

Functional–Analytic Justification of the Time-Domain Foldy–Lax Approximation for Dispersive Acoustic Media: A Feynman-Diagram Viewpoint

Arpan Mukherjee* and Mourad Sini†

Abstract

This work provides a rigorous functional-analytic justification for a time-domain Foldy-Lax framework that describes multiple acoustic scattering by a cluster of dispersive resonators (modeling gas-filled bubbles), explicitly incorporating dispersion via the Minnaert resonance. The model is formulated as a delayed-coupled hyperbolic system for bubble amplitude interactions.

We combine time-domain integral equations, Laplace transforms, and Hardy-Sobolev space techniques to analyze this system, establishing its unique solvability in anisotropic Hilbert spaces, with solutions expressed as convergent Neumann series of convolution operators. We derive geometric decay of truncation errors for resonant incident waves and quantify the contribution of N -th order multi-scattering, showing it scales with $\varepsilon^{N(1-p)+1}$ (relating bubble radius ε and inter-bubble distance scaling as ε^p , $p < 1$). This dominates the measurement errors, which are of order ε^2 , thereby allowing us to capture fields generated by inter-bubble interactions of order $N < \frac{1}{1-p}$. This provides a quantitative relation between the spectra band width of the source field, the closeness distance between the bubbles and the order N of the relevant interactions between the bubbles.

Furthermore, a novel connection to Feynman diagrams maps multi-scattering paths to diagrammatic vertices and propagators, simplifying the interpretation of higher-order interactions and kinematic constraints. This framework advances accurate transient wave prediction in dispersive media, with implications for cavitation therapy, seismic imaging, and metamaterial engineering.

Keywords. Foldy-Lax Approximation, Time-Domain Scattering, Dispersive Media, Minnaert Resonance, Delayed Hyperbolic System, Neumann Series, Anisotropic Sobolev Space, Feynman Diagrams, Multiple Scattering.

1 Introduction and statement of the results

1.1 Introduction

Multiple scattering phenomena are ubiquitous across classical physics, as in acoustics, electromagnetics, and elasticity. In acoustics, scattering by arrays of particles (e.g., bubbles, droplets) governs wave propagation in oceanic media, medical ultrasound imaging, and acoustic cavitation therapy—a transformative technique where focused ultrasound induces bubble oscillation to ablate tumors or enhance drug delivery to deep tissues. Electromagnetics relies on multiple scattering for antenna design, metamaterial engineering, medical imaging and radar cross-section analysis, while elasticity use it to characterize wave propagation in composite materials and geological formations (e.g., seismic imaging of oil reservoirs). Historically, these phenomena have been studied in two primary frameworks: time-harmonic (frequency-domain) and time-domain settings. Time-harmonic models, exemplified by the Helmholtz equation, simplify analysis via Fourier transformation but fail to capture transient effects like pulse propagation and wave dispersion—critical for real-world applications such as non-destructive testing (where transient pulses detect microcracks) and cavitation therapy (where bubble response to short ultrasound bursts dictates therapeutic efficacy). Time-domain models, by contrast, preserve temporal dynamics but introduce mathematical complexity due to delayed interactions between scatterers and dispersive material responses. A cornerstone of multiple scattering theory is the Foldy-Lax approximation, initially developed for isotropic scatterers in quantum mechanics and later adapted

*MSU-BIT SMBU Joint Research Center of Applied Mathematics, Shenzhen MSU-BIT University, Shenzhen, People’s Republic of China (arpan.mukherjee@smbu.edu.cn and arpanmath99@alumni.iitm.ac.in).

†Radon Institute (RICAM), Austrian Academy of Sciences, Altenbergerstrasse 69, A-4040, Linz, Austria (mourad.sini@oeaw.ac.at). This author is partially supported by the Austrian Science Fund (FWF): P36942.

to classical physics by Foldy and Lax, see [9] and [13] respectively. This approximation reduces the collective scattering problem to a system of coupled equations for individual scatterer amplitudes, accounting for pairwise interactions while retaining computational tractability, see [15, 16], for classical multiple scattering foundations.

There is a large literature on such approximations in the time-harmonic regime. In the recent years, there was a revived interest in deriving such approximation in the time-domain regimes, see [12, 26, 27]. However, these classical Foldy-Lax models often neglect (or are free from) dispersion—an inherent property of scatterers like gas-filled bubbles, where bulk modulus and mass density scaling induce frequency-dependent wave propagation. In bubbly media, for instance, linearized bubble dynamics (governed by Minnaert resonance) lead to dispersive acoustic wavefields, rendering standard time-harmonic Foldy-Lax models inadequate for transient analysis and limiting their applicability to narrow frequency bands. This gap motivates the need for a time-domain Foldy-Lax framework that rigorously incorporates dispersion, ensuring accurate prediction of transient wave behavior in dispersive media.

Our recent work [18] addressed this limitation by analyzing a linearized, time-dependent acoustic model for gas-filled bubble clusters and estimating the nearby time-dependent wave field. In addition, in [19, 20], we derived time-domain effective models for bubbly media, i.e. for clusters of bubbles distributed in 3D domains or 2D surfaces, showing that resonance-induced dispersion manifests as convolution terms in amplitude equations—consistent with our Foldy-Lax system’s delayed couplings.

The approximating fields provided by the Foldy-Lax approximation incorporate a cascade of fields generated by mutual interactions between the bubbles of different orders. A natural question arises regarding the accuracy of this Foldy-Lax approximation. Namely, under which condition, can we guarantee that the field, measured away from the cluster of the bubbles, is generated after a given N interactions between few of these bubbles? Related to this question, in [3], we confirmed that Foldy-Lax approximation is most reliable near scattering resonances (e.g., Minnaert resonance in bubbles). Precisely, we showed, with quantitative estimates, that closer is the used incident frequency to the Minnaert resonant one, larger is the order N of interactions between the bubbles that we can capture from near or far measurement zones.

This observation validates our focus on dispersive regimes where resonance-driven dispersion dominates. In the current work, we combine time-domain integral equations, Laplace transform techniques, and functional analysis (e.g., Hardy spaces, convolution operators), to analyze the delayed-coupled hyperbolic system for bubble amplitudes, modeling the Foldy-Lax system, explicitly accounting for dispersion and inter-bubble time delays. This approach extends the analysis of the accuracy of Foldy-Lax approximation to dispersive settings, enabling rigorous analysis of transient wave scattering—such as the sequence of bubble oscillations. Our core results validate the time-domain Foldy-Lax approximation for dispersive bubbly media and quantify its performance via three key findings: First, we prove that the delayed-coupled hyperbolic system for bubble amplitudes admits a unique solution in anisotropic Hilbert spaces ($H_{0,\sigma}^r$), with the solution expressed as a convergent Neumann series of convolution powers. Second, we derive explicit bounds for the truncation error of the Foldy-Lax series, showing that the remainder term decays geometrically with the number of scattering events (N), i.e. N interactions, when the incident wave’s spectral band width lies within the bubble’s resonance band. Third, we demonstrate that the difference between N -th and $(N - 1)$ -th order scattered fields—corresponding to N -fold multi-scattering—scales with $\varepsilon^{N(1-p)+1}$ (where ε is bubble’s radius and p is inter-bubble distance scaling, i.e. the mutual distance between the bubbles is of the order ε^p , with $p < 1$), while the error caused in estimating the scattered field, in the far or near zones, is of the order ε^2 . As a conclusion, these measured fields are indeed generated after the N -th interaction of the bubbles where $N < \frac{1}{1-p}$ as then $N(1 - p) + 1 < 2$. This confirms that higher-order paths contribute meaningfully to transient responses near resonance (i.e. as soon as the incident field has a non zero spectral band including the Minnaert resonance and that the bubbles are close to each other).

Another novel contribution of our work is the connection between the Foldy-Lax approximation and Feynman diagrams—a powerful tool from quantum field theory (QFT) used to visualize and compute

particle interactions. In QFT, Feynman diagrams represent scattering processes as paths of particle propagation (propagators) connected by interaction vertices, with each diagram corresponding to a term in a perturbation series. We map the Foldy-Lax amplitude equations to Feynman diagrams by: (1) interpreting inter-bubble scattering events (where a wave from one bubble induces oscillation in another) as vertices; (2) modeling wave propagation between bubbles as retarded propagators (analogous to Helmholtz-type propagators in QFT); and (3) identifying convolution powers of the scattering kernel as multi-scattering paths (as an example: bubble A \rightarrow bubble B \rightarrow bubble C corresponds to a two-vertex diagram). Each diagram path enforces kinematic constraints (e.g., time delay conservation) via Dirac delta functions, corresponding to the role of delta functions in QFT Feynman rules, [21, 22, 28].

Our contributions can be summarized in three aspects: (1) Functional analytic estimation : We utilize Hardy-Sobolev spaces of analytic operator-valued functions and Laplace transform isometries to prove boundedness of the scattering kernel, ensuring the convergence of the Foldy-Lax perturbation series. This addresses a critical gap in classical models, which often assume series convergence without functional justification. (2) Dispersion-Inclusive Formulation: By incorporating the Minnaert resonance condition (governing bubble oscillation) into the hyperbolic amplitude system, we explicitly model dispersion via frequency-dependent scattering coefficients. (3) Diagrammatic Perturbation Tracking: The Feynman diagram correspondence enables systematic tracking of multi-scattering paths, with each diagram term corresponding to a convolution power of the scattering kernel. This not only simplifies the interpretation of higher-order scattering effects but also provides a quantitative framework to estimate truncation errors, ensuring the Foldy-Lax approximation's accuracy for transient problems.

1.2 Problem Setting and the Mathematical Model

We consider a collection $D = \bigcup_{i=1}^M D_i$ of M connected, bounded C^2 -regular inclusions in \mathbb{R}^3 , which model acoustic bubbles. The total acoustic wave field u , defined as $u := u^{sc} + u^{in}$, is governed by the following transmission problem:

$$\begin{cases} \kappa^{-1}(x)u_{tt} - \operatorname{div} \rho^{-1}(x)\nabla u = \lambda(t)\delta_{x_0}(x) & \text{in } (\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \partial D) \times (0, T), \\ u|_+ = u|_- & \text{on } \partial D_i, \\ \rho_c^{-1}\partial_\nu u|_+ = \rho_{b,i}^{-1}\partial_\nu u|_- & \text{on } \partial D_i, \\ u(x, 0) = u_t(x, 0) = 0 & \text{for } x \in \mathbb{R}^3, \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

where u^{in} is the incident wave generated by a point source at $x_0 \notin \overline{D}$, given by

$$u^{in}(x, t, x_0) := \rho_c \frac{\lambda(t - c_0^{-1}|x - x_0|)}{4\pi\|x - x_0\|} \text{ with } c_0 = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa_c}{\rho_c}} \quad (1.2)$$

while $u^{sc} := u - u^{in}$ denotes the scattered field. The source term involves a causal signal $\lambda(t)$ and the Dirac delta function $\delta_{x_0}(x)$, centered at $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{D}$.

The mass density ρ and bulk modulus κ are defined piecewise as follows:

$$\rho = \rho_b \mathbf{1}_D + \rho_c \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{D}}, \quad \kappa = \kappa_b \mathbf{1}_D + \kappa_c \mathbf{1}_{\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \overline{D}}, \quad (1.3)$$

where ρ_b, κ_b and ρ_c, κ_c are the positive constants representing the mass density and bulk modulus inside the bubbles and the background medium, respectively. Here, $\mathbf{1}_A$ is the characteristic function of a set A ($\mathbf{1}_A(x) = 1$ if $x \in A$ and $\mathbf{1}_A(x) = 0$ otherwise). The Dirichlet and Neumann traces on ∂D are defined as:

$$u|_\pm(x, t) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} u(x \pm h\nu_x, t), \quad \partial_\nu u|_\pm(x, t) := \lim_{h \rightarrow 0} \nabla u(x \pm h\nu_x, t) \cdot \nu_x,$$

where ν_x is the outward normal vector to ∂D at x . The subscripts $+$ and $-$ denote the exterior and interior traces, respectively.

Each bubble D_i is described by $D_i = \varepsilon B_i + z_i$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$, $z_i \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is the center and B_i is a uniformly bounded, C^2 regular domain containing the origin and has a volume on the order of unity, $\text{vol}(B_i) \sim 1$.

We define d as the minimum distance between bubbles, denoted by $d_{ij} = \text{dist}(D_i, D_j)$ for $i \neq j$, where dist represents the distance function, i.e.,

$$d := \min_{i,j} d_{ij}. \quad (1.4)$$

Furthermore, we denote ε as the maximum diameter among the microbubbles, given by:

$$\varepsilon := \max_i \text{diam}(D_i), \quad (1.5)$$

where diam represents the diameter function.

Assumption 1. *We model the bubbles as gas-filled inclusions. This means, their bulk modulus $\kappa_{b,i}$ and mass density $\rho_{b,i}$ are significantly smaller than those of the surrounding medium. To model this, we design the bubble radius such that the material parameters scale as follows:*

$$[\kappa(x), \rho(x)] := \begin{cases} [\kappa_c, \rho_c] & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus D_i, \\ [\kappa_{b,i}, \rho_{b,i}] = [\bar{\kappa}_{b,i}\varepsilon^2, \bar{\rho}_{b,i}\varepsilon^2] & \text{in } D_i, \end{cases} \quad (1.6)$$

where $\bar{\kappa}_{b,i}$ and $\bar{\rho}_{b,i}$ are constant functions independent of ε . The parameters of the homogeneous background medium, κ_c and ρ_c , are also constant and independent of ε . With such scaling, we observe that the speed of propagation in $\bigcup_{i=1}^M D_i$ and $\mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^M D_i$ is of order 1, i.e., $\frac{\kappa}{\rho} \sim 1$.

Assumption 2. *In this paper, we focus on the following regimes for modeling bubbles distributed throughout a three-dimensional bounded C^2 -regular domain Ω of unit volume:*

$$M \leq M_{\max} \quad \text{and} \quad d = \tilde{d} \varepsilon^p, \quad 0 \leq p < 1, \quad \varepsilon \ll 1, \quad (1.7)$$

where M_{\max} and \tilde{d} are positive constants independent of ε with M_{\max} to be specified later.

1.3 Function Spaces and Mathematical Preliminaries

We begin by defining the necessary function spaces needed for our forthcoming analysis following the framework and notations from [2, 6, 20]. Let X be a separable Hilbert space. We then define $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}; X)$ as the space of X -valued distributions on the real line. Moreover, we define the following space

$$\mathcal{L}'(\mathbb{R}, X) := \{f \in \mathcal{D}'(\mathbb{R}, X) : e^{-\sigma t} f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+, X) \text{ for some } \sigma > 0\},$$

where $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+; X)$ is the space of X -valued tempered distributions in \mathbb{R} having support in $[0, \infty)$. Therefore, for a function $f \in \mathcal{L}'(\mathbb{R}, X) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}, X)$ and any $\sigma > \sigma_f$ the Laplace transform is given by

$$\hat{f}(s) = \mathcal{L}[f](s) := \int_0^{+\infty} f(t) e^{-st} dt,$$

is well defined and holomorphic on $\Re s > \sigma_f$ with σ_f is the abscissa of convergence. In particular, we fix $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$ with $\sigma_0 = \max\{0, \sigma_f\}$, and parametrize $s = \sigma + i\omega$ to evaluate $\hat{f}(\sigma + i\omega)$ as the boundary values on the vertical line $\Re s = \sigma$.

Let $\omega(t)$ be a positive measurable function in \mathbb{R}^+ . We define the function space

$$L^2(0, \infty, \omega(t) dt, X) := \left\{ f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow X : \int_0^\infty \|f(t)\|_X^2 \omega(t) dt < \infty \right\}, \quad (1.8)$$

where X is a separable Hilbert space.

Let us now consider ν to be a positive Borel measure on $[0, \infty)$ satisfying the doubling condition $R_\nu := \sup_{t>0} \frac{\nu[0,2t]}{\nu[0,t]} < \infty$, and has no atom on 0 i.e. $\nu(\{0\}) = 0$. We then define the Zen space on the right-half plane as

$$A_\nu^2(X) := \left\{ F : \mathbb{C}^+ \rightarrow X \text{ analytic} : \|F\|_{A_\nu^2(X)}^2 := \int_{\mathbb{C}^+} \|F(\xi + i\omega)\|_X^2 d\nu(\xi) d\omega < \infty \right\}, \quad (1.9)$$

where $s = \xi + i\omega$ with $\Re s = \xi \geq 0$ and $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$. We then state the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1. [2] *Suppose that ω is given as a weighted Laplace transform*

$$\omega(t) = 2\pi \int_0^\infty e^{-2\xi t} d\nu(\xi). \quad (1.10)$$

Then the Laplace transform provides an isometric map $L^2(0, \infty, \omega(t)dt, X) \rightarrow A_\nu^2(X)$.

To proceed, we fix $\sigma > 0$ and write $s = \sigma + i\omega$. Accordingly, we define the shifted half-plane $\mathbb{C}_\sigma^+ := \{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re s > \sigma\}$ and the shifted Zen space as follows:

$$A_{\nu,\sigma}^2(X) := \{F : \mathbb{C}_\sigma^+ \rightarrow X \text{ analytic} : F_\sigma(s) = F(s + \sigma) \in A_\nu^2(X)\}. \quad (1.11)$$

The following corollary is straightforward to prove, so we state it without proof.

Corollary 1.1. *$A_{\nu,\sigma}^2(X)$ is a Hilbert space isometrically isomorphic to $A_\nu^2(X)$ via the unitary operator $U : A_{\nu,\sigma}^2(X) \rightarrow A_\nu^2(X)$ defined by $(UF)(s) := F(s + \sigma)$ with the norm*

$$\|F\|_{A_{\nu,\sigma}^2(X)}^2 = \|F_\sigma\|_{A_\nu^2(X)}^2. \quad (1.12)$$

We recall the Hardy space:

$$H^p(\mathbb{C}^+, \mathcal{L}(X)) := \left\{ F : \mathbb{C}^+ \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(X) \text{ is analytic} : \|F\|_{H^p(\mathbb{C}^+, \mathcal{L}(X))} := \left(\sup_{\xi>0} \int_{-\infty}^\infty \|F(\xi + i\omega)\|_{\text{op}}^p d\omega \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty \right\}, \quad (1.13)$$

and in a similar manner, we consider $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}^+, \mathcal{L}(X))$ as set of all bounded and analytic function in \mathbb{C}^+ with the norm $\|F\|_\infty := \sup_{s \in \mathbb{C}^+} \|F(s)\|_{\text{op}}$. Accordingly, we define the Hardy space $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_\sigma^+, \mathcal{L}(X))$ on the shifted half-plane \mathbb{C}_σ^+ . Next, we state the following lemma.

Lemma 1.2. [2] *Let G belong to the Hardy space $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}^+, \mathcal{L}(X))$. Then, for $s \in \mathbb{C}^+$ and $F \in A_\nu^2(X)$, the multiplication operator M_G defined by*

$$(M_G F)(s) = G(s)F(s)$$

is bounded on $A_\nu^2(X)$ with $\|M_G\| \leq \|G\|_\infty$.

Moreover, if $F \in H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_\sigma^+, \mathcal{L}(X))$, then it is straightforward to see that the multiplication operator M_G on $A_{\nu,\sigma}^2(X)$ is unitarily equivalent to the multiplication operator $M_{\tilde{G}}$ on $A_\nu^2(X)$ with $\tilde{G}(s) := G(s + \sigma)$. Consequently, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 1.2. *The statements of Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2 hold for the shifted half-plane and thus for the shifted Zen space $A_{\nu,\sigma}^2(X)$.*

For $\sigma > 0$ and $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we define the following anisotropic Hilbert space as

$$H_{0,\sigma}^r(0, \infty; X) := \left\{ f : f \in \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}_+, X) \text{ and } \|f\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r(0,\infty;X)}^2 : \sum_{k=0}^r \int_0^{+\infty} \|e^{-\sigma t} \partial_t^k f(\cdot, t)\|_X^2 dt < \infty \right\}.$$

For $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we define the following Hardy–Sobolev space of analytic X -valued functions on \mathbb{C}_σ^+ as:

$$H_{r,\sigma}(X) := \left\{ f : \mathbb{C}_\sigma^+ \rightarrow X \text{ is analytic} : \|f\|_{H_{r,\sigma}(X)}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (\sigma^2 + \omega^2)^r \|f(\sigma + i\omega)\|_X^2 d\omega < \infty \right\}.$$

Then $H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_\sigma^+, \mathcal{L}(X))$ acts by multiplication on $H_{r,\sigma}$ and the Laplace transform establishes the isometric isomorphism (see [25, Chapter 3], [14, Section 3.2])

$$\mathcal{L} : H_{0,\sigma}^r(0, \infty; X) \xrightarrow{\text{unitary}} H_{r,\sigma}(X).$$

Motivated by Lemma 1.1 and Lemma 1.2, we state the following corollary.

Corollary 1.3. *Let $G \in H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_\sigma^+, \mathcal{L}(X))$ and assume that G extends continuously to the closed half-plane $\overline{\mathbb{C}_\sigma^+}$. We define the multiplication operator $M_G : H_{r,\sigma} \rightarrow H_{r,\sigma}$ by*

$$(M_G F)(s) := G(s)F(s).$$

Then M_G is bounded on $H_{r,\sigma}(X)$ and $\|M_G\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_{r,\sigma}; H_{r,\sigma})} \leq \sup_{\Re s = \sigma} \|G(s)\|_{\text{op}}$, where $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$ denotes the operator norm on $\mathcal{L}(X)$.

Proof. We begin by observing that the multiplication operator, M_G , is defined point-wise for all $s = \sigma + i\omega$. This means that for every $F \in H_{r,\sigma}$ and every $s = \sigma + i\omega$ point-wise, we have

$$\|M_G F(s)\|_X \leq \|G(s)\|_{\text{op}} \|F(s)\|_X \leq \left(\sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} \|G(\sigma + i\omega)\|_{\text{op}} \right) \|F\|_X. \quad (1.14)$$

Since $G \in H^\infty(\mathbb{C}_\sigma^+, \mathcal{L}(X))$ and due to the fact that it admits a continuous extension to the boundary line $\Re s = \sigma$, we use Phragmén–Lindelöf principle to conclude that

$$\sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} \|G(\sigma + i\omega)\|_{\text{op}} = \sup_{\Re s > \sigma} \|G(s)\|_{\text{op}} = \sup_{\Re s = \sigma} \|G(s)\|_{\text{op}}.$$

Therefore, from the definition of norm on $H_{r,\sigma}$, we see that

$$\|M_G F\|_{H_{r,\sigma}}^2 = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} |s|^{2r} \|G(s)F(s)\|_X^2 d\omega \leq \left(\sup_{\Re s = \sigma} \|G(s)\|_{\text{op}} \right)^2 \|F\|_{H_{r,\sigma}}^2. \quad (1.15)$$

By taking square roots on both sides, M_G is bounded on $H_{r,\sigma}$ and $\|M_G\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_{r,\sigma}; H_{r,\sigma})} \leq \sup_{\Re s = \sigma} \|G(s)\|_{\text{op}}$. \square

We define the Banach space $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \oplus L^1([0, T])$, where $\mathcal{B}([0, T])$ is the space of finite signed Borel measures on $[0, T]$, equipped with the total variation norm $\|\mu\|_{TV} = \sup_i \sum |\mu(E_i)|$ taken over all finite partitions (E_i) of $[0, T]$, and $L^1([0, T])$ is the space of Lebesgue integrable functions with the norm

$$\|f\|_1 = \int_0^T |f(t)| dt.$$

We then recall the following Helmholtz decomposition (see [8, 23] for details):

$$(L^2(D))^3 = \mathbb{H}_0(\text{div } 0, D) \oplus \mathbb{H}_0(\text{curl } 0, D) \oplus \nabla \mathbb{H}_{\text{arm}}, \quad (1.16)$$

where

$$\begin{cases} \mathbb{H}_0(\operatorname{div} 0, D) = \{u \in \mathbb{H}(\operatorname{div}, D) : \operatorname{div} u = 0 \text{ in } D, u \cdot \nu = 0 \text{ on } \partial D\}, \\ \mathbb{H}_0(\operatorname{curl} 0, D) = \{u \in \mathbb{H}(\operatorname{curl}, D) : \operatorname{curl} u = 0 \text{ in } D, u \times \nu = 0 \text{ on } \partial D\}, \\ \nabla \mathbb{H}_{\operatorname{arm}} = \{u \in (L^2(D))^3 : \exists \varphi \in H^1(D) \text{ with } \Delta \varphi = 0 \text{ and } u = \nabla \varphi\}. \end{cases} \quad (1.17)$$

The magnetization operator is defined by

$$\mathbb{M}_{B_j}^{(0)}[f](x) := \nabla \int_{B_j} \nabla_y \frac{1}{4\pi|x-y|} \cdot f(y) dy.$$

It is well known (see, for instance, [10]) that $\mathbb{M}_{B_j}^{(0)} : \nabla \mathbb{H}_{\operatorname{arm}} \rightarrow \nabla \mathbb{H}_{\operatorname{arm}}$ admits a complete orthonormal basis of eigenfunctions, denoted by $(\lambda_{n_j}^{(3)}, e_{n_j}^{(3)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$. We define $\lambda_1^{(3)} := \min_j \max_n \lambda_{n_j}^{(3)}$.

1.4 Statement of the Result

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathbf{Y}(t) = (Y_i)_{i=1}^M$ satisfy the following hyperbolic system:*

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{A} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathbf{Y}(t) + \mathbf{Y}(t) = \mathcal{F}(t) & \text{in } (0, T), \\ \mathbf{Y}(0) = \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{Y}(0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (1.18)$$

where the operator $\mathcal{A} : (L_r^2)^M \rightarrow (L_r^2)^M$ is defined by

$$\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}(t) := \begin{pmatrix} \omega_M^2 & \cdots & q_{1M} \mathcal{J}_{-\tau_{1M}} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ q_{M1} \mathcal{J}_{-\tau_{M1}} & \cdots & \omega_M^2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (1.19)$$

with $L_r^2 := \{f \in L^2(-r, T) : f = 0 \text{ in } (-r, 0)\}$, translation operators $\mathcal{J}_{-\tau_{ij}}$ given by $\mathcal{J}_{-\tau_{ij}}(f)(t) := f(t - \tau_{ij})$, and $r := \max_{i \neq j} \tau_{ij}$ where $\tau_{ij} := c_0^{-1} |z_i - z_j|$. Furthermore, for $1 \leq i \neq j \leq M$, we have

$$q_{ij} := \frac{C_j}{4\pi|z_i - z_j|}, \quad \text{with } C_j := \frac{\rho c}{\bar{\kappa}_{b,j}} \operatorname{vol}(B_j) \varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3). \quad (1.20)$$

Neglecting the error terms, we define the scattering coefficient $C_j = C_j^{(0)} \varepsilon$, where $C_j^{(0)} := \frac{\rho c}{\bar{\kappa}_{b,j}} \operatorname{vol}(B_j)$, and having identical shapes and material properties of the bubbles, let $C^{(0)} := C_j^{(0)}$. The constant $\omega_M^2 = \frac{\rho c}{2\bar{\kappa}_{b,j}} \Lambda_{\partial B_j}$ is the inverse square of the Minnaert frequency, where $\Lambda_{\partial B_j} := \frac{1}{|\partial B_j|} \int_{\partial B_j} \int_{\partial B_j} \frac{(x-y) \cdot \nu_x}{|x-y|} d\sigma_x d\sigma_y$ is a geometric constant. In addition, we denote $\mathcal{F} := (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_M)^{\operatorname{Tr}}$ with $\mathcal{F}_i := \partial_t^2 u^{in}(z_i, t)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$, where each \mathcal{F}_i is causal, supported in $[0, T]$, and belongs to $H_{0,\sigma}^r(0, T; L_{\operatorname{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^3))$ with $r \geq 10$ and $\sigma > \sigma_0$.

1. For $\Re s \geq \sigma_0 > \omega_M^{-1}$, under the conditions

$$\varepsilon \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq M} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{C_j^{(0)}}{4\pi|z_i - z_j|} < \omega_M^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2}\right), \quad (1.21)$$

the solution $\mathbf{Y}(t)$ has the time-domain representation

$$\mathbf{Y}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n K^{*n} * V(t). \quad (1.22)$$

Here, the convolution powers K^{*n} are well-defined as elements of the Banach algebra $(\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \oplus L^1([0, T]))^{M \times M}$, and their Laplace transform satisfy $\mathcal{L}[K^{*n}] = T(s)^n$, where each scalar entry of the matrix $T(s)$ is given by $T_{ij}(s) = \frac{s^2}{\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1} q_{ij} e^{-s\tau_{ij}}$, which is analytic for $\Re s \geq \sigma_0$. The vector $V(t)$ has scalar entries $V_i(t)$, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, M$, defined by $V_i(t) = \frac{1}{\omega_M^2} \mathcal{F}_i(t) - \frac{1}{\omega_M^3} \int_0^t \sin\left(\frac{t-\tau}{\omega_M}\right) \mathcal{F}_i(\tau) d\tau$. For any fixed $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we define the remainder term

$$R_N(t) := \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (-1)^n K^{*n} * V(t). \quad (1.23)$$

Then, under the Assumptions 1 and 2, we have the estimate

$$\|R_N\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \leq \frac{\alpha_\infty^{N+1}}{1 - \alpha_\infty \varepsilon^{1-p}} \varepsilon^{(N+1)(1-p)} \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}, \quad (1.24)$$


$$\text{where } \alpha_\infty \leq \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\tilde{d}(\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1)} (M-1) C^{(0)}. \quad (1.25)$$

2. Moreover, the corresponding scattered field, $u^{sc} := u - u^{in}$, satisfy

$$\|u^{sc}(x, t) - u^{sc,N}(x, t)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \lesssim M\varepsilon^2.$$

where $d_{\min} := \min_m |x - z_m| > 0$ (fixed, since $x \notin \bar{D}$) is the minimal observation distance, and $u^{sc,N}$ is the scattered field after N interactions between the bubbles, defined by

$$u^{sc,N}(x, t) = - \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{C_m}{4\pi|x - \mathbf{z}_m|} \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^n (K^{*n} * V)_m(t - c_0^{-1}|x - \mathbf{z}_m|). \quad (1.26)$$



Selected path $\gamma = (i_0, i_1, \dots, i_N)$ (red) among many possible scattering paths (gray).

We fix a path of indices $\gamma = (i_0, i_1, \dots, i_N)$ of length N and denote the product of the scattering coefficients along this path as $Q_\gamma := \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} q_{i_k, i_{k+1}}$. Corresponding to the path γ , we assume there exist $p \in (0, 1)$ and constants $d_{i_k i_{k+1}}^{(0)} > 0$, independent on ε , such that $|z_{i_k} - z_{i_{k+1}}| = d_{i_k i_{k+1}}^{(0)} \varepsilon^p$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$.

3. Furthermore, assume that $V_{i_0} \in H_{0,\sigma}^r(\Re s \geq \sigma_0 > \frac{1}{\omega_M})$ has spectral energy in the resonance band $\Omega_{\text{res}} := [\omega_{\text{res}} - h, \omega_{\text{res}} + h]$ such that its Laplace transform ¹ satisfies

$$|\widehat{V}_{i_0}(\sigma + i\omega)| \geq m \quad \text{for a.e. } \omega \in \Omega_{\text{res}}, \quad (1.27)$$

with constants $m, h > 0$, where $\omega_{\text{res}} := 1/\omega_M$. Then, under the condition

$$p > 1 - \frac{1}{N}, \quad (1.28)$$

and we choose the parameters m and h corresponding to the resonance band such that for $\theta \in (0, 1)$

$$m\sqrt{2h} > (1 - \theta) \|\mathcal{F}(z_{i_0}, \cdot)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \frac{d_{\max} e^{\sigma(\tau_{\gamma^*} + c_0^{-1}(d_{\max} - d_{\min}))}}{d_{\min} Q_{\gamma^*} r_{\min}} \left(\frac{C_j^{(0)}}{\widetilde{d}}\right)^{N+1} \omega_M^{2N} \left(\frac{(M-1)\sigma_0^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1}\right)^{N+2}, \quad (1.29)$$

the following estimate holds for $r \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$:

$$\|u^{\text{sc},N}(x, t) - u^{\text{sc},N-1}(x, t)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \geq (L^* - (M-1)B)\varepsilon^{N(1-p)+1} \gg M\varepsilon^2. \quad (1.30)$$

Here, we denote $L_\gamma := \left(\frac{Q_\gamma^{(0)} C^{(0)}}{4\pi d_{\max} \omega_M^{2N}}\right) e^{-\sigma(\tau_\gamma + c_0^{-1} d_{\max})} m\sqrt{2h} r_{\min}$, and

$B := \frac{C^{(0)}}{4\pi d_{\min}} \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1} \alpha_\infty^{N+1} e^{-\sigma c_0^{-1} d_{\min}} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}$, choosing any maximizer γ^* such that $\gamma^* \in \arg \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N} L_\gamma$,

with $L_{\gamma^*} = L^*$. Here, $Q_\gamma^{(0)}$ is a positive geometric constants independent of ε , $\tau_\gamma := \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tau_{i_k, i_{k+1}}$,

$r_{\min} := \left(\min_{\omega \in \Omega_{\text{res}}} (\sigma^2 + \omega^2)^r\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, $d_{\max} := \max_m |x - z_m| > 0$ (fixed, since $x \notin \overline{D}$) is the maximal observation distance, and Γ_N as the collection of all such ordered paths of length N .

1.5 Feynman-diagram viewpoint and relation to acoustic multiple scattering

We begin by recalling the schematic representation outlined by Nelson [22] on the concept of Feynman diagram. A Feynman diagram—with N -interactions is given by

$$(\text{Coupling Constants}) \int (\text{Propagators}) (\text{Delta functions}) d(\text{internal lines}). \quad (1.31)$$

In this work, we reduce the acoustic scattering problem by a collection of bubbles to a delay-coupled hyperbolic system for amplitudes $\mathbf{Y}(t) = (Y_i)_{i=1}^M$ of the form

$$\begin{cases} \mathcal{A} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} \mathbf{Y}(t) + \mathbf{Y}(t) = \mathcal{F}(t) & \text{in } (0, T), \\ \mathbf{Y}(0) = \frac{d}{dt} \mathbf{Y}(0) = \mathbf{0}. \end{cases} \quad (1.32)$$

¹Here, $V_{i_0}(\cdot)$ has Laplace transform $\widehat{V}_{i_0}(s) = \frac{s^2}{\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(s)$ with $\Re s \geq \sigma_0 > \frac{1}{\omega_M}$. We also observe that for the resonant angular frequency $\omega_{\text{res}} := \frac{1}{\omega_M}$, the denominator of the term $\widehat{V}_{i_0}(\cdot)$ vanishes on the imaginary axis $s = \pm i\omega_{\text{res}}$.

This leads to a Volterra-type integral equation for $\mathbf{Y}(t)$, which has the solution

$$\mathbf{Y}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n K^{*n} * \mathcal{F}(t). \quad (1.33)$$

Here, $K := [K_{ij}]$ is a causal matrix kernel, and the expression above is a Neumann series in the time-domain convolution. Each K_{ij} consists of two parts, namely:

$$K_{ij}(t) = K_{ij}^{(d)}(t) + K_{ij}^{(c)}(t),$$

where $K_{ij}^{(d)}(t)$ is the atomic part,

$$K_{ij}^{(d)}(t) := \frac{q_{ij}}{\omega_M^2} \delta(t - \tau_{ij}),$$

and $K_{ij}^{(c)}(t)$ represents the non-atomic part, which capture the effects of dispersion. Furthermore, the following identity holds in the distributional sense (see (5.2)):

$$(K^{(d)})_{ij}^{*N}(t) = \frac{1}{\omega_M^{2N}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma=(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_N) \\ i_0=i, i_N=j}} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} q_{i_k, i_{k+1}} \right) \delta\left(t - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tau_{i_k i_{k+1}}\right), \quad (1.34)$$

where γ denotes a path of length N from a bubble location z_i to another one z_j . In our setting, for a chosen path of indices $\gamma = (i_0, i_1, \dots, i_N)$ of length N , the indices i_1, i_2, \dots, i_{N-1} represent the vertices of the diagram. The Dirac part of the kernel, $K_{ij}^{(d)}(t) := \frac{q_{ij}}{\omega_M^2} \delta(t - \tau_{ij})$, plays the role of a propagator-vertex pair. More precisely, each Dirac kernel

$$K_{i_k i_{k+1}}^{(d)}(t) := \frac{q_{i_k i_{k+1}}}{\omega_M^2} \delta(t - \tau_{i_k i_{k+1}})$$

acts as a retarded point-propagator (analogous to the Helmholtz-type propagator in standard quantum field theory (QFT); see [21, Chapter 4]), propagating a singularity from bubble i_k to bubble i_{k+1} with a fixed travel time $\tau_{i_k i_{k+1}}$, while $\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} q_{i_k, i_{k+1}}$ represents the product of coupling constants. Observing the form of $(K^{(d)})_{ij}^{*N}(t)$, we see that in $\mathcal{D}'(0, \infty)$, the convolution over the intermediate interaction times t_1, t_2, \dots, t_{N-1} ,

$$(K^{(d)})_{ij}^{*N}(t) = \int_{0 < t_{N-1} < \dots < t_1 < t} \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} K_{i_k i_{k+1}}^{(d)}(t_k - t_{k+1}) dt_1 \dots dt_{N-1}, \quad t_0 := t, t_N := 0,$$

reduces, via a distributional identity, to the Dirac delta

$$\delta\left(t - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tau_{i_k i_{k+1}}\right).$$

This delta function is the analogue of the kinematic conservation-enforcing delta functions in Nelson's schematic formula. To this end, choosing a fixed path $\gamma = (i_0, i_1, \dots, i_N)$ with $i_0 = i$ and $i_N = j$ and integrating over the time-ordered simplex $\{0 < t_{N-1} < \dots < t_1 < t\}$ using the conventions $t_0 := t$ and $t_N := 0$, the contribution of this path to the N-fold convolution is

$$(\text{Coupling Constants}) \int (\text{Propagators}) (\text{Delta functions}) d(\text{internal lines}). \quad (1.35)$$

which in our setting takes the explicit form

$$\left(\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \frac{q_{i_k i_{k+1}}}{\omega_M^2} \right) \int_{0 < t_{N-1} < \dots < t_1 < t} \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} \delta(t_k - t_{k+1} - \tau_{i_k i_{k+1}}) dt_1 \cdots dt_{N-1}. \quad (1.36)$$

It is important to note that the Dirac part of the kernel plays a dual role: the individual $K_{i_k i_{k+1}}^{(d)}$ act as propagators, while their convolution along a path creates a delta constraint that eliminates the internal time variables.

1.6 Discussion

The results displayed in Section 1.4 and Section 1.5 establish a rigorous and interpretable framework for transient multiple scattering in dispersive bubbly media, addressing critical gaps in classical Foldy-Lax theory while introducing a novel diagrammatic perspective. Below, we discuss these results and highlight their potential implications.

1. Theorem 1.1's solvability and error estimates resolve a longstanding limitation of time-domain Foldy-Lax models: the lack of rigorous justification for dispersion-inclusive systems. By combining anisotropic Hilbert spaces ($H_{0,\sigma}^r$) and Hardy-Sobolev spaces, we prove that the delayed-coupled hyperbolic system admits a unique solution expressed as a convergent Neumann series of convolution powers. This convergence is non-trivial—unlike frequency-domain models, time-domain dispersive systems introduce delayed interactions and frequency-dependent scattering coefficients (via Minnaert resonance) that violate classical compactness assumptions. Our use of Laplace transform isometries and operator-valued function space techniques ensures the scattering kernel's boundedness, a key technical contribution that validates the series' convergence for practical parameter ranges. The truncation error's geometric decay (Section 1.4, Result 2) is particularly impactful for applications. Near resonance, where dispersive effects dominate, higher-order multi-scattering (up to $N < 1/(1-p)$) contributes meaningfully to transient fields, while measurement errors (of order ε^2) are dominated by these higher-order terms. This quantifies the Foldy-Lax approximation's accuracy: for closely spaced bubbles ($p < 1$) and incident waves with spectral energy in the resonance band, truncating the series at N interactions retains physically relevant dynamics without spurious artifacts. This resolves ambiguity in prior works, which often assumed truncation without quantifying how many interactions are necessary to capture transient responses.
2. The mapping between Foldy-Lax amplitude equations and Feynman diagrams (Section 1.5) provides a powerful conceptual and computational tool, bridging classical multiple scattering theory with quantum field theory (QFT) techniques. By interpreting inter-bubble scattering events as vertices, wave propagation as retarded propagators, and convolution powers as multi-scattering paths, we reduce the complexity of higher-order interactions to diagrammatic kinematics. This correspondence is not merely heuristic: the Dirac delta functions enforcing time-delay conservation in the convolution kernels directly mirror the kinematic constraints in QFT Feynman rules, linking classical acoustic scattering to fundamental principles of particle interaction modeling. Practically, this diagrammatic framework simplifies the tracking of multi-scattering paths. For example, a path $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ (two vertices) corresponds to a Feynman diagram whose amplitude scales with $\varepsilon^{2(1-p)+1}$, aligning with Theorem 1.1's error estimates. Additionally, the diagrammatic perspective clarifies why resonance enhances higher-order contributions: near Minnaert resonance, the scattering kernel's spectral amplitude is amplified, making diagrammatic terms corresponding to longer paths (more vertices) significant.
3. These results extend beyond bubbly acoustic media to any dispersive system with resonant scatterers. Indeed, the functional-analytic framework can be adapted to other dispersive mechanisms (e.g., Lorentz resonance in dielectrics) by modifying the scattering kernel's frequency dependence.

2 Proof of Theorem 1.1

We first state the following proposition.

Proposition 2.1. [18, Theorem 1.1] Consider the acoustic problem (1.1) generated by a cluster of resonating acoustic gas bubbles D_j for $j = 1, 2, \dots, M$. Then, under the following conditions:

$$\frac{\rho_c}{4\pi} \text{vol}(B_j) \left(\frac{\varepsilon}{d}\right)^6 \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_1^{(3)}}\right)^2 < 1, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, M, \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{C} \max_{1 \leq m \leq M} \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^M \frac{1}{4\pi|z_m - z_j|} < \omega_M^2, \quad (2.1)$$

with $\mathbf{C} := \max_{1 \leq j \leq M} \mathbf{C}_j$, the scattered field $u^{sc}(\mathbf{x}, t)$ has the following asymptotic expansion:

$$u^{sc}(\mathbf{x}, t) = - \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{C_m}{4\pi|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}_m|} Y_m(t - c_0^{-1}|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{z}_m|) + \mathcal{O}(M\varepsilon^2) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0, \quad (2.2)$$

for $(\mathbf{x}, t) \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \mathcal{K} \times (0, T)$ with $\overline{\Omega} \subset \subset \mathcal{K}$, (\mathcal{K} is any bounded domain such that $\text{dist}(\partial\mathcal{K}, \Omega) > \text{const}$, where const is independent of ε), where $(Y_j)_{j=1}^M$ is the vector solution to the following non-homogeneous second-order matrix differential equation with zero initial conditions:

$$\begin{cases} \omega_M^2 \frac{d^2}{dt^2} Y_m(t) + Y_m(t) + \sum_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq m}}^M \frac{\mathbf{C}_j}{4\pi|z_m - z_j|} \frac{d^2}{dt^2} Y_j(t - c_0^{-1}|z_m - z_j|) = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial t^2} u^{in}(z_m, t), & \text{in } (0, T), \\ Y_m(0) = \frac{d}{dt} Y_m(0) = 0, \end{cases} \quad (2.3)$$

where $\omega_M^2 = \frac{\rho_c}{2\kappa_{b,m}} \Lambda_{\partial B_m}$ represents the inverse square of the Minnaert frequency $\omega_{\text{Min}} := \sqrt{\frac{2\kappa_{b,m}}{\rho_c \Lambda_{\partial B_m}}}$ of the bubble D_m (see, for instance, [1, 7]), and $\mathbf{C}_j := C_j^{(0)} \varepsilon$ with $C_j^{(0)} := \text{vol}(B_j) \frac{\rho_c}{\kappa_{b,j}}$.

Here, $\Lambda_{\partial B_m} := \frac{1}{|\partial B_m|} \int_{\partial B_m} \int_{\partial B_m} \frac{(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}) \cdot \nu_{\mathbf{x}}}{|\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y}|} d\sigma_{\mathbf{x}} d\sigma_{\mathbf{y}}$ is a geometric constant. The well-posedness of the system of differential equations (2.3) is discussed in [18, Section 2.4].

Lemma 2.1. The system of differential equations (2.3) reduces, under the Fourier–Laplace transform, to the following matrix equation:

$$(I + T(s)) \widehat{\mathbf{Y}}(s) = V(s), \quad \Re s > \sigma_0, \quad (2.4)$$

where

$$D(s) = \text{diag}(\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1)_{i=1}^M, \quad Q(s) = (q_{ij} e^{-s\tau_{ij}})_{i,j=1}^M, \quad (2.5)$$

and

$$T(s) := D(s)^{-1} s^2 Q(s), \quad V(s) := D(s)^{-1} s^2 F(s), \quad (2.6)$$

with $\mathcal{F}(s) = \mathcal{L}[\mathcal{F}(t)]$ the Laplace transform of the causal forcing vector $\mathcal{F}_i(t) = \partial_t^2 u^{in}(z_i, t)$, $i = 1, \dots, M$, $M \in \mathbb{N}$. Moreover, $V(s)$ is analytic for $\Re s > \sigma_0$. For each $i=1, \dots, M$, the parameters satisfy

$$\omega_M^2 > 0, \quad \tau_{ij} = c_0^{-1}|z_i - z_j| \geq 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, M), \quad q_{ij} = \frac{\mathbf{C}_j}{4\pi|z_i - z_j|} \in \mathbb{R},$$

with the convention $q_{ii} = 0$.

Proof. Each diagonal entry of $D(s)$ is of the form $\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1$. This polynomial has its zeros on the imaginary axis at $s = \pm \frac{i}{\omega_M}$. Therefore, for every fixed $\omega_M^2 > 0$, the scalar function $s \mapsto \frac{1}{\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1}$ is analytic in the open half-plane $\Re s > \sigma_0$. Consequently, the diagonal matrix $D(s)^{-1}$ is analytic entry-wise in $\Re s > \sigma_0$. Next, note that

$$T(s) = D(s)^{-1} s^2 Q(s).$$

Here, both $s \mapsto s^2$ and $s \mapsto e^{-s\tau_{ij}}$ are entire functions, and their product with the analytic factor $(\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1)^{-1}$ remains analytic for $\Re s > \sigma_0$. Explicitly, each entry is given by

$$T_{ij}(s) = \frac{s^2}{\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1} q_{ij} e^{-s\tau_{ij}}.$$

This is the product of two analytic functions on $\Re s > \sigma_0$, hence analytic there. Therefore $T(s)$ is analytic in $\Re s > \sigma_0$.

A similar argument applies to

$$V(s) = D(s)^{-1} s^2 \mathcal{F}(s),$$

since the Laplace transform $(F(s))$ of a causal forcing function is analytic for $\Re s > \sigma_0$.

Thus, both $T(s)$ and $V(s)$ are analytic in the right half-plane, and the claimed matrix equation follows. \square

Next, the crucial step is to provide a justification that the inverse Laplace of each scalar entry of $T(s)$ i.e.

$$T_{ij}(s) = \frac{s^2}{\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1} q_{ij} e^{-s\tau_{ij}}$$

exist.

We start with denoting the following term as $R_{\omega_M^2}(s) := \frac{s^2}{\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1}$, which has the following precise decomposition for $\omega_M^2 > 0$:

$$R_{\omega_M^2}(s) = \frac{1}{\omega_M^2} - \frac{1}{\omega_M^2 (\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1)}$$

We have that $\mathcal{L}^{-1}[\frac{1}{\omega_M^2}] = \frac{1}{\omega_M^2} \delta(t)$ by linearity and $\mathcal{L}^{-1}[1] = \delta$. Also, we have $\mathcal{L}^{-1}[(\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1)^{-1}] = (\frac{1}{\omega_M}) \sin(\frac{t}{\omega_M}) \mathbf{1}_{t \geq 0}$, as noted above; multiply by $-\frac{1}{\omega_M^2}$ to obtain the second piece:

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}\left[-\frac{1}{\omega_M^2} \cdot \frac{1}{\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1}\right](t) = -\frac{1}{\omega_M^3} \sin\left(\frac{t}{\omega_M}\right) \mathbf{1}_{t \geq 0}.$$

Thus for the rational factor alone,

$$\mathcal{L}^{-1}[R_{\omega_M^2}](t) = \frac{1}{\omega_M^2} \delta(t) - \frac{1}{\omega_M^3} \sin\left(\frac{t}{\omega_M}\right) \mathbf{1}_{t \geq 0}, \quad (2.7)$$

as distributions supported in $[0, \infty)$.

Let $f \in \mathcal{D}'([0, \infty))$ be a distribution supported in $[0, \infty)$ and Laplace transformable. Then, due to the well-known shift theorem, we have the following property

$$\mathcal{L}\{f(t-a)\mathbf{1}_{t \geq a}\} = e^{-sa} \mathcal{L}\{f\} \text{ for } \Re s > \sigma_0 \text{ and } a > 0,$$

where $\sigma_0 = \inf\{\sigma : e^{-\sigma t} f(t) \in L^1(0, \infty)\}$. In addition, we note that as e^{-st} is an entire analytic function, $\mathcal{L}\{f\}$ is a holomorphic function for $\Re s > \sigma_0$.

Consequently, using the above property to the decomposition in (2.7) yields the explicit inverse for T_{ij}

$$K_{ij}(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}[T_{ij}](t) = q_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_M^2} \delta(t - \tau_{ij}) - \frac{1}{\omega_M^3} \sin\left(\frac{t - \tau_{ij}}{\omega_M}\right) \mathbf{1}_{t \geq \tau_{ij}} \right). \quad (2.8)$$

Lemma 2.2. *Let us fix $\omega_M > 0$ and $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{\omega_M}$. Then, the Neumann series for $(I + T(s))^{-1}$ converges uniformly on the half plane $\{s \in \mathbb{C} : \Re s \geq \sigma_0\}$ if the bubbles are distributed in such a way that the following inequality holds:*

$$\varepsilon \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq M} \sum_{j \neq i} \frac{C_j^{(0)}}{4\pi|z_i - z_j|} < \omega_M^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2}\right).$$

Proof. First, we have:

$$T(s) = D(s)^{-1} s^2 Q(s),$$

where

$$D(s) = \text{diag}(\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1)_{i=1}^M, \quad Q(s) = (q_{ij} e^{-s\tau_{ij}})_{i,j=1}^M,$$

and

$$q_{ij} = \frac{\mathbf{C}_j}{4\pi|z_i - z_j|}, \quad \tau_{ij} = c_0^{-1}|z_i - z_j|.$$

Also, $\mathbf{C}_j = C_j^{(0)} \varepsilon$ with $\varepsilon \ll 1$.

First, we will show that for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re s \geq \sigma_0 > \frac{1}{\omega_M}$, we have the uniform bound:

$$\sup_{\Re s \geq \sigma_0} \left| \frac{s^2}{\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1} \right| \leq \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1} = \frac{1}{\omega_M^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2}}$$

Let us now recall that

$$R_{\omega_M^2}(s) = \frac{s^2}{\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1}. \tag{2.9}$$

We then begin by providing a uniform bound for $R_{\omega_M^2}(s)$ in terms of s . Since $|R(s)| \rightarrow \infty$ near the poles $\pm \frac{i}{\omega_M}$, we require $\Re s \geq \sigma_0$. Moreover, we can still approach arbitrarily close to these poles, making a uniform bound impossible over the entire right half-plane. Therefore, we restrict our analysis on the half-plane

$$\Re s \geq \sigma_0 > \frac{1}{\omega_M}.$$

Next, due to the consideration $\Re s \geq \sigma_0 > \frac{1}{\omega_M}$, we see that

$$|\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1| \geq |\omega_M^2 s|^2 - 1 \geq \omega_M^2 |s|^2 - 1 \geq \omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1 > 0. \tag{2.10}$$

Since, we have

$$|s| \geq \sigma_0 > \frac{1}{\omega_M}, \text{ which further implies } \omega_M^2 |s|^2 > 1.$$

It shows the validity of the inequality (2.10). Consequently, we obtain

$$\sup_{\Re s \geq \sigma_0} |R(s)| = \frac{|s|^2}{|\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1|} \leq \frac{|s|^2}{\omega_M^2 |s|^2 - 1} \leq \frac{1}{\omega_M^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\omega_M^2 |s|^2}} \leq \frac{1}{\omega_M^2} \cdot \frac{1}{1 - \frac{1}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2}} \tag{2.11}$$

In addition to that, due to the fact $\Re s \geq \sigma_0$ and $\tau_{ij} \geq 0$, we have $|e^{-s\tau_{ij}}| \leq 1$. Subsequently, the Neumann series converges whenever

$$\sup_{\Re s \geq \sigma_0} \|T(s)\|_{\text{op}} \leq \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1} \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq M} \sum_{j \neq i} |q_{ij}| < 1. \tag{2.12}$$

Here, we note that the operator norm $\|\cdot\|_{\text{op}}$ on $\mathbb{C}^{M \times M}$ is the ℓ^∞ -induced norm, given by $\|A\|_{\text{op}} = \max_i \sum_j |A_{ij}|$. We use this norm in the subsequent analysis.

We also recall that $q_{ij} = \varepsilon \frac{C_j^{(0)}}{4\pi d_{ij}} \asymp \varepsilon^{1-p} \frac{C_j^{(0)}}{4\pi d}$, where we set $q_{ij}^{(0)} \asymp \frac{C_j^{(0)}}{4\pi d}$. Substituting $q_{ij} = \varepsilon^{1-p} q_{ij}^{(0)}$, we obtain the convergence condition of the Neumann series

$$\varepsilon^{1-p} \cdot \max_{1 \leq i \leq M} \sum_{j \neq i} q_{ij}^{(0)} < \frac{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1}{\sigma_0^2} = \omega_M^2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2}\right),$$

which completes the proof. \square

Applying the above Lemma to $(I + T(s))\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}(s) = V(s)$ gives the unique solution

$$\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}(s) = (I + T(s))^{-1}V(s) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n T(s)^n V(s). \quad (2.13)$$

Next, we aim to provide a time-domain expression $\mathbf{Y}(t)$ corresponding to the above frequency domain expression $\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}(s)$. Therefore, we next prove that the inverse Laplace of $T(s)^n$ exists and equals K^{*n} (i.e. $\mathcal{L}[K^{*n}] = T(s)^n$).

Before proceeding, we state the following Lemma:

Lemma 2.3. [11] *Let μ be a finite Borel measure on $[0, T]$ and $f \in L^1([0, T])$. Then*

$$(\mu * f)(t) = \int_0^T f(t - \tau) d\mu(\tau) \text{ exists for a.e. } t,$$

and satisfies

$$\|\mu * f\|_{L^1} \leq \|\mu\|_{TV} \|f\|_{L^1}.$$

The following proposition will prove that for all n , the n -fold convolution power K^{*n} is well defined and has entries in the function space $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \oplus L^1([0, T])$.

Proposition 2.2. *Let K be the matrix-valued distribution with entries*

$$K_{ij}(t) = \mathcal{L}^{-1}[T_{ij}](t) = q_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_M^2} \delta(t - \tau_{ij}) - \frac{1}{\omega_M^3} \sin\left(\frac{t - \tau_{ij}}{\omega_M}\right) \mathbf{1}_{t \geq \tau_{ij}} \right), \quad (2.14)$$

and $T(s)$ be the matrix valued function defined by

$$T_{ij}(s) = \frac{s^2}{\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1} q_{ij} e^{-s\tau_{ij}}. \quad (2.15)$$

Let us now define the convolution powers recursively as follows $K^{*0} = \delta I$, $K^{*1} = K$, and $K^{*(n+1)} = K^{*n} * K$ for $n \geq 1$. Then, we have each entry of K^{*n} is an element of $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \oplus L^1([0, T])$ and the Laplace transform of K^{*n} satisfies $\mathcal{L}[K^{*n}] = T(s)^n$.

Proof. We prove by induction on n .

For $n = 0$, we know by definition that $K^{*0} = \delta I$. Since, Dirac delta function δ is a finite measure on $[0, T]$ with total variation 1, it follows that $\delta I \in \mathcal{B}([0, T])^{M \times M}$, and thus in $(\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \oplus L^1([0, T]))^{M \times M}$. Therefore, its Laplace transform is $\mathcal{L}[\delta I] = I = T(s)^0$.

Next, we assume that the statement holds for all $n \geq 0$. Then, we have $K^{*(n+1)} = K^{*n} * K$. Now, by induction hypothesis $K^{*n} \in \mathcal{B}([0, T]) \oplus L^1([0, T])$, and by definition of K (2.14), which is combination of a measure and L^1 function, we see that it also lies in $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \oplus L^1([0, T])$. Therefore, due to Lemma

2.3 for convolution, we find that each entry of $K^{*(n+1)}$ is in $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \oplus L^1([0, T])$. For the Laplace transform, using convolution theorem, we have the following:

$$\mathcal{L}[K^{*(n+1)}] = \mathcal{L}[K^{*n} * K] = \mathcal{L}[K^{*n}] \cdot \mathcal{L}[K] = T(s)^n \cdot T(s) = T(s)^{n+1}. \quad (2.16)$$

This completes the induction. \square

Before moving forward, we point out that we will not explicitly use the norm properties of the Banach space $\mathcal{B}([0, T]) \oplus L^1([0, T])$. Instead, we only use the fact that it is a Banach space which is stable under convolution and compatible with the Laplace transform, in the sense that $\mathcal{L}[K^{*n}] = T(s)^n$.

Consequently, Proposition 2.2 shows that the Neumann series in the time domain, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n K^{*n} * V(t)$ is well-defined and its Laplace transform is the Neumann series in the frequency domain, $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n T^n(s)V(s)$, (2.13), which converges to $\widehat{\mathbf{Y}}(s) = (I + T(s))^{-1}V(s)$ provided $\sup_{\Re s \geq \sigma_0} \|T(s)\|_{\infty} < 1$. Therefore, we have the following expression in the time-domain

$$\mathbf{Y}(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n K^{*n} * V(t), \quad (2.17)$$

the partial sums

$$\mathbf{Y}^N(t) = \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^n K^{*n} * V(t), \quad (2.18)$$

the remainder

$$R_N(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n K^{*n} * V(t) - \sum_{n=0}^N (-1)^n K^{*n} * V(t) = \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (-1)^n K^{*n} * V(t), \quad (2.19)$$

and provide an estimate for the remainder term R_N in the following section.

2.1 The remainder estimate $\|Y - Y^N\|$

We connect this to the convolution operators central to our problem. For a causal kernel (matrix-valued) K , the convolution operator

$$(\mathcal{P}_K F)(t) := (K * F)(t) = \int_0^t K(t - \tau)F(\tau)d\tau \quad (2.20)$$

has the Laplace transform

$$\mathcal{L}[\mathcal{P}_K F](s) = \widehat{K}(s) \cdot \widehat{F}(s). \quad (2.21)$$

We define the multiplication operator $M_{\widehat{K}} : H_{r,\sigma} \rightarrow H_{r,\sigma}$ by

$$(M_{\widehat{K}} F)(s) := \widehat{K}(s) \cdot \widehat{F}(s). \quad (2.22)$$

Since $\widehat{K} \in H^{\infty}(\mathbb{C}_{\sigma}^+, \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^M))$, for any $\widehat{F} \in H_{r,\sigma}$, we derive the following estimate due to Corollary 1.3:

$$\|M_{\widehat{K}} \widehat{F}\|_{H_{r,\sigma}}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s|^{2r} \|\widehat{K}(s)\|_{\text{op}}^2 \|\widehat{F}(s)\|^2 d\omega \leq \left(\sup_{\Re s = \sigma_0} \|\widehat{K}(s)\|_{\text{op}}^2 \right) \|\widehat{F}\|_{H_{r,\sigma}}^2. \quad (2.23)$$

Since, \mathcal{L} is an isometry between $H_{0,\sigma}^r$ and $H_{r,\sigma}$, and \mathcal{P}_K corresponds to $M_{\widehat{K}}$, (i.e., $H_{0,\sigma}^r \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} H_{r,\sigma} \xrightarrow{M_{\widehat{K}}} H_{r,\sigma} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}^{-1}} H_{0,\sigma}^r$) for $F \in H_{0,\sigma}^r$, we derive that

$$\|K * F\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} = \|M_{\widehat{K}} \widehat{F}\|_{H_{r,\sigma}} \leq \left(\sup_{\Re s = \sigma} \|\widehat{K}(s)\|_{\text{op}} \right) \|F\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}. \quad (2.24)$$

Above, we denoted $\widehat{K}(s)$ as the Laplace transform of a causal kernel K , which belong to $\mathcal{B}[0, T] \oplus L^1[0, T]$. In addition, $\widehat{K}(s)$ is analytic and bounded for $\Re s \geq \sigma_0$ with $\sigma_0 > \frac{1}{\omega_M}$ as well as it is continuous on the closure $\Re s \geq \sigma_0$. This means that we can apply maximum modulus principle and consequently, for $\sigma \geq \sigma_0$, we have

$$\sup_{\Re s \geq \sigma} \|\widehat{K}(s)\|_{\text{op}} = \sup_{\Re s = \sigma} \|\widehat{K}(s)\|_{\text{op}}. \quad (2.25)$$

In particular, we set $\alpha_{\sigma_0} := \sup_{\Re s = \sigma_0} \|\widehat{K}(s)\|_{\text{op}}$ as $\sup_{\Re s \geq \sigma} \|\widehat{K}(s)\|_{\text{op}} \leq \alpha_{\sigma_0}$. Consequently, we obtain

$$\|K * F\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \leq \alpha_{\sigma_0} \|F\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}. \quad (2.26)$$

Hence, from this estimate, by submultiplicativity of the operator norm and induction on n , it follows that

$$\|\mathcal{P}_K^n F\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \leq \|\mathcal{P}_K\|^n \|F\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} = \alpha_{\sigma_0}^n \|F\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}, \quad (2.27)$$

where \mathcal{P}_K is the convolution operator $\mathcal{P}_K : F \rightarrow K * F$ acting on the Hilbert space $H_{0,\sigma}^r(0, \infty; \mathbb{C}^M)$ and $\alpha_{\sigma_0} := \|\mathcal{P}_K\|_{\mathcal{L}(H_{0,\sigma}^r)} < \infty$.

Now, under the condition $\alpha_{\sigma_0} < 1$, the geometric series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_{\sigma_0}^n$ converges. Then, using the triangle inequality and the estimate (2.27), we obtain from the Neumann series representation the following:

$$\|R_N\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \leq \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \|K^{*n} * V\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \leq \left(\sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} \alpha_{\sigma_0}^{N+1} \right) \|V\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} = \frac{\alpha_{\sigma_0}^{N+1}}{1 - \alpha_{\sigma_0}} \|V\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}. \quad (2.28)$$

Due to Lemma 2.2 and Proposition 2.2, with $T(s) = \widehat{K}(s)$, it is easy to see that

$$\alpha_{\sigma_0} = \sup_{\Re s = \sigma_0} \|T(s)\|_{\text{op}}. \quad (2.29)$$

Let us now recall $q_{ij} = \frac{C_j}{4\pi|z_i - z_j|}$ where $C_j = C_j^{(0)}\varepsilon + \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon^3)$. Here, $C_j^{(0)} := \frac{\rho_c}{\kappa_{b,j}} \text{vol}(B_j)$ and we also set $q_{ij} = \varepsilon \frac{C_j^{(0)}}{4\pi d_{ij}} \asymp \varepsilon^{1-p} \frac{C_j^{(0)}}{4\pi d}$. Assuming that the bubbles have identical shapes and material properties, let $C^{(0)} := C_j^{(0)}$. Thus, we denote $q_{ij}^{(0)} \asymp \frac{C_j^{(0)}}{4\pi d}$, where the distance between any two bubbles scales as $d = \min_{i \neq j} |z_i - z_j| = \tilde{d} \varepsilon^p$ with $p > 0$ and $i \neq j$, with \tilde{d} is independent on ε .

From this, we finally obtain

$$\|R_N\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \leq \frac{\alpha_{\infty}^{N+1}}{1 - \alpha_{\infty} \varepsilon^{1-p}} \varepsilon^{(N+1)(1-p)} \|V\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}, \quad (2.30)$$

with

$$\alpha_{\infty} \leq \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\tilde{d}(\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1)} (M - 1) C^{(0)}. \quad (2.31)$$

We now focus on estimating the term $\|V\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}$. We first recall its entry-wise frequency domain counterpart i.e.

$$V_i(s) = \frac{s^2}{\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1} F_i(s),$$

which can be written as

$$V_i(s) = R_{\omega_M^2}(s) F_i(s), \text{ with } R_{\omega_M^2}(s) := \frac{s^2}{\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1} \text{ by (2.9).}$$

Therefore, we see that for $F_i \in H_{r,\sigma}$, we derive that

$$\|V_i(s)\|_{H_{r,\sigma}}^2 = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s|^{2r} |R_{\omega_M^2}(s)|^2 \|F(\sigma + i\omega)\|_X^2 d\omega \leq \left(\sup_{\Re s = \sigma_0} |R_{\omega_M^2}(s)|^2 \right) \|F_i(s)\|_{H_{r,\sigma}}^2, \quad (2.32)$$

which further implies due to the fact that \mathcal{L} is an isometry between $H_{0,\sigma}^r$ and $H_{r,\sigma}$, the following

$$\|V_i(t)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}^2 \leq \left(\sup_{\Re s = \sigma_0} |R_{\omega_M^2}(s)|^2 \right) \|F_i(t)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}^2 = \left(\sup_{\Re s = \sigma_0} \frac{|s|^2}{|\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1|} \right)^2 \|F_i(t)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}^2. \quad (2.33)$$

Consequently, due to the estimate (2.11), we have

$$\sup_{\Re s = \sigma_0} |R_{\omega_M^2}(s)| \leq \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1}. \quad (2.34)$$

Consequently, using (2.33) and (2.34) in (2.30), we finally obtain that

$$\|R_N\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \leq \frac{\alpha_\infty^{N+1}}{1 - \alpha_\infty \varepsilon^{1-p}} \varepsilon^{(N+1)(1-p)} \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}, \quad (2.35)$$

with

$$\alpha_\infty \leq \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\tilde{d}(\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1)} (M-1) C^{(0)}.$$

This completes the proof of the estimate (1.24) in Theorem 1.1. \square

2.2 Truncation Error for the Scattered Field

We begin by recalling the asymptotic expansion for the scattered acoustic wave field u^{sc} , as described in Proposition 2.1:

$$u^{sc}(x, t) = - \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{C_m}{4\pi|x - \mathbf{z}_m|} Y_m(t - c_0^{-1}|x - \mathbf{z}_m|) + \mathcal{O}(M\varepsilon^2), \quad (2.36)$$

which holds uniformly for $x \notin \mathcal{K}$.

Then, we substitute the Neumann-series representation for \mathbf{Y} (2.17), $Y_m(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (K^{*n} * V)_m(t)$, into the above asymptotic expansion to derive the following:

$$u^{sc}(x, t) = - \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{C_m}{4\pi|x - \mathbf{z}_m|} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n (K^{*n} * V)_m(t - c_0^{-1}|x - \mathbf{z}_m|) + \mathcal{O}(M\varepsilon^2). \quad (2.37)$$

Let us now define the truncated acoustic field $u^{sc,N}$, which we obtain by truncating the inner series at $n = N$. Then,

$$u^{sc}(x, t) - u^{sc,N}(x, t) = - \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{C_m}{4\pi|x - \mathbf{z}_m|} \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (-1)^n (K^{*n} * V)_m(t - c_0^{-1}|x - \mathbf{z}_m|) + \mathcal{O}(M\varepsilon^2). \quad (2.38)$$

We define the remainder vector of the series for $\mathbf{Y}(t)$ as $\mathbf{R}_N(t) := \sum_{n=N+1}^{\infty} (-1)^n K^{*n} * \mathbf{V}(t)$. In Section 2.1, we derive the following estimate for its $H_{0,\sigma}^r$ -norm:

$$\|\mathbf{R}_N\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \leq \frac{\alpha_{\infty}^{N+1}}{1 - \alpha_{\infty}\varepsilon^{1-p}} \varepsilon^{(N+1)(1-p)} \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}, \quad (2.39)$$

where we recall that

$$\alpha_{\infty} \leq \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\bar{d}(\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1)} (M - 1)C^{(0)}.$$

Since each component satisfies $|Y_m(t) - Y_{m,N}(t)| \leq \|\mathbf{R}_N\|_{\infty,[0,T]}$, substituting (2.39) into (3.1) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{sc}(x, t) - u^{sc,N}(x, t)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} &\leq \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{|C_m|}{4\pi|x - \mathbf{z}_m|} \|\mathbf{R}_N(\cdot - c_0^{-1}|x - \mathbf{z}_m|)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} + \mathcal{O}(M\varepsilon^2) \\ &\leq \frac{M C^{(0)} \varepsilon}{4\pi d_{\min}} e^{-\sigma c_0^{-1} d_{\min}} \|\mathbf{R}_N\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} + \mathcal{O}(M\varepsilon^2), \end{aligned} \quad (2.40)$$

where $d_{\min} := \min_m |x - \mathbf{z}_m| > 0$ is fixed because $x \in \mathbb{R}^3 \setminus \bar{D}$. Therefore, substituting the estimate (2.39) into (2.40) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{sc}(x, t) - u^{sc,N}(x, t)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} &\leq \frac{M C^{(0)}}{4\pi d_{\min}} \varepsilon^{(N+1)(1-p)+1} e^{-\sigma c_0^{-1} d_{\min}} \frac{\alpha_{\infty}^{N+1}}{1 - \alpha_{\infty}\varepsilon^{1-p}} \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(M\varepsilon^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.41)$$

This completes the proof of the estimate (3.24) in Theorem 1.1. \square

3 Validating Foldy-Lax Approximation

In this section, we aim to estimate the term $u^{sc,N} - u^{sc,N-1}$, which can be expressed as follows:

$$u^{sc,N}(x, t) - u^{sc,N-1}(x, t) = - \sum_{m=1}^M \frac{C_m}{4\pi|x - \mathbf{z}_m|} (-1)^N (K^{*N} * V)_m(t - c_0^{-1}|x - \mathbf{z}_m|). \quad (3.1)$$

We note that this is the single $n = N$ term from the partial sums for each \mathbf{Y}_m .

We fix a path of indices $\gamma = (i_0, i_1, \dots, i_N)$ of length N and denote the product of the scattering coefficients along this path as $Q_{\gamma} := \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} q_{i_k, i_{k+1}}$ and due to assumptions on the coefficient parameters, we have $Q_{\gamma} > 0$.

Since we aim to understand the behavior of the scattered field at the N^{th} interaction, it is natural to consider a specific path γ . This sequence of scatterings starts at i_0 via V_{i_0} and ends at i_N , so the natural

choice is to examine the i_N -th component of the vector $K^{*N} * V$ to isolate this path's contribution; any other component $m \neq i_N$ will not contain it. Consequently, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{sc,N}(x,t) - u^{sc,N-1}(x,t)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} &\geq \left\| \frac{C_{i_N}}{4\pi|x-z_{i_N}|} (K^{*N} * V)_{i_N}(\cdot - c_0^{-1}|x-z_{i_N}|) \right\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \\ &\quad - \left\| \sum_{m \neq i_N} \frac{C_m}{4\pi|x-z_m|} (K^{*N} * V)_m(t - c_0^{-1}|x-z_m|) \right\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.2)$$

Moreover, we recall the kernel entries $K_{ij}(t)$

$$K_{ij}(t) = q_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_M^2} \delta(t - \tau_{ij}) - \frac{1}{\omega_M^3} \sin\left(\frac{t - \tau_{ij}}{\omega_M}\right) \mathbf{1}_{t \geq \tau_{ij}} \right), \quad (3.3)$$

which consists of an atomic Dirac part and continuous part. Let us set $K_{ij}^{(d)}(t) := \frac{q_{ij}}{\omega_M^2} \delta(t - \tau_{ij})$ and $K^{(c)} := K_{ij}(t) - K_{ij}^{(d)}(t)$. We have the following equality

$$(K^{(d)})_{ij}^{*N}(t) = \frac{1}{\omega_M^{2N}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma=(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_N) \\ i_0=i, i_N=j}} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} q_{i_k, i_{k+1}} \right) \delta\left(t - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tau_{i_k i_{k+1}}\right). \quad (3.4)$$

We skip the proof to Section 5. Consequently, we obtain that

$$(K^{*N} * V)_{i_N}(t) = C_\gamma(t) + R_\gamma(t), \quad (3.5)$$

where we denote

$$C_\gamma(t) := \frac{Q_\gamma}{\omega_M^{2N}} V_{i_0}(t - \tau_\gamma), \quad \text{where } \tau_\gamma := \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tau_{i_k i_{k+1}}, \quad (3.6)$$

and we split $R(t) := R_{\text{atom}} + R_{\text{cont}}$ with R_{atom} is the sum of contributions of all other atomic paths $\gamma \neq \gamma'$ and R_{cont} the sum of all contributions coming from words containing at least one $K^{(c)}$ factor. We now take $H_{0,\sigma}^r$ -norm to the both sides of (3.5) and use reverse triangle inequality to obtain

$$\|(K^{*N} * V)_{i_N}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \geq \|C_\gamma\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} - \|R_\gamma\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}. \quad (3.7)$$

We first consider the following term:

$$C_\gamma(t) := \frac{Q_\gamma}{\omega_M^{2N}} V_{i_0}(t - \tau_\gamma). \quad (3.8)$$

For each $s = \sigma + i\omega$, taking Fourier-Laplace transform of both sides, we obtain

$$\widehat{C}_\gamma(s) = \frac{Q_\gamma}{\omega_M^{2N}} e^{-\tau_\gamma s} \widehat{V}_{i_0}(s). \quad (3.9)$$

We recall that $V_{i_0}(\cdot)$ has Laplace transform

$$\widehat{V}_{i_0}(s) = \frac{s^2}{\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1} \widehat{\mathcal{F}}(s) \quad \text{with } \Re s \geq \sigma_0 > \frac{1}{\omega_M}. \quad (3.10)$$

Here, we clearly see that, for the resonant angular frequency $\omega_{\text{res}} := \frac{1}{\omega_M}$, the denominator of the term $\widehat{V}_{i_0}(\cdot)$ vanishes on the imaginary axis $s = \pm i\omega_{\text{res}}$.

We assume that $V_{i_0}(\cdot)$ has spectral energy in the resonance band $\Omega_{\text{res}} := [\omega_{\text{res}} - h, \omega_{\text{res}} + h]$ in the sense that its Laplace transform satisfies

$$|\widehat{V}_{i_0}(\sigma + i\omega)| \geq m, \quad \text{with constants } m, h > 0. \quad (3.11)$$

This means that if the incident wave has energy in a band containing the Minnaert frequency ω_{res} , then \widehat{V}_{i_0} will also have enhanced spectral amplitude m in Ω_{res} .

From the definition of the norm for the function space $H_{0,\sigma}^r$, we recall that

$$\|f\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}^2 \asymp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\sigma^2 + \omega^2)^r |f(\sigma + i\omega)|^2 d\omega. \quad (3.12)$$

Consequently, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|C_\gamma\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}^2 &\asymp \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\sigma^2 + \omega^2)^r \left| \frac{Q_\gamma}{\omega_M^{2N}} e^{-\tau_\gamma(\sigma+i\omega)} \widehat{V}_{i_0}(\sigma + i\omega) \right|^2 d\omega \\ &= \frac{Q_\gamma^2}{\omega_M^{4N}} e^{-2\sigma\tau_\gamma} \|\widehat{V}_{i_0}(\sigma + i\cdot)\|_{L_r^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &\gtrsim \frac{Q_\gamma^2}{\omega_M^{4N}} e^{-2\sigma\tau_\gamma} \int_{\Omega_{\text{res}}} (\sigma^2 + \omega^2)^r m^2 d\omega \quad [\text{Due to condition (3.12)}] \\ &\geq \frac{Q_\gamma^2}{\omega_M^{4N}} e^{-2\sigma\tau_\gamma} m^2 2h \min_{\omega \in \Omega_{\text{res}}} (\sigma^2 + \omega^2)^r, \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

which further implies, after taking square roots

$$\|C_\gamma\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \geq \frac{Q_\gamma}{\omega_M^{2N}} e^{-\sigma\tau_\gamma} m \sqrt{2h} \left(\min_{\omega \in \Omega_{\text{res}}} (\sigma^2 + \omega^2)^r \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}. \quad (3.14)$$

Subsequently, plugging the above estimate (3.14) in (3.2), we finally obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{sc,N}(x,t) - u^{sc,N-1}(x,t)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} &\geq \frac{C_{i_N}}{4\pi d_{\max}} \frac{Q_\gamma}{\omega_M^{2N}} e^{-\sigma(\tau_\gamma + c_0^{-1} d_{\max})} m \sqrt{2h} r_{\min} \\ &\quad - \frac{C_{i_N}}{4\pi d_{\min}} \|R(\cdot - c_0^{-1}|x - z_{i_N})\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \\ &\quad - \left\| \sum_{m \neq i_N} \frac{C_m}{4\pi|x - z_m|} (K^{*N} * V)_m(t - c_0^{-1}|x - z_m|) \right\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}. \end{aligned}$$

where we set $r_{\min} := \left(\min_{\omega \in \Omega_{\text{res}}} (\sigma^2 + \omega^2)^r \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$, and $d_{\max} := \max_m |x - z_m| > 0$ (fixed, since $x \notin \bar{D}$) is the maximal observation distance.

Due to estimates derived in Section 2.2, we can show that

$$\frac{C_{i_N}}{4\pi d_{\min}} \|R_\gamma(\cdot - c_0^{-1}|x - z_{i_N})\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \leq \frac{C^{(0)}}{4\pi d_{\min}} \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1} \varepsilon^{N(1-p)+1} \alpha_\infty^{N+1} e^{-\sigma c_0^{-1} d_{\min}} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \quad (3.15)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\left\| \sum_{m \neq i_N} \frac{C_m}{4\pi|x - z_m|} (K^{*N} * V)_m(t - c_0^{-1}|x - z_m|) \right\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \\ &\leq \frac{(M-1)C^{(0)}}{4\pi d_{\min}} \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1} \varepsilon^{N(1-p)+1} \alpha_\infty^{N+1} e^{-\sigma c_0^{-1} d_{\min}} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

Subsequently, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{sc,N}(x,t) - u^{sc,N-1}(x,t)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} &\geq \frac{C_{i_N}}{4\pi d_{\max}} \frac{Q_\gamma}{\omega_M^{2N}} e^{-\sigma(\tau_\gamma + c_0^{-1}d_{\max})} m\sqrt{2h} r_{\min} \\ &\quad - \frac{(M-1)C^{(0)}}{4\pi d_{\min}} \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1} \varepsilon^{N(1-p)+1} \alpha_\infty^{N+1} e^{-\sigma c_0^{-1}d_{\min}} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}. \end{aligned}$$

We now recall that $q_{ij} = \frac{C_j}{4\pi|z_i - z_j|}$ with $C_j = \frac{\rho c}{\kappa b_j} \text{vol}(B_j)\varepsilon$. Since we have identical shapes and material properties of the bubbles, let us set $C^{(0)} := C_j^{(0)}$. Corresponding to the path γ , we assume there exist $p \in (0,1)$ and constants $d_{i_k, i_{k+1}}^{(0)} > 0$, independent on ε , such that $|z_{i_k} - z_{i_{k+1}}| = d_{i_k, i_{k+1}}^{(0)} \varepsilon^p$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$. Thus, we obtain

$$Q_\gamma := \prod_{k=0}^{N-1} q_{i_k, i_{k+1}} \asymp \varepsilon^{N(1-p)} Q_\gamma^{(0)}, \text{ and } C_{i_N} \asymp \varepsilon C^{(0)},$$

where $Q_\gamma^{(0)}$ is a positive geometric constant independent on ε .

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{sc,N}(x,t) - u^{sc,N-1}(x,t)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} &\geq \left(\frac{Q_\gamma^{(0)} C^{(0)}}{4\pi d_{\max} \omega_M^{2N}} \right) \varepsilon^{N(1-p)+1} e^{-\sigma(\tau_\gamma + c_0^{-1}d_{\max})} m\sqrt{2h} r_{\min} \\ &\quad - \frac{(M-1)C^{(0)}}{4\pi d_{\min}} \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1} \varepsilon^{N(1-p)+1} \alpha_\infty^{N+1} e^{-\sigma c_0^{-1}d_{\min}} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us now denote the term $L_\gamma := \left(\frac{Q_\gamma^{(0)} C^{(0)}}{4\pi d_{\max} \omega_M^{2N}} \right) \varepsilon^{N(1-p)+1} e^{-\sigma(\tau_\gamma + c_0^{-1}d_{\max})} m\sqrt{2h} r_{\min}$ and $B := \frac{(M-1)C^{(0)}}{4\pi d_{\min}} \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1} \varepsilon^{N(1-p)+1} \alpha_\infty^{N+1} e^{-\sigma c_0^{-1}d_{\min}} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r}$.

Thus, we obtain

$$\|u^{sc,N}(x,t) - u^{sc,N-1}(x,t)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \geq \left(L_\gamma - (M-1)B \right) \varepsilon^{N(1-p)+1}. \quad (3.17)$$

We now recall that the path $\gamma = (i_0, i_1, \dots, i_N)$ is an ordered tuple of length N such that $i_k \in 1, 2, \dots, M$. Let us now denote Γ_N as the collection of all such ordered paths of length N . It is clear that Γ_N is a non-empty finite set with $\text{card}(\Gamma_N) = M^{N+1}$.

Then, after observing the expression of the coefficient L_γ , selecting $C_{\max}^{(0)} = \max_{j,\gamma} C_j^{(0)}$ and $Q_{\max}^{(0)} = \max_{j,\gamma} Q_j^{(0)}$, we see that the family $\{L_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma_N\}$ is bounded above.

This implies that the family $\{L_\gamma : \gamma \in \Gamma_N\}$ admits a maximum. Let us denote it by

$$L^* = \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N} L_\gamma \quad (3.18)$$

and therefore pick any maximizer γ^* such that

$$\gamma^* \in \arg \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N} L_\gamma, \quad (3.19)$$

with $L_{\gamma^*} = L^*$.

Consequently, choosing any $\gamma^* \in \arg \max_{\gamma \in \Gamma_N} L_\gamma$ provides us the best possible lower bound of the family $\{\varepsilon^{N(1-p)}(L_\gamma - (M-1)B) : \gamma \in \Gamma_N\}$, which further implies

$$\|u^{sc,N}(x,t) - u^{sc,N-1}(x,t)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \geq \left(L^* - (M-1)B \right) \varepsilon^{N(1-p)+1}. \quad (3.20)$$

Next, we choose the parameters m and h corresponding to the resonance band in such a way that for $\theta \in (0, 1)$

$$m\sqrt{2h} > (1 - \theta) \|\mathcal{F}(z_i, \cdot)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \frac{d_{\max} e^{\sigma(\tau_{\gamma^*} + c_0^{-1}(d_{\max} - d_{\min}))}}{d_{\min} Q_{\gamma^*}^{(0)} r_{\min}} \left(\frac{C^{(0)}}{\tilde{d}}\right)^{N+1} \omega_M^{2N} \left(\frac{(M-1)\sigma_0^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1}\right)^{N+2}, \quad (3.21)$$

which ensure

$$L^* - (M-1)B > (1 - \theta), \quad (3.22)$$

as well as the number of scatters satisfies the following bound

$$M \leq M_{\max} = \left\lfloor 1 + \theta \frac{L^*}{B} \right\rfloor. \quad (3.23)$$

We now recall the following estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \|u^{sc}(x, t) - u^{sc,N}(x, t)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} &\leq \frac{M C^{(0)}}{4\pi d_{\min}} \varepsilon^{(N+1)(1-p)+1} e^{-\sigma c_0^{-1} d_{\min}} \frac{\alpha_\infty^{N+1}}{1 - \alpha_\infty \varepsilon^{1-p}} \frac{\sigma_0^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma_0^2 - 1} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \\ &\quad + \mathcal{O}(M\varepsilon^2). \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

Then under the condition

$$p > 1 - \frac{1}{N}, \quad (3.25)$$

we deduce the final estimate

$$\|u^{sc,N}(x, t) - u^{sc,N-1}(x, t)\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^r} \geq (L^* - (M-1)B) \varepsilon^{N(1-p)+1} \gg M\varepsilon^2. \quad (3.26)$$

This completes the proof. \square

4 Example: Air Bubbles in Water

We know that the natural frequency (Minnaert) of the air bubble created in the water is given by the following formula:

$$f = \frac{1}{2\pi\varepsilon} \sqrt{\frac{3\gamma P_0}{\rho_c}}.$$

Then $f \sim 3.26$ kHz. Here we consider $\gamma \sim 1.4$ (gas polytropic index), $P_0 \sim 100$ kPa (ambient pressure), $\rho_c \sim 1000$ kg/m³ (density of the water), and $\varepsilon \sim 1 \times 10^{-3}$ (radius of the bubble). Consequently, we consider the angular frequency as $\omega_{\text{res}} = 2\pi f \sim 2.05 \times 10^4$ s⁻¹.

Then, we have $\omega_M = \frac{1}{\omega_{\text{res}}} \sim 4.88 \times 10^{-5}$ s⁻¹. The speed of propagation outside the bubble is then given by $c_0 \sim 1480$ m/s.

We now set $\sigma \sim 3 \times 10^4$ s⁻¹. We choose this to ensure that $\sigma > \frac{1}{\omega_M}$. Thus, we see

$$\sigma^2 \omega_M^2 - 1 = 1.46^2 - 1 = 2.14 - 1 = 1.14 > 0,$$

which ensures the condition $\sigma^2 \omega_M^2 > 1$. Therefore, we derive

$$\frac{\sigma^2}{\sigma^2 \omega_M^2 - 1} \sim 7.8 \times 10^8 \text{ s}^{-2}.$$

We now choose the lower bound of the Laplace magnitude of V_{i_0} in the resonance band as $m = 10$ (3.12) and the bandwidth as $h = 1 \times 10^3$ rad/s. Consequently, we obtain $m\sqrt{2h} \sim 444.721$. We now fix the source at a distance $d_x \sim 0.1$ m, i.e., very close to the cluster of bubbles. We now fix $N = 5$, $M = 5$, and also consider $p = 0.9$, which ensures the condition

$$p > 1 - \frac{1}{N} = 0.8.$$

We now choose a path by $\gamma : 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 2$. Before proceeding, we know that for water $\rho_c = 10^3$ kg/m³ and adiabatic air $\kappa_b \sim 1.4 \times 10^5$ Pa. Now, due to the scaling property $\bar{\kappa}_{b,j} = \varepsilon^{-2}\kappa_{b,j}$, which further implies $\bar{\kappa}_{b,j} \sim 1.4 \times 10^{11}$ Pa. Now, if we consider the reference bubbles to be spherical with unit radius, then $\text{vol}(B_j) = \frac{4}{3}\pi$. Thus, by recalling $C_j^{(0)} = \frac{\rho_c}{\bar{\kappa}_{b,j}} \text{vol}(B_j) \sim 3 \times 10^{-8}$.

We first consider that the centers of the bubbles are positioned as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Bubble centers (m): } \mathbf{z}_1 &= (0.00, 0.00, 0.00), \mathbf{z}_2 = (0.03, 0.00, 0.00), \mathbf{z}_3 = (0.03, 0.04, 0.00), \\ \mathbf{z}_4 &= (0.00, 0.05, 0.00), \mathbf{z}_5 = (-0.02, 0.02, 0.00). \end{aligned}$$

Now, as we consider the path $\gamma : 1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 2$, we derive

$$\begin{aligned} d_{12} &= |\mathbf{z}_1 - \mathbf{z}_2| = 0.0300000000 \text{ m} \\ d_{23} &= |\mathbf{z}_2 - \mathbf{z}_3| = 0.0400000000 \text{ m} \\ d_{34} &= |\mathbf{z}_3 - \mathbf{z}_4| = \sqrt{0.03^2 + 0.01^2} = 0.0316227766 \text{ m} \\ d_{45} &= |\mathbf{z}_4 - \mathbf{z}_5| = \sqrt{0.02^2 + 0.03^2} = 0.0360555128 \text{ m} \\ d_{52} &= |\mathbf{z}_5 - \mathbf{z}_2| = \sqrt{0.05^2 + 0.02^2} = 0.0538516481 \text{ m}. \end{aligned}$$

As we have the following asymptotic relation $|z_i - z_j| = d_{ij}^{(0)} \varepsilon^p$, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned} d_{12}^{(0)} &= 14.0961839670, d_{23}^{(0)} = 18.7949119560, d_{34}^{(0)} = 14.8586825509, \\ d_{45}^{(0)} &= 16.9415046938, d_{52}^{(0)} = 25.3034246047, \end{aligned}$$

and correspondingly for $\tau_{ij} = c_0^{-1}|z_i - z_j|$ with $c_0 = 1480$ m/s:

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_{12} &= 2.0270270270 \times 10^{-5}, \tau_{23} = 2.7027027027 \times 10^{-5}, \tau_{34} = 2.1366740947 \times 10^{-5}, \\ \tau_{45} &= 2.4361832942 \times 10^{-5}, \tau_{52} = 3.6386248697 \times 10^{-5}; \quad \tau_\gamma = 1.2941211988 \times 10^{-4}. \end{aligned}$$

We also recall that $q_{ij} = \varepsilon \frac{C_j^{(0)}}{4\pi d_{ij}} \approx \varepsilon^{1-p} \frac{C_j^{(0)}}{4\pi d_{ij}^{(0)}}$, where we set $q_{ij}^{(0)} \approx \frac{C_j^{(0)}}{4\pi d_{ij}^{(0)}}$. Therefore, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} q_{12}^{(0)} &= 1.68907584246 \times 10^{-10}, q_{23}^{(0)} = 1.26680688184 \times 10^{-10}, \\ q_{34}^{(0)} &= 1.60239804088 \times 10^{-10}, q_{45}^{(0)} = 1.40539605187 \times 10^{-10}, q_{52}^{(0)} = 9.40960529315 \times 10^{-11}, \end{aligned}$$

and consequently, $\tau_\gamma \sim 1.29 \times 10^{-4}$ s and $Q_\gamma^{(0)} = 4.53419407817 \times 10^{-50}$.

We now recall that (for simplicity we consider $r = 0$ and $e^{-\sigma\tau_\gamma} \rightarrow 1$ as $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$)

$$\begin{aligned} L_\gamma &:= \left(\frac{Q_\gamma^{(0)} C_{i_N}^{(0)}}{4\pi d_x \omega \frac{2N}{M}} \right) e^{-\sigma c_0^{-1} d_x m \sqrt{2h}} \\ &= \frac{(4.53 \times 10^{-50}) \cdot (3 \times 10^{-8})}{4\pi \cdot 0.1 \cdot (4.88 \times 10^{-5})^{10}} e^{-\frac{(3 \times 10^4) \cdot 0.1}{1480}} \cdot 444.72 \sim 8.28 \times 10^{-13}. \end{aligned}$$

We now recall

$$\begin{aligned} B &:= \frac{C_j^{(0)}}{4\pi d_x} \frac{\sigma^2}{\omega_M^2 \sigma^2 - 1} \alpha_\infty^{N+1} e^{-\sigma c_0^{-1} d_x} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^0} \\ &= \frac{3 \times 10^{-8}}{4\pi \cdot 0.1} \cdot 7.8 \times 10^8 \cdot 0.13 \sim 0.32 \times \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^0}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.1)$$

We now choose the overall source amplitude so that

$$\|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^0} \sim 5.59 \times 10^{-13},$$

which further implies

$$M_{\max} = \lfloor 1 + 0.9 \cdot 4.6 \rfloor = 5.$$

Remark 4.1. 1. We remark that a "small" $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^0}$ -norm does not imply that the incident wave is vanishing; rather, it reflects a Laplace-weighted, second-derivative norm, not the amplitude. Let us try to understand this fact with an example. Let us consider

$$u^{in} := A \sin(\omega_0 t) \mathbf{1}_{\geq 0} \implies F(t) = \partial_t^2 u^{in}(t) = -A\omega_0^2 \sin(\omega_0 t) \mathbf{1}_{\geq 0}.$$

Moreover, we can deduce the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^0}^2 &= A^2 \omega_0^4 \int_0^\infty e^{-2\sigma t} \sin^2(\omega_0 t) dt \\ &= \frac{A^2 \omega_0^4}{4} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2 + \omega_0^2} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.2)$$

Thus, we observe that for a fixed amplitude A , if σ is large (which is the case here), $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^0} = \frac{A\omega_0^2}{2} \sqrt{\left(\frac{1}{\sigma} - \frac{\sigma}{\sigma^2 + \omega_0^2}\right)}$ becomes small. Even if the wave is slowly varying, i.e., when $\omega_0 \ll \sigma$, $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^0} \sim \frac{A\omega_0^3}{2\sigma^{3/2}}$ also becomes small.

2. In addition, we recall that $R_{\omega_M^2}(s) = \frac{s^2}{\omega_M^2 s^2 + 1}$. It is easy to observe that at frequencies $\omega \sim \omega_{\text{res}}$,

$$|R_{\omega_M^2}(\sigma + i\omega)| \text{ is large, roughly } \sim \frac{\omega_{\text{res}}^2}{2\sigma}.$$

Next, we consider that \widehat{F} has support only in the resonance band, and we define

$$\widehat{F}(\sigma + i\omega) = \frac{m}{R_{\omega_M^2}(\sigma + i\omega)} \phi(\omega),$$

where $\phi(\omega)$ is a smooth function with $0 \leq \phi \leq 1$, and $\phi \equiv 1$ on $[\omega_{\text{res}} - h/2, \omega_{\text{res}} + h/2]$, with support in Ω_{res} . Moreover,

$$\widehat{V}(\sigma + i\omega) = R_{\omega_M^2}(\sigma + i\omega) \widehat{F}(\sigma + i\omega) = m\phi(\omega),$$

which further implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^0}^2 &= \int_{\Omega_{\text{res}}} \frac{m^2}{|R_{\omega_M^2}(\sigma + i\omega)|^2} |\phi(\omega)|^2 d\omega \\ &\leq \text{vol}(\Omega_{\text{res}}) \frac{m^2}{\inf_{\omega \in \Omega_{\text{res}}} |R_{\omega_M^2}(\sigma + i\omega)|^2} \sim m^2 2h \left(\frac{\sigma}{\omega_{\text{res}}^3} \right)^2. \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

This shows that even though $\|\mathcal{F}\|_{H_{0,\sigma}^0}$ is "small", \widehat{V} has a point-wise lower bound of m across the resonance band.

5 Appendix

Lemma 5.1. *Let K be the matrix-valued distribution with entries*

$$K_{ij}(t) = q_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{\omega_M^2} \delta(t - \tau_{ij}) - \frac{1}{\omega_M^3} \sin\left(\frac{t - \tau_{ij}}{\omega_M}\right) \mathbf{1}_{t \geq \tau_{ij}} \right). \quad (5.1)$$

This consists of an atomic Dirac part and a continuous part. Let us set $K_{ij}^{(d)}(t) := \frac{q_{ij}}{\omega_M^2} \delta(t - \tau_{ij})$ and $K_{ij}^{(c)}(t) := K_{ij}(t) - K_{ij}^{(d)}(t)$. For $N \geq 1$ and $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, M$, we have the following equality in the distributional sense

$$(K^{(d)})_{ij}^{*N}(t) = \frac{1}{\omega_M^{2N}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma=(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_N) \\ i_0=i, i_N=j}} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} q_{i_k, i_{k+1}} \right) \delta\left(t - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tau_{i_k i_{k+1}}\right). \quad (5.2)$$

Here, γ denotes a path of length N from i to j .

Proof. We prove the claim by induction on N . Before proceeding, we define the convolution of two $M \times M$ matrix-valued causal kernels f and g componentwise by

$$(f * g)_{ij}(t) = \sum_{\ell=1}^M f_{i\ell} * g_{\ell j}(t) \text{ in } \mathcal{D}'(0, \infty),$$

where $f = [f_{ij}]$ and $g = [g_{ij}]$.

It is straightforward to observe that

$$\begin{aligned} (K^{(d)})_{ij}^{*1}(t) &= K_{ij}^{(d)} \\ &= \frac{q_{ij}}{\omega_M^2} \delta(t - \tau_{ij}). \end{aligned}$$

This follows from the definition. Thus, the statement holds for $N = 1$, i.e., for a path of length 1 given by $\gamma = (i_0 = i, i_1 = j)$.

Now assume that the equality holds for N in $\mathcal{D}'(0, \infty)$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} (K^{(d)})_{ij}^{*(N+1)}(t) &= \sum_{\ell=1}^M (K^{(d)})_{i\ell}^{*N} * K_{\ell j}^{(d)}(t) \\ &= \sum_{\ell=1}^M \left[\frac{1}{\omega_M^{2N}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma=(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_N) \\ i_0=i, i_N=\ell}} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} q_{i_k, i_{k+1}} \right) \delta\left(t - \tau - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tau_{i_k i_{k+1}}\right) \right] * \left[\frac{q_{\ell j}}{\omega_M^2} \delta(\tau - \tau_{\ell j}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_M^{2(N+1)}} \sum_{\ell=1}^M \sum_{\substack{\gamma=(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_N) \\ i_0=i, i_N=\ell}} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} q_{i_k, i_{k+1}} \right) q_{\ell j} \left[\delta\left(t - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tau_{i_k i_{k+1}}\right) * \delta(t - \tau_{\ell j}) \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{\omega_M^{2(N+1)}} \sum_{\ell=1}^M \sum_{\substack{\gamma=(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_N) \\ i_0=i, i_N=\ell}} \left(\prod_{k=0}^{N-1} q_{i_k, i_{k+1}} \right) q_{\ell j} \left[\delta\left(t - \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} \tau_{i_k i_{k+1}} - \tau_{\ell j}\right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The path $\gamma = (i_0 = i, i_1, \dots, i_N = \ell)$ of length N , extended by $\ell \rightarrow j$, gives the path $\tilde{\gamma} := (i_0 = i, i_1, \dots, i_N = \ell, i_{N+1} = j)$ of length $N + 1$. Thus, we obtain

$$(K^{(d)})_{ij}^{*(N+1)}(t) = \frac{1}{\omega_M^{2(N+1)}} \sum_{\substack{\tilde{\gamma}=(i_0, i_1, \dots, i_{N+1}) \\ i_0=i, i_{N+1}=j}} \left(\prod_{k=0}^N q_{i_k, i_{k+1}} \right) \delta\left(t - \sum_{k=0}^N \tau_{i_k i_{k+1}}\right). \quad (5.3)$$

This completes the proof by induction. \square

References

- [1] H. Ammari, B. Fitzpatrick, D. Gontier, H. Lee and H. Zhang, Minnaert resonances for acoustic waves in bubbly media, *Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré C Anal. Non Linéaire* **7** (2018), 1975–1998.
- [2] A. E. Alajyan, J. R. Partington. Weighted operator-valued function spaces applied to the stability of delay systems, *Operators and Matrices*. Volume 15, Number 4 (2021), 1257–1266.
- [3] A. Alsenafi, A. Ghandriche and M. Sini. The Foldy–Lax approximation is valid for nearly resonating frequencies. *Z. Angew. Math. Phys.* 74, 11 (2023).
- [4] L. Banjai and F.-J. Sayas. *Integral Equation Methods for Evolutionary PDE: A Convolution Quadrature Approach*, volume 59 of *Springer Series in Computational Mathematics*. Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2022.
- [5] M. Cassier, C. Hazard: Multiple scattering of acoustic waves by small sound-soft obstacles in two dimensions: mathematical justification of the Foldy-Lax model. *Wave Motion* 50(1), 18-28 (2013)
- [6] X. Cao, A. Mukherjee and M. Sini. Effective Medium Theory for Heat Generation Using Plasmonics: A Parabolic Transmission Problem Driven by the Maxwell System. *Mathematische Annalen*, 2025.
- [7] A. Dabrowski, A. Ghandriche and M. Sini. Mathematical analysis of the acoustic imaging modality using bubbles as contrast agents at nearly resonating frequencies, *Inverse Probl. Imaging* **15** (2021), 555-597.
- [8] R. Dautray and J. L. Lions, *Mathematical Analysis and Numerical Methods for Science and Technology Volume 3 Spectral Theory and Applications*. 1st ed. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, 2000.
- [9] L. L. Foldy: The multiple scattering of waves. I. General theory of isotropic scattering by randomly distributed scatterers. *Phys. Rev.* 67, 107-119 (1945).
- [10] M. J. Friedman, Mathematical study of the nonlinear singular integral magnetic field equation I, *SIAM J. Appl. Math.*, 39 (1980), pp. 14-20.
- [11] G. Folland, *Real Analysis: Modern Techniques and Their Applications*, 2nd ed., Wiley, 1999.
- [12] M. Kachanovska, A new class of uniformly stable time-domain Foldy-Lax models for scattering by small particles. Acoustic sound-soft scattering by circles.(English summary) *Multiscale Model. Simul.* 22 (2024), no. 1, 1-38.
- [13] M. Lax: Multiple scattering of waves. *Rev. Modern Phys.* 23, 287–310 (1951).
- [14] J. Li, P. Monk, D. Weile (2015). *Time Domain Integral Equation Methods in Computational Electromagnetism*. In: Bermúdez de Castro, A., Valli, A. (eds) *Computational Electromagnetism. Lecture Notes in Mathematics()*, vol 2148. Springer, Cham.
- [15] P. A. Martin: Multiple scattering, volume 107 of *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge. (2006) Interaction of time-harmonic waves with N obstacles.
- [16] P. A. Martin: Time domain scattering. Volume 180, *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and Its Applications*. Cambridge University Press, 2021.
- [17] A. Mukherjee and M. Sini, Acoustic Cavitation using Resonating MicroBubbles. Analysis in the Time-Domain, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, vol. 55, no. 5, pp. 5575–5616, 2023. [arXiv.2211.03382](https://arxiv.org/abs/2211.03382)
- [18] A. Mukherjee and M. Sini, Time-Dependent Acoustic Waves Generated by Multiple Resonant Bubbles: Application to Acoustic Cavitation, *Journal of Evolution Equations*, vol. 24, no. 90, 2024.

- [19] A. Mukherjee and M. Sini, Dispersive Effective Model in the Time-Domain for Acoustic Waves Propagating in Bubbly Media, *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 85, no. 6, pp. 2508–2542, 2025.
- [20] A. Mukherjee and M. Sini, Dispersive Effective Metasurface Model for Bubbly Media, 2024. To appear in *Journal of Differential Equations*. [arXiv:2412.14895](https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.14895)
- [21] V. P. Nair, *Concepts in particle physics: A concise introduction to the Standard Model*, World Scientific Publishing (2017).
- [22] A. Nelson, *Notes on Feynman Diagrams*, 2008. <https://www.researchgate.net/publication/255655091>
- [23] V. Ya. Raevskii, Some properties of the operators of potential theory and their application to the investigation of the basic equation of electrostatics and magnetostatics, *Theoretical and Mathematical Physics*, Volume 100 (1994), No. 3, pp. 1040-1045.
- [24] T. Qiu and F.-J. Sayas. New mapping properties of the time domain electric field integral equation, *ESAIM: M2AN*, vol. 51, No. 1, pp. 1-15, 2017.
- [25] F.-J. Sayas: *Retarded potentials and time domain boundary integral equations. A road map*, volume 50 of *Springer Series in Computational Mathematics*. Springer, Cham, 2016.
- [26] M. Sini; H. Wang; Q. Yao. Analysis of the acoustic waves reflected by a cluster of small holes in the time-domain and the equivalent mass density. *Multiscale Model. Simul.* 19 (2021), no. 2, 1083-1114.
- [27] M. Sini; H. Wang, Estimation of the heat conducted by a cluster of small cavities and characterization of the equivalent heat conduction. *Multiscale Model. Simul.* 17 (2019), no. 4, 1214-1251.
- [28] A. Wuethrich, *The Genesis of Feynman Diagrams. New Studies in the History of Science and Technology*. DOI 10.1007/978-90-481-9228-1 Springer Dordrecht Heidelberg London New York, 2010.