

Counting Lattice Points in Generalized Permutohedra From A to B

Warut Thawinrak*

Abstract

We derive a formula for the number of lattice points in type B generalized permutohedra, providing a concise alternative to the formula obtained recently by Eur, Fink, Larson, and Spink as a result from a study of delta-matroids. Our approach builds upon the existing framework and techniques introduced by Postnikov in his work on type A generalized permutohedra, a family of polytopes interconnected with many mathematical concepts such as matroids and Weyl groups. In particular, we express the number of lattice points in type B generalized permutohedra in terms of Postnikov’s notion of G -draconian sequences, from which their Ehrhart polynomials and volume formula follow as consequences.

Keywords— polytope, generalized permutohedra, lattice point, Ehrhart polynomial

1 Introduction

Type A generalized permutohedra form a rich class of polytopes that arise naturally in contexts such as the theory of matroids and Weyl groups, lying at the intersection of several areas of mathematics. Their geometric and combinatorial properties have been the subject of extensive study. In particular, Postnikov, Reiner, and Williams [10, 11] established a comprehensive framework for type A generalized permutohedra, leading to extensive investigations of their lattice points, facial structures, various combinatorial interpretations, and applications.

Type B generalized permutohedra, by contrast, form a broader class of polytopes encompassing those of type A but remain comparatively less explored. Given the depth and maturity of the results and techniques in the type A setting, it is natural to seek generalization or, in some instances, direct adaptations of these tools to the type B context, thereby uncovering properties that both parallel and extend those known for type A. In this paper, we employ Postnikov’s framework [10] for type A generalized permutohedra to count the lattice points in type B generalized permutohedra. Specifically, by viewing a type B generalized permutohedron in each octant as a type A generalized permutohedron, we can apply Postnikov’s approach to derive analogous lattice-point enumerations via polytopal subdivisions into cells that correspond bijectively to both their lattice points and the so-called *G-draconian sequences*. This yields a concise alternative to the formula recently obtained by Eur, Fink, Larson, and Spink [6, Theorem A] from their study of delta-matroids. As a consequence, we also obtain formulas for their Ehrhart polynomials and volume. Moreover, this approach suggests that many aspects of type B generalized permutohedra may be effectively analyzed using existing notion and techniques developed for their type A counterparts.

*Beijing International Center for Mathematical Research, China, warutthawinrak@gmail.com

Paper Organization

We begin by introducing type A and type B generalized permutohedra, along with a review of existing techniques for enumerating lattice points in these polytopes. We then develop a method for realizing part of type B generalized permutohedron as a type A generalized permutohedron. Using this realization, we show how the number of lattice points in type B generalized permutohedra can be computed in terms of the so-called G -draconian sequences. Lastly, we propose questions for future work on how the combinatorial properties of type B generalized permutohedra might be deduced from those of their type A counterparts.

2 Preliminaries

2.1 Polyhedra

A *polyhedron* P in \mathbb{R}^n is the solution to a finite system of linear inequalities, that is,

$$P = \left\{ \mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_d) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbf{a}_i \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq b_i \text{ for } i \in I \right\} \quad (2.1)$$

for some $\mathbf{a}_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{R}$, where the dot \cdot is the usual dot product and I is a finite set of indices. The dimension of P , denoted by $\dim(P)$, is defined to be the dimension of $\text{aff}(P)$ the affine span of P .

A *polytope* P is a bounded polyhedron. By the Minkowski-Weyl Theorem [9, 12], we can equivalently define a polytope in \mathbb{R}^n as the convex hull of finitely many points in \mathbb{R}^n , i.e.,

$$P = \text{conv}(\mathbf{x}_1, \dots, \mathbf{x}_k) := \{ \lambda_1 \mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \lambda_k \mathbf{x}_k \mid \lambda_1 + \dots + \lambda_k = 1, \lambda_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in [k] \}.$$

We denote by $\text{Vol}(P)$ the volume of P with respect to the lattice $\mathbb{Z}^n \cap \text{aff}(P)$ in the affine span of P . The *normalized volume* of a d -dimensional polytope is defined to be $\text{NVol}(P) := d! \text{Vol}(P)$.

For two nonempty polytopes P_1, P_2 in \mathbb{R}^n , the *Minkowski sum* of P_1 and P_2 , denoted by $P_1 + P_2$, is the set $\{ \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2 \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \mathbf{x}_1 \in P_1, \mathbf{x}_2 \in P_2 \}$. If U and V are the set of vertices of P_1 and P_2 , respectively, then $P_1 + P_2 = \text{conv}(\mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v} \mid \mathbf{u} \in U, \mathbf{v} \in V)$. This implies that a Minkowski sum of polytopes is a polytope. The *Minkowski difference* of P_2 in P_1 , denoted by $P_1 - P_2$, is the set $\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^d \mid \mathbf{x} + P_2 \subseteq P_1 \}$. Since the vectors that translate P_2 to lie in P_1 form a polytope, it follows that a Minkowski difference of two polytopes is also a polytope. It is important to note that, in general, the Minkowski difference on polytopes is neither a commutative nor an associative operator. For example, while $(P_1 + P_2) - P_2 = P_1$ always holds, the expression $(P_1 - P_2) + P_2$ may not equal P_1 , or may even be undefined if the difference $P_1 - P_2$ is empty. Thus, it is crucial to clearly specify the order of the sum (and difference). Given nonempty polytopes P_i in \mathbb{R}^n and signs $\delta_i \in \{1, -1\}$ for $i \in [m]$, we define the Minkowski sum

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \delta_i P_i := Q_1 - Q_2 \text{ where } Q_1 := \sum_{\delta_i=1} P_i \text{ and } Q_2 := \sum_{\delta_i=-1} P_i.$$

A subset F of a polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is said to be a *face* of P if there exists a hyperplane H such that P lies on one side of H and $F = P \cap H$. A face of dimension $\dim(P) - 1$ is called a *facet*, a face of dimension 1 is called an *edge*, and a face of dimension 0 is called a *vertex*. The partially ordered set $\mathcal{F}(P)$ of all faces of P ordered by inclusion is called the *face poset* of P .

A *cone* σ is a polyhedron defined by a system of homogeneous linear inequalities, i.e. inequalities of the form $\mathbf{a} \cdot \mathbf{x} \leq 0$. Given a nonempty face F of a polytope $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, the *normal cone* of P at F is the set

$$\text{ncone}(F, P) := \{ \mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x} \geq \mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{y} \text{ for all } \mathbf{x} \in F \text{ and all } \mathbf{y} \in P \},$$

that is, $\text{ncone}(F, P)$ is the set of all $\mathbf{c} \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $\mathbf{c} \cdot \mathbf{x}$ attains maximum value at F over all points in P . The *normal fan* of P , denoted $\Sigma(P)$, is the set of normal cones of P at all of its nonempty faces. We say that a polytope Q is a *deformation* of another polytope P if $\Sigma(Q)$ is a coarsening of $\Sigma(P)$, i.e., every cone in $\Sigma(Q)$ is a union of cones in $\Sigma(P)$. A deformation Q of P can be obtained by parallel translations of the facets of P .

A (*polyhedral*) *subdivision* of a polytope P is a collection \mathcal{C} of polytopes of dimension $\dim(P)$, called *cells*, such that P equals the union of all cells in \mathcal{C} , and any two cells intersect only at their common face.

A *lattice point* is a point whose coordinates are integers. A polytope is said to be *integral* if all of its vertices are lattice points. For a polytope P in \mathbb{R}^n and a non-negative integer t , the t^{th} -dilation tP is the set $\{tx \mid x \in P\}$. We define $i(P, t) := |\mathbb{Z}^n \cap tP|$ to be the number of lattice points in the t^{th} -dilation tP . It follows from Ehrhart theory [5] that when P is an integral polytope, the function $i(P, t)$ is a polynomial in t of degree $\dim(P)$. We call $i(P, t)$ the *Ehrhart polynomial* of P . It encodes various geometric and combinatorial properties of P : its leading coefficient equals the volume of P , the second coefficient equals half of its surface area, and its constant term is one.

Two integral polytopes P, Q such that $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ are said to be *integrally equivalent* if there exists an invertible affine transformation from $\text{aff}(P)$ to $\text{aff}(Q)$ that preserves the lattice points in the two polytopes. When two integral polytopes are integrally equivalent, they have the same face poset, volume, and Ehrhart polynomials.

2.2 Type A generalized Permutohedra

In this section, we introduce the family of polytopes known as *type A generalized permutohedra*, following the notation and framework established by Postnikov in [10]. For a more comprehensive treatment beyond what is presented here, we refer the reader to [10].

A *type A generalized permutohedron* P in \mathbb{R}^n is a polytope whose edges are parallel to $\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j$ for some $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, where \mathbf{e}_i denotes the standard basis vector in \mathbb{R}^n . We note that it is also known simply as a *generalized permutohedron*. We include “type A” in the name to emphasize the fact that the edge directions of these polytopes are parallel to some vectors in type A positive root system $\{e_i - e_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$.

Let $\mathbf{w} = (w_1, \dots, w_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a point satisfying $w_1 > \dots > w_n \geq 0$. The *permutohedron* $\Pi(\mathbf{w})$, defined as the convex hull of all permutations of the coordinates of \mathbf{w} , is an example of a type A generalized permutohedron. See Figure 1 for examples of permutohedra and other type A generalized permutohedra. The normal fan of any permutohedron, called the *braid fan* and denoted by $\Sigma_{A_{n-1}}$, is composed of maximal cones defined by the chambers in the arrangement of the hyperplanes

$$H_{i,j} = \{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid c_i - c_j = 0\} \text{ for all } 1 \leq i < j \leq n,$$

known as the *braid arrangement*. We note that one can alternatively define a type A generalized permutohedron as any deformation of a permutohedron, i.e., a polytope whose normal fan coarsens the braid fan.

The family of type A generalized permutohedra is a widely studied class of polytopes that interconnect with various combinatorial objects, such as matroids and Weyl groups. Beyond permutohedra, many well-known polytopes in the literature can be realized as type A generalized permutohedra, including zonotopes, associahedra, cyclohedra, and the Pitman-Stanley polytopes (see [10, Section 8]). Note that every type A generalized permutohedron $P \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ lies on a hyperplane $x_1 + \dots + x_n = a$ for some real number a . For instance, the permutohedron $\Pi(w_1, \dots, w_n)$ lies on the hyperplane $x_1 + \dots + x_n = w_1 + \dots + w_n$. Thus, the dimension of P is at most $n - 1$.

For a nonempty subset $I \subseteq [n]$, we define Δ_I to be the simplex $\text{conv}(e_i \mid i \in I)$. Postnikov [10, Proposition 6.3] and Ardila et al. [2, Proposition 2.3] show that every type A generalized




Figure 1: Examples of type A generalized permutohedra

permutohedron can be written as a Minkowski sum (and difference) of simplices. The following lemma states this more precisely.

Lemma 2.1. *Every type A generalized permutohedron can be expressed as*

$$\sum_{I \subseteq [n], I \neq \emptyset} y_I \Delta_I \text{ for some } y_I \in \mathbb{R}. \quad (2.2)$$

Thus, we may write every permutohedron P as $P = P(\{y_I\})$. Note that if $P(\{y_I\})$ is integral, then y_I can be chosen to be integers for all nonempty subsets $I \subseteq [n]$.

Example 2.2. In Figure 2, three examples of type A generalized permutohedra in \mathbb{R}^3 are written as Minkowski sums of simplices. One sees that

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= \Delta_{[3]} + \Delta_{[3]} + \Delta_{\{1,3\}} \\ P_2 &= \Delta_{\{1,2\}} + \Delta_{\{2,3\}} + \Delta_{\{1,3\}} + \Delta_{\{1\}} + \Delta_{\{2\}} + \Delta_{\{3\}} \\ P_3 &= 2\Delta_{[3]} + \Delta_{\{1,3\}} - \Delta_{[3]} = \Delta_{[3]} + \Delta_{\{1,3\}}. \end{aligned}$$

Given a bipartite graph G on m left vertices $\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_m\}$ and n right vertices $\{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$, we denote by $N(v)$ the set of the vertices of G adjacent to the vertex v . We call $N(v)$ the set of neighbors of v . For $i \in [m]$, we let

$$I_i := \{j \in [n] \mid r_j \in N(\ell_i)\} \quad (2.3)$$

be the set of indices of the neighbors of the left vertex ℓ_i . Then, one may define $P_G(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ to be the type A generalized permutohedron $y_1 \Delta_{I_1} + \dots + y_m \Delta_{I_m}$.

Conversely, given $P = y_1 \Delta_{I_1} + \dots + y_m \Delta_{I_m} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$, there is a bipartite graph G on m left and n right vertices such that $P_G(y_1, \dots, y_m) = P$. Thus, we may interchangeably write $P(\{y_I\})$ as $P_G(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ where G is a corresponding bipartite graph.

Remark 2.3. If $P_G(y_1, \dots, y_m) \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is $(n-1)$ -dimensional, then G is a connected graph.

Example 2.4. Let G_1, G_2, G_3, G_4 be bipartite graphs shown in Figure 3. Then, we can write the type-A generalized permutohedra P_1, P_2 , and P_3 in Figure 2 as

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 &= P_{G_1}(1, 1, 1) \\ P_2 &= P_{G_2}(1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1) \\ P_3 &= P_{G_3}(2, 1, -1) = P_1 - \Delta_{[3]} = P_{G_4}(1, 1, 1, -1). \end{aligned}$$




Figure 2: Type-A generalized permutohedra as Minkowski sums of simplices




Figure 3: Bipartite graphs associated to polytopes in Figure 2

The rest of this section is devoted to outlining how Postnikov derives a formula for the number of lattice points in type A generalized permutohedra. Since these polytopes are deformations of a permutohedron, it suffices by [10, Remark 6.4] to only obtain a formula that holds for $P_G(y_1, \dots, y_m) = y_1 \Delta_{I_1} + \dots + y_m \Delta_{I_m}$ where y_i are positive integers for all $i \in [m]$. Moreover, because every simplex $y \Delta_I$ where y is a positive integer can be written as the Minkowski sum of y copies of the simplex Δ_I , Postnikov first enumerates the number of lattice points in $P_G(1, \dots, 1) = \Delta_{I_1} + \dots + \Delta_{I_m}$. To do so, the Cayley trick is employed to subdivide $P_G(1, \dots, 1)$ into *fine mixed cells* and used as a key to counting the lattice points. We refer the reader to [10, Section 14] for more details regarding the subdivision.

Definition 2.5. Let $P_G(1, \dots, 1) = \Delta_{I_1} + \dots + \Delta_{I_m}$. Then, a *fine mixed cell* of $P_G(1, \dots, 1)$ is a polytope Π of the form $\Delta_{J_1} + \dots + \Delta_{J_m}$ where $J_i \subseteq I_i$ for all $i \in [m]$ and satisfies $\dim(\Delta_{J_1}) + \dots + \dim(\Delta_{J_m}) = \dim(P_G(1, \dots, 1))$. A *fine mixed subdivision* of $P_G(1, \dots, 1)$ is a polyhedral subdivision of $P_G(1, \dots, 1)$ into fine mixed cells.

Example 2.6. Let $P := P_G(1, 1, 1)$ be the polytope shown in Figure 4 (also shown as P_1 in Figure 2). A fine mixed subdivision of P is drawn inside of P . One sees that there are five fine mixed cells, labeled as Π_1, \dots, Π_5 in the subdivision.

If Π is a fine mixed cell of $P_G(1, \dots, 1)$, then there exists a bipartite subgraph H of G such

that $\Pi = P_H(1, \dots, 1)$. Moreover, one can show that such a subgraph H is a spanning forest (a subgraph with no cycles) of G . We say that H is a *corresponding subgraph* of Π . For example, when $P := P_G(1, 1, 1)$ is the polytope shown in Figure 4, the fine mixed cells in the given subdivision of P , labeled as Π_1, \dots, Π_5 , and their corresponding subgraphs H_1, \dots, H_5 of G are also shown in the figure. A lattice point in a fine mixed cell of $P_G(1, \dots, 1)$ has a simple form in the following sense.

Lemma 2.7. *Let H be a bipartite graph on m left vertices and n right vertices. If H is a forest, then every lattice point in $\Pi := P_H(1, \dots, 1)$ is a vertex of Π . Moreover, every lattice point in Π has the form $\mathbf{e}_{j_1} + \dots + \mathbf{e}_{j_m}$ for some j_i such that $r_{j_i} \in N(\ell_i)$ for all $i \in [m]$.*

Remark 2.8. Lemma 2.7 states that every lattice point in Π corresponds to a transversal $\{r_{j_1}, \dots, r_{j_m}\}$ of the sequence $(N(\ell_1), \dots, N(\ell_m))$ of the neighbors of the left vertices.

The next two definitions introduce combinatorial objects used for counting the lattice points in type A generalized permutohedra.

Definition 2.9. Given a bipartite graph G on m left vertices and n right vertices, we let I_i be defined as in equation (2.3). A sequence (a_1, \dots, a_m) of nonnegative integers is a *G -draconian* sequence if $a_1 + \dots + a_m = n - 1$ and for every nonempty subset $J \subseteq [m]$

$$\sum_{i \in J} a_i \leq \left| \bigcup_{i \in J} I_i \right| - 1.$$

The set of all G -draconian sequences is denoted by $D(G)$.

Definition 2.10. Given a bipartite graph G on m left vertices $\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_m\}$ and n right vertices $\{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$, we define the *left degree* $LD(G)$ and the *right degree* $RD(G)$ of G , respectively, as

$$LD(G) := (\deg(\ell_1) - 1, \dots, \deg(\ell_m) - 1) \text{ and } RD(G) := (\deg(r_1) - 1, \dots, \deg(r_n) - 1).$$

Fine mixed cells in a fine mixed subdivision $P_G(1, \dots, 1)$ and G -draconian sequences are shown in [10, Lemma 12.6, Lemma 12.8, and Theorem 12.9] to correspond to subgraphs of G .

Lemma 2.11. *Suppose that $P_G(1, \dots, 1)$ is $(n - 1)$ -dimensional. Let $\mathcal{C} = \{\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_p\}$ be a fine mixed subdivision of $P_G(1, \dots, 1)$. Then, there exists a sequence of spanning trees H_1, \dots, H_p of G satisfying all of the following properties.*

1. *For all $i \in [p]$, we have $\Pi_i = P_{H_i}(1, \dots, 1)$*
2. *The right degrees $RD(H_1), \dots, RD(H_p) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ are all distinct and*

$$(P_G(1, \dots, 1) - \Delta_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \{RD(H_1), \dots, RD(H_p)\}.$$

3. *The left degrees $LD(H_1), \dots, LD(H_p) \in \mathbb{Z}^m$ are all distinct and*

$$D(G) = \{LD(H_1), \dots, LD(H_p)\}.$$

We obtain the following result as an immediate consequence of Lemma 2.11.

Corollary 2.12. *Suppose that \mathcal{C} is a fine mixed subdivision of $P_G(1, \dots, 1)$. Then,*

$$|(P_G(1, \dots, 1) - \Delta_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = |\mathcal{C}| = |D(G)|.$$

We illustrate the results of both Lemma 2.11 and Corollary 2.12 with the polytope shown in Figure 4 through the following example.




Figure 4: Fine mixed cells in a fine mixed subdivision of $P_G(1, 1, 1)$ and their corresponding spanning trees

Example 2.13. Let $P := P_G(1, 1, 1)$ be the polytope in Figure 4. Since $P - \Delta_{[n]} = P_3$ where P_3 is the polytope shown in Figure 2, one has

$$(P_G(1, \dots, 1) - \Delta_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \{(2, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), (1, 1, 0), (0, 0, 2), (0, 1, 1)\}.$$

One can also show that $D(G) = \{(2, 0, 0), (1, 0, 1), (0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 0), (0, 2, 0)\}$. A further direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} (P_G(1, \dots, 1) - \Delta_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n &= \{RD(H_1), RD(H_2), RD(H_3), RD(H_4), RD(H_5)\} \\ D(G) &= \{LD(H_1), LD(H_2), LD(H_3), LD(H_4), LD(H_5)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, by letting \mathcal{C} be the fine mixed subdivision of P shown in Figure 4, one sees that $|(P_G(1, 1, 1) - \Delta_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = |\mathcal{C}| = |D(G)| = 5$.

Corollary 2.12 implies that the number of lattice points in $P_G - \Delta_{[n]}$ is given by

$$|(P_G - \Delta_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in D(G)} 1 = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in D(G)} \binom{1 + a_1 - 1}{a_1} \dots \binom{1 + a_m - 1}{a_m} \quad (2.4)$$

Postnikov obtains the following key lemma by expressing $P_G(y_1, \dots, y_m)$ as

$$P_{G'}(1, \dots, 1) = \underbrace{\Delta_{I_1} + \dots + \Delta_{I_1}}_{y_1 \text{ terms}} + \dots + \underbrace{\Delta_{I_m} + \dots + \Delta_{I_m}}_{y_m \text{ terms}},$$

for an appropriate G' , and applying a simple binomial identity to the right-hand side of (2.4).

Lemma 2.14. Suppose that $P_G(y_1, \dots, y_m) = y_1 \Delta_{I_1} + \dots + y_m \Delta_{I_m}$ where y_i are integers for all $i \in [m]$. Then, the number of lattice points in $P_G(y_1, \dots, y_m) - \Delta_{[n]}$ is given by

$$|(P_G(y_1, \dots, y_m) - \Delta_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in D(G)} \binom{y_1 + a_1 - 1}{a_1} \dots \binom{y_m + a_m - 1}{a_m}. \quad (2.5)$$

2.3 Type B generalized Permutohedra

A *type B generalized permutohedron* in \mathbb{R}^n is a polytope whose edges are parallel to $\mathbf{e}_i + \mathbf{e}_j$, $\mathbf{e}_i - \mathbf{e}_j$, or \mathbf{e}_i for some $i, j \in [n]$ where \mathbf{e}_i denotes the standard basis vector in \mathbb{R}^n . The name “type B” comes from the fact that the edge directions of these polytopes are parallel to some vectors in type B positive root system $\{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_n, \mathbf{e}_i \pm \mathbf{e}_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq n\}$. We note that these polytopes are also known as *generalized signed permutohedra* (see [6]). By definition, every type




Figure 5: Examples of type B generalized permutohedra in \mathbb{R}^2 and \mathbb{R}^3

A generalized permutohedron is a type B generalized permutohedron. Other notable examples in the family include parking function polytopes [1][8] and polymatroids [4][7, Theorem 17.1].

One may equivalently define a type B generalized permutohedron in \mathbb{R}^n as a polytope in which its normal fan coarsens the fan whose full dimensional cones are defined by the chambers of the arrangement of hyperplanes

$$\begin{cases} H_{i,j}^+ &:= \{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid c_i + c_j = 0\} \text{ for all } i \neq j \in [n], \\ H_{i,j}^- &:= \{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid c_i - c_j = 0\} \text{ for all } i \neq j \in [n], \text{ and} \\ H_i &:= \{(c_1, \dots, c_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid c_i = 0\} \text{ for all } i \in [n]. \end{cases} \quad (2.6)$$

The arrangement of hyperplanes in equation (2.6) is called *type B Coxeter arrangement*. The fan whose full dimensional cones are defined by the chambers of type B Coxeter arrangement is known as the B_n *permutohedral fan* and is denoted by Σ_{B_n} . We refer the reader to [3] and [6] for more details regarding type B generalized permutohedra beyond what are presented here.

Let $[\bar{n}] := \{\bar{1}, \dots, \bar{n}\}$ and $[n, \bar{n}] := [n] \sqcup [\bar{n}] = \{1, \dots, n, \bar{1}, \dots, \bar{n}\}$. For $i \in [n]$, we define $\mathbf{e}_{\bar{i}} := -\mathbf{e}_i$ where \mathbf{e}_i is a standard basis vector of \mathbb{R}^n .

Definition 2.15. The set **AdS** of *admissible subsets* of $[n, \bar{n}]$ is defined to be

$$\mathbf{AdS} := \{S \subset [n, \bar{n}] \mid S \neq \emptyset \text{ and } \{i, \bar{i}\} \not\subset S \text{ for all } i\} \text{ and } \mathbf{AdS}_n := \{S \in \mathbf{AdS} \mid |S| = n\}.$$

For $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n$, we define $\mathbb{R}_T := \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{e}_i \geq 0 \text{ for all } i \in T\}$ to be the associated octant to T of \mathbb{R}^n . For instance, when $T = \{1, \dots, n\}$, we obtain $\mathbb{R}_T = \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$ the first octant, whereas when $T = \{\bar{1}, \dots, \bar{n}\}$, we obtain the opposite octant $\mathbb{R}_T = \mathbb{R}_{\leq 0}^n$.

Definition 2.16. Let $S \in \mathbf{AdS}$ and $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n$. We denote by

1. Δ_S^0 the simplex $\text{conv}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_i \mid i \in S)$.
2. \square_T the unit cube $\sum_{i \in T} \Delta_{\{i\}}^0$ in the octant \mathbb{R}_T .

Bastidas showed from a study of Tits algebras in [3] that every type B generalized permutohedron can be written as a Minkowski sum (and difference) of the simplices Δ_S^0 . This result was also established later in [6] by Eur, Fink, Larson, and Spink from a study of delta-matroids.

Lemma 2.17. *Every type B generalized permutohedron in \mathbb{R}^n can be expressed as*

$$\sum_{S \in \mathbf{AdS}} y_S \Delta_S^0 \text{ for some } y_S \in \mathbb{R}.$$

One sees that Lemma 2.17 generalizes to type B the type A result by Postnikov in Lemma 2.1. Thus, one may write every type B generalized permutohedron as $P(\{y_S\})$. If $P(\{y_S\})$ is integral, then y_S may be chosen to be integers for all $S \in \mathbf{AdS}$.

Example 2.18. In Figure 6, the type B generalized permutohedra P_1 and P_2 are written as $P_1 = \Delta_{[2]}^0 + \Delta_{[2]}^0 + \Delta_{\{\bar{1}, 2\}}^0 + \Delta_{\{2\}}^0$ and $P_2 = P_1 - \square_{[2]} = 2\Delta_{[2]}^0 + \Delta_{\{\bar{1}, 2\}}^0 + \Delta_{\{2\}}^0 - \Delta_{\{1\}}^0 - \Delta_{\{2\}}^0$.




Figure 6: Type- B generalized permutohedra as Minkowski sums of simplices

Definition 2.19. Let S_1, \dots, S_n be admissible subsets of $[n, \bar{n}]$. The *signed transversal* of (S_1, \dots, S_n) is an admissible subset $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n$ such that there exists a bijection $g : [n] \rightarrow T$ satisfying $g(i) \in S_i$ for all $i \in [n]$.

In [6], Eur, Fink, Larson, and Spink give formulas for the volume and the number of lattice points of type B generalized permutohedra in terms of sign transversals as follows.

Lemma 2.20. Suppose that $P(\{y_S\}) = \sum_{S \in \mathbf{AdS}} y_S \Delta_S^0$ where $y_S \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all $S \in \mathbf{AdS}$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{NVol}(P(\{y_S\})) &= \sum_{(S_1, \dots, S_n)} |\text{sign transversals of } (S_1, \dots, S_n)| \cdot y_{S_1} \cdots y_{S_n}, \text{ and} \\ |(P(\{y_S\}) - \square_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| &= \sum_{(S_1, \dots, S_n)} |\text{sign transversals of } (S_1, \dots, S_n)| \cdot \Psi(y_{S_1} \cdots y_{S_n}) \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

where Ψ is the linear operator on the set of polynomials that maps each monomial $x_1^{a_1} \cdots x_m^{a_m}$ to $\frac{a_1! \cdots a_m!}{(a_1 + \cdots + a_m)!} \binom{x_1}{a_1} \cdots \binom{x_m}{a_m}$.

3 Thinking of B from A

We begin by outlining some key properties of certain type B generalized permutohedra and then demonstrate how they can be realized as type A generalized permutohedra.

The set of vertices of Δ_S^0 is $\{\mathbf{e}_j \mid j \in S\} \cup \{\mathbf{0}\}$. Thus, one can express every point $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_S^0$ as

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{j \in S} \lambda_j \mathbf{e}_j \text{ for some real number } \lambda_j \geq 0 \text{ such that } \sum_{j \in S} \lambda_j \leq 1. \quad (3.1)$$

Remark 3.1. For $S, T \in \mathbf{AdS}$, let $\mathbf{x} \in \Delta_S^0$. It is easy to see from equation (3.1) that we can write $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$ for some $\mathbf{a} \in \Delta_{S \cap T}^0$ and $\mathbf{b} \in \Delta_{S \setminus T}^0$. Thus, if $\mathbf{y} \in P = y_1 \Delta_{S_1}^0 + \cdots + y_m \Delta_{S_m}^0$ where $y_i > 0$ for all $i \in [m]$, then we have $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{v}$ where $\mathbf{u} \in y_1 \Delta_{S_1 \cap T}^0 + \cdots + y_m \Delta_{S_m \cap T}^0$ and $\mathbf{v} \in y_1 \Delta_{S_1 \setminus T}^0 + \cdots + y_m \Delta_{S_m \setminus T}^0$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $P = y_1 \Delta_{S_1}^0 + \cdots + y_m \Delta_{S_m}^0$ where y_i are positive real numbers and $S_i \in \mathbf{AdS}$ for all $i \in [m]$. If the point (x_1, \dots, x_n) lies in P , then, for every $(r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ such that $0 \leq r_j \leq 1$ for all $j \in [n]$, the point $(r_1 x_1, \dots, r_n x_n)$ also lies in P .

Proof. The statement holds for points in the simplices $y\Delta_S^0$ for all $y > 0$ and $S \in \mathbf{AdS}$, since Δ_S^0 is the set of all points \mathbf{x} given in (3.1).

Now consider $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in P$. Then, $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{z}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{z}_m$ for some $\mathbf{z}_i \in y_i\Delta_{S_i}^0$, $i \in [m]$. Let $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, \dots, r_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ satisfy $0 \leq r_j \leq 1$ for all $j \in [n]$. Then, the points $\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{z}_i$ lie in $y_i\Delta_{S_i}^0$ for all $i \in [m]$. Thus, the point $(r_1x_1, \dots, r_nx_n) = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{z}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{z}_m$ also lies in P . \square

Definition 3.3. Let $P = y_1\Delta_{S_1}^0 + \dots + y_m\Delta_{S_m}^0$ where y_i are positive real numbers and $S_i \in \mathbf{AdS}$ for all $i \in [m]$. For $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n$, we define $P_T := y_1\Delta_{S_1 \cap T}^0 + \dots + y_m\Delta_{S_m \cap T}^0$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $P = y_1\Delta_{S_1}^0 + \dots + y_m\Delta_{S_m}^0$ where y_i are positive real numbers and $S_i \in \mathbf{AdS}$ for all $i \in [m]$. Then, for $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n$, we have $P_T = P \cap \mathbb{R}_T$. That is, P_T equals the polytope P intersecting with the octant associated to T .

Proof. Clearly, $P_T \subseteq P$. Since $y_i\Delta_{S_i \cap T}^0 \subset \mathbb{R}_T$ for all $i \in [m]$, it follows that $P_T \subseteq \mathbb{R}_T$. Thus, $P_T \subseteq P \cap \mathbb{R}_T$.

Next, we show that $P \cap \mathbb{R}_T \subseteq P_T$. Due to symmetry, it suffices to only show this for $T = [n]$. That is, we only need to consider $P \cap \mathbb{R}_T = P \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$. Let $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in P \cap \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$. Then, by Remark 3.1, $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b}$ where $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in P_T$ and $\mathbf{b} = (b_1, \dots, b_n) \in y_1\Delta_{S_1 \setminus T}^0 + \dots + y_m\Delta_{S_m \setminus T}^0 = P_{T^c}$ where $T^c := [n, \bar{n}] \setminus T = \{\bar{1}, \dots, \bar{n}\}$. This implies $a_i \geq 0$ and $b_i \leq 0$ for all $i \in [n]$. Since $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$, it follows that $0 \leq x_i = a_i + b_i \leq a_i$ for all $i \in [n]$. Thus, $\mathbf{x} = (r_1a_1, \dots, r_na_n)$ for some (r_1, \dots, r_n) with $0 \leq r_i \leq 1$ for all $i \in [n]$. Therefore, by Lemma 3.2, we have $\mathbf{x} \in P_T$. This shows $P \cap \mathbb{R}_T \subseteq P_T$ as desired. \square

The result of Lemma 3.4 is illustrated through the following example.

Example 3.5. Let $P = \Delta_{[2]}^0 + \Delta_{[2]}^0 + \Delta_{\{\bar{1}, 2\}}^0 + \Delta_{\{\bar{2}\}}^0 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the type B generalized permutohedron shown in Figure 7 (also shown as P_1 in Figure 5). Then, P_T for $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_2$ are also depicted in the same figure. One has that

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\{1, 2\}} &= \Delta_{[2]}^0 + \Delta_{[2]}^0 + \Delta_{\{\bar{2}\}}^0 & P_{\{1, \bar{2}\}} &= \Delta_{\{\bar{1}\}}^0 + \Delta_{\{\bar{1}\}}^0 + \Delta_{\{\bar{2}\}}^0 \\ P_{\{\bar{1}, 2\}} &= \Delta_{\{\bar{2}\}}^0 + \Delta_{\{\bar{2}\}}^0 + \Delta_{\{\bar{1}, 2\}}^0 & P_{\{\bar{1}, \bar{2}\}} &= \Delta_{\{\bar{1}\}}^0 + \Delta_{\{\bar{2}\}}^0. \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.6. For $S \in \mathbf{AdS}$, we define $\|S\| := \{i \mid i \text{ or } \bar{i} \in S\}$.

Lemma 3.7. Let $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n$ and $P = y_1\Delta_{S_1}^0 + \dots + y_m\Delta_{S_m}^0$ where y_i are positive integers and $S_i \in \mathbf{AdS}$ for all $i \in [m]$. Then, P_T is integrally equivalent to the type A generalized permutohedron $Q_T := y_1\Delta_{I_1} + \dots + y_m\Delta_{I_m} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{[0, n]}$ where $I_i := \{0\} \cup \|S_i \cap T\|$ for all $i \in [m]$.

Proof. Let $\varphi_T : \mathbb{R}^{[0, n]} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$ be the projection from $\mathbb{R}^{[0, n]}$ onto \mathbb{R}^n defined by

$$\varphi_T(x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n) = \sum_{i \in T} x_{\|i\|} \mathbf{e}_i. \quad (3.2)$$

That is, φ_T is the projection that projects the first octant of $\mathbb{R}^{[0, n]}$ onto the octant \mathbb{R}_T of \mathbb{R}^n . Since, for every $S \in \mathbf{AdS}$ and $y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$\text{aff}(y\Delta_{\{0\} \cup \|S\|}) = \left\{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{[0, n]} \mid \sum_{i \in \{0\} \cup \|S\|} x_i = y \right\} \text{ and } \text{aff}(y\Delta_S^0) = \mathbb{R}^{\|S\|} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n,$$

it is easy to see that φ_T also defines an invertible transformation from $\text{aff}(y\Delta_{\{0\} \cup \|S\|})$ to $\text{aff}(y\Delta_S^0)$ and preserves their lattice points. Because $\text{aff}(Q_T) = \text{aff}(y_1\Delta_{I_1}) + \dots + \text{aff}(y_m\Delta_{I_m})$ and $\text{aff}(P_T) = \text{aff}(y_1\Delta_{S_1}^0) + \dots + \text{aff}(y_m\Delta_{S_m}^0)$, we have by linearity that φ_T is an invertible transformation from $\text{aff}(Q_T)$ to $\text{aff}(P_T)$ and preserves their lattice points. This shows that Q_T and P_T are integrally equivalent. \square




Figure 7: P and P_T , the lattice points in $P - \square_{[n]}$ and $P_T - \square_{[n]}$ (the orange points), and fine mixed subdivisions of P_T for $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_2$

Informally, Lemma 3.7 states that we can view the intersection of P and the octant \mathbb{R}_T as a type A generalized permutohedron. This is where we can apply some of the techniques and tools introduced by Postnikov in [10] to type B generalized permutohedra. In particular, this realization allows us to define fine mixed subdivisions and associate a bipartite graph to P_T .

Definition 3.8. With the same assumptions as given in Lemma 3.7, we define the corresponding bipartite graph $G(P_T)$ of P_T to be the bipartite graph $G(Q_T)$ corresponding to the type A permutohedron Q_T given Lemma 3.7.

Example 3.9. Let $P = \Delta_{[2]}^0 + \Delta_{[2]}^0 + \Delta_{\{1,2\}}^0 + \Delta_{\{2\}}^0 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be the type B generalized permutohedron shown in Figure 7. One sees from Figure 8 that $P_{\{1,2\}}$ is integrally equivalent to the type A generalized permutohedron $Q_{\{1,2\}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{[0,2]}$ (also shown as P in Figure 4) via the projection $(x_0, x_1, x_2) \mapsto (x_1, x_2)$. Moreover, the associated bipartite graph $G(P_{\{1,2\}})$ of $P_{\{1,2\}}$ (shown in Figure 8) is the graph $G(Q_{\{1,2\}}) = G$ where G is shown in Figure 4, except that the right vertices r_1, r_2, r_3 are relabeled respectively as r_0, r_1, r_2 . The fine mixed cells in $P_{\{1,2\}}$ are also obtained by projecting the fine mixed cells in $Q_{\{1,2\}}$.




Figure 8: $P_{\{1,2\}} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ and $Q_{\{1,2\}} \subset \mathbb{R}^{[0,2]}$ are integrally equivalent

It is important to note that when we view P_T as a type A generalized permutohedron, we always set \mathbf{e}_0 to be the zero vector.

4 Counting Lattice Points from A to B

In similar fashion to how Postnikov enumerates the lattice points in type A generalized permutohedra, we only need to derive a formula for the number of lattice points in type B generalized permutohedra $P = \sum_{S \in \mathbf{AdS}} y_S \Delta_S^0$ that holds for nonnegative integers y_S , for all $S \in \mathbf{AdS}$. Once such a formula is obtained, it will extend to hold for all integers y_S . Since $y \Delta_S^0$ can be written as Minkowski sum of y copies of Δ_S^0 , we first attempt to enumerate the number of lattice points in $P = \Delta_{S_1}^0 + \cdots + \Delta_{S_m}^0$ where $S_i \in \mathbf{AdS}$.

Definition 4.1. Let $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n$ and $P = y_1 \Delta_{S_1}^0 + \cdots + y_m \Delta_{S_m}^0$ where y_i are nonzero integers and $S_i \in \mathbf{AdS}$ for all $i \in [m]$. A G -draconian sequence of P_T is a sequence (a_1, \dots, a_m) of nonnegative integers satisfying $a_1 + \cdots + a_m = n$ and for every nonempty subset $I \subseteq [m]$

$$\sum_{i \in I} a_i \leq \left| \bigcup_{i \in I} S_i \cap T \right|.$$

We denote by $D(P_T)$ the set of all G -draconian sequences, and denote by $D(P_T) \cap \square_{[m]}$ the set of G -draconian sequences in which $a_i \leq 1$ for all $i \in [m]$.

One observes that the definition of G -draconian sequence in Definition 4.1 is precisely the definition of $G(P_T)$ -draconian sequence in Definition 2.9. One also sees that if P_T is not n -dimensional, $G(P_T)$ must have a right vertex of degree zero. Consequently, $a_1 + \cdots + a_m \leq \left| \bigcup_{i \in [m]} S_i \cap T \right| < n$. Thus, $D(P_T) = \emptyset$ provided P_T is not n -dimensional.

Recall that, for certain type A generalized permutohedra, the lattice points are shown to correspond bijectively to both their G -draconian sequences and their fine mixed cells, thereby yielding formula (2.5) in Lemma 2.14. Employing Postnikov's approach, we establish in the next lemma an analogous correspondence where the lattice points correspond bijectively to both the G -draconian sequences in which $a_i \leq 1$ and those fine mixed cells that are zonotopes, i.e., those cells that are Minkowski sums of line segments.

Lemma 4.2. Suppose that $P = \Delta_{S_1}^0 + \cdots + \Delta_{S_m}^0$ where $S_i \in \mathbf{AdS}$ for all $i \in [m]$. Let \mathcal{C}^* be the set of those fine mixed cells in a fine mixed subdivision of P that are zonotopes. If $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n$ is an admissible subset such that P_T is n -dimensional, then

$$|(P_T - \square_T) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = |D(P_T) \cap \square_{[m]}| = |\mathcal{C}^*|.$$

We illustrate the lemma's result through the following example, after which we develop the necessary auxiliary results before presenting a proof.

Example 4.3. Figure 7 shows $P = \Delta_{[2]}^0 + \Delta_{[2]}^0 + \Delta_{\{1,2\}}^0 + \Delta_{\{2\}}^0 \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ together with P_T for $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_2$, and the lattice points in $P - \square_{[2]}$ and $P_T - \square_{[2]}$ (the orange points). One sees that, for every $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_2$, the number of lattice points in $P_T - \square_{[2]}$ equals the number of fine mixed cells in a subdivision of P_T that are zonotopes. Moreover, one has

$$|(P - \square_{[2]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2| = \sum_{T \in \mathbf{AdS}_2} |(P_T - \square_{[2]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^2| = 8.$$

Definition 4.4. Let $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n$, and $P = \Delta_{S_1}^0 + \cdots + \Delta_{S_m}^0$ where $S_i \in \mathbf{AdS}$ for all $i \in [m]$. Let Π be a fine mixed cell of P_T , and H be the bipartite subgraph of $G(P_T)$ corresponding to Π , i.e., Π is integrally equivalent to the type A generalized permutohedron $P_H(1, \dots, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}^{[0,n]}$. Suppose that $\Pi = \Delta_{I_1} + \cdots + \Delta_{I_m}$ where $I_i \subseteq \{0\} \cup S_i \cap T$ for all $i \in [m]$. Let $K = \{I_i \mid i \in [m] \text{ and } |I_i| \geq 2\}$. Then, we define $\hat{\Pi}$ to be the polytope

$$\hat{\Pi} := \sum_{I \in K} \Delta_I$$

obtained by removing the translating factor from Π , and denote by \hat{H} the induced bipartite subgraph of H corresponding to $\hat{\Pi}$.

We highlight some of the basic properties of $\hat{\Pi}$ in the following two remarks.

Remark 4.5. Let $K' = \{I_i \mid i \in [m] \text{ and } |I_i| = 1\}$. The fine mixed cell Π is the translation $\mathbf{x} + \hat{\Pi}$ of $\hat{\Pi}$ where \mathbf{x} is the integral vector (translating factor)

$$\mathbf{x} = \sum_{I \in K'} \Delta_I.$$

Remark 4.6. If we assume further that P_T is n -dimensional ($G(P_T)$ is connected), then H is a spanning tree of $G(P_T)$ on m left and $n + 1$ right vertices. Consequently, we have that \hat{H} is a bipartite graph on $n + 1$ right vertices in which every left vertex has degree at least two. Moreover, \hat{H} has at most n left vertices. The tree \hat{H} has exactly n left vertices if and only if every left vertex of \hat{H} has degree two.

Lemma 4.7. Let $P = \Delta_{S_1}^0 + \cdots + \Delta_{S_m}^0$ where $S_i \in \mathbf{AdS}$ for all $i \in [m]$. Suppose that $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n$ is an admissible subset in which P_T is n -dimensional. If Π is a fine mixed cell of P_T , then Π and $\mathbf{y} + \Pi$ have no common interior for all vectors $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^n \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$.

Proof. Suppose that Π is a fine mixed cell of the form $\Pi = \Delta_{I_1} + \cdots + \Delta_{I_m}$ where $I_i \subseteq \{0\} \cup S_i \cap T$ for all $i \in [m]$. We claim that Π lies in an integral translation of a *fundamental parallelepiped* D . It is well-known that any fundamental parallelepiped has no common interior with its integral translation. Thus, the conclusion of the lemma follows from this claim. Hence, it remains to only prove the claim.

Let $K = \{I_i \mid i \in [m] \text{ and } |I_i| \geq 2\}$. Then,

$$\hat{\Pi} := \sum_{I \in K} \Delta_I.$$

For each $I \in K$, let us write $I = \{j_1, \dots, j_{|I|} \mid |j_i| < |j_{i+1}|, \text{ for all } 1 \leq i < |I|\}$, and define the corresponding set of intervals $\binom{I}{2}^* := \{[\mathbf{e}_{j_1}, \mathbf{e}_{j_2}], [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{j_3} - \mathbf{e}_{j_1}], \dots, [\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{e}_{j_{|I|}} - \mathbf{e}_{j_1}] \subset \mathbb{R}^n\}$ where we denote by $[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}]$ the line segment connecting \mathbf{x} and \mathbf{y} (the interval from \mathbf{x} to \mathbf{y}), and set $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{0} \in \mathbb{R}^n$. It is easy to see that every vertex of the simplex Δ_I lies in the zonotope

$$\diamond_I := \sum_{\Delta \in \binom{I}{2}^*} \Delta$$

spanned by the intervals in $\binom{I}{2}^*$. This implies that $\Delta_I \subseteq \diamond_I$ for all $I \in K$. In addition, let

$$B_K := \bigcup_{I \in K} \binom{I}{2}^*$$

be the set of intervals from all of $\binom{I}{2}^*$ for $I \in K$. Thus, $\hat{\Pi}$ lies in the zonotope

$$\diamond_K := \sum_{\Delta \in B_K} \Delta = \sum_{I \in K} \diamond_I.$$

Since P_T is n -dimensional, by Remark 4.6, \hat{H} is a tree and a bipartite graph with n left and $n + 1$ right vertices. Because $|\binom{I}{2}^*| = |I| - 1$, it follows that

$$\sum_{I \in K} |\binom{I}{2}^*| = n.$$

Thus, one sees that B contains translations of lattice points that form an integral basis for \mathbb{Z}^n . Hence, the polytope \diamond is an integral translation of a fundamental parallelepiped in \mathbb{R}^n . Since Π is an integral translation of $\hat{\Pi}$, it follows that Π lies in an integral translation of a fundamental parallelepiped as claimed. \square

Let $S \in \mathbf{AdS}$ be an admissible subset. We define ∇_S to be the simplex closely related to Δ_S by

$$\nabla_S := \text{conv} \left(\sum_{i \in S} \mathbf{e}_i, \sum_{i \in J} \mathbf{e}_i \mid J \subset S \text{ and } |J| = |S| - 1 \right).$$

The next lemma characterizes the fine mixed cells that are zonotopes, i.e., those fine mixed cells whose corresponding bipartite graphs are trees having left vertices of degree at most two.

Lemma 4.8. *Let $P = \Delta_{S_1}^0 + \cdots + \Delta_{S_m}^0$ where $S_i \in \mathbf{AdS}$ for all $i \in [m]$. Suppose that $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n$ is an admissible subset in which P_T is n -dimensional. Let Π be a fine mixed cell of P_T and $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ be the lattice point satisfying $\mathbf{x} + \hat{\Pi} = \Pi$. Then, the following statements are equivalent.*

1. *The fine mixed cell Π satisfies $\nabla_T \subseteq \hat{\Pi}$.*
2. *\mathbf{x} is the unique lattice point in $(P_T - \square_T) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ such that $\mathbf{x} + \nabla_T \subseteq \Pi$.*
3. *The fine mixed cell Π is a zonotope.*

To prove this lemma, we need the following result regarding the existence of a perfect matching of a certain bipartite graph.

Lemma 4.9. *Let n be a positive integer. Suppose that B is a bipartite graph on n left vertices and n right vertices. Then, there is a perfect matching in B if and only if B satisfies the following two conditions:*

1. *Every left and right vertex of B has degree at least one.*
2. *Every left and right vertex of B is adjacent to at most one vertex of degree one.*

Proof. (\implies) Clearly, if there is a perfect matching in B , then the two conditions must be met. (\impliedby) Conversely, suppose that B satisfies the two conditions. We will proceed by induction on $n \geq 1$. When $n = 1$, there is a perfect matching in B , since the left and right vertices are adjacent. This establishes the base case for induction. Now suppose that the statement is true for some $n \geq 1$. Consider a bipartite graph B with $n + 1$ left vertices $\{\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{n+1}\}$ and $n + 1$ right vertices $\{r_1, \dots, r_{n+1}\}$ satisfying the two conditions. Without loss of generality, we may assume that r_{n+1} is a right vertex of the least degree in B , and that the left vertex ℓ_{n+1} is adjacent to r_{n+1} and has the least degree among other left vertices adjacent to r_{n+1} .

Let \hat{B} be the induced bipartite subgraph of B obtained by removing the vertices ℓ_{n+1} and r_{n+1} of B . Then, it is easy to see that \hat{B} is a bipartite graph on n left and n right vertices satisfying the two conditions. Thus, by the induction hypothesis, there is a perfect matching in \hat{B} . By matching ℓ_{n+1} with r_{n+1} and matching other vertices of B using a perfect matching in \hat{B} , we obtain a perfect matching in B . Therefore, by induction, the statement holds for all $n \geq 1$. \square

Proof of Lemma 4.8. Due to symmetry, we may assume for simplicity of notation without loss of generality that $T = [n]$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$ be the lattice point satisfying $\mathbf{x} + \hat{\Pi} = \Pi$.

Firstly, we show that (1) implies (2). Suppose that $\nabla_{[n]} \subseteq \hat{\Pi}$. Then, $\mathbf{x} + \nabla_{[n]} \subset \mathbf{x} + \hat{\Pi} = \Pi$. In particular, we have $\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{e}_1 + \cdots + \mathbf{e}_n \in \Pi \subseteq P_{[n]}$. By Lemma 3.2, we must have $\mathbf{x} + \square_{[n]} \subseteq P_{[n]}$. Thus, $\mathbf{x} \in (P_{[n]} - \square_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. Hence, $\mathbf{x} \in (P_{[n]} - \square_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ is an integral vector such that $\mathbf{x} + \nabla_{[n]} \subset \Pi$.

Suppose that $\mathbf{y} \in (P_{[n]} - \square_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfies $\mathbf{y} + \nabla_{[n]} \subset \Pi$. Since $\Pi = \mathbf{x} + \hat{\Pi}$, we have $\nabla_{[n]} \subseteq \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} + \hat{\Pi}$. Because $\nabla_{[n]} \subseteq \hat{\Pi}$, we deduce that $\hat{\Pi}$ has common interior with $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} + \hat{\Pi}$. By Lemma 4.7, we must have $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$. This shows the uniqueness of the lattice point \mathbf{x} .

Next, we show that (2) implies (3). Suppose that $\mathbf{x} \in (P_{[n]} - \square_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ and $\mathbf{x} + \nabla_{[n]} \subseteq \Pi$. We claim that Π is a zonotope. Assume for the sake of contradiction that Π is not a zonotope.

Then, $\hat{\Pi}$ is also not a zonotope. This implies that \hat{H} is a bipartite graph that is also a tree with at least one left vertex having degree at least three. Together with Remark 4.6, we conclude that \hat{H} must have less than n left vertices. Thus, the set $\{r_1, \dots, r_n\}$ of the right vertices cannot be a transversal of the neighbors of the left vertices of \hat{H} . Thus, By Lemma 2.7 and Remark 2.8, we see that $\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_n \notin \hat{\Pi}$. In particular, we must have $\nabla_{[n]} \not\subseteq \hat{\Pi}$. Therefore, $\mathbf{x} + \nabla_{[n]} \not\subseteq \mathbf{x} + \hat{\Pi} = \Pi$, a contradiction. This shows that (2) implies (3).

Lastly, we show that (3) implies (1). Suppose that Π is a zonotope. Then, $\hat{\Pi}$ is also a zonotope. Thus, \hat{H} is a bipartite graph that is also a tree on $n+1$ right vertices in which every left vertex has degree two. Consequently, by Remark 4.6, \hat{H} must have exactly n left vertices. To see that $\nabla_{[n]} \subseteq \hat{\Pi}$, it suffices to show $\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_n \in \hat{H}$ and $\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_{i-1} + \mathbf{e}_{i+1} + \dots + \mathbf{e}_n \in \hat{\Pi}$ for all $i \in [n]$. For every $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$, let $\hat{H}_{(i)}$ be the induced bipartite subgraph of \hat{H} obtained by removing the right vertex r_i of \hat{H} . Then, $\hat{H}_{(i)}$ is a bipartite graph on n left and n right vertices satisfying the two conditions in Lemma 4.9. Thus, there is a matching in $\hat{H}_{(i)}$ for all $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$. By Remark 2.8, a matching of $\hat{H}_{(0)}$ implies that $\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_n \in \hat{H}$ while a matching of $\hat{H}_{(i)}$ implies that $\mathbf{e}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_{i-1} + \mathbf{e}_{i+1} + \dots + \mathbf{e}_n \in \hat{\Pi}$ for all $i \in [n]$. This completes the proof. \square

We are now ready to give a proof of Lemma 4.2.

Proof of Lemma 4.2. We first show that $|\mathcal{D}(P_T) \cap \square_{[m]}| = |\mathcal{C}^*|$. Suppose that $\mathcal{C}^* = \{\Pi_1, \dots, \Pi_q\}$. Since P_T is n -dimensional, its corresponding bipartite graph $G(P_T)$ is connected. Moreover, because all fine mixed cells in \mathcal{C}^* are zonotopes, each cell $\Pi_i \in \mathcal{C}^*$ corresponds to a spanning tree H_i of $G(P_T)$ whose left vertices have degree at most two. By Lemma 3/3, $LD(H_1), \dots, LD(H_q)$ are distinct elements of $\mathcal{D}(P_T) \cap \square_{[m]}$. Thus, $|\mathcal{D}(P_T) \cap \square_{[m]}| \geq |\mathcal{C}^*|$. Let \mathcal{C} be the fine mixed subdivision of P_T in which $\mathcal{C}^* \subseteq \mathcal{C}$. Also by Lemma 3/3, for every $\mathbf{a} \in \mathcal{D}(P_T) \cap \square_{[m]}$, there exists a fine mixed cell $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}$ such that $\mathbf{a} = LD(H)$ where H is a corresponding spanning tree of Π . This implies that the corresponding spanning tree of Π has left vertices of degree at most two. Thus, the fine mixed cell Π is a zonotope, i.e., $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}^*$. Therefore, $|\mathcal{D}(P_T) \cap \square_{[m]}| \leq |\mathcal{C}^*|$, which then implies $|\mathcal{D}(P_T) \cap \square_{[m]}| = |\mathcal{C}^*|$ as desired.

Next, we show that $|\mathcal{C}^*| \leq |(P_T - \square_T) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$. By Lemma 4.8/(2), the map $\Pi_i \mapsto \mathbf{x}$ where $\mathbf{x} \in (P_T - \square_T) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ satisfying $\mathbf{x} + \nabla_T \subseteq \Pi_i$ is an injection from \mathcal{C}^* to $(P_T - \square_T) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. Hence, $|\mathcal{C}^*| \leq |(P_T - \square_T) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$.

Lastly, we show that $|\mathcal{C}^*| \geq |(P_T - \square_T) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$. Let $\mathbf{x} \in (P_T - \square_T) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$. Due to symmetry, we may assume for simplicity of notation without loss of generality that $T = [n]$. Let $\Pi \in \mathcal{C}$ be a fine mixed cell that has a common interior interior with $\mathbf{x} + \nabla_{[n]}$. Note that such a fine mixed cell Π exists, because $\mathbf{x} + \nabla_{[n]} \subseteq \mathbf{x} + \square_{[n]} \subseteq P_T$. We claim that Π is a zonotope ($\Pi \in \mathcal{C}^*$) and that \mathbf{x} is the unique lattice point in which $\mathbf{x} + \nabla_{[n]}$ is contained in Π . This claim readily implies that $|\mathcal{C}^*| \geq |(P_T - \square_T) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$, since it establishes the injectivity of the map from $(P_T - \square_T) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$ to \mathcal{C}^* defined by $\mathbf{x} \mapsto \Pi$ where $\mathbf{x} + \nabla_{[n]} \subseteq \Pi$. Thus, it only remains to prove the claim.

Assume for the sake of contradiction that Π is not a zonotope. Then, $\hat{\Pi}$ is also not a zonotope. This implies that \hat{H} is a bipartite graph that is also a tree with at least one left vertex having degree at least three. Together with Remark 4.6, we conclude that \hat{H} must have less than n left vertices. Thus, the set $\{r_{i_1}, \dots, r_{i_n}\}$ of the right vertices cannot be a transversal of the neighbors of the left vertices of \hat{H} . By Lemma 2.7 and Remark 2.8, we deduce that $\hat{\Pi}$ lies in the half-space $x_1 + \dots + x_n \leq n-1$. Because $\hat{\Pi} \subseteq \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}^n$, it follows that $\hat{\Pi}$ lies in the polytope Q given by

$$Q := \{\mathbf{x} \mid x_1 + \dots + x_n \leq n-1 \text{ and } 0 \leq x_i \text{ for all } i \in [n]\} = (n-1)\Delta_{[n]}^0.$$

Note that $\nabla_{[n]} := \{\mathbf{x} \mid x_1 + \dots + x_n \geq n-1 \text{ and } x_i \leq 1 \text{ for all } i \in [n]\}$. Thus, for $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_n)$

and $\mathbf{z} = (z_1, \dots, z_n)$ in \mathbb{Z}^n , the translations $\mathbf{y} + Q$ and $\mathbf{z} + \nabla_{[n]}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbf{y} + Q &= \{\mathbf{x} \mid x_1 + \dots + x_n \leq y_1 + \dots + y_n + n - 1 \text{ and } y_i \leq x_i \text{ for all } i \in [n]\} \\ \mathbf{z} + \nabla_{[n]} &= \{\mathbf{x} \mid x_1 + \dots + x_n \geq z_1 + \dots + z_n + n - 1 \text{ and } x_i \leq z_i + 1 \text{ for all } i \in [n]\}.\end{aligned}$$

If $z_1 + \dots + z_n + n - 1 \geq y_1 + \dots + y_n + n - 1$, then $\mathbf{y} + Q$ and $\mathbf{z} + \nabla_{[n]}$ have no common interior. If $z_1 + \dots + z_n + n - 1 < y_1 + \dots + y_n + n - 1$, then there exists $j \in [n]$ such that $z_j + 1 \leq y_j$. This implies that $\mathbf{y} + Q$ lies in the half-space $z_j + 1 \leq x_j$ while $\mathbf{z} + \nabla_{[n]}$ lies in the half-space $x_j \leq z_j + 1$. Hence, $\mathbf{y} + Q$ and $\mathbf{z} + \nabla_{[n]}$ will also have no common interior in this case. That is, one must have that $\mathbf{y} + Q$ and $\mathbf{z} + \nabla_{[n]}$ have no common interior for all $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. As a consequence, one has that $\mathbf{y} + \hat{\Pi}$ and $\mathbf{z} + \nabla_{[n]}$ have no common interior for all $\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$. Since Π is an integral translation of $\hat{\Pi}$, it also follows that Π has no common interior with $\mathbf{x} + \nabla_{[n]}$, a contradiction to our choice of Π . Therefore, Π is a zonotope as claimed.

Now suppose that $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{Z}^n$ is the integral vector such that $\mathbf{y} + \hat{\Pi} = \Pi$. Since Π is a zonotope, by Lemma 4.8/(2), \mathbf{y} is the unique lattice point such that $\mathbf{y} + \nabla_{[n]} \subseteq \Pi$. Thus, $\mathbf{x} + \nabla_{[n]} \subseteq \mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} + \Pi$. This means that $\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{y} + \Pi$ and Π have a common interior. By Lemma 4.7, we must have $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{y}$. Therefore, \mathbf{x} is, as claimed, the unique lattice point such that $\mathbf{x} + \nabla_{[n]} \subseteq \Pi$. \square

The next theorem is a consequence of Lemma 4.2.

Theorem 4.10. *Suppose that $P = \Delta_{S_1}^0 + \dots + \Delta_{S_m}^0$ where $S_i \in \mathbf{AdS}$ for all $i \in [m]$. Then,*

$$|(P - \square_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = \sum_{T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n} |D(P_T) \cap \square_{[m]}|. \quad (4.1)$$

Proof. We first observe that

$$(P - \square_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n = \bigsqcup_{T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n} (P_T - \square_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n.$$

Thus,

$$|(P - \square_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = \sum_{T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n} |(P_T - \square_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|.$$

Since \square_T is a translation of $\square_{[n]}$ by an integral vector, we have $|(P_T - \square_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = |(P_T - \square_T) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|$ for all $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n$. Consequently, we have

$$|(P - \square_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = \sum_{T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n} |(P_T - \square_T) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n|. \quad (4.2)$$

For $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n$ such that P_T is not n -dimensional, we have that $|(P_T - \square_T) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = 0 = |D(P_T) \cap \square_{[m]}|$. Also, for $T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n$ such that P_T is n -dimensional, we have by Lemma 4.2 that $|(P_T - \square_T) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = |D(P_T) \cap \square_{[m]}|$. Thus, formula (4.1) follows from (4.2). \square

Theorem 4.10 implies that

$$|(P - \square_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = \sum_{T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in D(P_T)} \binom{1}{a_1} \dots \binom{1}{a_m} \right), \quad (4.3)$$

since only those G -draconian sequences $\mathbf{a} = (a_1, \dots, a_m)$ with $a_i \leq 1$ make the summands in equation (4.3) nonzero, and equal to one. Together with a simple binomial identity, we derive the following key result as a consequence.

Corollary 4.11. *Suppose that $P = y_1 \Delta_{S_1}^0 + \dots + y_m \Delta_{S_m}^0$ where y_i are integers and $S_i \in \mathbf{AdS}$ for all $i \in [m]$. Then, the number of lattice points in $P - \square_{[n]}$ is given by*

$$|(P - \square_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = \sum_{T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in D(P_T)} \binom{y_1}{a_1} \dots \binom{y_m}{a_m} \right). \quad (4.4)$$

Proof. As noted at the begining of the section, it suffices to show that the formula holds for positive integers y_1, \dots, y_n . Clearly, we can write any $y\Delta_S^0$ where $S \in \mathbf{AdS}$ and y is a positive integer as the Miknowski sum of y copies of Δ_S^0 . By writing

$$P = \underbrace{\Delta_{S_1}^0 + \dots + \Delta_{S_1}^0}_{y_1 \text{ terms}} + \dots + \underbrace{\Delta_{S_m}^0 + \dots + \Delta_{S_m}^0}_{y_m \text{ terms}}$$

and applying formula (4.3) to P , one can express the right-hand side of (4.3) as

$$\sum_{T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in D(P_T)} \binom{y_1}{a_1} \dots \binom{y_m}{a_m} \right)$$

using the binomial identity

$$\binom{y}{a} = \sum_{\substack{b_1 + \dots + b_y = a \\ b_1, \dots, b_y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n}} \binom{1}{b_1} \dots \binom{1}{b_y} \quad \text{for all } a, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}. \quad \square$$

Remark 4.12. Let

$$D(P) := \bigcup_{T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n} D(P_T).$$

Then, formula (4.4) can also be expressed as

$$|(P - \square_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = \sum_{\mathbf{a} \in D(P)} |\{T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n \mid \mathbf{a} \in D(P_T)\}| \binom{y_1}{a_1} \dots \binom{y_m}{a_m}. \quad (4.5)$$

One observes that formula (4.5) bears a resemblance to formula (2.7) from Lemma 2.20 by Eur, Fink, Larson, and Spink. However, (4.5) is written in a more compact form: each summand in (4.5) consolidates $\frac{(a_1 + \dots + a_m)!}{a_1! \dots a_m!}$ individual terms that appear in (2.7).

The Ehrhart polynomial of $P = y_1\Delta_{S_1}^0 + \dots + y_m\Delta_{S_m}^0$ can be computed simply by replacing P in (4.4) with $tP + \square_{[n]} = ty_1\Delta_{S_1}^0 + \dots + ty_m\Delta_{S_m}^0 + \Delta_{\{1\}}^0 + \dots + \Delta_{\{n\}}^0$. This allows us to obtain a formula for the volume of P by computing the leading coefficient of its Ehrhart polynomial as stated in the following corollary.

Corollary 4.13. *Suppose that $P = y_1\Delta_{S_1}^0 + \dots + y_m\Delta_{S_m}^0$ where y_i are real numbers and $S_i \in \mathbf{AdS}$ for all i . Then, the volume of P is given by*

$$\text{Vol}(P) = \sum_{T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in D(P_T)} \frac{y_1^{a_1}}{a_1!} \dots \frac{y_m^{a_m}}{a_m!} \right). \quad (4.6)$$

Proof. To see that formula (4.6) gives the volume of P , it suffices to show that the formula holds for nonnegative integers y_1, \dots, y_m . Let

$$Q^{(t)} := tP + \square_{[n]} = ty_1\Delta_{S_1}^0 + \dots + ty_m\Delta_{S_m}^0 + \Delta_{\{1\}}^0 + \dots + \Delta_{\{n\}}^0.$$

We note that $D(Q_T^{(t)}) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^{m+n}$. Then, the Ehrhart polynomial of P is given by

$$i(t, P) = |(Q^{(t)} - \square_{[n]}) \cap \mathbb{Z}^n| = \sum_{T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n} \left(\sum_{\mathbf{a} \in D(Q_T^{(t)})} \binom{y_1 t}{a_1} \dots \binom{y_m t}{a_m} \binom{1}{a_{m+1}} \dots \binom{1}{a_{n+m}} \right).$$

Since the volume of P equals the leading coefficient of $i(P, t)$, it follows that

$$\text{Vol}(P) = \sum_{T \in \mathbf{AdS}_n} \left(\sum_{\substack{\mathbf{a} \in D(Q_T^{(t)}) \\ a_i = 0, \forall i > m}} \frac{y_1^{a_1}}{a_1!} \cdots \frac{y_m^{a_m}}{a_m!} \right). \quad (4.7)$$

One observes that $\mathbf{a} \in D(Q_T^{(t)})$ satisfies $a_i = 0$ for all $i > m$ if and only if $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in D(P_T)$. Thus, the volume formula (4.6) is equivalent to (4.7). \square

5 More Problems from A to B

Our approach for computing the number of lattice points suggests that there seem to be many aspects of type B generalized permutohedra that can be explored using existing techniques and tools from the study of their type A counterparts. The following problems highlight some potential research directions.

Problem 5.1. In [10, Section 7], Postnikov introduces building sets and nested complexes to describe the face posets of some type A generalized permutohedra. Can we give a combinatorial description of the faces of type B generalized permutohedra using similar combinatorial models as building sets and nested complexes?

Problem 5.2. In [11], Postnikov, Reiner, and Williams compute the f and h -polynomials of a family of simple type A generalized permutohedra using building sets and the corresponding preposets. Can we employ a similar approach to compute the f and h -polynomials of type B generalized permutohedra?

Problem 5.3. Bastidas shows in [3] that every type B generalized permutohedron can also be written as the Minkowski sum of the simplices Δ_S and Δ_S^0 where $S \in \mathbf{AdS}$ are admissible subsets such that $\min(|i| \mid i \in S) \in S$. That is, the family of admissible subsets Δ_S and Δ_S^0 where $\min(|i| \mid i \in S) \in S$ is a “basis” for the type B generalized permutohedra. Find a formula for the Ehrhart polynomial of type B generalized permutohedra with respect to this basis (directly without transforming this basis to the basis used in this chapter).

Problem 5.4. An integral polytope is said to be *Ehrhart positive* if every coefficient of its Ehrhart polynomial is positive. Postnikov’s formula (2.5) implies that every type A generalized permutohedron of the form $y_1 \Delta_{I_1} + \cdots + y_m \Delta_{I_m} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is Ehrhart positive provided y_i are positive integers for all $i \in [m]$. Our formula (4.4) in Corollary 4.11 does not make it immediately clear whether Ehrhart positivity holds for type B generalized permutohedra in a similar situation. This leads to the question: Is every type B generalized permutohedron of the form $y_1 \Delta_{S_1}^0 + \cdots + y_m \Delta_{S_m}^0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ Ehrhart positive provided y_i are positive integers for all $i \in [m]$?

References

- [1] A. Amanbayeva and D. Wang. The convex hull of parking functions of length n . *Enumer. Comb. Appl.*, 2(2):Paper No. S2R10, 10, 2022.
- [2] F. Ardila, C. Benedetti, and J. Doker. Matroid polytopes and their volumes. *Discrete Comput. Geom.*, 43(4):841–854, 2010.
- [3] J. Bastidas. The polytope algebra of generalized permutahedra. *Algebraic Combinatorics*, 4:909–946, 11 2021.

- [4] J. Edmonds. Submodular functions, matroids, and certain polyhedra. In *Combinatorial Structures and their Applications (Proc. Calgary Internat. Conf., Calgary, Alta., 1969)*, pages 69–87. Gordon and Breach, New York-London-Paris, 1970.
- [5] E. Ehrhart. Sur les polyèdres rationnels homothétiques à n dimensions. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 254:616–618, 1962.
- [6] C. Eur, A. Fink, M. Larson, and H. Spink. Signed permutohedra, delta-matroids, and beyond. *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society*, 128(3):e12592, 2024.
- [7] S. Fujishige. *Submodular functions and optimization*, volume 58. Elsevier, 2005.
- [8] F. Liu and W. Thawinrak. Faces of parking function polytopes. In *Proceedings of the 37th International Conference on “Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics” (July 21 - 25, 2025, Hokkaido University, Sapporo, Japan)*, volume 93B of *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, 2025.
- [9] H. Minkowski. *Geometrie der Zahlen*. Bibliotheca Mathematica Teubneriana, Band 40. Johnson Reprint Corp., New York-London, 1968.
- [10] A. Postnikov. Permutohedra, associahedra, and beyond. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN*, (6):1026–1106, 2009.
- [11] A. Postnikov, V. Reiner, and L. Williams. Faces of generalized permutohedra. *Doc. Math.*, 13:207–273, 2008.
- [12] H. Weyl. Elementare Theorie der konvexen Polyeder. *Comment. Math. Helv.*, 7(1):290–306, 1934.