

PERIODICITY AND FINITE COMPLEXITY IN HIGHER REAL K -THEORIES

ZHIPENG DUAN, MICHAEL A. HILL, GUCHUAN LI, YUTAO LIU, XIAOLIN DANNY SHI,
GUOZHEN WANG, AND ZHOULI XU

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we establish periodicity results for higher real K -theories at all heights and for all finite subgroups of the Morava stabilizer group at the prime 2. We further analyze the $RO(G)$ -periodicity lattice of the height- h Lubin–Tate theory, proving new $RO(G)$ -graded periodicities and explicit finiteness results for the $RO(G)$ -graded homotopy groups of E_h . Together, these results provide a foundation for both the structural and computational study of higher real K -theories.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. Periodicities of $EO_h(G)$	6
3. The $RO(G)$ -periodicities of E_h	12
4. Norm structures and norms of differentials	16
5. Differentials on orientation classes	23
6. Computational applications	27
References	36

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation and main results. Historically, topological K -theories, together with their Bott periodicities and Adams operations, have resolved several fundamental problems in algebraic and geometric topology, including the Hopf invariant one problem [Ada60, AA66] and the vector fields on spheres problem [Ada62]. Adams also used real K -theory to analyze the image of the J -homomorphism $J : \pi_k SO \rightarrow \pi_k S^0$, showing that the 8-fold Bott periodicity in real K -theory induces corresponding periodic families in the stable homotopy groups of spheres [Ada66] (see also [Mah82]). This stands as one of the earliest influential applications of periodic generalized cohomology theories to the study of the stable homotopy groups of spheres.

K -theories are part of a broader family of generalized cohomology theories central to chromatic homotopy theory. The moduli stack of formal groups is stratified by height, and Quillen’s foundational work [Qui69], together with subsequent developments [MRW77, DHS88, Rav92, HS98, Hop99, Rav03, Lur10], identifies this stratification with localizations with respect to the Lubin–Tate theories. These theories generalize complex K -theory KU and carry symmetries that are higher-height analogues of the Adams operations on KU .

Let $E(k, \Gamma)$ be the Lubin–Tate theory associated with a height- h formal group Γ over a perfect field k of characteristic p . We will often suppress (k, Γ) in the notation and write $E_h = E(k, \Gamma)$. Let $\mathbb{S}_h = \text{Aut}_k(\Gamma)$ be the small Morava stabilizer group, and let $\mathbb{G}_h = \mathbb{S}_h \rtimes \text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{F}_p)$ be the big Morava stabilizer group. By the Goerss–Hopkins–Miller Theorem [Rez98, GH04, Lur18], the continuous action of \mathbb{G}_h on $\pi_* E_h$ refines uniquely to an \mathbb{E}_∞ -action of \mathbb{G}_h on E_h . For example, at

height $h = 1$, we have $E_1 = KU_p^\wedge$ (the p -completed complex K -theory), $\mathbb{G}_1 = \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$, and the action of \mathbb{Z}_p^\times on KU_p^\wedge recovers the Adams operations.

Notation. For a finite subgroup $G \subset \mathbb{G}_h$, set

$$EO_h(G) := E_h^{hG} = F(EG_+, E_h)^G,$$

the homotopy fixed points of E_h under the action of G .

The theories $EO_h(G)$ are called the *higher real K -theories*, named because when $p = 2$, $h = 1$, and $G = C_2$, one recovers the 2-completed real K -theory KO_2^\wedge as $EO_1(C_2)$. As the height h and group G increase, the theories $EO_h(G)$ encode increasingly refined information about the stable homotopy category, but their analysis becomes correspondingly more intricate.

By the Nilpotence Theorem of Devinatz, Hopkins, and Smith [DHS88, HS98], each $EO_h(G)$ is a periodic cohomology theory, with *some* finite period. However, beyond low heights where explicit computations of $\pi_*EO_h(G)$ are available, the periods for general h and G remain unknown.

Question 1.1. What is the periodicity of $EO_h(G)$?

Establishing periodicity results for $EO_h(G)$ is of central importance for several reasons. Although computations involving $EO_h(G)$ are often intricate, the instances in which its periodicities are known have been instrumental in resolving major problems in algebraic and differential topology. Examples include the following:

- (1) The resolution of the Kervaire invariant one problem by Hill, Hopkins, and Ravenel [HHR16] relies on the periodicity of $EO_4(C_8)$. The theory $EO_4(C_8)$ detects the potential Kervaire invariant elements, and its 256-periodicity forces these elements to vanish in π_*S^0 (see also [Rav78, LSWX19]).
- (2) At the prime 2, TMF, whose $K(2)$ -localization is the higher real K -theory $EO_2(G_{48})$, is 192-periodic. This periodicity governs both its structural features and geometric applications. In particular, Behrens, Mahowald, and Quigley [BMQ20] determine the Hurewicz image of the unit map $\pi_*S^0 \rightarrow \pi_*\mathrm{tmf}$, producing 192-periodic families in π_*S^0 . Through the Kervaire–Milnor classification of homotopy spheres [KM63], these families, together with the work of Behrens, Hill, Hopkins, and Mahowald [BHHM20], establish the existence of exotic spheres in congruence classes of dimensions modulo 192, accounting for more than half of the even-dimensional cases.
- (3) The theories $EO_h(G)$ serve as fundamental building blocks for the $K(h)$ -local sphere via the theory of finite resolutions. The theory of finite resolutions was developed by Goerss–Henn–Mahowald–Rezk [GHMR05], followed by the works of Beaudry, Behrens, Bobkova, Goerss, and Henn [Beh06, Hen07, Bea15, BG18, Hen19, BGH22].
- (4) In addition to the geometric applications of K -theories discussed at the beginning of this section, KO plays a central role in the study of spin manifolds, as established by Atiyah, Bott, and Shapiro [ABS64]. This leads directly to the KO -valued index of the Dirac operator via the Atiyah–Singer Index Theorem [AS68]. In this way, KO connects geometric questions about curvature and symmetry to stable homotopy theory. In addition, KO also plays a significant role in studying the Geography Problem for 4-manifolds through the analysis of $\mathrm{Pin}(2)$ -equivariant Mahowald invariants [Fur01, HLSX22].

In view of its significance, resolving Theorem 1.1 is not only a goal in its own right, but also a central step toward addressing every question concerning $EO_h(G)$, ranging from coefficients and detection theorems to duality and geometry.

In this paper, we will establish periodicity results for $EO_h(G)$ at all heights $h \geq 1$ and all finite subgroups $G \subset \mathbb{G}_h$ at the prime 2, answering Theorem 1.1 when $p = 2$.

To state our main result, we will first recall the classification of the finite subgroups of \mathbb{G}_h . The finite subgroups of \mathbb{S}_h and \mathbb{G}_h have been completely classified at all heights h [Hew95, Hew99, Buj12]. To summarize this classification at the prime 2, let $h = 2^{n-1}m$, where m is an odd number. If $n \neq 2$, the maximal finite 2-subgroups of \mathbb{S}_h are isomorphic to C_{2^n} , the cyclic group of order 2^n . When $n = 2$, the maximal finite 2-subgroups of \mathbb{S}_h are isomorphic to the quaternion group Q_8 . As a result, for any $G \subset \mathbb{G}_h$ a finite subgroup, a 2-Sylow subgroup H of $G \cap \mathbb{S}_h$ is isomorphic to either C_{2^n} or Q_8 .

Definition 1.2. For $h \geq 1$ and For $G \subset \mathbb{G}_h$ a finite subgroup, let H be a 2-Sylow subgroup of $G_0 = G \cap \mathbb{S}_h$. Define

$$P(h, H) := \begin{cases} 2 & \text{if } H = e \\ 2^{h+n+1} & \text{if } H = C_{2^n} \\ 2^{h+4} & \text{if } H = Q_8 \end{cases}$$

and define

$$P(h, G) := \frac{|G_0|}{|H|} \cdot P(h, H).$$

Theorem A (Periodicity).

The cohomology theory $EO = EO_h(G)$ is P -fold periodic, where $P = P(h, G)$: for all X ,

$$EO^{*+P}(X) \cong EO^*(X).$$

Theorem A recovers all previously known periodicities of $EO_h(G)$ established through explicit computations:

- $EO_1(C_2)$: 8 ([Bot59, Ati66])
- $EO_2(C_2)$: 16 ([MR09])
- $EO_2(C_4)$: 32 ([HHR16, BO16])
- $EO_2(C_6)$: 48 ([MR09])
- $EO_2(Q_8)$: 64 ([DFHH14, DKL+24])
- $EO_2(G_{24})$: 192 ([Bau08, DFHH14])
- $EO_2(G_{48})$: 192 ([Bau08, DFHH14])
- $EO_4(C_2)$: 64 ([HS20, LSWX19])
- $EO_4(C_4)$: 128 ([HSWX23])
- $EO_4(C_8)$: 256 ([HHR16, LWX24])
- $EO_4(C_{12})$: 384 ([HSWX23])
- $EO_h(C_2)$: 2^{h+2} ([HS20, LSWX19])

In particular, when $h = 1$ and $G = C_2$, we have $P(1, C_2) = 8$, and **Theorem A** recovers the Bott periodicity of real K -theory KO . At height $h = 2$, for $G = G_{48}$, one has $G_0 = G_{24}$, $H = Q_8$, and $P(2, G_{48}) = \frac{|G_{24}|}{|Q_8|} \cdot 2^{2+4} = 192$. This yields the 192-periodicity of $EO_2(G_{48})$, which is the $K(2)$ -localization of TMF at the prime 2. When $h = 4$ and $G = C_8$, the theory $EO_4(C_8)$ is closely related to the Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel detection spectrum Ω , and is $P(4, C_8) = 256$ -periodic, sharing the same periodicity as Ω .

Proving **Theorem A** requires the following results as input:

- (1) The equivariant slice spectral sequence for norms of Real bordism theories $MU^{(G)}$ and $BP^{(G)}$, established by Hill, Hopkins, and Ravenel [HHR16].
- (2) The Real orientation of E_h , established by Hahn and the fifth author [HS20]. This serves as a bridge to transport all the computational results for localizations of $BP^{(G)}$ to E_h .
- (3) The strong vanishing line results of E_h^{hG} , for all finite subgroups $G \subset \mathbb{G}_h$, proved in [DLS25] by the first, third, and fifth authors.

In particular, **Theorem A** and its proof works for all forms of E_h , for any choice of (k, Γ) .

A crucial step in proving **Theorem A** is to study E_h as an equivariant G -spectrum, with homotopy groups $\pi_* E_h$ graded by $RO(G)$, the real representation ring of G . The G -spectrum E_h admits various $RO(G)$ -graded periodicities that are not directly detected by E_h^{hG} , which is a nonequivariant spectrum and can only detect integer-graded periodicities.

This leads us to define and study the $RO(G)$ -periodicity lattice $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ (Section 3). For G a finite subgroup of \mathbb{G}_h , the $RO(G)$ -periodicity lattice $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ is the free abelian subgroup of $RO(G)$ that is generated by all $V \in RO(G)$ such that E_h is V -periodic as a G -spectrum.

For a given pair (h, G) , the lattice $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ encodes the complexity of the $RO(G)$ -graded homotopy groups $\pi_*^G E_h$. More precisely, to compute all of $\pi_*^G E_h$, it is enough to compute the homotopy groups in the quotient grading $RO(G)/\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$.

Definition 1.3. The *complexity* of $\pi_*^G E_h$ is the quotient $RO(G)/\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$.

The lattice $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ is also useful for determining the Spanier–Whitehead dual of $EO_h(G)$ in the $K(h)$ -local category [BGHS22], as well for computing $K(h)$ -local Picard groups [BBHS20, HLS21].

The lattice $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ is determined by $\mathbb{L}_{h,H}$, where H is a 2-Sylow subgroup of $G_0 = G \cap \mathbb{S}_h$. From this point on, we will assume that G is a finite 2-subgroup of \mathbb{S}_h , which is isomorphic to either C_{2^n} or Q_8 .

Theorem B ($RO(G)$ -Periodicity, Theorem 3.5).

As a G -spectrum, E_h has the following $RO(G)$ -graded periodicities:

- (1) When $(h, G) = (2^{n-1}m, C_{2^n})$:
 - (a) $\rho_{2^n} = 1 + \sigma + \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \cdots + 2^{n-2}\lambda_{n-1}$;
 - (b) $2^{2^{n-i}m+n-i+1} - 2^{2^{n-i}m+n-i}\lambda_{n-i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- (2) When $(h, G) = (4\ell + 2, Q_8)$:
 - (a) $\rho_{Q_8} = 1 + \sigma_i + \sigma_j + \sigma_k + \mathbb{H}$;
 - (b) $2^{2\ell+2} + 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_i - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_j - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_k$;
 $2^{2\ell+2} - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_i + 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_j - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_k$;
 $2^{2\ell+2} - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_i - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_j + 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_k$;
 - (c) $2^{h+2} + 2^{h+2}\sigma_i - 2^{h+1}\mathbb{H}$;
 $2^{h+2} + 2^{h+2}\sigma_j - 2^{h+1}\mathbb{H}$;
 $2^{h+2} + 2^{h+2}\sigma_k - 2^{h+1}\mathbb{H}$.

Here, the representations in $RO(C_{2^n})$ and $RO(Q_8)$ are defined as in Section 1.4.

To prove the $RO(G)$ -periodicities in Theorem B, we use two inductive methods to analyze the lattice $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$.

- (1) Transchromatic isomorphism: as a consequence of [MSZ24, Theorem B], if $G = C_{2^n}$ and $V \in \mathbb{L}_{h/2,G/C_2}$ with $|V| = 0$, then $V \in \mathbb{L}_{h,G}$. Here, V is viewed as an element of $RO(G)$ via the pullback $G \rightarrow G/C_2$.
- (2) The norm: the collection of abelian groups $\{\mathbb{L}_{h,H} \mid H \subset G\}$ forms a Mackey functor $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_{h,G}$, induced by the Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel norm functor $N_H^G(-)$ [HHR16]. Therefore, if $V \in \mathbb{L}_{h,H}$, then $\text{Ind}_H^G(V) \in \mathbb{L}_{h,G}$.

Applying these two inductive methods, we prove all the $RO(G)$ -periodicities in Theorem B.

In general, determining the full periodicity lattice $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ and being able to compute the quotient $RO(G)/\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ for arbitrary h and G is a difficult task, as it requires extensive computations of the $RO(G)$ -graded homotopy groups $\pi_*^G E_h$. Nevertheless, Theorem B provides enough $RO(G)$ -periodicities to produce a significant sublattice $\mathbb{L}'_{h,G} \subset \mathbb{L}_{h,G}$. In particular, the sublattice $\mathbb{L}'_{h,G}$ has full rank in $RO(G)$, and the finite quotient group $RO(G)/\mathbb{L}'_{h,G}$ can be explicitly identified. Since $RO(G)/\mathbb{L}'_{h,G} \rightarrow RO(G)/\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ is a further quotient, $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ itself is a full-rank sublattice of $RO(G)$, leading to the following finiteness result on the complexity of $\pi_*^G E_h$.

Theorem C (Finite Complexity, Theorem 3.8).

The complexity of $\pi_*^G E_h$ is finite, given by the following bounds:

(1) When $(h, G) = (2^{n-1}m, C_{2^n})$,

$$RO(G)/\mathbb{L}'_{h,G} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}/2^{2^{n-i-1}m+n-i} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{h+n+1}.$$

(2) When $(h, G) = (4\ell + 2, Q_8)$,

$$RO(G)/\mathbb{L}'_{h,G} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^{2\ell+2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{2\ell+3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{2\ell+3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{4\ell+6}.$$

The strength of [Theorem C](#) is that it reduces computations with $EO_h(G)$ to a finite window. In particular, the differentials and (hidden) extensions in the spectral sequences computing $\pi_*^G E_h$ repeat with a fixed shift, so it is enough to determine them in a bounded range and then extend the pattern accordingly. We will illustrate this in [Section 6](#) through an explicit example.

In [Section 4](#) and [Section 5](#), we turn our attention to the relationship between periodicities, vanishing lines, and differentials. The norm functor $N_H^G(-)$ will continue to play a crucial role. In particular, the $RO(G)$ -periodicities arise from orientation classes that are permanent cycles. Since the norm of a permanent cycle is still a permanent cycle, the norm converts $RO(H)$ -graded periodicities into $RO(G)$ -graded ones.

To make further use of the norm functor, we study norm structures on filtered equivariant spectra and their effect on differentials. This leads to differential formulas for classes that were not permanent cycles before applying the norm. We refer to this as the *norm-transfer differential formula* ([Theorem 4.6](#)). A variant of this formula played an important role in establishing vanishing lines in [\[DLS25\]](#) and in computations in [\[HHR17, HSWX23, MSZ23\]](#). As a consequence of the norm-transfer formula, we obtain a family of differentials on orientation classes, which in turn provides finer control over periodicities.

Theorem D (Differentials on Orientation Classes, [Theorem 5.5](#)).

(1) When $(h, G) = (2^{n-1}m, C_{2^n})$, we have the following differentials in the C_{2^n} -slice spectral sequence and the C_{2^n} -homotopy fixed point spectral sequence of E_h :

$$d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}(u_{2^{\ell+n-2}\lambda_{n-1}}) = \mathrm{tr}_{C_2}^{C_{2^n}}(\bar{v}_\ell a_{(2^{\ell+1}-1)\sigma_2} u_{(2^{\ell+n-1}-2^\ell)\sigma_2}) u_{W_\ell}, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq h,$$

where $W_\ell = 2^\ell \sigma_{2^n} + 2^\ell \lambda_1 + 2^{\ell+1} \lambda_2 + \cdots + 2^{\ell+n-3} \lambda_{n-2}$.

(2) When $(h, G) = (4m + 2, Q_8)$, we have the following differentials in the Q_8 -homotopy fixed point spectral sequence of E_h :

$$d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}(u_{2^\ell \mathbb{H}}) = \mathrm{tr}_{C_2}^{Q_8}(\bar{v}_\ell a_{(2^{\ell+1}-1)\sigma_2} u_{3 \cdot 2^\ell \sigma_2}) u_{2^\ell \sigma_i} u_{2^\ell \sigma_j} u_{2^\ell \sigma_k}, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq h.$$

Finally, in [Section 6](#), we present a computational application of the Periodicity Theorem ([Theorem A](#)), using it as a central input to establish differentials and resolve extension problems in the equivariant slice spectral sequence. More specifically, we compute the C_4 -slice spectral sequence of $D^{-1}BP^{\langle C_4 \rangle} \langle 1 \rangle$, where $D = N_{C_2}^{C_4}(\bar{t}_1)$. This periodic Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel theory is a model for the C_4 -equivariant Lubin–Tate theory E_2 [\[BHSZ21\]](#).

A highlight of this computation is that all higher differentials can be derived from a single d_3 -differential by exploiting qualitative features of the equivariant slice spectral sequence of E_2 , such as periodicity, transchromatic phenomena, and strong vanishing lines. We remark that these structural theorems extend to $EO_h(G)$ at higher heights as well. However, computations for $EO_h(G)$ become increasingly intricate as h and G grow, and knowing only the lower differentials together with these structural results is unlikely to yield a complete picture. Nevertheless, these principles offer a more conceptual and streamlined framework for extending computations to higher heights, revealing substantial new information about their structure.

1.2. Organization of the paper. In [Section 2](#), we establish periodicities for $EO_h(G)$ for any finite subgroup $G \subset \mathbb{G}_h$, proving [Theorem A](#). In [Section 3](#), we analyze the $RO(G)$ -periodicities of E_h and prove [Theorem B](#) ([Theorem 3.5](#)) and [Theorem C](#) ([Theorem 3.8](#)).

In [Section 4](#), we define norm structures on filtered equivariant spectra and analyze their effect on differentials. We show that the presence of a norm structure relates differentials across spectral sequences associated to various subgroups ([Theorem 4.6](#)). In [Section 5](#), we apply this framework to the homotopy fixed point spectral sequences of Lubin–Tate theories, proving [Theorem D](#).

Finally, in [Section 6](#), we present a computational application of [Theorem A](#), using it as a central input to establish differentials and resolve extension problems in the C_4 -slice spectral sequence of $D^{-1}BP^{(C_4)}\langle 1 \rangle$, which serves as a model for the C_4 -equivariant Lubin–Tate theory E_2 . All higher differentials are obtained from a single d_3 -differential by using periodicity, transchromatic phenomena, and strong vanishing lines present in the equivariant slice spectral sequence.

1.3. Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Agnès Beaudry, Mark Behrens, Andrew Blumberg, Paul Goerss, Mike Hopkins, J.D. Quigley, Doug Ravenel, Yuchen Wu, and Lucy Yang for helpful conversations.

This material is based upon work supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China under Grant No. 12401084 (first author), by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-2528364 (second author), DMS-2313842 and DMS-2404828 (fifth author), and the AMS Centennial Fellowship (seventh author). The sixth author is partially supported by the following Grants: NSFC-12325102, NSFC-12226002, the New Cornerstone Science Foundation, Shanghai Pilot Program for Basic Research–Fudan University 21TQ1400100 (21TQ002), Shanghai Municipal Science and Technology Commission 248002139.

1.4. Notations and conventions.

- Let $RO(G)$ denote the real representation ring of G . Given a G -spectrum X and a subgroup H in G , we use $\pi_\star^H X$ to denote the H -equivariant homotopy group $[S^\star, X]^H$, where $\star \in RO(G)$. This group $\pi_\star^H X$ admits an action by the Weyl group $W_G(H) = N_G(H)/H$. In particular, when $H = e$, the underlying homotopy group $\pi_\star^e X$ is a G -module.
- When G is a cyclic 2-group and its order is clear from the context, we denote its sign representation by σ . In [Section 3](#) and [Section 4](#), when there are multiple cyclic groups and we need to distinguish the sign representation associated to different cyclic group G , we will use σ_{2^i} to indicate it is the sign representation of the cyclic group C_{2^i} .
- When $G = C_{2^n}$, let λ_i be the 2-dimensional C_{2^n} -representation corresponding to rotation by $(\frac{\pi}{2^i})$. 2-locally, $RO(C_{2^n})$ is generated by the irreducible representations $\{1, \sigma_{2^n}, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}\}$. Note that $\lambda_0 = 2\sigma_{2^n}$. We will also denote the regular representation of C_{2^n} by ρ_{2^n} , which is equal to $1 + \sigma_{2^n} + \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + 2^{n-2}\lambda_{n-1}$.
- When $G = Q_8$, let σ_i, σ_j , and σ_k be three 1-dimensional sign representations of Q_8 with kernels $C_4\langle i \rangle, C_4\langle j \rangle$, and $C_4\langle k \rangle$, respectively. We also denote $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}i \oplus \mathbb{R}j \oplus \mathbb{R}k$ as the quaternion algebra, which is a 4-dimensional irreducible representation of Q_8 given by left multiplication.
- We will denote the G -slice spectral sequence by $G\text{-SliceSS}$ and the G -homotopy fixed point spectral sequence by $G\text{-HFPSS}$.

2. PERIODICITIES OF $EO_h(G)$

In this section, we will establish periodicities for $EO_h(G)$ when G is any finite subgroup of \mathbb{G}_h , proving [Theorem A](#). We will do so by first establishing periodicities for $EO_h(G)$ when G is a finite 2-subgroup of \mathbb{S}_h ([Theorem 2.8](#) and [Theorem 2.9](#)), and then extending to the general case.

Definition 2.1. Let R be a G -equivariant homotopy commutative ring spectrum, and let V be a virtual G -representation. We say that R is V -periodic if there exists a G -equivariant map

$$\varphi_V : S^V \longrightarrow R$$

such that the composition map

$$\tilde{\varphi}_V : R \wedge S^V \xrightarrow{\text{id} \wedge \varphi_V} R \wedge R \xrightarrow{m} R$$

is a G -equivalence.

It is immediate from [Theorem 2.1](#) that if R is V -periodic, then there is an invertible element $\varphi_V \in \pi_V^G R$. When the virtual representation V is of the special form $(W - |W|)$ for a G -representation W , [Theorem 2.1](#) coincides with the notion of an R -orientation for W in [\[BBHS20, Definition 3.3\]](#).

Remark 2.2. Suppose $f : R \wedge S^V \rightarrow R$ is a G -equivalence of R -modules, then we can define φ_V to be the composition

$$\varphi_V : S^V \simeq S^0 \wedge S^V \xrightarrow{u \wedge \text{id}} R \wedge S^V \xrightarrow{f} R.$$

A direct verification shows that $\tilde{\varphi}_V = f$. Thus, the equivalence f establishes V -periodicity for R as defined in [Theorem 2.1](#).

It is straightforward to check that if R is both V_1 -periodic and V_2 -periodic, then it is also $(V_1 + V_2)$ -periodic. Moreover, if R is V -periodic, then it is also $(-V)$ -periodic by [Theorem 2.2](#). This shows that given a set of periodicities for R , any integer linear combination of them is again a periodicity for R .

In this section, let Sp^G denote the category of equivariant orthogonal G -spectra following [\[MM02\]](#).

Lemma 2.3. *Let G be a finite group, H a subgroup of G , V an H -representation, and R a G -commutative ring spectrum in Sp^G . If $i_H^* R$ is V -periodic, then R is W -periodic, where $W = \text{Ind}_H^G V$ is the induced representation of V .*

Proof. Since $i_H^* R$ is V -periodic, there exists an H -equivariant map

$$\varphi_V : S^V \longrightarrow i_H^* R$$

such that the $i_H^* R$ -module map

$$\tilde{\varphi}_V : i_H^* R \wedge S^V \rightarrow i_H^* R$$

is an H -equivalence.

By [\[BH20, Theorem 1.1, Theorem 5.10\]](#), there is a homotopy functor ${}^R N_H^G(-)$ from the category of $i_H^* R$ -modules to the category of R -modules, given by

$${}^R N_H^G(M) := R \wedge_{N_H^G i_H^* R} N_H^G(M).$$

We can apply this functor to the H -equivalence $\tilde{\varphi}_V$ to obtain the G -equivalence

$${}^R N_H^G(\tilde{\varphi}_V) : R \wedge S^W \rightarrow R.$$

Here, we have used the fact that

$${}^R N_H^G(i_H^* R \wedge S^V) = R \wedge_{N_H^G i_H^* R} N_H^G(i_H^* R \wedge S^V) \simeq R \wedge S^W.$$

Since the G -equivalence ${}^R N_H^G(\tilde{\varphi}_V)$ is an R -module map, the discussion in [Theorem 2.2](#) shows that R is W -periodic. \square

Suppose now that X is an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectrum equipped with a G -action by \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring maps. The cofree completion $F(EG_+, X)$ is then a commutative ring spectrum in Sp^G , as shown in [HM17, Theorem 2.4]. For these cofree spectra, one can establish G -periodicity by producing a G -equivariant map whose underlying nonequivariant map is an equivalence. In practice, as the following lemma shows, such a map can be found by analyzing the homotopy fixed-point spectral sequence (HFPSS).

Lemma 2.4. *Let G be a finite group, V a virtual G -representation, and X a cofree G -commutative ring spectrum in $\mathrm{Ho}(\mathrm{Sp}^G)$. The G -spectrum X is V -periodic if and only if, on the \mathcal{E}_2 -page of the G -HFPSS for X , there is an invertible class u with bidegree $(V, 0)$ that is a permanent cycle.*

Proof. For the “if” part, choose an element $\varphi_V \in \pi_V^G X$ in homotopy that is represented by u . Since u is invertible on the \mathcal{E}_2 -page of the HFPSS, it is also invertible in $(\pi_*^e X)^G$ (the 0-line of the HFPSS) and hence invertible in $\pi_*^e X$. This implies that the G -map

$$\tilde{\varphi}_V : X \wedge S^V \rightarrow X \wedge X \rightarrow X$$

is a nonequivariant equivalence. Since X is cofree, $\tilde{\varphi}_V$ is a G -equivalence. This shows that X is V -periodic.

For the “only if” part, if X is V -periodic, consider the invertible class $\varphi_V \in \pi_V^G X$ that induces the equivalence in Theorem 2.1. This is represented by an invertible permanent cycle u on the \mathcal{E}_2 -page. Note that the restriction of φ_V to $\pi_V^e(X)$ lies in the image of the map $(\pi_V^e(X))^G \rightarrow \pi_V^e(X)$. This shows that the bidegree of u is $(V, 0)$. \square

In practice, we can construct a family of invertible elements on the \mathcal{E}_2 -page of the G -HFPSS for X as follows. Let V be an orientable G -representation of dimension n (i.e. it factors through $SO(n)$). The key observation is that for a G -spectrum X , the homotopy group $\pi_{|V|}^e \Sigma^V X$ is isomorphic to $\pi_0^e X$ as a G -module. Therefore, the unit $1 \in \pi_0^e X$ corresponds to an orientation class

$$u_V \in (\pi_{|V|}^e \Sigma^V X)^G \cong H^0(G, \pi_{|V|}^e \Sigma^V X)$$

on the \mathcal{E}_2 -page of the HFPSS. The orientation class u_V has bidegree $(|V| - V, 0)$. Periodicities arising from the classes u_V are discussed in [BBHS20, Proposition 3.10].

In [HHR16, Section 3], Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel have also defined orientation classes $u_V \in \pi_{|V|-V}^G H\mathbb{Z}$, which lie on the \mathcal{E}_2 -page of the slice spectral sequence of $MU^{(G)}$ and $BP^{(G)}$ ($G = C_{2^n}$). These classes map to the unit 1 under the restriction map, which is an isomorphism:

$$H_{|V|}^G(S^V, \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{\cong} H_{|V|}(S^{|V|}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}.$$

These orientation classes, for various orientable G -representations V , play key roles in computing the slice spectral sequences for $MU^{(G)}$ and $BP^{(G)}$.

When $X = MU^{(G)}$ or $BP^{(G)}$, the u_V classes in the slice spectral sequence and the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence can be chosen compatibly. This is done by first choosing an orientation class u_V on the \mathcal{E}_2 -page of the slice spectral sequence. The image of this class under the map $\mathrm{SliceSS} \rightarrow \mathrm{HFPSS}$ will then be an orientation class in the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence.

We now focus on E_h , the Lubin–Tate spectrum associated with a height- h formal group Γ over k . By the works of Goerss–Hopkins–Miller and Lurie [GH04, Lur18], there is a unique \mathbb{E}_∞ \mathbb{G}_h -action on E_h , where \mathbb{G}_h is the corresponding Morava stabilizer group. From now on, we shall abuse notation and use E_h to denote the G -spectrum $F(EG_+, E_h)$, where $G \subset \mathbb{G}_h$ is a finite subgroup.

We will first consider the case when G is a cyclic 2-subgroups of \mathbb{S}_h . More specifically, when $h = 2^{n-1}m$ and E_h admits a C_{2^n} -action.

Proposition 2.5. *At height $h = 2^{n-1}m$, the C_{2^n} -spectrum E_h is $(2^{h+1} - 2^{h+1}\sigma)$ -periodic.*

Proof. By [HS20, Theorem 1.1], there is a C_{2^n} -equivariant map from $N_{C_2}^{C_{2^n}}(\bar{v}_h)^{-1}BP^{((C_{2^n}))}$ to E_h , which induces a map between their corresponding C_{2^n} -HFPSS. Consider the following maps of spectral sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccc} C_{2^n}\text{-SliceSS}(MU^{((C_{2^n}))}) & \longrightarrow & C_{2^n}\text{-SliceSS}\left(N_{C_2}^{C_{2^n}}(\bar{v}_h)^{-1}BP^{((C_{2^n}))}\right) \\ & & \downarrow \\ & & C_{2^n}\text{-HFPSS}\left(N_{C_2}^{C_{2^n}}(\bar{v}_h)^{-1}BP^{((C_{2^n}))}\right) \longrightarrow C_{2^n}\text{-HFPSS}(E_h) \end{array}$$

By the Slice Differentials Theorem [HHR16, Theorem 9.9], the class $u_{2\sigma}^{2^h}$ is a $2^n \cdot (2^{h+1} - 1)$ -cycle in the C_{2^n} -SliceSS for $MU^{((C_{2^n}))}$. Therefore, this class is also a $2^n \cdot (2^{h+1} - 1)$ -cycle in the C_{2^n} -SliceSS for $N_{C_2}^{C_{2^n}}(\bar{v}_h)^{-1}BP^{((C_{2^n}))}$, and furthermore in the C_{2^n} -HFPSS for $N_{C_2}^{C_{2^n}}(\bar{v}_h)^{-1}BP^{((C_{2^n}))}$ by naturality.

By [DLS25, Theorem 6.1], the C_{2^n} -HFPSS for $N_{C_2}^{C_{2^n}}(\bar{v}_h)^{-1}BP^{((C_{2^n}))}$ admits a strong vanishing line of filtration $(2^{h+n} - 2^n + 1)$. Since $2^n \cdot (2^{h+1} - 1) \geq 2^{h+n} - 2^n + 1$, the class $u_{2\sigma}^{2^h}$ is a permanent cycle in the C_{2^n} -HFPSS for $N_{C_2}^{C_{2^n}}(\bar{v}_h)^{-1}BP^{((C_{2^n}))}$. Therefore, $u_{2\sigma}^{2^h}$ is a permanent cycle in the C_{2^n} -HFPSS for E_h . It follows that the spectrum E_h is $(2^{h+1} - 2^{h+1}\sigma)$ -periodic by Theorem 2.4. \square

Remark 2.6. The statement and proof of Theorem 2.5 hold for all forms of E_h because both the Real orientation theorem in [HS20] and the strong vanishing line theorem in [DLS25] apply to all forms of Lubin–Tate theories.

Remark 2.7. The positive integer m in Theorem 2.5 can also be even. For example, when $n = 1$ and $m = 2$, Theorem 2.5 implies that the C_2 -spectrum E_2 is $(8 - 8\sigma)$ -periodic.

Theorem 2.8. *At height $h = 2^{n-1}m$, the spectrum $EO_h(C_{2^n})$ is 2^{h+n+1} -periodic.*

Proof. We will prove the claim by using induction on n , which corresponds to the group C_{2^n} acting on E_h . The base case, when $n = 1$, follows from the complete computation of $EO_h(C_2) = E_h^{hC_2}$ in [HS20, Theorem 1.4].

For the induction step, assume that the claim is true for $k < n$, and for all h . In particular, this means that as a C_{2^k} -spectrum, $i_{C_{2^k}}^* E_h$ is 2^{h+k+1} -periodic. By Theorem 2.3, the $C_{2^{k+1}}$ -spectrum $i_{C_{2^{k+1}}}^* E_h$ is

$$\text{Ind}_{C_{2^k}}^{C_{2^{k+1}}}(2^{h+k+1}) = 2^{h+k+1} + 2^{h+k+1}\sigma_{2^{k+1}}$$

periodic. By Theorem 2.5, $i_{C_{2^{k+1}}}^* E_h$ is also $(2^{h+1} - 2^{h+1}\sigma_{2^{k+1}})$ -periodic, and therefore

$$(2^{h+1} - 2^{h+1}\sigma_{2^{k+1}}) \cdot 2^k = 2^{h+k+1} - 2^{h+k+1}\sigma_{2^{k+1}}$$

periodic. Combining these two periodicities shows that $i_{C_{2^{k+1}}}^* E_h$ is

$$(2^{h+k+1} + 2^{h+k+1}\sigma_{2^{k+1}}) + (2^{h+k+1} - 2^{h+k+1}\sigma_{2^{k+1}}) = 2^{h+(k+1)+1}$$

periodic. This completes the induction step. \square

We will now consider the case when $h = 4k + 2$ and E_h admits a Q_8 -action. To obtain periodicities for the Q_8 -fixed points of E_h , we will first introduce some real representations of Q_8 .

Let σ_i , σ_j , and σ_k denote the three 1-dimensional representations of Q_8 with kernels $C_4\langle i \rangle$, $C_4\langle j \rangle$, and $C_4\langle k \rangle$, respectively. Here, $C_4\langle i \rangle$, $C_4\langle j \rangle$, and $C_4\langle k \rangle$ are the C_4 -subgroups generated by i , j , and k , respectively. We will also denote $\mathbb{H} = \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}i \oplus \mathbb{R}j \oplus \mathbb{R}k$ as the quaternion

algebra, which is a 4-dimensional irreducible real representation of Q_8 , with Q_8 acting via left multiplication. We will need the following facts about these representations:

- | | |
|---|---|
| (1) $\text{Ind}_{C_2}^{Q_8}(1) = 1 + \sigma_i + \sigma_j + \sigma_k$ | (5) $\text{Ind}_{C_4\langle j \rangle}^{Q_8}(1) = 1 + \sigma_j$ |
| (2) $\text{Ind}_{C_2}^{Q_8}(\sigma_2) = \mathbb{H}$ | (6) $\text{Ind}_{C_4\langle j \rangle}^{Q_8}(\sigma_4) = \sigma_k + \sigma_i$ |
| (3) $\text{Ind}_{C_4\langle i \rangle}^{Q_8}(1) = 1 + \sigma_i$ | (7) $\text{Ind}_{C_4\langle k \rangle}^{Q_8}(1) = 1 + \sigma_k$ |
| (4) $\text{Ind}_{C_4\langle i \rangle}^{Q_8}(\sigma_4) = \sigma_j + \sigma_k$ | (8) $\text{Ind}_{C_4\langle k \rangle}^{Q_8}(\sigma_4) = \sigma_i + \sigma_j$ |

Theorem 2.9. *At height $h = 4\ell + 2$, the spectrum $EO_h(Q_8)$ is 2^{h+4} -periodic.*

Proof. By Theorem 2.5, E_h is $2^{h+1}(1 - \sigma_2)$ -periodic as a C_2 -spectrum. Applying Theorem 2.3 shows that E_h has periodicity

$$\text{Ind}_{C_2}^{Q_8}(2^{h+1}(1 - \sigma_2)) = 2^{h+1}(1 + \sigma_i + \sigma_j + \sigma_k - \mathbb{H})$$

as a Q_8 -spectrum.

By [HS20, Theorem 1.4], E_h is $(1 + \sigma_2)$ -periodic as a C_2 -spectrum. Applying Theorem 2.3 to this periodicity shows that as a Q_8 -spectrum, E_h has periodicity

$$\text{Ind}_{C_2}^{Q_8}(1 + \sigma_2) = 1 + \sigma_i + \sigma_j + \sigma_k + \mathbb{H}.$$

Consider the C_4 -subgroup $C_4\langle i \rangle$. By Theorem 2.5 again, E_h is $2^{h+1}(1 - \sigma_4)$ -periodic as a $C_4\langle i \rangle$ -spectrum. Applying Theorem 2.3 shows that E_h has periodicity

$$\text{Ind}_{C_4\langle i \rangle}^{Q_8}(2^{h+1}(1 - \sigma_4)) = 2^{h+1}(1 + \sigma_i - \sigma_j - \sigma_k)$$

as a Q_8 -spectrum. Applying the same argument to the C_4 -subgroups $C_4\langle j \rangle$ and $C_4\langle k \rangle$ shows we have the following three Q_8 -periodicities:

- (1) $2^{h+1}(1 + \sigma_i - \sigma_j - \sigma_k)$;
- (2) $2^{h+1}(1 - \sigma_i + \sigma_j - \sigma_k)$;
- (3) $2^{h+1}(1 - \sigma_i - \sigma_j + \sigma_k)$.

The sum of these three periodicities is $2^{h+1}(3 - \sigma_i - \sigma_j - \sigma_k)$. By combining these periodicities, we obtain the desired integer-graded periodicity for $EO_h(Q_8) = E_h^{hQ_8}$:

$$2^{h+1}(1 + \sigma_i + \sigma_j + \sigma_k - \mathbb{H}) + 2^{h+1} \cdot (1 + \sigma_i + \sigma_j + \sigma_k + \mathbb{H}) + 2 \cdot 2^{h+1}(3 - \sigma_i - \sigma_j - \sigma_k) = 2^{h+4}.$$

□

Remark 2.10. The periodicities established in Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.9 apply to all forms of E_h , whereas previously, periodicities were known only for certain specific forms. For instance, when $n = 3$ and $m = 1$, the Periodicity Theorem [HHR16, Theorem 1.7] of Hill, Hopkins, and Ravenel shows that for a particular form of E_4 , the C_8 -fixed points of the detection spectrum Ω are 256-periodic. When $n = 2$ and $m = 2$, Hill, Shi, Wang, and Xu proved that the C_4 -spectrum $D^{-1}BP^{\langle C_4 \rangle}\langle 2 \rangle$ is 384-periodic [HSWX23, Theorem 1.3], which implies that the C_4 -fixed points of a specific form of E_4 are $2^{4+2+1} = 128$ -periodic. One can also combine the Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel Periodicity Theorem with the work of Hahn–Shi [HS20] and Beaudry–Hill–Shi–Zeng [BHSZ21] to deduce the periodicity of $E_h^{hC_{2^n}}$ for a specific C_{2^n} -equivariant model of E_h (see [Ang24, Theorem 4.3.5]).

We will now use Theorem 2.8 and Theorem 2.9 to establish periodicities for $EO_h(G)$ when G is any finite subgroup of \mathbb{G}_h (Theorem A).

Lemma 2.11. *Let G_0 be a finite subgroup of \mathbb{S}_h , and let $H \subset G_0$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup with index $[G_0 : H] = \ell$. Then $EO_h(H)$ is P -periodic if and only if $EO_h(G_0)$ is (ℓP) -periodic.*

Proof. For the “if” part, if $E_h^{hG_0}$ is (ℓP) -periodic, then the G_0 -spectrum E_h is also (ℓP) -periodic. Therefore, its restriction $i_H^* E_h$ is (ℓP) -periodic, which implies that E_h^{hH} is (ℓP) -periodic. By [Theorem 2.8](#) and [Theorem 2.9](#), E_h^{hH} always admits a periodicity of some power of 2. Since ℓ is coprime to 2, this forces E_h^{hH} to be P -periodic.

For the “only if” part, if E_h^{hH} is P -periodic, then by [Theorem 2.4](#), there exists an invertible permanent cycle u with bidegree $(P, 0)$ in the H -HFPSS for E_h . Recall from [\[BB20, Table 3.14\]](#) that H is normal in G_0 . In particular, the quotient group G_0/H acts on $(\pi_*^e E_h)^H = H^0(H, \pi_*^e E_h)$. Consider the product $\prod_{\bar{g} \in G_0/H} \bar{g}u$. Since u is an invertible permanent cycle, each $\bar{g}u$ is also an invertible permanent cycle, so their product remains an invertible permanent cycle on the \mathcal{E}_2 -page of the H -HFPSS for E_h . Furthermore, it is also an invertible element in $(\pi_*^e E_h)^{G_0} = H^0(G_0, \pi_*^e E_h)$, the 0-line of the \mathcal{E}_2 -page of the G_0 -HFPSS for E_h .

Now, consider the composition

$$G_0\text{-HFPSS}(E_h) \xrightarrow{res} H\text{-HFPSS}(E_h) \xrightarrow{tr} G_0\text{-HFPSS}(E_h),$$

which is multiplication by $|G_0/H| = \ell$. Since the restriction map sends $\prod_{\bar{g} \in G_0/H} \bar{g}u$ to $\prod_{\bar{g} \in G_0/H} \bar{g}u$, the transfer map must send $\prod_{\bar{g} \in G_0/H} \bar{g}u$ to $\ell \cdot \prod_{\bar{g} \in G_0/H} \bar{g}u$. Since ℓ is coprime to 2 and we are working 2-locally, $\ell \cdot \prod_{\bar{g} \in G_0/H} \bar{g}u$ is an invertible permanent cycle on the \mathcal{E}_2 -page of the G_0 -HFPSS for E_h , with bidegree $(\ell P, 0)$. By [Theorem 2.4](#), this establishes the desired (ℓP) -periodicity for $E_h^{G_0}$. \square

The following lemma shows that taking fixed points with respect to the Galois group does not affect the periodicity.

Lemma 2.12. *Let $G \subset \mathbb{G}_h$ be a finite subgroup, and let $G_0 = G \cap \mathbb{S}_h$. Then $EO_h(G)$ and $EO_h(G_0)$ have the same periodicity.*

Proof. First note that the quotient group G/G_0 can be identified as a subgroup of the Galois group $\text{Gal}(k/\mathbb{F}_2)$ through the inclusion $G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_h$. By [\[BG18, Lemma 1.32, Lemma 1.37, Remark 1.39\]](#), the G -HFPSS for E_h is a base change of the G_0 -HFPSS for E_h (see also [\[BGH22, Lemma 2.2.7\]](#)). More precisely, let $k' = k^{G/G_0}$. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\mathbb{W}(k) \otimes_{\mathbb{W}(k')} H^*(G, \pi_*^e E_h) \xrightarrow{\cong} H^*(G_0, \pi_*^e E_h)$$

of the corresponding \mathcal{E}_2 -pages, and all the differentials in the G_0 -HFPSS for E_h are the $\mathbb{W}(k)$ -linear extensions of those in the G -HFPSS for E_h . Therefore, if u is an invertible permanent cycle in G -HFPSS that produces a periodicity for E_h^{hG} , then it also corresponds to an invertible permanent cycle in the G_0 -HFPSS that produces the same periodicity for $E_h^{hG_0}$. The converse also holds. \square

Proof of Theorem A. By [Theorem 2.12](#), $EO_h(G)$ and $EO_h(G_0)$ have the same periodicity. Let H be a 2-Sylow subgroup of G_0 with index ℓ . By [Theorem 2.8](#) and [Theorem 2.9](#), $EO_h(H)$ is $P(h, H)$ -periodic, where $P(h, H)$ is defined as in [Theorem 1.2](#). The conclusion now follows by applying [Theorem 2.11](#), which shows that $EO_h(G_0)$ is $(\ell P(h, H))$ -periodic. \square

Remark 2.13. The $P(h, G)$ -periodicities for $EO_h(G)$ stated in [Theorem A](#) are sharp in all previously known cases where explicit computations have determined the exact periodicities of $EO_h(G)$:

- | | |
|--|--|
| • $EO_1(C_2)$: 8 ([Bot59, Ati66]) | • $EO_2(G_{48})$: 192 ([Bau08, DFHH14]) |
| • $EO_2(C_2)$: 16 ([MR09]) | • $EO_4(C_2)$: 64 ([HS20, LSWX19]) |
| • $EO_2(C_4)$: 32 ([HHR16, BO16]) | • $EO_4(C_4)$: 128 ([HSWX23]) |
| • $EO_2(C_6)$: 48 ([MR09]) | • $EO_4(C_8)$: 256 ([HHR16, LWX24]) |
| • $EO_2(Q_8)$: 64 ([DFHH14, DKL⁺24]) | • $EO_4(C_{12})$: 384 ([HSWX23]) |
| • $EO_2(G_{24})$: 192 ([Bau08, DFHH14]) | • $EO_h(C_2)$: 2^{h+2} ([HS20, LSWX19]) |

3. THE $RO(G)$ -PERIODICITIES OF E_h

For $p = 2$ and $h \geq 1$, let $E_h = E(\mathbb{F}_p, F)$ be the Lubin–Tate theory associated with a chosen height- h formal group F over \mathbb{F}_p . In this section, we will analyze the $RO(G)$ -periodicities of E_h and prove [Theorem B](#) ([Theorem 3.5](#)) and [Theorem C](#) ([Theorem 3.8](#)). By [Theorem 2.12](#), it suffices to restrict our attention to the situation when G is a finite subgroup of $\mathbb{S}_h := \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(F)$.

Definition 3.1. For $h \geq 1$ and $G \subset \mathbb{S}_h$ a finite subgroup, the $RO(G)$ -periodicity lattice $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ of E_h is the free abelian subgroup of $RO(G)$ containing all V such that E_h is V -periodic.

The periodicity lattice $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ encodes the complexity of the $RO(G)$ -graded homotopy groups $\pi_*^G E_h$. More specifically, to compute all the $RO(G)$ -graded homotopy groups $\pi_*^G E_h$, it suffices to compute the homotopy groups of the grading in $RO(G)/\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$. For example, $\mathbb{L}_{1,C_2} = \mathbb{Z}\langle 1 + \sigma, 4 - 4\sigma \rangle$, and $RO(C_2)/\mathbb{L}_{1,C_2} = \mathbb{Z}/8$. This is the complexity of $\pi_*^{C_2} E_1$, as the computation of the grading in $\mathbb{Z}/8$ completely determines all the $RO(C_2)$ -graded homotopy groups of E_1 .

Remark 3.2. The $RO(G)$ -periodicity lattice $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ is closely related to $K(h)$ -local Picard groups. There is a group homomorphism $J : RO(G) \rightarrow \text{Pic}_G(E_h)$ defined by sending a virtual G -representation V to $\Sigma^V E_h$ [[BBHS20](#), Section 3]. Here, $\text{Pic}_G(E_h)$ is the Picard group of the category of all $K(h)$ -local E_h -modules in Sp^G . The kernel of J is precisely the lattice $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$.

The following proposition shows that $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ depends only on the pair (h, G) and is independent of the choice of E_h and the inclusion $G \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}_h$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $E(k, \Gamma)$ be the Lubin–Tate theory associated with a height- h formal group Γ over a finite field k of characteristic p , and G a finite subgroup of $\text{Aut}_k(\Gamma)$. For any $V \in RO(G)$, the G -spectrum $E(k, \Gamma)$ is V -periodic if and only if $V \in \mathbb{L}_{h,G}$.

Proof. Since $G \subset \text{Aut}_k(\Gamma) \subset \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\Gamma)$, the field extension $k \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p$ induces a G -equivariant map $E(k, \Gamma) \rightarrow E(\mathbb{F}_p, \Gamma)$. Furthermore, since G is a subgroup of $\text{Aut}_k(\Gamma)$, the Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p/k)$ acts trivially on G in $\mathbb{G}_h = \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\Gamma) \rtimes \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p/k)$, and $G \times \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p/k)$ is a subgroup of \mathbb{G}_h . Taking the homotopy fixed points with respect to $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p/k)$ shows that there is a G -equivalence $E(\mathbb{F}_p, \Gamma)^{h\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p/k)} \simeq E(k, \Gamma)$. Therefore, $E(k, \Gamma)$ and $E(\mathbb{F}_p, \Gamma)^{h\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p/k)}$ have the same $RO(G)$ -periodicity.

By [Theorem 2.12](#), we also know that $E(\mathbb{F}_p, \Gamma)^{h\text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_p/k)}$ and $E(\mathbb{F}_p, \Gamma)$ have the same $RO(G)$ -periodicity. Since formal groups with the same height are isomorphic over \mathbb{F}_p , Γ and F are isomorphic, and an isomorphism between them induces a G -equivalence $E(\mathbb{F}_p, \Gamma) \simeq E(\mathbb{F}_p, F) = E_h$. Here, the G -action on $E(\mathbb{F}_p, \Gamma)$ comes from the inclusion $i_\Gamma : G \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_k(\Gamma) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\Gamma) = \mathbb{S}_h$, and may differ from the chosen injection $i_F : G \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}_h$. However, since two isomorphic subgroups of \mathbb{S}_h are conjugate to each other in \mathbb{S}_h [[Buj12](#), Corollary 1.30], we indeed get a G -equivalence, and therefore $E(\mathbb{F}_p, \Gamma)$ and E_h have the same $RO(G)$ -periodicity. It follows that $E(k, \Gamma)$ and E_h have the same $RO(G)$ -periodicity. \square

Remark 3.4. The lattice $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ has a richer structure than that of abelian groups. It has a Mackey functor structure, which we denote by $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_{h,G}$, obtained by considering all abelian groups $\mathbb{L}_{h,H}$ for $H \subset G$. To explain this Mackey functor structure, recall that the real representation ring $RO(G)$ has a Mackey functor structure, denoted by \underline{RO} , as follows: $\underline{RO}(G/H) = RO(H)$, the restriction map is given by the restriction of representations, and the transfer map is given by the induction of representations. For subgroups $K \subset H \subset G$, if $i_H^* E_h$ is V -periodic for some $V \in RO(H)$, then $i_K^* E_h$ is $\text{Res}_K^H(V)$ -periodic by definition; if $i_K^* E_h$ is W -periodic for some $W \in RO(K)$, then $i_H^* E_h$ is $\text{Ind}_K^H(W)$ -periodic by [Theorem 2.3](#). Therefore, the restriction and transfer (induction) maps are closed in $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_{h,G} \subset \underline{RO}$, and $\underline{\mathbb{L}}_{h,G}$ inherits a Mackey functor structure from \underline{RO} .

By [Theorem 2.11](#), it suffices to restrict our attention to the cases where G is C_{2^n} or Q_8 when studying the lattice $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$. In general, determining $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ completely for arbitrary h and G is a difficult task. However, the Mackey functor structure of $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ can be used to identify an important sublattice of $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$. To describe this sublattice, we first recall some notation for elements in $RO(G)$.

When $G = C_{2^n}$, the representation spheres associated with the 2-dimensional representations corresponding to rotations by $(\frac{2k\pi}{2^n})$ and $(\frac{2k'\pi}{2^n})$ are G -equivalent 2-locally if the integers k and k' have the same 2-adic valuation. Therefore, 2-locally, $RO(C_{2^n})$ is generated by the irreducible representations $\{1, \sigma_{2^n}, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}\}$, where λ_i is the 2-dimensional real representation corresponding to the rotation by $(\frac{\pi}{2^i})$. Note that $\lambda_0 = 2\sigma_{2^n}$. We will also denote the regular representation of C_{2^n} by ρ_{2^n} , which is equal to

$$\rho_{2^n} = 1 + \sigma_{2^n} + \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + 2^{n-2}\lambda_{n-1}.$$

The real representations associated to $G = Q_8$ have already been discussed in the paragraph before [Theorem 2.9](#).

Theorem 3.5. *As a G -spectrum, E_h has the following $RO(G)$ -graded periodicities:*

- (1) When $(h, G) = (2^{n-1}m, C_{2^n})$:
 - (a) $\rho_{2^n} = 1 + \sigma + \lambda_1 + 2\lambda_2 + \dots + 2^{n-2}\lambda_{n-1}$;
 - (b) $2^{2^{n-i}m+n-i+1} - 2^{2^{n-i}m+n-i}\lambda_{n-i}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$.
- (2) When $(h, G) = (4\ell + 2, Q_8)$:
 - (a) $\rho_{Q_8} = 1 + \sigma_i + \sigma_j + \sigma_k + \mathbb{H}$;
 - (b) $2^{2\ell+2} + 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_i - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_j - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_k$;
 $2^{2\ell+2} - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_i + 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_j - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_k$;
 $2^{2\ell+2} - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_i - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_j + 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_k$;
 - (c) $2^{h+2} + 2^{h+2}\sigma_i - 2^{h+1}\mathbb{H}$;
 $2^{h+2} + 2^{h+2}\sigma_j - 2^{h+1}\mathbb{H}$;
 $2^{h+2} + 2^{h+2}\sigma_k - 2^{h+1}\mathbb{H}$.

Proof. For (1a), [\[HS20, Theorem 1.2\]](#) shows that $i_{C_2}^* E_h$ is ρ_2 -periodic. Therefore, by [Theorem 2.3](#), E_h has periodicity $\text{Ind}_{C_2}^{C_{2^n}}(\rho_2) = \rho_{2^n}$ when considered as a C_{2^n} -spectrum.

To prove the periodicities in (1b), we will use [Theorem 3.3](#) and the specific form of E_h in [\[BHSZ21\]](#) that are constructed based on the generators for $\pi_{* \rho_2}^{C_2} BP^{(C_{2^n})}$ in [\[HHR16\]](#). Recall that for $1 \leq i \leq n$, a collection of generators $\{\bar{t}_k^{C_{2^i}} \mid k \geq 1\}$ in $\pi_{* \rho_2}^{C_2} BP^{(C_{2^i})}$ are constructed in [\[HHR16\]](#) (see also [\[BHSZ21\]](#)). For each $k \geq 1$, we will also use $\bar{t}_k^{C_{2^i}}$ to denote the image of $\bar{t}_k^{C_{2^i}}$ in $\pi_{* \rho_2}^{C_2} BP^{(C_{2^n})}$ along the left unit map $i_{C_2}^* BP^{(C_{2^i})} \rightarrow i_{C_2}^* BP^{(C_{2^n})}$. [\[BHSZ21, Theorem 1.8\]](#) constructs a form of E_h that admits a C_{2^n} -equivariant map

$$D^{-1} BP^{(C_{2^n})} \longrightarrow E_h,$$

where $D = \prod_{i=1}^n N_{C_2}^{C_{2^n}}(\bar{t}_{2^{n-i}m}^{C_{2^i}})$.

We will prove the periodicities in (1b) by using induction on $G = C_{2^n}$. Suppose we have proven the claim for $G = C_{2^{n-1}}$ and for all heights h . By [\[MSZ24, Theorem 8.3\]](#), it is a consequence of the Transchromatic Isomorphism Theorem that for $V \in RO(C_{2^n}/C_2)$, u_V is a permanent cycle in the $RO(C_{2^n})$ -graded homotopy fixed point spectral sequence of E_h if and only if it is a permanent cycle in the $RO(C_{2^n}/C_2)$ -graded homotopy fixed point spectral sequence of $E_{h/2}$. Here, V is treated as an element in $RO(C_{2^n})$ through pullback along the map $C_{2^n} \rightarrow C_{2^n}/C_2$. In other words, $(|V| - V)$ is a $RO(C_{2^n})$ -periodicity for E_h if and only if $(|V| - V)$ is a $RO(C_{2^{n-1}})$ -periodicity for $E_{h/2}$ [\[MSZ24, Theorem B\]](#).

By the induction hypothesis, the following are $RO(C_{2^n}/C_2)$ -periodicities of $E_{h/2}$:

$$2^{2^{n-1-i}m+n-i} - 2^{2^{n-1-i}m+n-1-i}\lambda_{n-1-i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n-1$$

and therefore they are also $RO(C_{2^n})$ -periodicities of E_h by the discussion above. Note that these periodicities can also be written as

$$2^{2^{n-i}m+n-i+1} - 2^{2^{n-i}m+n-i}\lambda_{n-i}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n.$$

It remains to prove that when $i = 1$, the element $(2^{2^{n-1}m+n} - 2^{2^{n-1}m+n-1}\lambda_{n-1})$ is a $RO(C_{2^n})$ -periodicity for E_h . To do so, consider the $C_{2^{n-1}}$ -spectrum $i_{C_{2^{n-1}}}^* E_h$, which corresponds to the pair $(h, G) = (2^{n-2} \cdot (2m), C_{2^{n-1}})$. By the induction hypothesis, $i_{C_{2^{n-1}}}^* E_h$ has $RO(C_{2^{n-1}})$ -periodicity $(2^{2^{n-1}m+n-1} - 2^{2^{n-1}m+n-2}\lambda_{n-2})$. Applying [Theorem 2.3](#), E_h has $RO(C_{2^n})$ -periodicity

$$\text{Ind}_{C_{2^{n-1}}}^{C_{2^n}} \left(2^{2^{n-1}m+n-1} - 2^{2^{n-1}m+n-2}\lambda_{n-2} \right) = 2^{2^{n-1}m+n-1} + 2^{2^{n-1}m+n-1}\sigma - 2^{2^{n-1}m+n-1}\lambda_{n-1}.$$

Combining this with the periodicity $2^{m+1} - 2^m\lambda_0 = 2^{m+1} - 2^{m+1}\sigma$ that we have already obtained, we get the desired $(2^{2^{n-1}m+n} - 2^{2^{n-1}m+n-1}\lambda_{n-1})$ -periodicity. This completes the induction step.

For (2a), the proof for the $RO(Q_8)$ -periodicities is similar to that of (1). For (a), since $i_{C_2}^* E_h$ is ρ_2 -periodic, E_h has periodicity

$$\text{Ind}_{C_2}^{Q_8}(\rho_2) = \rho_{Q_8} = 1 + \sigma_i + \sigma_j + \sigma_k + \mathbb{H}$$

when considered as a Q_8 -spectrum.

For (2b), we have already shown in part (b) of (1) that when $n = 2$, $m = 2\ell + 1$, and $i = 2$, $i_{C_4}^* E_h$ is $(2^{2\ell+2} - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_4)$ -periodic. The quaternion group Q_8 has three cyclic C_4 -subgroups: $C_4\langle i \rangle$, $C_4\langle j \rangle$ and $C_4\langle k \rangle$. Applying [Theorem 2.3](#) shows that E_h has the following $RO(Q_8)$ -periodicities:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_{C_4\langle i \rangle}^{Q_8}(2^{2\ell+2} - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_4) &= 2^{2\ell+2} + 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_i - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_j - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_k, \\ \text{Ind}_{C_4\langle j \rangle}^{Q_8}(2^{2\ell+2} - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_4) &= 2^{2\ell+2} + 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_j - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_i - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_k, \\ \text{Ind}_{C_4\langle k \rangle}^{Q_8}(2^{2\ell+2} - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_4) &= 2^{2\ell+2} + 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_k - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_i - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_j. \end{aligned}$$

For (2c), we have shown in part (b) of (1) that when $n = 2$, $m = 2\ell + 1$, and $i = 1$, $i_{C_4}^* E_h$ is $(2^{h+2} - 2^{h+1}\lambda_1)$ -periodic. Applying [Theorem 2.3](#) shows that E_h has the following $RO(Q_8)$ -periodicities:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ind}_{C_4\langle i \rangle}^{Q_8}(2^{h+2} - 2^{h+1}\lambda_1) &= 2^{h+2} + 2^{h+2}\sigma_i - 2^{h+1}\mathbb{H}, \\ \text{Ind}_{C_4\langle j \rangle}^{Q_8}(2^{h+2} - 2^{h+1}\lambda_1) &= 2^{h+2} + 2^{h+2}\sigma_j - 2^{h+1}\mathbb{H}, \\ \text{Ind}_{C_4\langle k \rangle}^{Q_8}(2^{h+2} - 2^{h+1}\lambda_1) &= 2^{h+2} + 2^{h+2}\sigma_k - 2^{h+1}\mathbb{H}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 3.6. The $RO(G)$ -periodicities of E_h in [Theorem 3.5](#) can be used to recover the integer periodicities of $EO_h(G)$ established in [Theorem 2.8](#) and [Theorem 2.9](#). When $(h, G) = (2^{n-1}m, C_{2^{n-1}})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & 2^{2^{n-1}m+1} \cdot \rho_{2^n} + \sum_{i=1}^n 2^{2^{n-1}m-2^{n-i}m} \cdot (2^{2^{n-i}m+n-i+1} - 2^{2^{n-i}m+n-i}\lambda_{n-i}) \\ &= 2^{2^{n-1}m+1} + \sum_{i=1}^n 2^{2^{n-1}m+n-i+1} \\ &= 2^{2^{n-1}m+n+1} = 2^{h+n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

When $(h, G) = (4\ell + 2, Q_8)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^{4\ell+3} \cdot (1 + \sigma_i + \sigma_j + \sigma_k + \mathbb{H}) \\
& + 2^{2\ell+1} \cdot (2^{2\ell+2} + 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_i - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_j - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_k) \\
& + 2^{2\ell+2} \cdot (2^{2\ell+2} - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_i + 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_j - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_k) \\
& + 2^{2\ell+2} \cdot (2^{2\ell+2} - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_i - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_j + 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_k) \\
& + 1 \cdot (2^{4\ell+4} + 2^{4\ell+4}\sigma_i - 2^{4\ell+3}\mathbb{H}) \\
& = 2^{4\ell+6} = 2^{h+4}.
\end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.7. When $(h, G) = (2^{n-1}m, C_{2^n})$ or $(4\ell + 2, Q_8)$, let $\mathbb{L}'_{h,G}$ be the sublattice of $\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$ that is generated by the $RO(G)$ -periodicities in [Theorem 3.5](#).

Recall from [Theorem 1.3](#) that the *complexity* of $\pi_*^G E_h$ is defined as the quotient $RO(G)/\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$. Since $\mathbb{L}'_{h,G} \subset \mathbb{L}_{h,G}$, there is a natural quotient map

$$RO(G)/\mathbb{L}'_{h,G} \longrightarrow RO(G)/\mathbb{L}_{h,G},$$

and hence $RO(G)/\mathbb{L}'_{h,G}$ provides an upper bound for $RO(G)/\mathbb{L}_{h,G}$.

Theorem 3.8. *The complexity of $\pi_*^G E_h$ is finite, given by the following bounds:*

(1) When $(h, G) = (2^{n-1}m, C_{2^n})$,

$$RO(G)/\mathbb{L}'_{h,G} \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathbb{Z}/2^{2^{n-i-1}m+n-i} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{h+n+1}.$$

(2) When $(h, G) = (4\ell + 2, Q_8)$,

$$RO(G)/\mathbb{L}'_{h,G} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^{2\ell+2} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{2\ell+3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{2\ell+3} \oplus \mathbb{Z}/2^{4\ell+6}.$$

Proof. For (1), the claim follows directly from computing the Smith normal form of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & 2^{n-2} \\
2^{m+1} & -2^{m+1} & & & & \\
2^{2m+2} & & -2^{2m+1} & & & \\
2^{4m+3} & & & -2^{4m+2} & & \\
\vdots & & & & \ddots & \\
2^{2^{n-1}m+n} & & & & & -2^{2^{n-1}m+n-1}
\end{pmatrix}.$$

For (2), note that for the $RO(Q_8)$ -periodicities in [Theorem 3.5](#), the periodicities $(2^{h+2} + 2^{h+2}\sigma_j - 2^{h+1}\mathbb{H})$ and $(2^{h+2} + 2^{h+2}\sigma_k - 2^{h+1}\mathbb{H})$ are linear combinations of the other five periodicities:

$$\begin{aligned}
2^{4\ell+4} + 2^{4\ell+4}\sigma_j - 2^{4\ell+3}\mathbb{H} &= (2^{4\ell+4} + 2^{4\ell+4}\sigma_i - 2^{4\ell+3}\mathbb{H}) \\
&\quad - 2^{2\ell+1} \cdot (2^{2\ell+2} + 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_i - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_j - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_k) \\
&\quad + 2^{2\ell+1} \cdot (2^{2\ell+2} - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_i + 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_j - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_k), \\
2^{4\ell+4} + 2^{4\ell+4}\sigma_k - 2^{4\ell+3}\mathbb{H} &= (2^{4\ell+4} + 2^{4\ell+4}\sigma_i - 2^{4\ell+3}\mathbb{H}) \\
&\quad - 2^{2\ell+1} \cdot (2^{2\ell+2} + 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_i - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_j - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_k) \\
&\quad + 2^{2\ell+1} \cdot (2^{2\ell+2} - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_i - 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_j + 2^{2\ell+2}\sigma_k).
\end{aligned}$$

The claim now follows directly from computing the Smith normal form of the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 2^{2\ell+2} & 2^{2\ell+2} & -2^{2\ell+2} & -2^{2\ell+2} & \\ 2^{2\ell+2} & -2^{2\ell+2} & 2^{2\ell+2} & -2^{2\ell+2} & \\ 2^{2\ell+2} & -2^{2\ell+2} & -2^{2\ell+2} & 2^{2\ell+2} & \\ 2^{4\ell+4} & 2^{4\ell+4} & & & -2^{4\ell+3} \end{pmatrix}.$$

□

Example 3.9. The lattices \mathbb{L}'_{h,C_2} and \mathbb{L}_{h,C_2} of E_h are both

$$\mathbb{Z}\langle \rho_2 \rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle 2^{h+1} - 2^{h+1}\sigma \rangle.$$

We have

$$RO(C_2)/\mathbb{L}_{h,C_2} = RO(C_2)/\mathbb{L}'_{h,C_2} \cong \mathbb{Z}/2^{h+2}.$$

Example 3.10. The lattice \mathbb{L}'_{2,C_4} of E_2 is

$$\mathbb{Z}\langle \rho_4 \rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle 4 - 4\sigma \rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle 16 - 8\lambda_1 \rangle.$$

It is a result of the computations in [HHR17, BBHS20] that the lattice \mathbb{L}_{2,C_4} is

$$\mathbb{Z}\langle \rho_4 \rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle 4 - 4\sigma \rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle 10 - 2\sigma - 4\lambda_1 \rangle.$$

The periodicity $(10 - 2\sigma - 4\lambda_1)$ arises from the fact that the class $u_{4\lambda_1}u_{2\sigma}$ is a permanent cycle in the C_4 -HFPSS of E_2 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} RO(C_4)/\mathbb{L}'_{2,C_4} &\cong \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/32, \\ RO(C_4)/\mathbb{L}_{2,C_4} &\cong \mathbb{Z}/2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/32. \end{aligned}$$

Example 3.11. The lattice \mathbb{L}'_{4,C_4} of E_4 is

$$\mathbb{Z}\langle \rho_4 \rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle 8 - 8\sigma \rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle 64 - 32\lambda_1 \rangle.$$

It is a result of the computations in [HSWX23] that the lattice \mathbb{L}_{4,C_4} is

$$\mathbb{Z}\langle \rho_4 \rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle 8 - 8\sigma \rangle \oplus \mathbb{Z}\langle 36 - 4\sigma - 16\lambda_1 \rangle.$$

The periodicity $(36 - 4\sigma - 16\lambda_1)$ arises from the fact that the class $u_{16\lambda_1}u_{4\sigma}$ is a permanent cycle in the C_4 -HFPSS of E_4 . We have

$$\begin{aligned} RO(C_4)/\mathbb{L}'_{4,C_4} &\cong \mathbb{Z}/8 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/128, \\ RO(C_4)/\mathbb{L}_{4,C_4} &\cong \mathbb{Z}/4 \oplus \mathbb{Z}/128. \end{aligned}$$

4. NORM STRUCTURES AND NORMS OF DIFFERENTIALS

In this section, we show that a norm structure on filtered equivariant spectra induces relationships between differentials across various subgroups in the associated spectral sequences. The resulting differentials are called the *norm-transfer differentials* (Theorem 4.6). To accomplish this, we refine the notion of a norm structure on filtered G -spectra so that it encodes the relevant higher coherence data.

In the literature ([HHR17, Section 4] and [MSZ23, Section 3.4]), a norm structure on a filtered G -spectrum $P^\bullet \in F(\mathbb{Z}^{op}, Sp^G)$ consists of maps

$$N_H^G P_s^H \longrightarrow P_{ks}^G \quad \text{and} \quad N_H^G (P_s^H / P_{s+r}^H) \longrightarrow P_{ks}^G / P_{ks+r}^G,$$

which are up to homotopy compatible with the structure maps $P_s \rightarrow P_{s-1}$ and $P_s \rightarrow P_s/P_{s+r}$. More specifically, the following diagrams commute up to homotopy

$$\begin{array}{ccc} N_H^G P_s^H & \longrightarrow & P_{ks}^G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N_H^G P_{s-1}^H & \longrightarrow & P_{k(s-1)}^G \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{ccc} N_H^G P_s^H & \longrightarrow & P_{ks}^G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ N_H^G (P_s^H / P_{s+r}^H) & \longrightarrow & P_{ks}^G / P_{ks+r}^G \end{array}$$

Here, $H \subset G$ is a subgroup of index k , and $P_\bullet^H := i_H^* P_\bullet^G$.

For the proof of the norm-transfer differential in [Theorem 4.6](#), however, we will need the explicit compatibility data of the norm structure. To this end, it is convenient to formulate the norm structure in the setting of ∞ -categories ([Theorem 4.3](#)), following [[Lur17](#), Section 1.2.2].

In this section and the next section ([Section 5](#)), we will let H be a subgroup of G of index k . We denote by $\mathcal{C}^{\text{Fil}} := F(N(\mathbb{Z}^{op}), \mathcal{C})$ the category of filtered objects in \mathcal{C} . This ∞ -category admits a symmetric monoidal structure given by the Day convolution. Our main example is $\mathcal{C} = \text{Sp}^G$, the ∞ -category of G -spectra.

Given a function $f : G/H \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, its *weight* $|f|$ is the sum

$$|f| = \sum_{[g] \in G/H} f([g]).$$

Let $I_{\geq s}$ denote the poset of all functions $f \in \text{Fun}(G/H, \mathbb{Z})$ with weight $|f| \geq s$, ordered by $f_1 \rightarrow f_2$ if $f_1([g]) \geq f_2([g])$ for every $[g] \in G/H$. Given a filtered H -spectrum $P_\bullet^H \in \text{Sp}^{H, \text{Fil}}$, define a functor

$$F_s : I_{\geq s} \longrightarrow \text{Sp}^H$$

by sending a function f to the H -spectrum $\bigwedge_{[g] \in G/H} P_{f([g])}^H$. The colimit of the functor F_s over $I_{\geq s}$ admits a lift to a G -spectrum. This is because it is the colimit of the following simplicial diagram, where all the simplices and all the maps can be made G -equivariant:

$$(4.1) \quad \cdots \rightrightarrows \bigvee_{(f_0 \rightarrow f_1 \rightarrow f_2) \in I_{\geq s}} F_s(f_0) \rightrightarrows \bigvee_{(f_0 \rightarrow f_1) \in I_{\geq s}} F_s(f_0) \rightrightarrows \bigvee_{f_0 \in I_{\geq s}} F_s(f_0).$$

More specifically, for 0-simplices, we can organize the spectrum $\bigvee_{f \in I_{\geq s}} F_s(f)$ into a wedge sum of G -spectra as follows: given a summand $\bigwedge_{[g] \in G/H} P_{f([g])}^H$, if the stabilizer subgroup of the G -action on this summand is K , then the entire G -orbit of such summands has the form

$$G_+ \wedge_K \bigwedge_{[g] \in G/H} P_{f([g])}^H.$$

Here, the spectrum $\bigwedge_{[g] \in G/H} P_{f([g])}^H$ is a K -spectrum and can be written as the norm $N_H^K(Y)$ for an H -spectrum Y .

For 1-simplices, we consider the G -action on the indexing morphisms. If the stabilizer subgroup of this action is K , then the corresponding orbit again takes the above form. Observe that the stabilizer of an indexing morphism is always contained in the stabilizers of its associated 0-simplices. Consequently, the face maps from 1-simplices to 0-simplices are G -equivariant. By proceeding in the same way for higher simplices and face maps, the diagram (4.1) lifts to a G -diagram, and its colimit $\text{colim}_{I_{\geq s}} F_s$ is therefore a G -spectrum.

Definition 4.1. The *norm functor*

$$\mathcal{N}_H^G : \text{Sp}^{H, \text{Fil}} \longrightarrow \text{Sp}^{G, \text{Fil}}$$

on filtered equivariant spectra is defined by sending a filtered H -spectrum $P_\bullet^H \in \mathrm{Sp}^{H, \mathrm{Fil}}$ to the filtered G -spectrum $\mathcal{N}_H^G P_\bullet^H$, where $(\mathcal{N}_H^G P_\bullet^H)(s)$ is defined to be the colimit $\mathrm{colim}_{I \geq s} F_s$ taken in the ∞ -category Sp^G . The map from $(\mathcal{N}_H^G P_\bullet^H)(s+1)$ to $(\mathcal{N}_H^G P_\bullet^H)(s)$ is induced by the universal property.

Remark 4.2. We define the norm functor on filtered objects for a fixed subgroup $H \subset G$ in order to minimize the structural assumptions required for the Norm-Transfer Differentials Theorem (Theorem 4.6). A global version of this construction can also be formulated. In [BDG⁺16], Barwick–Dotto–Glasman–Nardin–Shah introduced the concept of a G -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category, in which the multiplicative norm is incorporated into the underlying structure. In [Car25], Carrick constructed the category of filtered G -spectra as a G -symmetric monoidal ∞ -category.

Definition 4.3. Given a subgroup $H \subset G$, a *norm structure from H to G* on a filtered G -spectrum $P_\bullet^G \in \mathrm{Sp}^{G, \mathrm{Fil}}$ is a morphism from $\mathcal{N}_H^G(P_\bullet^H)$ to P_\bullet^G in $\mathrm{Sp}^{G, \mathrm{Fil}}$.

When $H \subset G$ is fixed and clear from the context, a filtered G -spectrum with a norm structure is a filtered G -spectrum equipped with a chosen norm structure from H to G . The following proposition shows that our notion of norm structure refines the classical one appearing in the literature [HHR17, MSZ23], in that it encodes all higher homotopies.

Proposition 4.4. For P_\bullet^G a filtered G -spectrum with a norm structure, there exist maps

$$\mathcal{N}_H^G P_s^H \longrightarrow P_{ks}^G \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{N}_H^G (P_s^H / P_{s+r}^H) \longrightarrow P_{ks}^G / P_{ks+r}^G,$$

which are coherently compatible with the structure map $P_s \rightarrow P_{s-1}$ and $P_s \rightarrow P_s / P_{s+r}$ for every integer s and positive integer r .

Proof. The existence of the maps $\mathcal{N}_H^G P_s^H \rightarrow P_{ks}^G$ follows directly from Theorem 4.3. The existence of the map $\mathcal{N}_H^G (P_s^H / P_{s+r}^H) \rightarrow P_{ks}^G / P_{ks+r}^G$ is induced by the following diagram, where both rows are cofiber sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_H^G P_s^H & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{N}_H^G (P_s^H / P_{s+r}^H) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P_{ks+r}^G / P_{ks+2r}^G & \longrightarrow & P_{ks}^G / P_{ks+2r}^G & \longrightarrow & P_{ks}^G / P_{ks+r}^G. \end{array}$$

In the diagram above, F is the fiber of the map $\mathcal{N}_H^G P_s^H \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_H^G (P_s^H / P_{s+r}^H)$. The left vertical map exists because F can be constructed as a quotient of $G_+ \wedge_H (P_{s+r}^H \wedge P_s^H \wedge \cdots \wedge P_s^H)$ by things of filtration at least $(ks+2r)$ [HHR16, Appendix A.3.4] (see also [HHR21, Section 2.9]). Therefore, the map

$$G_+ \wedge_H (P_{s+r}^H \wedge P_s^H \wedge \cdots \wedge P_s^H) \longrightarrow P_{ks+r}^G$$

gives the left vertical map $F \rightarrow P_{ks+r}^G / P_{ks+2r}^G$. \square

Given $P_\bullet^G \in \mathrm{Sp}^{G, \mathrm{Fil}}$ with a norm structure, let $H\text{-}\mathcal{E}_*^{*,*}$ denote the $RO(H)$ -graded spectral sequence associated with the tower $P_\bullet^H = i_H^* P_\bullet^G$. We refer to this as the H -level spectral sequence associated with the tower P_\bullet^G . The norm structure provides a comparison between the H -level spectral sequence and the G -level spectral sequence. In particular, the norm structure induces a norm map between the corresponding \mathcal{E}_2 -pages:

$$N_H^G: H\text{-}\mathcal{E}_2^{s, V+s} \longrightarrow G\text{-}\mathcal{E}_2^{ks, \mathrm{Ind}_H^G(V)+ks}.$$

Moreover, the norm structure can be used to produce differentials in the G -level spectral sequence from those in the H -level spectral sequence. For example, by [HHR17, Theorem 4.7] (see also

[HSWX23, Theorem 2.8]), a differential $d_r(x) = y$ in the H -level spectral sequence induces a corresponding differential in the G -level spectral sequence of the form

$$(4.2) \quad d_{k(r-1)+1}(N_H^G(x) a_{\bar{\rho}}) = N_H^G(y),$$

where $\bar{\rho} = \text{Ind}_H^G(1) - 1$.

This differential plays an important role in the computations of $E_2^{hC_4}$ and $E_4^{hC_4}$ [HHR17, HSWX23], as well as in establishing the bound for the strong vanishing line for E_h^{hG} at all heights and all finite subgroups of \mathbb{G}_h at the prime 2 [DLS25]. We will refer to it as the Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel *norm differential*. In Theorem 4.6 below, we will prove another kind of norm differential on $N_H^G(x)$, without the factor $a_{\bar{\rho}}$ (see also [Ull13, Theorem 5.23]).

Remark 4.5. The conditions for a tower to admit a norm structure, as given in Theorem 4.3, are stronger than the conditions in [MSZ23, Section 3.4], which require only the case $r = 1$ for the map

$$N_H^G(P_s^H/P_{s+r}^H) \longrightarrow P_{ks}^G/P_{ks+r}^G.$$

The key difference is that if only the case $r = 1$ is required, then one can show that a class y killed on the H - \mathcal{E}_r -page has its norm $N_H^G(y)$ killed on or before the G - $\mathcal{E}_{|G/H|(r-1)+1}$ -page [MSZ23, Proposition 3.12]. The stronger norm structure for all r produces an explicit differential killing $N_H^G(y)$ [HHR17, Theorem 4.7] [HSWX23, Theorem 2.8]. These are also necessary for Theorem 4.6. Moreover, the proof of Theorem 4.6 relies on higher morphisms in the coherent compatibility data, whereas the proofs of the Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel norm differential in [HHR17, Theorem 4.7] and [HSWX23, Theorem 2.8] do not require them.

Recall that, analogous to the transfer functor tr_H^G , the norm functor N_H^G also satisfies a double coset formula. More precisely, let H, K be subgroups of a finite group G , and let X be an H -spectrum. Then

$$(4.3) \quad i_K^* N_H^G X \cong \bigwedge_{[g] \in K \backslash G/H} N_{K \cap H^g}^K i_{K \cap H^g}^* X^g.$$

Here, H^g denotes the conjugate subgroup gHg^{-1} , and $X^g = (gH)_+ \wedge_H X$ is a copy of X equipped with the H^g -action. Moreover, for an H -equivariant map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we write f^g for the induced map $X^g \rightarrow Y^g$ appearing as the summand map in

$$i_K^* N_H^G f: i_K^* N_H^G X \longrightarrow i_K^* N_H^G Y.$$

Theorem 4.6 (Norm-Transfer Differentials). *Let H be a subgroup of G of index k , and P_\bullet^G a filtered G -spectrum equipped with a norm structure. Suppose there is a differential $d_r(x) = y$ in the H -level spectral sequence, where x is in stem $V \in RO(H)$, then there is a norm-transfer differential*

$$d_r(N_H^G(x)) = \text{tr}_H^G \left(y \cdot \prod_{[g] \in T} N_{H \cap H^g}^H(x^g) \right)$$

in the G -level spectral sequence. Here, $T = H \backslash G/H - [H]$, and the target of the differential is in stem $(\text{Ind}_H^G(V) - 1)$. In particular, if H lies in the center of G , then the formula above becomes

$$d_r(N_H^G(x)) = \text{tr}_H^G(yx^{k-1}).$$

Proof. By the construction of spectral sequences, the differential $d_r(x) = y$ can be represented by the following commutative diagram:

$$(4.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} S^V & \longrightarrow & I \wedge S^V & \longrightarrow & S^{1+V} \\ \downarrow y & & \downarrow \bar{x} & & \downarrow x \\ P_{s+r-1}^H & \longrightarrow & P_s^H & \longrightarrow & P_s^H / P_{s+r-1}^H. \end{array}$$

Here, I denotes the interval $[0, 1]$ with 0 as the chosen base point. Applying the norm functor N_H^G to the above diagram yields:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} N_H^G S^V & \longrightarrow & N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V & \longrightarrow & N_H^G S^{1+V} \\ \downarrow N_H^G(y) & & \downarrow N_H^G(\bar{x}) & & \downarrow N_H^G(x) \\ N_H^G P_{s+r-1}^H & \longrightarrow & N_H^G P_s^H & \longrightarrow & N_H^G(P_s^H / P_{s+r-1}^H). \end{array}$$

Note that the two rows above are not cofiber sequences. The cofiber of the map $N_H^G I \rightarrow N_H^G S^1$ is $\Sigma \partial N_H^G I$. Therefore, the cofiber of the map $N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V \rightarrow N_H^G S^{1+V}$ is $\Sigma \partial N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V$. Combining this with the structure map

$$N_H^G(P_s^H / P_{s+r-1}^H) \rightarrow P_{ks}^G / P_{ks+r-1}^G$$

from [Theorem 4.4](#), induced by the norm structure on P_\bullet^G , we obtain the following commutative diagram, where both the top and bottom rows are cofiber sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \partial N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V & \longrightarrow & N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V & \longrightarrow & N_H^G S^{1+V} \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow & & \downarrow N_H^G(x) \\ & & N_H^G P_s^H & \longrightarrow & N_H^G(P_s^H / P_{s+r-1}^H) \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ P_{ks+r-1}^G & \longrightarrow & P_{ks}^G & \longrightarrow & P_{ks}^G / P_{ks+r-1}^G. \end{array}$$

The long vertical map f is induced by the second and third vertical maps. We will show that f represents the transfer class $\mathrm{tr}_H^G \left(y \prod_{[g] \in T} N_{H \cap H^g}^H(x^g) \right)$ in the spectral sequence. If so, then the commutative diagram above will give the desired d_r -differential:

$$d_r(N_H^G(x)) = \mathrm{tr}_H^G \left(y \prod_{[g] \in T} N_{H \cap H^g}^H(x^g) \right).$$

Consider the G -CW structure of the cube $N_H^G I$. Let $\mathrm{sk}_i N_H^G I$ denote the i -th skeleton of $N_H^G I$. In particular, we have $\partial N_H^G I = \mathrm{sk}_{k-1} N_H^G I$. We claim that there exists a lifting map

$$\phi_{k-2} : \mathrm{sk}_{k-2} N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V \rightarrow P_{ks+2r-2}^G,$$

which makes the following diagram commute.

$$(4.5) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \mathrm{sk}_{k-2} N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V & \longrightarrow & \partial N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V & \longrightarrow & G_+ \wedge_H S^{k-1} \wedge N_H^G S^V \\ \downarrow \phi_{k-2} & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \\ P_{ks+2r-2}^G & \longrightarrow & P_{ks+r-1}^G & \xrightarrow{\pi} & P_{ks+r-1}^G / P_{ks+2r-2}^G. \end{array}$$

Here, the sphere S^{k-1} is the one-point compactification of the face $I^{k-1} = \bigwedge_{[g] \in G/H - [H]} I^g$ and is equipped with the H -action on the indexing set $\{G/H - [H]\}$. The induced third vertical map identifies the class represented by the composite map $\pi \circ f$.

We construct the lift inductively on the skeleta of $N_H^G I$. For the base case $i = 0$, we have the following maps induced by $N_H^G(y)$ on the 0-th skeleton:

$$\phi_0 : \text{sk}_0 N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V \xrightarrow{N_H^G(y)} N_H^G P_{s+r-1}^H \rightarrow P_{ks+k(r-1)}^G.$$

Moreover, the map ϕ_0 fits into a 3-morphism as follows:

$$(4.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \text{sk}_0 N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V & \xrightarrow{\quad} & N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V & & \\ \downarrow \phi_0 & \searrow & \downarrow & \nearrow & \downarrow \\ & \partial N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V & & & P_{ks}^G \\ & \downarrow f & \dashrightarrow & & \downarrow \\ P_{ks+k(r-1)}^G & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P_{ks+r-1}^G & \xrightarrow{\quad} & P_{ks}^G \end{array}$$

This 3-morphism implies that the following diagram commutes:

$$(4.7) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{sk}_0 N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V & \longrightarrow & \partial N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V \\ \downarrow \phi_0 & & \downarrow f \\ P_{ks+k(r-1)}^G & \longrightarrow & P_{ks+r-1}^G \end{array}$$

For the induction hypothesis, we assume that for the i -th skeleton we have a lifting map

$$\phi_i : \text{sk}_i N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V \rightarrow P_{ks+(k-i)(r-1)}^G$$

making the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{sk}_i N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V & \longrightarrow & \partial N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V \\ \downarrow \phi_i & & \downarrow f \\ P_{ks+(k-i)(r-1)}^G & \longrightarrow & P_{ks+r-1}^G \end{array}$$

Now consider the extension from the i -th skeleton $\text{sk}_i N_H^G I$ to the $(i+1)$ -st skeleton $\text{sk}_{i+1} N_H^G I$. We then construct a map $\bar{\phi}_{i+1}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$(4.8) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} \coprod \partial I^{i+1} \wedge N_H^G S^V & \longrightarrow & \text{sk}_i N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V & \xrightarrow{\phi_i} & P_{ks+(k-i)(r-1)}^G \\ \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \coprod I^{i+1} \wedge N_H^G S^V & \longrightarrow & \text{sk}_{i+1} N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V & & \\ & \searrow \bar{\phi}_{i+1} & & & \downarrow \\ & & & & P_{ks+(k-i-1)(r-1)}^G \end{array}$$

For any face I^{i+1} , let $K \subset G$ denote the stabilizer subgroup that fixes this face. Then the $(i+1)$ -st skeleton of $N_H^G I$ contains the orbit $K \backslash G_+ \wedge I^{i+1}$. Let

$$S = \{[g_1], [g_2], \dots, [g_{i+1}]\}$$

be the set of elements in G/H indexing the face I^{i+1} and let $\bar{S} = G/H - S$ denote its complement. By the adjunction, it suffices to construct the K -equivariant map $i_K^* \bar{\phi}_{i+1}$ on the summand

$$\bigwedge_{[g] \in S} I^g \wedge i_K^* N_H^G S^V$$

as the composition of the following maps

$$\begin{aligned} \bigwedge_{[g] \in S} I^g \wedge i_K^* N_H^G S^V &\cong \bigwedge_{[g] \in K \setminus S} N_{H^g \cap K}^K (I^g \wedge (S^V)^g) \wedge \bigwedge_{[g] \in K \setminus \bar{S}} N_{H^g \cap K}^K (S^V)^g \\ &\longrightarrow \bigwedge_{[g] \in K \setminus S} N_{H^g \cap K}^K (P_s^H)^g \wedge \bigwedge_{[g] \in K \setminus \bar{S}} N_{H^g \cap K}^K (P_{s+r-1}^H)^g \\ &\longrightarrow i_K^* P_{ks+(k-i-1)(r-1)}^G. \end{aligned}$$

Here, the first map in the second row above is

$$\prod_{[g] \in K \setminus S} N_{H^g \cap K}^K (\bar{x}^g) \wedge \prod_{[g] \in K \setminus \bar{S}} N_{H^g \cap K}^K (y),$$

and the last map in the second row is induced by the norm structure on P_\bullet^G . Notice that the above composition map factors through

$$\bigwedge_{[g] \in K \setminus S} N_{H^g \cap K}^K (P_s^H)^g \wedge \bigwedge_{[g] \in K \setminus \bar{S}} N_{H^g \cap K}^K (P_{s+r-1}^H)^g.$$

We apply the norm functor $N_{H^g \cap K}^K$ to the diagram (4.4) for each coset $[g]$ in $K \setminus S$ and $K \setminus \bar{S}$, then the norm structure on P_\bullet^G implies the commutativity of the diagram (4.8). Therefore, it induces a lifting map

$$\phi_{i+1}: \text{sk}_{i+1} N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V \rightarrow P_{ks+(k-i-1)(r-1)}^G.$$

Similar to the previous 3-morphism argument, we have the following commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{sk}_{i+1} N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V & \longrightarrow & \partial N_H^G I \wedge N_H^G S^V \\ \downarrow \phi_{i+1} & & \downarrow f \\ P_{ks+(k-i-1)(r-1)}^G & \longrightarrow & P_{ks+r-1}^G. \end{array}$$

Therefore, the inductive process establishes the claim.

To conclude the proof, we identify the class represented by the composite map $\pi \circ f$ in the spectral sequence. The commutative diagram (4.5) shows that the composite map $\pi \circ f$ represents a transfer class. By the adjunction, the source of the transfer is determined by the H -equivariant map $\psi: S^{k-1} \wedge i_H^* N_H^G S^V \rightarrow P_{ks+r-1}^H / P_{ks+2r-2}^H$. By the inductive construction above and the double coset formula (4.3), the following composition

$$\bigwedge_{[g] \in G/H - [H]} I^g \wedge i_H^* N_H^G S^V \rightarrow S^{k-1} \wedge i_H^* N_H^G S^V \xrightarrow{\psi} P_{ks+r-1}^H / P_{ks+2r-2}^H,$$

represents the class $y \prod_{[g] \in T} N_{H \cap H^g}^H (\bar{x}^g)$. It follows that ψ represents the class $y \prod_{[g] \in T} N_{H \cap H^g}^H (x^g)$.

Hence, there is a d_r -differential from $N_H^G(x)$ to $\text{tr}_H^G \left(y \prod_{[g] \in T} N_{H \cap H^g}^H (x^g) \right)$ as claimed. \square

5. DIFFERENTIALS ON ORIENTATION CLASSES

In this section, we will show that for a G -spectrum X , both its equivariant slice tower and its homotopy fixed point tower admit norm structures, in the sense of [Theorem 4.3](#). When we specialize to the case $X = E_h$ and apply [Theorem 4.6](#), we obtain [Theorem D](#) ([Theorem 5.5](#)), which gives a family of differentials on orientation classes.

Recall that for a G -spectrum X , its slice tower $P_\bullet^G X$ is defined by first taking $\tau_{\geq s}^G$ to be the localizing subcategory of G -spectra generated by all spectra of the form $G_+ \wedge_H S^{m\bar{\rho}_H}$, where H is a subgroup of G and $m|H| \geq s$. The functor $P_G^{n-1}(-)$ is the nullification functor which makes $\tau_{\geq n}^G$ acyclic, and $P_n^G X$ is the fiber of the natural map $X \rightarrow P_G^{n-1} X$. The tower

$$P_\bullet^G X = \{\cdots \rightarrow P_{n+1}^G X \rightarrow P_n^G X \rightarrow P_{n-1}^G X \rightarrow \cdots\}$$

is the *slice tower* of X . In particular, $P_n^G X$ lies in $\tau_{\geq n}^G$, which means it is slice n -connective.

Definition 5.1. Let X be a G -spectrum, and let H be a subgroup of G . X has a *norm* from H to G if there exists a G -equivariant map $N_H^G i_H^*(X) \rightarrow X$.

Proposition 5.2. Let X be a G -spectrum with a norm from H to G . Then its slice tower $P_\bullet^G X$ admits a norm structure from H to G in the sense of [Theorem 4.3](#).

Proof. Let $c_\bullet(X)$ denote the constant tower of X . Note that $\mathcal{N}_H^G i_H^*(c_\bullet(X)) \simeq c_\bullet(N_H^G i_H^* X)$. The norm on X gives a morphism $c_\bullet(N_H^G i_H^* X) \rightarrow c_\bullet(X)$. This implies that the constant tower $c_\bullet(X)$ admits a norm structure from H to G . Combining this with the map of towers $P_\bullet^G X \rightarrow c_\bullet(X)$ gives the composite map

$$\mathcal{N}_H^G i_H^*(P_\bullet^G X) \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_H^G i_H^*(c_\bullet(X)) \rightarrow c_\bullet(X).$$

To construct the desired map $\mathcal{N}_H^G i_H^*(P_\bullet^G X) \rightarrow P_\bullet^G X$, we will show that for each integer s , $\mathcal{N}_H^G i_H^*(P_\bullet^G X)(s)$ is slice s -connective. This is because each G -spectrum in the simplicial diagram (4.1) for computing $\mathcal{N}_H^G(P_\bullet^G X)(s)$ is slice s -connective. More specifically, by the discussion before [Theorem 4.1](#), the simplices in each dimension can be organized into wedges of G -spectra of the form $G_+ \wedge_K N_H^K Y$, where Y is a slice H -connective H -spectrum. Therefore, $N_H^K Y$ is a slice s -connective K -spectrum ([Ull13, Chapter I, Corollary 5.8] and [HY18, Theorem 2.5]). Therefore, the G -spectrum $G_+ \wedge_K N_H^K Y$ is also slice s -connected.

By the universal property of the slice tower, we have a map

$$\mathcal{N}_H^G i_H^*(P_\bullet^G X) \rightarrow P_\bullet^G X.$$

This gives the norm structure of the slice tower $P_\bullet^G X$ from H to G . □

Remark 5.3. As noted in [Theorem 4.2](#), one may simultaneously consider all subgroups of G to formulate a global version of [Theorem 5.2](#), as in [Car25, Theorem 1.2].

We will denote $F_\bullet^G(X) := F(EG_+, P_\bullet^G X)$. This is the *homotopy fixed point tower*, and its associated spectral sequence is the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence of X .

Proposition 5.4. Let X be a G -spectrum with a norm from H to G . Then its homotopy fixed point tower $F_\bullet^G X$ admits a norm structure from H to G .

Proof. We will construct a map

$$\mathcal{N}_H^G i_H^*(F_\bullet^G X) = \mathcal{N}_H^G F(EH_+, P_\bullet^H X) \longrightarrow F(EG_+, \mathcal{N}_H^G P_\bullet^H X).$$

The desired norm structure map is obtained by postcomposing this map with

$$F(EG_+, \mathcal{N}_H^G P_\bullet^H X) \longrightarrow F(EG_+, P_\bullet^G X) = F_\bullet^G X,$$

which is obtained from [Theorem 5.2](#).

Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{N}_H^G F(EH_+, P_\bullet^H X) & \xrightarrow{f} & F(EG_+, \mathcal{N}_H^G F(EH_+, P_\bullet^H X)) \\ & \searrow & \uparrow g \simeq \\ & & F(EG_+, \mathcal{N}_H^G P_\bullet^H X) \end{array}$$

where f is induced by the functor $F(EG_+, -): \mathrm{Sp}^{G, \mathrm{Fil}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}^{G, \mathrm{Fil}}$ and g is induced by the map $P_\bullet^H X \rightarrow F(EH_+, P_\bullet^H X)$. Note that $P_\bullet^H X \rightarrow F(EH_+, P_\bullet^H X)$ is an underlying equivalence, and therefore so is $\mathcal{N}_H^G P_\bullet^H X \rightarrow \mathcal{N}_H^G F(EH_+, P_\bullet^H X)$. After applying $F(EG_+, -)$, the map g is an equivalence, and $g^{-1}f$ gives the desired map from $\mathcal{N}_H^G F(EH_+, P_\bullet^H X)$ to $F(EG_+, \mathcal{N}_H^G P_\bullet^H X)$. \square

As discussed in Section 2, the Lubin–Tate theory E_h is an \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectrum with G -actions by \mathbb{E}_∞ -maps [GH04, Lur18], which, after cofree completion, gives rise to an object in Sp^G with all norms [HM17, Theorem 2.4]. By Theorem 5.2 and Theorem 5.4, the slice tower and the homotopy fixed point tower of E_h both admit norm structures from H to G for any subgroup $H \subset G$. Therefore, we can apply Theorem 4.6 to analyze differentials in the corresponding spectral sequences.

Theorem 5.5 (Differentials on Orientation Classes).

- (1) When $(h, G) = (2^{n-1}m, C_{2^n})$, we have the following differentials in the C_{2^n} -slice spectral sequence and the C_{2^n} -homotopy fixed point spectral sequence of E_h :

$$d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}(u_{2^{\ell+n-2}\lambda_{n-1}}) = \mathrm{tr}_{C_2^{2^n}}^{C_2^{2^n}}(\bar{v}_\ell a_{(2^{\ell+1}-1)\sigma_2} u_{(2^{\ell+n-1}-2^\ell)\sigma_2}) u_{W_\ell}, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq h,$$

where $W_\ell = 2^\ell \sigma_{2^n} + 2^\ell \lambda_1 + 2^{\ell+1} \lambda_2 + \cdots + 2^{\ell+n-3} \lambda_{n-2}$.

- (2) When $(h, G) = (4m+2, Q_8)$, we have the following differentials in the Q_8 -homotopy fixed point spectral sequence of E_h :

$$d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}(u_{2^\ell \mathbb{H}}) = \mathrm{tr}_{C_2^8}^{Q_8}(\bar{v}_\ell a_{(2^{\ell+1}-1)\sigma_2} u_{3 \cdot 2^\ell \sigma_2}) u_{2^\ell \sigma_i} u_{2^\ell \sigma_j} u_{2^\ell \sigma_k}, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq h.$$

Proof. By Theorem 4.6, when $H \subset G$ is central, the norm-transfer differential take a differential $d_r(x) = y$ on the H -level and produces the differential

$$(5.1) \quad d_r(N_H^G(x)) = \mathrm{tr}_H^G(yx^{k-1}),$$

on the G -level, where $k = |G/H|$.

To prove (1), note that we have the following differentials in the C_2 -SliceSS and the C_2 -HFPSS of E_h ([HS20, Theorem 1.4]):

$$d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}(u_{2^\ell \sigma_2}) = \bar{v}_\ell a_{(2^{\ell+1}-1)\sigma_2}, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq h.$$

This gives the desired formula when $n = 1$. For $n \geq 2$, since $C_2 \subset C_{2^n}$ is central, applying Theorem 4.6 to these differentials with $H = C_2$ and $G = C_{2^n}$ produces the following differentials in the C_{2^n} -SliceSS and the C_{2^n} -HFPSS of E_h :

$$d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}(N_H^G(u_{2^\ell \sigma_2})) = \mathrm{tr}_{C_2^{2^n}}^{C_2^{2^n}}(\bar{v}_\ell a_{(2^{\ell+1}-1)\sigma_2} u_{(2^{\ell+n-1}-2^\ell)\sigma_2}), \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq h.$$

Recall that for V an H -representation, the norm of the orientation class u_V is given by the formula $N_H^G(u_V) = u_{\mathrm{Ind}_H^G V} / u_{\mathrm{Ind}_H^G |V|}$ [HHR16, Lemma 3.13]. Therefore, we have

$$N_H^G(u_{2^\ell \sigma_2}) = \frac{u_{2^{\ell+n-2}\lambda_{n-1}}}{u_{2^\ell \sigma_{2^n}} u_{2^\ell \lambda_1} u_{2^{\ell+1}\lambda_2} \cdots u_{2^{\ell+(n-3)}\lambda_{n-2}}}.$$

To finish the proof, it suffices to show that the factors in the denominator, namely

$$u_{2^\ell \sigma_{2^n}}, u_{2^\ell \lambda_1}, u_{2^{\ell+1}\lambda_2}, \dots, u_{2^{\ell+(n-3)}\lambda_{n-2}},$$

are all $d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}$ -cycles. We will do so by using induction on n . The base case, when $n = 2$, is immediate, because we only have the class $u_{2^\ell \sigma_4}$, which is a $d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}$ -cycle by the Slice Differentials Theorem [HHR16, Theorem 9.9]. For the inductive step, suppose that at the $C_{2^{n-1}}$ -level, the classes

$$u_{2^\ell \sigma_{2^{n-1}}}, u_{2^\ell \lambda_1}, u_{2^{\ell+1} \lambda_2}, \dots, u_{2^{\ell+(n-4)} \lambda_{n-3}}$$

are all $(d_{\ell+1} - 1)$ -cycles. At the C_{2^n} -level, the class $u_{2^\ell \sigma_{2^n}}$ is a $d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}$ -cycle again by the Slice Differentials Theorem. To prove that the class $u_{2^{\ell+i-1} \lambda_i}$ is a $d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}$ -cycle for $1 \leq i \leq n-2$, consider the class $u_{2^{\ell+i-2} \lambda_{i-1}}$ at the $C_{2^{n-1}}$ -level. By the induction hypothesis, this is a $d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}$ -cycle. Applying Theorem 4.6 on the class $u_{2^{\ell+i-2} \lambda_{i-1}}$ from $H = C_{2^{n-1}}$ to $G = C_{2^n}$, we deduce that the class

$$N_{C_{2^{n-1}}}^{C_{2^n}}(u_{2^{\ell+i-2} \lambda_{i-1}}) = \frac{u_{2^{\ell+i-1} \lambda_i}}{u_{2^{\ell+i-1} u_{2^{\ell+i-1} \sigma_{2^n}}}} = \frac{u_{2^{\ell+i-1} \lambda_i}}{u_{2^{\ell+i-1} \sigma_{2^n}}}$$

is also a $d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}$ -cycle. Since the denominator $u_{2^{\ell+i-1} \sigma_{2^n}}$ is already a $d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}$ -cycle, the class $u_{2^{\ell+i-1} \lambda_i}$ is a $d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}$ -cycle. This completes the induction step and proves that each factor in

$$u_{W_\ell} := u_{2^\ell \sigma_{2^n}} u_{2^\ell \lambda_1} u_{2^{\ell+1} \lambda_2} \cdots u_{2^{\ell+(n-3)} \lambda_{n-2}}$$

is $d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}$ -cycle. It follows that we have the differential

$$d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}(u_{2^{\ell+n-2} \lambda_{n-1}}) = \text{tr}_{C_2}^{C_{2^n}}(\bar{v}_\ell a_{(2^{\ell+1}-1)\sigma_2} u_{(2^{\ell+n-1}-2^\ell)\sigma_2}) u_{W_\ell}, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq h.$$

This proves (1).

For (2), applying Theorem 4.6 for $H = C_2$, $G = Q_8$ on the C_2 -differentials

$$d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}(u_{2^\ell \sigma_2}) = \bar{v}_\ell a_{(2^{\ell+1}-1)\sigma_2}, \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq h$$

produces the Q_8 -differentials

$$d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}(N_{C_2}^{Q_8}(u_{2^\ell \sigma_2})) = \text{tr}_{C_2}^{Q_8}(\bar{v}_\ell a_{(2^{\ell+1}-1)\sigma_2} u_{3 \cdot 2^\ell \sigma_2}), \quad 1 \leq \ell \leq h.$$

We have

$$N_{C_2}^{Q_8}(u_{2^\ell \sigma_2}) = \frac{u_{2^\ell \mathbb{H}}}{u_{2^\ell \sigma_i} u_{2^\ell \sigma_j} u_{2^\ell \sigma_k}}.$$

Since the class $u_{2^\ell \sigma_4}$ is a $d_{2^{\ell+1}-1}$ -cycle in the C_4 -HFPSS for E_h , so is the product

$$N_{C_4\langle i \rangle}^{Q_8}(u_{2^\ell \sigma_4}) N_{C_4\langle j \rangle}^{Q_8}(u_{2^\ell \sigma_4}) N_{C_4\langle k \rangle}^{Q_8}(u_{2^\ell \sigma_4}) = \frac{u_{2^\ell \sigma_j} u_{2^\ell \sigma_k}}{u_{2^\ell \sigma_i}} \cdot \frac{u_{2^\ell \sigma_i} u_{2^\ell \sigma_k}}{u_{2^\ell \sigma_j}} \cdot \frac{u_{2^\ell \sigma_i} u_{2^\ell \sigma_j}}{u_{2^\ell \sigma_k}} = u_{2^\ell \sigma_i} u_{2^\ell \sigma_j} u_{2^\ell \sigma_k}.$$

The desired differential now follows immediately from applying the Leibniz rule. \square

Remark 5.6. When $(h, G) = (2^{n-1}m, C_{2^n})$, Theorem 5.5 gives differential formulas for powers of the top orientation class λ_{n-1} . The differentials for powers of the other orientation classes λ_{n-i} , where $2 \leq i \leq n$, follow from the same formula after setting $(h, G) = (2^{n-i}m, C_{2^{n-i+1}})$ (so that λ_{n-i} becomes the top orientation class) and then applying the Transchromatic Isomorphism Theorem [MSZ24, Theorem A]. Therefore, Theorem 5.5 gives differential formulas for powers of every orientation class λ_{n-i} , for $1 \leq i \leq n$.

Remark 5.7. Recall that having a differential $d_r(x) = y$ implies the following:

- (1) The class x is nontrivial on the \mathcal{E}_r -page.
- (2) The class y is a permanent cycle, and must be killed on or before the \mathcal{E}_r -page.

Furthermore, if y is nontrivial on the \mathcal{E}_r -page, then the differential $d_r(x) = y$ is called *essential*. In practice, it is possible that the class y is already killed by a shorter differential before the \mathcal{E}_r -page, so the differential $d_r(x) = y$ becomes trivial on the \mathcal{E}_r -page. For example, [DKL⁺24] shows that in the Q_8 -HFPSS(E_2), the class $D^{-2}g^7$ is killed by a d_{23} -differential, even though the Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel norm differential (4.2) proves that it is the target of a d_{25} -differential.

Example 5.8. In the C_2 -HFPSS(E_2), there is a d_7 -differential

$$d_7(u_{4\sigma_2}) = \bar{v}_2 a_{7\sigma_2}.$$

Applying the Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel norm-differential formula (4.2) with $H = C_2$ and $G = C_4$ to this d_7 -differential produces the following d_{13} -differential in the C_4 -HFPSS:

$$d_{13}(u_{4\lambda_1} a_{\sigma_4}) = N_{C_2}^{C_4}(\bar{v}_2) u_{4\sigma_4} a_{7\lambda_1}.$$

Applying Theorem 5.5 with $H = C_2$ and $G = C_4$ to the this d_7 -differential produces the following d_7 -differential in the C_4 -HFPSS:

$$d_7(u_{4\lambda_1}) = \text{tr}_{C_2}^{C_4}(\bar{v}_2 a_{7\sigma_2} u_{4\sigma_2}) u_{4\sigma_4} = \text{tr}_{C_2}^{C_4}(\bar{v}_2 a_{\sigma_2}) u_{4\sigma_4} u_{2\lambda_1} a_{3\lambda_1}.$$

Both of these differentials are essential [HHR17, HSWX23].

Alternatively, if we apply Theorem 5.5 with $H = C_2$ and $G = Q_8$ to the same d_7 -differential, we obtain a d_7 -differential on $u_{4\mathbb{H}}$ in the Q_8 -HFPSS:

$$d_7(u_{4\mathbb{H}}) = \text{tr}_{C_2}^{Q_8}(\bar{v}_2 u_{12\sigma_2} a_{7\sigma_2}) u_{4\sigma_i} u_{4\sigma_j} u_{4\sigma_k}.$$

This d_7 -differential is essential, and it induces the d_7 -differential on the class (96, 0) in Q_8 -HFPSS(E_2). In other words, this d_7 -differential is responsible for breaking the 96-periodicity of $EO_2(Q_8)$, making the theory exactly 192-periodic. In [DKL⁺24], this d_7 -differential is one of the most difficult differentials to establish. By contrast, Theorem 5.5 directly yields this differential.

Letting $\ell = h$ in Theorem 5.5 shows that we have the following differentials:

- (1) When $(h, G) = (2^{n-1}m, C_{2^n})$,

$$d_{2^{h+1}-1}(u_{2^{h+n-2}\lambda_{n-1}}) = \text{tr}_{C_2}^{C_{2^n}}(\bar{v}_h a_{(2^{h+1}-1)\sigma_2} u_{(2^{h+n-1}-2^\ell)\sigma_2}) u_{W_h},$$

where $W_h = 2^h \sigma_{2^n} + 2^h \lambda_1 + 2^{h+1} \lambda_2 + \dots + 2^{h+n-3} \lambda_{n-2}$.

- (2) When $(h, G) = (4m+2, Q_8)$,

$$d_{2^{h+1}-1}(u_{2^h \mathbb{H}}) = \text{tr}_{C_2}^{Q_8}(\bar{v}_h a_{(2^{h+1}-1)\sigma_2} u_{3 \cdot 2^h \sigma_2}) u_{2^h \sigma_i} u_{2^h \sigma_j} u_{2^h \sigma_k}.$$

These differentials are essential in all known computations, when $(h, G) = (2, C_4)$, $(4, C_4)$, and $(2, Q_8)$ [HHR17, HSWX23, DKL⁺24]. By Theorem 2.4, $(2^{h+n-1} - 2^{h+n-2} \lambda_{n-1})$ and $(2^{h+2} - 2^h \mathbb{H})$ are $RO(G)$ -periodicities of E_h if and only if the orientation classes $u_{2^{h+n-2}\lambda_{n-1}}$ and $u_{2^h \mathbb{H}}$ are permanent cycles in the C_{2^n} - and Q_8 -homotopy fixed point spectral sequences of E_h , respectively. The following proposition establishes a close relationship between the essentiality of the above differentials and the sharpness of the periodicities of $EO_h(G)$.

Proposition 5.9.

- (1) At height $h = 2^{n-1}m$, the periodicity of $EO_h(C_{2^n})$ in Theorem 2.8 is sharp if and only if $(2^{h+n-1} - 2^{h+n-2} \lambda_{n-1})$ is not a $RO(C_{2^n})$ -periodicity of E_h .
- (2) At height $h = 4m+2$, the periodicity of $EO_h(Q_8)$ in Theorem 2.9 is sharp if and only if $(2^{h+2} - 2^h \mathbb{H})$ is not a $RO(Q_8)$ -periodicity of E_h .

Proof. For (1), the statement is equivalent to that the periodicity of $EO_h(C_{2^n})$ is not sharp if and only if $(2^{h+n-1} - 2^{h+n-2} \lambda_{n-1})$ is a $RO(C_{2^n})$ -periodicity for E_h . If this class is indeed a periodicity,

then consider the following linear combination of $RO(C_{2^n})$ -periodicities (see [Theorem 3.5](#)):

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^h \left(1 + \sigma_{2^n} + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} 2^{i-1} \lambda_i \right) + 2^{h-m-1} (2^{m+1} - 2^{m+1} \sigma_{2^n}) \\
& + (2^{h+n-1} - 2^{h+n-2} \lambda_{n-1}) + \sum_{s=1}^{n-2} 2^{h-2^s m-1} (2^{2^s m+s+1} - 2^{2^s m+s} \lambda_s) \\
& = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} 2^{h+j} + 2^{h+1} \\
& = 2^{h+n}.
\end{aligned}$$

This would show that $EO_h(C_{2^n})$ is 2^{h+n} -periodic, which is smaller than the 2^{h+n+1} -periodicity proven in [Theorem 2.8](#).

Conversely, if the periodicity in [Theorem 2.8](#) is not sharp, i.e., if $EO_h(C_{2^n})$ is 2^{h+n} -periodic. Then by considering the above linear combination again, we deduce that E_h is $(2^{h+n-1} - 2^{h+n-2} \lambda_{n-1})$ -periodic.

To prove (2), note that if $(2^{h+2} - 2^h \mathbb{H})$ is a periodicity of E_h , then the linear combination

$$\begin{aligned}
& 2^h (1 + \sigma_i + \sigma_j + \sigma_k + \mathbb{H}) + (2^{h+2} - 2^h \mathbb{H}) \\
& + 2^{2m} (2^{2m+2} + 2^{2m+2} \sigma_i - 2^{2m+2} \sigma_j - 2^{2m+2} \sigma_k) \\
& + 2^{2m} (2^{2m+2} + 2^{2m+2} \sigma_j - 2^{2m+2} \sigma_k - 2^{2m+2} \sigma_i) \\
& + 2^{2m} (2^{2m+2} + 2^{2m+2} \sigma_k - 2^{2m+2} \sigma_i - 2^{2m+2} \sigma_j) \\
& = 2^h + 2^{h+2} + 3 \cdot 2^h \\
& = 2^{h+3}
\end{aligned}$$

shows that $EO_h(Q_8)$ is 2^{h+3} -periodic, which is smaller than the 2^{h+4} -periodicity proven in [Theorem 2.9](#). Conversely, if $EO_h(Q_8)$ is 2^{h+3} -periodic, then the above linear combination also implies that E_h is $(2^{h+2} - 2^h \mathbb{H})$ -periodic. \square

6. COMPUTATIONAL APPLICATIONS

In this section, we demonstrate a computational application of our periodicity theorem, using [Theorem A](#) as a key input to establish differentials and resolve extension problems in the equivariant slice spectral sequence. We compute the C_4 -slice spectral sequence of $D^{-1}BP^{\langle C_4 \rangle} \langle 1 \rangle$, where $D = N_{C_2}^{C_4}(\bar{t}_1)$. Based on the work in [\[BHSZ21\]](#), this periodic Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel theory serves as a model for the C_4 -equivariant Lubin–Tate theory E_2 .

A highlight of our computation is that, unlike the original computation in [\[HHR17\]](#), which uses many explicit formulas involving restriction, transfer, and norm maps, our computation relies on very few such formulas. In fact, except for the d_3 -differentials, all other differentials are obtained by drawing on the “qualitative structures” present in the equivariant slice spectral sequence, such as periodicity, transchromatic phenomena, and strong vanishing lines.

For our application, we will specialize the statements below to $D^{-1}BP^{\langle C_4 \rangle} \langle 1 \rangle$. The structural theorems themselves apply to all localizations of $BP^{\langle C_{2^n} \rangle} \langle m \rangle$, not only to $D^{-1}BP^{\langle C_4 \rangle} \langle 1 \rangle$. In particular, they also give a more structural and streamlined approach to computations at higher heights (such as the height-4 theory computed in [\[HSWX23\]](#)). Here we work out the case $D^{-1}BP^{\langle C_4 \rangle} \langle 1 \rangle$ in detail to illustrate how these structural theorems are used.

- (1) **32-Periodicity in π_* .** By the Periodicity Theorem ([Theorem A](#)), $\pi_n(D^{-1}BP^{(C_4)}\langle 1 \rangle) = \pi_{n-32}(D^{-1}BP^{(C_4)}\langle 1 \rangle)$. The presence of this 32-periodicity in π_* allows us to deduce higher differentials from known lower differentials, as well as establish extensions on the \mathcal{E}_∞ -page, by leveraging the differing distributions of classes and patterns of differentials in the positive and negative cones.
- (2) **Transchromatic isomorphism.** This is established in [\[MSZ24\]](#) and [\[LSY25\]](#). These results allow us to deduce differentials in a certain region of the C_4 -slice spectral sequence of $D^{-1}BP^{(C_4)}\langle 1 \rangle$ (C_4 -equivariant E_2) directly from the C_2 -slice spectral sequence of $\bar{v}_1^{-1}BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 1 \rangle$ (C_2 -equivariant E_1).
- (3) **Strong vanishing line.** The strong horizontal vanishing lines for the equivariant slice spectral sequences of Lubin–Tate theories and Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel theories are proven in [\[DLS25\]](#). Applied to the C_4 -slice spectral sequence of $D^{-1}BP^{(C_4)}\langle 1 \rangle$, these results show that there is a strong horizontal vanishing line at filtration 13: all classes with filtration at least 13 must die, and the longest differential in the spectral sequence has length at most 13.
- (4) **Tate periodicity and duality.** In [\[LSY25\]](#), periodicity elements are established in the Tate spectral sequences of Lubin–Tate theories and Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel theories. These are elements x that are invertible on the \mathcal{E}_2 -page and have the property that if $d_r(a) = b$ is an essential d_r -differential, then $d_r(x \cdot a) = x \cdot b$ and $d_r(x^{-1} \cdot a) = x^{-1} \cdot b$ are also essential d_r -differentials.
The Tate periodicities associated with $D^{-1}BP^{(C_4)}\langle 1 \rangle$ are $\bar{d}_{\bar{t}_1}^4 u_{4\sigma} a_{4\lambda}$ at $(8, 8)$ and $\bar{d}_{\bar{t}_1}^8 u_{8\lambda} u_{8\sigma}$ at $(32, 0)$. These periodicity elements will be used to propagate nontrivial d_r -differentials in the positive cone, as well as to propagate the differentials in the positive cone through the generalized Tate spectral sequences to the negative cone. Given these Tate periodicity elements, it suffices to determine the differentials in the positive cone.
- (5) **Restriction, transfer, and norm maps.** Traditionally, the restriction, transfer, and norm maps between the C_4 -slice spectral sequence and the C_2 -slice spectral sequence of $D^{-1}BP^{(C_4)}\langle 1 \rangle$ have been used extensively in [\[HHR17\]](#) to compute differentials. While powerful, this approach requires explicit computations using equivariant formulas associated with these maps and can become computationally intensive as the lengths of differentials increase. It is manageable for short differentials but becomes increasingly difficult for longer ones. In our computation, we use this method only to establish the d_3 -differentials, which are the shortest differentials in the spectral sequence and whose computations using this method are very straightforward.


6.1. The \mathcal{E}_2 -page. The slice associated graded of $D^{-1}BP^{(C_4)}\langle 1 \rangle$ is $D^{-1}H\mathbb{Z}[\bar{t}_1, \gamma\bar{t}_1]$, and the $RO(G)$ -graded \mathcal{E}_2 -page is $D^{-1}H\mathbb{Z}_*[\bar{t}_1, \gamma\bar{t}_1]$. The distributions of classes in the integer-graded slice spectral sequences are as follows: the C_2 -slice spectral sequence is concentrated in the first and third quadrants, bounded by the lines of slope 0 and 1 through the origin. The C_4 -slice spectral sequence is concentrated in the first and third quadrants, bounded between the lines of slopes 0 and 3 through the origin.

6.2. The C_2 -differentials. Using Hu–Kriz’s computation of the C_2 -slice spectral sequence of $BP_{\mathbb{R}}$ [\[HK01, HHR16\]](#), we obtain complete knowledge of the differentials in the positive cone of the C_2 -slice spectral sequence of $D^{-1}BP^{(C_4)}\langle 1 \rangle$ by using the composition map of spectral sequences

$$C_2\text{-SliceSS}(BP_{\mathbb{R}}) \longrightarrow C_2\text{-SliceSS}(BP^{(C_4)}) \longrightarrow C_2\text{-SliceSS}(D^{-1}BP^{(C_4)}\langle 1 \rangle).$$

There are only d_3 - and d_7 -differentials. All the d_3 -differentials in the positive cone are generated by

$$d_3(u_{2\sigma_2}) = \bar{v}_1 a_{\sigma_2}^3 = (\bar{t}_1 + \gamma\bar{t}_1) a_{\sigma_2}^3,$$

FIGURE 1. First type of 8-periodic pattern formed after d_3 -differentials.

and all the d_7 -differentials are generated by

$$d_7(u_{4\sigma_2}) = \bar{v}_2 a_{\sigma_2}^7 = \bar{t}_1^3 a_{\sigma_2}^7.$$

Using Tate periodicity and duality ((4) above), we then obtain all the differentials in the negative cone from those in the positive cone.

6.3. d_3 -differentials. The d_3 -differentials in the C_4 -SliceSS can all be directly determined via the restriction map to the C_2 -SliceSS, whose differentials have been completely computed. In particular, there is a d_3 -differential $d_3(x) = y$ in the C_4 -SliceSS if and only if $d_3(\text{res}(x)) = \text{res}(y)$ in the C_2 -SliceSS. A more detailed explanation can be found in [HSWX23, Section 3.2].

6.4. Transchromatic differentials. By applying the transchromatic isomorphism ((2) above), the C_2 -slice spectral sequence of $\bar{v}_1^{-1}BP_{\mathbb{R}}\langle 1 \rangle$ and the C_4 -slice spectral sequence of $N(\bar{t}_1)^{-1}BP^{(C_4)}\langle 1 \rangle$ are shearing isomorphic. Therefore, the C_2 -differentials in $C_2\text{-SliceSS}(\bar{v}_1^{-1}BP_{\mathbb{R}})$ (which are all d_3 -differentials) completely determine the differentials in the C_4 -slice spectral sequence of $N(\bar{t}_1)^{-1}BP^{(C_4)}\langle 1 \rangle$, and hence of $D^{-1}BP^{(C_4)}\langle 1 \rangle$, in the region between the lines of slope 1 and slope 3 (all d_5 -differentials).

It remains to compute the rest of the differentials, which all originate in the region between the lines of slope 0 and slope 1. By using Tate periodicity and duality ((4) above), it suffices to compute all the differentials in the positive cone.

6.5. Repeating bo -patterns. Before discussing the higher differentials, we will first take off repeating patterns that do not contribute to higher differentials. More specifically, the d_3 -differentials obtained in Section 6.3 produce a series of 8-periodic patterns in low filtrations $(-2, -1, 0, 1, 2)$. To describe these patterns, we will use the notation $\langle a, b \rangle$, $a \geq b$ to denote the (regular) slice cell indexed by $\{t_1^a \gamma t_1^a\}$ if $a = b$, and the (induced) slice cell indexed by $\{t_1^a \gamma t_1^b, t_1^b \gamma t_1^a\}$ if $a \neq b$.

There are two types of 8-periodic patterns. The first type is shown in Figure 1 and has classes from both regular slice cells and induced slice cells.

The second type is shown in Figure 2, and has classes from only induced slice cells. The pattern that these classes form is called the *bo-pattern*, as it matches the pattern of copies of π_*KO . These classes all survive to the \mathcal{E}_{∞} -page. Consequently, we can ignore them when determining higher differentials.

The survival of these classes can be explained using the Tate spectral sequence. A general method for this is described in [LSY25] (see also [DKL⁺24, Method 2.7, Proposition 4.12] for discussions of *bo*-patterns in the homotopy fixed point spectral sequence). In this case, since we have determined all the d_3 -differentials in the slice spectral sequence, we also determine all the




FIGURE 2. Second type of 8-periodic pattern (*bo*-pattern) formed after d_3 -differentials ($i \geq 0$).




FIGURE 3. The d_3 -differentials in the Tate spectral sequence and classes from induced slice cells contributing to the *bo*-pattern.

d_3 -differentials in the Tate spectral sequence. Some d_3 -differentials in the Tate spectral sequence have sources in filtration < 0 and targets in filtration ≥ 0 (see Figure 3). These differentials “cross” the horizontal line $s = 0$ and do not occur in the slice spectral sequence. Consider the maps

$$\text{SliceSS}(X) \longrightarrow \text{TateSS}(X),$$

which induce an isomorphism in the positive cone, and

$$\Sigma^{-1}\text{TateSS}(X) \longrightarrow \text{SliceSS}(X),$$

which induce an isomorphism in the negative cone. Using these maps, we deduce that the targets of the crossing differentials contribute the classes in the *bo*-pattern in the positive cone (via the first map), and the sources of the crossing differentials contribute to the classes in the *bo*-pattern in the negative cone (via the second map).

In the argument that follows, we will focus on the bidegree of the elements and will only occasionally specify an explicit formula for a class in that bidegree when necessary. This approach emphasizes that explicit computations with formulas are not required for determining higher differentials; it suffices to just know the bidegree and to use the computational structures in the slice spectral sequence described above.

6.6. Higher differentials.

d_5 -differentials. The d_5 -differentials can be determined by comparing the classes in the 32-stem with those in the 0-stem. All the classes in the 0-stem lie in bidegree $(0,0)$ and are torsion-free. Since every class in the 32-stem with positive filtration is torsion, they must all be killed by differentials.

In particular, the classes $\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^{16} u_{16\sigma} a_{16\lambda}$ and $2\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^{16} u_{16\sigma} a_{16\lambda}$ at $(32,32)$ must both be killed. The only way for this to happen is for $2\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^{16} u_{16\sigma} a_{16\lambda}$ to be hit by a d_5 -differential from $(33,27)$, and for $\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^{16} u_{16\sigma} a_{16\lambda}$ to be hit by a d_{13} -differential from $(33,19)$. The d_5 -differential is

$$d_5(\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^{15} u_{2\lambda} u_{14\sigma} a_{13\lambda} a_\sigma) = 2\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^{16} u_{16\sigma} a_{16\lambda} \quad (d_5(33,27) = 2(32,32)).$$

Applying the $(8,8)$ -Tate periodicity given by the element $\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^4 u_{4\sigma} a_{4\lambda}$, we obtain the following d_5 -differential:

$$d_5(\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^3 u_{2\lambda} u_{2\sigma} a_\lambda a_\sigma) = 2\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^4 u_{4\sigma} a_{4\lambda} \quad (d_5(9,3) = 2(8,8)).$$

We will now apply the Leibniz rule to this d_5 -differential with respect to the following classes:

- (1) The class $\bar{\partial}_{t_1} u_\lambda a_\sigma$ at $(1,3)$ is a permanent cycle. Applying the Leibniz rule using the differential $d_5(9,3) = 2(8,8)$ and this class produces the following d_5 -differential:

$$d_5(\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^2 u_{2\lambda} u_{2\sigma}) = \bar{\partial}_{t_1}^3 u_\lambda u_{2\sigma} a_{2\lambda} a_\sigma \quad (d_5(8,0) = (7,5)).$$

- (2) The class $\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^2 u_{2\sigma} a_{2\lambda}$ at $(4,4)$ supports the d_5 -differential

$$d_5(\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^2 u_{2\sigma} a_{2\lambda}) = \bar{\partial}_{t_1}^3 a_{3\lambda} a_{3\sigma} \quad (d_5(4,4) = (3,9)),$$

which is obtained from the transchromatic isomorphism. The target of this differential kills all classes below the line of slope 1, due to the gold relation $u_\lambda a_{3\sigma} = 2u_{2\sigma} a_\lambda a_\sigma = 0$.

- (3) The class $\bar{\partial}_{t_1} u_\lambda a_\sigma$ at $(3,1)$ cannot support a d_5 -differential, since doing so would contradict the differential $d_5(4,4) = (3,9)$ above. Therefore, this class is a surviving permanent cycle. Applying the Leibniz rule using the differential $d_5(8,0) = (7,5)$ and this class produces the following d_5 -differential:

$$d_5(\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^3 u_{3\lambda} u_{2\sigma} a_\sigma) = 2\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^4 u_\lambda u_{4\sigma} a_{3\lambda} \quad (d_5(11,1) = 2(10,6)).$$

- (4) The class $\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^4 u_{4\lambda} u_{4\sigma}$ at $(16,0)$ is the square of the class $\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^2 u_{2\lambda} u_{2\sigma}$ at $(8,0)$, and is therefore a d_5 -cycle.

Take the following three d_5 -differentials that we have obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} d_5(\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^2 u_{2\lambda} u_{2\sigma}) &= \bar{\partial}_{t_1}^3 u_\lambda u_{2\sigma} a_{2\lambda} a_\sigma & (d_5(8,0) &= (7,5)) \\ d_5(\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^3 u_{2\lambda} u_{2\sigma} a_\lambda a_\sigma) &= 2\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^4 u_{4\sigma} a_{4\lambda} & (d_5(9,3) &= 2(8,8)) \\ d_5(\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^3 u_{3\lambda} u_{2\sigma} a_\sigma) &= 2\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^4 u_\lambda u_{4\sigma} a_{3\lambda} & (d_5(11,1) &= 2(10,6)) \end{aligned}$$

By repeatedly applying the Leibniz rule to these differentials, using the classes $\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^2 u_{2\sigma} a_{2\lambda}$ at $(4,4)$ and $\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^4 u_{4\lambda} u_{4\sigma}$ at $(16,0)$, we obtain all the d_5 -differentials in the positive cone. Applying Tate periodicity and duality then produces all the d_5 -differentials in the negative cone. See [Figure 4](#).

The rest of the differentials. To determine the rest of the differentials, we make further use of the 32-periodicity in π_* . We begin by examining the classes in stems 29 through 32. Since $\pi_{-3} = \pi_{-2} = \pi_{-1} = 0$ and π_0 is torsion-free, all classes in stems 29, 30, and 31, as well as the classes in positive filtration in the 32-stem, must die.

- (1) The class at $(30,2)$ must die. The only possibility is that it supports a differential of length 13, hitting the class at $(29,15)$.
- (2) The class at $(30,18)$ must also die, and the only possibility is that it is the target of a d_{11} -differential supported by the class at $(31,7)$.




FIGURE 4. d_5 -differentials. The d_5 -differentials between the lines of slope 1 and 3 are obtained using the transchromatic isomorphism.

- (3) The classes at $(31, 15)$, $(31, 23)$, and $(31, 31)$ must all die. These classes cannot support differentials, so the only possibility is that they are the targets of d_7 -differentials originating from $(32, 8)$, $(32, 16)$, and $(32, 24)$, respectively. This because by the strong vanishing line result, the maximal differential length in the spectral sequence is 13.
- (4) The class at $(32, 32)$ must die. The only possibility is for it to be killed by a d_{13} -differential originating from $(33, 19)$.

These differentials can then be propagated using the Tate periodicity classes $\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^4 u_{4\sigma} a_{4\lambda}$ at $(8, 8)$ and $\bar{\partial}_{t_1}^8 u_{8\lambda} u_{8\sigma}$ at $(32, 0)$. See Figure 5.

Next, we will consider the classes in stems 25, 26, 27, and 28.

- (1) There are three classes in the 25-stem, located at $(25, 1)$, $(25, 11)$, and $(25, 27)$. The class at $(25, 11)$ supports a d_{13} -differential, obtained by propagating the differential $d_{13}(33, 19) = (32, 32)$ above via the $(8, 8)$ -Tate periodicity. The class at $(25, 27)$ lies in filtration at least 13, which is above the horizontal vanishing line, and therefore it must




FIGURE 5. The higher differentials in the positive cone that are obtained by considering the classes in stems 29, 30, 31, and 32.

die. The only possibility is that it is the target of a d_{13} -differential supported by the class at $(26, 14)$. The class at $(25, 1)$ neither supports nor is killed by any differential, and therefore it survives to the \mathcal{E}_∞ -page. Under the 32-periodicity in π_* , it corresponds to the class at $(-7, -1)$.

- (2) There are two classes remaining in the 26-stem, located at $(26, 2)$ and $(26, 30)$. The class at $(26, 30)$ must die because it lies above the horizontal vanishing line. By degree reasons, it must be the target of a d_{11} -differential supported by the class at $(27, 19)$ (it cannot be the target of a d_3 -differential from $(29, 27)$, since all the d_3 -differentials have already been determined). The class at $(26, 2)$ survives to the \mathcal{E}_∞ -page and corresponds to the class at $(-6, 0)$ under the 32-periodicity in π_* .
- (3) There are three remaining classes in the 27-stem, located at $(27, 3)$, $(27, 11)$, and $(27, 27)$. In stem (-5) , there is a single class at $(-5, -3)$, which survives. This class must correspond to the class at $(27, 3)$, which also survives by degree reasons. Therefore, the classes at

(27, 11) and (27, 27) must both die. The only possibility is for them to be killed by d_7 -differentials supported by the classes at (28, 4) and (28, 20), respectively.

- (4) There is one remaining class in the 28-stem, located at (28, 12). By degree reasons, it must survive to the \mathcal{E}_∞ -page. It corresponds to the class at $(-4, 0)$ under the 32-periodicity in π_* .

These differentials can then be propagated using the (8, 8)- and (32, 0)-Tate periodicity classes described above. See Figure 6.

To finish the computation of differentials, we just need to consider the remaining classes in the 36-stem: the class $\bar{d}_{t_1}^{10} u_{8\lambda} u_{10\sigma} a_{2\lambda}$ at (36, 4) (4-torsion) and the class $\bar{d}_{t_1}^{14} u_{4\lambda} u_{14\sigma} a_{10\lambda}$ at (36, 20) (2-torsion). There is only one class in stem 4, a 2-torsion class at (4, 4), which survives to the \mathcal{E}_∞ -page. This implies that the generator at (36, 4) must die. The only possibility is for this class to support a d_7 -differential, hitting the class at (35, 11). The class at (36, 20) must also die because it lies above the horizontal vanishing line, and the only possibility is for it to be killed by a d_{13} -differential supported by the class at (37, 7). The class $2\bar{d}_{t_1}^{10} u_{8\lambda} u_{10\sigma} a_{2\lambda}$ at (36, 4) survives to the \mathcal{E}_∞ -page and corresponds to the class at (4, 0) under the 32-periodicity in π_* .

Propagating these two differentials using the (8, 8)- and (32, 0)-Tate periodicity classes produces all the remaining differentials in the positive cone. Applying Tate periodicity and duality then produces all the differentials in the negative cone. See Figure 7 and Figure 8.

6.7. 2-extensions. We will now solve all the 2-extensions on the \mathcal{E}_∞ -page. Our main tool will be the 32-periodicity in π_* . For the arguments below, refer to Figure 9.

- (1) π_{-32} and π_0 : in the (-32) -stem, there is a \mathbb{Z} -class at $(-32, 0)$, a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -class at $(-32, -4)$, and a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -class at $(-32, -12)$. In the 0-stem, there is only a \mathbb{Z} -class at $(0, 0)$. Therefore, by the 32-periodicity, there are two 2-extensions, from $(-32, -12)$ to $(-32, -4)$ and from $(-32, -4)$ to $(-32, 0)$.
- (2) π_1 , π_2 , π_{-31} , and π_{-30} : in the 1-stem, there are two $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -classes. They are the class $a = \bar{d}_{t_1} u_\lambda a_\sigma$ at (1, 1) and the class $b = \bar{d}_{t_1} a_\lambda a_\sigma$ at (1, 3). In the 2-stem, there are two $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -classes, the class $a^2 = \bar{d}_{t_1}^2 u_{2\lambda} a_{2\sigma}$ at (2, 2) and the class $b^2 = \bar{d}_{t_1}^2 a_{2\lambda} a_{2\sigma}$ at (2, 6).

We will first show that there cannot be a 2-extension in the 1-stem from a to b . Suppose the contrary, that $b = 2a$ in π_1 . Then we must have $b^2 = 4a^2$ in π_2 . This is impossible. Therefore the classes in π_1 and π_{-31} are all 2-torsion. In the 2-stem, there cannot be a 2-extension from a^2 to b^2 , because if $b^2 = 2a^2$, then $2a$ must be nonzero in π_1 , which is a contradiction to classes in π_1 all being 2-torsion.

- (3) π_{-29} and π_3 : in the (-29) -stem, there is a $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -class at $(-29, -3)$. In the 3-stem, there are two $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -classes, at (3, 1) and (3, 3). By 32-periodicity, there is a 2-extension from (3, 1) to (3, 3).
- (4) π_{-24} and π_8 : in the 8-stem, the \mathbb{Z} -class at (8, 0) cannot have a 2-extension to the $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -class at (8, 8). Therefore by 32-periodicity, there is no 2-extension between from $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -class at $(-24, -4)$ to the \mathbb{Z} -class at $(-24, 0)$ in the (-24) -stem.
- (5) π_{-23} and π_9 : in the (-23) -stem, there is a $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -class. In the 9-stem, there are two $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -classes, at (9, 1) and (9, 11). By 32-periodicity, there is a 2-extension from (9, 1) to (9, 11).
- (6) π_{-16} and π_{16} : in the (-16) -stem, there is a \mathbb{Z} -class at $(-16, 0)$ and a $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -class at $(-16, -4)$. In the 16-stem, there is only a \mathbb{Z} -class at (16, 0). By 32-periodicity, there is a 2-extension from $(-16, -4)$ to $(-16, 0)$.
- (7) π_{-13} and π_{19} : in the (-13) -stem, there is a $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -class at $(-13, -3)$. In the 19-stem, there are two $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -classes, at (19, 1) and (19, 3). By 32-periodicity, there is a 2-extension from (19, 1) to (19, 3).




FIGURE 6. The rest of the higher differentials in the positive cone, obtained by considering the classes in stems 25, 26, 27, 28, and 36.

- (8) π_{-12} and π_{20} : in the (-12) -stem, there are two $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -classes, at $(-12, 0)$ and $(-12, -8)$. In the 20-stem, there is one $\mathbb{Z}/4$ -class. By 32-periodicity, there is a 2-extension from $(-12, -8)$ to $(-12, 0)$.
- (9) π_{-10} and π_{22} : there are two $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -classes in the (-10) -stem, $(-10, -2)$ and $(-10, 0)$, and two $\mathbb{Z}/2$ -classes in the 22-stem, $(22, 2)$ and $(22, 10)$. We will show that there are 2-extensions from $(-10, -2)$ to $(-10, 0)$ and from $(22, 2)$ to $(22, 10)$. First, we will show that even though the class $(22, 2)$ restricts to 0 on the \mathcal{E}_2 -page, its restriction is nonzero in homotopy. To do so, we make use of part of the computation of the $RO(G)$ -graded spectral sequence to show that it is not divisible by a_σ . By a computation of the \mathcal{E}_2 -page, there are no classes at $(22 + \sigma, 0)$ on the \mathcal{E}_2 -page. Moreover, the class at $(22 + \sigma, 1)$ supports a nontrivial d_5 -differential, and hence do not survive to the \mathcal{E}_∞ -page. This shows that $(22, 2)$ is not divisible by a_σ , and therefore must have nontrivial restriction.

In the Tate spectral sequence, the class $(22, 2)$ is killed by a d_5 -differential supported by a class at $(23, -3)$, which, after applying the 32-periodicity, corresponds to the class




FIGURE 7. All the higher differentials in the slice spectral sequence of $D^{-1}BP^{\langle C_4 \rangle} \langle 1 \rangle$.

at $(-10, -2)$ in the slice spectral sequence. Similarly, the class $(22, 10)$ is killed by a d_{11} -differential that is supported by the class $(23, -1)$, which, after applying the 32-periodicity, corresponds to the class at $(-10, 0)$ in the slice spectral sequence. Since we have established that the class $(22, 2)$ has nontrivial restriction in homotopy, the class $(-10, -2)$ must also have nontrivial restriction in homotopy, and the only possible image is the class at $(-10, 0)$ on the \mathcal{E}_∞ -page in the C_2 -spectral sequence by degree reasons. The transfer of this class is exactly the class $(-10, 0)$ in the C_4 -spectral sequence. Therefore, we have a 2-extension from $(-10, -2)$ to $(-10, 0)$ since $\text{tr} \circ \text{res} = 2$. By 32-periodicity, there is also a 2-extension from $(22, 2)$ to $(22, 10)$.

- (10) The classes in the *bo*-patterns described in [Section 6.5](#) admit no further 2-extensions for degree reasons.

REFERENCES

- [AA66] J. F. Adams and M. F. Atiyah. *K*-theory and the Hopf invariant. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 17:31–38, 1966.




FIGURE 8. The \mathcal{E}_∞ -page of the slice spectral sequence of $D^{-1}BP^{(C_4)}\langle 1 \rangle$ (without the repeating *bo*-patterns).

- [ABS64] M. F. Atiyah, R. Bott, and A. Shapiro. Clifford modules. *Topology*, 3(suppl, suppl. 1):3–38, 1964.
- [Ada60] J. F. Adams. On the non-existence of elements of Hopf invariant one. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 72:20–104, 1960.
- [Ada62] J. F. Adams. Vector fields on spheres. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 75:603–632, 1962.
- [Ada66] J. F. Adams. On the groups $J(X)$. IV. *Topology*, 5:21–71, 1966.
- [Ang24] Juan C. Moreno Del Angel. Duals of higher real K -theories at $p = 2$. 2024.
- [AS68] Michael F. Atiyah and Isadore M. Singer. The index of elliptic operators. I. *Annals of Mathematics*, 87(3):484–530, 1968.
- [Ati66] M. F. Atiyah. K -theory and reality. *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. (2)*, 17:367–386, 1966.
- [Bau08] Tilman Bauer. Computation of the homotopy of the spectrum tmf . In *Proceedings of the conference on groups, homotopy and configuration spaces, University of Tokyo, Japan, July 5–11, 2005 in honor of the 60th birthday of Fred Cohen*, pages 11–40. Coventry: Geometry & Topology Publications, 2008.
- [BB20] Agnès Beaudry and Tobias Barthel. Chromatic structures in stable homotopy theory. In *Handbook of homotopy theory*, pages 163–220. Boca Raton, FL: CRC Press, 2020.
- [BBHS20] Agnès Beaudry, Irina Bobkova, Michael Hill, and Vesna Stojanoska. Invertible $K(2)$ -local E -modules in C_4 -spectra. *Algebraic & Geometric Topology*, 20(7):3423 – 3503, 2020.




FIGURE 9. 2-extensions on the \mathcal{E}_∞ -page of the slice spectral sequence of $D^{-1}BP^{\langle C_4 \rangle}\langle 1 \rangle$.

- [BDG⁺16] Clark Barwick, Emanuele Dotto, Saul Glasman, Denis Nardin, and Jay Shah. Parametrized higher category theory and higher algebra: A general introduction. 2016.
- [Bea15] Agnès Beaudry. The algebraic duality resolution at $p = 2$. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 15(6):3653–3705, 2015.
- [Beh06] Mark Behrens. A modular description of the $K(2)$ -local sphere at the prime 3. *Topology*, 45(2):343–402, 2006.
- [BG18] Irina Bobkova and Paul G. Goerss. Topological resolutions in $K(2)$ -local homotopy theory at the prime 2. *J. Topol.*, 11(4):918–957, 2018.
- [BGH22] Agnès Beaudry, Paul G. Goerss, and Hans-Werner Henn. Chromatic splitting for the $K(2)$ -local sphere at $p = 2$. *Geom. Topol.*, 26(1):377–476, 2022.
- [BGHS22] Agnès Beaudry, Paul G. Goerss, Michael J. Hopkins, and Vesna Stojanoska. Dualizing spheres for compact p -adic analytic groups and duality in chromatic homotopy. *Inventiones Mathematicae*, 229(4):1301–1434, 2022.
- [BH20] Andrew J. Blumberg and Michael A. Hill. G -symmetric monoidal categories of modules over equivariant commutative ring spectra. *Tunis. J. Math.*, 2(2):237–286, 2020.
- [BHHM20] Mark Behrens, Michael Hill, Michael J. Hopkins, and Mark Mahowald. Detecting exotic spheres in low dimensions using coker j . *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 101(3):1173–1218, 2020.
- [BHSZ21] Agnès Beaudry, Michael A. Hill, XiaoLin Danny Shi, and Mingcong Zeng. Models of Lubin-Tate spectra via real bordism theory. *Adv. Math.*, 392:Paper No. 108020, 58, 2021.
- [BMQ20] Mark Behrens, Mark Mahowald, and Gregory Quigley. The tmf -hurewicz homomorphism and v_2^{32} -periodic families. *Geometry & Topology*, 24(2):781–822, 2020.
- [BO16] Mark Behrens and Kyle Ormsby. On the homotopy of $Q(3)$ and $Q(5)$ at the prime 2. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 16(5):2459–2534, 2016.
- [Bot59] Raoul Bott. The stable homotopy of the classical groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 70:313–337, 1959.
- [Buj12] Cedric Bujard. Finite subgroups of extended morava stabilizer groups. *arXiv: 1206.1951*, 2012.
- [Car25] Christian Carrick. Slice spectral sequences through synthetic spectra. 2025.
- [DFHH14] Christopher L Douglas, John Francis, André G Henriques, and Michael A Hill. *Topological modular forms*, volume 201. American Mathematical Soc., 2014.
- [DHS88] Ethan S. Devinatz, Michael J. Hopkins, and Jeffrey H. Smith. Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory I. *Annals of Mathematics*, 128(2):207–241, 1988.
- [DKL⁺24] Zhipeng Duan, Hana Jia Kong, Guchuan Li, Yunze Lu, and Guozhen Wang. $\mathrm{RO}(G)$ -graded homotopy fixed point spectral sequence for height 2 Morava E -theory. *Peking Mathematical Journal*, May 2024.
- [DLS25] Zhipeng Duan, Guchuan Li, and XiaoLin Danny Shi. Vanishing lines in chromatic homotopy theory. *Geom. Topol.*, 29(2):903–930, 2025.
- [Fur01] M. Furuta. Monopole equation and the $\frac{11}{8}$ -conjecture. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 8(3):279–291, 2001.

- [GH04] P. G. Goerss and M. J. Hopkins. Moduli spaces of commutative ring spectra. In *Structured ring spectra*, volume 315 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 151–200. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2004.
- [GHMR05] P. Goerss, H.-W. Henn, M. Mahowald, and C. Rezk. A resolution of the $K(2)$ -local sphere at the prime 3. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 162(2):777–822, 2005.
- [Hen07] Hans-Werner Henn. On finite resolutions of $K(n)$ -local spheres. In *Elliptic cohomology*, volume 342 of *London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser.*, pages 122–169. Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [Hen19] Hans-Werner Henn. The centralizer resolution of the $K(2)$ -local sphere at the prime 2. In *Homotopy theory: tools and applications*, volume 729 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 93–128. Amer. Math. Soc., [Providence], RI, [2019] ©2019.
- [Hew95] Thomas Hewett. Finite subgroups of division algebras over local fields. *J. Algebra*, 173(3):518–548, 1995.
- [Hew99] Thomas Hewett. Normalizers of finite subgroups of division algebras over local fields. *Math. Res. Lett.*, 6(3-4):271–286, 1999.
- [HHR16] M. A. Hill, M. J. Hopkins, and D. C. Ravenel. On the nonexistence of elements of Kervaire invariant one. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 184(1):1–262, 2016.
- [HHR17] Michael A. Hill, Michael J. Hopkins, and Douglas C. Ravenel. The slice spectral sequence for the C_4 analog of real K -theory. *Forum Math.*, 29(2):383–447, 2017.
- [HHR21] Michael A. Hill, Michael J. Hopkins, and Douglas C. Ravenel. *Equivariant stable homotopy theory and the Kervaire invariant problem*, volume 40 of *New Math. Monogr.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 2021.
- [HK01] Po Hu and Igor Kriz. Real-oriented homotopy theory and an analogue of the Adams-Novikov spectral sequence. *Topology*, 40(2):317 – 399, 2001.
- [HLS21] Drew Heard, Guchuan Li, and XiaoLin Danny Shi. Picard groups and duality for real Morava E -theories. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 21(6):2703–2760, 2021.
- [HLSX22] Michael J. Hopkins, Jianfeng Lin, XiaoLin Danny Shi, and Zhouli Xu. Intersection forms of spin 4-manifolds and the $\text{Pin}(2)$ -equivariant Mahowald invariant. *Commun. Am. Math. Soc.*, 2:22–132, 2022.
- [HM17] Michael A. Hill and Lennart Meier. The C_2 -spectrum $\text{Tmf}_1(3)$ and its invertible modules. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 17(4):1953–2011, 2017.
- [Hop99] Michael Hopkins. Complex oriented cohomology theories and the language of stacks. *Course Notes*, 1999.
- [HS98] Michael J. Hopkins and Jeffrey H. Smith. Nilpotence and stable homotopy theory II. *Annals of Mathematics*, 148(1):1–49, 1998.
- [HS20] Jeremy Hahn and XiaoLin Danny Shi. Real orientations of Lubin-Tate spectra. *Invent. Math.*, 221(3):731–776, 2020.
- [HSWX23] Michael A. Hill, XiaoLin Danny Shi, Guozhen Wang, and Zhouli Xu. The slice spectral sequence of a C_4 -equivariant height-4 Lubin-Tate theory. *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 288(1429):v+119, 2023.
- [HY18] Michael A. Hill and Carolyn Yarnall. A new formulation of the equivariant slice filtration with applications to C_p -slices. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.*, 146(8):3605–3614, 2018.
- [KM63] Michel A. Kervaire and John W. Milnor. Groups of homotopy spheres: I. *Annals of Mathematics*, 77(3):504–537, 1963.
- [LSWX19] Guchuan Li, XiaoLin Danny Shi, Guozhen Wang, and Zhouli Xu. Hurewicz images of real bordism theory and real Johnson-Wilson theories. *Adv. Math.*, 342:67–115, 2019.
- [LSY25] Yutao Liu, XiaoLin Danny Shi, and Guoqi Yan. The generalized Tate diagram of the equivariant slice filtration. *preprint*, 2025.
- [Lur10] Jacob Lurie. Chromatic homotopy theory. *Course Notes*, 2010.
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie. Higher algebra. <https://www.math.ias.edu/lurie/papers/HA.pdf>, 2017.
- [Lur18] Jacob Lurie. Elliptic cohomology II: orientations. <https://www.math.ias.edu/lurie/papers/Elliptic-II.pdf>, 2018.
- [LWX24] Weinan Lin, Guozhen Wang, and Zhouli Xu. On the Last Kervaire Invariant Problem. *arXiv e-prints*, page arXiv:2412.10879, December 2024.
- [Mah82] Mark Mahowald. The image of J in the EHP sequence. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 116(1):65–112, 1982.
- [MM02] M. A. Mandell and J. P. May. *Equivariant orthogonal spectra and S-modules*, volume 755 of *Mem. Am. Math. Soc.* Providence, RI: American Mathematical Society (AMS), 2002.
- [MR09] Mark Mahowald and Charles Rezk. Topological modular forms of level 3. *Pure Appl. Math. Q.*, 5(2):853–872, 2009.
- [MRW77] Haynes R. Miller, Douglas C. Ravenel, and W. Stephen Wilson. Periodic phenomena in the adams–novikov spectral sequence. *Annals of Mathematics*, 106(3):469–516, 1977.

- [MSZ23] Lennart Meier, XiaoLin Danny Shi, and Mingcong Zeng. The localized slice spectral sequence, norms of real bordism, and the segal conjecture. *Advances in Mathematics*, 412:108804, 2023.
- [MSZ24] Lennart Meier, XiaoLin Danny Shi, and Mingcong Zeng. Transchromatic phenomena in the equivariant slice spectral sequence. 2024.
- [Qui69] Daniel Quillen. On the formal group laws of unoriented and complex cobordism theory. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 75:1293–1298, 1969.
- [Rav78] Douglas C. Ravenel. The non-existence of odd primary Arf invariant elements in stable homotopy. *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, 83(3):429–443, 1978.
- [Rav92] Douglas C. Ravenel. *Nilpotence and Periodicity in Stable Homotopy Theory*, volume 128 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, 1992.
- [Rav03] Douglas C Ravenel. *Complex cobordism and stable homotopy groups of spheres*. American Mathematical Soc., 2003.
- [Rez98] Charles Rezk. Notes on the Hopkins-Miller theorem. In *Homotopy theory via algebraic geometry and group representations (Evanston, IL, 1997)*, volume 220 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 313–366. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1998.
- [Ull13] John Richard Ullman. *On the Regular Slice Spectral Sequence*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2013. Thesis (Ph.D.)–Massachusetts Institute of Technology.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, NANJING NORMAL UNIVERSITY
Email address: `zhipeng@njnu.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
Email address: `mahill@umn.edu`

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, PEKING UNIVERSITY
Email address: `liguchuan@math.pku.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
Email address: `yutao492@uw.edu`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON
Email address: `dannyshi@uw.edu`

SHANGHAI CENTER FOR MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, FUDAN UNIVERSITY
Email address: `wangguozhen@fudan.edu.cn`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UCLA
Email address: `xuzhouli@ucla.edu`