

Spectral characterizations of local structures of graphs and hypergraphs

Jiang Zhou, Changjiang Bu

School of Mathematical Sciences, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, PR China

Abstract

In this paper, we give the relationship between spectral radius and local structures of graphs and hypergraphs. Our work shows that certain local subgraphs (subhypergraphs) must occur when the spectral radius ratio is large. We also give spectral bounds on the local vector chromatic number in terms of tensor eigenvalues of graphs.

Keywords: Spectral radius; Hypergraph; Tensor; Vector chromatic number

AMS classification (2020): 05C50, 05C35, 05C15

1. Introduction

A hypergraph H is called r -uniform if each edge of H contains exactly r distinct vertices. Let $V(H)$ and $E(H)$ denote the vertex set and the edge set of H , respectively. The 2 -section graph of a hypergraph H , denoted by $H^{(2)}$, is the graph on the vertex set $V(H)$, and $\{u, v\} \in E(H^{(2)})$ if and only if there exists $e \in E(H)$ such that $\{u, v\} \subseteq e$. A hypergraph H_0 is called a *subhypergraph* of H if $V(H_0) \subseteq V(H)$ and $E(H_0) \subseteq E(H)$. The *adjacency tensor* [6] of an r -uniform hypergraph H , denoted by $\mathcal{A}_H = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r})$, is an r -order $|V(H)|$ -dimension tensor with entries

$$a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(r-1)!} & \text{if } \{i_1, i_2, \dots, i_r\} \in E(H), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The *spectral radius* of H , denoted by $\rho(H)$, is defined as the spectral radius of \mathcal{A}_H . Clearly, for a graph (2-uniform hypergraph) G , $\rho(G)$ is the spectral radius of the adjacency matrix of G . Let $\beta(H) = \frac{\rho(H)}{\rho(H^{(2)})}$ denote the *spectral radius ratio* of H .

If a vertex subset C of a graph G induces a complete graph, then C is called a clique in G . A clique with r vertices is called an r -clique. Let $C_r(G)$ denote the set of r -cliques in G . The r -clique tensor [13] of G , denoted by $\mathcal{A}_r(G) = (a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r})$, is an r -order

$|V(G)|$ -dimension tensor with entries

$$a_{i_1 i_2 \dots i_r} = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{(t-1)!}, & \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \in C_t(G). \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The spectral radius of $\mathcal{A}_r(G)$ is called the *r-clique spectral radius* of G , denoted by $\rho_r(G)$. Let $\gamma_r(G) = \frac{\rho_r(G)}{\rho_2(H)}$, where H is the subgraph of G obtained by deleting all edges that are not containing in an r -clique. Actually, $\mathcal{A}_r(G)$ equals to the adjacency tensor of an r -uniform hypergraph H_r with vertex set $V(H_r) = V(G)$ and edge set $E(H) = \{i_1 \dots i_r : \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \in C_r(G)\}$. Recent years, the research on tensor eigenvalues of graphs and hypergraphs have received extensive attention [4, 5, 8, 15, 21].

The spectral extremal problems of graph and hypergraphs have been an active topic in spectral graph theory. From the research on spectral extremal problems, it is easy to see that certain subgraphs (subhypergraphs) must occur when the spectral radius or the number of edges is large enough. We will consider a localized problem: Are there some spectral conditions to guarantee the existence of certain local subgraphs (subhypergraphs) in the open neighborhood of some vertex?

The Lovász theta function [16] $\vartheta(G)$ is a powerful tool for studying the independence number and Shannon capacity of graphs. The Schrijver theta function [19] $\vartheta'(G)$ is a smaller upper bound for the independence number $\alpha(G)$, that is, $\alpha(G) \leq \vartheta'(G) \leq \vartheta(G)$. Based on vector coloring, the vector chromatic number $\chi_v(G)$ was introduced in [11], and it is known [2] that $\chi_v(G) = \vartheta'(\overline{G}) \leq \chi(G)$, where \overline{G} is the complement of G , $\chi(G)$ denotes the chromatic number of G . An eigenvalue bound on $\chi_v(G)$ was given in [3]. We will investigate spectral bounds on the vector chromatic number in local induced graphs.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some definitions, notations and auxiliary lemmas are introduced. In Sections 3 and 4, we give the relationship between spectral radius and local structures of graphs and hypergraphs. We show that certain subgraphs (subhypergraphs) must occur in the open neighborhood of some vertex when $\gamma_r(G)$ ($\beta(H)$) is large enough.

In Section 5, we give spectral lower bounds on the local vector chromatic number in terms of tensor eigenvalues of graphs, which are also spectral lower bounds on the local chromatic number introduced by Erdős et al. [7].

2. Preliminaries

An m -order n -dimension complex tensor $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$ is a multidimensional array with n^m entries, where $i_j = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. For a vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{C}^n$, let $\mathcal{A}x^{m-1}$ denote a vector in \mathbb{C}^n whose i -th component is $\sum_{i_2, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{ii_2 \dots i_m} x_{i_2} \cdots x_{i_m}$ (see [17]). If there exist $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ and nonzero vector $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{C}^n$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{A}x^{m-1} = \lambda x^{[m-1]},$$

then λ is called an *eigenvalue* of \mathcal{A} , and x is called an *eigenvector* of \mathcal{A} corresponding to λ , where $x^{[m-1]} = (x_1^{m-1}, \dots, x_n^{m-1})^\top$. The largest modulus of all eigenvalues of \mathcal{A} is called the spectral radius of \mathcal{A} , denoted by $\rho(\mathcal{A})$. When \mathcal{A} is a nonnegative tensor, $\rho(\mathcal{A})$ is an eigenvalue of \mathcal{A} , and there exists a nonnegative eigenvector associated with $\rho(\mathcal{A})$.

Let \mathbb{R}_+^n denote the set of n -dimensional nonnegative real vectors. A tensor is called symmetric if its entries are invariant under any permutation of their indices.

Lemma 2.1. [18] *Suppose that $\mathcal{A} = (a_{i_1 \dots i_m})$ is an order m dimension n symmetric nonnegative tensor, with $m \geq 2$. Then the spectral radius of \mathcal{A} is equal to*

$$\max \left\{ \mathcal{A}x^m : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^m = 1, x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)^\top \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \right\},$$

where $\mathcal{A}x^m = x^\top (\mathcal{A}x^{m-1}) = \sum_{i_1, \dots, i_m=1}^n a_{i_1 \dots i_m} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_m}$.

Lemma 2.2. [20, Theorem 5.3] *Let \mathcal{A} be an m -order n -dimension nonnegative tensor. Then*

$$\rho(\mathcal{A}) = \max_{0 \neq x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n} \min_{x_i > 0} \frac{(\mathcal{A}x^{m-1})_i}{x_i^{m-1}}.$$

For an n -vertex r -uniform hypergraph H , by Lemma 2.1, we know that

$$\rho(H) = \max \left\{ \mathcal{A}_H x^r = r \sum_{i_1 \dots i_r \in E(H)} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_r} : \sum_{i \in V(H)} x_i^r = 1, x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \right\}. \quad (2.1)$$

For a vertex u of H , the degree of u is the number of edges containing u in H . Let $\Delta(H)$ denote the maximum degree of H .

Lemma 2.3. [6, Theorem 3.8] *Let H be an n -vertex r -uniform hypergraph. Then*

$$\frac{r|E(H)|}{n} \leq \rho(H) \leq \Delta(H).$$

Let K_{r+1}^+ denote the r -uniform hypergraph obtained from K_{r+1} by enlarging each edge of K_{r+1} with $r - 2$ new vertices such that distinct edges of K_{r+1} are enlarged by distinct vertices. An r -uniform hypergraph is called *linear* if every two edges have at most one common vertex.

Lemma 2.4. [8, Theorem 1.1] *Let H be a K_{r+1}^+ -free r -uniform linear hypergraph with n vertices. Then $\rho(H) \leq \frac{n}{r}$ when n is sufficiently large.*

Lemma 2.5. [13] *For a graph G on n vertices, we have*

$$|C_r(G)| \leq \frac{n}{r} \rho_r(G).$$

The *clique number* of a graph G , denoted by $\omega(G)$, is the size of the maximum clique in G .

Lemma 2.6. [14] *Let G be an n -vertex graph. For any positive integer $t \leq \omega(G)$, we have*

$$\max \left\{ \sum_{\{i_1, \dots, i_t\} \in C_t(G)} x_{i_1} \cdots x_{i_t} : \sum_{i=1}^n x_i = 1, x \in \mathbb{R}_+^n \right\} = \binom{\omega(G)}{t} \omega(G)^{-t}.$$

An *orthonormal representation* of an n -vertex graph G is a set $\{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ of unit real vectors such that $u_i^\top u_j = 0$ if i and j are two nonadjacent vertices in G . For an orthonormal representation $f = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ of a graph G , its Gram matrix M_f is the positive semidefinite matrix such that $(M_f)_{ij} = u_i^\top u_j$. The orthonormal representation f is called *nonnegative* if $u_i^\top u_j \geq 0$ for any $i, j \in V(G)$.

The following spectral characterization of the Schrijver theta function $\vartheta'(G)$ follows from the equation (A.6) in [1].

Lemma 2.7. [1] *For any graph G , $\vartheta'(G)$ is the maximum, over all nonnegative orthonormal representations f of the complement graph \overline{G} , of the largest eigenvalue of the Gram matrix M_f .*

Given a graph G and a real number $k \geq 2$, a *vector k -coloring* of G is an assignment of unit vectors u_i to each vertex $i \in V(G)$ such that $u_i^\top u_j \leq -\frac{1}{k-1}$ for all edge $ij \in E(G)$.

The *vector chromatic number* [11] $\chi_v(G)$ is the smallest real number k for which a vector k -coloring exists.

Lemma 2.8. [2] *For any graph G , we have $\chi_v(G) = \vartheta'(\overline{G})$.*

3. Local structure and spectral radius of hypergraphs

For a vertex u of an r -uniform hypergraph H , let $N(u) = \{v : vu \in E(H^{(2)})\}$ denote the open neighborhood of u in the 2-section graph $H^{(2)}$. Let $H(u)$ denote the $(r-1)$ -uniform hypergraph with vertex set $N(u)$ and edge set $\{e \setminus \{u\} : e \in E_u(H)\}$, where $E_u(H)$ denotes the set of edges containing u in H .

The spectral radius ratio $\beta(H) = \frac{\rho(H)}{\rho(H^{(2)})}$ and local hypergraph $H(u)$ have the following relationship.

Theorem 3.1. *Let H be an r -uniform hypergraph ($r \geq 3$) such that $|E(H)| \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a vertex u such that*

$$\rho(H(u)) \geq (r-1)\beta(H)$$

and H has $p = \lceil (r-1)\alpha(H) \rceil \geq \left\lceil \frac{r(r-1)|E(H)|}{|V(H)|\rho(H^{(2)})} \right\rceil$ distinct edges e_1, \dots, e_p such that $u \in e_1 \cap \dots \cap e_p$ and

$$|e_1 \cap \dots \cap e_p| \geq 2.$$

Proof. Let x be a nonnegative eigenvector associated with $\rho(H)$, then $\mathcal{A}_H x^{r-1} = \rho(H)x^{[r-1]}$. So for any vertex i of H , we have

$$\rho(H)x_i^{r-1} = (\mathcal{A}_H x^{r-1})_i = \sum_{j_1 \dots j_{r-1} i \in E(H)} x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_{r-1}}.$$

Let y be the $|N(i)|$ -dimension vector such that $y_j = x_j$ for all $j \in N(i)$. Then by the equation (2.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(H)x_i^{r-1} &= \sum_{j_1 \dots j_{r-1} i \in E(H)} x_{j_1} \dots x_{j_{r-1}} = (r-1)^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{H(i)} y^{r-1} \\ &\leq (r-1)^{-1} \rho(H(i)) \sum_{j \in N(i)} x_j^{r-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Let z be the $|V(G)|$ -dimension nonnegative vector such that $z_j = x_j^{r-1}$ for all $j \in V(G)$. Then $\sum_{j \in N(i)} z_j = (\mathcal{A}_{H^{(2)}} z)_i$, where $\mathcal{A}_{H^{(2)}}$ is the adjacency matrix of $H^{(2)}$. By Lemma

2.2, we know that there exists a vertex u such that

$$x_u^{-(r-1)} \sum_{j \in N(u)} x_j^{r-1} = z_u^{-1} \sum_{j \in N(u)} z_j \leq \rho(H^{(2)}).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(H) &\leq (r-1)^{-1} \rho(H(u)) \rho(H^{(2)}), \\ \rho(H(u)) &\geq \frac{(r-1) \rho(H)}{\rho(H^{(2)})} = (r-1) \beta(H). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.3, we know that the maximum degree Δ of $H(u)$ satisfies

$$\Delta \geq \rho(H(u)) \geq (r-1) \beta(H).$$

So we get

$$\Delta \geq p = \lceil (r-1) \beta(H) \rceil.$$

Let v a vertex with maximum degree in $H(u)$, then H has p distinct edges e_1, \dots, e_p such that

$$\{u, v\} \subseteq e_1 \cap \dots \cap e_p.$$

By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$p = \left\lceil \frac{(r-1) \rho(H)}{\rho(H^{(2)})} \right\rceil \geq \left\lceil \frac{r(r-1) |E(H)|}{|V(H)| \rho(H^{(2)})} \right\rceil.$$

□

For an r -uniform hypergraph F , let $K_1 * F$ denote the $(r+1)$ -uniform hypergraph with vertex set $V(F) \cup \{x\}$ and edge set $\{xu_1 \cdots u_r : u_1 \cdots u_r \in E(F)\}$. For a set \mathcal{H} of r -uniform hypergraphs, let $\text{spe}_{\mathcal{H}}(n, F)$ denote the maximum spectral radius of an n -vertex F -free hypergraph in \mathcal{H} .

Theorem 3.2. *Let H be an $(r+1)$ -uniform hypergraph ($r \geq 2$) with at least one edge, and let \mathcal{H} be a set of r -uniform hypergraphs such that $H(i) \in \mathcal{H}$ for each vertex $i \in V(H)$. Suppose that F is an r -uniform hypergraph without isolated vertices. Then $K_1 * F$ is a subhypergraph of H if one the following holds:*

- (1) $\beta(H) > r^{-1} \text{spe}_\mathcal{H}(\max_{i \in V(H)} |N(i)|, F)$.
- (2) $(r+1)|E(H)| > r^{-1}|V(H)|\rho(H^{(2)}) \text{spe}_\mathcal{H}(\max_{i \in V(H)} |N(i)|, F)$.
- (3) $\rho(H) > r^{-1}\Delta(H^{(2)}) \text{spe}_\mathcal{H}(\max_{i \in V(H)} |N(i)|, F)$.

Proof. If $\beta(H) > r^{-1} \text{spe}_\mathcal{H}(\max_{i \in V(H)} |N(i)|, F)$, then by Theorem 3.1, there exists a vertex u such that

$$\rho(H(u)) \geq r\beta(H) > \text{spe}_\mathcal{H}\left(\max_{i \in V(H)} |N(i)|, F\right) \geq \text{spe}_\mathcal{H}(|N(u)|, F).$$

Since $H(u) \in \mathcal{H}$ and $H(u)$ has $|N(u)|$ vertices, F is a subhypergraph of $H(u)$, that is, $K_1 * F$ is a subhypergraph of H . Hence part (1) holds.

By Lemma 2.3, we know that $\beta(H) = \frac{\rho(H)}{\rho(H^{(2)})} \geq \frac{(r+1)|E(H)|}{|V(H)|\rho(H^{(2)})}$ and $\beta(H) \geq \frac{\rho(H)}{\Delta(H^{(2)})}$. So parts (2) and (3) hold. \square

We can derive the following result from Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.3. *Let H be an $(r+1)$ -uniform hypergraph ($r \geq 2$) such that $|e \cap f| \leq 2$ for any two distinct edges $e, f \in E(H)$. If $\max_{u \in V(H)} |N(u)|$ is sufficiently large with respect to r and $\beta(H) > r^{-2} \max_{u \in V(H)} |N(u)|$, then $K_1 * K_{r+1}^+$ is a subhypergraph of H .*

Proof. Let \mathcal{H} be the set of r -uniform linear hypergraphs. Since $|e \cap f| \leq 2$ for any two distinct edges $e, f \in E(H)$, we know that $H(i) \in \mathcal{H}$ for each vertex $i \in V(H)$. By Lemma 2.4, we have

$$\text{spe}_\mathcal{H}\left(\max_{i \in V(H)} |N(i)|, K_{r+1}^+\right) \leq r^{-1} \max_{u \in V(H)} |N(u)|$$

when $\max_{u \in V(H)} |N(u)|$ is sufficiently large. If $\beta(H) > r^{-2} \max_{u \in V(H)} |N(u)|$, then

$$\beta(H) > r^{-1} \text{spe}_\mathcal{H}\left(\max_{i \in V(H)} |N(i)|, K_{r+1}^+\right).$$

By Theorem 3.2, we know that $K_1 * K_{r+1}^+$ is a subhypergraph of H . \square

The *Lagrangian* [9] of an r -uniform hypergraph H is defined as

$$\lambda(H) = \max \left\{ \sum_{j_1 \cdots j_r \in E(H)} x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_r} : \sum_{i \in V(H)} x_i = 1, x \in \mathbb{R}_+^{|V(H)|} \right\}.$$

Given an r -uniform hypergraph F , the *Lagrangian density* [10] $\pi_\lambda(F)$ of F is

$$\pi_\lambda(F) = \sup\{r!\lambda(H) : H \text{ is } r\text{-uniform and } F\text{-free}\}.$$

The spectral radius $\rho(H)$ and Lagrangian of local hypergraph $H(u)$ have the following relationship.

Theorem 3.4. *Let H be an r -uniform hypergraph ($r \geq 3$) such that $|E(H)| \neq \emptyset$. Then there exists a vertex u in H such that*

$$\rho(H) \leq \rho(H^{(2)})^{r-1} \lambda(H(u)).$$

Moreover, for an $(r-1)$ -uniform hypergraph F , $K_1 * F$ is a subhypergraph of H if one of the following holds:

- (1) $(r-1)!\rho(H) > \rho(H^{(2)})^{r-1} \pi_\lambda(F)$.
- (2) $r!|E(H)| > |V(H)|\rho(H^{(2)})^{r-1} \pi_\lambda(F)$.
- (3) $(r-1)!\rho(H) > \Delta(H^{(2)})^{r-1} \pi_\lambda(F)$.

Proof. Since $|E(H)| \neq \emptyset$, we have $\rho(H) > 0$. Let x be a nonnegative eigenvector associated with $\rho(H)$, then $\mathcal{A}_H x^{r-1} = \rho(H)x^{[r-1]}$. For any vertex i satisfying $x_i > 0$, we have

$$\rho(H)x_i^{r-1} = (\mathcal{A}_H x^{r-1})_i = \sum_{j_1 \cdots j_{r-1} i \in E(H)} x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_{r-1}}$$

and $\sum_{u \in N(i)} x_u > 0$. Let y be the $|N(i)|$ -dimension nonnegative vector such that $y_j = x_j(\sum_{u \in N(i)} x_u)^{-1}$ for all $j \in N(i)$. Then $\sum_{j \in N(i)} y_j = 1$ and

$$\rho(H)x_i^{r-1} = \left(\sum_{j \in N(i)} x_j \right)^{r-1} \sum_{j_1 \cdots j_{r-1} i \in E(H)} y_{j_1} \cdots y_{j_{r-1}} \leq \left(\sum_{j \in N(i)} x_j \right)^{r-1} \lambda(H(i)).$$

Notice that $\sum_{j \in N(i)} x_j = (\mathcal{A}_{H^{(2)}} x)_i$. By Lemma 2.2, we know that there exists a vertex u such that

$$x_u^{-1} \sum_{j \in N(u)} x_j \leq \rho(H^{(2)}).$$

Hence

$$\rho(H) \leq \rho(H^{(2)})^{r-1} \lambda(H(u)).$$

Moreover, if F is an $(r-1)$ -uniform hypergraph and $(r-1)!\rho(H) > \rho(H^{(2)})^{r-1} \pi_\lambda(F)$, then

$$(r-1)!\lambda(H(u)) \geq \frac{(r-1)!\rho(H)}{\rho(H^{(2)})^{r-1}} > \pi_\lambda(F).$$

Since $\pi_\lambda(F) = \sup\{(r-1)!\lambda(G) : G \text{ is } (r-1)\text{-uniform and } F\text{-free}\}$, we know that F is a subhypergraph of $H(u)$. Hence $K_1 * F$ is a subhypergraph of H . So part (1) holds.

By Lemma 2.3, we know that $\rho(H) \geq \frac{r|E(H)|}{|V(H)|}$ and $\rho(H^{(2)}) \leq \Delta(H^{(2)})$. So parts (2) and (3) hold. \square

Theorem 3.4 implies that certain local subhypergraph will occur when $\frac{\rho(H)}{\rho(H^{(2)})^{r-1}}$ is large enough. The following is an example.

Example 3.5. *The r -uniform t -matching, denoted by M_t^r , is the r -uniform hypergraph with t pairwise disjoint edges. It is known that $\pi_\lambda(M_t^3) = 3! \binom{3t-1}{3} (3t-1)^{-3}$ for $t \geq 2$ (see [10, Corollary 3.4]). For a 4-uniform hypergraph H , if $\frac{\rho(H)}{\rho(H^{(2)})^3} > \binom{3t-1}{3} (3t-1)^{-3}$, then by Theorem 3.4, we know that $K_1 * M_t^3$ is a subhypergraph of H .*

4. Local structure and r -clique spectral radius of graphs

Recall that $\gamma_r(G) = \frac{\rho_r(G)}{\rho_2(H)}$, where H is the subgraph of a graph G obtained by deleting all edges that are not containing in an r -clique. Clearly, we have $\rho_r(G) = \rho(H_r)$ and $\gamma_r(G) = \beta(H_r)$, where H_r is the r -uniform hypergraph with vertex set $V(H_r) = V(G)$ and edge set $E(H) = \{i_1 \cdots i_r : \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \in C_r(G)\}$.

For a vertex u of G , let $N_G(u) = \{v : uv \in E(G)\}$ denote the open neighborhood of u in G , and let G_u denote the subgraph induced by $N_G(u)$. The following result follows from Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 4.1. *Let G be a graph such that $3 \leq r \leq \omega(G)$ for an integer r . Then there exists a vertex u in G such that*

$$\rho_{r-1}(G_u) \geq (r-1)\gamma_r(G)$$

and G has $p = \lceil (r-1)\beta_r(G) \rceil$ distinct r -cliques C_1, \dots, C_p such that $u \in C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_p$ and

$$|C_1 \cap \dots \cap C_p| \geq 2.$$

The book graph B_p is the graph that consists of p triangles sharing a common edge. Take $r = 3$ in Theorem 4.1, we obtain the following result, which shows that a graph G has a large book subgraph when $\gamma_3(G)$ is large.

Corollary 4.2. *Let G a graph with at least one triangle. Then B_p is a subgraph of G , where*

$$p = \lceil 2\gamma_3(G) \rceil \geq \left\lceil \frac{2\rho_3(G)}{\rho_2(G)} \right\rceil.$$

For a graph F , let $K_1 \vee F$ denote the graph with vertex set $V(F) \cup \{x\}$ and edge set $E(F) \cup \{xu : u \in V(F)\}$, and let $\text{spex}(n, F)$ denote the maximum spectral radius of an n -vertex F -free graph. Let $\Delta(H)$ denote the maximum degree of a graph H .

Theorem 4.3. *Let G a graph with at least one triangle, and let H be the subgraph of G obtained by deleting all edges that are not containing in a triangle. Suppose that F is a graph without isolated vertices. Then $K_1 \vee F$ is a subgraph of G if one of the following holds:*

- (1) $\gamma_3(G) > \frac{1}{2}\text{spex}(\Delta(H), F)$.
- (2) $6|C_3(G)| > |V(G)|\rho_2(H)\text{spex}(\Delta(H), F)$.
- (3) $\rho_3(G) > \frac{1}{2}\Delta(H)\text{spex}(\Delta(H), F)$.

Proof. If $\gamma_3(G) > \frac{1}{2}\text{spex}(\Delta(H), F)$, then by Theorem 4.1, there exists a vertex u such that

$$\rho_2(H_u) = \rho_2(G_u) \geq 2\gamma_3(G) > \text{spex}(\Delta(H), F) \geq \text{spex}_{\mathcal{H}}(|V(H_u)|, F).$$

Hence F is a subgraph of H_u , that is, $K_1 \vee F$ is a subgraph of G . So part (1) holds.

By Lemma 2.5, we have $\gamma_3(G) = \frac{\rho_3(G)}{\rho_2(H)} \geq \frac{3c_3(G)}{|V(G)|\rho_2(H)}$, so part (2) holds. Since $\gamma_3(G) \geq \frac{\rho_3(G)}{\Delta(H)}$, part (3) holds. \square

From Theorem 3.4, we can derive the following relation between the spectral radius and clique number of a graph.

Theorem 4.4. *For any graph G and any integer r satisfying $3 \leq r \leq \omega(G)$, we have*

$$\rho_r(G) \leq \rho(\tilde{G})^{r-1} \binom{\omega(G) - 1}{r - 1} (\omega(G) - 1)^{-(r-1)}.$$

where \tilde{G} is the subgraph of G obtained by deleting all edges that are not containing in an r -clique.

Proof. Let H be the r -uniform hypergraph with vertex set $V(H) = V(G)$ and edge set $E(H) = \{i_1 \cdots i_r : \{i_1, \dots, i_r\} \in C_r(G)\}$. Then $\rho_r(G) = \rho(H)$ and $\tilde{G} = H^{(2)}$ is the 2-section graph of H . By Theorem 3.4, there exists a vertex u in H such that

$$\rho_r(G) \leq \rho(\tilde{G})^{r-1} \lambda(H(u)).$$

Note that $\lambda(H(u)) = \max \left\{ \sum_{\{j_1, \dots, j_{r-1}\} \in C_{r-1}(G_u)} x_{j_1} \cdots x_{j_{r-1}} : \sum_{i \in N(u)} x_i = 1, x \in \mathbb{R}_+^{|N(u)|} \right\}$. Since $\omega(G_u) \leq \omega(G) - 1$, by Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\lambda(H(u)) = \binom{\omega(G_u)}{r-1} \omega(G_u)^{-(r-1)} \leq \binom{\omega(G) - 1}{r-1} (\omega(G) - 1)^{-(r-1)}.$$

□

5. Local chromatic number and graph eigenvalues

In [7], Erdős et al. defined the *local chromatic number* $\psi(G)$ of a graph G as

$$\psi(G) = 1 + \min_c \max_{v \in V(G)} |\{c(u) : u \in N_G(v)\}|,$$

where the minimum is taken over all proper colorings c of G . Then $\psi(G)$ is a local graph parameter based on graph colorings, and $\psi(G)$ is bounded from below by the fractional chromatic number of G (see [12]).

Considering the localized problem of vector chromatic number, it is natural to define the *local vector chromatic number* of G as

$$\phi(G) = 1 + \max_{u \in V(G)} \chi_v(G_u).$$

Then it is easy to obtain the following relations

$$\omega(G) \leq \phi(G) \leq 1 + \max_{u \in V(G)} \chi(G_u) \leq \psi(G) \leq \chi(G).$$

For a graph G with vertex set $\{1, \dots, n\}$, we say that a set $f = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ of unit real vectors is a *2-distance representation* of G if the following hold:

- (1) $u_i^\top u_j = 0$ whenever the distance between vertices i and j is 2.
- (2) $u_i^\top u_j \geq 0$ for any $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$.

For a 2-distance representation $f = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ of G , let $\mathcal{A}_f(G) = (a_{ijk})$ denote the 3-order n -dimension *weighted 3-clique tensor* of G with entries

$$a_{ijk} = \begin{cases} u_j^\top u_k, & \{i, j, k\} \in C_3(G). \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let $\mu_f(G)$ denote the spectral radius of $\mathcal{A}_f(G)$.

Theorem 5.1. *Let G be a graph with at least one triangle, and let H be the subgraph of G obtained by deleting all edges that are not containing in a triangle. For any 2-distance representation f of G , we have*

$$\phi(G) \geq 2 + \left\lceil \frac{\mu_f(G)}{\rho_2(H)} \right\rceil.$$

Proof. Suppose that $f = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ is a 2-distance representation of G . Let x be a nonnegative eigenvector associated with $\mu_f(G)$, then $\mathcal{A}_f(G)x^2 = \mu_f(G)x^{[2]}$. For any vertex i of G , we have

$$\mu_f(G)x_i^2 = (\mathcal{A}_f(G)x^2)_i = 2 \sum_{jk \in E(H_i)} u_j^\top u_k x_j x_k.$$

Note that $u_j^\top u_k = 0$ whenever i, k are two distinct non-adjacent vertices in H_i . So $\{u_j : j \in N_H(i)\}$ is a nonnegative orthonormal representation of H_i . Let y be the $|N_H(i)|$ -dimension vector such that $y_j = x_j$ for all $j \in N_H(i)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_f(G)x_i^2 &= 2 \sum_{jk \in E(H_i)} u_j^\top u_k x_j x_k = y^\top (M - I)y \\ &\leq (\lambda_1(M) - 1) \sum_{j \in N_H(i)} x_j^2, \end{aligned}$$

where M is the Gram matrix of $\{u_j : j \in N_H(i)\}$, $\lambda_1(M)$ is the maximum eigenvalue of

M . By Lemmas 2.7 and 2.8, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_f(G)x_i^2 &\leq (\lambda_1(M) - 1) \sum_{j \in N_H(i)} x_j^2 \leq (\chi_v(H_i) - 1) \sum_{j \in N_H(i)} x_j^2, \\ &\leq (\chi_v(G_i) - 1) \sum_{j \in N_H(i)} x_j^2.\end{aligned}$$

Let z be the $|V(G)|$ -dimension vector such that $z_j = x_j^2$ for all $j \in V(G)$. Then $\sum_{j \in N_H(i)} x_j^2 = (A_H z)_i$. By Lemma 2.2, we know that there exists a vertex u such that

$$x_u^{-2} \sum_{j \in N_H(u)} x_j^2 = z_u^{-1} \sum_{j \in N_H(u)} z_j \leq \rho_2(H).$$

Since $\phi(G) = 1 + \max_{i \in V(G)} \chi_v(G_i)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\mu_f(G) &\leq (\chi_v(G_u) - 1)\rho_2(H) \leq (\phi(G) - 2)\rho_2(H), \\ \phi(G) &\geq 2 + \left\lceil \frac{\mu_f(G)}{\rho_2(H)} \right\rceil.\end{aligned}$$

□

References

- [1] A. Acín, R. Duan, D.E. Roberson, A.B. Sainz, A. Winter, A new property of the Lovász number and duality relations between graph parameters, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 216 (2017) 489-501.
- [2] I. Balla, O. Janzer, B. Sudakov, On maxcut and the Lovász theta function, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 152 (2024) 1871-1879.
- [3] Y. Bilu, Tales of Hoffman: Three extensions of Hoffman's bound on the graph chromatic number, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 96 (2006) 608-613.
- [4] L. Chen, E.R. van Dam, C. Bu, Spectra of power hypergraphs and signed graphs via parity-closed walks, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 207 (2024) 105909.
- [5] G.J. Clark, J. Cooper, A Harary-Sachs theorem for hypergraphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 149 (2021) 1-15.

- [6] J. Cooper, A. Dutle, Spectra of uniform hypergraphs, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 436 (2012) 3268-3292.
- [7] P. Erdős, Z. Füredi, A. Hajnal, P. Komjáth, V. Rödl, Á. Seress, Coloring graphs with locally few colors, *Discrete Math.* 59 (1986) 21-34.
- [8] G. Gao, A. Chang, Y. Hou, Spectral radius on linear r -graphs without expanded K_{r+1} , *SIAM J. Discrete Math.* 36 (2022) 1000-1011.
- [9] D. Hefetz, P. Keevash, A hypergraph Turán theorem via Lagrangians of intersecting families, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 120 (2013) 2020-2038.
- [10] T. Jiang, Y. Peng, B. Wu, Lagrangian densities of some sparse hypergraphs and Turán numbers of their extensions, *European J. Combin.* 73 (2018) 20-36.
- [11] D. Karger, R. Motwani, M. Sudan, Approximate graph coloring by semidefinite programming, *J. ACM* 45 (1998) 246-265.
- [12] J. Körner, C. Pilotto, A. Hajnal, G. Simonyi, Local chromatic number and Sperner capacity, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* 95 (2005) 101-117.
- [13] C. Liu, C. Bu, On a generalization of the spectral Mantel's theorem, *J. Comb. Optim.* 46 (2023), 14.
- [14] C. Liu, C. Bu, A tensor's spectral bound on the clique number, *Discrete Math.* 349 (2026) 114694
- [15] C. Liu, J. Zhou, C. Bu, The high order spectral extremal results for graphs and their applications, *Discrete Appl. Math.* 357 (2024) 209-214.
- [16] L. Lovász, On the Shannon capacity of a graph, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 25 (1979) 1-7.
- [17] L. Qi, Eigenvalues of a real supersymmetric tensor, *Journal of Symbolic Computation* 40 (2005) 1302-1324.
- [18] L. Qi, Symmetric nonnegative tensors and copositive tensors, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 439 (2013) 228-238.
- [19] A. Schrijver, A comparison of the Delsarte and Lovász bounds, *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory* 25 (1979) 425-429.

- [20] Y. Yang, Q. Yang, Further results for Perron-Frobenius Theorem for nonnegative tensors, SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 31 (2010) 2517-2530.
- [21] L. Yu, Y. Peng, A Spectral version of the theorem of Zykov and Erdős, Electron. J. Combin. 32 (2025) P4.15.