

Finiteness of leaps of modules of integrable derivations of algebras of finite type

Takuya Miyamoto*

December 2, 2025

Abstract

We prove the finiteness of leaps of modules of integrable derivations for algebras essentially of finite type and, more generally, for schemes essentially of finite type over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic. This gives an affirmative answer to a question posed by L.N. Macarro.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	3
3	On a-boundedness	7
4	The local case	9
5	The global case	18

*MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, THE UNIVERSITY OF TOKYO, MEGURO KOMABA 3-8-1, TOKYO, JAPAN

E-mail address: miyamoto-takuya@g.ecc.u-tokyo.ac.jp

1 Introduction

Hasse-Schmidt derivations (abbreviated as HS-derivations) are introduced in [10], which are also called higher derivations [5, §27]. Given a positive integer m and a k -algebra R , $\text{HS}_k^m(R)$ denotes the group of HS-derivations of length m , which consists of A_m -algebra automorphisms

$$R \otimes_k A_m \rightarrow R \otimes_k A_m, \quad A_m := k[t]/(t^{m+1})$$

that becomes the identity when restricted to $R \otimes_k (A_m/(t)) = R$. For $m = \infty$, we set $A_\infty = k[[t]]$ and we also have the notion of HS-derivations of length ∞ . There is a canonical isomorphism between $\text{HS}_k^1(R)$ and the module $\text{Der}_k(R, R)$. Under this identification, the image of

$$\text{HS}_k^m(R) \rightarrow \text{HS}_k^1(R) \simeq \text{Der}_k(R, R)$$

is called the module of m -integrable derivations and denoted by $\text{Der}_k^m(R)$, which has been studied in [4], [8], [7]. Note that if k contains \mathbb{Q} or if R is formally smooth over k , we have $\text{Der}_k^m(R) = \text{Der}_k(R)$ for any m (see [5]). Thus, the study of these modules is expected to lead to a better understanding of singularities in positive characteristic. We have the following descending chain

$$\text{Der}_k(R) \supset \text{Der}_k^2(R) \supset \cdots \supset \text{Der}_k^m(R) \supset \cdots$$

We would like to know when the inclusion $\text{Der}_k^{m-1}(R) \supset \text{Der}_k^m(R)$ is proper. When this is the case, we say that R leaps at m . It has been known that leaps occur only at $m = p^i$ where p is the characteristic of k ([2, Theorem 4.1]). The number of leaps is finite if R is a unibranch curve ([9, Theorem 4.7]), and, more generally, if R is a reduced curve ([1, Theorem 6.1, Corollary 6.3]). The aim of this paper is to show that, using results from [6], the finiteness of leaps holds for every algebra essentially of finite type over an algebraically closed field of positive characteristic. Precisely, we show:

Theorem 1.1 (= Theorem 5.5). *There exists $M > 0$ (depending on R) such that*

$$\text{Der}_k^M(R) = \text{Der}_k^{M+1}(R) = \cdots = \text{Der}_k^\infty(R).$$

This theorem provides, for the first time, a general finiteness result for leaps in arbitrary dimension, thereby giving an affirmative answer to Macarri's question [8, Question 3.6.5] and contributing to a better structural understanding of HS-derivations. Since leaps are stable under separable base

changes by [3, Theorem 3.27], this also implies the finiteness of leaps over any perfect field. As an application of Theorem 1.1, we also give an affirmative answer to another question [8, Question 3.6.1] by the following:

Theorem 1.2 (= Theorem 5.4). *Let R be a k -algebra essentially of finite type, $n \geq 1$ be an integer and $D \in \text{HS}_k^n(R)$. Then D is ∞ -integrable if and only if D is m -integrable for every integer $m \geq n$. Here, we say that D is m -integrable if D is in the image of $\text{HS}_k^m(R) \rightarrow \text{HS}_k^n(R)$.*

In Section 2, we recall the definition of HS-derivations and results that are going to be used later.

In Section 3, we introduce the notion of a -boundedness of HS-derivations. Intuitively, this concept represents how each term of a HS-derivation is shrinking with respect to the \mathfrak{m} -adic topology.

In Section 4, we prove the finiteness of leaps in the local case. The idea is that, starting from a bounded HS-derivation, we construct another one that is less bounded and coincides with the original one in small degrees.

In Section 5, we prove the finiteness of leaps in the global case and discuss its consequences.

Throughout this paper, we fix an algebraically closed field k of positive characteristic p . We assume that any k -algebra is unital and commutative. We say that a k -scheme X is essentially of finite type if there exists a finite open affine covering $\{U_i = \text{Spec } R_i\}_{i=1,\dots,n}$ for X where each R_i is a k -algebra essentially of finite type. We assume $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, \dots\}$.

Acknowledgements. The author would like to thank Professor Keiji Ogusio and the members of Ogusio's laboratory for their interest in this work and their valuable comments and suggestions.

2 Preliminaries

We first recall basic facts of HS-derivations (cf. [10], [8, Chapter 1], [5, §27]) and results on obstruction modules for HS-derivations (cf. [8], [2], [6, §2]).

Definition 2.1 (= [8, Definition 1.2.1-1.2.6]). Let R be a k -algebra. Let $m \geq 1$ be an integer or $m = \infty$. Then a *Hasse-Schmidt derivation* of length m is a sequence $D = (D_i)_{i=0}^m$ of k -linear endomorphisms $R \rightarrow R$ such that $D_0 = \text{id}$ and that

$$D_i(xy) = \sum_{j+k=i} D_j(x)D_k(y)$$

for every $x, y \in R, i \geq 1$. Let $E = (E_i)_{i=0}^m$ be another Hasse-Schmidt derivation. We define the *composition* of D and E by

$$D \circ E = (F_i)_{i=0}^m \text{ where } F_i(x) = \sum_{j+k=i} D_j(E_k(x)).$$

The symbol $\text{HS}_k^m(R) (= \text{HS}_k(R, m))$ denotes the set of all the Hasse-Schmidt derivations of length m . It is a group whose multiplication is given by \circ . Let $x \in R$. We define

$$x \bullet : \text{HS}_k^m(R) \rightarrow \text{HS}_k^m(R)$$

by

$$x \bullet (D_i)_{i=0}^m = (x^i D_i)_{i=0}^m.$$

For $n \geq 1$, we define

$$[n] : \text{HS}_k^m(R) \rightarrow \text{HS}_k^{mn+n-1}(R)$$

by

$$D[n] = (E_i)_{i=0}^{mn+n-1} \text{ where } E_{jn} = D_j \text{ for } 0 \leq j \leq m \text{ and } E_i = 0 \text{ otherwise.}$$

A Hasse-Schmidt derivation $D = (D_i)_{i=0}^m$ defines a natural A_m -algebra automorphism

$$R \otimes_k A_m \rightarrow R \otimes_k A_m, \quad x \otimes 1 \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^m D_i(x) \otimes t^i$$

where $A_m := k[t]/t^{m+1}$ for $m < \infty$ and $A_\infty := k[[t]]$. This gives an isomorphism of groups between $\text{HS}_k^m(R)$ and the group of A_m -algebra automorphisms of $R \otimes_k A_m$ that becomes the identity when restricted to $R \otimes_k (A_m/(t)) = R$. Let $1 \leq l \leq m$. We define the *truncation map*

$$\text{HS}_k^m(R) \rightarrow \text{HS}_k^l(R)$$

by

$$D = (D_i)_{i=0}^m \mapsto (D_i)_{i=0}^l.$$

In this paper, we usually denote the image again by D , by abuse of notation. It is a group homomorphism and commutes with $x \bullet$ for $x \in R$. Note that the set $\text{HS}_k^1(R)$ can be identified with the R -module $\text{Der}_k(R, R)$ under

$$D = (\text{id}, D_1) \mapsto D_1.$$

Definition 2.2 (= [8, Definition 2.1.1], [4, §1]). Let R be a k -algebra and let $1 \leq m \leq \infty$. We define the module of m -integrable derivations $\text{Der}_k^m(R)$ as the image of

$$\text{HS}_k^m(R) \rightarrow \text{HS}_k^1(R) \simeq \text{Der}_k(R, R).$$

It is a sub- R -module of $\text{Der}_k(R, R)$.

Let $d \in \text{Der}_k(R, R)$. For $1 < m < \infty$, we say that d leaps at m if $d \in \text{Der}_k^{m-1}(R, R)$ and $d \notin \text{Der}_k^m(R, R)$. If $d \in \cap_{1 < m < \infty} \text{Der}_k^m(R, R)$, then d does not leap. Let $S \subset \text{Der}_k(R, R)$ be a subset. By $\text{Leaps}(S) \subset \mathbb{N}$, we denote the set of leaps produced by S . The set of leaps of R is $\text{Leaps}(\text{Der}_k(R, R))$.

Theorem 2.3 (= [8, Corollary 2.3.5]). Let R be a k -algebra essentially of finite type over k . Let $m \geq 1$ an integer. Let $S \subset R$ a multiplicatively closed subset. Then we have a canonical isomorphism

$$\alpha : S^{-1} \text{Der}_k^m(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Der}_k^m(S^{-1}R).$$

Thus, for a k -scheme X essentially of finite type, its m -integrable derivations consist a sheaf denoted by $\text{Der}_k^m(\mathcal{O}_X)$ for $m < \infty$.

Theorem 2.4 (= [2, Theorem 4.1]). Let R be a k -algebra essentially of finite type. For every integer $m \geq 2$, the inclusion $\text{Der}_k^m(R) \subset \text{Der}_k^{m-1}(R)$ is proper only if m is of the form $m = p^i$ for $i \geq 1$.

Let us recall the first cotangent module $T_{R/k}^1$ of a k -algebra R essentially of finite type (cf. [11, Definition 1.1.6]). Let T be a localization of a polynomial algebra over k and $I \subset T$ an ideal such that $T/I = R$. By [11, Corollary 1.1.8], we have the following canonical exact sequence of R -modules

$$\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{T/k} \otimes_T R, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I/I^2, R) \rightarrow T_{R/k}^1 \rightarrow 0.$$

Definition 2.5 (= [6, Definition 2.11]). Let R be a k -algebra essentially of finite type and $m \geq 2$ an integer. We define

$$\text{ob}_m : \text{HS}_k^{m-1}(R) \rightarrow T_{R/k}^1$$

as follows: Let T be a localization of a polynomial algebra over k and $I \subset T$ an ideal such that $T/I = R$. For $D \in \text{HS}_k^{m-1}(R)$, suppose that $E \in \text{HS}_k^m(T)$ is a lift of D . Then E induces a k -algebra homomorphism

$$\varphi_E : T \rightarrow R[\varepsilon]/\varepsilon^{m+1}.$$

We have $\varphi_E(I) \subset \varepsilon^m \cdot R[\varepsilon]/\varepsilon^{m+1}$ and $\varphi_E(I^2) = 0$ so that φ_E induces

$$f_E : I/I^2 \rightarrow \varepsilon^m \cdot R[\varepsilon]/\varepsilon^{m+1} \simeq R.$$

We define $\text{ob}_m(D)$ as the image of f_E under $\text{Hom}_R(I/I^2, R) \rightarrow T_{R/k}^1$.

Proposition 2.6 (= [6, Proposition 2.12]). *In the situation of Definition 2.5, the map ob_m is a group homomorphism that does not depend on the choice of T, I, E . A Hasse-Schmidt derivation $D \in \text{HS}_k^{m-1}(R)$ extends to an element in $\text{HS}_k^m(R)$ if and only if $\text{ob}_m(D) = 0$. For every $D \in \text{HS}_k^{m-1}(R)$ and $x \in R$, we have*

$$\text{ob}_m(x \bullet D) = x^m \cdot \text{ob}_m(D).$$

Definition 2.7 (= [6, Definition 2.13]). Let R be a k -algebra essentially of finite type and $m \geq 2$ an integer. We define the m -th *obstruction module* $\text{Ob}_R^m \subset T_{R/k}^1$ as the image of ob_m . At this point, this is an abelian group.

Proposition 2.8 (= [6, Proposition 2.14]). *In the situation of Definition 2.5, let us assume $m = p^i, i \geq 1$. Then $\text{Ob}_R^{p^i}$ is a finitely generated R^{p^i} -module. If $S \subset R$ is a multiplicatively closed subset, then $(S^{p^i})^{-1} \text{Ob}_R^{p^i} = \text{Ob}_{S^{-1}R}^{p^i}$.*

Proposition 2.9 (= [6, Proposition 2.16]). *In the situation of Definition 2.5, let us assume $m = p^i n, i \geq 0, n \geq 2$ where $p \nmid n$. Then we have $\text{Ob}_R^m = \text{Ob}_R^{p^i}$.*

By Propositions 2.8 and 2.9, we obtain:

Theorem 2.10 (= [6, Theorem 2.17]). *Let X be a scheme essentially of finite type over k . For each $m \geq 1$, there exists a subsheaf*

$$\text{Ob}_X^m \subset T_X^1$$

such that for every affine open subscheme $\text{Spec } R \subset X$, we have

$$\text{Ob}_X^m(\text{Spec } R) = \text{Ob}_R^m \subset T_R^1.$$

It is a sub- $\mathcal{O}_X^{p^i}$ -module of T_X^1 , where i is the largest integer such that p^i divides m . It is also a coherent \mathcal{O}_X -module via $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{p^i}$.

Theorem 2.11 (= [6, Theorem 2.25]). *Let X be a scheme essentially of finite type over k . Then there exist two filtrations of sheaves on X such that*

$$\mathrm{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_X) \supset \mathrm{Der}_k^p(\mathcal{O}_X) \supset \mathrm{Der}_k^{p^2}(\mathcal{O}_X) \supset \dots$$

and that

$$0 \subset \mathrm{Ob}_X^p \subset \mathrm{Ob}_X^{p^2} \subset \dots \subset T_{X/k}^1.$$

For every $i = 1, 2, \dots$, we have

$$\mathrm{Der}_k^{p^{i-1}}(\mathcal{O}_X) / \mathrm{Der}_k^{p^i}(\mathcal{O}_X) \simeq \mathrm{Ob}_X^{p^i} / \mathrm{Ob}_X^{p^{i-1}}.$$

At this point, we do not know whether $0 \subset \mathrm{Ob}_X^p \subset \mathrm{Ob}_X^{p^2} \subset \dots$ becomes stationary. This is because each term carries a distinct module structure, and we cannot apply the Noetherian property of $T_{X/k}^1$.

Definition 2.12. Let R [resp. X] be a k -algebra essentially of finite type [resp. k -scheme essentially of finite type]. Let $i \geq 1$ be an integer. Then we say that R [resp. X] satisfies $\mathrm{FL}(i)$ if we have

$$\mathrm{Ob}_R^{p^i} = \mathrm{Ob}_R^{p^{i+1}} = \mathrm{Ob}_R^{p^{i+2}} = \dots$$

[resp. $\mathrm{Ob}_X^{p^i} = \mathrm{Ob}_X^{p^{i+1}} = \dots$].

Note that this is equivalent to say that

$$\mathrm{Der}_k^{p^i}(R) = \mathrm{Der}_k^{p^{i+1}}(R) = \mathrm{Der}_k^{p^{i+2}}(R) = \dots$$

3 On a -boundedness

Definition 3.1. Let $a = (a_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{N} . Then we say that a is *subadditive* if $a_{n+m} \leq a_n + a_m$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $a = (a_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ and $b = (b_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ be sequences in \mathbb{N} . Let $a + b$ and $\max\{a, b\}$ be sequences defined by

$$a + b := (a_n + b_n)_n, \quad \max\{a, b\} := (\max\{a_n, b_n\})_n.$$

Then if a and b are subadditive, $a + b$ and $\max\{a, b\}$ are also subadditive.

Definition 3.3. Let $a = (a_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}$ be a subadditive sequence in \mathbb{N} , R be a k -algebra and $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ be an ideal. Let $D = (D_n)_{n=0}^m \in \text{HS}_k^m(R)$ for $1 \leq m \leq \infty$. Then we say that D is **\mathfrak{m} -adically a -bounded** [resp. **\mathfrak{m} -logarithmically a -bounded**] if

$$D_n(R) \subset \mathfrak{m}^{a_n}$$

for every n [resp.

$$D_n(\mathfrak{m}^{n'}) \subset \mathfrak{m}^{a_n+n'}$$

for every n, n']. When \mathfrak{m} is clear from the context (e.g., when R is a local ring and \mathfrak{m} is the maximal ideal), we simply say that D is *a -bounded* [resp. *logarithmically a -bounded*].

Note that the composition of logarithmically a -bounded HS-derivations is again logarithmically a -bounded.

Lemma 3.4. *In the setting of 3.3, let*

$$\tilde{a} = (a_1 + 1, a_2 + 1, a_3 + 1, \dots).$$

If $D = (D_n)_{n=0}^m \in \text{HS}_k^m(R)$ is \tilde{a} -bounded, then it is logarithmically a -bounded.

Proof. First, note that \tilde{a} is also subadditive. We show that by induction of $n' = 0, 1, \dots$, we have

$$D_n(\mathfrak{m}^{n'}) \subset \mathfrak{m}^{a_n+n'}$$

for every n . The case $n' = 0$ is trivial as $\tilde{a} \geq a$. Suppose that the claim is true for n' and let $x \in \mathfrak{m}^{n'}, y \in \mathfrak{m}$. Then

$$D_n(xy) = \sum_{i=0}^n D_i(x)D_{n-i}(y).$$

For $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$, we have

$$D_i(x)D_{n-i}(y) \in \mathfrak{m}^{a_i+n'} \cdot \mathfrak{m}^{a_{n-i}+1} \subset \mathfrak{m}^{a_n+n'+1}.$$

For $i = n$, we also have

$$D_n(x)D_0(y) \in \mathfrak{m}^{a_n+n'} \cdot \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}^{a_n+n'+1}.$$

Thus, we have $D_n(xy) \in \mathfrak{m}^{a_n+n'+1}$. As $\mathfrak{m}^{n'+1}$ is generated by such xy , this completes the induction. \square

4 The local case

In this section, we assume the following setting.

Setting 4.1. (T, \mathfrak{m}) is a formally smooth local k -algebra that is a localization of a polynomial ring in finite variables at a prime ideal (not necessarily maximal) and $I \subset \mathfrak{m}$ is an ideal of T . Set $(R, \mathfrak{n}) = (T/I, \mathfrak{m}/I)$; this is a local k -algebra essentially of finite type. $X = \operatorname{Spec} R$ and $U = X \setminus \{\mathfrak{n}\}$ are k -schemes essentially of finite type and $\iota : U \hookrightarrow X$ is an open immersion. The k -scheme U satisfies $\operatorname{FL}(\tau)$ for an integer $\tau \geq 1$. We write $q = p^\tau$.

Note that we include the case where X consists of one point, where $U = \emptyset$ satisfies $\operatorname{FL}(\tau)$ for obvious reasons. The goal of this section is to show that X itself satisfies $\operatorname{FL}(\theta)$ for another sufficiently large integer θ .

Lemma 4.2. *Let $M := I/I^2$ and $H_n := \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, \mathfrak{n}^n)$ for $n = 1, 2, \dots$. Consider H_n as submodules of $H := \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R)$. Then there exists a positive integer C_1 such that $H_n \subset \mathfrak{n}^{n-C_1} \cdot H$ for $n \geq C_1$.*

Proof. Let $R^{e_1} \rightarrow R^{e_2} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$ be a finite presentation for M . For each $n = 1, 2, \dots$, we have the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H_n & \longrightarrow & (\mathfrak{n}^n)^{e_2} & \longrightarrow & (\mathfrak{n}^n)^{e_1} \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & H & \longrightarrow & R^{e_2} & \longrightarrow & R^{e_1} \end{array}$$

From this diagram, we see that $H_n = H \cap (\mathfrak{n}^n)^{e_2}$ where the intersection is taken in R^{e_2} . We apply the Artin-Rees lemma to $H \subset R^{e_2}$ with respect to the \mathfrak{n} -adic topology and the result follows. \square

Lemma 4.3. *Let $M := I/I^2$ and G be the image of the natural homomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}_R(\Omega_{T/k} \otimes_T R, R) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, R) = H$. Then there exists a positive integer C_2 such that $G \cap (\mathfrak{n}^n \cdot H) \subset \mathfrak{n}^{n-C_2} \cdot G$ for $n \geq C_2$.*

Proof. This is also a consequence of the Artin-Rees lemma. \square

Definition 4.4. Let $i \geq 0$ be an integer, S be a k -algebra and $J \subset S$ an ideal. Define

$$J^{[p^i]} := \{x^{p^i} \mid x \in J\}$$

which is an ideal of S^{p^i} . It is the image of J under the surjection $S \rightarrow S^{p^i}$. In particular, we have a natural inclusion $J^{[p^i]}S \subset J^{p^i}$ of ideals of S . Also, observe that $(J^j)^{[p^i]} = (J^{[p^i]})^j$ for any $j \geq 0$.

Note that there exists a positive integer c such that for every $i \geq 0$ and $n \geq 0$, we have $(\mathfrak{n}^{[p^i]})^n R \supset \mathfrak{n}^{p^i(n+c)}$. Indeed, suppose that \mathfrak{n} is generated by x_1, \dots, x_m . Then $\mathfrak{n}^{p^i(n+c)}$ is generated by monomials of the form

$$x_1^{\nu_1} \dots x_m^{\nu_m}, \quad \nu_1 + \dots + \nu_m = p^i(n+c).$$

For any $c \geq m-1$, we see that $x_1^{\nu_1} \dots x_m^{\nu_m} \in (\mathfrak{n}^{[p^i]})^n R$.

Lemma 4.5. *There exists a positive integer C_3 such that, for every integer $i \geq \tau$, we have $\text{Ob}_R^{p^i} \cap (\mathfrak{n}^{nq} \cdot T_{R/k}^1) \subset (\mathfrak{n}^{n-C_3})^{[q]} \cdot \text{Ob}_R^q$ if $n \geq C_3$.*

Proof. Let F be the subsheaf of $T_{X/k}^1$ consisting of sections s such that $s|_U \in \text{Ob}_U^q$. Then F is a coherent subsheaf of $T_{X/k}^1$ via $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^q$ (note that R is finite over R^q as k is algebraically closed). Moreover, we have

$$\text{Ob}_U^q = \text{Ob}_U^{p^{\tau+1}} = \text{Ob}_U^{p^{\tau+2}} = \dots = F|_U.$$

This implies that

$$\text{Ob}_X^q \subset \text{Ob}_X^{p^{\tau+1}} \subset \text{Ob}_X^{p^{\tau+2}} \subset \dots \subset F$$

by 2.11. Hence, it suffices to show the existence of C_3 such that $F \cap (\mathfrak{n}^{nq} \cdot T_{X/k}^1) \subset (\mathfrak{n}^{n-C_3})^{[q]} \cdot \text{Ob}_X^q$ for $n \geq C_3$. Take c as above so that $(\mathfrak{n}^{[q]})^{n-c} R \supset \mathfrak{n}^{nq}$ for every $n \geq c$. By the Artin-Rees lemma, there exists $c_1 \geq 0$ such that

$$F \cap ((\mathfrak{n}^{[q]})^n \cdot T_{X/k}^1) \subset (\mathfrak{n}^{[q]})^{n-c_1} \cdot F$$

if $n \geq c_1$. As the quotient sheaf F/Ob_X^q is equal to zero over U , there exists $c_2 \geq 0$ such that

$$(\mathfrak{n}^{[q]})^{c_2} \cdot F \subset \text{Ob}_X^q.$$

We set $C_3 := c + c_1 + c_2$. Then, if $n \geq C_3$, we have

$$F \cap (\mathfrak{n}^{nq} \cdot T_{X/k}^1) \subset F \cap ((\mathfrak{n}^{[q]})^{n-c} \cdot T_{X/k}^1) \subset (\mathfrak{n}^{[q]})^{n-c-c_1} \cdot F \subset (\mathfrak{n}^{[q]})^{n-c-c_1-c_2} \cdot \text{Ob}_X^q$$

and this completes the proof. \square

Lemma 4.6. *There exists a positive integer C_4 such that, for every $i = 0, 1, \dots, \tau-1$, we have $\text{Ob}_R^{p^i} \cap (\mathfrak{n}^{np^i} \cdot T_{R/k}^1) \subset (\mathfrak{n}^{n-C_4})^{[p^i]} \cdot \text{Ob}_R^{p^i}$ if $n \geq C_4$. Here, we set $\text{Ob}_R^1 = 0$.*

Proof. It suffices to show the claim when i is fixed. Take c_3 so that $(\mathfrak{n}^{[p^i]})^{n-c_3}R \supset \mathfrak{n}^{p^i n}$ for every $n \geq c_3$. By the Artin-Rees lemma, there exists $c_4 \geq 0$ such that

$$\text{Ob}_R^{p^i} \cap ((\mathfrak{n}^{[p^i]})^n \cdot T_{R/k}^1) \subset (\mathfrak{n}^{[p^i]})^{n-c_4} \cdot \text{Ob}_R^{p^i}$$

if $n \geq c_4$. Then we can take $C_4 := c_3 + c_4$. \square

Definition 4.7. Let e_1, n_1, q_1 be positive integers. Define three sequences of positive integers

$$\begin{cases} a[e_1, n_1, q_1] = (a[e_1, n_1, q_1]_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}, \\ \tilde{a}[e_1, n_1, q_1] = (\tilde{a}[e_1, n_1, q_1]_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}, \\ b[e_1, n_1, q_1] = (b[e_1, n_1, q_1]_n)_{n=1,2,\dots}, \end{cases}$$

by

$$\begin{cases} a[e_1, n_1, q_1]_n = e_1(q_1 + 1) \cdot \lceil \frac{nq_1}{n_1} \rceil, \\ \tilde{a}[e_1, n_1, q_1]_n = e_1 \cdot (\lfloor \frac{nq_1(q_1 + 1)}{n_1} \rfloor + 1), \\ b[e_1, n_1, q_1] = \max\{a[e_1, n_1, q_1], \tilde{a}[e_1, n_1, q_1]\}. \end{cases}$$

Here, for a real number x , $\lceil x \rceil$ [resp. $\lfloor x \rfloor$] denotes the smallest integer greater than or equal to x [resp. the greatest integer smaller than or equal to x].

Lemma 4.8. *In the setting of 4.7, we have*

$$a[e_1, n_1, q_1] \geq a[e_1, n_1 + 1, q_1],$$

$$a[e_1, n_1, q_1] \geq \tilde{a}[e_1, n_1 + 1, q_1].$$

In particular, $a[e_1, n_1, q_1] \geq b[e_1, n_1 + 1, q_1] \geq a[e_1, n_1 + 1, q_1]$.

Proof. The first inequality is clear. For every $n \geq 1$, we have

$$(q_1 + 1) \lceil \frac{nq_1}{n_1} \rceil \geq \lceil \frac{nq_1(q_1 + 1)}{n_1} \rceil > \lfloor \frac{nq_1(q_1 + 1)}{n_1 + 1} \rfloor.$$

Note that the last inequality is strict. Thus, we have $a[e_1, n_1, q_1] \geq \tilde{a}[e_1, n_1 + 1, q_1]$. The last inequalities follow from the definition of $b[e_1, n_1, q_1]$. \square

Lemma 4.9. *For any positive integers e_1, n_1, q_1 , the sequences $a[e_1, n_1, q_1]$, $b[e_1, n_1, q_1]$ are subadditive.*

Definition 4.10. Let $D \in \text{HS}_k^\infty(T)$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we say that D is *I-logarithmic up to n* if $D_i(I) \subset I$ for $i = 0, \dots, n$.

Definition 4.11. Define a sequence l_1, l_2, \dots of positive integers by

$$l_n = n/q$$

if n is divisible by q and

$$l_n = n'$$

if n factorizes as $n = n'p^i$ with $p \nmid n'$, $i < \tau$. Note that l_n tends to ∞ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ because $l_n \geq n/q$.

Proposition 4.12. Let e be an integer with

$$e \geq C_1 + C_3 \cdot q + C_4 \cdot q + q$$

where C_1, C_3, C_4 are as in 4.2-4.6. Let m be a positive integer and $D \in \text{HS}_k^\infty(T)$ be such that:

- (i) D is *I-logarithmic up to m* , thus induces $\tilde{D} \in \text{HS}_k^m(R)$,
- (ii) D is logarithmically $a[e, m, q]$ -bounded.

Then there exists $E \in \text{HS}_k^\infty(T)$ such that:

- (i) E is *I-logarithmic up to m* , thus induces $\tilde{E} \in \text{HS}_k^m(R)$,
- (ii) $\text{ob}_{m+1}(\tilde{E}) = 0$,
- (iii) E is logarithmically $b[e, m+1, q]$ -bounded,
- (iv) D and E coincide up to $l_{m+1}-1$, i.e., $D_1 = E_1, \dots, D_{l_{m+1}-1} = E_{l_{m+1}-1}$.

Proof. Note that the composition

$$T \xrightarrow{D_{m+1}} T \twoheadrightarrow R$$

restricts to an R -homomorphism

$$f : I/I^2 \rightarrow R$$

as D is *I-logarithmic up to m* . By Definition 2.5, the image of f in $T_{R/k}^1$ is equal to $\text{ob}_{m+1}(\tilde{D})$. As D is $a[e, m, q]$ -bounded, f factors as $I/I^2 \rightarrow$

$\mathfrak{n}^{a[e,m,q]_{m+1}} \hookrightarrow R$. Note that $a[e,m,q]_{m+1} \geq eq(q+1) + e(q+1)$. By 4.2, we have

$$f \in \mathfrak{n}^{eq(q+1)+e(q+1)-C_1} \cdot \text{Hom}_R(I/I^2, R).$$

In particular,

$$f \in \mathfrak{n}^{eq(q+1)+eq+C_3 \cdot q+C_4 \cdot q+q} \cdot \text{Hom}_R(I/I^2, R).$$

This implies

$$\text{ob}_{m+1}(\tilde{D}) \in \mathfrak{n}^{eq(q+1)+eq+C_3 \cdot q+C_4 \cdot q+q} \cdot T_{R/k}^1.$$

Let us write $m+1 = m'p^i$, $p \nmid m'$. From this point on, we treat the case $i \geq \tau$ and the case $i < \tau$ separately.

First, assume that $i \geq \tau$. We can apply 4.5 as $\text{Ob}_R^{m+1} = \text{Ob}_R^{p^i}$ by 2.9. We have

$$\text{ob}_{m+1}(\tilde{D}) \in (\mathfrak{n}^{e(q+1)+e+C_4+1})^{[q]} \cdot \text{Ob}_R^q \subset (\mathfrak{n}^{e(q+1)+e+1})^{[q]} \cdot \text{Ob}_R^q.$$

This means that there exist $\tilde{D}^1, \dots, \tilde{D}^n \in \text{HS}_k^{q-1}(R)$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{n}^{e(q+1)+e+1}$ such that

$$\text{ob}_{m+1}(\tilde{D}) + x_1^q \cdot \text{ob}_q(\tilde{D}^1) + \dots + x_n^q \cdot \text{ob}_q(\tilde{D}^n) = 0.$$

The latter is equivalent to

$$\text{ob}_{m+1}(\tilde{D}) + \text{ob}_q(x_1 \bullet \tilde{D}^1) + \dots + \text{ob}_q(x_n \bullet \tilde{D}^n) = 0$$

by 2.6. We can lift $\tilde{D}^1, \dots, \tilde{D}^n \in \text{HS}_k^{q-1}(R)$ to

$$\tilde{E}^1, \dots, \tilde{E}^n \in \text{HS}_k^\infty(T)$$

by formal smoothness of T and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{n}^{e(q+1)+e+1}$ to

$$y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathfrak{m}^{e(q+1)+e+1}.$$

Note that, for every $j = 1, \dots, n$, $F^j := (y_j \bullet \tilde{E}^j)[m'p^{i-\tau}]$ is logarithmically $b[e, m+1, q]$ -bounded: To prove this, it suffices to consider $F_{sm'p^{i-\tau}}^j$ for each $s = 1, 2, \dots$ because other terms of F^j are zero. The image of $F_{sm'p^{i-\tau}}^j$ is contained in $\mathfrak{m}^{se(q+1)+se+s}$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} b[e, m+1, q]_{sm'p^{i-\tau}} &= \max\{e(q+1) \cdot \lceil \frac{sm'p^{i-\tau}q}{m+1} \rceil, e \cdot (\lfloor \frac{sm'p^{i-\tau}q(q+1)}{m+1} \rfloor + 1)\} \\ &= \max\{e(q+1) \cdot s, e \cdot (s(q+1) + 1)\} \\ &= es(q+1) + e \\ &< se(q+1) + se + s. \end{aligned}$$

By 3.4, we see that F^j is logarithmically $b[e, m+1, q]$ -bounded. Then

$$E := D \circ F^1 \circ \dots \circ F^n$$

satisfies the required properties (note that $l_{m+1} = m'p^{i-\tau}$). This completes the proof in the case $i \geq \tau$.

Next, suppose that $i < \tau$. As

$$\text{ob}_{m+1}(\tilde{D}) \in \mathfrak{n}^{eq(q+1)+eq+C_3 \cdot q+C_4 \cdot q+q} \cdot T_{R/k}^1,$$

we have in particular

$$\text{ob}_{m+1}(\tilde{D}) \in \mathfrak{n}^{(e(q+1)p^{\tau-i}+ep^{\tau-i}+C_3 \cdot p^{\tau-i}+C_4 \cdot p^{\tau-i}+p^{\tau-i})p^i} \cdot T_{R/k}^1.$$

We can apply 4.6 as $\text{Ob}_R^{m+1} = \text{Ob}_R^{p^i}$ by 2.9. We have

$$\text{ob}_{m+1}(\tilde{D}) \in (\mathfrak{n}^{e(q+1)p^{\tau-i}+ep^{\tau-i}+C_3 \cdot p^{\tau-i}+C_4 \cdot (p^{\tau-i}-1)+p^{\tau-i}})^{[p^i]} \cdot \text{Ob}_R^{p^i}$$

and in particular

$$\text{ob}_{m+1}(\tilde{D}) \in (\mathfrak{n}^{e(q+1)p^{\tau-i}+e+1})^{[p^i]} \cdot \text{Ob}_R^{p^i}.$$

Then there exist $\tilde{D}^1, \dots, \tilde{D}^n \in \text{HS}_k^{p^i-1}(R)$ and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{n}^{e(q+1)p^{\tau-i}+e+1}$ such that

$$\text{ob}_{m+1}(\tilde{D}) + \text{ob}_{p^i}(x_1 \bullet \tilde{D}^1) + \dots + \text{ob}_{p^i}(x_n \bullet \tilde{D}^n) = 0.$$

We can lift $\tilde{D}^1, \dots, \tilde{D}^n \in \text{HS}_k^{p^i-1}(R)$ to

$$\tilde{E}^1, \dots, \tilde{E}^n \in \text{HS}_k^\infty(T)$$

by formal smoothness of T and $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{n}^{e(q+1)p^{\tau-i}+e+1}$ to

$$y_1, \dots, y_n \in \mathfrak{m}^{e(q+1)p^{\tau-i}+e+1}.$$

Note that, for every $j = 1, \dots, n$, $F^j := (y_j \bullet \tilde{E}^j)[m']$ is logarithmically $b[e, m+1, q]$ -bounded: For each $s = 1, 2, \dots$, the image of $F_{sm'}^j$ is contained in $\mathfrak{m}^{se(q+1)p^{\tau-i}+se+s}$. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} b[e, m+1, q]_{sm'} &= \max\{e(q+1) \cdot \lceil \frac{sm'q}{m+1} \rceil, e \cdot (\lfloor \frac{sm'q(q+1)}{m+1} \rfloor + 1)\} \\ &= \max\{e(q+1)p^{\tau-i}, e \cdot (s(q+1)p^{\tau-i} + 1)\} \\ &= es(q+1)p^{\tau-i} + e \\ &< es(q+1)p^{\tau-i} + es + s. \end{aligned}$$

By 3.4, we see that F^r is logarithmically $b[e, m+1, q]$ -bounded. Then

$$E := D \circ F^1 \circ \dots \circ F^n$$

satisfies the required properties. This completes the proof in the case $i < \tau$. \square

Proposition 4.13. *Let e be an integer with*

$$e \geq C_1 + C_2 + 1$$

where C_1, C_2 are as in 4.2, 4.3. Let m be a positive integer and $E \in \text{HS}_k^\infty(T)$ such that:

- (i) *E is I -logarithmic up to m , thus induces $\tilde{E} \in \text{HS}_k^m(R)$,*
- (ii) *$\text{ob}_{m+1}(\tilde{E}) = 0$,*
- (iii) *E is logarithmically $b[e, m+1, q]$ -bounded.*

Then there exists $D^+ \in \text{HS}_k^\infty(T)$ such that:

- (i) *D^+ is I -logarithmic up to $m+1$,*
- (ii) *D^+ is logarithmically $a[e, m+1, q]$ -bounded,*
- (iii) *D^+ and E coincide up to m , i.e., $D_1^+ = E_1, \dots, D_m^+ = E_m$.*

Proof. Note that the composition

$$T \xrightarrow{E_{m+1}} T \rightarrow R$$

restricts to an R -homomorphism

$$f : I/I^2 \rightarrow R.$$

By definition, the image of f in $T_{R/k}^1$ is equal to $\text{ob}_{m+1}(\tilde{E})$, which is 0 by assumption. Thus, f is in the image G of

$$\text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{T/k} \otimes_T R, R) \rightarrow \text{Hom}_R(I/I^2, R).$$

As E is $b[e, m+1, q]$ -bounded, f factors as $I/I^2 \rightarrow \mathbf{n}^{b[e, m+1, q]_{m+1}} \hookrightarrow R$. Note that

$$b[e, m+1, q]_{m+1} = \max\{e(q+1) \cdot \lceil \frac{(m+1)q}{m+1} \rceil, e \cdot (\lfloor \frac{(m+1)q(q+1)}{m+1} \rfloor + 1)\} = eq(q+1) + e.$$

By 4.2, we have

$$f \in \mathfrak{n}^{eq(q+1)+e-C_1} \cdot \text{Hom}_R(I/I^2, R).$$

By 4.3, we have

$$f \in \mathfrak{n}^{eq(q+1)+e-C_1-C_2} \cdot G \subset \mathfrak{n}^{eq(q+1)+1} G.$$

This means that we can take $g \in \mathfrak{n}^{eq(q+1)+1} \text{Hom}_R(\Omega_{T/k} \otimes_T R, R)$ that restricts to f . As T is formally smooth over k , we can take $x_1, \dots, x_n \in \mathfrak{m}^{eq(q+1)+1}$ and $d_1, \dots, d_n \in \text{Der}_k(T, T)$ such that $x_1 d_1 + \dots + x_n d_n$ restricts to $-g$. Again as T is formally smooth over k , $(\text{id}, d_j) \in \text{HS}_k^1(T)$ lifts to $\tilde{E}^j \in \text{HS}_k^\infty(T)$ for every j . Set $F^j := (x_j \bullet \tilde{E}^j)[m+1]$. Then F^j is logarithmically $a[e, m+1, q]$ -bounded: For each $s = 1, 2, \dots$, the image of $F_{s(m+1)}^j$ is contained in $\mathfrak{m}^{seq(q+1)+s}$. On the other hand,

$$a[e, m+1, q]_{s(m+1)} = e(q+1) \cdot \left\lceil \frac{s(m+1)q}{m+1} \right\rceil = seq(q+1) < seq(q+1) + s.$$

By 3.4, we see that F^j is logarithmically $a[e, m+1, q]$ -bounded. Then

$$D^+ = E \circ F^1 \circ \dots \circ F^n$$

satisfy the required properties. This completes the proof. \square

Proposition 4.14. *Let e be an integer with*

$$e \geq C_1 + C_2 + C_3 \cdot q + C_4 \cdot q + q$$

where the C_i are as in 4.2-4.6. Let m be a positive integer and $D \in \text{HS}_k^\infty(T)$ such that:

- (i) *D is I -logarithmic up to m ,*
- (ii) *D is logarithmically $a[e, m, q]$ -bounded.*

Then there exists $D^+ \in \text{HS}_k^\infty(T)$ such that:

- (i) *D^+ is I -logarithmic up to $m+1$,*
- (ii) *D^+ is logarithmically $a[e, m+1, q]$ -bounded,*
- (iii) *D^+ and D coincide up to $l_{m+1} - 1$, i.e., $D_1^+ = D_1, \dots, D_{l_{m+1}-1}^+ = D_{l_{m+1}-1}$.*

Proof. This is a consequence of Proposition 4.12, 4.13. \square

Theorem 4.15. *Let e be an integer with*

$$e \geq C_1 + C_2 + C_3 \cdot q + C_4 \cdot q + q$$

where the C_i are as in 4.2-4.6. Let $\text{Der}_k^q(R, R)$ be the module of q -integrable derivations of R . Then any element in $\mathfrak{n}^{e(q+1)+1} \cdot \text{Der}_k^q(R, R)$ is m -integrable for any positive integer m . In particular, the set of leaps produced by $\text{Der}_k^q(R, R)$ is finite.

Proof. Suppose that $d \in \text{Der}_k^q(R, R)$ and $x \in \mathfrak{n}^{e(q+1)+1}$. By assumption, there exists $\tilde{E} \in \text{HS}_k^q(R)$ such that $\tilde{E}_1 = d$. We can lift \tilde{E} to $E \in \text{HS}_k^\infty(T)$ by formal smoothness of T . Let $y \in \mathfrak{m}^{e(q+1)+1}$ be a preimage of x . Then $D := y \bullet E$ is I -logarithmic up to q and logarithmically $a[e, q, q]$ -bounded (note that $a[e, q, q] = (e(q+1), 2e(q+1), 3e(q+1), \dots)$). Let m be an arbitrary positive integer. Applying the above proposition recursively, we can find $D^+ \in \text{HS}_k^\infty(T)$ such that D^+ is I -logarithmic up to m and D and D^+ coincide up to $\min\{l_{q+1} - 1, l_{q+2} - 1, \dots, l_m - 1\}$. Note that $l_n \geq 2$ for any $n > q$. In particular, $y \cdot E_1 = D_1^+$. This means that $x \cdot d$ is m -integrable. This shows the first part of the theorem. The second part is a consequence of the following theorem. \square

The next theorem is a slight variant of [1, Theorem 3.1], [9, Proposition 1.11] and its proof is essentially the same. For the convenience of the reader, we include the proof here as well.

Theorem 4.16. *Let M be a sub- R -module of $\text{Der}_k(R, R)$ and $\mathfrak{l} \subset \mathfrak{n}$ and ideal such that R/\mathfrak{l} is of finite length. Assume that any $d \in \mathfrak{l} \cdot M$ is m -integrable for any positive integer m . Let $\text{Leaps}(M) \subset \mathbb{N}$ be the set of leaps produced by M . Then*

$$\#\text{Leaps}(M) \leq \text{length}_R(M/\mathfrak{l}M).$$

In particular, $\text{Leaps}(M)$ is finite.

Proof. As k is perfect, there exists a subfield $K \subset R/\mathfrak{l}$ containing k such that $K \simeq R/\mathfrak{n}$. The length of $M/\mathfrak{l}M$ is equal to the K -linear dimension of $M/\mathfrak{l}M$.

Now, let $L := \text{Leaps}(M)$. For each $s \in L$, we take $d_s \in M$ leaping at s . We claim that the set $\{\bar{d}_s\}_{s \in L}$ is K -linearly independent in $M/\mathfrak{l}M$, where \bar{d}_s is the image of d_s in $M/\mathfrak{l}M$. Suppose that there exists a relation such that

$$a_1 \bar{d}_{s_1} + \dots + a_n \bar{d}_{s_n} = 0, \quad a_r \in K$$

where $a_1 \neq 0$ and $s_1 < \dots < s_n$. Then we have a relation in M such that

$$b_1 d_{s_1} = -b_2 d_{s_2} - \dots - b_n d_{s_n} + d, \quad b_r \in R$$

where b_r are lifts of a_r to R and where $d \in \mathbb{M}$. Note that b_1 is invertible, so that

$$d_{s_1} = b_1^{-1}(-b_2 d_{s_2} - \dots - b_n d_{s_n} + d).$$

By assumption, there exists $D_r \in \text{HS}_k^{s_r}(R)$ whose first component is equal to d_{s_r} for each r . In addition, there exists $D \in \text{HS}_k^t(R)$ whose first component is equal to d with $t \geq s_2$. Then the first component of

$$b_1^{-1} \bullet (((-b_2) \bullet D_2) \circ \dots \circ ((-b_r) \bullet D_r) \circ D) \in \text{HS}_k^{s_2}(R)$$

equals to d_{s_1} . This means that d_{s_1} is at least s_2 -integrable, which contradicts the choice of d_{s_1} . This proves the claim, and the theorem follows from it. \square

Theorem 4.17. *The set of leaps of $\text{Der}_k(R, R)$ is finite. In particular, R satisfies $\text{FL}(\theta)$ for a sufficiently large integer θ .*

Proof. The set of leaps of $\text{Der}_k(R, R)$ is contained in

$$\{p, p^2, \dots, q\} \cup \text{Leaps}(\text{Der}_k^q(R, R)),$$

which is finite by Theorem 4.15. \square

5 The global case

In this section, we apply the results of the previous section to prove finiteness-of-leaps for arbitrary k -schemes essentially of finite type.

Theorem 5.1. *Let X be a k -scheme essentially of finite type. Then X satisfies $\text{FL}(\tau)$ for a sufficiently large integer τ . In particular, the set of leaps of X is finite.*

Proof. We construct inductively a sequence of pairs $\{(F_n, i_n)\}_{n=1,2,\dots}$ such that:

- (i) $i_1 \leq i_2 \leq i_3 \leq \dots$ are nonnegative integers,
- (ii) F_n is a sub- $\mathcal{O}_X^{p^{i_n}}$ -sheaf of $T_{X/k}^1$ that is coherent via $\mathcal{O}_X \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X^{p^{i_n}}$,

- (iii) $\text{Ob}_X^p \subset \text{Ob}_X^{p^2} \subset \cdots \subset F_n$ for every n ,
- (iv) $F_1 \supset F_2 \supset F_3 \supset \cdots$
- (v) for every n , $\text{Supp}(F_n/\text{Ob}_X^{p^{i_n}}) \supsetneq \text{Supp}(F_{n+1}/\text{Ob}_X^{p^{i_{n+1}}})$.

By (v), this sequence must terminate at some (F_τ, i_τ) where we have

$$\text{Supp}(F_\tau/\text{Ob}_X^{p^{i_\tau}}) = \emptyset,$$

i.e., $F_\tau = \text{Ob}_X^{p^{i_\tau}}$. By (iii), X satisfies $\text{FL}(\tau)$ and in particular the set of leaps of $\text{Der}_k(\mathcal{O}_X)$ is finite.

We set $F_1 = T_{X/k}^1$, $i_1 = 0$. Suppose that we have constructed (F_n, i_n) and we would like to construct (F_{n+1}, i_{n+1}) satisfying (i)-(v). Let us take a generic point ξ of $\text{Supp}(F_n/\text{Ob}_X^{p^{i_n}})$ (i.e. a point without generalizations). Let R be the local ring of X at ξ and let $Y = \text{Spec } R$, $U := Y \setminus \{\xi\}$. Then U satisfies $\text{FL}(i_n)$ as $\text{Supp}(F_n|_U/\text{Ob}_U^{p^{i_n}}) = \emptyset$. Thus, we can apply the results of the previous section to the local k -algebra R . We can find an integer $i_{n+1} \geq i_n$ such that Y satisfies $\text{FL}(i_{n+1})$. We define F_{n+1} as the subsheaf of F_n consisting of sections $s \in F_n$ such that

$$s|_Y \in \text{Ob}_Y^{p^{i_{n+1}}}.$$

Conditions (i) and (iv) follow from the construction. We have the following cartesian diagram of $\mathcal{O}_X^{p^{i_{n+1}}}$ -sheaves

$$\begin{array}{ccc} F_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \iota_* \text{Ob}_Y^{i_{n+1}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ F_n & \longrightarrow & \iota_* \iota^* F_n \end{array}$$

where $\iota : Y \rightarrow X$. In other words, we have $F_{n+1} = F_n \times_{\iota_* \iota^* F_n} \iota_* \text{Ob}_Y^{i_{n+1}}$. This implies quasi-coherence, and hence coherence of F_{n+1} in the sense stated in (ii). Condition (iii) follows from the fact that Y satisfies $\text{FL}(i_{n+1})$ and from the construction. The restriction of the above diagram to Y is

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \iota^* F_{n+1} & \longrightarrow & \iota^* \iota_* \text{Ob}_Y^{i_{n+1}} = \text{Ob}_Y^{i_{n+1}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \iota^* F_n & \xlongequal{\quad} & \iota^* \iota_* \iota^* F_n, \end{array}$$

which is also cartesian. This implies $F_{n+1}|_Y = \iota^* F_{n+1} = \text{Ob}_Y^{i_{n+1}}$ and $\xi \notin \text{Supp}(F_{n+1}/\text{Ob}_X^{p^{i_{n+1}}})$. In particular, (v) follows. \square

Theorem 5.2. *Any k -algebra R essentially of finite type satisfies $\text{FL}(\tau)$ for a sufficiently large integer τ . In particular, the set of leaps of R is finite.*

Lemma 5.3. *Let R be a k -algebra essentially of finite type, $m, \tau \geq 1$ integers and $q := p^\tau$. Suppose that R satisfies $\text{FL}(\tau)$. Let $D \in \text{HS}_k^m(R)$. Let l_1, l_2, \dots be the sequence of integers defined as in 4.11. Then there exists $D^+ \in \text{HS}_k^{m+1}(R)$ such that D and D^+ coincide up to $l_{m+1} - 1$, i.e., $D_1 = D_1^+, \dots, D_{l_{m+1}-1} = D_{l_{m+1}-1}^+$.*

Proof. Let $r := m + 1/l_{m+1}$. As $\text{Ob}_R^{p^\tau} = \text{Ob}_R^{p^{\tau+1}} = \dots$, there exists $E \in \text{HS}_k^{r-1}(R)$ such that $\text{ob}_{m+1}(D) = -\text{ob}_r(E)$. Then we can take $D^+ := D \circ E[l_{m+1}]$. \square

Theorem 5.4. *Let R be a k -algebra essentially of finite type, $n \geq 1$ be an integer and $D \in \text{HS}_k^n(R)$. Then D is ∞ -integrable if and only if D is m -integrable for every integer $m \geq n$. Here, we say that D is m -integrable if D is in the image of $\text{HS}_k^m(R) \rightarrow \text{HS}_k^n(R)$.*

Proof. The “only if” direction is clear. Suppose that D is m -integrable for every integer $m \geq n$. Take a sufficiently large integer $N \gg n$ such that $l_{m+1} - 1 \geq n$ for every $m \geq N$. By assumption, there exists $E \in \text{HS}_k^N(R)$ that restricts to D . Inductively, we define

$$E^0 = E \in \text{HS}_k^N(R),$$

$$E^{m+1} = (E^m)^+ \in \text{HS}_k^{N+m+1}(R)$$

for $m = 0, 1, \dots$, where $(E^m)^+$ is defined using the previous lemma. For fixed $m' = 0, 1, \dots$, we have a sequence of k -linear maps

$$E_{m'}^m, E_{m'}^{m+1}, E_{m'}^{m+2}, \dots$$

for sufficiently large $m \gg 0$. Eventually it becomes stationary because l_m tends to ∞ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Thus,

$$E^\infty := \left(\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} E_{m'}^m \right)_{m'=0}^\infty \in \text{HS}_k^\infty(R)$$

is a well-defined Hasse-Schmidt derivation. Note that E^∞ restricts to D by the choice of N . Thus, D is ∞ -integrable. \square

Theorem 5.5. *Let R be a k -algebra essentially of finite type. Then there exists $M > 1$ such that*

$$\mathrm{Der}_k^M(R) = \mathrm{Der}_k^{M+1}(R) = \cdots = \mathrm{Der}_k^\infty(R).$$

In particular, $\mathrm{Der}_k^\infty(R)$ is compatible with localization of R .

Theorem 5.6. *Theorems 5.1 and 5.2 hold also in the case where the base field is perfect.*

Proof. This is a consequence of [3, Theorem 3.27]. □

References

- [1] A. Bravo and María de la Paz Tirado Hernández. *Finiteness of Leaps in the sense of Hasse-Schmidt of reduced rings*. 2024. arXiv: 2409.19093 [math.AC].
- [2] María de la Paz Tirado Hernández. “Leaps of modules of integrable derivations in the sense of Hasse-Schmidt”. In: *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra* 224.4 (2020), p. 106209.
- [3] María de la Paz Tirado Hernández. “On the behavior of modules of m -integrable derivations in the sense of Hasse-Schmidt under base change”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 370 (2020), p. 107235.
- [4] Hideyuki Matsumura. “Integrable derivations”. In: *Nagoya Mathematical Journal* 87 (1982), pp. 227–245.
- [5] Hideyuki Matsumura. *Commutative ring theory*. 8. Cambridge university press, 1989.
- [6] Takuya Miyamoto. *Hasse-Schmidt derivations and locally trivial deformations in positive characteristic*. 2025. arXiv: 2509.00887 [math.AG].
- [7] L. Narváez Macarro. “Corrigendum to “On the modules of m -integrable derivations in non-zero characteristic” [Adv. Math. 229 (2012) 2712–2740]”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 450 (2024), p. 109758.
- [8] Luis Narváez Macarro. “On the modules of m -integrable derivations in non-zero characteristic”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 229.5 (2012), pp. 2712–2740.

- [9] Luis Narváez Macarro, Ana J. Reguera, and María de la Paz Tirado Hernández. “Finiteness of leaps in the sense of Hasse-Schmidt of unibranch curves in positive characteristic”. In: *Advances in Mathematics* 437 (2024), p. 109441.
- [10] Friedrich Karl Schmidt and Helmut Hasse. “Noch eine Begründung der Theorie der höheren Differentialquotienten in einem algebraischen Funktionenkörper einer Unbestimmten. (Nach einer brieflichen Mitteilung von F.K. Schmidt in Jena).” In: *Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal)* 1937 (1937), pp. 215–237.
- [11] Edoardo Sernesi. *Deformations of algebraic schemes*. Springer, 2006.