

p -ADIC MONODROMY AND MOD p UNLIKELY INTERSECTIONS, II

RUOFAN JIANG

ABSTRACT. We study ordinary abelian schemes in characteristic p and their moduli spaces from the perspective of char p Mumford–Tate, log Ax–Lindemann, and geometric André–Oort conjectures (abbreviated as MT_p , logAL_p and geoAO_p). In this paper, we achieve multiple goals: **(A)** establish the implication $\text{MT}_p \Leftrightarrow \text{logAL}_p \Rightarrow \text{geoAO}_p$, and show that they all follow from the Tate conjecture for abelian varieties. The equivalence $\text{MT}_p \Leftrightarrow \text{logAL}_p$ is exploited from both sides, which enables us to **(B)** develop a representation theory approach to logAL_p and geoAO_p by first establishing many cases of MT_p via classical techniques, and **(C)** develop an algebraization approach to MT_p that transcends the limitation of classical methods. In particular, we introduce “crystalline Hodge loci”, a rigid analytic geometric object that encodes the essential information needed for proving logAL_p , while being very approachable via (integral and relative) p -adic Hodge theory. This enables us to prove logAL_p for compact Tate-linear curves with unramified p -adic monodromy. As an application, we establish MT_p for many abelian fourfolds of p -adic Mumford type.

CONTENTS

| | |
|----------------------------------------------------------|----|
| 1. Introduction | 1 |
| 2. Preliminaries | 8 |
| 3. Proof of $\text{MT}_p \Leftrightarrow \text{logAL}_p$ | 16 |
| 4. Proof of $\text{MT}_p \Rightarrow \text{geoAO}_p$ | 23 |
| 5. Mod p variants of classical methods | 27 |
| 6. Tate-linearity and algebraization | 38 |
| References | 44 |

1. INTRODUCTION

Abelian varieties are one of the central objects in arithmetic geometry. As compared to abelian varieties over number fields, abelian varieties over char p function fields are less understood. For example, their char p behavior, groups of p -torsions, structures of endomorphism algebra, and the geometry of the moduli spaces, are very different from their char 0 counterparts. Some very powerful tools in char 0, like transcendental methods, are no longer effective. On the other hand, phenomena exclusive to char p , e.g., the existence of Frobenius, open up a gate for studying them in a new framework.

This paper, being the second in a series, is devoted to the study of ordinary abelian varieties in characteristic p and their moduli spaces, from the following two perspectives: (1) l -adic and p -adic monodromy and (2) “Hodge theoretic behavior” in the context of unlikely intersections on mod p Shimura varieties, extending our previous work [Jia24]. Problems of similar flavors (at least in their baby forms) are studies in the early works of Chai and Oort; cf. [Cha06a, Cha95, CO11, Oor04, Cha03, Cha06b] and so on. In recent years, there have also been works trying to understand the reduction behavior of abelian varieties over char p global fields (cf. [MST22a, MST22b, Tay25, Jia25, JSY26]), which can be put in the framework of unlikely (or just likely) intersections. In a forthcoming work

of Shankar and the author, we will explore the application of p -adic monodromy in Picard rank jumping and S -integrality problems.

The concrete problems that we care about in this paper can be put into the following three categories:

- (1) (Monodromy conjectures) char p Mumford–Tate conjecture.
- (2) (Unlikely intersection conjectures) char p log Ax–Lindemann conjecture, char p geometric André–Oort conjecture, and Chai’s Tate-linear conjecture.
- (3) (Cycle conjectures) The Tate conjecture and the Hodge conjecture.

Let’s briefly recall the formulation of the conjectures in the first and second category.

We will use \mathcal{A}_g , \mathcal{A}_g , and $\mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}_q}^{\text{ord}}$ to denote a Siegel modular variety (with sufficiently small hyperspecial level), its integral canonical model, and the ordinary locus of its mod p reduction. Suppose that X_0 is a geometric connected smooth variety over \mathbb{F}_q , equipped with a morphism $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}_q}^{\text{ord}}$. Let f be the base change of f_0 to $\mathbb{F} := \overline{\mathbb{F}_p}$. Let A be the pullback abelian scheme over X_0 , and let A_η be the pullback abelian variety over the generic point of X_0 .

Definition 1.1. The Mumford–Tate group of A , denoted by $G_B(A)$ (or $G_B(f)$), is the generic Mumford–Tate group of the smallest Shimura subvariety of \mathcal{A}_g whose Zariski closure in \mathcal{A}_g contains $\text{im}(f)$. The definition also makes sense for A_η in an obvious manner: .

Conjecture 1.2 (Char p Mumford–Tate conjecture). *Let $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}_q}^{\text{ord}}$ be as above. Let $G_l(A)$ ($l \neq p$) and $G_p(A)$ be the l -adic étale and p -adic (overconvergent crystalline) monodromy of A . Then $G_l(A)^\circ = G_B(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$, and $G_p(A)^\circ = G_B(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$.*

The conjecture also makes sense for A_η , and is equivalent to the version for A .

The unlikely intersection conjectures, on the other hand, are assertions pertaining to the arithmetic and geometry of the moduli space $\mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}$. In characteristic p , the space $\mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}$ no longer admits the interpretation as a “ball quotient”. The key structure we need here is the *formal linearity* on ordinary mod p Shimura varieties that arise from the theory of canonical coordinates: let $x \in \mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}(\mathbb{F})$, then $\mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}^{/x}$ is equipped with a canonical structure of *formal torus*.

Definition 1.3. Suppose that $X \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}$ is a generically ordinary (locally closed) subvariety.

- (1) We say that X is **special**, if it is Zariski dense in an irreducible component of the mod p reduction of a classical special subvariety in char 0.
- (2) We say that X is **quasi-weakly special** (cf. [Jia24]) if, roughly speaking, its ordinary locus X^{ord} is contained in a special subvariety $Y \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$ which splits as an almost product of two special subvarieties Y_1 and Y_2 , such that $\dim Y_1 > 0$, and the Zariski closure of X^{ord} in Y splits as an almost product of Y_1 with a subvariety of Y_2 .
- (3) Let $x \in X^{\text{ord}}(\mathbb{F})$. We say that X is **Tate-linear at x** , if $X^{/x}$ is a formal subtorus of $\mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}^{/x}$. Chai proved that if X is Tate-linear at x , then it is Tate-linear at any smooth ordinary point; cf. [Cha03, Proposition 5.3, Remark 5.3.1]. So we can just call X **Tate-linear**¹.

Now let $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$ be a map from a smooth connected variety X to the ordinary locus of $\mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}$. Pick a point $x \in X(\mathbb{F})$. By a slight abuse of notation, we use $\mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}^{/x}$ to denote the formal neighborhood of $\mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}$ at $f(x)$, and use $f^{/x}$ to denote the induced map $X^{/x} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}^{/x}$.

Conjecture 1.4 (Tate-linear conjecture). *Suppose that f is a locally closed immersion. If X is Tate-linear at x , then X is special.*

¹Our terminology is slightly different from [Cha03]. Chai requires Tate-linear subvarieties to be closed and smooth (the closed and non-smooth ones are called “weakly Tate-linear”), while our definition has no requirement on the closedness or smoothness of the variety.

Conjecture 1.5 (Char p log Ax–Lindemann conjecture). *Let $\mathcal{T}_{f,x} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}^{/x}$ be the smallest formal torus through which $f^{/x}$ factors. Then there exists a special subvariety of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$ whose formal germ at $f(x)$ admits $\mathcal{T}_{f,x}$ as an irreducible component.*

It is clear that Conjecture 1.5 recovers Conjecture 1.4 as a special case.

Conjecture 1.6 (Char p geometric André–Oort conjecture). *Suppose that f is a locally closed immersion. Then exactly one of the following happens:*

- (1) X is quasi-weakly special,
- (2) X does not contain a Zariski dense collection of positive dimensional special subvarieties.

To compactify the notation, the conjectures 1.2~1.6 will be denoted by MT_p , Tl_p , logAL_p and geoAO_p , respectively. We say that MT_p holds for $f = f_{0,\mathbb{F}}$, if it holds for f_0 .

Recall that in [Jia24], we proved that the conjectures MT_p , Tl_p , logAL_p and geoAO_p all hold, if the image of f lies in the special fiber of a finite product of GSpin Shimura varieties. In this paper, we will consider the conjectures in more general settings.

1.1. Main results. All main results are put into three categories:

- (a) Establish $\text{MT}_p \Leftrightarrow \text{logAL}_p \Rightarrow \text{geoAO}_p$: Theorem 1.7 and Corollary 1.8.
- (b) Solve cases of the conjectures by first establishing MT_p via classical techniques: Theorem 1.9, Theorem 1.10, Theorem 1.11, Theorem 1.12.
- (c) Solve cases of the conjectures by first establishing logAL_p via a new algebraization approach: Theorem 1.15 and Theorem 1.16.

The results that the author likes the most are the ones in category (c): the proof is (somewhat surprisingly) connected to recent development in (integral and relative) p -adic Hodge theory.

1.1.1. Main results in category (a). Let X be a smooth connected variety over \mathbb{F} with a morphism $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$. Let $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}_q}^{\text{ord}}$ be a finite field model of f , and let A_η be the pullback abelian variety over the generic point of X_0 .

Theorem 1.7 (\subseteq Theorem 3.1+Theorem 4.1+Proposition 2.17). *The following are true:*

- (1) MT_p holds for $f \Leftrightarrow \text{logAL}_p$ holds for f .
- (2) Let f be a locally closed immersion. Then MT_p holds for $f \Rightarrow \text{geoAO}_p$ holds for f .
- (3) Suppose that the Tate conjecture holds for powers of A_η as well as CM abelian varieties over number fields, then MT_p holds.
- (4) Suppose that the Hodge conjecture holds for abelian varieties of dimension g , and that MT_p holds for f , then the Tate conjecture holds for A_η .




FIGURE 1. A web of connections

We state a quick consequence of Theorem 1.7:

Corollary 1.8. *An irreducible subvariety $X \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$ is called **formally generic**, if there exists a smooth point $x \in X(\mathbb{F})$, such that $X^{/x}$ is not contained in any proper formal subtorus of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}^{/x}$. Then MT_p , $\log\text{AL}_p$, and geoAO_p hold for all formally generic X .*

This is simply because $\log\text{AL}_p$ holds trivially for formally generic ones. As a consequence, MT_p , $\log\text{AL}_p$, and geoAO_p hold for “almost all” subvarieties of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$.²

The proof of Theorem 1.7 is a combination of the techniques from [Jia24] and Mumford–Tate pairs [Pin98].

The equivalence $\log\text{AL}_p \Leftrightarrow \text{MT}_p$ is a bridge between problems of different nature: on one side, the problem is representation/Tannakian theoretic; on the other side, the problem lies in the realm of algebraization/unlikely intersection. The equivalence between two sides makes it possible to attack $\log\text{AL}_p$ and MT_p using the techniques from both sides. To the author’s best knowledge, no such connection exists in characteristic 0.

The results in category (b) are obtained by exploiting $\text{MT}_p \Rightarrow \log\text{AL}_p$.

The results in category (c) are obtained by exploiting $\log\text{AL}_p \Rightarrow \text{MT}_p$.

1.1.2. *Main results in category (b).* Let’s call the classical Mumford–Tate conjecture over number field MT_0 . With some effort, many classical techniques for MT_0 can be adapted to char p . Our guideline is the following

Expectation: whenever a case of MT_0 is known, a char p variant can be proved.

Theorem 1.9 (= Theorem 5.1). *Let A_1, A_2 be ordinary abelian varieties over a function field k/\mathbb{F}_q . Suppose that MT_p holds for A_1 and A_2 , then it holds for $A_1 \times A_2$.*

This is a mod p analogue of a theorem by Commelin; cf. [Com19a]. We’ve also made successful adaption of multiple results from [Tan83, MZ95, Pin98]:

Theorem 1.10 (=Theorem 5.2+Theorem 5.3+Theorem 5.4). *Let A be an ordinary abelian variety over a function field k/\mathbb{F}_q . Then MT_p holds for A , if*

- (1) *A is of Pink type, see Definition 1.13,*
- (2) *A is geometrically simple of prime dimension,*
- (3) *A is an abelian fourfold **not** of p -adic Mumford type, see Definition 1.14.*

Besides all that has been said, the mod p adaption truly shines when we apply it, via Theorem 1.7, to the realm of unlikely intersections:

Theorem 1.11 (= Corollary 5.5). *Suppose $f : X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_1,\mathbb{F}} \times \mathcal{A}_{g_2,\mathbb{F}} \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_{g_n,\mathbb{F}}$ is a locally closed immersion into the ordinary locus. If $\log\text{AL}_p$ holds for each factor $f_i : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_i,\mathbb{F}}$, then $\log\text{AL}_p$ and geoAO_p hold for X .*

Note that $\log\text{AL}_p$ holds trivially if f_i dominates a special subvariety of $\mathcal{A}_{g_i,\mathbb{F}}$.

Theorem 1.12 (= Corollary 5.6). *Suppose $f : X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$ is a locally closed immersion into the ordinary locus. If $g \leq 5$, then geoAO_p holds for X .*

²Note that how restrictive it is for being non-formally generic: The tangent space $T_x\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$ is canonically the tangent space of a formal torus, so the tangent space of any proper formal subtorus is contained in a union of $\#\mathbb{P}^g(\mathbb{F}_p) = \frac{p^{g+1}-1}{p-1}$ fixed codimension 1 subspaces of $T_x\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$. If X is smooth at x and is not formally generic, then T_xX must be contained in one of the finitely many fixed subspaces. Using a Bertini type argument, one can easily construct an abundance of formally generic subvarieties, and show that almost all subvarieties of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$ are formally generic.

It is also possible to generalize the theorem to $g > 5$, if one requires that $\dim X$ is not too small.

Finally, we give the definition of abelian varieties of Pink type and Mumford type. Let A be an abelian variety over a field k finite generated over \mathbb{Q} or \mathbb{F}_p . If $\text{char } k = p$, we further assume that A is ordinary.

Definition 1.13 (Pink type). We say that A is of **Pink type**, if $\text{End}(A_{\bar{k}}) = \mathbb{Z}$, and one of the following two conditions hold:

- (P_1) $2 \dim A$ is not a r -th power of an integer for $r > 1$, nor of the form $\binom{2r}{r}$ for some odd $r > 1$.
- (P_2) $G_B(A)$ has Lie type A_{2s-1} for $s \geq 1$ or B_r for $r \geq 1$.

Definition 1.14 (Mumford type). We say that an abelian fourfold A is of **Mumford type**, if $(G_B(A)_{\mathbb{C}}^{\text{o,der}}, \rho_B)$ is the tensor product of three copies of standard representation of $\text{SL}_{2,\mathbb{C}}$. Similarly, it is of **p -adic Mumford type**, if $(G_p(A)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}^{\text{o,der}}, \rho_p)$ is the tensor product of three copies of standard representation of $\text{SL}_{2,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p}$.

1.1.3. *Main results in category (c).* The existing techniques for MT_0 have obvious limitation, and the conjecture is still open even for abelian fourfolds of p -adic Mumford type. If we only attack MT_p by adapting char 0 methods, we can go no further than our ancestors. The results we present in this section are built on new ideas. We will see that, in contrast to its char 0 counterpart, MT_p for p -adic Mumford type abelian fourfolds is indeed within reach.

Let A be an abelian fourfold over a function field k/\mathbb{F}_q . Without loss of generality, we can assume that k is a global field.

Theorem 1.15 (= Corollary 6.2). *Suppose that A is of p -adic Mumford type, has unramified p -adic monodromy $G_p(A)$ and everywhere potentially good reduction³, then MT_p holds for A .*

To the author's best knowledge, no result analogous to this is known for MT_0 .

For the proof strategy: Theorem 1.15 reduces to $\log\text{AL}_p$ and, (with some more effort) further reduces to the Tate-linear conjecture for Tate-linear curves in $\mathcal{A}_{4,\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$. This enables us to conclude Theorem 1.15 as the $g = 4$ case of the following result on the Tate-linear conjecture:

Theorem 1.16 (=Theorem 6.1). *Suppose that $f : T \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$ is a proper Tate-linear curve with unramified p -adic monodromy $G_p(f)$. Then Tl_p holds for T .*

We will discuss the strategy for Theorem 1.16 in detail in the next section. The technical assumption “everywhere potentially good reduction” is likely not a serious one: it is possible to have it removed via log geometry. The condition “unramified” is crucial to our treatment.

Remark 1.17. It seems that MT_p is more approachable than MT_0 . It is reasonable to expect that the solution of MT_0 secretly relies on MT_p – similar to other well-known stories.

Remark 1.18. Markman has recently claimed the Hodge conjecture for abelian fourfolds; cf. [Mar25]. One can ask if the Tate conjecture for abelian fourfolds (over all characteristics) also follows. The answer is **yes**. It may be surprising that we don't need the Mumford–Tate conjecture for *all* abelian fourfolds. If A is of p -adic Mumford type, then the validity of the Tate conjecture can be checked by hand, cf. [MZ95, §4.1]. If A is not of p -adic Mumford type, then we combine the Hodge conjecture with the Mumford–Tate result [MZ95] in char 0, and with Theorem 1.10 in char p .

³Note that abelian fourfolds satisfying these conditions do exist: one can find an example by taking the reduction of a suitable Mumford curve. In addition, if MT_p holds, then all p -adic Mumford type abelian fourfolds must have everywhere potentially good reduction (since Mumford curves are compact).

1.2. Tate-linear subvarieties and the crystalline Hodge loci. Our treatment of the Tate-linear conjecture (Theorem 1.16) involves the study of a new type of geometric objects, called the **Tate-linear loci**, which is a crystalline analogue of the Hodge loci (there is a relevant and more general construction that we termed as **crystalline Hodge loci**, see Remark 1.19).

1.2.1. Tate-linear loci. Let \mathcal{A}_g^Σ be a fixed integral toroidal compactification. Suppose that $T \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}^\Sigma$ is a closed, generically ordinary Tate-linear subvariety. Let $T^\circ \subseteq T$ be its smooth ordinary locus. Using global canonical coordinates, Chai proved that one can canonically lift T° to a formally linear formal subscheme $\widetilde{T}^\circ \subseteq (\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^\Sigma)^{\wedge p}$ ([Cha03, Proposition 5.5]). Chai then asked if \widetilde{T}° can be extended to a closed formal subscheme of $(\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^\Sigma)^{\wedge p}$ (*loc.cit* Remark 7.2.1, we slightly generalize the setting here). If this is true, then \widetilde{T}° is algebraic by Grothendieck’s GFGA, and TL_p for T follows from Moonen’s result [Moo98b, Theorem 4.5].

Unfortunately, Chai’s canonical lifting method does not extend to whole T : the variety T itself may contain non-smooth, non-ordinary, and bad reduction points, where the theory of canonical coordinates may not be effective. This is a key obstruction to the approach of Conjecture 1.4 via lifting techniques. Our construction of the Tate-linear loci is a partial remedy of this. In the following we give the construction in a special case. We will write \mathbb{H}_{dR} for the first relative de Rham cohomology on \mathcal{A}_g and write $\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{cris}}$ for the first relative crystalline cohomology on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}_p}$.

Construction 1 (Tate-linear loci). Let $T \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$ be a smooth but not necessarily proper Tate-linear subvariety and let $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/T}$ be the formal completion of $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}$ along T . The tubular neighborhood of T in $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{\wedge p}$, denoted by $]T[$, is the rigid generic fiber $(\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/T})^{\mathrm{rig}}$. From the work of Berthelot and Ogus; cf. [Ber86] and [Ogu84], we can canonically identify the convergent F -isocrystal $\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{cris},\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}}$ with the de Rham bundle $\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{dR},(\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{\wedge p})^{\mathrm{rig}}}$, together with a Frobenius structure. Note that $\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{dR},(\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{\wedge p})^{\mathrm{rig}}}$ is further equipped with a two-step descending Hodge filtration Fil^\bullet . Via pullback to $]T[$, we can canonically identify the convergent F -isocrystal $\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{cris},T}$ as the de Rham bundle $\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{dR},]T[}$, together with a Frobenius structure. The bundle $\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{dR},]T[}$ is again equipped with the pullback Hodge filtration Fil^\bullet . Now consider a finite collection of crystalline tensors $\{s_\alpha\}$ cutting out the overconvergent monodromy group $G(\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{cris},T})^\circ$. Each s_α gives rise to a “multi-valued horizontal section” s_α^{an} of an element $\mathbb{M}_\alpha \in \mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{dR},]T[}^\otimes$ (which is a filtered analytic vector bundle with connection on $]T[$, with analytic subbundle $\mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathbb{M}_\alpha$), which becomes an actual horizontal section of \mathbb{M}_α over a finite étale cover $\pi : \mathfrak{V} \rightarrow]T[$ ⁴. Consider the locus $\mathfrak{J}_\alpha \subseteq]T[$ over which $s_\alpha^{\mathrm{an}} \in \mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathbb{M}_\alpha$, and take $\mathfrak{T} = \bigcap_\alpha \mathfrak{J}_\alpha$. This is a locally Noetherian Zariski closed analytic subspace of $]T[$. The locus \mathfrak{T} is independent of the choice made, and is called the **Tate-linear locus** associated to T . Any irreducible component $\mathfrak{T}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{T}$ in the sense of [Con99] is called an irreducible Tate-linear locus associated to T .

The construction is the most interesting when T contains non-ordinary points (e.g., T is proper). However, even when T lies completely in the ordinary stratum, the locus \mathfrak{T} contains information about the non-ordinary and boundary loci of the closure $T^{\mathrm{cl}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}^\Sigma$: indeed, \mathfrak{T} is “overconvergent” in nature, and extends to a strict neighborhood of $]T[$ in $]T^{\mathrm{cl}}[$. One key fact (Corollary 6.9) is that there is a unique irreducible Tate-linear locus $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{can}}$ with the property that

$$(1.2.1) \quad \mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{can}} \cap]T^\circ[\text{ contains } (\widetilde{T}^\circ)^{\mathrm{rig}} \text{ as an irreducible component.}$$

The proof of this fact essentially relies on Crew’s parabolicity conjecture (now a theorem of D’Addezio), which is not available until recently. Thanks to this, we can extend Chai’s Tate-linear canonical

⁴An explicit construction of the étale cover is as follows: Let $f : X \rightarrow T$ be a connected finite étale cover so that $G(\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{cris},X}) = G(\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{cris},T})^\circ$. Since taking formal thickening has no effect on the étale site, there is a unique formal scheme étale over $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/T}$, with special fiber X . We will denote this formal scheme by $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/X}$. Let $]X[$ be the rigid generic fiber of $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/X}$. Take π to be $]X[\rightarrow]T[$.

lifting towards non-ordinary loci, and we have

Observation: If $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$ is algebraizable (i.e., is a component of the restriction of the analytification of an algebraic subvariety of $\mathcal{A}_{g,K}$ to $]T[$), then TL_p holds for T ; cf. Lemma 6.10.

Remark 1.19. Construction 1 immediately generalizes to the setting where T is smooth but not Tate-linear. And we again call $\mathfrak{T} \subseteq]T[$ that arises from the construction the **crystalline Hodge loci** associated to T . As compared to the classical Hodge loci, crystalline Hodge loci are less global: they only live in the tubular neighborhood of T . Nevertheless, we (conjecturally) expect them to be algebraizable: more precisely, an irreducible crystalline Hodge locus is (a component of) the intersection of $]T[$ with the analytification of a special subvariety. Using an argument similar to Lemma 6.10, it is not hard to see that the algebraicity conjecture for crystalline Hodge loci associated to T is equivalent to logAL_p for T .

1.2.2. *Geometry of the Tate-linear loci.* The algebraization problem of a Tate-linear locus is closely related to its geometry.

How can one understand the geometry of $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$? Let's take a pointwise scrutiny: in the residue disk of an ordinary \mathbb{F} -point x , it is possible to show that $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$ is a union of the rigid generic fibers of torsion translates of subtori of the formal torus $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/x}$; cf. Theorem 6.8; however, in the residue disk of a non-ordinary point, it can be much wilder. Besides all that has been said, it is a natural idea to impose *integrality conditions* on the p -adic monodromy of T (e.g., being “unramified” as in Theorem 1.16) in order to tame the behavior of $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$, especially in the residue disk of a non-ordinary point.

Indeed, the connection between the integrality of the p -adic monodromy and the geometry of $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$ is analogous to the connection between the hyperspecial condition of the level group and the geometry of an integral Shimura variety. In [Kis10], Kisin studies the local geometry of a certain integral Shimura subvariety $\mathcal{S}_W \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,W}$ with hyperspecial level structure. In the formal neighborhood of an arbitrary \mathbb{F} -point $x \in \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$, there is a type of **Lie theoretic coordinates** (in contrast to the canonical coordinates) that comes from the explicit deformation of p -divisible groups with Tate classes (cf. [Fal99, §7], [Moo98c, §4] and [Kis10, §1.4–§1.5]). Using Breuil–Kisin modules, Kisin is able to show that $\mathcal{S}_W^{/x}$ is a union of *linear subspaces* in the Lie theoretic coordinates. In particular, \mathcal{S}_W is smooth up to a normalization.

We briefly explain how this inspires a strategy to tackle the algebraization problem for $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$, with a view towards the proof of Theorem 1.16.

First, we show that if the p -adic monodromy of T is unramified, then (up to replacing T by a Hecke translate) the canonical Tate-linear locus $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$ is *linear* in the Lie theoretic coordinates in the residue disk of every point of $x \in T(\mathbb{F})$. Needless to say, Breuil–Kisin theory plays a key role in establishing the linearity. However, a major difference is that Kisin starts with a collection of p -adic étale cycles (arising from Hodge cycles) with good integral behavior, which he can feed in the Breuil–Kisin theory, while in our case we only have a collection of crystalline cycles with good integral behavior. The particular definition of $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$, however, equips us with a collection of de Rham cycles compatible with the crystalline ones. To obtain p -adic étale cycles with good integral behavior, we have to apply more recent development on (relative) integral p -adic Hodge theory, e.g., [DLMS24]. Of course, [DLMS24] only applies to semistable formal schemes, while we do not *a priori* know that $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$ admits a nice formal model. This is where lots of technicalities from p -adic geometry kick in: splitting into pieces, normalizing, throwing out singular loci, using Raynaud's theory to find a formal model, formally altering the model (cf. [Tem17]), etc.

After one shows that $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$ is linear in the residue disk of every point of $x \in T(\mathbb{F})$, the strategy is to show that $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$ is contained in a union of finitely many tubes of smaller radius (i.e., tubes of the form $]U[_{<1-\epsilon}$, where $U \subseteq T$ is Zariski open). When T is proper, this condition implies that $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$

is Zariski closed in $(\mathcal{A}_{g,K}^\Sigma)^{\text{an}}$: a situation that enables us to apply rigid GAGA. To avoid too much technicality, we narrow ourselves down to the $\dim T = 1$ case, where the proof is relatively clean. This essentially proves Theorem 1.16. In future works, we will come back and extend these results to full generality.

1.3. Organization of the paper. Section 2 is devoted for background knowledge and convention setup. We prove Theorem 1.7 in section 3 and section 4. The main results in category (b) will be proved in section 5, and the main results in category (c) will be proved in section 6.

Acknowledgements. The author thanks Brian Conrad, Haoyang Guo, Jiaqi Hou, Zeyu Liu, Martin Olsson, Abhishek Oswal, Ananth Shankar, Sug Woo Shin, Yunqing Tang, Ziquan Yang and Alex Youcis for valuable discussions. The author also thanks Hanson Hao for bringing him happiness when writing up the paper.

2. PRELIMINARIES

We review basic notions of Shimura varieties, local coordinates on Shimura varieties, coefficient objects, the mod p Mumford–Tate conjecture and cycle conjectures.

2.1. Shimura varieties of Hodge type and special subvarieties. Let H be a self-dual symplectic $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -lattice. One can form a Siegel Shimura datum $(\text{GSp}(H_\mathbb{Q}), \mathfrak{H}^\pm)$ with reflex field \mathbb{Q} . Let $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{K}_p \mathbb{K}^p$ be a hyperspecial level structure such that $\mathbb{K}_p = \text{GSp}(H)(\mathbb{Z}_p)$, $\mathbb{K}^p \subseteq \text{GSp}(H_\mathbb{Q})(\mathbb{A}_f^p)$ compact open, and \mathbb{K} leaves $H_\mathbb{Z}$ stable. For \mathbb{K}' sufficiently small, we get a smooth variety $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K}} := \text{Sh}_{\mathbb{K}}(\text{GSp}(H_\mathbb{Q}), \mathfrak{H}^\pm)$ over \mathbb{Q} , called the Siegel modular variety, which admits an integral canonical model $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K}}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ ([Mil92, Theorem 2.10]).

When \mathbb{K} is sufficiently small, H gives rise to a universal abelian scheme over $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K}}$ (up to prime-to- p isogeny), whose first $\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}$ -Betti cohomology is the local system induced by H . The abelian scheme can be extended to $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K}}$, and we denote by $A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K}}$ the universal abelian scheme over $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K}}$. Let $\mathbf{H}_B, \mathbf{H}_{\text{dR}}, \mathbf{H}_{l,\text{ét}}$ be the integral Betti, de Rham, l -adic étale ($l \neq p$) relative first cohomology of $A \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K}}$, and let \mathbf{H}_{cris} be the first integral crystalline cohomology of $A_{\mathbb{F}_p} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K},\mathbb{F}_p}$. We also denote by $\mathbb{H}_B, \mathbb{H}_{\text{dR}}, \mathbb{H}_{l,\text{ét}}$ and \mathbb{H}_{cris} the rational cohomologies.

2.1.1. Special subvarieties and naïve integral models. A Shimura datum (G, \mathcal{D}) is of **Hodge type**, if there is a Siegel Shimura datum $(\text{GSp}(H_\mathbb{Q}), \mathfrak{H}^\pm)$, and an embedding of Shimura data $\iota : (G, \mathcal{D}) \hookrightarrow (\text{GSp}(H_\mathbb{Q}), \mathfrak{H}^\pm)$. Let E be the reflex field of (G, \mathcal{D}) . For a suitable level structure $\mathbf{K} \subseteq G(\mathbb{A}_f)$ such that $\iota(\mathbf{K}) \subseteq \mathbb{K}$, we get a morphism of Shimura varieties.

$$(2.1.1) \quad \text{Sh}_{\iota, \mathbf{K}, \mathbb{K}} : \text{Sh}_{\mathbf{K}}(G, \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K},E}$$

which is an embedding for suitable choice of \mathbf{K} and \mathbb{K} .

A **special subvariety of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K}}$ of Hodge type** is (a component of) the Hecke translate of the image of a map of the form (2.1.1). There is also a notion of **weakly special subvariety** which is, roughly speaking, the product of a special subvariety with a possibly non-CM point. This notion will not be used elsewhere in the paper. We refer the readers to [Moo98a, Definition 2.5], [Moo98b, §1] for more details.

Now let's assume that \mathbb{K} is a sufficiently small hyperspecial level structure, so we have an ambient integral canonical model $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K}}$. Let k be either a number field or $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, and let \mathfrak{p} be a prime of \mathcal{O}_k that lies above p . Let $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K},k}$ be a special subvariety. The **naïve integral model** of \mathcal{X} is the Zariski closure of \mathcal{X} inside $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K},\mathcal{O}_{k,(\mathfrak{p})}}$, denoted by \mathcal{X} . There is a notion of universal abelian scheme and various coefficient sheaves \mathbf{H}_\bullet over \mathcal{X} with respect to the embedding. They are just the pullback of the corresponding objects from $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K}}$.

2.1.2. *Special subvarieties in the mod p fiber.* Consider an ambient integral canonical model $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K}}$. Let $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K},k}$ be a special subvariety. The **ordinary naïve integral model** of \mathcal{X} is the ordinary locus of the mod p reduction of the naïve integral model \mathcal{X} , denoted by \mathcal{X}^{ord} .

A generically ordinary (irreducible locally closed) subvariety $X \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K},\mathbb{F}}$ is called **special**, if the Zariski closure of X is an irreducible component of the mod p fiber of the naïve integral model of a special subvariety.

We also have the notion of **quasi-weakly special subvariety**; cf. [Jia24]. Roughly speaking, a generically ordinary (irreducible locally closed) subvariety $X \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K},\mathbb{F}}$ is called quasi-weakly special, if there is a special subvariety $Y \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K},\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$ which splits as an almost product of two special subvarieties Y_1 and Y_2 with $\dim Y_1 > 0$, such that X^{ord} is Zariski dense in the almost product of Y_1 with a possibly non-special subvariety $X' \subseteq Y_2$. The precise definition is more technical, and won't be used in a serious manner, so we refer the readers to [Jia24].

2.2. **Local coordinates on mixed characteristic Shimura varieties.** Fix an ambient integral Siegel modular variety $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K}}$ with hyperspecial level structure. We will work over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q . Let $W = W(\mathbb{F}_q)$.

2.2.1. *Canonical coordinates.* Let x be an ordinary \mathbb{F}_q -point of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K},\mathbb{F}_p}$. We briefly review the theory of canonical coordinates; cf. [Kat79]. Let $\mathcal{G}_0 = A_x[p^\infty]$ and let $\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{G}_0^{\text{loc}}$ be the étale and local part of \mathcal{G}_0 . Let $M_0 = \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{G}_0)(W)$. Then M_0 canonically admits a \mathbb{Z}_p -sublattice

$$\Gamma_0 = \{v \in M_0 \mid (F - p^i)v, i \in \{0, 1\}\} \subseteq M_0$$

such that $\Gamma_0 \otimes W = M_0$. We have an canonical isomorphism $\Gamma_0 \simeq X^*(\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{loc}}) \oplus T_p(\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{ét}})^\vee$, where the symbols X^* and T_p stand for the character lattice and the p -adic Tate module. One can define a canonical cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{GL}(\Gamma_0)$ inducing the splitting of Γ_0 and is of weight 1 on $X^*(\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{loc}})$ and weight 0 on $T_p(\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{ét}})^\vee$. By a slight abuse of notation, we also write $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{GL}(M_0)$ for the obvious scalar extension of μ to W . Via Grothendieck–Messing theory, μ is the Hodge cocharacter of the canonical lift of \mathcal{G}_0 , and will be called the **canonical Hodge cocharacter**.

Let $U_{\text{GL}(\Gamma_0), \mu^{-1}}$ be the opposite unipotent of μ in $\text{GL}(\Gamma_0)$. The theory of canonical coordinates asserts that the formal deformation space $\text{Def}(\mathcal{G}_0/W)$ canonically admits the structure of a formal torus:

$$\text{Def}(\mathcal{G}_0/W) \simeq \text{Lie}U_{\text{GL}(\Gamma_0), \mu^{-1}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{G}_{m,W}^\wedge,$$

where $\mathbb{G}_{m,W}^\wedge$ is the formal torus representing the constant multiplicative p -divisible group $\mu_{p^\infty, W}$. We also have a canonical identification $\text{Lie}U_{\text{GL}(\Gamma_0), \mu^{-1}} = X_*(\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{loc}}) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} T_p(\mathcal{G}_0^{\text{ét}})^\vee$.

Definition 2.1. A formal subscheme $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \text{Def}(\mathcal{G}_0/W)$ is called **linear** (resp. **quasi-linear**) in the canonical coordinates, if it is a formal subtorus (resp. if $\mathcal{T}_{\overline{W}} \subseteq \text{Def}(\mathcal{G}_0/W)_{\overline{W}}$ is a finite union of translates of formal subtori by torsion points).

For example, the formal deformation space $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K},W}^{/x} \subseteq \text{Def}(\mathcal{G}_0/W)$ is linear in the canonical coordinates: it can be identified with $\text{Lie}U_{\mathbb{K}_p, \mu^{-1}} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{G}_{m,W}^\wedge$, where $U_{\mathbb{K}_p, \mu^{-1}} \subseteq U_{\text{GL}(\Gamma_0), \mu^{-1}}$ is the opposite unipotent of μ in the level group \mathbb{K}_p . In general, formal completions of special varieties in $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K},W}$ at an ordinary \mathbb{F}_q -point are quasi-linear:

Theorem 2.2 ([Noo96, Theorem 3.7]). *Let $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K},W}$ be the naïve integral model of a special subvariety. Then $\mathcal{X}_W^{/x} \subseteq \text{Def}(\mathcal{G}_0/W)$ is quasi-linear.*

2.2.2. *Lie theoretic coordinates.* Let x be an \mathbb{F}_q -point of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{K},\mathbb{F}_p}$. When x is not ordinary, the theory of canonical coordinates is no longer valid. However, we have another type of coordinates, which is less canonical, but serves our purpose well in most of situations. We briefly review the constructions in [Kis10, §1.5]. Let $\mathcal{G}_0 = A_x[p^\infty]$ and let $M_0 = \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{G}_0)(W)$. Let μ be a cocharacter of $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}(M_0)$ whose mod p reduction gives rise to the Hodge filtration of $M_{0,\mathbb{F}_q} = \mathbb{D}(\mathcal{G}_0)(\mathbb{F}_q)$. By Grothendieck–Messing theory, any such μ is the Hodge cocharacter of a lift of \mathcal{G}_0 to W . When x is not ordinary, there is in general no canonical choice of μ .

Let $U_{\mathrm{GL}(M_0),\mu^{-1}}$ be the opposite unipotent of $\mathrm{GL}(M_0)$ defined by μ . Then by $\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{G}_0/W)$ can be identified with the completion of $U_{\mathrm{GL}(M_0),\mu^{-1}}$ at its (mod p) identity section. This gives a system of coordinates on $\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{G}_0/W)$. We will call it the **Lie theoretic coordinates**. When x is ordinary, one can explicitly write down a coordinate change from the Lie theoretic coordinates to the canonical coordinates using the Artin–Hasse exponential; cf. [DI81, Theorem 1.4.2].

Definition 2.3. A formal subscheme $\mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{G}_0/W)$ is called **linear** in the Lie theoretic coordinates, if it is the formal completion of a W -subgroup scheme of $U_{\mathrm{GL}(M_0),\mu^{-1}}$ at the identity section.

Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(M_0)$ be a connected reductive subgroup defined by a family of φ -invariant tensors $\{s_\alpha\} \subseteq (M_0[\frac{1}{p}])^\otimes$ such that the filtration on M_{0,\mathbb{F}_q} is $G \otimes_W \mathbb{F}_q$ -split⁵; cf. [Kis10, §1.5.4]. There exists a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$ whose mod p reduction is the cocharacter inducing the filtration on M_{0,\mathbb{F}_q} . Let $U_{G,\mu^{-1}} \subseteq G$ be the opposite unipotent defined by μ , and let R_G be the complete local ring at the (mod p) identity section of $U_{G,\mu^{-1}}$. Then $\mathrm{Spf} R_G$ is a linear formal subscheme of $\mathrm{Def}(\mathcal{G}_0/W)$ in the Lie theoretic coordinates. We will leave the readers to check [Kis10, §1.5.4] on the construction of a crystal $M_{R_G} := M_0 \otimes_W R_G$ over R_G .

Let K' be a finite extension of $K = W[\frac{1}{p}]$ and let $\varpi : R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ be a continuous map of W -algebras and denote by \mathcal{G}_ϖ the induced p -divisible group over K' . Using Breuil–Kisin modules, Kisin is able to characterize when the map ϖ factors through R_G ; cf. [Kis10, Proposition 1.5.8]. For our purpose, we will need the following

Theorem 2.4 (Kisin). *Suppose that $p > 2$. Let $\varpi : R \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{K'}$ and \mathcal{G}_ϖ be as above. Let $L = T_p \mathcal{G}_\varpi^*$ and suppose that there exists a family of $\mathrm{Gal}(K')$ -invariant tensors $\{s_{\alpha,\mathrm{ét}}\} \subseteq (L[\frac{1}{p}])^\otimes$ defining a connected reductive subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(L)$. Suppose that under the p -adic comparison isomorphism $L \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} B_{\mathrm{cris}} \simeq M_0 \otimes_W B_{\mathrm{cris}}$ ⁶, $\{s_{\alpha,\mathrm{ét}}\}$ maps to $\{s_\alpha\} \subseteq (M_0[\frac{1}{p}])^\otimes$. Then $\{s_\alpha\}$ cuts out a connected reductive subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(M_0)$, and ϖ factors through R_G .*

Proof. Scaling the tensors by p -power does not affect the group scheme cut out by them. So possible up to a scaling we can assume that $\{s_{\alpha,\mathrm{ét}}\} \subseteq L^\otimes$. Now the fact that $\{s_\alpha\}$ cuts out a connected reductive subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(M_0)$ follows from [Kis10, Corollary 1.4.3 (3)], and ϖ factors through R_G is a consequence of [Kis10, Corollary 1.5.11]. \square

2.3. Isocrystals and their monodromy. We will use the following convention: X_0 is a geometric connected smooth variety over a finite field \mathbb{F}_q , $X = (X_0)_\mathbb{F}$, and x is an \mathbb{F} -point of X_0 . Let $W_0 = W(\mathbb{F}_q)$, $W = W(\mathbb{F})$ and $K = W[\frac{1}{p}]$.

⁵In [Kis10, §1.5.4], Kisin requires that the tensors $\{s_\alpha\}$ lie in M_0^\otimes . The construction remains unchanged even if we start by $\{s_\alpha\} \subseteq (M_0[\frac{1}{p}])^\otimes$, since we can scale each s_α so that it lies in M_0^\otimes , and scaling does not affect the reductive group G cut out by the tensors.

⁶Let k' be the residue field of K' , which is a finite extension of \mathbb{F}_q . Strictly speaking, M_0 here is $\mathbb{D}(\mathcal{G}_{0,k'})(W(k'))$. But let's follow Kisin's work and abuse the notation.

2.3.1. *Convergent isocrystals.* Convergent isocrystals on X_0 can be defined as sheaves on the convergent site by Ogus [Ogu84], or as modules with convergent connection on rigid tubular neighborhoods by Berthelot [Ber86]. The two definitions are from the two perspectives of formal and rigid analytic geometry, respectively, but are equivalent. We will very briefly review the constructions.

First we review the site theoretic definition. Mimicking the construction of the crystalline site $(X_0/W_0)_{\text{cris}}$, Ogus constructed the so called convergent site $(X_0/W_0)_{\text{conv}}$. An object of $(X_0/W_0)_{\text{conv}}$ is called an *enlargement*, which is a certain thickening datum, analogous to the notion of PD thickening in $(X_0/W_0)_{\text{cris}}$. The key difference is that one does not require the existence of a PD structure in the definition of an enlargement. One then defines a **convergent crystal** as a certain pullback-invariant locally free sheave on $(X_0/W_0)_{\text{conv}}$. One can also make sense of a **convergent F -crystal** as a convergent crystal with a Frobenius structure compatible with the absolute Frobenius on X_0 . A convergent crystal gives rise to a crystal in $(X_0/W_0)_{\text{cris}}$ by restricting to the subcategory of *p -adic enlargements*. Conversely, an F -crystal in $(X_0/W_0)_{\text{cris}}$ upgrades to a convergent F -crystal, essentially by Dwork's trick. The isogeny category of convergent crystals on X_0 will be denoted by $\mathbf{Isoc}(X_0)$. An element in $\mathbf{Isoc}(X_0)$ is called an **isocrystal**. Similarly, the isogeny category of convergent F -crystals on X_0 will be denoted by $\mathbf{F-Isoc}(X_0)$. An element in $\mathbf{F-Isoc}(X_0)$ is called an **F -isocrystal**.

Now we review the rigid analytic definition. Suppose that X_0 admits an embedding X_0 into a smooth p -adic formal scheme \mathcal{P}_0 over W_0 . The rigid tubular neighborhood of X_0 in \mathcal{P}_0 is denoted by $]X_0[_{\mathcal{P}_0}$ (when the context is clear, we often omit \mathcal{P}_0 from the subscript). Berthelot defines the category $\mathbf{Isoc}(X_0)$ of convergent isocrystals as the category of bundles with convergent connections over $]X_0[_$. The definition does not depend on the choice of \mathcal{P}_0 . In general, even if X_0 does not admit an embedding $X_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{P}_0$, one can still cover it by Zariski open where such embedding exists, and glue the category $\mathbf{Isoc}(X_0)$ from local. It can be shown that $\mathbf{Isoc}(X_0)$ is independent of the choices made (i.e., the embedding and the covering), and is equivalent to the site theoretical definition by Ogus. One can also define the category $\mathbf{F-Isoc}(X_0)$ as isocrystals with a certain Frobenius structure.

2.3.2. *Overconvergent isocrystals.* There is another notion, called the **overconvergent isocrystals**, which can only be described using analytic geometry. Suppose that X_0 admits a compactification Y_0 , and Y_0 admits an embedding into a p -adic formal scheme \mathcal{P}_0 over W_0 , which is smooth along X_0 . Then an isocrystal M over $]X_0[_$ is said to be **overconvergent** if, roughly speaking, it extends to a strict neighborhood of $]X_0[_$ in $]Y_0[_$. In general, the category of overconvergent isocrystals is independent of Y_0 , \mathcal{P}_0 , and can be glued from local. The category of overconvergent isocrystals over X_0 is denoted by $\mathbf{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0)$. Finally, the category of **overconvergent F -isocrystals** consists of overconvergent isocrystals with a certain Frobenius structure, and is denoted by $\mathbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(X_0)$. There are obvious forgetful maps $\text{Fgt} : \mathbf{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{Isoc}(X_0)$ and $\text{Fgt} : \mathbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(X_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{F-Isoc}(X_0)$, the second one being fully faithful; cf. [Ked04]. In practice, relative crystalline cohomology of a smooth proper scheme $\pi : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow X_0$ gives rise to an object in $\mathbf{F-Isoc}^\dagger(X_0)$.

2.3.3. *Monodromy of convergent isocrystals.* As indicated in [Cre92], the category $\mathbf{Isoc}(X_0)$ and $\mathbf{F-Isoc}(X_0)$ are Tannkian, and we can attach monodromy group to (F) -isocrystals. Let $\mathbf{Isoc}(X_0)$ (resp. $\mathbf{F-Isoc}(X_0)$) be the \mathbb{Z}_q -linear (resp. \mathbb{Z}_p -linear) tensor abelian category of convergent isocrystals (resp. F -isocrystals) over X_0 . Consider an object \mathcal{M} in $\mathbf{Isoc}(X_0)$. We denote by $\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle^\otimes \subseteq \mathbf{Isoc}(X_0)$ the tensor abelian subcategory generated by \mathcal{M} , and let $\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle_K^\otimes$ be its scalar extension to K . Following [Cre92], we can define the following fiber functor

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_x^{\text{iso}} : \langle \mathcal{M} \rangle_K^\otimes &\rightarrow \text{Vect}_K \\ \mathcal{N} &\rightarrow \mathcal{N}_x. \end{aligned}$$

This realizes $\langle \mathcal{M} \rangle_K^\otimes$ as a K -linear neutral Tannakian category. The monodromy group of \mathcal{M} is defined to be the Tannakian fundamental group $\mathrm{Aut}^\otimes(\omega_x^{\mathrm{iso}}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(\omega_x^{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathcal{M}))$.

Suppose that \mathcal{M} is further equipped with a Frobenius structure, i.e., \mathcal{M} is an object of $\mathbf{F}\text{-}\mathbf{Isoc}(X_0)$. One can make sense of the monodromy group of \mathcal{M} via the following two slightly different methods.

- (1) The first method is to forget the F -structure, then take the monodromy group as the monodromy group $\mathrm{Aut}^\otimes(\omega_x^{\mathrm{iso}})$ of the underlying isocrystal.
- (2) The second method is to incorporate the F -structure into the fiber functor: Let $\langle (\mathcal{M}, F) \rangle^\otimes \subseteq \mathbf{F}\text{-}\mathbf{Isoc}(X_0)$ the tensor abelian subcategory generated by \mathcal{M} . Let e be the smallest positive integer such that the slopes of \mathcal{M}_x multiplied by e lie in \mathbb{Z} , and let $\langle (\mathcal{M}, F) \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^e}}^\otimes$ be the scalar extension of $\langle (\mathcal{M}, F) \rangle^\otimes$ to \mathbb{Q}_{p^e} . We define the Dieudonné–Manin fiber functor as

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_x^{\mathrm{DM}} : \langle (\mathcal{M}, F) \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^e}}^\otimes &\rightarrow \mathrm{Vect}_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^e}} \\ (\mathcal{N}, F) &\rightarrow \{v \in \mathcal{N}_x \mid \exists i \in \mathbb{Z}, (F_x^e - p^i)v = 0\}. \end{aligned}$$

This realizes $\langle (\mathcal{M}, F) \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^e}}^\otimes$ as a \mathbb{Q}_{p^e} -linear neutral Tannakian category. The monodromy group of \mathcal{M} is defined to be the Tannakian fundamental group $\mathrm{Aut}^\otimes(\omega_x^{\mathrm{DM}}) \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(\omega_x^{\mathrm{DM}}(\mathcal{M}))$.

For an $\mathcal{M} \in \mathbf{F}\text{-}\mathbf{Isoc}(X_0)$, we can make a canonical identification $\mathrm{GL}(\omega_x^{\mathrm{DM}}(\mathcal{M})) \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Q}_{p^e}} \mathrm{Spec} K = \mathrm{GL}(\omega_x^{\mathrm{iso}}(\mathcal{M}))$. Then $\mathrm{Aut}^\otimes(\omega_x^{\mathrm{DM}}) \times_{\mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{Q}_{p^e}} \mathrm{Spec} K$ identifies with $\mathrm{Aut}^\otimes(\omega_x^{\mathrm{iso}})$. If not otherwise specified, the monodromy group of \mathcal{M} obtained via the fiber functor ω_x^{DM} will be denoted by $G(\mathcal{M}, x)$. In some situations, we will also use $G(\mathcal{M}, x)$ to denote the monodromy group obtained from ω_x^{iso} .

2.3.4. Monodromy of overconvergent isocrystals. Let $\mathbf{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0)$ (resp. $\mathbf{F}\text{-}\mathbf{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0)$) be the \mathbb{Z}_q -linear (resp. \mathbb{Z}_p -linear) tensor abelian category of overconvergent isocrystals (resp. F -isocrystals) over X_0 . We will write Fgt for the forgetful map $\mathbf{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{Isoc}(X_0)$ or $\mathbf{F}\text{-}\mathbf{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-}\mathbf{Isoc}(X_0)$.

Let \mathcal{M}^\dagger be an object in $\mathbf{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0)$. The monodromy of \mathcal{M}^\dagger is defined as the Tannakian fundamental group of $\langle \mathcal{M}^\dagger \rangle_K^\otimes$ with fiber functor $\omega_x^{\mathrm{iso}} \circ \mathrm{Fgt}$. Similarly, if \mathcal{M}^\dagger is an object of $\mathbf{F}\text{-}\mathbf{Isoc}(X_0)$, one can make sense of the monodromy group of \mathcal{M}^\dagger via the following two slightly different methods. The first is to take the monodromy of the underlying overconvergent isocrystal. The second is to take the Tannakian fundamental group of $\langle \mathcal{M}^\dagger \rangle_{\mathbb{Q}_{p^e}}^\otimes$ with fiber functor $\omega_x^{\mathrm{DM}} \circ \mathrm{Fgt}$.

For an $\mathcal{M}^\dagger \in \mathbf{F}\text{-}\mathbf{Isoc}^\dagger(X_0)$, the two notions of monodromy groups coincide after base change to K . If not otherwise specified, the monodromy group of \mathcal{M}^\dagger obtained via the fiber functor $\omega_x^{\mathrm{DM}} \circ \mathrm{Fgt}$ will be denoted by $G(\mathcal{M}^\dagger, x)$. In some situations, we will also use $G(\mathcal{M}^\dagger, x)$ to denote the monodromy group obtained from $\omega_x^{\mathrm{iso}} \circ \mathrm{Fgt}$.

2.4. Betti, étale, and crystalline monodromy for mod p abelian schemes. In the following, we will always assume that the hyperspecial level structure of $\mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{K}}$ is sufficiently small, and we will drop it from the notation. Let \mathcal{A}_g be the canonical integral model. Let X be a smooth connected variety over \mathbb{F} and let

$$(2.4.1) \quad f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}$$

be a morphism whose image lies generically in the ordinary locus. We will fix an ordinary base point $x \in X(\mathbb{F})$. Let's denote by A_X the abelian scheme over X pulled back via the map f . We say that

$$(2.4.2) \quad f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}_q}$$

is a **finite field model** of f , if X_0/\mathbb{F}_q is a geometrically connected smooth variety with a map f_0 whose base change to \mathbb{F} is (2.4.1). Let's denote by A_{X_0} the abelian scheme over X_0 pulled back via the map f_0 .

Definition 2.5 (Betti monodromy; cf.[Jia24, §4]). Following [Jia24], we define $\text{MS}_f(\mathcal{A}_g)$ as the set of minimal irreducible special subvarieties of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ through whose naïve integral model the map f factors. It is known from [Jia24, Lemma 4.1] that any two element in $\text{MS}_f(\mathcal{A}_g)$ are p -power Hecke translates of each other. The **Mumford–Tate group (Betti monodromy group)** of A_X is defined to be the generic Mumford–Tate group of any element in $\text{MS}_f(\mathcal{A}_g)$, and is denote by $G_B(f)$.⁷ The group $G_B(f)$ is connected and reductive, and is equipped with a tautological representation ρ_B on the \mathbb{Q} -space $\mathbb{H}_{B,\tilde{x}_{\mathbb{C}}}$, where $\tilde{x}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the canonical lift of x , base changed to \mathbb{C} along the fixed map $W \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2.6 (Étale and overconvergent crystalline monodromy). Fix a finite field model (2.4.2). Let $l \neq p$, we write \mathbb{H}_{l,f_0} or \mathbb{H}_{l,X_0} for the pullback étale sheaf on X_0 via the map f_0 . We also write $\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},f_0}$ or $\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},X_0}$ for the pullback overconvergent F -isocrystal on X_0 via the map f_0 . To ease notation, we will often write them as \mathbb{H}_{p,f_0} or \mathbb{H}_{p,X_0} . Let $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$, an element \mathbb{H}_{u,f_0} is called a **u -adic coefficient object**.

The collection $\{\mathbb{H}_{l,f_0}\}_{l \neq p}$ is a **\mathbb{Q} -compatible system of coefficient objects** in the sense of [D'A20a]. The collection $\{\mathbb{H}_{u,f_0}\}_{u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})}$ is a **weakly \mathbb{Q} -compatible system of coefficient objects** in the sense of [Jia24, §3.4]. It can be upgraded to a \mathbb{Q} -compatible system if we replace the overconvergent F -isocrystal \mathbb{H}_{u,f_0} by an overconvergent F^a -isocrystal for a suitable $a \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $(G(\mathbb{H}_{u,f_0}, x), \rho_u)$ be the monodromy group of \mathbb{H}_{u,f_0} , together with its tautological representation. When $u \neq p$, this is just the étale monodromy group. When $u = p$, this is the monodromy group of the overconvergent F -isocrystal \mathbb{H}_{p,f_0} with respect to the fiber functor $\omega_x^{\text{DM}} \circ \text{Fgt}$; cf. §2.3.4. It is known that $G(\mathbb{H}_{u,f_0}, x)$ is a reductive \mathbb{Q}_u -group. When $u = l \neq p$ (resp. $u = p$), we will call it the **l -adic étale monodromy** (resp. **overconvergent crystalline monodromy**) of A_{X_0} . For convenience, we will usually just call it the **u -adic monodromy**. To ease notation, we will often denote $G(\mathbb{H}_{u,f_0}, x)$ simply by $G_u(f_0)$. It is known that the neutral component $G_u(f_0)^\circ$ together with ρ_u is “independent of u ” in the sense that it admits a rational model over a sufficiently large number field in the sense of [D'A20a, Theorem 1.2.4].

Definition 2.7 (Convergent crystalline monodromy). Let \mathbb{H}_{p,f_0}^- be the image of \mathbb{H}_{p,f_0} under the forgetful map $\text{Fgt} : \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}^\dagger(X_0) \rightarrow \mathbf{F}\text{-Isoc}(X_0)$. Let $(G(\mathbb{H}_{p,f_0}^-, x), \rho_p)$ be the monodromy of \mathbb{H}_{p,f_0}^- with respect to the fiber functor ω_x^{DM} ; cf. §2.3.3. This will be called the **convergent crystalline monodromy** of A_{X_0} .

Suppose that the image of f lies in the ordinary strata (so that \mathbb{H}_{p,f_0}^- admits a slope filtration), then $G(\mathbb{H}_{p,f_0}^-, x)$ is the parabolic of $G(\mathbb{H}_{p,f_0}, x)$ fixing the Newton cocharacter ν_x . This is a consequence of Crew's parabolicity conjecture, which is now a theorem of D'Addezio; cf. [D'A20b].

Notation 2.8 (Independence on the models). In practice, we often work with coefficient objects and their monodromy without referring to a specific finite field model. It is convenient to set up a model-free system of notation. Let f be as in (2.4.1), and let f_0 be a finite field model. For each u , the neutral component $G(\mathbb{H}_{u,f_0}, x)^\circ$ is independent of the finite field model chosen. When we vary the finite field models, there exist finite field models defined over certain sufficiently large \mathbb{F}_q such that $G(\mathbb{H}_{u,f_0}, x)$ is the smallest among all possible $G(\mathbb{H}_{u,f_0}, x)$ over all possible finite field models. We will write $\mathbb{H}_{u,X}$ or $\mathbb{H}_{u,f}$ for the coefficient objects over those finite field models, and write $G(\mathbb{H}_{u,f}, x)$ for the monodromy group. To ease notation, we will often denote $G(\mathbb{H}_{u,f}, x)$ simply

⁷In [Jia24], it is denoted by $\text{MT}(f)$.

by $G_u(f)$. Similarly, we will write $\mathbb{H}_{p,X}^-$ for the underlying convergent F -isocrystal of $\mathbb{H}_{p,X}$. We will denote $G(\mathbb{H}_{p,f}^-, x)$ by $G_p^-(f)$.

2.4.1. The mod p Mumford–Tate conjecture. Let f be as in (2.4.1), and let f_0 be a finite field model. For $l \neq p$, there is a canonical identification $\iota_l : \mathbb{H}_{B,\tilde{x}_C} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \simeq \mathbb{H}_{l,x}$. In the crystalline setting, we also have a canonical identification $\iota_p : \mathbb{H}_{B,\tilde{x}_C} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \simeq \omega_x^{\text{DM}}(\mathbb{H}_p)$; cf. [Jia24, §4.2]

Theorem 2.9 ([Jia24]). *Let $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$. After making identification ι_u as above, we have a canonical embedding $G_u(f)^\circ \subseteq G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_u}$ intertwining the tautological representations.*

The Mod p Mumford–Tate conjecture 1.2 (MT $_p$) claims that the above embedding is actually an equality. In what follows we summarize some results from [Jia24]. First, there are structural results parallel to the classical characteristic 0 setting:

Proposition 2.10 ([Jia24, Proposition 4.8]). *Let η be the generic point of X . Then for any $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$,*

$$\text{End}_{G_u(f)^\circ}(\rho_u) = \text{End}_{G_B(f)}(\rho_B)_{\mathbb{Q}_u} = \text{End}(A_X \times \bar{\eta})_{\mathbb{Q}_u}.$$

Proposition 2.11 ([Jia24, Proposition 4.9]). *Let $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$, then $Z^0 G_u(f) = Z^0 G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_u}$, where $Z^0 G$ stands for the neutral component of the center of G .*

There is another fundamental result relating the structure of $G_p(f)$ with the geometry of f in terms of the canonical coordinates on $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$, which does not admit a characteristic 0 analogue. Write μ_x for the canonical Hodge cocharacter of x . Let \mathcal{G}_0 be the p -divisible group $A_x[p^\infty]$. Let the notation be as in §2.2.1. By the theory of canonical coordinates, the formal deformation space $\text{Def}(\mathcal{G}_0/W)$ is canonically a formal torus with cocharacter lattice $\text{Lie}U_{\text{GL}(\Gamma_0),\mu^{-1}}$. On the other hand, we can canonically identify $\omega_x^{\text{DM}}(\mathbb{H}_p)$ with $\Gamma_0 \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$. Therefore there is a canonical identification

$$(2.4.3) \quad \kappa_x^{\text{DM}} : \text{Lie}U_{\text{GL}(\Gamma_0),\mu^{-1}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p \simeq \text{Lie}U_{\text{GL}(\omega_x^{\text{DM}}(\mathbb{H}_p)),\mu^{-1}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{T}_{f,x}$ be the smallest formal subtorus of $\text{Def}(\mathcal{G}_0/W) \otimes \mathbb{F}$ containing the image of $f^{/x} : X^{/x} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}^{/x} \subseteq \text{Def}(\mathcal{G}_0/W) \otimes \mathbb{F}$. Let $T_{f,x} \subseteq \text{Lie}U_{\text{GL}(\Gamma_0),\mu^{-1}} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p$ be the rational cocharacter lattice of $\mathcal{T}_{f,x}$.

Theorem 2.12 ([Jia24, Theorem 4.2]). *Notation as above. Suppose that the image of f lies in the ordinary locus, then*

- (1) $G_p^-(f)$ is the opposite parabolic of $G_p(f)$ with respect to μ_x .
- (2) Let $\text{gr}\mathbb{H}_{p,f}$ be the graded object of $\mathbb{H}_{p,f}^-$ with respect to the slope filtration, then $G(\text{gr}\mathbb{H}_{p,f}, x)$ is the canonical Levi of $G_p^-(f)$ fixing μ_x .
- (3) The Lie algebra of the opposite unipotent of $G_p^-(f)$ with respect to μ_x is canonically identified with $T_{f,x}$ under (2.4.3).

Finally, we record the following convenient technical lemma which enables us to freely shrink X , or replace f by a dominant cover:

Lemma 2.13. *Consider a dominant morphism $g : X' \rightarrow X$ containing x in the image, and let $x' \in X'(\mathbb{F})$ be a point with image x . Let $f' = f \circ g$. Consider the monodromy groups $G_B(f')$ and $G_u(f')$ with respect to x' . Then $G_B(f) = G_B(f')$ and $G_u(f)^\circ = G_u(f')^\circ$. Furthermore, there exists an étale cover g such that $G_u(f') = G_u(f)^\circ$ for all u .*

Proof. The claim for $G_B(f) = G_B(f')$ is clear from the definition. The claim for $G_u(f)^\circ = G_u(f')^\circ$ is [Jia24, Lemma 4.7]. The claim for $G_u(f') = G_u(f)^\circ$ is [Jia24, Lemma 3.4]. \square

2.5. Cycle conjectures. Let A/k be an abelian variety over a field finitely generated over a prime field. Let $l \neq \text{char } k$ be a prime. Following [Mil09], we call a $\gamma \in H_l^{2i}(A)(i) := H^{2i}(A_{\bar{k}}, \mathbb{Q}_l(i))$ a **Tate class**, if it is fixed by an open subgroup of $\text{Gal}(k^s/k)$. The set of Tate classes are denoted by $\mathcal{T}_l^i(A)$. It is clear that base changing A to a finite extension does not change $\mathcal{T}_l^i(A)$. It is also known that there exists a sufficiently large finite extension k'/k such that $\text{Gal}(k^s/k')$ fixes $\mathcal{T}_l^i(A)$ (In fact, one can take k' to be the extension such that the l -adic monodromy group $G_l(A_{k'})$ is connected).

In what follows, we will denote by $\text{Alg}_l^i(A)$ the image of the cycle class map

$$c : \text{CH}^i(A_{\bar{k}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow H_l^{2i}(A)(i).$$

An element of $\text{Alg}_l^i(A)$ will be called an **algebraic class**. It is known that $\text{Alg}_l^i(A)$ is a \mathbb{Q} -vector space that lies in $\mathcal{T}_l^i(A)$. The following is a version of the Tate conjecture:

Conjecture 2.14 (Tate conjecture). $\mathcal{T}_l^i(A)$ is the \mathbb{Q}_l -span of $\text{Alg}_l^i(A)$.

Lemma 2.15. *Let k be a number field, and let A/k be a CM abelian variety with ordinary reduction at a prime lying above p . Denote by A_0 the special fiber. Let $l \neq p$ be a prime. Assume Conjecture 2.14 for A . Then the natural specialization map $\text{Alg}_l^i(A) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_l^i(A_0)$ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. We briefly explain the map $\text{Alg}_l^i(A) \rightarrow \text{Alg}_l^i(A_0)$. We have the following commutative diagram

$$(2.5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \text{CH}^i(A_{\bar{k}})_{\mathbb{Q}} & \longrightarrow & \text{CH}^i(A_{0,\mathbb{F}})_{\mathbb{Q}} \\ \downarrow c & & \downarrow c_0 \\ H_l^{2i}(A)(i) & \longrightarrow & H_l^{2i}(A_0)(i) \end{array}$$

It is then clear that we have the desired map between algebraic classes.

The specialization map induces an isomorphism $H_l^1(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_l^1(A_0)$ under which the neutral component of the l -adic monodromy group $G_l(A)^\circ$ identifies with $G_l(A_0)^\circ$ (here we have used the fact that A is CM with ordinary reduction). It follows that the second row of (2.5.1) induces an isomorphism

$$T_l^i(A) \xrightarrow{\sim} T_l^i(A_0).$$

In particular, we can identify $\text{Alg}_l^i(A)$ as a \mathbb{Q} -subspace of $\text{Alg}_l^i(A_0)$.

Assume Conjecture 2.14 for A . Then $\text{Alg}_l^i(A_0)$ lies in the \mathbb{Q}_l -span of $\text{Alg}_l^i(A)$. On the other hand, specializing the algebraic cycles, we see that Conjecture 2.14 holds for A_0 as well. Applying [Mil09, Theorem 1.7] to the algebraic classes, we see that the natural map

$$\text{Alg}_l^i(A_0) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l \rightarrow T_l^i(A_0)$$

is an isomorphism. It follows that (1) $\dim_{\mathbb{Q}} \text{Alg}_l^i(A_0) < \infty$, and (2) $\text{Alg}_l^i(A_0) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l = \text{Alg}_l^i(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$. Counting dimension, we deduce that $\text{Alg}_l^i(A) = \text{Alg}_l^i(A_0)$. \square

Notation 2.16. In the following, let's assume that $\text{char } k = p$. Up to a finite extension, we will assume that A extends to an abelian scheme over a generically ordinary \mathbb{F}_q -variety X_0 , which corresponds to a map $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}_q}$. By abuse of notation, this abelian scheme will still be denoted by A . We denote by $G_B(A)$ and $G_u(A)$ its monodromy groups. Let x_0 be an ordinary \mathbb{F}_q -point of X_0 and let x be an \mathbb{F} -point that factors through x_0 . Write A_{x_0} for the fiber of A over x_0 , and let $A_{\tilde{x}_0}$ be the canonical lift of A_{x_0} , considered as a CM abelian variety over a number field.

The following is a characteristic p analogue of the classical relation between the Hodge, Tate and the Mumford–Tate conjectures.

Proposition 2.17. *Notation as above. The following are true:*

- (1) Assume that the Tate conjecture holds for powers of A and powers of $A_{\tilde{x}_0}$, then MT_p holds for A .
- (2) Assume that MT_p holds for A and the Hodge conjecture holds for abelian varieties (over \mathbb{C}) with dimension $\dim A$, then the Tate conjecture holds for A .

Proof. (1) Let $l \neq p$ be a prime. Since the Tate conjecture holds for powers of A , there are finitely many algebraic classes $\{\gamma_\alpha\}$ (of powers of $A_{\bar{k}}$, which extends to X_0 if we choose X_0 to be étale locally small) that cut out $G_l(A)^\circ$ from $\text{GL}(\mathbb{H}_{l,x})$. Let $\{\gamma_{\alpha,x_0}\}$ be the specialization of $\{\gamma_\alpha\}$ to powers of A_{x_0} , then lift $\{\gamma_{\alpha,x_0}\}$ to algebraic classes $\{\gamma_{\alpha,\tilde{x}_0}\}$ on powers of $A_{\tilde{x}_0}$ by Lemma 2.15. These algebraic classes then define Hodge cycles $\{\gamma_{\alpha,B,\tilde{x}_0}\}$ on $A_{\tilde{x}_0}$. Let \mathcal{X} be a maximal special subvariety such that $\{\gamma_{\alpha,B,\tilde{x}_0}\}$ extends horizontally. Let \mathcal{X} be the naïve integral model of \mathcal{X} .

By a theorem of Ogus [DMOS82, VI. §4.1], $\{\gamma_{\alpha,B,\tilde{x}_0}\}$ gives rise to absolute Tate cycles $\{\gamma_{\alpha,\text{dR},\tilde{x}_0}\}$ of $A_{\tilde{x}_0}$. By [Noo96, Theorem 2.8], there exists a biggest formal subscheme $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\overline{W}}^{/x}$ containing $\tilde{x}_{0,\overline{W}}$, such that some p -power multiples of the Tate cycles $\{\gamma_{\alpha,\text{dR},\tilde{x}_0}\}$ extend horizontally to \mathcal{N} . Then the map $f_{0,\mathbb{F}}$ factors through \mathcal{N} . On the other hand, let \mathcal{C} be any irreducible component of $\mathcal{X}_{\overline{W}}^{/x}$ that passes through $\tilde{x}_{0,\overline{W}}$. Then $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ as well. By the dimension formula [Noo96, Theorem 2.8 B2], we find that $\dim \mathcal{C} = \dim \mathcal{N}$. So $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{N}$. Therefore $f_{0,\mathbb{F}}$ factors through \mathcal{C} . This shows that $\mathcal{X}_{\mathbb{F}}$ contains the image of $f_{0,\mathbb{F}}$.

As a result, $G_B(A) \subseteq G_B(\mathcal{X})$, where $G_B(\mathcal{X})$ is the generic Mumford–Tate group of \mathcal{X} . On the other hand, since $G_B(\mathcal{X})$ fixes all $\{\gamma_{\alpha,B,\tilde{x}_0}\}$, we have $G_B(\mathcal{X})_{\mathbb{Q}_l} \subseteq G_l(A)^\circ$. These inequalities, together with Theorem 2.9, implies that $G_B(A)_{\mathbb{Q}_l} = G_l(A)^\circ$.

- (2) Now assume that MT_p holds for A , and the Hodge conjecture holds for $A_{\eta,\mathbb{C}} := A_\eta \times_\eta \text{Spec } \mathbb{C}$, where η is the generic point of a maximal special subvariety \mathcal{X} whose reduction contains the image of $f_{0,\mathbb{F}}$ (and $\text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \eta$ is an arbitrary non-trivial map). By definition, $\mathcal{T}_l^i(A)$ is the subspace of $H_l^{2i}(A)(i)$ fixed by $G_l(A)^\circ$. Let $\mathcal{H}^i(A) \subseteq H_B^{2i}(A_{\eta,\mathbb{C}})(i)$ be the subspace fixed by $G_B(A)$. Then we can identify $\mathcal{T}_l^i(A)$ with $\mathcal{H}^i(A) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$. The Hodge conjecture implies that $\mathcal{H}^i(A)$ is spanned by algebraic cycles over the algebraic closure $\overline{\eta}$, and so we can spread them out to algebraic cycles over some finite cover of \mathcal{X} and then take reduction modulo p to get the desired algebraic classes on $A_{\bar{k}}$ that span $\mathcal{T}_l^i(A)$.

□

3. PROOF OF $\text{MT}_p \Leftrightarrow \log\text{AL}_p$

Let X be a smooth connected variety over \mathbb{F} and let $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$ be a morphism whose image lies in the ordinary locus. Let $x \in X(\mathbb{F})$ and let $\mathcal{T}_{f,x}$ be the smallest formal subtorus of $\text{Def}(\mathcal{G}_0/\mathbb{F})$ containing the image of $f^{/x} : X^{/x} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}^{/x}$. We say that “ $\log\text{AL}_p$ holds for f at x ” if $\mathcal{T}_{f,x}$ is an irreducible component of the formal germ at x of a special subvariety of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$ (in other words, Conjecture 1.5 holds for f). The validity of this conjecture is independent of the base point x chosen; cf, Lemma 3.11. So it also makes sense to say that “ $\log\text{AL}_p$ holds for f ” without referring to the base point. In this chapter, we establish the following

Theorem 3.1. *$\log\text{AL}_p$ holds for f if and only if MT_p holds for f .*

In [Jia24], we have shown that if MT_p holds for f , then so is $\log\text{AL}_p$. So it suffices to prove the opposite direction. We achieve this by combining Theorem 2.12 with the theory of Mumford–Tate pairs.

3.1. Frobenius tori. Recall that $x \in X(\mathbb{F})$ is an ordinary point. The Mumford–Tate group $G_B(x) \subseteq \text{GL}(\mathbb{H}_{B,\tilde{x}_\mathbb{C}})$ of this single point is a torus, and can be canonically identified with the

Mumford–Tate group $G_B(\tilde{x}_\mathbb{C})$ of the canonical lifting $\tilde{x}_\mathbb{C}$. It is classically known that MT_p holds for a single point, i.e., $G_B(x)_{\mathbb{Q}_u} = G_u(x)^\circ$ for all $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$.

Let x_0 be a finite field model of x . The geometric Frobenius of the abelian variety A_{x_0} lifts to $\tilde{x}_\mathbb{C}$, and gives rise to an element $t_x \in \text{End}(\mathbb{H}_{B,\tilde{x}_\mathbb{C}})$ that commutes with $G_B(x)$. It turns out that $G_B(x)$ is the neutral component of the Zariski closure of $\{t_x^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Therefore, the torus $G_B(x)$ is an analogue of the Frobenius torus in Serre’s theory (cf. [Ser79, Chi92]).

Let $\mu_x : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{GL}(\omega_x^{\text{DM}}(\mathbb{H}_{p,x}))$ be the canonical Hodge cocharacter of x , which can be identified with the Hodge cocharacter $\mu_{\tilde{x}_\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \text{GL}(\mathbb{H}_{B,\tilde{x}_\mathbb{C}} \otimes E)$ via ι_p as per §2.4.1, where E is the reflex field of $\tilde{x}_\mathbb{C}$, whose completion at the place corresponding to $E \subseteq \mathbb{C}_p$ is \mathbb{Q}_p . In particular, we can regard μ_x as a cocharacter mapping into $\text{GL}(\mathbb{H}_{B,\tilde{x}_\mathbb{C}} \otimes E)$. The following is a characteristic p analogue of [Ser79, p.10] (or [Chi92, Theorem 3.4], or [Pin98, Proposition 3.5]):

Proposition 3.2. *Notation as above. Then $G_B(x)$ is generated by the $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ -orbit of μ_x .*

Proof. This follows from the fact that $G_B(\tilde{x}_\mathbb{C})$ is the smallest \mathbb{Q} -group containing $\text{im } \mu_{\tilde{x}_\mathbb{C}}$. □

3.1.1. *Density of V_{\max} .* Let X_0 be a finite field model of X . Let $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$. We define

$$(3.1.1) \quad V_{\max}(X_0) = \{x_0 \in |X_0| : \text{rk } G_B(x) = \text{rk } G_u(f)\}.$$

The definition does not depend on u . The condition “ $\text{rk } G_B(x) = \text{rk } G_u(f)$ ” is equivalent to “ $G_u(x)^\circ \subseteq G_u(f)$ is a maximal torus”. This explains the notation “ V_{\max} ”.

Our goal is to show that $V_{\max}(X_0)$ is a subset of $|X_0|$ of “large density”. Let $\mathcal{X} \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{Z}$ be a finite type integral scheme of dimension d . Denote by $|\mathcal{X}|$ the set of closed points of \mathcal{X} . There is a notion of **(Dirichlet) density** for a subset of $|\mathcal{X}|$, generalizing the classical density defined for number fields. We will refer the readers to [Ser65] or [Pin97, Appendix B] for the precise definition.

In the following, let $\pi : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ be an irreducible finite étale cover with Galois group G . Then every point $x_0 \in |\mathcal{X}|$ determines a conjugacy class $\langle \text{Frob}_{\pi^{-1}(x_0)/x_0} \rangle \subseteq G$. The following version of Chebotarev’s density theorem is [Pin97, Theorem B.9] (there is another version by Serre under slight different setup, see [Ser65, Theorem 7]).

Theorem 3.3 (Chebotarev’s density theorem). *Notation as above. For every conjugacy class $\mathcal{C} \subseteq G$, the set $\{x_0 \in |\mathcal{X}| : \langle \text{Frob}_{\pi^{-1}(x_0)/x_0} \rangle = \mathcal{C}\}$ has density $|\mathcal{C}|/|G|$.*

Proposition 3.4 (Chin). *$V_{\max}(X_0)$ contains a subset of positive density.*

Proof. Take u in (3.1.1) be a prime l not equal to p . Possibly replacing X_0 by a Galois cover, we can assume that $G_l(f_0)$ is connected. Let $U \subseteq G_l(f_0)$ be the conjugation-stable Zariski dense open subset in [Chi04, Theorem 5.7] (note that the conditions of this theorem are met since we are working with the first l -adic étale cohomology of an abelian scheme). It has the property that

$$\rho_l^{\text{ét}} \langle \text{Frob}_{x_0} \rangle \in U \Rightarrow x_0 \in V_{\max}(X_0),$$

where $\rho_l^{\text{ét}} : \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0) \rightarrow G_l(f_0)$ and $\langle \text{Frob}_{x_0} \rangle$ is the conjugacy class of the geometric Frobenius of x_0 . The map $\rho_l^{\text{ét}}$ is continuous with respect to the pro-finite topology on $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0)$ and l -adic topology on $G_l(f_0)$. On the other hand, the l -adic topology on $G_l(f_0)$ is finer than the Zariski topology. It follows that $(\rho_l^{\text{ét}})^{-1}(U) \subseteq \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0)$ is a conjugate-invariant non-empty open subset. As a result, there exists a Galois cover $\pi : \tilde{X}_0 \rightarrow X_0$ such that $(\rho_l^{\text{ét}})^{-1}(U)$ is a finite union of cosets of $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(\tilde{X}_0)$. Apply Theorem 3.3 to the cover π to conclude. □

3.2. **Mumford–Tate pairs.** We review the theory of Mumford–Tate pairs, a crucial Lie theoretic tool for attacking the Mumford–Tate conjecture. We show that the theory is still valid for ordinary abelian varieties in characteristic p .

Definition 3.5 ([Pin98, §4]). Let (G, ρ) be a faithful finite dimensional representation of a reductive group over a characteristic 0 field F . The pair (G, ρ) is called a **weak Mumford–Tate pair of weights** $\{0, 1\}$ if there exist finitely many cocharacters μ_1, \dots, μ_d of $G_{\overline{F}}$ such that $\rho \circ \mu_i$ are all of weights 0 and 1 and that $G_{\overline{F}}$ is generated by $G(\overline{F})$ -conjugates of μ_1, \dots, μ_d . The pair (G, ρ) is called a **strong Mumford–Tate pair of weights** $\{0, 1\}$ if it is a weak Mumford–Tate pair of weights $\{0, 1\}$ and that the μ_i ’s are conjugate under $\text{Gal}(F)$.

Example 3.6. It is classically known (cf. [Pin98, §5]) that the Mumford–Tate group of an abelian variety $A/\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$, together with its representation, form a strong Mumford–Tate pair over \mathbb{Q} . Moreover, the Mumford–Tate group is generated by the $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ -conjugates of the Hodge cocharacter of A .

Remark 3.7. It is known that simple factors of a weak Mumford–Tate pair are again weak Mumford–Tate pairs ([Pin98, §4]). Simple weak Mumford–Tate pairs are classified thoroughly, see [Pin98, Table 4.2, Corollary 5.11]. On the other hand, absolutely irreducible strong Mumford–Tate pairs have several good structural properties, see [Pin98, Proposition 4.4, 4.5].

The theory of Mumford–Tate pairs works equally well for ordinary abelian schemes in char p :

Proposition 3.8. *Recall that $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}$ is a morphism whose image lies in the ordinary locus. The following are true:*

- (1) $(G_B(f), \rho_B)$ is a strong Mumford–Tate pair over \mathbb{Q} .
- (2) For each $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$, $(G_u(f)^\circ, \rho_u)$ is a weak Mumford–Tate pair over \mathbb{Q}_u .

Proof. By definition, $G_B(f)$ is the generic Mumford–Tate group of a special subvariety $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_g$, which is defined over some number field E . By [CK16, Fact 3.3.1.1], \mathcal{X} contains an l -Galois-generic point z over a finite extension of E . By [CK16, Theorem A], z is Galois generic, hence Hodge generic by [CK16, Proposition 6.2.1]. This means that the Mumford–Tate group of z equals $G_B(f)$. By Example 3.6, we see that the Mumford–Tate group of z and its representation is a strong Mumford–Tate pair. This concludes (1).

By Proposition 3.4, there is an ordinary point $y \in X(\mathbb{F})$ such that $G_u(y)^\circ$ is a maximal torus of $G_u(f)^\circ$. Since $G_u(y)^\circ = G_B(y)_{\mathbb{Q}_u}$, we conclude by Proposition 3.2 that $G_u(y)^\circ$ is generated by the $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ -orbit of μ_y . Since μ_y is defined over a number field, the $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ -orbit of μ_y contains only finitely many cocharacters μ_1, \dots, μ_d , and they generate a maximal torus of $G_u(f)^\circ$. Since $G_u(f)^\circ(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_u)$ -conjugates of a maximal torus generate $G_u(f)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_u}^\circ$, this proves (2). \square

Proposition 3.8 enables us to adapt some classical results to the characteristic p setting.

Theorem 3.9 (Analogue of [Pin98, Theorem 5.3]). *Let η be the generic point of X . Assume that $\text{End}(A_{\overline{\eta}}) = \mathbb{Z}$ and that the root system of each simple factor of $G_B(f)$ has type A_{2s-1} with $s \geq 1$ or B_r with $r \geq 1$. Then MT_p holds for f .*

Proof. Let u be a finite place of \mathbb{Q} , Proposition 2.9, Proposition 2.10 and Proposition 3.8 show that $G_u(f)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_u}^\circ$ is a subgroup $G_B(f)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_u}$ whose tautological representation is irreducible and is a weak Mumford–Tate pair of weights $\{0, 1\}$. It follows from [Pin98, Proposition 4.3] that $G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_u}$ does not possess any proper subgroup whose tautological representation is irreducible and is a weak Mumford–Tate pair of weights $\{0, 1\}$. \square

3.2.1. Tensor decomposition. Let F be a characteristic 0 algebraically closed field, and let (G, ρ) be a weak Mumford–Tate pair of weights $\{0, 1\}$ over F . Fix a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_{m, F} \rightarrow G$ of weights $\{0, 1\}$. There is an almost direct product

$$G = Z \cdot G_1 \cdot G_2 \cdot \dots \cdot G_n,$$

such that Z is the center, and each G_i is almost simple. We say that G_i is a **Serre–Tate factor with respect to μ** , if μ factors non-trivially through it. The collection of Serre–Tate factors depends only on the conjugate class of μ .

Now suppose that ρ is irreducible. There are irreducible representations $\rho_0, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_n$ of Z, G_1, \dots, G_n , respectively, such that $\rho \simeq \rho_0 \boxtimes \rho_1 \boxtimes \dots \boxtimes \rho_n$. Arguing as [Pin98, §4, Tensor decomposition], we have

- (1) $Z \simeq \mathbb{G}_{m,F}$, where ρ_0 is the standard representation.
- (2) Let G_i be a Serre–Tate factor with respect to μ . Then μ factors through $Z \cdot G_i$, and the component of μ in Z is non-trivial. The pair $(Z \cdot G_i, \rho_0 \boxtimes \rho_i)$ is a Mumford–Tate pair of weights $\{0, 1\}$, generated by the conjugates of μ .

We say that (G, ρ, μ) (over F) is a **simple Mumford–Tate triple of weights $\{0, 1\}$** , if (G, ρ) is an irreducible weak Mumford–Tate pair of weights $\{0, 1\}$, $G = \mathbb{G}_m \cdot G^{\text{der}}$, G^{der} is almost simple, and μ is a cocharacter of weights $\{0, 1\}$ whose $G(\bar{F})$ -conjugates generate G . When μ is clear, (G, ρ) is called a **simple Mumford–Tate pair of weights $\{0, 1\}$** . We will often omit “of weights $\{0, 1\}$ ” from the name. The triple $(Z \cdot G_i, \rho_0 \boxtimes \rho_i, \mu)$ in (2) is an example of simple Mumford–Tate triple of weights $\{0, 1\}$. Such triples are classified in [Pin98, Table 4.2].

3.3. Big unipotents. Under the assumption that $\log\text{AL}_p$ holds for f , we show that a the u -adic monodromy have big unipotents. In addition to Mumford–Tate pairs, this produces another piece of crucial information, as illustrated by the following pure Lie theoretic result:

Lemma 3.10. *Let $(G', \rho) \subseteq (G, \rho)$ be an inclusion of weak Mumford–Tate pairs of weights $\{0, 1\}$ over $F = \bar{F}$. Let $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G'$ be a cocharacter of weights $\{0, 1\}$. Suppose that*

- (1) (G, ρ, μ) is a simple Mumford–Tate triple of weights $\{0, 1\}$.
- (2) G and G' have the same unipotent and opposite unipotent with respect to μ .

Then $G = G'$.

Proof. Fix a maximal torus of G containing the image of μ and consider the root system Φ_G . It suffices to show that the positive and negative roots with respect to μ generate Φ_G . In fact, by condition (2), this immediately implies that $G' \supseteq G^{\text{der}}$. It then follows that $G = G'$.

To show that roots generate Φ_G , we can check [Pin98, Table 4.2] case by case. For simplicity we only check the first column of [Pin98, Table 4.2] (A_r with standard representation), and the rest is similar. The root system of A_r is $\{e_i - e_j\}$. From Pink’s chart, we see that for any $1 \leq s \leq r$, the set of positive roots with respect to ω_s^\vee is $\{e_i - e_j \mid 1 \leq i \leq s, s+1 \leq j \leq r+1\}$. Clearly, any $e_i - e_j$ is either a positive root, or a negative root, or the sum of a positive root and a negative root. \square

Now we deduce some geometric consequences from the group theoretic result. Let y be any ordinary point of $X(\mathbb{F})$. We will identify $G(\mathbb{H}_{p,f}, y)$ with $G_p(f)$. The following lemma says that the condition “ $\log\text{AL}_p$ holds for f ” can be translated to a condition on the unipotents of $G_p(f)$, and implies that a “unipotent variant” of MT_p holds for f .

Lemma 3.11. *Let $\mathcal{S} \in \text{MS}_f(\mathcal{A}_g)$. The following are equivalent:*

- (1) $\log\text{AL}_p$ holds for f at y .
- (2) $\dim \mathcal{S} = \text{rk } \mathcal{T}_{f,y}$.
- (3) $\dim \mathcal{S} = \dim U_{G_p(f), \mu_y}$.
- (4) $G_p(f)$ and $G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ have the same unipotent and opposite unipotent with respect to μ_y .

Furthermore, if $\log\text{AL}_p$ holds for f at y , then it holds for f at any other ordinary point.

Proof. Let \mathcal{S} be the naïve integral model of an $\mathcal{S} \in \text{MS}_f(\mathcal{A}_g)$. By Theorem 2.12, we have

$$\dim U_{G_p(f), \mu_y^{-1}} = \text{rk } \mathcal{T}_{f,y}.$$

So (2) \Leftrightarrow (3). Since μ_y identifies with the Hodge cocharacter of its canonical lifting, we have

$$\dim U_{G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}, \mu_y^{-1}} = \dim \mathcal{S}.$$

So (2) \Leftrightarrow (4). Note that \mathcal{S}/\overline{W} is quasi-linear by Theorem 2.2. So (2) \Rightarrow (1). Conversely, there exists a special subvariety \mathcal{S}' , such that $\mathcal{T}_{f,y}$ is an irreducible component of the formal germ at y of the mod p reduction of \mathcal{S}' . By minimality of \mathcal{S} and the fact that any two elements in $\text{MS}_f(\mathcal{A}_g)$ are p -power Hecke translates of each other, we find that $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}'$ up to a p -power Hecke translate. So (1) \Rightarrow (2).

Finally, if $\log\text{AL}_p$ holds for f at y , then (3) holds. Since $\dim U_{G_p(f), \mu_y}$ does not depend on y , (3) holds true for other choices of y as well. Therefore $\log\text{AL}_p$ holds for f any other ordinary point. \square

Corollary 3.12. *Suppose that $\log\text{AL}_p$ holds for f . Let $y \in X(\mathbb{F})$ be an ordinary point. Following §3.2.1, let $\{G_1, \dots, G_r\}, r \geq 1$ be the set of Serre–Tate factors of the weak Mumford–Tate pair $(\text{MT}(f)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \rho_B)$ with respect to the canonical Hodge cocharacter μ_y . Let $\mathfrak{g}_i = \text{Lie } G_i$. Then $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$ is a direct summand of $\text{Lie } G_p(f)_{\mathbb{C}_p}$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\text{Lie } G_B(f)_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ factors as $\mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{r+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_m$, where \mathfrak{z} is the center, and $\mathfrak{g}_{r+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_m$ are the Lie algebras of non-Serre–Tate factors. Denote by p_i the projection map $\text{Lie } G_p(f)_{\mathbb{C}_p} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}_i$. Let \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}' be the projection of $\text{Lie } G_p(f)_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ in $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$ and $\mathfrak{z} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{r+1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_m$, respectively. We need to show that $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$, and $\text{Lie } G_p(f)_{\mathbb{C}_p} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}'$.

Since the weak Mumford–Tate pair $(G_B(f)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \rho_B)$ is not necessarily irreducible, we need to first decompose it into irreducible summands: $\rho_B = \phi_1 \oplus \phi_2 \oplus \dots \oplus \phi_n$. For each $j \in [1, n]$, $(\phi_j(G_B(f)_{\mathbb{C}_p}), \phi_j)$ is an irreducible weak Mumford–Tate pair, and we can use Pink’s observation outlined in §3.2.1. The set of Serre–Tate factors of $(G_B(f)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \rho_B)$ is the union of the sets of Serre–Tate factors of $(\phi_j(G_B(f)_{\mathbb{C}_p}), \phi_j)$, for j running through $1, 2, \dots, n$. By Lemma 3.11, $G_p(f)$ and $G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ have the same unipotent and opposite unipotent with respect to μ_y . This property carries over to the Serre–Tate factors, and Lemma 3.10 guarantees that p_i is surjective for $1 \leq i \leq r$. Since each \mathfrak{g}_i is simple, the subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$ can be easily described via Goursat’s lemma. If \mathfrak{h} was strictly smaller than $\mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$, then it has a simple factor \mathfrak{g}' that projects isomorphically onto two different \mathfrak{g}_i ’s. Then $G_p(f)$ and $G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ won’t have the same unipotent with respect to μ_y . Therefore, we must have $\mathfrak{h} = \mathfrak{g}_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathfrak{g}_r$.

Now we show that $\text{Lie } G_p(f)_{\mathbb{C}_p} = \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{h}'$. It suffices to show that $\text{Lie } G_p(f)_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ does not admit a simple factor that admits non-trivial projections to both \mathfrak{h} and \mathfrak{h}' . Now suppose that \mathfrak{g}' is a simple factor of $\text{Lie } G_p(f)_{\mathbb{C}_p}$ that admits non-trivial projection to \mathfrak{h} . Then $p_i(\mathfrak{g}') = \mathfrak{g}_i$ for some $1 \leq i \leq r$. Since μ_y factors nontrivially through \mathfrak{g}_i , it also factors nontrivially through \mathfrak{g}' . Since $\mathfrak{g}_{r+1}, \dots, \mathfrak{g}_m$ are not Serre–Tate, the projection of \mathfrak{g}' in \mathfrak{h}' can only lie in \mathfrak{z} . But this means that the projection is trivial. This finishes the proof. \square

3.4. Proof of Theorem 3.1. Corollary 3.12 claims that that $G_B(f)$ and $G_p(f)^\circ$ agree over Serre–Tate factors. To establish MT_p , we also need to prove the equality over other factors. To achieve this, we should not just confine ourselves to p -adic monodromy. Instead, we need to look at u -adic monodromy for all $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$ all at once. The proof in this section is reminiscent of [Jia24, §5.2.3, §5.3]. Roughly speaking, we first decompose $G_B(f)^{\text{ad}}$ into simple factors over a number field F . Fixing a Galois closure $C \supseteq F$. We can then split a faithful irreducible \mathbb{Q} -representation of $G_B(f)^{\text{ad}}$ over C . By Tannakian formalism, this enables us to construct several families of weakly C -compatible system of coefficient objects. We then use Galois twist to travel between them, and use Chebotarev’s density theorem to establish the agreement of $G_B(f)$ and $G_p(f)^\circ$ over all factors.

Assumption 3.13. Replacing X by sufficiently large étale cover, we will assume that all $G_u(f)$ are connected. This is always achievable by [Jia24, Lemma 3.4]. We also write X_0 for a finite field model of X such that $G_u(f_0) = G_u(f)$.

3.4.1. *The simple case.* We first suppose that $G_B(f)^{\text{ad}}$ is simple. Then there is an absolutely simple reductive group \mathcal{G} over a number field F , such that $G_B(f)^{\text{ad}} = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{G}$. Let $\phi : \mathcal{G} \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{V})$ be a faithful irreducible representation, where \mathcal{V} is a vector space over F . Let C be a Galois closure of F , with a fixed embedding into \mathbb{C} . Let Σ be the set of embeddings of F into C . Then $G_B(f)_C^{\text{ad}} = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathcal{G}_{\sigma,C}$. We also get representations $\phi_{\sigma,C} : \mathcal{G}_{\sigma,C} \curvearrowright \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,C}$. The sum $G_B(f)_C^{\text{ad}} \curvearrowright \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathcal{V}_{\sigma,C}$ descends to a \mathbb{Q} -representation $\varphi : G_B(f) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$. By Tannakian formalism, for each $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$, the representation

$$G_u(f) \hookrightarrow G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_u} \xrightarrow{\varphi_u} \text{GL}(V_{\mathbb{Q}_u})$$

corresponds to a \mathbb{Q}_u -coefficient object \mathcal{E}_u over a finite étale cover $X'_0 \rightarrow X_0$. Similarly, for each $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$ and each $v \in \text{fpl}(C)$ dividing u , the representation

$$G_u(f)_{C_v} \hookrightarrow G_B(f)_{C_v} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_{\sigma,C_v} \xrightarrow{\phi_{\sigma,C_v}} \text{GL}(\mathcal{V}_{\sigma,C_v})$$

gives rise to a C_v -coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,v} \subseteq \mathcal{E}_u \otimes C_v$ over X'_0 . We have $\mathcal{E}_u \otimes C_v = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathcal{E}_{\sigma,v}$. We also have the following relations between monodromy groups:

$$G(\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,v}, x) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{\sigma,C_v}, \quad G(\mathcal{E}_u \otimes C_v, x) \subseteq \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma} G(\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,v}, x).$$

Lemma 3.14. *The collection $\{\mathcal{E}_u\}_{u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})}$ is a weakly \mathbb{Q} -compatible system in the sense of [Jia24, §3.4]. For each σ , the collection $\{\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,v}\}_{v \in \text{fpl}(C)}$ is a weakly C -compatible system.*

Proof. To show that $\{\mathcal{E}_u\}_{u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})}$ is weakly \mathbb{Q} -compatible, it suffices to show that for every closed point $x_0 \in |X_0|$ the characteristic polynomial of \mathcal{E}_{u,x_0} is a \mathbb{Q} -polynomial independent of u . Since x_0 is ordinary, it admits a canonical lift \tilde{x}_0 . The geometric Frobenius lifts to an element $t_{x_0} \in G_B(\tilde{x}_0) \subseteq G_B(f)$. Let $P \in \mathbb{Q}[t]$ be the characteristic polynomial of t_{x_0} with respect to the representation $\varphi : G_B(f) \rightarrow \text{GL}(V)$. When $u \neq p$, the characteristic polynomial of \mathcal{E}_{u,x_0} coincides with P . When $u = p$, one can replace the Frobenius on \mathcal{E}_{u,x_0} by some power so that the characteristic polynomial again coincides with P . This shows that $\{\mathcal{E}_u\}_{u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})}$ is weakly \mathbb{Q} -compatible.

The proof of the fact that $\{\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,v}\}_{v \in \text{fpl}(C)}$ is weakly C -compatible is similar. By definition, the base change φ_C splits up into various $\phi_{\sigma,C}$. Accordingly, the polynomial P splits into a product of $P_{\sigma} \in C[t]$, which are permuted under the $\text{Gal}(C/\mathbb{Q})$ -action. Now it is easy to see that each element in $\{\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,v}\}_{v \in \text{fpl}(C)}$ has characteristic polynomial P_{σ} over x_0 (again, when $v|p$, we need to replace the Frobenius by a power), hence the compatibility. \square

Construction 2 (Galois twists). Note that $\text{Gal}(C/\mathbb{Q})$ acts on Σ . Let $g \in \text{Gal}(C/\mathbb{Q})$, the g -twist of a C_v -scheme X is the C_{gv} -scheme $X \times_g \text{Spec } C_{gv}$, denoted by gX . There is also an obvious notion of g -twist of morphisms between C_v -schemes. There is also a notion of g -twist of C_v -coefficient objects defined as $g\mathcal{E} := \mathcal{E} \otimes_g C_{gv}$. We have

$$(3.4.1) \quad g\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,C_v} = \mathcal{G}_{g\sigma,C_{gv}}, \quad g\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,v} = \mathcal{E}_{g\sigma,gv}, \quad gG(\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,v}) = G(g\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,v}).$$

Lemma 3.15. *Suppose that $\log \text{AL}_p$ holds for f , then $G(\mathcal{E}_u, x) = (\text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{G})_{\mathbb{Q}_u}$ for any $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to [Jia24, Theorem 5.11]. First, there exists a place $\tau \in \Sigma$, such that $\mathcal{G}_{\tau, \mathbb{C}_p}$ is a Serre–Tate factor of $(G_B(f)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \rho_B)$ with respect to μ_x . For every $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $v \in \text{fpl}(C)$ dividing u , we always have

$$(3.4.2) \quad G(\mathcal{E}_u \otimes C_v, x) \subseteq G(\mathcal{E}_{\tau,v}, x) \times G\left(\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma - \{\tau\}} \mathcal{E}_{\sigma,v}, x\right) \text{ and } G(\mathcal{E}_{\tau,v}, x) \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{\tau,C_v}.$$

Let \mathfrak{p} be the place of C induced from $C \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}_p$. Then by Corollary 3.12, the two inclusions in (3.4.2) are equalities when $(u, v) = (p, \mathfrak{p})$. By Lemma 3.14, [Jia24, Lemma 3.4], and a simple dimension counting argument, we find that the two inclusions in (3.4.2) are equalities for all (u, v) .

Let $\tau' \in \Sigma$ be any element. Chebotarev's density theorem guarantees the existence of a place $v_0 \in \text{fpl}(C)$ and an element $g \in \text{Gal}(C/\mathbb{Q})$ fixing v_0 such that $g\tau = \tau'$. Let $v = v_0$ in (3.4.2) and consider the g -twist, we obtain

$$(3.4.3) \quad G(\mathcal{E}_u \otimes C_{v_0}, x) = G(\mathcal{E}_{\tau', v_0}, x) \times G\left(\bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma - \{\tau'\}} \mathcal{E}_{\sigma, v_0}, x\right) \text{ and } G(\mathcal{E}_{\tau', v_0}, x) = \mathcal{G}_{\tau', C_{v_0}}.$$

By [Jia24, Lemma 3.4] again, we see that (3.4.3) holds for all $v \in \text{fpl}(C)$. As a result, for any v , we have

$$G(\mathcal{E}_u \otimes C_v, x) = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} G(\mathcal{E}_{\sigma, v}, x) = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma} \mathcal{G}_{\sigma, C_v} = (\text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{G})_{C_v}.$$

This concludes the lemma. \square

It follows from the lemma that $G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_u}^{\text{ad}} = G_u(f)^{\text{ad}}$. Together with Theorem 2.11, this proves Theorem 3.1 when $G_B(f)^{\text{ad}}$ is simple.

3.4.2. The general case. Note that Theorem 3.1 readily follows from the simple case by Theorem 5.1. However, we give an alternate proof. The proof is essentially already written down in [Jia24, §5.3.1].

Let \mathbf{I} be a finite index set, and let $G_B(f)^{\text{ad}} = \prod_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \text{Res}_{F_i/\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{G}_i$, where each \mathcal{G}_i is an absolute simple reductive group over a number field N_i . Let Σ'_i be the set of complex embeddings of N_i . Fix $C \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ to be a sufficiently large number field which contains the image of all complex embedding of all N_i . For each $i \in \mathbf{I}$, let $\phi_i : \mathcal{G}_i \hookrightarrow \text{GL}(\mathcal{V}_i)$ be a faithful irreducible representation over N_i . By Tannakian formalism, for each $i \in \mathbf{I}$ and $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$, the representation

$$G_u(f) \hookrightarrow G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_u} \xrightarrow{(\text{Res}_{N_i/\mathbb{Q}} \phi_i)_{\mathbb{Q}_u}} \text{GL}((\text{Res}_{N_i/\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{V}_i)_{\mathbb{Q}_u})$$

gives rise to a \mathbb{Q}_u -coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_{i,u}$. For each $i \in \mathbf{I}$, $\sigma \in \Sigma'_i$, $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$ and $v \in \text{fpl}(C)$ dividing u , the representation

$$G_u(f)_{C_v} \hookrightarrow G_B(f)_{C_v} \rightarrow (\mathcal{G}_i)_{\sigma, C_v} \xrightarrow{(\phi_i)_{\sigma, C_v}} \text{GL}((\mathcal{V}_i)_{\sigma, C_v})$$

gives rise to a C_v -coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_{i,\sigma,v}$. Argue as Lemma 3.14: for each $i \in \mathbf{I}$, $\{\mathcal{E}_{i,u}\}_{u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})}$ is a weakly \mathbb{Q} -compatible system, and for each $\sigma \in \Sigma'_i$ the collection $\{\mathcal{E}_{i,\sigma,v}\}_{v \in \text{fpl}(C)}$ is a weakly C -compatible system.

Lemma 3.16. *Suppose that $\log \text{AL}_p$ holds for f , then $G(\mathcal{E}_{i,u}, x) = (\text{Res}_{N_i/\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{G}_i)_{\mathbb{Q}_u}$, and $G(\mathcal{E}_{i,\sigma,v}, x) = (\mathcal{G}_i)_{\sigma, C_v}$.*

Proof. This follows from Lemma 3.15 by projecting to each factor. \square

As a consequence, the projection $G_u(f) \rightarrow (\text{Res}_{N_i/\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{G}_i)_{\mathbb{Q}_u}$ is surjective. This gives rise to a surjection $G_u(f)^{\text{ad}} \twoheadrightarrow (\text{Res}_{N_i/\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{G}_i)_{\mathbb{Q}_u}$. The induced map $G_u(f)^{\text{ad}} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in \mathbf{I}} (\text{Res}_{N_i/\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{G}_i)_{\mathbb{Q}_u} = G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_u}^{\text{ad}}$ is an injection, and identifies $G_u(f)^{\text{ad}}$ as the monodromy group of $\bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \mathcal{E}_{i,u}$.

Definition 3.17. Let $\{G_a\}_{a \in \mathbf{A}}$ be a finite collection of (algebraic) groups over a field. Suppose there is a subgroup $G \subseteq \prod_{a \in \mathbf{A}} G_a$ such that each projection $G \rightarrow G_a$ is an isomorphism. We will say that G is an **iso-graph** over the collection $\{G_a\}_{a \in \mathbf{A}}$.

Let \mathbf{J} be a subset of \mathbf{I} . To compactify the notation, we write, for $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$, $\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{J},u} := \bigoplus_{j \in \mathbf{J}} \mathcal{E}_{j,u}$.

Lemma 3.18. *Suppose that $\log \text{AL}_p$ holds for f . There is a partition $\mathbf{I} = \bigsqcup_{h \in \mathcal{G}} \mathbf{I}_h$, such that for any $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$, we have $G_u(f)^{\text{ad}} = \prod_{h \in \mathcal{G}} G(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{I}_h,u}, x)$, where each $G(\mathcal{E}_{\mathbf{I}_h,u}, x)$ is an iso-graph over $\{G(\mathcal{E}_{i,u}, x)\}_{i \in \mathbf{I}_h}$.*

Proof. The proof is identical to [Jia24, Lemma 5.16]. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.1. By Lemma 3.16, we have

$$G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}} = \prod_{i \in \mathbf{I}} (\text{Res}_{N_i/\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{G}_i)_{\mathbb{Q}_p} = \prod_{i \in \mathbf{I}} G_{i,p}.$$

By Lemma 3.18, $G_p(f)^{\text{ad}}$ is a product of iso-graphs over subcollections of $\{G(\mathcal{E}_{i,p}, x)\}_{i \in \mathbf{I}}$. Now $G_p(f)^{\text{ad}} \subseteq G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$. By Lemma 3.11, $G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}}$ and $G_p(f)^{\text{ad}}$ have the same unipotent with respect to μ_x^{-1} . It then follows that $G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}^{\text{ad}} = G_p(f)^{\text{ad}}$. We conclude by Proposition 2.11. \square

4. PROOF OF $\text{MT}_p \Rightarrow \text{geoAO}_p$

Let $f : X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$ be a locally closed embedding whose image lies in the ordinary locus. Our main goal of this section is to prove the following

Theorem 4.1. *If MT_p holds for f , then geoAO_p holds for X , i.e., either X is quasi-weakly special, or it does not contain a Zariski dense collection of positive dimensional special subvarieties.*

We achieve this by combining the theory of Mumford–Tate pairs with the geometric squeeze theorem introduced in [Jia24, §6].

4.1. Preparation.

4.1.1. Morphism that separates factors. Let \mathbf{I} be a finite index set. For each $i \in \mathbf{I}$, let \mathcal{A}_{g_i} be a Siegel modular variety and let \mathcal{A}_{g_i} be its canonical integral model. We use $\mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{I}}}$ to denote the product $\prod_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \mathcal{A}_{g_i}$, regarded as a Shimura subvariety of an ambient space \mathcal{A}_g . Let $\mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{I}}}$ be the product of \mathcal{A}_{g_i} 's.

Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$ factors through $\mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{I}},\mathbb{F}}$. We will write $f_{\mathbf{I}}$ for the map $X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{I}},\mathbb{F}}$ (just to distinguish it from f). Let f_i be the composition

$$(4.1.1) \quad X \xrightarrow{f_{\mathbf{I}}} \mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{I}},\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_i,\mathbb{F}}.$$

We say that f **separates factors** over $\mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{I}},\mathbb{F}}$, if $G_B(f_i)^{\text{ad}}$ is simple for each $i \in \mathbf{I}$. This is equivalent to the definition given in [Jia24, §4.4.2]. It is shown in [Jia24, §4.4] that any f can be modified to one which separates factors (possibly changing the ambient $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$). Furthermore, to prove geoAO_p for f , it suffices to assume that f separates factors, and prove the following variant:

Conjecture 4.2 (Mod p geometric André–Oort for subvarieties that separate factors, cf. [Jia24, Conjecture 4.21]). *Suppose that $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$ separates factors over $\mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{I}},\mathbb{F}}$. Let \mathcal{X}_{f_i} be the naïve integral model of an element in $\text{MS}_{f_i}(\mathcal{A}_{g_i})$. If X contains a Zariski dense collection Ξ of positive dimensional special subvarieties. Then there exists an index $i_0 \in \mathbf{I}$, such that the Zariski closure of X in $\mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{I}},\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$, is the product of a component of $\mathcal{X}_{f_{i_0},\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$ with a subvariety $Y \subseteq \prod_{i \in \mathbf{I} \setminus \{i_0\}} \mathcal{X}_{f_i,\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$.*

Notation 4.3. Suppose that f separates factors over $\mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{I}},\mathbb{F}}$ as in (4.1.1). Let $x \in X(\mathbb{F})$ be an ordinary point.

- (1) write $\mathbb{H}_{i,\bullet}$ for the various cohomology sheaves on \mathcal{A}_{g_i} .
- (2) write \mathcal{X}_{f_i} for an element in $\text{MS}_{f_i}(\mathcal{A}_{g_i})$, and denote by \mathcal{X}_{f_i} its naïve integral model.
- (3) let $\mathcal{T}_{f_i,x}$ be the smallest formal subtorus of $\mathcal{A}_{g_i,\mathbb{F}}^{/x}$ that contains the image of $f_i^{/x}$. Note that $\mathcal{T}_{f_i,x} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{f,x}$, where $\mathcal{T}_{f,x}$ is the smallest formal subtorus of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}^{/x}$ that contains the image of $f^{/x}$. Let $X_*(\mathcal{T}_{f_i,x})$ be the cocharacter lattice of $\mathcal{T}_{f_i,x}$. Define $T_{f_i,x} = X_*(\mathcal{T}_{f_i,x})_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \subseteq T_{f,x}$.
- (4) write \mathcal{G}_i for the universal p -divisible group over \mathcal{A}_{g_i} , and denote by \mathcal{F}_i the lisse sheaf $X_*(\mathcal{G}_i^{\text{loc}}) \otimes T_p(\mathcal{G}_i^{\text{ét}})^{\vee}$ on $\mathcal{A}_{g_i,\mathbb{F}_q}^{\text{ord}}$ (base change to suitable \mathbb{F}_q).

Let $\mathbf{J} \subseteq \mathbf{I}$ be a subset.

- (5) write $\mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{J}}}$ for the product of \mathcal{A}_{g_i} over \mathbf{J} . Write $f_{\mathbf{J}}$ for the composition of $f_{\mathbf{I}}$ with the projection $\mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{I}},\mathbb{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{J}},\mathbb{F}}$.
- (6) write $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{J},\bullet}, \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{J}}$ as the direct sum of the pullback of various $\mathbb{H}_{i,\bullet}, \mathcal{F}_i$ to $\mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{J}}}$.
- (7) write $\mathcal{X}_{f_{\mathbf{J}}}$ for the product of $\prod_{i \in \mathbf{J}} \mathcal{X}_{f_i}$. Note that $\mathcal{X}_{f_{\mathbf{J}}} \in \text{MS}_{f_{\mathbf{J}}}(\mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{J}}})$, i.e., it is a minimal special subvariety of $\mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{J}}}$ though whose naïve integral model $f_{\mathbf{J}}$ factors.
- (8) let $\mathcal{T}_{f_{\mathbf{J}},x} \subseteq \mathcal{T}_{f,x}$ be the smallest formal subtorus of $\mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{J}},\mathbb{F}}^{/x}$ that contains the image of $f_{\mathbf{J}}^{/x}$, and let $X_*(\mathcal{T}_{f_{\mathbf{J}},x})$ be its cocharacter lattice. Define $T_{f_{\mathbf{J}},x} = X_*(\mathcal{T}_{f_{\mathbf{J}},x})_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \subseteq T_{f,x}$.
- (9) let $G_u(f_{\mathbf{J}})$ be the monodromy group $G(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{J},u,X}, x)$. Let $G_{\mathbf{B}}(f_{\mathbf{J}})$ be the generic Mumford–Tate group of $\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{J},\mathbf{B},\mathcal{X}_{f_{\mathbf{J}}}}$. We will say that MT_p **holds for** $f_{\mathbf{J}}$, if $G_{\mathbf{B}}(f_{\mathbf{J}})_{\mathbb{Q}_u} = G_u(f_{\mathbf{J}})^{\circ}$.

Remark 4.4. Suppose that f separates factors over $\mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{I}},\mathbb{F}}$ as in (4.1.1). Then $G_{\mathbf{B}}(f_{\mathbf{J}})^{\text{ad}} = G_{\mathbf{B}}(f)^{\text{ad}}$, and $G_u(f_{\mathbf{J}})^{\circ \text{ad}} = G_u(f)^{\circ \text{ad}}$. It is a consequence of Proposition 2.11 that MT_p holds for $f_{\mathbf{I}}$ if and only if MT_p holds for f . We will use this fact without mentioning.

Assumption 4.5. From now on, we will assume the following

- (1) f separates factors over $\mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{I}},\mathbb{F}}$ as in (4.1.1).
- (2) X contains a Zariski dense collection Ξ of positive dimensional special subvarieties.
- (3) There are two further simplifications that one can make on the collection Ξ :
 - We can arbitrarily shrink X to open dense, and replace Ξ by its restriction.
 - We can assume that each $Z \in \Xi$ is smooth and geometrically connected, by throwing away the singular loci, and re-indexing the components.

We will assume that Ξ is simplified as above.

Our goal is to show Conjecture 4.2 under the condition that MT_p holds for f .

4.1.2. Serre–Tate representations. Let G be a reductive group over a characteristic 0 field F . Consider a cocharacter $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G$. Recall that $\text{Lv}_{G,\mu}$ and $U_{G,\mu^{-1}}$ are Levi and opposite unipotent with respect to μ . The adjoint representation

$$\text{ad}_{G,\mu} : \text{Lv}_{G,\mu} \curvearrowright \text{Lie } U_{G,\mu^{-1}}$$

is called the **Serre–Tate representation** (cf. [Jia24, §6.2.2]). In the most relevant situations, G is a p -adic monodromy group, μ is a Hodge cocharacter, and the Serre–Tate representation gives rise to a **Serre–Tate lisse sheaf** in the sense of [Jia24, §6.2.1]. Representations of this sort play a fundamental role in the studying the mod p geometric André–Oort conjecture.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose that F is algebraically closed. Let (G, ρ, μ) be a simple Mumford–Tate triple of weights $\{0, 1\}$. Then the corresponding Serre–Tate representation $\text{ad}_{G,\mu}$ is irreducible.*

Proof. Since simple Mumford–Tate triples are classified in [Pin98, Table 4.2], we can check the irreducibility case by case. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\rho_n : \text{GL}_n \curvearrowright F^{\oplus n}$ be the standard representation. For column 1 and 4 of [Pin98, Table 4.2], there exists some $1 \leq s \leq r$ such that the representation $\text{ad}_{G,\mu}$ is isomorphic to the irrep $\rho_s \otimes \rho_{r+1-s}^{\vee} : \text{GL}_s \times \text{GL}_{r+1-s} \curvearrowright F^{\oplus s} \otimes F^{\oplus r+1-s}$. For column 2 of [Pin98, Table 4.2], $\text{Lv}_{G,\mu}$ is an almost direct product of a central torus \mathbb{G}_m with GL_r , and $\text{ad}_{G,\mu}|_{\text{GL}_r} \simeq \text{Sym}^2 \rho_r$. The rest of the columns can be checked in a similar manner. \square

4.1.3. The geometric squeeze theorem. Let

$$f_0 : X_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{I}},\mathbb{F}_q} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{J}},\mathbb{F}_q}$$

be a finite field model of f that separate factors as in (4.1.1). Let the notation be as in Notation 4.3. To ease notation, write

$$(4.1.2) \quad G := G_p(f_{\mathbf{I}})^{\circ} = G(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{I},p,X}, x)^{\circ}.$$

By Theorem 2.12, the Serre–Tate representation ad_{G,μ_x} identifies with

$$G(\mathrm{gr} \mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{I},p,X}, x)^\circ \curvearrowright T_{f_{\mathbf{I}},x} = T_{f,x}.$$

Theorem 4.7 (The geometric squeeze theorem, [Jia24, Theorem 6.2]). *Let the setup be as in Assumption 4.5. Possibly shrinking X , there exists a nonzero saturated \mathbb{Z}_p -lisse sheaf $\mathcal{R}_f \subseteq \mathcal{F}_{\mathbf{I},X'_0}$ over a Galois cover $X'_0 \rightarrow X_0$, such that for any $x \in X(\mathbb{F})$, the following are true:*

- (1) $R_{f,x} := (\mathcal{R}_{f,x})_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \subseteq T_{f,x}$ is a subspace invariant under ad_{G,μ_x} ⁸.
- (2) $X^{/x}$ is sandwiched between two formal tori:

$$\mathcal{R}_{f,x} \otimes \mathbb{G}_m^\wedge \subseteq X^{/x} \subseteq X_*(\mathcal{T}_{f,x}) \otimes \mathbb{G}_m^\wedge.$$

- (3) There is a $Z \in \Xi$, such that for $x \in Z(\mathbb{F})$:

$$T_{f|Z,x} \subseteq R_{f,x} \subseteq T_{f,x},$$

and in addition, for each $i \in \mathbf{I}$, the projection image of Z in $\mathcal{A}_{g_i,\mathbb{F}}$ is positive dimensional if and only if the projection image of \mathcal{R}_f in \mathcal{F}_{i,X'_0} has positive rank.

4.2. Proof of Theorem 4.1. We will first treat the case where $\#\mathbf{I} = 1$, and prove a stronger claim that X is already special (as opposed to quasi-weakly special). After that, we treat the general case. The proof is a generalization of the product GSpin case given in [Jia24, §6].

4.2.1. The case where $\#\mathbf{I} = 1$. We first consider the case where $\mathbf{I} = \{1\}$. Then $G_B(f)^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is a simple \mathbb{Q} -group. We will drop the subscript \mathbf{I} or i since it plays no role. Following §3.4.1, let $G_B(f)^{\mathrm{ad}} = \mathrm{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{G}$ and define $C \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ and Σ in exactly the same manner. Let G be as in (4.1.2). For $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $v \in \mathrm{fpl}(C)$, let $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,v}$ be the C_v -coefficient objects as per §3.4.1. Let $\Sigma^{\mathrm{ST}} \subseteq \Sigma$ be the subset such that $\{\mathrm{Lie} \mathcal{G}_{\sigma,\mathbb{C}_p}\}_{\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathrm{ST}}}$ is the set of Serre–Tate factors of $(G_B(f)_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \rho_B)$ with respect to μ_x . The set Σ^{ST} does not depend on x chosen. Let

$$(4.2.1) \quad \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{ST}} = \prod_{\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathrm{ST}}} \mathcal{G}_{\sigma,C}.$$

Suppose that MT_p holds for f , so $G = G_B(f_{\mathbf{I}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. By Theorem 2.12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_{f,x} &= \mathrm{Lie} U_{G,\mu_x^{-1}} = \mathrm{Lie} U_{G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_p},\mu_x^{-1}}, \\ T_{f,x,\mathbb{C}_p} &= \mathrm{Lie} U_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\mathrm{ST}},\mu_x^{-1}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.8. *Let $Z \subseteq X$ be a smooth special subvariety of positive dimension and let $x \in Z(\mathbb{F})$. Then for each $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathrm{ST}}$, the projection*

$$T_{f|Z,x,\mathbb{C}_p} \subseteq T_{f,x,\mathbb{C}_p} \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie} U_{\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,\mathbb{C}_p},\mu_x^{-1}}$$

has positive dimensional image.

Proof. Let \mathfrak{p} be the place of C induced from $\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}_p$. It suffices to show that for each $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathrm{ST}}$,

$$(4.2.2) \quad T_{f|Z,x,C_{\mathfrak{p}}} \subseteq T_{f,x,C_{\mathfrak{p}}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Lie} U_{\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,C_{\mathfrak{p}}},\mu_x^{-1}}$$

has positive dimensional image. Consider the Mumford–Tate group $G_B(f|_Z) \subseteq G_B(f)$. Let M_σ be the projection of $G_B(f|_Z)_C$ to $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,C}$. Then M_σ ’s are forms of each other. Let Z' be a sufficiently large finite étale cover of Z , such that the $C_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -coefficient object $\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,\mathfrak{p}}$ pulls back to a suitable finite field model Z'_0 of Z' . We also use x to denote a lift of x to Z' . Since $G(\mathbb{H}_{p,Z}, x)^\circ = G_B(f|_Z)_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ (this is because Z is special, $\log \mathrm{AL}_p$ holds for $f|_Z$, hence MT_p holds for $f|_Z$ by Theorem 3.1), we have

$$(4.2.3) \quad M_{\sigma,C_{\mathfrak{p}}} = G(\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,\mathfrak{p},Z'}, x).$$

⁸By $\mathcal{R}_{f,x}$ we mean the fiber of \mathcal{R}_f at an \mathbb{F} -point of X'_0 that lifts x , identified as a sublattice of $X_*(\mathcal{T}_{f,x})$. Different lifts of x may yield different $\mathcal{R}_{f,x}$ as sublattices of $X_*(\mathcal{T}_{f,x})$, but the theorem works for all of them.

The image of $T_{f|Z,x,C_p}$ in $\mathrm{Lie} U_{\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,C_p},\mu_x^{-1}}$ can be identified with $\mathrm{Lie} U_{G(\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,p,Z',x}),\mu_x^{-1}}$. Suppose (for the sake of contradiction) that $\mathrm{Lie} U_{G(\mathcal{E}_{\sigma,p,Z',x}),\mu_x^{-1}} = 0$ for a $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathrm{ST}}$. Then (4.2.3) implies that $\mathrm{Lie} U_{M_{\sigma,C_p},\mu_x^{-1}} = 0$ for the same σ . Let $Z \in \mathrm{MS}_{f|Z}(\mathcal{A}_g)$, and let $\tilde{x}_{\mathbb{C}}$ be a quasi-canonical lift of x that lies in Z . Then $\mu_{\tilde{x}_{\mathbb{C}}}$ factors non-trivially through $M_{\sigma,\mathbb{C}}$, while the parabolic of $M_{\sigma,\mathbb{C}}$ with respect to $\mu_{\tilde{x}_{\mathbb{C}}}$ is $M_{\sigma,\mathbb{C}}$ itself. This indeed means that Z is zero dimensional. Therefore $\dim Z = 0$, contradictory to the fact that Z is positive dimensional. \square

Theorem 4.9. *Notation as above. Suppose that MT_p holds for f . Then X is special.*

Proof. In the following, we assume that our X is sufficiently shrunk. Let the lisse sheaf \mathcal{R}_f be as in Theorem 4.7. Let $x \in X(\mathbb{F})$, Theorem 4.7(1) implies that $R_{f,x} \subseteq T_{f,x}$ is invariant under ad_{G,μ_x} . In the following, we show that $R_{f,x} = T_{f,x}$. It suffices to show the equality after base change to \mathbb{C}_p .

First, note that $\mathrm{ad}_{G_{\mathbb{C}_p},\mu_x}$ factors surjectively through $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\mathrm{ST}},\mu_x}$, so R_{f,x,\mathbb{C}_p} is invariant under $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\mathrm{ST}},\mu_x}$. On the other hand,

$$(4.2.4) \quad \mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\mathrm{ST}},\mu_x} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathrm{ST}}} \mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,\mathbb{C}_p},\mu_x}.$$

Since for each $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathrm{ST}}$, $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,\mathbb{C}_p}$ comes from a simple Mumford–Tate triple of weights $\{0, 1\}$, Lemma 4.6 implies that each $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,\mathbb{C}_p},\mu_x}$ in (4.2.4) is irreducible. As a result, there exists a $\Sigma' \subseteq \Sigma^{\mathrm{ST}}$, independent of x , such that

$$R_{f,x,\mathbb{C}_p} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \Sigma'} \mathrm{Lie} U_{\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,\mathbb{C}_p},\mu_x^{-1}}.$$

Let $Z \in \Xi$ be as in Theorem 4.7(3), and let $x \in Z(\mathbb{F})$. By Lemma 4.8, (4.2.2) has positive dimensional image for each $\sigma \in \Sigma^{\mathrm{ST}}$. Since $R_{f,x,\mathbb{C}_p} \supseteq T_{f|Z,x,\mathbb{C}_p}$, we conclude that $\Sigma' = \Sigma^{\mathrm{ST}}$. This finishes the proof of $R_{f,x} = T_{f,x}$ for all $x \in X(\mathbb{F})$.

It follows from Theorem 4.7(2) that $X^{/x} = \mathcal{T}_{f,x}$ for x lying in an open dense subset of X . Since MT_p for f implies $\log\mathrm{AL}$ for f , we conclude that X is special. \square

4.2.2. The general case. Now we assume that $G_{\mathrm{B}}(f)^{\mathrm{ad}} = \prod_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \mathrm{Res}_{F_i/\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{G}_i$, where each $\mathrm{Res}_{F_i/\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{G}_i$ is simple over \mathbb{Q} and \mathcal{G}_i is absolutely simple. Let C, Σ_i be as in §3.4.2. For each $i \in \mathbf{I}$, define Σ_i^{ST} and $\mathcal{H}_i^{\mathrm{ST}}$ as in §4.2.1. Let

$$\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{ST}} = \prod_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \mathcal{H}_i^{\mathrm{ST}}.$$

Let $G = G(\mathbb{H}_{\mathbf{I},p,X}, x)^{\circ}$. If MT_p holds for f , we then have $G = G_{\mathrm{B}}(f_{\mathbf{I}})_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$. It is easy to see that

$$T_{f,x,\mathbb{C}_p} = \mathrm{Lie} U_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\mathrm{ST}},\mu_x^{-1}}.$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. Let the notation be as in Notation 4.3. It suffices to prove Conjecture 4.2 under Assumption 4.5. In the following, we assume that our X is sufficiently shrunk. Let \mathcal{R}_f be as in Theorem 4.7. Let $\mathbf{J} \subseteq \mathbf{I}$ be the set of indices consisting of those i such that the projection of \mathcal{R}_f to \mathcal{F}_{i,X'_0} is not 0. Then $\#\mathbf{J} > 0$. Project X to $\prod_{i \in \mathbf{J}} \mathcal{X}_{f_i,\mathbb{F}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ and let \mathcal{C} be a component of the later space containing the image. Project X to $\prod_{i \in \mathbf{I} \setminus \mathbf{J}} \mathcal{X}_{f_i,\mathbb{F}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$ and let Y be the Zariski closure of the image in the later space. We have

$$(4.2.5) \quad \overline{X} \subseteq \mathcal{C} \times Y.$$

It suffices to show that (4.2.5) is an equality. Let $x \in X(\mathbb{F})$. Since MT_p holds for f , we have

$$T_{f,x} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{I}} T_{f_i,x}.$$

Claim. The inclusion $R_{f,x} \subseteq T_{f\mathbf{J},x} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{J}} T_{f_i,x}$ is an equality.

Theorem 4.7(1) implies that $R_{f,x}$ is invariant under ad_{G,μ_x} . Note that ad_{G,μ_x} factors surjectively through $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\mathrm{ST}},\mu_x}$, so R_{f,x,\mathbb{C}_p} is also a subrep of $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\mathrm{ST}},\mu_x}$. We again obtain a decomposition similar to (4.2.4):

$$\mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\mathrm{ST}},\mu_x} = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbf{I}} \bigoplus_{\sigma_i \in \Sigma_i^{\mathrm{ST}}} \mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{G}_{i,\sigma_i,\mathbb{C}_p},\mu_x}.$$

Lemma 4.6 again implies that each $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{G}_{i,\sigma_i,\mathbb{C}_p},\mu_x}$ in (4.2.4) is irreducible.

Let \mathcal{R}_{f_i} be the projection of \mathcal{R}_f to \mathcal{F}_i . Let $Z \in \Xi$ be as in Theorem 4.7(3), which has positive dimensional projection to $\mathcal{A}_{g_i,\mathbb{F}}$ for each $i \in \mathbf{J}$. This implies that $R_{f_i,x} \neq 0$ for $i \in \mathbf{J}$. It then follows from the proof of Theorem 4.9 that $R_{f_i,x} = T_{f_i,x}$ for all $i \in \mathbf{J}$. The irreducibility of each $\mathrm{ad}_{\mathcal{G}_{i,\sigma_i,\mathbb{C}_p},\mu_x}$ guarantees that $R_{f,x} = T_{f\mathbf{J},x}$.

Now, let x' be the projection of x to Y . Since $\mathcal{R}_{f,x} \otimes \mathbb{G}_m^\wedge \subseteq X^x \subseteq X_*(\mathcal{T}_{f,x}) \otimes \mathbb{G}_m^\wedge$ by Theorem 4.7(2), *Claim* 4.2.2 implies that

$$X^x \supseteq \mathcal{R}_{f,x} \otimes \mathbb{G}_m^\wedge = (\mathcal{C} \times \{x'\})^x.$$

So $\overline{X} \supseteq \mathcal{C} \times \{x'\}$. Now let x vary over $X(\mathbb{F})$. Since the projection of X to Y is Zariski dense, we find that $\overline{X} \supseteq \mathcal{C} \times Y$. This implies that (4.2.5) is an equality. \square

5. MOD p VARIANTS OF CLASSICAL METHODS

In this chapter, we adapt classical methods to establish MT_p in various cases. The main results are the characteristic p analogues of results of Commelin, Pink, Tankeev, and Moonen–Zarhin; cf. [Com19a, Pin98, MZ95, Tan83]. The techniques we use for establishing MT_p are completely expected, but the truly interesting applications come when we combine these results with the previously established implications

$$\mathrm{MT}_p \Leftrightarrow \log\mathrm{AL}_p \Rightarrow \mathrm{geoAO}_p.$$

This enables us to obtain nice consequences on the unlikely intersections side of the story, see Corollary 5.5 and Corollary 5.6.

In the following, let k/\mathbb{F}_q be a function field.

Theorem 5.1 (Analogue of [Com19a]). *Let A_1, A_2 be ordinary abelian varieties over k . Suppose that MT_p holds for A_1 and A_2 , then it holds for $A_1 \times A_2$.*

Theorem 5.2 (Analogue of [Pin98]). *Let A be an ordinary abelian variety over k . Suppose that A is of Pink type; cf. Definition 1.13. Then MT_p holds for A .*

Theorem 5.3 (Analogue of [Tan83]). *Let A be an ordinary abelian variety of prime dimension over k . Suppose that A is geometrically simple. Then MT_p holds for A .*

Theorem 5.4 (Analogue of [MZ95]). *Let A be an ordinary abelian fourfold over a function field k . Suppose that A is not of p -adic Mumford type; cf. Definition 1.14. Then MT_p holds for A .*

Corollary 5.5. *Suppose $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_1,\mathbb{F}} \times \mathcal{A}_{g_2,\mathbb{F}} \times \dots \times \mathcal{A}_{g_n,\mathbb{F}}$ be a morphism whose image lies generically in the ordinary locus. If $\log\mathrm{AL}_p$ holds for each factor⁹ $f_i : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_i,\mathbb{F}}$, then MT_p and $\log\mathrm{AL}_p$ hold for f . If f is further more a locally closed immersion, then geoAO_p holds for X .*

Corollary 5.6. *Suppose $f : X \hookrightarrow \mathcal{A}_{5,\mathbb{F}}$ is a locally closed immersion whose image lies generically in the ordinary locus. Then geoAO_p holds for X .*

⁹For example, $\log\mathrm{AL}_p$ trivially holds for f_i if f_i is dominant. More generally, $\log\mathrm{AL}_p$ holds for f_i if f_i dominates a special subvariety.

5.1. Mumford–Tate conjecture for products. In this section we prove Theorem 5.1. Our proof is a modification of that in [Com19a]: most group theory treatments carry through, while some geometric constructions need to be modified with care.

For reader’s convenience, we give an overview of Commelin’s original proof over number fields; see also [Com19a, §1.5]. Instead of working with abelian varieties, one works with the “adjoint motive” M_i associated to A_i (over Betti realization, this amounts to consider the adjoint representation of $G_B(A_1)^{\text{ad}}$). It suffices to prove the Mumford–Tate conjecture for $M := M_1 \oplus M_2$. For this, one can assume that M_i is irreducible. If the Mumford–Tate conjecture holds for A_1 and A_2 , then it holds for M_1 and M_2 . So $G_B(M_1)_{\mathbb{Q}_l} = G_l(M_1)^\circ$. Assume $G_l(M)^\circ \subsetneq G_l(M_1)^\circ \times G_l(M_2)^\circ$, it suffices to show that $G_B(M) \subsetneq G_B(M_1) \times G_B(M_2)$. The key point is to use Deligne’s construction (§5.1.2) to attach to M_i an (explicit) abelian variety \tilde{A}_i with the property that $G_B(\tilde{A}_i)^{\text{ad}} = G_B(M_i)$. One then uses Faltings’ theorem to construct an isogeny between \tilde{A}_1 and \tilde{A}_2 to conclude.

The overall structure of our proof in characteristic p is not so much different from Commelin’s. However, there is some difference that need to be pointed out:

- (1) We will work with a geometric version of the Mumford–Tate conjecture as we did in the previous chapters: suppose that X is a smooth connected \mathbb{F} -variety with a map $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_1, \mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}} \times \mathcal{A}_{g_2, \mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$. Let f_1, f_2 be the projection of f to each factor. We will show that if MT_p holds for f_1 and f_2 , then it holds for f .
- (2) Instead of working with motives, we work with collections of coefficient objects (Betti, étale, and crystalline); cf. §5.1.1. We do this simply to avoid the technicalities for motives in characteristic p – in particular when it is tricky to make sense of “Betti realizations”. Nevertheless, to cope with the notation in [Com19a], we will still use “ M ” to denote a collection of coefficient objects.
- (3) As opposed to Commelin, we work explicitly with Shimura varieties, especially when performing Deligne’s construction. In fact, we need to construct abelian schemes \tilde{A}_i in characteristic p . This involves a study of the mod p reduction of the Hodge type Shimura variety that arises from Deligne’s construction.

5.1.1. Families of adjoint coefficient objects. Let $f : (X, x) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$, and let $f_0 : X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}_q}^{\text{ord}}$ be a finite field model. Let A_{X_0} be the pullback abelian scheme over X_0 . We define M to be a collection of cohomology sheaves associated to A_{X_0} :

$$M := \{H_\bullet(M), \bullet = B \text{ and } u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})\}$$

that plays essentially the same role as the “hyperadjoint abelian motive associated to A_{X_0} ” in the sense of [Com19a]: $H_B(M)$ is the variation of rational Hodge structures on $\mathcal{X}_f \in \text{MS}_f(\mathcal{A}_g)$ associated to the adjoint representation

$$G_B(f) \curvearrowright \text{Lie } G_B(f)^{\text{ad}}.$$

For a prime $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$, $H_u(M)$ is the \mathbb{Q}_u -coefficient object (on an étale cover of X_0) corresponding to the adjoint representation

$$(5.1.1) \quad G_u(f)^\circ \hookrightarrow G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_u} \curvearrowright \text{Lie } G_B(f)_{\mathbb{Q}_u}^{\text{ad}}.$$

The symbol “ M ” is chosen to mean “motive” (and to cope with the notation in [Com19a]), though we are not using this language. In our paper, M is simply understood as a collection of coefficient objects.

Write $G_\bullet(M)$ for the monodromy group of $H_\bullet(M)$. We have $G_B(M) = G_B(f)^{\text{ad}}$, and $G_u(M) = G_u(f)^{\text{ad}}$. Suppose that $E \subseteq \text{End}(H_B(M))$ is a number field. Following [Com19b, §4.1],

- (1) denote by Λ_u the set of places of E lying above u . Then $E_u := E \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{Q}_u = \prod_{\lambda \in \Lambda_u} E_\lambda$. Let $H_\lambda(M) = H_u(M) \otimes_{E_u} E_\lambda$. We have a splitting $H_u(M) = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_u} H_\lambda(M)$,
- (2) denote by $G_\lambda(M)$ the monodromy group of $H_\lambda(M)$, which is a E_λ -algebra group.

(3) we also write $H_\Lambda(M)$ for the collection of coefficient objects $\{H_\lambda | \lambda \in \text{fpl}(E)\}$.

Lemma 5.7. *Notation as above, $H_\Lambda(M)$ is a weakly E -compatible family of coefficient objects in the sense of [Jia24, §3.4].*

Proof. The proof is similar to Lemma 3.14. The key point is the existence of canonical lift for ordinary abelian varieties. We leave the detailed proof to the reader. \square

This lemma is a characteristic p analogue of [Com19a, Theorem 5.13]. The original version is more subtle (since there is in general no canonical lift for a non-ordinary abelian variety over finite field), and requires Kisin's result ([Kis17, Theorem 2.2.3]) as a main input.

5.1.2. Deligne's construction. Let (G, \mathcal{D}) be a simple adjoint Shimura datum. As explained in [Del79, Section 2.3.4], $G = \text{Res}_{E/\mathbb{Q}} \mathcal{G}$ for some totally real field E and a absolute simple adjoint group over E . Let Σ_E be the set of real embeddings of E . We can decompose $\Sigma_E = \Sigma_{E,c} \sqcup \Sigma_{E,nc}$, where $\Sigma_{E,c}$ (resp. $\Sigma_{E,nc}$) consists of embeddings σ such that $\mathcal{G}_{\sigma,\mathbb{R}}$ is compact (resp. non-compact).

The Dynkin diagram Δ of G is a disjoint union of (connected) Dynkin diagrams Δ_τ of $G_{\tau,\mathbb{C}}$, $\tau \in \Sigma_E$. Let μ be the Hodge cocharacter of a point in \mathcal{D} . Then μ gives rise to a collection of special nodes in Δ . Following the terminology of [Com19a], we will call the data (Δ, μ) a **Deligne–Dynkin diagram**. Note that the absolute Galois group $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ acts on Δ . See [Del79, Table 1.3.9] for a complete classification of connected Deligne–Dynkin diagrams, and see also [Com19a, §6.9] for a detailed explanation.

Now fix an imaginary quadratic extension F/E . Let Σ_F be the set of complex embeddings of F . Let $\Phi \subseteq \Sigma_F$ be a partial CM type that contains exactly one complex embedding lying above each $\sigma \in \Sigma_{E,c}$, and contains no complex embedding lying above $\Sigma_{E,nc}$. Define a Hodge structure $h_\Phi : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow F^* \otimes \mathbb{R}$ (here $F^* = \text{Res}_{F/\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{G}_m$) on $F \otimes \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}^{\Sigma_F}$ by placing $\tau \in \Sigma_F$ in the bi-degree $(1, 0)$, if $\tau \in \Phi$; $(0, 1)$, if $\bar{\tau} \in \Phi$; and $(0, 0)$ otherwise.

Proposition 5.8 ([Del79, Proposition 2.3.10]). *Let (G, \mathcal{D}) be of type $A, B, C, D^{\mathbb{H}}$ or $D^{\mathbb{R}}$. Let F be any quadratic extension of E with partial CM type Φ as above. There exists morphisms of Shimura data (as constructed in the following paragraphs)*

$$(G, \mathcal{D}) \leftarrow (G_1, \mathcal{D}_1) \hookrightarrow (\text{GSp}(V'), \mathfrak{H}^\pm)$$

such that $\mathbf{E}(G_1, \mathcal{D}_1) = \mathbf{E}(G, \mathcal{D})\mathbf{E}(F^*, h_\Phi)$ and $G_1^{\text{ad}} = G$.¹⁰

A consequence of this proposition is that a simple adjoint Shimura datum (G, \mathcal{D}) is of abelian type if and only if it is of type $A, B, C, D^{\mathbb{H}}$ or $D^{\mathbb{R}}$.

Since it will be used later, we briefly sketch the construction. First, (Δ, μ) admits a subset S of μ -symplectic nodes (see [Com19a, Definition 6.7, Theorem 6.12], or [Del79, 2.3.7]). Let \tilde{G} be the simply connected cover of G . For each $s \in S$, there is a representation $V(s)$ of $\tilde{G}_{\mathbb{C}}$ whose highest weight corresponds to s . These can be descend to a representation V of \tilde{G} over \mathbb{Q} , such that $V_{\mathbb{C}} = \bigoplus V(s)^n$ for some n . Let \tilde{G}' be the image of \tilde{G} in $\text{GL}(V)$.

Lift $h \in \mathcal{D}$ to a fractional homomorphism ([Del79, 1.3.4]) $\mathbb{S} \rightarrow \tilde{G}_{\mathbb{R}}$. This gives a fractional Hodge structure over V , which can be explicitly described as follows: if $s \in \Delta_\tau$ for a $\tau \in \Sigma_{E,c}$, then $V(s)$ is of type $\{(0, 0)\}$; if s lies in a $\tau \in \Sigma_{E,nc}$, then $V(s)$ is of type $\{(r, -r), (r-1, 1-r)\}$, where r is as in [Del79, 1.3.9].

Let F_S be the étale E -algebra such that $\text{Hom}(F_S, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \simeq S$ as $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ -sets. We put a fractional Hodge structure on F_S as follows: the component of $\mathbb{C}^{[s]}$ in $F_S \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}^S$ is of type $\{(0, 0)\}$, if $s \in \Delta_\tau$ for a $\tau \in \Sigma_{E,c}$; otherwise it is of type $(1-r, r)$, where r is as in the previous paragraph. Note that V is canonically an F_S -module, and $F_S \otimes_{F_S} V$ is the same \mathbb{Q} -space V but carrying a

¹⁰To avoid confusion with the totally real field E , we use bold font \mathbf{E} for the reflex field.

different Hodge structure h_2 : if $s \in \Delta_\tau$ for a $\tau \in \Sigma_{R,c}$, then $V(s)$ is of type $\{(0,0)\}$; otherwise $V(s)$ is of type $\{(1,0), (0,1)\}$. Let $G_2 = \tilde{G}' \cdot F_S^* \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(V)$.

Recall that F is equipped with a Hodge structure h_Φ (in the paragraph preceding Proposition 5.8). We take $V' = F \otimes_E V$, and equip it with the product Hodge structure

$$(5.1.2) \quad h_3 = h_\Phi \otimes h_2.$$

Then V' is of type $\{(0,1), (1,0)\}$. Let (G_3, \mathcal{D}_3) be the Shimura datum defined as follows: $G_3 = G_2 \cdot F^* \subseteq \mathrm{GL}(V')$, and \mathcal{D}_3 is the conjugacy class in $G_3(\mathbb{R})$ of the cocharacter $h_3 : \mathbb{S} \rightarrow G_{3,\mathbb{R}}$. We have $(G_3, \mathcal{D}_3) \rightarrow (G, \mathcal{D})$ and $\mathbf{E}(G_3, \mathcal{D}_3) = \mathbf{E}(G, \mathcal{D})\mathbf{E}(F^*, h_\Phi)$. Finally apply [Del79, Corollary 2.3.3] to obtain the desired (G_1, \mathcal{D}_1) . We remind the readers that $G_1 \subseteq G_3$ is a subgroup that has the same derived subgroup as G_1 , and the cocharacters in \mathcal{D}_3 factor through $G_{1,\mathbb{R}}$ (so $\mathcal{D}_1 = \mathcal{D}_3$).

5.1.3. Ordinary reduction of Deligne's construction. We say a number field $K \subseteq \mathbb{C}$ is **of height 1 at p** , if the inclusion $K \subseteq \mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}_p$ factors through \mathbb{Q}_p .

Lemma 5.9. *Suppose that \mathcal{D} contains a CM point h with reflex field \mathbf{E}_h of height 1 at p . We can choose F and Φ such that the following are true:*

- (1) $\mathbf{E}(F^*, h_\Phi)$ is of height 1 at p .
- (2) Let h_3 be the cocharacter that arises from h as in (5.1.2), which furthermore lies in \mathcal{D}_1 . Then h_3 is a CM point with reflex field of height 1 at p .

Proof. Consider a quadratic imaginary extension $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})/\mathbb{Q}$ where p splits completely. Let $F = E(\sqrt{-d})$. Let $\mathrm{Gal}(E_c) \subseteq \mathrm{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ be the subgroup that fixes $\Sigma_{E,c}$ (i.e., the fixed field of $\Sigma_{E,c}$ is E_c). Let $F_c = E_c(\sqrt{-d})$. Consider the orbits O_1, \dots, O_n of the group action $\mathrm{Gal}(E_c) \curvearrowright \Sigma_{E,c}$. For each $1 \leq i \leq n$, pick a representative $\sigma_i \in O_i$ and pick a $\tau_i \in \Sigma_F$ lying above σ_i . Define

$$\Phi = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \mathrm{Gal}(F_c) \cdot \tau_i.$$

Then Φ contains at least one complex embedding lying above each $\sigma \in \Sigma_{E,c}$. On the other hand, if Φ contains both τ and $\bar{\tau}$, then there exists $g \in \mathrm{Gal}(F_c)$ such that $g\tau = \bar{\tau}$. This implies that $g(\sqrt{-d}) = -\sqrt{-d}$, so $g \notin \mathrm{Gal}(F_c)$. The contradiction shows that Φ contains exactly one element above each $\sigma \in \Sigma_{E,c}$.

Note that F_c fixes Φ , so we have $\mathbf{E}(F^*, h_\Phi) \subseteq F_c$. Since h factors non-centrally through a simple factor of $G_{\mathbb{R}}$ iff this factor is compact, we have $E_c \subseteq \mathbf{E}_h$. Since \mathbf{E}_h is of height 1, so is E_c . Since p splits completely in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-d})/\mathbb{Q}$, F_c is also of height 1. This implies that $\mathbf{E}(F^*, h_\Phi)$ is of height 1 as well. This proves (1).

Now let h_3 be as in (5.1.2). It is clear that h_3 is CM. Its reflex field is of height 1 at p since it is contained in $\mathbf{E}(F^*, h_\Phi)\mathbf{E}_h$. \square

5.1.4. Deligne abelian scheme. Suppose that X is a smooth connected \mathbb{F} -variety with a map $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}^{\mathrm{ord}}$, such that $G_{\mathbb{B}}(f)^{\mathrm{ad}}$ is a simple \mathbb{Q} -group. Via pullback, we get an ordinary abelian scheme A over X . In this section, we explain how to associate to (a quasi-finite dominant cover of) X a new ordinary abelian scheme \tilde{A} using Deligne's construction. This is similar to the process of associating a Kuga–Satake abelian scheme to a K3 surface.

Fix an $\mathcal{X}_f \in \mathrm{MS}_f(\mathcal{A}_g)$ into $\mathcal{A}_{g'}$. Let $G = G_{\mathbb{B}}(f)^{\mathrm{ad}}$. We have a simple adjoint Shimura datum (G, \mathcal{D}) . Since \mathcal{D} contains a CM point of ordinary reduction, we can choose F and Φ in Deligne's construction §5.1.2 so that the conditions of Lemma 5.9 are met. As a result, we obtain explicit morphisms of Shimura data

$$(G, \mathcal{D}) \leftarrow (G_1, \mathcal{D}_1) \hookrightarrow (\mathrm{GSp}(V'), \mathfrak{H}^\pm),$$

where $G_1^{\text{ad}} = G$. Moreover, \mathcal{D}_1 also contains a CM point of ordinary reduction. Let $\mathcal{A}_{g'}$ be a Siegel modular variety that arises from $(\text{GSp}(V), \mathfrak{H}^\pm)$, with sufficiently small level structure. Let $\mathcal{A}_{g'}$ be the naïve integral model of $\mathcal{A}_{g'}$ in the integral canonical model $\mathcal{A}_{g''}$ of a larger Siegel modular variety. Up to a finite cover and an isogeny, the universal abelian scheme on \mathcal{A}_g extends to $\mathcal{A}_{g'}$. Let's call this abelian scheme A^{D} . On the other hand, there exists a special subvariety $\mathcal{X}'_f \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g'}$ which *specialy corresponds* to \mathcal{X}_f in the sense of [Jia24, §2.4.1]: this means that there exists a special subvariety

$$\mathcal{W} \subseteq \mathcal{X}_f \times \mathcal{X}'_f \subseteq \mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_{g'}$$

whose projections to \mathcal{X}_f and \mathcal{X}'_f are finite and surjective. Let \mathcal{X}'_f be the Zariski closure of \mathcal{X}_f in $\mathcal{A}_{g'}$. By construction, \mathcal{X}'_f admits non-empty ordinary locus. Let \mathcal{X}_f (resp. \mathcal{X}'_f) be the Zariski closure of \mathcal{X}_f (resp. \mathcal{X}'_f) in \mathcal{A}_g (resp. $\mathcal{A}_{g'}$). Let \mathcal{W} be the Zariski closure of \mathcal{W} in $\mathcal{A}_g \times \mathcal{A}_{g'}$. Note that \mathcal{X}_f admits non-empty ordinary locus. By Lemma 5.9, \mathcal{X}'_f also admits non-empty ordinary locus. Therefore [Jia24, Lemma 2.7] implies that the maps $\varpi : \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_{f,\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$ and $\varpi' : \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_{f,\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$ are both surjective and quasi-finite. Take $X' \subseteq \mathcal{W}_{\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$ be a smooth subvariety, such that $\varpi|_{X'}$ is Zariski dense in X . Consider $f' := \varpi'|_{X'} : X' \rightarrow \mathcal{X}'_{f,\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$. Possibly replacing X' by a further finite cover, we can restrict A^{D} to X' . It is an ordinary abelian scheme over X' , and we will call this abelian scheme a **Deligne abelian scheme** associated to A , denoted by \tilde{A} . Note that f' is a modification of f in the sense of [Jia24, Definition 4.16].

We will denote by $H_{\text{B}}(\tilde{A})$ the variation of rational Hodge structures over \mathcal{X}'_f that arise from the universal abelian scheme over $\mathcal{A}_{g'}$. It corresponds to a faithful representation $G_{\text{B}}(f') \hookrightarrow V'$. We have $G_{\text{B}}(f')^{\text{ad}} = G_{\text{B}}(f)^{\text{ad}}$.

5.1.5. The proof. We begin by making some simplifications. Suppose that we have two maps $f_1 : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_1,\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$ and $f_2 : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_2,\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$, corresponding to two abelian schemes A_1, A_2 over X . Suppose that MT_p holds for both f_1 and f_2 . Our goal is to show that MT_p holds for $f = f_1 \times f_2$.

First, we can reduce to the case where f_1 and f_2 separate factors. Let $\alpha \in \{1, 2\}$. Suppose that the \mathbb{Q} -simple factors of $G_{\text{B}}(f_{\alpha})^{\text{ad}}$ are indexed by \mathbf{I}_{α} . Run [Jia24, Construction 4.20]. We can find a *modification* $f'_{\alpha} : X'_{\alpha} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g'_{\alpha},\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$ of f that separates factors over $\mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{I}_{\alpha}},\mathbb{F}} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g'_{\alpha},\mathbb{F}}$. By [Jia24, Definition 4.16] and the quasi-finiteness result [Jia24, Lemma 2.7], we can find a dominant quasi-finite cover $X''_{\alpha} \rightarrow X$ which moreover dominates X'_{α} . There exists a smooth variety X'' that is quasi-finite over and dominates both X''_1 and X''_2 . Let f''_{α} be the induced map $X'' \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g'_{\alpha},\mathbb{F}}$. Note that f''_{α} is a modification of f_{α} , and $f'' := f''_1 \times f''_2$ is a modification of $f = f_1 \times f_2$. So by [Jia24, Proposition 4.8], MT_p for f (resp. f_1, f_2) is equivalent to MT_p for f'' (resp. f''_1, f''_2). Replacing (X, f) by (X'', f'') , we can assume at the very beginning that f_1 and f_2 separate factors.

Second, we can reduce to the case where $G_{\text{B}}(f_1)^{\text{ad}}$ and $G_{\text{B}}(f_2)^{\text{ad}}$ are simple. Suppose that f_1, f_2 already separate factors, so for $\alpha \in \{1, 2\}$, we have maps $f_{\alpha} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_{\mathbf{I}_{\alpha}},\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$. Let $i_1 \in \mathbf{I}_1$ and $i_2 \in \mathbf{I}_2$. We obtain two maps $f_{i_1} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_{i_1},\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$ and $f_{i_2} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g_{i_2},\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$. Then $G_{\text{B}}(f_{i_1})^{\text{ad}}$ and $G_{\text{B}}(f_{i_2})^{\text{ad}}$ are simple, and MT_p holds for both f_{i_1} and f_{i_2} . Suppose we can show that MT_p holds for $f_{i_1} \times f_{i_2}$ for every pair $(i_1, i_2) \in \mathbf{I}_1 \times \mathbf{I}_2$, then MT_p holds for $f_1 \times f_2$ by [Com19a, Lemma 10.1].

Proof of Theorem 5.1. By the discussion made above, we will assume that $G_{\text{B}}(f_1)^{\text{ad}}$ and $G_{\text{B}}(f_2)^{\text{ad}}$ are simple. Let X_0 be a sufficiently large finite field model of X . Possibly replacing X_0 by an étale cover, we may assume that the u -adic group $G_u(f)$ is connected for all $u \in \mathbb{Q}$. Let $l \neq p$ be a prime. Let $M = \{H_{\bullet}(M)\}$ (resp. $M_1 = \{H_{\bullet}(M_1)\}$ resp. $M_2 = \{H_{\bullet}(M_2)\}$) be the collections of coefficient objects associated to the pullback abelian schemes corresponding to f (resp. f_1 resp. f_2), respectively. It suffices to show that if $G_l(M) \subsetneq G_l(M_1) \times G_l(M_2)$, then $G_{\text{B}}(M) \subsetneq G_{\text{B}}(M_1) \times G_{\text{B}}(M_2)$.

The proof is a characteristic p variant of the proof in [Com19a, §9]. Whenever Commelin uses [Com19a, Theorem 5.13] in his original proof, we use Lemma 5.7 instead; whenever Commelin uses the group “ Γ_K ”, we use $\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0)$ instead. The proof is decomposed into several steps, which roughly follows the order of [Com19a, §9].

(1) **(Showing that $H_\Lambda(M_1) \simeq H_\Lambda(M_2)$)**

Following [Com19a, §9.3], we can identify $E_1 = \text{End}(H_B(M_1))$ with $E_2 = \text{End}(H_B(M_2))$, and there is a place λ in $E := E_1 = E_2$ lying above l such that $H_\lambda(M_1) \simeq H_\lambda(M_2)$ as E_λ -coefficient objects. Using Lemma 5.7, we see that $H_\Lambda(M_1) \simeq H_\Lambda(M_2)$ as collection of coefficient objects.

(2) **(Showing that $(\Delta_1, \mu_1) \simeq (\Delta_2, \mu_2)$)**

To ease notation, let $G_i := G_B(M_i)$. Let (G_i, \mathcal{D}_i) be the adjoint Shimura datum arising from G_i , and let (Δ_i, μ_i) be its Deligne–Dynkin diagram. In the following we run [Com19a, §9.4]: Write $f : \pi_0(\Delta_1) \rightarrow \pi_0(\Delta_2)$ be the canonical identifications $\pi_0(\Delta_i) \simeq \text{Hom}(E, \overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ as $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ -sets. From (1), we have local isomorphisms

$$(5.1.3) \quad \psi_l \in \text{Isom}_f((\Delta_1, \mu_1)_{\mathbb{Q}_l}, (\Delta_2, \mu_2)_{\mathbb{Q}_l})^{\text{Gal } \mathbb{Q}_l}$$

for $l \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$ ¹¹. The only nontrivial part of establishing (5.1.3) is to show that $\psi_l(\mu_1) = \mu_2$ for $l \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$. For this, one need to apply the Hodge–Tate decomposition (see [Com19a, §7]). More precisely, one need to show that after identifying $H_l(M_i)$ with $H_B(M_i) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_l$, an isomorphism $H_l(M_1) \simeq H_l(M_2)$ from (1) carries the conjugacy class of μ_1 to that of μ_2 . In our setting, this is again true: take $x \in X_0(\mathbb{F}_q)$ and take canonical lift $\tilde{x}_{\mathbb{C}} \in \mathcal{A}_{g_1, \mathbb{C}} \times \mathcal{A}_{g_2, \mathbb{C}}$. We can take μ to be the Hodge cocharacter arising from the CM abelian varieties over $\tilde{x}_{\mathbb{C}}$, and take μ_1, μ_2 to be its components. We then apply Hodge–Tate decomposition to this CM abelian variety.

Once we have (5.1.3), we can apply [Com19a, Proposition 6.23] to obtain a $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ -invariant isomorphism $\phi : (\Delta_1, \mu_1) \simeq (\Delta_2, \mu_2)$, such that $\phi_\lambda = \psi_\lambda$ for some $\lambda \in \text{fpl}(E)$ (here the λ may be different from the λ from (1), but we abuse the notation). We can further require that $\lambda \nmid p$: In fact, in the original proof of [Com19a, Proposition 6.23], one finds such λ by Chebotarev’s density theorem; however, Chebotarev’s density theorem guarantees the existence of infinitely many such λ . So we can just pick a λ which does not divide p). Let $l \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$ be the prime that λ lies over. We will fix λ and l in the rest of the proof.

(3) **(Applying Deligne’s construction)**

Following [Com19a, §9.5], we apply Deligne’s construction to the adjoint Shimura data (G_i, \mathcal{D}_i) . We make the following choices:

- (a) since each \mathcal{D}_i contains a CM point of ordinary reduction, we can choose a totally imaginary quadratic extension F/E and a partial CM type $\Phi \subseteq \Sigma_F$, such that the conditions in Lemma 5.9 are met.
- (b) recall that \widetilde{G}_i is the simply connected cover of $G_i = G_B(M_i)$, and we have constructed a representation $\widetilde{G}_i \curvearrowright V_i$ from the set of μ -symplectic nodes of (Δ_i, μ_i) . We have $\dim V_1 = \dim V_2$.

We then get explicit morphisms of Shimura data

$$(G_i, \mathcal{D}_i) \leftarrow (G_{i1}, \mathcal{D}_{i1}) \hookrightarrow (\text{GSp}(V_i'), \mathfrak{H}_i^\pm).$$

As explained in §5.1.4, possibly replacing X_0 by a quasi-finite dominant cover, we obtain two ordinary Deligne abelian schemes \tilde{A}_1 and \tilde{A}_2 over X_0 , such that $G_B(\tilde{A}_i) \curvearrowright V_i'$ and $G_B(\tilde{A}_i)^{\text{ad}} = G_B(M_i)$.

¹¹We haven’t defined $(\Delta_1, \mu_1)_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$ and Isom_f . However, their meanings are pretty self-explanatory. The readers can see [Com19a, §6.16, §6.17] for the precise definitions.

Write $W_{F,i} := F \otimes_E F_{S_i}$, which is a weight 1 fractional Hodge structure of CM type. Then $V'_i = W_{F,i} \otimes_{F_{S_i}} V_i$. Let $H_B(\tilde{A}_i)$ be the variation of Hodge structures as explained at the end of §5.1.4. Let $H_l(\tilde{A}_i)$ be the first l -adic cohomology of \tilde{A}_i . Note that $E \subseteq \text{End}(H_B(\tilde{A}_i))$ ([Com19a, §8.4, §8.5]). Let $H_\lambda(\tilde{A}_i) = H_l(\tilde{A}_i) \otimes_{E_l} E_\lambda$, which splits $H_l(\tilde{A}_i)$ as $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda_l} H_\lambda(\tilde{A}_i)$. Let $G_\lambda(\tilde{A}_i)$ (resp. $\widetilde{G}_\lambda(\tilde{A}_i)$) be the monodromy group of $H_\lambda(\tilde{A}_i)$ (resp. $\widetilde{H}_\lambda(\tilde{A}_i)$).

(4) **(Making identifications)**

Following [Com19a, §9.6], we can make the following canonical identifications:

- Identify $H_\lambda(M_1)$ and $H_\lambda(M_2)$, as well as the monodromy representations $\rho_{i,\lambda} : \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0) \rightarrow G_\lambda(M_i)(E_\lambda)$. Identify $G_\lambda(M_1)$ with $G_\lambda(M_2)$ and their simply connected covers $\widetilde{G}_\lambda(M_1)$ with $\widetilde{G}_\lambda(M_2)$. The resulting objects will be called $H_\lambda, \rho_\lambda, G_\lambda$ and \widetilde{G}_λ , respectively.
- Identify (Δ_1, μ_1) with (Δ_2, μ_2) , and identify E -algebras $W_{F,1}$ and $W_{F,2}$. Call the resulting algebra W_F and write $W_{F,\lambda}$ for $W_F \otimes_E E_\lambda$.
- Identify $H_\lambda(\tilde{A}_1)$ with $H_\lambda(\tilde{A}_2)$ as representations of \widetilde{G}_λ with an action of $W_{F,\lambda}$. Call this representation H'_λ . Identify $G_\lambda(\tilde{A}_1)$ with $G_\lambda(\tilde{A}_2)$. Call this group G'_λ .

Finally, for each $i \in \{1, 2\}$, there is a representation

$$\tilde{\rho}_{i,\lambda} : \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0) \rightarrow G'_\lambda(E_\lambda)$$

that lifts ρ_λ .

(5) **(Showing that $\tilde{\rho}_{1,\lambda} = \tilde{\rho}_{2,\lambda}$)**

For this, we adapt the argument in [Com19a, §9.7, §9.8]: for $i = 1, 2$ the natural map $p_i : G_B(W_F) \rightarrow G_B(\tilde{A}_i)^{\text{ab}}$ is an isogeny, and there exists a torus T together with isogenies $q_i : G_B(\tilde{A}_i)^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow T$ such that $q_1 \circ p_1 = q_2 \circ p_2$. Let $T \rightarrow \text{GL}(N)$ be a faithful representation of T . We can define two Galois representations

$$(5.1.4) \quad \pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_{i,l}} G_l(\tilde{A}_i)(\mathbb{Q}_l) = G_B(\tilde{A}_i)(\mathbb{Q}_l) \rightarrow G_B(\tilde{A}_i)^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{Q}_l) \xrightarrow{q_{i,l}} T(\mathbb{Q}_l) \rightarrow \text{GL}(N)(\mathbb{Q}_l).$$

By construction, the two representations are identical. Let $G_l(N)$ be the monodromy group of (5.1.4), which is nothing but $T_{\mathbb{Q}_l}$. Following the last paragraph of [Com19a, §9.8], one can use $G_l(N)$ to construct a finite quotient $(\text{Res}_{E_\lambda/\mathbb{Q}_l} G'_\lambda)^{\text{ab}} \rightarrow T'$ such that

$$\pi_1^{\text{ét}}(X_0) \xrightarrow{\tilde{\rho}_{i,l}} (\text{Res}_{E_\lambda/\mathbb{Q}_l} G'_\lambda)(\mathbb{Q}_l) \rightarrow (\text{Res}_{E_\lambda/\mathbb{Q}_l} G'_\lambda)^{\text{ab}}(\mathbb{Q}_l) \rightarrow T'(\mathbb{Q}_l)$$

is independent of $i = 1, 2$. The existence of such T' implies that $\tilde{\rho}_{1,\lambda} = \tilde{\rho}_{2,\lambda}$, the proof is purely group theoretic, and works in our setting, see [Com19a, §9.9] for more details.

(6) **(Applying the Tate conjecture)**

Finally, the fact that $\tilde{\rho}_{1,\lambda} = \tilde{\rho}_{2,\lambda}$ and the compatibility of $\{H_\lambda(\tilde{A}_1 \oplus \tilde{A}_2)\}_{\lambda \in E}$ (proved exactly as Lemma 5.7) imply that $H_l(\tilde{A}_1) \simeq H_l(\tilde{A}_2)$ as Galois representations, and we conclude by Tate isogeny theorem that $G_B(M) \subsetneq G_B(M_1) \times G_B(M_2)$.

□

Proof of Corollary 5.5. By Theorem 3.1, MT_p holds for f_i . So MT_p holds for f by Theorem 5.1. By Theorem 3.1 again, logAL_p holds for f . If f is an locally closed immersion, then we conclude by Theorem 4.1 that geoAO_p holds for X . □

5.2. Abelian varieties of Pink type. We refer to Definition 1.13 for the definition of abelian varieties of Pink type. In this section, we will establish Theorem 5.2 by modifying Pink's method. Again, we will often work with the geometric version: $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}}$, and let (X_0, f_0) be a suitable finite field model, with an abelian scheme A_{X_0} over X_0 . Let $\eta_0 = \text{Spec } k$ be the generic fiber of X_0 , and write $A := A_{\eta_0}$.

Assumption 5.10.

- (1) By Lefschetz, we can assume that k is a global function field, i.e., X is a curve.
- (2) Possibly replacing X by an étale cover, we can assume $G_u(f)$ is connected for all $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$. Note that ρ_u is absolutely irreducible.

5.2.1. *Formal characters.* Consider a faithful representation (G, ρ) over a characteristic 0 field $F = \overline{F}$ with ρ irreducible. Let $T \subseteq G$ be a maximal torus. The formal character is defined as

$$\text{ch}_\rho := \sum_{\chi \in X^*(T)} \text{mult}_{\rho|_T}(\chi) \cdot \chi \in \mathbb{Z}[X^*(T)].$$

Pink and Larsen investigate in [LP90] the following

Question. How uniquely is the pair (G, ρ) determined by ch_ρ ?

This boils down to the question of how uniquely the root system Φ of G is determined. Let Φ° be the subset of roots which are short in their respective simple factors of Φ . It is a root system of the same rank as Φ . It can be shown that when Φ is simple, all simple factors of Φ° are of the same type, i.e., Φ° is **isotypic**. In [LP90], Pink and Larsen have shown that Φ° is uniquely determined by ch_ρ , and (G, ρ) is uniquely determined by ch_ρ with only a few exceptions.

This general story can be incorporated with the study of Mumford–Tate pairs. Suppose that (G, ρ) is an irreducible weak Mumford–Tate pair (that comes from an abelian variety), T is a conjugate of a Frobenius torus. By restricting to certain tensor factors of (G, ρ) , one can assume that $(\Phi^\circ, \text{ch}_\rho)$ is isotypic. After comparing with [Pin98, Table 4.2], Pink classifies the possible triple (G, ρ, Φ°) (with $(\Phi^\circ, \text{ch}_\rho)$ isotypic) into the following three cases (cf. [Pin98, §4]):

- (The non-ambiguous case) $\Phi = \Phi^\circ$, and all simple factors of (G, ρ) are of one of the types 1, 4 and 6 in [Pin98, Table 4.2].
- (The first ambiguous case) The simple factors of Φ° and Φ correspond bijectively to each other. There is an $r \geq 3$, such that each simple factor of Φ° is of type D_r , and each simple factor of (G, ρ) is one of the types 2 and 3 in [Pin98, Table 4.2] with this r .
- (The second ambiguous case) Each simple factor of Φ° is of form A_1 . Each simple factor of (G, ρ) is of type 5 in [Pin98, Table 4.2] with possibly varying r (the sum of these r 's is determined by ch_ρ , though).

5.2.2. *Interpolation in characteristic p .* In [Pin98, §6], Pink's shows the existence of a good \mathbb{Q} -model for the l -adic monodromy of a simple abelian variety over a number field. He calls the method “interpolation”. The method rests upon a series of deep works by Pink and Larsen [LP90, LP92, LP95]. These results can be adapted to the characteristic p function field setting. The main goal of this section is to establish a characteristic p analogue of [Pin98, Theorem 5.3] (see Theorem 5.14). The original proof of [Pin98, Theorem 5.3] relies on a set of finite places V_{good} that is defined in [Pin98, (6.9)]. In our setting, we will simply define

$$V_{\text{good}} := V_{\text{max}}(X_0).$$

See (3.1.1). By Proposition 3.4, V_{good} contains a subset of positive density.

When the map f is clear from the context, we will just write G_u for $G_u(f)$. The following is a list of characteristic p constructions that are analogues to the ones in [Pin98, §6]:

- (1) For each $z \in V_{\text{good}}$, let $T_z := G_B(z)$ be the Frobenius torus; cf. §3.1. We will fix once and for all a split torus $T_0 \subseteq \text{GL}_{2g, \mathbb{Q}}$ that conjugates to T_z over $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ for all $z \in V_{\text{good}}$.
- (2) For each $z \in V_{\text{good}}$, fix an $h_z \in \text{GL}_{2g}(\mathbb{Q})$, such that $T_{0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}} = h_z^{-1} T_{z, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}} h_z$.
- (3) For each $u \in \text{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$, fix an $f_u \in \text{GL}_{2g}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_u)$, such that $T_{0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_u} \subseteq f_u^{-1} G_{u, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_u} f_u$, and that $f_u T_{0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_u} f_u^{-1}$ is defined over \mathbb{Q}_u .

- (4) Let $\mu_z : \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \rightarrow T_{z, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ be the Hodge cocharacter of the canonical lift of z . Let $\nu_z := \mu_z^{-1}$ be the Newton cocharacter. Let $\nu_{0,z} : \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \rightarrow T_{0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ be $h_z^{-1} \nu_z h_z$.
- (5) Let $\Gamma \subseteq \text{Aut}(T_{0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}})$ be the stabilizer of the formal character of the tautological representation. The form of T_z over \mathbb{Q} corresponds to a homomorphism

$$(5.2.1) \quad \varphi_z : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}) \rightarrow \Gamma.$$

- (6) Let $\Phi_u \subseteq X_*(T_0)$ be the root system of $f_u^{-1} G_{u, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_u}} f_u$. Let Φ_u° be the subset of roots which are short in their respective simple factors of Φ_u . Since ρ_u is irreducible of dimension $2g$, Φ_u and Φ_u° are non-empty. As we have seen in §5.2.1, Φ_u° is uniquely determined by the formal cocharacter, and $\text{rk } \Phi_u = \text{rk } \Phi_u^\circ$.
- (7) Let $W_u \subseteq \Gamma$ be the Weyl group of Φ_u . Since $(G_{u, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_u}}, \rho_u)$ is independent of u (after identifying the coefficient fields); cf. [Jia24, Lemma 3.4], Φ_u and Φ_u° are independent of u chosen, so we can use Φ and Φ° to denote them.
- (8) The form of $f_u T_{0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_u}} f_u^{-1}$ over \mathbb{Q}_u corresponds to a homomorphism $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_u}) \rightarrow \text{Norm}_\Gamma(W_u)$. Composing it with the projection $\pi_u : \text{Norm}_\Gamma(W_u) \rightarrow \text{Norm}_\Gamma(W_u)/W_u$ gives a map

$$\overline{\varphi}_u : \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}_u}) \rightarrow \text{Norm}_\Gamma(W_u)/W_u.$$

This map characterizes the form of G_u up to inner twist.

Proposition 5.11 (Analogue of [Pin98, Proposition 6.10]). *For any $z \in V_{\text{good}}$, there exists an $\alpha \in \Phi^\circ$ such that $\langle \alpha, \nu_{0,z} \rangle = -1$.*

Proof. Note that $\nu_{0,z}$ has weights $\{0, 1\}$ and both 0 and 1 are attained. This means that $\langle \chi, \nu_{0,z} \rangle \in \{0, 1\}$, for every $\chi \in X^*(T_0)$ that occurs in the tautological representation, and both 0 and 1 can appear. Now any root $\alpha \in \Phi^\circ$ is a quotient of two such weights. So we have $\langle \alpha, \nu_{0,z} \rangle \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ for $\alpha \in \Phi^\circ$. Since $\text{rk } \Phi^\circ = \text{rk } \Phi$, we have $\text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\Phi\} = \text{Span}_{\mathbb{R}}\{\Phi^\circ\}$. If $\langle \alpha, \nu_{0,z} \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi^\circ$, we must have $\langle \alpha, \nu_{0,z} \rangle = 0$ for all $\alpha \in \Phi$. It follows that $\mu_{z, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}} : \mathbb{G}_{m, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \rightarrow G_{p, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$ is central. In particular, X maps to a point in $\mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}$. In other words, the abelian variety A is CM, contradictory to our assumption 5.10. As a result, $\langle \alpha, \nu_{0,z} \rangle$ can not be 0 for all $\alpha \in \Phi^\circ$. Therefore there exists an $\alpha \in \Phi^\circ$ such that $\langle \alpha, \nu_{0,z} \rangle = -1$. \square

Proposition 5.12 (Analogue of [Pin98, Proposition 6.12]). *For any $z \in V_{\text{good}}$, the action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}})$ via φ_z permutes the simple factors of Φ transitively.*

Proof. The proof of [Pin98, Proposition 6.12] works with minor modification: the place where Pink uses [Pin98, Proposition 6.10] should be replaced by Proposition 5.11. Note that the results in [LP90, §4] are used in the original proof. These results are purely group theoretic and work in our setting. \square

Corollary 5.13 (Analogue of [Pin98, Corollary 6.13]). *The formal character of $G_{u, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_u}}^{\text{der}} \subseteq \text{GL}_{2g, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_u}}$ is \otimes -isotypic.*

Proof. Easy from the proposition. \square

Theorem 5.14 (Analogue of [Pin98, Theorem 5.13]). *Assumption as in 5.10:*

- (1) *There exists a connected reductive subgroup $G \subseteq \text{GL}_{2g, \mathbb{Q}}$ such that G_u is conjugate to $G_{\mathbb{Q}_u}$ under $\text{GL}_{2g, \mathbb{Q}_u}$ for every u in some set \mathbb{L} of primes of Dirichlet density 1.*
- (2) *The pair consisting of G together with its absolutely irreducible tautological representation is a strong Mumford-Tate pair of weights $\{0, 1\}$ over \mathbb{Q} .*
- (3) *The derived group G^{der} is \mathbb{Q} -simple.*

Proof. By Corollary 5.13 we may now distinguish cases according to the type of the formal character of each simple factor of Φ° ; cf. §5.2.1.

(1) **(The non-ambiguous case)**

The same argument in [Pin98, p39-40] works with minor modification. In the original proof, the key inputs are [Pin98, Proposition 6.12, 6.15]. For our case, we need to replace [Pin98, Proposition 6.12] by its char p analogue, Proposition 5.12. On the other hand, the obvious analogue of [Pin98, Proposition 6.15] still holds. Let's briefly explain the reason: First, [Pin98, Proposition 6.15(a)(c)] are easy. Second, [Pin98, Proposition 6.15(b)] follows from [LP95, Theorem 3.2], which is proved for abelian varieties over any global field.

(2) **(The ambiguous cases)**

The same argument in [Pin98, p40-44] works with minor modification. The key input in the original proof is [Pin98, Proposition 6.16], which follows from [LP92, Propositions 8.9]. The later proposition is proven for all global fields, so works in our setting. As a result, the obvious analogue of [Pin98, Proposition 6.16] holds in our setting. This, combined with Proposition 5.12, proves the obvious analogues of [Pin98, Propositions 6.18, 6.19]. The proof of [Pin98, Propositions 6.20, 6.23] is based on [Pin98, Propositions 6.18, 6.19] and Lie theory, and is still valid in our case. So Pink's original proof goes through. \square

Proof of Theorem 5.2. First use Theorem 5.1 to reduce to the case of Assumption 5.10. If $G_B(A)$ has type A_{2s-1} for $s \geq 1$ or B_r for $r \geq 1$, this is Theorem 3.9. If $2g$ is not an r -th power of an integer for $r > 1$, nor of the form $\binom{2r}{r}$ for some odd $r > 1$, we can apply [Pin98, Proposition 4.7] to the strong Mumford–Tate pair $(G_B(A), \rho_B)$ (cf. Proposition 3.8) to obtain $G_B(A) = \mathrm{GSp}_{2g, \mathbb{Q}}$. Let G be in Theorem 5.14. Apply [Pin98, Proposition 4.7] to G and its tautological representation. We find that $G = \mathrm{GSp}_{2g, \mathbb{Q}}$ as well, so $G_u = \mathrm{GSp}_{2g, \mathbb{Q}_u}$ for some $u \in \mathbb{L}$, hence for every $u \in \mathrm{fpl}(\mathbb{Q})$. Therefore MT_p holds for A . \square

5.3. Prime and low dimensions. Let A be an abelian varieties over k/\mathbb{F}_q of dimension g . The method in this section involves classifications of the endomorphism algebra $\mathrm{End}^0(A_{\bar{k}})$. Let ϵ be the generic point of a minimal special subvariety $\mathcal{X} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$ whose mod p reduction contains the moduli point corresponding to $A_{\bar{k}}$. By Proposition 2.10,

$$(5.3.1) \quad \mathrm{End}^0(A_{\bar{k}}) = \mathrm{End}^0(A_{\bar{\epsilon}}).$$

Proof of Theorem 5.3. By (5.3.1), $A_{\bar{\epsilon}}$ is simple, and we can run the classification from [Moo, §2.12~§2.16]: the possible structure of $\mathrm{End}^0(A_{\bar{k}})$ are (1) \mathbb{Q} , or (2) a totally real field F of degree g over \mathbb{Q} , or (3) an imaginary quadratic field E , or (4) a CM field of degree $2g$ over \mathbb{Q} . The structure of $G_B(A)^{\mathrm{der}}$ in each cases can also be read off from *loc.cit.*

In the first case, A is of Pink type, so MT_p holds by Theorem 5.2. In the last case, A is CM, so MT_p holds. In the second case, $G_B(A)$ is a scalar restriction of a form of $\mathrm{GL}_{2, F}$ to \mathbb{Q} . One deduces that $G_p(A)_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\circ, \mathrm{der}}$ surjects onto each simple factor of $G_B(A)_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\mathrm{der}}$, and it is easy to see that MT_p must also hold in this case. In the third case, $G_B(A)_{\mathbb{C}}^{\mathrm{der}} = \mathrm{SL}_{g, \mathbb{C}}$ and the representation $(G_B(A)_{\mathbb{C}}, \rho_B)$ splits into two mutually dual g dimensional irreps $V \oplus V^{\vee}$. Say V corresponds to the standard representation of $\mathrm{SL}_{g, \mathbb{C}}$. So we obtain a simple Mumford–Tate pair of type A_{g-1} in the first column of [Pin98, Table 4.2]. Since g is a prime, this simple Mumford–Tate pair can not admit a smaller irreducible Mumford–Tate pair with the same dimension of representation. So MT_p holds in this case as well. \square

Lemma 5.15. *Suppose that A is an abelian fourfold and $\mathrm{End}^0(A_{\bar{k}}) = \mathbb{Q}$.*

- (1) *If A is not of p -adic Mumford type, then MT_p holds for A .*
- (2) *If A is of p -adic Mumford type, then either MT_p holds for A , or $G_B(A)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} = \mathrm{GSp}_{8, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$.*

Proof. Since $(G_B(A), \rho_B)$ is a strong Mumford–Tate pair, [Pin98, Proposition 4.5] implies that either $G_B(A)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} = \mathrm{GSp}_{8, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$, or A is of *Mumford type*, i.e., if $(G_B(A)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}^{\mathrm{der}}, \rho_B)$ is the tensor product of three copies of standard representation of $\mathrm{SL}_{2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$. Let l be a suitable prime. Applying [Pin98, Proposition 4.5] to the group G and its tautological representation in Theorem 5.14, we see that either $G_l(A)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}}^{\circ} = \mathrm{GSp}_{8, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_l}}$, or A is of p -adic Mumford type. As long as A is not of p -adic Mumford type, MT_p holds for A . \square

Proof of Theorem 5.4. If $A_{\overline{k}}$ is not simple, then (up to isogeny) it splits into a product of simple ones of dimension 1, 2 or 3. We then apply Theorem 5.1 and Theorem 5.3 to conclude that MT_p holds for A . Therefore from now on we can assume that $A_{\overline{k}}$ is a simple abelian fourfold. Moonen and Zarhin obtain a thorough classification of (the endomorphism algebra, the Hodge group, and the l -adic monodromy group of) simple abelian fourfolds over a number field; cf. [MZ95, Table 1]. Of course, it is possible to modify the l -adic part of their argument to our setting. However, as long as MT_p is concerned, we can run a shorter argument based on what we have proved so far.

First, suppose that $\mathrm{End}^0(A_{\overline{k}}) = \mathbb{Q}$. Then we conclude by Lemma 5.15 that MT_p holds for A . Second, the CM case is also easy.

Now we are reduced to treat the case where $1 < [\mathrm{End}^0(A_{\overline{k}}) : \mathbb{Q}] < 8$. The representation $(G_B(A)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}, \rho_B)$ splits into a direct sum of Galois isotypical irreducible sub-representations $V_1, \dots, V_{8/d}$ of the same dimension $d \in \{2, 4\}$. If each V_i is a tensor product of irreps of dimension ≤ 3 , then we can read from [Pin98, Table 4.2] that $G_p(A)_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\circ \mathrm{der}}$ surjects onto each simple factor of $G_B(A)_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\mathrm{der}}$. Since $\mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}_p}(\rho_B) = \mathrm{End}_{\mathbb{C}_p}(\rho_p)$, each simple factor of $G_p(A)_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\circ \mathrm{der}}$ must sit inside a unique simple factor of $G_B(A)_{\mathbb{C}_p}^{\mathrm{der}}$. It follows that MT_p holds.

This proves MT_p when $d = 2$, as well as cases of $d = 4$ where V_1 has non-trivial tensor decomposition. So we are reduced to the case where $d = 4$, and the Mumford–Tate pair associated to V_1 is simple. Read [Pin98, Table 4.2] again, this happens only when the simple Mumford–Tate triple is a (1) std rep of C_2 or (2) std rep (or its dual) of A_3 (note that the Spin^+ rep of D_3 is the same as std rep of A_3). Now C_2 does not admit a smaller Mumford–Tate pair with an irreducible dimension 4 representation. So MT_p holds in case (1). On the other hand, A_3 does admit a smaller Mumford–Tate pair with an irreducible dimension 4 representation, which is the tensor product of two copies of std reps of A_1 . Comparing it to the classification in [MZ95], we find that case (2) happens for [MZ95, Type IV(1,1)(ii)]. The same proof in *loc.cit* applies to our situation, and establishes MT_p in case (2). \square

5.3.1. Abelian fourfolds of p -adic Mumford type. Let $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g, \mathbb{F}}$ be a map whose image lies generically in the ordinary locus, and let (X_0, f_0) be a finite field model. Write A for the abelian variety over the generic point of X_0 .

Lemma 5.16. *Suppose that $g = 4$ and A is of p -adic Mumford type. Then $C := \overline{\mathrm{im} f} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{4, \mathbb{F}}$ is a Tate-linear curve.*

Proof. By definition, $(G_p(A)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}^{\mathrm{der}}, \rho_p)$ is the tensor product of three copies of tautological representation of $\mathrm{SL}_{2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$. To distinguish, we denote the three copies by $\theta_{p,i} : \mathrm{SL}_{2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}} \curvearrowright V_i$, where $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$. Let $\nu_x : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow G_p(A)$ be the Newton cocharacter of an ordinary point $x \in X(\mathbb{F})$. Factorize ν_x via each $\theta_{p,i}$ to a cocharacter $\nu_{x,i} : \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathrm{SL}_{2, \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}}$. If $\nu_{x,i}$ is non-trivial, then it induces a two-step slope filtration on V_i . If there are more than one i 's such that $\nu_{x,i}$ is not trivial, then the slope filtration on $\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{cris}, X}$ has more than two slopes, violating the fact that A is ordinary. As a result, there is exactly one i for which $\nu_{x,i}$ is non-trivial. This implies that the unipotent subgroup of $G_p(A)$ corresponding to ν_x has dimension 1. We conclude by Theorem 2.12. \square

Proof of Corollary 5.6. If none of the geometrically simple factors of A is of dimension 4, then MT_p holds for f by Theorem 5.3 and 5.1, so geoAO_p holds for X . Otherwise, A splits as the product of a simple abelian fourfold A' and an elliptic curve, up to isogeny. Accordingly, f factors through $\mathcal{A}_{4,\mathbb{F}} \times \mathcal{A}_{1,\mathbb{F}}$. If A' is not of p -adic Mumford type, then MT_p again holds for A by Theorem 5.4, and geoAO_p holds for X . Suppose that A' is of p -adic Mumford type. Let $C \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{4,\mathbb{F}}$ be as in Lemma 5.16, then X is contained in the quasi-weakly special subvariety $T = C \times \mathcal{A}_{1,\mathbb{F}}$. If $\dim X = 1$, then geoAO_p trivially holds for X . If $\dim X = 2$, then X is dense in T , geoAO_p again holds for X . \square

6. TATE-LINEARITY AND ALGEBRAIZATION

We prove the Tate-linear conjecture for a special case by studying the geometry of the Tate-linear loci (Construction 1) via integral p -adic Hodge theory. Consider $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$, where X is a generically ordinary irreducible \mathbb{F} -variety. Let $X^\circ \subseteq X$ be the smooth ordinary locus. We say that X has **unramified** p -adic monodromy, if $G(\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},X^\circ})^\circ$ is an unramified reductive group. Recall that we can view $G(\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},X^\circ})$ as a reductive group over K or over \mathbb{Q}_p , depending on the choice of the fiber functor ω_x^{iso} or ω_x^{DM} ; cf., §2.3.4. The property of being unramified, however, is independent of the choice. The following is the main result of this section:

Theorem 6.1. *Suppose that $T \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$ is a proper Tate-linear curve with unramified p -adic monodromy. Then Tl_p holds for T , i.e., T is a component of the mod p reduction of a special subvariety.*

The theorem has the following application to the missing piece of MT_p for abelian fourfolds. Let A be an ordinary abelian fourfold defined over a function field k over \mathbb{F}_q . We say that A has **everywhere potentially good reduction**, if its moduli point in $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}_q}$ has proper Zariski closure.

Corollary 6.2. *Suppose that A is of p -adic Mumford type, has unramified p -adic monodromy, and has everywhere potentially good reduction, then MT_p holds for A .*

These will be proved at the end of §6.3.

6.1. Preliminaries. In this section, we review some technical setups.

6.1.1. p -integrality. Consider $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$, where X is an irreducible connected \mathbb{F} -variety, not assumed to be generically ordinary. Let X^{sm} be the smooth locus of X .

Definition 1. *Let $x \in X^{\text{sm}}(\mathbb{F})$. We say that X is **p -integral at x** , if there exist tensors $\{s_\alpha\} \subseteq \omega_x^{\text{iso}}(\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris}})^\otimes$, such that their stabilizer $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \text{GL}(\omega_x^{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{H}_{\text{cris}}))^{12}$ is a reductive subgroup scheme over W , and $\mathcal{G}_K = G(\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},X^{\text{sm}}},x)^\circ$ (here we view $G(\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},X^{\text{sm}}},x)$ as a reductive group over K).*

Note that even when X is generically ordinary, being p -integral at an ordinary point is stronger than having unramified p -adic monodromy. Indeed, when speaking of p -integrality, we are working with a fixed integral structure: the W -lattice $\omega_x^{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{H}_{\text{cris}}) \subseteq \omega_x^{\text{iso}}(\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris}})$. On the other hand, in order to guarantee unramified p -adic monodromy, we only need the existence of a reductive integral model \mathcal{G} for a certain W -lattice $H \subseteq \omega_x^{\text{iso}}(\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris}})$.

In general, we don't expect that p -integrality is a global property, i.e., if X is p -integral at one point, it is in general not true that X is p -integral at another point. For such an example, one can take X to map to the supersingular loci. However, when X is generically ordinary, we do expect that p -integrality is a global property, see §6.2.1 for a partial result.

¹²Here $\omega_x^{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{H}_{\text{cris}})$ is the W -lattice $\mathbf{H}_{\text{cris},x}$, sitting inside the K -space $\omega_x^{\text{iso}}(\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris}})$.

6.1.2. *Chai's Tate-linear canonical lifting.* Suppose that $T \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}^\Sigma$ is a closed, generically ordinary Tate-linear subvariety. Let $T^\circ \subseteq T$ be the smooth ordinary locus. Chai proved that one can canonically lift T° to a locally closed p -adic formal subscheme of $(\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^\Sigma)^\wedge$:

Proposition 6.3 (Chai). *Let $U = \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}^{\text{ord}} - (T \setminus T^\circ)$ be the open subset obtained by throwing out non-smooth points of T , and let $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/U} \subseteq (\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^\Sigma)^\wedge$ be the corresponding open formal subscheme. There is a unique closed formal subscheme $\widetilde{T}^\circ \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/U}$, formally smooth over W , such that $\widetilde{T}^\circ \times_{\text{Spf } W} \text{Spec } \mathbb{F} = T^\circ$, and the formal completion of \widetilde{T}° at every closed point $z \in T^\circ$ is a formal subtorus of the Serre–Tate formal torus $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/z}$.*

Proof. The proof is almost identical to [Cha03, Proposition 5.5], where the result is stated in a slightly less general form. We briefly give a sketch here. It suffices to show that, for every positive integer n , there exists a unique lift \widetilde{T}_n° of T° over $W_n = W/p^n$, such that the formal completion of \widetilde{T}_n° at every closed point $z \in T^\circ$ is a formal subtorus of the truncated Serre–Tate formal torus $\mathcal{A}_{g,W_n}^{/z}$. For this, one can work locally. It suffices to show that if $Z \subseteq T^\circ$ is an affine open subset, then we can uniquely lift Z to a scheme Z_n formally linear over W_n . This can be done inductively on n using global Serre–Tate coordinates, see the third paragraph of Chai's proof. One then uses the uniqueness of the lifting to glue local liftings together, which yields \widetilde{T}_n° . \square

Remark 6.4. \widetilde{T}° is smooth connected of dimension $\dim T$ in the sense of [Con99].

Remark 6.5. In practice, we will often need certain étale covers of \widetilde{T}° which are constructed as follows. Let $f : X^\circ \rightarrow T^\circ$ be a connected finite étale cover. Since taking formal thickening has no effect on the étale site, there is a unique formal scheme étale over $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/T^\circ}$, with special fiber X° . This formal scheme will be denoted by $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/X^\circ}$. We will also denote by \widetilde{X}° the pullback of \widetilde{T}° to $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/X^\circ}$. Note that \widetilde{X}° is again smooth connected of dimension $\dim T$, with special fiber X° (the connectedness of \widetilde{X}° follows from the fact that any of its connected component has special fiber X°).

Chai's Tate-linear canonical lifting has nice integral p -adic Hodge theoretic properties. Fix an \widetilde{X}° as in Remark 6.5, and let $]X^\circ[$ be the rigid tube of X° in $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/X^\circ}$. As we explained in Construction 1, the work of Berthlot and Ogus enables us to identify $\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},X^\circ}$ with the de Rham bundle $\mathbb{H}_{\text{dR},]X^\circ[}$ together with a Frobenius structure. An integral tensor $s \in \mathbf{H}_{\text{cris},X^\circ}^\otimes$ gives rise to a horizontal section $s^{\text{an}} \in \mathbb{H}_{\text{dR},]X^\circ[}^\otimes$. We can then restrict s^{an} to a horizontal section $s^{\text{an}}|_{(\widetilde{X}^\circ)^{\text{rig}}} \in \mathbb{H}_{\text{dR},(\widetilde{X}^\circ)^{\text{rig}}}^\otimes$.

Lemma 6.6. *Assumption as above. The rational section $s^{\text{an}}|_{(\widetilde{X}^\circ)^{\text{rig}}}$ extends to an integral horizontal section of $\mathbf{H}_{\text{dR},\widetilde{X}^\circ}^\otimes$ that furthermore lies in $\text{Fil}^0 \mathbf{H}_{\text{dR},\widetilde{X}^\circ}^\otimes$.*

Proof. Without loss of generality, we can take $X^\circ = T^\circ$. Since the statement is local, we can moreover assume that $\widetilde{T}^\circ = \text{Spf } A$ is affine, where A is an admissible W -algebra.

If T° is a single point, then \widetilde{T}° is its canonical lift, and the statement is well known. In the following we reduce the general case to the point case.

Back to the setting where $\widetilde{T}^\circ = \text{Spf } A$. Suppose that $s \in \mathbf{H}_{\text{cris},T^\circ}^{m,n}$. Then $\mathbf{H}_{\text{dR},\widetilde{T}^\circ}^{m,n}$ is a bundle over \widetilde{T}° , with sub-bundle $\text{Fil}^0 \mathbf{H}_{\text{dR},\widetilde{T}^\circ}^{m,n}$. Further shrinking A , we can assume that the two bundles are trivial. There is some $r \geq 0$ such that the section s lies in $p^{-r} \mathbf{H}_{\text{dR},\widetilde{T}^\circ}^{m,n}$. Therefore it makes sense to talk about the loci $\mathfrak{V} \subseteq \widetilde{T}^\circ$ where $s \in \text{Fil}^0 \mathbf{H}_{\text{dR},\widetilde{T}^\circ}^{m,n}$. This is a Zariski closed formal subscheme of \widetilde{T}° . It suffices to show that $\mathfrak{V} = \widetilde{T}^\circ$.

For $x \in T^\circ(\mathbb{F})$, let \tilde{x} be its canonical lift, which lies in $\widetilde{T^\circ}(W)$ by the last statement of Proposition 6.3. It follows that \mathfrak{V} contains all \tilde{x} (i.e., the ideal defining \mathfrak{V} is contained in the ideal defining \tilde{x}). Let I be the ideal of \mathfrak{V} in A . Since A is Noetherian, there are finitely many irreducible components of \mathfrak{V} , defined by minimal primes lying above I . By what we have seen so far, there is an irreducible component $\mathfrak{V}_0 = \mathrm{Spf}(A/I_0)$ not contained in the special fiber, whose mod p reduction is T° . Then $I_0 \subseteq (p)$. This implies that $I_0 = (0)$. It follows that $I = (0)$ and $\mathfrak{V} = \widetilde{T^\circ}$. \square

6.2. The Tate-linear loci. Let $T \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$ be a smooth Tate-linear subvariety, not assumed to be proper. From Construction 1, we have the so called Tate-linear loci $\mathfrak{T} \subseteq]T[$ cut out by the crystalline cycles $\{s_\alpha\}$. We will show that \mathfrak{T} is an extension of Chai's Tate-linear canonical lift $\widetilde{T^\circ}$.

We will also fix a connected étale cover $X \rightarrow T$ with the property that $G(\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{cris},X}) = G(\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{cris},T})^\circ$. Let X° be the inverse image of T° in X . From the process in Remark 6.5, we get a smooth p -adic formal scheme \widetilde{X}° étale over $\widetilde{T^\circ}$.

Lemma 6.7. *We have $(\widetilde{T^\circ})^{\mathrm{rig}} \subseteq \mathfrak{T}$.*

Proof. Let X° be as above. Replacing $\{s_\alpha\}$ by sufficiently large p -power multiples, we can assume that they are all integral tensors of $\mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{cris},X^\circ}^\otimes$. Apply Lemma 6.6 to conclude. \square

The main input of the following theorem is Crew's parabolicity conjecture, which is encapsulated in Theorem 2.12.

Theorem 6.8. *Let $x \in T^\circ(\mathbb{F})$ and let $]x[$ be its tubular neighborhood in $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{\wedge p}$. Each irreducible component of $\mathfrak{T} \cap]x[$ is the generic fiber of the translate of $(\widetilde{T^\circ})^{/x}$ by a torsion point. In particular, $(\widetilde{T^\circ})^{\mathrm{rig}} \cap]x[$ is the unique irreducible component of $\mathfrak{T} \cap]x[$ that contains \tilde{x}^{rig} .*

Proof. Let \mathfrak{C} be an irreducible component of $\mathfrak{T} \cap]x[$. Let \mathfrak{z} be a \overline{K} -point of \mathfrak{C} . Then \mathfrak{z} extends to a \overline{W} -point $\bar{\mathfrak{z}}$ of $]x[$. By [Noo96, Theorem 2.8], there is a maximal formal subscheme $\mathcal{N} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\overline{W}}^{/x}$ passing through $\bar{\mathfrak{z}}$, such that the tensors $\{s_\alpha|_{\mathfrak{z}}\}$ extend to $\mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{dR},\mathcal{N}}^\otimes$ up to some p -power multiple. Moreover, the formal subscheme \mathcal{N} is a translate of a formal subtorus by a torsion point, whose dimension equals the dimension of the opposite unipotent of μ_x in $G(\mathbb{H}_{\mathrm{cris},T})^\circ$. Combining Theorem 2.12(3), we find that $\dim \mathcal{N} = \dim T$. It is clear that $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{rig}} \subseteq \mathfrak{T}$. By the maximality of \mathcal{N} we also have $\mathfrak{C} \subseteq \mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{rig}}$. This is enough to show that $\mathfrak{C} = \mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{rig}}$: first, $\mathfrak{T} \cap]x[$ must have a component that contains $\mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{rig}}$ (one can show this by first restricting to an admissible open). Now this component contains \mathfrak{C} , so must be identical to \mathfrak{C} . As a result, $\mathfrak{C} = \mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{rig}}$.

Take $\bar{\mathfrak{z}} = \tilde{x}$ for this moment. Then we have $(\widetilde{T^\circ})^{/x} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$: by Lemma 6.7, the tensors $\{s_\alpha, \tilde{x}^{\mathrm{rig}}\}$ extend to $\mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{dR},(\widetilde{T^\circ})^{/x}}^\otimes$ up to some p -power multiple. Counting dimensions, we see that $(\widetilde{T^\circ})^{/x} = \mathcal{N}$, hence $\mathfrak{C} = \mathcal{N}^{\mathrm{rig}} = (\widetilde{T^\circ})^{\mathrm{rig}} \cap]x[$. Now back to the original setting that \mathfrak{z} is an arbitrary point. We want to show that \mathcal{N} is a translate of $(\widetilde{T^\circ})^{/x}$ by a torsion point. First note that \mathcal{N} contains a quasi-canonical lift \tilde{x}' of x . The torsion translate $\tilde{x}' + (\widetilde{T^\circ})^{/x}$ passes through \tilde{x}' , and satisfies the property that the tensors $\{s_\alpha, \mathfrak{z}\}$ extend to $\mathrm{Fil}^0 \mathbf{H}_{\mathrm{dR},\tilde{x}' + (\widetilde{T^\circ})^{/x}}^\otimes$ up to some p -power multiple. By maximality of \mathcal{N} , as well as dimension counting, we must have $\mathcal{N} = \tilde{x}' + (\widetilde{T^\circ})^{/x}$. This concludes the theorem. \square

Corollary 6.9. *There is a unique irreducible Tate-linear locus $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{can}}$ associated to T such that $]T^\circ[\cap \mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{can}}$ contains $(\widetilde{T^\circ})^{\mathrm{rig}}$ as an irreducible component. The dimension of $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{can}}$ is equal to $\dim T$.*

Proof. By Theorem 6.8, we can take an irreducible component $\mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{can}}$ of \mathfrak{T} whose restriction to $]x[$ contains $(\widetilde{T^\circ})^{\mathrm{rig}} \cap]x[$ as an irreducible component. Then $\dim \mathfrak{T}_{\mathrm{can}} = \dim (\widetilde{T^\circ})^{\mathrm{rig}} \cap]x[= \dim T$. The uniqueness is clear: if $\mathfrak{T}'_{\mathrm{can}}$ is any other irreducible component with the same property, then $\mathfrak{T}'_{\mathrm{can}} \cap]x[$

$\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} \supseteq (\widetilde{T}^\circ)^{\text{rig}} \cap]x[$. This happens only when $\mathfrak{T}'_{\text{can}} = \mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$. The proof that $]T^\circ[\cap \mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$ contains $(\widetilde{T}^\circ)^{\text{rig}}$ as an irreducible component can be carried out in a similar manner. \square

We will call $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$ the **canonical Tate-linear locus**.

Lemma 6.10 (Moonen). *If $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$ is algebraizable, i.e., there is an algebraic subvariety $Z \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\overline{K}}$ such that $Z^{\text{an}} \cap]T[$ admits $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$ as an irreducible component. Then Z is special. In particular, Fl_p holds for T .*

Proof. We can assume that Z is irreducible. From [Moo98b, §3.6], we know that $(\widetilde{T}^\circ)^{\text{rig}}$ contains a Zariski dense collection of CM points. This implies that Z contains a Zariski dense collection of CM points. We conclude by André–Oort conjecture that Z is special (see also [Moo98b, Theorem 4.5] if one wants to avoid the André–Oort conjecture). \square

6.2.1. Hodge theory of $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$. In this section we make the further assumption that there is an $x \in T^\circ(\mathbb{F})$ at which T is p -integral; cf, Definition 1. Let $\{s_\alpha\}$ be a collection of crystalline tensors cutting out $G(\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},T}, x)^\circ$, such that their pointwise stabilizer in $\text{GL}(\omega_x(\mathbf{H}_{\text{cris}}))$ is a reductive W -subgroup scheme. We will identify $\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},T}$ with $\mathbb{H}_{\text{dR},]T[}$ together with a Frobenius structure. From Construction 1 we know that each s_α gives rise to a “multivalued analytic horizontal section” s_α^{an} of the bundle $\mathbb{H}_{\text{dR},]T[}^\otimes$, and that $\{s_\alpha^{\text{an}}\}$ cuts out the Tate-linear loci $\mathfrak{T} \subseteq]T[$.

Let X and X° be as in the beginning of §6.2. As we observed in (the footnote of) Construction 1, the sections $\{s_\alpha^{\text{an}}\}$ are horizontal sections of the bundle $\mathbb{H}_{\text{dR},]X[}^\otimes$. Let $\pi :]X[\rightarrow]T[$ be the étale map of tubes, where we recall that $]X[$ is the rigid tube of X in $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/X}$. Let $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{can}}$ be the unique irreducible component of $\pi^{-1}(\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}})$ that contains $(\widetilde{X}^\circ)^{\text{rig}}$ (it can also be constructed as the unique component of the loci in $]X[$ cut out by the condition that $\{s_\alpha^{\text{an}}\} \subseteq \text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{H}_{\text{dR},]X[}^\otimes$).

Let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\text{can}}$ be the normalization of $\mathfrak{X}_{\text{can}}$, and let $\widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\text{can}}^{\text{sm}} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\text{can}}$ be the Zariski open subspace obtained by throwing out the singular loci (which is of codimension at least 2). Then $\widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\text{can}}^{\text{sm}}$ is smooth and connected.

Let $\mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\text{can}}$ be a \overline{K} -point (which descends to, and should be viewed as, a $W(k)(p^{\frac{1}{e}})[p^{-1}]$ -point of $(\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{Z}_p}^{\wedge p})^{\text{rig}}$ for some sufficiently large finite field k and sufficiently large ramification index e ; the field k and the index e are not considered as fixed, and are subject to be replaced by larger ones whenever needed). The restriction of $\{s_\alpha^{\text{an}}\}$ to \mathfrak{z} lie in $\text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{H}_{\text{dR},\mathfrak{z}}^\otimes$, giving rise to Frobenius invariant de Rham tensors $\{s_{\alpha,\text{dR},\mathfrak{z}}\}$. By Fontaine’s theory, they correspond to a collection of p -adic étale tensors

$$(6.2.1) \quad \{s_{\alpha,\text{ét},\mathfrak{z}}\} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_{p,\text{ét},\mathfrak{z}}^\otimes.$$

Under p -adic comparisons, the étale tensors $\{s_{\alpha,\text{ét},\mathfrak{z}}\}$ are carried to de Rham and crystalline tensors $\{s_{\alpha,z}\}$ and $\{s_{\alpha,\text{dR},\mathfrak{z}}\}$, where z is the reduction of \mathfrak{z} . We say that \mathfrak{z} is **p -étale integral**, if $\{s_{\alpha,\text{ét},\mathfrak{z}}\}$ cuts out a reductive \mathbb{Z}_p -group subscheme in $\text{GL}(\mathbf{H}_{p,\text{ét},\mathfrak{z}})$.

Proposition 6.11. *Notation as above. Every \overline{K} -point $\mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\text{can}}^{\text{sm}}$ is p -étale integral.*

Proof. If $\mathfrak{z}_0 = \widetilde{x}^{\text{rig}}$ is the canonical lift of x , then any pre-image of \mathfrak{z}_0 in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\text{can}}$ lies in $\widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\text{can}}^{\text{sm}}$, and is p -étale integral. The strategy is to compare the étale tensors $\{s_{\alpha,\text{ét},\mathfrak{z}}\}$ over a point \mathfrak{z} to that of the canonical lift \mathfrak{z}_0 . To achieve this, we need to construct p -adic étale tensors in families, using a relative version of Fontaine’s theory (cf. [DLMS24, Proposition 3.46(3)]). First, we can make some simplifications. Since $\widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\text{can}}^{\text{sm}}$ is connected, we can find finitely many connected affinoids that connect \mathfrak{z}_0 and \mathfrak{z} (this is by definition of connectedness, see [Con99]). Therefore it suffices to show the following: if $\mathfrak{B} \subseteq \widetilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\text{can}}^{\text{sm}}$ is a connected affinoid that contains a p -étale integral point \mathfrak{z}_1 , then so is every point in \mathfrak{B} .

By Ranauld's theory, we can find an admissible formal model \mathcal{B} of \mathfrak{B} with a map $\mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,K}^{/T}$. By [Tem17, Theorem 3.3.1], possibly base change to a finite extension K'/K , there is a formal scheme $\mathcal{B}' \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{W'}$ which is an étale morphism over the generic fiber, with the property that \mathcal{B}' is strictly semi-stable over W' (here W' is the integral ring of bounded elements in K'). Let \mathfrak{B}' be the rigid generic fiber of \mathcal{B}' .

Make \mathcal{B}' into a log formal scheme (\mathcal{B}', M) where M comes from the semi-stable structure; cf. [DLMS24, Notation and conventions]. Over the log convergent site of $(\mathcal{B}'_{\mathbb{F}}, M)$, we have a (log) convergent F -isocrystal $\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},(\mathcal{B}'_{\mathbb{F}},M)}$ that is pulled back from $\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},T}$. The pro-étale \mathbf{Z}_p -local system $\mathbf{H}_{p,\text{ét},\mathfrak{B}'}$ is canonically associated with $\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},(\mathcal{B}'_{\mathbb{F}},M)}$ in the sense of [DLMS24, §3.6]: indeed, it is just a matter of pulling back the canonical association over $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{\wedge p}$ to (\mathcal{B}', M) . On the other hand, the tensors $\{s_\alpha\}$ also pull back to tensors $\{s_{\alpha,(\mathcal{B}'_{\mathbb{F}},M)}\} \subseteq \mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},(\mathcal{B}'_{\mathbb{F}},M)}^{\otimes}$. Moreover, $\{s_{\alpha,\mathfrak{B}'}^{\text{an}}\}$ lie in $\text{Fil}^0 \mathbb{H}_{\text{dR},\mathfrak{B}'}^{\otimes}$ by construction, giving rise to de Rham tensors $\{s_{\alpha,\text{dR},\mathfrak{B}'}\}$. Since a tensor of a local system can be understood as a morphism from the trivial local system to an object in the tensor category generated by the local system, we can appeal to [DLMS24, Proposition 3.46(3)] and obtain p -adic étale tensors $\{s_{\alpha,\text{ét},\mathfrak{B}'}\}$ of the local system $\mathbb{H}_{p,\text{ét},\mathfrak{B}'}$ from de Rham and (log) crystalline ones $\{s_{\alpha,\text{dR},\mathfrak{B}'}\}$ and $\{s_{\alpha,(\mathcal{B}'_{\mathbb{F}},M)}\}$. If $\mathfrak{z} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}'$ is a \bar{K} -point, the pullback of $s_{\alpha,\text{ét},\mathfrak{B}'}$ to \mathfrak{z} is just the tensor $s_{\alpha,\text{ét},\mathfrak{z}}$ in (6.2.1). Suppose that \mathfrak{z}_1 is a p -étale integral \bar{K} -point of \mathfrak{B} . Let \mathfrak{B}'_+ be any connected component of \mathfrak{B}' . Then \mathfrak{z}_1 lifts to a p -étale integral point \mathfrak{z}_{1+} of \mathfrak{B}'_+ . Since \mathfrak{B}'_+ is connected, and since $\{s_{\alpha,\text{ét},\mathfrak{B}'_+}\}$ is a collection of global tensors of the p -adic étale local system $\mathbb{H}_{p,\text{ét},\mathfrak{B}'_+}$, every \bar{K} -point of \mathfrak{B}'_+ is p -étale integral. It then follows that every point of \mathfrak{B} is p -étale integral. \square

Proposition 6.12. *Assumption as above. For every point $z \in T(\mathbb{F})$, T is p -integral at z , and $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} \cap]z[$ is the rigid generic fiber of a dimension $\dim T$ formal subscheme of $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/z}$ which is linear in the Lie theoretic coordinates. In particular, $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} \cap]T^\circ[= (\widetilde{T^\circ})^{\text{rig}}$.*

Proof. First, for every point $z \in T(\mathbb{F})$, $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} \cap]z[$ is not empty. This is obviously true if x lies in the Zariski dense subset $T^\circ \subseteq T$. The claim for all $z \in T(\mathbb{F})$ then follows from the fact that $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$ is Zariski closed in $]T[$.

Now let $z \in T(\mathbb{F})$ be a point. Write $M_0 = \mathbb{D}(A_z[p^\infty])(W)$ as in §2.2.2, the tensors $\{s_\alpha\}$ restrict to tensors $\{s_{\alpha,z}\} \subseteq M_0^{\otimes}$. Let $G \subseteq \text{GL}(M_0)$ be the group scheme cut out by $\{s_{\alpha,z}\}$. Now we have $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} \cap]z[\neq \emptyset$, so by dimension reasons there exist points in $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\text{can}}^{\text{sm}}$ specializing to z . Let \mathfrak{z} be any $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\text{can}}^{\text{sm}}$ specializing to z . Let $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{z})$ be the closure of \mathfrak{z} in $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/z}$. Note that $\{s_{\alpha,z}\}$ is exactly the image of $\{s_{\alpha,\text{ét},\mathfrak{z}}\}$ under the p -adic comparison isomorphism. It follows from Theorem 2.4 and the p -étale integrality of $\{s_{\alpha,\text{ét},\mathfrak{z}}\}$ from Proposition 6.11, that G is reductive, and the image of $\text{cl}(\mathfrak{z})$ lies in $\text{Spf } R_G$. This implies that z is p -integral. In addition, every point in $\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\text{can}}^{\text{sm}}$ that maps to $]z[$ factors through $(\text{Spf } R_G)^{\text{rig}}$. By dimension reasons ($\tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\text{can}}^{\text{sm}} \subseteq \tilde{\mathfrak{X}}_{\text{can}}$ is a Zariski open subspace obtained by throwing out the codimension ≥ 2 singular loci), we have $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} \cap]z[= (\text{Spf } R_G)^{\text{rig}}$. So $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} \cap]z[$ is linear in the Lie theoretic coordinates. The fact that $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} \cap]T^\circ[= (\widetilde{T^\circ})^{\text{rig}}$ follows easily from Theorem 6.8. \square

6.3. Proper Tate linear curves. We will establish cases of the Tate-linear conjecture for proper Tate linear curves. We will start by the case where the Tate linear curve is smooth:

Theorem 6.13. *Suppose that $T \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$ is a smooth proper Tate-linear curve. If T is p -integral at an ordinary point x , then there is a special subvariety $Z \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\bar{K}}$, such that $Z^{\text{an}} = \mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$. In particular, Tl_p holds for T .*

Proof. Let $V \subseteq T$ be an affine open, so that V is cut out from $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/V}$ via an ideal $(p, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$.

Claim. There exists an $\epsilon < 1$, such that the p -adic norm $|f_i(\mathfrak{z})|$ is bounded above by ϵ for all \overline{K} -points \mathfrak{z} in $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} \cap]V[$ and all $i \in [1, m]$ (Intuitively, this means that $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} \cap]V[$ is contained in a smaller tube inside $]V[$).

Assuming the claim, we can deduce the corollary as follows: the claim implies that we can find an admissible cover $]V[=]V[_{>\epsilon} \cup]V[_{<(1+\epsilon)/2}$, where $]V[_{>\epsilon} = \{\mathfrak{z} : \exists i, |f_i(\mathfrak{z})| > \epsilon\}$, and $]V[_{<(1+\epsilon)/2} = \{\mathfrak{z} : \forall i, |f_i(\mathfrak{z})| < (1+\epsilon)/2\}$, such that $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} \cap]V[\subseteq]V[_{<(1+\epsilon)/2}$ and $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} \cap]V[_{>\epsilon} = \emptyset$. Now cover T by finitely many affine subschemes, and use the claim and the implication described in the last a few sentences. Since T is proper, we find that there exists an admissible cover $(\mathcal{A}_{g,\overline{K}}^\Sigma)^{\text{an}} = \mathfrak{V}_1 \cup \mathfrak{V}_2$ with $\mathfrak{V}_1 \subseteq]T[$, such that $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$ is a Zariski closed subset of \mathfrak{V}_1 , and $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} \cap \mathfrak{V}_2 = \emptyset$. This implies that $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} \subseteq (\mathcal{A}_{g,\overline{K}}^\Sigma)^{\text{an}}$ is Zariski closed. Therefore we conclude by rigid GAGA that $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} = Z^{\text{an}}$ for some algebraic subvariety $Z \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\overline{K}}^\Sigma$, which in fact lies in $\mathcal{A}_{g,\overline{K}}$. We conclude by Lemma 6.10.

It remains to prove the *Claim*. By Proposition 6.3, we know that \tilde{T}° is a locally closed formal subscheme of $(\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^\Sigma)^{\wedge p}$. Since $]T^\circ[\cap \mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} = (\tilde{T}^\circ)^{\text{rig}}$ (Proposition 6.12), this would imply the *Claim* when $V \subseteq T^\circ$. Since T is a curve, there are only finitely points t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n in $T \setminus T^\circ$. By Proposition 6.12 again, each $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}} \cap]t_i[$ is linear in the Lie theoretic coordinates. We see that the *Claim* remains valid when V contains some t_i . The validity of the claim is checked. \square

6.3.1. The singular case. Now we remove the condition that T is smooth. Suppose that $T \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$ is a proper Tate-linear curve. Our strategy is to perform embedded blow up to resolve the singularity, while keeping the ambient space nice. If z is a singular point of T , we first lift it to a W -point Z of $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^\Sigma$, then blow up $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^\Sigma$ at the regular center Z . This preserves the W -smoothness and, when restricted to the special fiber, coincides with the blow up of $\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}^\Sigma$ along z . Therefore, iterating this process results in a smooth W -scheme $\text{Bl}\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^\Sigma$ together with a non-singular proper curve $\hat{T} \hookrightarrow \text{Bl}\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^\Sigma$, which is the strict transform of T . We will write $\text{Bl}\mathcal{A}_{g,W}$ for the preimage of $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}$ under $\pi : \text{Bl}\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^\Sigma \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{g,W}^\Sigma$.

Now we set up some notation. Let $Q := \pi^{-1}(T) \subseteq \text{Bl}\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^\Sigma$. Let $] \hat{T}[$ and $]Q[$ be the tubes of T and Q in $(\text{Bl}\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^\Sigma)^{\wedge p}$, respectively. We will write $Q = \hat{T} \cup E$, where E is the exceptional loci (i.e., the loci that map to singularities of T under π). The symbol $]T[$ is reserved for the tube of T in $(\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^\Sigma)^{\wedge p}$. Let $\varpi :]Q[\rightarrow]T[$ be the natural map. The symbol $]T^\circ[$ is used to denote either the tube of T° in $(\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^\Sigma)^{\wedge p}$ or in $(\text{Bl}\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^\Sigma)^{\wedge p}$ (the two tubes can be identified via ϖ).

Theorem 6.14. *Notation as above. If \hat{T} is p -integral at an ordinary point x , then \hat{T} is p -integral at every \mathbb{F} -point. In addition, there is a special subvariety $Z \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\overline{K}}$, such that $Z^{\text{an}} = \mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$. In particular, TL_p holds for T .*

Proof. We run a variant of Construction 1 where T and $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}$ are replaced by \hat{T} and $\text{Bl}\mathcal{A}_{g,W}$: identify the convergent F -isocrystal $\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},\mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}}$ with the de Rham bundle $\mathbb{H}_{\text{dR},(\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{\wedge p})^{\text{rig}}}$, together with a Frobenius structure, then pull back to $(\text{Bl}\mathcal{A}_{g,W})^{\wedge p}$, and then to $]Q[$. Note that we have $G(\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},T^\circ}, x)^\circ = G(\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},\hat{T}}, x)^\circ$. Consider a finite collection of crystalline tensors $\{s_\alpha\}$ cutting out the overconvergent monodromy group $G(\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},T^\circ}, x)^\circ$, such that their pointwise stablizer in $\text{GL}(\omega_x(\mathbf{H}_{\text{cris}}))$ is a reductive W -subgroup scheme. Each s_α gives rise to a “multi-valued horizontal section” s_α^{an} of an element $\mathbb{M}_\alpha \in \mathbb{H}_{\text{dR},] \hat{T}[}^\otimes$, which becomes an actual horizontal section of \mathbb{M}_α over a finite étale cover. Note that s_α^{an} actually extends over $\mathbb{H}_{\text{dR},]Q[}^\otimes$, for the reason that $\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},Q}$ is trivial over each connected component of the exceptional loci E . Let $\hat{\mathfrak{T}}$ be the loci cut out by the condition that s_α^{an} lie in the Fil^0 part. Arguing as §6.2, there exists an irreducible component $\hat{\mathfrak{T}}_{\text{can}} \subseteq \hat{\mathfrak{T}}$

that contains $(\widetilde{T}^\circ)^{\text{rig}}$, whose restriction to $]T^\circ[$ admits $(\widetilde{T}^\circ)^{\text{rig}}$ as an irreducible component. Since $\dim T = 1$, $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}_{\text{can}}$ is also of dimension 1. We then use a similar argument as in Proposition 6.11 to establish the p -étale integrality, and use a similar argument as in Proposition 6.12 to show that $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}_{\text{can}} \cap]T^\circ[= (\widetilde{T}^\circ)^{\text{rig}}$, and \widehat{T} is p -integral at every \mathbb{F} -point. Moreover, if z is any \mathbb{F} -point of Q , then $\varpi : \widehat{\mathfrak{T}}_{\text{can}} \cap]\pi(z)[\rightarrow]\pi(z)[$ factors through an 1 dimensional linear subspace $(\text{Spf } R_{G,z})^{\text{rig}} \subseteq]\pi(z)[$. Here we use the notation $R_{G,z}$ to emphasize the dependence on z . Furthermore, the constancy of $\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},Q}$ over each connected component of E implies that if two points $z_1, z_2 \in Q(\mathbb{F})$ lie on the same connected component of E , then $(\text{Spf } R_{G,z_1})^{\text{rig}} = (\text{Spf } R_{G,z_2})^{\text{rig}}$ as subspaces of $] \pi(z_1)[=] \pi(z_2)[$.

As a consequence of the above analysis, we see that for any point $t \in T \setminus T^\circ$, $\varpi(\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}_{\text{can}} \cap]t[$ is contained in a union of finitely many 1 dimensional linear subspaces in the Lie theoretic coordinates.

Claim. Let $V \subseteq T$ be an affine open and let V be cut out from $\mathcal{A}_{g,W}^{/V}$ via an ideal $(p, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_m)$. There exists an $\epsilon < 1$, such that the p -adic norm $|f_i(\mathfrak{z})|$ is bounded above by ϵ for all \overline{K} -points \mathfrak{z} in $\varpi(\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}_{\text{can}} \cap]V[$ and all $i \in [1, m]$.

The proof of the *Claim* is similar to that of Theorem 6.13. One first establishes the claim for $V \subseteq T^\circ$. Since T is a curve, there are only finitely points t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n in $T \setminus T^\circ$, and as we have seen earlier, $\varpi(\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}_{\text{can}} \cap]t_i[$ is contained in a finite union of linear subspaces in the Lie theoretic coordinates. So the *Claim* remains valid when V contains some t_i .

Fixing a V as in the *Claim*, then each f_i pulls back to a function \widetilde{f}_i on $(\text{Bl}\mathcal{A}_{g,W})^{/\pi^{-1}(V)}$. Then $\pi^{-1}(V)$ is cut out by the vanishing of $(p, \widetilde{f}_1, \widetilde{f}_2, \dots, \widetilde{f}_m)$. The *Claim* implies the existence of an $\epsilon < 1$ such that $|\widetilde{f}_i(\mathfrak{z})|$ is bounded above by ϵ for all \overline{K} -points \mathfrak{z} in $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}_{\text{can}} \cap]\pi^{-1}(V)[$ and all $i \in [1, m]$. Arguing as in Theorem 6.13, we find that there exists an admissible cover $(\text{Bl}\mathcal{A}_{g,\overline{K}}^\Sigma)^{\text{an}} = \mathfrak{V}_1 \cup \mathfrak{V}_2$ with $\mathfrak{V}_1 \subseteq]Q[$, such that $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}_{\text{can}}$ is a Zariski closed subset of \mathfrak{V}_1 , and $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}_{\text{can}} \cap \mathfrak{V}_2 = \emptyset$. This implies that $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}_{\text{can}} \subseteq (\text{Bl}\mathcal{A}_{g,\overline{K}}^\Sigma)^{\text{an}}$ is Zariski closed. Therefore we conclude by rigid GAGA that $\widehat{\mathfrak{T}}_{\text{can}}$ is algebraic. It follows that $\mathfrak{T}_{\text{can}}$ is also algebraic. We conclude by Lemma 6.10. \square

6.3.2. Proof of the theorems.

Proof of Theorem 6.1. Let $T \subseteq \mathcal{A}_{g,\mathbb{F}}$ be a proper Tate-linear curve with unramified p -adic monodromy. The idea is to replace T by a Hecke translate which is p -integral at an ordinary point.

Let $x \in T(\mathbb{F})$ be a smooth ordinary point. There exists a W -lattices $H \subseteq \omega_x^{\text{iso}}(\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris}})$ together with a reductive W -group $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \text{GL}(H)$, such that $\mathcal{G}_K \simeq G(\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris},T^\circ}, x)^\circ$. Possibly replacing H by a p -power multiple, we can assume that $H \subseteq \omega_x^{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{H}_{\text{cris}})$. Therefore, there exists a y lying in the p -power Hecke orbit of x , such that $\omega_y^{\text{iso}}(\mathbf{H}_{\text{cris}}) = H$ as sublattices of $\omega_x^{\text{iso}}(\mathbb{H}_{\text{cris}})$.

Now consider a p -power Hecke translate T' of T that contains y . Then T' is again a proper Tate-linear curve. If T' is singular, we take the blow up \widehat{T}' as in §6.3.1. Then \widehat{T}' is p -integral at y . Apply Theorem 6.14 to conclude that TL_p holds for T' . It follows that TL_p holds for T . \square

Proof of Corollary 6.2. Up to a finite extension and isogeny, we can regard A as a map $f : X \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{4,\mathbb{F}}$. Let $C = \overline{\text{im } f}$ be the Tate-linear curve in Lemma 5.16. Our condition implies that C is proper, and $G_p(f)$ is unramified. Therefore, Theorem 6.1 implies that C factors through the reduction of a Shimura curve. This implies that $G_B(A)_{\overline{\mathbb{Q}}} \subsetneq \text{GSp}_{8,\overline{\mathbb{Q}}}$. We conclude by Lemma 5.15 that MT_p holds for A . \square

REFERENCES

- [Ber86] Pierre Berthelot, *Géométrie rigide et cohomologie des variétés algébriques de caractéristique p* , 1986, pp. 3, 7–32. Introductions aux cohomologies p -adiques (Luminy, 1984). MR865810
- [Cha03] Ching-Li Chai, *Families of ordinary abelian varieties : canonical coordinates , p -adic monodromy , Tate-linear subvarieties and hecke orbits*, 2003.

- [Cha06a] ———, *Hecke orbits as Shimura varieties in positive characteristic*, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, 2006, pp. 295–312. MR2275599
- [Cha06b] ———, *Hecke orbits as Shimura varieties in positive characteristic*, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. II, 2006, pp. 295–312. MR2275599
- [Cha95] ———, *Every ordinary symplectic isogeny class in positive characteristic is dense in the moduli*, Invent. Math. **121** (1995), no. 3, 439–479. MR1353306
- [Chi04] CheeWhye Chin, *Independence of l of monodromy groups*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), no. 3, 723–747. MR2053954
- [Chi92] Wên Chên Chi, *l -adic and λ -adic representations associated to abelian varieties defined over number fields*, Amer. J. Math. **114** (1992), no. 2, 315–353.
- [CK16] Anna Cadoret and Arno Kret, *Galois-generic points on Shimura varieties*, Algebra Number Theory **10** (2016), no. 9, 1893–1934. MR3576114
- [CO11] Ching-Li Chai and Frans Oort, *Monodromy and irreducibility of leaves*, Ann. of Math. (2) **173** (2011), no. 3, 1359–1396. MR2800716
- [Com19a] Johan Commelin, *The Mumford-Tate conjecture for products of abelian varieties*, Algebr. Geom. **6** (2019), no. 6, 650–677. MR4009176
- [Com19b] Johan M. Commelin, *On compatibility of the ℓ -adic realisations of an abelian motive*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **69** (2019), no. 5, 2089–2120. MR4018256
- [Con99] Brian Conrad, *Irreducible components of rigid spaces*, Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble) **49** (1999), no. 2, 473–541. MR1697371
- [Cre92] Richard Crew, *F -isocrystals and their monodromy groups*, Annales scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure **25** (1992), no. 4, 429–464 (eng).
- [D’A20a] Marco D’Addezio, *The monodromy groups of lisse sheaves and overconvergent F -isocrystals*, Selecta Mathematica **26** (2020jun), no. 3.
- [D’A20b] ———, *Parabolicity conjecture of F -isocrystals*, Annals of Mathematics (2020).
- [Del79] Pierre Deligne, *Variétés de Shimura: interprétation modulaire, et techniques de construction de modèles canoniques*, Automorphic forms, representations and L -functions (Proc. Sympos. Pure Math., Oregon State Univ., Corvallis, Ore., 1977), Part 2, 1979, pp. 247–289. MR546620
- [DI81] P. Deligne and L. Illusie, *Cristaux ordinaires et coordonnées canoniques*, Surfaces algébriques, 1981, pp. 80–137.
- [DLMS24] Heng Du, Tong Liu, Yong Suk Moon, and Koji Shimizu, *Log prismatic f -crystals and purity*, 2024.
- [DMOS82] Pierre Deligne, James S. Milne, Arthur Ogus, and Kuang-yen Shih, *Hodge cycles, motives, and Shimura varieties*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. 900, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1982. MR654325
- [Fal99] Gerd Faltings, *Integral crystalline cohomology over very ramified valuation rings*, Journal of the AMS, v.12, 117–144 (1999) **12** (1999).
- [Jia24] Ruofan Jiang, *Characteristic p analogues of the mumford–tate and andré–oort conjectures for products of ordinary gspin shimura varieties*, 2024.
- [Jia25] ———, *Splitting of almost ordinary abelian surfaces in families and the S -integrality conjectures*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **825** (2025), 33–79. MR4939939
- [JSY26] Ruofan Jiang, Ananth Shankar, and Ziquan Yang, *Picard rank jumps for non-ordinary $K3$ surfaces*, In preparation (2026+).
- [Kat79] Nicholas M. Katz, *Slope filtration of F -crystals*, Journées de géométrie algébrique de rennes - (juillet 1978) (i) : Groupe formels, représentations galoisiennes et cohomologie des variétés de caractéristique positive, 1979 (en). MR563463
- [Ked04] Kiran S. Kedlaya, *Full faithfulness for overconvergent F -isocrystals* (2004), 819–836.
- [Kis10] Mark Kisin, *Integral models for shimura varieties of abelian type*, Journal of the American Mathematical Society **23** (2010), no. 4, 967–1012.
- [Kis17] ———, *mod p points on Shimura varieties of abelian type*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **30** (2017), no. 3, 819–914. MR3630089
- [LP90] M. Larsen and R. Pink, *Determining representations from invariant dimensions*, Invent. Math. **102** (1990), no. 2, 377–398. MR1074479
- [LP92] ———, *On l -independence of algebraic monodromy groups in compatible systems of representations*, Invent. Math. **107** (1992), no. 3, 603–636. MR1150604
- [LP95] ———, *Abelian varieties, l -adic representations, and l -independence*, Math. Ann. **302** (1995), no. 3, 561–579. MR1339927
- [Mar25] Eyal Markman, *Cycles on abelian $2n$ -folds of weil type from secant sheaves on abelian n -folds*, 2025.
- [Mil09] J. S. Milne, *Rational Tate classes*, Mosc. Math. J. **9** (2009), no. 1, 111–141, back matter. MR2567399

- [Mil92] James S. Milne, *The points on a Shimura variety modulo a prime of good reduction*, The zeta functions of Picard modular surfaces, 1992, pp. 151–253.
- [Moo98a] Ben Moonen, *Linearity properties of Shimura varieties. I*, J. Algebraic Geom. **7** (1998), no. 3, 539–567. MR1618140
- [Moo98b] ———, *Linearity properties of Shimura varieties. II*, Compositio Math. **114** (1998), no. 1, 3–35. MR1648527
- [Moo98c] ———, *Models of Shimura varieties in mixed characteristics* (1998), 267–350.
- [Moo] ———, *Notes on Mumford-Tate groups*, available at <https://doi.org/10.1215/S0012-7094-95-07717-5>.
- [MST22a] Divesh Maulik, Ananth N. Shankar, and Yunqing Tang, *Picard ranks of K3 surfaces over function fields and the Hecke orbit conjecture*, Invent. Math. **228** (2022), no. 3, 1075–1143. MR4419630
- [MST22b] ———, *Reductions of abelian surfaces over global function fields*, Compos. Math. **158** (2022), no. 4, 893–950. MR4440654
- [MZ95] Ben Moonen and Yuri Zarhin, *Hodge classes and Tate classes on simple abelian fourfolds*, Duke Math. J. **77** (1995), no. 3, 553–581. MR1324634
- [Noo96] Rutger Noot, *Models of Shimura varieties in mixed characteristic*, Journal of Algebraic Geometry **5** (1996), 187–207.
- [Ogu84] Arthur Ogus, *F-isocrystals and de Rham cohomology. II. Convergent isocrystals*, Duke Math. J. **51** (1984), no. 4, 765–850. MR771383
- [Oor04] Frans Oort, *Foliations in moduli spaces of abelian varieties*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (2004), no. 2, 267–296. MR2051612
- [Pin97] Richard Pink, *The Mumford-Tate conjecture for Drinfeld-modules*, Publ. Res. Inst. Math. Sci. **33** (1997), no. 3, 393–425. MR1474696
- [Pin98] ———, *l -adic algebraic monodromy groups, cocharacters, and the Mumford-Tate conjecture*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **495** (1998), 187–237. MR1603865
- [Ser65] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Zeta-functions and L-functions*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk **20** (1965), no. 6(126), 19–26. MR190106
- [Ser79] ———, *Groupes algébriques associés aux modules de Hodge-Tate*, Journées de Géométrie Algébrique de Rennes (Rennes, 1978), Vol. III, 1979, pp. 155–188.
- [Tan83] S. G. Tankeev, *Cycles of abelian varieties of prime dimension over finite and number fields*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat. **47** (1983), no. 2, 356–365. MR697300
- [Tay25] Salim Tayou, *Picard rank jumps for K3 surfaces with bad reduction*, Algebra Number Theory **19** (2025), no. 1, 77–112. MR4836458
- [Tem17] Michael Temkin, *Altered local uniformization of Berkovich spaces*, Israel J. Math. **221** (2017), no. 2, 585–603. MR3704927

DEPT. OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA, BERKELEY
 Email address: ruofanjiang@berkeley.edu