

MIXING ASYMPTOTICS FOR TIME-CHANGES OF HOROCYCLE FLOWS

DAVIDE RAVOTTI

ABSTRACT. Mixing-via-shearing is a powerful and versatile method for establishing mixing properties of smooth parabolic flows. In its quantitative form, it provides upper bounds on the decay of correlations for sufficiently smooth observables. Despite its wide applicability, determining the exact rates of mixing for a given smooth parabolic flow remains notoriously difficult. Apart from the classical horocycle flow, no examples are known where polynomial asymptotics, or sharp lower bounds, hold. In this paper, we address this question for smooth time-changes of horocycle flows on compact hyperbolic surfaces. Our approach relies on a refined version of the mixing-via-shearing method which leverages on a precise description of the ergodic integrals for horocycle flows, in particular of the regularity of the coefficients appearing in their asymptotic expansions. Using this method, we prove polynomial upper bounds on the decay of correlations for smooth observables that match the optimal rates originally obtained by Ratner for the standard horocycle flow. Furthermore, in the presence of a spectral gap below $1/4$, we establish exact polynomial asymptotics, mirroring the classical behavior of the horocycle flow.

1. INTRODUCTION

Understanding the long-term statistical behavior of smooth parabolic flows is a central problem in parabolic dynamics. A prominent example of parabolic flow, which encapsulates the main features of what is considered as typical “uniformly parabolic behaviour”, is the horocycle flow on (the unit tangent bundle of) hyperbolic surfaces. When the space is compact, it is uniquely ergodic, mixing of all orders, and exhibits rich connections with geometry, representation theory, spectral theory, and number theory. Beyond the classical homogeneous horocycle flow, a natural class of systems to study are its smooth time-changes: these are smooth perturbations which preserve the underlying orbits but modify the speed along them. Ratner’s rigidity theorem [17] and Flaminio and Forni’s solution of the cohomological equation [8] imply that generic¹ smooth time-changes are not measurably conjugate to the horocycle flow itself. As such, these flows provide a testing ground for understanding robustness and variability of parabolic behavior under nontrivial smooth perturbations. Their rigidity and fine ergodic properties have been the subject of intensive research, see, e.g., [17, 19, 13, 9].

A primary question in this context concerns mixing rates. While the exact rate of decay of correlations of Hölder observables was established by Ratner for the horocycle flow [18], solving the same problem for general smooth parabolic flows remains notoriously difficult. The classical method of mixing-via-shearing provides upper bounds on correlation decay for sufficiently smooth observables and has been successfully applied in several settings: time-changes of horocycle and unipotent flows [12, 25, 24], time-changes of nilflows [2, 21, 1, 11], and smooth flows on surfaces [15, 16, 26, 27, 20, 5, 6, 7, 4]. Recently, Adam Kanigowski and the author have developed a general mixing-via-shearing argument that can be used to prove quantitative mixing of any order [14].

On the other hand, except for the horocycle flow itself, no examples of smooth parabolic system are known where precise polynomial asymptotics hold, or even lower and upper bounds of the same order. In particular, for time-changes of horocycle flows on compact surfaces, Forni and Ulcigrai proved a polynomial upper bound for the correlations of smooth observables based on the equidistribution properties of sheared geodesic segments [12]. This result was later generalized by the author to time-changes of unipotent flows on finite volume quotients of semisimple Lie groups [24]. It is known, however, that, for the classical horocycle flow, arcs transverse to the weak-stable foliation for the geodesic flow (e.g., opposite horocycles or circle arcs) equidistribute at a faster rate than geodesic segments [22]. As a consequence, the precise quantitative behavior of time-changed horocycle flows has remained open.

Date: December 2, 2025.

¹i.e., in the complement of a subspace of countable codimension in a Sobolev space of sufficiently large order.

In this paper, we address this problem for smooth time-changes of horocycle flows on compact hyperbolic surfaces. Our approach combines a refined version of the mixing-via-shearing method with a detailed description of ergodic integrals for horocycle flows, in particular of the regularity of the coefficients that appear in their asymptotic expansions. This combination allows us to establish polynomial bounds for the decay of correlations of smooth observables, matching the optimal rates by Ratner in the unperturbed setting [18]. Interestingly, in the presence of a spectral gap below $1/4$, we further obtain exact polynomial asymptotics, reproducing the classical behavior of the horocycle flow under time-changes.

In order to state our main result, we describe our setting and we fix some notation. Let $M = \Gamma \backslash \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ be a compact quotient of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ by a discrete subgroup Γ . We identify elements $W \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$ with smooth vector fields on M ; in particular, $W \in \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R}) \setminus \{0\}$ induces the smooth flow on M given by

$$x \mapsto x \exp(tW), \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

We fix the basis of $\mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{R})$ given by

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} 1/2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1/2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad V = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The flows $\{g_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $\{h_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ corresponding to X and U above are called, respectively, the *geodesic flow* and the (*stable*) *horocycle flow*. We let $\hat{X}, \hat{U}, \hat{V}$ denote the smooth 1-forms dual to the vector fields X, U, V . The volume form

$$\mathrm{vol} = \hat{X} \wedge \hat{U} \wedge \hat{V}$$

defines a probability measure, still denoted by vol , on M which is the unique probability measure invariant by $\{h_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. Locally, it is a Haar measure on $\mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{R})$.

Let

$$Y = \frac{1}{2}(U + V), \quad \Theta = \frac{1}{2}(U - V),$$

and let $\square = -X^2 - Y^2 + \Theta^2 = -X^2 + X - UV$ be the Casimir operator. We let μ_0 denote the smallest positive eigenvalue of \square on M . We define

$$v_0 = \begin{cases} \sqrt{1-4\mu} & \text{if } \mu_0 \leq 1/4, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad R(t) := \begin{cases} t^{\frac{1+v_0}{2}} & \text{if } \mu_0 \neq 1/4, \\ t^{\frac{1}{2}} \log t & \text{if } \mu_0 = 1/4. \end{cases}$$

Finally, let $\Delta = \square - 2\Theta^2 = -(X^2 + Y^2 + \Theta^2)$ and, for any $r \geq 0$, define the Sobolev space $W^r(M)$ to be the completion of $\mathcal{C}^\infty(M)$ with respect to the norm

$$\|f\|_{W^r} := \|(\mathrm{Id} + \Delta)^{r/2} f\|_2.$$

In this paper, we are concerned with smooth time-changes of $\{h_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$, which are defined as follows. Let α be a sufficiently smooth positive function on M , normalized so that $\mathrm{vol}(\alpha) = 1$. The time-change induced by α is the flow $\{h_t^\alpha\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ whose generating vector field is $U_\alpha = \frac{1}{\alpha}U$. It preserves the smooth measure $\mathrm{vol}_\alpha = \alpha \mathrm{vol}$.

The following is the quantitative mixing result proved by Forni and Ulcigrai [12].

Theorem 1 ([12, Theorem 19]). *Let $\alpha \in W^6(M)$ be a positive function, and let $\{h_t^\alpha\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be the associated time-change of the horocycle flow on M . There exists a constant $C_\alpha > 0$ such that, for any zero-average function $f \in W^6(M)$, for any $\ell \in W^1(M)$, and for any $t \geq 2$, we have*

$$\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell \mathrm{vol}_\alpha \right| \leq C_\alpha \|f\|_{W^6} (\|\ell\|_2^2 + \|X\ell\|_2^2)^{1/2} \frac{R(t)}{t}.$$

In particular, Theorem 1 implies that the rate of decay of correlations for the time-change $\{h_t^\alpha\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ is bounded above by $t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, if $\mu_0 > 1/4$, and by $t^{-\frac{1+v_0}{2}}$, if $\mu_0 < 1/4$. However, as we mentioned above, these rates are not optimal, since they do not match the rates of decay of correlations for the standard horocycle flow [18]. Our main result proves that the rate of decay of correlations of sufficiently smooth time-changes is the same rate as for the horocycle flow itself (apart from an extra factor $\log t$ in the case where μ_0 is exactly $1/4$). More precisely, we prove the following.

Theorem 2. *There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that the following holds. Let $\alpha \in W^7(M)$ be a positive function, and let $\{h_t^\alpha\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be the associated time-change of the horocycle flow on M . There exists a constant $C_\alpha > 0$ and a bilinear form \mathcal{C}_α on $W^7(M)$ such that, for any zero-average functions $f, \ell \in W^7(M)$ and for any $t \geq 2$, we have the following estimates: if $\mu_0 > 1/4$, then*

$$\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \leq C_\alpha \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} t^{-1};$$

if $\mu_0 = 1/4$, then

$$\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \leq C_\alpha \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} t^{-1} (\log t)^2;$$

and, if $\mu_0 < 1/4$, then

$$\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell \, \text{vol}_\alpha - t^{-1+\nu_0} \mathcal{C}_\alpha(f, \ell) \right| \leq C_\alpha \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} t^{-1+\nu_0-\delta_0}.$$

We remark that a precise asymptotic as above holds only when $\mu_0 < 1/4$ also for the classical horocycle flow. Indeed, when $\mu_0 > 1/4$, one can rescale the correlations of smooth observables by t and show that they converge to a quasi-periodic motion on an infinite dimensional torus. A similar phenomenon happens if one considers the ergodic averages of smooth functions, see [8, 3, 23], and is related to the fact that there are countably many resonances for the geodesic flow on the line $\Re z = -1/2$. In any case, we can show that, even in the case $\mu_0 > 1/4$, one cannot expect to improve the upper bound in Theorem 2 and the correlations decay as $1/t$: the following result proves the continuity of the correlations in terms of the time-change function.

Proposition 3. *Assume that $\mu_0 > 1/4$. For any $a > 1$, there exists a constant $C_a > 1$ such that the following holds. Let $\alpha \in W^7(M)$ be a positive function so that $\|\alpha\|_{W^7} \leq C_a$ and $\|\alpha^{-1}\|_{W^7} \leq C_a$; denote by $\{h_t^\alpha\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ the associated time-change. Let $f, \ell \in W^7(M)$ be such that αf and $\alpha \ell$ are supported on the principal series. For any $t \geq 2$, we have*

$$\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell \, \text{vol}_\alpha - \int_M (\alpha f) \circ h_r \cdot \alpha \ell \, \text{vol} \right| \leq \frac{C_a}{t} \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7}.$$

Theorem 2 readily implies that, whenever $\mu_0 \geq 1/4$, the spectral measures associated to zero-average functions $f \in W^7(M)$ are absolutely continuous with square-integrable Radon-Nykodym derivative. A natural question is whether the latter is uniformly bounded, at least away from 0. A softer argument than the one used in Theorem 2, which is actually analogous to the one used in [12], shows that the correlations of *coboundaries*, as a function of t , are absolutely integrable, independently of μ_0 . The estimate in Theorem 4 below is (conjecturally) not optimal²; nonetheless, following [12, Section 6], it is sufficient to strengthen [12, Theorem 21] on the local behaviour of the spectral measures, proving that the spectral measures have a bounded density away from 0.

Theorem 4. *Let $\alpha \in W^6(M)$ be a positive function, and let $\{h_t^\alpha\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be the associated time-change of the horocycle flow on M . There exists a constant $C_\alpha > 0$ such that, for any zero-average functions $f, \ell \in W^6(M)$ and for any $t \geq 2$, we have*

$$\left| \int_M U_\alpha f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \ell \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \ll \|f\|_{W^6} \|\ell\|_{W^6} \frac{R(t)}{t^2}.$$

Consequently, let $f \in W^6(M)$ be a zero average function, and let σ_f be the associated spectral measure. For any $\xi \neq 0$ and for any $\varepsilon \in (0, |\xi|/2)$, we have

$$|\sigma_f(\xi - \varepsilon, \xi + \varepsilon)| \leq C_\alpha \|f\|_{W^6} \frac{\varepsilon}{\xi^2}.$$

²One would expect the correlations of coboundaries to decay with order $O(t^{-2+\nu_0})$, with an additional factor $\log t$ if $\mu_0 = 1/4$.

One crucial point in the classical mixing-via-shearing argument is to control the *distortion* of the sheared segments, namely to quantify how much the growth of the push-forward of the transverse vector field (in this case, the geodesic flow) deviates from being linear. In this paper, the relevant quantity is $A_t^0(x)$, which can be expressed as the ergodic integral of the function $\frac{X\alpha}{\alpha}$. The corresponding bound is the content of Proposition 18. Since the result might be interesting in its own right, we prove it in general, as follows.

Theorem 5. *There exists $\delta_0 > 0$ such that the following holds. Let $\{h_t^\alpha\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be a smooth time-change of the horocycle flow on M . Let $u, f, \ell \in W^7(M)$ with $\text{vol}_\alpha(u) = \text{vol}_\alpha(f) = \text{vol}_\alpha(\ell) = 0$. For any $t \geq 2$, we have*

$$\left| \int_M \left(\int_0^t u \circ h_r^\alpha dr \right) \cdot f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \ell \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \leq C_\alpha \|u\|_{W^7} \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} E(t),$$

where

$$E(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{R(t)^2}{t}, & \text{if } \mu_0 \geq 1/4, \\ t^{\nu_0 - \delta_0}, & \text{if } \mu_0 < 1/4. \end{cases}$$

Notation. In order to avoid cumbersome notation, in this paper we will use the following conventions.

- The symbol δ_0 denotes a positive constant, which might change from line to line, that depends only on the space M ; notably, on the spectrum of \square .
- When writing $A \ll B$, we mean that there exists a constant C_α so that $A \leq C_\alpha \cdot B$. The constant C_α , which might change from line to line, depends only on the space M and on the W^7 -norm of the function α inducing the time-change, and on the W^7 -norm of α^{-1} .
- The notation $t^{\frac{1}{2}+}$ and $t^{\frac{1}{2}-}$ stands for $t^{\frac{1}{2}} \log t$ and $t^{\frac{1}{2}}$ respectively.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Time-changes. Let us fix a positive function $\alpha \in W^7(M)$, with $\text{vol}(\alpha) = 1$, and let $\{h_t^\alpha\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ be the associated time-change of $\{h_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. Since the orbits of $\{h_t^\alpha\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ and $\{h_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$ coincide, there exists a function $\tau: M \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by the equality $h_t^\alpha(x) = h_{\tau(x,t)}(x)$. Then, differentiating both sides, we have

$$(1) \quad \frac{1}{\alpha} \circ h_t^\alpha(x) = \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial t}(x, t),$$

and thus, since $\tau(x, 0) = 0$, we can write

$$(2) \quad \tau(x, t) = \int_0^t \frac{1}{\alpha} \circ h_r^\alpha(x) dr, \quad \text{for every } x \in M \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Reversing the roles of the vector fields U and U_α , if we define $\eta(x, t)$ by $h_t(x) = h_{\eta(x,t)}^\alpha(x)$, the same reasoning as above tells us that

$$\eta(x, t) = \int_0^t \alpha \circ h_r(x) dr.$$

In particular, since $t = \eta(x, \tau(x, t))$, it follows that

$$(3) \quad t = \int_0^{\tau(x,t)} \alpha \circ h_r(x) dr.$$

As Equation (3) suggests, a precise understanding of ergodic integrals of the horocycle flow is crucial for proving our main theorem. Standard results on the deviation of ergodic averages imply that, for every $x \in M$ and $t \geq 2$, we have

$$(4) \quad |\tau(x, \pm t) \mp t| \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} R(t).$$

As a matter of fact, we will need to use a much stronger result on the asymptotic expansion of ergodic integrals. We recall in the next subsection the theorem we need.

We collect below some estimates on $\tau(x, t)$ we will need in this paper. For the sake of notation, we define

$$\tau_\pm(x, t) = \pm \tau(x, \pm t).$$

Lemma 6. *For every $x \in M$ and for every $t \geq 2$, we have*

$$\left| \frac{X\tau_{\pm}(x,t)}{\tau_{\pm}(x,t)} + 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha \circ h_{\pm t}^{\alpha}(x)} \right| \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} \frac{R(t)}{t}.$$

Furthermore, for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$|X[\tau_{\pm}(h_r x, t)]| \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} (t + |r|).$$

Proof. For any $t \in \mathbb{R}$, differentiating both sides of (3) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= X\tau(x,t) \cdot \alpha \circ h_t^{\alpha}(x) + \int_0^{\tau(x,t)} X(\alpha \circ h_r(x)) dr \\ &= X\tau(x,t) \cdot \alpha \circ h_t^{\alpha}(x) + \int_0^{\tau(x,t)} X\alpha \circ h_r(x) + rU\alpha \circ h_r(x) dr \\ &= X\tau(x,t) \cdot \alpha \circ h_t^{\alpha}(x) + \tau(x,t) \cdot \alpha \circ h_t^{\alpha}(x) - t + \int_0^{\tau(x,t)} X\alpha \circ h_r(x) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Let now $t \geq 2$. Since $\alpha \gg 1$ and since, by (4), we have $t \ll \tau_{\pm}(x,t)$, it follows that

$$\left| \frac{X\tau_{\pm}(x,t)}{\tau_{\pm}(x,t)} + 1 - \frac{t}{\tau_{\pm}(x,t) \cdot \alpha \circ h_{\pm t}^{\alpha}(x)} \right| \ll \|X\alpha\|_{W^6} \frac{R(t)}{t}.$$

The first claim follows from the fact that $|t/\tau_{\pm}(x,t) - 1| \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} t^{-1} R(t)$.

As for the second statement, for every $r \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$X[\tau_{\pm}(h_r x, t)] = Dh_r(X)\tau_{\pm}(h_r x, t) = X\tau_{\pm}(h_r x, t) + rU\tau_{\pm}(h_r x, t).$$

The first part of this lemma implies that $\|X\tau_{\pm}(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty} \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} \|\tau_{\pm}(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty} \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} t$. On the other hand, (2) yields

$$U\tau_{\pm}(y, t) = \alpha(y)U\alpha\tau_{\pm}(y, t) = \pm\alpha(y) \left(\frac{1}{\alpha \circ h_{\pm t}^{\alpha}(y)} - \frac{1}{\alpha(y)} \right),$$

which gives us $\|U\tau_{\pm}(\cdot, t)\|_{\infty} \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7}$, and completes the proof. \square

Lemma 7. *For every $t \geq 2$ and $x \in M$, we have*

$$|\log(\tau_{\pm}(x, t)) - \log t| \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} \frac{R(t)}{t}.$$

Moreover, for any $b \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\Re(b) \in [0, 1]$ we have

$$|\tau_{\pm}(x, t)^b - t^b| \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} |b| t^{\Re(b)} \frac{R(t)}{t}.$$

In particular, $|R(\tau_{\pm}(x, t)) - R(t)| \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} t^{\frac{1+\nu_0}{2}-\delta_0}$.

Proof. From the bound (4), we easily derive

$$|\log(\tau_{\pm}(x, t)) - \log t| = \left| \log \left(1 + \frac{\tau_{\pm}(x, t) - t}{t} \right) \right| \ll \left| \frac{\tau_{\pm}(x, t) - t}{t} \right| \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} \frac{R(t)}{t},$$

which proves the first statement.

Fix $b \in \mathbb{C}$. It is easy to see that

$$|\tau_{\pm}(x, t)^b - t^b| \leq |\tau_{\pm}(x, t) - t| |b| \min(\tau_{\pm}(x, t), t)^{\Re(b)-1},$$

which proves the second claim. This also imply the bound on $|R(\tau_{\pm}(x, t)) - R(t)|$ in the case $\mu_0 \neq 1/4$ from the definition of R , choosing $b = \frac{1+\nu_0}{2}$. For $\mu_0 = 1/4$, we use the fact that $\tau_{\pm}(x, t) \ll t$ and the previous claim to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau_{\pm}(x, t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \log(\tau_{\pm}(x, t)) - t^{\frac{1}{2}} \log t| &\ll |\tau_{\pm}(x, t)^{\frac{1}{2}} - t^{\frac{1}{2}}| \log t + t^{\frac{1}{2}} |\log(\tau_{\pm}(x, t)) - \log t| \\ &\ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} ((\log t)^2 + \log t). \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. \square

2.2. Ergodic integrals for time-changes. Let $W \in \{X, U, V\}$ and let $j \in \mathbb{N}$. If $f \in L^\infty(M)$ is such that $Wf, \dots, W^j f \in L^\infty(M)$, we define

$$\|f\|_{W,j} := \|f\|_\infty + \|Wf\|_\infty + \dots + \|W^j f\|_\infty.$$

We will often write $\|f\|_W$ instead of $\|f\|_{W,1}$. If $f \in L^\infty(M)$ is Hölder continuous in direction W of some exponent $\beta \in (0, 1)$, we define

$$\|f\|_{W,\beta} := \|f\|_\infty + \sup_{\substack{x \in M \\ r \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}}} \frac{|f(x \exp(rW)) - f(x)|}{r^\beta}.$$

If $f \in L^\infty(M)$ is Hölder continuous of some exponent $\beta \in (0, 1)$, we let

$$\|f\|_\beta := \|f\|_\infty + \sup_{\substack{x, y \in M \\ x \neq y}} \frac{|f(x) - f(y)|}{d(x, y)},$$

where $d(x, y)$ is the distance between x and y .

The following theorem, in a slightly different form, was proved in [23]; we explain below the differences in the notation. Let $\text{Spec}_{\geq 0} := \text{Spec}(\square) \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ and $\text{Spec}_{> 0} := \text{Spec}(\square) \cap \mathbb{R}_{> 0}$ denote, respectively, the set of nonnegative and of positive eigenvalues of the Casimir operator \square on M . For every $\mu \in \text{Spec}_{\geq 0}$, define $v = v(\mu)$ to be the unique $v \in [0, 1] \cap \mathbb{R}_{> 0}$ such that $1 - v^2 = 4\mu$. Furthermore, let

$$\lambda_\pm = \lambda_\pm(\mu) = \begin{cases} \frac{1 \pm v}{2} & \text{if } \mu \neq 1/4, \\ \frac{1}{2} \pm & \text{if } \mu = 1/4. \end{cases}$$

Theorem 8. Fix $j \in \mathbb{N}$, and let $f \in W^{5+j}(M)$, with $\text{vol}(f) = 0$. For every $\mu \in \text{Spec}_{\geq 0}$, there exist bounded functions $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\pm} f, \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\pm} f: M \times [1, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that the following holds. Define

$$\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_\pm} f(x, T) := \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\pm} f(x, T) - \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\pm} f(h_1(x), T).$$

For every $x \in M$ and for every $T \geq 1$, we have

$$\left| \int_0^T f \circ h_r(x) dr - \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Spec}_{\geq 0} \\ \bullet \in \{+, -\}}} T^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(g_{\log T}(x), T) \right| \ll \|f\|_{W^6}.$$

The functions $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} f$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} f$ satisfy the following properties:

- (a) for $\mu = 0$, we have $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_+} f \equiv 0$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_-} f = \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_-} f$,
- (b) for all $\mu \in \text{Spec}_{\geq 0}$, $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} f$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} f$ are differentiable in T and

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Spec}_{\geq 0} \\ \bullet \in \{+, -\}}} \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(\cdot, T) \right\|_\infty + \left\| \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(\cdot, T) \right\|_\infty \ll \|f\|_{W^6} T^{-1-\lambda_\bullet},$$

- (c) for every $\mu \in \text{Spec}_{> 0}$, $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} f$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} f$ are j -times differentiable in the X -direction, and

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Spec}_{> 0} \\ \bullet \in \{+, -\}}} \|\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(\cdot, T)\|_{X,j} + \|\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(\cdot, T)\|_{X,j} \ll \|f\|_{W^{5+j}},$$

- (d) for every $\mu \in \text{Spec}_{> 0}$, $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} f$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} f$ are Hölder continuous in the U -direction and, for any fixed $\beta < \frac{1-v_0}{2}$, we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Spec}_{> 0} \\ \bullet \in \{+, -\}}} \|\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(\cdot, T)\|_{U,\beta} + \|\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(\cdot, T)\|_{U,\beta} \ll \|f\|_{W^6}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in W^{5+j}(M)$, and let us write $f = \sum_{\mu \in \text{Spec}(\square)} f_\mu$, where f_μ is an eigenfunction of the Casimir operator \square with eigenvalue μ . By [23, Theorem 1] and [23, Lemma 15], the contribution of all components corresponding to $\mu < 0$ can be bounded uniformly in T , and hence can be absorbed into the error term.

For the component corresponding to $\mu = 0$, we have

$$\left| \int_0^T f_\mu \circ h_r(x) dr - \int_0^{\log T} -(Vf_0 \circ g_{\log T - \xi} - Vf_0 \circ g_{-\xi} \circ h_1 \circ g_{\log T})(x) d\xi \right| \ll \|f_0\|_{\mathcal{C}^1},$$

see [23, Section 5], hence we define

$$\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_{-}(0)}f(x, T) = \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_{-}(0)}f(x, T) = - \int_0^{\log T} Vf_0 \circ g_{-\xi}(x) d\xi.$$

This proves (a).

For all the other $\mu \in \text{Spec}_{>0} \setminus \{1/4\}$, the results in [23, Sections 3–4] show that

$$\int_0^T f_\mu \circ h_r(x) dr = \sum_{\bullet \in \{+, -\}} T^{\lambda_\bullet} (\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(x, T) - \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(h_1(x), T)),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(x, T) &= \frac{1}{\bullet v} \int_0^{\log T} e^{-\lambda_\bullet \xi} V f_\mu \circ g_{-\xi}(x) d\xi, \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(x, T) &= \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(x, T) + \frac{1 \bullet v}{\bullet 2v} \int_0^1 f_\mu \circ h_r(x) dr - \frac{1}{\bullet v} \int_0^1 X f_\mu \circ h_r(x) dr. \end{aligned}$$

Similar formulas hold in the case $\mu = 1/4$, see [23, Section 4.2].

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(x, T) = \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(x, T) = \bullet \frac{1}{vT} T^{-\lambda_\bullet} V f_\mu \circ g_{-\log T}(x),$$

the claim (b) follows from the Sobolev Embedding Theorem as in [23, Lemma 15].

Parts (c) is immediate using [23, Lemma 15] and (d) is proved in [23, Section 4.4]. \square

Remark 9. From the fact that $\int_0^{-T} f \circ h_r(x) dr = - \int_0^T f \circ h_r(h_{-T}x) dr$, it follows that

$$\left| \int_0^{-T} f \circ h_r(x) dr - \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Spec}_{\geq 0} \\ \bullet \in \{+, -\}}} T^{\lambda_\bullet} (-\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(h_{-1} \circ g_{\log T}(x), T)) \right| \ll \|f\|_{W^6},$$

for all $x \in M$ and $T \geq 2$. We will use the shorthand notation

$$\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_\bullet}^+ f(x, T) = \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(x, T), \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_\bullet}^- f(x, T) = -\mathcal{D}_{\lambda_\bullet} f(h_{-1}(x), T).$$

From Theorem 8 and Remark 9, one can easily recover the upper bound

$$\left| \int_0^{\pm T} f \circ h_r(x) dr \right| \ll \|f\|_{W^6} R(T),$$

for every $T \geq 2$.

Theorem 8 can be used to study ergodic integrals for time-changes, since, for any $f \in W^6(M)$ and any $x \in M$, by (1) we have

$$(5) \quad \int_0^t f \circ h_r^\alpha(x) dr = \int_0^t (\alpha f) \circ h_{\tau(x,r)}(x) \cdot \frac{\partial \tau}{\partial r}(x, r) dr = \int_0^{\tau(x,t)} (\alpha f) \circ h_r(x) dr.$$

Therefore, for every $t \geq 2$, we have

$$\left| \int_0^{\pm t} f \circ h_r^\alpha(x) dr - \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Spec}_{\geq 0} \\ \bullet \in \{+, -\}}} \tau_\pm(x, t)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_\bullet}^\pm (\alpha f)(g_{\log \tau_\pm(x,t)}(x), \tau_\pm(x, t)) \right| \ll \|f\|_{W^6}.$$

We note that, by Theorem 8-(b), we have

$$\sum_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Spec}_{\geq 0} \\ \bullet \in \{+, -\}}} \left| \tau_\pm(x, t)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_\bullet}^\pm (\alpha f)(g_{\log \tau_\pm(x,t)}(x), \tau_\pm(x, t)) - \tau_\pm(x, t)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_\bullet}^\pm (\alpha f)(g_{\log \tau_\pm(x,t)}(x), t) \right| \ll \frac{R(t)}{t} \|f\|_{W^6},$$

thus we can conclude

$$(6) \quad \left| \int_0^{\pm t} f \circ h_r^\alpha(x) dr - \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Spec}_{\geq 0} \\ \bullet \in \{+, -\}}} \tau_\pm(x, t)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_\bullet}^\pm(\alpha f)(g_{\log \tau_\pm(x, t)}(x), t) \right| \ll \|f\|_{W^6}.$$

Remark 10. *If αf is supported only on the principal series, then we have*

$$\left| \int_0^{\pm t} f \circ h_r^\alpha(x) dr - \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Spec}(\square) \cap (1/4, \infty) \\ \bullet \in \{+, -\}}} \tau_\pm(x, t)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_\bullet}^\pm(\alpha f)(g_{\log \tau_\pm(x, t)}(x), t) \right| \ll \frac{R(t)}{t} \|f\|_{W^6}.$$

2.3. Push-forward of geodesic arcs. We are interested in the push-forward of the vector field X by the action of the flow $\{h_t^\alpha\}_{t \in \mathbb{R}}$. At the heart of the mixing-via-shearing method lies the fact that geodesic arcs get sheared along the flow direction. More precisely, we define

$$A_t^0(x) = \int_0^t \frac{X\alpha}{\alpha} \circ h_r^\alpha dr, \quad \text{and} \quad A_t(x) = \int_0^t \left(1 - \frac{X\alpha}{\alpha}\right) \circ h_r^\alpha dr = t - A_t^0(x).$$

Then, it is possible to prove that

$$(7) \quad Dh_t^\alpha(X) = X + A_t(x)U_\alpha,$$

see, e.g., [25, Section 3.1]³. The quantity $A_t^0(x)$ represents the distortion; namely, the deviation of the shear from a linear function. From the results in the previous subsection, we can deduce the asymptotics behaviour of $A_{\pm t}^0$. If $\mu_0 \geq 1/4$, then, for all $t \geq 2$, we have

$$\|A_{\pm t}^0(x)\|_\infty \ll \|X\alpha\|_{W^6} R(t).$$

Otherwise, if $\mu_0 < 1/4$, we let $\lambda_0 = \lambda_+(\mu_0) = \frac{1+\nu_0}{2}$ and we define

$$\mathcal{A}_t^\pm(x) := \mathcal{D}_{\lambda_0}^\pm(X\alpha)(x, t).$$

Theorem 8 and the subsequent discussion imply that there exists⁴ $\delta_0 > 0$ so that, for all $t \geq 2$,

$$\|A_{\pm t}^0(x) - \tau_\pm(x, t)^{\lambda_0} \mathcal{A}_t^\pm \circ g_{\log \tau_\pm(x, t)}\|_\infty \ll t^{\lambda_0 - \delta_0}.$$

By Lemma 7 and the Hölder property of \mathcal{A}_t^\pm , for every $x \in M$, we have that

$$|\tau_\pm(x, t)^{\lambda_0} - t^{\lambda_0}| \ll t^{\lambda_0^2 - 1} \ll t^{\lambda_0 - \delta_0},$$

$$|\mathcal{A}_t^\pm \circ g_{\log \tau_\pm(x, t)}(x) - \mathcal{A}_t^\pm \circ g_{\log t}(x)| \ll |\log(\tau_\pm(x, t)) - \log t|^{\frac{1-\nu_0}{2}} \ll t^{-\frac{(1-\nu_0)^2}{4}} \ll t^{-\delta_0}.$$

We can thus conclude that, for all $t \geq 2$,

$$(8) \quad \begin{aligned} \|A_{\pm t}^0(x)\|_\infty &\ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} R(t) && \text{if } \mu_0 \geq 1/4, \\ \|A_{\pm t}^0(x) - t^{\lambda_0} \mathcal{A}_t^\pm \circ g_{\log t}\|_\infty &\ll t^{\lambda_0 - \delta_0} && \text{if } \mu_0 < 1/4. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, we can replace $A_{\pm t}^0(x)$ with the ergodic integral of any other $w \in W^6(M)$ with $\text{vol}_\alpha(w) = 0$ and obtain the same conclusion, as stated in the next lemma.

Lemma 11. *Let $w \in W^6(M)$ with $\text{vol}_\alpha(w) = 0$. If $\mu_0 \geq 1/4$, then for every $x \in M$ and $t \geq 2$, we have*

$$\left| \int_0^{\pm t} w \circ h_r^\alpha(x) dr \right| \ll \|w\|_{W^6} R(t).$$

Otherwise, if $\mu_0 < 1/4$, there exist Hölder continuous functions \mathcal{W}_t^\pm of exponent $\beta = \frac{1-\nu_0}{2}$, satisfying $\|\mathcal{W}_t^\pm\|_\beta \ll \|w\|_{W^6}$, for which the following holds. For any $x \in M$ and $t \geq 2$, we have

$$\left| \int_0^{\pm t} w \circ h_r^\alpha(x) dr - t^{\lambda_0} \mathcal{W}_t^\pm \circ g_{\log t}(x) \right| \ll \|w\|_{W^6} t^{\lambda_0 - \delta_0}.$$

³See also [12, Lemma 1], up to sign.

⁴As previously mentioned, δ_0 depends only on M (namely, on the set $\text{Spec}_{>0}$).

We conclude this section with some further estimates on A_t . Let u be any \mathcal{C}^1 function on M . Then, by (7), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 X \left(\int_0^t u \circ h_r^\alpha dr \right) &= \int_0^t X(u \circ h_r^\alpha) dr = \int_0^t A_r \cdot U_\alpha u \circ h_r^\alpha dr + \int_0^t Xu \circ h_r^\alpha dr \\
 &= A_t \cdot u \circ h_t^\alpha - \int_0^t \frac{d}{dr} A_r \cdot u \circ h_r^\alpha dr + \int_0^t Xu \circ h_r^\alpha dr \\
 &= A_t \cdot u \circ h_t^\alpha - \int_0^t \left(u - \frac{X\alpha}{\alpha} u - Xu \right) \circ h_r^\alpha dr.
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{9}$$

Thus, we obtain the following lemma, as in [12, Lemma 7].

Lemma 12. *Let $u \in \mathcal{C}^1(M)$. For all $t \geq 2$ and all $x \in M$, we have*

$$\left| X \left(\int_0^t u \circ h_r^\alpha(x) dr \right) \right| \ll t \|u\|_{\mathcal{C}^1}.$$

In particular, $|XA_{\pm t}(x)| \ll t$.

3. A REFINED MIXING-VIA-SHEARING ARGUMENT

In this section, we prove our main theorem by developing a new version of the mixing-via-shearing argument. We start by proving some preliminary result.

3.1. Variations on Forni and Ulcigrai's result. First of all, we prove a couple of variations of Forni and Ulcigrai's result (i.e., of Theorem 1) that are better suited for our purposes. An interesting feature of their result, which will be crucial in our argument, is the “asymmetry” in the observables: while the bound in Theorem 1 involves the $\|\cdot\|_{W^6}$ -norm of f , the dependence on the function ℓ is only in terms of the norm $\|\cdot\|_X$. We will make full advantage of this fact.

In Proposition 13 below, we prove an upper bound on the correlations between two functions w and v which depends on a free parameter $\sigma \in (0, 1)$. The idea will be to optimize the upper bound by choosing σ appropriately, depending on the values of $\|v\|_\infty$ and of $\|v\|_X$.

Proposition 13. *Let $w \in W^6(M)$, with $\text{vol}_\alpha(w) = 0$, and let $v \in L^\infty(M)$ with $Xv \in L^\infty(M)$. For every $\sigma \in (0, 1)$ and for every $t \geq 2$, we have*

$$\left| \int_M w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha v \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \ll \|w\|_{W^6} \left(\|v\|_\infty \frac{R(\sigma t)}{\sigma t} + \|v\|_X \frac{R(\sigma t)}{t} \right).$$

Proof. The proof follows the argument developed in [12]. Using the invariance of the measure vol by g_t , for any fixed $\sigma \in (0, 1)$, we have

$$(10) \quad \int_M w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha \cdot v \text{vol}_\alpha = \int_M w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha \cdot A_{\pm t} \cdot \frac{\alpha v}{A_{\pm t}} \text{vol} = \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_0^\sigma \int_M w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha \circ g_s \cdot A_{\pm t} \circ g_s \cdot \frac{\alpha v}{A_{\pm t}} \circ g_s \text{vol} ds.$$

An integration by parts yields

$$\begin{aligned}
 \int_M w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha \cdot v \text{vol}_\alpha &= \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_M \left(\int_0^\sigma w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha \circ g_s \cdot A_{\pm t} \circ g_s ds \right) \cdot \frac{\alpha v}{A_{\pm t}} \circ g_\sigma \text{vol} \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{\sigma} \int_0^\sigma \int_M \left(\int_0^s w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha \circ g_r \cdot A_{\pm t} \circ g_r dr \right) \cdot X \left(\frac{\alpha v}{A_{\pm t}} \right) \circ g_s \text{vol} ds.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 12, we note that

$$\left\| X \left(\frac{\alpha v}{A_{\pm t}} \right) \right\|_\infty \ll \|v\|_X \frac{\|A_{\pm t}\|_\infty}{t^2} + \|v\|_\infty \frac{\|A_{\pm t}\|_X}{t^2} \ll \frac{\|v\|_X}{t}.$$

We call γ_s^x the geodesic segment $\gamma_s^x: r \mapsto g_r(x)$ for $r \in [0, s]$. Then, we can write

$$\left| \int_M w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha v \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \ll \sup_{x \in M} \left[\frac{\|v\|_\infty}{\sigma t} \left| \int_{h_{\pm t}^\alpha \gamma_s^x} w \widehat{U}_\alpha \right| + \frac{\|v\|_X}{t} \sup_{s \in [0, \sigma]} \left| \int_{h_{\pm t}^\alpha \gamma_s^x} w \widehat{U}_\alpha \right| \right],$$

where $\widehat{U}_\alpha = \alpha \widehat{U}$ is the 1-form dual to U_α . The conclusion follows from the bound

$$(11) \quad \left| \int_{h_{\pm t}^\alpha \gamma_s^\alpha} w \widehat{U}_\alpha \right| = \left| \int_{h_{\pm t}^\alpha \gamma_s^\alpha} \alpha w \widehat{U} \right| \ll \|w\|_{W^6} R(st) \ll \|w\|_{W^6} R(\sigma t).$$

see [12, Lemma 17]. \square

In Proposition 14, we obtain a modest power decay of the correlations of w and v , but which holds in weaker regularity; namely, the function v is required to be only Hölder continuous in the geodesic direction.

Proposition 14. *Let $w \in W^6(M)$, with $\text{vol}_\alpha(w) = 0$, and let $v \in L^\infty(M)$ be Hölder continuous in direction X of exponent β . There exists $\beta_0 \in (0, 1)$ such that, for every $t \geq 2$, we have*

$$\left| \int_M w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha v \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \ll \|w\|_{W^6} \|v\|_{X, \beta} t^{-\beta_0}.$$

Proof. For any $x \in M$ and for all $s \in [0, \sigma]$, Lemma 12 yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{(\alpha v) \circ g_s(x)}{A_{\pm t} \circ g_s(x)} - \frac{(\alpha v)(x)}{A_{\pm t}(x)} \right| &\ll \frac{\|(\alpha v) \circ g_s - (\alpha v)\|_\infty}{t} + \|v\|_\infty \frac{\|A_{\pm t} \circ g_s - A_{\pm t}\|_\infty}{t^2} \ll \frac{\sigma^\beta}{t} \|v\|_{X, \beta} + \frac{\sigma}{t} \|v\|_\infty \\ &\ll \frac{\sigma^\beta}{t} \|v\|_{X, \beta}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from (10), we obtain

$$\left| \int_M w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha v \text{vol}_\alpha - \int_M \frac{1}{\sigma} \left(\int_0^\sigma w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha \circ g_s A_{\pm t} \circ g_s \, ds \right) \frac{\alpha v}{A_{\pm t}} \text{vol} \right| \ll \sigma^\beta \|v\|_{X, \beta} \|w\|_\infty.$$

By (11),

$$\left| \int_M w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha v \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \ll (\sigma t)^{-\frac{1-\nu_0}{2}} \log(\sigma t) \|w\|_{W^6} \|v\|_\infty + \sigma^\beta \|v\|_{X, \beta} \|w\|_\infty.$$

Choosing, for example, $\sigma = t^{-\frac{1}{2}}$ proves the result, with $\beta_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{\beta}{2}, \frac{1-\nu_0}{5} \right\}$. \square

3.2. The key lemma. The following lemma is the starting point of the mixing-via-shearing method: by the shearing properties of the flow on the geodesic vector field, see Equation (7), we can rewrite the correlations between two functions as an expression involving ergodic averages.

Lemma 15. *Let $w, v \in L^\infty(M)$ be such that $Xw, Xv \in L^\infty(M)$. Then, for all $t \neq 0$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M w \circ h_t^\alpha v \text{vol}_\alpha &= \frac{1}{t} \int_M A_t^0 \cdot w \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot v \text{vol}_\alpha - \frac{1}{t} \int_M \left(\int_0^t \frac{X(\alpha w)}{\alpha} \circ h_r^\alpha \, dr \right) \cdot v \text{vol}_\alpha \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{t} \int_M \left(\int_0^t w \circ h_r^\alpha \, dr \right) \cdot \left[v - \frac{X(\alpha v)}{\alpha} \right] \text{vol}_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By assumption and by measure-invariance, for any $r \in \mathbb{R}$, we have

$$\int_M w \circ h_r^\alpha \cdot X(\alpha v) \text{vol} = - \int_M X(w \circ h_r^\alpha) \cdot \alpha v \text{vol}.$$

Since $X(w \circ h_r^\alpha) = Dh_r^\alpha(X)w \circ h_r^\alpha$, by (7), it follows that

$$\int_M w \circ h_r^\alpha \cdot X(\alpha v) \text{vol} = - \int_M [Xw \circ h_r^\alpha + A_r \cdot U_\alpha w \circ h_r^\alpha] \cdot (\alpha v) \text{vol}.$$

Rearranging the terms and integrating from 0 to t , we get

$$\int_0^t \int_M A_r \cdot U_\alpha w \circ h_r^\alpha \cdot \alpha v \text{vol} \, dr = - \int_0^t \int_M (w \circ h_r^\alpha \cdot X(\alpha v) + Xw \circ h_r^\alpha \cdot \alpha v) \text{vol} \, dr.$$

We integrate by parts the left-hand side, which can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M \left(\int_0^t A_r \cdot \frac{d}{dr} (w \circ h_r^\alpha) \, dr \right) \cdot \alpha v \text{vol} &= \int_M A_t \cdot w \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \alpha v \text{vol} - \int_M \left(\int_0^t \frac{d}{dr} A_r \cdot w \circ h_r^\alpha \, dr \right) \cdot \alpha v \text{vol} \\ &= t \int_M w \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot v \text{vol}_\alpha - \int_M A_t^0 \cdot w \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \alpha v \text{vol} - \int_M \int_0^t \left(w - \frac{X\alpha}{\alpha} w \right) \circ h_r^\alpha \cdot \alpha v \, dr \text{vol}, \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} t \int_M w \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot v \, \text{vol}_\alpha &= \int_M A_t^0 \cdot w \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \alpha v \, \text{vol} + \int_M \int_0^t \left(w - \frac{X\alpha}{\alpha} w - Xw \right) \circ h_r^\alpha \cdot \alpha v \, dr \, \text{vol} \\ &\quad - \int_M \int_0^t w \circ h_r^\alpha \cdot X(\alpha v) \, dr \, \text{vol}. \end{aligned}$$

By (6), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} t \int_M w \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot v \, \text{vol}_\alpha &= \int_M A_t^0 \cdot w \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \alpha v \, \text{vol} + \int_M \left(\int_0^t \left(w - \frac{X(\alpha w)}{\alpha} \right) \circ h_r^\alpha \, dr \right) \cdot \alpha v \, \text{vol} \\ &\quad - \int_M \left(\int_0^t w \circ h_r^\alpha \, dr \right) \cdot X(\alpha v) \, \text{vol}, \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof. \square

From Lemma 15 above, we deduce a first rough estimate on the decay of correlations. This is essentially Theorem 1 by Forni and Ulcigrai; however, in the case $\mu_0 < 1/4$, we can extract a precise expression for the higher order term.

Corollary 16. *Let $w \in W^7(M)$, with $\text{vol}_\alpha(w) = 0$. If $\mu_0 \geq 1/4$, then for every $v \in L^\infty(M)$ for which $Xv \in L^\infty(M)$, we have*

$$\left| \int_M w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha v \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \ll \|w\|_{W^7} \|v\|_X \frac{R(t)}{t}.$$

If $\mu_0 < 1/4$, there exist Hölder continuous functions $\mathcal{W}_t^\pm, \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_t^\pm$ of exponent $\beta = \frac{1-\mu_0}{2}$, satisfying $\|\mathcal{W}_t^\pm\|_\beta + \|\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_t^\pm\|_\beta \ll \|w\|_{W^6}$, for which the following holds. For any $v \in L^\infty(M)$ for which $Xv \in L^\infty(M)$, and for any $t \geq 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha v \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| &\ll t^{\lambda_0-1} \left[\left| \int_M w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha \cdot v \cdot \mathcal{A}_t^\pm \circ g_{\log t} \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| + \left| \int_M \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_t^\pm \circ g_{\log t} \cdot v \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \int_M \mathcal{W}_t^\pm \circ g_{\log t} \cdot \left(v - \frac{X(\alpha v)}{\alpha} \right) \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \right] + \|w\|_{W^7} \|v\|_X t^{\lambda_0-1-\delta_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. We divide the proof in two cases, depending on the value of μ_0 .

Case 1. Let us first assume $\mu_0 \geq 1/4$. By Lemma 15, Lemma 11, and (8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha v \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| &\ll \frac{1}{t} \|A_t^0\|_\infty \|w\|_\infty \|v\|_\infty + \frac{R(t)}{t} (\|X(\alpha w)\|_{W^6} \|v\|_\infty + \|w\|_{W^6} \|v\|_X) \\ &\ll \frac{R(t)}{t} \|w\|_{W^7} \|v\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

which proves our claim.

Case 2. Assume now $\mu_0 < 1/4$. By Lemma 11, there exist Hölder functions \mathcal{W}_t^\pm and $\widehat{\mathcal{W}}_t^\pm$ for which we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{\pm t} w \circ h_r^\alpha(x) \, dr - t^{\lambda_0} \mathcal{W}_t^\pm \circ g_{\log t} \right| &\ll t^{\lambda_0-\delta_0} \|w\|_{W^6}, \\ \left| \int_0^{\pm t} \frac{X(\alpha w)}{\alpha} \circ h_r^\alpha(x) \, dr - t^{\lambda_0} \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_t^\pm \circ g_{\log t} \right| &\ll t^{\lambda_0-\delta_0} \|w\|_{W^7}. \end{aligned}$$

We substitute in the statement of Lemma 15 and we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha v \, \text{vol}_\alpha - \frac{1}{t} \int_M A_{\pm t}^0 \cdot w \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot v \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| &\ll t^{\lambda_0-1} \left| \int_M \widehat{\mathcal{W}}_t^\pm \circ g_{\log t} \cdot v \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \\ &\quad + t^{\lambda_0-1} \left| \int_M \mathcal{W}_t^\pm \circ g_{\log t} \cdot \left(v - \frac{X(\alpha v)}{\alpha} \right) \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| + \|w\|_{W^7} \|v\|_X t^{\lambda_0-1-\delta_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Again, by (8), we have

$$\left| \int_M A_{\pm t}^0 \cdot w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha \cdot v \, \text{vol}_\alpha - \int_M t^{\lambda_0} A_t^\pm \circ g_{\log t} \cdot w \circ h_{\pm t}^\alpha \cdot v \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \ll t^{\lambda_0 - \delta_0} \|w\|_\infty \|v\|_\infty,$$

and hence the proof is complete. \square

We also state another corollary of Lemma 15 which we will use at the end of the proof of Theorem 2.

Corollary 17. *Let $f, \ell \in W^7(M)$. For any $t \geq 2$, we have*

$$t \int_M f \circ h_t \ell \, \text{vol} = \int_0^t \int_M [(f - Xf) \circ h_r \cdot \ell - f \circ h_r \cdot X\ell] \, \text{vol} \, dr.$$

Moreover, assume that $\mu_0 < 1/4$. There exists a bilinear form \mathcal{C} on $W^7(M)$ such that, for every $t \geq 2$,

$$\left| \int_0^t \int_M [(f - Xf) \circ h_r \cdot \ell - f \circ h_r \cdot X\ell] \, \text{vol} \, dr - t^{v_0} \mathcal{C}(f, \ell) \right| \ll \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} t^{v_0 - \delta_0}.$$

Proof. The first claim follows immediately from Lemma 15 applied to the trivial case $\alpha \equiv 1$, hence the second claim translates to the statement on the asymptotics of the correlations for the horocycle flow

$$\left| \int_M f \circ h_t \ell \, \text{vol} - t^{-1+v_0} \mathcal{C}(f, \ell) \right| \ll \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} t^{-1+v_0-\delta_0}.$$

which can be found, e.g., in [10, Corollary 2.5]. \square

3.3. Proof of Theorem 2. We conclude this section by proving Theorem 2. We will use the following two results, which are the most technical steps.

Proposition 18. *Let $f, \ell \in W^7(M)$ with $\text{vol}_\alpha(f) = \text{vol}_\alpha(\ell) = 0$. For any $t \geq 2$, we have*

$$\left| \int_M A_t^0 \cdot f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \ell \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \ll \begin{cases} \|X\alpha\|_{W^6} \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} \frac{R(t)^2}{t}, & \text{if } \mu_0 \geq 1/4, \\ \|X\alpha\|_{W^6} \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} t^{v_0 - \delta_0}, & \text{if } \mu_0 < 1/4. \end{cases}$$

Proposition 18 above is an immediate consequence of Theorem 5 with $u = \frac{X\alpha}{\alpha}$, which is proved in the next section. The second result that we need, whose proof is also postponed to the next section, is the following. Fix $\theta = \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} t^{1-\delta_0} \geq 2\|\tau(\cdot, t) - t\|_\infty$, and let us define $t_0 = t_0(t)$ by

$$t_0 := t - \theta.$$

Clearly, by (4), we have $|\tau(x, t) - t_0| \ll \theta + \|\tau(\cdot, t) - t\|_\infty \ll \theta$ as well as $|\tau(x, t) - t_0| \gg \theta - \|\tau(\cdot, t) - t\|_\infty \gg \theta$, for all $x \in M$.

Proposition 19. *Let $w, v \in W^6(M)$ with $\text{vol}(w) = \text{vol}(v) = 0$. We have*

$$\left| \int_M \int_{t_0}^{\tau(x, t)} w \circ h_r(x) \cdot v(x) \, dr \, \text{vol} \right| \ll \begin{cases} \|w\|_{W^6} \|v\|_{W^6} \theta^{v_0}, & \text{if } \mu_0 \neq 1/4, \\ \|w\|_{W^6} \|v\|_{W^6} \theta^{v_0} (\log t)^2, & \text{if } \mu_0 = 1/4. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, if $\mu_0 > 1/4$ and if w is supported only on the principal series, we have

$$\left| \int_M \int_{t_0}^{\tau(x, t)} w \circ h_r(x) \cdot v(x) \, dr \, \text{vol} \right| \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|_{W^6} \|v\|_{W^6}.$$

Note that, up to changing δ_0 , when $\mu_0 < 1/4$ we have $\theta^{v_0} \ll t^{v_0 - \delta_0}$. We are now ready to prove our main result. Let $f, \ell \in W^7(M)$ with $\text{vol}_\alpha(f) = \text{vol}_\alpha(\ell) = 0$, and let $t \geq 2$. Lemma 15 and (5) yield

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell \, \text{vol}_\alpha &= \frac{1}{t} \int_M A_t^0 \cdot f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \alpha \ell \, \text{vol} \\ &+ \frac{1}{t} \int_M \left(\int_{t_0}^{\tau(x, t)} (\alpha f) \circ h_r \, dr \right) \cdot [\alpha \ell - X(\alpha \ell)] \, \text{vol} - \frac{1}{t} \int_M \left(\int_{t_0}^{\tau(x, t)} X(\alpha f) \circ h_r \, dr \right) \cdot (\alpha \ell) \, \text{vol} \\ &+ \frac{1}{t} \int_0^{t_0} \left[\int_M (\alpha f) \circ h_r \cdot \alpha \ell \, \text{vol} - \int_M X(\alpha f) \circ h_r \cdot \alpha \ell \, \text{vol} - \int_M (\alpha f) \circ h_r \cdot X(\alpha \ell) \, \text{vol} \right] \, dr. \end{aligned}$$

Case 1. If $\mu_0 < 1/4$, then, from Proposition 18 and Proposition 19, we obtain

$$\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell \, \text{vol}_\alpha - \frac{1}{t} \int_0^{t_0} \left[\int_M (\alpha f) \circ h_r \cdot \alpha \ell \, \text{vol} - \int_M X(\alpha f) \circ h_r \cdot \alpha \ell \, \text{vol} - \int_M (\alpha f) \circ h_r \cdot X(\alpha \ell) \, \text{vol} \right] dr \right| \ll \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} t^{-1+\nu_0-\delta_0}.$$

Corollary 17 implies that

$$\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell \, \text{vol}_\alpha - \frac{t_0^{\nu_0}}{t} \mathcal{C}(\alpha f, \alpha \ell) \right| \ll \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} t^{-1+\nu_0-\delta_0}.$$

Defining $\mathcal{C}_\alpha(f, \ell) := \mathcal{C}(\alpha f, \alpha \ell)$ proves the result in this case.

Case 2. If $\mu_0 = 1/4$, using again Proposition 18, Proposition 19, and Ratner's effective mixing result [18, Theorem 2], we obtain

$$\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \ll \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} \left(\frac{1}{t} \int_1^{t_0} r^{-1} \log r \, dr + \frac{(\log t)^2}{t} \right) \ll \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} \frac{(\log t)^2}{t}.$$

Case 3. The proof in the case $\mu_0 > 1/4$ is analogous to the previous case and is immediate, once we establish the following lemma.

Lemma 20. Assume $\mu_0 > 1/4$. For every $w, v \in W^7(M)$ with $\text{vol}(w) = \text{vol}(v) = 0$, we have

$$\left| \frac{1}{t} \int_1^t \left(\int_M w \circ h_r v \, \text{vol} \right) dr \right| \ll \|w\|_{W^7} \|v\|_{W^7} t^{-1}.$$

Proof. Let us first assume that $w = w_n$ and $v = v_m$ satisfy $\square w_n = \mu w_n$, $\square v_m = \mu v_m$ for some Casimir eigenvalue $\mu > 1/4$, and $\Theta w_n = i n w_n$ and $\Theta v_m = i m v_m$. We can also assume that $\|w_n\|_2 = \|v_m\|_2 = 1$. Under these assumptions, the function

$$x(t) := \int_M w_n \circ h_t v_m \, \text{vol}$$

satisfies the differential equation

$$(12) \quad t^2 x''(t) + 3t x'(t) + 4\mu x(t) = y(t),$$

where

$$y(t) = -4x''(t) - \frac{4}{t}x'(t) - 4i(m+n)x'(t) - 2i\frac{m+n}{t}x(t) + 4\frac{(m-n)^2}{t^2}x(t),$$

see, e.g., [10, Proposition 2.3]. *A posteriori*, following Ratner's Theorem [18, Theorem 2], we have the estimate $|x(t)| \ll \|w_n\|_{W^3} \|v_m\|_{W^3} t^{-1}$. By [10, Equation (10)], from the latter we deduce $|x'(t)| \ll \|w_n\|_{W^3} \|v_m\|_{W^3} t^{-2}$, from which, by (12), it follows that $|x''(t)| \ll \|w_n\|_{W^3} \|v_m\|_{W^3} t^{-3}$. Consequently, we can bound $|y(t)| \ll \|w_n\|_{W^3} \|v_m\|_{W^3} t^{-2}$. Combining these estimates with (12), we deduce

$$\left| \int_1^t r^2 x''(r) + 3r x'(r) + 4\mu x(r) \, dr \right| \ll \|w_n\|_{W^3} \|v_m\|_{W^3}.$$

Integrating by parts the left-hand side above gives us

$$(4\mu - 1) \left| \int_1^t x(r) \, dr \right| \ll t^2 |x'(t)| + t |x(t)| + \|w_n\|_{W^3} \|v_m\|_{W^3} \ll \|w_n\|_{W^3} \|v_m\|_{W^3}.$$

We proved our claim under our additional assumptions on $w = w_n$ and $v = v_m$. Standard results from harmonic analysis allow to express correlations of arbitrary functions in $W^7(M)$ as a countable sum of correlations of functions w_n, v_m as above. \square

Finally, let us turn to the proof of Proposition 3. Assume we are still in the case $\mu_0 > 1/4$, and also that αf and $\alpha \ell$ are supported on the principal series. The same argument as above, using the second part of Proposition 19, proves that

$$\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell \, \text{vol}_\alpha - \frac{1}{t} \int_0^{t_0} \left[\int_M (\alpha f) \circ h_r \cdot \alpha \ell \, \text{vol} - \int_M X(\alpha f) \circ h_r \cdot \alpha \ell \, \text{vol} - \int_M (\alpha f) \circ h_r \cdot X(\alpha \ell) \, \text{vol} \right] dr \right| \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} t^{-1}.$$

By Corollary 17, we have

$$\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell \, \text{vol}_\alpha - \frac{t_0}{t} \int_M (\alpha f) \circ h_{t_0} \alpha \ell \, \text{vol} \right| \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} t^{-1}.$$

Correlations of coboundaries for the horocycle flow decay as $O(t^{-2})$, see, e.g., the proof of Lemma 20 or of Theorem 4. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M (\alpha f) \circ h_t \alpha \ell \, \text{vol} - \int_M (\alpha f) \circ h_{t_0} \alpha \ell \, \text{vol} \right| &= \left| \int_{t_0}^t \int_M U(\alpha f) \circ h_r \alpha \ell \, \text{vol} dr \right| \ll \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} \int_{t_0}^t r^{-2} dr \\ &\ll \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} \frac{t - t_0}{t^2} \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} t^{-1 - \delta_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Since $t_0/t = 1 + \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} t^{-\delta_0}$, from Ratner's quantitative mixing theorem [18], we conclude

$$\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell \, \text{vol}_\alpha - \int_M (\alpha f) \circ h_t \cdot \alpha \ell \, \text{vol} \right| \ll \frac{1}{t} \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7},$$

which proves Proposition 3.

4. PROOFS OF THEOREM 5 AND PROPOSITION 19

We complete the proof of Theorem 2 by proving Theorem 5 and Proposition 19.

4.1. Proof of Theorem 5. We exploit the full expansion of $\int_0^t u \circ h_r^\alpha(x) dr$ given by (6), namely

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_0^t u \circ h_r^\alpha(x) dr \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Spec}_{\geq 0} \\ \bullet \in \{+, -\}}} \tau(x, t)^{\lambda_\bullet} [\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha f)(g_{\log \tau(x, t)}(x), t) - \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha f)(g_{\log \tau(x, t)} \circ h_t^\alpha(x), t)] \right| \ll \|u\|_{W^6}. \end{aligned}$$

For $\mu \in \text{Spec}_{\geq 0}$, let us define

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t) &= \tau(x, t)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(g_{\log \tau(x, t)}(x), t), \\ Q_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t) &= \tau(h_{-t}^\alpha x, t)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(g_{\log \tau(h_{-t}^\alpha x, t)}(x), t) = \tau_-(x, t)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(g_{\log \tau_-(x, t)}(x), t), \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from the fact that $\tau(h_{-t}^\alpha x, t) = -\tau(x, -t)$. Using the invariance of the measure vol_α , we have

(13)

$$\begin{aligned} &\left| \int_M \left(\int_0^t u \circ h_r^\alpha dr \right) \cdot f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \ell \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \\ &\ll \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Spec}_{\geq 0} \\ \bullet \in \{+, -\}}} \left[\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \ell \cdot P_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t) \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| + \left| \int_M \ell \circ h_{-t}^\alpha \cdot f \cdot Q_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t) \, \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \right] + \|u\|_{W^6} \|f\|_\infty \|\ell\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

We will estimate each integral appearing in the right-hand side using Corollary 16. For this reason, we need some estimates on the norms $\|P_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t)\|_X$ and $\|Q_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t)\|_X$.

Lemma 21. For all $(\mu, \bullet) \in \text{Spec}_{\geq 0} \times \{+, -\}$, we have

$$\|P_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t)\|_X \ll t^{\Re \lambda_\bullet} \|\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(x, t)\|_X, \quad \text{and} \quad \|Q_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t)\|_X \ll t^{\Re \lambda_\bullet} \|\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(x, t)\|_X.$$

Furthermore, assume that $\mu_0 < 1/4$, and let $\alpha_0 := 1 - \frac{1}{\alpha}$, $\mathcal{P}_t(x) := \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_0}(\alpha u)(x, t)$, and $\mathcal{Q}_t(x) := \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_0}(\alpha u)(x, t)$. Then,

$$|P_{\lambda_0}(x, t) - t^{\lambda_0} \mathcal{P}_t \circ \mathbf{g}_{\log t}(x)| \ll t^{\lambda_0 - \delta_0} \|\mathcal{P}_t\|_X, \quad \text{and} \quad |Q_{\lambda_0}(x, t) - t^{\lambda_0} \mathcal{Q}_t \circ \mathbf{g}_{\log t}(x)| \ll t^{\lambda_0 - \delta_0} \|\mathcal{Q}_t\|_X,$$

and

$$\left| XP_{\lambda_0}(x, t) - t^{\lambda_0} \left[\alpha_0 \circ \mathbf{h}_t^\alpha \cdot (\lambda_0 \mathcal{P}_t - X \mathcal{P}_t) \circ \mathbf{g}_{\log t} - X \mathcal{P}_t \circ \mathbf{g}_{\log t} \right] \right| \ll t^{\lambda_0 - \delta_0} \|\mathcal{P}\|_{X,2}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$\left| XQ_{\lambda_0}(x, t) - t^{\lambda_0} \left[\alpha_0 \circ \mathbf{h}_{-t}^\alpha \cdot (\lambda_0 \mathcal{Q}_t - X \mathcal{Q}_t) \circ \mathbf{g}_{\log t} - X \mathcal{Q}_t \circ \mathbf{g}_{\log t} \right] \right| \ll t^{\lambda_0 - \delta_0} \|\mathcal{Q}\|_{X,2}.$$

Proof. Let us consider the case $(\mu, \bullet) \neq (1/4, +)$. From the definitions of $P_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t)$ and $Q_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t)$, and from (4), it is immediate that

$$\|P_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t)\|_\infty \ll t^{\Re \lambda_\bullet} \|\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(x, t)\|_\infty, \quad \text{and} \quad \|Q_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t)\|_\infty \ll t^{\Re \lambda_\bullet} \|\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(x, t)\|_\infty.$$

We compute the derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} XP_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t) &= X \left(\tau(x, t)^{\lambda_\bullet} \right) \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(\mathbf{g}_{\log \tau(x, t)}(x), t) \\ &\quad + \tau(x, t)^{\lambda_\bullet} X \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(\mathbf{g}_{\log \tau(x, t)}(x), t) \left(1 + X \log \tau(x, t) \right) \\ &= \tau(x, t)^{\lambda_\bullet} \left[\lambda_\bullet \frac{X \tau(x, t)}{\tau(x, t)} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(\mathbf{g}_{\log \tau(x, t)}(x), t) + X \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet}^\bullet(\alpha u)(\mathbf{g}_{\log \tau(x, t)}(x), t) \left(1 + \frac{X \tau(x, t)}{\tau(x, t)} \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} XQ_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t) &= X \left(\tau_-(x, t)^{\lambda_\bullet} \right) \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(\mathbf{g}_{\log \tau_-(x, t)}(x), t) \\ &\quad + \tau_-(x, t)^{\lambda_\bullet} X \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(\mathbf{g}_{\log \tau_-(x, t)}(x), t) \left(1 + X \log \tau_-(x, t) \right) \\ &= \tau_-(x, t)^{\lambda_\bullet} \left[\lambda_\bullet \frac{X \tau_-(x, t)}{\tau_-(x, t)} \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(\mathbf{g}_{\log \tau_-(x, t)}(x), t) + X \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet}^\bullet(\alpha u)(\mathbf{g}_{\log \tau_-(x, t)}(x), t) \left(1 + \frac{X \tau_-(x, t)}{\tau_-(x, t)} \right) \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 6 and (4) prove the bounds on $\|P_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t)\|_X$ and $\|Q_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t)\|_X$. The computations for the case $(\mu, \bullet) = (1/4, +)$ are analogous and are left to the reader.

Let us now assume that $\mu_0 < 1/4$. By Lemma 7,

$$\begin{aligned} |P_{\lambda_0}(x, t) - t^{\lambda_0} \mathcal{P}_t \circ \mathbf{g}_{\log t}(x)| \\ \ll |\tau(x, t)^{\lambda_0} - t^{\lambda_0}| \cdot \|\mathcal{P}_t\|_\infty + t^{\lambda_0} |\log \tau(x, t) - \log t| \cdot \|X \mathcal{P}_t\|_\infty \ll t^{\lambda_0 - \delta_0} \|\mathcal{P}_t\|_X, \end{aligned}$$

and, similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} |Q_{\lambda_0}(x, t) - t^{\lambda_0} \mathcal{Q}_t \circ \mathbf{g}_{\log t}(x)| \\ \ll |\tau_-(x, t)^{\lambda_0} - t^{\lambda_0}| \cdot \|\mathcal{Q}_t\|_\infty + t^{\lambda_0} |\log \tau_-(x, t) - \log t| \cdot \|X \mathcal{Q}_t\|_\infty \ll t^{\lambda_0 - \delta_0} \|\mathcal{Q}_t\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Recall that, by Lemma 6, we have

$$\left| \frac{X \tau_\pm(x, t)}{\tau_\pm(x, t)} - \alpha_0 \circ \mathbf{h}_{\pm t}^\alpha \right| \ll t^{\lambda_0 - 1}.$$

Then, from the computations of the derivatives above, we obtain

$$\left| XP_{\lambda_0}(x, t) - t^{\lambda_0} \left(\lambda_0 \alpha_0 \circ \mathbf{h}_t^\alpha \mathcal{P}_t \circ \mathbf{g}_{\log t} + X \mathcal{P}_t \circ \mathbf{g}_{\log t} + \alpha_0 \circ \mathbf{h}_t^\alpha X \mathcal{P} \circ \mathbf{g}_{\log t} \right) \right| \ll t^{\lambda_0 - \delta_0} \|\mathcal{P}\|_{X,2},$$

and

$$\left| XQ_{\lambda_0}(x, t) - t^{\lambda_0} \left(\lambda_0 \alpha_0 \circ h_{-t}^\alpha Q_t \circ g_{\log t} + XQ_t \circ g_{\log t} + \alpha_0 \circ h_{-t}^\alpha XQ_t \circ g_{\log t} \right) \right| \ll t^{\lambda_0 - \delta_0} \|Q_t\|_{X,2}.$$

This completes the proof. \square

We go back to the proof of Theorem 5. We consider two separate cases, depending on the value of μ_0 .

Case 1. Let us assume that $\mu_0 \geq 1/4$. Corollary 16 together with the estimates in Lemma 21 yield

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell P_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t) \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \right| &\ll \frac{R(t)}{t} \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell P_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t)\|_X \ll \frac{R(t)^2}{t} \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_X \|\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(x, t)\|_X, \\ \left| \int_M \ell \circ h_{-t}^\alpha f Q_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t) \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \right| &\ll \frac{R(t)}{t} \|\ell\|_{W^7} \|f Q_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t)\|_X \ll \frac{R(t)^2}{t} \|\ell\|_{W^7} \|f\|_X \|Q_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(x, t)\|_X. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting into (13), we conclude

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M \left(\int_0^t u \circ h_r^\alpha dr \right) \cdot f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \right| &\ll \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} \frac{R(t)^2}{t} \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \operatorname{Spec}_{\geq 0} \\ \bullet \in \{+, -\}}} [\|\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(x, t)\|_X + \|Q_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(x, t)\|_X] \\ &\ll \|u\|_{W^7} \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} \frac{R(t)^2}{t}. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. We conclude by treating the case $\mu_0 < 1/4$. By Corollary 16 and Lemma 21, for all $(\mu, \bullet) \in \operatorname{Spec}_{\geq 0} \times \{+, -\}$, with $(\mu, \bullet) \neq (\mu_0, +)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell P_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t) \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \right| &\ll t^{-\frac{1-v_0}{2}} \|f\|_{W^6} \|\ell P_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t)\|_X \ll \|f\|_{W^6} \|\ell\|_X \|\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(x, t)\|_X t^{v_0 - \delta_0}, \\ \left| \int_M \ell \circ h_{-t}^\alpha f Q_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t) \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \right| &\ll t^{-\frac{1-v_0}{2}} \|\ell\|_{W^6} \|f Q_{\lambda_\bullet}(x, t)\|_X \ll \|f\|_X \|\ell\|_{W^6} \|Q_{\lambda_\bullet}(\alpha u)(x, t)\|_X t^{v_0 - \delta_0}; \end{aligned}$$

Thus, from (13) we deduce

$$(14) \quad \left| \int_M \left(\int_0^t u \circ h_r^\alpha dr \right) \cdot f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \right| \ll \left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell P_{\lambda_0}(x, t) \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \right| + \left| \int_M \ell \circ h_{-t}^\alpha f Q_{\lambda_0}(x, t) \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \right| + \|u\|_{W^6} \|f\|_{W^6} \|\ell\|_{W^6} t^{v_0 - \delta_0}.$$

It remains to study the two integrals in the right-hand side above. In the rest of the proof, we simplify the notation by setting $P_t(x) = P_{\lambda_0}(x, t)$, $\mathcal{P}_t = \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_0}(\alpha u)(\cdot, t)$, as well as $Q_t(x) = Q_{\lambda_0}(x, t)$, and $\mathcal{Q}_t = Q_{\lambda_0}(\alpha u)(\cdot, t)$.

Let us start from the first one. By Corollary 16 with $w = f$ and $v = \ell P_t$, we deduce that there exist Hölder function \mathcal{F}_t and $\widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t$ (depending on f only) such that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell P_t \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \right| &\ll t^{\lambda_0 - 1} \left[\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell P_t \mathcal{A}_t^+ \circ g_{\log t} \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \right| + \left| \int_M \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t \circ g_{\log t} \ell P_t \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \right| \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \left| \int_M \mathcal{F}_t \circ g_{\log t} \left[\left(1 - \frac{X\alpha}{\alpha} \right) \ell - X\ell \right] P_t \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \right| + \left| \int_M \mathcal{F}_t \circ g_{\log t} \ell X P_t \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \right| \right] + \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell P_t\|_X t^{\lambda_0 - 1 - \delta_0}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 21 implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell P_t \text{vol}_\alpha \right| &\ll t^{v_0} \left[\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell [\mathcal{P}_t \cdot \mathcal{A}_t^+] \circ g_{\log t} \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \right. \\
&+ \left| \int_M \alpha \ell [\mathcal{P}_t \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t] \circ g_{\log t} \text{vol} \right| + \left| \int_M [\alpha \ell - X(\alpha \ell)] \cdot [\mathcal{P}_t \cdot \mathcal{F}_t] \circ g_{\log t} \text{vol} \right| \\
&+ \left| \int_M \alpha_0 \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \ell [\mathcal{F}_t \cdot (\lambda_0 \mathcal{P}_t - X \mathcal{P}_t)] \circ g_{\log t} \text{vol}_\alpha \right| + \left| \int_M \alpha \ell \cdot [\mathcal{F}_t \cdot X \mathcal{P}_t] \circ g_{\log t} \text{vol} \right| \\
&\left. + \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_X \|\mathcal{P}_t\|_{X,2} t^{v_0 - \delta_0} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

All the functions appearing in the 5 integrals in the right-hand side above are at least Hölder continuous of exponent $\beta = \frac{1-v_0}{2}$. Thus, up to choosing a smaller δ_0 , we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell [\mathcal{P}_t \cdot \mathcal{A}_t^+] \circ g_{\log t} \text{vol}_\alpha \right| &\ll \|f\|_{W^6} \|\ell\|_{W^6} \|\mathcal{P}\|_\beta t^{-\delta_0}, \\
\left| \int_M \alpha_0 \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \ell [\mathcal{F}_t \cdot (\lambda_0 \mathcal{P}_t - X \mathcal{P}_t)] \circ g_{\log t} \text{vol}_\alpha \right| &\ll \|f\|_{W^6} \|\ell\|_{W^6} (\|\mathcal{P}\|_\beta + \|X \mathcal{P}\|_\beta) t^{-\delta_0},
\end{aligned}$$

by Proposition 14; whence

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_M \alpha \ell [\mathcal{P}_t \cdot \widehat{\mathcal{F}}_t] \circ g_{\log t} \text{vol} \right| &\ll \|\mathcal{P}_t \cdot \mathcal{F}_t\|_\beta \|\ell\|_\beta t^{-\delta_0}, \\
\left| \int_M [\alpha \ell - X(\alpha \ell)] \cdot [\mathcal{P}_t \cdot \mathcal{F}_t] \circ g_{\log t} \text{vol} \right| &\ll \|\mathcal{P}_t \cdot \mathcal{F}_t\|_\beta (\|\ell\|_\beta + \|X \ell\|_\beta) t^{-\delta_0}, \\
\left| \int_M \alpha \ell \cdot [\mathcal{F}_t \cdot X \mathcal{P}_t] \circ g_{\log t} \text{vol} \right| &\ll \|X \mathcal{P}_t \cdot \mathcal{F}_t\|_\beta \|\ell\|_\beta t^{-\delta_0},
\end{aligned}$$

by Ratner's Theorem on exponential mixing of the geodesic flow for Hölder functions [18, Theorem 2]. We then conclude

$$\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \ell P_t \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \ll \|u\|_{W^7} \|f\|_{W^7} \|\ell\|_{W^7} t^{v_0 - \delta_0}.$$

The analogous bound on the second integral in the right-hand side of (14) is obtained in the same way, with Q_t instead of P_t , with the roles of f and ℓ reversed, and with $-t$ instead of t . The proof is then complete.

4.2. Proof of Proposition 19.

$$\Delta(x) := \tau(x, t) - t_0,$$

and recall that, by definition of t_0 , we have $\theta \ll \Delta(x) \ll \theta$. Also, note that $|\Delta(x) - \theta| \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} t^{-1} R(t)$.

By Theorem 8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \int_M \int_{t_0}^{\tau(x,t)} w \circ h_r(x) \cdot v(x) dr \text{vol} \right| &= \left| \int_M \int_0^{\tau(x,t)-t_0} w \circ h_r(h_{t_0}x) \cdot v(x) dr \text{vol} \right| \\
&\ll \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \text{Spec}_{\geq 0} \\ \bullet \in \{+, -\}}} \left[\left| \int_M \Delta(x)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} w(g_{\log \Delta(x)} \circ h_{t_0}(x), \Delta(x)) \cdot v(x) \text{vol} \right| \right. \\
&\left. + \left| \int_M \Delta(x)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} w(g_{\log \Delta(x)} \circ h_t^\alpha(x), \Delta(x)) \cdot v(x) \text{vol} \right| \right] + \|w\|_{W^6} \|v\|_\infty.
\end{aligned}$$

Using first Theorem 8-(b) to replace $\Delta(x)$ with θ in the second variable of $\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} w$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} w$, and subsequently by measure invariance, we rewrite

$$\begin{aligned}
 (15) \quad & \left| \int_M \int_{t_0}^{\tau(x,t)} w \circ h_r(x) \cdot v(x) dr \operatorname{vol} \right| \\
 & \ll \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \operatorname{Spec}_{\geq 0} \\ \bullet \in \{+, -\}}} \left[\left| \int_M \Delta(h_{-t_0} x)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} w(\mathfrak{g}_{\log \Delta(h_{-t_0} x)}(x), \theta) \cdot v \circ h_{-t_0}(x) \operatorname{vol} \right| \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \left| \int_M \Delta(h_{-t}^\alpha x)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} w(\mathfrak{g}_{\log \Delta(h_{-t}^\alpha x)}(x), \theta) \cdot \frac{v}{\alpha} \circ h_{-t}^\alpha(x) \operatorname{vol} \right| \right] + \|w\|_{W^6} \|v\|_\infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

By Remark 10, if w is supported only on the principal series, (15) can be replaced by

$$\begin{aligned}
 (16) \quad & \left| \int_M \int_{t_0}^{\tau(x,t)} w \circ h_r(x) \cdot v(x) dr \operatorname{vol} \right| \\
 & \ll \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \operatorname{Spec}_{\geq 0} \\ \bullet \in \{+, -\}}} \left[\left| \int_M \Delta(h_{-t_0} x)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} w(\mathfrak{g}_{\log \Delta(h_{-t_0} x)}(x), \theta) \cdot v \circ h_{-t_0}(x) \operatorname{vol} \right| \right. \\
 & \quad \left. + \left| \int_M \Delta(h_{-t}^\alpha x)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} w(\mathfrak{g}_{\log \Delta(h_{-t}^\alpha x)}(x), \theta) \cdot \frac{v}{\alpha} \circ h_{-t}^\alpha(x) \operatorname{vol} \right| \right] + \frac{R(t)}{t} \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} \|w\|_{W^6} \|v\|_\infty.
 \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 22. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\Delta(h_{-t_0} x)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} w(\mathfrak{g}_{\log \Delta(h_{-t_0} x)}(x), \theta)\|_\infty \ll \theta^{\Re \lambda_\bullet} \|\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} w\|_\infty, \\
 & \|\Delta(h_{-t}^\alpha x)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} w(\mathfrak{g}_{\log \Delta(h_{-t}^\alpha x)}(x), \theta)\|_\infty \ll \theta^{\Re \lambda_\bullet} \|\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} w\|_\infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \|\Delta(h_{-t_0} x)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} w(\mathfrak{g}_{\log \Delta(h_{-t_0} x)}(x), \theta)\|_X \ll \theta^{\Re \lambda_\bullet - 1} t \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} \|\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} w\|_X, \\
 & \|\Delta(h_{-t}^\alpha x)^{\lambda_\bullet} \mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} w(\mathfrak{g}_{\log \Delta(h_{-t}^\alpha x)}(x), \theta)\|_X \ll \theta^{\Re \lambda_\bullet - 1} t \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} \|\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} w\|_X.
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The claim on the $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ norm is immediate since $|\Delta(x)| \ll \theta$. Let us estimate the X -derivative. By Lemma 6, we have

$$\|X[\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} w(\mathfrak{g}_{\log \Delta(h_{-t_0} x)}(x), \theta)]\|_\infty \ll \|X\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} w\|_\infty (1 + \|X \log[\Delta(h_{-t_0} x)]\|_\infty) \ll \frac{t}{\theta} \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} \|X\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} w\|_\infty,$$

and, similarly,

$$\|X[\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} w(\mathfrak{g}_{\log \Delta(h_{-t}^\alpha x)}(x), \theta)]\|_\infty \ll \|X\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} w\|_\infty (1 + \|X \log[\Delta(h_{-t}^\alpha x)]\|_\infty) \ll \frac{t}{\theta} \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} \|X\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} w\|_\infty.$$

Using the same lemma, we can also estimate

$$\|X[\Delta(h_{-t_0} x)^{\lambda_\bullet}]\|_\infty \ll \|\Delta(x)^{\lambda_\bullet}\|_\infty \cdot \left\| \frac{X[\tau(h_{-t_0} x, t) - t_0]}{\tau(h_{-t_0} x, t) - t_0} \right\|_\infty \ll \theta^{\Re \lambda_\bullet - 1} t \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7},$$

as well as

$$\|X[\Delta(h_{-t}^\alpha x)^{\lambda_\bullet}]\|_\infty \ll \|\Delta(x)^{\lambda_\bullet}\|_\infty \cdot \left\| \frac{X[\tau_-(x, t) - t_0]}{\tau_-(x, t) - t_0} \right\|_\infty \ll \theta^{\Re \lambda_\bullet - 1} t \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7}.$$

Combining the estimates above proves the result. \square

We fix $\sigma = \theta / (\|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} t)$ and we apply Proposition 13 to each summand in (15); we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M \int_{t_0}^{\tau(x,t)} w \circ h_r(x) \cdot v(x) dr \operatorname{vol} \right| &\ll \|v\|_{W^6} \|w\|_{W^6} \\ &+ \|v\|_{W^6} \left(\frac{(\theta \sigma t)^{\lambda_0}}{\sigma t} + \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7} \theta^{\lambda_0-1} t \frac{(\sigma t)^{\lambda_0}}{t} \right) \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \operatorname{Spec}_{\geq 0} \\ \bullet \in \{+, -\}}} [\|\mathcal{P}_{\lambda_\bullet} w\|_X + \|\mathcal{Q}_{\lambda_\bullet} w\|_X] \\ &\ll \|w\|_{W^6} \|v\|_{W^6} \theta^{\lambda_0} (1 + \mathbb{1}_{\operatorname{Spec}_+}(1/4) \cdot (\log t)^2). \end{aligned}$$

Again, if $\mu_0 > 1/4$ and if w is supported only on the principal series, using (16) instead of (15), the same reasoning as above yields

$$\left| \int_M \int_{t_0}^{\tau(x,t)} w \circ h_r(x) \cdot v(x) dr \operatorname{vol} \right| \ll \|\alpha - 1\|_{W^7}^{\frac{1}{2}} \|w\|_{W^6} \|v\|_{W^6}.$$

The proof is then complete.

5. CORRELATIONS OF COBOUNDARIES

In this last section, by applying a softer method, we derive some (non-optimal) estimates on the correlations of coboundaries, proving Theorem 4. Let us fix $f, \ell \in W^6(M)$, with $\operatorname{vol}_\alpha(f) = \operatorname{vol}_\alpha(\ell) = 0$. As in the proof of Lemma 15, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (17) \quad \int_M U_\alpha f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \ell \operatorname{vol}_\alpha &= \int_M A_t \cdot U_\alpha f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \frac{\alpha \ell}{A_t} \operatorname{vol} = \int_M [X(f \circ h_t^\alpha) - Xf \circ h_t^\alpha] \cdot \frac{\alpha \ell}{A_t} \operatorname{vol} \\ &= - \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot X \left(\frac{\alpha \ell}{A_t} \right) \operatorname{vol} - \int_M Xf \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \frac{\alpha \ell}{A_t} \operatorname{vol} \\ &= \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \alpha \ell \cdot \frac{XA_t}{A_t^2} \operatorname{vol} - \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \frac{X(\alpha \ell)}{A_t} \operatorname{vol} - \int_M Xf \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \frac{\alpha \ell}{A_t} \operatorname{vol}. \end{aligned}$$

We estimate the three integrals in the right-hand side separately. By Theorem 1 and Lemma 12, we can bound

$$\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \frac{X(\alpha \ell)}{A_t} \operatorname{vol} \right| \ll \|f\|_{W^6} \left\| \frac{X(\alpha \ell)}{\alpha A_t} \right\|_X \frac{R(t)}{t} \ll \|f\|_{W^6} \|\ell\|_{X,2} \frac{R(t)}{t^2}.$$

Similarly, using measure-invariance and the fact that $A_t \circ h_{-t}^\alpha(x) = -A_{-t}(x)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_M Xf \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \frac{\alpha \ell}{A_t} \operatorname{vol} \right| &= \left| \int_M Xf \cdot \frac{\ell}{A_t} \circ h_{-t}^\alpha \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \right| = \left| \int_M \ell \circ h_{-t}^\alpha \cdot \frac{Xf}{-A_{-t}} \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \right| \ll \|\ell\|_{W^6} \left\| \frac{Xf}{A_{-t}} \right\|_X \frac{R(t)}{t} \\ &\ll \|\ell\|_{W^6} \|f\|_{X,2} \frac{R(t)}{t^2}. \end{aligned}$$

It remains thus to bound the first integral in the right-hand side of (17). Let us call $\tilde{\alpha} = 1 - 2\frac{X\alpha}{\alpha} + \frac{X^2\alpha}{\alpha}$. Then, by (9), we have

$$XA_t = A_t \cdot \left(1 - \frac{X\alpha}{\alpha} \right) \circ h_t^\alpha - \int_0^t \tilde{\alpha} \circ h_r^\alpha dr.$$

We can therefore rewrite

$$\begin{aligned} \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \alpha \ell \cdot \frac{XA_t}{A_t^2} \operatorname{vol} &= \int_M \left[\left(1 - \frac{X\alpha}{\alpha} \right) \cdot f \right] \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \frac{\ell}{A_t} \operatorname{vol}_\alpha - \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \frac{\ell}{A_t^2} \left(\int_0^t \tilde{\alpha} \circ h_r^\alpha dr \right) \operatorname{vol}_\alpha \\ &= \int_M \ell \circ h_{-t}^\alpha \cdot \frac{(1 - X\alpha/\alpha)f}{-A_{-t}} \operatorname{vol}_\alpha - \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \frac{\ell}{A_t^2} \left(\int_0^t \tilde{\alpha} \circ h_r^\alpha dr \right) \operatorname{vol}_\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 12, we have

$$\left\| \frac{1}{A_t^2} \left(\int_0^t \tilde{\alpha} \circ h_r^\alpha dr \right) \right\|_X \ll \frac{1}{t},$$

thus, using Theorem 1 exactly as above, we deduce

$$\left| \int_M f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \alpha \ell \cdot \frac{XA_t}{A_t^2} \text{vol} \right| \ll \|f\|_{W^6} \|\ell\|_{W^6} \frac{R(t)}{t^2}.$$

Substituting back into (17), we conclude

$$(18) \quad \left| \int_M U_\alpha f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot \ell \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \ll \|f\|_{W^6} \|\ell\|_{W^6} \frac{R(t)}{t^2}.$$

We complete the proof of Theorem 4 by showing that the estimate above implies the result on the spectral measures. Let us fix $f \in W^6(M)$. For any $\xi \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon \in (0, |\xi|/2)$, by [12, Equations (20)–(23)], we have

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma_f(\xi - \varepsilon, \xi + \varepsilon)| &\ll \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\xi^2} \left\| \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-1}} e^{i\xi t} U_\alpha f \circ h_t^\alpha \, dt \right\|_2^2 \ll \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\xi^2} \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-1}} \left| \int_M U_\alpha f \circ h_r^\alpha \cdot U_\alpha f \circ h_t^\alpha \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \, dr \, dt \\ &\ll \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\xi^2} \int_0^{\varepsilon^{-1}} (\varepsilon^{-1} - t) \left| \int_M U_\alpha f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot U_\alpha f \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \, dt \\ &\ll \|U_\alpha f\|_\infty^2 \frac{\varepsilon}{\xi^2} + \frac{\varepsilon^2}{\xi^2} \int_2^{\varepsilon^{-1}} (\varepsilon^{-1} - t) \left| \int_M U_\alpha f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot U_\alpha f \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \, dt. \end{aligned}$$

Equation (18) yields

$$\int_2^{\varepsilon^{-1}} (\varepsilon^{-1} - t) \left| \int_M U_\alpha f \circ h_t^\alpha \cdot U_\alpha f \text{vol}_\alpha \right| \, dt \ll \|f\|_{W^6}^2 \int_2^{\varepsilon^{-1}} (\varepsilon^{-1} - t) \frac{R(t)}{t^2} \, dt \ll \|f\|_{W^6}^2 \varepsilon^{-1},$$

hence the proof is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Avila, G. Forni, D. Ravotti and C. Ulcigrai. *Mixing for smooth time-changes of general nilflows*. Advances in Mathematics, **385** (2021), Paper No. 107759.
- [2] A. Avila, G. Forni and C. Ulcigrai. *Mixing for the time-changes of Heisenberg nilflows*. J. Diff. Geom. **89** (2011), 369–410.
- [3] A. Bufetov and G. Forni. *Limit theorems for horocycle flows*. Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. **47** (2014), 851–903.
- [4] J. Chaika and A. Wright. *A smooth mixing flow on a surface with nondegenerate fixed points*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **32** (2019), 81–117.
- [5] B. Fayad. *Analytic mixing reparametrizations of irrational flows*. Erg. Th. Dynam. Sys. **22** (2002), 437–468.
- [6] B. Fayad. *Polynomial decay of correlations for a class of smooth flows on the two torus*. Bull. SMF **129** (2001), 487–503.
- [7] B. Fayad, G. Forni and A. Kanigowski. *Lebesgue spectrum of countable multiplicity for area preserving flows on the two torus*. J. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (2021), 747–813.
- [8] L. Flaminio and G. Forni. *Invariant distributions and time averages for horocycle flows*. Duke Math. J., **119** (2003), 465–536.
- [9] L. Flaminio and G. Forni. *Orthogonal powers and Möbius conjecture for smooth time changes of horocycle flows*. Electron. Res. Announc. Math. Sci. **26** (2019), 16–23.
- [10] L. Flaminio and D. Ravotti. *Abelian covers of hyperbolic surfaces: equidistribution of spectra and infinite-volume mixing asymptotics for horocycle flows*. Nonlinearity, **37** (2024), article 075015.
- [11] G. Forni and A. Kanigowski. *Time changes of Heisenberg nilflows*. Asterisque, **416** (2020), 253–299.
- [12] G. Forni and C. Ulcigrai. *Time-changes of horocycle flows*. Journal of Modern Dynamics, **6** (2012), 251–273.
- [13] A. Kanigowski, M. Lemanczyk and C. Ulcigrai. *On disjointness properties of some parabolic flows*. Invent. Math. **221** (2020), 1–111.
- [14] A. Kanigowski and D. Ravotti. *Multiple mixing for parabolic systems*. Preprint arXiv:2410.13686 (2024).
- [15] A.V. Kochergin. *Mixing in special flows over a shifting of segments and in smooth flows on surfaces*. Mat. Sb. **96** (1975), 471–502.
- [16] A.V. Kochergin. *Non-degenerate fixed points and mixing in flows on a 2-torus*. Mat. Sb. **1948** (2003), 83–112.
- [17] M. Ratner. *Rigidity of time changes for horocycle flows*. Acta Math. **156** (1986), 1–32.
- [18] M. Ratner. *The rate of mixing for geodesic and horocycle flows*, Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems, **7** (1987), 267–288.
- [19] M. Ratner. *Rigid reparametrizations and cohomology for horocycle flows*. Invent. Math. **88** (1987), 341–374.
- [20] D. Ravotti. *Quantitative mixing for locally Hamiltonian flows with saddle loops on compact surfaces*. Annales Henri Poincaré **18** (2017), 3815–3861.
- [21] D. Ravotti. *Mixing for suspension flows over skew-translations and time-changes of quasi-abelian filiform nilflows*. Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems **39** (2019), 3407–3436.

- [22] D. Ravotti. *Quantitative equidistribution of horocycle push-forwards of transverse arcs*. Enseign. Math. **66** (2020), 135–150.
- [23] D. Ravotti. *Asymptotics and limit theorems for horocycle ergodic integrals à la Ratner—(with an appendix by Emilio Corso)*. Journal de l'École polytechnique Mathématiques, **10** (2023), 305–334.
- [24] D. Ravotti. *Polynomial mixing for time-changes of unipotent flows*. Ann. Sc. Norm. Super. Pisa Cl. Sci., Vol. XXIII (2022), 1491–1506.
- [25] L.D. Simonelli, *Absolutely continuous spectrum for parabolic flows/maps*, Discrete and Continuous Dynamical Systems, **38** (2018), 263–292.
- [26] Y.G. Sinai, K.M. Khanin. *Mixing for some classes of special flows over rotations of the circle*. Funktsionalnyi Analiz i Ego Prilozheniya, **26** (1992), 1–21 (Translated in: Functional Analysis and its Applications, **26** (1992), 155–169).
- [27] C. Ulcigrai. *Mixing of asymmetric logarithmic suspension flows over interval exchange transformations*. Ergod. Th. Dyn. Sys. **27** (2007), 991–1035.

UNIVERSITÉ DE LILLE, CNRS, UMR 8524 - LABORATOIRE PAUL PAINLEVÉ, F-59000 LILLE, FRANCE
Email address: davide.ravotti@gmail.com,