

TIGHT BOUNDS FOR EXPECTED PROPAGATION TIME OF PROBABILISTIC ZERO FORCING

MEHDI JELASSI, JULIEN PORTIER, AND RIK SARKAR

ABSTRACT. We study the probabilistic zero forcing process, a probabilistic variant of the classical zero forcing process. We show that for every connected graph G on n vertices, there exists an initial set consisting of a single vertex such that the expected propagation time is $n/2 + O(1)$. This result is tight and confirms a conjecture posed by Narayanan and Sun. Additionally, we show tight bounds on the probabilistic throttling number, which captures the trade-off between the size of the initial set and the speed of propagation. Namely, we show that for every connected graph G on n vertices, there exists an initial set consisting of $O(\sqrt{n})$ vertices such that the expected propagation time is $O(\sqrt{n})$. This improves upon previous results by Geneson and Hogben, and confirms another conjecture by Narayanan and Sun.

1. INTRODUCTION

Zero forcing is an iterative coloring process applied to a graph. It was originally introduced to address the maximum nullity problem in combinatorial matrix theory [1, 2, 8, 13], and has also found applications in quantum system control [3]. Let G be a graph with a vertex set V and an edge set E . The zero forcing process on G begins with an initial subset $S \subseteq V$ of blue-colored vertices, while the remaining vertices are colored white. A white vertex v becomes blue if it is the only white neighbor of a blue vertex u : we then say that u *forces* v , denoted $u \rightarrow v$. If all vertices of G eventually become blue through a finite number of repeated applications of this rule, then S is called a *zero forcing set*. The *zero forcing number* $Z(G)$ of G is defined as the smallest size of a zero forcing set of G . Viewing zero forcing as a dynamical process on a graph, works by Chilakamarri et al. [6], Fallat et al. [9], and Hogben et al. [12] investigated the number of steps required for an initial subset of vertices S to spread the color blue throughout the graph: this is known as the *propagation time* of a zero forcing set S .

Probabilistic zero forcing, introduced by Kang and Yi [15], modifies the deterministic process by introducing randomness in the forcing step. More precisely, given a current set B of blue vertices, each vertex $u \in B$ attempts to force each of its white neighbors $v \in \bar{B}$ to turn blue independently with probability

$$\mathbb{P}(u \rightarrow v) = \frac{|N[u] \cap B|}{\deg(u)},$$

where $N[u]$ is the closed neighborhood of u . This rule is called the *probabilistic color change rule*. *Probabilistic zero forcing* is defined as the repeated application of this color change rule.

As noted in [10], classical zero forcing has been used to model rumor spreading in social networks, however, due to the inherently unpredictable nature of human interactions, the probabilistic variant may offer a more realistic framework for such dynamics, thereby motivating its study. Additionally, probabilistic zero forcing is closely related to the *push* and *pull* models, which have been well-studied in theoretical computer science as models for information diffusion in networks, see for instance [7, 16].

A key parameter to investigate in this process is the *expected propagation time*. The *propagation time* of a nonempty subset S of vertices of G , denoted as $pt_{pzf}(G, S)$, is the random variable associated to the number of time steps in the probabilistic zero forcing process until all vertices in G become blue, starting with the vertices in S initially blue. The *expected propagation time*

of S for G is the expected value of the propagation time of S , namely $\text{ept}(G, S) = \mathbb{E}[\text{pt}_{\text{pzf}}(G, S)]$. Another parameter of interest is the *throttling number* $\text{th}_{\text{pzf}}(G)$ of a graph G , defined as

$$\text{th}_{\text{pzf}}(G) = \min_{S \subseteq V} \{|S| + \text{ept}(G, S)\}.$$

This parameter was initially introduced by Butler and Young [4] as a way to measure the balance between resources allocated to accomplish a task (here the number $|S|$ of initially blue vertices) and time needed to accomplish the task ($\text{ept}(G, S)$ in our context).

Geneson and Hogben proved the following upper bound on the throttling number.

Theorem 1.1 ([10], Theorem 6.5). *For any connected graph G on n vertices, the probabilistic throttling number of G satisfies $\text{th}_{\text{pzf}}(G) = O(\sqrt{n} \cdot \log^2 n)$.*

They further note that this bound is optimal up to logarithmic factors, as the path P_n on n vertices satisfies $\text{th}_{\text{pzf}}(P_n) = \Omega(\sqrt{n})$. Narayanan and Sun [17] conjectured that the log factors in Theorem 1.1 could be removed, i.e. that any connected graph G on n vertices satisfies $\text{th}_{\text{pzf}}(G) = O(\sqrt{n})$. Our next result confirms this conjecture.

Theorem 1.2. *For any connected graph G on n vertices, the probabilistic throttling number of G satisfies $\text{th}_{\text{pzf}}(G) = O(\sqrt{n})$.*

More generally, our techniques extend to show that for every connected graph G on n vertices, and $s \geq 1$, there exists a set $S \subseteq V(G)$ of size at most s such that $\text{ept}(G, S) = O(n/s)$. However, for clarity and conciseness, we restrict our exposition to the proof of Theorem 1.2.

In the second part of this paper, we investigate the expected propagation time when the initial set consists of a single vertex. Given a graph G with vertex set V , we define the *expected propagation time* $\text{ept}(G)$ for G as the minimum expected propagation time starting from a single vertex, namely

$$\text{ept}(G) = \min_{v \in V} \mathbb{E}[\text{pt}_{\text{pzf}}(G, \{v\})].$$

Chan, Curl, Geneson, Hogben, Liu, Odegard, and Ross [5] showed that $\text{ept}(G) \leq \frac{e}{e-1}n$ for any connected graph G on n vertices. This bound was improved by Narayanan and Sun to the following.

Theorem 1.3 ([17], Theorem 4.11). *For any connected graph G on n vertices, we have $\text{ept}(G) \leq \frac{n}{2} + O(\log n)$.*

Based on the suspicion that among all connected graphs on n vertices, the path P_n exhibits the slowest propagation, Narayanan and Sun further conjectured that their bound can be tightened to $\text{ept}(G) \leq \frac{n}{2} + O(1)$. We confirm their conjecture in the following result.

Theorem 1.4. *For any connected graph G on n vertices, we have $\text{ept}(G) \leq \frac{n}{2} + O(1)$.*

We remark that this bound is tight, as for the path P_n on n vertices, we indeed have $\text{ept}(P_n) = n/2 + O(1)$ (see [10]).

The structure of the paper is as follows. In Section 2, we present a brief outline of our proofs. In Section 3, we introduce tools that underpin our later arguments. In Section 4, we present the proof of Theorem 1.2. Finally, Section 5 is devoted to the proof of Theorem 1.4.

2. PROOFS OUTLINE

Our proof of Theorem 1.2 relies on completely different ideas from the proof of the previous bound by Geneson and Hogben [10] in Theorem 1.1. One of the main challenges in proving Theorem 1.2 is that the probabilistic zero forcing process on a subgraph does not always relate to that of the host graph restricted to this subgraph. Indeed, if the degrees of the vertices of the subgraph are not the same as the degrees in the host graph, then the probabilities of propagation

may be different. However, if we insist that the probabilistic zero forcing propagates in this subgraph only from the vertices which have the same degree in the subgraph as in the original graph, then one can relate the two processes. Therefore, our approach consists of constructing a subgraph T_v of G for each v , with the following properties:

- there exists a subset $D_v \subseteq V(T_v)$ such that D_v is connected in T_v and $N(D_v) = T_v \setminus D_v$,
- T_v is large but D_v is not too big (namely $|T_v| \geq \sqrt{n}$ and $|D_v| \leq 2\sqrt{n}$),
- $v \in D_v$.

In this way, the degrees of vertices in D_v are the same viewed in the graphs T_v and G , and thus we may use them to show that, once a vertex in T_v is blue, then all of T_v becomes blue within $O(\sqrt{n})$ additional steps in expectation. We then show that we can choose an initial set S of blue vertices of size $O(\sqrt{n})$, such that each T_v contains at least one blue vertex within $O(\sqrt{n})$ steps in expectation. Overall, this shows that the graph becomes blue within $O(\sqrt{n})$ steps in expectation.

Our proof of Theorem 1.4 builds on the work of Narayanan and Sun [17]. The main challenge in proving Theorem 1.4 is that there exist some graphs G for which the starting vertex must be chosen very carefully. A typical example is a path on n vertices: in order to prove any bound of the form $n/2 + O(1)$, one must select a starting vertex near the centre of the path. Narayanan and Sun observed that, at every step of probabilistic zero forcing on a graph G , the expected number of blue vertices increases by at least 2, unless G contains some specific structure, namely a cut-vertex or a *cornerstone* (a particular cutset of size 2, formally defined in the next section). As a result, proving any bound close to $n/2$ requires careful treatment of these structures. Taking these observations into account, Narayanan and Sun chose as a starting point a cut-vertex or cornerstone, whose removal separates the graph into two subgraphs with vertex sets S and T , with no edges between them, and whose sizes are as close as possible, so that the process starts "near the centre of the graph". Assuming that $|T| \geq |S|$, their proof then proceeds in three main steps:

- First they show that in expected time $O(\log n)$, all neighbors of the starting cut-vertex or cornerstone become blue.
- Next, they show that in expected time $(|T| - |S|)/2$, at least $|T| - |S|$ vertices in T become blue, due to the absence of cut-vertex or cornerstone during those steps by the careful choice of the starting point.
- Finally, they consider the simultaneous propagation of the probabilistic zero forcing through S and the remaining white vertices in T , and show that in expectation both processes terminate after $|S| + O(1)$ steps.

This strategy gives an expected total number of steps of

$$O(\log n) + (|T| - |S|)/2 + |S| + O(1) = O(\log n) + (|T| + |S|)/2 = n/2 + O(\log n).$$

We now explain how our approach refines this argument to obtain the tight bound in Theorem 1.4. Our main idea consists of exploiting some of the d neighbors of the starting cut-vertex or cornerstone that become blue during the initial $O(\log d)$ steps (in expectation) in Narayanan and Sun's algorithm. If at least $d/2$ of these neighbors lie in T , this provides a "head-start" of $d/2$ vertices during the second phase of their strategy, directly giving the desired bound. On the other hand, if at least $d/2$ of these neighbors lie in S , this speeds up the propagation time in S during the third phase of Narayanan and Sun's strategy. However, since the bound of this phase depends on the maximum between the propagation time in S and the propagation time in the remaining white vertices in T , this does not immediately yield an improved bound. To overcome this issue, we propose a modified selection of the initial cut-vertex or cornerstone: one that optimises a carefully designed function reflecting the subtleties identified above. Then following a strategy in the spirit of Narayanan and Sun and a careful analysis combining their methods with some new ideas, we show that this approach yields the tight bound $n/2 + O(1)$ stated in Theorem 1.4.

3. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout this paper, we use classic graph theory notation. For a graph $G = (V, E)$, and a subset $S \subseteq V$, we denote by $G[S]$ the induced subgraph of G on the vertex set S , by $\deg_S(v)$ the number of neighbors of v in S and by $\deg(v)$ the degree of v . Moreover, the *open neighborhood* of S , denoted by $N(S)$, is the subset of vertices of $V \setminus S$ that have at least one neighbor in S . The *closed neighborhood* $N[S]$ of S is defined as $N[S] = N(S) \cup S$. A vertex v of a graph G is called a *cut-vertex* if $G \setminus v$ is not connected. More generally, a subset S of vertices of a graph G is called a *cut-set* if $G \setminus S$ is not connected.

For $p \in [0, 1]$, we let $Be(p)$ be a Bernoulli random variable of parameter p (taking value 1 with probability p and 0 with probability $1 - p$). We start by recalling the Chernoff bounds (see for instance Theorem 2.8 in [14]).

Theorem 3.1. *For each $i \in [n]$, let $X_i \sim Be(p_i)$ for some $p_i \in [0, 1]$, and suppose that the random variables X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n are mutually independent. Let $X = \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ and $\mu = \mathbb{E}[X]$. Then for every $t \geq 0$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(X \geq \mu + t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2(\mu + t/3)}\right),$$

and

$$\mathbb{P}(X \leq \mu - t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2\mu}\right).$$

The following result is an easy consequence of the Chernoff bounds.

Lemma 3.2. *Suppose X is a sum of independent Bernoulli random variables, satisfying $\mathbb{E}[X] \geq 2 + \frac{1}{5}$. Then we have $\mathbb{E}[\min(X, 25)] \geq 2$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbb{E}[X] = \mu = 2 + \epsilon$, where $\epsilon \geq \frac{1}{5}$. If $\epsilon \geq 5$, then by Theorem 3.1, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X < 3) \leq e^{-\frac{(\epsilon-1)^2}{2(2+\epsilon)}} < \frac{1}{3}.$$

Therefore, we have $\mathbb{P}(X \geq 3) > \frac{2}{3}$, which in turn implies that $\mathbb{P}(\min(X, 3) \geq 3) > \frac{2}{3}$. Thus, we have $\mathbb{E}[\min(X, 25)] \geq \mathbb{E}[\min(X, 3)] \geq 2$. Now suppose that $\frac{1}{5} \leq \epsilon < 5$. Then, by the tail sum formula for the expectation, it follows that

$$2 + \epsilon = \mathbb{E}[X] = \mathbb{E}[\min(X, 25)] + \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X \geq 25 + i).$$

By Theorem 3.1, we have $\mathbb{P}(X \geq 25 + i) \leq e^{-\frac{(25+i-\mu)^2}{25+i+\mu}} \leq e^{-\frac{25+i-\mu}{2}}$, for $\mu < 7$. Hence, we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(X \geq 25 + i) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} e^{-\frac{25+i-\mu}{2}} \leq \epsilon, \text{ for } \frac{1}{5} \leq \epsilon < 5.$$

This implies that $\mathbb{E}[\min(X, 25)] \geq 2$, which finishes the proof. \square

We use the common definition of a submartingale.

Definition 3.3 (Submartingale). A sequence of random variables $(M_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ with finite absolute means is called a *submartingale* with respect to another sequence of random variables $(X_n)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ if for all n , M_n is measurable with respect to the filtration generated by X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n and

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{n+1} \mid X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n] \geq M_n.$$

We recall Azuma's inequality for submartingales (see for instance [18]).

Theorem 3.4. *If $(X_k)_{k=0}^\infty$ is a submartingale and there exist constants $c_k > 0$ such that almost surely*

$$|X_k - X_{k-1}| \leq c_k \quad \text{for all } k,$$

then for every $t > 0$ and $N > 0$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_N \leq X_0 - t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t^2}{2 \sum_{k=1}^N c_k^2}\right).$$

We now give the definition of a stopping time.

Definition 3.5 (Stopping Time). Let $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of random variables and T be a random variable taking values in $\{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$. T is called a *stopping time* with respect to $(X_n)_{n \geq 0}$ if for each n , we have that $\{T \leq n\}$ is a measurable function of X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n . That is,

$$\{T \leq n\} \in \sigma(X_0, X_1, \dots, X_n) \quad \text{for all } n.$$

Intuitively, a random variable T is a stopping time if it is possible to determine whether $T \leq n$ at time n . We adopt the formulation presented in [11] for Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem.

Theorem 3.6 (Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem). *Suppose that M_n is a submartingale with respect to X_n and that T is a stopping time with respect to X_n . Suppose that there exists a constant $c > 0$ such that $|M_{n+1} - M_n| \leq c$ for all n and further assume that $\mathbb{E}[T] < \infty$. Then,*

$$\mathbb{E}[M_T] \geq \mathbb{E}[M_0].$$

It will sometimes be useful in our proofs to consider the probability that a given subset of vertices is blue at a specific time step t . Given a graph G with vertex set V , and a subset $U \subseteq V$, we define $P^{(t)}(G, S, U)$ as the probability that all vertices in U are blue after t steps of probabilistic zero forcing on G starting with an initial set S of blue vertices. Moreover, define $P^{(t)}(G, S) = P^{(t)}(G, S, V)$ to be the probability that all vertices of G are blue after t steps of probabilistic zero forcing on G starting with initial set S of blue vertices. Define $\text{ept}(G, S, U)$ as the expected number of steps needed until all vertices in U are blue, noting that

$$\text{ept}(G, S) = \text{ept}(G, S, V).$$

The following result from [10] claims that starting with a larger initial set of blue vertices cannot increase the expected propagation time of probabilistic zero forcing.

Lemma 3.7 ([10, Proposition 4.1]). *Suppose that $S \subseteq T$. Then, $P^{(\ell)}(G, S) \leq P^{(\ell)}(G, T)$ for every integer ℓ , and thus $\text{ept}(G, S) \geq \text{ept}(G, T)$.*

For our purposes, we will also need the following stronger result.

Lemma 3.8 ([17, Lemma 2.11]). *Suppose that initially, some set $S \subseteq V$ is blue. Say that we follow some modified probabilistic process where at the t^{th} step, $P_t(u \rightarrow v)$, the probability that u converts v to become blue at step t , is some function of G, u, v , and B_{t-1} , the set of blue vertices after the $(t-1)^{\text{th}}$ step.*

In addition, suppose that

$$P_t(u \rightarrow v) \leq \frac{|N[u] \cap B_{t-1}|}{\deg(u)}$$

for all blue vertices u and white neighbors v of u , and that conditioned on B_{t-1} , the set of events $u \rightarrow v$ at step t is independent.

Then, for any $T \subseteq V$ and any $\ell \geq 1$, the probability that all vertices in T are blue after time step ℓ is at most $P^{(\ell)}(G, S, T)$, the probability that all vertices in T would be blue if we followed the normal probabilistic zero forcing process.

Consequently, the expected amount of time until all vertices in T are blue is at least $\text{ept}(G, S, T)$.

It will also be useful in our proofs to state the following slightly more general version of [17, Lemma 3.4]. The proof is essentially identical, and therefore is deferred to Section A.

Lemma 3.9. *There exist constants $C > 0$ and $0 < \alpha < 1$ such that the following holds. Let $2 \leq d \leq n$ be two integers. Let H be a star graph with n leaves, with its center vertex colored blue and all other vertices colored white. Then, in an instance of probabilistic zero forcing on H , after t steps, the number of blue leaves is at least d with probability at least $1 - \alpha^t$, provided $t > C \log d$.*

We obtain the following simple Corollary from Lemma 3.9, whose proof can also be found in Appendix A.

Corollary 3.10. *Let $2 \leq d \leq n$ be two integers. Let H be a star graph with n leaves. Let Γ be the random variable associated with the number of time steps it takes for at least d leaves to be forced blue in an instance of probabilistic zero forcing on H , with only the center vertex initially colored blue. Then we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[\Gamma] = O(\log d).$$

Finally we show the following simple lemma about set systems.

Lemma 3.11. *Let $n \geq 4$ and T_1, \dots, T_n be subsets of $[n]$ each of size at least \sqrt{n} . Then there exists a subset S of $[n]$ of size $\lfloor 2\sqrt{n} \rfloor$ such that $\cup_{i \in S} T_i$ intersects T_j for each $j \in [n]$.*

Proof. We may first suppose that each T_i has size exactly $\lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor$. Let $S = \{a_1, \dots, a_{\lfloor 2\sqrt{n} \rfloor}\}$ be a set of $\lfloor 2\sqrt{n} \rfloor$ indices maximizing $|\cup_{s \in S'} T_s|$ over all subsets $S' \subseteq [n]$ of size $\lfloor 2\sqrt{n} \rfloor$. It is enough to show that $\cup_{i \in S} T_i$ intersects each T_j for $j \notin S$. Suppose by contradiction that $T_l \cap (\cup_{i \in S} T_i) = \emptyset$ for some $l \notin S$. If there exists $j \in S$ such that $T_j \cap (\cup_{i \in S \setminus \{j\}} T_i) \neq \emptyset$, then we have $|\cup_{s \in S \setminus \{j\}} T_s| > |\cup_{s \in S} T_s| - |T_j|$. Therefore, if we let $S' = S \setminus \{j\} \cup \{l\}$, then we have

$$\left| \bigcup_{s \in S'} T_s \right| > \left| \bigcup_{s \in S} T_s \right| - |T_j| + |T_l| = \left| \bigcup_{s \in S} T_s \right|,$$

which contradicts the definition of S . Therefore, for every $j \in S$, we have $T_j \cap (\cup_{i \in S \setminus \{j\}} T_i) = \emptyset$.

Thus, all T_i must be disjoint: $T_i \cap T_j = \emptyset$ for all distinct $i, j \in S$. But then

$$n < \lfloor 2\sqrt{n} \rfloor \cdot \lfloor \sqrt{n} \rfloor = \sum_{i \in S} |T_i| = \left| \bigsqcup_{i \in S} T_i \right| \leq n,$$

which is a contradiction. \square

4. UPPER BOUND FOR THE THROTTLING NUMBER

We start with a few additional definitions. Given a graph G with vertex set V , and two subsets $U, S \subseteq V$, we let $pt_{pzf}(G, S, U)$ be the random variable associated to the number of time steps required in the probabilistic zero forcing process until all vertices in U become blue, starting with the vertices in S initially blue.

As explained in Section 2, we will construct, for each vertex v , some subgraph containing v on which the probabilistic zero forcing process will be easier to analyse. We also need here some additional definitions. Let H be a connected subgraph of G . A subset of vertices $C \subseteq V(H)$ is said to be a *core* of H if $H[C]$ is connected and $N_G(C) = V(H) \setminus C$.

Definition 4.1. Given a graph G on n vertices and a vertex $v \in V(G)$, we say that a pair (H, C) is *v-good* if H is a subgraph of G and

- C is a core of H ,
- $v \in C$,
- $2\sqrt{n} \leq |V(H)|$,

- there exists a vertex $w \in C$ and a subset $W \subseteq N(w)$ such that $|V(H) \setminus W| < 2\sqrt{n}$ and $C \setminus \{w\}$ is a core of $G[V(H) \setminus (W \cup \{w\})]$ in $G \setminus \{w\}$.

The following result shows that such v -good pairs can be constructed for every vertex v in a connected graph G .

Lemma 4.2. *Let G be a connected graph on $n \geq 4$ vertices. For every $v \in V(G)$, there exists a v -good pair of G .*

Proof. We recursively construct vertex sets C_i and induced subgraphs H_i of G , such that C_i is a core of H_i and $v \in C_i$. Let $C_0 = \{v\}$ and $H_0 = G[C_0 \cup N(C_0)]$. Clearly, C_0 is a core of H_0 and $v \in C_0$. Now, given C_i and H_i , we construct C_{i+1} and H_{i+1} as follows. If $C_i = V(G)$, then we stop. Otherwise, since G is connected, $N(C_i) \neq \emptyset$. Pick an arbitrary vertex $w_{i+1} \in N(C_i)$. Let $C_{i+1} = C_i \cup \{w_{i+1}\}$ and $H_{i+1} = G[C_{i+1} \cup N(C_{i+1})]$. Clearly, $v \in C_i \subseteq C_{i+1}$. Since $H_i[C_i]$ is connected and $w_{i+1} \in N(C_i)$, then $H_{i+1}[C_{i+1}]$ is connected. Furthermore, $N(C_{i+1}) = V(H_{i+1}) \setminus C_{i+1}$ by construction, so C_{i+1} is a core of H_{i+1} .

Let ℓ be the smallest integer such that $|V(H_\ell)| \geq 2\sqrt{n}$. Such an ℓ must exist, since $|V(H_i)| \geq |C_i| = i + 1$ for every i , and $n \geq 2\sqrt{n}$ for $n \geq 4$. We claim that (C_ℓ, H_ℓ) is a v -good pair. Clearly, $v \in C_\ell$, C_ℓ is a core of H_ℓ and $|V(H_\ell)| \geq 2\sqrt{n}$. If $\ell = 0$, it is easy to see that $w = v$ and $W = N(v)$ satisfy the last condition in Definition 4.1. Otherwise, if $\ell \geq 1$, we claim that $w = w_\ell$ and $W = V(H_\ell) \setminus V(H_{\ell-1})$ satisfy the condition prescribed in Definition 4.1. Note that $V(H_\ell) \setminus V(H_{\ell-1}) = N(C_\ell) \setminus N(C_{\ell-1}) = N(w_\ell) \cap \overline{V(H_{\ell-1})} \subseteq N(w_\ell)$. By our choice of ℓ , $|V(H_\ell) \setminus W| = |V(H_{\ell-1})| < 2\sqrt{n}$, and $C_{\ell-1} = C_\ell \setminus \{w_\ell\}$ is a core of $G[V(H_\ell) \setminus W] = H_{\ell-1}$ in G . Since $w_\ell \in N(C_{\ell-1})$, $C_{\ell-1}$ is a core of $G[V(H_{\ell-1}) \setminus \{w_\ell\}]$ in $G \setminus \{w_\ell\}$. \square

The next result bounds the tail of the propagation time of probabilistic zero forcing in a subgraph H which has a core C , starting from a vertex in C .

Lemma 4.3. *Let G be a connected graph, and let H be a connected subgraph of G such that C is a core of H . Suppose H has $h \geq 2$ vertices, and let $v \in C$. Let $\Gamma = \text{pt}_{\text{pzf}}(G, \{v\}, V(H))$ be the propagation time of the probabilistic zero forcing process on G , starting from the initial blue set $\{v\}$, until $V(H)$ becomes completely blue. Then, for any $t \geq h$, we have*

$$\mathbb{P}(\Gamma \geq 2h + t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t}{100}\right).$$

Proof. We consider the alternative probabilistic process where only vertices in C are allowed to propagate their color (still independently and with same probabilities as in the probabilistic zero forcing process on G). Let Γ' denote the number of steps taken by this alternative process, starting with the vertex $v \in C$ initially blue, until all vertices in H become blue. Then, by Lemma 3.8, $\mathbb{P}(\Gamma \geq 2h + t) \leq \mathbb{P}(\Gamma' \geq 2h + t)$, and we focus on bounding the tail of Γ' .

Let S_i be the set of blue vertices in H at the i th step of the alternative process. Let $(X_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be the sequence of random variables defined in the following way. Let v^i be the vertex of minimum index in C such that $v^i \in S_i$ and $N(v^i) \not\subseteq S_i$ (in other words, at step i , v^i is blue and has at least one white neighbor). Then we set $X_{i+1} = 1$ if v^i gave its color to at least one of its white neighbors at step $i + 1$, and $X_{i+1} = 0$ otherwise. If there exists no such v^i , we also set $X_{i+1} = 0$. We remark that if at step i , there exists no such v^i as above, then $V(H)$ is already colored blue. Indeed, if $V(H)$ was not already colored blue, then there must exist a white vertex $y \in V(H)$, and thus, as C is a core of H and H is connected, there must exist a path $vv_1 \dots v_\ell y$ such that all the v_i are in C . Setting $v_0 = v$ and $v_{\ell+1} = y$, and since v is blue and y is white, it follows that there exists $0 \leq k \leq \ell$ such that v_k is blue and v_{k+1} is white, as desired.

We now condition on S_0, \dots, S_i , and let v^i be the vertex of minimum index in C such that $v^i \in S_i$ and $N(v^i) \not\subseteq S_i$. Let $\{w_1, \dots, w_a\} = N(v^i) \cap \bar{S}_i$ (i.e. the set of white neighbors of v^i after step i), and let $N = |N(v^i)|$. Then v^i propagates its color to each of w_1, \dots, w_a independently

with probability $\frac{1+N-a}{N}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{i+1} = 0 | S_0, S_1, \dots, S_i) = \left(1 - \frac{1+N-a}{N}\right)^a \leq \exp\left(-\frac{a(1+N-a)}{N}\right) \leq e^{-1},$$

from which it follows that

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{i+1} = 1 | S_0, \dots, S_i) \geq 1 - e^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

We now define the sequence of random variables $(M_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ such that $M_0 = 0$, and $M_{i+1} = M_i + X_{i+1} - (1 - e^{-1})$ if there is some white vertex in H at step i and $M_{i+1} = M_i$ otherwise. If $S_i \neq V(H)$, from (1), we have that

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{i+1} | S_0, \dots, S_i] = M_i + \mathbb{E}[X_{i+1} - (1 - e^{-1}) | S_0, \dots, S_i] \geq M_i.$$

Otherwise, if $S_i = V(H)$, then $\mathbb{E}[M_{i+1} | S_0, \dots, S_i] = M_i$. Thus, $(M_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ is a sub-martingale with respect to $(S_i)_{i=0}^\infty$. Moreover, it is easy to see that almost surely $|M_{i+1} - M_i| \leq 1$ for every integer i .

If at step i , we have that $V(H)$ is not fully colored blue, then we must have $M_i = (\sum_{j=1}^i X_j) - (1 - e^{-1})i$, and $\sum_{j=1}^i X_j \leq |S_i| < h$, as at each step j for which $X_j = 1$, at least one vertex was forced blue. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(\Gamma' \geq 2h + t) \leq \mathbb{P}(M_{2h+t} \leq h - (1 - e^{-1})(2h + t)) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(M_{2h+t} \leq -\frac{t}{2}\right).$$

By Azuma's inequality (see Theorem 3.4), and using $t \geq h$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(M_{2h+t} \leq -\frac{t}{2}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(t/2)^2}{2(2h+t)}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t}{100}\right).$$

This finishes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let G be a connected graph on $n \geq 2$ vertices, and let $v \in V(G)$. Let (T_v, C) be a v -good pair, and let $s \in V(T_v)$. Then for n sufficiently large and any $t \geq 8\sqrt{n}$, after $8\sqrt{n} + t$ steps of probabilistic zero forcing on G with initial blue set $S = \{s\}$, we have that T_v is fully colored in blue with probability at least $1 - \beta^t$, where $0 < \beta < 1$ is some absolute constant.*

Proof. Let $\Gamma = pt_{pzf}(G, \{s\}, V(T_v))$ denote the random variable associated with the number of steps taken by the probabilistic zero forcing process on G , starting with the initial blue set $\{s\}$, until all the vertices in T_v are colored blue. Since (T_v, C) is v -good, there exists some $w \in C$ and $W \subseteq N(w)$ such that $|V(T_v) \setminus (W \cup \{w\})| < |V(T_v) \setminus W| < 2\sqrt{n}$ and $C \setminus \{w\}$ is a core of $G[V(T_v) \setminus (W \cup \{w\})]$ in $G \setminus \{w\}$. We first define the following stopping times:

- (1) Let τ_1 denote the first time at which some vertex in C turns blue.
- (2) Let τ_2 be the first time after τ_1 (i.e. $\tau_2 \geq \tau_1$) at which at least one vertex in $W \cup \{w\}$ is colored blue.
- (3) Let τ_3 be the first time after τ_2 (i.e. $\tau_3 \geq \tau_2$) at which all vertices in $N[w]$ have been forced blue.

Let $Z_1 = \tau_1$, $Z_2 = \tau_2 - \tau_1$, $Z_3 = \tau_3 - \tau_2$ and $Z_4 = \Gamma - \tau_3$. Intuitively, we are splitting the propagation into four successive phases: Phase 1 lasts until at least one vertex in C turns blue, Phase 2 lasts until at least one vertex in $W \cup \{w\}$ is colored blue, Phase 3 ends when all of $N[w]$ is colored blue, and Phase 4 ends when all of $V(T_v)$ is blue, and Z_1 , Z_2 , Z_3 and Z_4 are respectively the random variables associated with the number of time steps that Phases 1, 2, 3 and 4 last. Suppose $t \geq 2\sqrt{n}$. If $s \in C$, then $\mathbb{P}(Z_1 \geq t) = 0$. On the other hand, if $s \in V(T_v) \setminus C$, then s has a neighbor in C . By Theorem 3.8 we thus have that Z_1 is stochastically dominated by $pt_{pzf}(S_{d(s)}, \{w_0\})$, where $S_{d(s)}$ is a star on $d(s) + 1$ vertices centered at a vertex w_0 (we recall that for a graph H and a vertex $u \in V(H)$, we defined $pt_{pzf}(H, \{u\})$ as the random variable associated with the time taken by the probabilistic zero forcing process on H , with only the

vertex u initially blue, until H is fully blue). We have $t \geq 2\sqrt{n} = \omega(\log n)$, so for n sufficiently large, $\mathbb{P}(pt_{pzf}(S_{d(s)}, \{w_0\}) \geq t) \leq \alpha^t$, for some $0 < \alpha < 1$, by Lemma 3.9. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_1 \geq t) \leq \alpha^t. \quad (2)$$

We now prove a bound for the tail of Z_2 . Since some vertex u in the core is blue at the end of Phase 1, as before, we consider the alternative probabilistic process where only vertices in C propagate their color (independently and with the same probabilities as in the probabilistic zero forcing process on G). Let Z'_2 denote the number of steps taken by this alternative process, starting with only u initially blue, until at least one vertex in $W \cup \{w\}$ is colored blue. Then we have $\mathbb{P}(Z_2 \geq 4\sqrt{n} + t) \leq \mathbb{P}(Z'_2 \geq 4\sqrt{n} + t)$. We proceed similarly as in the proof of Theorem 4.3. Let S_i be the set of blue vertices in T_v at the i th step of the alternative probabilistic process. Let $(X_i)_{i=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of random variables defined in the following way. Let v^i be the vertex of minimum index in C such that $v^i \in S_i$ and $N(v^i) \not\subseteq S_i$ (in other words, at step i , v^i is blue and has at least one white neighbor in T_v). Then we set $X_{i+1} = 1$ if v^i propagates its color to at least one of its white neighbors at step $i+1$, and $X_{i+1} = 0$ otherwise. If there exists no such v^i , we also set $X_{i+1} = 0$. As in the proof of Theorem 4.3, we remark that if at step i , no such v^i as above exists, then all of T_v is colored blue, and that if $S_i \neq V(T_v)$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(X_{i+1} = 1 | S_0, \dots, S_i) \geq 1 - e^{-1}.$$

We define a sequence of random variables $(M_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ as follows. Set $M_0 := 0$, $M_{i+1} = M_i + X_{i+1} - (1 - e^{-1})$ if there is some white vertex in T_v at step i and $M_{i+1} = M_i$ otherwise. As before, it follows that $(M_i)_{i=0}^\infty$ is a submartingale with respect to $(S_i)_{i=0}^\infty$, and that almost surely $|M_{i+1} - M_i| \leq 1$ for every integer i . If at step i , we have that no vertex in $W \cup \{w\}$ is colored blue, then we must have $M_i = (\sum_{j=1}^i X_j) - (1 - e^{-1})i$, and $\sum_{j=1}^i X_j \leq |V(T_v) \setminus (W \cup \{w\})| < 2\sqrt{n}$. Therefore,

$$\mathbb{P}(Z'_2 \geq 4\sqrt{n} + t) \leq \mathbb{P}(M_{4\sqrt{n}+t} \leq 2\sqrt{n} - (1 - e^{-1})(4\sqrt{n} + t)) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(M_{4\sqrt{n}+t} \leq -\frac{t}{2}\right).$$

By Azuma's inequality (see Theorem 3.4), and assuming $t \geq 2\sqrt{n}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}\left(M_{4\sqrt{n}+t} \leq -\frac{t}{2}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{(t/2)^2}{2(4\sqrt{n} + t)}\right) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t}{100}\right).$$

We can then conclude that

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_2 \geq 4\sqrt{n} + t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t}{100}\right). \quad (3)$$

We now turn to Z_3 . We condition on the vertex of smallest index w^h that first became blue in $W \cup \{w\}$. We further split Phase 3 into two subphases: let τ be the first time that the vertex w becomes blue, and let $Z_3^a = \tau - \tau_2$ and $Z_3^b = \tau_3 - \tau$. It follows that $Z_3 = \tau_3 - \tau_2 = Z_3^a + Z_3^b$, and thus

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_3 \geq t | w^h) \leq \mathbb{P}(Z_3^a \geq t/2 | w^h) + \mathbb{P}(Z_3^b \geq t/2 | w^h).$$

Conditioned on w^h , the random variables Z_3^a and Z_3^b are respectively stochastically dominated by $pt_{pzf}(S_a, \{w_a\})$ and $pt_{pzf}(S_b, \{w_b\})$, where S_a is a star on $d(w^h) + 1$ vertices centered on a vertex w_a and S_b is a star on $d(w) + 1$ vertices centered on a vertex w_b . Thus by Theorem 3.9, and assuming $t \geq 2\sqrt{n} = \omega(\log n)$, for n sufficiently large, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_3 \geq t | w^h) \leq \mathbb{P}(Z_3^a \geq t/2 | w^h) + \mathbb{P}(Z_3^b \geq t/2 | w^h) \leq 2\alpha^{t/2}.$$

As this upper bound does not depend on w^h , we obtain by summing over all possible outcomes of w^h that

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_3 \geq t) \leq 2\alpha^{t/2}. \quad (4)$$

Finally, as (T_v, C) is v -good, we have that $C \setminus \{w\}$ is a core of $G[V(T_v) \setminus (W \cup \{w\})]$ in $G \setminus \{w\}$ and that $|V(T_v) \setminus (W \cup \{w\})| < |V(T_v) \setminus W| < 2\sqrt{n}$. As C is connected, and $w \in C$, at least one vertex in $C \setminus \{w\}$ is blue at the end of Phase 3 (since $N(w)$ is colored blue). As w is already blue at the end of Phase 3, the probability that a vertex $w' \in V(G) \setminus \{w\}$ forces its white neighbors blue in the probabilistic zero forcing process on $G \setminus \{w\}$ is at most the corresponding probability in G .

Therefore, by Theorems 3.8 and 4.3 for the graph $G[V(T_v) \setminus (W \cup \{w\})]$ with core $C \setminus \{w\}$, and assuming $t \geq 2\sqrt{n}$, we obtain

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_4 \geq 4\sqrt{n} + t) \leq \exp\left(-\frac{t}{100}\right). \quad (5)$$

By putting together (2), (3), (4) and (5), we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}(Z_1 + Z_2 + Z_3 + Z_4 \geq 8\sqrt{n} + 4t) \\ \leq \mathbb{P}(Z_1 \geq t) + \mathbb{P}(Z_2 \geq 4\sqrt{n} + t) + \mathbb{P}(Z_3 \geq t) + \mathbb{P}(Z_4 \geq 4\sqrt{n} + t) \\ \leq \alpha^t + \exp\left(-\frac{t}{100}\right) + 2\alpha^{t/2} + \exp\left(-\frac{t}{100}\right), \end{aligned}$$

and thus, there exists some absolute constant $\beta < 1$ such that

$$\mathbb{P}(\Gamma \geq 8\sqrt{n} + 4t) \leq \beta^{4t}.$$

By rescaling t , we obtain the desired conclusion. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let G be a connected graph on n vertices, and note that we may assume $n \geq 4$. Label the vertices in $V(G)$ by v_1, \dots, v_n . For every i , we let (T_{v_i}, C_{v_i}) be a v_i -good pair obtained by applying Lemma 4.2. Let S be a subset of $V(G)$ of size $\lfloor 2\sqrt{n} \rfloor$ given by Theorem 3.11 applied to the sets $V(T_{v_1}), \dots, V(T_{v_n})$, and denote by Γ the random variable associated with the time taken by the probabilistic zero forcing process on G , starting with the set S initially blue, until G is fully colored blue. Then it suffices to prove that $\mathbb{E}[\Gamma] = O(\sqrt{n})$. We let τ_1 be the first time that all the vertices in $\cup_{v \in S} T_v$ are colored blue. We then let $Z_1 = \tau_1$ and $Z_2 = \Gamma - \tau_1$. Applying Theorem 4.4 for each (T_v, C_v) with $v \in S$ and performing a union bound over all $v \in S$, we find that for all $t \geq 8\sqrt{n}$, we have

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_1 \geq 8\sqrt{n} + t) = O(\sqrt{n}\beta^t). \quad (6)$$

Note that at time τ_1 , each T_v for $v \in V(G)$ has at least one blue vertex. Thus, once again applying Theorem 4.4 for each (T_v, C_v) with $v \in V(G) \setminus S$ and performing a union bound over all $v \in V(G) \setminus S$, we find that for all $t \geq 8\sqrt{n}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(Z_2 \geq 8\sqrt{n} + t) = O(n\beta^t). \quad (7)$$

Combining (6) and (7), we get for all $t \geq 8\sqrt{n}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(\Gamma \geq 16\sqrt{n} + 2t) \leq \mathbb{P}(Z_1 \geq 8\sqrt{n} + t) + \mathbb{P}(Z_2 \geq 8\sqrt{n} + t) = O(n\beta^t).$$

Thus, we have

$$\sum_{k > 32\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{P}(\Gamma \geq k) = O\left(\sum_{t \geq 8\sqrt{n}} n\beta^t\right) = O\left(\sum_{t \geq 8\sqrt{n}} t^2\beta^t\right) = O(1).$$

From the tail sum formula for expectation, it follows that

$$\mathbb{E}[\Gamma] = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\Gamma \geq k) = \sum_{k \leq 32\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{P}(\Gamma \geq k) + \sum_{k > 32\sqrt{n}} \mathbb{P}(\Gamma \geq k) = O(\sqrt{n}) + O(1) = O(\sqrt{n}),$$

as desired. \square

5. UPPER BOUND ON THE EXPECTED PROPAGATION TIME OF PROBABILISTIC ZERO FORCING

A pair (v, w) of vertices in a graph G is said to be a *cornerstone* if $\{v, w\}$ is a cut-set of G , and v and w share a common neighbor in G . Given a cut-set $A \subseteq V(G)$, a pair of disjoint subsets (S, T) is called a *valid pair* if $S \cup T = V(G) \setminus A$, there are no edges between S and T , and $|S| \leq |T|$.

As explained in Section 2, we now define a function for each cut-vertex and cornerstone, according to which we will make the choice of the initial blue vertex.

Definition 5.1. If v is a cut-vertex, define $h(v)$ to be the maximum of $|S| - d_S$ over all valid pairs (S, T) with respect to the cut-set $\{v\}$, where $d_S := |S \cap N[v]|$.

Likewise, if (v, v') is a cornerstone, we define $h(v, v')$ to be the maximum of $|S| - d_S$ over every common neighbor $y \in N(v) \cap N(v')$ and valid pairs (S, T) with respect to the cut-set $\{v, v'\}$, where $d_S := |S \cap N[\{v, v', y\}]|$.

Let G be a graph. In a probabilistic zero forcing process on G , we say that one *encounters* a cut-vertex v or a cornerstone (v, v') at step i if one of the following occurs at step i :

- v is the only blue vertex that is adjacent to some white vertex.
- v is the only white vertex that is adjacent to some blue vertex.
- v, v' share a common white neighbor and are the only two blue vertices that are adjacent to some white vertex.
- v, v' share a common blue neighbor and are the only two white vertices that are adjacent to some blue vertex.

We first prove the following lemma, which is along similar lines as Lemma 4.4 in [17].

Lemma 5.2. *Let X_i be the random variable associated with the number of blue vertices in G after i steps of probabilistic zero forcing. Suppose that every blue vertex in G has at least one blue neighbor after the i th step of probabilistic zero forcing. Then, unless G is fully blue or we encounter a cut-vertex or cornerstone at the i -th step, we have*

$$\mathbb{E}[\min(X_{i+1}, X_i + 25)] \geq X_i + 2.$$

Proof. Let $Y_{i+1} := X_{i+1} - X_i$. We want to show that $\mathbb{E}[\min(Y_{i+1}, 25)] \geq 2$. Note that Y_{i+1} , the number of vertices which turn blue in the $(i+1)$ -th step, can be viewed as the sum of independent Bernoulli random variables. Therefore, it suffices to show that either $\mathbb{E}[Y_{i+1}] \geq 2 + 1/5$, in which case we would be done by Lemma 3.2, or that $\mathbb{E}[\min(Y_{i+1}, 25)] \geq 2$. Note that a blue vertex with w white neighbors will force each of its white neighbors blue independently with probability at least $2/(w+1)$, since every blue vertex has at least one blue neighbor. Let us denote by v_1, \dots, v_k the blue vertices in G with at least one white neighbor, and by w_1, \dots, w_l the white vertices in G with at least one blue neighbor after i steps. Since we do not encounter a cut-vertex, we may assume that $k, l \geq 2$. If $k = 2$, then v_1 and v_2 do not have a common white neighbor, as otherwise this would contradict the assumption that we do not encounter a cornerstone. If $l = 2$, then both v_1 and v_2 have precisely one white neighbor, so $\mathbb{E}[Y_{i+1}] = \mathbb{E}[\min(Y_{i+1}, 25)] = 2$. If $l \geq 3$, then either v_1 or v_2 has at least two white neighbors. Assume without loss of generality that v_1 has $l_1 \geq 2$ white neighbors. Then v_1 will force at least $2l_1/(l_1 + 1) \geq 1 + 1/3$ of its white neighbors blue in expectation, so $\mathbb{E}[Y_{i+1}] \geq 2 + 1/3$. If $k \geq 3$ and $l = 2$, then w_1 and w_2 do not share a blue neighbor, as otherwise this would contradict the assumption that we do not encounter a cornerstone. Then each blue vertex is adjacent to either w_1 or w_2 , and so both w_1 and w_2 will be forced blue with probability 1. Hence, $\mathbb{E}[Y_{i+1}] = \mathbb{E}[\min(Y_{i+1}, 25)] = 2$. We may henceforth suppose that $k, l \geq 3$. Let $a := \deg_w(v_1)$, $b := \deg_w(v_2)$ and $c := \deg_w(v_3)$, where $\deg_w(v_i)$ denotes the number of white neighbors of v_i , and assume without loss of generality that $a \geq b \geq c$. If $a \geq 3$ and $b \geq 2$, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[Y_{i+1}] \geq a \cdot \frac{2}{a+1} + b \cdot \frac{2}{b+1} \left(1 - \frac{2}{a+1}\right) + c \cdot \frac{2}{c+1} \left(1 - \frac{2}{a+1}\right) \left(1 - \frac{2}{b+1}\right) \geq 2 + \frac{1}{3},$$

where the last inequality holds since the expression above is increasing in a, b and c . If $a \leq 2$, then each v_j has at most two white neighbors. Thus, each w_j will be forced blue with probability at least $2/3$. Therefore $\mathbb{E}[Y_{i+1}] \geq l \cdot \frac{2}{3}$, and if $l \geq 4$, we then have $\mathbb{E}[Y_{i+1}] \geq 2 + \frac{2}{3}$. On the other hand, if $l = 3$, then $\mathbb{E}[Y_{i+1}] = \mathbb{E}[\min(Y_{i+1}, 25)] \geq 2$. Finally, suppose that $b = 1$. Then one of the white vertices will be forced blue with probability 1, while the other $l - 1$ white vertices will be forced blue with probability at least $2/(l + 1)$. Thus, $\mathbb{E}[Y_{i+1}] \geq 2 \left(\frac{l-1}{l+1} \right) + 1 = 3 - \frac{4}{l+1}$. If $l \geq 4$, then $\mathbb{E}[Y_{i+1}] \geq 2 + 1/5$, and if $l = 3$, then $\mathbb{E}[Y_{i+1}] = \mathbb{E}[\min(Y_{i+1}, 25)] \geq 2$. This proves the lemma. \square

In the spirit of the proof of Narayanan and Sun [17], we provide the following algorithm.

- (1) Choose a single vertex v or a cornerstone v, v' such that the value of $h(v)$ or $h(v, v')$ in Definition 5.1 is maximized.

In other words, if $\max_{w \text{ cut-vertex}} h(w) \geq \max_{(w, w') \text{ cornerstone}} h(w, w')$, then we choose v such that $h(v) = \max_{w \text{ cut-vertex}} h(w)$. Otherwise, we choose v, v' such that $h(v, v') = \max_{(w, w') \text{ cornerstone}} h(w, w')$.

If we chose a cut-vertex v , pick a valid pair (S, T) which maximises $h(v)$. Likewise, if we chose a cornerstone, pick a valid pair (S, T) (as well as a common neighbor y of v and v') which maximises $h(v, v')$. If there are no cut-vertices or cornerstones, choose any $v \in V$, and take $S = \emptyset$ and $T = V(G) \setminus \{v\}$. Let d_S be the quantity defined in Definition 5.1 if we picked a cut-vertex or cornerstone, and let $d_S = 0$ otherwise. Let $d_T = |T \cap N[v]|$ if we picked a single vertex v , and let $d_T = |T \cap N[\{v, v', y\}]|$ if we picked a cornerstone v, v' with a common neighbor y .

- (2) Initialize with v blue and all other vertices white.
- (3) Pick some arbitrary ordering v_1, \dots, v_n of the vertices, where v, v' can be labeled with any number.
- (4) Run the probabilistic zero forcing process until all neighbors of v are blue, and if we picked a cornerstone v, v' in Step 1, until all neighbors of v' and y are also blue.
- (5) Run the probabilistic zero forcing process on the induced subgraph $G[T]$, until the number of white vertices in T is at most $|S| - d_S$.
- (6) At each step, suppose there is some blue vertex in $G[T]$ with $k \geq 1$ white neighbors in $G[T]$. Choose such a blue vertex $v_i \in G[T]$ with smallest index i , and run probabilistic zero forcing but where each white neighbor of v_i becomes blue with probability 1 if v_i only has exactly one white neighbor, and becomes blue with probability $\frac{4}{3k}$ otherwise. If no such blue vertex exists in $G[T]$, do nothing. Apply the same procedure on $G[S]$ in parallel.

As mentioned in the outline of our proofs, this algorithm is similar to the one in Narayanan and Sun's proof [17], where the key difference arises from our choice of the function h to maximise in Step 1, which also modifies the analysis needed to bound the expected runtime of Step 5.

We remark that Step 6 does not decrease the total expected propagation time: indeed, letting b and k be, respectively, the number of blue and white vertices in the neighborhood of v_i , we note that for $k \geq 2$, we have

$$\frac{b+1}{b+k} \geq \frac{4}{3k},$$

and thus by Theorem 3.8, Step 6 does not decrease the total expected propagation time. Therefore, it suffices to bound the expected runtimes of Steps 4, 5, and 6 and show that their sum is at most $\frac{n}{2} + O(1)$, which will imply the desired bound on the expected propagation time.

Lemma 5.3. *Step 4 takes $O(\log(d_T + d_S)) + O(1)$ steps in expectation.*

The proof of Lemma 5.3 is similar to that of [17, Lemma 4.8], and can be found in Section B.

Lemma 5.4. *Step 5 takes at most $\frac{|T| - d_T - |S| + d_S}{2} + O(1)$ steps in expectation.*

Proof. In Step 5, we run the probabilistic zero forcing process on the induced subgraph $G[T]$ until we have at most $|S| - d_S$ white vertices left in $G[T]$. Moreover, during this step, each blue vertex has at least one blue neighbor. We can therefore use Lemma 5.2, along with Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem (see Theorem 3.6) to bound the expected running time of this step. Let Z_5 denote the random variable associated with the running time of Step 5. Let S_i denote the set of blue vertices in $G[T]$ after i iterations of probabilistic zero forcing during Step 5, and let $X_i := |S_i|$. Note that $X_0 \geq d_T$, and let τ_1 be the first time that there are at most $|S| - d_S$ white vertices left in $G[T]$, or we encounter a cut-vertex or cornerstone. Clearly, τ_1 is a well-defined stopping time with respect to $(S_i)_{i=0}^\infty$. Finally, let $\tau_2 := Z_5 - \tau_1$.

We define $M_0 := X_0$, $M_i := \min(X_i, X_{i-1} + 25) - 2i$ if we did not encounter a cut-vertex or cornerstone during the first $i - 1$ iterations of probabilistic zero forcing during Step 5 and $M_i := M_{i-1}$ otherwise.

We claim that $\{M_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$ forms a submartingale with respect to $\{S_i\}_{i=0}^\infty$. Indeed, if we did not encounter a cut-vertex or cornerstone during the first i iterations,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[M_{i+1} \mid S_0, S_1, \dots, S_i] &= \mathbb{E}[\min(X_{i+1}, X_i + 25) - 2(i+1) \mid S_0, S_1, \dots, S_i] \\ &\geq X_i + 2 - 2(i+1) \\ &\geq \min(X_i, X_{i-1} + 25) - 2i = M_i, \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from Lemma 5.2. Otherwise, we have $\mathbb{E}[M_{i+1} \mid S_0, S_1, \dots, S_i] = M_i$. Additionally, the absolute difference $|M_i - M_{i-1}|$ is almost surely uniformly bounded by $n + 1$ for all $i \geq 1$. It is also easy to see that $\mathbb{E}[\tau_1] < \infty$. Applying Doob's Optional Stopping Theorem (see Theorem 3.6), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[M_{\tau_1}] = \mathbb{E}[\min(X_{\tau_1}, X_{\tau_1-1} + 25)] - 2\mathbb{E}[\tau_1] \geq \mathbb{E}[M_0] \geq d_T.$$

Thus, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau_1] \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\min(X_{\tau_1}, X_{\tau_1-1} + 25)]}{2} - \frac{d_T}{2}. \quad (8)$$

Let S' denote the set of white vertices in $G[T]$ at time τ_1 . Let A denote the event that there are at most $|S| - d_S$ white vertices in $G[T]$ at time τ_1 , and let B denote the event there are more than $|S| - d_S$ white vertices in $G[T]$ at time τ_1 . Note that by definition of τ_1 , if the event B occurs, then a cut-vertex or a cornerstone is encountered at time τ_1 .

Observe that $\mathbb{E}[\tau_2 \mid A] = 0$. Next, we condition on the event B . Let us assume that in Step 1, we chose a cornerstone (v, v') with common neighbor y . The other cases can be handled similarly. Let $B' \subseteq B$ denote the event that we encountered a cornerstone (w, w') with common neighbor z at time τ_1 , where w, w' are the only blue vertices in $G[T]$ with at least one white neighbor and z is white. We define $T' := V(G) \setminus (S' \cup \{w, w'\})$, $d_{S'} := |S' \cap N[\{w, w', z\}]|$ and $d_{T'} := |T' \cap N[\{w, w', z\}]|$.

Claim 5.5. $S \setminus N[\{v, v', y\}] \subsetneq T' \setminus N[\{w, w', z\}]$. Consequently, $|T'| > |S'|$.

Proof. Since G is connected, $N(\{v, v'\}) \cap S \neq \emptyset$. Thus, it suffices to show that $S \subseteq T' \setminus N[\{w, w', z\}]$. We have $S \cap (S' \cup \{w, w'\}) = \emptyset$, which implies that $S \subseteq T'$. Note that $w, w', z \notin S \cup \{v, v'\}$, as v, v' do not have any white neighbors after Step 4. Therefore, $N[\{w, w', z\}] \cap S = \emptyset$, so we have $S \subseteq T' \setminus N[\{w, w', z\}]$.

We observe that there are no edges between S' and T' , since w, w' are the only blue vertices in $G[T]$ with a white neighbor. Suppose, towards a contradiction, that $|T'| \leq |S'|$. Then (T', S') forms a valid pair for $\{w, w'\}$. Since the cornerstone v, v' maximises the function h , we must have $|T'| - d_{T'} \leq |S'| - d_{S'}$. But this is a contradiction, as $S \setminus N[\{v, v', y\}] \subsetneq T' \setminus N[\{w, w', z\}]$. \square

Thus, (S', T') forms a valid pair for the cornerstone w, w' , and since the cornerstone v, v' maximises the function h , we have $|S'| - d_{S'} \leq |S| - d_S$. Let τ_2^a denote the time needed for z to turn blue, and let τ_2^b denote the time needed thereafter for $|S'| - |S| + d_S \leq d_{S'}$ vertices in $S' \cap N[\{w, w', z\}]$ to be forced blue. Clearly, $\mathbb{E}[\tau_2^a | S_{\tau_1}, B'] = O(1)$. Moreover, by

iteratively applying Theorem 3.10 to each of the stars centered on $a \in \{w, w', z\}$, with $d = \min\{|N(a) \cap S'|, |S'| - |S| + d_S\}$, we get $\mathbb{E}[\tau_2^b | S_{\tau_1}, B'] = O(\log(|S'| - |S| + d_S)) + O(1)$. Observe that $\tau_2 \leq \tau_2^a + \tau_2^b$. Thus,

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau_2 | S_{\tau_1}, B'] \leq \mathbb{E}[\tau_2^a | S_{\tau_1}, B'] + \mathbb{E}[\tau_2^b | S_{\tau_1}, B'] = O(\log(|S'| - |S| + d_S)) + O(1).$$

Similarly, we can condition on other possible outcomes $B'' \subseteq B$ and show that $\mathbb{E}[\tau_2 | S_{\tau_1}, B''] = O(\log(|S'| - |S| + d_S)) + O(1)$. Thus, we have

$$\mathbb{E}[\tau_2] = \mathbb{E}[\tau_2 \mathbf{1}_A] + \mathbb{E}[\tau_2 \mathbf{1}_B] \leq \mathbb{E}[O(\log(|S'| - |S| + d_S)) \mathbf{1}_B] + O(1). \quad (9)$$

From (8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\tau_1] &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[\min(X_{\tau_1}, X_{\tau_1-1} + 25) \mathbf{1}_A] + \mathbb{E}[\min(X_{\tau_1}, X_{\tau_1-1} + 25) \mathbf{1}_B]}{2} - \frac{d_T}{2} \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[(X_{\tau_1-1} + 25) \mathbf{1}_A] + \mathbb{E}[X_{\tau_1} \mathbf{1}_B]}{2} - \frac{d_T}{2} \leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[X_{\tau_1-1} \mathbf{1}_A] + \mathbb{E}[X_{\tau_1} \mathbf{1}_B]}{2} - \frac{d_T}{2} + O(1) \\ &\leq \frac{\mathbb{E}[(|T| - |S| + d_S) \mathbf{1}_A] + \mathbb{E}[(|T| - |S'|) \mathbf{1}_B]}{2} - \frac{d_T}{2} + O(1) \\ &= \frac{|T| - d_T - |S| + d_S}{2} - \mathbb{E}\left[\left(\frac{|S'| - |S| + d_S}{2}\right) \mathbf{1}_B\right] + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Combining with (9), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[Z_5] &= \mathbb{E}[\tau_1] + \mathbb{E}[\tau_2] \\ &\leq \frac{|T| - d_T - |S| + d_S}{2} + \mathbb{E}\left[\left(O(\log(|S'| - |S| + d_S)) - \frac{|S'| - |S| + d_S}{2}\right) \mathbf{1}_B\right] + O(1). \end{aligned}$$

Since for every absolute constant $\eta > 0$, there exists an absolute constant γ such that $\eta \log x - x/2 \leq \gamma$ for every $x > 0$, we get

$$\mathbb{E}[Z_5] \leq \frac{|T| - d_T - |S| + d_S}{2} + O(1).$$

This proves the lemma. \square

Note that at least d_S vertices in S were colored blue during Step 4, and therefore both $G[S]$ and $G[T]$ have at most $|S| - d_S$ white vertices at the beginning of Step 6. The proof of the next lemma then follows immediately from the proof of [17, Lemma 4.10].

Lemma 5.6. *Step 6 takes at most $|S| - d_S + O(1)$ steps in expectation.*

It is then easy to deduce Theorem 1.4 from Lemmas 5.3, 5.4 and 5.6.

Proof of Theorem 1.4. Let Z_4, Z_5, Z_6 be the random variables which denote the number of steps for which Step 4, 5 and 6 respectively last, and let Γ be the total time duration of probabilistic zero forcing on G . Then by applying Theorems 5.3, 5.4 and 5.6, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\Gamma] &\leq \mathbb{E}[Z_4] + \mathbb{E}[Z_5] + \mathbb{E}[Z_6] \\ &\leq O(\log(d_T + d_S)) + O(1) + \frac{|T| - d_T - |S| + d_S}{2} + O(1) + |S| - d_S + O(1) \\ &= \frac{|T| + |S|}{2} + O(\log(d_T + d_S)) - \left(\frac{d_T + d_S}{2}\right) + O(1) \\ &= \frac{n}{2} + O(1), \end{aligned}$$

as wanted. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] F. Barioli, W. Barrett, S. M. Fallat, H. T. Hall, L. Hogben, B. Shader, P. van den Driessche, and H. van der Holst. Zero forcing parameters and minimum rank problems. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 433(2):401–411, 2010.
- [2] A. Berman, S. Friedland, L. Hogben, U. G. Rothblum, and B. Shader. An upper bound for the minimum rank of a graph. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 429(7):1629–1638, 2008.
- [3] D. Burgarth and V. Giovannetti. Full control by locally induced relaxation. *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, 99:100501, Sep 2007.
- [4] S. Butler and M. Young. Throttling zero forcing propagation speed on graphs. *Australas. J. Combin.*, 57:65–71, 2013.
- [5] Y. Chan, E. Curl, J. Geneson, L. Hogben, K. Liu, I. Odegard, and M. Ross. Using markov chains to determine expected propagation time for probabilistic zero forcing. *The Electronic Journal of Linear Algebra*, 36:318–333, 2020.
- [6] K. B. Chilakamarri, N. Dean, C. X. Kang, and E. Yi. Iteration index of a zero forcing set in a graph. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1105.1492*, 2011.
- [7] R. Daknama, K. Panagiotou, and S. Reisser. Robustness of randomized rumour spreading. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 30(1):37–78, 2021.
- [8] C. J. Edholm, L. Hogben, M. Huynh, J. LaGrange, and D. D. Row. Vertex and edge spread of zero forcing number, maximum nullity, and minimum rank of a graph. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 436(12):4352–4372, 2012. Special Issue on Matrices Described by Patterns.
- [9] S. Fallat, S. Severini, and M. Young. Aim workshop: Zero forcing and its variants. American Institute of Mathematics, 2017. Jan. 30 – Feb. 3.
- [10] J. Geneson and L. Hogben. Expected propagation time for probabilistic zero forcing. *Australasian Journal of Combinatorics*, 83(3):397–417, 2022.
- [11] G. R. Grimmett and D. R. Stirzaker. *Probability and Random Processes*. Oxford University Press, 3rd edition, 2001.
- [12] L. Hogben, M. Huynh, N. Kingsley, S. Meyer, S. Walker, and M. Young. Propagation time for zero forcing on a graph. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 160(13):1994–2005, 2012.
- [13] L.-H. Huang, G. J. Chang, and H.-G. Yeh. On minimum rank and zero forcing sets of a graph. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 432(11):2961–2973, 2010.
- [14] S. Janson, T. Łuczak, and A. Ruciński. *Random graphs*. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- [15] C. X. Kang and E. Yi. Probabilistic zero forcing in graphs. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1204.6237*, 2012.
- [16] A. Mehrabian and A. Pourmiri. Randomized rumor spreading in poorly connected small-world networks. In *International Symposium on Distributed Computing*, pages 346–360. Springer, 2014.
- [17] S. Narayanan and A. Sun. Bounds on expected propagation time of probabilistic zero forcing. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 98:103405, 2021.
- [18] N. C. Wormald. The differential equation method for random graph processes and greedy algorithms. In M. Karoński and H.-J. Prömel, editors, *Lectures on Approximation and Randomized Algorithms*, pages 73–155. PWN, Warsaw, 1999. (5, 38).

APPENDIX A. PROOFS OF LEMMA 3.9 AND COROLLARY 3.10

Proof of Lemma 3.9. We partition the interval $[0, n]$ into subintervals as follows. Let $I_1 = [0, 1)$. If $I_j = [a_j, b_j)$ for $b_j < n/3$, we set $I_{j+1} = [b_j, b_j + \frac{b_j+1}{6}) \cap [0, \frac{n}{3})$. If $I_j = [a_j, b_j)$ for $b_j = \frac{n}{3}$, then set $I_{j+r} = \left[n - \frac{2n}{3} \left(\frac{5}{6} \right)^{r-1}, n - \frac{2n}{3} \left(\frac{5}{6} \right)^r \right)$. For the least value of R such that $\frac{2n}{3} \left(\frac{5}{6} \right)^{R-1} < 1$, we set $I_{j+R} = \left[n - \frac{2n}{3} \left(\frac{5}{6} \right)^{R-1}, n \right]$ to be the final interval. Note that I_1, \dots, I_j partition $[0, \frac{n}{3})$,

and I_{J+1}, \dots, I_{J+R} partition $[\frac{n}{3}, n]$, so we have a complete partition of $[0, n]$.

It is easy to see that $J \leq C_1 \log n$ and $R \leq C_2 \log n$ for some $C_1, C_2 > 0$. If $d \leq n - 1$, let m be the smallest integer such that the left end point of I_m , a_m satisfies $a_m \geq d$. Otherwise, let $m = J + R$. If $d \leq \frac{n}{3}$, it is easy to see that $m \leq C_3 \log d$, for some constant $C_3 > 0$. On the other hand, if $d \geq \frac{n}{3}$, then $m \leq J + R \leq (C_1 + C_2) \log n \leq (C_1 + C_2) \log(3d) \leq C_4 \log d$, for some $C_4 > 0$. So, we have $m \leq C' \log d$, where $C' = \max(C_3, C_4)$.

Note that, by [17, Lemma 3.2], if the number of blue leaves is $k \in I_r$ for $r \leq J$, with probability at least $1/5$, the number of blue leaves after the next iteration of probabilistic zero forcing will be in some I_s for $s > r$. Moreover, by [17, Lemma 3.3], the same is true for $J + 1 \leq r < J + R$. Therefore, provided $t \geq 10C' \log d$, the probability that the blue vertex will propagate to fewer than d leaves in t steps is at most

$$\mathbb{P}\left(\text{Bin}\left(t, \frac{1}{5}\right) \leq m\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\text{Bin}\left(t, \frac{1}{5}\right) \leq C' \log d\right) \leq \mathbb{P}\left(\text{Bin}\left(t, \frac{1}{5}\right) \leq \frac{t}{10}\right) \leq e^{-\frac{t}{40}},$$

where the last inequality follows from Theorem 3.1. Thus, if we set $\alpha = e^{-1/40}$ and $C = 10C'$, then the probability that at least d leaves are blue after $t > C \log d$ steps is at least $1 - \alpha^t$, as claimed. \square

Proof of Corollary 3.10. Using the tail sum formula for expectation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{E}[\Gamma] &= \sum_{t=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{P}(\Gamma \geq t) = \sum_{t \leq C \log d} \mathbb{P}(\Gamma \geq t) + \sum_{t > C \log d} \mathbb{P}(\Gamma \geq t) \\ &\leq \sum_{t \leq C \log d} \mathbb{P}(\Gamma \geq t) + \sum_{t > C \log d} \alpha^t = O(\log d) + O(1) = O(\log d), \end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from Lemma 3.9. \square

APPENDIX B. PROOF OF LEMMA 5.3

Proof of Theorem 5.3. In this proof, we only treat the case where our choice in Step 1 was a cornerstone v, v' with y as the choice of the common neighbor: the other cases can be deduced similarly via a slightly simpler proof. Let Λ be the random variable associated to the number of rounds that Step 4 lasts. We consider the following stopping times: let τ_1 be the first time at which all of $N[v]$ is colored blue, let τ_2 be the first time after τ_1 (i.e. $\tau_2 \geq \tau_1$) at which all of $N[y]$ is colored blue, and let τ_3 be the first time after τ_2 (i.e. $\tau_3 \geq \tau_2$) at which all of $N[v']$ is colored blue. Let $\Lambda_1 = \tau_1$, $\Lambda_2 = \tau_2 - \tau_1$, and $\Lambda_3 = \tau_3 - \tau_2$. By Theorem 3.8, we have that $\mathbb{E}[\Lambda_1]$ is at most $\text{ept}(S_{d(v)}, \{w\})$, where $d(v)$ is the degree of v and $S_{d(v)}$ is a star on $d(v) + 1$ vertices centered on a vertex w . By Corollary 3.10, we obtain

$$\mathbb{E}[\Lambda_1] = O(\log d(v)) + O(1) = O(\log(d_S + d_T)) + O(1),$$

where the term $O(1)$ accounts for the case where $d(v) = 1$. We obtain similar bounds for $\mathbb{E}[\Lambda_2]$ and $\mathbb{E}[\Lambda_3]$, from which we conclude that

$$\mathbb{E}[\Lambda] = \mathbb{E}[\Lambda_1] + \mathbb{E}[\Lambda_2] + \mathbb{E}[\Lambda_3] = O(\log(d_S + d_T)) + O(1).$$

\square

ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FEDERALE DE LAUSANNE (EPFL), CH-1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND
Email address: mehdi.jelassi@epfl.ch

ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FEDERALE DE LAUSANNE (EPFL), CH-1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND
Email address: julien.portier@epfl.ch

ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FEDERALE DE LAUSANNE (EPFL), CH-1015 LAUSANNE, SWITZERLAND
Email address: rik.sarkar@epfl.ch