

THE ÉTALE BRAUER-MANIN OBSTRUCTION FOR CLASSIFYING STACKS

AJNEET DHILLON, NICOLE LEMIRE, JONATHAN MARTIN, AND YIDI WANG

ABSTRACT. We study the strong approximation for classifying stacks BG , where G is a linear algebraic group over a number field k . More specifically, we prove that the étale Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to strong approximation for BG . To prove the result, we formulate the theory of torsors and Galois twists for algebraic stacks.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of *local-global principles* for rational points on algebraic varieties over number fields is an active and long-standing research area in arithmetic geometry. For an algebraic variety X over a number field k , one asks whether the existence of a k_v -point at all places of k guarantees the existence of a k -point. *Strong approximation* answers a stronger local-to-global type of question via the density of points: whether points given locally at certain places (possibly infinitely many) of k can be approximated arbitrarily closely by a k -point on X , equivalently, whether the natural map $X(k) \rightarrow X(\mathbf{A}_k)$ is dense.

One intrinsic explanation of the failure of local-global principles is the *Brauer-Manin obstruction*: there is a pairing $\langle , \rangle : X(\mathbf{A}_k) \times \text{Br}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$, and the Brauer-Manin locus $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$ contains all adelic points that vanish under the pairing for all classes in $\text{Br}(X)$. Comparing to $X(\mathbf{A}_k)$, $X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$ is a finer set that contains $X(k)$. For a broad classes of algebraic varieties, it was shown that the image of $X(k) \rightarrow X(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$ is dense. Namely, the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the *only* obstruction to strong approximation. See for example, [1], [3], [7], etc. This framework naturally extends to algebraic stacks, the k -points of which are families of algebraic objects encoded with symmetries.

In this manuscript, we address this question for classifying stacks BG for linear algebraic groups G , the k -points of which classify G -torsors. The study of strong approximation for BG therefore translates to that of density problems of G -torsors.

A recent work by the first author showed that the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to strong approximation on BG when G is a connected linear algebraic group ([4, Theorem 5.5]). That is, the image of $BG(k) \rightarrow BG(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$ is dense. When G is disconnected, it is unclear whether the analogous result holds, especially when G/G° is not abelian. We instead consider a finer obstruction, the *étale Brauer-Manin obstruction*. For an algebraic stack \mathfrak{X} , we define the *étale Brauer-Manin locus* as

$$\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét, Br}} := \bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{Y} \xrightarrow{f} \mathfrak{X} \\ \text{H-torsor}}} \prod_{\sigma \in H^1(k, H)} f^\sigma(\mathfrak{Y}^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}),$$

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 14G12, 11G35, 14G05, Secondary 14A20.

Key words and phrases. Classifying stacks, quotient stacks, strong approximation, Brauer-Manin obstructions, Galois twists.

where H is taken over all finite étale group schemes over k . It is a finer obstruction set and captures more subtle arithmetic phenomena that might be invisible at the original level. In particular, we have proven the following result.

Theorem 1.1. *Let G be a linear algebraic group over a number field k . Let S be a finite collection of places of k containing all the infinite places of k .*

- (1) *The étale Brauer-Manin locus $BG(\mathbf{A}_{S,k})^{\text{ét},\text{Br}}$ is closed.*
- (2) *The image of $BG(k) \rightarrow BG(\mathbf{A}_{S,k})^{\text{ét},\text{Br}}$ is dense. That is, the étale Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to strong approximation for BG off S .*

We work under the topology developed in [4]. The main ingredient in the proof is the formalization of Galois twists for stacks. Though the theory is well-known for varieties and schemes, developing the analogous theory for stacks requires considerable work in 2-Categories. We adopt the setup about group actions on stacks in [6] and study properties of torsors over an algebraic stack and their Galois twists.

Another significance of Theorem 1.1 is its potential application to Malle’s Conjecture. The inverse Galois problem asks whether any finite group G is the Galois group of a Galois extension L/k , and Malle’s Conjecture predicts the number of such Galois extensions with bounded discriminant, fixing a group G . Note that G -Galois extensions over k are connected G -torsors. In [5], Ellenberg–Satriano–Zurick-Brown defined height functions on algebraic stacks and translated Malle’s Conjecture to counting k -points on BG with bounded height. A recent work by Loughran–Santens (see [10]) showed that there is a deep correlation between Malle’s conjecture and cohomological obstructions on BG . The authors would like to explore this direction in the future.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the theory of torsors over algebraic stacks and define Galois twists of algebraic stacks. In Section 3, we define the étale Brauer-Manin obstruction for stacks and prove that the corresponding locus is closed. In Section 4, we present the proof of our main theorem. Finally, in Appendix A, we present preliminaries and technical details for group actions on algebraic stacks.

NOTATION

k	Our ground field. It is assumed to be a number field.
Ω_k	The set of places of k .
k_v	The completion of k with respect to the valuation v .
\mathcal{O}_v	The valuation subring of k_v .
$\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$	The localization of \mathcal{O}_v at places in a finite set of places $S \subseteq \Omega_k$.
$\mathbf{A}_{k,S}$	For some finite subset $S \subseteq \Omega_k$ this is the ring $\prod_{v \in S} k_v \times \prod_{v \notin S} \mathcal{O}_v$.
\mathbf{A}_k	The ring of adeles of k .
$\mathbf{A}_{S,k}$	For some finite subset $S \subseteq \Omega_k$ this is the image of \mathbf{A}_k under the projection into the product $\prod_{v \notin S} k_v$.
G	A linear algebraic group over k .
G°	The connected component of the identity of G .
BG	The classifying stack of G -torsors.

2. TORSORS OVER ALGEBRAIC STACKS

The reader is referred to [12] for a discussion of group actions on stacks. We assume that the reader is familiar with the general theory of torsors over schemes or algebraic spaces. We will consider algebraic stacks in the fppf-topology on schemes.

The following lemma is elementary.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a morphism of algebraic stacks.*

- (1) *Suppose that there exists a presentation $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} Y$ is an algebraic space. Then f is representable by algebraic spaces.*
- (2) *Suppose that there exists an fppf surjective morphism $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} Y \rightarrow Y$ is an isomorphism. Then f is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since the presentation morphism $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is smooth and surjective, the first assertion follows from [13, 04ZP] since smooth morphisms are fppf.

For the second assertion, [13, 04ZP] and the assumption that $\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} Y \cong Y$ is an algebraic space, show that f is representable by algebraic spaces. Then fppf descent shows that $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is an isomorphism. See [13, 04XD] and property (15) in [13, Section 04XB]. \square

By a group acting on an algebraic stack we will mean a weak group action as in [6] and [12]. See Section A for details.

Definition 2.2. Let \mathcal{Y} be an algebraic stack over $(\text{Sch}/k)_{\text{fppf}}$ and G a linear algebraic group over k . By a G -torsor over \mathcal{Y} we mean an algebraic stack \mathcal{X} with a right action of G and a morphism $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ such that

- (1) the morphism f is equivariant for the trivial action of G on \mathcal{Y} ,
- (2) there is an fppf-cover $Y \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ by an algebraic space such that after base change to Y the morphism $Y \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \rightarrow Y$ is the trivial G -torsor over Y .

Remark 2.3. Note that in the above definition, the trivial G -torsor $Y \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is an algebraic space, so by Example 2.1 (1) f is representable. Moreover, one sees that the canonical morphism $G \times \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}$ is an isomorphism by using Example 2.1 (2) and noting that after base change to Y one obtains an isomorphism:

$$G \times (Y \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}) \rightarrow (Y \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}) \times_Y (Y \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}) \cong Y \times_{\mathcal{Y}} (\mathcal{X} \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X})$$

Proposition (2.8) below produces some interesting examples of torsors.

2.1. Galois Twists. Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a G -torsor and let F be a scheme with a left action of G . Then the product $\mathcal{X} \times F$ has a right G -action where G acts via the inverse on the F component. We define $\mathcal{X} \times^G F$ to be the quotient stack

$$\mathcal{X} \times^G F := [\mathcal{X} \times F / G],$$

and denote the canonical map $\mathcal{X} \times^G F \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ by f^F . See [6, 4.2].

Remark 2.4. Note that Galois twists commutes with base change, i.e., $(Y \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X}) \times^G F \cong Y \times_{\mathcal{Y}} (\mathcal{X} \times^G F)$. In light of this, one can write $Y \times_{\mathcal{Y}} \mathcal{X} \times^G F$ without ambiguity.

In the case that F is a left torsor corresponding to a Galois cohomology class $\sigma \in H^1(k, G)$ for a field k , we denote $\mathfrak{X} \times^G F := \mathfrak{X}^\sigma$ and the associated morphism as

$$f^\sigma : \mathfrak{X}^\sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}.$$

Recall that if P is a left G -torsor over k then the corresponding inner form of G is defined as the quotient of $G \times P$ by the action

$$(g, p) \longmapsto (g^h, h^{-1}p) \quad \text{where } g^h = h^{-1}gh$$

We denote this inner form by $G \times^{G, \text{inn}} P$. We will also sometimes write $G^P := G \times^{G, \text{inn}} P$ when context is clear. See Example A.8 for more details.

Proposition 2.5. *Let $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ be a G -torsor. Suppose that P is a left G -torsor over k . Then*

$$\mathfrak{X} \times^G P \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$$

is a G^P -torsor.

Proof. The required action is constructed in A.9. It is then routine to check that the induced map $\mathfrak{X} \times^G P \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ is G^P -equivariant when \mathfrak{Y} is given the trivial G^P action. This shows (1) in Example 2.2.

To check (2), we take $Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ to be an fppf-cover such that $Y \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow Y$ is the trivial G -torsor over Y . Then we have $Y \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{X} \times^G P \cong Y \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} G \times^G P \cong Y \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} G^P \rightarrow Y$ is the trivial G^P -torsor over Y . \square

2.2. The basic example. Consider a short exact sequence of linear algebraic groups

$$1 \rightarrow N \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/N \rightarrow 1$$

where N is a normal subgroup of G . For a right G -torsor P , $P \times^G G/N$ has a right action of G/N .

Let $\text{Red}(G \curvearrowright N)$ denote the category fibered in groupoids over (Sch/k) defined by

- (1) the objects over a scheme S are pairs (P, s) where P is a right G -torsor over S and s is a section of $P \times^G G/N$;
- (2) the morphisms over S are isomorphisms of torsors compatible with sections.

It is well known that $\text{Red}(G \curvearrowright N)$ is an algebraic stack.

Lemma 2.6. *There is a strict right action of G/N on $\text{Red}(G \curvearrowright N)$.*

Proof. Let $H := G/N$. Given an object (P, s) of $\text{Red}(G \curvearrowright N)$ over a scheme S , note that $P \times^G H$ is an H -torsor. An element $h \in H(S)$ induces an automorphism of $P \times^G H$. We will abuse notation and denote this automorphism by h . Then $h \circ s$ is a section of $P \times^G H$. The action of H on $\text{Red}(G \curvearrowright N)$ on objects is given by $(P, s) \cdot h := (P, h \circ s)$.

We then show that this group action is strict. Let $e \in H(S)$ denote the unit element. The induced automorphism on $P \times^G H$ is the identity. Thus, $(P, s) \cdot e = (P, s)$. Additionally, note that

$$(P, s) \cdot h_1 \cdot h_2 = (P, h_2 \circ h_1 \circ s) = (P, h_1 h_2 \circ s) = (P, s) \cdot h_1 h_2$$

This shows that the action on objects is strict.

Recall that a morphism $\varphi: (P, s) \rightarrow (Q, s')$ is a morphism $\phi: P \rightarrow Q$ that is compatible with the sections, i.e., $\phi \circ s = s'$. An action of h on φ yields a morphism $\varphi.h: (P, h \circ s) \rightarrow (Q, h \circ s')$, by noting that ϕ is H -equivariant, i.e., $h \circ \phi = \phi \circ h$. It follows immediately that this action is strict as well. \square

We will denote by BG the classifying stack of *right* torsors over G . The following lemma is well-known. We provide an algebraic proof for the convenience of the readers.

Lemma 2.7. *There is an equivalence of categories fibered in groupoids*

$$BN \cong \text{Red}(G \rightsquigarrow N)$$

Hence, there is a weak action of G/N on BN .

Proof. Example A.2 shows that equivalences of categories preserve weak actions, but not necessarily strict actions. As such, the second assertion follows from the first assertion and the previous lemma.

Define

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi: BN(S) &\rightarrow \text{Red}(G \rightsquigarrow N)(S) \\ Q &\mapsto P := Q \times^N G \end{aligned}$$

For Φ to be well-defined, we have to check $P \times^G G/N$ admits a section. Note that

$$P \times^G G/N \cong Q \times^N G \times^G G \times^{G/N} \text{Spec}(k) \cong Q \times^N G/N$$

Since N fixes the identity coset G/N , it admits an N -equivariant map $\text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow G/N$. Applying $Q \times^N \cdot$ leads to a section $\text{Spec}(k) \rightarrow Q \times^N G/N \cong P \times^G G/N$. It is left to the reader to verify the functoriality of this construction.

Next, define $\psi: \text{Red}(G \rightsquigarrow N) \rightarrow BN$, where $\psi(P, s)$ is defined by the cartesian square:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \psi(P, s) & \longrightarrow & P \\ \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow \\ S & \xrightarrow{s} & P \times^G G/N \end{array}$$

Here $P \rightarrow P \times^G G/N \cong P/N$ is an N -torsor. Then it follows that $\psi(P, s) \rightarrow S$ is an N -torsor. Once again, functoriality is left to the reader.

Next, we show that $\psi \circ \phi \cong \text{id}_{BN}$. Let Q be an N -torsor over S . By construction, to show that $\psi \circ \phi(Q) \xrightarrow{\sim} Q$, we check that the following diagram is cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Q & \longrightarrow & Q \times^N G \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S & \xrightarrow{\sigma_Q} & (Q \times^N G) \times^G G/N \end{array}$$

by noting that

$$(Q \times^N G) \times^G G/N \cong Q \times^N G/N \cong Q/N \times G/N \cong S \times Q/N.$$

It now remains to show that $\phi \circ \psi \cong \text{id}_{\text{Red}(G \rightsquigarrow N)}$. Let $(P, s) \in \text{Red}(G \rightsquigarrow N)(S)$. Since $s: S \rightarrow P \times^G G/N$ is N -equivariant, the map $\psi(P, s) \rightarrow P$ from the cartesian

diagram defining $\psi(P, s)$ is also N -equivariant. This induces a G -equivariant morphism of G -torsors $\phi(\psi(P, s)) = \psi(P, s) \times^N G \rightarrow P$, which is an isomorphism. It follows by construction that this isomorphism is compatible with the sections of $\psi(P, S) \times^N G$ and P . This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.8. *The canonical map $BN \rightarrow BG$ is a G/N -torsor.*

Proof. By Example 2.7, there is a strict action of G/N on BN , so one just needs to verify the local triviality condition in Example 2.2. Using the description of the action in terms of $\text{Red}(G \curvearrowright N)$ one sees that the morphism $BN \rightarrow BG$ is equivariant. This follows from the 2-cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G/N & \longrightarrow & \text{Spec}(k) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ BN & \longrightarrow & BG \end{array}$$

\square

In what follows we consider a possibly disconnected linear algebraic group G over k . We denote by G° the connected component of the identity. Note that G° is defined over k and is a normal subgroup. We write $H := G/G^\circ$.

Let P be a left H -torsor representing some Galois cohomology class $\sigma \in H^1(k, H)$. We can form the twisted stack

$$(BG^\circ)^\sigma := BG^\circ \times^H P = [BG^\circ \times P/H].$$

Consider a k -scheme T . By [12, Theorem 4.1], a T -point of this twist consists of a pair (Q, Φ) , where $Q \rightarrow T$ is a H -torsor and $\Phi : Q \rightarrow BG^\circ \times P$ is an equivariant map.

Proposition 2.9. *Let notation be as above. The twisted stack $(BG^\circ)^\sigma$ is isomorphic to the quotient stack $[P/G]$.*

Proof. Let T be a k -scheme. A T -point of $[P/G]$ consists of a pair (R, φ) where $R \rightarrow T$ is a G -torsor and $\varphi : R \rightarrow P$ is a G -equivariant map. Since G° acts trivially on P , we have $P/G^\circ \cong P$, and we see that this descends to a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & P \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ R/G^\circ & \xrightarrow{\bar{\varphi}} & P/G^\circ \end{array}$$

Note that R/G° is an H -torsor, and $\bar{\varphi}$ is H -equivariant. Moreover, $R \rightarrow R/G^\circ$ is a G° -torsor, and therefore we have a R/G° -point of BG° . The morphism $R/G^\circ \rightarrow BG^\circ$ is obviously H -equivariant. Combining them, we obtain an H -equivariant map $R/G^\circ \rightarrow BG^\circ \times P$, which yields a well-defined map

$$\Psi : [P/G](T) \rightarrow [BG^\circ \times P/H](T) : R \mapsto R/G^\circ.$$

It remains to show that Ψ is an isomorphism. By part (2) of Lemma 2.1, it suffices to show that it is an isomorphism after base change to \bar{k} . Over \bar{k} , the H -torsor P is trivial, so it suffices to show that $[H/G] \rightarrow BG^\circ$ is an isomorphism. This is immediate. \square

3. THE ÉTALE BRAUER-MANIN OBSTRUCTION

3.1. A review of topologizing the adelic points of a stack. Let \mathfrak{X}/k be a finite type algebraic stack. In this subsection we will recall how the topology on the adelic points of \mathfrak{X} was defined in [4, Section 2]. Note that we can “spread out” \mathfrak{X} over $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$. Namely, there exists an $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ -stack \mathcal{X} with generic fibre \mathfrak{X} . See [4, Theorem 4.2].

Let $S \subseteq \Omega_k$ be a finite subset containing all infinite places.¹ The adelic points of \mathfrak{X} are defined as

$$\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{A}_{k,S}) := \prod_{v \in S} \mathfrak{X}(k_v) \times \prod_{v \notin S} \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_v)$$

and note that the expression does not depend on the choice of \mathcal{X} .

Definition 3.1. A presentation $P \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is said to be *S-liftable* if, after spreading out to $\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ over $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$, we have:

- (1) for all $T \supseteq S$ and every $s \in \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{A}_{k,T})$, there is a lift of s to $P(\mathbf{A}_{k,T})$,
- (2) the induced map $\mathcal{P}(\mathcal{O}_v) \rightarrow \mathcal{X}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ is surjective for all but finitely many $v \notin S$.

In this situation, we will call $P \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ a *lifting presentation*. Such presentations exist for a large class of stacks. See the discussion right after [4, Definition 2.14].

Given an *S*-lifting presentation $P \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$, we give $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{A}_{k,S})$ the quotient topology via $f : P(\mathbf{A}_{k,S}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{A}_{k,S})$. One can show that this does not depend on the choice of lifting presentation (see [4, Proposition 2.17]). The topology on $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$ is defined by the colimit of topological spaces:

$$\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) = \varinjlim_{T \subseteq \Omega_k} \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{A}_{k,T}).$$

3.2. The étale Brauer-Manin locus. Let $f : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ be a H -torsor, where H is a linear algebraic group over k . The following result from descent theory is well-known.

Lemma 3.2. *Let S be a k -scheme. Let $\sigma \in H^1(S, H)$ and let $f^\sigma : \mathfrak{X}^\sigma \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ be the twisted torsor constructed in Section 2.1. Then*

$$\mathfrak{Y}(S) = \coprod_{\sigma \in H^1(S, H)} f^\sigma(\mathfrak{X}^\sigma(S)).$$

Proof. See [11, Theorem 8.4.1]. The proof remains the same by replacing varieties by stacks. \square

Let f be as above. Suppose further that H is a finite étale group scheme. We let

$$(1) \quad \mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{f, \text{Br}} = \coprod_{\sigma \in H^1(k, H)} f^\sigma(\mathfrak{X}^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}).$$

If \mathfrak{Y} is a finite type algebraic stack we define the *étale Brauer-Manin locus* by

$$\mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét, Br}} = \bigcap_{\substack{\mathfrak{X} \xrightarrow{f} \mathfrak{Y} \\ H\text{-torsor}}} \mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{f, \text{Br}},$$

¹This is assumed in [4, Definition 2.14]

where H is taken over all finite étale group schemes over k . In the rest of this section, we show the étale Brauer-Manin locus is closed in $\mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k)$.

Proposition 3.3. *Let $f : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ be a proper representable morphism of stacks. If \mathfrak{Y} has an S -liftable presentation by a separated algebraic space, then the induced morphism on adelic points is topologically proper. In particular, $f : \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{A}_k) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k)$ is closed.*

Proof. Consider an S -liftable presentation $p : Y \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ with Y a separated algebraic space. Then $q : Y \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is an S -liftable presentation and $Y \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{X}$ is a separated algebraic in view of the properness of f . Consider $T \subseteq \Omega_k$ with $S \subseteq T$ and the Cartesian diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y \times_{\mathfrak{Y}} \mathfrak{X} & \xrightarrow{g} & Y \\ \downarrow q & & \downarrow p \\ \mathfrak{X} & \xrightarrow{f} & \mathfrak{Y}. \end{array}$$

By [2, Proposition 5.8], the morphism g is topologically closed on $\mathbf{A}_{k,T}$ -points. Let $Z \subseteq \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{A}_{k,T})$ be a closed subset. Then $q^{-1}(Z)$ is closed. Then we have $g(q^{-1}(Z)) = p^{-1}(f(Z))$ and it follows that $f(Z)$ is closed. The result follows from the construction of the colimit topology. \square

Remark 3.4. Let H/k be a finite linear algebraic group. Suppose that $f : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ is a H -torsor and \mathfrak{Y} has an S -liftable presentation. Then the morphism f satisfies the hypothesis of the proposition. Furthermore, so does each twist f^σ for $\sigma \in H^1(k, H)$.

The assertion for f follows from Example 2.3. For the Galois twists, one applies Example 2.9.

Proposition 3.5. *Let S be a finite set of places containing all infinite places. Then the set*

$$\{\sigma \in H^1(k, H) \mid f^\sigma(\mathfrak{X}^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)) \cap \mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_{k,S}) \neq \emptyset\}$$

is finite.

Proof. The argument is essentially from [8, Proposition 4.4]. Indeed, we can “spread out” H to an $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ -group scheme \mathcal{H} , \mathfrak{X} to an $\mathcal{O}_{k,S}$ -stack \mathcal{X} and \mathfrak{Y} to an \mathcal{H} -torsor \mathcal{Y} . By construction, we have $\mathfrak{Y}(k_v) = \mathcal{Y}(\mathcal{O}_v)$ for all $v \notin S$. Suppose that σ is an element of this set. It corresponds to an H -torsor P over k . Note that P is an étale algebra over k , which is essentially a product of finite separable field extensions of k . Note that σ must be in the image of $H^1(\mathcal{O}_v, \mathcal{H}) \rightarrow H^1(k_v, H)$ for all $v \notin S$. This implies that these fields do not ramify over each $v \notin S$. By [9, V.4 Theorem 5], the collection of such unramified field extensions and therefore the number of such H -torsors is finite. \square

Proposition 3.6. *The set $\mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{f, Br}$ defined in (1) is a closed subset of $\mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k)$.*

Proof. By Example 3.3, each of the sets $f^\sigma(\mathfrak{X}^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{Br})$ are closed. Since $\mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k) = \varinjlim \mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_{k,S})$, to show $\mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{f, Br}$ is closed, it suffices to show that for every finite $S \subseteq \Omega_k$ containing all infinite places, $\mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_{k,S}) \cap \mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{f, Br}$ is closed in $\mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_{k,S})$. To see this, we observe:

$$\mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_{k,S}) \cap \mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{f, Br} = \coprod_{\sigma \in H^1(k, H)} (\mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_{k,S}) \cap f^\sigma(\mathfrak{X}^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_k)^{Br})).$$

By Example 3.5, this is a finite union of closed sets and is thus closed. \square

Corollary 3.7. *The étale Brauer-Manin locus is closed.*

Proof. This follows directly from Proposition 3.6. \square

3.3. The étale Brauer-Manin obstruction.

Lemma 3.8. *Let \mathfrak{Y} be an algebraic stack of finite type. Then the étale Brauer-Manin locus $\mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét}, \text{Br}}$ contains the closure of the diagonal image of $\mathfrak{Y}(k) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét}, \text{Br}}$. That is, the étale Brauer-Manin locus captures all k -rational points of \mathfrak{Y} .*

Proof. The fact that the diagonal image of $\mathfrak{Y}(k) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k)$ is contained in $\mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét}, \text{Br}}$ follows immediately from Lemma 3.2 and the fact that the Brauer-Manin locus $\mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{Br}}$ contains the diagonal image of $\mathfrak{X}(k) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(\mathbf{A}_k)$ of any algebraic stack \mathfrak{X} . By Corollary 3.7, $\mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_k)^{\text{ét}, \text{Br}}$ is closed, so it contains the closure of $\mathfrak{Y}(k)$. \square

Now we are ready to define the étale Brauer-Manin obstruction for strong approximation.

Definition 3.9. Let \mathfrak{Y} be an algebraic stack of finite type. We say *strong approximation for \mathfrak{Y} off a finite set of places S holds with respect to the étale Brauer-Manin obstruction* if the image of the natural map $\mathfrak{Y}(k) \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}(\mathbf{A}_{S,k})^{\text{ét}, \text{Br}}$ is dense.

4. THE MAIN RESULT

Recall our standing notation

$$1 \rightarrow G^\circ \rightarrow G \rightarrow H \rightarrow 1$$

where G is a linear algebraic group and G° is the connected component of the identity.

Lemma 4.1. *Let P be a H -torsor over k . We think of P as a homogeneous space over G . Let $G \hookrightarrow \text{SL}_n$ be a faithful representation. Then $P \times^G \text{SL}_n$ is a homogeneous space for SL_n with connected stabilizer.*

Proof. We can verify the statement by passing to the algebraic closure \bar{k} . Hence, we may assume that P is the trivial H -torsor. Then $P \times^G \text{SL}_n \cong \text{SL}_n/G^\circ$ and the result follows. \square

Theorem 4.2. *Let $\sigma \in H^1(k, H)$. Strong approximation holds for the twist $(BG^\circ)^\sigma$ off S with respect to $\text{Br}((BG^\circ)^\sigma)$.*

Proof. Note that we can always find a faithful representation $G \rightarrow \text{SL}_n$. Then by Proposition 2.9 and [4, Lemma 2.1], $(BG^\circ)^\sigma = [P \times^G \text{SL}_n / \text{SL}_n]$. Therefore, $P \times^G \text{SL}_n / \text{SL}_n \rightarrow (BG^\circ)^\sigma$ is an SL_n -torsor. By [4, Corollary 2.3], any SL_n -torsor is trivial over $\text{Spec}(\mathbf{A}_{S,k})$, so any adelic point $x \in (BG^\circ)^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_{S,k})$ must lift to $\tilde{x} \in [P \times^G \text{SL}_n / \text{SL}_n](\mathbf{A}_{S,k})$. Let q denote this lift.

By [4, Proposition 5.3], $\text{Br}((BG^\circ)^\sigma) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Br}(P \times^G \text{SL}_n)$. Therefore, if $x \in (BG^\circ)^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_{S,k})^{\text{Br}}$, then $\tilde{x} \in P \times^G \text{SL}_n(\mathbf{A}_{S,k})^{\text{Br}}$. This is easily seen from the Brauer-Manin pairing $\langle x, t \rangle = \langle \tilde{x}, q^*(t) \rangle = 0$.

Let U be an open neighborhood of x in the adelic topology. Then $q^{-1}(U)$ is an open neighborhood of \tilde{x} in the adelic topology. By Lemma 4.1 and [1, Theorem 0.1], strong

approximation holds for $P \times^G \mathrm{SL}_n$, so there exists an equivariant rational point $y \in q^{-1}(\mathcal{U})$. Hence, $q(y) \in \mathcal{U}$ is a rational point. This proves the claim. \square

Theorem 4.3. *Strong approximation for BG off a finite set of places S holds with respect to the étale Brauer-Manin obstruction.*

Proof. We must show that the image of $\mathrm{BG}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{BG}(\mathbf{A}_{S,k})^{\mathrm{ét}, \mathrm{Br}}$ is dense. By Lemma 3.2 and Proposition 2.8,

$$\mathrm{BG}(k) = \coprod_{\sigma \in H^1(k, H)} (\mathrm{BG}^\circ)^\sigma(k)$$

whence any k -point of BG lifts to a k -point of $(\mathrm{BG}^\circ)^\sigma$ for some $\sigma \in H^1(k, H)$.

By Theorem 4.2, the image of $(\mathrm{BG}^\circ)^\sigma(k) \rightarrow (\mathrm{BG}^\circ)^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_{S,k})^{\mathrm{Br}}$ is dense. Therefore, the image of

$$\mathrm{BG}(k) = \coprod_{\sigma \in H^1(k, H)} (\mathrm{BG}^\circ)^\sigma(k) \rightarrow \coprod_{\sigma \in H^1(k, H)} (\mathrm{BG}^\circ)^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_{S,k})^{\mathrm{Br}},$$

is dense. Moreover, by definition

$$\mathrm{BG}(\mathbf{A}_{S,k})^{\mathrm{ét}, \mathrm{Br}} \subseteq \coprod_{\sigma \in H^1(k, H)} (\mathrm{BG}^\circ)^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_{S,k})^{\mathrm{Br}},$$

so the image of $\mathrm{BG}(k) \rightarrow \mathrm{BG}(\mathbf{A}_{S,k})^{\mathrm{ét}, \mathrm{Br}}$ is dense. \square

Example 4.4. In the case where G is a finite, we have G° is trivial, and $H = G/G^\circ = G$. It follows that for $\sigma \in H^1(k, H) = H^1(k, G)$, we have $(\mathrm{BG}^\circ)^\sigma = \mathrm{Spec} k$. Thus, the Brauer-Manin obstruction of $(\mathrm{BG}^\circ)^\sigma$ is trivial, and the closure of $\mathrm{BG}(k)$ in $\mathrm{BG}(\mathbf{A}_k)$ is:

$$\coprod_{\sigma \in H^1(k, G)} (\mathrm{BG}^\circ)^\sigma(\mathbf{A}_{S,k})^{\mathrm{Br}} = H^1(k, G) = \mathrm{BG}(k)$$

That is, the k -points of BG are closed in the adelic topology in this case.

APPENDIX A. RIGHT GROUP ACTIONS ON STACKS AND GROUPOIDS

In this appendix, we will restate some definitions and results of [6] in terms of right actions. Then we will state the necessarily details for group actions when construction Galois twists of an algebraic stack.

Definition A.1. Let \mathfrak{X} be a category fibered in groupoids. A right action of G on \mathfrak{X} is a triple (μ, α, a) where $\mu : \mathfrak{X} \times G \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$ is a morphism such that the following diagrams are 2-commutative:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X} \times G \times G & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{X}} \times m} & \mathfrak{X} \times G \\ \mu \times \mathrm{id}_G \downarrow & \nearrow \alpha & \downarrow \mu \\ \mathfrak{X} \times G & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathfrak{X} \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X} \times G & \xrightarrow{\mu} & \mathfrak{X} \\ \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{X}} \times \{e\} \uparrow & \nwarrow a & \nearrow \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{X}} \\ \mathfrak{X} & & \end{array}$$

where e is the identity of G . In what follows we will write $\mu(x, g) = x \cdot g$ where x is an object (or a morphism) of \mathfrak{X} . Given $(x, g, h) \in \mathfrak{X} \times G \times G$ we write $\alpha_{g,h}^x : x \cdot g \cdot h \rightarrow x \cdot gh$ and $a^x : x \rightarrow x \cdot e$ for the value of the natural transformations α and a respectively on these objects. The above data is subject to the axioms:

- (1) $\alpha_{g,h,k}^x \circ (\alpha_{g,h}^x \cdot k) = \alpha_{g,hk}^x \circ \alpha_{h,k}^{x \cdot g}$ as morphisms $x \cdot g \cdot h \cdot k \rightarrow x \cdot ghk$.
- (2) $1_{x \cdot g} = (\alpha_{e,g}^x) \circ (a^x \cdot g)$ as morphisms $x \cdot g \rightarrow x \cdot g$.
- (3) $1_{x \cdot g} = (\alpha_{g,e}^x) \circ (a^{x \cdot g})$ as morphisms $x \cdot g \rightarrow x \cdot g$.

A strict action of G on \mathfrak{X} is a weak action where α and a are both the identity.

If G is a group acting weakly on \mathfrak{X} we denote the *transformation groupoid* ([6, §3]) by $[\mathfrak{X}/G]$. Recall that it is the category fibered in groupoids with

$$\text{obj}[\mathfrak{X}/G] = \text{obj}\mathfrak{X}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{[\mathfrak{X}/G]}(x, y) &= \{(\gamma, g) \mid g \in G, \gamma \in \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{X}}(x, y \cdot g)\} \\ &= \coprod_{g \in G} \text{Hom}_{\mathfrak{X}}(x, y \cdot g). \end{aligned}$$

Given $(\gamma, g) : x \rightarrow y$ and $(\delta, h) : y \rightarrow z$, the composition is defined by:

$$(\delta, h) \circ (\gamma, g) = (\alpha_{h,g}^z \circ (\delta \cdot g) \circ \gamma, hg)$$

Example A.2. One way of generating actions is from an equivalence of categories fibered in groupoids. Say $F : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ is such an equivalence, with quasi-inverse given by $G : \mathfrak{Y} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}$. If \mathfrak{Y} has a strict action of a group then \mathfrak{X} acquires a weak action of the same group. The action on \mathfrak{X} is given by $x \cdot g := G(F(x) \cdot g)$. One checks that this is a weak action by noting that $G \circ F \sim \text{id}_{\mathfrak{X}}$, and so:

$$x \cdot 1 = G(F(x) \cdot 1) = G \circ F(x) \cong x$$

$$x \cdot g \cdot h = G(F \circ G(F(x) \cdot g) \cdot h) \cong G(F(x) \cdot g \cdot h) = G(F(x) \cdot gh) = x \cdot gh$$

In this case, the action on \mathfrak{X} will be strict if and only if the equivalence is in fact an isomorphism of categories. Also note that the action on \mathfrak{Y} need not be strict in order to give \mathfrak{X} a weak action in this way.

Definition A.3. Suppose that \mathfrak{X} and \mathfrak{Y} have weak G -actions given by $(\mu_{\mathfrak{X}}, \alpha, a)$ and $(\mu_{\mathfrak{Y}}, \beta, b)$ respectively. An *equivariant morphism* $\mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ is given by a pair (F, σ) where $F : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ is a morphism of stacks or groupoids (see [12, 1.3 (ii)] or [6, 3.2]) and σ is a 2-morphism such that the following diagram 2-commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X} \times G & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathfrak{X}}} & \mathfrak{X} \\ F \times \text{id}_G \downarrow & \nearrow \sigma & \downarrow F \\ \mathfrak{Y} \times G & \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathfrak{Y}}} & \mathfrak{Y} \end{array}$$

In this setting, when given $(x, g) \in \mathfrak{X} \times G$, we write $\sigma_g^x : F(x) \cdot g \rightarrow F(x \cdot g)$ for the value of the natural transformation σ on this object. With this notation, we also require:

- (1) $F(\alpha_{g,h}^x) \circ \sigma_h^{x \cdot g} \circ (\sigma_g^x \cdot h) = \sigma_{gh}^x \circ \beta_{g,h}^{F(x)}$ as morphisms $F(x) \cdot g \cdot h \rightarrow F(x \cdot gh)$
- (2) $(\sigma_e^x)^{-1} \circ F(a^x) = b^{F(x)}$ as morphisms $F(x) \rightarrow F(x) \cdot e$

Given a G -equivariant morphism $(F, \sigma) : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$, there is an induced morphism $[F] : [\mathfrak{X}/G] \rightarrow [\mathfrak{Y}/G]$ defined on morphisms $(\gamma, g) : x \rightarrow y$ by:

$$[F](\gamma, g) = ((\sigma_y^y)^{-1} \circ F(\gamma), g) \in \text{Hom}_{[\mathfrak{Y}/G]}(F(x), F(y))$$

see [6, page 14].

Definition A.4. Suppose \mathfrak{X} and \mathfrak{Y} have weak G -actions given by $(\mu_{\mathfrak{X}}, \alpha, a)$ and $(\mu_{\mathfrak{Y}}, \beta, b)$ respectively. Given two G -equivariant morphisms (F, σ) and $(H, \tau) : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$ a G -equivariant 2-morphism $\Lambda : (F, \sigma) \Rightarrow (H, \tau)$ is a 2-morphism such that

$$\tau_g^x \circ (\Lambda^x \cdot g) = \Lambda^{x \cdot g} \circ \sigma_g^x \quad \text{as morphisms } F(x) \cdot g \rightarrow H(x \cdot g)$$

Remark A.5. Suppose that $\phi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$ is a group homomorphism, and \mathfrak{X} and \mathfrak{Y} have weak G_1 and G_2 actions respectively. Then ϕ induces a weak G_1 action on \mathfrak{Y} . In this case, it is reasonable to talk about an ϕ -equivariant morphism $(F, \sigma) : \mathfrak{X} \rightarrow \mathfrak{Y}$. Such a morphism is subject to the same conditions as in Example A.3, but with $\text{id}_G : G \rightarrow G$ in the diagram replaced with $\phi : G_1 \rightarrow G_2$. Moreover, given two such ϕ -equivariant morphisms (F, σ) and (H, τ) , it is reasonable to ask for a ϕ -equivariant 2-morphism $\Lambda : (F, \sigma) \Rightarrow (H, \tau)$. In this context we say that (F, σ) , (H, τ) , and Λ are ϕ -equivariant.

Proposition A.6. In the setting of the previous definition, Λ induces a 2-morphism:

$$[\Lambda] : [F] \Rightarrow [H]$$

defined by:

$$[\Lambda]^x = (b^{H(x)} \circ \Lambda^x, e).$$

Proof. This amounts to checking that for every $(\gamma, g) : x \rightarrow y$ in $[\mathfrak{X}]$ the following diagram commutes in $[\mathfrak{Y}/G]$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} [F](x) & \xrightarrow{[\Lambda]^x} & [H](x) \\ [F](\gamma, g) \downarrow & & \downarrow [H](\gamma, g) \\ [F](y) & \xrightarrow{[\Lambda]^y} & [H](y) \end{array}$$

If one unpacks the definitions, they see that this is asking for the outermost square of the following diagram to commute in \mathfrak{Y} :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} F(x) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda^x} & H(x) & \xrightarrow{b^{H(x)}} & H(x) \cdot e \\ F(\gamma) \downarrow & & H(\gamma) \downarrow & & \downarrow ((\tau_g^y)^{-1} \circ H(\gamma)) \cdot e \\ F(y \cdot g) & \xrightarrow{\Lambda^{y \cdot g}} & H(y \cdot g) & & H(y) \cdot g \cdot e \\ (\sigma_g^y)^{-1} \downarrow & & \searrow (\tau_g^y)^{-1} & & \downarrow \beta_{g, e}^{H(y)} \\ F(y) \cdot g & \xrightarrow{(b^{H(y)} \circ \Lambda^y) \cdot g} & H(y) \cdot e \cdot g & \xrightarrow{\beta_{e, g}^{H(y)}} & H(y) \cdot g \end{array}$$

The top left square commutes by the naturality of Λ . To show that the bottom left corner commutes, one recalls by (2) in Example A.1 that $1_{H(y) \cdot g} = (\beta_{e, g}^{H(y)}) \circ (b^{H(y)} \cdot g)$, so we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_{e, g}^{H(y)} \circ (b^{H(y)} \circ \Lambda^y) \cdot g \circ (\sigma_g^y)^{-1} &= \beta_{e, g}^{H(y)} \circ (b^{H(y)} \cdot g) \circ (\Lambda^y \cdot g) \circ (\sigma_g^y)^{-1} \\ &= 1_{H(y) \cdot g} \circ (\Lambda^y \cdot g) \circ (\sigma_g^y)^{-1} \\ &= (\tau_g^y)^{-1} \circ \Lambda^{y \cdot g} \end{aligned}$$

where the last equality follows from Example A.4.

The commutativity of the top right corner of the diagram depends only on (H, τ) and has nothing to do with (F, σ) or Λ . The commutativity can be shown using the naturality of τ , (2) in Example A.3 and (3) in Example A.1. \square

Example A.7. This example is well-known. We present it here in terms of the sheafification of transformation groupoids. Let G be a linear algebraic group over a field k and P a left G -torsor over k . Then there is a right action of G on $G \times P$ given by:

$$(g, p) \cdot h := (g^h, h^{-1}p) \quad \text{where } g^h = h^{-1}gh$$

The space obtained by taking the quotient of this action is called the *inner form* associated to P , and is denoted by G^P . It is in fact a group. To construct the multiplication map consider the morphism

$$G \times P \times G \times P \rightarrow G \times P$$

which, for a k -scheme S , is given on S -points by:

$$(g_1, p, g_2, p') \mapsto (g_1 g_3^{-1} g_2 g_3, p)$$

where g_3 is the unique S -point of G such that $p' = g_3 \cdot p$.

There is an action of $G \times G$ on $G \times P \times G \times P$ and an action of G on $G \times P$. One then checks that this morphism is π_2 -equivariant, where $\pi_2 : G \times G \rightarrow G$ is the projection onto the second factor.

This gives a morphism of transformation groupoids

$$[G \times P \times G \times P / G^2] \rightarrow [G \times P / G]$$

and hence a morphism $G^P \times G^P \rightarrow G^P$ after sheafifying. Similarly, to construct inversion and unit maps, one considers the morphisms $\iota : G \times P \rightarrow G \times P$ given by $(g, p) \mapsto (g^{-1}, p)$, and $\eta : P \rightarrow G \times P$ given by $p \mapsto (e, p)$ respectively. Since these morphisms are G -equivariant when P is given a right G -action by inverting its left G -action, it follows that these morphisms also give morphisms of transformation groupoids. After sheafifying, one gets morphisms $G^P \rightarrow G^P$ and $*$ $\rightarrow G^P$. One then readily checks that G^P becomes a group under these morphisms.

Example A.8. Let G be a linear algebraic group over a field k , let $m : G \times G \rightarrow G$ denote multiplication. Let \mathfrak{X} be a stack with a weak right action of G given by (μ_1, α, a) and let P be a left G -torsor with action denoted by μ_2 . There is a morphism $\mu : \mathfrak{X} \times P \times G \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \times P$ given by:

$$(x, p, g) \mapsto (x \cdot g, g^{-1}p)$$

that induces a weak right action of G on $\mathfrak{X} \times P$. The weak action is determined by the natural isomorphisms $\zeta : \mu \circ (\mu \times \text{id}_G) \Rightarrow \mu \circ (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{X} \times P} \times m)$ given by:

$$\zeta_{g,h}^{x,p} = \alpha_{g,h}^x \times \text{id}_{h^{-1}g^{-1}p} : (x \cdot g \cdot h, h^{-1}g^{-1}p) \rightarrow (x \cdot gh, h^{-1}g^{-1}p)$$

and $z : \text{id}_{\mathfrak{X} \times P} \Rightarrow \mu \circ (\text{id}_{\mathfrak{X}} \times e)$ given by:

$$z^{x,p} = a^x \times \text{id}_p : (x, p) \rightarrow (x \cdot e, p)$$

We will denote the quotient stack obtained by this action by \mathfrak{X}^P .

Proposition A.9. *In the situation of the above examples, the morphism*

$$\rho : \mathfrak{X} \times P \times G \times P \rightarrow \mathfrak{X} \times P$$

given by

$$(x, p, g, p') \mapsto (x \cdot (h^{-1}gh), p),$$

where h is the unique point of G that satisfies $h \cdot p = p'$, induces a weak group action

$$\bar{\rho} : \mathfrak{X}^P \times G^P \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}^P.$$

Proof. There is a $G \times G$ action on $\mathfrak{X} \times P \times G \times P$ given by combining the actions of the previous two examples. Explicitly

$$(x, p, g, hp) \cdot (s_1, s_2) = (x \cdot s_1, s_1^{-1}p, g^{s_2}, s_2^{-1}hp).$$

We need to show that ρ is π_1 -equivariant where $\pi_1 : G \times G \rightarrow G$ is the projection onto the first factor. That is, if we let (μ_1, α, a) (resp. μ_2) be the action of G on \mathfrak{X} (resp. P) then we need to give a 2-morphism σ such that the following diagram 2-commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X} \times P \times G \times P \times G \times G & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathfrak{X} \times P \times G \times P \\ \rho \times \pi_1 \downarrow & \nearrow \sigma & \downarrow \rho \\ \mathfrak{X} \times P \times G & \xrightarrow{\quad \mu \quad} & \mathfrak{X} \times P \end{array}$$

Given $(x, p, g, hp, s_1, s_2) \in \mathfrak{X} \times P \times G \times P \times G \times G$, then by going along the bottom path of the above diagram, we get $(x \cdot g^h \cdot s_1, s_1^{-1}p)$. Going along the top we get $(x \cdot s_1 \cdot g^{hs_1}, s_1^{-1}p)$. Since the P component of both of these pairs are the same, to give an isomorphism between them it suffices to give an isomorphism

$$x \cdot g^h \cdot s_1 \rightarrow x \cdot s_1 \cdot g^{hs_1}$$

in \mathfrak{X} . One then checks that if $\omega_{s,g}^x = (\alpha_{s,g}^x)^{-1} \circ \alpha_{g,s}^x$ then $\omega_{s_1}^{x,g^h}$ is the desired isomorphism. Thus

$$\sigma_{(s_1, s_2)}^{(x, p, g, hp)} = \omega_{s_1}^{x, g^h} \times \text{id}_{s_1^{-1}p}$$

This proves the existence of the morphism $\bar{\rho}$. It remains to check that $\bar{\rho}$ can be extended to an action $(\bar{\rho}, \bar{\lambda}, \bar{l})$. To do this, we will construct the appropriate natural isomorphisms on $\mathfrak{X} \times P \times G \times P$ and check that they are equivariant. Let m denote the morphism $G \times P \times G \times P \rightarrow G \times P$ constructed in Example A.7 which descends to multiplication $G^P \times G^P \rightarrow G^P$.

To construct λ is to give a 2-morphism such that the following diagram 2-commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathfrak{X} \times P \times G \times P \times G \times P & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{\mathfrak{X} \times P} \times m} & \mathfrak{X} \times P \times G \times P \\ \rho \times \text{id}_{G \times P} \downarrow & \nearrow \lambda & \downarrow \rho \\ \mathfrak{X} \times P \times G \times P & \xrightarrow{\quad \rho \quad} & \mathfrak{X} \times P \end{array}$$

Given $(x, p, g_1, h_1p, g_2, h_2p) \in \mathfrak{X} \times P \times G \times P \times G \times P$, then going along the top path of the above diagram we get $(x \cdot g_1^{h_1} g_2^{h_2}, p)$. Going along the bottom path we get $(x \cdot g_1^{h_1} \cdot g_2^{h_2}, p)$. Similar to defining σ , to give an isomorphism from the latter to the former it suffices to give an isomorphism

$$x \cdot g_1^{h_1} \cdot g_2^{h_2} \rightarrow x \cdot g_1^{h_1} g_2^{h_2}$$

in \mathfrak{X} . By definition, $\alpha_{g_1^{h_1}, g_2^{h_2}}^x$ is the desired isomorphism. So

$$\lambda_{(x, p, g_1, h_1p, g_2, h_2p)} = \alpha_{g_1^{h_1}, g_2^{h_2}}^x \times \text{id}_p$$

To show that λ descends as desired requires us to check that it is π_1 -equivariant, where $\pi_1 : G^3 \rightarrow G$ is the first projection, and $\mathfrak{X} \times P \times G \times P \times G \times P$ is given an action by G^3 action by combining the actions of G on $\mathfrak{X} \times P$ and $G \times P$. If one unpacks all of the definitions, constructions and computations, this reduces to checking that for all $(x, p, g_1, h_1 p, g_2, h_2 p, s) \in \mathfrak{X} \times P \times G \times P \times G \times P \times G$ the following diagram commutes in \mathfrak{X} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 x \cdot g_1^{h_1} g_2^{h_2} \cdot s & \xrightarrow{\omega_s^{x, g_1^{h_1} g_2^{h_2}}} & x \cdot s \cdot g_1^{h_1 s} g_2^{h_2 s} \\
 (\alpha_{g_1^{h_1} g_2^{h_2}}^x) \cdot s \uparrow & & \uparrow \alpha_{g_1^{h_1 s} g_2^{h_2 s}}^{x \cdot s} \\
 x \cdot g_1^{h_1} \cdot g_2^{h_2} \cdot s & \xrightarrow{(\omega_s^{x, g_1^{h_1}} \cdot g_2^{h_2 s}) \circ \omega_s^{x \cdot g_1^{h_1} g_2^{h_2}}} & x \cdot s \cdot g_1^{h_1 s} \cdot g_2^{h_2 s}
 \end{array}$$

Recall $\omega_s^{x, g} = (\alpha_{s, g}^x)^{-1} \circ \alpha_{g, s}^x$. The commutativity of this diagram can then be shown by repeated uses of (1) in Example A.1.

To construct l is to give a 2-morphism such that the following diagram 2-commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathfrak{X} \times P \times G \times P & \xrightarrow{\rho} & \mathfrak{X} \times P \\
 \text{id}_{\mathfrak{X} \times P} \times \eta \uparrow & \swarrow l & \nearrow \text{id}_{\mathfrak{X} \times P} \\
 \mathfrak{X} \times P & &
 \end{array}$$

Here η is the unit map as defined in Example A.7. Given $(x, p) \in \mathfrak{X} \times P$, then going along the top path we get $(x \cdot e, p)$ and going along the bottom path we get (x, p) . So we use: $l^{(x, p)} = \alpha^x \times \text{id}_p$ to make the diagram 2-commute. To show that l descends as desired requires us to check that it is G -equivariant. Similar to checking that λ descends, after unpacking, this problem reduces to checking that for all $(x, p, g) \in \mathfrak{X} \times P \times G$ the following diagram commutes in \mathfrak{X} :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 x \cdot e \cdot g & \xrightarrow{\omega_g^{x, e}} & x \cdot g \cdot e \\
 \alpha^{x \cdot g} \uparrow & & \uparrow \alpha^{x \cdot g} \\
 x \cdot g & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{x \cdot g}} & x \cdot g
 \end{array}$$

Since $\omega_g^{x, e} = (\alpha_{g, e}^x)^{-1} \circ \alpha_{e, g}^x$ this follows immediately from (2) and (3) in Example A.1.

Thus, both λ and l descend as desired. This produces the data required to define a weak group action of G^P on the transformation groupoid $[\mathfrak{X}/G]$. One readily checks that it satisfies the axioms of A.1. The action on quotient stacks is then obtained by stackifying. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Mikhail Borovoi and Cyril Demarche. “Manin obstruction to strong approximation for homogeneous spaces.” In: *Comment. Math. Helv.* 88.1 (2013), pp. 1–54.
- [2] Brian Conrad. “Weil and Grothendieck approaches to adelic points”. In: *Enseign. Math.* (2) 58.1-2 (2012), pp. 61–97.
- [3] Cyril Demarche. “Le défaut d’approximation forte dans les groupes linéaires connexes”. In: *Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society* 102.3 (Oct. 2010), pp. 563–597. ISSN: 0024-6115. DOI: [10.1112/plms/pdq033](https://doi.org/10.1112/plms/pdq033).
- [4] Ajneet Dhillon. *Approximation theorems for classifying stacks over number fields*. 2025. arXiv: [2507.13900](https://arxiv.org/abs/2507.13900) [[math.NT](#)].
- [5] Jordan S. Ellenberg, Matthew Satriano, and David Zureick-Brown. “Heights on stacks and a generalized Batyrev–Manin–Malle conjecture”. In: *Forum of Mathematics, Sigma* 11 (2023), e14. DOI: [10.1017/fms.2023.5](https://doi.org/10.1017/fms.2023.5).
- [6] Gregory Ginot and Behrang Noohi. *Group actions on stacks and applications to equivariant string topology for stacks*. 2016. arXiv: [1206.5603](https://arxiv.org/abs/1206.5603) [[math.AT](#)].
- [7] David Harari. “Le défaut d’approximation forte pour les groupes algébriques commutatifs”. In: *Algebra & Number Theory* 2.5 (2008), pp. 595–611.
- [8] David Harari and Alexei N. Skorobogatov. “Non-abelian cohomology and rational points”. In: *Compositio Math.* 130.3 (2002), pp. 241–273.
- [9] Serge Lang. *Algebraic number theory*. Second. Vol. 110. Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1994, pp. xiv+357.
- [10] Daniel Loughran and Tim Santens. *Malle’s conjecture and Brauer groups of stacks*. 2025. arXiv: [2412.04196](https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.04196) [[math.NT](#)].
- [11] Bjorn Poonen. *Rational points on varieties*. Vol. 186. Graduate Studies in Mathematics. American Mathematical Society, 2017.
- [12] Matthieu Romagny. “Group actions on stacks and applications”. In: *Michigan Math. J.* 53.1 (2005), pp. 209–236.
- [13] The Stacks Project Authors. *Stacks Project*. <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>. 2025.

AJNEET DHILLON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON, ONTARIO, CANADA, N6A 5B7

Email address: adhill13@uwo.ca

NICOLE LEMIRE, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON, ONTARIO, CANADA, N6A 5B7

Email address: nlemire@uwo.ca

JONATHAN MARTIN, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON, ONTARIO, CANADA, N6A 5B7

Email address: jmart383@uwo.ca

YIDI WANG, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF WESTERN ONTARIO, LONDON, ONTARIO, CANADA, N6A 5B7

Email address: ywan6443@uwo.ca