

# PINCHED SELF-DUAL WEYL CURVATURE ON EINSTEIN FOUR-MANIFOLDS

INYOUNG KIM

**ABSTRACT.** We show that a compact oriented riemannian four-manifold with harmonic and pinched self-dual Weyl curvature is anti-self-dual if the type is nonpositive. The main part is to show that there is an almost-Kähler structure outside the zero set of the self-dual Weyl curvature.

## 1. Introduction

Let  $(M, g)$  be an oriented riemannian four-manifold and let

$$R(X, Y)Z := \nabla_X \nabla_Y Z - \nabla_Y \nabla_X Z - \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z,$$

where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection. Then there is a symmetric bilinear map  $R : \Lambda^2 M \times \Lambda^2 M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  such that

$$R(X \wedge Y, V \wedge W) := g(R(X, Y)W, V),$$

where  $\Lambda^2 M$  is the set of bivectors. Then the curvature operator is defined by

$$g(\mathfrak{R}(X \wedge Y), V \wedge W) = R(X \wedge Y, V \wedge W).$$

According to the decomposition of  $\Lambda^2 = \Lambda^+ \oplus \Lambda^-$ , the curvature operator  $\mathfrak{R} : \Lambda^2 \rightarrow \Lambda^2$  is given by

$$\mathfrak{R} = \left( \begin{array}{c|c} W^+ + \frac{s}{12}I & ric_0 \\ \hline ric_0 & W^- + \frac{s}{12}I \end{array} \right)$$

where  $s$  is the scalar curvature. The Hodge star operator is defined by  $\langle *\omega_1, \omega_2 \rangle d\mu = \omega_1 \wedge \omega_2$  for  $k$ -forms  $\omega_1, \omega_2$  on  $M$ , where  $d\mu$  is the volume form of  $(M, g)$ . A 2-form  $\omega \in \Lambda^+$  is self-dual if  $*\omega = \omega$  and  $\omega \in \Lambda^-$  is anti-self-dual if  $*\omega = -\omega$ . When  $ric_0 = 0$ ,  $(M, g)$  is Einstein. When  $W^\pm = 0$ ,  $g$  is an anti-self-dual(self-dual) metric respectively.

---

**Keywords:** four-manifold, almost-Kähler, self-dual Weyl curvature, Einstein metric  
**MSC:** 53C20, 53C21, 53C55

Republic of Korea,  
 Email address: kiysd5@gmail.com

Let  $(M, g)$  be an almost-Hermitian four-manifold. Then for tangent vectors  $X, Y \in T_p M$ ,  $g(X, Y) = g(JX, JY)$ . The fundamental 2-form is defined by

$$\omega(X, Y) := g(JX, Y).$$

When  $d\omega = 0$ ,  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is almost-Kähler. If  $J$  is integrable, then  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is Hermitian. When  $J$  is integrable and  $d\omega = 0$ ,  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is Kähler. We note that on Kähler manifolds,  $\nabla\omega = 0$ , where  $\nabla$  is the Levi-Civita connection of  $(M, g)$ .

Let  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  be an almost-Hermitian four-manifold and let  $\{X, JX, Y, JY\}$  be an orthonormal basis. The holomorphic sectional curvature is defined by

$$H(X) := R(X \wedge JX, X \wedge JX)$$

and the orthogonal holomorphic sectional curvature is defined by

$$H_{hol}(X, Y) := \frac{1}{2}(R(X \wedge JX, X \wedge JX) + R(Y \wedge JY, Y \wedge JY)).$$

Let  $H_{max}(H_{min})$  is the maximum(minimum) of the orthogonal holomorphic sectional curvature and  $\lambda_i$  be the eigenvalues of  $W^-$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ . We show that

$$H_{max} = \frac{s + 3s^*}{24} + \frac{\lambda_3}{2}, \quad H_{min} = \frac{s + 3s^*}{24} + \frac{\lambda_1}{2},$$

where  $s^* = 2R(\omega, \omega)$ . For a Kähler-Einstein surface, we get

$$H_{max} = \frac{s}{6} + \frac{\lambda_3}{2}, \quad H_{min} = \frac{s}{6} + \frac{\lambda_1}{2},$$

where  $H_{max}(H_{min})$  is the maximum(minimum) of the holomorphic sectional curvature.

Let  $M$  be a compact Kähler-Einstein surface with nonpositive bisectional curvature. Suppose

$$H_{av} - H_{min} \leq a(H_{max} - H_{min}),$$

for some  $a < \frac{2}{3(1+\sqrt{6/11})}$ . Here

$$H_{av}(p) := \frac{1}{Vol(\mathbb{S}^3)} \int_{S_p} H da,$$

where  $S_p$  is the unit sphere of  $T_p M$ . By Berger's Theorem [5],  $H_{av}(p) = \frac{s}{6}$  for a Kähler surface. Siu-Yang showed that  $M$  is biholomorphically isometric to a compact quotient of the complex 2-ball with an invariant metric [26]. Improving results by Chen, Hong and Yang [7], Guan [10] showed that a compact Kähler-Einstein surface with nonpositive scalar curvature is a compact quotient of the complex 2-dimensional unit ball or plane if

$$H_{av} - H_{min} \leq \frac{1}{2}(H_{max} - H_{min}).$$

It was shown that a certain bounded differentiable function on  $M - N$  is superharmonic, where  $N$  is the set of ball-like points and it was mentioned that such a function can be extended as a superharmonic function on  $M$  [26]. The coefficient was improved by 1/2 by using a different superharmonic function, which was called Hong Cang Yang's function [10]. This function may not be differentiable on  $M - N$ . Moreover, the result was shown without

a hypothesis on the nonpositive bisectional curvature [10]. The function is  $\Phi = 3B - A$  on Kähler-Einstein surfaces, where  $A$  and  $B$  are given by

$$H_{max} = H_{min} + \frac{1}{2}(A + B)$$

$$H_{av} = H_{min} + \frac{1}{3}A.$$

In terms of  $\lambda_i$ , we have

$$A = -\frac{3}{2}\lambda_1, \quad B = \lambda_3 + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_1,$$

where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $W^-$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ . Then  $\Phi = 3B - A = -3\lambda_2$ , which was mentioned in [10]. The set  $A = B$  in [10] is the set where  $2\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 = 0$ .

Let  $\delta W^+ := -\nabla \cdot W^+$ . A four-dimensional oriented riemannian manifold  $(M, g)$  is said to have harmonic self-dual Weyl curvature if  $\delta W^+ = 0$ . Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact riemannian four-manifold with harmonic self-dual Weyl curvature and nonpositive scalar curvature. Let  $\lambda_i$  be the eigenvalues of  $W^+$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ . Suppose

$$-8 \left( 1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_3 \leq -2\lambda_1.$$

Polombo showed that  $(M, g)$  is anti-self-dual [23]. We note that  $-8 \left( 1 - \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \right) \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_3$  implies  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 \geq 0$ . It was shown that the zero set  $W^+$  has codimension  $\geq 2$  for a compact, oriented four-manifold with harmonic self-dual Weyl curvature [3], [23].

We note that Guan's pinching condition is equivalent to

$$\lambda_1 + \lambda_3 \geq 0,$$

where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $W^-$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ . Since  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$ , this is equivalent to  $\lambda_2 \leq 0$ . Since  $\lambda_1 \leq 0$  and  $\lambda_3 \geq 0$ , this condition is equivalent to  $\det W^- = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3 \geq 0$ . Compact riemannian four-manifolds with harmonic self-dual Weyl curvature with  $\det W^+ > 0$  were classified by LeBrun [20] and Wu [27]. Moreover, LeBrun showed that the condition  $\det W^+ > 0$  can be improved by  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$  and  $W^+$  is nowhere zero. It was shown by LeBrun that the largest eigenvalue of  $W^+$  is a smooth function if  $\det W^+ > 0$  [20]. In particular, this implies  $\lambda_3$  is a smooth function on  $M - N$  if  $\det W^+ \geq 0$ , where  $N$  is the zero set of  $W^+$ . By changing the orientation, we get the same result with respect to  $W^-$ . Then this implies that in case of Kähler-Einstein surfaces,  $H_{max}$  is a smooth function on  $M - N$ , where  $N$  is the set of ball-like points.

In [15], it was shown that a compact oriented riemannian four-manifold with harmonic self-dual Weyl curvature is anti-self-dual if  $\det W^+ \geq 0$  and the scalar curvature is non-positive. Instead of considering the set  $A = B$ , the set  $M_W$ , where the distinct number of eigenvalues of  $W^+$  is constant [8], was considered.  $M_W$  is a dense open set and  $\lambda_i$  are differentiable on  $M_W$  [8]. It was shown that the function  $\Phi^{1/3}$ , where  $\Phi = -\lambda_2$  is strictly superharmonic on  $M_W$  and  $\Phi^{-2}|d\Phi|^2 = 0$  on  $M_W$ , which is a contradiction unless  $M = N$ . This generalizes Guan's Theorem and Polombo's Theorem.

In this article, we consider compact oriented riemannian four-manifolds with harmonic self-dual Weyl curvature and  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$ , where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $W^+$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ . Define a metric  $g := (\lambda_3)^{2/3}h$  on  $M - N$ , where  $N$  is the zero set of  $W^+$ . Following [20], instead of a function  $\Phi$ , we use an eigenform with the largest eigenvalue of  $W^+$  with respect to  $g$ . Working with the eigenform  $\omega$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda_3$ , we only need to consider the zero set. When we assume  $\det W^+ \geq 0$ , we get a Kähler structure. On the other hand, if we assume a weaker condition  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$ , we get an almost-Kähler structure. Then by the argument of LeBrun using almost-Kähler geometry [18], [19], we get that  $W^+$  has a degenerate spectrum.

Using this, we show that a compact riemannian four-manifold with self-dual Weyl curvature is anti-self-dual if  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$  and the type is nonpositive. This improves the pinching condition and scalar curvature condition in [15]. In Einstein case with positive scalar curvature, we get a result with an improved pinching condition. This is possible due to Derdziński's result [8] such that a compact Einstein four-manifold with degenerate spectrum of  $W^+$  is either anti-self-dual or  $W^+$  is nowhere zero. In Kähler-Einstein surfaces, we classify compact Kähler-Einstein surfaces with

$$H_{av} - H_{min} \leq \frac{5}{9}(H_{max} - H_{min}).$$

This improves the coefficient of Guan's result [10].

## 2. On the holomorphic sectional curvature of Einstein four-manifolds

In this section, we consider the maximum and the minimum of the holomorphic sectional curvature of almost-Hermitian Einstein four-manifolds. The following result was shown in [12].

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  be an almost-Hermitian four-manifold. Then given an anti-self-dual 2-form  $\phi$  with unit length, there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{X, JX, Y, JY\}$  such that  $\phi = \frac{X \wedge JX - Y \wedge JY}{\sqrt{2}}$ .*

*Proof.* We follow the proof given in [12]. We note that  $\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}}$  is a self-dual 2-form of unit length. Using the correspondence of the Grassmannian

$$Gr_2^+(T_p(M)) = \{(\alpha, \beta) \in \Lambda^+ \oplus \Lambda^- : |\alpha| = |\beta| = 1\},$$

for a given anti-self-dual 2-form  $\phi$  of unit length,  $\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} + \phi$  is decomposable. Then there exist orthonormal 1-forms  $e_1, e_2$  such that

$$\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} + \phi = e_1 \wedge e_2.$$

Then we get

$$\frac{\omega}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{e_1 \wedge e_2 + *(e_1 \wedge e_2)}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

Since  $\omega(X, Y) = g(JX, Y)$ , we get  $e_2 = J(e_1)$ . Similarly, we have  $e_4 = Je_3$  for a positively oriented basis  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ . From this, it follows that

$$\phi = \frac{e_1 \wedge Je_1 - e_3 \wedge Je_3}{\sqrt{2}}.$$

□

**Lemma 2.** *Let  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  be an almost-Hermitian four-manifold and  $\lambda_i$  be the eigenvalues of  $W^-$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ . Then we have*

$$H_{\max} = \frac{s + 3s^*}{24} + \frac{\lambda_3}{2}, \quad H_{\min} = \frac{s + 3s^*}{24} + \frac{\lambda_1}{2},$$

where  $H_{\max}(H_{\min})$  be the maximum(minimum) of the orthogonal holomorphic sectional curvature and  $s^* = 2R(\omega, \omega)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $r^*(X, Y) := \text{tr}(Z \rightarrow R(X, JZ)JY)$ . Then  $s^* := \text{tr}(r^*)$  and  $s^* = 2R_{1212} + 2R_{3434} + 4R_{1234}$ , where  $R_{ijkl} = R(e_i \wedge e_j, e_k \wedge e_l)$  for an orthonormal basis  $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ . Suppose  $\phi$  is an eigenvector of  $W^-$  with the eigenvalue  $\lambda_1$ . Then there exists an orthonormal basis  $\{X, JX, Y, JY\}$  such that  $\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X \wedge JX - Y \wedge JY)$  by Lemma 1. Let  $X = e_1, JX = e_2, Y = e_3, JY = e_4$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{s}{3} - 2W^-\right)(\phi, \phi) &= R_{1313} + R_{1414} + R_{2323} + R_{2424} + 2R_{1234} \\ &= \frac{s}{2} + \frac{s^*}{2} - 2(R_{1212} + R_{3434}). \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\frac{s}{2} + \frac{s^*}{2} - 4H_{\min} \geq \frac{s}{2} + \frac{s^*}{2} - 2(R_{1212} + R_{3434}) = \frac{s}{3} - 2\lambda_1.$$

From this, we get

$$\frac{s}{6} + \frac{s^*}{2} + 2\lambda_1 \geq 4H_{\min}.$$

Let  $H_{\min} = \frac{1}{2}(H(X, JX) + H(Y, JY))$  for an orthonormal basis  $\{X, JX, Y, JY\}$  and let  $\phi = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(X \wedge JX - Y \wedge JY)$ . Then we have

$$\frac{s}{3} - 2\lambda_1 \geq \left(\frac{s}{3} - 2W^-\right)(\phi, \phi) = \frac{s}{2} + \frac{s^*}{2} - 2(H(X, JX) + H(Y, JY)).$$

From this, we get

$$H_{\min} \geq \frac{s + 3s^*}{24} + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}.$$

It follows that  $H_{\min} = \frac{s+3s^*}{24} + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}$ . Similarly, we have  $H_{\max} = \frac{s+3s^*}{24} + \frac{\lambda_3}{2}$ . □

We note that for an Einstein manifold and an orthonormal basis  $\{X, JX, Y, JY\}$ , we have  $H_{\text{hol}}(X, Y) = H(X) = H(Y)$  since  $H(X, JX) = H(Y, JY)$ . Thus, we get the following result.

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  be an almost-Hermitian Einstein four-manifold and  $\lambda_i$  be the eigenvalues of  $W^-$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ . Then we have*

$$H_{max} = \frac{s + 3s^*}{24} + \frac{\lambda_3}{2}, \quad H_{min} = \frac{s + 3s^*}{24} + \frac{\lambda_1}{2},$$

where  $H_{max}(H_{min})$  is the maximum(minimum) of the holomorphic sectional curvature and  $s^* = 2R(\omega, \omega)$ .

Let  $(M, g, \omega)$  be an almost-Kähler four-manifold. We have

$$s^* = 2R(\omega, \omega) = 2 \left( \frac{s}{12} I + W^+ \right) (\omega, \omega) = \frac{s}{3} + 2W^+(\omega, \omega).$$

From the Weitzenböck formula

$$\langle \Delta \omega, \omega \rangle = \langle \nabla^* \nabla \omega, \omega \rangle - 2W^+(\omega, \omega) + \frac{s}{3} \langle \omega, \omega \rangle,$$

we get

$$0 = |\nabla \omega|^2 - 2W^+(\omega, \omega) + \frac{2s}{3}$$

since  $\omega$  is a harmonic 2-form of length  $\sqrt{2}$ . It follows that

$$s^* = s + |\nabla \omega|^2.$$

For a Kähler surface, we have  $\nabla \omega = 0$ , and therefore  $s^* = s$ . Thus, we get the following result.

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $(M, g, \omega)$  be a Kähler-Einstein surface. Let  $\lambda_i$  be the eigenvalues of  $W^-$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$  and  $H_{max}(H_{min})$  be the maximum(minimum) of the holomorphic sectional curvature. Then we have*

$$H_{max} = \left( \frac{s}{6} \right) + \frac{\lambda_3}{2}, \quad H_{min} = \left( \frac{s}{6} \right) + \frac{\lambda_1}{2}.$$

Let  $(M, g, \omega)$  be a Kähler manifold with complex-dimension  $N$  and  $H$  be the holomorphic sectional curvature. Berger showed  $H \leq \frac{s}{N(N+1)}$  if and only if  $H = \frac{s}{N(N+1)}$  [5]. Using Lemma 2, this result can be generalized in almost-Hermitian four-manifold with respect to the orthogonal holomorphic sectional curvature.

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $(M, g, \omega)$  be an almost-Hermitian four-manifold and let  $H_{ohol}$  be the orthogonal holomorphic sectional curvature. Suppose  $H_{ohol} \leq \frac{s+3s^*}{24}$  or  $H_{ohol} \geq \frac{s+3s^*}{24}$ . Then  $H_{ohol} = \frac{s+3s^*}{24}$  and  $(M, g)$  is self-dual.*

*Proof.* If  $H_{ohol} \leq \frac{s+3s^*}{24}$ , we get

$$\frac{s + 3s^*}{24} + \frac{\lambda_3}{2} \leq \frac{s + 3s^*}{24}.$$

Thus,  $\lambda_3 = 0$ . Since  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$  and  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$ , we get  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$ . Since

$$H_{omin} = \frac{s + 3s^*}{24} + \frac{\lambda_1}{2},$$

we get  $H_{\min} = \frac{s+3s^*}{24}$ . Thus,  $H_{\text{hol}} = \frac{s+3s^*}{24}$ . Similarly, we get  $H_{\text{hol}} = \frac{s+3s^*}{24}$  if  $H_{\text{hol}} \geq \frac{s+3s^*}{24}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $(M, g, J)$  be an almost-Hermitian four-manifold with pointwise constant orthogonal holomorphic sectional curvature. Then  $(M, g)$  is self-dual.*

In [14], it was shown that a compact almost-Kähler four-manifold with constant holomorphic sectional curvature with  $J$ -invariant ricci tensor is Kähler with constant holomorphic sectional curvature. This result can be extended regarding the orthogonal holomorphic sectional curvature. The same proof gives following results.

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  be a compact almost-Kähler four-manifold with pointwise constant orthogonal holomorphic sectional curvature. Suppose the ricci-tensor is  $J$ -invariant. Then*

- $(M, g)$  is Einstein; or
- $(M, g, \omega)$  is Kähler and  $(M, g)$  is locally a product space of 2-dimensional riemannian manifolds of constant curvature  $K$  and  $-K$  with  $K \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  be a compact almost-Kähler four-manifold with  $J$ -invariant ricci-tensor. If the orthogonal holomorphic sectional curvature is constant, then*

- $(M, g, \omega)$  is Kähler with constant holomorphic sectional curvature; or
- $(M, g, \omega)$  is Kähler and  $(M, g)$  is locally a product space of 2-dimensional riemannian manifolds of constant curvature  $K$  and  $-K$  with  $K \neq 0$ .

### 3. Pinched and harmonic self-dual Weyl curvature

Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact Einstein manifold with a degenerate spectrum of  $W^+$ . Derdziński showed that  $(M, g)$  or its double cover is conformal to a Kähler metric if  $(M, g)$  is not anti-self-dual [8]. Wu showed the same result by assuming  $\det W^+ > 0$  [27]. LeBrun proved this result by a different method [20]. Moreover, LeBrun showed that the hypothesis  $\det W^+ > 0$  can be weakened by  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$  and  $W^+$  is nowhere zero where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $W^+$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ .

**Theorem 1.** (LeBrun [20]) *Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact oriented four-manifold with  $\delta W^+ = 0$ . Suppose  $W^+$  is nowhere zero and  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$ , where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $W^+$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ . Then  $\det W^+ > 0$ . Moreover,*

- $b_+(M) = 1$  and  $(M, g)$  is conformal to a Kähler metric with positive scalar curvature; or
- $b_+(M) = 0$  and the double cover of  $(M, g)$  is conformal to a Kähler metric with positive scalar curvature.

**Theorem 2.** (Bär, Polombo) *Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact oriented, riemannian four-manifold with harmonic self-dual Weyl curvature. If  $(M, g)$  is not anti-self-dual, then the zero set of  $W^+$  has codimension  $\geq 2$ .*

Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact oriented riemannian four-manifold with harmonic self-dual Weyl curvature. Suppose  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$ , where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $W^+$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ . Then  $\lambda_3$  is a well-defined smooth function on  $X := M - N$ , where  $N$  is the zero set of  $W^+$ . If not, then  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3$  at a point  $p \in X$ . Since  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$ , we have  $\lambda_2 = \lambda_3 = 0$  at  $p$  and therefore  $p \in N$  since  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore,  $\lambda_2 < \lambda_3$  on  $X$  and  $\lambda_3$  has multiplicity one and  $\lambda_3$  is a smooth function on  $X$ . We note that if  $\det W^+ \geq 0$ , then  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$ . Let  $\alpha_h := \lambda_3$ . The eigenspace of  $\alpha_h$  varies smoothly from a point to point on  $X$ . Thus, the eigenspace of  $\alpha_h$  defines a line bundle on  $X$  and this line bundle is extended to  $M$  since it is defined outside the set of codimension  $\geq 2$ .

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be a compact oriented riemannian four-manifold such that  $\delta W^+ = 0$  and let  $N$  be the zero set of  $W^+$ . Let  $\lambda_i$  be the eigenvalues of  $W^+$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ . Suppose  $(M, h)$  is not anti-self-dual and  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$ . Let  $\alpha_h := \lambda_3$  on  $X := M - N$ . Suppose the extended line bundle  $L$  of the top eigenspace of  $W^+$  on  $M$  is trivial. Let  $g := f^{-2}h$ , where  $f = \alpha_h^{-1/3}$ . Then the eigenvector  $\omega$  of  $W_g^+$  with eigenvalue  $\alpha_g = f^2\alpha_h$  is defined on  $X$  and we have  $W_g^+(\omega) = \alpha_g\omega$  and  $|\omega|_g^2 = 2$ . Moreover,  $d\omega = 0$  on  $X$ .*

*Proof.* Using Lemma 3, Lemma 4 and  $|W^+|_g^2 \geq \frac{3}{2}\alpha_g^2$  since  $W^+$  is trace-free, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \langle \delta_g(fW_g^+), \omega \otimes \omega \rangle = \left\langle \nabla^* \nabla fW^+ + \frac{s}{2}fW^+ - 6fW^+ \circ W^+ + 2f|W^+|^2 I, \omega \otimes \omega \right\rangle \\
&= \langle \nabla^* \nabla fW^+, \omega \otimes \omega \rangle + \left( \frac{s}{2}W^+(\omega, \omega) - 6|W^+(\omega)|^2 + 2|W^+|^2|\omega|^2 \right) f. \\
&= 2|\nabla\omega|^2 - 2fW^+(\nabla^a\omega, \nabla_a\omega) + \left( \frac{s}{2}\alpha_g|\omega|^2 - 6\alpha_g^2|\omega|^2 + 2|W^+|^2|\omega|^2 \right) f d\mu_g \\
&\geq 2|\nabla\omega|^2 - 2f\beta|\nabla\omega|^2 + \left( \frac{s}{2}\alpha_g|\omega|^2 - 3\alpha_g^2|\omega|^2 \right) f \\
&\geq 2|\nabla\omega|^2 - 2f\frac{\alpha_g}{4}|\nabla\omega|^2 + \left( \frac{s}{2}|\omega|^2 - 3\alpha_g|\omega|^2 \right) \alpha_g f \\
&= \frac{3}{2} \langle \omega, \nabla^* \nabla \omega \rangle + \frac{s}{2}|\omega|^2 - 3W^+(\omega, \omega) \\
&= \frac{3}{2} \left( \langle \omega, \nabla^* \nabla \omega \rangle - 2W^+(\omega, \omega) + \frac{s}{3}|\omega|^2 \right) = \frac{3}{2} \langle \omega, \Delta \omega \rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used

$$\begin{aligned}
&\langle \nabla^* \nabla(fW^+), \omega \otimes \omega \rangle \\
&= -2(f\alpha_g) \langle \omega, \nabla^a \nabla_a \omega \rangle - 2fW^+(\nabla^a\omega, \nabla_a\omega) \\
&= \Delta|\omega|^2 + 2|\nabla\omega|^2 - 2fW^+(\nabla^a\omega, \nabla_a\omega) \\
&= 2|\nabla\omega|^2 - 2fW^+(\nabla^a\omega, \nabla_a\omega).
\end{aligned}$$



Then, we have

$$0 \geq \int_X \langle \omega, \Delta \omega \rangle d\mu_g = \int_X \langle \omega, (d\delta + \delta d)\omega \rangle d\mu_g,$$

where  $\delta = -*d*$ . Let  $X_\varepsilon := \{x \in M \mid \text{dist}_h(x, N) \geq \varepsilon > 0\}$ . We have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{X_\varepsilon} (\delta d\omega, \omega) d\mu &= - \int_{X_\varepsilon} (*d * d\omega, \omega) d\mu \\ &= - \int_{X_\varepsilon} (d * d\omega) \wedge \omega = - \int_{X_\varepsilon} d(*d\omega \wedge \omega) - \int_{X_\varepsilon} *d\omega \wedge d\omega \\ &= - \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} *d\omega \wedge \omega + \int_{X_\varepsilon} |d\omega|^2 d\mu_g. \end{aligned}$$

When a form  $\alpha$  on  $X_\varepsilon$  is integrated on  $\partial X_\varepsilon$ , by  $\alpha$ , we mean  $\alpha|_{\partial X_\varepsilon}$ . Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} (d\delta\omega, \omega) &= -(d(*d*)\omega, \omega) = -(\omega, d(*d*)\omega) = - \int_{X_\varepsilon} \omega \wedge d(*d*)\omega \\ &= - \int_{X_\varepsilon} d(\omega \wedge (*d*)\omega) + \int_{X_\varepsilon} d\omega \wedge (*d*)\omega = - \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \omega \wedge *d\omega + \int_{X_\varepsilon} d\omega \wedge *d\omega \\ &= - \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \omega \wedge *d\omega - \int_{X_\varepsilon} *d\omega \wedge d\omega = - \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \omega \wedge *d\omega + \int_{X_\varepsilon} |d\omega|^2 d\mu_g. \end{aligned}$$

From this, it follows that

$$0 \geq \int_{X_\varepsilon} \langle (d\delta_g + \delta_g d)\omega, \omega \rangle d\mu_g = 2 \int_{X_\varepsilon} |d\omega|^2 d\mu_g - 2 \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \omega \wedge *d\omega.$$

Then, we have

$$\int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \omega \wedge *d\omega \geq \int_{X_\varepsilon} |d\omega|_g^2 d\mu_g$$

Let  $\tilde{g} = g|_{\partial X_\varepsilon}$  and  $\tilde{h} = h|_{\partial X_\varepsilon}$ . Let  $\alpha' := \alpha|_{\partial X_\varepsilon}$  for a differential form  $\alpha$ . By  $|\alpha|_{\tilde{g}}$ , we mean  $|\alpha|_{\tilde{g}} = |\alpha'|_{\tilde{g}}$ . Then we note that  $|\alpha|_g \geq |\alpha'|_{\tilde{g}}$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be a sufficiently small positive number. Then for fixed such  $\varepsilon$ ,

$$\int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |*_g d\omega|_{\tilde{g}}^2 d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \leq C'(\varepsilon),$$

where  $C'(\varepsilon)$  is a positive number which depends on  $\varepsilon$ . We note that

$$|\omega|_{\tilde{g}} \leq |\omega|_g, \quad |*_g d\omega|_{\tilde{g}} \leq |*_g d\omega|_g.$$

and

$$|*_g \omega|_{\tilde{g}} = |\omega|_{\tilde{g}}, \quad |d\omega|_g^2 = |*_g d\omega|_{\tilde{g}}^2.$$

Then by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \omega \wedge *d\omega \right| &= \left| \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \langle *_g \omega, *_g d\omega \rangle_{\tilde{g}} d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \right| \\ &\leq \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |*_g \omega|_{\tilde{g}}^2 d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |*_g d\omega|_{\tilde{g}}^2 d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

$$= \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |\omega|_{\tilde{g}}^2 d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |*_g d\omega|_{\tilde{g}}^2 d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{1/2}$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |\omega|_{\tilde{g}}^2 d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |*_g d\omega|_{\tilde{g}}^2 d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{1/2} \geq \left| \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \omega \wedge *_g d\omega \right| \\ & \geq \int_{X_\varepsilon} |d\omega|_g^2 d\mu_g = \int_{X_\varepsilon} |*_g d\omega|_g^2 d\mu_g \geq \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |*_g d\omega|_{\tilde{g}}^2 d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\alpha_h$  goes to 0 near  $N$ ,  $\alpha_h$  is bounded on  $\partial X_\varepsilon$ . Since  $N$  is codimension  $\geq 2$  by Theorem 2, we note that  $\text{Vol}(\partial X_\varepsilon, \tilde{h})$  is  $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ . Then we have

$$\int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |\omega|_{\tilde{g}}^2 d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \leq 2 \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} f^{-3} d\mu_{\tilde{h}} = 2 \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \alpha_h d\mu_{\tilde{h}} \leq C\varepsilon,$$

for a positive constant  $C$ . Thus, for such  $\varepsilon$ , we get

$$C\varepsilon \geq \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |*_g d\omega|_{\tilde{g}}^2 d\mu_{\tilde{g}}.$$

Then we have

$$C\varepsilon \geq \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |\omega|_{\tilde{g}}^2 d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |*_g d\omega|_{\tilde{g}}^2 d\mu_{\tilde{g}} \right)^{1/2} \geq \int_{X_\varepsilon} |d\omega|_g^2 d\mu_g.$$

By letting  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , we get  $d\omega = 0$  on  $X$ . □

**Lemma 3.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be an oriented riemannian four-manifold and let  $\omega$  be a smooth self-dual 2-form defined on  $M$  such that  $|\omega|_g$  is constant. Suppose  $\omega$  is an eigenvector of  $W^+$  with the largest eigenvalue. If  $\beta = \beta_g$  is the middle eigenvalue of  $W_g^+$ , then*

$$W_g^+(\nabla_e \omega, \nabla^e \omega) \leq \beta |\nabla \omega|^2.$$

*Proof.* Since  $|\omega|_g^2 = 2$ ,  $\nabla \omega \in \Lambda^1 \otimes \omega^\perp$ . □

**Lemma 4.** *(Biquard-Gauduchon-LeBrun) Let  $(M, g)$  be an oriented riemannian four-manifold. Suppose  $\omega$  is a self-dual harmonic 2-form with constant length such that  $W^+ = \alpha_g \omega$ , where  $\alpha_g > 0$ . Let  $f := \alpha_g^{-1}$ . Then*

$$\langle \nabla^* \nabla f W^+, \omega \otimes \omega \rangle = -2(f \alpha_g)(\omega, \nabla^a \nabla_a \omega) - 2f W^+(\nabla^a \nabla_a \omega).$$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be a compact oriented four-manifold with harmonic self-dual Weyl curvature. Suppose  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$ , where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $W^+$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ . Then  $W^+$  has a degenerate spectrum and  $\det W^+ \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* If  $(M, h)$  is anti-self-dual,  $W^+$  is degenerate. Suppose  $(M, h)$  is not anti-self-dual and the extended line bundle  $L$  of the top eigenspace of  $\alpha_h$  on  $M$  is trivial. Let  $\alpha_h := \lambda_3$  and  $g := f^{-2}h$  on  $X := M - N$ , where  $N$  is the zero set of  $W_h^+$  and  $f = \alpha_h^{-1/3}$ . Let  $\omega$  be

the eigenvector of  $W_g^+$  with the eigenvalue  $\alpha_g = f^2 \alpha_h$ . Then  $\omega$  is a self-dual 2-form such that  $W_g^+(\omega) = \alpha_g \omega$ ,  $|\omega|_g = \sqrt{2}$ . Then by Proposition 5,  $d\omega = 0$  on  $X$ . From this, we have

$$|W^+(\omega)|^2 = \frac{1}{2} [W^+(\omega, \omega)]^2, \quad \alpha_g = \frac{1}{2} W^+(\omega, \omega).$$

Since  $\delta_h W_h^+ = 0$ , we have  $\delta_g(fW_g^+) = 0$  [18] and therefore,

$$0 = \langle \delta_g(fW_g^+), \omega \otimes \omega \rangle = \langle \nabla^* \nabla fW^+, \omega \otimes \omega \rangle + \left( \frac{s}{2} W^+(\omega, \omega) - 6|W^+(\omega)|^2 + 2|W^+|^2 |\omega|^2 \right) f,$$

with respect to  $g$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \nabla^* \nabla(fW^+), \omega \otimes \omega \rangle \\ &= -2(f\alpha_g) \langle \omega, \nabla^a \nabla_a \omega \rangle - 2fW^+(\nabla^a \omega, \nabla_a \omega) \\ &= \left( W^+(\omega, \omega) |\nabla \omega|^2 + \frac{1}{2} W^+(\omega, \omega) |\nabla \omega|^2 \right) f \\ &= \frac{3}{2} W^+(\omega, \omega) |\nabla \omega|^2 f. \end{aligned}$$

Here we used

$$W^+(\nabla_e \omega, \nabla^e \omega) = -\frac{1}{4} |\nabla \omega|^2 W^+(\omega, \omega)$$

from Lemma 1 in [18] for a four-dimensional almost-Kähler structure. Since  $\delta = - * d *$ ,  $\Delta \omega = 0$ . From the Weitzenböck formula for a self-dual 2-form,

$$\Delta \omega = \nabla^* \nabla \omega - 2W^+(\omega) + \frac{s}{3} \omega,$$

it follows that

$$\nabla^* \nabla \omega = 2W^+(\omega) - \frac{s}{3} \omega.$$

Since  $|\omega| = \sqrt{2}$ , using  $-\frac{1}{2} \Delta |\omega|^2 = \langle \nabla \omega, \nabla \omega \rangle - \langle \nabla^* \nabla \omega, \omega \rangle$ , we have

$$|\nabla \omega|^2 = 2W^+(\omega, \omega) - \frac{2}{3} s.$$

Using

$$|W^+|^2 \geq \frac{3}{2} \alpha_g^2 = \frac{3}{8} (W^+(\omega, \omega))^2,$$

and

$$\frac{s}{2} = \frac{3}{2} W^+(\omega, \omega) - \frac{3}{4} |\nabla \omega|^2,$$

on  $X$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \left( \frac{3}{2} W^+(\omega, \omega) |\nabla \omega|^2 + \frac{s}{2} W^+(\omega, \omega) - 6 |W^+(\omega)|^2 + 2 |W^+|^2 |\omega|^2 \right) f \\
&\geq \left( \frac{3}{2} W^+(\omega, \omega) |\nabla \omega|^2 + \left[ \frac{3}{2} W^+(\omega, \omega) - \frac{3}{4} |\nabla \omega|^2 \right] W^+(\omega, \omega) - \frac{3}{2} [W^+(\omega, \omega)]^2 \right) f \\
&= \left( \frac{3}{4} W^+(\omega, \omega) |\nabla \omega|^2 \right) f.
\end{aligned}$$

Since  $W^+(\omega, \omega) > 0$  on  $X$ ,  $\nabla \omega = 0$  on  $X$ . Thus,  $(X, g, \omega)$  is Kähler. Then,  $W_g^+$  is degenerate on  $X$  and therefore,  $W_h^+$  is degenerate on  $X$ . Since  $W_h^+ = 0$  on  $M - X$ , we get  $W_h^+$  is degenerate on  $M$ .

Suppose the extended line bundle  $L$  on  $M$  is not trivial. Let  $(\hat{M}, \hat{h})$  be the double cover of  $M$  with the pull-back metric  $\hat{h}$  of  $h$ . Then on  $\hat{X}$ , which is the pull-back of  $X$ , there exists the eigenvector of  $W_{\hat{g}}^+$  with the eigenvalue  $\alpha_{\hat{g}}$ , where  $\hat{g}$  is the pull-back metric of  $g$  on  $\hat{X}$ . By the argument above,  $(\hat{M}, \hat{h})$  has a degenerate spectrum of  $W_{\hat{h}}^+$ . Therefore,  $W^+$  has a degenerate spectrum.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.** (LeBrun [18]) *Let  $(M, g, \omega)$  be a compact connected almost-Kähler four-manifold with  $W^+(\omega, \omega) \geq 0$ . Suppose there exists  $f > 0$  such that  $h := f^2 g$  has  $\delta W^+ = 0$ . Then either  $g$  is Kähler with scalar curvature  $s = c/f$  for some positive constant  $c$ , or  $g$  is anti-self-dual.*

LeBrun also showed that if  $s^* \geq 0$ , then  $W^+(\omega, \omega) \geq 0$  [18]. If it is required that a certain conformal class with an assigned conformal factor has  $\delta W^+ = 0$  and  $\omega$  is an eigenvector of  $W^+$  such that  $W^+(\omega, \omega) \geq 0$ , the proof of Theorem 3 shows that we get a result for a connected almost-Kähler four-manifold which is not necessarily compact.

**Proposition 6.** *Let  $(M, g, \omega)$  be a connected almost-Kähler four-manifold. Suppose  $W^+(\omega) = \alpha_g \omega$ , where  $\alpha_g > 0$  and  $\delta(fW^+) = 0$ , where  $f = \alpha^{-1}$ . Then either  $(M, g)$  is anti-self-dual or  $(M, g, \omega)$  is Kähler with scalar curvature  $s = c/f$  for a positive constant  $c$ .*

Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact, oriented riemannian four-manifold. Then by [2], [24], the conformal class of  $g$  contains a metric of constant scalar curvature. Moreover, if two metrics with fixed signs of the scalar curvature are conformally equivalent, then signs of the scalar curvature are the same [17]. The sign of the constant scalar curvature is called the type of  $[g]$ . Theorem 5 improves the main result in [15].

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be a compact oriented four-manifold with harmonic self-dual Weyl curvature. Suppose  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$ , where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $W^+$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$  and  $(M, h)$  is nonpositive type. Then  $(M, h)$  is anti-self-dual.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(M, h)$  is not anti-self-dual. By Theorem 3,  $(M, h)$  is conformal to a Kähler metric with positive scalar curvature on  $X := M - N$ , where  $N$  is the nonempty zero set of  $W^+$ . Since  $(M, h)$  is nonpositive type, there is a metric  $h' \in [h]$  such that the scalar curvature of  $h'$  is negative or zero. Then  $h' = (f'')^2 h$  for a smooth function  $f''$  on  $M$ .

Since  $h = f^2 g$ , where  $f = \alpha_h^{-1/3}$ , we have  $h' = (f'' f)^2 g$  on  $X$ . Thus,  $g = (f')^2 h'$ , where  $f' = (f'' f)^{-1}$  is a positive smooth function on  $X$ . Then we have

$$s_g f'^3 = (6\Delta_{h'} + s_{h'}) f'.$$

Since  $s_{h'} \leq 0$  and  $s_g > 0$ , we have  $\Delta f' > 0$  on  $(X, h')$ . By Proposition 7, we get the result.  $\square$

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact riemannian manifold. Suppose  $N$  is a nonempty set with codimension  $\geq 2$ . Then there does not exist a positive strictly superharmonic function on  $X := M - N$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X_\varepsilon = \{x \in M \mid \text{dist}_g(x, N) \leq \varepsilon\}$ . Suppose there is a strictly superharmonic function  $\Phi$  on  $X$ . Then we have

$$\int_{X_\varepsilon} \Phi^{-1} \Delta \Phi d\mu = \int_{X_\varepsilon} \langle d\Phi^{-1}, d\Phi \rangle d\mu - \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \Phi^{-1} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} da > 0.$$

From this, we get

$$-\int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \Phi^{-1} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} > \int_{X_\varepsilon} \Phi^{-2} |d\Phi|^2 d\mu.$$

Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then we have

$$\int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \Phi^{-2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} \right)^2 da \leq C_\varepsilon,$$

where  $C_\varepsilon$  is a positive constant which depends on  $\varepsilon$ . We note that  $\int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} da = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$  since  $N$  is codimension  $\geq 2$ . In particular, it is bounded. By the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} da \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \Phi^{-2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} \right)^2 da \right)^{1/2} &\geq \left| \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \Phi^{-1} \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} da \right| \\ &> \int_{X_\varepsilon} \Phi^{-2} |d\Phi|^2 d\mu \geq \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \Phi^{-2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} \right)^2 da. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we have

$$\left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} da \right) > \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \Phi^{-2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} \right)^2 da.$$

In particular,  $\int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \Phi^{-2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} \right)^2 da$  is bounded. Then we get

$$0 = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} da \right)^{1/2} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \Phi^{-2} \left( \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial \nu} \right)^2 da \right)^{1/2} \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_\varepsilon} \Phi^{-2} |d\Phi|^2 d\mu \geq 0.$$

Thus,  $\Phi^{-2} d\Phi = 0$  on  $X$ . Since  $\Phi^{-1} > 0$ , we get  $d\Phi = 0$  on  $X$ , which is a contradiction since  $\Phi$  is strictly superharmonic on  $X$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact riemannian four-manifold. Suppose  $N$  is a nonempty set with codimension  $\geq 2$ . Then there does not exist a positive bounded strictly subharmonic function on  $X := M - N$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $X_\varepsilon = \{x \in M | \text{dist}_g(x, N) \leq \varepsilon\}$ . Suppose  $f$  is a positive bounded subharmonic function on  $X$ . Since  $\Delta f < 0$  and,

$$\int_{X_\varepsilon} f \Delta f d\mu = \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} f \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} da - \int_{X_\varepsilon} (df, df) d\mu.$$

we get

$$\int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} f \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} da > \int_{X_\varepsilon} (df, df) d\mu.$$

Since  $f$  is bounded and  $N$  is codimension  $\geq 2$ , we have  $\int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |f|^2 da = \mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$ . In particular,  $\int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |f|^2 da \leq C$ , where  $C$  is a positive constant. For fixed  $\varepsilon$ ,  $\int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 da \leq C_\varepsilon$ , where  $C_\varepsilon$  is a constant which depends on  $\varepsilon$ . Then by the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have

$$\left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |f|^2 da \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 da \right)^{1/2} \geq \left| \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} f \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} da \right|$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |f|^2 da \right)^{1/2} \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 da \right)^{1/2} &\geq \left| \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} f \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} da \right| \\ &> \int_{X_\varepsilon} (df, df) d\mu \geq \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 da. \end{aligned}$$

From this, we get

$$\int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 da < \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |f|^2 da \leq C.$$

Then we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} |f|^2 da \right)^{1/2} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left( \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} \left| \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} \right|^2 da \right)^{1/2} \\ &\geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \left| \int_{\partial X_\varepsilon} f \frac{\partial f}{\partial \nu} da \right| \geq \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \int_{X_\varepsilon} (df, df) d\mu \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $df = 0$  on  $X$ , which is a contradiction since  $f$  is strictly subharmonic on  $X$ .  $\square$

Using Theorem 3, Theorem 16 in [15] can be improved in the following way.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $(M, g)$  be a compact oriented four-manifold with harmonic self-dual Weyl curvature. Suppose  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$ , where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $W^+$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ . If  $\lambda_1 \leq -\frac{s}{12}$  or  $\frac{s}{6} \leq \lambda_3$ , then*

- $(M, g)$  is anti-self-dual; or
- $b_+(M) = 1$  and  $(M, g)$  is Kähler with positive constant scalar curvature; or

- $b_+(M) = 0$  and the double cover of  $(M, g)$  is Kähler metric with positive constant scalar curvature.

*Proof.* By Theorem 3, we have

$$\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = -\frac{\lambda_3}{2}.$$

In particular,  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 \leq 0$ . Then we have

$$2\lambda_2^2 + 4\lambda_1\lambda_3 - \frac{\lambda_2 s}{2} = -6\lambda_1 \left( \lambda_1 + \frac{s}{12} \right) \leq 0.$$

Then by the same argument with Theorem 16 in [15], we get the result.  $\square$

#### 4. Einstein four-manifolds with pinched self-dual Weyl curvature

**Theorem 7.** *Let  $(M, h)$  be a compact oriented Einstein four-manifold. Suppose  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$ , where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $W^+$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ . Suppose  $(M, h)$  is not anti-self-dual. Then  $W^+$  is not zero everywhere. Moreover,*

- $b_+(M) = 1$  and  $(M, h)$  is conformal to a Kähler metric with positive scalar curvature; or
- $b_+(M) = 0$  and the pull-back of  $(M, h)$  to the double cover of  $M$  is conformal to a Kähler metric with positive scalar curvature.

*Proof.* By Theorem 3,  $W^+$  has a degenerate spectrum. For an oriented Einstein four-manifold with degenerate spectrum of  $W^+$ , Derdziński showed that either  $(M, h)$  is anti-self-dual or  $W^+$  is nowhere zero (Proposition 5 in [8]). Then the result follows from Theorem 1.  $\square$

We note that LeBrun showed there are 15 compact, oriented Einstein four-manifolds with  $\det W^+ > 0$ , up to diffeomorphism [21].

**Theorem 8.** *Let  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  be a compact Kähler-Einstein surface. Suppose*

$$H_{av} - H_{min} \leq \frac{5}{9}(H_{max} - H_{min}),$$

*where  $H_{max}(H_{min})$  denotes the maximum(minimum) of the holomorphic sectional curvature respectively and  $H_{av}$  the average of the holomorphic sectional curvature. Then*

- $(M, g, \omega)$  has constant holomorphic sectional curvature; or
- $(M, g, \omega)$  is  $\mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1$  with the standard metric of constant curvature; or

*Proof.* By changing the orientation, we can use  $W^-$  instead of  $W^+$  in Theorem 7. Suppose  $W^- \not\equiv 0$ . By Berger's Theorem [5], we have  $H_{av}(p) = \frac{s}{6}$ . Let  $\lambda_i$  be the eigenvalues of  $W^-$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ . By Corollary 2, it follows that  $-\frac{4}{5}\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_3$ . We note that  $-\frac{4}{5}\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_3$  is equivalent to  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$  since  $\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 = 0$ . Suppose  $(M, g, \omega)$  is not self-dual. By Theorem 7, suppose  $(\overline{M}, g)$  is an Einstein manifold which is conformal to a Kähler metric with positive scalar curvature. In particular,  $\overline{M}$  admits a complex

structure  $J'$ . Since  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is Kähler with positive scalar curvature.  $b_+(M) = 1$  [4], [28]. Thus,  $\tau(M) = 0$ . If  $(\overline{M}, g, J')$  is not Kähler,  $(\overline{M}, J')$  is  $\mathbb{CP}_2 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}_2}$  by [8], [16]. In particular,  $M$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{CP}_2 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}_2}$ . Since  $(M, g, \omega, J)$  is Kähler-Einstein,  $(M, J)$  is biholomorphic to a Del-Pezzo surface. Since  $M$  is diffeomorphic to  $\mathbb{CP}_2 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}_2}$ ,  $(M, J)$  is biholomorphic to  $\mathbb{CP}_2 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}_2}$ . On the other hand,  $\mathbb{CP}_2 \# \overline{\mathbb{CP}_2}$  does not admit a Kähler-Einstein metric [22]. Suppose  $(\overline{M}, g, J')$  is Kähler. Then  $(M, g, \omega)$  splits and  $(M, g, \omega)$  is locally symmetric. Thus,  $(M, g, \omega)$  is  $\mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1$  with the standard metric of constant curvature ([9], p. 125). Suppose the double cover with the opposite orientation  $(\hat{M}, \hat{g})$  is conformal to a Kähler metric with positive scalar curvature. Then  $b_- = 0$ . By Corollary 5 in [13], we get  $(M, g, \omega)$  is self-dual Kähler-Einstein with positive scalar curvature, which is  $\mathbb{CP}_2$  with the Fubini-Study metric up to rescaling. This is a contradiction since  $\mathbb{CP}_2$  is simply-connected. If  $(M, g)$  is self-dual,  $(M, g, \omega)$  is a Kähler surface with constant holomorphic sectional curvature by Corollary 2.  $\square$

**Theorem 9.** (Hall-Murphy) *Let  $(M, g, J)$  be an almost Hermitian four-manifold and  $H$  be the holomorphic sectional curvature. Then*

$$H_{av}(p) := \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^3)} \int_{S_p} H d\sigma = \frac{s + 3s^*}{24},$$

where  $S_p$  is the unit sphere in the tangent space at  $p$  and  $d\sigma$  is the volume for the sphere of radius 1.

**Theorem 10.** *Let  $(M, g, \omega)$  be a compact almost-Kähler Einstein four-manifold. Suppose*

$$H_{av} - H_{min} \leq \frac{5}{9}(H_{max} - H_{min}),$$

where  $H_{max}(H_{min})$  denotes the maximum(minimum) of the holomorphic sectional curvature respectively and  $H_{av}$  the average of the holomorphic sectional curvature. Then

- $(M, g, \omega)$  is Kähler surface with nonnegative constant holomorphic sectional curvature; or
- $(M, g, \omega)$  is  $\mathbb{CP}_1 \times \mathbb{CP}_1$  with the standard metric of constant curvature; or
- $(M, g, \omega)$  is self-dual almost-Kähler Einstein with negative scalar curvature.

*Proof.* By Theorem 9, we have  $H_{av}(p) := \frac{1}{\text{Vol}(\mathbb{S}^3)} \int_{S_p} H d\sigma = \frac{s+3s^*}{24}$ . By Corollary 1, we get  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$ , where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $W^-$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ . Suppose the scalar curvature is nonpositive. Then  $(M, g)$  is self-dual by Theorem 7. Suppose the scalar curvature is positive and  $(M, g)$  is not self-dual. We note that a compact almost-Kähler Einstein four-manifold with nonnegative scalar curvature is Kähler-Einstein [25]. Then the result follows from Theorem 8.  $\square$

Goldberg's conjecture is that a compact almost-Kähler Einstein manifold is Kähler-Einstein. In case of nonnegative scalar curvature, this was shown by Sekigawa [25]. When there is a pinching condition on  $W^+$  instead of  $W^-$ , we get one of the special cases of Goldberg's conjecture.



**Proposition 9.** *Let  $(M, g, \omega)$  be a compact almost-Kähler Einstein four-manifold. Suppose  $\lambda_2 \leq \frac{\lambda_3}{4}$ , where  $\lambda_i$  are the eigenvalues of  $W^+$  such that  $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \lambda_3$ .*

- *$(M, g, \omega)$  is Kähler-Einstein with positive scalar curvature; or*
- *The universal cover of  $(M, g, \omega)$  is a torus with the flat metric or K3-surface with the Calabi-Yau metric.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $(M, g)$  is not anti-self-dual. Then by Theorem 7,  $(M, g)$  is conformal to a Kähler metric with positive scalar curvature. Moreover,  $b_+ = 1$ . Since a self-dual harmonic 2-form is conformally invariant,  $\omega$  is a Kähler form with respect to a conformal metric. Since the length of an almost-Kähler form and a Kähler form is constant,  $(M, g, \omega)$  is Kähler-Einstein with positive scalar curvature. Suppose  $(M, g, \omega)$  is anti-self-dual almost-Kähler Einstein four-manifold. Thus,  $s \leq 0$ . Suppose  $s = 0$ . Then the universal cover of  $(M, g, \omega)$  is a torus with the flat metric or K3-surface with the Calabi-Yau metric. Suppose  $s < 0$ . Since  $s^* = \frac{s}{3} + 2W^+(\omega, \omega)$ , we get  $s^* = \frac{s}{3}$  for an anti-self-dual almost-Kähler four-manifold. Thus,  $s^* \neq s$  everywhere. On the other hand, for a compact almost-Kähler four-manifold, there is at least one point such that  $s^* = s$  unless  $(5\chi + 6\tau)(M) = 0$  [1]. Thus, we get  $(5\chi + 6\tau)(M) = 0$ . From the following formula,

$$\chi(M) = \frac{1}{8\pi^2} \int_M \left( \frac{s^2}{24} + |W^+|^2 + |W^-|^2 - \frac{|ric_0|^2}{2} \right) d\mu,$$

it follows that  $\chi(M) \geq 0$ . On the other hand, for a compact Einstein four-manifold  $(2\chi + 3\tau)(M) \geq 0$ . Since  $(5\chi + 6\tau)(M) = 0$ , we get  $\chi(M) \leq 0$ . Thus,  $\chi(M) = 0$ . Then we have  $s = W^- = 0$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements:** The author would like to thank Prof. Claude LeBrun for helpful comments and his articles [18], [19], [20].

## REFERENCES

- [1] J. Armstrong, *On four-dimensional almost Kähler manifolds*, Quart. J. Math. 48(4), 405-415(1997).
- [2] T. Aubin, *Équations différentielles non linéaires et problème de Yamabe concernant la courbure scalaire*, J. Math. Pure Appl. 55 (1976), pp.269-296.
- [3] C. Bär, *On nodal sets for Dirac and Laplace operators*, Comm. Math. Phys. Vol. 188, 709-721 (1997).
- [4] W. Barth, C. Peters, and A. Van de Ven, *Compact complex surfaces*, Vol. 4 of Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete (3), Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1984).
- [5] M. Berger, *Sur les variétés d'Einstein compactes*, Comptes Rendus de la IIIe Réunion du Groupement des Mathématiciens d'Espression Latine, (Namur, 1965) pp.35-55.
- [6] O. Biquard, P. Gauduchon and C. LeBrun, *Gravitational Instantons, Weyl Curvature, and Conformally Kähler Geometry*, Int. Math. Res. Not. Vol. 2024, Issue 20, pp. 13295-13311.
- [7] D. Chen, Y. Hong and H. Yang, *Kähler-Einstein surface and symmetric space* Sci. China Math. 54; 12 (2011), 2627-2634.
- [8] A. Derdziński, *Self-dual Kähler manifolds and Einstein manifolds of dimension four*, Compos. Math. 49, 405-433 (1983).
- [9] R. Friedman, *Algebraic surfaces and holomorphic vector bundles*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998.
- [10] D. Guan, *On bisectional nonpositively curved compact Kähler-Einstein surfaces*, Pacific Journal of Math. Vol. 288, No.2, 2017, 343-353.
- [11] S. J. Hall and T. Murphy, *Rigidity results for Hermitian-Einstein manifolds*, Math. Proc. R. Ir. Acad. Vol. 116 A , No.1 (2016), pp. 35-44.
- [12] M. Kalafat and C. Koca, *Conformally Kähler surfaces and orthogonal holomorphic bisectional curvature*, Geom. Dedicata 174, 401-408 (2015).
- [13] I. Kim, *Almost-Kähler four-manifolds with harmonic self-dual Weyl curvature*, Differential Geom. Appl. 94 (2024) 102141.
- [14] I. Kim, *Self-dual almost-Kähler four-manifolds*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. (2024) 65:28
- [15] I. Kim, *Compact four-manifolds with pinched self-dual Weyl curvature*, arXiv: 2502.11039 v2. [math DG].
- [16] C. LeBrun, *Einstein metrics on complex surfaces*, in Geometry and Physics, LNPAM 184, Anderson, Dupont, Pedersen and Swann editors, Marcel Dekker, 1997. 167-176.
- [17] C. LeBrun, *Curvature functionals, Optimal metrics, and the differential Topology of 4-manifolds*, in Different faces of Geometry, S. K. Donaldson, Y. Eliashberg and M. Gromov, editors, Kluwer Academic/Plenum, 2004, pp. 199-256.
- [18] C. LeBrun, *Einstein metrics, Harmonic forms, and symplectic four-manifolds*, Ann. Global An. Geom. 48 (2015) 75-85.
- [19] C. LeBrun, *Einstein metrics, Harmonic forms, and Conformally Kähler geometry*, in Differential Geometry in the Large, LMS Lecture Notes 463, Dearicott, Tuschmann, Nikolayevsky, Leistner, and Crowley, editors, Cambridge University Press, 2021, 214-240.
- [20] C. LeBrun, *Einstein manifolds, self-dual Weyl curvature, and conformally Kähler geometry*, Math. Res. Lett. 28 (2021), 127-144.
- [21] C. LeBrun, *Einstein metrics, conformal curvature, and anti-holomorphic involutions*, Ann. math. du Québec (2021) 45: 391-405.
- [22] Y. Matsushima, *Sur la structure du groupe d'homéomorphismes d'une certaine variété Kählérienne*, Nagoya Math. Journ. 11 (1957) 145- 150.
- [23] A. Polombo, *De nouvelles formules de Weitzenböck pour des endomorphismes harmoniques. Applications géométriques*, Ann. Scient. Éc. Norm. Sup., 4<sup>e</sup> série, t. 25, 1992, 393-428.
- [24] R. Schoen, *Conformal deformation of a Riemannian metric to constant scalar curvature*, J. Differential Geom. 20, 479-495 (1984).
- [25] K. Sekigawa, *On some compact Einstein almost Kähler manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Jpn. 39, 677-684 (1987).

- [26] Y. T. Siu and P. Yang, *Compact Kähler-Einstein surfaces of nonpositive bisectional curvature*, Invent. math. 64, 471-487 (1981).
- [27] P. Wu, *Einstein four-manifolds with self-dual Weyl curvature of nonnegative determinant*, Int. Math. Res. Not. Vol. 2021, No. 2, pp. 1043-1054.
- [28] S. -T. Yau, *On the curvature of compact Hermitian manifolds*, Invent. math. 25 (1974), 213-239.