Canonical Distribution of the Occupancy Numbers of Bosonic Systems

Arnaldo Spalvieri
Dipartimento di Elettronica, Informazione e Bioingegneria
Politecnico di Milano
arnaldo.spalvieri@polimi.it
ORCID 0000-0002-8336-7996

Abstract—The paper works out the canonical probability distribution of the occupancy numbers of a bosonic system and shows that canonical typicality applies to the canonical density operator of the occupancy numbers. The result is that, if, as it is today standard, the canonical system's mixed state is obtained by tracing out the environment from any typical pure state of the universe, then asymptotically the canonical probability distribution of system's occupancy numbers tends in probability to the multinomial distribution. The paper also shows that the currently accepted probability distribution of the occupancy numbers of a system with fixed number of particles is not compatible with the commonly accepted notion of canonical system.

Keywords: Canonical Systems, Occupancy Numbers, Canonical Typicality, Multinomial Distribution.

I. Introduction

More than a century ago, Bose and Einstein derived the probability distribution of the occupancy numbers of the photon gas in [1] and of the gas of massive particles in [2]. The Bose-Einstein approach applies to a system of the grand-canonical ensemble, that is a system that absorbs/emits particles, e.g. photons in Bose's analysis of the black-body radiation, from/to the surrounding environment. Due to the random absorption/emission of particles, the total number of system's particles randomly evolves in time. In contrast, a closed system, for instance a gas of massive particles trapped inside a region of space, is made by a fixed number of particles. For this reason, the probability distribution of the occupancy numbers of a closed bosonic system cannot be the Bose-Einstein product of geometric distributions.

Actually, it is widely recognized that the Bose-Einstein analysis of a closed system near the condensation point leads to the so-called *grand-canonical catastrophe*, as it predicts fluctuations of the ground-state occupation that are unrealistically large, see for instance [3]. A consistent analysis therefore requires a treatment in which the number of particles is fixed. However, this introduces a difficulty, because the constraint on the number of particles induces dependencies between the random occupancy numbers, dependencies that instead are not present in the original Bose-Einstein approach.

The currently accepted approach, proposed by Ziff, Uhlenbeck, and Kac in [4], works out the partition function of a

closed system of N particles by taking the N-th coefficient of the grand-canonical generating function. The extensive bibliography of [5] bears witness to the effort made by the scientific community to advance the understanding of the properties of the distribution proposed in [4].

We intentionally don't go through the long history of this really vast research line (for this, the reader is referred to [3]) because our main point is that, as we show in the paper, the distribution proposed in [4], although referring to a system with fixed number of particles and although leading to an accurate analysis of the condensation phenomenon, is not compatible with the commonly accepted notion of canonical system. This observation motivates us to re-consider the canonical probability distribution of the occupancy numbers of a bosonic system. In doing this, we start from a suggestion sketched in 1.6 of the book by Pathria and Beale [6], a suggestion that, however, is not carried through to the full derivation of the desired probability distribution neither in [6] nor in other papers we are aware of.

A quick overview of the paper is the following. In the second section we give the definitions. In the third section we derive the canonical density operator of the occupancy numbers of a bosonic system. This is done by mapping the standard canonical density operator living in system's full Hilbert space onto the symmetric Hilbert subspace. What we find is that that the probability distribution that equips the sought density operator is multinomial. In the fourth section we prove that, when the system is obtained by tracing out the environment from the universe (the union of system and of the very much larger environment that surrounds the system), the vast majority of the pure states of the universe actually lead to a density operator of the occupancy numbers close to the canonical one, or, in other words, that the canonical typicality principle of [7], [8] applies also to the canonical density operator of the occupancy numbers. Upper bounds in the style of those obtained in [8] for systems living in the full Hilbert space are obtained here for the bosonic system in the symmetric Hilbert subspace. In the fifth section we consider the special case in which the categorical distribution that equips the multinomial distribution is the Boltzmann distribution. In this section, we also show that the currently accepted probability distribution of [4] does not match a

Boltzmannian canonical system, especially when it has a small number of particles. Putting ourselves in the perspective of [4], we discuss the reasons behind this mismatch and why our proposed approach actually overcomes the issue.

II. OCCUPANCY NUMBERS OF A SYSTEM WITH FIXED AND KNOWN NUMBER OF BOSONS

Consider a system of N non-relativistic particles of the same species, let $\mathcal{C}=\{1,2,\cdots,|\mathcal{C}|\}$, be the set of quantum eigenstates allowed to a particle, let $c_i\in\mathcal{C},\ i=1,2,\cdots,N$, represent the generic eigenstate of the i-th particle, and let $s=(c_1,c_2,\cdots,c_N),\ s\in\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{C}^N$, represent the generic eigenstate of the system (the so-called *microstate* in many textbooks, e.g. [6]). The number of particles that occupy the one-particle eigenstate c is

$$n_c(s) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \delta_{c,c_i},$$

where

$$\delta_{x,y} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x = y, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

In the following, when unnecessary we will omit the dependance of the occupancy number on s and we will denote n,

$$n=(n_1,n_2,\cdots,n_{|\mathcal{C}|}),$$

the vector of the occupancy numbers, or, in short, the occupancy vector, being understood that n must satisfy the constraint

$$\sum_{c} n_c = N.$$

The size $|\mathcal{N}|$ of the set \mathcal{N} of the occupancy vectors allowed to a system with N particles is

$$|\mathcal{N}| = \frac{(N + |\mathcal{C}| - 1)!}{N!(|\mathcal{C}| - 1)!}.$$

Let the subset S_n of S be the set of all the vectors s that have the same vector n(s) of occupancy numbers. S_n contains only and all the W_n permutations of anyone of its vectors, where

$$W_n = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \frac{N!}{\prod_c n_c!}, & \mbox{if} \; \sum_c n_c = N, \\ 0, & \mbox{elsewhere}, \end{array} \right.$$

is the multinomial coefficient. When n spans the entire set \mathcal{N} , the set $\{S_n\}$ form a disjoint partition of S,

$$\bigcup_{n\in\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{S}_n = \mathcal{S}, \quad \bigcap_{n\in\mathcal{N}} \mathcal{S}_n = \emptyset,$$

hence each $s \in \mathcal{S}$ belongs to one and only one of the elements of $\{\mathcal{S}_n\}$.

III. CANONICAL DENSITY OPERATOR IN THE SYMMETRIC HILBERT SUBSPACE

Let the universe consist of U of bosons, denote its Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{U}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes U}$, where \otimes denotes the Kronecker product and $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the Hilbert space of the quantum states allowed to one boson, and consider the restriction of the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{U}}$ spanned by the eigenstates belonging to the strongly typical set $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon}$,

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon} = \left\{ \tau : \left| \frac{n_c(\tau)}{U} - P_c \right| < \epsilon, \ \forall c \in \mathcal{C} \right\}, \tag{1}$$

where $\epsilon > 0$ is a small number,

$$\tau = (c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_U),$$

and P_c is the limit at which, as $U \to \infty$, tends the empirical relative frequency whichever is τ in the strongly typical set. We define ρ_ϵ as the density operator of the reduced state of the system of N particles obtained from the maximally mixed state in the restriction of the universe:

$$\rho_{\epsilon} = \text{Tr}_{E}(\rho_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon,\text{max}}),\tag{2}$$

where $\mathrm{Tr}_E(\cdot)$ traces out the environment from the universe and

$$\rho_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon,\max} = \frac{1}{|\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon}|} \sum_{\tau \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon}} |\tau\rangle \langle \tau|$$
 (3)

is the density operator of the maximally mixed state in the restriction of the universe.

The density operator $\rho_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon,\max}$ is invariant to permutations of the single-particle eigenstates $|c_1\rangle$, $|c_2\rangle$, \cdots , $|c_U\rangle$, because all the eigenstates of the same type (i.e., with the same occupancy numbers) contribute to it with the same weight. A consequence of the invariance to permutations is that, as $U\to\infty$, by the quantum de Finetti theorem [9], [10], any N-body, $N\le U$, reduced state obtained from $\rho_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon,\max}$ tends in probability to a mixture of independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) product states:

$$\rho_{\epsilon} \xrightarrow{\text{in probability}} \left(\sum_{c} P_{c} \left| c \right\rangle \left\langle c \right| \right)^{\otimes N} \tag{4}$$

$$= \sum_{s} P_c^{n_c(s)} |s\rangle \langle s| = \rho_{can}, \tag{5}$$

where the last equality means that we take its left hand side as the definition of system's canonical density operator ρ_{can} . The i.i.d. property means that the probability distribution of microstates $\{P_s\}$ on which the diagonal density operator ρ_{can} is based, is equal to the product of identical factors:

$$P_s = \prod_{i=1}^{N} P_{c_i} = \prod_{c} P_c^{n_c(s)}.$$

When the system consists of indistinguishable bosons, the symmetrization postulate requires that the physical Hilbert space is the symmetric subspace of the full Hilbert space. System's Hamiltonian, being invariant under particle permutations, preserves this symmetric subspace. The symmetric

Hilbert subspace $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$ of system's Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}^{\otimes N}$, is spanned by the complete set of "bosonic" eigenstates $\{|n\rangle, n \in \mathcal{N}\}$, with

$$|n\rangle = \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_n} \frac{|s\rangle}{\sqrt{W_n}},$$

see [11] for a tutorial on the topic.

The following family of Kraus operators operates the mapping from $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$:

$$K_{n,s} = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \left. \left| n \right\rangle \left\langle s \right|, & \text{if } s \in \mathcal{S}_n, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{array} \right.$$

The mapping is complete because

$$\sum_{n} \sum_{s} K_{n,s}^{\dagger} K_{n,s} = \sum_{s} |s\rangle \langle s|,$$

therefore the above family of Kraus operators defines a CPTP linear map from $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{S}}$ to $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{N}}$. We obtain the "bosonic" canonical density operator ν_{can} by mapping ρ_{can} onto the symmetric subspace:

$$\nu_{can} = \sum_{n} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_{n}} K_{n,s} \rho_{can} K_{n,s}^{\dagger}$$

$$= \sum_{n} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_{n}} |n\rangle \langle s| \rho_{can} |s\rangle \langle n|$$

$$= \sum_{n} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_{n}} P_{c}^{n_{c}} |n\rangle \langle n|$$

$$= \sum_{n} P_{n} |n\rangle \langle n|,$$

with

$$P_n = W_n \prod_c P_c^{n_c}. (6)$$

The above equality shows that the canonical probability distribution of the occupancy numbers is the multinomial distribution, see also [12], [13] for the multinomial distribution in statistical mechanics. In the context of probability theory, the one-particle probability distribution $\{P_c\}$ that equips the multinomial distribution is called the *categorical* distribution, the $|\mathcal{C}|$ categories being here the $|\mathcal{C}|$ eigenstates.

We observe that the product $\prod_c n_c!$ contained in W_n is a factor of the denominator of (6). Due to its presence, the canonical distribution (6) of the occupancy numbers is not simply proportional to the product of factors, hence, as desired, the occupancy numbers are not independent random variables, while, as already mentioned, the probability distribution of microstates has the i.i.d. form.

IV. BOSONIC CANONICAL TYPICALITY

In [15] we obtained ν_{can} by tracing out the environment from the universe in the case in which, according to the eigenstate thermalization hypothesis of [16], the state of the universe is the "thermal" bosonic eigenstate. In other words, our previous result refers to the case in which the set $\mathcal{T}_{U,\epsilon}$ contains only and all the eigenstates with the same occupation numbers, or, in the language of typicality, only one type. We

now substantially broaden the scope of our previous result by tracing out the environment from any pure state picked with uniform probability from $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon}$.

Let the universe be in any pure state $|\phi\rangle$ and consider system's density operator

$$\rho_{\phi} = \operatorname{Tr}_{E}(|\phi\rangle \langle \phi|).$$

Using Levy's lemma, paper [8] proves that, for $|\phi\rangle$ randomly picked with uniform probability inside the restricted Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon}$ of the universe and for any $\eta > 0$,

$$\Pr(\|\rho_{\phi} - \rho_{\epsilon}\|_{1} \ge \eta) \le 2 \exp(-C |\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon}|([\eta - \mu_{\Delta\rho}]_{+})^{2}), \quad (7)$$

where

$$||\rho||_1 = \operatorname{Tr}(\sqrt{\rho \rho^{\dagger}})$$

is the trace norm of the operator ρ , C is a constant coming from Levy's lemma,

$$[\eta - \mu_{\Delta \rho}]_{+} = \max\{\eta - \mu_{\Delta \rho}, 0\},\$$

and

$$\mu_{\Delta \rho} = E\{\|\rho_{\phi} - \rho_{\epsilon}\|_1\},\,$$

where $E\{\cdot\}$ is the expectation over the random variable inside the curly brackets, in this case, the random ϕ . The nontrivial exponential decay is obtained for

$$\eta \geq \mu_{\Delta\rho}$$
.

A conservative estimate of the value of η at which the decay starts to be exponential is the left hand side of

$$\sqrt{|\mathcal{S}|/d_E^{\text{eff}}} \ge \mu_{\Delta\rho},$$

where $d_E^{\rm eff}=1/{\rm Tr}(({\rm Tr}_S(\rho_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon,{\rm max}}))^2)$ is the effective dimension of the environment and the inequality is the main result of [8]. For $U\gg N$, $d_E^{\rm eff}$ is much greater than $|\mathcal{S}|$ and values of η much greater than the very small number $\sqrt{|\mathcal{S}|/d_E^{\rm eff}}$ are of interest. In this case, the bias term $\mu_{\Delta\rho}$ inside the exponent of (7) can safely be neglected.

Now let $|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon}|$ denote the number of distinct occupation vectors (types) inside the restriction of the universe. Since all microstates of the same type are mapped onto the same occupancy vector, the trace norm of the difference between the two density operators in system's symmetric subspace depends only on the $|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon}|$ occupation vectors. Therefore, applying Levy's lemma with dimension $|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon}|$ equal to the dimension of the restricted symmetric subspace of the universe, the tail bound becomes

$$\Pr(\|\nu_{\phi} - \nu_{\epsilon}\|_{1} \ge \eta) \le 2 \exp(-C|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon}|([\eta - \mu_{\Delta\nu}]_{+})^{2}).$$
(8)

By the same arguments of [8] it can be proved that the upper bound above the bias becomes

$$\sqrt{|\mathcal{N}|/d_{E,sym}^{\text{eff}}} \geq \mu_{\Delta\nu},$$

where $d_{E,sym}^{\rm eff}=1/{\rm Tr}(({\rm Tr}_S(\nu_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon,{\rm max}}))^2)$ is the effective dimension of the environment in the symmetric subspace.

By typicality arguments it can be shown that

$$|\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon}| \sim 2^{-U\sum_c P_c \log_2(P_c)}.$$
 (9)

At the same time,

$$|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon}| \le \frac{(U+|\mathcal{C}|-1)!}{U!(|\mathcal{C}|-1)!}$$

scales only polynomially with the number of particles of the universe, not exponentially. Therefore, in the thermodynamic regime of the universe, in which $|\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon}| \ll |\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{U},\epsilon}|$, and for values of η of practical interest, the bound (8) can be much tighter than the original bound (7), which, by contractivity of the CPTP map, remains valid in the symmetric subspace as a conservative estimate.

V. BOLTZMANN'S CATEGORICAL DISTRIBUTION

Let us consider the Boltzmann one-particle probability distribution:

$$P_c = \frac{e^{-\beta \epsilon_c}}{Z}, \quad Z = \sum_c e^{-\beta \epsilon_c},$$
 (10)

where ϵ_c is the energy eigenvalue of eigenstate c and $\beta > 0$ is a real scalar. Taking (10) as the categorical distribution of the multinomial distribution, for the probability distribution of microstates and for the probability distribution of the occupancy numbers we get

$$P_s = \frac{\prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\beta \epsilon_{c_i}}}{Z^N} = \frac{\prod_c e^{-\beta \epsilon_c n_c(s)}}{\sum_n W_n \prod_c e^{-\beta n_c \epsilon_c}}, \quad (11)$$

$$P_n = \frac{W_n \prod_c e^{-\beta \epsilon_c n_c}}{\sum_n W_n \prod_c e^{-\beta n_c \epsilon_c}},$$

where we have substituted the multinomial expansion of Z^N ,

$$Z^N = \left(\sum_c e^{-\beta \epsilon_c}\right)^N = \sum_n W_n \prod_c e^{-\beta n_c \epsilon_c}.$$

For completeness, hereafter we show that the probability distribution of occupancy numbers proposed in eqn. (1.127) of [4] for a system at the thermal state, which is

$$P_{n,\text{Ziff}} = \frac{\prod_{c} e^{-\beta \epsilon_{c} n_{c}}}{Z_{\text{Ziff}}},\tag{12}$$

with

$$Z_{\text{Ziff}} = \sum_{n} \prod_{c} e^{-\beta \epsilon_{c} n_{c}}$$

$$= \sum_{n} W_{n}^{-1} \sum_{s \in \mathcal{S}_{n}} \prod_{c} e^{-\beta \epsilon_{c} n_{c}(s)}$$

$$= \sum_{s} W_{n(s)}^{-1} \prod_{c} e^{-\beta \epsilon_{c} n_{c}(s)},$$

$$(13)$$

cannot be an attribute of a Boltzmannian canonical system. We write the partition function in the two equivalent forms (13) and (14) just to explicitly identify (13) with eqn. (1.124) of [4], and (14) with eqn. (5) of [14].

We observe that, if (11) holds, then the mutually exclusive W_n microstates belonging to S_n are equally probable. Assuming equiprobability of the W_n microstates belonging to S_n , the probability of a microstate s with occupancy numbers n obtained from (12) is

$$\frac{P_{n(s),\text{Ziff}}}{W_{n(s)}} = \frac{\prod_{c} e^{-\beta \epsilon_{c} n_{c}(s)}}{W_{n(s)} Z_{\text{Ziff}}}.$$
 (15)

Since $W_{n(s)}Z_{\text{Ziff}} \neq Z^N$, we see that (15) is not compatible with (11), which not only descends from (4), but also is how is commonly understood a canonical Boltzmannian system.

Incompatibility between (15) and (11) arises because the construction of [4] is derived from the grand-canonical generating function evaluated at a fixed particle number N. This produces a probability distribution entirely inherited from the grand-canonical setting, not from the canonical one, so it is not surprising that (12) deviates from canonicality. It should be added that, for large N, the fluctuations of N in the grandcanonical ensemble become negligible compared to N itself; thus fixing N does not distort the large-scale statistics and virtually leads to the same results that would be obtained in a fully canonical setting as ours. More concretely, in this regime the dominant occupancy configurations in (12) coincide with those that, as in this paper, are generated by sampling Nindependent one-particle with Boltzmann distribution, because both constructions concentrate around occupancy numbers close to $Ne^{-\beta\epsilon_c}/Z$. In this perspective, we see that, compared to [4], the merit of the approach developed here is that it reconciles canonicality in the full Hilbert space and in its symmetric subspace also for small N, a regime in which, due to the non-negligible fluctuations of the number of particles in the grand-canonical ensemble, canonicality cannot be fully captured by (12).

VI. CONCLUSION

Starting from a suggestion sketched in [6], we have worked out the probability distribution of the occupancy numbers of canonical bosonic quantum systems. When canonicality is intended asymptotically and in probability as it is in (5), the sought distribution turns out to be multinomial. Bosonic canonical typicality has been discussed in the paper and upper bounds in the style of [8] have been derived. Future research would address the compatibility between the Shannon entropy of the multinomial distribution and the physical entropy of a canonical system, see [17] for a preliminary analysis that shows that the Boltzmann distribution does not guarantee maximum entropy with expected energy constraint, hence it could be not the appropriate categorical distribution for systems at the thermal state. Also, the experimental results of condensation of systems made by few particles could be analyzed by putting one against the other our proposed multinomial distribution equipped with a properly designed categorical distribution and the currently accepted distribution of [4].

REFERENCES

- S. Bose, O. Theimer, and Budh Ram. "The beginning of quantum statistics: A translation of *Plancks law and the light quantum hypothesis*." American Journal of Physics 44.11 (1976): 1056-1057.
- [2] Einstein, Albert. "Quantentheorie des einatomigen idealen Gases, Sitzungsberichte Kgl." Preuss. Akad. Wiss 261-267 (1924). For english translation see see "The collected papers of Albert Einstein: The Berlin years: writings & correspondence, April 1923-May 1925." Vol. 14, Princeton University Press, 1987, Doc. 283, pp. 276-283.
- [3] Kocharovsky, Vitaly V., et al. "Fluctuations in ideal and interacting BoseEinstein condensates: From the laser phase transition analogy to squeezed states and Bogoliubov quasiparticles." Advances in Atomic, Molecular, and Optical Physics 53 (2006): 291-411.
- [4] Ziff, Robert M., George E. Uhlenbeck, and Mark Kac. "The ideal Bose-Einstein gas, revisited." Physics Reports 32.4 (1977): 169-248.
- [5] Barghathi, Hatem, Jiangyong Yu, and Adrian Del Maestro. "Theory of noninteracting fermions and bosons in the canonical ensemble." Physical Review Research 2.4 (2020): 043206.
- [6] Pathria, R. K.; Beale, P. D. "Statistical Mechanics, 3^{rd} edition." Elsevier, 2011.
- [7] Goldstein, Sheldon, et al. "Canonical typicality." Physical review letters 96.5 (2006): 050403.
- [8] Popescu, Sandu, Anthony J. Short, and Andreas Winter. "Entanglement and the foundations of statistical mechanics." Nature Physics 2.11 (2006): 754-758.
- [9] Caves, Carlton M., Christopher A. Fuchs, and Rdiger Schack. "Unknown quantum states: the quantum de Finetti representation." Journal of Mathematical Physics 43.9 (2002): 4537-4559.
- [10] Knig, Robert, and Renato Renner. "A de Finetti representation for finite symmetric quantum states." Journal of Mathematical physics 46.12 (2005)
- [11] Harrow, Aram W. "The church of the symmetric subspace." arXiv preprint arXiv:1308.6595 (2013).
- [12] Hanel, Rudolf, Stefan Thurner, and Murray Gell-Mann. "How multiplicity determines entropy and the derivation of the maximum entropy principle for complex systems." Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 111.19 (2014): 6905-6910.
- [13] Županović, Paško, and Domagoj Kuić. "Relation between Boltzmann and Gibbs entropy and example with multinomial distribution." Journal of Physics Communications 2.4 (2018): 045002.
- [14] Borrmann, Peter, and Gert Franke. "Recursion formulas for quantum statistical partition functions." The Journal of chemical physics 98.3 (1993): 2484.
- [15] Spalvieri, Arnaldo. "Entropy of the Canonical Occupancy (Macro) State in the Quantum Measurement Theory." Entropy 26.2 (2024): 107.
- [16] Deutsch, Joshua M. "Eigenstate thermalization hypothesis." Reports on Progress in Physics 81.8 (2018): 082001.
- [17] Spalvieri, Arnaldo. "Canonical Thermodynamics." arXiv preprint arXiv:2506.21650 (2025).