

WHEN IS THE RELATIVE FROBENIUS AN ISOMORPHISM?

RANKEYA DATTA AND NOAH OLANDER

ABSTRACT. A field extension L/K of characteristic $p > 0$ is formally étale if and only if the relative Frobenius of L/K is an isomorphism. Inspired by this classical result, we explore whether the formally étale property for a map $R \rightarrow S$ of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras is characterized by isomorphism of the relative Frobenius $F_{S/R}$. While $F_{S/R}$ being an isomorphism implies $R \rightarrow S$ is formally étale, the converse fails in the non-Noetherian setting. Thus, following Morrow, we introduce an enhancement of the formally étale property that we call b-nil (bounded nil) formally étale, and we show that $F_{S/R}$ is an isomorphism precisely when $R \rightarrow S$ is b-nil formally étale. The b-nil formally étale property is quite different from the formally étale property. For instance, we show that any b-nil formally étale algebra over an F -pure ring is reduced, whereas non-reduced formally étale algebras exist over \mathbf{F}_p by a construction of Bhatt. We also show that the b-nil formally étale property neither implies nor is implied by having a trivial cotangent complex. We explore when formally étale implies b-nil formally étale in prime characteristic. The known cases arise mainly from the fact that a weakly étale map has isomorphic relative Frobenius. We record two additional cases that may be of interest. The first is for a map $R \rightarrow S$ of F -finite rings such that S has finitely presented absolute Frobenius. Secondly, we show that any formally étale map of Noetherian rings of prime characteristic is b-nil formally étale.

1. INTRODUCTION

A ring homomorphism $R \rightarrow S$ is *formally étale* if for all pairs (A, I) , where A is an R -algebra and $I \subset A$ is an ideal such that $I^2 = 0$, and for all commutative diagrams of rings

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A/I & \xleftarrow{f} & S \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A & \xleftarrow{\quad} & R \end{array}$$

where $A \twoheadrightarrow A/I$ is the canonical projection, there exists a unique R -algebra map $\tilde{f}: S \rightarrow A$ that lifts f along $A \twoheadrightarrow A/I$, i.e., \tilde{f} makes the triangles in the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A/I & \xleftarrow{f} & S \\ \uparrow & \swarrow \exists! \tilde{f} & \uparrow \\ A & \xleftarrow{\quad} & R. \end{array}$$

Thus, a formally étale ring map is *formally unramified* (which is the condition that an R -algebra lift of f is unique) and *formally smooth* (which is the condition that an R -algebra lift of f exists).

It is natural to first try and understand the formally étale property for a field extension L/K . When $\text{char}(K) = 0$, L/K is formally étale if and only if L/K is separable algebraic. The situation is more delicate when $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$. Indeed, while separable algebraic field extensions are formally étale in any characteristic, if L and K are both perfect fields of characteristic p , then L/K is always formally étale but it is not necessarily algebraic or separably generated. Nevertheless, one has the following classical characterization.

Theorem 1.1. [Mat89, Theorem 26.7] *Let L/K be an extension of fields of characteristic $p > 0$. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) L/K is formally étale.

- (b) Any absolute p -basis of K is also an absolute p -basis of L .
- (c) The canonical map $K \otimes_{K^p} L^p \rightarrow L$ is an isomorphism.

The map $K \otimes_{K^p} L^p \rightarrow L$ can be identified with the relative Frobenius of L/K . More generally, if $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is a homomorphism of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras, then the *relative Frobenius* of φ , denoted F_φ , is the unique ring map $F_*R \otimes_R S \rightarrow F_*S$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \\
 F_R \downarrow & & \downarrow F_R \otimes \text{id}_S \quad F_S \\
 F_*R & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{F_*R} \otimes \varphi} & F_*R \otimes_R S \\
 & \searrow F_*\varphi & \downarrow \exists! F_\varphi \\
 & & F_*S
 \end{array}$$

Here F_R (resp. F_S) is the absolute Frobenius (p -th power) endomorphism of R (resp. S), and F_*R is the ring R viewed as an R -module by restriction of scalars along F_R (and similarly for F_*S).

Inspired by [Theorem 1.1](#) one can ask the following natural question (c.f. [\[Bha12b, Question 3.9\]](#)):

Question 1.2. If $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is a map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras, does F_φ being an isomorphism characterize φ being formally étale?

If F_φ is an isomorphism, then φ is formally étale; see [Theorem 3.13](#) and [Corollary 3.15](#) for an elementary proof along the lines of the one given in [\[Mat89\]](#) for field extensions¹. However, we give an example of a formally étale map of semi-perfect rings (i.e. rings with surjective absolute Frobenius) for which the relative Frobenius is not an isomorphism; see [Examples 3.19 \(b\)](#). This raises the natural question of whether isomorphism of the relative Frobenius corresponds to a lifting property stronger than the one used to define formally étale maps. Our main result is that such a strengthening indeed exists, and we call the corresponding notion *b-nil formally étale*.

Theorem 1.3. ([Theorem 3.13](#)) Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras. The following are equivalent:

- (a) φ is *b-nil formally étale*.
- (b) The relative Frobenius F_φ is *b-nil formally étale*.
- (c) The relative Frobenius F_φ is *b-nil formally smooth*.
- (d) The relative Frobenius F_φ is an isomorphism.

We learned of the *b-nil formally étale* property from notes by Morrow; see [\[Mor19, Project C\]](#). The term ‘b-nil’ is an abbreviation of *bounded nil*; see [Definition 3.2](#). In prime characteristic $p > 0$, $R \rightarrow S$ is *b-nil formally étale* precisely when for all pairs (A, I) , where A is an R -algebra and $I \subset A$ is an ideal such that $I^{[p]} = 0$, and for every solid commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A/I & \longleftarrow & S \\
 \uparrow & \swarrow \text{dashed} & \uparrow \\
 A & \longleftarrow & R
 \end{array}$$

there is a unique dashed arrow $S \rightarrow A$ that makes the triangles in the square commute. Here $I^{[p]}$ is the ideal generated by the p -th powers of elements of I , or equivalently, the expansion of I along the absolute Frobenius of A . The notions of *b-nil formally unramified* and *b-nil formally smooth* ring maps are defined analogously. Note that the example of a formally étale map that does not have isomorphic relative Frobenius ([Examples 3.19 \(b\)](#)) gives an example of a formally étale map that is *not* *b-nil formally étale*.

¹ F_φ being an isomorphism at the level of rings does not imply the cotangent complex L_φ is trivial ([Examples 3.19 \(c\)](#)), although $H^0(L_\varphi) = H^{-1}(L_\varphi) = 0$ by formal étaleness [\[Sta, Tag 0D0M, Tag 08RB\]](#).

To prove [Theorem 1.3](#), we draw inspiration from the work of Gabber [[Gab04](#)]; see [Lemma 3.8](#) and [Proposition 3.9](#). In [Proposition 3.9](#) we show that if $R \rightarrow S$ is a b-nil formally smooth map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras, then its relative Frobenius $F_{S/R}$ is injective and F_*S is a projective $F_*R \otimes_R S$ -module. In particular, $F_{S/R}$ is flat. Consequently, we deduce that if R has universally injective absolute Frobenius (i.e. R is F -pure) and $R \rightarrow S$ is b-nil formally smooth, then S is reduced ([Corollary 3.12 \(a\)](#)). This shows that any b-nil formally smooth algebra over a field of prime characteristic is geometrically reduced ([Corollary 3.12 \(b\)](#)). These results are reminiscent of the well-known facts that a formally smooth map of Noetherian rings is flat with geometrically regular fibers, and that in characteristic p it has flat relative Frobenius (see [Theorem 2.1](#)). On the other hand, non-Noetherian formally étale algebras over fields of prime characteristic need not be reduced by Bhatt's example of a non-reduced \mathbf{F}_p -algebra with trivial cotangent complex [[Bha12a](#)]. Hence this exhibits another difference between the formally étale and b-nil formally étale properties. Our reducedness result combined with Bhatt's example also shows that the triviality of the cotangent complex of a map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras does not imply its b-nil formal étaleness, unlike the case for formally étale maps. Furthermore, b-nil formally étale maps, like formally étale ones, need not have trivial cotangent complex; see [Examples 3.19 \(c\)](#).

The divergence of the formally étale and b-nil formally étale properties naturally leads us to examine situations where they agree in prime characteristic. Several positive results have long been known, mainly because of the fact that the relative Frobenius of a weakly étale map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras is an isomorphism; see [Section 4](#) for more details. We record two additional cases that may be of interest. The first of these is:

Theorem 1.4. ([Theorem 4.1](#)) *Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras. Assume both the following:*

- (i) F_R is of finite type (equivalently, F_R is finite), and
- (ii) F_S is of finite presentation (equivalently, F_*S is a finitely presented S -module).

Then φ is formally étale if and only if F_φ is an isomorphism, or equivalently, φ is b-nil formally étale.

[Examples 3.19 \(b\)](#) shows that one cannot weaken the hypothesis of finite presentation on F_S to finite type. The proof of [Theorem 1.4](#) is similar to the classical proof that for a finitely presented ring map, isomorphism of the relative Frobenius characterizes the étale property [[SGA77](#), Exposé XV, n^0 2, Proposition 2 c)]. Indeed, the hypotheses of [Theorem 1.4](#) ensure that F_φ is finitely presented. Thus, if φ is formally étale, then F_φ is formally étale, i.e., it is étale. The usual reasoning that an étale radicial morphism of schemes is an open immersion then implies $\mathrm{Spec}(F_\varphi)$ is an isomorphism of affine schemes, i.e., F_φ is an isomorphism of rings.

Our final result is in the Noetherian setting.

Theorem 1.5. ([Theorem 4.6](#)) *Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a map of Noetherian \mathbf{F}_p -algebras. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) φ is formally étale.
- (b) F_φ is an isomorphism.
- (c) φ is b-nil formally étale.

Given [Theorem 1.3](#) and the fact that b-nil formally étale implies formally étale, the main assertion of [Theorem 1.5](#) is that a formally étale map of Noetherian rings has isomorphic relative Frobenius. We were unable to locate an elementary proof of this result in the literature, although it is presumably well-known to experts. We note that in [[Lur18a](#), Proposition 3.5.6], Lurie shows using the machinery of [[Lur18b](#)] that if the \mathbb{E}_∞ -cotangent complex of a map of Noetherian \mathbf{F}_p -algebras is trivial, then the relative Frobenius is an isomorphism. By comparison, our proof uses classical facts about formally smooth maps of Noetherian rings (see below). We also note that for Noetherian F -finite rings, [Theorem 1.5 \(a\) \$\implies\$ \(b\)](#) follows by [Theorem 1.4](#), or by recent work of Fink [[Fin25](#),

Theorem 5.3]². To prove the result outside the F -finite setting, the first ingredient we use, due to Grothendieck [Gro64], is that a formally smooth map of Noetherian rings is flat with geometrically regular fibers, i.e., a *regular* map. The second ingredient, due to Radu [Rad92] and André [And93], is that a map of Noetherian rings of characteristic p is regular if and only if its relative Frobenius is faithfully flat. Using these results, we first prove Theorem 1.5 (a) \implies (b) assuming R or S is reduced (Proposition 4.5), and we then get the general case by a deformation argument. It would be interesting to have a proof of Theorem 1.5 that uses just the Noetherian property to show that formally étale maps are b-nil formally étale directly via the definitions of these notions. We have been unsuccessful in finding an argument that works for arbitrary Noetherian rings. However, when the Noetherian rings are additionally F -finite, see Proposition 4.9. Note that our argument also shows that formally smooth implies b-nil formally smooth for maps of Noetherian F -finite rings. In Section 3.1 we also examine the relationship between b-nil formally smooth maps in prime characteristic and some enhancements of rings with flat absolute Frobenius that appear in the theories of F -singularities and tight closure [HH94, Kat08, KLZ09, Sha10, Sha12, DEST25]. We also give several interesting examples of failure of (b-nil) formal smoothness/étaleness for regular maps of noetherian local rings.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Fix a prime number p . For an \mathbf{F}_p -algebra R we have the *absolute Frobenius endomorphism* of R

$$\begin{aligned} F_R: R &\rightarrow R \\ r &\mapsto r^p \end{aligned}$$

and its iterates F_R^e , for any integer $e > 0$. One often considers the target copy of R as an R -module via restriction of scalars along F_R^e , in which case it is common to denote the resulting R -algebra by $F_*^e R$ (some authors choose ${}^{(e)}R$ or even R^{1/p^e}). An \mathbf{F}_p -algebra R is *F -pure* if F_R is a universally injective ring map, it is *F -finite* if F_R is finite, it is *semi-perfect* if F_R is surjective, and it is *perfect* if F_R is an isomorphism.

If $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is a map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras, then one also has a relative version of the e -th absolute Frobenius called the *e -th relative Frobenius*, denoted F_φ^e , which is the unique ring map $F_*^e R \otimes_R S \rightarrow F_*^e S$ that makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \\ F_R^e \downarrow & & \downarrow F_R^e \otimes_R \text{id}_S \quad F_S^e \\ F_*^e R & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{F_*^e R} \otimes_R \varphi} & F_*^e R \otimes_R S \\ & \searrow F_*^e \varphi & \downarrow F_\varphi^e \\ & & F_*^e S \end{array}$$

(A red arrow labeled $\exists! F_\varphi^e$ points from $F_*^e R \otimes_R S$ to $F_*^e S$.)

The ring $F_*^e R \otimes_R S$ is often also denoted as $S^{(p^e)}$ (or $S^{(e)}$ by some authors) and F_φ^e is considered as a ring homomorphism $S^{(p^e)} \rightarrow S$, i.e., one typically suppresses the F_*^e notation. Note that the R -algebra structure on $S^{(p^e)}$ is the $F_*^e R$ -algebra structure. In this paper, we will switch between dropping and using the F_*^e 's when discussing the absolute/relative Frobenii. We hope this will not cause any confusion for the reader.

One advantage of working with the relative Frobenius is that it commutes with base change whereas the absolute Frobenius does not. Namely, if $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ and $\phi: R \rightarrow T$ are \mathbf{F}_p -algebras,

²Fink studies the relative Frobenius of maps of animated \mathbf{F}_p -algebras, and his proof that a formally étale map of classical F -finite Noetherian \mathbf{F}_p -algebras has isomorphic relative Frobenius appears to use this derived perspective.

then $\text{id}_T \otimes_R F_\varphi$ can be identified with F_φ ; see [SGA77, Expose XV, n^02 , Proposition 1 b)]. Another advantage is that the relative Frobenius of a map of Noetherian \mathbf{F}_p -algebras controls the singularities of the fibers of the map, as summarized in the result below.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a map of Noetherian \mathbf{F}_p -algebras. Then:*

- (a) *φ is flat with geometrically regular fibers (i.e., φ is a regular map) if and only if F_φ is faithfully flat.*
- (b) *φ is flat with geometrically reduced fibers if and only if φ is flat and F_φ is universally injective as a map of R -modules (i.e. F_*R -modules).*
- (c) *If φ is formally smooth, then φ is a regular map. Hence F_φ is a faithfully flat map of Noetherian rings.*

Proof. (a) is due to Radu [Rad92] and André [And93]. See also [MP25, Theorem 10.1] for an accessible proof of (a). (b) is due to Dumitrescu [Dum95]. The fact that a formally smooth map of Noetherian rings is regular is a deep result of Grothendieck [Gro64, 0_{IV}, Corollaire 19.6.5, Théorème 19.7.1]. An alternate reference is [Sta, Tag 07NQ] (note formal smoothness with respect to linear topologies is implied by formal smoothness [Sta, Tag 07EC]). The faithful flatness in the last assertion of (c) follows from (a). Note $S^{(p)}$ is Noetherian as a result of the faithful flatness of F_φ because the target S is Noetherian, and the property of being Noetherian satisfies faithfully flat (in fact, even cyclically pure) descent. \square

Remark 2.2. Another proof of Theorem 2.1 (c) is given in [BF25, Theorem 2.11] using deep rigidity properties of the cotangent complex of a map of Noetherian rings due to Briggs-Iyengar [BI23], Avramov [Avr99] and André [And74].

3. CHARACTERIZING WHEN RELATIVE FROBENIUS IS AN ISOMORPHISM

For an ideal I of a ring A and an integer $m \geq 0$, we will use $I^{[m]}$ to denote the ideal $(f^m: f \in I)$.

Example 3.1. Let R be a ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$ and I an ideal. For any integer $e \geq 0$, if F^e denotes the e -th iterate of the absolute Frobenius of R , then $F^e(I)R = I^{[p^e]}$.

Definition 3.2. [Mor19, Project C] An ideal I of a ring A is *nil of bounded index* or *bounded nil* (abbrv. *b-nil*) if there exists $m \geq 0$ such that $I^{[m]} = 0$.

Recall also that $I \subset A$ is *nilpotent* if there is $m \geq 0$ so that $I^m = 0$, and I is *locally nilpotent* if every element of I is nilpotent.

Example 3.3. If I is a nilpotent ideal of a ring A , then I is bounded nil because $I^{[n]} \subset I^n$. A bounded nil ideal is clearly locally nilpotent.

Definition 3.4. [Mor19, Project C] A ring map $R \rightarrow S$ is called *b-nil formally smooth* (resp. *b-nil formally unramified*, resp. *b-nil formally étale*)³ if for every bounded nil ideal I of a ring A and every solid commutative diagram

$$(3.4.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A/I & \longleftarrow & S \\ \uparrow & \swarrow \text{dashed} & \uparrow \\ A & \longleftarrow & R \end{array}$$

of rings, there exists at least one (resp. at most one, resp. exactly one) dashed arrow making the diagram commute.

Lemma 3.5. *Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a ring map.*

³Morrow uses the shorter term *n-formally smooth* (resp. *unramified*, resp. *étale*).

- (a) If φ is b -nil formally smooth (resp. unramified, resp. étale), then φ is formally smooth (resp. unramified, resp. étale).
- (b) A composition of b -nil formally smooth (resp. unramified, resp. étale) maps is b -nil formally smooth (resp. unramified, resp. étale).
- (c) A base change of a b -nil formally smooth (resp. unramified, resp. étale) map is b -nil formally smooth (resp. unramified, resp. étale).
- (d) If $R \rightarrow S$ and $S \rightarrow T$ are ring maps and both $R \rightarrow S$ and the composition $R \rightarrow S \rightarrow T$ are b -nil formally étale, then so is $S \rightarrow T$.
- (e) A ring map $R \rightarrow S$ is b -nil formally smooth (resp. unramified, resp. étale) if and only if for all surjective ring maps $A \rightarrow A/I$ which can be factored

$$A := \varinjlim_n A_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_{n+1} \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_0 = A/I$$

in which each $A_{n+1} \twoheadrightarrow A_n$ is a surjective ring map whose kernel I_{n+1} is bounded nil and all solid commutative diagrams (3.4.1), there exists at least one (resp. at most one, resp. exactly one) dashed arrow $S \rightarrow A$ making the diagram (3.4.1) commute.

- (f) If $R \rightarrow S$ is a map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras, then $R \rightarrow S$ is b -nil formally smooth (resp. unramified, resp. étale) if and only if for all solid commutative diagrams (3.4.1) for pairs (A, I) where A is an R -algebra and I is an ideal of A such that $I^{[p]} = 0$, there exists at least one (resp. at most one, resp. exactly one) dashed arrow making the diagram commute.
- (g) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is a map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras that is b -nil formally étale, then the relative Frobenius F_φ is b -nil formally étale.
- (h) If R is an \mathbf{F}_p -algebra and $\mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow R$ is b -nil formally étale, then the absolute Frobenius $F_R: R \rightarrow R$ of R is b -nil formally étale.
- (i) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is smooth, then φ is b -nil formally smooth.
- (j) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is weakly étale, then φ is b -nil formally étale.
- (k) If $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is étale, then φ is b -nil formally étale.
- (l) If $\{X_\alpha: \alpha \in A\}$ is a family of indeterminates, then the polynomial extension $R \rightarrow R[X_\alpha: \alpha \in A]$ is b -nil formally smooth.
- (m) If $W \subset R$ is multiplicative, then $R \rightarrow W^{-1}R$ is b -nil formally étale.
- (n) A filtered colimit of b -nil formally étale R -algebras is a b -nil formally étale R -algebra.

Proof. We prove (d), (f), (g), (h), (i), (j) and (k). The rest of the properties are standard checks. We begin with (d). Consider a solid diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A/I & \longleftarrow & T \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A & \longleftarrow & S \end{array}$$

where $I \subset A$ is a bounded nil ideal. Extending to the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & A/I & \longleftarrow & T \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & A & \longleftarrow & S \\ \text{id}_A \uparrow & & & & \uparrow \\ A & \longleftarrow & & & R \\ & & 6 & & \end{array}$$

by the b-nil formally étale property of $R \rightarrow S \rightarrow T$, there exist a unique dashed arrow $T \rightarrow A$ such that the following diagram commutes:

$$(3.5.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} A/I & \longleftarrow & T \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A & & S \\ \uparrow \text{id}_A & \swarrow \exists! & \uparrow \\ A & \longleftarrow & R \end{array}$$

Since the solid arrow $S \rightarrow A$ is the unique (because $R \rightarrow S$ is b-nil formally étale) one that makes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A/I & & \\ \uparrow & \swarrow & \\ A & \longleftarrow & S \\ \uparrow \text{id}_A & & \uparrow \\ A & \longleftarrow & R \end{array}$$

commute (here $S \rightarrow A/I$ is the composition $S \rightarrow T \rightarrow A/I$), pre-composing the dashed arrow $T \rightarrow A$ with the map $S \rightarrow T$ must equal the solid arrow $S \rightarrow A$ by uniqueness, that is, the dashed arrow $T \rightarrow A$ makes the desired initial diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A/I & \longleftarrow & T \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A & & S \\ \uparrow \text{id}_A & \swarrow \exists! & \uparrow \\ A & \longleftarrow & R \end{array}$$

commute. The uniqueness of $T \rightarrow A$ for the last diagram follows from the uniqueness of $T \rightarrow A$ in (3.5.1).

(f) If $I \subset A$ is an ideal in an \mathbf{F}_p -algebra such that there exists an integer $m \geq 0$ such that $I^{[m]} = 0$, then certainly we may take $m = p^e$ for some $e \gg 0$. Then use that $A \rightarrow A/I$ factors as

$$A = A/I^{[p^e]} \rightarrow A/I^{[p^{e-1}]} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow A/I^{[p]} \rightarrow A/I$$

in which each map is a quotient of an \mathbf{F}_p -algebra by an ideal J satisfying $J^{[p]} = 0$ to get the desired unique lift.

(g) F_φ makes the following diagram commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \\ F_R \downarrow & & \downarrow F_R \otimes_R \text{id}_S \\ F_* R & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{F_* R} \otimes_R \varphi} & F_* R \otimes_R S \\ & \searrow F_* \varphi & \downarrow F_S \\ & & F_* S \end{array}$$

(A dashed red arrow labeled $\exists! F_\varphi$ connects $F_* R \otimes_R S$ to $F_* S$.)

Looking at the bottom triangle, $F_* \varphi$ is b-nil formally étale since it is just the map φ while $\text{id}_{F_* R} \otimes_R \varphi$ is b-nil formally étale by base change. Then F_φ is b-nil formally étale by (d).

(h) follows from (g) because the relative Frobenius of $\mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow R$ can be identified with the absolute Frobenius F_R of R because the absolute Frobenius of \mathbf{F}_p is just the identity map.

(i) If A is an R -algebra and $I \subset A$ is an ideal such that I is bounded nil, then I is locally nilpotent. Thus, the pair (A, I) is Henselian by [Sta, Tag 0ALI]. A smooth map satisfies the lifting property with respect to all Henselian pairs by [Sta, Tag 0H74].

The proof of (j) is similar to (i) courtesy [dJO24, Theorem 1]. Namely, a map $R \rightarrow S$ is weakly étale if and only if it satisfies the unique lifting property for all Henselian pairs (A, I) , where A is an R -algebra. As above, bounded nil ideals are locally nilpotent, and hence give rise to Henselian pairs.

Finally, (k) follows from (j) because an étale map is weakly étale by [Sta, Tag 092N]. \square

Remark 3.6. The converse of Lemma 3.5 (h) holds as well, that is, if R is an \mathbb{F}_p -algebra such that the absolute Frobenius F_R of R is b-nil formally étale, then $\mathbb{F}_p \rightarrow R$ is b-nil formally étale. This follows from Theorem 3.13 because F_R can be identified with the relative Frobenius of R/\mathbb{F}_p .

Lemma 3.7. Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a map of \mathbb{F}_p -algebras. Let $I \subset S^{(p)}$ be the kernel of F_φ . Then $I^{[p]} = 0$.

Proof. Let $x = \sum r_i \otimes s_i \in I$. Then $F_\varphi(x) = \sum r_i s_i^p = 0$ and so

$$x^p = \sum r_i^p \otimes s_i^p = \sum 1 \otimes r_i s_i^p = 1 \otimes (\sum r_i s_i^p) = 0. \quad \square$$

Our next goal will be to show that for b-nil formally smooth maps $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ of \mathbb{F}_p -algebras, the relative Frobenius F_φ gives S the structure of a projective $S^{(p)}$ -module (Proposition 3.9). We need the following preparatory lemma.

Lemma 3.8. Let R be a ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$. Let S be an R -algebra. There is a map of R -algebras $S' \rightarrow S$ with the following properties:

- (a) $S' \rightarrow S$ is surjective.
- (b) The kernel J of $S' \rightarrow S$ is bounded nil. In fact $J^{[p]} = 0$.
- (c) In the commutative diagram

$$(3.8.1) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} S'^{(p)} & \xrightarrow{F_{S'/R}} & S' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^{(p)} & \xrightarrow{F_{S/R}} & S \end{array}$$

obtained from naturality of the relative Frobenius, the kernel of $F_{S'/R}$ is equal to the kernel of the left vertical map $S'^{(p)} \rightarrow S^{(p)}$.

Proof. Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of generators of S as an $S^{(p)}$ -algebra and take

$$S' = S^{(p)}[T_i : i \in I] / (T_i^p - (1 \otimes f_i) : i \in I).$$

Let $S' \rightarrow S$ be given by the relative Frobenius $S^{(p)} \rightarrow S$ on $S^{(p)}$ and take $T_i \mapsto f_i$. Then $S' \rightarrow S$ is clearly surjective, so (a) holds. Consider the R -algebra $S[T_i : i \in I] / (T_i^p - f_i : i \in I)$. Its relative Frobenius is the map

$$S' = S^{(p)}[T_i : i \in I] / (T_i^p - (1 \otimes f_i) : i \in I) \rightarrow S[T_i : i \in I] / (T_i^p - f_i : i \in I)$$

which is equal to $F_{S/R}$ on $S^{(p)}$ and takes T_i to $T_i^p = f_i$. This map has image contained in the subring $S \subset S[T_i : i \in I] / (T_i^p - f_i)$ and is identified with the surjection $S' \twoheadrightarrow S$. Thus, the kernel J of $S' \twoheadrightarrow S$ is equal to the kernel of the relative Frobenius of $S[T_i] / (T_i^p - f_i)$, and so, $J^{[p]} = 0$ by Lemma 3.7, proving (b). That leaves (c). Note that $S^{(p)}$ is an R -algebra via the map $R \rightarrow S^{(p)}$ that sends $R \ni r \mapsto r \otimes 1$. Thus, the relative Frobenius of $R \rightarrow S^{(p)}$ can be identified with the map $S^{(p^2)} \rightarrow S^{(p)}$ that sends $S^{(p^2)} \ni r \otimes s \mapsto r \otimes s^p$. We then have

$$S'^{(p)} = S^{(p^2)}[T_i : i \in I] / (T_i^p - (1 \otimes f_i) : i \in I)$$

and the relative Frobenius $F_{S'/R}: S'^{(p)} \rightarrow S'$ of $R \rightarrow S'$ is the relative Frobenius $S^{(p^2)} \rightarrow S^{(p)}$ of $R \rightarrow S^{(p)}$ on $S^{(p^2)}$ and takes T_i to $T_i^p = 1 \otimes f_i$ in S' . Thus, $S'^{(p)} \rightarrow S'$ (i.e. the top horizontal

map in (3.8.1) has image contained in the subring $S^{(p)}$. On the other hand, the left vertical map $S'^{(p)} \rightarrow S^{(p)}$ in (3.8.1) is the base change of $S' \rightarrow S$ along the absolute Frobenius of R and hence is equal to the relative Frobenius $S^{(p^2)} \rightarrow S^{(p)}$ of $R \rightarrow S^{(p)}$ on $S^{(p^2)}$ and sends $T_i \mapsto 1 \otimes f_i$. Thus, after restricting the co-domain of $F_{S'/R}: S'^{(p)} \rightarrow S'$ to $S^{(p)}$, the resulting map $S'^{(p)} \rightarrow S^{(p)}$ can then be identified with the left vertical arrow in (3.8.1), so (c) follows. \square

Proposition 3.9. *Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a b-nil formally smooth map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras. Then:*

- (a) *The relative Frobenius F_φ is injective.*
- (b) *S is a projective $S^{(p)}$ -module via F_φ .*

Proof. (a) Inductively build a sequence of R -algebra maps

$$\cdots \rightarrow A_{n+1} \rightarrow A_n \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow A_0 = S$$

where each $A_{n+1} \rightarrow A_n$ satisfies conditions (a)-(c) of Lemma 3.8. Since $R \rightarrow S$ is b-nil formally smooth, if $A := \lim_n A_n$ then there is a map $S \rightarrow A$ of R -algebras which is a right inverse of $A \rightarrow A_0 = S$ (Lemma 3.5 (e)). We can think of the map $S \rightarrow A$ as a commutative diagram of maps

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \longrightarrow & A_3 & \longleftarrow & A_2 & \longleftarrow & A_1 \longrightarrow A_0 = S \\ & & & & \searrow & & \nearrow \\ & & & & \cdots & & \\ & & & & & & \nearrow \\ & & & & & & S \end{array}$$

in the category of R -algebras. Base changing along the absolute Frobenius of R , we obtain a similar system where every term is replaced by its Frobenius twist, and hence a map $S^{(p)} \rightarrow \lim_n A_n^{(p)}$ which is right inverse to $\lim_n A_n^{(p)} \rightarrow A_0^{(p)} = S^{(p)}$ and fits into a diagram of R -algebras

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} S & \longrightarrow & \lim_n A_n & \longrightarrow & S \\ \uparrow F_\varphi & & \uparrow & & \uparrow F_\varphi \\ S^{(p)} & \longrightarrow & \lim_n A_n^{(p)} & \longrightarrow & S^{(p)} \end{array}$$

where the horizontal arrows compose to the identity and the middle vertical arrow is given component-wise by relative Frobenius. It suffices to show the middle vertical arrow is injective. If $(x_n)_{n \geq 0} \in \lim_n A_n^{(p)}$ is an element which maps to zero, that is $F_{A_n/R}(x_n) = 0$ for all n , then by condition (c) of Lemma 3.8 we see that $x_{n-1} = 0$ for all $n \geq 1$ and so $(x_n)_{n \geq 0} = 0$, as needed.

(b) Let $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of generators of S as an $S^{(p)}$ -algebra. Consider the ring map $A = S^{(p)}[T_i: i \in I]/(T_i^p - (1 \otimes f_i): i \in I) \rightarrow S$ which is F_φ on $S^{(p)}$ and sends $T_i \rightarrow f_i$. This is a surjection of R -algebras with bounded nilpotent kernel, as shown in the proof of Lemma 3.8. Since $R \rightarrow S$ is b-nil formally smooth, there is an R -algebra map $g: S \rightarrow A$ such that the composition $S \rightarrow A \rightarrow S$ is the identity.

Claim 3.10. *The diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_S} & S \\ \uparrow & \searrow g & \uparrow F_\varphi \\ A = S^{(p)}[T_i: i \in I]/(T_i^p - (1 \otimes f_i): i \in I) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & S^{(p)} \end{array}$$

commutes.

The top triangle commutes by construction so the claim says that $g : S \rightarrow A$ is actually a map of $S^{(p)}$ -algebras where S is an $S^{(p)}$ -algebra via F_φ . By the universal property of the tensor product it suffices to show that the composition $g \circ F_S$ is equal to the composition $S \rightarrow S^{(p)} \rightarrow A$ where $S \rightarrow S^{(p)}$ is $F_R \otimes_R \text{id}_S$. We have that $\text{im}(g \circ F_S)$ is contained in the subring of $A^p \subset A$, and thus $\text{im}(g \circ F_S) \subset S^{(p)}$. We may then view $g \circ F_S$ as a map $h : S \rightarrow S^{(p)}$ and since $A \rightarrow S$ is equal to F_φ on the subring $S^{(p)}$, we see that $F_\varphi \circ h = F_S$ by the fact that the top triangle in the diagram commutes. However, $F_R \otimes_R \text{id}_S : S \rightarrow S^{(p)}$ also satisfies that its post-composition with F_φ is equal to F_S . Since F_φ is injective by (a), we get $h = F_R \otimes_R \text{id}_S$, and hence, $g \circ F_S = S \rightarrow S^{(p)} \rightarrow A$. The claim follows.

But now we see that S is a direct summand as an $S^{(p)}$ -module of the free $S^{(p)}$ -module A , proving the result. \square

Remark 3.11. If $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ is a map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras such that F_φ is b-nil formally smooth⁴, then it is also the case that S is a projective $S^{(p)}$ -module. The proof in this case is shorter, so we provide it because we will use this fact in the proof of [Theorem 4.6](#). As in the proof of [Proposition 3.9](#), let $\{f_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a set of generators of S as an $S^{(p)}$ -algebra. Then $1 \otimes f_i \in S^{(p)}$ maps to f_i^p via F_φ . Consider the commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} S & \xleftarrow{\text{id}_S} & S \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow F_\varphi \\ S^{(p)}[T_i : i \in I] / (T_i^p - (1 \otimes f_i) : i \in I) & \xleftarrow{\quad} & S^{(p)} \end{array}$$

where the ring map $S^{(p)}[T_i : i \in I] / (T_i^p - (1 \otimes f_i) : i \in I) \rightarrow S$ is F_φ on $S^{(p)}$ and sends $T_i \rightarrow f_i$. We have seen this map has bounded nil kernel (see the proof of [Lemma 3.8 \(b\)](#)). Now, since F_φ is b-nil formally étale there exists a unique ring map $S \rightarrow S^{(p)}[T_i : i \in I] / (T_i^p - (1 \otimes f_i) : i \in I)$ making the above diagram commute. In particular, we see that S is a direct summand of the free $S^{(p)}$ -module $S^{(p)}[T_i : i \in I] / (T_i^p - (1 \otimes f_i) : i \in I)$, which proves the claim.

Corollary 3.12. Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a nil-formally smooth map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras.

- (a) If the absolute Frobenius of R is universally injective (i.e. R is F -pure), then S is reduced.
- (b) If R is a field, then S is geometrically reduced over R .

Proof. (a) By [Proposition 3.9](#), the relative Frobenius F_φ is injective. Since F_R is universally injective, so is $F_R \otimes_R \text{id}_S$. Then $F_S = F_\varphi \circ (F_R \otimes_R \text{id}_S)$ is injective, and hence, S is reduced.

(b) Since the property of being b-nil formally smooth is preserved under arbitrary base change, it suffices to show that if R is a field and $R \rightarrow S$ is b-nil formally smooth, then S is reduced. But this follows from (a) because fields have universally injective absolute Frobenius. \square

Theorem 3.13. Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras. The following are equivalent.

- (a) φ is b-nil formally étale.
- (b) The relative Frobenius F_φ is b-nil formally étale.
- (c) The relative Frobenius F_φ is b-nil formally smooth.
- (d) The relative Frobenius F_φ is an isomorphism.

The proof of (d) \implies (a) in the above theorem relies on the following lifting lemma, which we prove first.

⁴We will see in [Theorem 4.6](#) that this implies F_φ is an isomorphism.

Lemma 3.14. Suppose we are given a commutative diagram of rings of prime characteristic $p > 0$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A/I & \xleftarrow{f} & S \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \varphi \\ A & \xleftarrow{\quad} & R \end{array}$$

in which $I^{[p]} = 0$. Then:

(a) There is a ring map $S^{(p)} \rightarrow A$ which makes the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A/I & \xleftarrow{\quad} & S & \xleftarrow{F_\varphi} & S^{(p)} \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow & \nearrow & \nearrow \\ A & \xleftarrow{\quad} & R & & \end{array}$$

commute.

(b) Given maps $g, h : S \rightarrow A$ which both make the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A/I & \xleftarrow{\quad} & S \\ \uparrow & \nearrow & \uparrow \\ A & \xleftarrow{\quad} & R \end{array}$$

commute, we have $g \circ F_\varphi = h \circ F_\varphi$.

Proof. For (a), by the universal property of the tensor product, giving a ring map $S^{(p)} \rightarrow A$ making the diagram commute is equivalent to giving an R -algebra map $\psi : S \rightarrow A$ such that $\psi(s) \equiv f(s)^p \pmod{I}$ for all $s \in S$. But note that $F_A : A \rightarrow A$ factors through a map $k : A/I \rightarrow A$ since $I^{[p]} = 0$. Thus we may define $\psi := k \circ f$ and check that this works.

For (b), showing that $g \circ F_\varphi = h \circ F_\varphi$ is equivalent to showing $g \circ F_S = h \circ F_S$ by the universal property of the tensor product. This is true because for $s \in S$ we have $g(s) - h(s) \in I$ and so $g(s^p) - h(s^p) = (g(s) - h(s))^p = 0$ since $I^{[p]} = 0$. \square

Proof of Theorem 3.13. The implication (a) \implies (b) is part (g) of Lemma 3.5. We now establish (d) \implies (a). By Lemma 3.5 (f) it suffices to show that for any commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A/I & \xleftarrow{f} & S \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \varphi \\ A & \xleftarrow{j} & R, \end{array}$$

where $I \subset A$ is an ideal such that $I^{[p]} = 0$, there exists a unique R -algebra lift $\tilde{f} : S \rightarrow A$ of f along $A \twoheadrightarrow A/I$. For existence, let $a : S^{(p)} \rightarrow A$ be the ring map found in (a) of Lemma 3.14. Then $a \circ F_\varphi^{-1}$ works. For uniqueness, if g, h are two R -algebra lifts of f along $A \twoheadrightarrow A/I$, then by (b) of Lemma 3.14, we have $g \circ F_\varphi = h \circ F_\varphi$ hence $g = h$ as F_φ is surjective.

Clearly (b) \implies (c). It remains to show (c) \implies (d). So assume $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ has b-nil formally smooth relative Frobenius F_φ . We know that S is a projective $S^{(p)}$ -module via F_φ by Remark 3.11, and F_φ is a universal homeomorphism on spectra. Also, for any ring map $S^{(p)} \rightarrow k$ where k is a perfect field, the base change $k \rightarrow S \otimes_{S^{(p)}} k$ of F_φ to k is b-nil formally smooth (Lemma 3.5(c)) and $\text{Spec}(S \otimes_{S^{(p)}} k)$ is a one point space. By Corollary 3.12, we conclude that $S \otimes_{S^{(p)}} k$ is a field since it is reduced, and it is a purely inseparable (algebraic) extension of k by the fact that F_φ is purely inseparable. Since k is perfect we deduce that $k \rightarrow S \otimes_{S^{(p)}} k$ is an isomorphism.

Now the result is formal. We have a ring map $B \rightarrow C$ such that C is projective as a B -module and for every map $B \rightarrow k$ where k is perfect, the base change $k \rightarrow C \otimes_B k$ is an isomorphism. We claim that it follows that $B \rightarrow C$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, we may assume B is a local ring, in which case C is a free B -module [Sta, Tag 0593] and we see that C is in fact free of rank one by base changing to the algebraic closure of the residue field of B . Then $B \rightarrow C$ is a map of free rank one B -modules which induces an isomorphism after base change to the algebraic closure of the residue field of B , and so $B \rightarrow C$ is an isomorphism. \square

Since b-nil formally étale maps are formally étale (Lemma 3.5 (a)), we then recover:

Corollary 3.15. *Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a homomorphism of rings of prime characteristic $p > 0$. If F_φ is an isomorphism, then φ is formally étale.*

Corollary 3.16. *Let L/K be an extension of fields. Then:*

- (a) *L/K is formally étale if and only if L/K is b-nil formally étale.*
- (b) *The following are equivalent:*
 - (i) *L/K is b-nil formally smooth.*
 - (ii) *L/K is formally smooth.*
 - (iii) *L is geometrically reduced over K (i.e. it is a separable K -algebra).*

Proof. When $\text{char}(K) = 0$, L/K being formally étale is equivalent to L/K being separable algebraic, hence ind-étale, hence ind-b-nil formally étale. But a filtered colimit of b-nil formally étale algebras is b-nil formally étale. In addition, any extension of fields of characteristic 0 is separably generated, and hence, it is the composition of a purely transcendental extension which is b-nil formally smooth by Lemma 3.5 (since it is a localization of a polynomial extension) and a separable algebraic extension which is b-nil formally étale by the previous discussion. Thus, any extension of characteristic 0 fields is b-nil formally smooth since this property is preserved under composition.

From now on we assume $\text{char}(K) = p > 0$. The formally étale property for field extensions is equivalent to the relative Frobenius being an isomorphism by Theorem 1.1. Thus, (a) follows by Theorem 3.13.

For (b), clearly (b|i) \implies (b|ii). In addition, the equivalence of (b|ii) and (b|iii) is classical; see [Mat89, Theorem 26.9]. It remains to show (b|iii) \implies (b|i). Let L be a geometrically reduced field extension of K and let $B \subset L$ be a p -basis over K . Then B is algebraically independent over K and $L/K(B)$ is formally étale by [Mat89, Theorem 26.8]. Since purely transcendental extensions are b-nil formally smooth and formally étale field extensions are b-nil formally étale, we then get L/K is b-nil formally smooth by composition. \square

Another interesting consequence is for b-nil formally smooth maps to semi-perfect rings.

Corollary 3.17. *Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a b-nil formally smooth map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras where S is semi-perfect. Then φ is b-nil formally étale.*

Proof. Since S is semi-perfect, the relative Frobenius F_φ is surjective, and since φ is b-nil formally smooth, F_φ is injective by Proposition 3.9. \square

Corollary 3.18. *If $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is a map of perfect \mathbf{F}_p -algebras, then φ is b-nil formally étale.*

Proof. Indeed, the relative Frobenius of a map of perfect \mathbf{F}_p -algebras is an isomorphism. \square

Examples 3.19. We give some examples of formally étale ring maps that are not b-nil formally étale and also an example of a b-nil formally étale map with non-trivial cotangent complex. We fix a prime $p > 0$ and only consider algebras over \mathbf{F}_p in this example.

- (a) The first one is [Bha12a]. Following a suggestion by Gabber, Bhatt constructs a non-reduced \mathbf{F}_p -algebra R such that the cotangent complex $L_{R/\mathbf{F}_p} \simeq 0$, and hence, $\mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow R$ is formally étale. However, $\mathbf{F}_p \rightarrow R$ cannot be b-nil formally étale since R is not reduced (Corollary 3.12).
- (b) The next example is inspired by [Sta, 060H], which corrects [Gro67, 0, 19.10.3(a)]. Suppose I is an ideal of a ring R such that $I = I^2$. Then [Sta, 060H] shows that $R \rightarrow R/I$ is always formally étale. For example, if R is a non-Noetherian valuation domain of rank 1 and I is the maximal ideal of R , then $I = I^2$, and so, $R \rightarrow R/I$ is formally étale but not flat. Now suppose R is a ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$. Then the relative Frobenius of $R \rightarrow R/I$ can be identified with the surjection $R/I^{[p]} \rightarrow R/I$ with kernel $I/I^{[p]}$. Then $R \rightarrow R/I$ will not be b-nil formally étale by Theorem 3.13 if $I \neq I^{[p]}$. In other words, we need to construct a ring R of prime characteristic $p > 0$ and an idempotent ideal I of R such that $I^{[p]} \neq I$. Note that such an I must necessarily be non-finitely generated. Let $\{x_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ be indeterminates and consider the ring

$$R := \mathbf{F}_p[x_n : n \in \mathbf{N}] / (x_n^p, x_n - x_{n+1}x_{n+2} : n \in \mathbf{N}).$$

Let $\overline{x_n}$ be the image of x_n in R and consider the ideal $I = (\overline{x_n} : n \in \mathbf{N})$ of R . Since $\overline{x_n} = \overline{x_{n+1}} \cdot \overline{x_{n+2}} \in I^2$, it follows that $I = I^2$. However, $I^{[p]} = 0$, so $I^{[p]} \neq I$. We can also make an example with R semi-perfect and hence F -finite by taking

$$R := \mathbf{F}_p[x_n^{1/p^\infty} : n \in \mathbf{N}] / (x_n^p, x_n - x_{n+1}x_{n+2} : n \in \mathbf{N}).$$

and $I = (\overline{x_n} : n \in \mathbf{N})$.

- (c) The following example was suggested to us by Bhatt. Let I be an ideal of a ring R such that $I^{[p]} = I$. Then $R \rightarrow R/I$ is b-nil formally étale. Indeed, the relative Frobenius $R/I^{[p]} \rightarrow R/I$ of $R \rightarrow R/I$ is then just the identity. One can now construct a b-nil formally étale ring map with non-trivial cotangent complex. Let $(V, \mathfrak{m}, \kappa)$ be a perfect valuation ring of characteristic $p > 0$ of rank 1. Let $t \in \mathfrak{m}$ be a non-zero element, that is, t is a pseudo-uniformizer. Then $\mathfrak{m} = (t^{1/p^\infty})$, and so, $\mathfrak{m}^{[p]} = \mathfrak{m}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m}$ as well. This shows that $V_0 := V/(t) \rightarrow \kappa$ is b-nil formally étale by the above discussion. We claim L_{κ/V_0} is not trivial. Our first claim is that $L_{\kappa/V} \simeq 0$. If $V_n := V/(t^{1/p^n})$, then $\kappa = \text{colim}_{n \in \mathbf{N}} V/(t^{1/p^n})$, and so, $L_{\kappa/V} = \text{colim}_{n \in \mathbf{N}} L_{V_n/V}$ by [Sta, Tag 08S9]. Since t^{1/p^n} is a regular element of V , $L_{V_n/V} \simeq (t^{1/p^n})/(t^{2/p^n})[1]$ by [Sta, Tag 08SJ]. Thus, $H^n(L_{\kappa/V}) = 0$ for all $n \leq -2$. But $H^0(L_{\kappa/V}) = \Omega_{\kappa/V} = 0$ (since $V \rightarrow \kappa$) and $H^{-1}(L_{\kappa/V}) = \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 = 0$ by [Sta, Tag 08RA], proving our claim. Now using the canonical distinguished triangle ([Sta, Tag 08QX])

$$L_{V_0/V} \otimes_{V_0}^L \kappa \rightarrow L_{\kappa/V} \rightarrow L_{\kappa/V_0} \rightarrow L_{V_0/V} \otimes_{V_0}^L \kappa[1]$$

and the fact that $L_{V_0/V} = (t)/(t^2)[1]$ ([Sta, Tag 08SJ]), we see that $L_{\kappa/V_0} \not\simeq 0$.

3.1. Connections with some enhancements of flat absolute Frobenius. We end this section with a discussion of how the b-nil formal smoothness property interacts with certain enhancements of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras with flat absolute Frobenius. These notions were studied for modules over arbitrary rings by Ohm-Rush [OR72] and Raynaud-Gruson [RG71], and were perhaps first utilized in the study of singularities in prime characteristic commutative algebra by Hochster-Huneke [HH94] (see also [Kat08, KLZ09, Sha10, Sha12, HJ21, DET25, DEST25]). We state the main result, which generalizes [DEST25, Proposition 3.2.7].

Proposition 3.20. *Let $R \rightarrow S$ be a map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras that is b-nil formally smooth.*

- (a) *If R is Frobenius Ohm-Rush trace, then S is Frobenius Ohm-Rush trace.*
(b) *If R is F -intersection flat, then S is F -intersection flat.*

Remark 3.21. A ring R of characteristic p is *Frobenius Ohm-Rush trace* (resp. *F-intersection flat*) if F_*R is an Ohm-Rush trace (resp. *F-intersection flat*) R -module. The definitions of Ohm-Rush trace and intersection flat modules may be found in [DET25, HJ21]. Note that by [RG71, Part II, Proposition 2.3.4], R is Frobenius Ohm-Rush trace if and only if F_*R is a flat and strictly Mittag-Leffler R -module. Also, R is *F-intersection flat* if and only if F_*R is a flat and Mittag-Leffler R -module [DET25, Theorem 4.3.1]. Since strictly Mittag-Leffler modules are Mittag-Leffler, (Frobenius) Ohm-Rush trace implies (F)-intersection flat. A projective module over an arbitrary ring is Ohm-Rush trace [OR72] (see also [DET25, Lemma 4.1.5]), and hence is intersection flat.

Proof of Proposition 3.20. Both the Ohm-Rush trace and intersection flat properties for ring maps are preserved under arbitrary base change; see [DET25, Proposition 4.1.9] for the former and [DET25, Theorem 4.3.1] for the latter. Thus, if R is Frobenius Ohm-Rush trace (resp. *F-intersection flat*), then $S^{(p)}$ is an Ohm-Rush trace (resp. intersection flat) S -module by base change. By Proposition 3.9 (b) and the fact that projective modules are Ohm-Rush trace, F_*S is an Ohm-Rush trace thus an intersection flat $S^{(p)}$ -module. Since the Ohm-Rush trace and intersection flat properties are preserved under compositions of rings maps (see [DET25, Lemma 4.1.7] and [HJ21, Proposition 5.7(a)]), it follows that if R is Frobenius Ohm-Rush trace (resp. *F-intersection flat*), then the absolute Frobenius of S , which is the composition $S \rightarrow S^{(p)} \rightarrow F_*S$, is Ohm-Rush trace (resp. intersection flat), i.e., S is Frobenius Ohm-Rush trace (resp. *F-intersection flat*). \square

Corollary 3.22. Let R be a ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$ such that F_*R is a projective R -module (for e.g., if R is a localization of a polynomial ring over a field, or an *F-finite regular ring*). If $R \rightarrow S$ is a *b-nil formally smooth ring map*, then S is Frobenius Ohm-Rush trace. In fact, F_*S is a projective S -module.

Proof. By hypothesis, R is Frobenius Ohm-Rush trace. Thus, S is Frobenius Ohm-Rush trace by Proposition 3.20. In fact, $S^{(p)}$ is a projective S -module by base change. By hypothesis and Proposition 3.9 (b), F_*S is a projective $S^{(p)}$ -module. Since $S \rightarrow S^{(p)} \rightarrow F_*S$ is the absolute Frobenius of S , we get F_*S is a projective S -module. \square

Example 3.23. In the previous results, the key point is that for a *b-nil formally smooth map* $R \rightarrow S$ of F_p -algebras, the relative Frobenius $F_{S/R}$ makes F_*S a projective $S^{(p)}$ -module. We now give an example to show that this projectivity property can fail easily even when $F_{S/R}$ is faithfully flat. Let k be a field of characteristic $p > 0$ such that $[k^{1/p} : k] = \infty$. Let t be an indeterminate and consider the local ring $R := k[t]_{(t)}$. Let \hat{R} denote the t -adic completion of R . Then $R \rightarrow \hat{R}$ is a regular map of Noetherian rings, hence $F_{\hat{R}/R}$ is faithfully flat (Theorem 2.1 (a)). Also, $R \rightarrow \hat{R}$ is formally smooth in the $t\hat{R}$ -adic topology [Sta, Tag 07NQ]. However, $F_*\hat{R}$ is *not* a projective $\hat{R}^{(p)}$ -module. Consequently, $R \rightarrow \hat{R}$ is *not* *b-nil formally smooth* by Proposition 3.9 (b). Indeed, since F_*R is a free R -module, by base change $\hat{R}^{(p)}$ is \hat{R} -free. Thus, if $F_*\hat{R}$ is a projective, and hence a free, $\hat{R}^{(p)}$ -module (note $\hat{R}^{(p)}$ is local), then $F_*\hat{R}$ would be a free \hat{R} -module. The rank of $F_*\hat{R}$ over \hat{R} must be infinite because k , being a homomorphic image of \hat{R} , would be *F-finite* otherwise. But $F_*\hat{R}$ is a $t\hat{R}$ -adically complete \hat{R} -module, and a non-finitely generated free \hat{R} -module *cannot* be $t\hat{R}$ -adically complete by the next lemma. A similar argument shows that $k \rightarrow \hat{R}$ is not *b-nil formally smooth*.

Lemma 3.24. Let $(A, \mathfrak{m}, \kappa)$ be a Noetherian complete local ring of Krull dimension ≥ 1 . Then a free A -module of infinite rank is never \mathfrak{m} -adically complete.

Proof. For an A -module M , we let \hat{M} denote the \mathfrak{m} -adic completion of M . Let I be an infinite set and $J \subset I$ be a countable infinite subset. Then we get a split exact sequence $0 \rightarrow A^{\oplus J} \rightarrow A^{\oplus I} \rightarrow$

$A^{\oplus I \setminus J} \rightarrow 0$, so we get a commutative diagram of short exact sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & A^{\oplus J} & \longrightarrow & A^{\oplus I} & \longrightarrow & A^{\oplus I \setminus J} \longrightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \widehat{A^{\oplus J}} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{A^{\oplus I}} & \longrightarrow & \widehat{A^{\oplus I \setminus J}} \longrightarrow 0. \end{array}$$

Since free A -modules are \mathfrak{m} -adically separated, if $A^{\oplus I} \rightarrow \widehat{A^{\oplus I}}$ is an isomorphism, then so is $A^{\oplus J} \rightarrow \widehat{A^{\oplus J}}$ by the snake lemma. Thus, replacing I by J , it suffices to that $A^{\oplus \mathbb{N}}$ is not \mathfrak{m} -adically complete. Since $\dim(A) \geq 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists $x_n \in \mathfrak{m}^n \setminus \mathfrak{m}^{n+1}$. Now consider the sequence $(y_n)_n \subset A^{\oplus \mathbb{N}}$, where $y_n = (x_0, \dots, x_n, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \dots)$. Then $(y_n)_n$ is Cauchy in the \mathfrak{m} -adic topology on $A^{\oplus \mathbb{N}}$ but there is no $\alpha \in A^{\oplus \mathbb{N}}$ such that $(y_n - \alpha)_n$ is a null sequence in the \mathfrak{m} -adic topology, showing that $A^{\oplus \mathbb{N}}$ cannot be \mathfrak{m} -adically complete. Indeed, if $\alpha = (a_0, a_1, \dots)$, then choose an integer $M \gg 0$ such that for all $n \geq M$, $a_n = 0$. Since $x_M \in \mathfrak{m}^M \setminus \mathfrak{m}^{M+1}$, for all $n \geq M$, $y_n - \alpha \notin \mathfrak{m}^{M+1}(A^{\oplus \mathbb{N}})$. \square

Remark 3.25. Let $R = k[t]_{(t)}$ and $\widehat{R} = k[[t]]$, for a non- F -finite field of characteristic $p > 0$ as in [Example 3.23](#). Note that $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ is also not formally smooth. Indeed, this follows because $\Omega_{\widehat{R}/R}$ is not a projective \widehat{R} -module, see [\[Sta, Tag 031J\]](#). Assume for contradiction that $\Omega_{\widehat{R}/R}$ is \widehat{R} -projective, and hence, \widehat{R} -free. Since the formation of Ω commutes with base change, we have $\Omega_{\widehat{R}/R} \otimes_R k \cong \Omega_{\widehat{R} \otimes_R k/k} = 0$, and so, $\Omega_{\widehat{R}/R} = 0$. Thus, it is enough to show that $\Omega_{\widehat{R}/R} \neq 0$, that is, $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ is not formally unramified. We will show the localization $R[1/t] \rightarrow \widehat{R}[1/t]$ is not formally unramified. Note $R[1/t] = k(t)$ and $\widehat{R}[1/t] = k((t))$, and now recall that a field extension L/K of characteristic $p > 0$ is formally unramified if and only if L/K has an empty p -basis [\[Sta, Tag 07P2\]](#), that is, L/K is formally unramified if and only if $L = KL^p = K[L^p] = L^p[K]$. But for $L = k((t))$ and $K = k(t)$, $KL^p \neq L$ precisely because k is not F -finite. Indeed, one can show that the set $L' \subset L$ of Laurent series $\sum_{i \geq -N} a_i t^i$ such that $[k^p(a_{-N}, a_{-N+1}, \dots) : k^p] < \infty$ is a subfield of L containing both $k[T]$ and L^p , and therefore $KL^p \subset L' \subsetneq L$ as needed.

We next show that the non- F -finite field assumption in [Example 3.23](#) is essential. The following result (at least parts of it) is probably well-known to experts but we include a proof here for the reader's convenience. Related observations were made by the first author and Remy van Dobben de Bruyn and also by the first author and Linquan Ma (both works are unpublished). See also [Remarks 3.28 \(b\)](#) for related results obtained by Seydi [\[Sey80\]](#).

Proposition 3.26. *Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \kappa)$ be a Noetherian local ring of prime characteristic $p > 0$. Assume κ is F -finite. Then:*

- (a) $F_{\widehat{R}/R}$ is surjective.
- (b) *The following are equivalent:*
 - (i) R is F -finite.
 - (ii) R is a G -ring.
 - (iii) $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ is b -nil formally étale.
 - (iv) $F_{\widehat{R}/R}$ is injective.

Thus, [Proposition 3.26](#) implies that if k is an F -finite field, then $k[t]_{(t)} \rightarrow k[[t]]$ is b -nil formally étale, unlike [Example 3.23](#).

Remark 3.27. For any Noetherian local ring $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \kappa)$, the ring map $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ is formally étale for the $\mathfrak{m}\widehat{R}$ -adic topology, see the proof of [\[Sta, Tag 07ED\]](#). However, if $\mathbf{Q} \subset R$, it is extremely rare for $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ to be formally smooth, let alone formally étale. For example, let $R = \mathbf{Q}[t]_{(t)}$. Then $\Omega_{\widehat{R}/R} \otimes_{\widehat{R}} \kappa = 0$ since the module of Kähler differentials is compatible with base change and $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$

induces an isomorphism of residue fields. However $\Omega_{\widehat{R}/R}[1/t] = \Omega_{\mathbf{Q}((t))/\mathbf{Q}(t)}$ which is an infinite-dimensional vector space over $\mathbf{Q}((t))$ since $\mathbf{Q}((t))/\mathbf{Q}(t)$ is an extension of fields of characteristic zero with infinite transcendence degree. Therefore, $\Omega_{\widehat{R}/R}$ is not free and therefore not projective, so $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ is not formally smooth by [Sta, Tag 031J] hence also not b-nil formally smooth.

Proof of Proposition 3.26. (a) It is well-known that one can identify $F_{\widehat{R}/R}$ with the canonical map associated with the completion of $\widehat{R}^{(p)}$ at its finitely generated maximal ideal $F_*\mathfrak{m} \otimes_R \widehat{R}$. Then the assumption that κ is F -finite implies \widehat{R} is F -finite by Cohen's structure theorem, and consequently, $F_{\widehat{R}/R}$ is finite. Let $I := \ker(F_{\widehat{R}/R})$. Thus, we have a finite extension $\widehat{R}^{(p)}/I \hookrightarrow F_*\widehat{R}$ which is also identified as the map associated with the completion of $\widehat{R}^{(p)}/I$ at its maximal ideal (note I is the intersection of the powers of $F_*\mathfrak{m} \otimes_R \widehat{R}$ since $F_{\widehat{R}/R}$ is the completion map of $\widehat{R}^{(p)}$ at its maximal ideal $F_*\mathfrak{m} \otimes_R \widehat{R}$). Now, $F_*\widehat{R}$ is Noetherian and the property of being Noetherian descends along finite extensions by a theorem of Mollier (see [RG71, Part II, Corollaire 1.2.5]). Then $\widehat{R}^{(p)}/I$ is Noetherian. But if A is a Noetherian local ring and \widehat{A} is the completion with respect to the maximal ideal, then $A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ is finite if and only if it is an isomorphism (finiteness implies $A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ is a finite free unramified extension which induces an isomorphism of residue fields, and so, $A \rightarrow \widehat{A}$ must be free of rank 1, that is, it is an isomorphism). Thus, $\widehat{R}^{(p)}/I \cong F_*\widehat{R}$, so $F_{\widehat{R}/R}$ is surjective.

We now prove the equivalent assertions of (b). We have (b|i) \implies (b|ii) because Noetherian F -finite rings are excellent by [Kun76]. We have (b|ii) \implies (b|iii) because a G -ring has faithfully flat, and hence, injective $F_{\widehat{R}/R}$ by Theorem 2.1 (a). Since $F_{\widehat{R}/R}$ is surjective by (a), it is an isomorphism, and so, $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ is b-nil formally étale by Theorem 3.13.

(b|iii) implies $F_{\widehat{R}/R}$ is an isomorphism by Theorem 3.13, hence we get (b|iv). For (b|iv) \implies (b|i), injectivity of $F_{\widehat{R}/R}$ implies $\widehat{R}^{(p)}$ is a submodule of the finitely generated \widehat{R} -module $F_*\widehat{R}$, so $\widehat{R}^{(p)}$ is a finitely generated \widehat{R} -module. Then F_*R is a finitely generated R -module by descent [Sta, Tag 08XD]. \square

Remarks 3.28.

- (a) Non- F -finite regular noetherian local rings with F -finite residue fields can be constructed using arc valuations, even in the function field of $\mathbf{P}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^2$. See for instance [DS18]. For any such ring $F_{\widehat{R}/R}$ is surjective but not injective.
- (b) An analog of Proposition 3.26 (b) was obtained for the formally étale property by [Sey80, Proposition 3.3] using an argument via Kähler differentials (thus, it is different in spirit to the proof above). Note that one can use Seydi's result and Theorem 4.6 to also deduce parts of Proposition 3.26 (b). Our goal in Proposition 3.26 was to illustrate that $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ can be b-nil formally étale in geometric situations in prime characteristic, namely when R is F -finite. However, Seydi has stronger results about F -finiteness itself. For instance, he shows that just $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \kappa)$ being a Nagata Noetherian local ring with F -finite residue field κ is equivalent to the F -finiteness/excellence of R [Sey80, Corollaire 1.1.2].
- (c) Let $(R, \mathfrak{m}, \kappa)$ be a Noetherian local ring. Although $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ is formally étale in many geometric situations in prime characteristic (Proposition 3.26), this map is rarely weakly étale. Indeed, let (R^h, \mathfrak{m}^h) denote the Henselization of (R, \mathfrak{m}) . Note, $\mathfrak{m}^h = \mathfrak{m}R^h$. We have canonical faithfully flat local maps $R \rightarrow R^h$ and $R^h \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ such that the composition $R \rightarrow R^h \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ is the canonical map associated with completion (this follows by the universal property of Henselization and the fact that \widehat{R} is Henselian). Since (R^h, \mathfrak{m}^h) is a Henselian pair, if $R \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ is weakly étale, then by [dJO24, Theorem 1], there exists a unique map $\widehat{R} \rightarrow R^h$ such that

the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \kappa & \xleftarrow{\quad} & \widehat{R} \\ \uparrow & \swarrow \exists! & \uparrow \\ R^h & \xleftarrow{\quad} & R \end{array}$$

Using the universal property of Henselizations and completions, it follows that $\widehat{R} \rightarrow R^h$ is the inverse of $R^h \rightarrow \widehat{R}$, that is, $R^h \rightarrow \widehat{R}$ is an isomorphism. But R^h is rarely isomorphic to \widehat{R} for a non-complete Noetherian local ring R . For example, take $R = \mathbf{F}_p[t]_{(t)}$. Then $\widehat{R} = \mathbf{F}_p[[t]]$ is uncountable. However, R^h is countable (its fraction field is an algebraic extension of $\mathbf{F}_p(t)$, which is countable), so it cannot be isomorphic to \widehat{R} . Note in this case $\mathbf{F}_p[t]_{(t)} \rightarrow \mathbf{F}_p[[t]]$ is b-nil formally étale by [Proposition 3.26](#).

4. SOME CASES WHERE FORMALLY ÉTALE IMPLIES ISOMORPHIC RELATIVE FROBENIUS

Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a map of \mathbf{F}_p -algebras. We have seen in the previous section that if the relative Frobenius F_φ is an isomorphism, then φ is formally étale (in fact, φ satisfies the stronger property of being b-nil formally étale). Conversely, if $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ is formally étale, then F_φ is known to be an isomorphism in the following cases (in increasing levels of generality):

- (1) φ is of finite presentation, i.e., φ is étale; see [\[SGA77, Exposé XV, n° 2, Proposition 2 c\)\]](#).
- (2) φ is a filtered colimit of étale maps, i.e., φ is ind-étale; see (3) below and [\[Sta, Tag 092N\]](#).
- (3) φ is *weakly étale*, i.e., φ and the induced multiplication map $S \otimes_R S \rightarrow S$ are both flat; see [\[Sta, Tag 0F6W\]](#). Alternatively, one can use [Theorem 3.13](#) because a weakly étale ring map is b-nil formally étale by [\[dJO24, Theorem 1\]](#). Indeed, a weakly étale ring map satisfies the unique lifting property with respect to all Henselian pairs (A, I) , and if I is a bounded nil ideal of A , then (A, I) is a Henselian pair by [\[Sta, Tag 0ALI\]](#).

In this section, we prove some cases of formally étale maps with isomorphic relative Frobenius that do not follow from the above ones. Our first result is about maps of rings with finite Frobenius, i.e., F -finite rings.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a formally étale map of rings of prime characteristic $p > 0$ such that*

- (i) *the absolute Frobenius F_R is of finite type (equivalently, F_R is finite), and*
- (ii) *the absolute Frobenius F_S is of finite presentation (equivalently, F_*S is a finitely presented S -module).*

Then F_φ is an isomorphism.

Proof. Using the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \\ F_R \downarrow & & \downarrow F_R \otimes_R \text{id}_S \\ F_*R & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_{F_*R} \otimes \varphi} & F_*R \otimes_R S \\ & \searrow F_*\varphi & \downarrow F_S \\ & & F_*S \end{array}$$

$\exists! F_\varphi$ (red arrow from $F_*R \otimes_R S$ to F_*S)

we see that since $F_*\varphi = F_\varphi \circ (\text{id}_{F_*R} \otimes \varphi)$ is formally étale and $\text{id}_{F_*R} \otimes \varphi$ is formally étale by base change, F_φ is formally étale as well (the proof is very similar to that of [Lemma 3.5 \(g\)](#)). In addition, $F_S = F_\varphi \circ (F_R \otimes_R \text{id}_S)$ is of finite presentation and $F_R \otimes_R \text{id}_S$ is of finite type by base change. Then F_φ is of finite presentation by [\[Sta, Tag 00F4 \(4\)\]](#). Thus, F_φ is étale. Since $\text{Spec}(F_\varphi)$ is a universal homeomorphism, it is universally injective. Thus, $\text{Spec}(F_\varphi)$ is an open immersion by [\[Sta, Tag 025G\]](#), and hence an isomorphism of affine schemes, that is, F_φ is an isomorphism of rings. \square

Remark 4.2. Examples 3.19 (b) shows that in Theorem 4.1 one cannot relax the assumption F_S is of finite presentation to F_S is of finite type.

Our final main result is about formally étale maps of Noetherian rings; see Theorem 4.6. The proof builds on the following observations.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $R \hookrightarrow S$ be an extension of rings such that $S \subset Q(R)$, the total ring of fractions of R . Suppose that for all principal ideals I of R , $IS \cap R = I$ (for e.g., if $R \hookrightarrow S$ is universally injective or faithfully flat). Then $R = S$.*

Proof. Since $S \subset Q(R)$, every element $x \in S$ can be expressed as fraction a/b where $a, b \in R$ and b is a non-zero-divisor. Since $a = xb$ in S , we then get $(aR)S = aS \subset bS = (bR)S$, and so, $aR = (aR)S \cap R \subset (bR)S \cap R = bR$. Hence, $b|a$, and so, $x = a/b \in R$. \square

Lemma 4.4. *Let L/K be a formally unramified extension of fields of characteristic $p > 0$ such that $L^p \subset K \subset L$. Then $L = K$.*

Proof. By assumption, the compositum $KL^p = K$. If Σ is a p -basis of L/K , then $L = KL^p(\Sigma) = K(\Sigma)$ and $\Omega_{L/K}$ is an L -vector space with a basis in bijection with Σ ; see for instance [Sta, Tag 07P2]. Since L/K is formally unramified, $\Omega_{L/K} = 0$, and so, $\Sigma = \emptyset$. Then $L = K(\Sigma) = K$. \square

Proposition 4.5. *Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a formally étale map of Noetherian rings of prime characteristic $p > 0$. Suppose R or S is reduced. Then F_φ is an isomorphism.*

Proof. Since formally étale maps are formally smooth and both R and S are Noetherian, φ is a flat map with geometrically regular fibers and F_φ is a faithfully flat map of Noetherian rings by Theorem 2.1(c). Note that this implies that if R is reduced, then so is S by [Sta, Tag 0C21]. Hence, it suffices to show the theorem assuming only that S is reduced.

As we have seen in the proof of Theorem 4.1, F_φ is also formally étale. We claim that this implies F_φ is an isomorphism. Let $\text{im}(F_\varphi) := R[S^p]$. By the injectivity of F_φ , it is enough to show $R[S^p] = S$. We have a faithfully flat formally étale and purely inseparable map of reduced Noetherian rings $R[S^p] \hookrightarrow S$. By Lemma 4.3, it suffices to show that the total ring of fractions $Q(R[S^p])$ equals the total ring of fractions $Q(S)$. Let $\mathfrak{p}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}_n \in \text{Spec}(S)$ be the distinct minimal primes and let $\mathfrak{q}_i := R[S^p] \cap \mathfrak{p}_i$. Note $\mathfrak{q}_1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}_n$ are the distinct minimal primes of $R[S^p]$ (one can use that $\text{Spec}(F_\varphi)$ is a homeomorphism to see this). Since $Q(R[S^p]) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n R[S^p]_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ and $Q(S) \cong \prod_{i=1}^n S_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ ([Sta, Tag 02LX]), it suffices to show that the extension of fields $R[S^p]_{\mathfrak{q}_i} \hookrightarrow S_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is an isomorphism for all i . Now, $R[S^p]_{\mathfrak{q}_i} \hookrightarrow S_{\mathfrak{p}_i}$ is a formally étale extension of fields by base change of the formally étale map $R[S^p] \hookrightarrow S$ and a further localization. Moreover, $(S_{\mathfrak{p}_i})^p \subset R[S^p]_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ since the composition $S \hookrightarrow R[S^p] \hookrightarrow S$ is the absolute Frobenius on S (the first map raises every element to the p -th power). Thus, we have a formally étale (hence formally unramified) extension of fields $S_{\mathfrak{p}_i}/R[S^p]_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ such that $(S_{\mathfrak{p}_i})^p \subset R[S^p]_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$. Then $R[S^p]_{\mathfrak{q}_i} \cong S_{\mathfrak{q}_i}$ by Lemma 4.4. \square

As a consequence of the previous proposition, we now get unconditionally that:

Theorem 4.6. *Let $\varphi: R \rightarrow S$ be a map of Noetherian rings of prime characteristic $p > 0$. The following are equivalent:*

- (a) φ is formally étale.
- (b) F_φ is an isomorphism.
- (c) φ is b -nil formally étale.

Proof. By Theorem 3.13 and Corollary 3.15, it suffices to show (a) \implies (b). As in the proof of Proposition 4.5, we see that φ is a regular map. If I is the nilradical of R , then I is a nilpotent ideal since R is Noetherian. By base change, the induced map $\bar{\varphi}: R_{\text{red}} \rightarrow S/IS$ is formally étale and regular. Note that this implies S/IS is reduced by [Sta, Tag 0C21] again, and so, IS must be

the nilradical of S (since certainly IS is contained in the nilradical). Thus, we have a co-cartesian square

$$\begin{array}{ccc} R & \xrightarrow{\varphi} & S \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ R_{\text{red}} & \xrightarrow{\varphi_{\text{red}}} & S_{\text{red}}, \end{array}$$

where φ_{red} is a formally étale map of reduced Noetherian rings. Hence, [Proposition 4.5](#) implies that $F_{\varphi_{\text{red}}}$ is an isomorphism. However, the formation of relative Frobenius commutes with base change. Thus, $\text{id}_{R_{\text{red}}} \otimes_R F_{\varphi} = F_{\varphi_{\text{red}}}$, that is, after killing a nilpotent ideal of R , the map $F_{\varphi_{\text{red}}}$, induced by the R -algebra map F_{φ} , becomes surjective. Then by the nilpotent version of Nakayama's lemma [[Sta](#), [Tag 00DV \(11\)](#)], F_{φ} is surjective. But F_{φ} is faithfully flat since $R \rightarrow S$ is a regular map of Noetherian rings, and so, F_{φ} is injective. \square

Remark 4.7. Since a formally étale map of Noetherian rings is automatically flat ([Theorem 2.1 \(c\)](#)), [Theorem 4.6](#) shows that a formally étale map of Noetherian \mathbf{F}_p -algebras is *relatively perfect* in the sense of [[Fin25](#), Definition 3.1] (see [[Fin25](#), Remark 3.4]), thereby improving [[Fin25](#), Theorem 5.3].

It would be very nice to have a direct proof of the implication (a) \implies (c) in [Theorem 4.6](#) using the fact that a locally nilpotent ideal in a Noetherian ring is nilpotent, and without using the deep results of Grothendieck, Radu, André. However, we have been unsuccessful so far except in the case that R, S are both F -finite: Suppose $R \rightarrow S$ is a formally smooth (resp. étale) map of F -finite \mathbf{F}_p -algebras such that S is Noetherian, and suppose we are given a solid commutative diagram (3.4.1) in which $I^{[p]} = 0$. Let $B = \text{im}(S \rightarrow A/I)$ and B' be the inverse image of B along $A \twoheadrightarrow A/I$. Then $B' \rightarrow B$ is surjective and $\ker(B' \twoheadrightarrow B) = B' \cap I$ also satisfies $\ker(B' \twoheadrightarrow B)^{[p]} = 0$. Note that any lift $S \rightarrow A$ of $S \rightarrow A/I$ along $A \twoheadrightarrow A/I$ must factor via B' . Thus, one sees by replacing A/I with B and A with B' that to show there is a (resp. is a unique) dashed arrow $S \rightarrow A$ we may assume A/I is Noetherian and F -finite and $S \rightarrow A/I$ is surjective. Then one further reduces to the case where A is Noetherian by the following lemma.

Lemma 4.8. *Let A be an \mathbf{F}_p -algebra for some prime number $p > 0$ and $I \subset A$ an ideal such that $I^{[p]} = 0$. Assume that A/I is Noetherian and F -finite. Then for any finite collection of ring maps $\varphi_i : R_i \rightarrow A, i = 1, \dots, n$ where each R_i is an \mathbf{F}_p -algebra which is F -finite, there is a subring $A' \subset A$ such that*

- (a) A' is Noetherian.
- (b) The composition $A' \hookrightarrow A \twoheadrightarrow A/I$ is surjective.
- (c) For all i , the image of $R_i \rightarrow A$ is contained in A' .
- (d) If $J := A' \cap I = \ker(A' \twoheadrightarrow A/I)$, then $J^{[p]} = 0$, and so, J is nilpotent.

Proof. For each i , let $\{r_{ij}\}$ be a finite set of generators of R_i as an algebra over \mathbf{F}_p . Let a_1, \dots, a_m be lifts to A of generators of A/I as an algebra over its subring of p^{th} -powers. Consider the subring

$$A' = A^p[r_{ij}, a_k] \subset A.$$

Then by choice of the r_{ij} and a_k we see that (b) and (c) hold. Finally, since $I^{[p]} = 0$, we see that $F_* A$ is an A/I -algebra, and A' is the A/I -subalgebra generated by the finitely many elements r_{ij}, a_k , hence (a) holds. Finally, by the injectivity of $A' \hookrightarrow A$ and the fact that $I^{[p]} = 0$, we get $J^{[p]} = 0$. Then J is nilpotent, i.e., $J^n = 0$ for some $n \gg 0$, because J is finitely generated. This shows (d). \square

In other words, we have a direct demonstration of the following:

Proposition 4.9. *Let $\varphi : R \rightarrow S$ be a map of F -finite \mathbf{F}_p -algebras. Suppose S is Noetherian. Then:*

- (a) φ is b -nil formally smooth if and only if φ is formally smooth.

(b) φ is *b-nil formally étale* if and only if φ is *formally étale*.

Proof. Again, the non-trivial assertions in both cases is that formally smooth (resp. étale) implies b-nil formally smooth (resp. étale). The discussion above clearly implies that formally smooth implies b-nil formally smooth in our setting.

To see that formally étale implies b-nil formally étale we have to establish the uniqueness of the lift $S \rightarrow A$ given a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A/I & \xleftarrow{f} & S \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ A & \xleftarrow{\quad} & R \end{array}$$

such that $I^{[p]} = 0$. Assume $f_1, f_2: S \rightarrow A$ are R -algebra lifts of f along $A \twoheadrightarrow A/I$. We may assume $S \rightarrow A/I$ is surjective and so A/I is Noetherian and F -finite by the discussion in the paragraph preceding [Lemma 4.8](#). Then apply that Lemma to the three maps $R \rightarrow A$, $f_1: S \rightarrow A$ and $f_2: S \rightarrow A$ to construct a Noetherian R -subalgebra $A' \subset A$ such that all these maps have image contained in A' , and the restriction of $A \rightarrow A/I$ to A' is surjective and still has a nilpotent ideal J of A' such that f_1, f_2 both have images contained in A' , A'/J is a subring of A/I and f has image A'/J , and Tuhe induced maps $f'_1, f'_2: S \rightarrow A'$ obtained by restricting the codomain of f_1, f_2 lift $S \twoheadrightarrow A/I = A'/J$. By formal étaleness, $f'_1 = f'_2$, and so, $f_1 = f_2$. \square

Remark 4.10. We finish by recording a result for animated Noetherian \mathbf{F}_p -algebras that was explained to us by Bhatt and that may be of interest to readers. The result is due to Lurie. Namely, let $A \rightarrow B$ be a map of Noetherian animated \mathbf{F}_p -algebras. Then $A \rightarrow B$ is formally étale if and only if the relative Frobenius $F_{B/A}$ is an isomorphism. Indeed, the variant for the \mathbb{E}_∞ -cotangent complex for Noetherian \mathbb{E}_∞ -rings follows from [\[Lur18a, Proposition 3.5.5, Proposition 3.5.6\]](#), and [\[Lur18b, Remark 25.3.3.7, Proposition 25.3.4.2\]](#) then imply that the animated/algebraic cotangent complex vanishes if and only if the \mathbb{E}_∞ one does.

5. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

[Theorem 4.1](#) was inspired by a conversation with Bhargav Bhatt. We also thank him for comments on a draft, for discussions related to [Examples 3.19](#) and for explaining to us [Remark 4.10](#). The first author also thanks Benjamin Antieau and Linquan Ma for conversations over the years on the absolute/relative Frobenius. He especially thanks Linquan Ma for discussions related to [Proposition 3.26](#). The second author thanks Benjamin Antieau, Matthew Morrow, and other participants in the 2019 Arizona Winter School for conversations about variants of formal smoothness. Additionally, we thank Benjamin Antieau and Aise Johan de Jong for comments and their interest. The first author was supported in part by NSF DMS Grant #2502333 and Simons Foundation grant MP-TSM-00002400. The second author was supported in part by NSF DMS Grant #2402087. After the first version of the paper was released on arXiv, we learned that Javier Carvajal-Rojas and Axel Stäblier have obtained some results similar to ours, which they will release in future work.

REFERENCES

- [SGA77] *Cohomologie l-adique et fonctions L*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, vol. Vol. 589, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1977, Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique du Bois-Marie 1965–1966 (SGA 5), Edité par Luc Illusie. 491704 [3](#), [5](#), [17](#)
- [And74] M. ANDRÉ: *Homologie des algèbres commutatives*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. Band 206, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1974. 352220 [5](#)
- [And93] M. ANDRÉ: *Homomorphismes réguliers en caractéristique p*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. **316** (1993), no. 7, 643–646. 1214408 (94e:13026) [4](#), [5](#)

- [Avr99] L. L. AVRAMOV: *Locally complete intersection homomorphisms and a conjecture of Quillen on the vanishing of cotangent homology*, Ann. of Math. (2) **150** (1999), no. 2, 455–487. 1726700 [5](#)
- [Bha12a] B. BHATT: *An imperfect ring with a trivial cotangent complex*, available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~bhatt/math/trivial-cc.pdf>, 2012. [3](#), [13](#)
- [Bha12b] B. BHATT: *p -adic derived de Rham cohomology*, arXiv:1204.6560 (2012). [2](#)
- [BF25] M. BLICKLE AND D. FINK: *L -smooth factorization for noetherian F -finite rings*, arXiv:2501.09437 (2025). [5](#)
- [BI23] B. BRIGGS AND S. B. IYENGAR: *Rigidity properties of the cotangent complex*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **36** (2023), no. 1, 291–310. 4495843 [5](#)
- [DEST25] R. DATTA, N. EPSTEIN, K. SCHWEDE, AND K. TUCKER: *Variants on Frobenius intersection flatness and applications to Tate algebras*, arXiv:2504.06444 (2025). [4](#), [13](#)
- [DET25] R. DATTA, N. EPSTEIN, AND K. TUCKER: *Mittag-Leffler modules and variants on intersection flatness*, arXiv:2305.11139 (2025). [13](#), [14](#)
- [DS18] R. DATTA AND K. E. SMITH: *Excellence in prime characteristic*, Local and global methods in algebraic geometry, Contemp. Math., vol. 712, Amer. Math. Soc., [Providence], RI, [2018] ©2018, pp. 105–116. 3832401 [16](#)
- [dJO24] A. J. DE JONG AND N. OLANDER: *On weakly étale morphisms*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra **228** (2024), no. 3, Paper No. 107532, 9. 4649343 [8](#), [16](#), [17](#)
- [Dum95] T. DUMITRESCU: *Reducedness, formal smoothness and approximation in characteristic p* , Comm. Algebra **23** (1995), no. 5, 1787–1795. [5](#)
- [Fin25] D. FINK: *Relative inverse limit perfection of derived commutative rings*, arXiv:2506.10626 (2025). [4](#), [19](#)
- [Gab04] O. GABBER: *Notes on some t -structures*, Geometric aspects of Dwork theory. Vol. I, II, Walter de Gruyter GmbH & Co. KG, Berlin, 2004, pp. 711–734. [3](#)
- [Gro64] A. GROTHENDIECK: *éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas. I*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1964), no. 20, 259. 173675 [4](#), [5](#)
- [Gro67] A. GROTHENDIECK: *Éléments de géométrie algébrique. IV. Étude locale des schémas et des morphismes de schémas IV*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1967), no. 32, 361. MR0238860 (39 #220) [13](#)
- [HH94] M. HOCHSTER AND C. HUNEKE: *F -regularity, test elements, and smooth base change*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **346** (1994), no. 1, 1–62. MR1273534 (95d:13007) [4](#), [13](#)
- [HJ21] M. HOCHSTER AND J. JEFFRIES: *Extensions of primes, flatness, and intersection flatness*, Commutative algebra—150 years with Roger and Sylvia Wiegand, Contemp. Math., vol. 773, Amer. Math. Soc., [Providence], RI, [2021] ©2021, pp. 63–81. 4321391 [13](#), [14](#)
- [Kat08] M. KATZMAN: *Parameter-test-ideals of Cohen-Macaulay rings*, Compos. Math. **144** (2008), no. 4, 933–948. [4](#), [13](#)
- [KLZ09] M. KATZMAN, G. LYUBEZNIK, AND W. ZHANG: *On the discreteness and rationality of F -jumping coefficients*, J. Algebra **322** (2009), no. 9, 3238–3247. 2567418 (2011c:13005) [4](#), [13](#)
- [Kun76] E. KUNZ: *On Noetherian rings of characteristic p* , Amer. J. Math. **98** (1976), no. 4, 999–1013. MR0432625 (55 #5612) [16](#)
- [Lur18a] J. LURIE: *Elliptic cohomology II: Orientations*, available at: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/Elliptic-II.pdf>, 2018. [3](#), [20](#)
- [Lur18b] J. LURIE: *Spectral algebraic geometry*, available at: <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/SAG-rootfile.pdf>, 2018. [3](#), [20](#)
- [MP25] L. MA AND T. POLSTRA: *F -singularities: a commutative algebra approach*, available at <https://www.math.purdue.edu/~ma326/F-singularitiesBook.pdf>, 2025. [5](#)
- [Mat89] H. MATSUMURA: *Commutative ring theory*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 8, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1989, Translated from the Japanese by M. Reid. MR1011461 (90i:13001) [1](#), [2](#), [12](#)
- [Mor19] M. MORROW: *Topological Hochschild homology in arithmetic geometry*, Available at: <https://swc-math.github.io/aws/2019/2019MorrowNotes.pdf>, 2019. [2](#), [5](#)
- [OR72] J. OHM AND D. E. RUSH: *Content modules and algebras*, Math. Scand. **31** (1972), 49–68. [13](#), [14](#)
- [Rad92] N. RADU: *Une classe d’anneaux noethériens*, Rev. Roumaine Math. Pures Appl. **37** (1992), no. 1, 79–82. 1172271 (93g:13014) [4](#), [5](#)
- [RG71] M. RAYNAUD AND L. GRUSON: *Critères de platitude et de projectivité. Techniques de “platification” d’un module*, Invent. Math. **13** (1971), 1–89. [13](#), [14](#), [16](#)
- [Sey80] H. SEYDI: *Sur la théorie des anneaux excellents en caractéristique p . II*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. **20** (1980), no. 1, 155–167. 564675 [15](#), [16](#)
- [Sha10] R. Y. SHARP: *An excellent F -pure ring of prime characteristic has a big tight closure test element*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **362** (2010), no. 10, 5455–5481. 2657687 (2011h:13005) [4](#), [13](#)
- [Sha12] R. Y. SHARP: *Big tight closure test elements for some non-reduced excellent rings*, J. Algebra **349** (2012), 284–316. 2853638 [4](#), [13](#)

[Sta] T. STACKS PROJECT AUTHORS: *Stacks Project*. 2, 5, 7, 8, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19
Email address: rankeya.datta@missouri.edu
Email address: nolander@berkeley.edu