^2}{|\psi'|^2}$$

$$= \frac{|E|}{\frac{n}{2} + 4r - 8} r(r - 1) |h|^2 \frac{\left(\frac{n}{4} + 2r - 4\right)}{r(r - 1)} = |E||h|^2,$$

which concludes the proof.

As an application of this result, recall that an oriented Riemannian 4m-dimensional manifold is quaternion-Kähler if and only if it admits a parallel twisted pure spin<sup>3</sup> spinor. Hence, from Theorem 1 one deduces that every quaternion-Kähler manifold of negative scalar curvature of dimension greater than 8 is linearly semi-stable. It has recently been proved by different means that, in fact, quaternion-Kähler manifolds of negative scalar curvature are strictly stable [KS24].

## References

- [AM21] M. Albanese and A. Milivojević. Spin<sup>h</sup> and further generalisations of spin. J. Geom. Phys., 164:Paper No. 104174, 13, 2021. doi:10.1016/j.geomphys.2021.104174.
- [BCG91] G. Besson, G. Courtois, and S. Gallot. Volume et entropie minimale des espaces localement symétriques. *Invent. Math.*, 103(2):417–445, 1991. doi:10.1007/BF01239520.
- [Bes87] A.L. Besse. Einstein manifolds, volume 10 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3) [Results in Mathematics and Related Areas (3)]. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1987. doi:10. 1007/978-3-540-74311-8.
- [BK25] L. Branca and K. Kröncke. Some isolation and stability results for einstein manifolds, 2025. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2506.13248, arXiv:2506.13248.
- [DWW05] X. Dai, X. Wang, and G. Wei. On the stability of Riemannian manifold with parallel spinors. Invent. Math., 161(1):151-176, 2005. doi:10.1007/s00222-004-0424-x.
- [DWW07] X. Dai, X. Wang, and G. Wei. On the variational stability of Kähler-Einstein metrics. Comm. Anal. Geom., 15(4):669–693, 2007. doi:10.4310/cag.2007.v15.n4.a1.
- [EH16] M. Espinosa and R. Herrera. Spinorially twisted spin structures, I: Curvature identities and eigenvalue estimates. *Differential Geom. Appl.*, 46:79–107, 2016. doi:10.1016/j.difgeo.2016.
- [Fuj79] T. Fujitani. Compact suitably pinched einstein manifolds. Bull. Fac. Liberal Arts Nagasaki Univ., 19:1–5, 1979.
- [HS19] R. Herrera and N. Santana. Spinorially twisted Spin structures. II: twisted pure spinors, special Riemannian holonomy and Clifford monopoles. SIGMA Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl., 15:Paper No. 072, 48, 2019. doi:10.3842/SIGMA.2019.072.

- [IN05] M. Itoh and T. Nakagawa. Variational stability and local rigidity of Einstein metrics. *Yokohama Math. J.*, 51(2):103–115, 2005.
- [Koi78] N. Koiso. Nondeformability of Einstein metrics. Osaka Math. J., 15(2):419-433, 1978. URL: http://projecteuclid.org/euclid.ojm/1200771282.
- [Krö15] K. Kröncke. On the stability of Einstein manifolds. *Ann. Global Anal. Geom.*, 47(1):81–98, 2015. doi:10.1007/s10455-014-9436-y.
- [KS24] K. Kroencke and U. Semmelmann. On stability and scalar curvature rigidity of quaternion-Kähler manifolds, 2024. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.13351, arXiv:2412.13351.
- [SS25] P. Schwahn and U. Semmelmann. Einstein metrics, their moduli spaces and stability, 2025. URL: https://arxiv.org/abs/2507.18463, arXiv:2507.18463.

D. Artacho: Department of Mathematics, KU Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200B, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

 $Email\ address: {\tt diego.artachodeobeso@kuleuven.be}$