

Triangular Arrays using context-free grammar

AUBERT Voalaza Mahavily Romuald

aubert@aims.ac.za

RANDRIANIRINA Benjamin

rabazarand@gmail.com

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science

Laboratoire de Mathématiques et Applications de l'Université de Fianarantsoa (LaMAF)

University of Fianarantsoa

Abstract

In this work, the grammar of Hao

$$G = \{ u \rightarrow u^{b_1+b_2+1}v^{a_1+a_2}, \quad v \rightarrow u^{b_2}v^{a_2+1} \},$$

together with the correspondence between grammars and Combinatorial Differential Equations, is employed to obtain an interpretation of any triangular array of the form

$$T(n, k) = (a_2n + a_1k + a_0)T(n-1, k) + (b_2n + b_1k + b_0)T(n-1, k-1).$$

Explicit formulas and structural properties are then derived through Analytic Differential Equations. In particular, the r -Whitney–Eulerian numbers and the cases where $b_2n + b_1k + b_0 = 1$ are obtained explicitly.

Applications include new interpretation formulas for the r -Eulerian numbers with generating function for a special case.

Keywords: triangular recurrence, formal grammar, Combinatorial operators, differential equations, r -Eulerian, combinatorial interpretation, r -Whitney–Eulerian.

Introduction

In mathematics, certain numbers satisfy a triangular recurrence of the form

$$T(n, k) = (a_0 + a_1k + a_2n)T(n-1, k) + (b_0 + b_1k + b_2n)T(n-1, k-1). \quad (1)$$

After discussing the binomial coefficients, the Stirling numbers, and the Eulerian numbers, Graham et al. [23] proposed a generalization problem of the form (1). In combinatorics, several approaches have been developed regarding these kinds of numbers: the GKP numbers¹. One of the results is due to Neuwirth [20]², who obtained an explicit formula for the case $b_2 = 0$

¹Graham, Knuth, and Patashnik.

²It is what Spivey([21] said. I check this paper: it is not there, maybe in another literature).

using the Galton triangle. Spivey [21] found several cases using finite differences. Analytical approaches have also appeared in various works: Théorêt [2], Wilf [22], Barbero [24].

Grammatical approaches and interpretations have also already been developed by Hao and Zhou [1, 3]. We will use their results involving grammar relations and differential equations (Randrianirina, Dumont [12, 9]) to better understand these families $(T(n, k))_{n, k \geq 0}$.

The recurrence (1) generally arises in the enumeration of combinatorial structures and objects. Moreover, the associated grammar can provide new combinatorial interpretations of the numbers $(T(n, k))_{n, k \geq 0}$, as Ramírez [31] did for the r -Whitney numbers.

We consider an initial conditions usually taken as

$$T(0, 0) = 1, \quad T(n, k) = 0 \text{ if } n < \max(k, 0). \quad (2)$$

We remain in the setting where $a_0 + a_1k + a_2n \neq 0$ and $b_0 + b_1k + b_2n \neq 0$. It is more convenient for us to work in the case where $b_0 + b_1k + b_2n = 1$. However, generalizations of Eulerian numbers where $b_0 + b_1k + b_2n \neq 1$ are also important examples when discussing sequences satisfying (1).

This task begins by recalling the background needed to understand the resolution of combinatorial systems of differential equations. We then present the method we will use, which combines context-free grammars with systems of differential equations. Finally, we conclude with several applications.

This is part of the Master's thesis written by Aubert ([34]) that has been extended here.

1 Recalls

In this section, we recall the notions required for understanding this work. These include weighted sets, the theory of species, and the solution of combinatorial differential equations.

Definition 1.1 (Weighted set). *A weighted set is a pair (E, v) where E is a set and v is a valuation: a map from E to a ring \mathbb{A}^3 . The natural notion of a morphism between weighted sets is a map that preserves weights; that is, $f : (E, v) \longrightarrow (F, w)$ is a morphism if*

$$\forall x \in E : \quad w(f(x)) = v(x).$$

Consequently, an *isomorphism* of weighted sets is simply a bijective morphism. Moreover, for any subset $A \subseteq E$, the weight of A is defined as the sum of the weights of its elements: $|A|_v := \sum_{x \in A} v(x)$. It is clear that if $f : (E, v) \longrightarrow (F, w)$ is an injective morphism and $A \subseteq E$, then $|f(A)|_w = |A|_v$. In particular, the classical property of weighted sets is that an isomorphism preserves the total weight.

1.1 Some reminders on species theory

Definition 1.2. *A \mathbb{B} -species is an endofunctor \mathcal{F} of \mathbb{E}^4 . The elements $\mathcal{F}(X)$ are the \mathcal{F} -structures on X for each finite set X , and $\mathcal{F}(\sigma)$ is called the transport of structure along σ for each bijection*

³Addition and multiplication are required.

⁴ \mathbb{E} is the category of finite sets with bijections.

σ .

A \mathbb{L} -species (weighted) is a functor from the category of totally finite sets with increasing bijections to the category of summable \mathbb{A} -weighted sets.

This definition is addressed to readers familiar with the language of categories. It appears in Joyal [15]. Understanding it requires some knowledge of category theory (see MacLane [16] or Riehl [17]).

Otherwise, one can understand a \mathbb{B} -species without talking too much about categories: a species of structures \mathcal{F} associates:

- to each finite set A , a finite set $\mathcal{F}(A)$, called the *set of structures on A* ;
- to each bijection $\sigma : A \rightarrow B$, a map

$$\mathcal{F}(\sigma) : \mathcal{F}(A) \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}(B),$$

called the *transport of structures along the bijection σ* , satisfying:

1. $\mathcal{F}(\tau \circ \sigma) = \mathcal{F}(\tau) \circ \mathcal{F}(\sigma)$, for all bijections $\sigma : U \rightarrow V$, $\tau : V \rightarrow W$,
2. $\mathcal{F}(\text{id}_A) = \text{id}_{\mathcal{F}(A)}$.

While an \mathbb{L} -species is a construction \mathcal{F}_v that, to each finite totally ordered set l , associates a weighted set $\mathcal{F}_v[l]$, the set of \mathcal{F}_v -structures, and to the unique increasing bijection $\sigma : l \rightarrow l'$, associates an increasing bijection preserving the weight

$$\mathcal{F}[\sigma] : \mathcal{F}[l] \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}[l'],$$

the transport of structure, such that

$$\mathcal{F}[\sigma\tau] = \mathcal{F}[\sigma] \mathcal{F}[\tau] \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}[1_l] = 1_{\mathcal{F}[l]}.$$

Being a functor, a species preserves isomorphisms (bijections). Thus, for a \mathbb{B} -species \mathcal{F} , the cardinality of $\mathcal{F}(X)$ depends only on the cardinality of X . We also associate to every species \mathcal{F} a generating series:

$$\mathcal{F}(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} |\mathcal{F}([n])| \frac{t^n}{n!},$$

where $|\mathcal{F}([n])|$ is either the cardinality or the total weight depending on the type of species.

Definition 1.3 (12). A mixed species is a functor $\mathcal{F} : \mathbb{L} \times \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_A$, where \mathbb{E}_A is the category of summable A -weighted sets. It is therefore a rule that:

1. For each pair (l, U) , where l is a finite totally ordered set and U any finite set, associates a summable weighted set $\mathcal{F}_v[l, U]$,
2. For each pair $(\tau, \sigma) : (l, U) \rightarrow (l_0, U_0)$, where $\tau : l \xrightarrow{\sim} l_0$ is the unique increasing bijection and $\sigma : U \rightarrow U_0$ is any bijection, associates an isomorphism of weighted sets

$$\mathcal{F}[\tau, \sigma] : \mathcal{F}[l, U] \rightarrow \mathcal{F}[l_0, U_0],$$

called the *transport of structures*, and satisfying $\mathcal{F}[\tau, \sigma] \mathcal{F}[\tau', \sigma'] = \mathcal{F}[\tau\tau', \sigma\sigma']$ and $\mathcal{F}[1_l, 1_U] = 1_{\mathcal{F}[l, U]}$.

One of the important facts in the theory of species concerns the operations on species. One can form a sum, a product, a composition, a derivative, and an integral.

Definition 1.4. *Let \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} be two species.*

- *We define the sum species by*

$$(\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G})[l] = \mathcal{F}[l] \sqcup \mathcal{G}[l].$$

- *We define the product species by*

$$(\mathcal{F} \times \mathcal{G})[l] = \sum_{(l_1, l_2)} \mathcal{F}[l_1] \times \mathcal{G}[l_2].$$

- *If $\mathcal{G}[\emptyset] = \emptyset$, the composition of \mathcal{F} by \mathcal{G} is defined by:*

$$(\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G})[l] = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{P}ar[l]} \mathcal{F}[\pi] \times \prod_{b \in \pi} \mathcal{G}[b].$$

- *If \mathcal{F} is an \mathbb{L} -species, we define the derivative and integral species by*

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}'[[n]] &= \frac{d}{dT} \mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}[0 \oplus [n]]; \\ (\int \mathcal{F})[\emptyset] &= \emptyset; \\ (\int \mathcal{F})[l] &= \mathcal{F}[l \setminus \{\min(l)\}]. \end{aligned}$$

Now, let us list some immediate properties coming from these definitions:

- $(\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G})(t) = \mathcal{F}(t) + \mathcal{G}(t);$
- $(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G})(t) = \mathcal{F}(t)\mathcal{G}(t);$
- $(\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G})(t) = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G}(t));$
- $(\mathcal{F}')(t) = \frac{d}{dt}(\mathcal{F}(t));$
- $(\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G})' = \mathcal{F}' + \mathcal{G}';$
- $(\mathcal{F}\mathcal{G})' = \mathcal{F}'\mathcal{G} + \mathcal{F}\mathcal{G}';$
- $(\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G})' = \mathcal{F}'(\mathcal{G})\mathcal{G}';$
- $\int \mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{F}^+, \int (\mathcal{F})' = \mathcal{F}, (\int \mathcal{F})(t) = \int_0^t \mathcal{F}(x) dx,$
 $\int (\mathcal{F} + \mathcal{G}) = \int \mathcal{F} + \int \mathcal{G} \quad \text{and} \quad \int \mathcal{F}'(\mathcal{G})\mathcal{G}' = (\mathcal{F} \circ \mathcal{G})^{+5}.$

A more complete and detailed account of these theories, with examples may be found in Bergeron [14] or Randrianirina [12, 18].

⁵ \mathcal{F}^+ is the species identical to \mathcal{F} except that $\mathcal{F}^+[\emptyset] = \emptyset$.

1.2 Solving combinatorial differential equations

This method is essentially due to P. Leroux and G.X. Viennot (see 19).

In Randrianirina [18] or Bergeron [14], every formal series in $\mathbb{Q}[[x, t]]$ of the form $F(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} a_n \frac{t^n}{n!}$, where $a_n \in \mathbb{A} = \mathbb{Z}[[x]]$, is the generating series of a weighted \mathbb{L} -species (not uniquely).

Thus, to any analytic equation $y' = F(y)$, one can associate a combinatorial equation:

$$Y' = \mathcal{F}(Y),$$

where $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}(T)$ is a species whose generating series is $\mathcal{F}(t) = F(t)$.

In full generality, the data of an \mathbb{L} -species or any species \mathcal{F} allow us to write the combinatorial differential equation:

$$Y' = \mathcal{F}(Y); \quad Y(0) = Z \quad (3)$$

where Z is a sort of point representing the initial condition.

Intuitively, to solve (3), we look for a species $Y = \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(T, Z)$ satisfying

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T} \mathcal{G} = \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G}) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{G}(0, Z) = Z.$$

In other words, equation (3) can also be written in the form:

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial T} Y = \mathcal{F}(Y) ; \quad Y(0, Z) = Z \quad (4)$$

Definition 1.5 ([18]). *A solution of equation (3) is a pair (\mathcal{G}, ϕ) where:*

1. $\mathcal{G} = \mathcal{G}(T, Z)$ is an \mathbb{L} -species if \mathcal{F} is an \mathbb{L} -species, and a mixed species if \mathcal{F} is a \mathbb{B} -species.
2. $\phi : \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}(\mathcal{G})$ is an isomorphism of \mathbb{L} -species or of mixed species.

Remark 1.1. *To equation (3), one associates the analytic differential equation*

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial t} = \mathcal{F}(y) ; \quad y(0, z) = z$$

Equation (3) may be written in integral form:

$$Y(T, Z) = Z + \int_0^T \mathcal{F}(Y(X, Z)) dX \quad (5)$$

The integral equation (5) is interpreted by Figure 1, which is an iterative process for constructing the combinatorial solution of equation (3). The general solution is the increasing \mathcal{F} -enriched tree.




Figure 1: Integral equation

Given k -sorted species $(\mathcal{F}_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ which are all either \mathbb{B} -species or all \mathbb{L} -species, and point-sorts Z_i representing the initial conditions, consider the system of combinatorial differential equations:

$$Y'_i = \mathcal{F}_i(Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k), \quad Y_i(0) = Z_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k \quad (6)$$

Definition 1.6. A solution of system (6) is a pair (\vec{A}, ϕ) , where $\vec{A} = (A_1, A_2, \dots, A_k)$ is a k -tuple of mixed species of type $\mathbb{L} \times \mathbb{B}^k \rightarrow \epsilon_A$ if all the \mathcal{F}_i are \mathbb{B} -species, or $(k+1)$ -sorted \mathbb{L} -species if all the \mathcal{F}_i are \mathbb{L} -species, and $\phi = (\phi_1, \phi_2, \dots, \phi_k)$ is a k -tuple of isomorphisms

$$\phi_i : \frac{\partial A_i}{\partial T} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_i(\vec{A}).$$

Let us set $\vec{\mathcal{F}} = (\mathcal{F}_1, \mathcal{F}_2, \dots, \mathcal{F}_k)$, $\vec{Y} = (Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_k)$ and $\vec{Z} = (Z_1, Z_2, \dots, Z_k)$. The system (6) can be written in integral form:

$$Y_i(T, Z) = \int_0^T \mathcal{F}_i(\vec{Y}(X, \vec{Z})) dX, \quad Y_i(0) = Z_i \quad (7)$$

This system of integral equations (7) is interpreted by Figure 2. To construct a Y -structure, it is enough to iterate the process.




Figure 2: System of integral equations

2 Approach using grammar

Let $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots\}$ be a set and $C[X]$ a commutative algebra of polynomials in the letters x_i . A grammar G on X is a map from X to $C[X]$. In this case, X is the alphabet. The basic notion of context-free grammar is found in Chen [10] or Dumont [9].

We remain in the case where the alphabet X is finite. For each grammar G , we associate a differential operator

$$\mathcal{D} = \sum_{x \in X} G(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x}.$$

Consider now a sequence $(T(n, k))_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}}$ that verifies (1):

$$T(n, k) = (a_2 n + a_1 k + a_0)T(n-1, k) + (b_2 n + b_1 k + b_0)T(n-1, k-1).$$

We try to use what de Hao [1] did. Let G be grammar

$$G = \{u \rightarrow u^{b_1+b_2+1} v^{a_1+a_2}, \\ v \rightarrow u^{b_2} v^{a_2+1}\}. \quad (8)$$

Proposition 2.1 ([1]). *On a:*

$$\mathcal{D}^n(u^{b_0+b_1+b_2}v^{a_0+a_2}) = \sum_{k=0}^n T(n, k)u^{b_2n+b_1k+b_0+b_1+b_2}v^{a_2n+a_1k+a_0+a_2}. \quad (9)$$

For $x \in C[X]$, we associate an exponential generating function

$$\text{Gen}(x, t) := \sum_{n \geq 0} \mathcal{D}^n(x) \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

It is easy to verify that \mathcal{D} is a *derivation* on $C[X]$, that is, a linear map satisfying the *Leibniz rule*⁶. Thus, for all $x, y \in C[X]$,

$$\text{Gen}(x + y, t) = \text{Gen}(x, t) + \text{Gen}(y, t) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Gen}(xy, t) = \text{Gen}(x, t)\text{Gen}(y, t). \quad (10)$$

It is also clear that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} \text{Gen}(x, t) = \mathcal{D}(\text{Gen}(x, t)).$$

From this, Dumont ([9]) discussed, stated as a proposition in Randrianirina [12] the following:

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\vec{y}(t) = (y_i(t))_{i=1, \dots, n}$ be the solution of the analytic differential system*

$$y'_i = G_i(\vec{y}(t)), \quad y_i(0) = x_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Then for each i , $\text{Gen}(x_i, t) = y_i(t)$.

The version using species theory is presented in Randrianirina [18] as follows:

Theorem 2.1. *Given asymmetric species $(G_i)_{i=1, \dots, n}$, the following data are equivalent:*

1. *the combinatorial differential system*

$$Y'_i = G_i(\vec{Y}); \quad Y_i(0) = X_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n;$$

2. *the associated combinatorial differential operator*

$$\mathcal{D} = \sum_{i=1}^n G_i(\vec{X}) \frac{\partial}{\partial X_i};$$

3. *William Chen's grammar*

$$G : x_i \mapsto G_i(\vec{x}); \quad i = 1, \dots, n;$$


4. *the analytic differential system*

$$y'_i = G_i(\vec{y}(t)); \quad y_i(0) = x_i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n.$$

⁶The Leibniz rule means that for $f, g \in C[X]$, one has $\mathcal{D}(fg) = \mathcal{D}(f)g + f\mathcal{D}(g)$.

Recall that a species \mathcal{G} is *asymmetric* if for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and for all $s, t \in \mathcal{G}([n])$, the existence of a bijection $\sigma : [n] \rightarrow [n]$ implies $s = t$.

To summarize, we have the following diagram,



Example 2.1 (The r -Whitney-Eulerian numbers $A_{m,r}(n, k)$). In Foata [5], ${}^r A(n, k)$ is defined as the number of $\sigma \in S_n$ having k r -excedances, where $j \in [n]$ is an r -excedance of σ if $j + r \leq \sigma(j)$. Here we use the notation $A_r(n, k)$. The author proved that these numbers satisfy the recurrence relation:

$$A_r(n, k) = (k + r)A_r(n - 1, k) + (n - k + 1 - r)A_r(n - 1, k - 1). \quad (11)$$

Riordan ([7]) (also in Maier [8]) discussed interpretations of the numbers satisfying relation (11), such as statistics of r -descents. This is a generalization of the Eulerian numbers $A_r(n, k) = \left\langle \begin{smallmatrix} n \\ k \end{smallmatrix} \right\rangle$. It is also the number of $\sigma \in S_n$ having $n - r - k$ indices $i \in [n - 1]$ satisfying $\sigma(i) < \sigma(i + 1)$ and $\sigma(i) < n - r$.

To generalize, first, we neglect the fact that this definition requires $r < n$. We start with r completely arbitrary. Second, we consider that each $i \in [n]$ may have m different types. These ideas inspire the definition of the r -Whitney-Eulerian numbers $A_{m,r}(n, k)$. A combinatorial interpretation is given in Thamrongpaiboj [30].

These numbers are given by the recurrence relation ($A_{m,r}(n, k) = 0$ if $n < \max(0, k)$):

$$A_{m,r}(0, 0) = 1 \quad \text{and} \quad A_{m,r}(n, k) = (mk + r)A_{m,r}(n - 1, k) + (mn - mk + m - r)A_{m,r}(n - 1, k - 1).$$

The grammar of Hao associated is then

$$G = \{u \rightarrow uv^m, \\ v \rightarrow u^m v\};$$

and the system of differential equations is

$$\begin{cases} U' = UV^m, & U(0) = u, \\ V' = U^m V, & V(0) = v. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

First, let's see the analytic solution

$$\begin{cases} U(t) = \left(\frac{(u^m - v^m)u^m}{u^m - v^m e^{(u^m - v^m)mt}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}, \\ V(t) = \left(\frac{(v^m - u^m)v^m}{v^m - u^m e^{(v^m - u^m)mt}} \right)^{\frac{1}{m}}. \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

Then, we have

$$\text{Gen}(u^{m-r}v^r, t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{D}^n(u^{m-r}v^r) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \left(U(t) \right)^m \times \left(\frac{V(t)}{U(t)} \right)^r.$$

So the first thing that theorem 2.1 and the proposition 2.1 give us is

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n A_{m,r}(n, k) u^{mn-mk} v^{mk} \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{(u^m - v^m) e^{(u^m - v^m)rt}}{u^m - v^m e^{(u^m - v^m)mt}}. \quad (14)$$

This equation can be used to get many things. For instance

$$\sum_{k=0}^n A_{m,r}(n, k) = m^n n!; \quad (15)$$

$$\sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k A_{m,r}(n, k) = 2^n \sum_k \binom{n}{k} m^k E_k(0) r^{n-k}; \quad (16)$$

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_{m,r}(n, k) \frac{t^n}{n!} \right) x^k = \frac{(1-x)e^{r(1-x)t}}{1-xe^{(1-x)mt}}. \quad (17)$$

We can get also the explicit formula of $A_{m,r}(n, k)$. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(1-x)e^{r(1-x)t}}{1-xe^{(1-x)mt}} &= (1-x) \sum_{j \geq 0} x^j e^{(1-x)(mj+r)t} \\ &= (1-x) \sum_{j \geq 0} x^j \sum_{n \geq 0} \frac{((1-x)(mj+r)t)^n}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left((1-x)^{n+1} \sum_{j \geq 0} x^j (mj+r)^n \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{l \geq 0} \binom{n+1}{l} x^l \sum_{j \geq 0} (mj+r)^n x^j \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} \\ &= \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{j=k} (-1)^j \binom{n+1}{j} (m(k-j)+r)^n \right) \frac{t^n}{n!} x^k. \end{aligned} \quad (18)$$

(17) and (18) imply

$$A_{m,r}(n, k) = \sum_{j=0}^{j=k} (-1)^j \binom{n+1}{j} (m(k-j) + r)^n. \quad (19)$$

For $m \geq r$, the grammar of Hao G can give us ideas about a structure that can interpret the numbers $(A_{m,r}(n, k))_{n,k \geq 0}$. For example, let $m = 3$ and $r = 2$. The grammar is then

$$G = \{u \rightarrow uv^3, v \rightarrow u^3v\},$$

and the associated differential operator is

$$\mathcal{D} = uv^3 \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + u^3v \frac{\partial}{\partial v}.$$

$$\mathcal{D}^0 uv^2 = uv^2$$




Figure 3: $\mathcal{D}^n(u^{m-r}v^r)$ -structure for $n = 0$

$$\mathcal{D}uv^2 = uv^5 + 2u^4v^2$$




Figure 4: $\mathcal{D}^n(u^{m-r}v^r)$ -structures for $n = 1$

$$\mathcal{D}^2 uv^2 = uv^8 + 13u^4v^5 + 4u^7v^2$$




Figure 5: $\mathcal{D}^n(u^{m-r}v^r)$ -structures for $n = 2$

From Proposition 2.1, $A_{m,r}(n, k)$ is the number of $\mathcal{D}^n(u^{m-r}v^r)$ -structures having $km + r$ v -leaves (blue in our Figures 3, 4, 5).

Moreover, we notice that a $\mathcal{D}^n(u^{m-r}v^r)$ -structure has $f_n = m(n+1)$ leaves, and if a_n denotes the total number of $\mathcal{D}^n(u^{m-r}v^r)$ -structures, then $a_0 = 1$ and for $n \geq 1$, $a_n = a_{n-1} \times f_{n-1} = nma_{n-1}$. Therefore,

$$a_n = n! m^n,$$

which reaffirms relation (15).

Example 2.2. Consider the sequence $(F(n, k))_{n, k \geq 0}$ satisfying (1), with $b_{n,k} = 1$ and $a_{n,k} = a_0 + a_1 k$, $a_1 \neq 0$. The system of differential equations associated with the grammar G in (8) is therefore

$$\begin{cases} U' = UV^{a_1}, & U(0) = u, \\ V' = V, & V(0) = v. \end{cases}$$

The analytic solution is

$$\begin{cases} U(t) = u \exp\left(\frac{v^{a_1}}{a_1}(e^{a_1 t} - 1)\right), \\ V(t) = ve^t. \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

Recall that the Bell polynomial is defined by

$$B_n(\lambda) = \sum_{k=0}^n S(n, k) \lambda^k.$$

The classical fact concerning the Stirling numbers then gives

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} B_n(\lambda) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \exp \left(\lambda(e^t - 1) \right). \quad (21)$$

Equations (20) and (21) imply

$$U(t) \left(V(t) \right)^{a_0} = uv^{a_0} \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} a_1^k a_0^{n-k} B_k \left(\frac{v^{a_1}}{a_1} \right) \right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \quad (22)$$

On the other hand, Proposition 2.1 states that

$$\mathcal{D}^n(uv^{a_0}) = \sum_{k=0}^n F(n, k) uv^{a_1 k + a_0}. \quad (23)$$

Now, combining these facts with equation (10) and Proposition 2.2, we obtain

$$\sum_{k \geq 0} F(n, k) \alpha^k = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k} a_1^k a_0^{n-k} B_k \left(\frac{\alpha}{a_1} \right), \quad (24)$$

by substituting $\alpha = v^{a_1}$.

Returning to the expression for $B_k(x)$, we have

$$F(n, k) = \sum_{j=k}^n \binom{n}{j} a_0^{n-j} a_1^{j-k} S(j, k). \quad (25)$$

Next, consider $\mathcal{D}^n(uv^{a_0})$, with

$$\mathcal{D} = uv^{a_1} \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + v \frac{\partial}{\partial v}.$$

We now look at the example where $a_0 = 2$ and $a_1 = 2$.

$$\mathcal{D}^0 uv^2 = uv^2$$




Figure 6: $\mathcal{D}^n(uv^{a_0})$ -structure for $n = 0$

$$\mathcal{D}uv^2 = uv^4 + 2uv^2$$




Figure 7: $\mathcal{D}^n(uv^{a_0})$ -structures for $n = 1$

$$\mathcal{D}^2uv^2 = uv^6 + 4uv^4 + 2uv^2 + 4uv^2$$




Figure 8: $\mathcal{D}^n(uv^{a_0})$ -structures for $n = 2$

From equation (23), $F(n, k)$ counts the number of $\mathcal{D}^n(uv^{a_0})$ -structures having $a_1k + a_0$ v -leaves (blue in our Figures 6, 7, 8).

Example 2.3. Consider the sequence $(F(n, k))_{n, k \geq 0}$ satisfying (1), with $b_{n, k} = 1$ and $a_{n, k} = a_0 + a_2n$, $a_2 \neq 0$. The system of differential equations associated with the grammar G_2 in (8) is therefore

$$\begin{cases} U' &= UV^{a_2}, & U(0) &= u, \\ V' &= V^{a_2+1}, & V(0) &= v. \end{cases}$$

The analytic solution is

$$\begin{cases} U(t) &= \frac{u}{v} (v^{-a_2} - a_2t)^{-\frac{1}{a_2}}, \\ V(t) &= (v^{-a_2} - a_2t)^{-\frac{1}{a_2}}. \end{cases} \quad (26)$$

Thus, we have

$$U(t)(V(t))^{a_0+a_2} = \frac{u}{v} (v^{-a_2} - a_2 t)^{-\alpha} = uv^{a_0+a_2} \sum_{n \geq 0} (\alpha)^{(n)} a_2^n v^{a_2 n} \frac{t^n}{n!},$$

where $\alpha = \frac{1+a_0+a_2}{a_2}$.

Again, as before, using Proposition 2.1, we deduce

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^n F(n, k) uv^{a_0+a_2+a_2 n} \frac{t^n}{n!} = uv^{a_0+a_2} \sum_{n \geq 0} (\alpha)^{(n)} a_2^n v^{a_2 n} \frac{t^n}{n!}.$$

This equation implies that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n F(n, k) = (\alpha)^{(n)} a_2^n = \left(\frac{1+a_0+a_2}{a_2} \right)^{(n)} a_2^n.$$

Remark 2.1. Briefly, Proposition 2.1 states that

$$\mathcal{D}^n(uv^{a_0+a_2}) = \sum_k T(n, k) uv^{a_2 n + a_2 + a_0},$$

which does not allow us to distinguish the individual $T(n, k)$ according to the number of v -leaves; all $\mathcal{D}^n(uv^{a_0+a_2})$ -structures have the same number of leaves: one u -leaf and $a_2 n + a_2 + a_0$ v -leaves.

Therefore, it is necessary to introduce additional properties to determine the individual $T(n, k)$. We now briefly discuss a certain type of grammar: the type (E) grammar, introduced in Randrianirina [13].

Definition 2.1 ([13]). *A type (E) grammar is a grammar G on $\{z\} \cup X$, with $z \notin X$, defined by*

$$G = \{z \rightarrow zg(\vec{x}) \\ x_i \rightarrow h_i(\vec{x}) : x_i \in X\},$$

where $g(\vec{x})$ is a polynomial in $C[X]$.

We associate to this grammar a system of differential equations. By solving it combinatorially, the \mathcal{Z} -structure is a species of structures as illustrated in Figure 9.

Hence, \mathcal{Z} is the set of \mathcal{G} -structures, with

$$\mathcal{G} = \int g(\vec{\mathcal{X}}).$$

Now consider the blue line, which we call the backbone. For the language in Randrianirina [13], each point on the backbone is associated with a connected component, and the author associates the species \mathcal{Z} to a sequence $(Z(n, k))_{n, k \geq 0}$, where $Z(n, k)$ is the number of \mathcal{Z} -structures on n points having k connected components.

Now consider a sequence $(F(n, k))_{n, k \geq 0}$ satisfying (1) with $a_{n, k} = a_2 n + a_1 k + a_0$, $b_{n, k} = 1$, and the usual initial conditions. The grammar G from (8) associated with this sequence is thus

$$G = \{u \rightarrow uv^{a_1+a_2}; \\ v \rightarrow v^{a_2+1}\}.$$

This grammar is of type (E) , and we have the following important remark.




Figure 9: \mathcal{Z} -structure in terms of \mathcal{G} -structure.

Proposition 2.3. *Every $\mathcal{D}^n(uv^{a_0+a_2})$ -structure s is canonically decomposed as $s = (s_1, s_2)$, where s_1 is a \mathcal{U} -structure and s_2 is a $\mathcal{V}^{a_0+a_2}$ -structure. Therefore, $F(n, k)$ is the number of $\mathcal{D}_2^n(uv^{a_0+a_2})$ -structures s such that s_1 has k connected components.*

Proof. First, Proposition 2.1 ensures that $F(n, k)$ is the number of $\mathcal{D}^n(uv^{a_0+a_2})$ -structures having $a_2n + a_1k + a_0 + a_2$ v -leaves. Now, let $G(n, k)$ denote the number of $\mathcal{D}^n(uv^{a_0+a_2})$ -structures s whose s_1 has k connected components.

We proceed by induction. For $n = 0$, the unique structure has $a_0 + a_2$ v -leaves, so the relation holds trivially. For $n \geq 1$, assume the relation holds up to $n - 1$, i.e., $T(n, k) = G(n, k)$, and show it for n . Consider a $\mathcal{D}_2^n(uv^{a_0+a_2})$ -structure s with k connected components. We focus on the position of point n , with two possible cases:

- Case 1: point n is on the spine (at i_k). This occurs if and only if the last derivation was applied to the unique u -leaf. This last step increases the number of connected components.
- Case 2: point n is not on the spine. Then the last derivation was applied to one of the v -leaves. This last step does not increase the number of connected components. Using the induction hypothesis and Proposition 2.1, there are $a_2(n - 1) + a_1k + a_0$ choices for this last step.

This correspondence ensures that $(G(n, k))_{n, k \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfies

$$G(n, k) = G(n - 1, k - 1) + (a_2n + a_1k + a_0)G(n - 1, k),$$

which completes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.1. *Let $(T(n, k))$ be a sequence satisfying the usual initial conditions with*

$$T(n, k) = T(n - 1, k - 1) + (a_2n + a_1k - a_2)T(n - 1, k),$$

and consider the combinatorial differential equation system

$$\begin{cases} U' = UV^{a_1+a_2}, & U(0) = u, \\ V' = V^{a_2+1}, & V(0) = v. \end{cases}$$

Then $T(n, k)$ represents the number of \mathcal{U} -structures with k connected components.

Theorem 2.2. Let $(F(n, k))$ be a sequence satisfying (1) with $a_{n,k} = a_2n + a_1k + a_0$, $b_{n,k} = 1$, and usual initial conditions. If $a_1 \neq 0$ and $a_2 \neq 0$, then

$$F(n, k) = \frac{1}{a_1^k k!} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} \prod_{r=1}^n (a_0 + a_1j + ra_2). \quad (27)$$

Proof. The grammar G , given in (8), is

$$G = \{u \rightarrow uv^{a_1+a_2}, \\ v \rightarrow v^{a_2+1}\}.$$

Consider the system

$$\begin{cases} U' = UV^{a_1+a_2}, & U(0) = u, \\ V' = V^{a_2+1}, & V(0) = v. \end{cases}$$

By separation of variables, we get

$$V(t) = (v^{-a_2} - a_2t)^{-1/a_2}, \quad U(t) = u \exp\left(\frac{(v^{-a_2} - a_2t)^{-a_1/a_2} - v^{a_1}}{a_1}\right),$$

valid as long as $v^{-a_2} - a_2t \neq 0$. Then

$$U(t)V(t)^{a_0+a_2} = uv^{a_0+a_2}(1 - a_2tv^{a_2})^{-(a_0+a_2)/a_2} \exp\left(\frac{v^{a_1}}{a_1}((1 - a_2tv^{a_2})^{-a_1/a_2} - 1)\right).$$

Expanding the exponential and the binomial, we obtain

$$U(t)V(t)^{a_0+a_2} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{k!} \left(\frac{v^{a_1}}{a_1}\right)^k \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} (1 - a_2tv^{a_2})^{-(a_0+a_2+a_1j)/a_2}.$$

Moreover, for $n \geq 0$,

$$(1 - a_2tv^{a_2})^{-\beta} = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\prod_{r=1}^n (\beta + r - 1)\right) \frac{(a_2tv^{a_2})^n}{n!}.$$

Therefore,

$$U(t)V(t)^{a_0+a_2} = \sum_{k \geq 0} \frac{1}{a_1^k k!} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} \prod_{r=1}^n (a_0 + a_1j + ra_2) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \quad (28)$$

On the other hand, Proposition 2.2 ensures

$$U(t)V(t)^{a_0+a_2} = Gen(uv^{a_0+a_2}, t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(\sum_{k=0}^n T(n, k) u v^{a_2n+a_1k+a_2+a_0}\right) \frac{t^n}{n!}. \quad (29)$$

Comparing (28) and (29) yields

$$F(n, k) = \frac{1}{a_1^k k!} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} \prod_{r=1}^n (a_0 + a_1j + ra_2).$$

□

3 Applications

We have already see an example with r -Whitney-Eulerian. In Aubert([34]), we discussed several examples. Now let's try to see some more applications. Some of them will be some improved formulation of known results but there are news contribution.

3.1 Case where $b_2 = 0$

Let us fix the notation $(x|a)^{(\bar{k})} = x(x+a) \cdots (x+(k-1)a)$.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $(T(n, k))$ be a sequence satisfying (1) with $a_{n,k} = a_2n + a_1k + a_0$, $b_{n,k} = b_1k + b_0$, and usual initial conditions (2). If $a_1 \neq 0$ and $a_2 \neq 0$, then*

$$T(n, k) = \frac{(b_0 + b_1|b_1)^{(\bar{k})}}{a_1^k k!} \sum_{j=0}^k (-1)^{k-j} \binom{k}{j} \prod_{r=1}^n (a_0 + a_1j + ra_2). \quad (30)$$

Proof. Let $(F(n, k))$ be a sequence satisfying (1) with $a_{n,k} = a_2n + a_1k + a_0$, $b_{n,k} = 1$, and usual initial conditions (2). One can prove by induction is

$$T(n, k) = (b_0 + b_1|b_1)^{(\bar{k})} F(n, k).$$

We use the theorem (2.2) to get the conclusion. □

In the thesis([34]), we have shown that it is the same with what Neuwirth proved (said by Spivey: [21]).

3.2 Descents in Stirling r -permutations

Xiao He ([35]) studied $B^{(r)}(n, k)$, the numbers of r -permutations on $[n]$ with k descents. He proved that for all k and $n > 0$,

$$B^{(r)}(n, k) = (rn - k + (1 - r))B^{(r)}(n - 1, k - 1) + (k + 1)B^{(r)}(n - 1, k), \quad (31)$$

with $B^{(r)}(n, 0) = 1$ pour $n \geq 1$ et $B^{(r)}(n, k) = 0$ if $n \leq k$ ou $k < 0$.

Here we want to use this relation to give another interpretation of these numbers and an explicit formula by using a Fa'a di Bruno's type expression, which presents a relationship between partitions and permutations.

First, the grammar of Hao associated is then

$$G = \{x \rightarrow x^r y, \\ y \rightarrow x^r y\}.$$

Definition 3.1 (Full r -ary Tree). *A full r -ary tree is a rooted tree in which every internal node has exactly r children, where $r \geq 1$ is fixed. Nodes with no children are called leaves. Thus, in a full r -ary tree, each internal node has exactly r children and each leaf has 0 children.*

We denote $\mathcal{A}^{(r)}$ the structure associated to full r -ary tree. It can be defined also recursively. For $n = 0$: $\mathcal{A}^{(r)} = \emptyset$ and for $n \geq 0$: $\mathcal{A}^{(r)} = (p, \mathcal{A}_1^{(r)}, \mathcal{A}_2^{(r)} \dots, \mathcal{A}_r^{(r)})$, with p is a point called root and the $(\mathcal{A}_i^{(r)})$ are full r -ary trees on k_i points with $\sum_{i=1}^r k_i = n - 1$.

Here, we consider also an order on each children and think that the last among the r children is called cadet.




Figure 10: A full 4-ary tree for $n = 5$

In Figure 10, the tree has 16 leaves and the blue nodes (y) are cadets; there are 3 cadets.

Proposition 3.1. $B^{(r)}(n, k)$ counts the number of $\mathcal{A}^{(r+1)}$ -structures on $[n]$ with $k + 1$ cadet leaves.

Proof. First, the proposition 2.1 ensures us

$$\mathcal{D}^n(y) = \sum_{k=0}^n B^{(r)}(n, k) x^{nr-k} y^{k+1}. \quad (32)$$

Then $B^{(r)}(n, k)$ is the numbers of $\mathcal{D}^n(y)$ -structure having $k + 1$ y -leaves. We notice also that $\mathcal{D}^n(y)$ -structure is the same than $\mathcal{A}^{(r+1)}$ -structure where the leaves are labeled x and y (only the last children is y). Thus we conclude. \square

Moreover, the system of differential equations associated to the grammar is

$$\begin{cases} X'(t) = X^r(t)Y(t), & X(0) = x \\ Y'(t) = X^r(t)Y(t), & Y(0) = y. \end{cases} \quad (33)$$

Case where $r = 2$

We write B for $B^{(2)}$, so (31)'s version is

$$B(n, k) = (k + 1)B(n - 1, k) + (2n - k - 1)B(n - 1, k - 1). \quad (34)$$

Define the formal series

$$T(z) := \sum_{n \geq 1} n^{n-1} \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

One can compute, or use directly the fact about nonplane labelled trees in Flajolet([36]) to get

$$T(z) = ze^{T(z)} \implies T'(z) = \frac{e^{T(z)}}{1 - zT(z)} = \frac{e^{T(z)}}{1 - T(z)}. \quad (35)$$

Then, for $x \neq y$

$$\begin{cases} X(t) = \frac{x - y}{1 - T\left(\frac{y}{x} \exp\left(-\frac{y}{x} + (x - y)^2 t\right)\right)}, \\ Y(t) = \frac{(x - y) T\left(\frac{y}{x} \exp\left(-\frac{y}{x} + (x - y)^2 t\right)\right)}{1 - T\left(\frac{y}{x} \exp\left(-\frac{y}{x} + (x - y)^2 t\right)\right)}; \end{cases} \quad (36)$$

solves the equation (33) if $r = 2$. We apply now the proposition 2.2, with equation (32) to have

Theorem 3.2. *For $x \neq y$*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^{k=n} B(n, k) x^{2n-k} y^{k+1} \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{(x - y) T\left(\frac{y}{x} \exp\left(-\frac{y}{x} + (x - y)^2 t\right)\right)}{1 - T\left(\frac{y}{x} \exp\left(-\frac{y}{x} + (x - y)^2 t\right)\right)}. \quad (37)$$

Corollary 3.1. *For $y \neq 1$*

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} \sum_{k=0}^{k=n} B(n, k) y^{k+1} \frac{t^n}{n!} = \frac{(1 - y) T(y \exp(-y + (1 - y)^2 t))}{1 - T(y \exp(-y + (1 - y)^2 t))}. \quad (38)$$

References

- [1] R. X. J. Hao, L. X. W. Wang, and H. R. L. Yang, “Context-free grammars for triangular arrays,” *Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series*, vol. 31, pp. 445–455, 2015.
- [2] P. Théorêt, “HYPERBINOMIALES: doubles suites satisfaisant des équations aux différences partielles de dimension et d’ordre deux de la forme,” 1994.
- [3] R. R. Zhou, J. Yeh, and F. Ren, “Context-Free Grammars for Several Triangular Arrays,” *Axioms*, vol. 11, no. 6, p. 297, June 2022.
- [4] J. L. Ramírez and M. A. Méndez, “A New Approach to the r -Whitney Numbers by Using Combinatorial Differential Calculus,” 2017. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/1702.06519>
- [5] D. Foata and M.-P. Schützenberger, “Théorie Géométrique des Polynômes Eulériens,” 2005. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0508232>
- [6] J. Worpitzky, “Studien über die Bernoullischen und Eulerschen Zahlen,” *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, vol. 94, pp. 203–232, 1883.
- [7] J. Riordan, “Introduction to Combinatorial Analysis,” 1958.

- [8] R. S. Maier, “Triangular recurrences, generalized Eulerian numbers, and related number triangles,” *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 146, p. 102485, May 2023.
- [9] D. Dumont, “William Chen grammars and derivations in trees and arborescences,” *Séminaire Lotharingien de Combinatoire*, vol. 37, pp. B37a–21, 1996.
- [10] W. Y. C. Chen, “Context-free grammars, differential operators and formal power series,” *Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 117, no. 1, pp. 113–129, 1993.
- [11] L. C. Hsu and P. J.-S. Shiue, “A unified approach to generalized Stirling numbers,” *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, vol. 20, no. 3, pp. 366–384, 1998.
- [12] B. Randrianirina, “Espèces mixtes et systèmes d’équations différentielles,” *Annales des Sciences Mathématiques du Québec*, vol. 24, no. 2, pp. 179–211, 2000.
- [13] B. Randrianirina, “Composition of grammars and associated L-species,” 2025. [Online]. Available: <https://hal.science/hal-05091963>
- [14] F. Bergeron, G. Labelle, and P. Leroux, *Combinatorial Species and Tree-Like Structures*. Cambridge Univ. Press, 1998.
- [15] A. Joyal, “Une théorie combinatoire des séries formelles,” *Advances in Mathematics*, vol. 42, no. 1, pp. 1–82, 1981.
- [16] S. Mac Lane, *Saunders Mac Lane: a mathematical autobiography*. AK Peters/CRC Press, 2005.
- [17] E. Riehl, *Category Theory in Context*. Dover, 2017.
- [18] B. Randrianirina, “Combinatoire des systèmes d’équations différentielles,” Ph.D. dissertation, Université du Québec à Montréal, 2000.
- [19] P. Leroux and G. X. Viennot, “Combinatorial resolution of systems of differential equations. IV. Separation of variables,” Tech. Rep., Univ. du Québec à Montréal and Univ. de Bordeaux I, Sept. 5, 1986.
- [20] E. Neuwirth, “Recursively defined combinatorial functions: extending Galton’s board,” *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 239, no. 1, pp. 33–51, 2001.
- [21] M. Z. Spivey, “On Solutions to a General Combinatorial Recurrence,” *Journal of Integer Sequences*, vol. 14, 2011.
- [22] H. S. Wilf, “The method of characteristics, and "problem 89" of Graham, Knuth and Patashnik,” 2004. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/math/0406620>
- [23] R. L. Graham, D. E. Knuth, and O. Patashnik, *Concrete Mathematics*, 2nd ed. Addison-Wesley, 1994.
- [24] J. F. Barbero G., J. Salas, and E. J. S. Villaseñor, “Bivariate generating functions for a class of linear recurrences,” *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, vol. 125, pp. 146–165, 2014.

- [25] J. Salas and A. D. Sokal, “The Graham–Knuth–Patashnik Recurrence: Symmetries and Continued Fractions,” *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, vol. 28, no. 2, 2021.
- [26] D. F. Connon, “Euler-Hurwitz series and non-linear Euler sums,” 2008. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/0803.1304>
- [27] G. Rządowski and M. Urlinska, “Some applications of the generalized Eulerian numbers,” *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, vol. 163, pp. 85–97, 2019.
- [28] J. A. Adell, “Explicit estimates for the Stirling numbers of the second kind,” 2024. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2407.08246>
- [29] C. G. Wagner, “Generalized Stirling and Lah numbers,” *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 160, no. 1, pp. 199–218, 1996.
- [30] S. Thamrongpaioj, “Dowling set partitions and positional marked patterns,” Ph.D. dissertation, Univ. of California San Diego, 1997.
- [31] J. L. Ramírez and M. A. Méndez, “A new approach to the r -Whitney numbers by using combinatorial differential calculus,” *arXiv preprint arXiv:1702.06519*, 2017.
- [32] I. Mező and J. L. Ramírez, “Some identities of the r -Whitney numbers,” *Aequationes Mathematicae*, vol. 90, no. 2, pp. 393–406, 2016.
- [33] M. Andrianihonana, “Descents on Stirling r -permutations and marked Stirling r -permutations,” Master’s thesis, Univ. of Fianarantsoa, 2025.
- [34] V. R. Aubert, “Combinatorics of triangular recurrence sequences,” Master’s thesis, Univ. of Fianarantsoa, 2025.
- [35] T. X. He, “The m th-order Eulerian Numbers,” 2023. [Online]. Available: <https://arxiv.org/abs/2312.17153>
- [36] P. Flajolet and R. Sedgewick, *Analytic Combinatorics*, Web Edition, 2007.
- [37] I. Mező, “A New Formula for the Bernoulli Polynomials,” *Results in Mathematics*, vol. 58, no. 3–4, pp. 329–335, 2010.