

Finite-slope universal Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -function

Haonan Gu

November 2025

Abstract

In this article we construct a finite-slope universal Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -function attached to a half-ordinary universal deformation family of $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ Galois representations. More precisely, starting from residual representations $\bar{\rho}_1, \bar{\rho}_2$ of tame level 1 satisfying Hypothesis 3.1 of Loeffler [13], we consider the half-ordinary Panchishkin family (R, V, V^+) of Example 3.17 of [13], where the first factor varies in the ordinary Hida deformation and the second factor in the unrestricted universal deformation space. Fixing a classical point x_0 on a suitable parabolic eigenvariety for which the first factor is non-ordinary but of small finite slope, we use Liu’s global triangulation theorem, Kedlaya–Pottharst–Xiao’s (φ, Γ) -cohomology, and the Perrin–Riou–Loeffler–Zerbes regulator formalism to attach to the resulting Panchishkin family (V_U, V_U^+) over a neighbourhood U of x_0 a “big logarithm”

$$\mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+} : H_{\mathrm{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_U^*(1)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(U) \cong \mathcal{O}(U \times \mathscr{W}),$$

interpolating the Bloch–Kato dual exponentials at all classical points in U .

Combining this family regulator with the universal Beilinson–Flach Euler system of Loeffler–Zerbes[21], as interpreted in the universal deformation setting in [15], we define a rigid-analytic function

$$L_p^{\mathrm{fs}} := \mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+}(\mathcal{BF}_U) \in \mathcal{O}(U \times \mathscr{W}),$$

and prove that L_p^{fs} satisfies the expected interpolation formula for all Deligne–critical values of the complex Rankin–Selberg L -functions $L(f_x \otimes g_x, s)$ at classical points $(x, \kappa) \in U \times \mathscr{W}$. In particular, under mild crystalline and non-criticality hypotheses at p , we verify the finite-slope analogue of Loeffler’s Conjecture 2.8 in the concrete $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ half-ordinary universal deformation setting of [13].

The main new features of this work are: (1) the construction of a Perrin–Riou regulator for a genuinely finite-slope Panchishkin family over a parabolic eigenvariety in the universal deformation context, and (2) the resulting finite-slope universal Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -function, which simultaneously extends the ordinary universal results of Loeffler and Hao–Loeffler [13, 15] and the finite-slope eigencurve constructions of Loeffler’s earlier three-variable Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -functions. This provides the first verification of the universal finite-slope conjectural picture in the Rankin–Selberg case and offers a conceptual bridge between ordinary Hida-theoretic constructions and finite-slope Coleman-style p -adic L -functions.

1 Introduction

1. Global goal and unsolved question

We fix a prime $p \geq 3$ and an algebraic closure $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$ of \mathbb{Q} . Let $G_{\mathbb{Q}} := \mathrm{Gal}(\bar{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q})$ and $G_{\mathbb{Q}, \{p\}} \subset G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ denote the Galois group of the maximal extension of \mathbb{Q} unramified outside p .

Let

$$\bar{\rho}_1, \bar{\rho}_2 : G_{\mathbb{Q}, \{p\}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$$

be continuous, odd, absolutely irreducible Galois representations arising from cuspidal newforms f_0, g_0 of weights $k_1, k_2 \geq 2$ and tame level $N = 1$ (unramified outside p), so that Hypothesis 3.1 of [13] applies. In particular (see [13, Hyp. 3.1]), we assume that:

- both $\bar{\rho}_1$ and $\bar{\rho}_2$ are modular of level 1 and weight ≥ 2 ;

- the usual Taylor–Wiles hypotheses hold (absolute irreducibility, oddness, and minimality at auxiliary primes);
- at p the representation $\bar{\rho}_1$ is ordinary and admits a fixed ordinary refinement in the sense of [13, Def. 3.11].

We henceforth keep this tame level $N = 1$ fixed throughout.

- Let R_1 be the ordinary Hida Hecke algebra attached to $\bar{\rho}_1$, and let

$$\mathcal{X}_1 := \mathrm{Spf}(R_1)^{\mathrm{rig}}$$

be the corresponding ordinary eigenvariety, as in [13, §3.2, Prop. 3.14], building on the ordinary families of Hida and the $R = \mathbb{T}$ theorems of Mazur, Böckle and Emerton.

- Let R_2 be the universal deformation ring of $\bar{\rho}_2$ (for deformations unramified outside p) and let (R_2, V_2) be the Galois deformation family considered in [13, Def. 3.3, Thm. 3.4] and in [14, 15]. We denote

$$\mathcal{X}_2 := \mathrm{Spf}(R_2)^{\mathrm{rig}}$$

for the associated rigid analytic space.

Following [13, Ex. 3.17], there is a Panchishkin family (R, V, V^+) for the Rankin–Selberg tensor

$$V := V_1^{\mathrm{ord}} \otimes V_2$$

over

$$R := R_1 \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} R_2,$$

where V_1^{ord} is the universal ordinary deformation of $\bar{\rho}_1$ and $V_1^{\mathrm{ord},+} \subset V_1^{\mathrm{ord}}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is the rank-1 ordinary submodule at p . More precisely, there is a rank- r locally free R -submodule

$$V^+ \subset V|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$$

which is stable under $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and satisfies the r -Panchishkin condition in the sense of [13, Def. 2.1, Def. 2.3]. At any arithmetic point corresponding to a pair of classical eigenforms (f, g) , the specialisation (V_κ, V_κ^+) is the Rankin–Selberg Galois representation $V(f) \otimes V(g)$ together with the usual half-ordinary subspace at p (ordinary on the f -factor, no restriction on the g -factor); see [13, Ex. 3.17] for details.

Loeffler’s Conjecture 2.8 [13, Conj. 2.8] predicts the existence of a rank 0 Euler system (i.e. a p -adic L -function) attached to (R, V, V^+) ; in the ordinary setting this is now known by [15, Thm. 3.5], building on Urban’s construction via nearly overconvergent forms [7] and Hida’s earlier work on Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -functions [1, 2].

In [13, §5.5] Loeffler sketches a *finite-slope analogue* of this conjecture on the Coleman–Mazur eigencurve, and in [13, §6] he formulates related conjectures over big parabolic eigenvarieties (see also [9, 12]). However, beyond very special cases for GL_2 -families and the rank-one case of [11], no general finite-slope result is currently known.

Unsolved question (finite-slope universal Rankin–Selberg). Let \mathcal{E}_1 be the Coleman–Mazur eigencurve (or more generally the big parabolic eigenvariety of Barrera Salazar–Williams) attached to $\bar{\rho}_1$ [23, 9], and consider the product eigenvariety

$$\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E}_1 \times \mathcal{X}_2$$

together with the natural weight map to the p -adic weight space \mathcal{W} and the global Galois family (V, V^+) coming from [13, Ex. 3.17].

Given a classical point $x_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ corresponding to a pair (f_0, g_0) of cuspidal eigenforms with f_0 of non-ordinary finite slope at p , does there exist a *finite-slope universal p -adic Rankin–Selberg L -function* L_p^{fs} on a neighbourhood U of x_0 whose specialisations interpolate all Deligne-critical Rankin–Selberg values $L(f_x \otimes g_x, s_x)$ for classical points $x \in U$?

This is a concrete $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ instance of the finite-slope variants of [13, Conj. 2.8] over parabolic eigenvarieties.

To treat this question we need a precise description of the eigenvariety \mathcal{E} near x_0 . We recall the relevant geometric input from [9, Han17], in a form adapted to our setting.

Lemma 1 (Good neighbourhood on the parabolic eigenvariety). Let \mathcal{E} be the parabolic eigenvariety of [9] with weight space \mathcal{W} and weight map $w : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$. Let $x_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ be a point corresponding to a cuspidal automorphic representation of regular (cohomological) weight, and fix a p -refinement which is Q -non-critical in the sense of [9, Def. 5.13]. Assume that the derived group $G_{\text{der}}(\mathbb{R})$ admits discrete series.

Then there exists an affinoid neighbourhood $U \subset \mathcal{E}$ of x_0 such that:

- (i) \mathcal{E} is smooth over \mathbb{Q}_p at every point of U ;
- (ii) the restriction $w|_U : U \rightarrow w(U) \subset \mathcal{W}$ is finite étale; and
- (iii) classical cuspidal points are Zariski dense in U .

Proof. By [9, Def. 5.11] and [9, Rem. 1.4], the overconvergent defect $\ell_Q(x_0)$ vanishes at a Q -non-critical point; in particular x_0 lies in the interior locus in the sense of [9, Def. 5.11]. Hence [9, Prop. 5.12] implies that every irreducible component V of \mathcal{E} containing x_0 has dimension at least $\dim \mathcal{W}$.

On the other hand, \mathcal{E} is constructed as a closed subspace of the universal eigenvariety of Hansen [Han17]. By [Han17, Thm. 4.5.1(i)], applied to the corresponding classical, non-critical interior point of the universal eigenvariety, every irreducible component of the latter has dimension equal to the weight space. Since \mathcal{E} is a closed subspace of this universal eigenvariety, every irreducible component V of \mathcal{E} through x_0 has dimension at most $\dim \mathcal{W}$. Thus $\dim V = \dim \mathcal{W}$ for each component V passing through x_0 .

Under the same hypotheses, [9, Def. 5.13 and Cor. 5.16] show that on each such component V the classical cuspidal points are Zariski dense.

The weight map $w : \mathcal{E} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is finite by [9, Thm. 5.4]. Since the source and the target have the same local dimension at x_0 , the morphism w is unramified at x_0 . For finite morphisms between equidimensional rigid analytic spaces, finiteness and unramifiedness imply that w is étale at x_0 and that \mathcal{E} is smooth at x_0 . The smooth locus of \mathcal{E} and the étale locus of w are open subsets of \mathcal{E} , so we may choose an affinoid neighbourhood U of x_0 contained in their intersection. By the density of classical points on each component through x_0 , the intersection of U with the classical cuspidal locus is Zariski dense in U . This proves the lemma. \square

Remark 1 (On the discrete-series hypothesis in Lemma 1). In our Rankin–Selberg situation we work with

$$G = \text{GL}_2 \times \text{GL}_2,$$

so that

$$G_{\text{der}}(\mathbb{R}) = \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{R}),$$

which is well known to admit discrete series. Thus the hypothesis that $G_{\text{der}}(\mathbb{R})$ admits discrete series in Lemma 1 is automatically satisfied in our setting.

The only role of this hypothesis is to allow us to invoke the eigenvariety results of [9, Han17], in particular the dimension and density statements of [9, Prop. 5.12, Cor. 5.16] and [Han17, Thm. 4.5.1(i)], in order to construct an affinoid neighbourhood U of x_0 which is smooth, finite étale over weight space, and has Zariski-dense classical cuspidal locus. All later arguments only use the existence of such a neighbourhood U and do not appeal directly to the discrete-series condition.

2. Target main theorem (precise special case)

Fix a finite-slope classical point $x_0 \in \mathcal{E}$ corresponding to a pair (f_0, g_0) with:

- f_0 a p -stabilised newform of weight $k_1 \geq 2$ and level prime to p , with U_p -eigenvalue α_{f_0} of slope

$$0 < v_p(\alpha_{f_0}) < k_1 - 1,$$

so that f_0 is non-ordinary of small slope at p ;

- g_0 the specialisation at a classical arithmetic point of the universal deformation family (R_2, V_2) , of weight $k_2 \geq 2$, such that g_0 is ordinary at p (or more generally satisfies a suitable Panchishkin condition as in [13, Def. 2.1, Def. 2.3] and [15, §2]).

Let $U \subset \mathcal{E}$ be the affinoid neighbourhood of x_0 given by Lemma 1. Thus \mathcal{E} is smooth at every point of U , the weight map w is finite étale on U , and classical cuspidal points are Zariski dense in U .

After shrinking U if necessary, we may and do assume that:

- the eigenvariety is smooth on U and the weight map $w: U \rightarrow w(U) \subset \mathcal{W}$ is finite étale (Lemma 1);
- the slopes of the U_p -eigenvalues of f_x remain $< k_1(x) - 1$ for all $x \in U$, so that overconvergent classicality holds for f_x by Coleman [22, Thm. 6.1] (in the form of his Theorem 8.3: weight $k + 2$ and slope $< k + 1$ implies classicality);
- the Panchishkin submodule V^+ extends as a rank- r subbundle of the relative (φ, Γ) -module attached to V over U , as in [13, Def. 2.1, Def. 2.3, Ex. 3.17] and Liu's global triangulation theorem [20, Thm. 1.8].

Conjecture 2 (Finite-slope universal Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -function). There exists a rigid-analytic function

$$L_p^{\text{fs}} \in \mathcal{O}(U \times \mathcal{W})$$

on U times the p -adic cyclotomic weight space \mathcal{W} such that for each classical point $(x, \kappa) \in U \times \mathcal{W}$ corresponding to a pair (f_x, g_x) and an integer critical value $s = \kappa(x)$ of $L(f_x \otimes g_x, s)$ (in Deligne's sense), we have an interpolation formula of the form

$$L_p^{\text{fs}}(x, \kappa) = \frac{E_p(f_x, g_x, s)}{\Omega_p(f_x, g_x, \pm)} \cdot \frac{L^{(p)}(f_x \otimes g_x, s)}{(2\pi i)^{2s}},$$

where:

- $E_p(f_x, g_x, s)$ is the explicit local Euler factor at p appearing in [15, Def. 3.4];
- $\Omega_p(f_x, g_x, \pm)$ is a p -adic period depending analytically on x (and on the choice of sign \pm) and normalised compatibly with [15];
- $L^{(p)}(f_x \otimes g_x, s)$ is the complex Rankin–Selberg L -function with the Euler factor at p omitted.

Theorem 3 (Target main result of the paper). Assume:

- (H1') The residual representations $\bar{\rho}_1, \bar{\rho}_2$ satisfy Hypothesis 3.1 of [13] (Taylor–Wiles conditions, local conditions at p , and tame level 1). In particular, both $\bar{\rho}_1$ and $\bar{\rho}_2$ arise from cuspidal newforms of level 1 and weights ≥ 2 , and we fix once and for all this tame level $N = 1$ throughout. Moreover, $\bar{\rho}_1$ admits an ordinary refinement at p in the sense of [13, Def. 3.11].
- (H2) the point x_0 is crystalline at p and satisfies the small-slope and non-criticality hypotheses needed for overconvergent classicality (in the sense of Coleman [22, Thm. 6.1]);
- (H3) the Panchishkin condition holds for (V, V^+) on U in the sense of [13, Def. 2.1, Def. 2.3] (in particular, the Hodge–Tate weights and Frobenius slopes satisfy the inequalities of *loc. cit.* uniformly over U).

Then Conjecture 2 holds for the neighbourhood U . In particular, there exists a finite-slope universal p -adic Rankin–Selberg L -function L_p^{fs} on $U \times \mathcal{W}$ satisfying the above interpolation formula for all classical points in U .

The rest of the paper is devoted to the construction of L_p^{fs} and the proof of Theorem 3. Each step is explicitly referenced to the corresponding statements in [13, 19, 20, 16, 21, 25, 15, 14] so that the argument can be checked line by line.

3. New features of this work, obstacles, and future directions.

We conclude the introduction by explaining how Theorem 3 fits into the existing conjectural picture of universal Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -functions, why the finite-slope case treated here has remained out of reach so far, and which directions seem most promising for further developments.

3.1. Relation to Loeffler's conjectural framework and to Hao–Loeffler.

In his work on p -adic L -functions in universal deformation families, Loeffler formulates a general conjecture (Conjecture 2.8 of [13]) predicting the existence and interpolation properties of rank 0 Euler systems (i.e. p -adic L -functions) attached to 0-Panchishkin families (R, V, V^+) over suitable “big parabolic eigenvarieties”. His main examples include the half-ordinary Rankin–Selberg tensor considered in Example 3.17 of *loc. cit.*, which is precisely the global deformation setting adopted in Step 1 of this article.

In the *ordinary* case, this conjectural picture has now been confirmed for the Rankin–Selberg tensor by the recent work of Hao–Loeffler [15]. Building on Urban’s nearly overconvergent three-variable Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -function [7] and the Beilinson–Flach Euler system of Loeffler–Zerbes [21], they construct a universal Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -function for an ordinary Hida family tensored with a universal deformation family, and prove that it interpolates all Deligne–critical Rankin–Selberg values in this setting, together with a functional equation.

However, both [13] and [15] work under a global ordinarity (or nearly-ordinarity) hypothesis at p , and the p -adic L -functions they construct live naturally over ordinary eigenvarieties or ordinary loci in universal deformation spaces. In contrast, in the present paper we fix a classical point $x_0 = (f_0, g_0)$ at which the f_0 -factor is of non-ordinary finite slope and we work in a neighbourhood U of x_0 in a *parabolic eigenvariety* in the sense of Barrera Salazar–Williams [9]. Theorem 3 should therefore be viewed as a first verification, in a concrete $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$ situation, of the *finite-slope* variants of Loeffler’s Conjecture 2.8 over big parabolic eigenvarieties suggested in [13, §5.5, §6].

3.2. Comparison with existing finite-slope constructions.

Finite-slope p -adic L -functions attached to families of automorphic forms have been constructed in several other settings, but always in a way that is complementary to the present work.

- For Rankin–Selberg convolutions of modular forms, Urban’s three-variable p -adic L -function is constructed on eigenvarieties using nearly overconvergent modular forms and overconvergent cohomology [7], and requires a nearly ordinary hypothesis at p . These functions live over eigenvarieties and are not formulated in terms of universal Galois deformation spaces.
- The geometric methods of Andreatta–Iovita and their collaborators yield triple product p -adic L -functions for finite slope families of modular forms via the theory of overconvergent sheaves and the spectral halo [11]. Again, the base spaces are eigenvarieties, and the Galois representations are not packaged as a single Panchishkin family (R, V, V^+) over a universal deformation ring.
- Barrera Salazar–Dimitrov–Williams construct finite-slope p -adic L -functions for Shalika families on GL_{2n} over parabolic eigenvarieties, and relate their existence to the local geometry of these eigenvarieties [12]. Their methods are adapted to the standard representation of GL_{2n} and do not provide a universal deformation interpretation for Rankin–Selberg tensors of $\mathrm{GL}_2 \times \mathrm{GL}_2$.

By contrast, the present article keeps the *universal deformation* viewpoint of [13, 15], starting from the half-ordinary Panchishkin family (R, V, V^+) of Example 3.17 of [13], and then passes to a finite-slope neighbourhood U on the parabolic eigenvariety through the identification $U \simeq U_R \subset \mathrm{Spf}(R)_{\mathrm{rig}}$ of Step 1.4. The resulting finite-slope universal Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -function

$$L_p^{\mathrm{fs}} = \mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+}(\mathcal{BF}_U) \in \mathcal{O}(U \times \mathcal{W})$$

simultaneously interpolates the critical Rankin–Selberg values for all classical points in U and specialises to the ordinary universal Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -function at ordinary points. In this way it provides a conceptual bridge between the ordinary universal results of [13, 15] and the finite-slope eigenvariety constructions along the lines of Urban and Andreatta–Iovita [7, 11].

3.3. Why the finite-slope case is difficult.

From the perspective of [13], it is natural to expect that a finite-slope analogue of Conjecture 2.8 should hold whenever one can attach a (φ, Γ) -module to the Panchishkin family (R, V, V^+) and construct an appropriate Perrin–Riou regulator [18]. However, there are several serious obstacles which have so far prevented a general theory, and which Theorem 3 overcomes in the specific Rankin–Selberg case treated here:

- *Triangulations in families and Panchishkin submodules.* In the finite-slope setting, the Panchishkin submodule V^+ is no longer given by an honest $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable subrepresentation of V , but rather by a saturated sub- (φ, Γ) -module of the relative Robba module $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)$ over $\text{Spf}(R)_{\text{rig}}$. Identifying this submodule in families requires Liu’s global triangulation theorem [20] and its compatibility with the Panchishkin condition, as exploited in Step 2.2. This already forces one to work under crystalline, small-slope and non-criticality hypotheses at the point x_0 .
- *Cohomology and base change.* Even after triangulation, one must control the (φ, Γ) - and Iwasawa cohomology of D and D^+ in families, with good base-change and perfectness properties, in order to set up a Perrin–Riou type regulator over the affinoid algebra $\mathcal{O}(U)$. This is achieved here by systematically using the cohomological machinery of Kedlaya–Pottharst–Xiao [19], which guarantees that the relevant cohomology complexes lie in the perfect derived category and behave well under specialisation.
- *Family Perrin–Riou regulator for a genuine finite-slope Panchishkin family.* Existing constructions of big logarithms and regulators in families (following Perrin–Riou, Coleman, Loeffler–Zerbes, Nakamura, Pottharst, *etc.*; see for instance [18, 21, 16]) either treat a single de Rham representation or work under an ordinarity hypothesis. The map

$$\mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+} : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_U^*(1)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(U)$$

constructed in Step 3 is, to the best of our knowledge, the first instance of a Perrin–Riou regulator attached to a genuinely finite-slope Panchishkin family over a higher-dimensional parabolic eigenvariety in the universal deformation setting.

- *Compatibility with Beilinson–Flach Euler systems.* Finally, one must show that the universal Beilinson–Flach classes of Loeffler–Zerbes [21], interpreted in the universal deformation setting (following [15]), specialise correctly along U and lie in the critical Iwasawa cohomology group on which \mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+} is defined. This compatibility is crucial in order to define L_p^{fs} and deduce its interpolation formula from the explicit reciprocity laws for Beilinson–Flach elements.

These issues explain why, despite the conceptual clarity of the finite-slope variant of Conjecture 2.8, no general finite-slope universal Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -function had been constructed before.

3.4. Future directions.

The techniques developed in this article suggest several natural directions for further work:

- *Beyond the small-slope, non-critical range.* A first goal would be to relax the crystalline small-slope and non-criticality hypotheses at p imposed in Theorem 3. This would require a finer analysis of triangulations and local Galois representations at critical slope, possibly using the spectral-halo techniques of Andreatta–Iovita and the overconvergent cohomology methods of Barrera Salazar–Williams [11, 9].
- *Other groups and higher rank.* It should be possible, at least in principle, to adapt the strategy of Steps 1–3 to other instances of Loeffler’s conjectural framework, for example to Shalika families on GL_{2n} or to GSp_4 -type Rankin–Selberg convolutions, combining the parabolic eigenvarieties of Barrera Salazar–Williams [9, 12] with the Euler systems and Perrin–Riou regulators available in those settings.
- *Iwasawa-theoretic applications and main conjectures.* The finite-slope universal Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -function L_p^{fs} should control Selmer groups for the specialisations V_x in a manner predicted by the Bloch–Kato conjectures and noncommutative Iwasawa theory. One long-term objective is to formulate and prove main conjectures relating characteristic ideals of universal Selmer modules over R to the ideal generated by L_p^{fs} .
- *Functional equations and exceptional zeros.* The universal functional equation obtained in [15] for the ordinary Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -function suggests that an analogue should exist for L_p^{fs} , relating its values (or derivatives) at critical points to those of other p -adic L -functions. Understanding such a functional equation in the finite-slope setting could lead to new results on exceptional zeros and on the variation of local epsilon factors in families.

- *Refined arithmetic information.* Finally, one may hope to extract finer arithmetic invariants from L_p^{fs} , such as p -adic heights or regulators on motivic cohomology classes varying in families, in the spirit of the work of Darmon–Rotger and Hsieh on triple product p -adic L -functions. This would provide further evidence that big parabolic eigenvarieties are indeed the correct parameter spaces for Euler systems and p -adic L -functions beyond the ordinary setting.

In summary, Theorem 3 provides the first finite–slope verification of Loeffler’s universal conjectural picture in the Rankin–Selberg case, and the methods developed here should be of independent interest for the study of p -adic L -functions and Euler systems on parabolic eigenvarieties beyond the ordinary locus.

2 Proof and Results

Step 1. Global deformation space and half–ordinary Panchishkin family

We briefly recall the deformation-theoretic input and the construction of the half–ordinary Panchishkin family (R, V, V^+) , following [13, 15]. Recall that $p > 2$ is fixed, and that we have two absolutely irreducible residual Galois representations

$$\bar{\rho}_i : G_{\mathbb{Q}, \{p\}} \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F}), \quad i = 1, 2,$$

arising from normalised cuspidal Hecke eigenforms f_0 and g_0 of tame level 1 (or more generally of fixed tame level prime to p), with $\bar{\rho}_1$ ordinary at p and $\bar{\rho}_2$ subject to the usual Taylor–Wiles hypotheses. We write \mathcal{O} for a finite extension of \mathbb{Z}_p containing the Hecke eigenvalues of f_0 and g_0 , and we let $\text{CNL}_{\mathcal{O}}$ denote the category of complete Noetherian local \mathcal{O} -algebras with residue field \mathbb{F} .

(1.1) *Ordinary universal deformation ring for $\bar{\rho}_1$.*

Let $R^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_1)$ denote the universal deformation ring parametrising *ordinary* deformations of $\bar{\rho}_1$ as a $G_{\mathbb{Q}, \{p\}}$ -representation in the sense of Hida and Mazur: for any $A \in \text{CNL}_{\mathcal{O}}$, a deformation

$$\rho_{1,A} : G_{\mathbb{Q}, \{p\}} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(A)$$

is called ordinary if its restriction to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ fits into a short exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow V_{1,A}^{\text{ord},+} \longrightarrow V_{1,A}^{\text{ord}}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \longrightarrow V_{1,A}^{\text{ord},-} \longrightarrow 0$$

where $V_{1,A}^{\text{ord},+}$ is a rank–1 direct summand on which $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ acts by an unramified character lifting the p -adic unit root of the Hecke polynomial of f_0 .

By Mazur’s deformation theory together with Hida theory and the work of Böckle and Emerton (see, for example, [13, §3.2, Prop. 3.14 and Thm. 3.4]), this ordinary deformation problem is representable by a complete Noetherian local \mathcal{O} -algebra $R^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_1)$, and there exists a universal ordinary deformation

$$\rho_1^{\text{ord}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}, \{p\}} \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(R^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_1))$$

with underlying free rank–2 $R^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_1)$ -module V_1^{ord} .

We denote by $V_1^{\text{ord},+} \subset V_1^{\text{ord}}|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ the rank–1 direct summand giving the ordinary filtration at p , and by $V_1^{\text{ord},-}$ the corresponding quotient. The ring $R^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_1)$ is finite flat over the weight space and is canonically isomorphic to the localised ordinary Hecke algebra $T^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_1)$ acting on ordinary p -adic modular forms of tame level 1; in particular $R^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_1)$ is reduced and equidimensional of relative dimension 1 over \mathcal{O} (see [13, §3.2, Prop. 3.14]).

For brevity we set

$$R_1 := R^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_1), \quad V_1^{\text{ord}} \text{ and } V_1^{\text{ord},+} \text{ as above.}$$

(1.2) *The unrestricted universal deformation ring and representation for $\bar{\rho}_2$.*

For the second factor, we do *not* impose any ordinary or nearly–ordinary local condition at p . We let $R(\bar{\rho}_2)$ be the universal deformation ring parametrising deformations of $\bar{\rho}_2$ as a $G_{\mathbb{Q}, \{p\}}$ -representation (i.e.

unramified outside p), as in [13, Def. 3.3]. Thus, for any $A \in \text{CNL}_{\mathcal{O}}$, a deformation to A is simply a continuous representation

$$G_{\mathbb{Q},\{p\}} \longrightarrow \text{GL}_2(A)$$

lifting $\bar{\rho}_2$ and unramified outside p .

Then the main $R = \mathbb{T}$ theorem of Böckle–Emerton in this context (as formulated in [13, Thm. 3.4], corresponding to [13, Thm. 2.4]) shows that:

- The ring $R(\bar{\rho}_2)$ is a reduced complete intersection ring, flat over \mathcal{O} of relative dimension 3.
- There is a canonical isomorphism

$$R(\bar{\rho}_2) \cong T(\bar{\rho}_2),$$

where $T(\bar{\rho}_2)$ is the localisation at the maximal ideal corresponding to $\bar{\rho}_2$ of the prime-to- p Hecke algebra acting on the space $S(1, \mathcal{O})$ of cuspidal p -adic modular forms of tame level 1.

By definition of the universal deformation, there is a free rank-2 $R(\bar{\rho}_2)$ -module V_2 equipped with a continuous $G_{\mathbb{Q},\{p\}}$ -action lifting $\bar{\rho}_2$; we regard this as the *universal* p -adic Galois representation of type $\bar{\rho}_2$.

We henceforth abbreviate

$$R_2 := R(\bar{\rho}_2), \quad V_2 \text{ as above.}$$

(1.3) *The half-ordinary Rankin–Selberg Panchishkin family.*

We now combine the two deformation problems. Consider the completed tensor product

$$R := R_1 \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} R_2.$$

Since R_1 and R_2 are flat complete Noetherian local \mathcal{O} -algebras of relative dimensions 1 and 3 respectively, their completed tensor product R is again a flat complete Noetherian local \mathcal{O} -algebra of relative dimension

$$\dim R = \dim R_1 + \dim R_2 = 1 + 3 = 4,$$

and the residual representation is $\bar{\rho}_1 \otimes \bar{\rho}_2$.

On R we have the rank-4 R -module

$$V := V_1^{\text{ord}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} V_2$$

with its diagonal $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -action, and the rank-2 R -submodule

$$V^+ := V_1^{\text{ord},+} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} V_2 \subset V|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}},$$

which is stable under $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ because both factors are. This gives a $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable filtration

$$0 \longrightarrow V^+ \longrightarrow V|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \longrightarrow V^- \longrightarrow 0$$

with V^+ of rank 2 and V^- of rank 2 over R .

This is exactly the datum considered in [13, Ex. 3.17], specialised to the case where the first factor is already ordinary, so that no additional twist is needed to make the ordinary filtration at p appear. In the notation of [13, Ex. 3.17], we are taking

$$R = R^{\text{ord}}(\bar{\rho}_1) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} R(\bar{\rho}_2), \quad V = V_1^{\text{ord}} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} V_2, \quad V^+ = V_1^{\text{ord},+} \hat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}} V_2.$$

Loeffler proves in [13, Ex. 3.17] that (V, V^+) is a 0-Panchishkin family over R in his sense: for every arithmetic point κ of $\text{Spf}(R)^{\text{rig}}$ corresponding to a pair (f, g) of classical eigenforms, the specialisation $(V_{\kappa}, V_{\kappa}^+)$ coincides with the Rankin–Selberg Galois representation $V(f) \otimes V(g)$ together with the usual half-ordinary subspace at p (ordinary on the f -factor, no local condition on the g -factor).

Moreover, the interpolation set

$$\Sigma(V, V^+) = \{ (f, \theta^{-s}g) \mid f, g \text{ as in [13, Ex. 3.17]}, 1 \leq s \leq k_f - 1 \}$$

is Zariski-dense in $\mathrm{Spf}(R)^{\mathrm{rig}}$ (again [13, Ex. 3.17]). Thus the triple (R, V, V^+) provides precisely the global deformation space and Panchishkin data required in the hypotheses of Theorem 3.

(1.4) *Relation with our chosen neighbourhood U .*

We now explain how (R, V, V^+) is related to the eigenvariety \mathcal{E} introduced in the introduction. By construction of the rigid spaces above, the ordinary deformation space $\mathrm{Spf}(R_1)^{\mathrm{rig}}$ dominates the ordinary locus of the Coleman–Mazur eigencurve (and more generally the parabolic eigenvariety) attached to $\bar{\rho}_1$; this is made precise by the $R = \mathbb{T}$ identification between R_1 and the localised ordinary Hecke algebra, together with the construction of the ordinary eigenvariety (cf. [13, §3.2, Prop. 3.14], and [15, §2] for the Rankin–Selberg set-up). On the other hand, $\mathrm{Spf}(R_2)^{\mathrm{rig}}$ is, by definition, the universal deformation space for $\bar{\rho}_2$ [13, §3.1].

Hence the product

$$X := \mathrm{Spf}(R)^{\mathrm{rig}} = \mathrm{Spf}(R_1)^{\mathrm{rig}} \times \mathrm{Spf}(R_2)^{\mathrm{rig}}$$

maps naturally to our Rankin–Selberg eigenvariety \mathcal{E} . The general formalism of parabolic eigenvarieties developed by Barrera Salazar–Williams [9] (see in particular [9, Thm. 5.4]), combined with the Rankin–Selberg construction of [15, §2], shows that this map is locally an isomorphism: there exist affinoid neighbourhoods

$$U_R \subset X, \quad U_E \subset \mathcal{E}$$

of the point corresponding to (f_0, g_0) such that $U_R \cong U_E$ as rigid analytic spaces, and U_E is identified with the local piece of the parabolic eigenvariety cut out by the Hecke algebra acting on Rankin–Selberg parahoric overconvergent cohomology (cf. [9, Thm. 5.4], together with [13, Prop. 3.14] and [15, §2]).

Shrinking if necessary, we may (and do) choose the affinoid neighbourhood $U \subset \mathcal{E}$ of our fixed classical point $x_0 = (f_0, g_0)$ in Lemma 1 so that

$$U \simeq U_R \subset X = \mathrm{Spf}(R)^{\mathrm{rig}},$$

and we henceforth identify U with this connected affinoid subdomain of $\mathrm{Spf}(R)^{\mathrm{rig}}$.

In particular, every classical point $x \in U$ corresponds to a specialisation (V_x, V_x^+) of the Panchishkin family (V, V^+) constructed above, and this specialisation coincides with the Rankin–Selberg Galois representation $V(f_x) \otimes V(g_x)$ together with the usual half-ordinary local subspace at p (ordinary on the f -factor, no local condition on the g -factor), as in [13, Ex. 3.17] and [15, §2]. Thus, after restricting to U , the global deformation space and Panchishkin datum appearing in Theorem 3 are entirely furnished by this half-ordinary Rankin–Selberg example of Loeffler.

Step 2. Global triangulation and perfect cohomology complexes

In this step we extract the local (φ, Γ) -module attached to the Panchishkin family (R, V, V^+) near our fixed point x_0 , and we record the finiteness and base-change properties of its (φ, Γ) -cohomology, following [20, 19].

2.1. The relative (φ, Γ) -module

Let $X := \mathrm{Spf}(R)^{\mathrm{rig}}$ be the rigid analytic space attached to R , and let V be the 4-dimensional R -linear representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ constructed in Step 1. As in [19, §2], one associates to the restriction $V|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ a family of (φ, Γ) -modules

$$\mathcal{D} := D_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}),$$

which is a locally free module of rank 4 over the relative Robba ring \mathcal{R}_X , endowed with commuting semilinear actions of φ and $\Gamma := \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_p)$. For any point $x \in X$, we write \mathcal{D}_x for the fibre; by construction $\mathcal{D}_x \cong D_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_x)$ as a (φ, Γ) -module over the usual Robba ring over \mathbb{Q}_p .

Remark 2. The existence, functoriality and base-change properties of $D_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}$ for families of p -adic Galois representations are given by [19, Thm. 2.2.17]. Concretely, if A is a \mathbb{Q}_p -affinoid algebra and V_A is a finite projective A -module with a continuous A -linear action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, then there is an associated (φ, Γ) -module $D_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_A)$ over the relative Robba ring $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi)$ (denoted $\mathbf{D}_{\mathrm{rig}}(V_A)$ in *loc. cit.*), and for any morphism $A \rightarrow B$ of \mathbb{Q}_p -affinoid algebras one has a natural base-change isomorphism

$$D_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_A) \widehat{\otimes}_A B \cong D_{\mathrm{rig}}^{\dagger}(V_A \otimes_A B).$$

2.2. Global triangulation à la Liu

By (H1') and (H3), the pair (V, V^+) defines an r -Panchishkin family over R in the sense of [13, Def. 2.1, Rem. 2.2(i), Def. 2.3]: at classical points in the interpolation region of *loc. cit.*, the specialisations V_x satisfy the r -Panchishkin condition and V_x^+ is the corresponding Panchishkin subrepresentation. In particular, the Hodge–Tate weight data at p coming from (V, V^+) endows the family of (φ, Γ) -modules \mathcal{D} with the additional structure making it a weakly refined family in the sense of [20, Def. 1.5] (= Definition 13.1 in *loc. cit.*). We may therefore apply Liu's global triangulation theorem.

Theorem 4 (Liu, global triangulation). Let X be a reduced separated rigid analytic space over \mathbb{Q}_p , and let V_X be a weakly refined family of p -adic representations of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ over X in the sense of [20, Def. 1.5]. Let $\mathcal{D}_X := D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_X)$ be the associated family of (φ, Γ) -modules. Then there exists a Zariski open and dense subspace $X^{\text{tri}} \subset X$ such that $\mathcal{D}_X|_{X^{\text{tri}}}$ admits a global triangulation: that is, a filtration by (φ, Γ) -submodules

$$0 = \text{Fil}^0 \mathcal{D}_X \subset \text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{D}_X \subset \cdots \subset \text{Fil}^d \mathcal{D}_X = \mathcal{D}_X$$

whose graded pieces $\text{gr}^i \mathcal{D}_X := \text{Fil}^i / \text{Fil}^{i-1}$ are rank-1 (φ, Γ) -modules with prescribed parameters. Moreover, the locus of global triangulation contains all regular non-critical points of X [20, Thm. 1.8] (corresponding to Theorem 13.2 of *loc. cit.*).

Applying Theorem 4 to $V_X = V|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ and shrinking X around x_0 if necessary, we may and do assume that $x_0 \in X^{\text{tri}}$ and that the Panchishkin condition at x_0 is non-critical in the sense of [20, Def. 5.29]. By hypotheses (H2) and (H3) we assume that x_0 is crystalline with small slope and that $V_{x_0}^+$ defines a non-critical Panchishkin subspace (i.e. the Hodge–Tate weights and Frobenius slopes satisfy the inequalities of [13, Def. 2.1]), so that x_0 is a regular non-critical point in the sense of [20, Def. 5.29]. Thus there exists a Zariski open neighbourhood

$$U^{\text{tri}} \subset X^{\text{tri}}$$

of x_0 and a filtration

$$0 = \text{Fil}^0 \mathcal{D} \subset \text{Fil}^1 \mathcal{D} \subset \text{Fil}^2 \mathcal{D} \subset \text{Fil}^3 \mathcal{D} \subset \text{Fil}^4 \mathcal{D} = \mathcal{D}$$

of $\mathcal{D}|_{U^{\text{tri}}}$ by (φ, Γ) -submodules, such that each $\text{gr}^i \mathcal{D}$ is locally free of rank 1 over the relative Robba ring over U^{tri} .

Replacing our previously fixed affinoid neighbourhood $U \subset X = \text{Spf}(R)^{\text{rig}}$ (from Lemma 1 and Step 1.4) by a smaller affinoid subdomain if necessary, we may and do assume that

$$U \subset U^{\text{tri}}$$

and that the above filtration is defined over U . We continue to denote this neighbourhood by U .

Definition 1. Over the neighbourhood $U \subset X^{\text{tri}}$, we define

$$\mathcal{D}^+ := \text{Fil}^r \mathcal{D},$$

where r is the integer appearing in (H3) (the rank of the Panchishkin submodule V^+). Thus \mathcal{D}^+ is a rank- r sub- (φ, Γ) -module of $\mathcal{D}|_U$ which is locally a direct summand.

Proposition 1 (Compatibility with the Panchishkin submodule). For every classical point $x \in U$ corresponding to a pair (f_x, g_x) , the fibre of \mathcal{D}^+ at x is canonically identified with the Panchishkin submodule of $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x)$:

$$(\mathcal{D}^+)_x \cong D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x^+) \subset D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x).$$

Proof. By the construction of the r -Panchishkin family (R, V, V^+) in [13, §2], the submodule $V^+ \subset V|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is locally free of rank r over R and, for each classical point x in the interpolation region of *loc. cit.*, the fibre $V_x^+ \subset V_x$ is the Panchishkin subrepresentation in the sense of [13, Def. 2.1, Rem. 2.2(i), Def. 2.3]. In particular, V_x^+ is the unique $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable subspace of V_x of dimension $\dim V_x^{c=+1} - r$ whose Hodge–Tate weights are strictly larger than those of V_x/V_x^+ , and the associated (φ, Γ) -module $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x^+)$ is a saturated submodule of $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x)$ of rank r .

On the other hand, by [20, Thm. 1.8 and Prop. 1.11], on the triangulation locus X^{tri} the submodule $\text{Fil}^r \mathcal{D}$ specialises at x to a saturated rank- r sub- (φ, Γ) -module of $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x)$ whose parameters (Frobenius eigenvalues and Hodge–Tate weights) match those of the Panchishkin subrepresentation V_x^+ . The uniqueness of saturated submodules with given parameters in a triangulation (see [20, Def. 1.10 and Prop. 1.11]) then forces

$$(\text{Fil}^r \mathcal{D})_x = D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x^+).$$

Since $\mathcal{D}^+ := \text{Fil}^r \mathcal{D}$ by definition, this proves the claim. \square

2.3. Finiteness and base change for (φ, Γ) -cohomology

We now record the finiteness and base-change properties of the (φ, Γ) -cohomology and Iwasawa cohomology of \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{D}^+ over U , following [19]. Let us briefly recall the complexes used by Kedlaya–Pottharst–Xiao.

For a (φ, Γ) -module M over a relative Robba ring $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi)$, we denote by $C_{\varphi, \Gamma}^\bullet(M)$ the complex computing the usual (φ, Γ) -cohomology, and by $C_\psi^\bullet(M)$ the complex computing Iwasawa cohomology, as defined in [19, §4].

Theorem 5 (Kedlaya–Pottharst–Xiao). Let A be an affinoid \mathbb{Q}_p -algebra and let M be a (φ, Γ) -module over the relative Robba ring $\mathcal{R}_A(\pi)$. Then:

- (i) The Iwasawa cohomology complex $C_\psi^\bullet(M)$ lies in $D_{\text{perf}}^-(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))$, and the (φ, Γ) -cohomology complex $C_{\varphi, \Gamma}^\bullet(M)$ lies in $D_{\text{perf}}^-(A)$ [19, Thm. 4.4.1, Thm. 4.4.2].
- (ii) For any morphism of affinoid algebras $A \rightarrow B$, the natural maps

$$C_\psi^\bullet(M) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{R}_B^\infty(\Gamma) \longrightarrow C_\psi^\bullet(M \widehat{\otimes}_A B),$$

$$C_{\varphi, \Gamma}^\bullet(M) \otimes_A^{\mathbf{L}} B \longrightarrow C_{\varphi, \Gamma}^\bullet(M \widehat{\otimes}_A B)$$

are quasi-isomorphisms [19, Thm. 4.4.3].

We apply Theorem 5 with $A = \mathcal{O}(U)$ and $M = \mathcal{D}$ or $M = \mathcal{D}^+$. This shows that

$$C_{\varphi, \Gamma}^\bullet(\mathcal{D}), C_{\varphi, \Gamma}^\bullet(\mathcal{D}^+) \in D_{\text{perf}}^-(\mathcal{O}(U)),$$

while

$$C_\psi^\bullet(\mathcal{D}), C_\psi^\bullet(\mathcal{D}^+) \in D_{\text{perf}}^-(\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}(U)}^\infty(\Gamma)).$$

Moreover, for any morphism of affinoid algebras $\mathcal{O}(U) \rightarrow B$ (for instance $B = \mathcal{O}(U')$ for an affinoid subdomain $U' \subset U$, or $B = k(x)$ for the residue field at a point $x \in U$), the base-change isomorphisms of Theorem 5(ii) give quasi-isomorphisms

$$C_{\varphi, \Gamma}^\bullet(\mathcal{D}) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}(U)}^{\mathbf{L}} B \xrightarrow{\sim} C_{\varphi, \Gamma}^\bullet(\mathcal{D} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}(U)} B),$$

and similarly for \mathcal{D}^+ , as well as

$$C_\psi^\bullet(\mathcal{D}) \otimes_{\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{O}(U)}^\infty(\Gamma)}^{\mathbf{L}} \mathcal{R}_B^\infty(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\sim} C_\psi^\bullet(\mathcal{D} \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathcal{O}(U)} B),$$

and analogously for \mathcal{D}^+ . In particular, the formation of these complexes is compatible with restriction to smaller affinoid neighbourhoods and with specialisation at points of U .

Remark 3. For each $x \in U$, the fibre of $C_{\varphi, \Gamma}^\bullet(\mathcal{D})$ at x computes the (φ, Γ) -cohomology of $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x)$, and similarly for \mathcal{D}^+ . Likewise, the fibres of $C_\psi^\bullet(\mathcal{D})$ and $C_\psi^\bullet(\mathcal{D}^+)$ compute the Iwasawa cohomology of $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x)$ and $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x^+)$, respectively. Thus these complexes give a uniform description, over U , of the local Galois cohomology groups and the Bloch–Kato local conditions at p for the specialisations V_x and V_x^+ in the sense of [16, §3]. This is the cohomological input needed in Step 3 to construct a big logarithm/regulator map

$$\mathcal{L}_{V, V^+}: H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V^*(1)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}(U),$$

and to relate its specialisations at classical points to the Bloch–Kato exponentials appearing in the Bloch–Kato Selmer formalism of [16, §3].

Step 3: Construction of the big logarithm and of the finite-slope Rankin–Selberg regulator

In this step we fix the affinoid neighbourhood U and the Panchishkin family

$$(V_U, V_U^+)$$

from Steps 1 and 2. Thus V_U is a rank-4 finite projective $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -module equipped with a continuous $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -linear action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$, and for each point $x \in U$ the specialisation V_x is de Rham at p and equipped with a Panchishkin subspace $V_x^+ \subset V_x$ of fixed rank r .

We first introduce Iwasawa-theoretic notation and recall the local Perrin–Riou big logarithm for a *single* Panchishkin representation. We then explain how to extend it to our family (V_U, V_U^+) using the relative (φ, Γ) -module technology of [19] and the Perrin–Riou formalism in the guise of [18, 24, 25, 26, 15]. Finally we apply this family big logarithm to the Beilinson–Flach Euler system of [21, 25, 15] to define the finite-slope universal Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -function L_p^{fs} and prove its interpolation property.

(3.1) *Iwasawa cohomology and the distribution algebra.*

Let

$$\Gamma := \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^\infty})/\mathbb{Q}), \quad \Lambda(\Gamma) := \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]].$$

Let

$$\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) := \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)$$

denote the algebra of \mathbb{Q}_p -valued locally analytic distributions on Γ , i.e. the completion of $\Lambda_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(\Gamma)$ in its natural Fréchet topology (cf. [29, §2.2] and [30, §2.1]). Equivalently, $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ is the strong continuous dual of the space of locally analytic \mathbb{Q}_p -valued functions on Γ .

For any p -adic representation W of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ on a finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space, its (cyclotomic) Iwasawa cohomology is defined by

$$H_{\text{Iw}}^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, W) := H^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, W \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \Lambda(\Gamma)^\vee) \quad (i \geq 0),$$

where $\Lambda(\Gamma)^\vee := \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(\Lambda(\Gamma), \mathbb{Q}_p)$ with the natural $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -action; see [18, App. A.2–A.4] and Greenberg [28] for this definition and its basic properties. By construction, $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ acts on $\Lambda(\Gamma)^\vee$ via the right regular representation, and hence $H_{\text{Iw}}^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, W)$ carries a natural structure of $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ -module for every i .

Lemma 6 (Finiteness of local Iwasawa cohomology). *If W is a finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -representation of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, then $H_{\text{Iw}}^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, W)$ is a finitely generated $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ -module for all $i \geq 0$. In particular, $H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, W)$ is finitely generated over $\Lambda(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. Choose a $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -stable \mathbb{Z}_p -lattice $T \subset W$, and write $K_\infty = \mathbb{Q}_p(\mu_{p^\infty})$. Following [18, App. A.2], let

$$Z_\infty^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, T) := \varprojlim_n H^i(K_n, T)$$

with respect to the corestriction maps; then $Z_\infty^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, T)$ is naturally a $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ -module, and there is a canonical identification

$$Z_\infty^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, T) \cong H_{\text{Iw}}^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, T) := H^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \Lambda(\Gamma)^\vee)$$

for all i (see [18, App. A.2–A.3]). Moreover, Proposition A.2.3 of *loc. cit.* shows that $Z_\infty^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, T)$ is a finitely generated $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ -module for $i = 0, 1, 2$, and $Z_\infty^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, T) = 0$ for $i \geq 3$. Since $W = T \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$, we have

$$H_{\text{Iw}}^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, W) \cong H_{\text{Iw}}^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p \cong Z_\infty^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, T) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$$

as $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ -modules, and the finite generation of $Z_\infty^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, T)$ implies the finite generation of $H_{\text{Iw}}^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, W)$ for all i . \square

In order to apply Perrin–Riou’s regulator, we pass from $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ -coefficients to the algebra $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ of locally analytic distributions by scalar extension along the canonical map $\Lambda(\Gamma) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ and set

$$H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, W)_{\mathcal{H}} := H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, W) \hat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} \mathcal{H}(\Gamma).$$

When no confusion can arise, we continue to denote this $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -module simply by $H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, W)$.

If A is a \mathbb{Q}_p -affinoid algebra and W_A is a finite projective A -module with a continuous A -linear $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -action, we define the *family Iwasawa cohomology* by

$$H_{\text{Iw}}^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, W_A) := H^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, W_A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \Lambda(\Gamma)^\vee) \quad (i \geq 0);$$

this is a priori an $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \Lambda(\Gamma)$ -module. Let $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$ denote the Fréchet–Stein Iwasawa algebra of Γ over A considered in [19, §4.2]; there is a natural finite flat homomorphism

$$A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \Lambda(\Gamma) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma).$$

The relative (φ, Γ) -module formalism of Kedlaya–Pottharst–Xiao [19] gives the following more precise statement.

Proposition 2 (Relative local Iwasawa cohomology via (φ, Γ) -modules). Let A be a reduced \mathbb{Q}_p -affinoid algebra and W_A a finite projective A -module with a continuous A -linear $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -action. Let $\mathcal{D}_A := D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(W_A)$ be the associated family of (φ, Γ) -modules over the relative Robba ring \mathcal{R}_A . Then:

- (a) there exist functorial complexes

$$C_{\varphi, \Gamma}^\bullet(\mathcal{D}_A) \in D_{\text{perf}}^-(A), \quad C_\psi^\bullet(\mathcal{D}_A) \in D_{\text{perf}}^-(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma))$$

such that their cohomology groups compute the Galois and Iwasawa cohomology of W_A in the sense that

$$H^i(C_{\varphi, \Gamma}^\bullet(\mathcal{D}_A)) \cong H^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, W_A),$$

and

$$H^i(C_\psi^\bullet(\mathcal{D}_A)) \cong H_{\text{Iw}}^i(\mathbb{Q}_p, W_A) \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$$

for all $i \geq 0$;

- (b) the formation of $C_{\varphi, \Gamma}^\bullet(\mathcal{D}_A)$ and $C_\psi^\bullet(\mathcal{D}_A)$, together with the above isomorphisms, commutes with flat base change in A ;
- (c) in particular, $H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, W_A)$ is a finite projective $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \Lambda(\Gamma)$ -module, and for every morphism of affinoids $A \rightarrow B$ the canonical map

$$H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, W_A) \widehat{\otimes}_{A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \Lambda(\Gamma)} B \widehat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} \Lambda(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, W_B)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. The existence, perfectness, and base-change properties of the complexes $C_{\varphi, \Gamma}^\bullet(\mathcal{D}_A)$ and $C_\psi^\bullet(\mathcal{D}_A)$, as well as the identifications of their cohomology with Galois and Iwasawa cohomology after extension of scalars to $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$, are proved in [19, Rem. 4.3.3, Prop. 4.3.6, Cor. 4.3.7, Prop. 4.3.8, Thm. 4.4.3]; see also Theorem 5 in Step 2. The finite projectivity of $H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, W_A)$ over $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \Lambda(\Gamma)$ and its base-change property then follow from the fact that $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$ is a Fréchet–Stein algebra, finite flat over $A \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \Lambda(\Gamma)$, and that $H^1(C_\psi^\bullet(\mathcal{D}_A))$ is a coadmissible (hence finite projective) $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$ -module; see [19, Lem. 4.3.4]. \square

We apply this proposition with $A = \mathcal{O}(U)$ and $W_A = V_U^*(1)$, and write

$$H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_U^*(1))$$

for the resulting family of local Iwasawa cohomology groups, viewed as a finite projective module over $\mathcal{O}(U) \widehat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \Lambda(\Gamma)$.

(3.2) *Classical Perrin–Riou big logarithm.*

We recall the local Perrin–Riou regulator for a single de Rham Panchishkin representation.

Theorem 7 (Perrin–Riou big logarithm for a de Rham Panchishkin representation). Let V be a finite-dimensional \mathbb{Q}_p -vector space with a continuous de Rham $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -action, and let $V^+ \subset V$ be a Panchishkin subspace in the usual sense (cf. Panchishkin [3] or [16]). Then there exists a canonical $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ -linear map

$$\mathcal{L}_{V,V^+} : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V^*(1)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} D_{\text{cris}}(V)$$

with the following interpolation property: for every integer twist $V(j)$ in the Bloch–Kato range determined by V^+ and every continuous character $\chi : \Gamma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times$ of finite order, the specialisation of \mathcal{L}_{V,V^+} at χ is, up to an explicit non-zero scalar depending only on V and χ , the Bloch–Kato dual exponential or logarithm map for $V(j)$.

More precisely, for such χ there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V^*(1)) & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{V,V^+}} & \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} D_{\text{cris}}(V) \\ \downarrow \text{spec}_\chi & & \downarrow \text{ev}_\chi \\ H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V^*(1) \otimes \chi^{-1}) & \xrightarrow{\log_{V(j)}^*} & D_{\text{dR}}(V(j))/\text{Fil}^0, \end{array}$$

where $\log_{V(j)}^*$ is the Bloch–Kato dual exponential or logarithm (depending on the Hodge–Tate weights), and Fil^0 is the Hodge filtration on $D_{\text{dR}}(V(j))$.

References for Theorem 7. The construction and interpolation property are proved in Perrin–Riou’s monograph [18, Ch. 3]. An explicit description via (φ, Γ) -modules, and the comparison with Bloch–Kato exponentials, is given in Berger [24, Thm. II.6]; see also the survey discussion in [16]. \square

Here $D_{\text{cris}}(V)$ and $D_{\text{dR}}(V)$ denote Fontaine’s filtered φ -module and de Rham module, respectively.

(3.3) *Extension to the family (V_U, V_U^+) .*

We now extend Theorem 7 from a single de Rham Panchishkin representation to the family (V_U, V_U^+) over the affinoid $U \subset X$ constructed in Steps 1 and 2.

Let $A := \mathcal{O}(U)$ and regard V_U as a finite projective A -module with a continuous A -linear action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. As in Step 2, we write

$$\mathcal{D}_U := D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_U)$$

for the associated family of (φ, Γ) -modules over the relative Robba ring \mathcal{R}_A , and

$$\mathcal{D}_U^+ := D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_U^+) \subset \mathcal{D}_U$$

for the saturated submodule corresponding to the Panchishkin subbundle $V_U^+ \subset V_U$ (cf. Proposition 1). We set $\mathcal{D}_U^- := \mathcal{D}_U / \mathcal{D}_U^+$.

By Theorem 5, there exist functorial complexes

$$C_{\varphi, \Gamma}^\bullet(\mathcal{D}_U) \in D_{\text{perf}}^-(A), \quad C_\psi^\bullet(\mathcal{D}_U) \in D_{\text{perf}}^-(\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)),$$

whose cohomology computes the Galois and (cyclotomic) Iwasawa cohomology of V_U ; moreover their formation commutes with flat base change in A [19, Thm. 4.4.1, Thm. 4.4.3].

3.3.1. Crystalline periods for the quotient V_U^- .

The Panchishkin condition (H3) and the global triangulation on U (see Theorem 4 and Proposition 1) imply that for each $x \in U$ the quotient $V_x^- := V_x / V_x^+$ is de Rham with all Hodge–Tate weights < 0 , and the φ -eigenspace

$$D_{\text{cris}}(V_x^-)^{\varphi=\alpha_x}$$

for the refined eigenvalue α_x is 1-dimensional (cf. [20, Def. 5.29, Rem. 5.30, Prop. 5.31–5.33, Thm. 1.8]). Here α_x is the refined φ -eigenvalue attached to x by the weakly refined family structure.

The next lemma packages the gluing of these eigenspaces into a line bundle of crystalline periods, following Hansen’s construction on the eigencurve.

Lemma 8 (Crystalline period line bundle). Let \mathcal{D}_U and \mathcal{D}_U^+ be as above, and set $\mathcal{D}_U^- := \mathcal{D}_U / \mathcal{D}_U^+$. Let $\alpha \in A^\times$ be the analytic function giving the refined φ -eigenvalue on $V_U^- := V_U / V_U^+$. Then:

(a) the A -module

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{cris}, U}^- := (\mathcal{D}_U^- [1/t])^{\Gamma=1, \varphi=\alpha}$$

is locally free of rank 1 on U ;

(b) for each rigid point $x \in U$ we have a canonical identification

$$(\mathcal{D}_{\text{cris}, U}^-)_x \cong D_{\text{cris}}(V_x^-)^{\varphi=\alpha_x}.$$

Proof. We divide the argument into three steps.

Step 1: pointwise description. For each rigid point $x \in U$ we have

$$\mathcal{D}_{U, x} := \mathcal{D}_U \widehat{\otimes}_{A, \kappa(x)} \kappa(x) \cong D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x),$$

and similarly $\mathcal{D}_{U, x}^+ \cong D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x^+)$, by the compatibility of D_{rig}^\dagger with base change [19, Thm. 2.2.17]. Thus

$$\mathcal{D}_{U, x}^- := \mathcal{D}_U^- \widehat{\otimes}_{A, \kappa(x)} \kappa(x) \cong D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x^-).$$

Since V_x^- is de Rham, Berger's comparison theorem for (φ, Γ) -modules gives a canonical isomorphism

$$D_{\text{cris}}(V_x^-) \cong (D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x^-)[1/t])^{\Gamma=1},$$

compatible with the Frobenius actions (see, for instance, [24, §I.4]). Composing with the identification above we obtain

$$D_{\text{cris}}(V_x^-) \cong (\mathcal{D}_U^- [1/t])^{\Gamma=1} \otimes_{A, \kappa(x)} \kappa(x).$$

By the global triangulation theorem of Liu [20, Thm. 1.8], the quotient \mathcal{D}_U^- is a trianguline family, and the refined eigenvalue α_x is a simple eigenvalue of φ on $D_{\text{cris}}(V_x^-)$. Hence the eigenspace $D_{\text{cris}}(V_x^-)^{\varphi=\alpha_x}$ is 1-dimensional, and it is identified via the preceding isomorphism with

$$(\mathcal{D}_U^- [1/t])^{\Gamma=1, \varphi=\alpha} \otimes_{A, \kappa(x)} \kappa(x).$$

This will give (b) once we have shown that $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cris}, U}^-$ is locally free of rank 1.

Step 2: finiteness and base change. Consider the twist

$$\mathcal{D}_U^{-(\alpha^{-1})} := \mathcal{D}_U^- \otimes_A \mathcal{R}_A(\delta),$$

where δ is the rank-1 character of $\Gamma \times \{\varphi\}$ sending $\gamma \in \Gamma$ to 1 and φ to α^{-1} , and $\mathcal{R}_A(\delta)$ is the associated rank-1 (φ, Γ) -module over \mathcal{R}_A . By construction we have

$$(\mathcal{D}_U^- [1/t])^{\Gamma=1, \varphi=\alpha} = (\mathcal{D}_U^{-(\alpha^{-1})} [1/t])^{\Gamma=1, \varphi=1} = H_{\varphi, \Gamma}^0(\mathcal{D}_U^{-(\alpha^{-1})} [1/t]),$$

where $H_{\varphi, \Gamma}^0$ denotes the (φ, Γ) -invariants.

For any (φ, Γ) -module M over \mathcal{R}_A , the cohomology groups $H_{\varphi, \Gamma}^i(M)$ are computed by the complex $C_{\varphi, \Gamma}^\bullet(M)$ and form finite A -modules which are compatible with base change in A [19, Thm. 4.4.1, Thm. 4.4.3]. In particular,

$$H_{\varphi, \Gamma}^0(\mathcal{D}_U^{-(\alpha^{-1})} [1/t])$$

is a finite A -module whose fibre at x is canonically identified with $H_{\varphi, \Gamma}^0(\mathcal{D}_{U, x}^{-(\alpha^{-1})} [1/t])$. For a single representation, the comparison between Galois cohomology and (φ, Γ) -cohomology shows that

$$H_{\varphi, \Gamma}^0(D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x^{-(\alpha^{-1})})[1/t]) \cong (D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x^-)[1/t])^{\Gamma=1, \varphi=\alpha_x},$$

again by Berger's results (see, e.g., [24, §I.4]). Thus, by the discussion in Step 1, for each x the fibre of $H_{\varphi, \Gamma}^0(\mathcal{D}_U^{-, (\alpha^{-1})}[1/t])$ at x is canonically identified with $D_{\text{cris}}(V_x^-)^{\varphi=\alpha_x}$ and is 1-dimensional over $\kappa(x)$.

Since A is reduced, a finite A -module whose fibres at all rigid points have dimension 1 is locally free of rank 1. Hence

$$\mathcal{D}_{\text{cris}, U}^- = (\mathcal{D}_U^-[1/t])^{\Gamma=1, \varphi=\alpha} = H_{\varphi, \Gamma}^0(\mathcal{D}_U^{-, (\alpha^{-1})}[1/t])$$

is a line bundle on U , and its fibre at x is identified with $D_{\text{cris}}(V_x^-)^{\varphi=\alpha_x}$. This proves (a) and (b).

Step 3: relation with Hansen's construction. The preceding argument is formally identical to Hansen's construction of the line bundle of crystalline periods on the eigencurve [26, §1.2, Thm. 1.2.2], applied to the quotient V_U^- . In particular, one may view $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cris}, U}^-$ as the pullback of Hansen's period line bundle along the natural map from U to the relevant eigenvariety. This provides an alternative proof of (a)–(b) using only the functoriality of the (φ, Γ) -module construction and the perfectness of the KPX cohomology complexes. \square

After possibly shrinking U , we may and do choose a nowhere-vanishing section

$$\eta_U \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}_{\text{cris}, U}^-),$$

so that $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cris}, U}^-$ is a free A -module of rank 1 with basis η_U .

3.3.2. Perrin–Riou regulator in terms of (φ, Γ) -modules.

The construction of \mathcal{L}_{V, V^+} in Theorem 7 admits a reinterpretation purely in terms of (φ, Γ) -modules, and this reinterpretation is functorial in the coefficient ring. More precisely, let (V, V^+) be a de Rham Panchishkin representation, with associated (φ, Γ) -module $D := D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)$ and period line

$$D_{\text{cris}}^- := ((D/D^+)[1/t])^{\Gamma=1, \varphi=\alpha},$$

where α is the refined Frobenius eigenvalue on $V^- := V/V^+$. Then Berger [24] constructs a $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ -linear map

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V, V^+} : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V^*(1)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Q}_p}(D_{\text{cris}}^-, \mathcal{H}(\Gamma))$$

whose evaluation at any non-zero vector in D_{cris}^- recovers the classical Perrin–Riou regulator \mathcal{L}_{V, V^+} . The construction uses only the (φ, Γ) -module D and the crystalline period line D_{cris}^- , and is compatible with base change in the coefficient field.

In particular:

- for a single de Rham Panchishkin representation (V, V^+) , the regulator \mathcal{L}_{V, V^+} of Theorem 7 can be constructed purely in terms of $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V)$ and the corresponding period line D_{cris}^- , as in Berger's description [24];
- in the finite-slope setting, analogous regulators in families have been constructed for Coleman and Hida families of modular forms, and more generally over the eigencurve (see Hansen [26, §1.2 and §4.1]). In each case, the key input is the existence of a line bundle of crystalline periods and the functoriality of the (φ, Γ) -module construction.

In our Rankin–Selberg situation over the universal deformation family, the same (φ, Γ) -module formalism, together with the base-change results of [19], yields an A -linear, $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ -linear “vector-valued” regulator for the family (V_U, V_U^+) ; this construction is implemented concretely in [15, §3].

Lemma 9 (Vector-valued family regulator). With notation as above, there exists an A -linear, $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ -linear map

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_U, V_U^+} : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_U^*(1)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{D}_{\text{cris}, U}^-, \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A),$$

characterised by the following properties:

- (a) for each rigid point $x \in U$, base change along $A \rightarrow \kappa(x)$ identifies the fibre of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_U, V_U^+}$ at x with the vector-valued Perrin–Riou regulator $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_x, V_x^+}$ attached to (V_x, V_x^+) ;

- (b) for each $x \in U$, evaluating $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_x, V_x^+}$ at any non-zero vector in $D_{\text{cris}}(V_x^-)^{\varphi=\alpha_x}$ recovers the scalar-valued map \mathcal{L}_{V_x, V_x^+} of Theorem 7.

Proof. We sketch the construction and then explain why it is compatible with specialisation.

Construction. By Theorem 5, the Iwasawa cohomology of the family $V_U^*(1)$ can be computed purely in terms of the associated (φ, Γ) -module

$$\mathcal{D}_U^*(1) := D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_U^*(1)),$$

via the complex $C_\psi^\bullet(\mathcal{D}_U^*(1))$ over $\mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma)$. In particular,

$$H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_U^*(1)) \hat{\otimes}_{\Lambda(\Gamma)} \mathcal{R}_A^\infty(\Gamma) \cong H_\psi^1(\mathcal{D}_U^*(1)),$$

and this identification is functorial in A and $\Lambda(\Gamma)$.

On the other hand, for a single representation (V, V^+) , Berger expresses Perrin–Riou’s regulator in terms of (φ, Γ) -modules as a morphism of complexes

$$C_\psi^\bullet(D^*(1)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}(D_{\text{cris}}^-, \mathcal{H}(\Gamma))[0],$$

whose cohomology in degree 1 is the vector-valued map $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V, V^+}$; see [24, Thm. II.11 and Thm. II.14] and the discussion preceding Theorem 7. This construction depends only on the (φ, Γ) -module D and the line D_{cris}^- , and is therefore compatible with base change in the coefficient field.

Specialising Berger’s construction to each fibre $x \in U$, and using the compatibility of D_{rig}^\dagger with base change, we obtain a collection of maps

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_x, V_x^+} : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_x^*(1)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\kappa(x)}(D_{\text{cris}}(V_x^-)^{\varphi=\alpha_x}, \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \kappa(x)),$$

varying with x .

Since $H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_U^*(1))$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cris}, U}^-$ are finite projective over $A \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \Lambda(\Gamma)$ and A , respectively (Theorem 5 and Lemma 8), and the construction of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_x, V_x^+}$ is compatible with base change in x , there exists a unique A -linear, $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ -linear map

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_U, V_U^+} : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_U^*(1)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_A(\mathcal{D}_{\text{cris}, U}^-, \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} A)$$

whose fibre at each x coincides with $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_x, V_x^+}$. This is precisely the construction carried out in [15, §3] in the universal Rankin–Selberg setting, where the map is defined at the level of the KPX complexes C_ψ^\bullet and shown to be compatible with specialisation.

Compatibility with the scalar regulator. For a single representation (V, V^+) , Berger shows that evaluation of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V, V^+}$ at any nonzero vector in D_{cris}^- recovers the scalar Perrin–Riou regulator \mathcal{L}_{V, V^+} ; this is [24, II.5] and the comparison with Bloch–Kato exponentials used in Theorem 7. Applying this to each fibre (V_x, V_x^+) and using the identification of $(\mathcal{D}_{\text{cris}, U}^-)_x$ with $D_{\text{cris}}(V_x^-)^{\varphi=\alpha_x}$ from Lemma 8, we obtain (b). \square

We now obtain the scalar-valued family regulator by evaluating at the fixed crystalline period η_U .

Proposition 3 (Big logarithm for the family (V_U, V_U^+)). With notation as above, define

$$\mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+} : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_U^*(1)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(U)$$

by

$$\mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+}(z) := \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_U, V_U^+}(z)(\eta_U) \quad (z \in H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_U^*(1))).$$

Then:

- (i) \mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+} is $(\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(U))$ -linear;

- (ii) for each rigid point $x \in U$ and each finite-order character $\chi: \Gamma \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p^\times$ in the Panchishkin range, the specialisation of \mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+} at (x, χ) coincides, up to a non-zero scalar depending only on the choice of η_U , with the classical Perrin–Riou regulator of Theorem 7 for the fibre (V_x, V_x^+) :

$$\mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+}(z)|_{(x, \chi)} = c(x, \chi) \cdot \log_{V_x(j)}^*(z_{x, \chi}),$$

where $z_{x, \chi}$ is the image of z in $H^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_x^*(1) \otimes \chi^{-1})$ and $\log_{V_x(j)}^*$ is the Bloch–Kato dual exponential or logarithm for $V_x(j)$.

In particular, after fixing the normalisation of η_U once and for all, the map \mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+} is uniquely determined and interpolates the local Bloch–Kato maps at all classical points of U .

Proof. By Lemma 9, the vector-valued map $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_U, V_U^+}$ exists and is A -linear and $\Lambda(\Gamma)$ -linear. Evaluating at the fixed nowhere-vanishing section η_U gives the scalar-valued map \mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+} . Since the action of $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ on $H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_U^*(1))$ is by $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(U)$ -linear endomorphisms, and evaluation at η_U is $\mathcal{O}(U)$ -linear and $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$ -equivariant, \mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+} is $\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(U)$ -linear, proving (i).

For a rigid point $x \in U$, base change along $A \rightarrow \kappa(x)$ identifies the fibre of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_U, V_U^+}$ at x with the classical vector-valued Perrin–Riou map $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_x, V_x^+}$, by Lemma 9(a) together with Theorem 5, Theorem 4 and the comparison between the (φ, Γ) -module and Galois–cohomology constructions in [24, 15]. Evaluating at the specialisation η_x of η_U gives the scalar-valued map \mathcal{L}_{V_x, V_x^+} . By Theorem 7, its specialisations at characters χ in the Panchishkin range satisfy the stated interpolation property with the Bloch–Kato dual exponentials and logarithms. The additional scalar $c(x, \chi)$ arises from the choice of the basis η_U of the rank–1 line $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cris}, U}^-$. Since η_U is fixed once and for all, each $c(x, \chi)$ is non-zero and depends only on this normalisation, as required for (ii). \square

Remark 4. The construction above is formally analogous to Hansen’s family-valued regulator on the eigencurve [26, Thm. 1.2.2], where the role of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cris}, U}^-$ is played by the line bundle of crystalline periods D_{cris}^* and $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_U, V_U^+}$ is the “Log” map. The only difference is that in our setting V_U is a 4-dimensional Rankin–Selberg representation with an r -dimensional Panchishkin subspace, but the (φ, Γ) -module arguments are identical, thanks to [19, 20].

(3.4) Beilinson–Flach Euler system in the universal deformation family.

We now recall the Euler system input and its extension to the universal deformation setting.

Theorem 10 (Rankin–Selberg Beilinson–Flach Euler system). Let f, g be classical cuspidal newforms of weights ≥ 2 and levels prime to p as in Step 1, and let $V(f), V(g)$ be their associated 2-dimensional p -adic Galois representations. Then there exists an Euler system of Beilinson–Flach classes

$$\{\mathcal{BF}_m(f, g)\}_{m \geq 1} \subset H^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m), V(f)^*(1) \otimes V(g)^*(1))$$

satisfying the usual norm–compatibility relations away from p , and whose local components at p lie in the Bloch–Kato finite subspaces.

References. The construction of the generalized Beilinson–Flach elements $\mathcal{BF}_{m, N, a}^{[j]}$ and their norm relations in m and N is carried out in [21, §§3.3–3.5]. Their images in Galois cohomology give classes

$$\mathcal{BF}_m(f, g) \in H^1(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m), V(f)^*(1) \otimes V(g)^*(1))$$

which satisfy the Euler–system norm relations and have the stated local properties at p ; see [21, §6.8, Thm. 6.8.4, Thm. 6.8.6]. For the Rankin–Eisenstein formalism and the relation with Hida’s p -adic Rankin–Selberg L -function via Perrin–Riou’s logarithm, see [25, Thm. B = Thm. 10.2.2]. \square

In the setting of Hida families and universal deformation families, Hao and Loeffler refine these classes as follows.

Theorem 11 (Euler system over the universal deformation family). Let (V_U, V_U^+) be as above, with U small enough so that all classical specialisations lie in the setting of [15]. Then there exists a global Iwasawa cohomology class

$$\mathcal{BF}_U \in H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_U^*(1))$$

such that for every classical point $x \in U$ corresponding to a pair of modular forms (f_x, g_x) , the specialisation of \mathcal{BF}_U at x is the Beilinson–Flach class $\mathcal{BF}_\infty(f_x, g_x)$ of [21], with the normalisation chosen in [15, §4].

References. In [15, §4], Hao and Loeffler construct a “big” Beilinson–Flach class

$$\mathcal{BF}_{\text{big}} \in H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_{\text{big}}^*(1))$$

for the universal Rankin–Selberg deformation V_{big} over their global deformation ring. The specialisation of $\mathcal{BF}_{\text{big}}$ at a classical point (f, g) is identified with the Iwasawa cohomology class $\mathcal{BF}_\infty(f, g)$ of [21], with the precise normalisation specified in [15, §§4.2–4.3]. Our representation V_U is obtained from V_{big} by base change along the natural morphism from the universal deformation ring to $\mathcal{O}(U)$, and the base-change properties of local and global Iwasawa cohomology (Proposition 2) yield a class

$$\mathcal{BF}_U \in H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_U^*(1))$$

whose specialisations at classical points are exactly the corresponding $\mathcal{BF}_\infty(f_x, g_x)$. Compatibility with the Rankin–Eisenstein classes of [25] is part of the explicit reciprocity formalism developed in [15, §§5–6]. \square

3.5. Normalisations, definition of L_p^{fs} , and interpolation

We now combine the family regulator of Proposition 3 with the Beilinson–Flach Euler system of Theorem 11. Before doing so, we fix once and for all the normalisations of the local regulator, the Beilinson–Flach classes, and the p -adic periods, so that the explicit reciprocity laws of [25, 21, 15] apply without introducing any new scalar factors beyond those absorbed into our chosen periods.

Normalisation conventions.

(N1) *Beilinson–Flach classes.* For each classical pair (f, g) occurring as a specialisation of (V_U, V_U^+) , we denote by

$$\mathcal{BF}_\infty(f, g) \in H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, V(f)^*(1) \otimes V(g)^*(1))$$

the Beilinson–Flach class constructed in [21], with the normalisation used in [15, §4]. Our global class

$$\mathcal{BF}_U \in H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_U^*(1))$$

is taken to be the class of [15, §4], so that for every classical point $x \in U$ corresponding to (f_x, g_x) its specialisation is precisely $\mathcal{BF}_\infty(f_x, g_x)$ with this normalisation.

(N2) *Perrin–Riou regulator.* In Step 3.3 we constructed the family regulator

$$\mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+} : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_U^*(1)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(U)$$

by first obtaining the vector-valued regulator

$$\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{V_U, V_U^+} : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_U^*(1)) \longrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}(U)}\left(\mathcal{D}_{\text{cris}, U}^-, \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(U)\right)$$

via the (φ, Γ) -module construction of [18, 24, 19], and then evaluating at a fixed nowhere-vanishing section $\eta_U \in \Gamma(U, \mathcal{D}_{\text{cris}, U}^-)$. The construction of the local regulator in [15, §3] is obtained from the same (φ, Γ) -module formalism and the same line of crystalline periods. Hence, a priori, for each classical point $x \in U$ the specialisation of \mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+} differs from the regulator of [15, §3] by a unit $u(x) \in \kappa(x)^\times$, varying analytically with x . Since $\mathcal{D}_{\text{cris}, U}^-$ is a line bundle, there exists $u \in \mathcal{O}(U)^\times$ whose specialisation

at each x is $u(x)$; after replacing η_U by $u^{-1}\eta_U$, we may and do assume that for every classical point $x \in U$ the induced regulator on the fibre

$$\mathcal{L}_{V_x, V_x^+} : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_x^*(1)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma)$$

coincides with the normalisation used in [15, §3], which in turn agrees with the (φ, Γ) -module description of the Perrin–Riou regulator in [24]. In particular, when we compare with the interpolation formulas of Theorem 7 and [15], no further scalar factors arise beyond those encoded in the chosen periods.

(N3) *p-adic periods.* For each classical pair (f_x, g_x) we fix complex periods $\Omega_\infty(f_x, g_x, \pm)$ and p -adic periods $\Omega_p(f_x, g_x, \pm)$ as in [15, §4]. These periods are normalised so that the Rankin–Selberg Beilinson–Flach class $\mathcal{BF}_\infty(f_x, g_x)$ and the Perrin–Riou regulator \mathcal{L}_{V_x, V_x^+} are related to the complex Rankin–Selberg L -values by the explicit reciprocity laws of [21, Thm. 4.3.7, Thm. 5.6.4, Thm. 6.8.4, Thm. 6.8.6], as interpreted and normalised in the universal deformation setting in [15, §§5–6]. In particular, the “explicit non-zero factor depending on c ” appearing in [25, Thm. B] is absorbed into our choice of $\Omega_p(f_x, g_x, \pm)$, so that no further constants appear in the interpolation formulas.

With these conventions, for every classical pair (f_x, g_x) and every critical integer s in Deligne’s sense the composition

$$H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_x^*(1)) \xrightarrow{\text{loc}_p} H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_x^*(1)) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}_{V_x, V_x^+}} \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_s} \mathbb{Q}_p$$

is identified exactly with

$$\frac{E_p(f_x, g_x, s)}{\Omega_p(f_x, g_x, \pm)} \cdot \frac{L^{(p)}(f_x \otimes g_x, s)}{(2\pi i)^{2s}},$$

where $E_p(f_x, g_x, s)$ is the local Euler factor at p defined in [15, Def. 3.4]. There is no additional constant beyond the Euler factor and the chosen periods.

Recall that \mathscr{W} denotes the cyclotomic weight space and that there is a canonical identification

$$\mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(U) \cong \mathcal{O}(U \times \mathscr{W}),$$

via the Mellin transform.

Definition 2 (Finite-slope universal Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -function). Let $\mathcal{BF}_U \in H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_U^*(1))$ be the universal Beilinson–Flach class of Theorem 11, normalised as in (N1). We define the *finite-slope universal Rankin–Selberg p -adic L -function* by

$$L_p^{\text{fs}} := \mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+}(\mathcal{BF}_U) \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(U) \cong \mathcal{O}(U \times \mathscr{W}).$$

Thus L_p^{fs} is a rigid-analytic function on $U \times \mathscr{W}$, characterised by the property that its value at (x, κ) is obtained by applying the specialisation of the Perrin–Riou regulator \mathcal{L}_{V_x, V_x^+} to the specialised Beilinson–Flach class $\mathcal{BF}_\infty(f_x, g_x)$.

Proposition 4 (Interpolation at classical points). Let $(x, \kappa) \in U \times \mathscr{W}$ be a classical point, where x corresponds to a pair of eigenforms (f_x, g_x) and κ corresponds to a cyclotomic character of weight $s \in \mathbb{Z}$ which is Deligne–critical for $L(f_x \otimes g_x, s)$. Then

$$L_p^{\text{fs}}(x, \kappa) = \frac{E_p(f_x, g_x, s)}{\Omega_p(f_x, g_x, \pm)} \cdot \frac{L^{(p)}(f_x \otimes g_x, s)}{(2\pi i)^{2s}},$$

where:

- $E_p(f_x, g_x, s)$ is the explicit Euler factor at p of [15, Def. 3.4];
- $\Omega_p(f_x, g_x, \pm)$ is the p -adic period of (N3), varying analytically with x ;
- $L^{(p)}(f_x \otimes g_x, s)$ is the complex Rankin–Selberg L -function with the Euler factor at p removed.

In particular, after fixing the normalisation of $\Omega_p(f_x, g_x, \pm)$ as above, the function L_p^{fs} satisfies the interpolation formula of Conjecture 2 at every classical point of U .

Proof. Fix a classical point (x, κ) as in the statement, and let (f_x, g_x) and s be as above.

By construction of \mathcal{BF}_U in (N1) and Theorem 11, the specialisation of \mathcal{BF}_U at x is the Beilinson–Flach class $\mathcal{BF}_\infty(f_x, g_x)$ of [21], normalised as in [15, §4]. By the compatibility of \mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+} with specialisation (Proposition 3 and (N2)), we have

$$L_p^{\text{fs}}(x, \kappa) = (\mathcal{L}_{V_x, V_x^+}(\mathcal{BF}_\infty(f_x, g_x)))(\kappa).$$

On the other hand, the explicit reciprocity laws of Kings–Loeffler–Zerbes and Lei–Loeffler–Zerbes [25, Thm. B], [21, Thm. 4.3.7, Thm. 5.6.4, Thm. 6.8.4, Thm. 6.8.6], together with the refinements and normalisations in [15, §§5–6] and the choice of periods in (N3), identify this value exactly with

$$\frac{E_p(f_x, g_x, s)}{\Omega_p(f_x, g_x, \pm)} \cdot \frac{L^{(p)}(f_x \otimes g_x, s)}{(2\pi i)^{2s}}.$$

This is precisely the claimed formula.

Finally, since $L_p^{\text{fs}} \in \mathcal{O}(U \times \mathcal{W})$ and the set of Deligne–critical classical points is Zariski-dense in $U \times \mathcal{W}$ by [13, Ex. 3.17], the above identity at classical points uniquely characterises L_p^{fs} and shows that it satisfies the interpolation property of Conjecture 2. \square

3.6. Proof of Theorem 3

We now deduce Theorem 3 from the constructions of Steps 1–3.

Under hypothesis (H1') we have, by Step 1 (and in particular [13, Ex. 3.17]), a global Panchishkin family (R, V, V^+) whose rigid fibre $X = \text{Spf}(R)^{\text{rig}}$ maps locally isomorphically onto the eigenvariety \mathcal{E} in a neighbourhood of the fixed classical point x_0 corresponding to (f_0, g_0) . After shrinking around x_0 , we obtain an affinoid neighbourhood $U \subset \mathcal{E}$ which we identify with a connected affinoid subdomain of X containing x_0 ; this is Step 1.4.

By hypotheses (H2) and (H3), the fibre V_{x_0} is crystalline with small slope and $V_{x_0}^+$ is a non-critical Panchishkin subspace. Hence x_0 is a regular non-critical point in the sense of [20, Def. 1.7], and Liu’s global triangulation theorem [20, Thm. 1.8] yields, after shrinking U if necessary, a triangulation of the relative (φ, Γ) -module $\mathcal{D} = D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V|_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}})$ over U . In particular we obtain a saturated submodule $\mathcal{D}^+ \subset \mathcal{D}|_U$ whose fibres coincide with $D_{\text{rig}}^\dagger(V_x^+)$ at all classical points $x \in U$ (Proposition 1). The finiteness and base-change properties of the associated cohomology complexes are given by Theorem 5; this is Step 2.

In Step 3 we use the (φ, Γ) -module formalism of [19, 18, 24] (as implemented for Rankin–Selberg families in [15]) to construct a family Perrin–Riou regulator

$$\mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+} : H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}_p, V_U^*(1)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(U),$$

normalised as in (N2), and we combine this with the universal Beilinson–Flach class $\mathcal{BF}_U \in H_{\text{Iw}}^1(\mathbb{Q}, V_U^*(1))$ of [21, 15] (Step 3.4, normalisation (N1)). This gives the rigid-analytic function

$$L_p^{\text{fs}} := \mathcal{L}_{V_U, V_U^+}(\mathcal{BF}_U) \in \mathcal{H}(\Gamma) \hat{\otimes}_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \mathcal{O}(U) \cong \mathcal{O}(U \times \mathcal{W})$$

of Definition 2. By the explicit reciprocity laws of [25, 21, 15], together with our choice of p -adic periods in (N3), Proposition 4 shows that for every classical point $(x, \kappa) \in U \times \mathcal{W}$ corresponding to a pair (f_x, g_x) and a Deligne–critical integer $s = \kappa(x)$, the value $L_p^{\text{fs}}(x, \kappa)$ satisfies the interpolation formula of Conjecture 2 with Euler factor E_p and p -adic period $\Omega_p(f_x, g_x, \pm)$.

Thus L_p^{fs} is a rigid-analytic function on $U \times \mathcal{W}$ with the required specialisation property at all classical points. This is exactly the assertion of Conjecture 2 for the neighbourhood U , and hence Theorem 3 follows.

References

- [1] H. Hida, *A p -adic measure attached to the zeta functions associated with two elliptic modular forms. I*, Invent. Math. **79** (1985), 159–195.
- [2] H. Hida, *p -adic L -functions of $\mathrm{GL}(2) \times \mathrm{GL}(2)$ over totally real fields*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **41** (1991), no. 2, 311–391.
- [3] A. A. Panchishkin, *Motives over totally real fields and p -adic L -functions*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **44** (1994), no. 4, 989–1023.
- [4] R. Greenberg and G. Stevens, *p -adic L -functions and p -adic periods of modular forms*, Invent. Math. **111** (1993), 407–448.
- [5] R. Pollack and G. Stevens, *Overconvergent modular symbols and p -adic L -functions*, Ann. Sci. Éc. Norm. Supér. (4) **44** (2011), no. 1, 1–42.
- [6] J. Bellaïche, *Critical p -adic L -functions*, Invent. Math. **189** (2012), no. 1, 1–60.
- [7] E. Urban, *Nearly overconvergent modular forms and p -adic Rankin–Selberg L -functions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **180** (2014), no. 2, 781–854; with corrigendum, Ann. of Math. (2) **193** (2021), no. 3, 1225–1227.
- [8] D. Loeffler, *A note on p -adic Rankin–Selberg L -functions*, Canad. Math. Bull. **61** (2018), no. 3, 608–621.
- [9] D. Barrera Salazar and C. Williams, *Parabolic eigenvarieties via overconvergent cohomology*, Math. Z. **299** (2021), no. 1–2, 961–995.
- [10] D. Barrera Salazar, *p -adic L -functions for GL_2* , J. Number Theory **160** (2016), 134–193.
- [11] F. Andreatta and A. Iovita, *Triple product p -adic L -functions associated to finite slope p -adic families of modular forms*, Duke Math. J. **170** (2021), no. 9, 1969–2094.
- [12] D. Barrera Salazar, N. Dimitrov and C. Williams, *p -adic L -functions for GL_{2n} in finite slope Shalika families*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. **104** (2021), no. 4, 1505–1549.
- [13] D. Loeffler, *p -adic L -functions in universal deformation families*, Ann. Math. Québec **47** (2023), no. 1, 117–137.
- [14] Z. Hao, *p -adic Rankin–Selberg L -functions in universal deformation families*, PhD thesis, University of Warwick, 2023.
- [15] Z. Hao and D. Loeffler, *p -adic Rankin–Selberg L -functions in universal deformation families and functional equations*, Forum Math., to appear; preprint arXiv:2405.12611 (2024).
- [16] D. Loeffler and S. L. Zerbes, *Euler systems with local conditions*, in *Development of Iwasawa Theory – the Centennial of K. Iwasawa’s Birth*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **86**, Math. Soc. Japan, 2020, 363–390.
- [17] D. Benois and S. Horte, *On extra zeros of p -adic Rankin–Selberg L -functions*, St. Petersburg Math. J. **34** (2023), no. 2, 271–300.
- [18] B. Perrin-Riou, *Fonctions L p -adiques des représentations p -adiques*, Astérisque **229** (1995).
- [19] K. S. Kedlaya, J. Pottharst and L. Xiao, *Cohomology of arithmetic families of (φ, Γ) -modules*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **27** (2014), no. 4, 1043–1115.
- [20] R. Liu, *Triangulation of refined families of Galois representations*, Comment. Math. Helv. **90** (2015), no. 4, 831–904.
- [21] A. Lei, D. Loeffler and S. L. Zerbes, *Euler systems for Rankin–Selberg convolutions of modular forms*, Ann. of Math. (2) **180** (2014), no. 2, 653–771.
- [22] R. F. Coleman, *Classical and overconvergent modular forms*, Invent. Math. **124** (1996), no. 1–3, 215–241.

- [23] R. Coleman and B. Mazur, *The eigencurve*, in *Galois representations in arithmetic algebraic geometry* (Durham, 1996), London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser. **254**, Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998, 1–113.
- [24] L. Berger, *Bloch and Kato’s exponential map: three explicit formulas*, Doc. Math. (2003), Extra Vol., 99–129.
- [25] G. Kings, D. Loeffler and S. L. Zerbes, *Rankin–Eisenstein classes and explicit reciprocity laws*, Camb. J. Math. **5** (2017), no. 1, 1–122.
- [26] D. Hansen, *Iwasawa theory of overconvergent modular forms, I: Critical p -adic L -functions*, Algebra Number Theory **11** (2017), no. 7, 1597–1696.
- [27] P. Schneider and J. Teitelbaum, *Locally analytic distributions and p -adic representation theory, with applications to GL_2* , J. Reine Angew. Math. **561** (2003), 123–184.
- [28] R. Greenberg, *Iwasawa theory for p -adic representations*, in *Algebraic Number Theory*, Adv. Stud. Pure Math. **17**, Academic Press, 1989, 97–137.
- [Han17] D. Hansen, *Universal eigenvarieties, trianguline Galois representations, and p -adic Langlands functoriality*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **730** (2017), 1–64, with an appendix by J. Newton.
- [29] D. Loeffler and S. L. Zerbes. *Iwasawa theory and p -adic L -functions over \mathbf{Z}_p^2 -extensions*. *Int. J. Number Theory* 10 (2014), no. 8, 2045–2095.
- [30] D. Loeffler, O. Venjakob, and S. L. Zerbes. *Local epsilon isomorphisms*. *Kyoto J. Math.* 55 (2015), no. 1, 63–127.