

Localization of spectral Turán-type theorems

M. Rajesh Kannan, Hitesh Kumar, Shivaramakrishna Pragada

Abstract

Let G be a graph, and let v and e be a vertex and an edge of G , respectively. Define $c(v)$ (resp. $c(e)$) to be the order of the largest clique in G containing v (resp. e). Denote the adjacency eigenvalues of G by $\lambda_1 \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n$. We study localized refinements of spectral Turán-type theorems by replacing global parameters such as the clique number $\omega(G)$, size m and order n of G with local quantities $c(v)$ and $c(e)$.

Motivated by a conjecture of Elphick, Linz and Wocjan (2024), we first propose a vertex-localized strengthening of Wilf’s inequality:

$$\sqrt{s^+(G)} \leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c(v)}\right),$$

where $s^+(G) = \sum_{\lambda_i > 0} \lambda_i^2$. Inspired by the Bollobás-Nikiforov conjecture (2007) on the first two eigenvalues, we then introduce an edge-localized analogue:

$$\lambda_1^2(G) + \lambda_2^2(G) \leq \sum_{e \in E(G)} 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{c(e)}\right).$$

As evidence of their validity, we verify the above conjectures for diamond-free graphs and random graphs. We also propose strengthening of the spectral versions of the Erdős, Stone and Simonovits Theorem by replacing the spectral radius with $\sqrt{s^+(G)}$ and establish it for all F -free graphs with $\chi(F) = 3$. A key ingredient in our proofs is a general upper bound relating $\sqrt{s^+(G)}$ to the triangle count $t(G)$. Finally, we prove a localized version of Nikiforov’s walk inequality and conjecture a stronger localized version. These results contribute to the broader program of localizing spectral extremal inequalities.

Keywords: Localization, Turán-type theorems, Spectral radius, Second largest eigenvalue, Square energy, Clique number.

MSC: 05C50, 05C35, 15A42.

1 Introduction

1.1 Notation

We use standard graph theory notation and terminology throughout the paper. We assume all graphs are simple and connected unless specified otherwise. Let $G = (V(G), E(G))$ be a graph of order n and size m . Let $t(G)$, $\Delta(G)$, $\omega(G)$ and $\chi(G)$ denote the number of triangles, maximum degree, clique number and chromatic number of G , respectively. For a graph F , we say that G

is F -free if G does not contain F as a subgraph (not necessarily induced). Let $\deg(v)$ denote the degree of vertex v in G . For an edge $e \in E(G)$ (or a vertex $v \in V(G)$), we will denote by $c(e)$ (resp. $c(v)$) the order of the largest clique in G containing the edge e (resp. the vertex v). The *adjacency matrix* of G is an $n \times n$ matrix $A(G) = [a_{uv}]$, where $a_{uv} = 1$ if u and v are adjacent, and 0 otherwise. The *eigenvalues* of G are the eigenvalues of $A(G)$. Since $A(G)$ is a real symmetric matrix, all eigenvalues of $A(G)$ are real and can be listed as $\lambda_1(G) \geq \dots \geq \lambda_n(G)$. Let

$$s^+(G) = \sum_{\lambda_i > 0} \lambda_i^2(G) \text{ and } s^-(G) = \sum_{\lambda_i < 0} \lambda_i^2(G).$$

We call $s^+(G)$ (resp. $s^-(G)$) the *positive* (resp. *negative*) *square energy* of G . Let n^+ and n^- denote the number of positive and negative eigenvalues of $A(G)$, respectively.

For a graph G , we can assign a (non-negative) *weight function* $w : E(G) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{\geq 0}$ to its edges and define a *weighted adjacency matrix* $W(G) = [w_{uv}]$, where $w_{uv} = w(uv)$ if u and v are adjacent, and $w_{uv} = 0$ otherwise. For an integer $r \geq 1$ and a vertex $v \in V(G)$, denote by $w_r(v)$ the number of walks with r vertices starting from v in G . The total number of r -walks in G is then given by

$$w_r(G) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} w_r(v).$$

1.2 Known results

One of the central themes in graph theory is understanding how local constraints influence global structure. A classic example is determining the maximum number of edges a graph can have while avoiding a specific forbidden subgraph. This area of study, known as extremal graph theory, seeks to characterize such optimal graphs under given restrictions. A foundational result in this field is Tuán's Theorem, which provides an exact bound on the number of edges in a graph that does not contain a complete subgraph $K_{\omega+1}$.

Theorem 1.1 (Tuán's Theorem). *For any graph G , we have*

$$m \leq \frac{n^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega}\right).$$

Equality holds if and only if G is a complete regular ω -partite graph.

Wilf [31] obtained the following spectral generalization of Tuán's result.

Theorem 1.2 (Wilf's Inequality [31]). *For any graph G , we have*

$$\lambda_1(G) \leq n \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega}\right).$$

Equality holds if and only if G is a complete regular ω -partite graph.

Wilf's Inequality is stronger than Tuán's Theorem since the spectral radius is always larger than the average degree of the graph, i.e., $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{2m}{n}$.

Furthermore, Edwards and Elphick [8] conjectured the following generalization, which was later proved by Nikiforov [27], now known as the spectral Tuán's Theorem.

Theorem 1.3 (Spectral Tuán's Theorem [27]). *For any graph G , we have*

$$\lambda_1^2(G) \leq 2m \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega}\right).$$

The following extension of Turán's Theorem to F -free graphs is well-known.

Theorem 1.4 (Erdős-Stone-Simonovits Theorem [12, 13]). *For any F -free graph G where $\chi(F) \geq 3$, we have*

$$m \leq \frac{n^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(F) - 1} + o(1)\right).$$

Nikiforov [28] established the spectral version of the above theorem in the spirit of Wilf's Inequality.

Theorem 1.5 ([28]). *For any F -free graph G where $\chi(F) \geq 3$, we have*

$$\lambda_1(G) \leq n \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(F) - 1} + o(1)\right).$$

Recently, Li, Liu and Zhang [20] proved an edge-spectral generalization of Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem.

Theorem 1.6 ([20]). *For any F -free graph G where $\chi(F) \geq 3$. Then*

$$\lambda_1^2(G) \leq 2m \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(F) - 1} + o(1)\right).$$

Recently, there has been an interest in generalizing classical results by assigning a weight function to the edges (resp. vertices) of the graph and then proving a bound on this weight function which implies the classical result. This is called *edge localization* (resp. *vertex localization*). Malec and Tompkins [25] edge-localized a wide range of classical results in graph theory, and many interesting follow-up papers have appeared, see [1, 4, 18, 34, 33]. Tuán's Theorem was edge-localized independently by Bradač [7] and Malec and Tompkins [25]. Recently, Adak and Chandran [2] vertex-localized Tuán's Theorem. Liu and Ning [24] obtained the following edge localization of the spectral Tuán's Theorem.

Theorem 1.7 (Edge-localized Spectral Tuán's Theorem [24]). *For any graph G , we have*

$$\lambda_1^2(G) \leq \sum_{e \in E(G)} 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{c(e)}\right).$$

We note here that in Theorems 1.3 and 1.7, equality holds if and only if G is a complete bipartite graph when $\omega = 2$ or a regular complete ω -partite graph when $\omega \geq 3$.

Recently, Liu and Ning generalized Theorem 1.7 to weighted graphs, which implies the results of Bradač, Malec and Tompkins, and Adak and Chandran for Tuán's Theorem.

Theorem 1.8 (Weighted Edge-localized Spectral Tuán's Theorem [23]). *For any connected graph G with weighted adjacency matrix $W(G)$, we have*

$$\lambda_1^2(W(G)) \leq \sum_{e \in E(G)} 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{c(e)}\right) w(e)^2.$$

1.3 Our contribution

Elphick, Linz and Wocjan [10] proposed the following generalization of Wilf's Inequality.

Conjecture 1.1 ([10]). *For any graph G , we have*

$$\sqrt{s^+(G)} \leq n \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega}\right).$$

In [10], the authors have verified the above conjecture for weakly-perfect graphs, Kneser graphs, triangle-free graphs and random graphs. We prove a weaker but non-trivial upper bound for $\sqrt{s^+}$ of any graph G as follows.

Theorem 1.9. *Let G be a graph of order n and clique number ω . Then*

$$\sqrt{s^+(G)} \leq n \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\omega - 2}\right).$$

Next, we propose a vertex-localized version of the above conjecture.

Conjecture 1.2. *For any graph G , we have*

$$\sqrt{s^+(G)} \leq \sum_{v \in V} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c(v)}\right).$$

We present a case for this conjecture by proving it for diamond-free graphs (Theorem 3.1), and almost all graphs using Erdős-Rényi random graph $G(n, 1/2)$ (Theorem 3.3). We have also computationally verified this conjecture for graphs up to 9 vertices [here](#). To prove Conjecture 1.2 for diamond-free graphs, we first establish the following general upper bound for s^+ in terms of the number of triangles $t(G)$, which we believe is of independent interest.

Theorem 1.10. *Let G be a graph of order n , size m , and $t(G)$ be the number of triangles in G . Then*

$$\sqrt{s^+(G)} \leq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{3t(G)}{\lambda_1^2(G)}.$$

Equality holds if and only if G is a complete bipartite graph.

For the F -free graphs, we propose a strengthening of Nikiforov's Theorem 1.5 by replacing λ_1 with $\sqrt{s^+}$.

Conjecture 1.3. *For any graph F -free graph G with chromatic number $\chi(F) \geq 3$, we have*

$$\sqrt{s^+(G)} \leq n \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(F) - 1} + o(1)\right).$$

We prove this conjecture for F -free graphs with $\chi(F) = 3$ (Theorem 3.2).

Bollobás and Nikiforov [6] proposed to improve Theorem 1.3 by introducing λ_2 .

Conjecture 1.4 (Bollobás - Nikiforov Conjecture [6]). *For any graph $G \neq K_n$, we have*

$$\lambda_1^2(G) + \lambda_2^2(G) \leq 2m \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega}\right).$$

The above conjecture has been verified for weakly perfect graphs by Ando and Lin [3], triangle-free graphs by Lin, Ning and Wu [21], regular graphs by Zhang [32], and random graphs by Liu and Bu [22]. Motivated by the recent localization results, it is only natural to ask if the following edge-localized refinement of the Bollobás-Nikiforov Conjecture is true.

Conjecture 1.5. *For any graph $G \neq K_n$, we have*

$$\lambda_1^2(G) + \lambda_2^2(G) \leq \sum_{e \in E(G)} 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{c(e)}\right).$$

Clearly, Conjecture 1.5 is true for triangle-free graphs. As evidence for this conjecture, we prove it for the next non-trivial case of diamond-free graphs (Theorem 4.3) whenever $t(G) \notin \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, and random graphs (Theorem 4.5). We have computationally verified this conjecture for graphs up to 9 vertices [here](#).

One can also consider a version of the Bollobás-Nikiforov conjecture for the F -free graphs.

Conjecture 1.6. *For any graph F -free graph G with chromatic number $\chi(F) \geq 3$, we have*

$$\lambda_1^2(G) + \lambda_2^2(G) \leq 2m \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(F) - 1} + o(1)\right).$$

We prove this statement for $\chi(F) = 3$ (Theorem 4.4).

Nikiforov [27] gave an upper bound on λ_1 using the number of r -walks in the graph.

Theorem 1.11 (Nikiforov's Walk Inequality [27]). *Let G be a graph. For any integer $r \geq 1$, we have*

$$\lambda_1^r(G) \leq w_r(G) \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega}\right).$$

Equality holds if and only if one of the following holds:

- (i) *If $\omega > 2$, then G is a regular ω -partite graph.*
- (ii) *If $\omega = 2$, then G is a complete bipartite graph, and if r is odd, then G is regular.*

When $r = 1$, Theorem 1.11 implies Theorem 1.2 since $w_1(G) = n$. When $r = 2$, Theorem 1.11 implies Theorem 1.3 since $w_2(G) = 2m$. We obtain a localized strengthening of Nikiforov's inequality.

Theorem 1.12. *For any integer $r \geq 1$, we have*

$$\lambda_1^r(G) \leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} w_r(v) \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c(v)}} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{\omega}}.$$

The equality case is similar to that of Theorem 1.11.

Although Theorem 1.12 is localized, we believe that the following stronger localized version is true.

Conjecture 1.7. *For any integer $r \geq 1$, we have*

$$\lambda_1^r(G) \leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} w_r(v) \frac{c(v) - 1}{c(v)}.$$

1.4 Organization of the paper

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we collect some known results about Motzkin-Straus type theorems, majorization, triangle-counting and random graphs, that will be useful later. Section 3 is devoted to Wilf-type results while in Section 4 we deal with generalizations of Bollobás-Nikiforov conjecture. Finally, in Section 5 we focus on inequalities involving walks.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Weighted Motzkin-Straus type theorems

Consider a graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ with $|V|$, the number of vertices, equals n . Let S denote the standard simplex given by

$$S = \left\{ x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \sum_{v \in V(G)} x_v = 1, x_v \geq 0, v \in V(G) \right\}.$$

For a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, the *support* of x is given by $\text{supp}(x) = \{v \in V(G) : x_v \neq 0\}$. Motzkin-Strauss [26] established the following remarkable result.

Theorem 2.1 ([26]). *Let G be a graph with adjacency matrix $A(G)$ and clique number ω . For any $x \in S$, we have*

$$x^T A(G) x \leq 1 - \frac{1}{\omega}.$$

Equality holds if and only if $\text{supp}(x)$ induces a complete ω -partite graph.

Instead of working with $A(G)$, one can also work with a weighted (non-negative weights) adjacency matrix $W(G) = [w_{uv}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$ of the given graph G , and maximize the quantity $F_G(x) := x^T W(G) x$ on the simplex S . For the weight matrix $W(G) = [w_{uv}]$, where $w_{uv} = \frac{c(uv)}{c(uv)-1}$, it was shown in [7, 24]) that $F_G(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in S$ and equality holds if and only if $\text{supp}(x)$ induces a complete ω -partite graph. Recently, Liu and Ning [23] established the following.

Lemma 2.1 ([23]). *Let $W(G) = [w_{uv}]$ be a weighted matrix where $w_{uv} = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{c(u)}{c(u)-1} + \frac{c(v)}{c(v)-1} \right)$. Then $F_G(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in S$, and equality holds if and only if $\text{supp}(x)$ induces a complete ω -partite graph whose vertex classes V_1, \dots, V_ω satisfy $\sum_{v \in V_i} x_v = \frac{1}{\omega}$.*

We observe the following corollary, which we require later.

Lemma 2.2. Let $W(G) = [w_{uv}]$ be a weighted matrix where $w_{uv} = \sqrt{\frac{c(u)c(v)}{(c(u)-1)(c(v)-1)}}$. Then $F_G(x) \leq 1$ for all $x \in S$, and equality holds if and only if $\text{supp}(x)$ induces a complete ω -partite graph whose vertex classes V_1, \dots, V_ω satisfy $\sum_{v \in V_i} x_v = \frac{1}{\omega}$.

Proof. Let $x \in S$. Using AM-GM inequality and Lemma 2.1, we get

$$x^T W(G) x = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} 2 \sqrt{\frac{c(u)c(v)}{(c(u)-1)(c(v)-1)}} x_u x_v \leq \sum_{uv \in E(G)} \left(\frac{c(u)}{c(u)-1} + \frac{c(v)}{c(v)-1} \right) x_u x_v \leq 1.$$

It is easily seen that the equality case is similar to that of Lemma 2.1. \square

2.2 Majorization

For a vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, let x^\downarrow denote the vector obtained by rearranging the entries of x in the non-increasing order. Given two vectors $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we say that x is *weakly majorized* by y , if

$$\sum_{j=1}^k x_j^\downarrow \leq \sum_{j=1}^k y_j^\downarrow$$

for all $1 \leq k \leq n$, and is denoted by $x \prec_w y$. Moreover, if $x \prec_w y$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n x_i^\downarrow = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^\downarrow$, then we say that x is *majorized* by y , and is denoted by $x \prec y$. For $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $1 \leq p < \infty$, define $\|x\|_p = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i|^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}$.

Theorem 2.2 ([21]). Let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, $y = (y_1, \dots, y_n) \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$. If $y \prec_w x$, then

$$\|y\|_p \leq \|x\|_p$$

for any real number $p > 1$, and equality holds if and only if $x = y$.

2.3 Triangle-counting

One way to count triangles in a graph is by counting the number of edges in vertex-neighbourhoods.

Lemma 2.3. For every graph G , the number of triangles $t(G)$ in G is given by

$$t(G) = \frac{1}{3} \sum_{v \in V(G)} m(G[N(v)]),$$

where $m(G[N(v)])$ denotes the number of edges in the subgraph induced by $N(v)$.

Let F and H be graphs. Let $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ denote the maximum number of copies of the graph H in an F -free graph of order n . We mention the following general result concerning F -free graphs.

Theorem 2.3 ([15], Theorem 2). Let H and F be graphs and assume $\chi(F) = k$. Then

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F) \leq \text{ex}(n, H, K_k) + o(n^{|V(H)|}).$$

Let $\text{mex}(m, H, F)$ denote the maximum number of copies of the graph H in an F -free graph of size m . We state a similar counting result as above, but in terms of the size.

Theorem 2.4 ([29], Corollary 1.2). *Let $r \geq 3$ be an integer and F be a graph. If $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F) = o(n^s)$ for some $1 < s \leq r$, then $\text{mex}(m, K_r, F) = o\left(m^{\frac{(r-1)s}{r+s-2}}\right)$.*

We require the following corollary of the above theorems when H is a triangle.

Corollary 2.1. *Let F be a fixed graph with $\chi(F) = 3$. Then*

$$(i) \quad \text{ex}(n, K_3, F) \leq o(n^3)$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{mex}(m, K_3, F) \leq o(m^{3/2})$$

Proof. For (i), taking $H = K_3$ in Theorem 2.3 and noting that $\text{ex}(n, K_3, K_3) = 0$, completes the proof. For (ii), by the first assertion, we have $\text{ex}(n, K_3, F) \leq o(n^3)$, implying that for some $\epsilon > 0$, we have $\text{ex}(n, K_3, F) = o(n^{3-\epsilon})$. Now taking $r = 3$ and $s = 3 - \epsilon$ in Theorem 2.4, gives $\text{mex}(m, K_3, F) = o\left(m^{\frac{6-2\epsilon}{4-\epsilon}}\right) \leq o(m^{3/2})$. \square

We also require the following well-known result of Erdős and Gallai [11].

Theorem 2.5 (Erdős-Gallai [11]). *For a graph G of order n and size m with no P_k as a subgraph, we have*

$$m \leq \frac{n(k-2)}{2}.$$

2.4 Random graphs

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $0 < p < 1$, the Erdős-Renyi random graph $G(n, p)$ is a probability space over the set of graphs with vertex set $V(G) = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ such that whenever $i < j$, the event that v_i is adjacent to v_j occurs with probability p , and these events are mutually independent.

Consider a symmetric matrix $A = [a_{ij}]$ of order n such that $a_{ii} = 0$ for all i and a_{ij} 's are independent random variables whenever $i < j$ with probability mass function given by:

$$\mathbb{P}(a_{ij} = 1) = p \text{ and } \mathbb{P}(a_{ij} = 0) = 1 - p,$$

where $0 < p < 1$. Then A is the adjacency matrix associated with the random graph $G(n, p)$. The eigenvalues of $G(n, p)$ are then defined to be the eigenvalues of A .

We say that a property Λ holds for $G(n, p)$ *asymptotically almost surely* (a.a.s. for short) if

$$\mathbb{P}(\Lambda \text{ holds for } G(n, p)) \rightarrow 1 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

The following is known about the first and the second eigenvalue of random graphs.

Theorem 2.6. *For the random graph $G = G(n, p)$, we have:*

$$(i) \quad ([17]) \quad \lambda_1(G) = (p + o(1))n \text{ a.a.s.}$$

$$(ii) \text{ ([14]) } \lambda_2(G) \leq 2 \left(\sqrt{p(1-p)} + o(1) \right) \sqrt{n}.$$

The clique number of random graphs is given by the following well-known result.

Theorem 2.7 ([16, 5]). *For the random graph $G = G(n, p)$, a.a.s we have*

$$\omega(G) = \left(\frac{2}{\log(\frac{1}{p})} + o(1) \right) \log n.$$

Using Wigner's semicircle law [30], one can determine s^+ and s^- of the random graph $G(n, 1/2)$ as was done in [9].

Theorem 2.8 ([9]). *For the random graph $G = G(n, p)$, a.a.s we have*

$$s^+(G) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{3}{4} + o(1) \right) n^2 \text{ and } s^-(G) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{4} + o(1) \right) n^2.$$

3 Wilf-type inequalities

3.1 Some general upper bounds

Firstly, we give an upper bound on $\sqrt{s^+}$ in terms of the number of triangles in the graph, thus proving Theorem 1.10.

Proof of Theorem 1.10. It is well-known that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^3 = \sum_{\lambda_i > 0} \lambda_i^3 - \sum_{\lambda_i < 0} |\lambda_i|^3 = 6t(G).$$

We have

$$s^- \geq \frac{\sum_{\lambda_i < 0} |\lambda_i|^3}{|\lambda_n|} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n^+} \lambda_i^3 - 6t(G)}{|\lambda_n|} \geq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n^+} \lambda_i^3 - 6t(G)}{\lambda_1} \geq \lambda_1^2 - \frac{6t(G)}{\lambda_1},$$

which gives

$$\lambda_1 \leq \sqrt{s^- + \frac{6t(G)}{\lambda_1}}.$$

Thus,

$$\sqrt{s^+} = \frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_1} \sqrt{s^+} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \sqrt{s^- + \frac{6t(G)}{\lambda_1}} \sqrt{s^+} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda_1} \frac{2m + \frac{6t(G)}{\lambda_1}}{2} = \frac{n}{2} + \frac{3t(G)}{\lambda_1^2},$$

proving the desired inequality.

It is clear from above that if equality holds, then $\lambda_1 = |\lambda_n|$, implying G is bipartite. Thus $s^+ = s^- = m$. Now, $\sqrt{s^+} = \frac{n}{2}$ only if G is complete bipartite. This completes the proof. \square

Owing to the difficulty of proving Conjecture 1.1 and 1.2, it is of interest to determine a non-trivial function $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sqrt{s^+(G)} \leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{f(c(v))}\right).$$

We show that $f(\omega) = 2\omega$ is sufficient.

Proposition 3.1. *For a graph G , we have*

$$\sqrt{s^+(G)} \leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2c(v)}\right).$$

Proof. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^{|V(G)|}$ be the vector such that $y_v = \sqrt{\frac{c(v)-1}{c(v)}}$ for all $v \in V(G)$. Now

$$F_G(y) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} 2\sqrt{\frac{c(u)c(v)}{(c(u)-1)(c(v)-1)}} y_u y_v = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} 2 = 2m.$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we have

$$F_G(y) \leq \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} y_v\right)^2 = \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c(v)}}\right)^2.$$

Since $s^+ + s^- = 2m$, we have

$$\sqrt{s^+} \leq \sqrt{2m} \leq \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} \sqrt{1 - \frac{1}{c(v)}}\right) \leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2c(v)}\right). \quad \square$$

For Conjecture 1.1, we can improve the function to $f(\omega) = 2\omega - 2$, which we do below.

Proof of Theorem 1.9. For every vertex $v \in V(G)$, the subgraph induced by the open neighbourhood of v , i.e., $G[N(v)]$ is $K_{c(v)}$ -free and has at most $\frac{\deg(v)^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c(v)-1}\right)$ edges by Turán's Theorem. Using Lemma 2.3, we get

$$t(G) \leq \sum_{v \in V} \frac{\deg(v)^2}{6} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c(v)-1}\right). \quad (1)$$

Since $\sum_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v)^2 \leq n\lambda_1^2$ for any graph G , we get

$$t(G) \leq \sum_{v \in V} \frac{\deg(v)^2}{6} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega-1}\right) \leq \frac{n\lambda_1^2}{6} \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega-1}\right).$$

Using Lemma 1.10, we see

$$\sqrt{s^+} \leq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{3t(G)}{\lambda_1^2} \leq n \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\omega-2}\right),$$

completing the proof. \square

For regular graphs, the following is immediate from the proof of Theorem 1.9.

Proposition 3.2. *If G is a regular graph, then*

$$\sqrt{s^+(G)} \leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2c(v) - 2}\right).$$

3.2 Diamond-free graphs

Conjecture 1.2 is equivalent to Conjecture 1.1 for triangle-free graphs and hence true. We make further progress by proving Conjecture 1.2 for diamond-free graphs.

Theorem 3.1. *Let G be a diamond-free graph of order $n \geq 42$. Then*

$$\sqrt{s^+(G)} \leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c(v)}\right).$$

Proof. Observe that we can write the right-hand side of the inequality as follows:

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c(v)}\right) = \frac{n}{2} + \sum_{v \in V(G)} \left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{c(v)}\right). \quad (2)$$

Since $c(v) \geq 2$ for every vertex v , this gives that the right-hand side of the inequality in the assertion is always at least $n/2$.

If G is triangle-free, then $t(G) = 0$ and thus Theorem 1.10 implies $\sqrt{s^+} \leq \frac{n}{2}$. So, assume $t(G) \geq 1$. Since G is diamond-free, it follows that $\omega(G) = 3$ and $c(v) \leq 3$ for all vertices $v \in V$.

If $\lambda_1(G) \leq \frac{n}{4}$, then $\sqrt{s^+} \leq \sqrt{n\lambda_1} \leq \frac{n}{2}$, and we are done. So assume $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{n}{4}$.

Since G is diamond-free, for every vertex $v \in V(G)$, the induced subgraph $G[N(v)]$ is P_3 -free and has at most $\frac{\deg(v)}{2}$ edges by Theorem 2.5. Using Lemma 2.3, we get

$$t(G) \leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} \frac{\deg(v)}{2} = \frac{m}{3}.$$

Applying Theorem 1.10 and using the fact that $2m \leq n\lambda_1$, we have

$$\sqrt{s^+} \leq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{3t(G)}{\lambda_1^2} \leq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{m}{\lambda_1^2} \leq \frac{n}{2} + 2. \quad (3)$$

Define the set of *triangular vertices* in G by

$$TV(G) = \{v \in V(G) : c(v) = 3\},$$

and let $tv(G) = |TV(G)|$. We consider the following cases:

Case 1: $tv(G) \geq 12$.

Then

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c(v)}\right) \geq \frac{n}{2} + 2,$$

and the assertion holds by (3).

Case 2: $tv(G) \leq 11$.

Note that $3t(G) \leq \frac{tv(G)(tv(G)-1)}{2} \leq \frac{11 \cdot 10}{2}$, implying $t(G) \leq \lfloor \frac{110}{6} \rfloor = 18$. Using (3), we have

$$\sqrt{s^+} \leq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{3t(G)}{\lambda_1^2} \leq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{3 \cdot 18}{n^2/4^2} \leq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2},$$

whenever $n \geq 42$. Since $t(G) \geq 1$, by (2) we have

$$\sum_{v \in V(G)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{c(v)}\right) \geq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{1}{2},$$

completing the proof. □

3.3 F -free graphs

Theorem 3.2. *For any F -free graph G with chromatic number $\chi(F) = 3$, we have*

$$\sqrt{s^+(G)} \leq n \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1) \right).$$

Proof. If $\lambda_1 \leq \frac{n}{4}$, then $\sqrt{s^+} \leq \sqrt{n\lambda_1} \leq \frac{n}{2}$, and the assertion holds. So assume $\lambda_1 \geq \frac{n}{4}$.

Using Corollary 2.1, we have $t(G) \leq o(n^3)$. Using Theorem 1.10, we have

$$\sqrt{s^+} \leq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{3t(G)}{\lambda_1^2} \leq \frac{n}{2} + \frac{o(n^3)}{n^2} = n \left(\frac{1}{2} + o(1) \right). \quad \square$$

3.4 Random graphs

Here, we prove Conjecture 1.2 for the random graph $G(n, \frac{1}{2})$.

Theorem 3.3. *For the random graph $G = G(n, \frac{1}{2})$, we have*

$$\sqrt{s^+(G)} \leq \sum_{v \in V(G)} \frac{c(v) - 1}{c(v)}.$$

Proof. Note that for any vertex $v \in V(G)$, $\deg(v) = (\frac{1}{2} + o(1))n$ a.a.s, and so

$$c(v) = \omega(G[N(v)]) = \omega(G(n/2, 1/2)) = \frac{2 \log(n/2)}{\log(2)}$$

a.a.s. Using Theorem 2.8, we see that a.a.s

$$\sqrt{s^+} \leq n \sqrt{\frac{3}{8} + o(1)} \leq n \left(1 - \frac{\log(2)}{2 \log(n/2)} \right) = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \frac{c(v) - 1}{c(v)}. \quad \square$$

4 Generalizations of Bollobás-Nikiforov conjecture

4.1 Diamond-free graphs

In this section, we prove Conjecture 1.5 for diamond-free graphs, which is the next non-trivial case after triangle-free graphs. Kumar and Pragada [19] have shown that if diamond-free graphs with at least 34 edges, then Conjecture 1.4 holds. We first show here that Conjecture 1.4 holds for all diamond-free graphs, as this is required later in the proof.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a diamond-free graph. Then*

$$\lambda_1^2(G) + \lambda_2^2(G) \leq 2m \left(1 - \frac{1}{\omega}\right).$$

Proof. We can assume that $n \geq 5$. Since G is not a complete graph, $\lambda_2 \geq 0$. Clearly, $\omega \leq 3$. The assertion is known to be true for triangle-free graphs, so we can assume that $\omega = 3$. Suppose to the contrary that $\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 > \frac{4m}{3}$. Then,

$$\sum_{\lambda_i < 0} \lambda_i^2 \leq 2m - (\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2) < \frac{2m}{3}.$$

Now, we count the number of triangles in G in two different ways. As in the proof of Theorem 3.1, we have $t(G) \leq \frac{m}{3}$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} 2m &\geq 6t(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^3 \\ &\geq \lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^3 - \sum_{\lambda_i < 0} |\lambda_i^3| \\ &\geq \frac{(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2)^{3/2}}{\sqrt{2}} - \left(\sum_{\lambda_i < 0} |\lambda_i^2| \right)^{3/2} \\ &> \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{4m}{3} \right)^{3/2} - \left(\frac{2m}{3} \right)^{3/2} \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction whenever $m \geq 14$. If $m \leq 13$, then $n \leq 14$ since G is connected, and the assertion is verified using a computer [here](#). \square

In [19], the following general bound was established for $\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2$ in terms of the number of triangles.

Theorem 4.2 ([19]). *Let G be a graph of size m and number of triangles $t(G)$. Then*

$$\lambda_1^2(G) + \lambda_2^2(G) < m + (3t(G))^{2/3}.$$

For diamond-free graphs, we improve the coefficient of $t(G)$ in the upper bound given in Theorem 4.2. Our proof relies on majorization, refer to Subsection 2.2.

Lemma 4.1. *Let G be a diamond-free graph of size m and number of triangles $t(G)$. Then*

$$\lambda_1^2(G) + \lambda_2^2(G) \leq m + \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} t(G) \right)^{2/3}.$$

Proof. We can assume that $n \geq 5$ and $\lambda_2 \geq 0$. If $\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 \leq m$, then we are done. So suppose $\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 = m + \delta$, for some $\delta > 0$. By Theorem 4.1, $\delta \leq m/3$, implying

$$\lambda_1^2 \geq \frac{\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2}{2} = \frac{m + \delta}{2} \geq 2\delta. \quad (4)$$

Since $\sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 = 2m$, we have

$$2(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2) = 2m + 2\delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^2 + 2\delta,$$

which implies

$$\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 = \sum_{i=3}^n \lambda_i^2 + 2\delta \geq \sum_{\lambda_i < 0} \lambda_i^2 + 2\delta. \quad (5)$$

Let $x = (\lambda_1^2, \lambda_2^2, 0, \dots, 0)^T$ and $y = (\lambda_n^2, \lambda_{n-1}^2, \dots, \lambda_{n-n^-+1}^2, 2\delta)^T$ in \mathbb{R}^{n^-+1} , where n^- denotes the number of negative eigenvalues of G . Clearly, $\lambda_1^2 \geq \max\{\lambda_n^2, 2\delta\}$ by (4). Then, using (5) we see that $y \prec_w x$. Applying Theorem 2.2 with $p = \frac{3}{2}$, we get $\|x\|_{3/2}^{3/2} \geq \|y\|_{3/2}^{3/2}$, that is,

$$\lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^3 \geq \sum_{\lambda_i < 0} |\lambda_i|^3 + (2\delta)^{3/2}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 6t(G) &= \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^3 \geq \lambda_1^3 + \lambda_2^3 - \sum_{\lambda_i < 0} |\lambda_i|^3 \\ &\geq (2\delta)^{3/2} = 2\sqrt{2}(\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 - m)^{3/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Upon rearrangement, we get the desired inequality. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a diamond-free graph of size m and number of triangles $t(G)$. If $t(G) \notin \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, then*

$$\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 \leq \sum_{e \in E(G)} 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{c(e)} \right).$$

Proof. If $t(G) = 0$, then the assertion is clear. So assume $t(G) \geq 5$.

Since G is diamond-free, for any $e \in E(G)$, $c(e) \in \{2, 3\}$. Moreover, if $c(e) = 3$, then e is contained in a unique triangle. Thus, we have

$$\sum_{e \in E(G)} 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{c(e)} \right) = m + \sum_{e \in E(G)} \left(1 - \frac{2}{c(e)} \right) = m + t(G). \quad (6)$$

Using Theorem 4.2, we have

$$\lambda_1^2 + \lambda_2^2 \leq m + \left(\frac{3}{\sqrt{2}} t(G) \right)^{2/3} \leq m + t(G),$$

whenever $t(G) \geq 5$. □

When $t(G) \in \{1, 2, 3, 4\}$, Theorem 1.10 gives an upper bound which is only slightly worse than $m + t(G)$.

4.2 F -free graphs

Theorem 4.4. *Let F be a fixed graph with $\chi(F) = 3$. Then every F -free graph G of size m satisfies*

$$\lambda_1^2(G) + \lambda_2^2(G) \leq m(1 + o(1)).$$

Proof. Using Corollary 2.1, we have $t(G) \leq o(m^{3/2})$. Using Theorem 4.2, we get

$$\lambda_1^2(G) + \lambda_2^2(G) \leq m + (3t(G))^{2/3} \leq m + o(m) = m(1 + o(1)). \quad \square$$

4.3 Random graphs

Here, we verify Conjecture 1.5 for the random graph $G(n, \frac{1}{2})$, thus showing that it is true for almost all graphs.

Theorem 4.5. *For the random graph $G = G(n, \frac{1}{2})$, we have*

$$\lambda_1^2(G) + \lambda_2^2(G) \leq \sum_{e \in E(G)} 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{c(e)} \right).$$

Proof. Observe that for any edge $uv \in E(G)$, we have

$$c(uv) = \omega(G[N(u) \cap N(v)]) = \omega(G(n/4, 1/2)) = \frac{2 \log(n/4)}{\log 2}$$

a.a.s. Using Theorem 2.8, we have a.a.s.

$$s^+ \leq n^2 \left(\frac{3}{8} + o(1) \right) \leq \frac{n^2}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\log 2}{2 \log(n/4)} \right) = \sum_{e \in E(G)} 2 \left(1 - \frac{1}{c(e)} \right). \quad \square$$

5 Walk inequalities

In this section, we prove a localized version of Nikiforov's Walk Inequality. First, we observe the following recursive inequality.

Lemma 5.1. *For any integer $r \geq 1$, we have*

$$w_{2r}(G) \leq \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} w_r(v) \sqrt{\frac{c(v)-1}{c(v)}} \right)^2.$$

Proof. Let $y \in \mathbb{R}^{V(G)}$ be the vector such that $y_v = w_r(v) \sqrt{\frac{c(v)-1}{c(v)}}$ for all $v \in V(G)$. Now

$$F_G(y) = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} 2 \sqrt{\frac{c(u)c(v)}{(c(u)-1)(c(v)-1)}} y_u y_v = \sum_{uv \in E(G)} 2w_r(u)w_r(v) = w_{2r}(G).$$

Using Lemma 2.2, we have $F_G(y) \leq \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} y_v \right)^2$ which proves the assertion. \square

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.12.

Proof of Theorem 1.12. Using Nikiforov's Walk Inequality (Theorem 1.11) and Lemma 5.1, we have

$$\lambda_1^{2r} \leq \frac{\omega-1}{\omega} w_{2r}(G) \leq \frac{\omega-1}{\omega} \left(\sum_{v \in V(G)} w_r(v) \sqrt{\frac{c(v)-1}{c(v)}} \right)^2$$

Taking square roots gives the desired result. Equality case is easily seen to be the same as in Theorem 1.11. \square

References

- [1] Rajat Adak and L. Sunil Chandran. Vertex-based localization of erdős-gallai theorems for paths and cycles, 2025. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.01501>, arXiv:2504.01501.
- [2] Rajat Adak and L. Sunil Chandran. Vertex-based localization of turán's theorem, 2025. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.02806>, arXiv:2504.02806.
- [3] Tsuyoshi Ando and Minghua Lin. Proof of a conjectured lower bound on the chromatic number of a graph. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 485:480–484, 2015. doi:10.1016/j.laa.2015.08.007.
- [4] József Balogh, Domagoj Bradač, and Bernard Lidický. Weighted Turán theorems with applications to Ramsey-Turán type of problems. *J. Graph Theory*, 110(1):59–71, 2025. URL: <https://doi-org.proxy.lib.sfu.ca/10.1002/jgt.23244>, doi:10.1002/jgt.23244.
- [5] B. Bollobás and P. Erdős. Cliques in random graphs. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 80(3):419–427, 1976. doi:10.1017/S0305004100053056.
- [6] Béla Bollobás and Vladimir Nikiforov. Cliques and the spectral radius. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 97(5):859–865, 2007. doi:10.1016/j.jctb.2006.12.002.

- [7] Domagoj Bradač. A generalization of turán’s theorem, 2022. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2205.08923>, [arXiv:2205.08923](#).
- [8] C. S. Edwards and C. H. Elphick. Lower bounds for the clique and the chromatic numbers of a graph. *Discrete Appl. Math.*, 5(1):51–64, 1983. doi:10.1016/0166-218X(83)90015-X.
- [9] Clive Elphick and William Linz. Symmetry and asymmetry between positive and negative square energies of graphs, 2024. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.11530>, [arXiv:2311.11530](#).
- [10] Clive Elphick, William Linz, and Pawel Wocjan. Two conjectured strengthenings of Turán’s theorem. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 684:23–36, 2024. doi:10.1016/j.laa.2023.12.010.
- [11] P. Erdős and T. Gallai. On maximal paths and circuits of graphs. *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.*, 10:337–356, 1959. doi:10.1007/BF02024498.
- [12] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits. A limit theorem in graph theory. *Studia Sci. Math. Hungar.*, 1:51–57, 1966.
- [13] P. Erdős and A. H. Stone. On the structure of linear graphs. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 52:1087–1091, 1946. URL: <https://doi-org.proxy.lib.sfu.ca/10.1090/S0002-9904-1946-08715-7>, doi:10.1090/S0002-9904-1946-08715-7.
- [14] Z. Füredi and J. Komlós. The eigenvalues of random symmetric matrices. *Combinatorica*, 1(3):233–241, 1981. doi:10.1007/BF02579329.
- [15] Dániel Gerbner and Cory Palmer. Counting copies of a fixed subgraph in F -free graphs. *European J. Combin.*, 82:103001, 15, 2019. doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2019.103001.
- [16] G. R. Grimmett and C. J. H. McDiarmid. On colouring random graphs. *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.*, 77:313–324, 1975. doi:10.1017/S0305004100051124.
- [17] F. Juhász. On the spectrum of a random graph. In *Algebraic methods in graph theory, Vol. I, II (Szeged, 1978)*, volume 25 of *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, pages 313–316. North-Holland, Amsterdam-New York, 1981.
- [18] Rachel Kirsch and JD Nir. A localized approach to generalized Turán problems. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 31(3):Paper No. 3.34, 28, 2024. URL: <https://doi-org.proxy.lib.sfu.ca/10.37236/12132>, doi:10.37236/12132.
- [19] Hitesh Kumar and Shivaramakrishna Pragada. Bollobás - Nikiforov Conjecture for graphs with not so many triangles. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 727:1–9, 2025. doi:10.1016/j.laa.2025.07.035.
- [20] Yongtao Li, Hong Liu, and Shengtong Zhang. An edge-spectral Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem and its stability, 2025. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2508.15271>, [arXiv:2508.15271](#).
- [21] Huiqiu Lin, Bo Ning, and Baoyindureng Wu. Eigenvalues and triangles in graphs. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 30(2):258–270, 2021. doi:10.1017/S0963548320000462.
- [22] Chunmeng Liu and Changjiang Bu. Bollobás-nikiforov conjecture holds asymptotically almost surely, 2025. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2501.07137>, [arXiv:2501.07137](#).

- [23] Lele Liu and Bo Ning. A new spectral turán theorem for weighted graphs and consequences, 2025. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.26410>, [arXiv:2510.26410](#).
- [24] Lele Liu and Bo Ning. Local properties of the spectral radius and Perron vector in graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 176:241–253, 2026. URL: <https://doi-org.proxy.lib.sfu.ca/10.1016/j.jctb.2025.09.001>, [doi:10.1016/j.jctb.2025.09.001](#).
- [25] David Malec and Casey Tompkins. Localized versions of extremal problems. *European J. Combin.*, 112:Paper No. 103715, 11, 2023. [doi:10.1016/j.ejc.2023.103715](#).
- [26] T. S. Motzkin and E. G. Straus. Maxima for graphs and a new proof of a theorem of Turán. *Canadian J. Math.*, 17:533–540, 1965. [doi:10.4153/CJM-1965-053-6](#).
- [27] V. Nikiforov. Some inequalities for the largest eigenvalue of a graph. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 11(2):179–189, 2002. [doi:10.1017/S0963548301004928](#).
- [28] Vladimir Nikiforov. A spectral Erdős-Stone-Bollobás theorem. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 18(3):455–458, 2009. URL: <https://doi-org.proxy.lib.sfu.ca/10.1017/S0963548309009687>, [doi:10.1017/S0963548309009687](#).
- [29] Yan Wang, Yue Xu, Jiasheng Zeng, and Xiao-Dong Zhang. Generalized turan number with given size, 2025. URL: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2508.00483>, [arXiv:2508.00483](#).
- [30] Eugene P. Wigner. On the distribution of the roots of certain symmetric matrices. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 67:325–327, 1958. [doi:10.2307/1970008](#).
- [31] Herbert S. Wilf. Spectral bounds for the clique and independence numbers of graphs. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 40(1):113–117, 1986. [doi:10.1016/0095-8956\(86\)90069-9](#).
- [32] Shengtong Zhang. On the first two eigenvalues of regular graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 686:102–110, 2024. [doi:10.1016/j.laa.2024.01.002](#).
- [33] Kai Zhao and Xiao-Dong Zhang. A localized approach for Turán number of long cycles. *J. Graph Theory*, 108(3):582–607, 2025. URL: <https://doi-org.proxy.lib.sfu.ca/10.1002/jgt.23191>, [doi:10.1002/jgt.23191](#).
- [34] Kai Zhao and Xiao-Dong Zhang. Localized version of hypergraph Erdős-Gallai theorem. *Discrete Math.*, 348(1):Paper No. 114293, 13, 2025. URL: <https://doi-org.proxy.lib.sfu.ca/10.1016/j.disc.2024.114293>, [doi:10.1016/j.disc.2024.114293](#).

M. Rajesh Kannan, Email: rajeshkannan@math.iith.ac.in, rajeshkannan1.m@gmail.com
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, INDIAN INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY HYDERABAD, SANGAREDDY
 502285, INDIA

Hitesh Kumar, Email: hitesh.kumar.math@gmail.com, hitesh_kumar@sfu.ca
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY, BURNABY, CANADA

Shivaramakrishna Pragada, Email: shivaramakrishna_pragada@sfu.ca, shivaramkratos@gmail.com
 DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SIMON FRASER UNIVERSITY, BURNABY, CANADA