

Directly computing Wigner functions for open quantum systems

Nick Huggett,^{1,*} Christian Käding,^{2,†} Mario Pitschmann,^{2,‡} and James Read^{3,§}

¹*University of Illinois Chicago, Chicago, Illinois, USA*

²*Atominstitut, Technische Universität Wien, Stadionallee 2, 1020 Vienna, Austria*

³*Faculty of Philosophy, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK*

The Wigner function is a well-known phase space distribution function with many applications in quantum mechanics. In this article, we consider an open quantum system consisting of a non-relativistic single particle interacting with a general, possibly relativistic environment. For this system, we derive an expression for directly computing the time-dependent Wigner function from its initial values. This result renders time-dependent Wigner functions more applicable without having to make additional approximations that would otherwise be required in order to solve the corresponding equation of motion. As an illustration of our findings, we discuss the example of a non-relativistic single scalar particle interacting via a Yukawa interaction with an environment comprising another type of scalar field that is treated relativistically.

Keywords: Wigner function, time-dependent, single particle, Yukawa interaction

I. INTRODUCTION

Realistic quantum systems usually interact with one or multiple other systems, their so-called environments. Since the dynamics of environments are often intractable and since we are often interested only in their impact on the quantum system, it is customary to introduce the notion of an open quantum system [1]. For this purpose, the environmental degrees of freedom are traced out, leaving an effective description of the system of interest under the influence of the environments. Applications of open quantum systems range from non-relativistic quantum mechanics, see, for example, Refs. [2–16], to quantum field theory [17–39], cosmology [40–78], black hole physics [79–85] or heavy ion physics [86–95].

While reduced density matrices are often the tools of choice to mathematically describe an open quantum system, Wigner functions [96] are closely related alternatives that allow for discussions of phase spaces in quantum mechanics and are, therefore, particularly useful to illustrate open

* huggett@uic.edu

† christian.kaeding@tuwien.ac.at

‡ mario.pitschmann@tuwien.ac.at

§ james.read@philosophy.ox.ac.uk

quantum dynamical effects, for example, transitions from quantum to classical states. The time evolution of Wigner functions can be expressed in terms of so-called Wigner flows [97, 98] that reveal otherwise hidden features of quantum dynamics [99]; see Ref. [100] for a discussion of Wigner flows for open systems. Wigner functions have found a variety of applications, see, e.g., Refs. [101–126], and have been thoroughly reviewed in Refs. [127–133].

In this article, we consider an open quantum system consisting of a non-relativistic single particle interacting with a general environment, which may be relativistic. A non-relativistic description of the system under consideration enables a far simpler investigation of certain quantum theoretical questions, such as, e.g., the emergence of classicality, than a relativistic one. For this system, inspired by the methods for directly computing density matrices that were introduced in Refs. [30, 134] and which already had phenomenological applications in Refs. [60, 68, 135], we present a new approach for directly computing time-dependent Wigner functions from their values at an initial time without having to solve an equation of motion. Besides the system being non-relativistic, we merely make the usual assumption that the system and its environment(s) were separated at the initial time and that the considered interaction is sufficiently weak to justify a perturbative treatment. This approach circumvents having to solve analytically intricate equations of motion for Wigner functions. As a consequence, we expect it to open up opportunities for novel applications of Wigner functions or for improvements of existing ones.

The article is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we derive an expression for directly computing Wigner functions for a general non-relativistic single particle in interaction with a general environment. Subsequently, in Sec. III, we discuss the concrete example of two scalar fields interacting via a Yukawa coupling in order to illustrate our findings. Finally, we draw our conclusions in Sec. IV.

II. WIGNER FUNCTION

In this section, we derive a general expression for directly computing the time-dependent Wigner function for a non-relativistic single particle acting as an open quantum system after tracing out the degrees of freedom of an environment with which the particle is interacting. At first, we consider a general quantum system \mathcal{S} and its environment \mathcal{E} . Before system and environment start to interact at time $t = 0$, the total density operator is the direct product of both subsystem density operators, i.e., for times $t \leq 0$:

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = \hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{S}}(t) \otimes \hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{E}}(t) . \quad (1)$$

In the interaction representation, the density operator for times $t \geq 0$ is given by [136]

$$\hat{\rho}(t) = \mathbf{T}\left(e^{-i \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}^{(I)}(t')}\right) \hat{\rho}(0) \bar{\mathbf{T}}\left(e^{i \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}^{(I)}(t')}\right), \quad (2)$$

where $\hat{H}^{(I)}$ is the Hamiltonian describing all interactions (including self-interactions) of the total system combining system and environment, and \mathbf{T} and $\bar{\mathbf{T}}$ denote time-ordering and anti-time-ordering, respectively. From this, we obtain the reduced density operator of the system \mathcal{S} by tracing out the environmental degrees of freedom:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{S}}(t) &= \text{Tr}_{\mathcal{E}} [\hat{\rho}(t)] \\ &= \sum_m (\mathbb{I} \otimes \langle m|) \hat{\rho}(t) (\mathbb{I} \otimes |m\rangle) \\ &= \sum_m (\mathbb{I} \otimes \langle m|) \mathbf{T}\left(e^{-i \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}^{(I)}(t')}\right) \hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{S}}(0) \otimes \hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{E}}(0) \bar{\mathbf{T}}\left(e^{i \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}^{(I)}(t')}\right) (\mathbb{I} \otimes |m\rangle), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where the trace does not depend on the particular choice for the basis $|m\rangle$ as long as the environmental Hilbert space is spanned by it. Since this basis can, in general, either be discrete or continuous, \sum denotes sums as well as integrations. The time-dependent Wigner function of the system is a Fourier transform of the reduced density matrix [96] (see also Ref. [130]):

$$W_{\mathcal{S}}(\vec{x}; \vec{p}; t) = \frac{1}{\pi^3} \int d^3 z \rho_{\mathcal{S}}(\vec{x} - \vec{z}, \vec{x} + \vec{z}; t) e^{2i\vec{p}\vec{z}}. \quad (4)$$

In order to derive an expression that will allow us to directly compute the Wigner function at time t from its initial values at time 0, we will from now on only focus on the system \mathcal{S} being a non-relativistic particle with mass $m_{\mathcal{S}}$. In this case, the reduced single-particle density matrix elements in position space are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_{\mathcal{S}}(\vec{x}, \vec{y}; t) &= \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{x}, t) \hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{S}}(t) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{y}, t) | 0 \rangle \\ &= \sum_m (\langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{x}, t) \otimes \langle m|) \mathbf{T}\left(e^{-i \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}^{(I)}(t')}\right) \hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{S}}(0) \otimes \hat{\rho}_{\mathcal{E}}(0) \\ &\quad \times \bar{\mathbf{T}}\left(e^{i \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}^{(I)}(t')}\right) (\hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{y}, t) | 0 \rangle \otimes |m\rangle), \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

where $\hat{\Psi}$ is the second quantized field operator for a non-relativistic particle, which creates a particle located at \vec{x} , i.e., $|\vec{x}\rangle = \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{x})|0\rangle$. Since the one-particle unit operator is given by

$$\mathbb{I}_1 := \int d^3 z \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{z}, 0) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{z}, 0), \quad (6)$$

we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_S(\vec{x}, \vec{y}; t) &= \sum_m \left(\langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{x}, t) \otimes \langle m | \right) \mathbf{T} \left(e^{-i \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}^{(I)}(t')} \right) \mathbb{I}_1 \hat{\rho}_S(0) \mathbb{I}_1 \\
&\quad \otimes \hat{\rho}_E(0) \bar{\mathbf{T}} \left(e^{i \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}^{(I)}(t')} \right) \left(\hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{y}, t) | 0 \rangle \otimes | m \rangle \right) \\
&= \sum_m \left(\langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{x}, t) \otimes \langle m | \right) \mathbf{T} \left(e^{-i \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}^{(I)}(t')} \right) \int d^3 z \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{z}, 0) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{z}, 0) \hat{\rho}_S(0) \\
&\quad \times \int d^3 z' \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{z}', 0) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{z}', 0) \otimes \hat{\rho}_E(0) \bar{\mathbf{T}} \left(e^{i \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}^{(I)}(t')} \right) \left(\hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{y}, t) | 0 \rangle \otimes | m \rangle \right) \\
&= \int d^3 z d^3 z' \rho_S(\vec{z}, \vec{z}'; 0) \sum_m \left(\langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{x}, t) \otimes \langle m | \right) \mathbf{T} \left(e^{-i \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}^{(I)}(t')} \right) \\
&\quad \times \left(\hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{z}, 0) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{z}', 0) \otimes \hat{\rho}_E(0) \right) \bar{\mathbf{T}} \left(e^{i \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}^{(I)}(t')} \right) \left(\hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{y}, t) | 0 \rangle \otimes | m \rangle \right) , \quad (7)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used that

$$\rho_S(\vec{z}, \vec{z}'; 0) = \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{z}, 0) \hat{\rho}_S(0) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{z}', 0) | 0 \rangle . \quad (8)$$

Next, we split the Hamiltonian:

$$\hat{H}^{(I)}(t) = \hat{H}_S^{(I)}(t) \otimes \mathbb{I} + \mathbb{I} \otimes \hat{H}_E^{(I)}(t) + \hat{H}_{SE}^{(I)}(t) , \quad (9)$$

where $\hat{H}_S^{(I)}$ and $\hat{H}_E^{(I)}$ describe the self-interactions of system and environment, respectively, and $\hat{H}_{SE}^{(I)}$ denotes the Hamiltonian that captures the interaction between system and environment.

Using this, we define the influence Hamiltonian \hat{H}_{IF} as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\mathbf{T} \left(e^{-i \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}_{IF}(t')} \right) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{z}, 0) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{z}', 0) \bar{\mathbf{T}} \left(e^{i \int_0^t dt' \hat{H}_{IF}(t')} \right) \\
&:= \sum_m \left(\mathbb{I} \otimes \langle m | \right) \mathbf{T} \left(e^{-i \int_0^t dt' [\mathbb{I} \otimes \hat{H}_E^{(I)}(t') + \hat{H}_{SE}^{(I)}(t')]} \right) \left(\hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{z}, 0) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{z}', 0) \otimes \hat{\rho}_E(0) \right) \\
&\quad \times \bar{\mathbf{T}} \left(e^{i \int_0^t dt' [\mathbb{I} \otimes \hat{H}_E^{(I)}(t') + \hat{H}_{SE}^{(I)}(t')]} \right) \left(\mathbb{I} \otimes | m \rangle \right) , \quad (10)
\end{aligned}$$

and find

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho_S(\vec{x}, \vec{y}; t) &= \int d^3 z d^3 z' \rho_S(\vec{z}, \vec{z}'; 0) \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{x}, t) \mathbf{T} \left(e^{-i \int_0^t dt' [\hat{H}_S^{(I)}(t') + \hat{H}_{IF}(t')]} \right) \\
&\quad \times \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{z}, 0) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{z}', 0) \bar{\mathbf{T}} \left(e^{i \int_0^t dt' [\hat{H}_S^{(I)}(t') + \hat{H}_{IF}(t')]} \right) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{y}, t) | 0 \rangle . \quad (11)
\end{aligned}$$

We could now simply substitute Eq. (11) into Eq. (4) in order to find the Wigner function for the single particle. However, this would give us the Wigner function in terms of the particle's initial density matrix. Since we are instead interested in computing the Wigner function in terms of its

initial values, we must find the inverse of Eq. (4). Multiplying Eq. (4) by $e^{-i\vec{p}(\vec{y}-\vec{x})}$ and integrating over \vec{p} gives¹

$$\rho_S(\vec{x}, \vec{y}; t) = \int d^3p W_S\left(\frac{\vec{x} + \vec{y}}{2}; \vec{p}; t\right) e^{-i\vec{p}(\vec{y}-\vec{x})}. \quad (12)$$

This expression allows us to replace the initial density matrix elements by initial values of the Wigner function. Consequently, we arrive at

$$\begin{aligned} W_S(\vec{x}; \vec{p}; t) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3y d^3z d^3z' \int d^3q e^{i\vec{p}\vec{y}} e^{-i\vec{q}(\vec{z}' - \vec{z})} W_S\left(\frac{\vec{z} + \vec{z}'}{2}; \vec{q}; 0\right) \\ &\quad \times \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}\left(\vec{x} - \frac{\vec{y}}{2}, t\right) \mathbf{T}\left(e^{-i\int_0^t dt' [\hat{H}_S^{(I)}(t') + \hat{H}_{\text{IF}}(t')]} \right) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{z}, 0) | 0 \rangle \\ &\quad \times \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{z}', 0) \bar{\mathbf{T}}\left(e^{i\int_0^t dt' [\hat{H}_S^{(I)}(t') + \hat{H}_{\text{IF}}(t')]} \right) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger\left(\vec{x} + \frac{\vec{y}}{2}, t\right) | 0 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

III. EXAMPLE: YUKAWA INTERACTION

We now illustrate the result from the previous section by discussing a concrete example. For this, we consider a non-relativistic single scalar particle as the system and a relativistic real scalar field ϕ with mass $m_\mathcal{E}$ as the environment. Within an infinite spatial volume, they interact via a Yukawa coupling described by the Hamiltonian

$$\hat{H}_{S\mathcal{E}}^{(I)}(t) = -g \int d^3x \hat{\Psi}(x) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x) \otimes \hat{\phi}(x), \quad (14)$$

where g is the coupling constant. For simplicity, we assume that neither the system nor the environment is self-interacting. In order to evaluate Eq. (13) for the chosen interaction, we must employ perturbation theory and will restrict our discussion to terms up to second order in g . Expanding Eq. (10) and substituting the result into Eq. (13), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} W_S(\vec{x}; \vec{p}; t) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3y d^3z d^3z' \int d^3q e^{i\vec{p}\vec{y}} e^{-i\vec{q}(\vec{z}' - \vec{z})} W_S\left(\frac{\vec{z} + \vec{z}'}{2}; \vec{q}; 0\right) \\ &\quad \times \sum_m \left[\langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}\left(\vec{x} - \frac{\vec{y}}{2}, t\right) \otimes \langle m | \right] \left[\mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} + ig \int d^4x' \hat{\Psi}(x') \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x') \otimes \hat{\phi}(x') \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{g^2}{2} \mathbf{T} \int d^4x' d^4y' \hat{\Psi}(x') \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x') \hat{\Psi}(y') \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(y') \otimes \hat{\phi}(x') \hat{\phi}(y') \right] \\ &\quad \times \left(\hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{z}, 0) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{z}', 0) \otimes \hat{\rho}_\mathcal{E}(0) \right) \left[\mathbb{I} \otimes \mathbb{I} - ig \int d^4x' \hat{\Psi}(x') \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x') \otimes \hat{\phi}(x') \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{g^2}{2} \bar{\mathbf{T}} \int d^4x' d^4y' \hat{\Psi}(x') \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x') \hat{\Psi}(y') \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(y') \otimes \hat{\phi}(x') \hat{\phi}(y') \right] \left[\hat{\Psi}^\dagger\left(\vec{x} + \frac{\vec{y}}{2}, t\right) | 0 \rangle \otimes | m \rangle \right] \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(g^3). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

¹ Note that, when discussing systems in finite volumes, the integration has to be replaced by a sum over \vec{p} .

We can evaluate the trace over the environmental degrees of freedom by using the relativistic scalar field Feynman, Dyson and Wightman propagators:

$$\Delta_{xy}^F := \sum_m \langle m | \mathbf{T} \hat{\phi}(x) \hat{\phi}(y) \hat{\rho}_E(0) | m \rangle , \quad (16)$$

$$\Delta_{xy}^D := \sum_m \langle m | \bar{\mathbf{T}} \hat{\phi}(x) \hat{\phi}(y) \hat{\rho}_E(0) | m \rangle , \quad (17)$$

$$\Delta_{xy}^< := \sum_m \langle m | \hat{\phi}(y) \hat{\phi}(x) \hat{\rho}_E(0) | m \rangle , \quad (18)$$

so that we are left with (after dropping the $\mathcal{O}(g^3)$ for notational convenience):

$$\begin{aligned} W_S(\vec{x}; \vec{p}; t) &\approx \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3y d^3z d^3z' \int d^3q e^{i\vec{p}\vec{y}} e^{-i\vec{q}(\vec{z}' - \vec{z})} W_S\left(\frac{\vec{z} + \vec{z}'}{2}; \vec{q}; 0\right) \\ &\times \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}\left(\vec{x} - \frac{\vec{y}}{2}, t\right) \left\{ \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{z}, 0) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{z}', 0) \right. \\ &+ g^2 \int d^4x' d^4y' \left[\Delta_{x'y'}^< \hat{\Psi}(x') \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x') \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{z}, 0) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{z}', 0) \hat{\Psi}(y') \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(y') \right. \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{x'y'}^F \mathbf{T} \hat{\Psi}(x') \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x') \hat{\Psi}(y') \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(y') \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{z}, 0) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{z}', 0) \\ &- \left. \left. \frac{1}{2} \Delta_{x'y'}^D \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(\vec{z}, 0) | 0 \rangle \langle 0 | \hat{\Psi}(\vec{z}', 0) \bar{\mathbf{T}} \hat{\Psi}(x') \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(x') \hat{\Psi}(y') \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(y') \right] \right\} \\ &\times \hat{\Psi}^\dagger\left(\vec{x} + \frac{\vec{y}}{2}, t\right) | 0 \rangle . \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

Next, we introduce non-relativistic Feynman and Dyson propagators for the system particle:

$$G^F(x; y) := \langle 0 | \mathbf{T} \hat{\Psi}(x) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(y) | 0 \rangle = - \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{-i\omega(x^0 - y^0)} e^{i\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{y})}}{\omega - \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m_S} + i\varepsilon} , \quad (20)$$

$$G^D(x; y) := \langle 0 | \bar{\mathbf{T}} \hat{\Psi}(x) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(y) | 0 \rangle = \int \frac{d\omega}{2\pi i} \int \frac{d^3p}{(2\pi)^3} \frac{e^{-i\omega(x^0 - y^0)} e^{i\vec{p} \cdot (\vec{x} - \vec{y})}}{\omega - \frac{\vec{p}^2}{2m_S} - i\varepsilon} . \quad (21)$$

Note that, in the non-relativistic case, the following holds:

$$\langle \hat{\Psi}(x) \hat{\Psi}^\dagger(y) \rangle = \Theta(x^0 - y^0) G^F(x; y) + \Theta(y^0 - x^0) G^D(x; y) . \quad (22)$$




FIG. 1: Diagrammatic representation of the terms contributing to $W_S(\vec{x}; \vec{p}; t)$ as given in Eq. (23); a crossed box represents the Wigner function at the initial time 0, a solid line stands for a propagator of the non-relativistic particle, and a dashed line is a ϕ -propagator. (a): free evolution of the non-relativistic particle corresponding to the zeroth order term in Eq. (23); (b), (c) and (d) represent the first, second and third term at order g^2 , respectively.

Consequently, Eq. (19) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
& W_S(\vec{x}; \vec{p}; t) \\
& \approx \frac{1}{(2\pi)^3} \int d^3 y d^3 z d^3 z' \int d^3 q e^{i\vec{p}\vec{y}} e^{-i\vec{q}(\vec{z}' - \vec{z})} W_S\left(\frac{\vec{z} + \vec{z}'}{2}; \vec{q}; 0\right) \\
& \times \left\{ G^F\left(\vec{x} - \frac{\vec{y}}{2}, t; \vec{z}, 0\right) G^D\left(\vec{z}', 0; \vec{x} + \frac{\vec{y}}{2}, t\right) \right. \\
& + g^2 \int d^4 x' d^4 y' \left[\Delta_{x'y'}^< G^F\left(\vec{x} - \frac{\vec{y}}{2}, t; x'\right) G^F(x'; \vec{z}, 0) G^D\left(\vec{z}', 0; y'\right) G^D\left(y'; \vec{x} + \frac{\vec{y}}{2}, t\right) \right. \\
& \quad - \Delta_{x'y'}^F G^F\left(\vec{x} - \frac{\vec{y}}{2}, t; x'\right) G^F(y'; x') G^F(y'; \vec{z}, 0) G^D\left(\vec{z}', 0; \vec{x} + \frac{\vec{y}}{2}, t\right) \\
& \quad \left. \left. - \Delta_{x'y'}^D G^F\left(\vec{x} - \frac{\vec{y}}{2}, t; \vec{z}, 0\right) G^D(x'; y') G^D\left(\vec{z}', 0; x'\right) G^D\left(y'; \vec{x} + \frac{\vec{y}}{2}, t\right) \right] \right\}, \quad (23)
\end{aligned}$$

where we have dropped all terms corresponding to disconnected diagrams. Figure 1 depicts all diagrams that we have taken into account.

At this point, we end our discussion of the example since, in order to proceed significantly further, we would have to choose an initial Wigner function—unfortunately, however, only a few particular choices would render the computation analytically tractable. While we could use Eq. (4) in order to replace the initial Wigner function by an initial density matrix, this would also thwart our approach of employing a closed expression for Wigner functions and is therefore not pursued here. Nevertheless, already in its current form, Eq. (23) demonstrates that the method developed in the present article indeed provides a directly computable expression for a time-dependent Wigner

function from its initial values.

IV. CONCLUSION

Open quantum systems are effective descriptions of systems under the influence of environments whose degrees of freedom have been traced out mathematically. Powerful tools for describing the open dynamics of such systems are Wigner functions. In this article, we have introduced a new method for directly computing Wigner functions from their initial values. For this purpose, we have restricted our discussion to systems comprising a non-relativistic single particle interacting with general, potentially relativistic environments. In addition, we have assumed that the system and its environments are separated at the initial time and that the interaction is weak enough to allow us to use perturbation theory. Since this novel method enables us to circumvent equations of motion for Wigner functions that can be analytically intricate to solve, it is expected to create avenues to additional applications of Wigner functions or to refinements of already existing ones. In order to demonstrate the utility of the developed approach, we have discussed a simple example of a non-relativistic single scalar particle interacting via a Yukawa coupling with an environment comprising a relativistic scalar field of another type. Deeper analyses of more realistic quantum systems and finite-time effects using the presented method will be the subject of future works. An important example would be decoherence. Decoherence is, of course, also related intimately to the emergence of classicality in quantum systems (see Refs. [137–143]); our work also offers one further outlook on this phenomenon. Going forward, it would be a valuable exercise to compute numerically the time evolution of an initial non-classical Wigner function for an open system, and witness the emergence of a classical probability distribution in phase space—one would expect the results of these computations to be in accord with the discussions of the evolution of Wigner functions presented in e.g. Ref. [139]. We leave further exploration of this to future work.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was funded in whole or in part by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF) [10.55776/PAT7599423] and [10.55776/PAT8564023]. For open access purposes, the authors have applied a CC BY public copyright license to any author accepted manuscript version arising from

this submission.

-
- [1] H.-P. Breuer and F. Petruccione, *The Theory of Open Quantum Systems*. Oxford University Press, Oxford, 2002.
 - [2] H. Carmichael, *An Open Systems Approach to Quantum Optics: Lectures Presented at the Université Libre de Bruxelles, October 28 to November 4, 1991*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 1993.
 - [3] C. Gardiner and P. Zoller, *Quantum Noise: A Handbook of Markovian and Non-Markovian Quantum Stochastic Methods with Applications to Quantum Optics*, Springer Series in Synergetics. Springer, 2004.
 - [4] D. Walls and G. Milburn, *Quantum Optics*. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2008.
 - [5] L. Aolita, F. de Melo and L. Davidovich, *Open-system dynamics of entanglement: a key issues review*, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **78** (2015) 042001.
 - [6] J. Goold, M. Huber, A. Riera, L. d. Rio and P. Skrzypczyk, *The role of quantum information in thermodynamics—a topical review*, *Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical* **49** (2016) 143001.
 - [7] A. Werner, D. Jaschke, P. Silvi, M. Kliesch, T. Calarco, J. Eisert et al., *Positive Tensor Network Approach for Simulating Open Quantum Many-Body Systems*, *Physical Review Letters* **116** (2016) .
 - [8] J. Huber, P. Kirton, S. Rotter and P. Rabl, *Emergence of PT-symmetry breaking in open quantum systems*, *SciPost Physics* **9** (2020) .
 - [9] A. Keefe, N. Agarwal and A. Kamal, *Quantifying spectral signatures of non-Markovianity beyond the Born-Redfield master equation*, 2405.01722.
 - [10] J. Nishimura and H. Watanabe, *Quantum Decoherence from Complex Saddle Points*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **134** (2025) 210401 [2408.16627].
 - [11] V. Cavina, A. D’Abbruzzo and V. Giovannetti, *Unifying quantum stochastic methods using Wick’s theorem on the Keldysh contour*, 2501.09544.
 - [12] J. Nishimura and H. Watanabe, *Quantum decoherence in the Caldeira-Leggett model by the real-time path integral on a computer*, 2503.20699.
 - [13] M. Coppola, M. C. Bañuls and Z. Lenarčič, *Learning the non-Markovian features of subsystem dynamics*, 2507.14133.
 - [14] B. Bose, D. Tiwari and S. Banerjee, *PT–Symmetric Open Quantum Systems: Information Theoretic Facets*, 2505.16545.
 - [15] B. Bose, D. Tiwari and S. Banerjee, *Interferometric and Bipartite OTOC for Non-Markovian Open Quantum Spin-Chains and Lipkin-Meshkov-Glick Model*, 2506.23796.
 - [16] M. Yadav, D. Tiwari and S. Banerjee, *Collective Quantum Batteries and Charger-Battery Setup in Open Quantum Systems: Impact of Inter-Qubit Interactions, Dissipation, and Quantum Criticality*,

- 2511.02583.
- [17] E. A. Calzetta and B.-L. Hu, *Nonequilibrium Quantum Field Theory*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge UK, 2008.
 - [18] J. F. Kokksma, T. Prokopec and M. G. Schmidt, *Decoherence in an interacting quantum field theory: The vacuum case*, *Phys. Rev. D* **81** (2010) 065030.
 - [19] J. F. Kokksma, T. Prokopec and M. G. Schmidt, *Decoherence in an interacting quantum field theory: Thermal case*, *Phys. Rev. D* **83** (2011) 085011.
 - [20] L. M. Sieberer, M. Buchhold and S. Diehl, *Keldysh field theory for driven open quantum systems*, *Reports on Progress in Physics* **79** (2016) 096001.
 - [21] J. Marino and S. Diehl, *Quantum dynamical field theory for nonequilibrium phase transitions in driven open systems*, *Phys. Rev. B* **94** (2016) 085150.
 - [22] A. Baidya, C. Jana, R. Loganayagam and A. Rudra, *Renormalization in open quantum field theory. Part I. Scalar field theory*, *JHEP* **11** (2017) 204 [1704.08335].
 - [23] C. Burrage, C. Käding, P. Millington and J. Minář, *Open quantum dynamics induced by light scalar fields*, *Phys. Rev. D* **100** (2019) 076003 [1812.08760].
 - [24] C. Burrage, C. Käding, P. Millington and J. Minář, *Influence functionals, decoherence and conformally coupled scalars*, *J. Phys. Conf. Ser.* **1275** (2019) 012041 [1902.09607].
 - [25] S. Banerjee, S. Choudhury, S. Chowdhury, R. N. Das, N. Gupta, S. Panda et al., *Indirect detection of Cosmological Constant from interacting open quantum system*, *Annals Phys.* **443** (2022) 168941 [2004.13058].
 - [26] S. Nagy and J. Polonyi, *Renormalizing Open Quantum Field Theories*, *Universe* **8** (2022) 127 [2012.13811].
 - [27] S. Banerjee, S. Choudhury, S. Chowdhury, J. Knaute, S. Panda and K. Shirish, *Thermalization in quenched open quantum cosmology*, *Nucl. Phys. B* **996** (2023) 116368 [2104.10692].
 - [28] C. Jana, *Aspects of open quantum field theory*, Ph.D. thesis, Tata Inst., 2021.
 - [29] H. C. Fogedby, *Field-theoretical approach to open quantum systems and the Lindblad equation*, *Phys. Rev. A* **106** (2022) 022205 [2202.05203].
 - [30] C. Käding and M. Pitschmann, *New method for directly computing reduced density matrices*, *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023) 016005 [2204.08829].
 - [31] S. Cao and D. Boyanovsky, *Effective field theory of particle mixing*, *Phys. Rev. D* **109** (2024) 036038 [2310.17070].
 - [32] B. Bowen, N. Agarwal and A. Kamal, *Open system dynamics in interacting quantum field theories*, **2403.18907**.
 - [33] F. Reyes-Osorio, F. Garcia-Gaitan, D. J. Strachan, P. Plechac, S. R. Clark and B. K. Nikolic, *Schwinger-Keldysh nonequilibrium quantum field theory of open quantum systems beyond the Markovian regime: Application to the spin-boson model*, **2405.00765**.

- [34] M. J. Fahn and K. Giesel, *Gravitationally induced decoherence of a scalar field: investigating the one-particle sector and its interplay with renormalisation*, 2409.12790.
- [35] K. Kashiwagi and A. Matsumura, *Effective description of open quantum dynamics in relativistic scattering*, *Phys. Rev. D* **111** (2025) 045014 [2412.08154].
- [36] S. A. Salcedo, T. Colas and E. Pajer, *An Open Effective Field Theory for light in a medium*, *JHEP* **03** (2025) 138 [2412.12299].
- [37] C. Burrage and C. Kading, *Fock state probability changes in open quantum systems*, 2502.07673.
- [38] F. Farooq, I. A. Dar and M. Q. Lone, *Non-Markovian effects on the steady state properties of a damped harmonic oscillator*, *Commun. Theor. Phys.* **77** (2025) 085103 [2502.17891].
- [39] H. Manshouri and M. Zarei, *Dephasing through Bremsstrahlung emission: insights from quantum Boltzmann equation*, 2510.18833.
- [40] F. Lombardo and F. D. Mazzitelli, *Coarse graining and decoherence in quantum field theory*, *Phys. Rev. D* **53** (1996) 2001.
- [41] F. C. Lombardo and D. L. Nacir, *Decoherence during inflation: The generation of classical inhomogeneities*, *Phys. Rev. D* **72** (2005) 063506.
- [42] F. C. Lombardo, *Influence functional approach to decoherence during inflation*, *Braz. J. Phys.* **35** (2005) 391 [gr-qc/0412069].
- [43] D. Boyanovsky, *Effective field theory during inflation: Reduced density matrix and its quantum master equation*, *Phys. Rev.* **D92** (2015) 023527 [1506.07395].
- [44] D. Boyanovsky, *Effective field theory during inflation. II. Stochastic dynamics and power spectrum suppression*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016) 043501 [1511.06649].
- [45] D. Boyanovsky, *Fermionic influence on inflationary fluctuations*, *Phys. Rev.* **D93** (2016) 083507 [1602.05609].
- [46] D. Boyanovsky, *Imprint of entanglement entropy in the power spectrum of inflationary fluctuations*, *Phys. Rev.* **D98** (2018) 023515 [1804.07967].
- [47] C. P. Burgess, R. Holman, G. Tasinato and M. Williams, *EFT beyond the horizon: stochastic inflation and how primordial quantum fluctuations go classical*, *Journal of High Energy Physics* **2015** (2015) 90.
- [48] T. J. Hollowood and J. I. McDonald, *Decoherence, discord, and the quantum master equation for cosmological perturbations*, *Phys. Rev. D* **95** (2017) 103521.
- [49] S. Shandera, N. Agarwal and A. Kamal, *Open quantum cosmological system*, *Phys. Rev. D* **98** (2018) 083535 [1708.00493].
- [50] S. Choudhury, A. Mukherjee, P. Chauhan and S. Bhattacharjee, *Quantum Out-of-Equilibrium Cosmology*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **79** (2019) 320 [1809.02732].
- [51] H. Bohra, S. Choudhury, P. Chauhan, P. Narayan, S. Panda and A. Swain, *Relating the curvature of De Sitter Universe to Open Quantum Lamb Shift Spectroscopy*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **81** (2021) 196 [1905.07403].

- [52] S. Akhtar, S. Choudhury, S. Chowdhury, D. Goswami, S. Panda and A. Swain, *Open Quantum Entanglement: A study of two atomic system in static patch of de Sitter space*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **80** (2020) 748 [1908.09929].
- [53] T. Binder, K. Mukaida, B. Scheihing-Hitschfeld and X. Yao, *Non-Abelian electric field correlator at NLO for dark matter relic abundance and quarkonium transport*, *JHEP* **01** (2022) 137 [2107.03945].
- [54] S. Brahma, A. Berera and J. Calderón-Figueroa, *Universal signature of quantum entanglement across cosmological distances*, *Class. Quant. Grav.* **39** (2022) 245002 [2107.06910].
- [55] S. Cao and D. Boyanovsky, *Nonequilibrium dynamics of axionlike particles: The quantum master equation*, *Phys. Rev. D* **107** (2023) 063518 [2212.05161].
- [56] S. Brahma, A. Berera and J. Calderón-Figueroa, *Quantum corrections to the primordial tensor spectrum: open EFTs & Markovian decoupling of UV modes*, *JHEP* **08** (2022) 225 [2206.05797].
- [57] T. Colas, J. Grain and V. Vennin, *Benchmarking the cosmological master equations*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **82** (2022) 1085 [2209.01929].
- [58] T. Colas, J. Grain and V. Vennin, *Quantum recoherence in the early universe*, *EPL* **142** (2023) 69002 [2212.09486].
- [59] T. Colas, *Open Effective Field Theories for primordial cosmology : dissipation, decoherence and late-time resummation of cosmological inhomogeneities*, Ph.D. thesis, Institut d’astrophysique spatiale, France, AstroParticule et Cosmologie, France, APC, Paris, 2023.
- [60] C. Käding, M. Pitschmann and C. Voith, *Dilaton-induced open quantum dynamics*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **83** (2023) 767 [2306.10896].
- [61] A. Bhattacharyya, S. Brahma, S. S. Haque, J. S. Lund and A. Paul, *The early universe as an open quantum system: complexity and decoherence*, *JHEP* **05** (2024) 058 [2401.12134].
- [62] T. Colas, C. de Rham and G. Kaplanek, *Decoherence out of fire: purity loss in expanding and contracting universes*, *JCAP* **05** (2024) 025 [2401.02832].
- [63] C. P. Burgess, T. Colas, R. Holman, G. Kaplanek and V. Vennin, *Cosmic purity lost: perturbative and resummed late-time inflationary decoherence*, *JCAP* **08** (2024) 042 [2403.12240].
- [64] S. A. Salcedo, T. Colas and E. Pajer, *The open effective field theory of inflation*, *JHEP* **10** (2024) 248 [2404.15416].
- [65] T. Colas, *Open Effective Field Theories for cosmology*, in *58th Rencontres de Moriond on Cosmology*, 5, 2024, 2405.09639.
- [66] T. Colas, J. Grain, G. Kaplanek and V. Vennin, *In-in formalism for the entropy of quantum fields in curved spacetimes*, *JCAP* **08** (2024) 047 [2406.17856].
- [67] S. Brahma, J. Calderón-Figueroa and X. Luo, *Time-convolutionless cosmological master equations: late-time resummations and decoherence for non-local kernels*, *JCAP* **08** (2025) 019 [2407.12091].
- [68] C. Käding, *Frequency shifts induced by light scalar fields*, *Phys. Dark Univ.* **47** (2025) 101788 [2410.11567].

- [69] S. Brahma, J. Calderón-Figueroa, X. Luo and D. Seery, *The special case of slow-roll attractors in de Sitter: non-Markovian noise and evolution of entanglement entropy*, *JCAP* **04** (2025) 050 [2411.08632].
- [70] C. P. Burgess, T. Colas, R. Holman and G. Kaplanek, *Does decoherence violate decoupling?*, *JHEP* **02** (2025) 204 [2411.09000].
- [71] P. H. C. Lau, K. Nishii and T. Noumi, *Gravitational EFT for dissipative open systems*, 2412.21136.
- [72] H. Takeda and T. Tanaka, *Quantum decoherence of gravitational waves*, *Phys. Rev. D* **111** (2025) 104080 [2502.18560].
- [73] P. Nandi, T. Bhattacharyya, A. S. Majumdar, G. Pleasance and F. Petruccione, *Neutrino Decoherence in kappa-Minkowski Quantum Spacetime: An Open Quantum Systems Paradigm*, 2503.13061.
- [74] H.-T. Cho and B.-L. Hu, *Non-Markovian quantum master and Fokker-Planck equation for gravitational systems and gravitational decoherence*, *Phys. Rev. D* **112** (2025) 046021 [2504.11991].
- [75] D. Kranas, J. Grain and V. Vennin, *Recoherence, adiabaticity and Markovianity in Gaussian maps*, 2504.18648.
- [76] S. A. Salcedo, T. Colas, L. Dufner and E. Pajer, *An Open System Approach to Gravity*, 2507.03103.
- [77] S. Cespedes, S. de Alwis and F. Quevedo, *Cosmology, Decoherence and the Second Law*, 2509.07077.
- [78] C. P. Burgess, R. Holman and G. Kaplanek, *Inflationary Decoherence from the Gravitational Floor*, 2509.07769.
- [79] H. W. Yu, J. Zhang, H.-w. Yu and J.-l. Zhang, *Understanding Hawking radiation in the framework of open quantum systems*, *Phys. Rev. D* **77** (2008) 024031 [0806.3602].
- [80] F. C. Lombardo and G. J. Turiaci, *Dynamics of an Acoustic Black Hole as an Open Quantum System*, *Phys. Rev. D* **87** (2013) 084028 [1208.0198].
- [81] C. Jana, R. Loganayagam and M. Rangamani, *Open quantum systems and Schwinger-Keldysh holograms*, *JHEP* **07** (2020) 242 [2004.02888].
- [82] K. Agarwal and N. Bao, *Toy model for decoherence in the black hole information problem*, *Phys. Rev. D* **102** (2020) 086017.
- [83] G. Kaplanek and C. P. Burgess, *Qubits on the Horizon: Decoherence and Thermalization near Black Holes*, *JHEP* **01** (2021) 098 [2007.05984].
- [84] C. P. Burgess, R. Holman and G. Kaplanek, *Quantum Hotspots: Mean Fields, Open EFTs, Nonlocality and Decoherence Near Black Holes*, *Fortsch. Phys.* **70** (2022) 2200019 [2106.10804].
- [85] G. Kaplanek, C. P. Burgess and R. Holman, *Qubit heating near a hotspot*, *JHEP* **08** (2021) 132 [2106.10803].
- [86] N. Brambilla, M. A. Escobedo, J. Soto and A. Vairo, *Quarkonium suppression in heavy-ion collisions: an open quantum system approach*, *Phys. Rev.* **D96** (2017) 034021 [1612.07248].
- [87] N. Brambilla, M. A. Escobedo, J. Soto and A. Vairo, *Heavy quarkonium suppression in a fireball*, *Phys. Rev.* **D97** (2018) 074009 [1711.04515].

- [88] X. Yao and T. Mehen, *Quarkonium in-medium transport equation derived from first principles*, *Phys. Rev. D* **99** (2019) 096028 [1811.07027].
- [89] X. Yao and T. Mehen, *Quarkonium Semiclassical Transport in Quark-Gluon Plasma: Factorization and Quantum Correction*, *JHEP* **02** (2021) 062 [2009.02408].
- [90] Y. Akamatsu, *Quarkonium in quark-gluon plasma: Open quantum system approaches re-examined*, *Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys.* **123** (2022) 103932 [2009.10559].
- [91] W. A. De Jong, M. Metcalf, J. Mulligan, M. Płoskoń, F. Ringer and X. Yao, *Quantum simulation of open quantum systems in heavy-ion collisions*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 051501 [2010.03571].
- [92] X. Yao, *Open quantum systems for quarkonia*, *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A* **36** (2021) 2130010 [2102.01736].
- [93] N. Brambilla, M. A. Escobedo, M. Strickland, A. Vairo, P. Vander Griend and J. H. Weber, *Bottomonium production in heavy-ion collisions using quantum trajectories: Differential observables and momentum anisotropy*, *Phys. Rev. D* **104** (2021) 094049 [2107.06222].
- [94] P. V. Griend, *Bottomonium observables in an open quantum system using the quantum trajectories method*, *EPJ Web Conf.* **258** (2022) 05005 [2111.13520].
- [95] X. Yao, *Quarkonium Suppression in the Open Quantum System Approach*, in *19th International Conference on Hadron Spectroscopy and Structure*, 1, 2022, 2201.07702.
- [96] E. Wigner, *On the quantum correction for thermodynamic equilibrium*, *Phys. Rev.* **40** (1932) 749.
- [97] A. Donoso and C. C. Martens, *Quantum Tunneling Using Entangled Classical Trajectories*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **87** (2001) 223202.
- [98] H. Bauke and N. R. Itzhak, *Visualizing quantum mechanics in phase space*, 1101.2683.
- [99] O. Steuernagel, D. Kakofengitis and G. Ritter, *Wigner Flow Reveals Topological Order in Quantum Phase Space Dynamics*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 030401.
- [100] W. F. Braasch, O. D. Friedman, A. J. Rimberg and M. P. Blencowe, *Wigner current for open quantum systems*, *Phys. Rev. A* **100** (2019) 012124.
- [101] L. Mandel and E. Wolf, *Coherence properties of optical fields*, *Reviews of modern physics* **37** (1965) 231.
- [102] J. Barker and S. Murray, *A quasi-classical formulation of the Wigner function approach to quantum ballistic transport*, *Physics Letters A* **93** (1983) 271.
- [103] W. R. Frensley, *Wigner-function model of a resonant-tunneling semiconductor device*, *Physical Review B* **36** (1987) 1570.
- [104] Y. Kim and E. P. Wigner, *Canonical transformation in quantum mechanics*, *American Journal of Physics* **58** (1990) 439.
- [105] D. Kohen, C. C. Marston and D. J. Tannor, *Phase space approach to theories of quantum dissipation*, *The Journal of chemical physics* **107** (1997) 5236.
- [106] M. Suda, *Quantum Interferometry in Phase Space*. Springer Berlin, Heidelberg, 2005, 10.1007/3-540-30487-8.

- [107] W. Coffey, Y. P. Kalmykov, S. Titov and B. Mulligan, *Wigner function approach to the quantum Brownian motion of a particle in a potential*, *Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics* **9** (2007) 3361.
- [108] B. Vacchini and K. Hornberger, *Relaxation dynamics of a quantum Brownian particle in an ideal gas*, *The European Physical Journal Special Topics* **151** (2007) 59.
- [109] M. Croitoru, V. Gladilin, V. Fomin, J. T. Devreese, W. Magnus, W. Schoenmaker et al., *Quantum transport in an ultra-thin SOI MOSFET: Influence of the channel thickness on the I–V characteristics*, *Solid state communications* **147** (2008) 31.
- [110] D. Querlioz, H.-N. Nguyen, J. Saint-Martin, A. Bournel, S. Galdin-Retailleau and P. Dollfus, *Wigner-Boltzmann Monte Carlo approach to nanodevice simulation: from quantum to semiclassical transport*, *Journal of computational electronics* **8** (2009) 324.
- [111] M. A. Alonso, *Wigner functions in optics: describing beams as ray bundles and pulses as particle ensembles*, *Advances in Optics and Photonics* **3** (2011) 272.
- [112] V. Veitch, N. Wiebe, C. Ferrie and J. Emerson, *Efficient simulation scheme for a class of quantum optics experiments with non-negative wigner representation*, *New Journal of Physics* **15** (2013) 013037.
- [113] E. Giese, W. Zeller, S. Kleinert, M. Meister, V. Tamma, A. Roura et al., *The interface of gravity and quantum mechanics illuminated by Wigner phase space*, *Proc. Int. Sch. Phys. Fermi* **188** (2014) 171 [1402.0963].
- [114] D. Ferry, *Phase-space functions: can they give a different view of quantum mechanics?*, *Journal of Computational Electronics* **14** (2015) 864.
- [115] X.-X. Xu and H.-C. Yuan, *Quantum phase estimation with local amplified 1001 state based on wigner-function method*, *Quantum Information Processing* **14** (2015) 411.
- [116] G. Ren, J.-M. Du, H.-J. Yu and W.-h. Zhang, *Evolution of the coherent state via a new time evolution operator*, *Optik* **127** (2016) 3828.
- [117] D. Wigger, H. Gehring, V. M. Axt, D. E. Reiter and T. Kuhn, *Quantum dynamics of optical phonons generated by optical excitation of a quantum dot: A Wigner function analysis*, *Journal of Computational Electronics* **15** (2016) 1158.
- [118] B. Papendell, B. A. Stickler and K. Hornberger, *Quantum angular momentum diffusion of rigid bodies*, *New Journal of Physics* **19** (2017) 122001.
- [119] M. Suda, M. Faber, J. Bosina, T. Jenke, C. Käding, J. Micko et al., *Spectra of neutron wave functions in Earth’s gravitational field*, *Z. Naturforsch. A* **77** (2022) 875 [2111.02769].
- [120] M. Simoncelli, N. Marzari and F. Mauri, *Wigner formulation of thermal transport in solids*, *Physical Review X* **12** (2022) 041011.
- [121] T. Hahn, D. Groll, H. J. Krenner, T. Kuhn, P. Machnikowski and D. Wigger, *Photon scattering from a quantum acoustically modulated two-level system*, *AVS Quantum Science* **4** (2022) .
- [122] M. Amin, M. Daub and M. A. Walton, *Form-preserving transformations of wave and Wigner functions*, 2510.21949.

- [123] G. Mandal, A. Mohan and R. Suroshe, *2D or not 2D: a "holographic dictionary" for Lowest Landau Levels*, 2511.01630.
- [124] M. Kiamari and N. Sadooghi, *Wigner function of a rigidly rotating and magnetized QED plasma*, 2509.03440.
- [125] H. Azuma, W. J. Munro and K. Nemoto, *Quantum properties of superpositions of oppositely squeezed states*, 2511.03204.
- [126] S. K. Kar and V. Mykhaylova, *Fermi-Dirac Wigner function for massive spin-1/2 particles in local equilibrium*, 2511.09580.
- [127] M. Hillery, R. O'Connell, M. Scully and E. Wigner, *Distribution functions in physics: Fundamentals*, *Physics Reports* **106** (1984) 121.
- [128] H.-W. Lee, *Theory and application of the quantum phase-space distribution functions*, *Physics Reports* **259** (1995) 147.
- [129] W. B. Case, *Wigner functions and Weyl transforms for pedestrians*, *American Journal of Physics* **76** (2008) 937.
- [130] D. K. Ferry and M. Nedjalkov, *The Wigner Function in Science and Technology*, 2053-2563. IOP Publishing, 2018, 10.1088/978-0-7503-1671-2.
- [131] J. Weinbub and D. K. Ferry, *Recent advances in Wigner function approaches*, *Applied Physics Reviews* **5** (2018) 041104.
- [132] R. P. Rundle and M. J. Everitt, *Overview of the Phase Space Formulation of Quantum Mechanics with Application to Quantum Technologies*, *Advanced Quantum Technologies* **4** (2021) 2100016.
- [133] M. te Vrugt, *Wigner functions*, *Encyclopedia* **5** (2025) .
- [134] C. Käding and M. Pitschmann, *Density Matrix Formalism for Interacting Quantum Fields*, *Universe* **8** (2022) 601 [2210.06991].
- [135] C. Käding, *Particles in finite volumes and a toy model of decaying neutrons*, *Eur. Phys. J. C* **85** (2025) 758 [2504.16784].
- [136] C. Käding and M. Pitschmann, *Density matrices in quantum field theory: Non-Markovianity, path integrals and master equations*, 2503.08567.
- [137] W. H. Zurek, *Pointer basis of quantum apparatus: Into what mixture does the wave packet collapse?*, *Phys. Rev. D* **24** (1981) 1516.
- [138] W. H. Zurek, *Environment-induced superselection rules*, *Phys. Rev. D* **26** (1982) 1862.
- [139] W. H. Zurek, *Decoherence and the transition from quantum to classical*, *Physics Today* **44** (1991) 36.
- [140] W. H. Zurek, *Preferred states, predictability, classicality and the environment-induced decoherence*, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **89** (1993) 281.
- [141] J. R. Anglin and W. H. Zurek, *Decoherence of quantum fields: Pointer states and predictability*, *arXiv:quant-ph/9510021* (1995) [quant-ph/9510021].
- [142] D. A. R. Dalvit, J. Dziarmaga and W. H. Zurek, *Predictability sieve, pointer states, and the classicality of quantum trajectories*, *Phys. Rev. A* **72** (2005) 062101.

- [143] W. H. Zurek, *Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical*, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **75** (2003) 715.