

Degenerate chords in double-scaled SYK

Kazuo Hosomichi^{1,2*} and Masayoshi Sato^{1†}

¹*Department of Applied Physics, School of Applied Sciences, National Defense Academy,
1-10-20 Hashirimizu, Yokosuka-city, Kanagawa 239-8686 Japan*

²*Human Resources Development Division, Bureau of Personnel and Education, Japan Ministry of Defense,
5-1 Honmura-cho, Ichigaya, Shinjuku-guy, Tokyo 162-8801 Japan*

Abstract

The matter operator in the double-scaled SYK model exhibits special properties when its dimension is analytically continued to $-\frac{1}{2}$. The operator is then in a degenerate representation of the q -deformed oscillator algebra, and it satisfies a null vector equation. Its peculiar fusion property gives rise to recursion relations among correlation functions of matters. We find that those relations are strong enough to determine the two-point function without any knowledge from chord diagrammatics.

*hosomiti@nda.ac.jp

†ed23003@nda.ac.jp

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	SYK model	4
3	Boundary Liouville CFT	8
4	Degenerate matter operators in DSSYK	12
5	Concluding remarks	21

1 Introduction

The Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev (SYK) model [1, 2] is a quantum mechanical model of N Majorana fermions with a Hamiltonian which is a degree- p homogeneous polynomial in the fermions. This model is known to be highly chaotic, and has maximal chaos exponent. It is also known that, after averaging over the random coupling, the theory can be described in terms of $O(N)$ -singlet bilocal fields [3]. The IR physics is governed by an emergent 1d reparametrization invariance which is both spontaneously and explicitly broken. The theory is thus described effectively by the 1d Schwarzian action, which is also the effective action of the Jackiw-Teitelboim theory [4, 5] of AdS_2 gravity coupled to a dilaton field.

The SYK model have been used to study various problems in quantum gravity from the perspective of AdS/CFT duality [6–9]. As an example, in a seminal work [10] it was found that the spectral form factor of the model does not decay permanently at late times, which is indicative of the discreteness of the black hole microstate spectrum. It was also found there that the late time behavior of the model is very similar to that of random matrices. Another more rigorous relationship to random matrix theory was discovered in [11]. It was shown there that the partition functions of JT gravity on surfaces with different number of handles and holes correspond to the topological expansion of a certain double-scaled matrix integral.

In this paper we focus on the SYK model in the double-scaling (DS) limit [10, 12] which sends $N, p \rightarrow \infty$ with $\lambda \equiv \frac{2p^2}{N}$ fixed. In this limit, the computation of observables reduces to summing over the so-called chord diagrams [13–15], which consist of a disk with an even number of dots along the boundary circle that are pairwise connected by chords. Furthermore, by introducing the chord Hilbert space, the same problem can be reformulated as a quantum mechanics of a q -deformed oscillator in which the creation and annihilation operators a^\dagger, a satisfy [16]

$$aa^\dagger - qa^\dagger a = 1. \quad \left(q \equiv e^{-\lambda}\right)$$

Using these techniques, in [13, 14] the two- and four-point functions of matter operators were computed exactly and a set of diagrammatic rules for general correlation functions was proposed. The results obtained there indicate that the DSSYK model possesses an underlying $\mathcal{U}_{q^{1/2}}(\mathfrak{su}_{1,1})$ quantum group structure.

Chord diagrams also provide a natural link between quantities in the DSSYK model and bulk 2d gravity. For example, the number eigenstate $|n\rangle$ of the q -deformed oscillator algebra was shown to correspond to a state in the Hilbert space of bulk gravity of length $\ell = \lambda n$ [17, 18]. The correspondence between the Hilbert spaces $\mathcal{H}_{\text{SYK}} \leftrightarrow \mathcal{H}_{\text{bulk}}$ has been studied in a more systematic manner using the two-sided Hilbert space formalism [19–21], in which a chord diagram is regarded as an inner product of two half-disks each defining a quantum state of a two-sided bulk geometry. A realization of the quantum group symmetry acting on the two-sided Hilbert space was recently found in [22]. There have been many proposals to understand better the discretization of bulk spacetime and the associated mathematical structure using non-commutative AdS_2 [15, 23, 24], particles on a quantum group manifold, sine-dilaton gravity [25, 26] and complex Liouville string theory [27].

Despite the powerfulness of the chord diagrammatics, the actual analysis of the DSSYK model often requires some highly specialized knowledge of special functions such as q -hypergeometric series. The goal of this paper is to develop an alternative method that can reproduce the known exact results without such expertise, and use it to explore new aspects of the model. Our idea is to make use of the algebraic structure that shows up in the matrix elements and the fusion property of matter operators. We will especially focus on the matter operator M_Δ of dimension $\Delta = -\frac{1}{2}$ which has a number of interesting special properties. Our approach is similar in spirit to that of [28] in which some fundamental structure functions of boundary Liouville theory was obtained by combining the field-theoretic and representation-theoretic analyses.

Organization of the paper In Section 2 we review the basic techniques to study the DSSYK model such as chord diagrams and q -deformed oscillator, and summarize some exact formulae for correlation functions. In Section 3 we give a brief introduction to the boundary Liouville CFT, highlighting the special properties of the boundary operator B_β with momentum $\beta = -\frac{b}{2}$ (where b is the Liouville coupling) and explaining how one can use them to derive the disk two-point function of boundary operators. In Section 4 we study the properties of the operator $D \equiv M_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ in the DSSYK model which behaves in a very similar manner as $B_{-\frac{b}{2}}$ in Liouville CFT. First of all, we point out that its matrix element $\langle \theta_1 | D | \theta_2 \rangle$ between the eigenstates of the chord Hamiltonian $H = a + a^\dagger$ has a delta-functional support. We argue that it follows from the null vector equation:

$$\chi(D) \equiv H^2 D - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) H D H + D H^2 + (q^{-1} - 1) D = 0.$$

We then study the operator product $M_\Delta \times M_{\Delta'}$ using the two-sided Hilbert space formalism, and find a special behavior when $\Delta = -\frac{1}{2}$. We also obtain an explicit formula which expresses $M_{\Delta \mp \frac{1}{2}}$ as composites of D, M_Δ and H . Furthermore, by studying the relation between the two-sided Hilbert spaces before and after the OPE $D \times M_\Delta \rightarrow \sum_{\pm} M_{\Delta \mp \frac{1}{2}}$, we derive a set of recursion relations which can reproduce the known matter two-point function. Finally, in Section 5 we conclude with discussions on possible future directions.

2 SYK model

The SYK model is a quantum mechanical model of N Majorana fermions ψ_i ($i = 1, \dots, N$) obeying $\{\psi_i, \psi_j\} = 2\delta_{ij}$. The Hamiltonian is given by

$$H = i^{p/2} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_p \leq N} J_{i_1 \dots i_p} \psi_{i_1} \dots \psi_{i_p}. \quad (2.1)$$

We take the *disorder average* over the theory with different values of coupling $J_{i_1 \dots i_p}$ assuming that they obey Gaussian distribution with zero mean and

$$\langle J_{i_1 \dots i_p} J_{j_1 \dots j_p} \rangle_J = \frac{\mathcal{J}^2}{\binom{N}{p}} \delta_{i_1 j_1} \dots \delta_{i_p j_p}. \quad (2.2)$$

Hereafter we set the dimensionful coupling $\mathcal{J} = 1$.

DS limit and chord diagrams In the double-scaling limit [10, 12]

$$N \rightarrow \infty, \quad p \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{2p^2}{N} = \lambda \quad \text{fixed}, \quad (2.3)$$

the computation of various observables reduces to summation over the so-called chord diagrams [12–14]. As the most basic example, let us review here the evaluation of thermal partition function

$$\begin{aligned} Z(\beta) &= \left\langle \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta H}) \right\rangle_J = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\beta)^n}{n!} m_n, \\ m_n &= \langle \text{Tr} H^n \rangle_J = i^{\frac{np}{2}} \sum_{I_1, \dots, I_n} \langle J_{I_1} \dots J_{I_n} \rangle_J \text{Tr}(\psi_{I_1} \dots \psi_{I_n}). \end{aligned} \quad (2.4)$$

Here I_1, \dots, I_n denote p -index sets and $\psi_I \equiv \psi_{i_1} \dots \psi_{i_p}$ for $I = \{i_1, \dots, i_p\}$. Note also that we normalize the trace so that $\text{Tr}(1) = 1$.

After using Wick's theorem to evaluate $\langle \dots \rangle_J$, the n operators $\psi_{I_1}, \dots, \psi_{I_n}$ inside the trace in (2.4) form $\frac{n}{2}$ pairs having the same p -index sets, and one is left with the summation over different pairings. Note that each pair can take $\binom{N}{p}$ different index sets, and it cancels with the factor in the denominator of (2.2). The trace $\text{Tr}(\psi_{I_1} \dots \psi_{I_n})$ for a given pairing is then evaluated by permuting the n operators until the paired operators sit next to each other and using $i^p (\psi_I)^2 = 1$. Commutation of two operators gives rise to a sign factor

$$\psi_{I_1} \psi_{I_2} = (-1)^k \psi_{I_2} \psi_{I_1},$$

where $k = |I_1 \cap I_2|$ is the number of indices contained in both I_1 and I_2 . In the limit (2.3) the probability that I_1 and I_2 have k indices in common is given by

$$p_k = \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \right)^k e^{-\frac{\lambda}{2}}. \quad (2.5)$$

Hence each time one commutes two p -fermion operators one receives a factor of

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k p_k = e^{-\lambda} \equiv q. \quad (2.6)$$




Figure 1: (left) a chord diagram contributing q^2 to m_8 , and (right) a quantum mechanics interpretation of the same diagram.

To count how many times one needs to commute the p -fermion operators, it is convenient to think of a chord diagram in which the operators $\psi_{I_1}, \dots, \psi_{I_n}$ are put along the boundary of a disk in this order and each pair of operators is connected by a chord. A sample diagram is shown on the left of Figure 1. Then each time one commutes a pair of operators, the number of intersections of chords decreases by one. Thus

$$m_n = \sum_{\text{diagrams}} q^{\#(\text{intersections})}, \quad (2.7)$$

where the sum is over different chord diagrams that specify the pairings of the n points on the boundary of a disk.

Chord quantum mechanics The sum over chord diagrams can be reformulated as an amplitude of an auxiliary quantum mechanics which we call the *chord QM*. The idea is illustrated in the diagram on the right of Figure 1 which is conformally equivalent to the one on the left. As is shown there, at each time step along the boundary of the upper half-plane, a chord is either emitted to or absorbed from the interior, and the number of chords changes by ± 1 . So the Hamiltonian H of the chord QM is given by a sum of chord creation and annihilation operators $\mathbf{a}^\dagger, \mathbf{a}$. Then $Z(\beta)$ and m_n can be expressed as

$$Z(\beta) = \langle 0 | e^{-\beta H} | 0 \rangle, \quad m_n = \langle 0 | H^n | 0 \rangle, \quad H = \mathbf{a}^\dagger + \mathbf{a}, \quad (2.8)$$

where $|0\rangle$ and $\langle 0|$ are the states with no chords. General number eigenstates $|n\rangle$ and $\langle n|$ are defined to satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}^\dagger |n\rangle &= |n+1\rangle, & \langle n | \mathbf{a}^\dagger &= \langle n-1 | [n]_q, & \langle n | n' \rangle &= \delta_{nn'} [n]_q! \cdot \left([n]_q! \equiv \sum_{k=1}^n [k]_q \right) \\ \mathbf{a} |n\rangle &= |n-1\rangle [n]_q, & \langle n | \mathbf{a} &= \langle n+1 |, \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

The q -integer $[n]_q = 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}$ appears here because, when one of n chords is absorbed by the boundary, it has to cross some of the other $n-1$ chords. It follows from these rules that $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{a}^\dagger$ obey the Arik-Coon q -oscillator algebra [16]:

$$\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger - q \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} = 1. \quad (2.10)$$

One also has $\mathbf{a} \mathbf{a}^\dagger - \mathbf{a}^\dagger \mathbf{a} = q^N$, where N is the chord number operator.

Another state of importance is the eigenstate of H [13]:

$$H|\theta\rangle = E(\theta)|\theta\rangle, \quad E(\theta) = \frac{2 \cos \theta}{\sqrt{1-q}}. \quad (2.11)$$

As was pointed out in [13], $\langle n|\theta\rangle$ satisfies a recursion relation that is solved in terms of q -Hermite polynomials. One can also show that, if $|\theta\rangle$ is normalized so that $\langle 0|\theta\rangle = 1$, it satisfies [29] (see Exercise 9.10 of [30])

$$\langle \theta|t^N|\theta'\rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{[n]_q!} \langle \theta|n\rangle \langle n|\theta'\rangle = \frac{(t^2; q)_{\infty}}{(te^{i(\theta+\theta')}, te^{i(\theta-\theta')}, te^{i(-\theta+\theta')}, te^{i(-\theta-\theta')}; q)_{\infty}}. \quad (2.12)$$

Here we used the standard notation for q -Pochhammer symbol

$$(a; q)_n = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1} (1 - aq^j), \quad (a_1, \dots, a_m; q)_n = (a_1; q)_n \cdots (a_m; q)_n. \quad (2.13)$$

By taking the limit $t \nearrow 1$ of (2.12) one obtains (for $0 \leq \theta, \theta' \leq \pi$)

$$\langle \theta|\theta'\rangle = \frac{2\pi\delta(\theta - \theta')}{\mu(\theta)}, \quad \therefore \quad 1 = \int_0^{\pi} \frac{d\theta\mu(\theta)}{2\pi} |\theta\rangle\langle\theta| \quad (2.14)$$

with $\mu(\theta) \equiv (q, e^{2i\theta}, e^{-2i\theta}; q)_{\infty}$. Using $\langle 0|\theta\rangle = 1$ one can rewrite $Z(\beta)$ (2.8) as follows:

$$Z(\beta) = \int_0^{\pi} \frac{d\theta\mu(\theta)e^{-\beta E(\theta)}}{2\pi}. \quad (2.15)$$

Adding matter As a basic matter observable in the SYK model, we consider a polynomial of fermions of definite degree $\tilde{p} = p\Delta$:

$$M_{\Delta} \equiv i^{\frac{\tilde{p}}{2}} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_{\tilde{p}} \leq N} \tilde{J}_{i_1 \dots i_{\tilde{p}}} \psi_{i_1} \cdots \psi_{i_{\tilde{p}}}. \quad (2.16)$$

We take the disorder average with respect to the couplings $J_{i_1 \dots i_p}$ as well as $\tilde{J}_{i_1 \dots i_{\tilde{p}}}$ in the above, assuming that $\tilde{J}_{i_1 \dots i_{\tilde{p}}}$ obey Gaussian distribution with zero mean and

$$\langle \tilde{J}_{i_1 \dots i_{\tilde{p}}} \tilde{J}_{j_1 \dots j_{\tilde{p}}} \rangle_J = \left(\frac{N}{\tilde{p}} \right)^{-1} \cdot \delta_{i_1 j_1} \cdots \delta_{i_{\tilde{p}} j_{\tilde{p}}}. \quad (2.17)$$

In the double-scaling limit

$$N, p, \tilde{p} \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{with} \quad q \equiv e^{-\frac{2p^2}{N}} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{q} \equiv e^{-\frac{2p\tilde{p}}{N}} = q^{\Delta} \quad \text{fixed}, \quad (2.18)$$

the correlation functions of M_{Δ} can be calculated by summing over diagrams made of two kinds of chords, namely the “ H -chords” connecting two H ’s and the “ M -chords” connecting two M_{Δ} ’s. Each intersection of two H -chords is weighted by a factor of q , while each intersection of an H -chord with an M -chord is weighted by \tilde{q} . The computation can again be reformulated in terms of the chord QM. For example, the two-point function of M_{Δ} can be expressed as follows:

$$G(\Delta|\beta_1, \beta_2) = \left\langle \text{Tr}(e^{-\beta_1 H} M_{\Delta} e^{-\beta_2 H} M_{\Delta}) \right\rangle_J = \langle 0|e^{-\beta_1 H} \overline{M_{\Delta}} e^{-\beta_2 H} M_{\Delta}|0\rangle. \quad (2.19)$$

Here and in what follows, in chord QM expressions we represent each M -chord by a contraction symbol and its endpoints by two M_Δ 's. Note also that, while the thermal correlator in the original SYK model is defined by periodic identification of time, we need to cut open the time circle somewhere to rewrite it as a chord QM expression. It is a special property of the chord QM that the resulting amplitude does not depend on where the circle has been cut.

The following relation was shown in [14] using diagrammatic argument:

$$\overline{M_\Delta(\cdots)M_\Delta} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{2\Delta}; q)_n}{[n]_q!} a^{\dagger n} q^{\Delta N} (\cdots) q^{\Delta N} a^n, \quad (2.20)$$

where (\cdots) stands for any operator. An important consequence of this relation is that the operator $\overline{M_\Delta(\cdots)M_\Delta}$ commutes with H as long as the operator (\cdots) does. This can be proven easily by using (2.20) together with

$$\begin{aligned} H a^{\dagger n} q^{\Delta N} &= q^{n+\Delta} a^{\dagger n} q^{\Delta N} H + (1 - q^{n+2\Delta}) a^{\dagger n+1} q^{\Delta N} + [n]_q a^{\dagger n-1} q^{\Delta N}, \\ q^{\Delta N} a^n H &= q^{n+\Delta} H q^{\Delta N} a^n + (1 - q^{n+2\Delta}) q^{\Delta N} a^{n+1} + [n]_q q^{\Delta N} a^{n-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.21)$$

This fact is useful in making sure that the chord QM amplitudes do not depend on where to cut open the time circle. By substituting (2.20) into (2.19), using the completeness of the bases $|n\rangle$, $|\theta\rangle$ and recalling (2.12) one obtains an exact formula for the two-point function:

$$\begin{aligned} G(\Delta|\beta_1, \beta_2) &= \int_0^\pi \prod_{i=1,2} \frac{d\theta_i \mu(\theta_i) e^{-\beta_i E(\theta_i)}}{2\pi} G(\Delta|\theta_1, \theta_2), \\ G(\Delta|\theta_1, \theta_2) &= \langle \theta_1 | \overline{M_\Delta} | \theta_2 \rangle \langle \theta_2 | M_\Delta | 0 \rangle = \langle \theta_1 | q^{\Delta N} | \theta_2 \rangle \\ &= \frac{(q^{2\Delta}; q)_\infty}{(q^{\Delta} e^{i(\theta_1+\theta_2)}, q^{\Delta} e^{i(\theta_1-\theta_2)}, q^{\Delta} e^{i(-\theta_1+\theta_2)}, q^{\Delta} e^{i(-\theta_1-\theta_2)}; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

Before proceeding, let us note that, using $\langle 0|\theta\rangle = 1$ as well as the fact that $|\theta\rangle\langle\theta|$ commutes with any operator that commutes with H , one can derive the following useful relation:

$$\langle \theta_0 | \overline{M_\Delta} | \theta_1 \rangle \langle \theta_1 | M_\Delta | \theta_2 \rangle = \langle \theta_0 | \theta_2 \rangle \langle \theta_2 | \overline{M_\Delta} | \theta_1 \rangle \langle \theta_1 | M_\Delta | 0 \rangle = \frac{2\pi\delta(\theta_0 - \theta_2)}{\mu(\theta_0)} G(\Delta|\theta_1, \theta_2). \quad (2.23)$$

In [14], the diagrammatic analysis was extended to some higher-point correlation functions. In particular, an exact formula for the *crossed* four-point functions, i.e. correlators with crossings of matter chords, was obtained, and its relation to the $6j$ symbol of the quantum group $\mathcal{U}_{q^{1/2}}(\mathfrak{su}_{1,1})$ was pointed out. The results obtained there were summarized into a set of diagrammatic rules for general correlators. In this paper we will need a formula for the *uncrossed* four-point functions:

$$\begin{aligned} G(\Delta, \Delta'|\beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) &= \left\langle \text{Tr} \left(e^{-\beta_0 H} M_\Delta e^{-\beta_1 H} M_\Delta e^{-\beta_2 H} M_{\Delta'} e^{-\beta_3 H} M_{\Delta'} \right) \right\rangle_J \\ &= \langle 0 | e^{-\beta_0 H} \overline{M_\Delta} e^{-\beta_1 H} M_\Delta e^{-\beta_2 H} \overline{M_{\Delta'}} e^{-\beta_3 H} M_{\Delta'} | 0 \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (2.24)$$




Figure 2: An uncrossed four-point function (2.25) and the parameters β_i, θ_i .

By inserting complete sets of H-eigenstates and then using (2.23), one can rewrite it in terms of the two-point functions (2.22):

$$\begin{aligned}
G(\Delta, \Delta' | \beta_0, \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3) &= \int_0^\pi \prod_{i=0}^3 \frac{d\theta_i \mu(\theta_i) e^{-\beta_i E(\theta_i)}}{2\pi} \langle \theta_0 | \overline{M_\Delta} | \theta_1 \rangle \langle \theta_1 | \overline{M_\Delta} | \theta_2 \rangle \langle \theta_2 | \overline{M_{\Delta'}} | \theta_3 \rangle \langle \theta_3 | \overline{M_{\Delta'}} | 0 \rangle \\
&= \int_0^\pi \prod_{i=1}^3 \frac{d\theta_i \mu(\theta_i)}{2\pi} e^{-\beta_1 E(\theta_1) - (\beta_0 + \beta_2) E(\theta_2) - \beta_3 E(\theta_3)} G(\Delta | \theta_1, \theta_2) G(\Delta' | \theta_2, \theta_3). \quad (2.25)
\end{aligned}$$

Figure 2 represents the four-point function considered here. Note that, while β_0, \dots, β_3 are the lengths of the boundary arcs, the parameters $\theta_1, \theta_2, \theta_3$ can be thought of as assigned to the three regions of the disk divided by matter chords.

3 Boundary Liouville CFT

Here we make a slight detour and give a brief introduction to boundary Liouville CFT. This is because our analysis of the DSSYK model in Section 4 borrows many ideas from the work [28] on boundary Liouville correlation functions, and the results have some similarity as well.

Liouville CFT is a 2d theory of a single scalar field ϕ . The action on a surface Σ with boundary $\partial\Sigma$ is given by

$$S = \int_\Sigma \frac{d^2x \sqrt{g}}{4\pi} \left\{ g^{mn} \partial_m \phi \partial_n \phi + Q R \phi + 4\pi \mu e^{2b\phi} \right\} + \int_{\partial\Sigma} \frac{dx g^{1/4}}{2\pi} \left\{ Q K \phi + 2\pi \mu_B e^{b\phi} \right\}, \quad (3.1)$$

where g_{mn} is the metric on Σ and g is its determinant. R is the scalar curvature of Σ and K is the extrinsic curvature of $\partial\Sigma$, in terms of which the Euler characteristic of a surface with g handles and h holes is given by

$$\int_\Sigma \frac{d^2x \sqrt{g} R}{4\pi} + \int_{\partial\Sigma} \frac{dx g^{1/4} K}{2\pi} = 2 - 2g - h. \quad (3.2)$$

The parameter b is called the Liouville coupling, and $Q = b + \frac{1}{b}$. The theory is a CFT with central charge $c = 1 + 6Q^2$, and it is known to be self-dual under $b \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{b}$.

The cosmological constant μ sets the scale of the theory, while the boundary cosmological constant μ_B can take different values according to the choice of conformally invariant boundary conditions (D-branes) for each boundary segment. μ_B is related to the label s of the so-called FZZT-branes by

$$\mu_{B[s]} = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\sin \pi b^2}} \cosh 2\pi b s. \quad (3.3)$$

Note that the FZZT-branes with labels s and $(-s)$ are equivalent.

The operator $V_\alpha = e^{2\alpha\phi}$ inserted in the bulk of Σ is a Virasoro primary of conformal weight $\alpha(Q - \alpha)$, whereas the operator $B_\beta = e^{\beta\phi}$ inserted on $\partial\Sigma$ is a boundary Virasoro primary of weight $\beta(Q - \beta)$. The goal of this section is to explain the derivation of the two-point function of boundary operators. Let us put two B_β 's on the boundary of the upper half-plane (x -axis), and label the FZZT-branes for the two boundary components by s_1, s_2 . Then [28]

$$\begin{aligned} \langle B_{\beta_1}(x_1) B_{\beta_2}(x_2) \rangle_{s_1, s_2} &= |x_1 - x_2|^{-2\beta_1(Q - \beta_1)} \left\{ \delta(i(\beta_1 + \beta_2 - Q)) + \delta(i(\beta_1 - \beta_2)) d(\beta|s_1, s_2) \right\}, \\ d(\beta|s_1, s_2) &= \frac{(\pi\mu\gamma(b^2)b^{2-2b^2})^{\frac{Q-2\beta}{2b}} \mathbf{G}(Q - 2\beta) \mathbf{G}(2\beta - Q)^{-1}}{\mathbf{S}(\beta + is_1 + is_2) \mathbf{S}(\beta + is_1 - is_2) \mathbf{S}(\beta - is_1 + is_2) \mathbf{S}(\beta - is_1 - is_2)}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where $\gamma(x) = \Gamma(x)/\Gamma(1 - x)$ and we used the functions $\mathbf{G}(x)$ and $\mathbf{S}(x) = \mathbf{G}(Q - x)/\mathbf{G}(x)$ introduced in [28]. They satisfy the shift relations

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{G}(x + b) &= \frac{b^{\frac{1}{2} - bx}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \Gamma(bx) \mathbf{G}(x), & \mathbf{G}(x + \frac{1}{b}) &= \frac{b^{\frac{x}{b} - \frac{1}{2}}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \Gamma(\frac{x}{b}) \mathbf{G}(x), \\ \mathbf{S}(x + b) &= 2 \sin \pi b x \mathbf{S}(x), & \mathbf{S}(x + \frac{1}{b}) &= 2 \sin \frac{\pi x}{b} \mathbf{S}(x), \end{aligned} \quad (3.5)$$

and $\mathbf{G}(x)$ has zeroes at $x = -mb - nb^{-1}$ ($m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$). The two delta functions in (3.4) ensure that B_{β_1}, B_{β_2} have equal conformal weights when $\beta_1, \beta_2 \in \frac{Q}{2} + i\mathbb{R}$. Note that (3.4) implies that the boundary operators between two FZZT-branes s_1, s_2 obey an equivalence relation

$$[B_\beta]_{s_1, s_2} = d(\beta|s_1, s_2) [B_{Q-\beta}]_{s_1, s_2}, \quad (3.6)$$

from which it also follows that $d(\beta|s_1, s_2) d(Q - \beta|s_1, s_2) = 1$.

Properties of degenerate operators The derivation of $d(\beta|s_1, s_2)$ in [28] uses the boundary OPE relations which involve special operators corresponding to degenerate representations of Virasoro algebra, i.e., representations with null states. In this paper we use the most basic such operator $B_{-\frac{b}{2}}$ that satisfies

$$\left(\partial^2 + b^2 T(x) \right) B_{-\frac{b}{2}}(x) = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

where $T = -(\partial\phi)^2 + Q\partial^2\phi$ is the stress tensor. The corresponding Virasoro representation has a null state

$$(L_{-1}^2 + b^2 L_{-2})|\beta = -\frac{b}{2}\rangle \quad (3.8)$$




Figure 3: OPE of boundary operators.

at level two. Using this one can show that the product $B_{-\frac{b}{2}}B_{\beta}$ can be expanded into a linear combination of two primaries $B_{\beta-\frac{b}{2}}, B_{\beta+\frac{b}{2}}$ and their descendants as follows:

$$[B_{-\frac{b}{2}}(x_1)]_{s_1, s_2} [B_{\beta}(x_2)]_{s_2, s_3} \xrightarrow{x_1 \rightarrow x_2} c_+ \cdot |x_1 - x_2|^{b\beta} [B_{\beta-\frac{b}{2}}(x_2)]_{s_1, s_3} + c_- \cdot |x_1 - x_2|^{b(Q-\beta)} [B_{\beta+\frac{b}{2}}(x_2)]_{s_1, s_3}. \quad (3.9)$$

This OPE relation is illustrated in Figure 3.

Another important property of $B_{-\frac{b}{2}}$ is that it exists between two FZZT branes s_1 and s_2 only when $s_1 - s_2 = \pm \frac{ib}{2}$ or $s_1 + s_2 = \pm \frac{ib}{2}$. This is because the FZZT-brane s is related to the Virasoro representation of conformal weight $s^2 + \frac{Q^2}{4}$ (and momentum $\frac{Q}{2} + is$) via modular bootstrap [31]. The spectrum of boundary operators between D-branes must be consistent with the fusion rule [32, 33], namely B_{β} can exist between the FZZT-branes s_1, s_2 only when the fusion product of two representations $[\frac{Q}{2} + is_1] \times [\beta]$ contains $[\frac{Q}{2} + is_2]$.

Path integral argument The coefficients c_{\pm} in (3.9) can be computed by making use of the following fact. For a general correlator of operators V_{α_i} and B_{β_j} on a surface Σ with g handles and h boundaries, let us define the total Liouville momentum P conjugate to the shift $\phi \rightarrow \phi + \text{constant}$ as follows:

$$P \equiv \sum_i 2\alpha_i + \sum_j \beta_j - Q(2 - 2g - h). \quad (3.10)$$

Note that the last term in the RHS is the momentum of the background curvature which arises from the linear dilaton coupling in (3.1). Since the shift symmetry is explicitly broken by cosmological terms, correlators are non-vanishing even when $P \neq 0$. However, a useful fact is that the correlator has simple poles at $P = -nb - mb^{-1}$ with m, n non-negative integers. Moreover, the residue at these poles can be evaluated as a Wick contraction of free theory with the insertion of a suitable number of cosmological terms to screen P [34, 35]. To understand how this works in a simple example, consider the path integral for a correlator $\langle \prod_i V_{\alpha_i}(z_i) \rangle$ on a closed surface of genus g . By integrating over the constant mode ϕ_0 of the Liouville field first, one would obtain [36]

$$\int d\phi_0 \exp \left(P\phi_0 - e^{2b\phi_0} \mu \int \hat{V}_b \right) = \frac{\Gamma(\frac{P}{2b})}{2b} \left(\mu \int \hat{V}_b \right)^{-\frac{P}{2b}} \simeq \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{(-\mu \int \hat{V}_b)^n / n!}{P + 2nb}, \quad (3.11)$$

where $P = 2 \sum_i \alpha_i - Q(2 - 2g)$ and the hat on V_b indicates that its ϕ_0 -dependence has been removed. This indeed captures part of the poles explained above. Then, to evaluate the residue at the pole

$P = -2nb$, one needs to perform the path integral

$$\int \mathcal{D}\hat{\phi} e^{-\hat{S}_{\text{LD}}} \frac{1}{n!} \left(-\mu \int \hat{V}_b \right)^n \prod_i \hat{V}_{\alpha_i}(z_i) =: \left\langle \frac{1}{n!} \left(-\mu \int \hat{V}_b \right)^n \prod_i \hat{V}_{\alpha_i}(z_i) \right\rangle_{\text{Wick}} \quad (3.12)$$

over the remaining non-constant modes with the action \hat{S}_{LD} for a free linear-dilaton CFT. This is indeed given by the Wick contraction with n additional insertion of cosmological operators.

Now, by multiplying $B_{Q-\beta+\frac{b}{2}}(x_3)$ to both sides of (3.9) and evaluating correlators, one finds that c_+ is given by the ratio:

$$c_+(\beta|s_1, s_2, s_3) = \lim_{x_3 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle B_{-\frac{b}{2}}(x_1) B_{\beta}(x_2) B_{Q-\beta+\frac{b}{2}}(x_3) \rangle}{|x_1 - x_2|^{b\beta} \cdot \langle B_{\beta-\frac{b}{2}}(x_2) B_{Q-\beta+\frac{b}{2}}(x_3) \rangle}. \quad (3.13)$$

The correlators in the denominator and the numerator are both divergent (as they both have $P = 0$), so one may replace them by the residues at the pole $P = 0$:

$$\begin{aligned} c_+(\beta|s_1, s_2, s_3) &= \lim_{x_3 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\langle \hat{B}_{-\frac{b}{2}}(x_1) \hat{B}_{\beta}(x_2) \hat{B}_{Q-\beta+\frac{b}{2}}(x_3) \rangle_{\text{Wick}}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{b\beta} \cdot \langle \hat{B}_{\beta-\frac{b}{2}}(x_2) \hat{B}_{Q-\beta+\frac{b}{2}}(x_3) \rangle_{\text{Wick}}} \\ &= \lim_{x_3 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|x_1 - x_2|^{b\beta} |x_1 - x_3|^{b(Q-\beta+\frac{b}{2})} |x_2 - x_3|^{-2\beta(Q-\beta+\frac{b}{2})}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{b\beta} \cdot |x_2 - x_3|^{(\beta-\frac{b}{2})(Q-\beta+\frac{b}{2})}} = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

Here the Wick contraction was evaluated using $\phi(x_1)\phi(x_2) \sim -2\ln|x_1 - x_2|$. Similarly, one can express c_- as a ratio of divergent correlators, but then the correlator in the numerator has $P = -1$. Therefore, it is replaced by the Wick contraction with one boundary cosmological term inserted.

$$c_-(\beta|s_1, s_2, s_3) = \lim_{x_3 \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\int dx \langle (-\mu_B(x) \hat{B}_b(x)) \hat{B}_{-\frac{b}{2}}(x_1) \hat{B}_{\beta}(x_2) \hat{B}_{Q-\beta-\frac{b}{2}}(x_3) \rangle_{\text{Wick}}}{|x_1 - x_2|^{b(Q-\beta)} \cdot \langle \hat{B}_{\beta+\frac{b}{2}}(x_2) \hat{B}_{Q-\beta-\frac{b}{2}}(x_3) \rangle_{\text{Wick}}}. \quad (3.15)$$

Here $\mu_B(x)$ equals $\mu_{B[s_1]}, \mu_{B[s_2]}$ or $\mu_{B[s_3]}$ depending on the position of $\hat{B}_b(x)$. By setting (x_1, x_2, x_3) to $(0, 1, \infty)$ and performing the Wick contraction one obtains

$$\begin{aligned} c_-(\beta|s_1, s_2, s_3) &= - \int dx \mu_B(x) |x|^{b^2} |1 - x|^{-2b\beta} \\ &= \frac{\Gamma(1 + b^2) \Gamma(1 - 2b\beta) \Gamma(2b\beta - b^2 - 1)}{\pi} \\ &\quad \cdot \left\{ -\mu_{B[s_1]} \sin 2\pi b\beta + \mu_{B[s_2]} \sin \pi(2b\beta - b^2) + \mu_{B[s_3]} \sin \pi b^2 \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

For $s_1 = s_2 \mp \frac{ib}{2}$ the above expression can be factorized into the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} c_-(\beta|s_1, s_2, s_3) \Big|_{s_1=s_2 \mp ib/2} &= \frac{b^2 \sqrt{\mu\pi\gamma(b^2)}}{2\pi} \Gamma(2b\beta - b^2 - 1) \Gamma(1 - 2b\beta) \\ &\quad \cdot 2 \sin \pi b(\beta \pm is_2 + is_3) \cdot 2 \sin \pi b(\beta \pm is_2 - is_3). \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$




Figure 4: A disk correlator that can be expressed in terms of c_{\pm} and d coefficients.

Recursion relation for $d(\beta|s_1, s_2)$ Finally, consider the disk three-point function illustrated in Figure 4. Its dependence on the position of the three operators is determined completely from the conformal invariance. The coefficient can be expressed in terms of c_{\pm} and d , but one finds two different ways to do so by sending $B_{-\frac{b}{2}}$ towards $B_{\beta+\frac{b}{2}}$ or B_{β} . This leads to a nontrivial recursion relation for $d(\beta|s_1, s_2)$ (3.4):

$$c_+(\beta + \frac{b}{2}|s_2, s_1, s_3)d(\beta|s_2, s_3) = c_-(\beta|s_1, s_2, s_3)d(\beta + \frac{b}{2}|s_1, s_3). \quad \left(s_1 = s_2 \mp \frac{ib}{2}\right) \quad (3.18)$$

A similar recursion relation which shifts the parameters β and s by $\pm \frac{1}{2b}$ can be derived by using the other basic degenerate operator $B_{-\frac{1}{2b}}$. The formula (3.4) for $d(\beta|s_1, s_2)$ can be easily reproduced by solving these recursion relations with the condition $d(\frac{Q}{2}|s_1, s_2) = 1$.

4 Degenerate matter operators in DSSYK

The DSSYK model and the Liouville CFT are both known to have some relation to $\mathcal{U}_{q^{1/2}}(\mathfrak{su}_{1,1})$ quantum group. In Liouville CFT, an example where this relation shows up is the equivalence between its fusion coefficient and the $6j$ symbol of the quantum group. This fact was applied to the verification of Liouville bootstrap [37, 38] and the determination of the boundary three-point function [39]. Note that the parameters β, s are related to the labels of quantum group representations; in particular, the special properties of the operator $B_{-\frac{b}{2}}$ reflect that it corresponds to the doublet representation.

It would then be natural to ask whether the DSSYK model has similar degenerate operators with special properties. In this section we find them and clarify their basic properties by using only the facts reviewed in Section 2 and no other prerequisite knowledge.

Finding special values of Δ We first ask if there are special values of Δ such that $\langle \theta | M_{\Delta} | \theta' \rangle$ is non-vanishing only when θ and θ' obey some conditions. We know that when $\Delta = 0$ and therefore $M_{\Delta} = 1$, one has $\langle \theta | M_{\Delta} | \theta' \rangle = \langle \theta | \theta' \rangle$ which vanishes unless $\theta = \theta'$. As was already mentioned in (2.14), this behavior can be reproduced from the general formula (2.22) for $G(\Delta | \theta, \theta')$. Indeed, the factor $(q^{2\Delta}; q)_{\infty}$ in the numerator vanishes as $\Delta \rightarrow 0$, but the denominator also vanishes if θ happens to

equal θ' . In fact, $G(\Delta|\theta, \theta')$ behaves near $\theta = \theta'$ as

$$G(\Delta|\theta, \theta') \xrightarrow{\Delta \searrow 0} \frac{(1 - q^{2\Delta})}{(1 - q^\Delta e^{i(\theta - \theta')})(1 - q^\Delta e^{-i(\theta - \theta')})} \frac{(q; q)_\infty}{(q, q, e^{i(\theta + \theta')}, e^{-i(\theta + \theta')}; q)_\infty} = \frac{2\pi\delta(\theta - \theta')}{\mu(\theta')}. \quad (4.1)$$

We see that the delta function arises as a result of a zero in the numerator and two colliding zeroes in the denominator.

The first nontrivial value of Δ for which $G(\Delta|\theta, \theta')$ becomes delta-functional is $\Delta = -\frac{1}{2}$:

$$G(\Delta|\theta, \theta') \xrightarrow{\Delta \searrow -\frac{1}{2}} \frac{2\pi\delta(\theta + \frac{i\lambda}{2} - \theta')}{\mu(\theta')} \frac{1}{1 - e^{2i\theta}} + \frac{2\pi\delta(\theta - \frac{i\lambda}{2} - \theta')}{\mu(\theta')} \frac{1}{1 - e^{-2i\theta}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Here we omitted the terms proportional to $\delta(\theta + \theta' \pm \frac{i\lambda}{2})$, but they can be easily worked out from the fact that $G(\Delta|\theta, \theta')$ is an even function in θ, θ' . This property of $M_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ is similar to that the Liouville boundary operator $B_{-b/2}$ can only exist between the FZZT-branes s_1, s_2 obeying suitable condition. Note that such an $M_{-\frac{1}{2}}$ can only be defined by analytic continuation in Δ , as it would correspond to a product of negative number of Majorana fermions in the original SYK model.

Null vector equation Recall that the FZZT-branes s_1, s_2 on the two sides of $B_{-\frac{b}{2}}$ are related because $B_{-\frac{b}{2}}$ corresponds to a degenerate Virasoro representation with a null vector (3.8). We now claim that $M_{-\frac{1}{2}} \equiv D$ also satisfies a kind of null vector equation:

$$\chi(D) \equiv H^2 D - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) H D H + D H^2 + (q^{-1} - 1) D = 0. \quad (4.3)$$

This form can be found by requiring that its matrix element between H -eigenstates $\langle \theta |$ and $|\theta' \rangle$ vanishes precisely when $\theta - \theta' = \pm \frac{i\lambda}{2}$ or $\theta + \theta' = \pm \frac{i\lambda}{2}$:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta | \chi(D) | \theta' \rangle &= \langle \theta | D | \theta' \rangle \cdot \left\{ E(\theta)^2 - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) E(\theta) E(\theta') + E(\theta')^2 + q^{-1} - 1 \right\} \\ &= \frac{\langle \theta | D | \theta' \rangle}{q(1 - q)} \cdot (e^{i\theta + i\theta'} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})(e^{i\theta - i\theta'} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})(e^{-i\theta + i\theta'} - q^{\frac{1}{2}})(e^{-i\theta - i\theta'} - q^{\frac{1}{2}}). \end{aligned} \quad (4.4)$$

So, $G(-\frac{1}{2}|\theta, \theta')$ is delta-functional simply because $\chi(D)$ is null.

Here we give a diagrammatic proof that $\chi(D)$ is null. Let us think of chord diagrams in which one $\chi(D)$ is inserted somewhere along the boundary of the upper half-plane. The operator $\chi(D)$ may source an M -chord as well as two H -chords, or an M -chord only. We look closely into the vicinity of the $\chi(D)$ insertion assuming that a D -chord extends from it upwards.

Consider first the situation where $\chi(D)$ sources two H -chords in addition to the D -chord and they both extend to the left of the D -chord. We list up all the different chord diagrams in which the $2 + 1$ chords start from $\chi(D)$ and intersect with each other before extending in the designated directions. By summing over all such diagrams one finds

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Diagram} &= \left(\text{Diagram 1} + \text{Diagram 2} \right) - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \left(\text{Diagram 3} + \text{Diagram 4} \right) + \left(\text{Diagram 5} + \text{Diagram 6} \right) \\ &= (1 + q) - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}})(\tilde{q} + q\tilde{q}) + (\tilde{q}^2 + \tilde{q}^2 q) = 0, \quad (\tilde{q} = q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

which indicates that $\chi(D)$ is null. Let us examine all the other cases where the two H -chords extend in different directions. If there are two H -chords both extending rightwards, the sum over the diagrams gives the same result as above. If one H -chord extends to the left and another one to the right, the diagram sum becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Diagram} &= \left(\text{Diagram}_1 + \text{Diagram}_2 \right) - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \left(\text{Diagram}_3 + \text{Diagram}_4 \right) + \left(\text{Diagram}_5 + \text{Diagram}_6 \right) \\ &= (\tilde{q} + q\tilde{q}) - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}})(1 + q\tilde{q}^2) + (\tilde{q} + \tilde{q}q) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.6)$$

Finally, the sum over diagrams with no outgoing H -chords is given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Diagram} &= \text{Diagram}_1 - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \cdot \text{Diagram}_2 + \text{Diagram}_3 + (q^{-1} - 1) \cdot \text{Diagram}_4 \\ &= 1 - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \cdot \tilde{q} + 1 + (q^{-1} - 1) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4.7)$$

This completes the proof.

Two-sided formalism Next we investigate the property of the operator D when multiplied to other matter operators. In chord diagrams, products of matter operators behave as sources of parallel matter chords, and it would be natural to look for a kind of OPE formula which determines how to combine parallel matter chords into a single matter chord. A useful framework to study such a problem is the two-sided state formalism developed in [17, 19]. To describe the product of K matter operators of dimensions $\Delta_1, \dots, \Delta_K$ in this formalism, one thinks of a half-disk with the K matter chords extending inwards from its boundary semicircle without crossing. The semicircle is thus divided into $K + 1$ segments by the endpoints of the K matter chords. One may add arbitrary number of H -chords: say n_j H -chords to the j -th boundary segment. An example is shown in Figure 5. Then, to each such configuration of a half-disk with $K + \sum_j n_j$ parallel chords, one associates a basis vector $|n_0, n_1, \dots, n_K\rangle$ of the two-sided chord Hilbert space $[\Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_K]$. The product of matter operators $M_{\Delta_1}, M_{\Delta_2}, \dots, M_{\Delta_K}$ can then be studied by matching the vector spaces $[\Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_K]$ and $\oplus_j [\Delta_j]$ for suitable $\{\Delta_j\}$.




Figure 5: A half-disk with two matter chords and $3 + 4 + 2$ H -chords, corresponding to a basis vector $|3, 4, 2\rangle$ in the two-sided chord Hilbert space $[\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2]$.

The two-sided chord Hilbert space admits the action of the chord Hamiltonians H_L, H_R acting on the left or the right ends of the boundary semicircle. They are expressed in terms of chord creation and annihilation operators as follows:

$$H_L = a_L + a_L^\dagger, \quad H_R = a_R + a_R^\dagger. \quad (4.8)$$

When multiplied onto the state $|n_0, \dots, n_K\rangle \in [\Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_K]$, the creation operators a_L^\dagger or a_R^\dagger add one H -chord to the leftmost or the rightmost segments:

$$\begin{aligned} a_L^\dagger |n_0, n_1, \dots, n_K\rangle &= |n_0 + 1, n_1, \dots, n_K\rangle, \\ a_R^\dagger |n_0, n_1, \dots, n_K\rangle &= |n_0, n_1, \dots, n_K + 1\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

On the other hand, the annihilation operators a_L or a_R absorb any one of the $(n_0 + \dots + n_K)$ H -chords. Taking into account that an H -chord may have to cross other H - or M -chords before reaching the boundary to get absorbed, one finds

$$\begin{aligned} a_L |n_0, n_1, \dots, n_K\rangle &= [n_0]_q |n_0 - 1, n_1, \dots, n_K\rangle + q^{n_0 + \Delta_1} [n_1]_q |n_0, n_1 - 1, \dots, n_K\rangle + \\ &\dots + q^{(n_0 + \dots + n_{K-1}) + (\Delta_1 + \dots + \Delta_K)} [n_K]_q |n_0, n_1, \dots, n_K - 1\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (4.10)$$

and a similar formula for the action of a_R . Each of the pairs a_L, a_L^\dagger and a_R, a_R^\dagger obeys the Arik-Coon q -oscillator algebra (2.10). One also has

$$\begin{aligned} [H_L, H_R] &= [a_L, a_R] = [a_L^\dagger, a_R^\dagger] = 0, \\ [a_L, a_R^\dagger] &= [a_R, a_L^\dagger] \equiv q^{N_{\text{tot}}}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

where N_{tot} counts the total number of chords:

$$q^{N_{\text{tot}}} |n_0, \dots, n_K\rangle = q^{(n_0 + \dots + n_K) + (\Delta_1 + \dots + \Delta_K)} |n_0, \dots, n_K\rangle. \quad (4.12)$$

Representation-theoretic analysis When studying the isomorphisms between the Hilbert spaces $[\Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_K]$ and $\oplus_j [\Delta_j]$, we restrict to those which commute with the action of the q -oscillator algebra of $a_L, a_L^\dagger, a_R, a_R^\dagger$. A useful notion in studying such isomorphisms is the decomposition of Hilbert spaces into irreducible representations of the q -oscillator algebra. The relevant irreducible representations are those in which the spectrum of N_{tot} is bounded from below. In what follows we call the total number of H -chords $(n_0 + \dots + n_K)$ the *level*. The states annihilated by both a_L and a_R are called *primary* and play the role of the lowest weight states. The states obtained by multiplying some a_L^\dagger or a_R^\dagger on some primary states are called *descendants*. A lowest weight representation space called *Verma module* is spanned by a primary state and all its descendants.

The space $[\Delta_1 \times \dots \times \Delta_K]$ has a unique state at level zero, which is primary. The irreducible decomposition of this space amounts to finding all other primary states that may exist at nonzero level.

Let us begin with the case $K = 1$. The space $[\Delta]$ is spanned by the primary state $|0, 0\rangle$ and its descendants $|n_0, n_1\rangle = a_L^{\dagger n_0} a_R^{\dagger n_1} |0, 0\rangle$, so it is a single Verma module. The number of independent states in $[\Delta]$ at level ℓ is

$$d_1(\ell) = \ell + 1.$$

Let us find out if the space has other primaries at nonzero level $\ell > 0$ for special values of Δ . Such states will be called *null states* because they are orthogonal to the whole Verma module with respect to the inner product which is defined from chord diagrams. Assuming the genericity of q , i.e. it is not a root of unity, \mathbf{a}_L and \mathbf{a}_R both define surjective linear maps from the subspace of level- ℓ states to that of level- $(\ell - 1)$ states. These maps therefore both have one-dimensional kernel, and there will be one null state at level ℓ if the two kernels happen to coincide. Let the candidate null state be given by

$$|\chi\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} |j, \ell - j\rangle C_j \quad (4.13)$$

with the coefficients C_j to be determined. Then the condition $\mathbf{a}_L|\chi\rangle = \mathbf{a}_R|\chi\rangle = 0$ yields

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & q^{\Delta+j} \\ q^{\Delta+\ell-j-1} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} [j+1]_q C_{j+1} \\ [\ell-j]_q C_j \end{pmatrix} = 0 \quad (j = 0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1). \quad (4.14)$$

Interestingly, for $\Delta = \frac{1-\ell}{2}$ the 2×2 matrix on the LHS has zero determinant for all j and the above set of equations has a nontrivial solution:

$$C_j = (-1)^j q^{-\frac{j(\ell-j)}{2}} \frac{[\ell]_q!}{[j]_q! [\ell-j]_q!}. \quad (4.15)$$

As the simplest nontrivial example, for $\Delta = -\frac{1}{2}$ there is a null state at level $\ell = 2$:

$$|\chi\rangle = |2, 0\rangle - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}})|1, 1\rangle + |0, 2\rangle \in [\Delta]. \quad (4.16)$$

In fact, not only the state $|\chi\rangle$ but also all its descendants are orthogonal to the whole Verma module. Therefore, for $\Delta = \frac{1-\ell}{2}$ an irreducible representation can be obtained by regarding $|\chi\rangle$ and all its descendants as zero. Such a representation is called a *degenerate representation*.

The form of the above null state is somewhat similar to that of the operator $\chi(D)$ (4.3). This similarity can be made into a precise relation by introducing the notion of normal ordered products (of operators in the original one-sided formalism). We define it as in ordinary quantum field theories by subtracting contractions. For example,

$$\begin{aligned} :HH: &= HH - \overline{HH} = HH - 1, \\ :HM_{\Delta}H: &= HM_{\Delta}H - \overline{HM_{\Delta}H} = HM_{\Delta}H - q^{\Delta}M_{\Delta}, \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned} \quad (4.17)$$

Using this rule one can express the operator $\chi(D)$ (4.3) as a normal ordered product

$$\chi(D) = :H^2D: - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}}) :HDH: + :DH^2: . \quad (4.18)$$

The relation between $\chi(D)$ and the state $|\chi\rangle$ (4.16) has now become fully precise. The above result also leads us to expect that the null vector for $M_{\Delta=\frac{1-\ell}{2}}$ can be expressed using normal ordered products as well.

Let us next turn to the case $K = 2$. We first study the space $[\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2]$ for generic Δ_1, Δ_2 . Since the dimension of the subspace of level- ℓ states equals

$$d_2(\ell) = \frac{(\ell+1)(\ell+2)}{2} = d_1(\ell) + d_1(\ell-1) + \dots + d_1(0),$$

there is one primary state at each level ℓ . The operator corresponding to the primary state at level ℓ may be regarded as a matter operator of dimension $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \ell$. So we have the fusion rule

$$[\Delta_1 \times \Delta_2] = \bigoplus_{\ell=0}^{\infty} [\Delta_1 + \Delta_2 + \ell].$$

For special values of Δ_1, Δ_2 , some primary states at higher levels may have to be regarded as null states. As an example, let us set $\Delta_1 = -\frac{1}{2}$ while keeping $\Delta_2 = \Delta$ generic. Then at each of the levels $\ell = 0, 1, 2$ there is one primary state. They take the form

$$\begin{aligned} |(\text{DM}_\Delta)_0\rangle &\equiv |0, 0, 0\rangle, \\ |(\text{DM}_\Delta)_1\rangle &\equiv f_\Delta(q) \left\{ -(q^\Delta - q^{-\Delta})|1, 0, 0\rangle + (q^{\Delta-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\Delta+\frac{1}{2}})|0, 1, 0\rangle + (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})|0, 0, 1\rangle \right\}, \\ |(\text{DM}_\Delta)_2\rangle &\equiv |2, 0, 0\rangle - (q^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{1}{2}})|1, 1, 0\rangle + |0, 2, 0\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

The normalization factor $f_\Delta(q)$ for $|(\text{DM}_\Delta)_1\rangle$ will be determined later. The state $|(\text{DM}_\Delta)_2\rangle$ should be regarded as null since it clearly corresponds to the operator $\chi(\text{D})\text{M}_\Delta$. In fact, the state $|(\text{DM}_\Delta)_2\rangle$ as well as all its descendants are null states. One can list up the basis states at level ℓ in the space $[(-\frac{1}{2}) \times \Delta]$ and its null subspace by using the correspondence with the normal ordered operators:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{states } |n_0, n_1, n_2\rangle &\leftrightarrow :H^{n_0}\text{D}H^{n_1}\text{M}_\Delta H^{n_2}: \quad (n_0 + n_1 + n_2 = \ell) \\ \text{null states} &\leftrightarrow :H^{n_0}\chi(\text{D})H^{n_1}\text{M}_\Delta H^{n_2}: \quad (n_0 + n_1 + n_2 + 2 = \ell) \end{aligned} \quad (4.20)$$

The number of independent states at level $\ell(\geq 2)$, after eliminating null states, is thus given by

$$d_2(\ell) - d_2(\ell - 2) = 2\ell + 1 = d_1(\ell) + d_1(\ell - 1). \quad (4.21)$$

This implies that the fusion rule involving a matter operator of dimension $-\frac{1}{2}$ is

$$[(-\frac{1}{2}) \times \Delta] = [\Delta - \frac{1}{2}] \oplus [\Delta + \frac{1}{2}]. \quad (4.22)$$

Operator product relations The above analysis does not only give an isomorphism between the Hilbert spaces, but also seems to imply that the composite operators

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{DM}_\Delta)_0 &\equiv \text{DM}_\Delta, \\ (\text{DM}_\Delta)_1 &\equiv f_\Delta(q) \left\{ -(q^\Delta - q^{-\Delta})\text{HDM}_\Delta + (q^{\Delta-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\Delta+\frac{1}{2}})\text{DHM}_\Delta + (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})\text{DM}_\Delta\text{H} \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (4.23)$$

are equivalent respectively to matter operators of dimensions $\Delta - \frac{1}{2}$ and $\Delta + \frac{1}{2}$. The relations (4.22) and (4.23) are analogous to the boundary Liouville OPE (3.9) involving the operator $B_{-\frac{b}{2}}$. It is interesting to find out to what extent these relations hold in correlators.

Let us begin by fixing the normalizations. The norm of any composite operator can naturally be determined from the two-point function of the operator and its conjugate. According to this definition, the squared norm of $|(\text{DM}_\Delta)_0\rangle$ is given by the two-point function of DM_Δ and its conjugate M_ΔD , which simply equals 1.

$$\langle (\text{DM}_\Delta)_0 | (\text{DM}_\Delta)_0 \rangle = \langle 0 | \overline{\text{M}_\Delta \text{D}} \text{DM}_\Delta | 0 \rangle = 1. \quad (4.24)$$

So we normalize $|(\text{DM}_\Delta)_0\rangle$ to simply correspond to DM_Δ . The computation of the squared norm of $|(\text{DM}_\Delta)_1\rangle$ is a bit more cumbersome and involves summation over some chord diagrams. The result can be used to determine $f_\Delta(q)$ as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle (\text{DM}_\Delta)_1 | (\text{DM}_\Delta)_1 \rangle &= f_\Delta(q)^2 \cdot (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})(q^\Delta - q^{-\Delta})(q^{\Delta-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\Delta+\frac{1}{2}}), \\ \therefore f_\Delta(q) &\equiv \left\{ (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})(q^\Delta - q^{-\Delta})(q^{\Delta-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\Delta+\frac{1}{2}}) \right\}^{-\frac{1}{2}}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.25)$$

In order to test the equivalence of the composite operators $(\text{DM}_\Delta)_{0,1}$ to $\text{M}_{\Delta+\frac{1}{2}}$, let us now compute their thermal two-point correlators. First, the correlator of $(\text{DM}_\Delta)_0$ can be expressed as

$$\langle 0 | e^{-\beta_1 \text{H}} (\text{DM}_\Delta)_0 e^{-\beta_2 \text{H}} (\text{DM}_\Delta)_0^\dagger | 0 \rangle = \int_0^\pi \prod_{i=1}^2 \frac{d\theta_i \mu(\theta_i) e^{-\beta_i E(\theta_i)}}{2\pi} \langle \theta_1 | (\text{DM}_\Delta)_0 | \theta_2 \rangle \langle \theta_2 | (\text{DM}_\Delta)_0^\dagger | 0 \rangle. \quad (4.26)$$

The integrand can be rewritten further by inserting H-eigenstates between D and M_Δ :

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta_1 | (\text{DM}_\Delta)_0 | \theta_2 \rangle \langle \theta_2 | (\text{DM}_\Delta)_0^\dagger | 0 \rangle &= \int \frac{d\theta \mu(\theta)}{2\pi} \frac{d\theta' \mu(\theta')}{2\pi} \langle \theta_1 | \text{D} | \theta \rangle \langle \theta | \text{M}_\Delta | \theta_2 \rangle \langle \theta_2 | \text{M}_\Delta | \theta' \rangle \langle \theta' | \text{D} | 0 \rangle \\ &= \int \frac{d\theta \mu(\theta)}{2\pi} G(-\tfrac{1}{2} | \theta_1, \theta) G(\Delta | \theta, \theta_2) \\ &= \sum_{\pm} \frac{G(\Delta | \theta_1 \pm \tfrac{i\lambda}{2}, \theta_2)}{1 - e^{\pm 2i\theta_1}} = G(\Delta - \tfrac{1}{2} | \theta_1, \theta_2), \end{aligned} \quad (4.27)$$

where we used (4.2) at the third equality. This supports our claim that DM_Δ is equivalent to a matter operator of dimension $\Delta - \frac{1}{2}$. For $(\text{DM}_\Delta)_1$, we first work out its matrix element between H-eigenstates:

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta_1 | (\text{DM}_\Delta)_1 | \theta_2 \rangle &= \int_0^\pi \frac{d\theta \mu(\theta)}{2\pi} \langle \theta_1 | \text{D} | \theta \rangle \langle \theta | \text{M}_\Delta | \theta_2 \rangle \cdot F_\Delta(\theta_1, \theta, \theta_2; q), \\ F_\Delta(\theta_1, \theta, \theta_2; q) &= f_\Delta(q) \left\{ -(q^\Delta - q^{-\Delta})E(\theta_1) + (q^{\Delta-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\Delta+\frac{1}{2}})E(\theta) + (q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})E(\theta_2) \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.28)$$

The way the coefficient F_Δ is defined is somewhat analogous to how the boundary Liouville OPE coefficient c_- was defined in (3.16). Moreover, F_Δ factorizes for $\theta = \theta_1 \pm \frac{i\lambda}{2}$ in the same way that c_- does as we have seen in (3.17).

$$F_\Delta(\theta_1, \theta_1 \pm \tfrac{i\lambda}{2}, \theta_2; q) = f_\Delta(q) (1 - q)^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{-\Delta} e^{\pm i\theta_1} (1 - e^{\mp i\theta_1 + i\theta_2} q^{\Delta-\frac{1}{2}}) (1 - e^{\mp i\theta_1 - i\theta_2} q^{\Delta-\frac{1}{2}}). \quad (4.29)$$

Using this one can check that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \theta_1 | (\text{DM}_\Delta)_1 | \theta_2 \rangle \langle \theta_2 | (\text{DM}_\Delta)_1^\dagger | 0 \rangle &= \sum_{\pm} \frac{G(\Delta | \theta_1 \pm \tfrac{i\lambda}{2}, \theta_2)}{1 - e^{\pm 2i\theta_1}} F_\Delta(\theta_1, \theta_1 \pm \tfrac{i\lambda}{2}, \theta_2; q)^2 \\ &= G(\Delta + \tfrac{1}{2} | \theta_1, \theta_2). \end{aligned} \quad (4.30)$$

This supports our claim that $(\text{DM}_\Delta)_1$ is equivalent to a matter operator of dimension $\Delta + \frac{1}{2}$.




Figure 6: The two and four-point functions that are related to each other.

Recursion relations for $G(\Delta|\theta_1, \theta_2)$ The equations (4.27) and (4.30) relates the two-point function $G(\Delta \mp \frac{1}{2}|\theta_1, \theta_2)$ (shown on the left of Figure 6) to the uncrossed four-point function with $\theta = \theta_1 \pm \frac{i\lambda}{2}$ for the region between the two matter chords (the right). These correlators should all have an interpretation as the squared norm of some states in suitable two-sided chord Hilbert spaces. It would then be natural to think that the relations among correlators follow from some linear relations among those states. In fact, by following this line of arguments, one can derive a set of two-term recursion relations for $G(\Delta|\theta_1, \theta_2)$ that is powerful enough to reproduce (2.22).

We are interested in the four states represented by the following graphs:

$$|s_{\pm}\rangle = \left[\begin{array}{c} \theta_2 \\ \hline \theta_1 \end{array} \right] \Delta \pm \frac{1}{2} , \quad |t_{\pm}\rangle = \left[\begin{array}{c} \theta_2 \\ \hline \theta \\ \hline \theta_1 \end{array} \right] \begin{array}{c} \Delta \\ -\frac{1}{2} \end{array} . \quad (\theta = \theta_1 \pm \frac{i\lambda}{2}) \quad (4.31)$$

The states $|s_{\pm}\rangle$ are the unique eigenstates of H_L, H_R with eigenvalues $E(\theta_1), E(\theta_2)$ in the respective Hilbert spaces $[\Delta \pm \frac{1}{2}]$. Similarly, the states $|t_{\pm}\rangle \in [(-\frac{1}{2}) \times \Delta]$ are both in the eigenspace of H_L, H_R with the eigenvalues $E(\theta_1), E(\theta_2)$. The isomorphism (4.22) implies that this eigenspace is isomorphic to the space spanned by $|s_{\pm}\rangle$; in particular, it is two-dimensional. This agrees nicely with the fact that the energy $E(\theta)$ in the region between the D-chord and M_{Δ} -chord can take two distinct values. The states $|s_{\pm}\rangle$ and $|t_{\pm}\rangle$ should therefore be related by a linear transformation.

The bra states $\langle s_{\pm}|, \langle t_{\pm}|$ are represented by the left-right reflection of the above graphs for the kets. The states $|s_{+}\rangle$ and $|s_{-}\rangle$ are orthogonal to each other, and they have the squared-norm

$$\langle s_{\pm}|s_{\pm}\rangle = G(\Delta \pm \frac{1}{2}|\theta_1, \theta_2). \quad (4.32)$$

Likewise, $|t_{+}\rangle$ and $|t_{-}\rangle$ are orthogonal to each other. Regarding their norm, we assume that $G(-\frac{1}{2}|\theta_1, \theta)$ have delta-functional support at $\theta = \theta_1 \pm \frac{i\lambda}{2}$ but do not want to use its explicit form (4.2). So we express $G(-\frac{1}{2}|\theta_1, \theta)$ and $\langle t_{\pm}|t_{\pm}\rangle$ in terms of some unknown functions $g_{\pm}(\theta)$ as follows:

$$G(-\frac{1}{2}|\theta_1, \theta) = \sum_{\pm} \frac{g_{\pm}(\theta_1) 2\pi \delta(\theta_1 \pm \frac{i\lambda}{2} - \theta)}{\mu(\theta)}, \quad \langle t_{\pm}|t_{\pm}\rangle = g_{\pm}(\theta_1) G(\Delta|\theta_1 \pm \frac{i\lambda}{2}, \theta_2). \quad (4.33)$$

Our goal is thus to derive recursion relations that can determine $G(\Delta|\theta_1, \theta_2)$ and $g_{\pm}(\theta)$.

The relations (4.27), (4.30) can now be expressed in terms of the states $|s_{\pm}\rangle, |t_{\pm}\rangle$ as

$$\langle s_-|s_- \rangle = \sum_{\pm} \langle t_{\pm}|t_{\pm} \rangle, \quad \langle s_+|s_+ \rangle = \sum_{\pm} \langle t_{\pm}|t_{\pm} \rangle F_{\pm}^2, \quad (4.34)$$

where F_{\pm} is a shorthand for $F_{\Delta}(\theta_1, \theta_1 \pm \frac{i\lambda}{2}, \theta_2; q)$ (4.29). If there is a linear transformation relating $|s_{\pm}\rangle$ and $|t_{\pm}\rangle$, it must take the following form (up to unphysical sign choices):

$$\begin{aligned} |s_- \rangle &= |t_+ \rangle + |t_- \rangle, \\ |s_+ \rangle &= |t_+ \rangle F_+ + |t_- \rangle F_-, \end{aligned} \quad |t_{\pm} \rangle = \frac{|s_+ \rangle - |s_- \rangle F_{\mp}}{F_{\pm} - F_{\mp}}. \quad (4.35)$$

At this point we notice that the condition $\langle t_+|t_- \rangle = 0$, when rewritten in terms of $|s_{\pm}\rangle$, turns into a nontrivial recursion relation for $G(\Delta|\theta_1, \theta_2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \langle s_+|s_+ \rangle + \langle s_-|s_- \rangle F_+ F_- \\ &= G(\Delta + \frac{1}{2}|\theta_1, \theta_2) - \frac{G(\Delta - \frac{1}{2}|\theta_1, \theta_2)}{(1 - q^{2\Delta})(1 - q^{2\Delta-1})} \prod_{\pm, \pm'} (1 - q^{\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} e^{\pm i\theta_1 \pm' i\theta_2}), \end{aligned} \quad (4.36)$$

which is solved by (2.22). Similarly, by expressing $\langle s_+|s_- \rangle = 0$ in terms of $|t_{\pm}\rangle$ one obtains another recursion relation

$$\frac{g_+(\theta_1)G(\Delta|\theta_1 + \frac{i\lambda}{2}, \theta_2)}{g_-(\theta_1)G(\Delta|\theta_1 - \frac{i\lambda}{2}, \theta_2)} = -e^{-2i\theta_1} \prod_{\pm} \frac{(1 - q^{\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} e^{+i\theta_1 \pm i\theta_2})}{(1 - q^{\Delta - \frac{1}{2}} e^{-i\theta_1 \pm i\theta_2})}, \quad (4.37)$$

which is again solved by (2.22) and its consequence $g_{\pm}(\theta) = (1 - e^{\pm 2i\theta})^{-1}$ (4.2).

Some remarks are in order concerning the uniqueness of the solution. Recall that $G(\Delta|\theta_1, \theta_2)$ is periodic under $\theta_i \rightarrow \theta_i + 2\pi$ in addition to obeying the recursion relation (4.37) under $\theta_i \rightarrow \theta_i + i\lambda$. Having two shift relations for a single complex variable, like (3.5) for the functions $\mathbf{G}(x)$ and $\mathbf{S}(x)$, is usually taken to be strong enough for a function to be determined unambiguously. As for the dependence of $G(\Delta|\theta_1, \theta_2)$ on Δ , we have found only one shift relation (4.36) under $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta + 1$. But actually $G(\Delta|\theta_1, \theta_2)$ has to be periodic under $\Delta \rightarrow \Delta + \frac{2\pi i}{\lambda}$ because so is its integral transform $G(\Delta|\beta_1, \beta_2)$ which is a power series in $q^{\Delta} = e^{-\lambda\Delta}$ according to diagrammatics.

Three-point functions and chord junctions. One may naturally guess that the inner products of $|s_{\pm}\rangle$ and $|t_{\pm}\rangle$ would correspond to three-point functions, but upon a closer inspection one encounters a subtle problem.

As an example, let us consider the correlator corresponding to the diagram in Figure 7. By moving the operator D (of weight $-\frac{1}{2}$) towards M_{Δ} one obtains a diagram representing the inner product $\langle s_-|t_{\pm}\rangle$. On the other hand, by moving D towards $M_{\Delta - \frac{1}{2}}$ one obtains a diagram for a different inner product $\langle t'_{\mp}|s'_{\pm}\rangle$, where $|s'_{\pm}\rangle$ and $|t'_{\pm}\rangle$ are related to $|s_{\pm}\rangle, |t_{\pm}\rangle$ by a change of parameters. Explicitly,

$$|s'_{\mp}\rangle = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \theta_2 \text{---} \\ \text{---} \theta \text{---} \end{array} \Delta' \mp \frac{1}{2}, \quad |t'_{\mp}\rangle = \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \theta_2 \text{---} \\ \text{---} \theta_1 \text{---} \\ \text{---} \theta \text{---} \end{array} \Delta' \mp \frac{1}{2}. \quad \left(\begin{array}{l} \Delta' = \Delta - \frac{1}{2} \\ \theta_1 = \theta \mp \frac{i\lambda}{2} \end{array} \right) \quad (4.38)$$




Figure 7: An example of three-point functions.

By computing the two inner products explicitly one finds

$$\langle s_- | t_{\pm} \rangle = \frac{G(\Delta | \theta, \theta_2)}{1 - e^{\pm 2i\theta_1}}, \quad \langle t'_{\mp} | s'_{+} \rangle = \frac{G(\Delta | \theta, \theta_2)}{1 - e^{\mp 2i\theta}} \cdot i e^{\mp i\theta} \sqrt{\frac{1 - q^{2\Delta-1}}{1 - q^{2\Delta-2}}} \quad (4.39)$$

for $\theta = \theta_1 \pm \frac{i\lambda}{2}$. There is a nontrivial mismatch between the two, though a part of it can be attributed to the difference in the integration measure:

$$\frac{d\theta_1}{2\pi} \frac{\mu(\theta_1)}{1 - e^{\pm 2i\theta_1}} = \frac{d\theta}{2\pi} \frac{\mu(\theta)}{1 - e^{\mp 2i\theta}}. \quad (4.40)$$

Hopefully this mismatch is not indicating a breakdown of our argument and can be resolved by a more systematic analysis. For the moment, let us just point out that it looks reminiscent of the following well-known fact: the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient $\langle \ell_1 m_1, \ell_2 m_2 | \ell_3 m_3 \rangle$ is not equal to $\langle \ell_1 m_1 | \ell_2(-m_2), \ell_3 m_3 \rangle$. Instead, the Wigner's $3j$ symbol

$$\begin{pmatrix} \ell_1 & \ell_2 & \ell_3 \\ m_1 & m_2 & m_3 \end{pmatrix} \equiv \frac{(-1)^{\ell_1 - \ell_2 - m_3}}{\sqrt{2\ell_3 + 1}} \langle \ell_1 m_1, \ell_2 m_2 | \ell_3(-m_3) \rangle \quad (4.41)$$

is known to be invariant (up to sign) under permutations of the three spins. Perhaps the three-point function in question, which should be symmetric in the three operators, will be related to $\langle s_- | t_{\pm} \rangle$ and $\langle t'_{\mp} | s'_{+} \rangle$ in the same way that the $3j$ symbol is related to the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient.

5 Concluding remarks

In this paper we have discussed a number of interesting properties of degenerate matter operators in DSSYK model, focusing particularly on the most basic one $D = M_{-\frac{1}{2}}$. Perhaps they are powerful enough that one can use them to reproduce all the exact results that were derived using diagrammatics. We were just able to derive the recursion relations for the two-point function $G(\Delta | \theta_1, \theta_2)$. It would be interesting to consider how our argument can be generalized to higher-point and crossed correlation functions.

The special properties of degenerate operators are encoded in the null vector equation. For the most basic degenerate operator D , we have found using diagrammatics and representation-theoretic

analysis that the null vector takes the form (4.3) or (4.16). There are other degenerate operators of dimension $\Delta = \frac{1-\ell}{2}$ which have a null vector at level ℓ . We expect that they have properties similar to $B_{(1-\ell)b/2}$ in Liouville CFT and correspond to the ℓ -dimensional representation of $\mathcal{U}_{q^{1/2}}(\mathfrak{su}_{1,1})$.

We also obtained some incomplete results which suggest that the matter chords may form junctions. This might look somewhat surprising if one recalls that the disorder average was taken over the random couplings defining matter operators, as it would only allow the matter operators of the same species to be paired. Even if matter chords are really allowed to form junctions, it remains for us to understand how to translate between the inner products of two-sided states and general correlators.

Although we have successfully imported many algebraic techniques from boundary Liouville CFT to DSSYK model, the correspondences of physical quantities between the two theories were not very impressive. This is because the quantum group that underlies the Liouville CFT is in fact two copies of quantum groups that are related by $b \leftrightarrow \frac{1}{b}$ duality [40]. On the other hand, the quantum group behind the DSSYK model is a single copy of $\mathcal{U}_{q^{1/2}}(\mathfrak{su}_{1,1})$, so neither the two-point function $G(\Delta|\theta_1, \theta_2)$ nor its recursion relation exhibit any sort of duality.

Disclaimer We certify that the opinions expressed herein are only those of the authors. They do not represent the official views of Japan Ministry of Defense or National Defense Academy.

References

- [1] S. Sachdev and J. Ye, “Gapless spin fluid ground state in a random, quantum Heisenberg magnet,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **70**, 3339 (1993), [arXiv:cond-mat/9212030 \[cond-mat\]](#).
- [2] A. Y. Kitaev, “A simple model of quantum holography,” [Talks at KITP on April 7, 2015 and May 27, 2015](#).
- [3] J. Maldacena and D. Stanford, “Remarks on the Sachdev-Ye-Kitaev model,” *Phys. Rev. D* **94**, no.10, 106002 (2016), [arXiv:1604.07818 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [4] R. Jackiw, “Lower Dimensional Gravity,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **252**, 343-356 (1985).
- [5] C. Teitelboim, “Gravitation and Hamiltonian Structure in Two Space-Time Dimensions,” *Phys. Lett. B* **126**, 41-45 (1983).
- [6] A. Almheiri and J. Polchinski, “Models of AdS_2 backreaction and holography,” *JHEP* **11**, 014 (2015), [arXiv:1402.6334 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [7] J. Maldacena, D. Stanford and Z. Yang, “Conformal symmetry and its breaking in two dimensional Nearly Anti-de-Sitter space,” *PTEP* **2016**, no.12, 12C104 (2016), [arXiv:1606.01857 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [8] K. Jensen, “Chaos in AdS_2 Holography,” *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **117**, no.11, 111601 (2016), [arXiv:1605.06098 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [9] J. Engelsöy, T. G. Mertens and H. Verlinde, “An investigation of AdS_2 backreaction and holography,” *JHEP* **07**, 139 (2016), [arXiv:1606.03438 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [10] J. S. Cotler, G. Gur-Ari, M. Hanada, J. Polchinski, P. Saad, S. H. Shenker, D. Stanford, A. Streicher and M. Tezuka, “Black Holes and Random Matrices,” *JHEP* **05**, 118 (2017), erratum *JHEP* **09**, 002 (2018), [arXiv:1611.04650 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [11] P. Saad, S. H. Shenker and D. Stanford, “JT gravity as a matrix integral,” [arXiv:1903.11115 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [12] L. Erdős and D. Schröder, “Phase Transition in the Density of States of Quantum Spin Glasses,” *Math. Phys. Anal. Geom.* **17**, no.3-4, 441-464 (2014), [arXiv:1407.1552 \[math-ph\]](#).
- [13] M. Berkooz, P. Narayan and J. Simon, “Chord diagrams, exact correlators in spin glasses and black hole bulk reconstruction,” *JHEP* **08**, 192 (2018), [arXiv:1806.04380 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [14] M. Berkooz, M. Isachenkov, V. Narovlansky and G. Torrents, “Towards a full solution of the large N double-scaled SYK model,” *JHEP* **03**, 079 (2019), [arXiv:1811.02584 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [15] M. Berkooz and O. Mamroud, “A cordial introduction to double scaled SYK,” *Rept. Prog. Phys.* **88**, no.3, 036001 (2025), [arXiv:2407.09396 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [16] M. Arik and D. D. Coon, “Hilbert Spaces of Analytic Functions and Generalized Coherent States,” *J. Math. Phys.* **17**, 524 (1976).
- [17] H. W. Lin, “The bulk Hilbert space of double scaled SYK,” *JHEP* **11**, 060 (2022), [arXiv:2208.07032 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [18] K. Okuyama, “Hartle-Hawking wavefunction in double scaled SYK,” *JHEP* **03**, 152 (2023), [arXiv:2212.09213 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] H. W. Lin and D. Stanford, “A symmetry algebra in double-scaled SYK,” *SciPost Phys.* **15**, no.6, 234 (2023), [arXiv:2307.15725 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [20] K. Okuyama, “Doubled Hilbert space in double-scaled SYK,” *JHEP* **04**, 091 (2024), [arXiv:2401.07403 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [21] K. Okuyama, “More on doubled Hilbert space in double-scaled SYK,” *Phys. Lett. B* **855**, 138858 (2024), [arXiv:2404.02833 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [22] J. van der Heijden, E. Verlinde and J. Xu, “Quantum Symmetry and Geometry in Double-Scaled SYK,” [arXiv:2511.08743 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [23] M. Berkooz, M. Isachenkov, M. Isachenkov, P. Narayan and V. Narovlansky, “Quantum groups, non-commutative AdS_2 , and chords in the double-scaled SYK model,” *JHEP* **08**, 076 (2023), [arXiv:2212.13668 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [24] A. Almheiri and F. K. Popov, “Holography on the quantum disk,” *JHEP* **06**, 070 (2024), [arXiv:2401.05575 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [25] A. Blommaert, T. G. Mertens and S. Yao, “Dynamical actions and q-representation theory for double-scaled SYK,” *JHEP* **02**, 067 (2024), [arXiv:2306.00941 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [26] A. Belaey, T. G. Mertens and T. Tappeiner, “Quantum group origins of edge states in double-scaled SYK,” [arXiv:2503.20691 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [27] A. Blommaert, D. Tietto and H. Verlinde, “SYK collective field theory as complex Liouville gravity,” [arXiv:2509.18462 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [28] V. Fateev, A. B. Zamolodchikov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, “Boundary Liouville field theory. 1. Boundary state and boundary two point function,” [arXiv:hep-th/0001012 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [29] L. Carlitz, “Some polynomials related to theta functions,” *Duke Math. J.* **24**, 4 (1957).
- [30] G. Gasper and M. Rahman, “Basic Hypergeometric Series,” *Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications* vol.96, Cambridge University Press, 2004.
- [31] A. B. Zamolodchikov and A. B. Zamolodchikov, “Liouville field theory on a pseudosphere,” [arXiv:hep-th/0101152 \[hep-th\]](#).

- [32] J. L. Cardy, “Effect of Boundary Conditions on the Operator Content of Two-Dimensional Conformally Invariant Theories,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **275**, 200-218 (1986).
- [33] J. L. Cardy, “Boundary Conditions, Fusion Rules and the Verlinde Formula,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **324**, 581-596 (1989).
- [34] B. L. Feigin and D. B. Fuks, “Invariant skew symmetric differential operators on the line and verma modules over the Virasoro algebra,” *Funct. Anal. Appl.* **16**, 114-126 (1982).
- [35] V. S. Dotsenko and V. A. Fateev, “Four Point Correlation Functions and the Operator Algebra in the Two-Dimensional Conformal Invariant Theories with the Central Charge $c < 1$,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **251**, 691-734 (1985).
- [36] J. Teschner, “Liouville theory revisited,” *Class. Quant. Grav.* **18**, R153-R222 (2001), [arXiv:hep-th/0104158 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [37] B. Ponsot and J. Teschner, “Liouville bootstrap via harmonic analysis on a noncompact quantum group,” [arXiv:hep-th/9911110 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [38] B. Ponsot and J. Teschner, “Clebsch-Gordan and Racah-Wigner coefficients for a continuous series of representations of $\mathcal{U}_q(\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R}))$,” *Commun. Math. Phys.* **224**, 613-655 (2001), [arXiv:math/0007097 \[math.QA\]](#).
- [39] B. Ponsot and J. Teschner, “Boundary Liouville field theory: Boundary three point function,” *Nucl. Phys. B* **622**, 309-327 (2002), [arXiv:hep-th/0110244 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [40] L. D. Faddeev, “Modular double of quantum group,” [arXiv:math/9912078 \[math.QA\]](#).