

# WEAK $(1, 1)$ BOUNDED OPERATORS

ARUP KUMAR MAITY

**ABSTRACT.** We construct a class of Fourier multipliers whose associated operators are weak  $(1, 1)$  bounded but fail to be weak  $(p, p)$  bounded for any  $1 < p \leq \infty$ . Moreover, we show that this result is sharp.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $1 \leq p < \infty$ , and denote by  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$  the space of  $p$ -integrable functions on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . The space  $L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consists of essentially bounded measurable functions. In the context of distribution theory, we also consider the Schwartz space  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  consists of all smooth functions  $f$  satisfying the rapid decay condition

$$|x^\alpha \partial^\beta f(x)| < B(f, \alpha, \beta)$$

for every pair of multi-indices  $\alpha, \beta$ . The topology of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is defined by a countable family of seminorms

$$p_N(f) = \sup_{|\alpha|, |\beta| \leq N} \|x^\alpha \partial^\beta f(x)\|_\infty,$$

for each non-negative integer  $N$ . The dual space of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , denoted by  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , consists of tempered distributions, which are continuous linear functionals of  $\mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  (see [4]). Given a tempered distribution  $m$  in  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , define the operator  $T_m : \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$  by

$$\widehat{T_m f} = m \hat{f},$$

where  $\hat{f}$  is the Fourier transform of  $f$ . The right-hand side is a tempered distribution defined by

$$\langle m \hat{f}, \phi \rangle = \langle m, \hat{f} \phi \rangle,$$

---

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 42B15; Secondary 42B35.

*Key words and phrases.* Fourier multiplier, Weak  $(1, 1)$  boundedness, Lorentz space.

where  $\phi \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It follows that the of  $T_m$  on  $f$  can be expressed as the convolution

$$T_m f = \check{m} * f,$$

where  $\check{m}$  is the inverse Fourier transform of  $m$ . The Fourier transform extends to an isomorphism on the space of tempered distributions  $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , thus making the above formulation well-defined. In this context,  $m$  is referred to as a Fourier multiplier, and the associated operator  $T_m$  is called a Fourier multiplier operator. For further discussion on Fourier multipliers and their properties, we refer the reader to [1, 6, 7, 8, 9].

The note introduces a special class of multipliers  $m$  that behave just well enough to be weak  $(1, 1)$  bounded, but fail to be weak  $(p, p)$  bounded for any  $1 < p \leq \infty$ . An operator  $S : L^p(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{p,\infty}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is weak  $(p, p)$  bounded if for a positive  $\alpha$  we have

$$|\{x : |Sf(x)| > \alpha\}| \leq C(\|f\|_p/\alpha)^p,$$

where  $C$  is independent of  $f$ . For  $1 \leq q \leq 2$ , consider the class

$$\mathcal{A} = \left\{ \sum_i f_i * g_i : \sum_i \|f_i\|_1 \|g_i\|_q < \infty \right\}.$$

That kind of class was studied in [3], to classify the multiplier space. First note that  $\mathcal{A} \subset L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . One can check that  $\mathcal{A}$  is a Banach space with norm

$$\|h\|_{\mathcal{A}} = \inf_{f_i, g_i} \left\{ \sum_i \|f_i\|_1 \|g_i\|_q : h = \sum_i f_i * g_i \right\},$$

where  $f_i, g_i$  varies as one  $\sum_i f_i * g_i$  may have a different representation  $\sum_i \tilde{f}_i * \tilde{g}_i$ . We show that for some  $q$  in that range, the elements of  $\mathcal{A}$  (thought of as Fourier multipliers  $m$ ) give rise to operators  $T_m$  that are weak  $(1, 1)$  but not weak  $(p, p)$  for the other  $p$ .

Finally, we show for those  $m \in \mathcal{A}$  the operator  $T_m$  is  $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  not bounded for  $1 \leq r < \infty$ . So  $T_m$  is weak  $(1, 1)$  bounded, which is sharp in the above sense. Here  $L^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is the usual Lorentz

space with norm

$$\|f\|_{1,r} = \left( \int_0^\infty (tf^*(t))^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}},$$

where  $f^*$  is the non-increasing rearrangement of  $f$  (see [11]). Most familiar Fourier multipliers (e.g., Hilbert transform, Riesz transforms) are bounded on all  $L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$ ,  $1 < p < \infty$ , and weak (1,1) at the endpoint. Here, we have a very delicate example: bounded only at  $p = 1$  in the weakest possible sense, failing for any  $p > 1$ . This shows that certain factorizable  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  symbols are "just barely" good enough for  $L^1$ -endpoint control.

## 2. WEAK (1,1) BOUND

In the current section, we prove our main result. Before that, we need to state another well-known result (stated in [2]), a useful and important sufficient condition for boundedness in singular integral theory. It is useful to prove our principal theorem.

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $S : L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow M(\mathbb{R}^n)$  be a linear operator which is defined by*

$$Sf(x) = K * f(x),$$

*where  $K$  is the kernel and  $M(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , space of measurable functions. Then the operator  $S$  is weak (1,1) bounded if*

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} |K(x)| \log(1 + |K(x)|) d\sigma_x < \infty,$$

*where  $d\sigma_x$  is the surface measure of the unit sphere.*

Now, we state the Stein-Tomas restriction theorem ([10]).

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $1 \leq q \leq \frac{2n+2}{n+3}$ . Then, for  $f \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  we have*

$$\|\widehat{f}\|_{L^2(S^{n-1})} \leq \|f\|_q$$

In the next theorem, we show that the operators whose symbol is an element of  $\mathcal{A}$ , are weak (1,1) bounded.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $m \in \mathcal{A}$ , and  $1 \leq q \leq \frac{2n+2}{n+3}$ . Then the corresponding multiplier operator  $T_m$  is weak (1,1) bounded.*

*Proof.* Given that  $m = \sum_i f_i * g_i$ . As  $m \in L^q(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and  $L^q(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) + L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , the Fourier transform of  $m$  is meaningful here. So  $\check{m} = \sum_i \check{f}_i \check{g}_i$ . Also,

$$T_m f(x) = \left( \sum_i \check{f}_i \check{g}_i \right) * f(x).$$

Now, we use the Theorem 2.1. We have to estimate the following term

$$\int_{S^{n-1}} \left| \sum_i \check{f}_i \check{g}_i(x) \right| \log(1 + \left| \sum_j \check{f}_j \check{g}_j(x) \right|) d\sigma_x.$$

By Fubini's theorem, this term is bounded by

$$\sum_i \int_{S^{n-1}} |\check{f}_i \check{g}_i(x)| \log(1 + |\sum_j \check{f}_j \check{g}_j(x)|) d\sigma_x,$$

which is dominated by

$$\sum_i \|\check{f}_i\|_\infty \int_{S^{n-1}} |\check{g}_i(x)| \log(1 + |\sum_j \check{f}_j \check{g}_j(x)|) d\sigma_x.$$

Now, using the inequality  $\log(1 + |x|) \leq |x|$ , we have the above term is bounded by

$$\sum_i \|\check{f}_i\|_\infty \int_{S^{n-1}} |\check{g}_i(x)| |\sum_j \check{f}_j \check{g}_j(x)| d\sigma_x,$$

is dominated by

$$\sum_{i,j} \|\check{f}_i \check{f}_j\|_\infty \int_{S^{n-1}} |\check{g}_i(x)| |\check{g}_j(x)| d\sigma_x.$$

After that, by Hölder's inequality on  $S^{n-1}$  we get

$$\sum_{i,j} \|\check{f}_i \check{f}_j\|_\infty \|\check{g}_i(x)\|_{L^2(\sigma_x)} \|\check{g}_j(x)\|_{L^2(\sigma_x)}.$$

Finally, using restriction and Hausdorff-Young's inequality we have

$$\sum_{i,j} \|f_i\|_1 \|f_j\|_1 \|g_i\|_q \|g_j\|_q,$$

which is equal to

$$(\sum_i \|f_i\|_1 \|g_i\|_q)^2.$$

The last term is finite as  $m \in \mathcal{A}$ ,  $1 \leq q \leq \frac{2n+2}{n+3}$ . So by the above theorem, the operator  $T_m$  is weak  $(1, 1)$  bounded.  $\square$

*Remark 2.4.* The operator  $T_m$  defined in the preceding theorem is not weak  $(p, p)$  bounded for any  $1 < p \leq \infty$ . Indeed, if  $T_m$  were weak

$(p, p)$  bounded for some  $p \neq 1$  then by the Marcinkiewicz interpolation theorem it would be strong  $(s, s)$  bounded for all  $1 < s < p$ . In particular, strong  $(2, 2)$  boundedness would imply that  $m \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , which is not the case in general. This contradiction shows that  $T_m$  cannot be weak  $(p, p)$ -bounded for any  $p > 1$ .

*Remark 2.5.* The multipliers in the class  $\mathcal{A}$  do not satisfy the smoothness and decay conditions of Hörmander or Mikhlin type, which guarantee weak  $(1, 1)$  boundedness. Consequently, the standard multiplier theorems do not apply, and the proof method developed here is essential to handle these cases.

### 3. SHARPNESS

In this section, we show the sharpness of the main result. We are motivated to do that from [5].

**Proposition 3.1.** *Let  $m \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then the operator  $T_m : L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \rightarrow L^{1,r}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  is not bounded for  $1 \leq r < \infty$ .*

*Proof.* We first treat the one dimensional case and assume that  $m$  has only a single non zero term in its defining series. Let

$$m = f * g,$$

where  $f(y)$  and  $g(y)$  are the inverse Fourier transform of  $e^{-y^2}$  and  $\frac{1}{y^2}\chi_{(1,\infty)}(y)$  respectively, where  $\chi_{(1,\infty)}$  is characteristic function of the interval  $(1, \infty)$ . Consider the sequence of Schwartz functions  $\{h_n\}$  defined by

$$h_n(y) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} e^{-\frac{y^2}{n}}.$$

It is straightforward to check that

$$\|h_n\|_1 = \sqrt{\pi}$$

for all  $n$ . We aim to estimate the Lorentz norm

$$\|\hat{f}\hat{g} * h_n\|_{1,r},$$

and show that it diverges to  $\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . By definition it is equal to

$$\left( \int_0^\infty (t(\hat{f}\hat{g} * h_n)^*(t))^r \frac{dt}{t} \right)^{\frac{1}{r}}.$$

Substituting the expressions for  $f, g$  and  $h_n$  and applying the inequality

$$(x - y)^2 \leq 2(x^2 + y^2)$$

we obtain a lower bound of the form

$$\frac{C}{\sqrt{n}} \int_0^\infty t^{r-1} e^{-\frac{rt^2}{2n}} dt,$$

for some constant  $C$  independent of  $n$ . Evaluating the integral yields

$$C' n^{\frac{r-1}{2}},$$

for some constant  $C'$  only depend on  $r$ . For  $r > 1$  the expression tends to  $\infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .  $\square$

#### DECLARATION

Ethical approval: We hereby declare that this work has no conflict of interest, neither personal nor financial.

Availability of data and material : The data that support the findings of this study are previous research works given in the reference and cited in this work.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] R. J. Bagby, On  $L^p, L^q$  multipliers of Fourier transforms. *Pacific J. Math.* 68 (1) (1977), 1–12, DOI: 10.2140/pjm.1977.68.1, MR0445200.
- [2] A. P. Calderon, A. Zygmund, A note on singular integrals, *Studia Math.*, 65(1979), no. 1, 77-87, DOI: 10.4064/sm-65-1-77-87, MR0554542.
- [3] A. Figa-Talamanca, Translation invariant operators in  $L^p$ , *Duke Math. J.*, 32(1965), 495-501, DOI: 10.1215/S0012-7094-65-03250-3, MR0181869.
- [4] G. B. Folland, *Real analysis. Modern techniques and their applications*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, A Wiley-Interscience Publication, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., New York, 1984, ISBN: 0-471-31716-0, MR1681462.
- [5] L. Grafakos, L. Slavíková, A sharp version of the Hörmander multiplier theorem. *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2019, no. 15, 4764–4783, <https://doi.org/10.1093/imrn/rnx314>, MR3988668.
- [6] L. S. Hahn, On multipliers of  $p$ -integrable functions, *Trans. AMS*, 128(2) (1967), 321- 335, <https://doi.org/10.2307/1994326>, MR0213820.
- [7] L. S. Hahn, A Theorem on multipliers of type  $(p, q)$ , *Proc. AMS*, 21(2) (1969), 493-495, <https://doi.org/10.2307/2037032>, MR0240555.

- [8] L. Hörmander, Estimates for translation invariant operators in  $L^p$  spaces, *Acta Math.* 104, 93-140 (1960), DOI: 10.1007/BF02547187, MR0121655.
- [9] E. M. Stein, *Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions*, Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J, ISBN-13: 978-0691080796, MR0290095.
- [10] T. Tao, Blog: 247B, Notes 1, Restriction Theory (2020).
- [11] A. Zygmund, *Trigonometric series. Vol I, II*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002, ISBN: 0-521-89053-5, MR1963498.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, NIT SIKKIM, RAVANGLA-737139, INDIA

*Email address:* arup.anit@gmail.com