

# Weakly Supervised Continuous Micro-Expression Intensity Estimation Using Temporal Deep Neural Networks

Riyadh Almushrafy

Department of Computer and Information Sciences,  
College of Science at Zulfi, Majmaah University,  
Al-Majmaah 11952, Saudi Arabia  
`r.almushrafy@mu.edu.sa`

## Abstract

Micro-facial expressions (MFEs) are brief, subtle, and involuntary facial movements that reveal genuine affective states. While most prior work has focused on recognizing discrete micro-expression categories, relatively few studies have examined the *continuous* evolution of micro-expression intensity. Progress in this direction has been limited by the absence of frame-level intensity annotations in existing datasets, which makes fully supervised regression impractical.

This paper presents a unified, dataset-agnostic framework for continuous micro-expression intensity estimation using only weak temporal labels (onset, apex, offset). A triangular prior is used to convert sparse temporal landmarks into dense pseudo-intensity trajectories, and a lightweight temporal regression model—combining a ResNet18 encoder with a bidirectional GRU—is trained to predict frame-wise intensity directly from raw images. The method requires no frame-level annotation effort and is applied consistently across datasets through a common preprocessing and temporal alignment pipeline.

Experiments on SAMM and CASME II show that the proposed model achieves strong temporal agreement with the pseudo-intensity trajectories. On SAMM, the ResNet18-GRU model reaches a Spearman correlation of **0.9014** and Kendall’s  $\tau$  of **0.7999**, outperforming a frame-wise baseline. On CASME II, the model achieves up to **0.9116** in Spearman’s  $\rho$  and **0.8168** in Kendall’s  $\tau$  when trained without the apex-ranking term, which aligns with the dataset’s weaker apex dominance. Ablation studies indicate that temporal modeling and structured pseudo labels play a central role in capturing the rise-apex-fall dynamics of MFEs.

Based on available literature, this appears to be the first unified approach for continuous micro-expression intensity estimation using only sparse temporal annotations, offering a practical foundation for fine-grained affective behavior analysis under limited supervision.

# 1 Introduction

Micro-expressions are brief, involuntary facial movements that reveal genuine emotional states even when individuals attempt to suppress them. Their very short duration (typically 1/25–1/5 s) and low intensity make them difficult to analyze, particularly in real-world settings where high-speed imaging and dense annotations may not be available. Although most existing work focuses on categorical micro-expression recognition, estimating how expression intensity changes over time provides a more detailed and potentially more informative representation of facial behaviour.

A major challenge for continuous intensity estimation is the absence of frame-level intensity annotations in current micro-expression datasets. Available datasets typically provide only three temporal landmarks—onset, apex, and offset—which convey the overall structure of the expression but not its frame-wise intensity profile. This limitation motivates weakly supervised approaches that make use of sparse temporal cues to approximate the underlying intensity trajectory.

This paper presents a unified framework for continuous micro-expression intensity estimation under weak supervision. The approach uses a triangular pseudo-intensity trajectory derived from onset–apex–offset annotations and learns to regress this trajectory through a combination of spatial encoding and bidirectional temporal modeling. A lightweight ResNet18 backbone extracts per-frame features, and a bidirectional GRU models the rapid rise and decay characteristic of micro-expressions.

Experiments indicate that temporal recurrence accounts for most of the model’s performance: the GRU alone captures the essential temporal structure, achieving correlations above 0.97 on SAMM and 0.98 on CASME II. Auxiliary losses such as smoothness and apex-ranking act mainly as mild regularizers and have limited impact on final results. These observations suggest that the triangular pseudo-label provides a stable supervisory signal and that temporal modeling is well suited to the weak-supervision setting.

**Contributions.** This work provides:

- A weakly supervised framework for continuous micro-expression intensity estimation using triangular pseudo-labels derived from sparse temporal annotations.
- A temporally aware architecture that combines a lightweight spatial encoder with a bidirectional GRU to model fine-grained temporal dynamics.
- A set of experiments and ablations showing that temporal recurrence is the main contributor to performance, with auxiliary losses serving as optional regularizers.
- A reproducible pipeline that operates consistently across datasets without requiring dataset-specific adjustments.

Together, these components form a practical approach for continuous micro-expression intensity estimation under realistic annotation constraints.

## 2 Related Work

Research on micro-expression analysis spans several related areas, including dataset development, categorical micro-expression recognition, facial action unit (AU) intensity estimation, and weakly supervised learning. This section reviews the most relevant work and positions the present study within this context.

### 2.1 Micro-Expression Datasets

Early spontaneous micro-expression datasets such as SMIC [1] and CASME [2] established initial benchmarks but provided limited spatial resolution and relatively coarse temporal annotations. Subsequent datasets—most prominently CASME II [3] and SAMM [4]—introduced high-frame-rate recordings (200 fps) together with precise onset, apex, and offset labels, enabling more detailed temporal analysis. However, none of these datasets include frame-level intensity annotations, which limits the use of direct supervised regression and motivates weakly supervised alternatives.

### 2.2 Micro-Expression Recognition

Most prior work addresses categorical micro-expression recognition. Earlier methods relied on handcrafted spatio-temporal descriptors such as LBP-TOP [5]. More recent deep learning approaches include optical-flow CNNs [6], dual-stream attention architectures [7], and multi-scale temporal convolutional networks [8]. These methods capture subtle motion patterns but operate at the sequence level and do not produce continuous frame-wise intensity trajectories. They also typically assume pre-segmented clips and do not explicitly model the characteristic rise–apex–fall structure of micro-expressions.

### 2.3 Facial Action Unit Intensity Estimation

Continuous expression intensity estimation has been studied more extensively in the AU domain, supported by datasets such as DISFA [9] and BP4D [10]. Existing methods include patch-based regression approaches [11], deep multi-task models [12], and graph-based spatio-temporal networks [13]. Although these studies provide useful insights, AU datasets primarily contain longer and higher-amplitude macro-expressions with dynamics that differ from those of micro-expressions. Moreover, dense AU labels are costly to obtain and are not well suited to high-frame-rate micro-expression sequences.

## 2.4 Pseudo-Labeling and Weak Supervision

Pseudo-labeling has been explored for micro-expression intensity estimation. Zhang et al. [14] proposed sparse temporal pseudo-labels centered on the apex frame, though these cues are limited in temporal extent and depend on dataset properties. Lin et al. [15] employed temporal interpolation to approximate frame-wise intensity, but the resulting trajectories are not explicitly motivated by expression dynamics and may vary across datasets.

Pseudo-labeling also appears widely in weakly supervised vision. Lee [16] showed that dense labels can be inferred from sparse anchors, and Wei et al. [17] demonstrated iterative refinement of weak cues for object detection and segmentation. In AU intensity estimation, inferred or partial labels have been used as surrogate supervision [11, 12]. These works indicate that temporal structure can be learned without access to dense annotations.

However, existing micro-expression approaches rely on sparse local cues, interpolation-based heuristics, or dataset-specific assumptions, and do not provide a general pseudo-intensity trajectory that can be applied consistently across datasets.

## 2.5 Summary

This work builds on prior research by introducing a dataset-agnostic pseudo-intensity formulation based solely on onset–apex–offset annotations. The triangular trajectory offers a simple structured signal for supervision, and when combined with temporal modeling, enables frame-wise intensity estimation under weak annotation conditions.

# 3 Methodology

This section describes the proposed framework for continuous micro-expression intensity estimation under weak supervision. The objective is to predict a dense frame-level intensity trajectory using only onset, apex, and offset landmarks. The framework consists of four components: (i) a dataset-independent annotation and preprocessing stage, (ii) a triangular pseudo-intensity formulation, (iii) a convolutional–recurrent regression model, and (iv) a loss function designed for weakly supervised learning.

## 3.1 Unified Annotation and Preprocessing Pipeline

Micro-expression datasets differ in directory structure, naming conventions, and annotation formats. To ensure consistency across datasets, all clips are converted into a common annotation format containing the subject ID, clip ID, ordered frame paths, and temporal landmarks (onset, apex, offset). Optional metadata such as action units (AUs) or emotion labels may be included but are not used during training.

An overview of the framework is shown in Figure 1. Because micro-expression durations vary across subjects and datasets, each sequence is uniformly resampled to  $T$  frames between the annotated onset and offset positions. This temporal normalization preserves relative timing while providing a fixed-length input for the temporal model.

### 3.2 Triangular Pseudo-Intensity Trajectories

Because existing micro-expression datasets do not provide frame-level intensity annotations, we construct a *triangular pseudo-intensity trajectory* to approximate the rise–apex–fall behaviour commonly observed in micro-expressions. This provides dense supervision from sparse temporal landmarks.

Figure 2 illustrates the triangular structure. Let  $(f_{\text{on}}, f_{\text{ap}}, f_{\text{off}})$  denote the annotated onset, apex, and offset frames. For uniformly sampled frames indexed by  $t \in \{0, \dots, T-1\}$ , the normalized time is defined as:

$$\tau(t) = \frac{t}{T-1}. \quad (1)$$

The apex location in normalized time is:

$$\alpha = \frac{f_{\text{ap}} - f_{\text{on}}}{f_{\text{off}} - f_{\text{on}}}. \quad (2)$$

The pseudo-intensity target is then:

$$y(t) = \begin{cases} \frac{\tau(t)}{\alpha + \varepsilon}, & \tau(t) \leq \alpha, \\ \frac{1 - \tau(t)}{1 - \alpha + \varepsilon}, & \tau(t) > \alpha, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

yielding  $y(t) \in [0, 1]$ . This formulation provides a simple, interpretable, and consistent supervisory signal across datasets.

### 3.3 Temporal Intensity Regression Model

As illustrated in Figure 1, the regression model combines a spatial encoder with a bidirectional temporal module to map raw frames to continuous intensity values.

#### 3.3.1 Spatial Encoder: ResNet18

Each input frame  $x_t$  is processed by a ResNet18 pretrained on ImageNet:

$$\mathbf{f}_t = \text{ResNet18}(x_t), \quad (4)$$

producing a 512-dimensional feature vector.




Figure 1: Overview of the proposed weakly supervised framework. *Top*: a micro-expression clip is represented by  $T$  uniformly resampled frames between onset and offset. *Middle*: example onset, apex, and offset frames taken from publication-permitted CASME II subjects (© Xiaolan Fu). *Bottom*: all  $T$  frames are encoded by a ResNet18 backbone, aggregated temporally by a bidirectional GRU, and mapped to a continuous intensity trajectory supervised by triangular pseudo-labels derived from onset-apex-offset landmarks.




Figure 2: Triangular pseudo-intensity trajectory derived from onset–apex–offset landmarks. This prior provides dense supervision despite the absence of frame-level intensity labels.

### 3.3.2 Temporal Encoder: Bidirectional GRU

The sequence  $(\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_T)$  is passed to a bidirectional GRU to capture forward and backward temporal dependencies:

$$\mathbf{h}_t = \text{BiGRU}(\mathbf{f}_1, \dots, \mathbf{f}_T). \quad (5)$$

Experimental results indicate that the GRU captures most of the temporal structure required for intensity estimation.

### 3.3.3 Frame-Wise Regression Head

A linear layer outputs the predicted intensity at each time step:

$$\hat{y}(t) = \mathbf{w}^\top \mathbf{h}_t + b. \quad (6)$$

## 3.4 Training Objective

The overall loss consists of a primary regression term and two optional temporal regularizers.

### Mean Squared Error (MSE)

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{mse}} = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T (\hat{y}(t) - y(t))^2. \quad (7)$$

### Smoothness Regularization

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{smooth}} = \frac{1}{T-1} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} (\hat{y}(t+1) - \hat{y}(t))^2. \quad (8)$$

### Apex Ranking Loss

$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{rank}} = \max \left( 0, 1 - (\hat{y}(t_{\text{ap}}) - \max_{t \neq t_{\text{ap}}} \hat{y}(t)) \right). \quad (9)$$

### Final Loss

$$\mathcal{L} = \lambda_{\text{mse}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{mse}} + \lambda_{\text{smooth}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{smooth}} + \lambda_{\text{rank}} \mathcal{L}_{\text{rank}}. \quad (10)$$

Experiments suggest that the GRU alone captures most temporal structure, with the auxiliary losses acting mainly as mild regularizers.

## 3.5 Training Procedure

Training is performed on a clip-wise basis using the Adam optimizer. Each batch contains the temporally normalized frame sequence, its corresponding triangular pseudo-label, and the predicted trajectory. Because all datasets follow the same annotation schema and temporal normalization procedure, the training pipeline is consistent and reproducible across datasets.

## 4 Experiments

This section presents the experimental setup used to evaluate the proposed framework for continuous micro-expression intensity estimation. All experiments use the unified preprocessing and temporal normalization procedure described in Section 3.2, ensuring consistent treatment across datasets. Performance is reported using Spearman’s  $\rho$  and Kendall’s  $\tau$ , two correlation measures widely used to evaluate temporal agreement in intensity regression.

### 4.1 Datasets

We evaluate the method on two spontaneous micro-expression datasets that provide weak temporal annotations in the form of onset, apex, and offset indices.

**SAMM** [4, 18, 19] contains 159 micro-expressions recorded at 200 fps with high spatial resolution. Each clip includes precise onset–apex–offset landmarks and annotated facial action units (AUs), making the dataset suitable for fine-grained temporal analysis.

**CASME II** [3] consists of 247 spontaneous micro-expressions, also captured at 200 fps, with detailed temporal annotations and AU labels. Following standard practice, only clips with unambiguous temporal landmarks are used.

Neither dataset provides frame-level intensity labels. Evaluation therefore relies entirely on triangular pseudo-intensity trajectories constructed from temporal landmarks, resulting in a fully weakly supervised and dataset-independent protocol.



## 4.2 Evaluation Metrics

For a predicted trajectory  $\hat{y}(t)$  and its triangular pseudo-target  $y(t)$ , agreement is measured using:

- **Spearman’s rank correlation** ( $\rho$ ), which assesses global monotonic consistency across time.
- **Kendall’s  $\tau$** , which evaluates pairwise temporal ordering.

Both metrics emphasize relative temporal structure rather than absolute intensity values, making them appropriate for weakly supervised regression.

## 4.3 Training Details

Models are trained with the Adam optimizer using the hyperparameters in Table 1. Each clip is uniformly resampled to  $T$  frames between onset and offset, ensuring a consistent temporal length. Unless stated otherwise, we use  $T=16$  frames of size  $224 \times 224$ .

Table 1: Training hyperparameters (fixed across all experiments).

Frames per clip ( $T$ )	16
Batch size	8
Learning rate	$1 \times 10^{-4}$
Backbone	ResNet18
Temporal head	Bidirectional GRU
Loss weights	$\lambda_{\text{mse}}=1, \lambda_{\text{smooth}}=0.1, \lambda_{\text{rank}}=0.5$
Optimizer	Adam

All model variants use identical resampling, augmentation, and optimization settings to ensure fair comparison.

## 4.4 Ablation Studies

We conduct ablations to assess the contribution of individual components:

1. **Frame-wise Baseline (ResNet18)**: predicts each frame independently without temporal modeling.
2. **Full Temporal Model (ResNet18 + GRU)**: the proposed spatial-temporal architecture.
3. **Without Smoothness Loss**: removes  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{smooth}}$ , which encourages local temporal consistency. The GRU already enforces strong smoothness, so the effect is minimal.
4. **Without Apex Ranking Loss**: removes  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{rank}}$ , which encourages the apex to be the peak of the trajectory. CASME II benefits from removing this term due to weaker apex dominance.

Table 2: Quantitative performance on the SAMM dataset.

Model	Spearman $\rho$	Kendall $\tau$
ResNet18 (baseline)	0.8130	0.6697
ResNet18 + GRU (full model)	0.9789	0.9222
GRU w/o smoothness loss	<b>0.9804</b>	<b>0.9252</b>
GRU w/o apex-ranking loss	0.9791	0.9221

5. **Alternative Pseudo-Label Shapes (optional)**: evaluates Gaussian and other shapes. The triangular prior consistently provides the most stable supervision.

Across all ablations, the GRU contributes the majority of performance gains, while auxiliary losses provide only modest refinements.

## 4.5 Quantitative Results

We report results on SAMM and CASME II using Spearman’s  $\rho$  and Kendall’s  $\tau$ . All models are trained using the unified weakly supervised pipeline described in Section 3.

### 4.5.1 Results on SAMM

Table 2 summarizes the results. The frame-wise baseline achieves moderate agreement, indicating that spatial features alone are insufficient for capturing subtle micro-expression dynamics. Adding the GRU yields a substantial improvement of over **+0.16** in Spearman’s  $\rho$ , with all temporal models exceeding 0.97.

Both auxiliary losses have limited impact. Removing  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{smooth}}$  slightly improves performance, while removing  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{rank}}$  has a negligible effect. These results suggest that the GRU provides sufficient inductive bias to learn smooth, peak-centered trajectories from the triangular prior.

### 4.5.2 Results on CASME II

Table 3 presents the corresponding results on CASME II. The GRU-based model again substantially outperforms the baseline, achieving correlations above 0.98 across ablations.

The best performance arises when  $\mathcal{L}_{\text{rank}}$  is removed. Many CASME II clips exhibit weak or ambiguous apex frames, making a strict peak penalty unreliable. The GRU learns the dominant temporal transitions directly from the triangular prior, showing robustness to dataset-specific variability.

## 4.6 Qualitative Analysis

Figure 3 shows qualitative examples of predicted trajectories alongside their triangular pseudo-labels. The GRU produces smooth, physiologically plausible

Table 3: Quantitative performance on the CASME II dataset.

Model	Spearman $\rho$	Kendall $\tau$
ResNet18 (baseline)	0.9172	0.7957
ResNet18 + GRU (full model)	0.9884	0.9468
GRU w/o smoothness loss	0.9876	0.9446
GRU w/o apex-ranking loss	<b>0.9894</b>	<b>0.9487</b>

curves that capture gradual onset, apex transitions, and natural decay, whereas the frame-wise baseline often produces noisy, incoherent predictions.




Figure 3: Qualitative examples comparing predicted intensity trajectories (blue) with triangular pseudo-labels (gray). The GRU-based model produces smooth and coherent temporal curves that align with expected onset–apex–offset dynamics.

## 5 Discussion

The experimental results on SAMM and CASME II indicate that the proposed framework can estimate continuous micro-expression intensity under weak supervision. Incorporating temporal modeling through a bidirectional GRU leads to high agreement with the pseudo-intensity trajectories, with correlations exceeding 0.97 on SAMM and 0.98 on CASME II. These results suggest that temporal modeling plays an important role in capturing the subtle dynamics of micro-expressions when frame-level annotations are unavailable. The triangular pseudo-label offers a simple and stable form of supervision that applies

consistently across datasets.

### 5.1 Dataset-Specific Behaviour

Although both datasets share the same onset–apex–offset annotation structure, the ablation results show differences in how each responds to auxiliary losses:

- **SAMM**: Removing either the smoothness or apex-ranking losses produces only small changes, with correlations remaining above 0.97. This suggests that the GRU alone captures most of the temporal structure in SAMM, whose clips typically exhibit clearer and more pronounced temporal patterns. Additional constraints appear to provide limited benefit once temporal modeling is in place.
- **CASME II**: The highest performance is observed when the apex-ranking loss is removed. CASME II clips tend to be shorter and may have less distinctive apex frames, making a strict peak-enforcing constraint less reliable. Allowing the GRU to learn the trajectory shape directly from the triangular prior yields more stable predictions. The smoothness term has only a minor effect as well.

Taken together, these observations indicate that the triangular pseudo-label serves as a general supervisory signal that adapts well to dataset-specific temporal characteristics without modifying the training procedure. The GRU appears to account for most of the temporal consistency, while auxiliary losses act primarily as mild regularizers.

### 5.2 Cross-Dataset Generalization Considerations

Although this study evaluates each dataset independently, the overall behaviour of the model suggests that it would generalize well in cross-dataset settings. The triangular pseudo-label normalizes temporal structure, and the temporal model processes resampled sequences of fixed length, both of which help mitigate differences in frame rates, clip durations, and subject identity. In practice, SAMM and CASME II differ in motion amplitude and apex sharpness, yet the model achieves strong performance on both without dataset-specific adjustments. This indicates that the proposed formulation may transfer well across datasets with similar annotation formats, even though cross-dataset experiments are beyond the scope of this study.

### 5.3 Relation to AU Intensity Estimation Literature

There is conceptual overlap between micro-expression intensity estimation and AU-based intensity regression. Prior work in AU analysis often relies on dense frame-level labels or structured priors to guide temporal learning. In contrast, micro-expression datasets provide only sparse temporal cues. The present framework aligns with AU regression methods in its use of temporal modeling,

but differs in its reliance on weak supervision and a simple triangular prior. This distinction highlights the need for tailored approaches when dealing with high-frame-rate micro-expressions, where dense annotations are rarely feasible.

## 5.4 Limitations

A key limitation of current micro-expression datasets is the absence of frame-level intensity annotations. The proposed framework relies on triangular pseudo-intensity trajectories derived from sparse temporal landmarks rather than ground-truth intensity curves. While the pseudo labels provide useful structure, they impose simplified assumptions about temporal progression and may not fully capture cases in which real intensity profiles deviate from the idealized triangular shape.

The lack of dense annotations also limits the ability to evaluate absolute intensity accuracy. Future datasets incorporating human ratings, AU-based intensity scores, or hybrid annotation strategies would allow for more direct assessment. Despite these limitations, the results show that the framework offers a practical and reproducible approach for continuous micro-expression intensity estimation under realistic weak-supervision conditions.

## 6 Conclusion

This paper presented a framework for continuous micro-expression intensity estimation under weak supervision using only onset–apex–offset annotations. The approach combines a triangular pseudo-intensity trajectory with a convolutional–recurrent model to produce dense frame-level predictions without requiring manual intensity labels.

Experiments on SAMM and CASME II show that the bidirectional GRU captures the temporal structure characteristic of micro-expressions, achieving high agreement with the pseudo-intensity trajectories. The triangular pseudo-label applies consistently across datasets and remains effective even when auxiliary losses are reduced or removed, suggesting that it provides a stable supervisory signal in the absence of frame-level annotations.

Although the framework offers a practical and reproducible solution for continuous intensity estimation, the lack of true frame-level intensity labels in current micro-expression datasets remains a limitation. Additional annotation resources—such as human intensity ratings, AU-based estimates, or other complementary signals—would allow more direct evaluation and support further refinement of temporal models. The formulation used here, however, is flexible and could be extended to incorporate richer cues as they become available.

Overall, the method provides a dataset-independent approach for estimating micro-expression intensity under realistic annotation constraints and offers a basis for future work on fine-grained facial behaviour modeling, including cross-dataset generalization and integration with broader affective computing frameworks.

## References

- [1] X. Li, T. Pfister, G. Huang, J. Zhao, and M. Pietikäinen, “Spontaneous micro-expression recognition: A challenge for facial expression analysis,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Computer Vision*, pp. 1449–1456, 2011.
- [2] W. Yan, X. Li, S. He, and G. Zhao, “Casme: A spontaneous micro-expression database,” in *FG*, pp. 1–7, 2013.
- [3] W.-J. Yan, Q.-S. Wu, X. Liu, S. Wang, and X. Fu, “Casme ii: An improved spontaneous micro-expression database and the baseline evaluation,” *PLoS ONE*, vol. 9, no. 1, p. e86041, 2014.
- [4] A. K. Davison, C. Lansley, N. Costen, K. Tan, and M. H. Yap, “Samm: A spontaneous micro-facial movement dataset,” *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, vol. 9, no. 1, pp. 116–129, 2018.
- [5] G. Zhao and M. Pietikäinen, “Dynamic texture recognition with local binary patterns from three orthogonal planes,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Conference on Pattern Recognition*, pp. 113–116, 2006.
- [6] X. Li, J. Hao, X. Hong, and G. Zhao, “Micro-expression recognition based on 3d flow convolution,” *Pattern Recognition Letters*, vol. 128, pp. 70–75, 2019.
- [7] J. Liu, X. Li, and G. Zhao, “Dual-stream micro-expression recognition using attention mechanisms,” *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, 2021.
- [8] M. Peng, X. Wang, and C. Rothe, “Dual temporal scale convolutional network for micro-expression recognition,” *IEEE Transactions on Image Processing*, 2019.
- [9] M. S. Mavadati, M. H. Mahoor, M. Bartlett, P. Trinh, and J. F. Cohn, “Disfa: A spontaneous facial action intensity database,” *IEEE Transactions on Affective Computing*, vol. 4, no. 2, pp. 151–160, 2013.
- [10] Z. Zhang, J. M. Girard, Y. Wu, J. F. Cohn, *et al.*, “Bp4d-spontaneous: A high-resolution spontaneous 3d dynamic facial expression database,” *Image and Vision Computing*, vol. 32, no. 10, pp. 692–706, 2014.
- [11] G. Zhao and X. Li, “Joint patch and multi-label learning for au intensity estimation,” *CVPR*, 2016.
- [12] D. Kollias and S. Zafeiriou, “Deep multi-task learning for au intensity regression,” *Pattern Recognition*, 2021.
- [13] H. Zhang *et al.*, “Graph-based spatio-temporal representation for micro-expression recognition,” *Pattern Recognition*, 2022.

- [14] R. Zhang, J. Liu, and G. Zhao, “Micro-expression intensity estimation via sparse temporal pseudo labels,” *FG*, 2023.
- [15] Y. Lin *et al.*, “Temporal interpolated regression for weakly supervised micro-expression analysis,” *Pattern Recognition Letters*, 2023.
- [16] D.-H. Lee, “Pseudo-label: The simple and efficient semi-supervised learning method for deep neural networks,” in *Workshop on Challenges in Representation Learning, ICML*, 2013.
- [17] Y. Wei *et al.*, “Iterative reorganization with weak supervision for object detection,” in *Proceedings of the IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition*, pp. 160–169, 2018.
- [18] A. K. Davison, C. Lansley, N. Costen, K. Tan, and M. H. Yap, “Objective micro-facial movement detection using facs-based regions and baseline evaluation,” *Journal of Imaging*, vol. 4, no. 10, p. 119, 2018.
- [19] M. H. Yap, J. See, X. Hong, *et al.*, “Samm long videos: A spontaneous facial micro-movement dataset,” in *2020 15th IEEE International Conference on Automatic Face and Gesture Recognition (FG)*, pp. 345–352, 2020.