

# FIXED POINTS AND GRADE OF HILBERT POLYNOMIAL OF INVARIANT RINGS

TONY J. PUTHENPURAKAL

**ABSTRACT.** Let  $k$  be a field and let  $V$  be a  $k$ -vector space of dimension  $d$ . Let  $G \subseteq GL(V)$  be a finite group. Let  $r = \dim_k(V^*)^G$ . Assume  $r \geq 1$ . Let  $R = k[V]^G$  be the ring of invariants of  $G$ . Let  $H_R(n) = a_{d-1}(n)n^{d-1} + \cdots + a_1(n)n + a_0(n)$  be the Hilbert polynomial of  $R$  where  $a_i(-)$  are periodic functions. We show  $a_{d-1}(-), \dots, a_{d-r}(-)$  are constants. In the terminology of Erhart, grade  $H_R \leq d - r - 1$ . We also give an example which shows that our result is sharp.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Quasi-polynomials are ubiquitous in math. Let  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be a quasi-polynomial of degree  $r$ . Say  $f(n) = a_r(n)n^r + \text{lower order terms}$ . A natural question is when  $a_i(-)$  are constant for  $i > \delta$ . Following Erhart, the smallest such  $\delta$  is called the *grade* of  $f$ . To put this question in the right historical framework let us consider the following: Let  $P$  be a  $d$ -dimensional rational convex polytope in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and let  $E(P, k) = \# kP \cap \mathbb{Z}^n$  be the Ehrhart function (here  $\#V$  denotes cardinality of a set  $V$ ). It is well-known that  $E(P, k)$  is of quasi-polynomial type cf. [1, 6.3.11], say  $E(P, n) = b_s(n)n^s + \text{lower terms}$ . We state a simplified version of a conjecture due to Erhart: *If the affine span of every  $d - 1$  dimensional face of  $P$  contains a point with integer co-ordinates then  $b_s(-)$  is a constant.* A more general version of this was proved independently by McMullen (see [4]) and Stanley ([6], Theorem 2.8). For a recent proof see [2, 5].

The Hilbert function of a ring of invariants  $R = k[V]^G$  provide a rich class of quasi-polynomials. In this paper we study Erhart's question in this case.

**1.1.** Let  $k$  be a field and let  $V$  be a  $k$ -vector space of dimension  $d$ . Let  $G \subseteq GL(V)$  be a finite group. Let  $V^* = \text{Hom}_k(V, k)$  be the dual of  $V$ . Let  $S = k[V] = \text{Sym}(V^*)$ , the symmetric algebra of  $V^*$ . Furthermore let  $R = S^G$ . Let  $H_R$  be the Hilbert quasi-polynomial of  $R$ , i.e.,  $H_R(n) = \dim_k R_n$  for  $n \gg 0$ . It is known that  $H_R(n) = a_{d-1}(n)n^{d-1} + \cdots + a_1(n)n + a_0(n)$  is a quasi-polynomial and  $a_{d-1}(-) \neq 0$ . Let  $r = \dim_k(V^*)^G$ . Assume  $r \geq 1$  (otherwise our result is vacuously true).

In this short paper we prove:

**Theorem 1.2.** *(with hypotheses as in 1.1). Then  $a_{d-1}(-), \dots, a_{d-r}(-)$  are constants.*

---

*Date:* December 2, 2025.

*2020 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 13A50, 13C15.

*Key words and phrases.* invariant rings, group cohomology, quasi-polynomials.

**Remark 1.3.** It should be noted that we compute the dimension of fixed points of  $V^*$  and *not* of  $V$ . In fact the result as stated is false if we work with fixed points of  $V$ ; see [5, 8.2.6].

**Remark 1.4.** If  $\text{char } k = p > 0$  and  $G$  is a  $p$ -group then note that  $\dim_k(V^*)^G \geq 1$ , see [5, 8.2.1].

We now describe in brief the contents of this paper. In section two we prove a Lemma that we need. In section three we prove a result on quasi-polynomials that we need. In section four we prove Theorem 1.2. In the final section we give an example which shows that our result is sharp.

## 2. A LEMMA

The following Lemma is a crucial ingredient in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Lemma 2.1.** *(with hypotheses as in 1.1). Let  $\{z_1, \dots, z_t\}$  be a linearly independent subset of  $(V^*)^G$ . Then*

- (1)  $\dim R/(z_1, \dots, z_t) \leq d - t$ .
- (2) *If  $P$  is a minimal prime over  $(z_1, \dots, z_t)$  then  $\text{height } P = t$ .*
- (3) *There exists a homogeneous system of parameters  $\{z_1, \dots, z_t, y_1, \dots, y_{d-t}\}$  of  $R$ .*
- (4) *The Hilbert series of  $R$  is of the form*

$$\frac{Q(u)}{(1-u)^t \prod_{j=1}^{d-t} (1-u^{\deg y_j})} \quad \text{where } Q(u) \in \mathbb{Z}[u] \text{ and } Q(1) \neq 0.$$

*Proof.* (1) We prove the result by induction on  $t$ . When  $t = 1$  we have nothing to prove. Assume the result for  $t-1 \geq 1$  and we prove the result for  $t$ . The action of  $G$  on  $V^*/kz_1$  fixes  $z_2, \dots, z_t$ . We have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow S(-1) \xrightarrow{z_1} S \rightarrow T \rightarrow 0$ . Taking invariants we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R(-1) \xrightarrow{z_1} R \xrightarrow{u} T^G \rightarrow H^1(G, S)(-1) \xrightarrow{z_1} H^1(G, S),$$

where  $H^1(G, S)$  is the first group cohomology of  $S$  with respect to  $G$ . Set  $A = \text{image } u$  and  $K = \ker(H^1(G, S)(-1) \xrightarrow{z_1} H^1(G, S))$ . So we have an exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow A \rightarrow T^G \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$ . We take Koszul homology with respect to  $\mathbf{z}' = z_2, \dots, z_t$ . So we have an exact sequence

$$H_1(\mathbf{z}', K) \rightarrow A/\mathbf{z}'A \rightarrow T^G/\mathbf{z}'T^G \rightarrow K/\mathbf{z}'K \rightarrow 0.$$

By induction hypothesis we have  $\dim T^G/\mathbf{z}'T^G \leq d-1-(t-1) = d-t$ . It follows that  $\dim K/\mathbf{z}'K \leq d-t$ . We note that  $H_1(\mathbf{z}', K)$  is annihilated by  $\text{ann } K$  and  $\mathbf{z}'$ . So  $\dim H_1(\mathbf{z}', K) \leq d-t$ . It follows that  $\dim A/\mathbf{z}'A \leq d-t$ . As  $A = R/z_1R$  we get that  $\dim R/\mathbf{z}R \leq d-t$ ; here  $\mathbf{z} = z_1, \dots, z_t$ . The result follows.

(2) Let  $P$  be a minimal prime of  $(z_1, \dots, z_t)$ . Then  $P$  is a homogeneous prime. Then  $\dim R/P \leq d-t$  by (1). We note that  $R$  is equi-dimensional (as it is a domain) and catenary. So  $\dim R/P = d - \text{height } P$ , see [3, Lemma 2, Section 31] for the local case, the same proof works for the  $*$ -local case. So  $\text{height } P \geq t$ . But  $P$  is minimal over  $(z_1, \dots, z_t)$ . So  $\text{height } P \leq t$ . Thus  $\text{height } P = t$ .

(3) By (2) it follows that  $\text{height}(z_1, \dots, z_t) = t$ . We can extend  $\mathbf{z}$  to get a homogeneous system of parameters of  $R$ .

(4) This follows from (3). □

## 3. A RESULT REGARDING QUASI-POLYNOMIALS

In this section we prove a result regarding quasi-polynomials that we need.

**3.1.** Recall a function  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  is said to be of quasi-polynomial type if there exists a quasi-polynomial  $P(X)$  such that  $f(n) = P(n)$  for all  $n \gg 0$ . If  $P(n) = a_r(n)n^r + \cdots + a_0(n)$  with  $a_i(-)$  periodic functions and  $a_r(-) \neq 0$  then we say  $\deg(f) = r$ .

**3.2.** Let  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  be of quasi-polynomial type of degree  $r - 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} f(n)z^n = \frac{Q(z)}{\prod_{i=1}^r (1 - z^{d_i})} \quad \text{where } Q(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z] \text{ and } Q(1) \neq 0 \text{ and } d_i \geq 1.$$

The following result is crucial in our proof of Theorem 1.2

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  be of quasi-polynomial type of degree  $d - 1$ . Assume

$$\sum_{n \geq 0} f(n)z^n = \frac{Q(z)}{(1 - z)^r \prod_{i=1}^{d-r} (1 - z^{d_i})} \quad \text{where } Q(z) \in \mathbb{Z}[z] \text{ and } Q(1) \neq 0 \text{ and } d_i \geq 1.$$

If for  $n \gg 0$  we have  $f(n) = a_{d-1}(n)n^{d-1} + \cdots + a_1(n)n + a_0(n)$  where  $a_i(-)$  are periodic functions, then  $a_{d-1}(-), \dots, a_{d-r}(-)$  are constants.

*Proof.* We prove the result by induction on  $r$ . We first consider the case when  $r = 1$ . We have

$$(1 - z) \sum_{n \geq 0} f(n)z^n = \frac{Q(z)}{\prod_{i=1}^{d-1} (1 - z^{d_i})} = \sum_{n \geq 0} g(n).$$

Then  $g$  is of polynomial type of degree  $d - 2$ . Say  $g(n) = b_{d-2}n^{d-2} + \cdots$ . We note that  $f(n) - f(n - 1) = g(n)$ . It follows that  $a_{d-1}(-)$  is a constant as otherwise  $\deg g$  will be  $d - 1$ . The result follows when  $r = 1$ .

Now assume that result holds for  $r - 1$  and we prove it for  $r$  (here  $r \geq 2$ ). By induction hypothesis  $a_{d-1}(-), \dots, a_{d-r+1}(-)$  are constants. We have

$$(1 - z) \sum_{n \geq 0} f(n)z^n = \frac{Q(z)}{(1 - z)^{r-1} \prod_{i=r}^{d-1} (1 - z^{d_i})} = \sum_{n \geq 0} g(n).$$

Then  $g$  is of polynomial type of degree  $d - 2$ . Say  $g(n) = b_{d-2}n^{d-2} + \cdots$ . Then again by induction hypotheses  $b_{d-2}(-), \dots, b_{d-r}(-)$  are constants. We note that  $f(n) - f(n - 1) = g(n)$ . We get that  $a_{d-r+1} + a_{d-r}(n) - a_{d-r}(n - 1) = b_{d-r}$  which is a constant. As  $a_{d-r}(-)$  is periodic it follows that  $a_{d-r}(-)$  is a constant. The result holds by induction.  $\square$

## 4. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.2

We have

*Proof of Theorem 1.2.* This follows from Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 3.3.  $\square$

## 5. AN EXAMPLE

We give an example which shows that our result is sharp.

**Example 5.1.** Let  $G = \langle \sigma \rangle \subseteq GL_2(k)$  where

$$\sigma = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Then  $k[V]^G = k[x + y, xy]$ . We note that the dimension of fixed points of  $V^*$  is one. The Hilbert series of this ring of invariants is  $H_R(n) = n/2 + a_0(n)$  for  $n \gg 0$ . Here  $a_0(n) = 1$  if  $n$  is even and  $1/2$  when  $n$  is odd. So  $a_0(-)$  is *not* a constant.

## REFERENCES

- [1] W. Bruns and J. Herzog, *Cohen-Macaulay Rings*, revised edition, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 39. Cambridge University Press, 1998.
- [2] W. Bruns and B. Ichim, On the coefficients of Hilbert quasipolynomials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 135 (2007) no. 5, 1305-1308.
- [3] H. Matsumura, *Commutative ring theory*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989, Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid.
- [4] P. McMullen. *Lattice invariant valuations on rational polytopes*. *Arch. Math.* 31 (1978/79), 509–516.
- [5] L. Smith, *Polynomial invariants of finite groups*, Res. Notes Math., 6 A K Peters, Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1995.
- [6] R. Stanley. *Decompositions of rational convex polytopes*. *Ann. Discr. Math.* 6, (1980), 333–342.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, IIT BOMBAY, POWAI, MUMBAI 400 076, INDIA

*Email address:* tputhen@gmail.com