The emergence of inherently 9-dimensional one-loop couplings from T-duality

Mohammad R. Garousi ¹

Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, Ferdowsi University of Mashhad P.O. Box 1436, Mashhad, Iran

Abstract

Recent studies suggest that applying the Buscher rules to the dimensional reduction of ten-dimensional, one-loop effective actions may generate "purely stringy" couplings in nine dimensions that cannot be lifted to a local, covariant form in ten dimensions. We investigate this phenomenon at order α'^3 in type IIA string theory. By computing the circular reduction of the one-loop Chern-Simons term and pure-gravity couplings in type IIA theory and applying the T-duality transformation to the resulting couplings, we derive their counterparts in the type IIB effective action. We demonstrate that the resulting nine-dimensional type IIB couplings are invariant under S-duality without requiring contributions from the tree-level effective action or non-perturbative effects. This result is non-trivial: while S-duality forbids one-loop Chern-Simons couplings in ten-dimensional type IIB theory, we show that the one-loop Chern-Simons couplings in nine dimensions, derived from the T-duality of type IIA, are S-duality invariant. As a consistency check, we show that the nine-dimensional type IIB couplings, when reduced on a K3 surface, reproduce the known heterotic string couplings on T^5 at order α' , via the duality between the two theories.

¹garousi@um.ac.ir

1 Introduction

The spacetime effective action in string theory at the critical dimension 10 is characterized by a double expansion in the world-sheet genus g and the string scale α' , which governs the derivative expansion. A range of complementary techniques—including the S-matrix method [1, 2, 3], the non-linear sigma model [4, 5, 6], supersymmetry [7], T-duality [8, 9, 10], and S-duality [11, 12]—are employed to determine the coefficients of this expansion. The dualities impose distinct constraints: T-duality relates classical effective actions at different orders in α' while preserving the genus, whereas S-duality operates more profoundly, connecting 10-dimensional couplings across different genera and introducing non-perturbative effects. A striking application of this principle is that imposing S-duality on the classical type IIB effective action at order α'^3 uniquely determines the structure of its higher-genus and non-perturbative completions [12].

To impose the T-duality constraint on the effective action, it is necessary to compactify at least one spatial dimension on a circle. If the circle's radius is treated as a dimensionless parameter, the resulting nine-dimensional theory acquires an additional expansion parameter alongside the α' - and q-expansions: an expansion in the circle's radius [13]. At the classical level, the effective action is background-independent [14]. The nine-dimensional effective action can therefore be derived from the Kaluza-Klein (KK) reduction of the ten-dimensional theory. Consequently, the radius-dependence of the classical couplings is known exactly from this KK reduction. This property, in fact, allows one to determine the classical effective action by imposing T-duality on its KK reduction [15, 16, 17, 18]. At the loop level, however, the effective action is background-dependent [13]. The nine-dimensional effective action is then distinctly different from its ten-dimensional counterpart, and the radius-dependence of the couplings generally cannot be derived from the KK reduction of the ten-dimensional terms. In this case, the couplings must be expressed as a radius expansion. The leading large-radius term of this expansion can be found from the KK reduction of the ten-dimensional couplings. Wherease, the leading small-radius terms can be found by applying the T-duality transformation to the leading large-radius term. These small-radius terms, along with all other possible terms in the radius expansion, represent inherently nine-dimensional couplings that cannot be derived from the KK reduction of the ten-dimensional theory [13]. They may be determined through explicit S-matrix calculations that include the effects of compactification on a circle [19]. Ultimately, the radius expansion must be constructed to ensure the loop-level effective action is invariant under T-duality.

This paper derives the nine-dimensional, one-loop effective action of type IIB theory at order α'^3 in the small-radius limit by imposing T-duality on the circularly reduced ten-dimensional action of type IIA theory. We demonstrate that, while the large-radius limit of the type IIB Chern-Simons term is zero, its small-radius limit is non-zero and is, in fact, invariant under S-duality. Furthermore, whereas the large-radius limit of the type IIB one-loop pure gravity effective action must combine with tree-level and non-perturbative couplings to achieve S-duality invariance [12], we show that its leading small-radius couplings are S-duality invariant by themselves. We establish this by explicitly reducing the ten-dimensional couplings from type IIA and performing a T-duality transformation to derive the corresponding nine-dimensional

couplings in type IIB. We then show that the resulting couplings are invariant under S-duality when the Ramond-Ramond (RR) fields are set to zero.

We observe that S-duality constrains the radius expansion of the type II effective action at order α'^3 , predicting its truncation to solely the large- and small-radius limits. In the nine-dimensional type IIB theory, the one-loop couplings at this order exhibit S-duality in specific regimes: in the large-radius limit, they combine with tree-level and non-perturbative contributions to achieve invariance [12], while in the small-radius limit, we demonstrate that they are inherently invariant. Given that the tree-level action and non-perturbative effects are already incorporated, S-duality requires that any remaining terms in the one-loop radius expansion must themselves be invariant. The only consistent solution to this constraint is for such terms to vanish, thereby confirming the truncation of the radius expansion.

As a further check on the nine-dimensional type IIB couplings at order α'^3 , we use the duality between type IIB theory on $S^1 \times K3$ and heterotic theory on T^5 [20]. We dimensionally reduce the couplings on a K3 manifold and demonstrate that, while their large-radius limit yields no terms at order α' , their small-radius limit produces five-dimensional couplings at order α' . These are shown to be consistent with the expected couplings of the heterotic theory compactified on T^5 , following an appropriate field redefinition that maps the type IIB radius parameter to the heterotic dilaton. Given that the dilaton dependence in the heterotic theory at order α' —specifically, the factor of $e^{-2\Phi}$ —is exact [21, 22], this correspondence implies that the radius dependence of the type IIB couplings is also exact. This result reinforces the conclusion that the nine-dimensional couplings exist in only two distinct forms: one for the large-radius limit and one for the small-radius limit, with no interpolating corrections.

This paper is structured as follows. Section 2 details the KK reduction of the type IIA Chern-Simons term at order α'^3 , its transformation under T-duality to produce the appropriate couplings in type IIB, and the invariance of the resulting couplings under S-duality. In subsection 2.4, we show that S-duality prohibits the existence of Chern-Simons couplings in ten dimensions. In subsection 2.5, we demonstrate that the K3 reduction of the nine-dimensional couplings in type IIB produces four-derivative couplings consistent with the corresponding heterotic theory on T^5 . In Section 3, we perform the same calculations for the KK reduction of the pure gravity couplings in type IIA and study their transformation under T-duality and S-duality, as well as the equivalence of their K3 reduction of nine-dimensional type IIB couplings with the heterotic theory on T^5 . Our conclusions are presented in Section 4. All calculations were performed using the "xAct" package [23].

2 Chern-Simons term at order α'^3

The well-known duality between M-theory on a circle and type IIA string theory is reflected in their effective actions: the KK reduction of 11-dimensional supergravity yields 10-dimensional type IIA supergravity [24, 25], and M-theory's eight-derivative corrections produce one-loop corrections in type IIA string theory. However, the complete eight-derivative couplings for M-theory's massless bosonic fields—the metric and the three-form—are not yet known. In

this paper, we focus on the subset of these couplings that have been determined: namely, the Chern-Simons term [26, 27, 28, 29] where the three-form appears linearly and the pure gravity terms [30, 31, 32, 28].

2.1 10D Chern-Simons term in type IIA

The KK reduction of the eight-derivative Chern-Simons term in M-theory produces the corresponding Chern-Simons term in type IIA theory [27], as well as some gauge-invariant couplings involving RR fields [33]. The latter couplings are not discussed here, as our focus is on NS-NS couplings. The Chern-Simons term in type IIA theory is (see [33] for our notation and conventions)

$$\mathbf{S}_{\text{IIA}}^{CS} = -\frac{2}{\kappa^2} \frac{\pi^2 \alpha'^3}{2^{11} \cdot 3^2} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-G} \, \epsilon_{10}^{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu\nu\kappa\lambda\theta\delta\sigma} B_{\alpha\beta} \Big[3R_{\gamma\mu}^{\ \eta\epsilon} R_{\lambda\theta\rho\omega} R_{\nu\kappa\eta\epsilon} R_{\delta\sigma}^{\ \rho\omega} -12R_{\gamma\mu}^{\ \eta\epsilon} R_{\lambda\theta\omega\epsilon} R_{\nu\kappa\rho\eta} R_{\delta\sigma}^{\ \rho\omega} \Big], \tag{1}$$

where ϵ_{10} denotes the Levi-Civita tensor in ten dimensions, and $\kappa^2 = \frac{1}{\pi} (2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^8$. The absence of a dilaton in the above action identifies it as the one-loop effective action. Since 11-dimensional M-theory lacks a fundamental string, the KK reduction of its effective action correctly produces the 10-dimensional type IIA couplings. In other words, the above action is valid for any radius of the 11th direction $R_{11} = g_s \sqrt{\alpha'}$. However, this is not the case for the KK reduction of type IIA theory, whose fundamental object is a string originating from an M2-brane wrapped on the circle of the 11th dimension. Consequently, the lower-dimensional one-loop effective action of type IIA string theory may receive both winding and KK contributions. The KK contribution, which we calculate in the following section, is valid only in the large-radius limit.

2.2 9D Chern-Simons term in type IIA

The nine-dimensional one-loop couplings in type IIA theory for the large-radius limit can be obtained from the KK reduction of the ten-dimensional one-loop couplings. When one spatial dimension is compactified on a circle of dimensionless radius $R = e^{\varphi/2}$, the NS-NS fields reduce according to [34, 35] as:

$$G_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{g}_{ab} + e^{\varphi} g_a g_b & e^{\varphi} g_a \\ e^{\varphi} g_b & e^{\varphi} \end{pmatrix}, \quad B_{\mu\nu} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{b}_{ab} + b_{[a} g_{b]} & b_a \\ -b_b & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \Phi = \bar{\phi} + \varphi/4, \tag{2}$$

where indices a, b denote directions orthogonal to the Killing coordinate y. The resulting nine-dimensional base space fields are: \bar{g}_{ab} (metric), \bar{b}_{ab} (antisymmetric tensor), $\bar{\phi}$ (dilaton), φ (scalar), and the vector fields g_a and b_a .

The reduction of the Levi-Civita symbol $\epsilon'_{10} = \sqrt{-G} \, \epsilon_{10}$ is trivial, as

$$\sqrt{-G}\,\epsilon_{10}^{yabcdefghi} = \sqrt{-\bar{g}}\,\epsilon_9^{abcdefghi},\tag{3}$$

where ϵ_9 denotes the Levi-Civita tensor in nine dimensions. The reduction of the Riemann curvature tensor can also be calculated using the reduction of the metric in (2), and the integral over the Killing coordinate y becomes 2π . A similar calculation was performed in [33] when reducing the M-theory Chern-Simons term to produce the type IIA coupling (1). This reduction produces the nine-dimensional Chern-Simons term [36], as well as other terms that can be rendered gauge-invariant after the inclusion of specific non-gauge-invariant total derivative terms. By following the method of [33], one obtains:

$$S_{\text{IIA}}^{CS} = -\frac{2}{\kappa^2} \frac{\pi^3 \alpha'^3}{2^{10} \cdot 3^2} \int d^9 x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \, \epsilon_9^{abcdefghi} \Big[b_a \mathcal{L}_{bcdefghi} + W_{hi} \mathcal{L}_{abcdefg}^W + \bar{H}_{ghi} \mathcal{L}_{abcdef}^{\bar{H}} \Big], \tag{4}$$

which includes the two standard terms of the nine-dimensional Chern-Simons form:

$$\mathcal{L}_{bcdefghi} = 24R_{bc}^{jk}R_{dej}^{l}R_{fgk}^{m}R_{hilm} - 6R_{bc}^{jk}R_{dejk}R_{fg}^{lm}R_{hilm}.$$
 (5)

The Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}_{abcdefg}^{W}$ comprises 11 terms. They are

$$\mathcal{L}_{abcdefg}^{W} = 24e^{2\varphi}R_{fgkl}V_{b}^{j}V_{c}^{k}V_{de}V_{j}^{l}\nabla_{a}\varphi + 12e^{\varphi}R_{de}^{kl}R_{fgkl}V_{a}^{j}\nabla_{c}V_{bj} - 18e^{2\varphi}R_{fgkl}V_{a}^{j}V_{b}^{k}V_{c}^{l}\nabla_{e}V_{dj}
-6e^{2\varphi}R_{fgkl}V_{a}^{j}V_{bc}V^{kl}\nabla_{e}V_{dj} + 24e^{2\varphi}R_{fgkl}V_{a}^{j}V_{b}^{k}V_{cd}\nabla_{e}V_{j}^{l} - 24e^{2\varphi}R_{fgkl}V_{ab}V_{cd}V^{jk}\nabla_{e}V_{j}^{l}
+12e^{3\varphi}V_{a}^{j}V_{bc}V_{de}V_{j}^{k}V_{k}^{l}\nabla_{g}V_{fl} + 12e^{3\varphi}V_{a}^{j}V_{b}^{k}V_{cd}V_{ef}V_{j}^{l}\nabla_{g}V_{kl} - 24e^{\varphi}R_{dej}^{l}R_{fgkl}V_{a}^{j}\nabla^{k}V_{bc}
-6e^{2\varphi}V_{a}^{j}\nabla_{c}V_{bj}\nabla_{g}V_{fk}\nabla^{k}V_{de} - 36e^{2\varphi}R_{fgkl}V_{a}^{j}V_{bc}V_{j}^{k}\nabla^{l}V_{de},$$
(6)

and $\mathcal{L}_{abcdef}^{\bar{H}}$ contains 80 terms. They are

$$\mathcal{L}_{abcdef}^{\bar{H}} = 2e^{2\varphi}R_{cd}^{lm}R_{eflm}V_a^{j}V_b^{k}V_c^{l}V_d^{m}V_{jk} + 16e^{\varphi}R_{abj}^{l}R_{cdk}^{m}R_{eflm}V^{jk}$$

$$-4e^{\varphi}R_{abjk}R_{cd}^{lm}R_{eflm}V^{jk} + e^{2\varphi}R_{cd}^{lm}R_{eflm}V_{ab}V_{jk}V^{jk} + 8e^{3\varphi}R_{eflm}V_a^{j}V_b^{k}V_{cd}V_j^{l}V_k^{m}$$

$$+4e^{3\varphi}R_{eflm}V_{ab}V_{cd}V_j^{l}V_j^{jk}V_k^{m} + \frac{3}{2}e^{4\varphi}V_a^{j}V_b^{k}V_c^{l}V_d^{m}V_{ef}V_{jk}V_{lm}$$

$$-2e^{4\varphi}V_a^{j}V_b^{k}V_{cd}V_{ef}V_j^{l}V_k^{m}V_{lm} - \frac{1}{2}e^{4\varphi}V_{ab}V_{cd}V_{ef}V_j^{l}V_j^{jk}V_k^{m}V_{lm}$$

$$+2e^{2\varphi}R_{cdlm}R_{efjk}V_a^{j}V_b^{k}V_c^{lm} - 2e^{3\varphi}R_{eflm}V_a^{j}V_b^{k}V_{cd}V_{jk}V^{lm}$$

$$-12e^{2\varphi}R_{cdjl}R_{efkm}V_{ab}V_j^{jk}V_l^{lm} - 2e^{3\varphi}R_{eflm}V_a^{j}V_b^{k}V_{cd}V_{jk}V^{lm}$$

$$-e^{3\varphi}R_{eflm}V_{ab}V_{cd}V_{jk}V^{jk}V^{lm} - e^{3\varphi}R_{efjk}V_a^{j}V_b^{k}V_{cd}V_{lm}V^{lm}$$

$$+\frac{1}{2}e^{4\varphi}V_a^{j}V_b^{k}V_{cd}V_{ef}V_{jk}V_{lm}V^{lm} + \frac{1}{8}e^{4\varphi}V_{ab}V_{cd}V_{ef}V_{jk}V_{jk}V_{lm}V^{lm}$$

$$+16e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_b^{j}V_c^{k}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla_dV_j^{l} - 32e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_bV_j^{k}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla_dV_j^{l}$$

$$-2e^{3\varphi}V_b^{j}V_{cd}V_{kl}V^{kl}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla_fV_{el} - 12e^{3\varphi}V_b^{j}V_c^{k}V_d^{l}V_{jk}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla_fV_{el}$$

$$+16e^{3\varphi}V_b^{j}V_cdV_j^{k}V_k^{l}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla_fV_{el} + 24e^{3\varphi}V_b^{j}V_c^{k}V_d^{l}V_{jk}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla_fV_{el}$$

$$+8e^{3\varphi}V_a^{j}V_b^{k}V_cd\nabla_eV_j^{l}\nabla_fV_{kl} - 4e^{\varphi}R_{cd}^{kl}R_{efkl}V_{bj}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla_f\varphi$$

$$+16e^{\varphi}R_{cdj}^{l}R_{efkl}V_b^{k}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla_f\varphi + 12e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_{bj}V_c^{k}V_d^{l}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla_f\varphi$$

$$-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_b^{k}V_cdV_j^{l}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla_f\varphi - 6e^{3\varphi}V_b^{l}V_c^{l}V_d^{l}V_eV_d^{l}V_a\varphi\nabla_f\varphi$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+4e^{3\varphi}V_b^kV_{cd}V_{ef}Y_j^lV_{kl}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla^j\varphi-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efjl}V_b^kV_{cd}V_k^l\nabla_a\varphi\nabla^j\varphi\\ &+4e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_{bj}V_{cd}V^{kl}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla^j\varphi-e^{3\varphi}V_{bj}V_{cd}V_{ef}V_{kl}V^{kl}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla^j\varphi\\ &+8e^{\varphi}R_{cd}^{kl}R_{efkl}\nabla_bV_{aj}\nabla^j\varphi-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efjl}V_a^kV_b^l\nabla_dV_{cj}\nabla^j\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_{ab}V^{kl}\nabla_dV_{cj}\nabla^j\varphi+16e^{2\varphi}R_{efjl}V_a^kV_b^l\nabla_dV_{ck}\nabla^j\varphi\\ &+16e^{2\varphi}R_{efjl}V_{ab}V^{kl}\nabla_dV_{ck}\nabla^j\varphi+4e^{3\varphi}V_a^kV_b^lV_{cd}V_{kl}\nabla_fV_{ej}\nabla^j\varphi\\ &+12e^{3\varphi}V_{ab}V_{cd}V_{kl}V^{kl}\nabla_fV_{ej}\nabla^j\varphi+16e^{2\varphi}V_b^k\nabla_a\varphi\nabla_dV_{cj}\nabla_fV_{ek}\nabla^j\varphi\\ &+2e^{3\varphi}V_a^kV_b^lV_{cd}V_{jk}\nabla_fV_{el}\nabla^j\varphi+8e^{3\varphi}V_a^kV_b^lV_{cd}V_k^l\nabla_fV_{el}\nabla^j\varphi\\ &+8e^{3\varphi}V_a^kV_b^lV_{cd}V_{jk}\nabla_fV_{el}\nabla^j\varphi+8e^{3\varphi}V_{aj}V_b^kV_{cd}V_k^l\nabla_fV_{el}\nabla^j\varphi\\ &+8e^{3\varphi}V_a^kV_b^lV_{cd}V_{jk}\nabla_fV_{el}\nabla^j\varphi+2e^{\varphi}R_{cd}^{kl}R_{efkl}V_{ab}\nabla_j\varphi\nabla^j\varphi\\ &-2e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^kV_b^lV_{cd}\nabla_j\varphi\nabla^j\varphi+2e^{\varphi}R_{cd}^{kl}R_{efkl}V_{ab}\nabla_j\varphi\nabla^j\varphi\\ &-2e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^kV_b^lV_{cd}\nabla_j\varphi\nabla^j\varphi+2e^{\varphi}R_{cd}^{kl}R_{efkl}V_{ab}\nabla_j\varphi\nabla^j\varphi\\ &-2e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^kV_b^lV_{cd}\nabla_j\varphi\nabla^j\varphi+2e^{\varphi}R_{cd}^{kl}R_{efkl}V_{ab}\nabla_j\varphi\nabla^j\varphi\\ &-2e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^kV_b^lV_{cd}\nabla_j\varphi\nabla^j\varphi+2e^{\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla_\varphi\nabla^k\psi\\ &-2e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^kV_b^lV_{cd}\nabla_j\varphi\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-4e^{2\varphi}V_b^kV_{cd}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla_fV_{ek}\nabla_j\varphi\nabla^k\psi\\ &-4e^{2\varphi}V_b^kV_{cd}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla_fV_{ek}\nabla_j\varphi\nabla^k\psi\\ &-4e^{2\varphi}V_b^kV_{cd}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla_fV_{ek}\nabla^j\varphi\nabla^k\psi\\ &-4e^{2\varphi}V_b^k\nabla_d\nabla_g\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-4e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\varphi\\ &+8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\varphi\\ &+8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\varphi\\ &+8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\varphi\\ &+8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\varphi\\ &+8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\varphi\\ &+8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl}V_a^j\nabla^k\nabla^k\varphi\\ &-8e^{2\varphi}R_{efkl$$

In above equations R_{abcd} is the Riemann curvature made of the base space metric \bar{g}_{ab} , $V_{ab} = \partial_a g_b - \partial_b g_a$ and $W_{ab} = \partial_a b_b - \partial_b b_a$ are the field strengths of the verctors, and base space torsion \bar{H}_{abc} is [35]

$$\bar{H}_{abc} = 3\partial_{[a}\tilde{b}_{bc]} - 3W_{[ab}\,g_{c]} \tag{8}$$

where $\tilde{b}_{ab} = \bar{b}_{ab} + b_{[a}g_{b]}$. It is important to note that for a zero RR field, the leading two-derivative type IIA action contains no Chern-Simons term. Consequently, there is no eight-derivative term generated by a six-derivative field redefinition of the leading-order action. As a result, the field redefinition cannot alter the form of the couplings in (4).

The action in equation (4), which represents the one-loop effective action of type IIA theory

only in the large-radius limit, can be rederived by studying the appropriate torus-level S-matrix elements. When calculating S-matrix elements in type IIA string theory compactified on a circle, the continuous spacetime momentum in the circle direction is replaced with discrete KK and winding momenta [19]. Consequently, the corresponding spacetime couplings may, in general, receive contributions from both winding and KK modes. However, the couplings in (4) are reproduced solely by the pure KK modes. It has been speculated in [13] that because $S_{\text{IIA}}^{\text{CS}}$ is topological, there is no overall radius factor in this action; hence, the nine-dimensional S-matrix calculation should not produce any contact terms that involve winding modes in type IIA theory. In other words, the radius dependence of the couplings in (4) is exact. This implies there is no small-radius limit or winding-mode contribution for the type IIA couplings in (4).

Although there are no couplings corresponding to winding modes in the 9-dimensional type IIA Chern-Simons terms (4), such couplings do exist in the 9-dimensional type IIB theory, where they correspond to pure winding modes in its S-matrix elements. In this case, one expects there to be no couplings corresponding to KK modes instead. The couplings for the pure winding contribution in type IIB theory can be derived via T-duality from the type IIA couplings, which we will study in the next section.

2.3 9D Chern-Simons term in type IIB

Under T-duality, the pure KK modes in type IIA S-matrix elements become the pure winding modes in type IIB. Consequently, the couplings in (4)—which correspond to these pure KK modes and are valid in the large-radius limit—transform into couplings for the pure winding modes, valid in the small-radius limit.

Under T-duality, the base space fields transform as [37, 38, 35]:

$$\bar{g}'_{ab} = \bar{g}_{ab}, \bar{H}'_{abc} = \bar{H}_{abc}, \bar{\phi}' = \bar{\phi}, g'_a = b_a, b'_a = g_a, \varphi' = -\varphi.$$
 (9)

Therefore, under T-duality, the nine-dimensional type IIA couplings given in (4) yield the following type IIB couplings:

$$S_{\text{IIB}}^{CS} = -\frac{2}{\kappa^2} \frac{\pi^3 \alpha'^3}{2^{10} \cdot 3^2} \int d^9 x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \, \epsilon_9^{abcdefghi} \Big[g_a \mathcal{L}_{bcdefghi} + V_{hi} \mathcal{L}_{abcdefg}'^W + \bar{H}_{ghi} \mathcal{L}_{abcdef}'^{\bar{H}} \Big], \tag{10}$$

where \mathcal{L}'^W and $\mathcal{L}'^{\bar{H}}$ are identical to the expressions in (6) and (7), but with V replaced by W and the sign of φ reversed. The resulting action provides the correct couplings for the type IIB theory in the small-radius limit. As a feature of type IIB theory, these couplings must be consistent with S-duality; we will investigate this consistency in the next section.

2.3.1 Consistency with S-duality

Unlike the T-duality transformations in (9), which are given in the string frame, the S-duality transformations of type IIB theory are properly defined in the Einstein frame. In this frame, the metric and the RR four-form are invariant, while the B-field and the RR two-form transform

as a doublet [39, 40]. Since the duality parameters are constant, their field strengths also transform as a doublet, i.e.,

$$\mathcal{H} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} H \\ F \end{pmatrix} \to (\Lambda^{-1})^T \begin{pmatrix} H \\ F \end{pmatrix} \; ; \; \Lambda = \begin{pmatrix} p & q \\ r & s \end{pmatrix} \in SL(2, R) \,. \tag{11}$$

The dilaton and the RR scalar transform nonlinearly as $\tau \to \frac{p\tau+q}{r\tau+s}$, where the complex scalar field is defined as $\tau = C + ie^{-\Phi}$. The matrix \mathcal{M} , defined in terms of the dilaton and the RR scalar, *i.e.*,

$$\mathcal{M} = e^{\Phi} \begin{pmatrix} |\tau|^2 & C \\ C & 1 \end{pmatrix} \tag{12}$$

then transforms as [41]

$$\mathcal{M} \to \Lambda \mathcal{M} \Lambda^T$$
 (13)

The derivatives of this matrix transform in the same way. The matrix \mathcal{N} , which is defined as

$$\mathcal{N} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \tag{14}$$

satisfies $\Lambda \mathcal{N} \Lambda^T$. Using these matrices and the transformation in (11), one can construct various $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ -invariant objects. For example, $\mathcal{H}^T_{\mu\nu\alpha}\mathcal{N}\mathcal{H}_{\beta\gamma\lambda} = -F_{\mu\nu\alpha}H_{\beta\gamma\lambda} + H_{\mu\nu\alpha}F_{\beta\gamma\lambda}$ is invariant under the $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ transformation.

An $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ -invariant object, in general, has more than one component. For example, $\mathcal{H}^T \mathcal{M} \mathcal{H}$ has the following components:

$$\mathcal{H}^T \mathcal{M} \mathcal{H} = e^{-\Phi} (1 + e^{2\Phi} C^2) H H + e^{\Phi} F F - e^{\Phi} C (H F + F H). \tag{15}$$

When the RR fields are zero, the following terms are invariant under S-duality:

$$e^{-\Phi}H_{\mu\nu\alpha}H_{\beta\gamma\lambda} \to e^{-\Phi}H_{\mu\nu\alpha}H_{\beta\gamma\lambda}, \quad e^{-\Phi}W_{ab}W_{cd} \to e^{-\Phi}W_{ab}W_{cd}.$$
 (16)

Higher derivatives of these field strengths are also invariant.

Hence, to study the S-duality of the couplings, it is appropriate to transform the string frame metric to the Einstein frame metric using $G_{\mu\nu} = e^{\Phi/2} G^E_{\mu\nu}$. Applying this transformation to the circularly reduced metric in (2), one finds the following relations between the string frame and Einstein frame base space fields:

$$\bar{g}_{ab} = e^{\Phi/2} \bar{g}_{ab}^E, \quad g_a = g_a^E, \quad \varphi = \frac{1}{2} \Phi + \varphi^E.$$
 (17)

Under S-duality, the ten-dimensional Einstein frame metric is invariant; hence,

$$\bar{g}_{ab}^E \to \bar{g}_{ab}^E, \quad g_a \to g_a, \quad \varphi^E \to \varphi^E.$$
 (18)

The Levi-Civita tensor ϵ_9 is related to the Levi-Civita symbol ϵ_9' by $\sqrt{-\bar{g}} \, \epsilon_9 = \epsilon_9'$. Therefore, the overall factor in (10) is invariant under S-duality.

We now continue the discussion for a constant dilaton in type IIB theory. It is then obvious that the first term in (10) is S-duality invariant, because for a constant dilaton one finds

$$R_{abcd} = e^{\Phi/2} R_{abcd}^E, \tag{19}$$

and the Lagrangian density $\mathcal{L}_{bcdefghi}$ contains four Riemann tensors and four inverse metrics.

The second term in (10) is also invariant under S-duality for zero RR field and a constant dilaton. Here, V_{hi} is invariant, and $\mathcal{L}_{abcdefg}^{\prime W}$ is invariant as well. To see this, consider the first term in $\mathcal{L}_{abcdefg}^{\prime W}$:

$$-24e^{-2\varphi}R_{fqkl}W_b^jW_c^kW_{de}W_j^l\nabla_a\varphi. \tag{20}$$

This term contains one Riemann tensor and three inverse metrics. Hence, in the Einstein frame, it becomes

$$-24e^{-2\varphi^E}e^{-2\Phi}R^E_{fqkl}W_b{}^jW_c{}^kW_{de}W_j{}^l\nabla_a\varphi^E, \tag{21}$$

which is invariant under S-duality according to (16). Similarly, all other terms in $\mathcal{L}'^{W}_{abcdefg}$ are S-duality invariant.

To study the S-duality of the third term in (10), we first note that the following structure is invariant under S-duality for zero RR field:

$$e^{-\Phi}\bar{H}_{abc}W_{de} \to e^{-\Phi}\bar{H}_{abc}W_{de}.$$
 (22)

To see the invariance of the third term in (10), consider, for example, the first term in $\bar{H}_{ghi}\mathcal{L}'^{\bar{H}}_{abcdef}$, which is given by

$$2e^{-2\varphi}R_{cd}^{lm}R_{eflm}\bar{H}_{ghi}W_a^{j}W_b^{k}W_{jk}.$$
(23)

This term contains two Riemann tensors and four inverse metrics. Hence, it transforms into the following coupling in the Einstein frame:

$$2e^{-2\varphi^{E}}e^{-2\Phi}R_{cd}^{E\,lm}R_{eflm}^{E}\bar{H}_{ghi}W_{a}{}^{j}W_{b}{}^{k}W_{jk}, \tag{24}$$

which is obviously invariant under S-duality. Similarly, all other terms in $\bar{H}_{ghi}\mathcal{L}'^{\bar{H}}_{abcdef}$ are invariant.

Therefore, the type IIB couplings given in (10) are invariant under the continuous $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ S-duality group, just like the two-derivative effective action of type IIB (see *e.g.*, [25]). That is,

$$S_{\text{IIB}}^{CS} \to S_{\text{IIB}}^{CS}.$$
 (25)

It has been speculated in [13] that because S_{IIB}^{CS} is a topological coupling, its radius dependence is exact; in other words, the action contains no overall function of the radius. This implies that

for the corresponding S-matrix elements in type IIB string theory—which involve both KK and winding momentum in the circular direction—the terms with KK momentum produce no contact terms. The only contact terms arise in the limit involving purely winding momentum. Conversely, if the action (10) contained an overall function of the radius, it would not be invariant under S-duality. Hence, consistency with S-duality confirms that the radius dependence in the nine-dimensional couplings (10) is exact. Moreover, the observation that the type IIB couplings (10) correspond solely to winding momentum in the S-matrix elements predicts that S-matrix elements in 10-dimensional Minkowski spacetime—which have no winding momentum—should produce no contact terms. This implies that there can be no Chern-Simons term in the 10-dimensional type IIB theory. In the following section, we confirm this prediction by studying S-duality in 10-dimensional spacetime.

2.4 No 10D Chern-Simons term in type IIB

It has been observed that the Chern-Simons coupling in type IIA theory, which involves an odd number of B-fields, is absent in type IIB theory [30]. The above discussion indicates that while there exist nine-dimensional Chern-Simons terms involving an even number of W and \bar{H} —which are reductions of the B-field strength—there should be no ten-dimensional Chern-Simons term in type IIB theory involving an even number of B-fields. In fact, such couplings would be inconsistent with S-duality.

First, note that a Chern-Simons term cannot be constructed with zero B-field. To show that no such term exists with two B-fields, consider a potential Chern-Simons coupling with two H-fields in the string frame:

$$\epsilon'_{10}HHRRR$$
 (26)

where ϵ'_{10} is the 10-dimensional Levi-Civita symbol. The above coupling has three Riemann curvatures and four inverse metrics. Hence, it appears in the Einstein frame as

$$\epsilon_{10}^{\prime}e^{-\Phi/2}HHR^{E}R^{E}R^{E}.\tag{27}$$

Using the relation (16), one finds that it is not invariant under S-duality. Similarly, consider the following coupling in the string frame:

$$\epsilon'_{10}\nabla H\nabla HRR$$
 (28)

It has two Riemann curvatures and three inverse metrics; hence, in the Einstein frame, it appears as

$$\epsilon_{10}' e^{-\Phi/2} \nabla H \nabla H R^E R^E \tag{29}$$

which is not invariant under S-duality. Similar arguments hold for other terms involving four, six, and eight H-fields. For the theory to be $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant, any one-loop coupling would need to be combined with a corresponding tree-level Chern-Simons term, along with non-perturbative corrections. The fact that no such tree-level term exists implies that S-duality forbids a 10-dimensional Chern-Simons term in type IIB string theory, which is consistent with expectations.

2.5 Reduction on K3 and duality in 5D

Type IIB string theory compactified on $S^1 \times K3$ is known to be dual to heterotic string theory on T^5 (see, e.g., [25]). Each theory contains 106 scalar fields; however, we are interested in only one specific scalar from each—the dilaton in the heterotic theory and the radius of the circle in the type IIB theory. Both theories also contain 27 vector fields. The type IIB string theory compactified on K3 yields 21 tensor multiplets and one gravity multiplet. Each tensor multiplet contains one two-form field, while the gravity multiplet includes five two-form fields and one metric. Upon further compactification on an additional circle, these fields give rise to 27 vector fields. In the heterotic theory, the 27 vector fields arise from: five gauge fields derived from the metric upon compactification on T^5 , sixteen gauge fields from the Cartan subalgebra of SO(32) or $E_8 \times E_8$, five gauge fields from the reduction of the B-field on T^5 , and one vector field obtained via Hodge dualization of the B-field in five-dimensional spacetime. Although all 27 vectors are present in each theory, we focus specifically on two of them. In type IIB, we consider W and V, which appear in the action (10). In the heterotic theory, we consider one vector resulting from the metric along one circle of T^5 , and the other from the B-field along the same circle. The field content of both theories also includes a five-dimensional metric and a Kalb-Ramond field.

The K3 reduction of the eight-derivative couplings in (10) generates both the four-derivative couplings in which we are interested and higher-derivative couplings in which we are not. To isolate the four-derivative couplings, we consider an ansatz where the 9-dimensional metric takes the block-diagonal form:

$$ds^{2} = G_{ab}^{5}(x)dx^{a}dx^{b} + g_{\mu\nu}^{4}(y)dy^{\mu}dy^{\nu}, \tag{30}$$

with y^{μ} denoting the K3 coordinates. In this section, we use the indices a, b, \cdots for the 5-dimensional space and the indices μ, ν, \cdots for the compact 4-dimensional space. For the block-diagonal metric, the 9-dimensional Levi-Civita symbol can be written as the product of the 5-dimensional and 4-dimensional Levi-Civita symbols. In terms of the Levi-Civita tensor, this is expressed as:

$$\sqrt{-\bar{g}}\,\epsilon_9 = \sqrt{-G^5}\,\epsilon_5\,\sqrt{g^4}\,\epsilon_4. \tag{31}$$

The non-flatness of the K3 surface introduces non-vanishing curvature contributions. In particular, the integral of the first Pontryagin class over K3 is (see, e.g., [42]):

$$\frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int_{K3} d^4y \sqrt{g^4} \,\epsilon_4^{\alpha\beta\mu\nu} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\mu\nu}^{\gamma\delta} = 48. \tag{32}$$

This topological constraint plays a key role in producing the four-derivative couplings when applied to the eight-derivative couplings in (10).

Using the above constraint, the K3 reduction of the nine-dimensional, one-loop gravity couplings in (10) produces the following four-derivative term in five dimensions:

$$S_{5D} = -\frac{2}{\kappa^2} \frac{\pi^5 \alpha'^3}{6} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-G^5} \, \epsilon_5^{abcde} \Big[-12 g_a R_{bc}{}^{fg} R_{defg} + 2 \bar{H}_{cde} W_a{}^f W_b{}^g W_{fg} e^{-2\varphi} \Big]$$

$$-4\bar{H}_{cde}R_{abfg}W^{fg}e^{-\varphi} + \bar{H}_{cde}W_{ab}W_{fg}W^{fg}e^{-2\varphi} + 12V_{de}W_{a}{}^{f}\nabla_{c}W_{bf}e^{-\varphi}$$
$$-4\bar{H}_{cde}W_{bf}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{f}\varphi e^{-\varphi} - 8\bar{H}_{cde}\nabla_{b}W_{af}\nabla^{f}\varphi e^{-\varphi} + 2\bar{H}_{cde}W_{ab}\nabla_{f}\varphi\nabla^{f}\varphi e^{-\varphi} \Big].$$
(33)

To convert the first term into the one that appears in the heterotic theory, we use the following identity:

$$\frac{1}{4} \epsilon_5^{abcde} R_{fgab} R^{fg}_{cd} = \epsilon_5^{abcde} \nabla_d \Omega_{abc}, \tag{34}$$

where Ω_{abc} is the Lorentz Chern-Simons three-form in five dimensions. Then the first term in (33) can be written in terms of $\epsilon_5^{abcde}V_{ab}\Omega_{cde}$ up to a total derivative. Note that since the form of the couplings in the 9-dimensional action (4) and its T-dual action (10) does not change under higher-derivative field redefinitions, the corresponding 5-dimensional couplings in (33) also remain unchanged.

We now map the five-dimensional one-loop type IIB theory (33) to the couplings in the five-dimensional heterotic theory using the following map:

$$\bar{H}^{abc} \to \frac{e^{-\Phi}}{2!} \epsilon_5^{abcde} W_{de} , V^{ab} \to -\frac{e^{-3\Phi}}{3!} \epsilon_5^{abcde} \bar{H}_{cde} , W_{ab} \to V_{ab} ,$$

$$G_{ab} \to e^{-2\Phi} G_{ab} , \varphi \to 2\Phi , \Omega_{abc} \to \bar{\Omega}_{abc},$$

$$(35)$$

where the fields on the right-hand sides are those of the dual theory. Note that the radius field φ in type IIB maps to the dilaton in the heterotic theory. Under the above transformation, the five-dimensional action (33) transforms into the following dual action:

$$S_{5D}^{\text{dual}} = -\frac{2}{\kappa^{2}} \frac{\pi^{5} \alpha'^{3}}{6} \int d^{5}x \sqrt{-G^{5}} e^{-2\Phi} \Big[48 \bar{H}^{abc} \bar{\Omega}_{abc} - 12 V_{a}^{\ c} V^{ab} V_{b}^{\ d} W_{cd} - 6 V_{ab} V^{ab} V^{cd} W_{cd} + 24 R_{abcd} V^{ab} W^{cd} - 48 \bar{H}_{bcd} V_{a}^{\ b} V^{cd} \nabla^{a} \Phi - 96 W^{bc} \nabla^{a} \Phi \nabla_{c} V_{ab} + 96 V^{ab} W_{a}^{\ c} \nabla_{c} \nabla_{b} \Phi + 24 \bar{H}_{bcd} V^{ab} \nabla^{d} V_{a}^{\ c} \Big].$$
(36)

Note that the overall dilaton factor $e^{-2\Phi}$ indicates the dual action is at the sphere level. Note also that the above action is linear in the field strengths \bar{H} and W, so it should correspond to couplings in the heterotic theory that are linear in the NS-NS antisymmetric tensor field strength.

Using the fact that under the five-dimensional duality between type IIB and heterotic theory, the NS5-brane of heterotic theory wrapped on T^5 with volume V transforms into the fundamental string of type IIB theory wrapped on the circle, the equality of their masses yields the following relation when the volume of the circle is 2π :

$$\frac{2\pi V}{(2\pi\sqrt{\alpha'})^6 g_s^2} = \frac{2\pi}{2\pi\alpha'}.$$
 (37)

Using this relation, one finds that (36) produces exactly the reduction of the Chern-Simons term $H^{\mu\nu\alpha}\Omega_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ in the heterotic theory on T^5 . This holds when the metric and B-field have a non-zero vector component along one circle of fixed radius, and after applying an appropriate two-derivative field redefinition. This calculation closely follows that of [33], which demonstrated

that the K3 reduction of analogous ten-dimensional type IIA couplings yields the reduction of the Chern-Simons term $H^{\mu\nu\alpha}\Omega_{\mu\nu\alpha}$ in the heterotic theory on T^4 .

It is well-known that the four-derivative NS-NS couplings in the heterotic theory do not receive higher-genus corrections [21, 22]. Then the map (35), in which the radius maps to the dilaton, indicates that the radius dependence in (33) is exact. Hence, the radius dependence in the 9-dimensional action (10) is also exact, which is consistent with the conclusion reached from S-duality in subsection 2.3.1.

3 Pure gravity couplings at order $\alpha^{\prime 3}$

The KK reduction of the pure gravity couplings in M-theory yields the corresponding pure gravity couplings in type IIA theory, along with other couplings involving RR fields [43, 44] that are not of interest here. The pure gravity couplings in type IIA theory are

$$\mathbf{S}_{\text{IIA}} = -\frac{2}{\kappa^2} \frac{\pi^2 \alpha'^3}{2^3 \cdot 3} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-G} \left[\frac{1}{4} R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\epsilon\varepsilon} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\gamma\epsilon}{}^{\mu\nu} R_{\delta\varepsilon\mu\nu} - R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\epsilon\varepsilon} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\gamma}{}^{\mu}{}_{\epsilon}{}^{\nu} R_{\delta\mu\varepsilon\nu} \right.$$

$$\left. + \frac{1}{16} R_{\alpha\beta}{}^{\epsilon\varepsilon} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\gamma\delta}{}^{\mu\nu} R_{\epsilon\varepsilon\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} R_{\alpha}{}^{\epsilon}{}_{\gamma}{}^{\varepsilon} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\beta}{}^{\mu}{}_{\delta}{}^{\nu} R_{\epsilon\mu\varepsilon\nu} \right.$$

$$\left. - R_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^{\epsilon} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\delta}{}^{\epsilon\mu\nu} R_{\epsilon\mu\varepsilon\nu} + \frac{1}{32} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\epsilon\varepsilon\mu\nu} R^{\epsilon\varepsilon\mu\nu} \right].$$

$$\left. - R_{\alpha\beta\gamma}{}^{\epsilon} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\delta}{}^{\epsilon\mu\nu} R_{\epsilon\mu\varepsilon\nu} + \frac{1}{32} R_{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\epsilon\varepsilon\mu\nu} R^{\epsilon\varepsilon\mu\nu} \right].$$

Up to field redefinitions, it is the familiar coupling $(t_8t_8 - \frac{1}{8}\epsilon_{10}\epsilon_{10})R^4$ [45, 32, 30, 36]. There is no dilaton in the above action; therefore, it is the one-loop effective action of type IIA string theory.

In the next subsection, we find the KK reduction of the above coupling. Since the above action is the one-loop effective action of type IIA string theory, the KK reduction does not in general produce the complete couplings in nine dimensions. There may be winding contributions as well. Unlike the Chern-Simons term in type IIA theory, which has no contributions from winding modes, the pure gravity part does have winding contributions. These can be found by applying T-duality to the KK reduction of the one-loop effective action of type IIB theory, which is given by

$$\mathbf{S}_{\text{IIB}} = -\frac{2}{\kappa^2} \frac{\pi^2 \alpha'^3}{2^3 \cdot 3} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-G} \left[R_{\alpha \gamma}^{\epsilon} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\beta \epsilon}^{\mu \nu} R_{\delta\mu\epsilon\nu} - \frac{1}{4} R_{\alpha\beta}^{\epsilon\epsilon} R^{\alpha\beta\gamma\delta} R_{\gamma\epsilon}^{\mu\nu} R_{\delta\epsilon\mu\nu} \right]. (39)$$

Up to field redefinition, it is the coupling $(t_8t_8 + \frac{1}{8}\epsilon_{10}\epsilon_{10})R^4$ [45, 32, 30, 36]. Applying KK reduction and then T-duality to the above couplings leads to the type IIA winding-mode couplings in the small-radius limit. In M-theory, these are interpreted as coming from an M2-brane wrapped on $S^1 \times S^1$. We will not explore this correspondence further in the present work.

The same couplings as above appear at tree-level in type IIB theory [2, 3, 4, 5]. The combination of tree-level, one-loop, and non-perturbative effects causes them to be invariant under the S-duality of type IIB theory in ten dimensions [12]. Consequently, their circular KK reduction should also satisfy S-duality in nine dimensions [12]. The KK reduction is valid only in

the large-radius limit. S-duality then requires that if there are couplings in the nine-dimensional type IIB theory at the small-radius limit, they must satisfy S-duality by themselves.

To find the nine-dimensional couplings for type IIB theory in the small-radius limit, one should first perform the KK reduction of the type IIA couplings in (38). Since the result of this reduction is valid only in the large-radius limit, one must then apply T-duality to obtain the desired couplings in type IIB theory. In the following section, we calculate this KK reduction of the type IIA couplings given in (38).

3.1 Large-radius limit of 9D couplings in type IIA

The dimensional reduction scheme in (2) yields the following nine-dimensional type IIA couplings:

$$S_{IIA} = -\frac{2}{\kappa^{2}} \frac{\pi^{3} \alpha'^{3}}{2^{2} \cdot 3} \int d^{9}x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} e^{\varphi/2} \left[\frac{1}{4} R_{ab}{}^{de} R^{abcf} R_{cd}{}^{gh} R_{fegh} - R_{ab}{}^{de} R^{abcf} R_{c}{}^{g}{}_{d}{}^{h} R_{fgeh} \right.$$

$$+ \frac{1}{16} R_{ab}{}^{de} R^{abcf} R_{cf}{}^{gh} R_{degh} + \frac{1}{2} R_{a}{}^{d}{}_{c}{}^{e} R^{abcf} R_{b}{}^{g}{}_{f}{}^{h} R_{dgeh}$$

$$- R_{abc}{}^{d} R^{abcf} R_{f}{}^{egh} R_{dgeh} + \frac{1}{32} R_{abcf} R^{abcf} R_{degh} R^{degh} + \cdots \right].$$

$$(40)$$

The ellipsis in the equation represents 708 couplings involving the Riemann, Ricci, and Ricci scalar curvatures, along with first and second derivatives of the radius parameter φ and the U(1) gauge field g_a .

The couplings in (40) represent one-loop effective interactions in a specific scheme. While these can be transformed into alternative schemes via field redefinitions and integration by parts, constructing a representation with the minimal number of couplings presents a non-trivial challenge. Although we cannot determine the absolute minimum number of independent couplings, in this section we express them using a minimal basis of 298 eight-derivative metric-radion-vector interactions. As detailed in the Appendix, this minimal basis admits multiple equivalent representations. Here we demonstrate that the couplings in (40) can be expanded in this basis with 288 non-zero coefficients and 10 vanishing coefficients. The specific values of these coefficients are scheme-dependent, contingent upon the chosen representation of the minimal basis.

The coefficients in this work are determined using the scheme defined in the Appendix (see (70)), where (40) is equated to our minimal basis after applying field redefinitions, integration by parts, and Bianchi identities. That is,

$$S_{IIA} \sim -\frac{2}{\kappa^2} \frac{\pi^3 \alpha'^3}{2^2 \cdot 3} \int d^9 x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \mathcal{L}(\varphi, V) \,.$$
 (41)

Here, S_{IIA} represents the dimensionally reduced action from (40), while $\mathcal{L}(\varphi, V)$ denotes the specific minimal basis of 298 couplings identified in Appendix (see (70)). The \sim relation signifies equality modulo:

- Field redefinitions
- Total derivative terms
- Bianchi identities

The computational procedure employed here mirrors that of the Appendix used to determine the minimal basis. From this matching, we uniquely determine all 298 coupling constants in (70), which take the following values:

```
c_1 = 5471/432, c_2 = -(11995/432), c_3 = 9601/864, c_4 = 593/192, c_5 = -(905/1728).
c_6 = -(775/108), c_7 = 25543/1728, c_8 = -(24031/13824), c_9 = -(1903/1728), c_{10} = 289/512,
c_{11} = 3235/432, c_{12} = 6227/864, c_{13} = -(25543/864), c_{14} = 135935/3456, c_{15} = 157469/1728,
c_{16} = 23963/1728, c_{17} = -(886/9), c_{18} = -(153385/13824), c_{19} = 73385/3456, c_{20} = 3/64,
c_{21} = 5617/3456, c_{22} = 235/54, c_{23} = 4271/864, c_{24} = -(2857/288), c_{25} = 1/8,
c_{26} = -(871/432), c_{27} = -(4001/1728), c_{28} = -(6119/432), c_{29} = 10699/864,
c_{30} = -(10375/864), c_{31} = -(81/32), c_{32} = -(7/6), c_{33} = 4271/864, c_{34} = -(7615/864),
c_{35} = 1369/768, c_{36} = -(58895/2304), c_{37} = 5/4, c_{38} = 443/36, c_{39} = 31/96, c_{40} = 1/4,
c_{41} = -(3/8), c_{42} = -(7/16), c_{43} = -(1/8), c_{44} = -(5/4), c_{45} = -(3/2), c_{46} = 5/4,
c_{47} = 10279/864, c_{48} = 1/4, c_{49} = 1/2, c_{50} = 3/8, c_{51} = 3/8, c_{52} = 0, c_{53} = 5/16, c_{54} = 3/4,
c_{55} = 0, c_{56} = 144737/6912, c_{57} = 719/256, c_{58} = -(48845/864), c_{59} = -(49129/6912),
c_{60} = 75221/1728, c_{61} = -(9169/1152), c_{62} = -(74357/1728), c_{63} = 9601/576,
c_{64} = -(39961/1728), c_{65} = -(1763/18), c_{66} = -(61/32), c_{67} = 2551/1728,
c_{68} = -(6011/864), c_{69} = 52415/3456, c_{70} = -(2443/1728), c_{71} = -(10847/6912),
c_{72} = 74573/1728, c_{73} = 10465/2304, c_{74} = -(1763/36), c_{75} = 547/32, c_{76} = 7079/1152,
c_{77} = -(75005/1728), c_{78} = -(9601/2304), c_{79} = -(6443/1728), c_{80} = 1763/18,
c_{81} = -(363905/10368), c_{82} = 24233/1728, c_{83} = -(359/128), c_{84} = 25111/1728,
c_{85} = -(320/27), c_{86} = -(33269/1728), c_{87} = 3535/576, c_{88} = -(25111/6912),
c_{89} = 5579/6912, c_{90} = 7/4, c_{91} = -(5147/3456), c_{92} = 689/216, c_{93} = -(12191/864),
c_{94} = -(11851/144), c_{95} = -(30659/3456), c_{96} = -(7/16), c_{97} = -(141725/6912),
c_{98} = -(30263/4608), c_{99} = 239/54, c_{100} = 39101/13824, c_{101} = 28373/2304,
c_{102} = -(109163/6912), c_{103} = 13831/1728, c_{104} = -(304643/20736), c_{105} = 171791/6912,
c_{106} = 145241/10368, c_{107} = 44215/3456, c_{108} = 585167/41472, c_{109} = 11563/864,
c_{110} = 616085/10368, c_{111} = 7603/4608, c_{112} = -(3535/72), c_{113} = 13341/128,
c_{114} = 48427/1728, c_{115} = -(359273/13824), c_{116} = 3773/432, c_{117} = 1/2,
c_{118} = 10429/1728, c_{119} = -(11725/1728), c_{120} = 157901/864, c_{121} = 886/9,
c_{122} = -(1763/18), c_{123} = 21371/1728, c_{124} = 13021/3456, c_{125} = -(443/9),
c_{126} = 13703/864, c_{127} = -(14675/4608), c_{128} = 9527/1296, c_{129} = -(12601/192),
```

```
c_{130} = 25147/576, c_{131} = 27581/1728, c_{132} = -(13489/1152), c_{133} = 25147/1152,
c_{134} = 95555/1728, c_{135} = -(158261/1152), c_{136} = 8351/432, c_{137} = 26987/3456,
c_{138} = -(22883/3456), c_{139} = 0, c_{140} = 12067/576, c_{141} = -(263/72), c_{142} = -(6541/864),
c_{143} = -(253033/2304), c_{144} = -(5579/864), c_{145} = -(271237/1152),
c_{146} = -(531631/2304), c_{147} = 271309/2304, c_{148} = 30541/1728, c_{149} = 1523/54,
c_{150} = -(132445/10368), c_{151} = -(2344/81), c_{152} = -(2245/432), c_{153} = 40933/3456,
c_{154} = 13129/1728, c_{155} = -(267649/3456), c_{156} = -(307/108), c_{157} = 749/216,
c_{158} = 997/1152, c_{159} = -(503/288), c_{160} = 8539/3456, c_{161} = -(5243/432),
c_{162} = -(563/288), c_{163} = 1/4, c_{164} = 11563/864, c_{165} = 4595/432, c_{166} = -(10699/864),
c_{167} = 4/3, c_{168} = -(4595/864), c_{169} = 10699/1728, c_{170} = 1349/216, c_{171} = 5887/864,
c_{172} = -(12319/432), c_{173} = 1/2, c_{174} = -(113/18), c_{175} = 7687/864, c_{176} = -(11887/432),
c_{177} = -(5/16), c_{178} = 95/18, c_{179} = -(12211/1152), c_{180} = -(811/864),
c_{181} = -(1313/3456), c_{182} = 2251/864, c_{183} = -(2467/864), c_{184} = -(2467/864), c_{185} = 1/8,
c_{186} = 133/27, c_{187} = -(4379/864), c_{188} = 293/54, c_{189} = -(17/24), c_{190} = -(4937/432),
c_{191} = -(266/27), c_{192} = 142/9, c_{193} = -(775/108), c_{194} = 22883/3456,
c_{195} = 136567/10368, c_{196} = -(300047/55296), c_{197} = 6211/3456,
c_{198} = -(3952841/165888), c_{199} = -(16099/3456), c_{200} = 1/8, c_{201} = 1733959/20736,
c_{202} = -(133/27), c_{203} = 251545/10368, c_{204} = 2037649/41472, c_{205} = 1/16,
c_{206} = -(158423/9216), c_{207} = 1/32, c_{208} = 3/16, c_{209} = 1159/54, c_{210} = 0,
c_{211} = 19609/6912, c_{212} = 11275/1152, c_{213} = -(5579/864), c_{214} = 82007/13824,
c_{215} = -(25165/1728), c_{216} = -(1/8), c_{217} = 103321/6912, c_{218} = -(775/108),
c_{219} = -(8875/1728), c_{220} = 401/54, c_{221} = -(23/64), c_{222} = -(12211/864),
c_{223} = 5209/108, c_{224} = -(12319/432), c_{225} = 3055/144, c_{226} = -(14717/864),
c_{227} = 12427/864, c_{228} = 24233/864, c_{229} = 11833/432, c_{230} = 11923/1152, c_{231} = 15/32,
c_{232} = -(1/2), c_{233} = 22883/3456, c_{234} = 4697/288, c_{235} = -(13/16), c_{236} = -(22883/3456),
c_{237} = -(11815/576), c_{238} = -(1/16), c_{239} = -(11923/288), c_{240} = 11923/576, c_{241} = 11/8,
c_{242} = 11635/576, c_{243} = 11563/1728, c_{244} = 9997/1728, c_{245} = -(3635/288),
c_{246} = 7795/1728, c_{247} = 721/108, c_{248} = -(11995/432), c_{249} = 0, c_{250} = 1/8, c_{251} = 0,
c_{252} = -(7/64), c_{253} = 0, c_{254} = -1, c_{255} = -1, c_{256} = 1/32, c_{257} = 141/128, c_{258} = 149/576,
c_{259} = -(515/108), c_{260} = 10267/1728, c_{261} = -(2933/1728), c_{262} = -(559/54),
c_{263} = 239/216, c_{264} = -(11563/864), c_{265} = 293/108, c_{266} = 1/16, c_{267} = 1/4, c_{268} = 0,
c_{269} = -(1891/6912), c_{270} = -(9403/864), c_{271} = 10699/864, c_{272} = 517/4608,
c_{273} = -(2269/6912), c_{274} = 7361/864, c_{275} = -(251/576), c_{276} = -(143/576),
c_{277} = -(133/108), c_{278} = -(1/4), c_{279} = 5/8, c_{280} = -(11293/1728), c_{281} = -(3851/3456),
c_{282} = 0, c_{283} = 0, c_{284} = 37001/6912, c_{285} = 17/32, c_{286} = 2339/13824, c_{287} = -(1/4),
```

$$c_{288} = 16339/1152, c_{289} = -(803/216), c_{290} = -(16051/1728), c_{291} = 25465/6912,$$

$$c_{292} = 175/1728, c_{293} = 937/54, c_{294} = -(3631/1728), c_{295} = -(3181/108),$$

$$c_{296} = 6497/144, c_{297} = 25543/864, c_{298} = -(3427/288)$$

$$(42)$$

Of the 298 couplings in the minimal basis, 288 are non-zero and 10 are zero. Among the vanishing coefficients is c_{268} , which is the sole independent coupling involving the Ricci tensor.

We therefore present the final result for the one-loop effective action of type IIA theory at the eight-derivative order, specific to the metric-radion-vector sector:

$$S_{IIA} = -\frac{2}{\kappa^2} \frac{\pi^3 \alpha'^3}{2^2 \cdot 3} \int d^9 x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \mathcal{L}(\varphi, V) , \qquad (43)$$

where the Lagrangian $\mathcal{L}(\varphi, V)$ is given in (70), with the coupling constants set to the values in (42). Since this action is derived from the KK reduction of the ten-dimensional couplings in (38), it is valid only in the large-radius limit. The corresponding couplings in the small-radius limit can be found via T-duality from the KK reduction of the type IIB couplings in (39), which is not the focus of this work. In the next section, we instead derive the small-radius limit of the type IIB couplings at order α'^3 .

3.2 Small-radius limit of 9D couplings in type IIB

Under T-duality, the effective action corresponding to the pure KK modes in type IIA theory becomes the effective action of type IIB that corresponds to pure winding modes.

Under T-duality (9), the nine-dimensional type IIA couplings given in (43) yield the following type IIB couplings in nine dimensions:

$$S_{IIB} = -\frac{2}{\kappa^2} \frac{\pi^3 \alpha'^3}{2^2 \cdot 3} \int d^9 x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \mathcal{L}(-\varphi, W), \qquad (44)$$

where $\mathcal{L}(-\varphi, W)$ is identical to $\mathcal{L}(\varphi, V)$ but with V replaced by W and the sign of φ reversed. The resulting action represents the correct couplings of type IIB theory in the small-radius limit.

As these couplings belong to the type IIB framework, they are expected to be consistent with S-duality. In the large-radius limit of type IIB, achieving S-duality invariance—which is governed by the discrete $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ group—requires the inclusion of tree-level and non-perturbative effects [12]. Conversely, since the tree-level effective action contains no winding modes, no tree-level action is expected to exist in the small-radius limit.² Therefore, the one-loop cou-

$$\sqrt{-\bar{g}}e^{-2\bar{\phi}}\Big(R-\frac{1}{4}\nabla_a\varphi\nabla^a\varphi-\frac{e^\varphi}{4}V^2-\frac{e^{-\varphi}}{4}W^2-\frac{1}{12}\bar{H}^2\Big).$$

Although this expression includes both e^{φ} and $e^{-\varphi}$, it corresponds entirely to the large-radius regime. This is because it originates from the standard dimensional reduction and does not include contributions from winding modes, which characterize the small-radius limit.

²It is important to clarify that the KK reduction of the ten-dimensional tree-level action $\sqrt{-G}e^{-2\Phi}(R-\frac{1}{12}H^2)$, assuming a constant dilaton, gives

plings at the small-radius limit must be S-duality invariant by themselves, corresponding to the continuous $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ symmetry. In the next section, we study the S-duality of the couplings in (44).

3.2.1 Consistency with S-duality

To analyze the S-duality properties of the couplings, we must first express them in the Einstein frame, as in subsection 2.3.1. We begin by considering the pure gravity couplings given in (44):

$$\sqrt{-\bar{g}} e^{-\varphi/2} RRRR \tag{45}$$

The transformation of $\sqrt{-\bar{g}}$ to the Einstein frame is $e^{9\Phi/4}\sqrt{-\bar{g}^E}$. Similarly, $e^{-\varphi/2}$ transforms to $e^{-\Phi/4}e^{-\varphi^E/2}$. Given that the term RRRR contains four Riemann curvatures and is contracted with eight inverse metrics, one finds that for a constant dilaton, it transforms as $e^{-2\Phi}R^ER^ER^ER^E$. Consequently, the coupling above transforms into the following expression in the Einstein frame:

$$\sqrt{-\bar{g}^E} e^{-\varphi^E/2} R^E R^E R^E R^E, \tag{46}$$

which is invariant under S-duality.³

Next, consider the coupling with coefficient c_{145} in (70). Its structure is:

$$\sqrt{-\bar{g}} e^{-\varphi/2} \nabla \nabla \varphi \nabla \nabla \varphi \nabla \nabla \varphi \nabla \nabla \varphi$$
 (47)

This term involves four inverse metrics. Hence, for a constant dilaton, $\nabla\nabla\varphi\nabla\nabla\varphi\nabla\nabla\varphi\nabla\nabla\varphi\nabla\nabla\varphi\nabla\nabla\varphi\nabla\nabla\varphi\nabla\nabla\varphi\nabla\nabla\varphi\nabla\nabla\varphi\nabla\nabla\varphi^{E}\nabla\nabla\varphi^{E}\nabla\nabla\varphi^{E}\nabla\nabla\varphi^{E}$. Consequently, the coupling (47) transforms to the following expression in the Einstein frame:

$$\sqrt{-\bar{g}^E} e^{-\varphi^E/2} \nabla \nabla \varphi^E \nabla \nabla \varphi^E \nabla \nabla \varphi^E \nabla \nabla \varphi^E$$
(48)

which is invariant under S-duality.

Next, consider the coupling with coefficient c_{139} in (70). Its structure is:

$$\sqrt{-\bar{g}} e^{-5\varphi/2} \nabla W \nabla W \nabla W \nabla W \tag{49}$$

This term involves six inverse metrics. Hence, for zero RR fields and a constant dilaton, $\nabla W \nabla W \nabla W \nabla W \nabla W$ transforms as $e^{-3\Phi} \nabla W \nabla W \nabla W \nabla W \nabla W$ in the Einstein frame. Therefore, the coupling (49) transforms to:

$$\sqrt{-\bar{g}^E} \, e^{-5\varphi^E/2} e^{-2\Phi} \nabla W \nabla W \nabla W \nabla W \tag{50}$$

The pure gravity coupling in the large-radius limit is $\sqrt{-\bar{g}} e^{\varphi/2} RRRR$. It transforms to $\sqrt{-\bar{g}^E} e^{\varphi^E/2} e^{\Phi/2} R^E R^E R^E R^E$ in the Einstein frame, which is not invariant under S-duality. However, the analogous tree-level coupling is $\sqrt{-\bar{g}} e^{-2\Phi} e^{\varphi/2} RRRR$, which transforms to $\sqrt{-\bar{g}^E} e^{\varphi^E/2} e^{-3\Phi/2} R^E R^E R^E R^E$ in the Einstein frame. The combination of these two perturbative contributions, along with non-perturbative effects, becomes invariant under the S-duality group $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ [12].

which is invariant under S-duality by the transformation rule (16).

As a final example, consider the coupling with coefficient c_{127} in (70). Its structure is:

$$\sqrt{-\bar{g}} e^{-7\varphi/2} RWWWWWW \tag{51}$$

$$\sqrt{-\bar{g}^E} e^{-7\varphi^E/2} e^{-3\Phi} R^E WWWWWWW \tag{52}$$

which is invariant under S-duality according to the transformation (16).

Similarly, all other couplings in (44) are invariant under S-duality for a constant dilaton and zero RR fields. That is,

$$S_{\text{IIB}} \rightarrow S_{\text{IIB}}.$$
 (53)

Hence, the one-loop effective action of type IIB theory at order α'^3 in the small-radius limit is S-duality invariant by itself. In contrast, at the large-radius limit, the tree-level, one-loop, and non-perturbative contributions must be combined to produce an S-duality invariant action at the same order [12]. Furthermore, if one alters the radius dependence of the couplings in (44), the resulting action is no longer S-duality invariant. This indicates that the radius expansion of the one-loop effective action consists of only two distinct terms: one for the large-radius limit and one for the small-radius limit, with no intermediate corrections. This conclusion can also be reached by studying the K3 reduction of the couplings in (44), which we perform in the next section.

3.3 Reduction on K3 and duality in 5D

Reducing the eight-derivative couplings on K3 using the ansatz (30) yields both eight- and four-derivative terms; we discard the former. The non-zero curvature of the K3 surface is essential here. Specifically, the integral of the Riemann-squared term gives a topological invariant, the Euler characteristic (see, e.g., [42]):

$$\frac{1}{32\pi^2} \int_{K3} d^4y \sqrt{g^4} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} = 24.$$
 (54)

This fixed value is central to producing the four-derivative couplings when reducing eight-derivative terms involving $R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta}$.

Using the topological constraint in (54), the K3 reduction of the nine-dimensional one-loop gravity couplings in (44) produces the following four-derivative term in five dimensions:

$$S_{5D} = -\frac{2}{\kappa^2} \frac{8\pi^5 \alpha'^3}{3} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-G^5} e^{-\varphi/2} \left[\frac{3}{2} R_{abcd} R^{abcd} - \frac{7}{8} R_{abcd} W^{ab} W^{cd} e^{-\varphi} - \frac{7}{8} R_{acbd} W^{ab} W^{cd} e^{-\varphi} \right]$$

$$+ \frac{7079}{48} W_a{}^c W_{bc} \nabla^a \varphi \nabla^b \varphi e^{-\varphi} + \frac{3535}{24} \nabla_a \varphi \nabla^a \varphi \nabla_b \varphi \nabla^b \varphi + \frac{25111}{288} \nabla^a \varphi \nabla_b \nabla_a \varphi \nabla^b \varphi$$

$$+ \frac{886}{3} \nabla_b \nabla_a \varphi \nabla^b \nabla^a \varphi + \frac{24031}{576} W_a{}^c W^{ab} \nabla_c \nabla_b \varphi e^{-\varphi} + \frac{9}{8} \nabla_b W_{ac} \nabla^c W^{ab} e^{-\varphi} \right].$$
 (55)

The resulting five-dimensional effective action is formulated in the specific minimal scheme developed in the Appendix. To analyze this action under the map in (35), we must first extend it to its most general form—a form that differs only by five-dimensional field redefinitions, integration by parts, and applications of the Bianchi identities.

We generalize the action by first constructing a maximal basis of 19 independent fivedimensional couplings (modulo total derivatives and Bianchi identities), following the procedure in the Appendix but omitting field redefinitions. Equating this basis with (55) and subsequently incorporating field redefinitions, total derivatives, and Bianchi identities, we arrive at the following action:

$$S_{5D} = -\frac{2}{\kappa^{2}} \frac{8\pi^{5}\alpha'^{3}}{3} \int d^{5}x \sqrt{-G^{5}} e^{-\varphi/2} \left[\frac{3}{2} R_{abcd} R^{abcd} + a_{4}RW_{ab}W^{ab} e^{-\varphi} + a_{5}R^{ab}W_{a}{}^{c}W_{bc} e^{-\varphi} \right.$$

$$+ a_{1}R_{ab}R^{ab} - 2(3 + a_{1} + 2a_{10} - 2a_{12} - 4a_{14} + 4a_{16} + 8a_{18})R^{2}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{16} (3 + 2a_{1} - 4a_{12} - 8a_{14} + 8a_{16} + 16a_{17})W_{a}{}^{c}W^{ab}W_{b}{}^{d}W_{cd} e^{-2\varphi}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8} (-3a_{1} + 2(-6 + a_{12} + 2a_{14} - 2a_{16} - 4a_{17} - 2a_{5}))R_{abcd}W^{ab}W^{cd} e^{-\varphi}$$

$$+ a_{8}W_{ab}W^{ab}W_{cd}W^{cd} e^{-2\varphi} + a_{10}R\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi + a_{11}W_{bc}W^{bc}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi e^{-\varphi}$$

$$+ a_{16}R_{ab}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + a_{17}W_{a}{}^{c}W_{bc}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi e^{-\varphi} + a_{18}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla_{b}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi$$

$$- a_{19}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla_{b}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi - a_{12}R_{ab}\nabla^{b}\nabla^{a}\varphi - a_{13}W_{a}{}^{c}W_{bc}\nabla^{b}\nabla^{a}\varphi e^{-\varphi}$$

$$+ a_{14}\nabla_{b}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\nabla^{a}\varphi + a_{15}W^{bc}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla_{c}W_{ab}e^{-\varphi}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{8} (6 + 3a_{1} - 2a_{12} - 4a_{14} + 4a_{16} + 8a_{17} + 4a_{5})\nabla_{c}W_{ab}\nabla^{c}W^{ab}e^{-\varphi} \right], \tag{56}$$

with a_1, a_4, a_5, \cdots being 14 arbitrary scheme parameters. The actions (56) and (55) are physically equivalent, related by field redefinitions.

Under the map in (35), the couplings above produce the following dual action:

$$S_{5D}^{\text{dual}} = -\frac{2}{\kappa^2} \frac{8\pi^5 \alpha'^3}{3} \int d^5 x \sqrt{-G^5} e^{-2\Phi} \left[\frac{3}{2} R_{abcd} R^{abcd} + a_4 R V_{ab} V^{ab} + a_5 R^{ab} V_a{}^c V_{bc} + \cdots \right] (57)$$

where the ellipsis represents other lengthy couplings not written explicitly.

In contrast, the ten-dimensional heterotic theory at tree-level contains four-derivative gravity-dilaton couplings of the form [46]:

$$S_{R^2}^H = -\frac{2}{\kappa_{10}^2} \frac{\alpha'}{8} \int d^{10}x \sqrt{-G} e^{-2\Phi} R_{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} R^{\mu\nu\alpha\beta} , \qquad (58)$$

where $\kappa_{10}^2 = \kappa^2 g_s^2$. The dimensional reduction of this term on a five-torus T^5 of volume V, considering only one non-zero vector arising from the metric on one circle of the T^5 , yields the following five-dimensional gravity-dilaton-vector couplings [44]:

$$S_{R^{2}}^{H} = -\frac{2}{\kappa_{10}^{2}} \frac{\alpha' V}{12} \int d^{5}x \sqrt{-G^{5}} e^{-2\Phi} \left[\frac{3}{2} R_{abcd} R^{abcd} + \frac{15}{16} V_{a}{}^{c} V^{ab} V_{b}{}^{d} V_{cd} - \frac{3}{2} R_{abcd} V^{ab} V^{cd} - \frac{3}{2} R_{abcd} V^{ab} V^{cd} + \frac{9}{16} V_{ab} V^{ab} V_{cd} V^{cd} + \frac{3}{2} \nabla_{c} V_{ab} \nabla^{c} V^{ab} \right].$$

$$(59)$$

Using the relation in (37), one finds this action is identical to the action in (57) after an appropriate field redefinition, provided the following relations hold between the parameters in (57):

$$a_{18} = 9/4 + a_1/2 - a_{10} + 4a_{11} + a_{12}/8 - 4a_{13} + (9a_{14})/4 - 2a_{15} - (5a_{16})/4 - a_{17},$$

$$a_{19} = 6 + (3a_1)/2 - 2a_{10} + 8a_{11} - a_{12}/4 - 8a_{13} + 5a_{14} - 4a_{15} - (3a_{16})/2 - 2a_{17},$$

$$a_5 = -(3/4) - (5a_1)/8 + (3a_{12})/4 - 2a_{13} + (3a_{14})/2 - (3a_{16})/2 - 3a_{17},$$

$$a_8 = 381/128 + (135a_1)/256 + a_4/4 - (3a_{10})/4 + 4a_{11} - (5a_{12})/128$$

$$-(31a_{13})/8 + (115a_{14})/64 - (31a_{15})/16 - (55a_{16})/64 - (39a_{17})/32$$
(60)

Substituting these relations into (56), we find that the five-dimensional action (56)—with its 10 arbitrary parameters—is dual to the heterotic couplings at order α' on T^5 . It is interesting to note that this result is analogous to the K3 reduction of type IIA theory in the metric-dilaton-RR one-form sector [44], which also features 10 arbitrary parameters and is dual to the heterotic couplings at order α' on T^4 .

Since the couplings in the heterotic theory at order α' are exact [21, 22], the duality (35)—which relates the radius parameter φ of type IIB to the dilaton of the heterotic theory—confirms the S-duality result that the radius expansion of the couplings is truncated, consisting only of a small-radius limit and a large-radius limit. Note that, upon reduction on K3, the large-radius limit produced by the KK reduction of the couplings in (39) does not produce couplings at order α' .

4 Conclusion

It is known in the literature that the S-duality of the 10-dimensional type IIB effective action at order α'^3 involves contributions from the perturbative sphere- and torus-levels, as well as non-perturbative effects, which combine to achieve $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ invariance [12]. The circular reduction of these combinations also results in invariant terms in nine dimensions.

In this paper, we have shown that purely stringy couplings, which arise only at loop-level due to winding momentum along the circle, produce inherently nine-dimensional couplings. These are not descended from the 10-dimensional effective action and are invariant under $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ transformations on their own. In particular, while S-duality forbids a 10-dimensional Chern-Simons term in type IIB, the inherently nine-dimensional Chern-Simons term—produced by imposing T-duality on the KK reduction of the Chern-Simons term from 10-dimensional type IIA theory—is invariant under S-duality.

Moreover, we have observed that the inherently nine-dimensional effective action of type IIB in the gravity–radius–vector sector, produced by imposing T-duality on the KK reduction of the pure gravity couplings of type IIA theory, is also S-duality invariant.

We have seen that S-duality dictates the radius-dependence of the nine-dimensional couplings. This dependence corresponds to one of two limits: the large-radius limit, which is associated with the KK reduction of the 10-dimensional type IIB couplings, or the small-radius

limit, which is associated with the T-dual of the KK reduction of the 10-dimensional type IIA couplings.

We have also investigated the reduction of the resulting nine-dimensional type IIB couplings at order α'^3 on a K3 surface. While the large-radius limit yields no α' -order couplings, the small-radius limit does produce them. The resulting couplings are consistent with the four-derivative effective action of the heterotic theory on T^5 after appropriate field redefinitions. Crucially, in this field redefinition, the radius of the circle in type IIB theory maps to the dilaton of the heterotic theory. Given that the dilaton dependence of the four-derivative couplings in the heterotic theory is exact [21, 22], this equivalence strongly confirms that the nine-dimensional coupling constant receives contributions exclusively from the large-radius and small-radius limits.

The symmetry structure of the eight-derivative couplings can be understood through the duality between M-theory on T^2 and type IIB on S^1 [47, 25]. Our analysis shows that the α'^3 couplings in type IIB are $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ -invariant at large radius and $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ -invariant at small radius. Consequently, the effective action of M-theory on T^2 must inherit this behavior: it is invariant under the discrete $SL(2,\mathbb{Z})$ for a large type IIB circle and under the continuous $SL(2,\mathbb{R})$ for a small circle.

Our demonstration of S-duality invariance for the small-radius, nine-dimensional, one-loop couplings in type IIB theory relied on the assumption of a constant dilaton and vanishing RR fields. Leveraging this established symmetry, the RR and non-constant dilaton terms can be incorporated into the nine-dimensional type IIB framework. This, in turn, allows one to use T-duality to determine the corresponding one-loop couplings in nine-dimensional type IIA theory at the large-radius limit. These results can then be lifted to ten dimensions to find the type IIA one-loop couplings at order α'^3 . Since the circular reduction of M-theory couplings at the eight-derivative order is expected to yield these specific type IIA couplings, it would be a compelling future direction to use this prescription to determine the precise form of the M-theory couplings at the eight-derivative order.

The construction of a covariant action for type IIB supergravity is obstructed in ten dimensions by the self-duality of the RR four-form, a constraint that can only be incorporated through auxiliary fields [48, 49, 50]. No such obstruction exists for type IIA supergravity. Given that T-duality acting on the KK reduction of type IIA couplings yields covariant type IIB couplings in nine dimensions, it is a promising research direction to use this prescription to derive the full covariant effective action for RR fields in nine-dimensional type IIB theory—an action that may not be uplifted to a covariant ten-dimensional one.

Appendix: Minimal basis in the metric-radion-vector Sector

This appendix constructs the minimal basis of eight-derivative couplings for the metricradion-vector sector. We first generate all covariant, U(1)-invariant terms with an even number of field strengths V_{ab} , ensuring the correct radion dependence. Our convention assigns a factor of $e^{\varphi/2}$ to each V, with an additional overall factor of $e^{\varphi/2}$. Using the xAct package [23], this process yields 18,462 candidate couplings, schematically represented as:

$$\mathcal{L}' = c_1' R_{abcd} R^{abcd} R_{efgh} V^{ef} V^{gh} e^{3\varphi/2} + \cdots, \tag{61}$$

where c'_1, \dots, c'_{18462} are provisional coupling constants. However, these terms are not independent, as they are subject to redundancies from: (i) total derivatives, (ii) field redefinition equivalences, and (iii) Bianchi identity constraints. A systematic reduction is therefore necessary to isolate a minimal, independent set.

To systematically eliminate redundant terms arising from total derivative ambiguities in the Lagrangian \mathcal{L}' , we introduce the following compensating terms to the action:

$$-\frac{4\pi}{\kappa^2} \int d^9 x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \,\mathcal{J} \equiv -\frac{4\pi}{\kappa^2} \int d^9 x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \,\nabla_a (e^{\varphi/2} \mathcal{I}^a) \,. \tag{62}$$

Here, the vector \mathcal{I}^a encompasses all possible covariant and gauge-invariant seven-derivative terms constructed from the metric, radius, and gauge fields. Each gauge field strength must be accompanied by a factor of $e^{\varphi/2}$. Our systematic classification identifies 10,349 such independent vectors, with corresponding coefficients J_1, \dots, J_{10349} .

To eliminate redundant terms from field redefinition ambiguities in \mathcal{L}' , we begin with the KK reduction of the ten-dimensional tree-level coupling $e^{-2\Phi}R$ on a circle. Using the metric reduction in (2), this yields the following nine-dimensional action:

$$S_0 = -\frac{4\pi}{\kappa^2} \int d^9x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} e^{-2\Phi + \varphi/2} \left[R - \frac{1}{4} \nabla_a \varphi \nabla^a \varphi - \frac{e^{\varphi}}{4} V_{ab} V^{ab} \right]. \tag{63}$$

Up to total derivative terms, the variation of this action for a constant dilaton yields:

$$\delta S_{0} = -\frac{4\pi}{\kappa^{2}} \int d^{9}x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} e^{-2\Phi + \varphi/2} \Big[D\Phi \,\delta\Phi + D\varphi \,\delta\varphi + Dg^{a} \,\delta g_{a} + D\bar{g}^{ab} \,\delta\bar{g}_{ab} \Big]$$

$$\equiv -\frac{4\pi}{\kappa^{2}} \int d^{9}x \sqrt{-\bar{g}} \,\mathcal{K}, \tag{64}$$

where

$$D\Phi = -2R + \frac{1}{2}e^{\varphi}V_{ab}V^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi$$

$$D\varphi = \frac{1}{2}R - \frac{3}{8}e^{\varphi}V_{ab}V^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}\nabla_{a}\nabla^{a}\varphi + \frac{1}{8}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi$$

$$Dg^{a} = -e^{\varphi}\nabla_{b}V^{ab} - \frac{3}{2}e^{\varphi}V^{a}{}_{b}\nabla^{b}\varphi$$

$$D\bar{g}^{ab} = -R^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}G^{ab}R + \frac{1}{2}e^{\varphi}V^{ac}V^{b}{}_{c} - \frac{1}{8}e^{\varphi}G^{ab}V_{cd}V^{cd}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + \frac{1}{2}\nabla^{b}\nabla^{a}\varphi - \frac{1}{2}G^{ab}\nabla_{c}\nabla^{c}\varphi - \frac{3}{8}G^{ab}\nabla_{c}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi. \tag{65}$$

It is important to note that although the dilaton is constant in the background configuration, its variations under field redefinition are non-zero and must be included.

The field redefinition contributions arise from the following infinitesimal transformations of the fundamental fields:

$$\bar{g}_{ab} \rightarrow \bar{g}_{ab} + \alpha'^{3} e^{2\Phi} \delta \bar{g}_{ab},
g_{a} \rightarrow g_{a} + \alpha'^{3} e^{2\Phi - \varphi/2} \delta g_{a},
\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + \alpha'^{3} e^{2\Phi} \delta \varphi,
\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + \alpha'^{3} e^{2\Phi} \delta \Phi,$$
(66)

where δg_a contains odd powers of the U(1) gauge field strength, while $\delta \bar{g}_{ab}$, $\delta \varphi$, and $\delta \Phi$ contain even powers. Specifically, this manifests as 3,265 metric perturbations (coefficients $\{e_i\}$), 1,621 gauge-field perturbations $\{g_i\}$, 656 radius perturbations $\{f_i\}$, and 656 dilaton perturbations $\{h_i\}$.

By augmenting \mathcal{L}' with these field redefinitions and the previously introduced total derivative terms, we obtain an equivalent Lagrangian \mathcal{L} with transformed parameters $\{c_i\}$, yielding the fundamental constraint:

$$\Delta - \mathcal{J} - \mathcal{K} = 0. \tag{67}$$

The difference $\Delta = \mathcal{L} - \mathcal{L}'$ preserves the same functional form as \mathcal{L}' but with modified coefficients $\delta c_i = c_i - c_i'$, representing the net effect of the field redefinitions and total derivative terms.

To systematically solve equation (67), we must first express it in terms of linearly independent couplings by enforcing the relevant Bianchi identities:

$$R_{\alpha[\beta\gamma\delta]} = 0,$$

$$\nabla_{[\mu}R_{\alpha\beta]\gamma\delta} = 0,$$

$$\nabla_{[\mu}V_{\alpha\beta]} = 0,$$

$$[\nabla, \nabla]\mathcal{O} - R\mathcal{O} = 0.$$
(68)

Our procedure for implementing the Bianchi identities in a non-gauge-invariant formulation involves two key steps: (1) adopting a local inertial frame to simplify derivatives, and (2) expressing all ∂V terms in (67) through the relation V = dg. As shown in [51], this combined approach automatically satisfies all Bianchi identities for the curvature and U(1) field strength.

Through this systematic procedure, all terms on the left-hand side of (67) can be expressed as a linear combination of independent (though non-gauge-invariant) couplings. Setting their coefficients to zero yields a system of algebraic equations with two distinct classes of solutions: (i) 298 relations involving only the variations δc_i , and (ii) additional equations that mix δc_i with the coefficients of total derivative and field redefinition terms, which we disregard.

The invariant count of 298 relations in the first class determines the dimension of the physically meaningful coupling space in \mathcal{L}' . This number remains unchanged under scheme transformations; while a particular scheme may nullify certain coefficients in \mathcal{L}' , substituting these conditions back into (67) preserves exactly 298 constraints among the δc_i parameters.

To systematically eliminate redundant couplings while preserving the 298 fundamental relations among the δc_i parameters, we impose a specific scheme choice by nullifying all coefficients

in \mathcal{L}' that contain the following structures: R, $\nabla_a V^{ab}$, $\nabla_a \nabla^a \varphi$, $\nabla_a \nabla_b V_{cd}$, $\nabla_a \nabla_b \nabla_c \varphi$, $\nabla_a R_{bcde}$, $R_{ab}R_{cd}$, $R_{abcd}R_{ef}$, or $V_{ab}R_{cd}$. Crucially, this elimination preserves exactly 298 constraints among the remaining δc_i variations, demonstrating that the eliminated terms represent non-essential redundancies in the effective action.

We next eliminate all couplings in \mathcal{L}' containing R_{ab} by setting their coefficients to zero. Solving (67) under this constraint yields 297 relations among the δc_i parameters, demonstrating that at least one independent coupling must contain Ricci curvature. To identify this essential coupling, we implemented a binary search strategy: we divided the Ricci-containing terms into subsets, nullified one subset's coefficients, and verified whether (67) generated 298 relations among the remaining δc_i parameters. If not, we retained the complementary subset. Through iterative application of this method, we uniquely identified the independent coupling:

$$[R^2 R'']_1 = c_{268} R^{abcd} R^{ef}_{cd} \nabla_a \nabla_e R_{bf}. \tag{69}$$

This independent coupling also appears in the minimal basis for metric-dilaton-RR one-form couplings at order α'^3 [44].

Although numerous coefficient choices satisfy the 298 relations $\delta c_i = 0$, our chosen scheme organizes the couplings into 49 distinct structures. These fundamental structures, which form the basis, are explicitly listed below:

$$\mathcal{L}(\varphi, V) = e^{\varphi/2} \Big([R^2 R'']_1 + [R^4]_7 + [\varphi'^4]_2 + [V'^4]_5 + [RV'^2 \varphi'^2]_{11} + [RV'^2 \varphi'']_9 + [R^2 V'^2]_{13} \\
+ [R^3 V'^2]_{14} + [R^3 \varphi'']_3 + [R^3 \varphi'^2]_5 + [RV^2 \varphi'^2 \varphi'']_7 + [R^2 \varphi''^2]_5 + [R^2 \varphi'^2 \varphi'']_4 \\
+ [R^2 \varphi'^4]_3 + [V^3 V' \varphi'^3]_5 + [R^2 V^4]_{13} + [R^2 V^2 \varphi'']_{13} + [R^2 V^2 \varphi'^2]_{17} + [RV^4 \varphi'^2]_9 \\
+ [RV^2 V'^2]_{27} + [RV^6]_1 + [V^4 \varphi'^2 \varphi'']_1 + [R^2 V V' \varphi']_{14} + [V^4 \varphi'^4]_2 + [RV^2 \varphi'^4]_4 \\
+ [RVV' \varphi'^3]_{10} + [RV^4 \varphi'']_7 + [V^2 \varphi'^6]_1 + [V^4 \varphi''^2]_5 + [RV^2 \varphi''^2]_8 + [V^2 V'^2 \varphi'']_{15} \\
+ [RV^3 V' \varphi']_1 + [RVV' \varphi' \varphi'']_2 + [V^2 \varphi'^2 \varphi''^2]_2 + [V^2 V'^2 \varphi'^2]_{11} + [VV' \varphi' \varphi''^2]_1 \\
+ [VV' \varphi'^3 \varphi'']_4 + [R \varphi'^2 \varphi''^2]_2 + [V'^2 \varphi'^4]_4 + [VV' \varphi'^5]_1 + [V^2 \varphi'^4 \varphi'']_2 + [R \varphi''^3]_1 \\
+ [R \varphi'^4 \varphi'']_1 + [V'^2 \varphi''^2]_6 + [V'^2 \varphi'^2 \varphi'']_4 + [VV'^3 \varphi']_1 + [\varphi'^4 \varphi''^2]_2 + [\varphi'^8]_1 + [V^4 V'^2]_{11} \Big).$$

The notation $[X]_n$ denotes that structure X admits n distinct contractions, each with an independent coupling constant. A prime symbol indicates covariant differentiation of the associated field. Among these structures, the coupling $[R^2R'']_1$ is explicitly given in (69), while all others are the following:

$$[R^{4}]_{7} = c_{117}R^{abcd}R_{c}{}^{e}{}_{a}{}^{f}R_{d}{}^{g}{}_{b}{}^{h}R_{fheg} + c_{253}R_{a}{}^{efg}R^{abcd}R_{c}{}^{h}{}_{bf}R_{ghde} + c_{254}R_{a}{}^{e}{}_{c}{}^{f}R^{abcd}R_{be}{}^{gh}R_{ghdf} + c_{255}R_{acb}{}^{e}R^{abcd}R_{d}{}^{fgh}R_{ghef} + c_{256}R^{abcd}R_{cdab}R^{efgh}R_{ghef} + c_{266}R_{ab}{}^{ef}R^{abcd}R_{ghef}R^{gh}{}_{cd} + c_{267}R_{ab}{}^{ef}R^{abcd}R_{ghdf}R^{gh}{}_{ce},$$

$$(71)$$

$$[\varphi'^{4}]_{2} = c_{145} \nabla_{a} \nabla^{c} \varphi \nabla^{a} \nabla^{b} \varphi \nabla_{b} \nabla^{d} \varphi \nabla_{d} \nabla_{c} \varphi + c_{147} \nabla^{a} \nabla^{b} \varphi \nabla_{b} \nabla_{a} \varphi \nabla^{c} \nabla^{d} \varphi \nabla_{d} \nabla_{c} \varphi , \qquad (72)$$

$$[V^{\prime 4}]_{5} = e^{2\varphi}c_{139}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{a}^{d}\nabla_{c}V^{ef}\nabla_{d}V_{ef} + e^{2\varphi}c_{196}\nabla_{a}V_{bc}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{d}V_{ef}\nabla^{d}V^{ef}$$

$$+e^{2\varphi}c_{216}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{c}^{d}\nabla_{d}V^{ef}\nabla_{e}V_{af} + e^{2\varphi}c_{249}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{a}^{d}\nabla^{e}V_{c}^{f}\nabla_{f}V_{de}$$

$$+e^{2\varphi}c_{250}\nabla_{a}V^{de}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{f}V_{ce}\nabla^{f}V_{bd},$$

$$(73)$$

$$[RV'^{2}\varphi'^{2}]_{11} = e^{\varphi}c_{91}R_{efbd}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V^{cd}\nabla_{c}V^{ef} + e^{\varphi}c_{138}R_{aebf}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V^{de}\nabla_{d}V_{c}^{f} + e^{\varphi}c_{140}R_{afbc}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V^{de}\nabla_{d}V_{e}^{f} + e^{\varphi}c_{194}R_{efcd}\nabla_{a}V_{b}^{c}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{d}V^{ef} + e^{\varphi}c_{233}R_{efcd}\nabla_{a}V^{cd}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{e}V_{b}^{f} + e^{\varphi}c_{236}R_{dfce}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V_{a}^{d}\nabla^{e}V_{b}^{f} + e^{\varphi}c_{238}R_{dfbe}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V_{c}^{d}\nabla^{e}V_{c}^{f} + e^{\varphi}c_{239}R_{dfbe}\nabla_{a}V^{cd}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{e}V_{c}^{f} + e^{\varphi}c_{240}R_{efbd}\nabla_{a}V^{cd}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{e}V_{c}^{f} + e^{\varphi}c_{242}R_{dfbe}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V_{a}^{d}\nabla^{e}V_{c}^{f} + e^{\varphi}c_{242}R_{dfbe}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V_{a}^{d}\nabla^{e}V_{c}^{f} + e^{\varphi}c_{242}R_{efbd}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V_{a}^{d}\nabla^{e}V_{c}^{f},$$

$$(74)$$

$$[RV'^{2}\varphi'']_{9} = e^{\varphi}c_{149}R_{efac}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V^{de}\nabla_{d}\nabla^{f}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{172}R_{dfce}\nabla_{a}\nabla^{f}\varphi\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{\varphi}c_{173}R_{efcd}\nabla_{a}\nabla^{f}\varphi\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{\varphi}c_{176}R_{efad}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{c}\nabla^{f}\varphi\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{\varphi}c_{193}R_{efcd}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{d}V^{ef} + e^{\varphi}c_{218}R_{dfbc}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V^{ef}\nabla_{e}\nabla_{a}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{220}R_{cfad}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V^{ef}\nabla_{e}\nabla_{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{247}R_{cedf}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{a}^{d}\nabla^{e}\nabla^{f}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{248}R_{deaf}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{c}^{d}\nabla^{e}\nabla^{f}\varphi,$$

$$(75)$$

$$[R^{2}V'^{2}]_{13} = e^{\varphi}c_{271}R_{c}^{efg}R_{fgde}\nabla_{a}V_{b}^{d}\nabla^{a}V^{bc} + e^{\varphi}c_{277}R_{fgde}R^{fg}_{bc}\nabla_{a}V^{de}\nabla^{a}V^{bc} + e^{\varphi}c_{278}R_{fgce}R^{fg}_{bd}\nabla_{a}V^{de}\nabla^{a}V^{bc} + e^{\varphi}c_{20}R^{defg}R_{fgde}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{ac} + e^{\varphi}c_{24}R_{c}^{efg}R_{fgde}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{a}^{d} + e^{\varphi}c_{31}R_{d}^{f}_{a}^{g}R_{egcf}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V^{de} + e^{\varphi}c_{164}R_{a}^{f}_{d}^{g}R_{egcf}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{\varphi}c_{166}R_{c}^{f}_{a}^{g}R_{egdf}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{\varphi}c_{169}R_{fgcd}R^{fg}_{ae}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{\varphi}c_{186}R_{b}^{g}_{ac}R_{egdf}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V^{ef} + e^{\varphi}c_{188}R_{a}^{g}_{de}R_{fgbc}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V^{ef} + e^{\varphi}c_{191}R_{bea}^{g}R_{fgcd}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V^{ef} + e^{\varphi}c_{192}R_{b}^{g}_{ae}R_{fgcd}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V^{ef},$$

$$(76)$$

$$[R^{3}V'^{2}]_{14} = e^{\varphi}c_{109}R_{d}{}^{g}{}_{c}{}^{h}R^{de}{}_{b}{}^{f}R_{fheg}V_{a}{}^{c}V^{ab} + e^{\varphi}c_{243}R_{b}{}^{d}{}_{c}{}^{e}R_{d}{}^{fgh}R_{ghef}V_{a}{}^{c}V^{ab} + e^{\varphi}c_{264}R_{b}{}^{def}R_{ghdf}R^{gh}{}_{ce}V_{a}{}^{c}V^{ab} + e^{\varphi}c_{85}R_{a}{}^{e}{}_{c}{}^{f}R_{e}{}^{g}{}_{b}{}^{h}R_{fhdg}V^{ab}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{262}R_{a}{}^{efg}R_{f}{}^{h}{}_{be}R_{ghcd}V^{ab}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{202}R_{a}{}^{efg}R_{f}{}^{h}{}_{be}R_{ghcd}V^{ab}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{213}R_{a}{}^{efg}R_{f}{}^{h}{}_{bc}R_{ghde}V^{ab}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{234}R_{a}{}^{e}{}_{bc}R_{d}{}^{fgh}R_{ghef}V^{ab}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{252}R_{acbd}R^{efgh}R_{ghef}V^{ab}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{260}R_{a}{}^{e}{}_{c}{}^{f}R_{ghef}R^{gh}{}_{bd}V^{ab}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{261}R^{ef}{}_{ac}R_{ghdf}R^{gh}{}_{be}V^{ab}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{262}R_{a}{}^{e}{}_{c}{}^{f}R_{ghde}R^{gh}{}_{bf}V^{ab}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{263}R_{a}{}^{e}{}_{c}{}^{f}R_{ghde}R^{gh}{}_{bf}V^{ab}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{263}R_{a}{}^{e}{}_{c}{}^{f}R_{ghde}R^{gh}{}_{ce}V^{ab}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{265}R^{ef}{}_{ab}R_{ghdf}R^{gh}{}_{ce}V^{ab}V^{cd} ,$$

$$(77)$$

$$[R^{3}\varphi'']_{3} = c_{297}R_{c}^{f}{}_{b}{}^{g}R^{cd}{}_{a}{}^{e}R_{egdf}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + c_{7}R_{a}{}^{c}{}_{b}{}^{d}R_{c}{}^{efg}R_{fgde}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + c_{13}R_{a}{}^{cde}R_{fgce}R^{fg}{}_{bd}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi,$$

$$(78)$$

$$[R^{3}\varphi'^{2}]_{5} = c_{282}R^{bcde}R_{d}{}^{f}{}_{b}{}^{g}R_{egcf}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi + c_{283}R_{bd}{}^{fg}R^{bcde}R_{fgce}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi + c_{65}R_{c}{}^{f}{}_{b}{}^{g}R^{cd}{}_{a}{}^{e}R_{egdf}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + c_{74}R_{a}{}^{c}{}_{b}{}^{d}R_{c}{}^{efg}R_{fgde}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + c_{80}R_{a}{}^{cde}R_{fgce}R^{fg}{}_{bd}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi,$$

$$(79)$$

$$[RV^{2}\varphi'^{2}\varphi'']_{7} = e^{\varphi}c_{81}R_{cebf}V_{d}^{f}V^{de}\nabla_{a}\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{83}R_{efcd}V_{b}^{d}V^{ef}\nabla_{a}\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{82}R_{efbd}V_{c}^{d}V^{ef}\nabla_{a}\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{129}R_{dfbe}V_{a}^{e}V_{c}^{f}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{131}R_{efbd}V_{a}^{e}V_{c}^{f}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{128}R_{cfbd}V_{a}^{e}V_{e}^{f}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{132}R_{efbd}V_{a}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi,$$

$$(80)$$

$$[R^{2}\varphi''^{2}]_{5} = c_{17}R_{b}^{def}R_{efcd}\nabla_{a}\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + c_{38}R^{cdef}R_{efcd}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla_{b}\nabla_{a}\varphi + c_{121}R_{a}^{e}{}_{b}^{f}R_{cfde}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi + c_{122}R_{a}^{e}{}_{c}^{f}R_{dfbe}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi + c_{125}R_{efbd}R^{ef}{}_{ac}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi,$$

$$(81)$$

$$[R^{2}\varphi'^{2}\varphi'']_{4} = c_{84}R_{b}^{def}R_{efcd}\nabla_{a}\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + c_{88}R^{cdef}R_{efcd}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla_{b}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + c_{130}R_{c}^{e}{}_{a}{}^{f}R_{dfbe}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi + c_{133}R_{efbd}R^{ef}{}_{ac}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi , \quad (82)$$

$$[R^{2}\varphi'^{4}]_{3} = c_{87}R^{cdef}R_{efcd}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla_{b}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + c_{112}R_{b}^{def}R_{efcd}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi + c_{200}R_{a}^{\ e}_{\ b}^{\ f}R_{cfde}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{d}\varphi,$$

$$(83)$$

$$[V^{3}V'\varphi'^{3}]_{5} = e^{2\varphi}c_{97}V_{c}^{e}V_{d}^{f}V_{ef}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V_{b}^{d} + e^{2\varphi}c_{100}V_{bd}V_{c}^{f}V_{ef}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V^{de} + e^{2\varphi}c_{104}V_{c}^{e}V_{d}^{f}V_{ef}\nabla_{a}V_{b}^{d}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{106}V_{bd}V_{c}^{f}V_{ef}\nabla_{a}V^{de}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{150}V_{bd}V_{c}^{f}V_{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{d}V_{a}^{e},$$

$$(84)$$

$$[R^{2}V^{4}]_{13} = e^{2\varphi}c_{170}R_{d}^{fgh}R_{ghef}V_{a}^{c}V^{ab}V_{b}^{d}V_{c}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{37}R_{b}^{g}{}_{e}^{h}R_{fhcg}V_{a}^{c}V^{ab}V_{d}^{f}V^{de} + e^{2\varphi}c_{257}R_{ghcf}R^{gh}{}_{be}V_{a}^{c}V^{ab}V_{d}^{f}V^{de} + e^{2\varphi}c_{66}R_{e}{}_{c}^{g}{}_{h}R_{fhdg}V_{a}^{c}V^{ab}V_{b}^{d}V^{ef} + e^{2\varphi}c_{258}R_{ghef}R^{gh}{}_{cd}V_{a}^{c}V^{ab}V_{b}^{d}V^{ef} + e^{2\varphi}c_{259}R_{ghdf}R^{gh}{}_{ce}V_{a}^{c}V^{ab}V_{b}^{d}V^{ef} + e^{2\varphi}c_{259}R_{ghdf}R^{gh}{}_{ce}V_{a}^{c}V^{ab}V^{de}V^{fg} + e^{2\varphi}c_{75}R_{b}{}_{cd}R_{fheg}V_{a}^{c}V^{ab}V^{de}V^{fg} + e^{2\varphi}c_{144}R_{bdf}{}_{h}R_{ghce}V_{a}^{c}V^{ab}V^{de}V^{fg} + e^{2\varphi}c_{152}R_{d}{}_{bf}R_{ghce}V_{a}^{c}V^{ab}V^{de}V^{fg} + e^{2\varphi}c_{10}R_{acbd}R_{egfh}V^{ab}V^{cd}V^{ef}V^{gh} + e^{2\varphi}c_{27}R_{aceg}R_{fhbd}V^{ab}V^{cd}V^{ef}V^{gh} + e^{2\varphi}c_{160}R_{abce}R_{ghdf}V^{ab}V^{cd}V^{ef}V^{gh},$$

$$(85)$$

$$[R^{2}V^{2}\varphi'']_{13} = e^{\varphi}c_{8}R^{defg}R_{fgde}V_{a}^{c}V_{bc}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{6}R_{c}^{efg}R_{fgde}V_{a}^{c}V_{b}^{d}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{5}R_{b}^{efg}R_{fgde}V_{a}^{c}V_{c}^{d}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{292}R_{a}^{f}{}_{b}^{g}R_{dgef}V_{c}^{e}V^{cd}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{294}R_{d}^{f}{}_{a}^{g}R_{egbf}V_{c}^{e}V^{cd}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{9}R_{fgbe}R^{fg}{}_{ad}V_{c}^{e}V^{cd}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{295}R_{d}^{f}{}_{b}^{g}R_{egcf}V_{a}^{c}V^{de}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{11}R_{fgde}R^{fg}{}_{bc}V_{a}^{c}V^{de}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{12}R_{fgce}R^{fg}{}_{bd}V_{a}^{c}V^{de}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{293}R_{c}^{g}{}_{ad}R_{egbf}V^{cd}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{296}R_{a}^{g}{}_{bc}R_{egdf}V^{cd}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{1}R_{ace}{}^{g}R_{fgbd}V^{cd}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{2}R_{c}^{g}{}_{ae}R_{fgbd}V^{cd}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi,$$

$$(86)$$

$$[R^{2}V^{2}\varphi'^{2}]_{17} = e^{\varphi}c_{285}R_{c}^{efg}R_{fgde}V_{b}^{d}V^{bc}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{281}R_{b}^{f}{}_{d}^{g}R_{egcf}V^{bc}V^{de}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{286}R_{fgde}R^{fg}{}_{bc}V^{bc}V^{de}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{287}R_{fgce}R^{fg}{}_{bd}V^{bc}V^{de}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{76}R^{defg}R_{fgde}V_{a}^{c}V_{bc}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{73}R_{c}^{efg}R_{fgde}V_{a}^{c}V_{b}^{d}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{72}R_{b}^{efg}R_{fgde}V_{a}^{c}V_{c}^{d}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{60}R_{a}^{f}{}_{b}^{g}R_{dgef}V_{c}^{e}V^{cd}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{62}R_{d}^{f}{}_{a}^{g}R_{egbf}V_{c}^{e}V^{cd}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{77}R_{fgbe}R^{fg}{}_{ad}V_{c}^{e}V^{cd}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{63}R_{d}^{f}{}_{b}^{g}R_{egcf}V_{a}^{c}V^{de}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{78}R_{fgde}R^{fg}{}_{bc}V_{a}^{c}V^{de}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{61}R_{c}^{g}{}_{ad}R_{egbf}V^{cd}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{64}R_{a}^{g}{}_{bc}R_{egdf}V^{cd}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{68}R_{ace}{}^{g}R_{fgbd}V^{cd}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{69}R_{c}^{g}{}_{ae}R_{fgbd}V^{cd}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi,$$

$$(87)$$

$$[RV^{4}\varphi'^{2}]_{9} = e^{2\varphi}c_{280}R_{cfdg}V_{b}^{d}V^{bc}V_{e}^{g}V^{ef}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{284}R_{fgde}V_{b}^{d}V^{bc}V_{c}^{e}V^{fg}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{56}R_{afbg}V_{c}^{e}V^{cd}V_{d}^{f}V_{e}^{g}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{67}R_{fgbc}V_{a}^{c}V_{d}^{f}V^{de}V_{e}^{g}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{57}R_{cfdg}V_{a}^{c}V_{b}^{d}V_{e}^{g}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{58}R_{dfbg}V_{a}^{c}V_{c}^{d}V_{e}^{g}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{71}R_{fgde}V_{a}^{c}V_{b}^{d}V_{c}^{e}V^{fg}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{70}R_{fgbe}V_{a}^{c}V_{c}^{d}V_{d}^{e}V^{fg}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{59}R_{dfeg}V_{a}^{c}V_{b}V^{de}V^{fg}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi,$$

$$(88)$$

$$[RV^{2}V'^{2}]_{27} = e^{2\varphi}c_{270}R_{fgde}V_{c}^{e}V^{fg}\nabla_{a}V_{b}^{d}\nabla^{a}V^{bc} + e^{2\varphi}c_{276}R_{fgde}V_{b}^{f}V_{c}^{g}\nabla_{a}V^{de}\nabla^{a}V^{bc} + e^{2\varphi}c_{274}R_{fgce}V_{b}^{f}V_{d}^{g}\nabla_{a}V^{de}\nabla^{a}V^{bc} + e^{2\varphi}c_{275}R_{fgce}V_{bd}V^{fg}\nabla_{a}V^{de}\nabla^{a}V^{bc} + e^{2\varphi}c_{21}R_{cfdg}V_{e}^{g}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{a}^{d} + e^{2\varphi}c_{22}R_{cfdg}V_{e}^{g}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{a}^{d} + e^{2\varphi}c_{23}R_{fgde}V_{c}^{e}V^{fg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{a}^{d} + e^{2\varphi}c_{22}R_{cfdg}V_{e}^{g}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{a}^{d} + e^{2\varphi}c_{23}R_{fgde}V_{c}^{e}V^{fg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{a}^{d} + e^{2\varphi}c_{25}R_{dfag}V_{e}^{g}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{c}^{d} + e^{2\varphi}c_{23}R_{fgce}V_{a}^{f}V_{d}^{g}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V^{de} + e^{2\varphi}c_{23}R_{fgce}V_{a}^{f}V_{d}^{g}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V^{de} + e^{2\varphi}c_{23}R_{fgce}V_{a}^{f}V_{f}^{g}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V^{de} + e^{2\varphi}c_{23}R_{egac}V_{d}^{f}V_{f}^{g}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V^{de} + e^{2\varphi}c_{23}R_{egac}V_{d}^{f}V_{f}^{g}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{23}R_{fgce}V_{a}^{f}V_{c}^{g}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{165}R_{egdf}V_{a}^{f}V_{c}^{g}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{167}R_{fgce}V_{a}^{f}V_{f}^{g}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{163}R_{egcd}V_{a}^{f}V_{f}^{g}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{163}R_{egcd}V_{a}^{f}V_{f}^{g}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{162}R_{egad}V_{c}^{f}V_{f}^{g}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{163}R_{fgce}V_{ad}V^{fg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{163}R_{fgce}V_{ad}V^{fg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{163}R_{fgce}V_{ad}V^{fg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{183}R_{fgce}V_{ad}V^{fg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{184}R_{efcd}V_{a}^{g}V_{bg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{185}R_{egcf}V_{ad}V_{b}^{g}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V^{ef} + e^{2\varphi}c_{183}R_{dfbc}V_{a}^{g}V_{eg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V^{ef} + e^{2\varphi}c_{183}R_{dfbc}V_{a}^{g}V_{eg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V^{ef} + e^{2\varphi}c_{182}R_{efad}V_{b}^{g}V_{eg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V^{ef} + e^{2\varphi}c_{183}R_{dfbc}V_{a}^{g}V_{eg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V^{ef} + e^{2\varphi}c_{183}R_{dfbc}V_{a}^{g}V_{eg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V^{ef} + e$$

$$[RV^{6}]_{1} = e^{3\varphi} c_{127} R_{qhef} V_{a}^{\ c} V^{ab} V_{b}^{\ d} V_{c}^{\ e} V_{d}^{\ f} V^{gh}, \tag{90}$$

$$[V^4 \varphi'^2 \varphi'']_1 = e^{2\varphi} c_{126} V_{ac} V_b^e V_d^f V_{ef} \nabla^a \varphi \nabla^b \varphi \nabla^c \nabla^d \varphi, \qquad (91)$$

$$[R^{2}VV'\varphi']_{14} = e^{\varphi}c_{279}R_{c}^{efg}R_{fgde}V_{b}^{d}\nabla_{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{a}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{41}R_{c}^{efg}R_{fgde}V_{b}^{d}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V_{a}^{c} + e^{\varphi}c_{42}R_{fgde}R^{fg}_{bc}V^{de}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V_{a}^{c} + e^{\varphi}c_{43}R_{fgce}R^{fg}_{bd}V^{de}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V_{a}^{c} + e^{\varphi}c_{51}R_{b}^{efg}R_{fgde}V_{ac}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{45}R_{c}^{f}_{b}^{g}R_{egdf}V_{a}^{e}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{54}R_{fgcd}R^{fg}_{be}V_{a}^{e}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{53}R_{fgcd}R^{fg}_{ae}V_{b}^{e}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{52}R_{fgbe}R^{fg}_{ad}V_{c}^{e}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{44}R_{b}^{g}_{ac}R_{egdf}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{46}R_{c}^{g}_{ab}R_{egdf}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{48}R_{c}^{g}_{be}R_{fgad}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{49}R_{b}^{g}_{ae}R_{fgcd}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{50}R_{e}^{g}_{ab}R_{fgcd}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V^{cd},$$

$$(92)$$

$$[V^{4}\varphi'^{4}]_{2} = e^{2\varphi}c_{108}V_{b}^{d}V_{c}^{e}V_{d}^{f}V_{ef}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi$$
$$+e^{2\varphi}c_{198}V_{a}^{e}V_{be}V_{c}^{f}V_{df}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{d}\varphi, \tag{93}$$

$$[RV^{2}\varphi'^{4}]_{4} = e^{\varphi}c_{86}R_{cedf}V^{cd}V^{ef}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla_{b}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{110}R_{becf}V_{d}^{f}V^{de}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{111}R_{efcd}V_{b}^{d}V^{ef}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{199}R_{cedf}V_{a}^{e}V_{b}^{f}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{d}\varphi,$$

$$(94)$$

$$[RVV'\varphi'^{3}]_{10} = e^{\varphi}c_{98}R_{efcd}V^{ef}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V_{b}^{d} + e^{\varphi}c_{102}R_{dfce}V_{b}^{f}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V^{de} + e^{\varphi}c_{101}R_{dfbe}V_{c}^{f}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V^{de} + e^{\varphi}c_{103}R_{efbc}V_{d}^{f}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V^{de} + e^{\varphi}c_{105}R_{efcd}V^{ef}\nabla_{a}V_{b}^{d}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{107}R_{bfce}V_{d}^{f}\nabla_{a}V^{de}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{153}R_{dfce}V_{b}^{f}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{d}V_{a}^{e} + e^{\varphi}c_{154}R_{efcd}V_{b}^{f}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{d}V_{a}^{e} + e^{\varphi}c_{151}R_{bfce}V_{d}^{f}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{d}V_{a}^{e} + e^{\varphi}c_{195}R_{bfcd}V_{ae}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{d}V^{ef},$$

$$(95)$$

$$[RV^{4}\varphi'']_{7} = e^{2\varphi}c_{288}R_{afbg}V_{c}^{e}V^{cd}V_{d}^{f}V_{e}^{g}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{298}R_{fgbc}V_{a}^{c}V_{d}^{f}V^{de}V_{e}^{g}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{289}R_{cfdg}V_{a}^{c}V_{b}^{d}V_{e}^{g}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{290}R_{dfbg}V_{a}^{c}V_{c}^{d}V_{e}^{g}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{4}R_{fgde}V_{a}^{c}V_{b}^{d}V_{c}^{e}V^{fg}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{3}R_{fgbe}V_{a}^{c}V_{c}^{d}V_{d}^{e}V^{fg}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{291}R_{dfeg}V_{a}^{c}V_{bc}V^{de}V^{fg}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi,$$

$$(96)$$

$$[V^{2}\varphi'^{6}]_{1} = e^{\varphi}c_{203}V_{c}^{e}V_{de}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla_{b}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{d}\varphi, \tag{97}$$

$$[V^{4}\varphi''^{2}]_{5} = e^{2\varphi}c_{14}V_{b}{}^{d}V_{c}{}^{e}V_{d}{}^{f}V_{ef}\nabla_{a}\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{35}V_{c}{}^{e}V^{cd}V_{d}{}^{f}V_{ef}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla_{b}\nabla_{a}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{114}V_{a}{}^{e}V_{b}{}^{f}V_{ce}V_{df}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{115}V_{a}{}^{e}V_{be}V_{c}{}^{f}V_{df}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi + e^{2\varphi}c_{116}V_{ac}V_{b}{}^{e}V_{d}{}^{f}V_{ef}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi,$$

$$(98)$$

$$[RV^{2}\varphi''^{2}]_{8} = e^{\varphi}c_{15}R_{becf}V_{d}^{f}V^{de}\nabla_{a}\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{16}R_{efcd}V_{b}^{d}V^{ef}\nabla_{a}\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{36}R_{cedf}V^{cd}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla_{b}\nabla_{a}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{119}R_{cedf}V_{a}^{e}V_{b}^{f}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{118}R_{bfde}V_{a}^{e}V_{c}^{f}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{123}R_{efbd}V_{a}^{e}V_{c}^{f}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{120}R_{cfbd}V_{a}^{e}V_{c}^{f}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{124}R_{efbd}V_{ac}V^{ef}\nabla^{a}\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi,$$
(99)

$$[RV^3V'\varphi']_1 = e^{2\varphi}c_{40}R_{fgde}V_b^dV_c^eV^{fg}\nabla^a\varphi\nabla^bV_a^c,$$
(101)

$$[RVV'\varphi'\varphi'']_2 = e^{\varphi}c_{55}R_{efcd}V_b{}^f\nabla_a\nabla^e\varphi\nabla^a\varphi\nabla^bV^{cd} + e^{\varphi}c_{210}R_{efbc}V_d{}^f\nabla^a\varphi\nabla^bV_a{}^c\nabla^d\nabla^e\varphi, (102)$$

$$[V^{2}\varphi'^{2}\varphi''^{2}]_{2} = e^{\varphi}c_{134}V_{c}^{e}V_{de}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla_{b}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{211}V_{bd}V_{ce}\nabla_{a}\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{d}\nabla^{e}\varphi,$$

$$(103)$$

$$[V^{2}V'^{2}\varphi'^{2}]_{11} = e^{2\varphi}c_{89}V_{d}^{f}V_{ef}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V^{cd}\nabla_{c}V_{b}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{90}V_{d}^{f}V_{ef}\nabla_{a}V^{cd}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla_{c}V_{b}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{95}V_{d}^{f}V_{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla_{c}V_{b}^{e}\nabla^{c}V_{a}^{d} + e^{2\varphi}c_{96}V_{be}V_{df}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla_{c}V^{ef}\nabla^{c}V_{a}^{d} + e^{2\varphi}c_{137}V_{b}^{f}V_{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V_{a}^{d}\nabla_{d}V_{c}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{179}V_{d}^{f}V_{ef}\nabla_{a}V_{b}^{c}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{d}V_{c}^{e} + e^{2\varphi}c_{230}V_{d}^{f}V_{ef}\nabla_{a}V^{cd}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V_{a}^{d}\nabla^{e}V_{bc} + e^{2\varphi}c_{232}V_{ce}V_{df}\nabla_{a}V^{cd}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{e}V_{b}^{f} + e^{2\varphi}c_{235}V_{ce}V_{df}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V_{a}^{d}\nabla^{e}V_{b}^{f} + e^{2\varphi}c_{237}V_{b}^{f}V_{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V_{a}^{d}\nabla^{e}V_{cd} + e^{2\varphi}c_{241}V_{be}V_{df}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V_{a}^{d}\nabla^{e}V_{c}^{f},$$

$$(104)$$

$$[VV'\varphi'\varphi''^{2}]_{1} = e^{\varphi}c_{94}V_{de}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla_{b}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V^{cd}\nabla_{c}\nabla^{e}\varphi, \tag{105}$$

$$[VV'\varphi'^{3}\varphi'']_{4} = e^{\varphi}c_{113}V_{de}\nabla_{a}V_{b}^{d}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla_{c}\nabla^{e}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{143}V_{ce}\nabla_{a}V^{de}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla_{d}\nabla_{b}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{148}V_{ce}\nabla_{a}V_{b}^{d}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla_{d}\nabla^{e}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{155}V_{ce}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla_{d}\nabla_{b}\varphi\nabla^{d}V_{a}^{e},$$

$$(106)$$

$$[R\varphi'^{2}\varphi''^{2}]_{2} = c_{135}R_{adbe}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla_{c}\nabla^{e}\varphi\nabla^{c}\nabla^{d}\varphi + c_{212}R_{cdbe}\nabla_{a}\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{d}\nabla^{e}\varphi, (107)$$

$$[V'^{2}\varphi'^{4}]_{4} = e^{\varphi}c_{136}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla_{b}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}V^{de}\nabla_{d}V_{ce} + e^{\varphi}c_{177}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla_{d}V_{ce}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{\varphi}c_{205}\nabla_{a}V_{b}^{e}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla_{c}V_{de}\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{d}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{217}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e}\nabla_{e}V_{cd},$$

$$(108)$$

$$[VV'\varphi'^{5}]_{1} = e^{\varphi}c_{201}V_{de}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla_{b}V_{c}^{e}\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{d}\varphi, \tag{109}$$

$$[V^{2}\varphi'^{4}\varphi'']_{2} = e^{\varphi}c_{204}V_{c}^{e}V_{de}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla_{b}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{d}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{214}V_{bd}V_{ce}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{d}\nabla^{e}\varphi,$$
(110)

$$[R\varphi''^3]_1 = c_{208}R_{bdce}\nabla_a\nabla^c\varphi\nabla^a\nabla^b\varphi\nabla^d\nabla^e\varphi, \tag{111}$$

$$[R\varphi'^{4}\varphi'']_{1} = c_{215}R_{bdce}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla^{d}\nabla^{e}\varphi, \qquad (112)$$

$$[V'^{2}\varphi''^{2}]_{6} = e^{\varphi}c_{221}\nabla_{a}V^{de}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{d}\nabla_{b}\varphi\nabla_{e}\nabla_{c}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{222}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{d}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e}\nabla_{e}\nabla_{c}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{224}\nabla_{a}V_{b}^{d}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{c}\nabla^{e}\varphi\nabla_{e}\nabla_{d}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{225}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{a}^{d}\nabla_{c}\nabla^{e}\varphi\nabla_{e}\nabla_{d}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{227}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{c}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e}\nabla_{e}\nabla_{d}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{229}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{ac}\nabla^{d}\nabla^{e}\varphi\nabla_{e}\nabla_{d}\varphi, (113)$$

$$[V'^{2}\varphi'^{2}\varphi'']_{4} = e^{\varphi}c_{223}\nabla_{a}V^{cd}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla_{c}V_{b}^{e}\nabla_{e}\nabla_{d}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{226}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla_{c}V_{b}^{e}\nabla^{c}V_{a}^{d}\nabla_{e}\nabla_{d}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{228}\nabla_{a}V_{b}^{c}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{d}V_{c}^{e}\nabla_{e}\nabla_{d}\varphi + e^{\varphi}c_{231}\nabla_{a}V^{cd}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla_{e}\nabla_{d}\varphi\nabla^{e}V_{bc},$$

$$(114)$$

$$[VV^{\prime 3}\varphi^{\prime}]_{1} = e^{2\varphi}c_{251}V_{ef}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}V_{a}^{c}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e}\nabla^{f}V_{cd}, \tag{115}$$

$$[\varphi'^{4}\varphi''^{2}]_{2} = c_{146}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla_{b}\nabla^{d}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla_{d}\nabla_{c}\varphi + c_{207}\nabla^{a}\varphi\nabla_{b}\nabla_{a}\varphi\nabla^{b}\varphi\nabla^{c}\varphi\nabla_{d}\nabla_{c}\varphi\nabla^{d}\varphi,$$

$$(116)$$

$$[\varphi'^8]_1 = c_{206} \nabla_a \varphi \nabla^a \varphi \nabla_b \varphi \nabla^b \varphi \nabla_c \varphi \nabla^c \varphi \nabla_d \varphi \nabla^d \varphi, \tag{117}$$

$$[V^{4}V'^{2}]_{11} = e^{3\varphi}c_{269}V_{c}^{e}V_{d}^{f}V_{e}^{g}V_{fg}\nabla_{a}V_{b}^{d}\nabla^{a}V^{bc} + e^{3\varphi}c_{272}V_{b}^{f}V_{c}^{g}V_{df}V_{eg}\nabla_{a}V^{de}\nabla^{a}V^{bc} + e^{3\varphi}c_{273}V_{bd}V_{c}^{f}V_{e}^{g}V_{fg}\nabla_{a}V^{de}\nabla^{a}V^{bc} + e^{3\varphi}c_{18}V_{d}^{f}V^{de}V_{e}^{g}V_{fg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{ac} + e^{3\varphi}c_{21}V_{c}^{e}V_{d}^{f}V_{e}^{g}V_{fg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V_{a}^{d} + e^{3\varphi}c_{26}V_{ad}V_{c}^{f}V_{e}^{g}V_{fg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla_{b}V^{de} + e^{3\varphi}c_{156}V_{a}^{f}V_{c}^{g}V_{df}V_{eg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{3\varphi}c_{157}V_{a}^{f}V_{cf}V_{d}^{g}V_{eg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{3\varphi}c_{158}V_{ad}V_{c}^{f}V_{e}^{g}V_{fg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V_{b}^{e} + e^{3\varphi}c_{180}V_{ae}V_{bd}V_{c}^{g}V_{fg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V^{ef} + e^{3\varphi}c_{181}V_{ad}V_{be}V_{c}^{g}V_{fg}\nabla^{a}V^{bc}\nabla^{d}V^{ef},$$

$$(118)$$

where the coupling constants c_1, \ldots, c_{298} are determined in this work through the dimensional reduction of the relevant ten-dimensional gravity terms at order α'^3 .

References

- [1] J. H. Schwarz, Phys. Rept. 89, 223 (1982). doi:10.1016/0370-1573(82)90087-4
- [2] D. J. Gross and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B **277**, 1 (1986) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(86)90429-3
- [3] D. J. Gross and J. H. Sloan, Nucl. Phys. B **291**, 41-89 (1987) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(87)90465-2
- [4] M. T. Grisaru, A. E. M. van de Ven and D. Zanon, Nucl. Phys. B 277, 409-428 (1986) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(86)90449-9
- [5] M. T. Grisaru and D. Zanon, Phys. Lett. B 177, 347-351 (1986) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(86)90765-3
- [6] A. A. Tseytlin, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A 4, 1257 (1989) doi:10.1142/S0217751X8900056X
- [7] M. Ozkan, Y. Pang and E. Sezgin, Phys. Rept. **1086**, 1-95 (2024) doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2024.07.002 [arXiv:2401.08945 [hep-th]].
- [8] A. Giveon, M. Porrati and E. Rabinovici, Phys. Rept. 244, 77-202 (1994) doi:10.1016/0370-1573(94)90070-1 [arXiv:hep-th/9401139 [hep-th]].
- [9] E. Alvarez, L. Alvarez-Gaume and Y. Lozano, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. 41, 1 (1995) doi:10.1016/0920-5632(95)00429-D [hep-th/9410237].
- [10] M. R. Garousi, Phys. Rept. **702**, 1-30 (2017) doi:10.1016/j.physrep.2017.07.009 [arXiv:1702.00191 [hep-th]].
- [11] J. H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. B Proc. Suppl. **55**, 1-32 (1997) doi:10.1016/S0920-5632(97)00070-4 [arXiv:hep-th/9607201 [hep-th]].
- [12] M. B. Green and M. Gutperle, Nucl. Phys. B 498, 195-227 (1997) doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00269-1 [arXiv:hep-th/9701093 [hep-th]].
- [13] M. R. Garousi, [arXiv:2503.12104 [hep-th]].
- [14] M. R. Garousi, Phys. Rev. D 105, no.10, 106021 (2022) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.105.106021 [arXiv:2201.00588 [hep-th]].
- [15] M. R. Garousi, JHEP $\mathbf{09}$, 020 (2023) doi:10.1007/JHEP09(2023)020 [arXiv:2307.00544 [hep-th]].
- [16] M. R. Garousi, JHEP 02, 157 (2021) doi:10.1007/JHEP02(2021)157 [arXiv:2011.02753 [hep-th]].
- $[17] \ L. \ Wulff, \ JHEP \ \textbf{02}, \ 194 \ (2025) \ doi: 10.1007/JHEP02 (2025) \\ 194 \ [arXiv: 2406.15234 \ [hep-th]].$

- [18] M. Ameri, A. Pahlavan and M. R. Garousi, [arXiv:2506.19471 [hep-th]].
- [19] M. B. Green, J. H. Schwarz and L. Brink, Nucl. Phys. B 198, 474-492 (1982) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(82)90336-4
- [20] C. M. Hull and P. K. Townsend, Nucl. Phys. B 438, 109-137 (1995) doi:10.1201/9781482268737-24 [arXiv:hep-th/9410167 [hep-th]].
- [21] J. R. Ellis, P. Jetzer and L. Mizrachi, Nucl. Phys. B 303, 1-35 (1988) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(88)90214-3
- [22] J. R. Ellis and L. Mizrachi, Nucl. Phys. B **327**, 595-620 (1989) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(89)90307-6
- [23] T. Nutma, Comput. Phys. Commun. **185**, 1719 (2014) doi:10.1016/j.cpc.2014.02.006 [arXiv:1308.3493 [cs.SC]].
- [24] M. Huq and M. A. Namazie, Class. Quant. Grav. **2**, 293 (1985) [erratum: Class. Quant. Grav. **2**, 597 (1985)] doi:10.1088/0264-9381/2/3/007
- [25] K. Becker, M. Becker and J. H. Schwarz, "String theory and M-theory: A modern introduction,"
- [26] M. B. Green and J. H. Schwarz, Phys. Lett. ${\bf 149B},\ 117\ (1984).\ doi:10.1016/0370-2693(84)91565-X$
- [27] M. J. Duff, J. T. Liu and R. Minasian, Nucl. Phys. B **452**, 261-282 (1995) doi:10.1201/9781482268737-17 [arXiv:hep-th/9506126 [hep-th]].
- [28] K. Peeters, P. Vanhove and A. Westerberg, Class. Quant. Grav. **18**, 843-890 (2001) doi:10.1088/0264-9381/18/5/307 [arXiv:hep-th/0010167 [hep-th]].
- [29] Y. Hyakutake and S. Ogushi, JHEP 02, 068 (2006) doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2006/02/068 [arXiv:hep-th/0601092 [hep-th]].
- [30] C. Vafa and E. Witten, Nucl. Phys. B 447, 261-270 (1995) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(95)00280-6 [arXiv:hep-th/9505053 [hep-th]].
- [31] M. B. Green and P. Vanhove, Phys. Lett. B **408**, 122-134 (1997) doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(97)00785-5 [arXiv:hep-th/9704145 [hep-th]].
- [32] J. G. Russo and A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B **508**, 245-259 (1997) doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00631-7 [arXiv:hep-th/9707134 [hep-th]].
- [33] M. R. Garousi, Phys. Rev. D 112, no.10, 106019 (2025) doi:10.1103/7wvh-32zx [arXiv:2509.13726 [hep-th]].

- [34] J. Maharana and J. H. Schwarz, Nucl. Phys. B **390**, 3-32 (1993) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(93)90387-5 [arXiv:hep-th/9207016 [hep-th]].
- [35] N. Kaloper and K. A. Meissner, Phys. Rev. D **56**, 7940-7953 (1997) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.56.7940 [arXiv:hep-th/9705193 [hep-th]].
- [36] I. Antoniadis, S. Ferrara, R. Minasian and K. S. Narain, Nucl. Phys. B **507**, 571-588 (1997) doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(97)00572-5 [arXiv:hep-th/9707013 [hep-th]].
- [37] T. H. Buscher, Phys. Lett. B **194**, 59-62 (1987) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(87)90769-6
- [38] M. Rocek and E. P. Verlinde, Nucl. Phys. B 373, 630-646 (1992) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(92)90269-H [arXiv:hep-th/9110053 [hep-th]].
- [39] A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B 469, 51-67 (1996) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(96)00173-3 [arXiv:hep-th/9602064 [hep-th]].
- [40] M. B. Green and M. Gutperle, Phys. Lett. B 377, 28-35 (1996) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(96)00331-0 [arXiv:hep-th/9602077 [hep-th]].
- [41] G. W. Gibbons and D. A. Rasheed, Phys. Lett. B **365**, 46-50 (1996) doi:10.1016/0370-2693(95)01272-9 [arXiv:hep-th/9509141 [hep-th]].
- [42] J. T. Liu and R. Minasian, Nucl. Phys. B **967**, 115386 (2021) doi:10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2021.115386 [arXiv:1912.10974 [hep-th]].
- [43] A. Aggarwal, S. Chakrabarti, S. W. Hsia, A. R. Kamal and L. Wulff, "One loop in D=11 vs D=10: 4-point check," [arXiv:2506.16391 [hep-th]].
- [44] M. R. Garousi, JHEP **11**, 105 (2025) doi:10.1007/JHEP11(2025)105 [arXiv:2508.10529 [hep-th]].
- $[45]\,$ N. Sakai and Y. Tanii, Nucl. Phys. B ${\bf 287},\,457$ (1987) doi:10.1016/0550-3213(87)90114-3
- [46] R. R. Metsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. B 293, 385 (1987). doi:10.1016/0550-3213(87)90077-0
- $[47]\,$ J. H. Schwarz, $[arXiv:hep-th/9607067\ [hep-th]].$
- [48] P. Pasti, D. P. Sorokin and M. Tonin, [arXiv:hep-th/9509052 [hep-th]].
- [49] G. Dall'Agata, K. Lechner and M. Tonin, Lect. Notes Phys. **525**, 416 (1999) doi:10.1007/BFb0104262 [arXiv:hep-th/9812170 [hep-th]].
- $[50] \ A. \ Sen, \ JHEP \ \textbf{07}, \ 017 \ (2016) \ doi: 10.1007/JHEP07 (2016) 017 \ [arXiv:1511.08220 \ [hep-th]].$
- [51] M. R. Garousi and H. Razaghian, Phys. Rev. D **100**, no.10, 106007 (2019) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.100.106007 [arXiv:1905.10800 [hep-th]].