

Adaptation to time-varying environments in a reaction-diffusion model

Olivier Rivoire¹ and Guy Bunin²

¹*Gulliver, CNRS, ESPCI, Université PSL, 75005 Paris, France*

²*Department of Physics, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa 32000, Israel*

We present a spatially-extended system of chemical reactions exhibiting adaptation to time-dependent influxes of reactants. Here adaptation is defined as improved reproductive success, namely the ability of one of the many locally stable states available to the system to expand in space at the expense of other states. We find that adaptation can arise simply by environmental exposure to sequences of varying influxes. This adaptation is specific to the temporal sequence yet flexible enough to generalize to related sequences. It is enhanced through repeated exposure to the same environmental sequence, representing a form of learning, and through spatial interactions, enabling natural selection to act and representing a form of collective learning. Finally, adaptation benefits from a nearby adapted state, representing a form of teacher-guided learning. By combining environmental drives and reproduction within a stochastic reaction-diffusion dynamics framework, our model lays a foundation for a theory of adaptation grounded in physical principles.

I. INTRODUCTION

A hallmark of living systems is their ability to adapt to changing environments. In this biological context, adaptation refers to processes that lead to improvements in survival and reproductive success in specific environments. The notion of adaptation is therefore inextricably linked to that of reproduction, the generation of new entities with similar properties. Salient mechanisms of biological adaptation include evolutionary adaptation through natural selection and physiological adaptation through phenotypic plasticity. The latter includes learning, whereby adaptation improves with repeated exposure to stimuli. Adaptation through natural selection requires reproduction for the process of adaptation itself. On the other hand, physiological adaptation does not require reproduction to occur and is observed even in the simplest organisms, despite the apparent absence of dedicated mechanisms [1–3].

Currently, we lack theoretical models based on elementary physical processes that demonstrate specific adaptation to complex environments. This gap limits our understanding of biological organization, of its origins, and of the principles required to design artificial systems with comparable properties.

In previous work [4], we introduced a physically-grounded model of coupled reactions capable of adopting a large number of steady states (exponential in the number of reactions) when driven out of equilibrium in open reactors. These states are defined by which of the reactions are active and which are inhibited. Fluctuations in molecule numbers cause stochastic switches between these states, with successive states becoming more correlated. Allowing multiple reactors to exchange material by diffusion couples their dynamics, and enables certain states to spread such that neighboring reactors adopt states that are correlated, if not identical, to them. This process introduces a form of reproduction which, together with the system’s diversity (large number of states) and heredity (transitions between correlated states), provides the essential ingredients for Darwinian dynamics.

We previously studied this model under constant supply of reactants. Here, we consider temporally-varying conditions where influxes of reactants are turned on and off one at a time in particular sequences. We demonstrate that when subjected to such temporally varying environments, the system not only adapts specifically to the environmental sequence it experiences, but exhibits two distinct modalities of adaptation: self-adaptation through direct interaction with the environment even in the absence of reproduction, analogous to physiological adaptation, and

additional adaptation when reproduction through spatial expansion is enabled, analogous to evolutionary adaptation. This second modality can also be interpreted as “collective learning”, either in the presence of a “teacher” or only multiple “students”. Our model thus provides a minimal yet non-trivial example where specific adaptation to complex temporal sequences arises naturally from simple reactions together with diffusion.

II. MODEL: SINGLE REACTOR

A. Reaction dynamics

The system involves reactions in one or more coupled reactors, here described first for a single reactor. There are N reactions of the form $R_i \rightarrow A_i$, which are linked via sparse reciprocal inhibition relationships: When the product A_i of reaction $R_i \rightarrow A_i$ is present at high concentration, it prevents some of the other reactions $R_j \rightarrow A_j$ from occurring. These reactions take place in an open reactor in which each reactant R_i is supplied with an influx Λ_i and where constant dilution ensures a fixed volume. At any given time, we consider each reactant R_i to be either supplied ($\Lambda_i = 1$) or not supplied ($\Lambda_i = 0$). The simplest case with $N = 2$ reactions is illustrated in Fig. 1A. In this case, when both R_1 and R_2 are supplied, corresponding to the influx vector $\Lambda = (1, 1)$, two stable states are possible: one where A_1 is high in concentration and A_2 is low, and another where this is reversed. These concentrations are generally continuous but here we adopt a binary description valid at low noise (Fig. 1A and Methods) where one state is represented by $\sigma = (1, 0)$ and the other by $\sigma = (0, 1)$.

If instead only R_1 is supplied, corresponding to $\Lambda = (1, 0)$, only the first state $\sigma = (1, 0)$ is possible (see table in Fig. 1A). This generalizes to larger networks of reactions, represented by nodes in a graph (Fig. 1B), where more states are possible. In the case of a single well-mixed reactor of large volume where stochastic fluctuations are negligible, the stable steady states are characterized by a vector of binary variables $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^N$ that are local minima of the energy

$$E[\sigma] = - \sum_{i=1}^N \sigma_i \quad (1)$$

with constraints,

$$(1 - \Lambda_i)\sigma_i = 0, \quad \forall i \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_i\sigma_j = 0, \quad \forall i \mapsto j, \quad (2)$$

where the first constraint imposes that $\sigma_i = 0$ whenever $\Lambda_i = 0$, and the second that σ_i and σ_j cannot both be 1 when reactions i and j reciprocally inhibit each other.

Switches between discrete states $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^N$ are caused by noise due to the limited number of particles in the finite reactor volume. To explore the implications of such switches, we consider stochastic dynamics at a finite temperature T representing the finite-number fluctuations, with the energy again defined by Eq. (1). This description is valid within the binary description of the states under a low-noise condition (see Methods). We also consider time-dependent environmental variations consisting of instantaneous changes in the influxes Λ_i . The time τ between these changes is chosen to be long enough to allow the system to relax to the nearest stable state following each environmental shift, yet short compared to the timescale required for full relaxation of the entire system. The stochastic dynamics is simulated using a kinetic Metropolis Monte Carlo algorithm.




FIG. 1. Systems, states and environmental sequences. A system is specified by N nodes, with mutual inhibitions between some pairs of nodes, represented by \dashv . The environment Λ and the state of the system σ are N -dimensional vectors with elements in $\{0, 1\}$. Dynamically, a node becomes active, $\sigma_i = 1$, when its influx is turned on, $\Lambda_i = 1$, and no other node that inhibits it is active; a node becomes inactive as soon as its influx turns off, to $\Lambda_i = 0$. **A.** A system with $N = 2$ nodes, with an inhibitory interaction. When all influxes are on, i.e., $\Lambda = (1, 1)$, the system can be in two states, $\sigma = (1, 0)$ or $\sigma = (0, 1)$. Top graph: continuous dynamics, showing stochastic switches between the two states; bottom: the binary model used here. As indicated in the table, turning off one of the fluxes eliminates the possibility of one of the states. **B.** The same principles apply to larger networks combining multiple nodes and interactions between them, where more states are present and where the relation between the influxes and the states is more intricate, as illustrated here with a network of $N = 4$ nodes. **C.** An environmental sequence is defined by a series of $2m$ nodes (i_1, \dots, i_{2m}) where each node appears an even number of times. It represents a series of events where fluxes Λ_i are successively turned either on or off, starting and ending with all fluxes on. For example, in the environmental sequence (1, 2, 1, 2), Λ_1 is turned off, followed by Λ_2 , before Λ_1 is turned on, followed by Λ_2 . Here, the dynamics of the system with $N = 2$ is represented when experiencing two particular environmental sequences, (1, 2, 1, 2) and (1, 2, 2, 1), starting each time from the two possible initial conditions, $\sigma = (1, 0)$ and $\sigma = (0, 1)$. In this example, the final state depends on the environmental sequence while the initial state is forgotten.

B. Time-dependent environmental sequences

We consider environmental sequences where $\Lambda(t)$ changes by turning on or off one resource R_i at a time (Fig. 1C). Initially, all resources are supplied, with $\Lambda_i = 1$ for all i . The first step consists in turning off the supply of R_{i_1} by setting Λ_{i_1} to 0. Recursively, the k th step consists in setting Λ_{i_k} to 0 if it was 1, and to 1 if it was 0. We perform $2m$ such steps, where each i_k appears an




FIG. 2. Encoding of information from environmental sequences in final states. **A.** Mean distance between states reached after experiencing the same environmental sequence for varying values of m . Two trajectories are run under the same environmental sequence and the distance (normalized Hamming distance) between the two states at the end is reported. For m sufficiently large ($m/N \gg 1$) states obtained after the same environmental sequence are identical. Inset: mean number of active nodes (productivity) as a function of the number of steps with $m = 500$, starting from 3 different initial conditions, showing convergence. **B.** Number of different states reached after a long environmental sequence ($m = 1000$) when sampling uniformly environmental sequences, measured as the Shannon diversity, with an exponential fit, shown as a function of the number N of reactions. **C.** Distance between states obtained from two environmental sequences differing by a given number of swaps, with $m = 1000$. **D.** Mean number of different state-cycles for a given environmental sequence (from exhaustive search).

even number of times (possibly zero) so that after the last step, all the Λ_i have returned to 1. Thus, a given environmental sequence is represented by a series (i_1, \dots, i_{2m}) of reactions, where each reaction appears an even number of times. After each of the $2m$ steps, the system relaxes to the nearest stable state. These series of environmental changes generate transitions between states, as illustrated in Fig. 1C.

In the following figures, we consider $N = 50$ reactions with a pattern of reciprocal inhibition given by a random regular graph of connectivity $c = 3$. Unless otherwise mentioned, we show results averaged over 10 random graphs and, for each graph, over 1000 independent trajectories, all starting with an empty state where $\sigma_i = 0$ for all i . Below, we generalize the model by considering multiple coupled reactors.

III. ENCODING OF TEMPORAL SEQUENCES

First, we look at states obtained after applying an environmental sequence, and study how these states encode information on the sequence. To focus on the encoding effects, we set $T = 0$, so that when some Λ_i are turned on or off by setting them to 1 or 0, the state evolves to one of the nearest local minima of the energy function given by Eq. (1)-(2). As only one Λ_i is turned on or off at a time, the dynamics are deterministic provided the graph of inhibitory interactions does not contain triangles, as we consider in this section.

We fix an environmental sequence with a given m and, starting from different initial conditions,

record the states that we obtain after completing the environmental sequence. When $m/N \gg 1$, we obtain a single state independent of initial conditions (Fig. 2A). In this case, a large number of different final states is reached when sampling uniformly different environmental sequence. This number is exponential in N and not far from the total number of states when all $\Lambda_i = 1$ (Fig. 2B). In addition, the encoding is continuous, with similar environmental sequences on average mapping to similar states: the distance between final states varies gradually with the number of swaps by which two environmental sequences differ, where one swap from environmental sequence (i_1, \dots, i_{2m}) consists in randomly choosing $0 \leq k < k' \leq 2m$ and swapping i_k and $i_{k'}$ (Fig. 2C). When $m/N \sim 1$, repeating successively the same environmental sequence causes the system to converge to periodic dynamics, repeating the same sequence of states with each environmental period. The number of such state-cycles associated with a given environmental sequence scales as $e^{N\phi(m/N)}$ where $\phi(m/N)$ decreases to 0 as m/N increases (Fig. 2D).

IV. COMPETITIVE ADVANTAGE IN COUPLED REACTORS

To analyze whether the information from past environments encoded in a state confers an adaptive advantage, we must quantify whether a state can reproduce more effectively than another. We enable reproduction by introducing spatial extension in the form of multiple reactors coupled by diffusion. This setup allows reproduction in the sense that a state initially confined to a subset of reactors can spread to other reactors. Reproduction here does not require strict replication; rather, it necessitates heredity whereby newly formed states must be correlated with their parent. Since a state is defined by which reactions are active or inhibited, this correlation is measured by the fraction of reactions that are similarly active or inhibited in both parent and offspring states. Using this capacity to reproduce, two states can be competed against each other by seeding them in neighboring reactors. If, after some time, the reactors each contain states more similar to the first than the second, the first state is considered to have reproduced at the expense of the second, and thus to be better adapted to the environment in which the competition occurred.

A. Model: reaction-diffusion dynamics

Specifically, we consider coupling through diffusion $M > 1$ reactors arranged along a closed one-dimensional chain. The overall state of the system is represented by an $M \times N$ matrix $\sigma \in \{0, 1\}^{M \times N}$, whose dynamics are governed by an energy that generalizes Eq. (1),

$$E[\sigma] = - \sum_{x=0}^{M-1} \sum_{i=1}^N [\sigma_{x,i} + J\sigma_{x,i}\sigma_{(x+1),i}] \quad (3)$$

where $x+1$ is taken modulo M . The activation and inhibition constraints apply as before within each reactor x ,

$$(1 - \Lambda_i)\sigma_{x,i} = 0, \quad \forall x, i \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_{x,i}\sigma_{x,j} = 0, \quad \forall x, i \mapsto j. \quad (4)$$

The added spatial coupling term in Eq. (3) corresponds to diffusive dynamics in space; indeed, for continuous variables σ_i at temperature $T = 0$ where the dynamics are gradient descent in energy, this added energy term contributes to the dynamics a term of the form $d\sigma_{x,i}/dt = -\partial E/\partial \sigma_{x,i} = J[\sigma_{(x+1),i} + \sigma_{(x-1),i} - 2\sigma_{x,i}]$, which describes diffusion in discrete space (see Methods for discussion of the model with binary variables).




FIG. 3. Competition and adaptation. **A.** Two states obtained in independent reactors under cycles of two distinct environmental sequences ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are competed in two coupled reactors under cycles of environmental sequence ϵ_1 . Signed success is the fraction of times the state σ_3 resulting from the competition is more similar to σ_1 , the state evolved under the same environment, minus the fraction of cases where it is more similar to σ_2 . **B.** The two environmental sequences ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 share a number of last steps in common. Given that there are $2m$ steps, they are identical when steps/ $m = 2$. **C.** The environmental sequences of the two environments differ by n swaps, showing ability to discriminate changes in the order of steps within an environmental sequence. **D.** Two competing states are obtained from the same trajectory at different times, an young state σ_1 and a old state σ_2 . **E.** Here the old state is taken after 64 cycles, the young one from a varying number of cycles.

We start by considering two reactors coupled without periodic boundary conditions,

$$E[\sigma] = - \sum_{i=1}^N [\sigma_{1,i} + \sigma_{2,i} + J\sigma_{1,i}\sigma_{2,i}] \quad (5)$$

We set $m = 40$ so as to allow for multiple state-cycles ($m/N = 0.8$ given $N = 50$, see Fig. 2D). We also set the duration of each environmental step to $\tau = 10$ and the temperature to $T = 0.15$ to obtain approximately one state-cycle change every 10 environmental cycles that repeat a particular environmental sequence. Finally, we set $J = 0.5$.

B. Competition and quantifying adaptation

We rely on competitions between states obtained after cycles of different environmental sequences to assess the level of adaptation. Given two environmental sequences ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 , we run c cycles of environmental sequence ϵ_1 in one isolated reactor, resulting in state σ_1 , and c cycles of environmental sequence ϵ_2 in another isolated reactor, resulting in state σ_2 , each an N -dimensional vector in $\{0,1\}^N$. By concatenating these vectors into a $2 \times N$ matrix (σ_1, σ_2) , we construct the initial condition for a system with two coupled reactors, on which we run c' cycles of another environmental sequence ϵ'_1 , related (but not necessarily identical) to ϵ_1 , yielding the pair of states (σ_4, σ_3) (see Fig. 3A). By default, we set $c = 10^3$ and $c' = 10$.

Finally, we evaluate how much σ_1 contributed to σ_3 , in order to assess the impact of an adapted state in a neighboring reactor. We define the signed difference as 1 if σ_3 is closer to σ_1 than to σ_2 in terms of the Hamming distance; -1 if it is closer to σ_2 ; and 0 if it is equally similar to both.




FIG. 4. Generalization. **A.** Same scheme as Fig. 3A but **B.** the environmental sequences applied during the competition differs from the two used in the previous step. The environmental sequences ϵ_1 and ϵ_3 are independently derived by n swaps from a reference ϵ_0 , while the environmental sequence ϵ_2 is an independent random permutation of ϵ_0 . **C.** Generalization success as a function of n/m .

This quantity is then averaged over reaction networks, environmental sequences and stochastic trajectories to define a mean signed success.

V. ADAPTATION WITHOUT REPRODUCTION

Assessing whether a state is adapted requires competition through reproduction, which in our model involves multiple reactors that are coupled by diffusion. Yet obtaining an adapted state does not necessarily require reproduction. As we now demonstrate, it can arise in a single well-mixed reactor, before its adaptation becomes manifest in coupled reactors.

A. Adaptive success

We first consider competitions under cycles of an environmental sequence identical to that in which one of the competitors evolved, namely $\epsilon'_1 = \epsilon_1$. When ϵ_2 is unrelated to ϵ_1 , we observe that the signed success, averaged over different reaction networks, environmental sequences and trajectories, is close to 1 (Fig. 3B, leftmost point). This indicates that the final state in reactor 2 is almost always more similar to the initial state in reactor 1 than to that in reactor 2. This observation also holds when the two environments ϵ_1 and ϵ_2 are more subtly different, such as when they share several last steps (Fig. 3B), or differ by a finite number of swaps (Fig. 3C).

B. Adaptive improvement

One may wonder if the results shown in Fig. 3A,B,C can be attributed to the response to the last cycle only, independent of earlier environmental history. From a biological standpoint, one would like to differentiate between such a scenario, which is a basic form of plasticity, and learning, which is distinguished from other forms of plasticity by the fact that it improves upon repeated exposures. Here we demonstrate that such learning indeed happens by competing two states, σ_1 and σ_2 , taken along the same trajectory but differing in age (Fig. 3D). We find that, on average, the older state has an adaptive advantage over the younger one (Fig. 3E).




FIG. 5. Coupling to an adapted state. **A.** A teacher is defined as a state that went through cycles of an environmental sequence. This state seeds a reactor that is coupled to another reactor. After a varying number of cycles in the same environmental sequence (x-axis in B), the final state of the second reactor defines an instructed student. Similarly, an untaught student is defined in the absence of a teacher. Finally, the instructed and uninstructed students compete in two coupled reactors. **B.** Advantage in competition of being instructed as a function of the number of cycles in the second phase of the protocol. As expected, when learning over sufficiently many cycles, there is no longer an advantage to having a teacher present.

C. Generalization

From another perspective, that of machine learning, learning is a form of generalization. In this context, training involves examples drawn from a probability distribution, and testing other examples from the same distribution. To demonstrate this type of generalization in our model, we repeat the previous competition scheme (Fig. 4A), but now define a master environmental sequence ϵ_0 from which ϵ_1 and ϵ_3 are independently generated by performing a finite number of swaps, while ϵ_2 is obtained from ϵ_0 by an infinite number of swaps, representing an arbitrary permutation (Fig. 4B). The results show that adaptation does occur in this setting that requires generalization (Fig. 4C).

VI. ENHANCED ADAPTATION THROUGH INTERACTIONS

A. Coupling to an adapted state

To further demonstrate that adaptation occurs beyond environmental response, we analyze the effect of interacting with a reactor containing a previously adapted state (Fig. 5A). This teacher-student setting is implemented by a scheme where a “teacher” is first subjected to a number of environmental cycles, resulting in a state σ_0 . This state seeds one of two coupled reactors, while the other is initially empty, corresponding to the initial condition $(0, \sigma_0)$. The final state in this initially empty reactor defines a student σ_1 that benefited from the teacher. As a control, a second student σ_2 is defined that does not benefit from a teacher, corresponding to the initial condition $(0, 0)$. Finally, we seed two coupled reactors with (σ_1, σ_2) to evaluate whether interacting with a teacher confers an adaptive advantage. The results confirm that this is indeed the case, provided teaching occurs over a sufficiently long but not too long time (Fig. 5B). The advantage of teaching is indeed expected to decrease with teaching time, since the student can then learn by itself.

B. Coupling between unadapted systems

We now generalize to $M > 2$ coupled reactors arranged in a closed chain, as defined in Eq. (3)-(4). We first assess whether interacting reactors provide an advantage by pitting two states, σ_1 and σ_2 ,




FIG. 6. Coupling between unadapted systems. **A.** Competition in a system with M reactors between a state σ_1 obtained in a system of M reactors and a state σ_2 obtained in a system of $M' \leq M$ reactors. **B.** Results reporting that, on average, the state obtained in the context of more reactors wins ($M = 32$ and varying $M' \leq M$). **C.** Competition in two coupled reactors between a state obtained in the context of M reactors and a state obtained in a single reactor. **D.** Results reporting that, on average, the state obtained with more reactors wins (varying M).

originating from systems with different numbers of coupled reactors, M and $M' \leq M$ respectively (Fig. 6A). Competition is set in a system of M reactors by seeding $M/2$ consecutive reactors with σ_1 and the remainder with σ_2 . Results demonstrate that larger systems yield better adapted states (Fig. 6B).

To further illustrate that (natural) selection under competition confers advantages beyond adaptation in a single reactor, we consider competition between a state obtained in multiple coupled reactors and one obtained in a single reactor, now with competition set in a system of $M = 2$ reactors (Fig. 6C). The former generally outperforms the latter, indicating that interactions between reactors promotes adaptation (Fig. 6D).

VII. CONCLUSION

We extended a previously introduced model of coupled reactions occurring in open reactors connected by diffusion [4]. In earlier work, we studied this system under conditions where the reactants of reaction i were supplied at a constant rate Λ_i . Here, we studied this model in temporally-varying conditions, where the reactant influxes Λ_i no longer remain constant but change over time. Specifically, we introduced environmental sequences composed of $2m$ steps, where in each step a single particular influx Λ_i is turned on or off, starting and ending with all influxes on. We showed that the system's state can encode extensive information about past environmental variations that it has experienced. As in the case of constant environments, these states are adaptive, meaning that they confer a competitive advantage when multiple reactors are coupled in space. This adaptation is highly specific: even environmental sequences that differ only in steps far in the past or in the order of otherwise identical steps can be distinguished. Yet it is also generalizable, as exposure to one environmental sequence enhances performance when competing under a different but related

environmental sequence. Furthermore, adaptation is cumulative: repeated exposure to a given environmental sequence leads, on average, to increasing levels of adaptation. Finally, we found that adaptation within a reactor is strengthened through interactions with other reactors, both when those reactors themselves contain adaptive states and when they do not. Taken together, this reveals a capacity for highly-specific yet flexible adaptation to which several factors contribute, despite no explicit design: environmental exposure, repeated exposure, and interactions among systems.

A key feature of our model is the coupling of environmental response with spatial expansion, enabling a form of reproduction essential to the very definition of adaptation. In contrast, many evolutionary dynamics models rely on ad hoc fitness functions to link population growth with environmental fluctuations. For example, models that draw on Shannon’s communication theory and Kelly’s betting model to quantify the adaptive value of information via the long-term growth rate of reproducing populations [5–7] abstract away physical constraints, depend on ad hoc instantaneous multiplication rates, and treat reproductive and informational capacities as separate, independent attributes. More generally, the concept of information processing on which they rely presumes that environmental cues are symbolically interpreted independently of metabolic processes. Unlike these approaches, our model does not separate the encoding of environmental variations from the mechanisms that enable reproduction, thereby avoiding any ad hoc definitions of fitness or objective functions.

Another key feature of our model is that adaptation can arise solely from environmental exposure, independently of reproduction or any pre-established mechanisms. As demonstrated, adaptive states naturally emerge even in isolated reactors without reproduction and, consequently, without natural (pre-)selection. It is instructive to compare this with mechanisms of biological plasticity, which can also encode environmental variations into adaptive states following repeated exposure. An example is callosity, the thickening of skin in response to repeated mechanical stress. In such cases, however, the biological ability to physiologically acquire an adaptive trait typically has a genetic basis, so that mechanisms behind plasticity are themselves products of natural selection [8]. Physics alone thus does not explain why mechanical stress induces the development of thicker rather than thinner skin. In contrast, our model demonstrates adaptation in the absence of any prior natural selection. Other replicating physical systems might not share this capacity of self-adaptation, and elucidating the conditions that enable it poses an interesting open question.

Our approach is grounded in physical processes. As such, it is related to studies investigating how disordered materials such as glasses, gels, and granular materials, or mechanical metamaterials composed of hysteretic elements, encode and retain memory of past history [9–11]. However, these models typically lack reproductive mechanisms and thus do not exhibit adaptation *per se*. Conversely, proposals for replicating soft-matter systems generally do not possess the rich diversity of internal states necessary to produce specific responses to complex stimuli [12–14]. Notable exceptions include systems based on DNA-mediated interactions [15, 16]. For instance, multi-type crystals, which reproduce via crystal growth and scission, have been proposed to display complex adaptive mechanisms [17]. In contrast to our model that exhibits adaptation with minimal prior design of reproduction, these systems rely on elaborate engineering to first achieve faithful replication.

Finally, we presented our results in the context of a simple binary variable model but they are not restricted to this setting. For instance, they are also observed in a model with continuous variables [4] (Fig. 7).




FIG. 7. Adaptation to complex environments in a model with continuous variables [4]. Left: Evolution under repeated exposure (10 cycles) of two environmental sequences ($m = 25$). The x-axis represents time, and the y-axis represents one-dimensional space. States are colored based on their proximity to two of the final states, with red indicating closeness to a final state with the first environmental sequence (top), and blue to one with the second (bottom). Right: Competition between these final states under repeated exposure (5 cycles) to each environmental sequence. Initially, half of the space is seeded with one final state and the other half with the other (as in Fig. 6A). After a few cycles, all reactors contain states close to the final state corresponding to their respective environmental sequence.

METHODS

Here we describe how the present model is related to the one previously described in [4]. There, the dynamics of the concentration n_i of product A_i in a large, well-mixed reactor are governed by the following coarse-grained equations:

$$\partial_t n_i = c_i(n) - \delta n_i \quad (6)$$

where δ is the dilution rate and

$$c_i(n) = \frac{\Lambda_i}{1 + \Gamma \sum_{j \rightarrow i} \max(0, n_j - B)} \quad (7)$$

where Λ_i represents the influx of resources (including catalysts) enabling the formation of A_i , Γ the strength by which reactions $j \rightarrow i$ inhibits the formation of A_i , and B a minimal concentration that A_j must reach to have an inhibitory effect. We showed how this dynamics can arise from the association and dissociation of simple building blocks subject to Brownian motion [4].

Here we implement the spatial extension via well-mixed reactors coupled by diffusion. We couple two reactors by assuming that elements diffuse from one reactor to the other at a rate ϕ . If \mathcal{S}_x denotes the set of reactors coupled to reactor x and $n_{x,i}$ the concentration of A_i in reactor x , this is described by

$$\partial_t n_{x,i} = c_i(n_{x,\cdot}) - \delta n_{x,i} + \phi \sum_{y \in \mathcal{S}_x} (n_{y,i} - n_{x,i}). \quad (8)$$

We describe the stochasticity arising from the finite volume Ω of each reactor through chemical Langevin equations.

Following [4], we make the assumptions that $\Gamma > 1/B$ and that $\Lambda/\delta > B$ in order to enable multistability. At low noise, each product A_i is either inhibited, with $n_i < B$, or active with $n_i \sim \Lambda_i/\delta$. In this work, we describe these two possibilities by a binary variable, σ_i , which takes the values 0 or 1. We previously showed that, as $B \rightarrow 0$, when A_i inhibits the production of A_j , denoted by $i \dashv j$, the rate at which (σ_i, σ_j) switches from $(0, 1)$ to $(1, 0)$ is controlled by the rate at which the concentration of A_i fluctuates below B . This rate is of the form $w \sim e^{-\sqrt{\Omega}\Lambda_i/\delta}$, where Ω is the volume of the reactor. More generally, because inhibitions are reciprocal of the form $i \dashv j$, we demonstrated that transitions between states in a single well-mixed reactor satisfy a detailed balance condition. The dynamics can therefore be described by kinetic Metropolis rates with an energy, namely

$$E[\sigma] = -\sum_i (\Lambda_i/\delta) \sigma_i \quad (9)$$

and a temperature $T = 1/\sqrt{\Omega}$. This energy is understood with the constraints that $\sigma_i = 0$ whenever $\Lambda_i = 0$ and that $\sigma_i \sigma_j = 0$ whenever $i \dashv j$. If $\delta = 1$ and $\Lambda_i \in \{0, 1\}$ as we assume, this can also be written as $E[\sigma] = -\sum_i \sigma_i + K \sum_i (1 - \Lambda_i) \sigma_i + K' \sum_{i \dashv j} \sigma_i \sigma_j$ with $K, K' \rightarrow \infty$.

To describe the dynamics of coupled reactors, we consider the case where the diffusion rate is small, $\phi \ll 1$. In this case, state transitions in a single reactor effectively occur with a modified flux $\tilde{\Lambda}_{x,i}$ that includes the diffusion from neighboring reactors \mathcal{S}_x ,

$$\tilde{\Lambda}_{x,i} = \left(1 + \phi \sum_{y \in \mathcal{S}_x} (\sigma_{y,i} - \sigma_{x,i}) \right) \Lambda_i \quad (10)$$

where Λ_i , the influx of reactants for reaction i , is assumed to be common to all reactors. More complicated transitions involving simultaneous changes in multiple reactors can be neglected in this limit of $\phi \ll 1$. Assuming that all reactors are coupled to the same number r of other reactors, the joint dynamics of states as governed by the energy

$$E[\sigma] = -(1 - r\phi)/\delta \sum_{x,i} \sigma_{x,i} - \phi/\delta \sum_{y \in \mathcal{S}_x} \sigma_{x,i} \sigma_{y,i} \quad (11)$$

This is the form that we use in Eq. (3), up to a multiplicative factor. The value used for J in our simulations, $J = 0.5$ is not so small, but results are qualitatively similar to the continuous model, see Fig. 7.

-
- [1] I. Tagkopoulos, Y.-C. Liu, and S. Tavazoie, Predictive behavior within microbial genetic networks, *Science* **320**, 1313 (2008).
 - [2] A. Mitchell, G. H. Romano, B. Groisman, A. Yona, E. Dekel, M. Kupiec, O. Dahan, and Y. Pilpel, Adaptive prediction of environmental changes by microorganisms, *Nature* **460**, 220 (2009).
 - [3] S. J. Gershman, P. E. Balbi, C. R. Gallistel, and J. Gunawardena, Reconsidering the evidence for learning in single cells, *Elife* **10**, e61907 (2021).
 - [4] G. Bunin and O. Rivoire, Evolutionary features in a minimal physical system: Diversity, selection, growth, inheritance, and adaptation, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* **122**, e2425753122 (2025).
 - [5] J. L. Kelly, A new interpretation of information rate, the Bell system technical journal **35**, 917 (1956).
 - [6] C. T. Bergstrom and M. Lachmann, Shannon information and biological fitness, *Information theory workshop (IEEE, 2004)* pp. 50–54.
 - [7] O. Rivoire and S. Leibler, The value of information for populations in varying environments, *Journal of Statistical Physics* **142**, 1124 (2011).

- [8] G. G. Simpson, The Baldwin effect, *Evolution* **7**, 110 (1953).
- [9] N. C. Keim, J. D. Paulsen, Z. Zeravcic, S. Sastry, and S. R. Nagel, Memory formation in matter, *Reviews of Modern Physics* **91**, 035002 (2019).
- [10] M. Stern and A. Murugan, Learning without neurons in physical systems, *Annual Review of Condensed Matter Physics* **14**, 417 (2023).
- [11] C. M. Meulblok, A. Singh, M. Labousse, and M. van Hecke, Path-dependency and emergent computing under vectorial driving, *arXiv preprint arXiv:2503.07764* (2025).
- [12] L. S. Penrose, Self-reproducing machines, *Scientific American* **200**, 105 (1959).
- [13] G. von Kiedrowski, A self-replicating hexadeoxynucleotide, *Angewandte Chemie International Edition in English* **25**, 932 (1986).
- [14] Y. Sakref and O. Rivoire, Design principles, growth laws, and competition of minimal autocatalysts, *Communications Chemistry* **7**, 239 (2024).
- [15] T. Wang, R. Sha, R. Dreyfus, M. E. Leunissen, C. Maass, D. J. Pine, P. M. Chaikin, and N. C. Seeman, Self-replication of information-bearing nanoscale patterns, *Nature* **478**, 225 (2011).
- [16] R. Schulman, B. Yurke, and E. Winfree, Robust self-replication of combinatorial information via crystal growth and scission, *Proceedings of the national academy of sciences* **109**, 6405 (2012).
- [17] R. Schulman and E. Winfree, How crystals that sense and respond to their environments could evolve, *Natural Computing* **7**, 219 (2008).