

On vertex-minimal simplicial maps to the sphere

Andrey Ryabichev*

Abstract

For positive integers n, d , let $\lambda(n, d)$ be the minimal number of vertices of a triangulation of n -sphere which admits a degree d simplicial map to the boundary of $(n+1)$ -simplex. We show that $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(n, d)}{d} = 0$ for any $n \geq 3$, disproving O. Musin's conjecture. Using similar idea, for any C we construct a triangulation of \mathbb{S}^n , $n \geq 3$, for which $\frac{f_j}{f_i} > C$, for any $0 \leq i < j \leq n$ such that $i < \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$. All triangulations we obtain are isomorphic to boundaries of convex polytopes in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} .

1 Introduction

Suppose $f : M \rightarrow N$ is a continuous map of closed connected oriented n -manifolds, $n \geq 1$. The *degree* $\deg f$ is an integer d such that the n -th homology homomorphism $f_* : H_n(M; \mathbb{Z}) = \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} = H_n(N; \mathbb{Z})$ is the multiplication by d . If the map f is smooth, then $\deg f$ equals the number of preimages of any regular value of f , where the points at which df preserves the orientation are counted as “1”, and the points at which df reverses the orientation are counted as “−1”. If f is a simplicial map of triangulated manifolds, then the degree can be counted in a similar way via preimages of an n -simplex.

Namely, we call an n -simplex $\sigma \subset M$ *positive/negative*, if $f(\sigma) \subset N$ is non-degenerate n -simplex and $f|_\sigma$ preserves/reverses orientation. Then $\deg f$ equals the number of positive preimages minus the number of negative preimages of any n -simplex $\tau \subset N$. This difference does not depend on τ .

Let \mathbb{S}_{n+2}^n be the standard triangulation of the n -sphere with $n+2$ vertices and suppose K is a simplicial complex such that the geometric realisation $|K|$ is homeomorphic to the sphere \mathbb{S}^n . Suppose there is a simplicial map $K \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{n+2}^n$ of degree d . One may ask: *given n and d , how few vertices can K have?* Denote the minimal number of vertices of such K by $\lambda(n, d)$.

Evidently, if $d = 0$ or $d = \pm 1$, we have $\lambda(n, d) = n + 2$, since a triangulation of the n -sphere cannot have fewer vertices. It is also easy to see that $\lambda(1, d) = 3d$. For $n = 2$ and $d \geq 3$ it is known by [MS] that $\lambda(2, d) = 2d + 2$ (the lower bound for $\lambda(2, d)$ obviously follows from the Euler's formula: K has at least $4d$ faces, so the number of vertices is at least $2 - 6d + 4d$).

In [AM] K. Apolonskaya and O. Musin conjectured that $\limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(n, d)}{d} = \frac{n+2}{n}$ for all $n > 0$. We disprove this conjecture:

Theorem 1. *For any $n \geq 3$ we have $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(n, d)}{d} = 0$.*

* Yu.I. Manin Laboratory of Algebra, Geometry, Logic and Number Theory, Higher School of Modern Mathematics, MIPT; Moscow, Russia // ryabichev@179.ru

The key idea of the proof of theorem 1 is to construct a triangulation of \mathbb{S}^n which has quite a lot of n -simplices with respect to the number of its vertices. This idea can also be applied to show the following fact.

For a finite simplicial complex K , its f -vector is the sequence f_0, f_1, \dots , where f_k is the number of k -simplices in K .

Theorem 2. *Take any $n \geq 3$, and any $C > 0$. Then there is a triangulation of \mathbb{S}^n for which $\frac{f_i}{f_i} > C$ for any $0 \leq i < j \leq n$ such that $i < \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor$.*

Note that the estimations from theorems 1 and 2 hold even if we consider only *combinatorial* triangulations. Moreover, we can consider only triangulations which are *isomorphic to the boundary of a convex simplicial polytope in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}* . See remark 2.

2 Preliminaries

A *simplicial complex* K is a set of vertices $V(K)$ and a collection of its finite subsets (called *faces*) such that any subset of a face is itself a face. We always denote the face with vertices a_0, \dots, a_k by $[a_0 \dots a_k]$. A *simplicial map* of complexes is a map of the sets of vertices such the image of a face is a face.

One can think of a face as a (topological) simplex with given vertices, the union of such simplices (glued along common faces and equipped with quotient topology) is called *the geometric realization* $|K|$. Everywhere below we make no difference between an abstract complex and its geometric realization. If $|K|$ is an n -manifold, denote $F(K)$ the set of its n -simplices.

For simplicial complexes K, L , their *join* is a complex $K \star L$ with vertices $V(K \star L) = V(K) \sqcup V(L)$ whose simplices are all simplices of K , all simplices of L , and all simplices of the form $[a_0 \dots a_k] \star [b_0 \dots b_l] := [a_0 \dots a_k b_0 \dots b_l]$, where $[a_0 \dots a_k] \in K$ and $[b_0 \dots b_l] \in L$.

Remark 1. If $|K| = \mathbb{S}^n$ and $|L| = \mathbb{S}^m$, where $n, m \geq 0$, then $|K \star L| = \mathbb{S}^{n+m+1}$. Note that $F(K \star L) = F(K) \times F(L)$ by the definition.

For details on simplicial complexes, see e.g. [M, §1 and §4], and for a discussion of the degree, see e.g. [H, §2.2].

Proposition 1. *Suppose $|K| = |K'| = \mathbb{S}^k$ and $|L| = |L'| = \mathbb{S}^m$. Take simplicial maps $f : K \rightarrow K'$ and $g : L \rightarrow L'$. Then there is a naturally defined map $f \star g : K \star L \rightarrow K' \star L'$, and $\deg(f \star g) = \deg f \cdot \deg g$.*

Proof. The map $f \star g$ is defined on the set of vertices, the image of a face is a face by the definition. Note that the orientation of a simplex $[a_0 \dots a_k]$ is determined by the order of its vertices and does not change under even permutation of these vertices. Therefore the orientations of K and L determines the orientation of $K \star L$. Finally, the sign of the simplex $[a_0 \dots a_k] \star [b_0 \dots b_m]$ under the map $f \star g$ equals the product of the signs of $[a_0 \dots a_k] \in K$ under f and $[b_0 \dots b_m] \in L$ under g , so the proposition follows. \square

Proposition 2. *For any $k, m \geq 0$, there is a degree 1 simplicial map $\mathbb{S}_{k+2}^k \star \mathbb{S}_{m+2}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{k+m+3}^{k+m+1}$.*

Proof. Denote the set $V(\mathbb{S}_{k+2}^k)$ as $\{a_0, \dots, a_{k+1}\}$, the set $V(\mathbb{S}_{m+2}^m)$ as $\{b_0, \dots, b_{m+1}\}$ and the set $V(\mathbb{S}_{n+m+3}^{k+m+1})$ as $\{c_0, \dots, c_{k+m+2}\}$. Define the map f as $a_0 \mapsto c_0, \dots, a_{k+1} \mapsto c_{k+1}$ and $b_0 \mapsto c_{k+1}, \dots, b_{m+1} \mapsto c_{k+m+2}$. Clearly, $f^{-1}([c_0 \dots c_k c_{k+2} \dots c_{k+m+2}])$ is just one simplex $[a_0 \dots a_k] \star [b_1 \dots b_{m+1}]$, therefore $\deg f = \pm 1$. If needed, swap two vertices to make it equal 1. \square

3 The proof

Lemma 1. *For any $k_1, k_2 > 0$ we have $\lambda(3, k_1 k_2) \leq 3k_1 + 3k_2$.*

Proof. Take the composition of the map $\mathbb{S}_{3k_1}^1 \star \mathbb{S}_{3k_2}^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_3^1 \star \mathbb{S}_3^1$ of degree $k_1 k_2$ (constructed as in proposition 1; here \mathbb{S}_m^1 is the graph of the m -gon) and the map $\mathbb{S}_3^1 \star \mathbb{S}_3^1 \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_5^3$ from proposition 2. To complete the proof, note that for maps of oriented manifolds the degree is multiplicative. \square

Corollary 1. *For any $k_1, k_2 > 0$ and any $n > 3$ we have $\lambda(n, k_1 k_2) \leq 3k_1 + 3k_2 + n - 2$.*

Proof. We can just take a degree $k_1 k_2$ map $\mathbb{S}_{3k_1}^1 \star \mathbb{S}_{3k_2}^1 \star \mathbb{S}_{n-2}^{n-4} \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_5^3 \star \mathbb{S}_{n-2}^{n-4}$, using proposition 1 and lemma 1, and compose it with the map from proposition 2. \square

Also we need the following procedure:

Proposition 3. *For any $n > 0$ and $d > 0$ we have $\lambda(n, d + 1) \leq \lambda(n, d) + 3$.*

Proof. Take a simplicial map $f : |K| \rightarrow \mathbb{S}_{n+2}^n$ of degree d . For a positive n -simplex $\sigma \subset |K|$ we make a *central subdivision*: we add one more vertex to $V(K)$ and replace σ by the cone over $\partial\sigma$, which consists of n -simplices $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n$ (so $|K|$ does not change up to homeomorphism). Note that f can be extended to $\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_n$ so that they become non-degenerate negative simplices. Therefore we obtain $\deg f = d - 1$. Now make similar central subdivision for σ_0 and σ_1 . The degree of the map f extended to the all new simplices in the similar way equals $d + 1$. \square




Figure 1: The subdivisions from proposition 3.

Proof of theorem 1. Let us first prove the case $n > 3$. By corollary 1, for any $k_1, k_2 > 0$ we have

$$\frac{\lambda(n, k_1 k_2)}{k_1 k_2} \leq \frac{3k_1 + 3k_2 + n - 2}{k_1 k_2}.$$

In particular, for any $k > 0$, the value

$$\frac{\lambda(n, k^2)}{k^2} \leq \frac{6k + n - 2}{k^2}$$

is arbitrary small. Namely, take any $\varepsilon > 0$. If $k^2 > 4 \cdot \frac{n-2}{\varepsilon}$ and $k > \frac{24}{\varepsilon}$, then

$$\frac{\lambda(n, k^2)}{k^2} \leq \frac{6k + n - 2}{k^2} = \frac{n - 2}{k^2} + \frac{6}{k} < \frac{2}{4}\varepsilon.$$

Further, note that for $l = 0, 1, \dots, k - 1$ we have

$$\frac{\lambda(n, k(k + l))}{k(k + l)} \leq \frac{\lambda(n, k^2)}{k(k + l)} + \frac{3l}{k(k + l)} \leq \frac{\lambda(n, k^2)}{k^2} + \frac{3}{k} < \frac{3}{4}\varepsilon.$$

Finally, for any $s = 0, 1, \dots, k-1$ we can apply proposition 3 s times, to obtain

$$\frac{\lambda(n, k(k+l) + s)}{k(k+l) + s} \leq \frac{\lambda(n, k(k+l))}{k(k+l)} + \frac{3s}{k(k+1)} < \varepsilon.$$

We have shown that $\frac{\lambda(n,d)}{d} < \varepsilon$ for every $d > \max\{4, \frac{n-2}{\varepsilon}, \frac{24}{\varepsilon}\}$.

In case $n = 3$ the proof is similar, with the only difference that we use lemma 1 instead of corollary 1 and estimations become quite simpler. \square

4 Estimation for f -vectors of spheres

Proof of theorem 2. Let $h = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$. For $k \gg 0$, take the join $\mathbb{S}_k^1 \star \dots \star \mathbb{S}_k^1$ of h copies of \mathbb{S}_k^1 . Then $f_0 = O(k)$, $f_1 = O(k^2)$, \dots , and $f_m = O(k^h)$ for $m = h-1, h, \dots, 2h-1$. This proves the theorem for odd n . Indeed, if $n = 2h-1$ and $i < \lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor = h-1$, then we clearly have $\frac{f_j}{f_i} > C$ for $k \gg 0$. In case n even, take the join of the above triangulation with \mathbb{S}^0 . \square

Remark 2. Note that this triangulation, as well as a triangulation from theorem 1, is combinatorial (the star of every vertex has simplicial embedding into \mathbb{R}^n , so the stars form a PL -atlas of \mathbb{S}^n), since the join of combinatorial triangulations of spheres is a combinatorial triangulation of a sphere.

Moreover, these triangulations are isomorphic to the boundary of a convex polytope simplicial in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} . Indeed, if $P \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+1}$ and $Q \subset \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$ are convex polytopes containing the origins in their interiors, then the join $S = \partial P \star \partial Q$ is canonically embedded into $\mathbb{R}^{k+m+2} = \mathbb{R}^{k+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$. It remains to notice that S is the boundary of the cone over S with the vertex at the origin (which in fact coincides with $\text{conv}(S) \subset \mathbb{R}^{k+m+2}$).

Remark 3. In order to prove theorem 1, one can use the join of h copies of \mathbb{S}^1 , for $h = \lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor$ (as in the proof of theorem 2), with the additional join with \mathbb{S}^0 for even n . In this way one can show that $\lim_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(n,d)^{h-1}}{d} = 0$.

5 Questions

We have estimated the asymptotic of $\lambda(n, d)$ as $d \rightarrow \infty$. However, finding its exact values appears to be a difficult problem. The estimates for $\lambda(n, d)$ obtained in the proof of theorem 1 are apparently far from the minimum.

Question. *Is it true that $\limsup_{d \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\lambda(n,d)^{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}}{d} \neq 0$?*

Question. *Will the estimates be correct if we consider maps that do not degenerate n -simplices? maps that have only positive simplices?*

Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Mikhail Bludov and Fedor Vylegzhanin for consultations on literature, as well as to O. Musin for his attention to the work. Also I am very grateful to Mikhail Bludov and Anastasia Vakhrina for verifying the proof.

The research is supported by the MSHE.

References

- [AM] Ksenia Apolonskaya, Oleg R. Musin, Minimal simplicial spherical mappings with a given degree. Preprint (2025), arXiv:2511.10870
- [H] Allen Hatcher, Algebraic topology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [M] Jiri Matousek, Lectures on discrete geometry. Universitext, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2008.
- [MS] K. V. Madahar, K. S. Sarkaria, A minimal triangulation of the Hopf map and its application. *Geom. Dedicata*, 82 (2000), 105–114.