

# $\mathcal{N} = 2$ Higher Spins by Harmonic Superspace Methods

Evgeny Ivanov

Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR,  
141980, Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia  
eivanov@theor.jinr.ru

*To the memory of George Poghosyan*

## Abstract

Harmonic  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superspace was discovered in 1984 as the powerful tool of the geometric superfield off-shell description of  $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$  supersymmetric field theories with the maximal spins 1, 2, and  $1/2$  ( $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Yang-Mills theories, supergravity and matter hypermultiplets). My talk is a brief account of the basic achievements of the harmonic methods, including the newest applications in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  theories of higher spins.

## 1 Supersymmetry and superfields

Supersymmetry, despite lacking experimental confirmations, is in the heart of the modern of mathematical and quantum physics. It allowed to construct a lot of new theories with remarkable and surprising features: supergravities, superstrings, superbranes,  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  super Yang-Mills theory (the first example of the ultraviolet-finite quantum field theory), etc. It also exhibited unexpected relations between these theories, e.g., the “gravity/gauge” duality.

The natural approach to supersymmetric theories is the superfield methods. The generalization of Minkowski space  $x^m$  to supersymmetry is  $\mathcal{N}$  *extended Minkowski superspace*

$$\mathcal{M}^{(4|4\mathcal{N})} = (x^m, \theta_i^\alpha, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}i}), \quad i = 1, \dots, \mathcal{N},$$

where  $\theta_i^\alpha, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}i}$  are anticommuting Grassmann coordinates,  $\{\theta, \theta\}, \{\theta, \bar{\theta}\} = 0$ . The supersymmetric theories are adequately formulated off shell in terms of superfields defined on various superspaces (see, e.g., [1, 2] and refs. therein).

$\mathcal{N} = 1, 4D$  *chirality as the simplest Grassmann analyticity*.  $\mathcal{N} = 1, 4D$  supersymmetry is an extension of the Poincaré symmetry by spinor generators  $Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}$

$$\{Q_\alpha, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 4P_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \quad \{Q_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = \{\bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0, \quad [P_m, Q_\alpha] = [P_m, \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}}] = 0, \quad P_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = \frac{1}{2}(\sigma^m)_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}P_m.$$

$\mathcal{N} = 1, 4D$  superspace is an extension of Minkowski space by a doublet of Grassmann anticommuting spinorial coordinates  $\theta^\beta, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}$ ,

$$x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \Rightarrow (x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \theta^\beta, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}), \quad \theta^{\beta'} = \theta^\beta + \epsilon^\beta, \quad x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}'} = x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - 2i(\theta^\alpha \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}} - \epsilon^\alpha \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}}).$$

$\mathcal{N} = 1, 4D$  superfields  $\Phi(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})$  are functions given on  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  superspace, with the transformation law,

$$\Phi'(x', \theta', \bar{\theta}') = \Phi(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}).$$

There is one very essential distinction between Minkowski space and  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  superspace. While the former does not include any coordinate subset where the whole  $4D$  Poincaré symmetry could be linearly realized, the latter contains such smaller supermanifolds,  $\mathcal{N} = 1, 4D$  chiral superspaces  $(x_L, \theta), (x_R, \bar{\theta})$ , with twice as less Grassmann coordinates:

$$x_L^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} + 2i\theta^\alpha \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad \delta x_L^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = -4i\theta^\alpha \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad x_R^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = (x_L^{\alpha\dot{\beta}})^\dagger.$$

The chiral superfields are carriers of the basic matter  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  multiplet:

$$\varphi(x_L, \theta) = \phi(x_L) + \theta^\alpha \psi_\alpha(x_L) + (\theta)^2 F(x_L), \quad S_{free} \sim \int d^4x d^2\theta d^2\bar{\theta} \varphi(x_L, \theta) \bar{\varphi}(x_R, \bar{\theta}).$$

The chiral superfields can be looked upon as complex general  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  superfields subject to the covariant *Grassmann analyticity* condition [3].

$$\varphi(x_L, \theta) = \Phi_L(x_L, \theta, \bar{\theta}), \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\gamma}}} \Phi_L = 0.$$

The same constraint can be rewritten in the basis  $(x, \theta, \bar{\theta})$  in terms of spinor covariant derivatives

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} \Phi_L(x, \theta, \bar{\theta}) &= 0, \quad D_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^\alpha} + 2i\bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} = -\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\gamma}}} - 2i\theta^\alpha \partial_{\alpha\dot{\gamma}}, \\ \{D_\gamma, D_\beta\} &= \{\bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}}, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0, \quad \{D_\gamma, \bar{D}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = -4i\partial_{\gamma\dot{\beta}}. \end{aligned}$$

The vanishing of anticommutators of the same chirality spinor derivatives is just the integrability condition for  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  chirality. This chirality underlies all the gauge and supergravity  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  theories: the interacting case just corresponds to replacing all covariant derivatives by the gauge-covariant ones through adding proper superfield gauge connections

$$D_\gamma \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_\gamma = D_\gamma + i\mathcal{A}_\gamma, \quad \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} \Rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\gamma}} = \bar{D}_{\dot{\gamma}} + i\bar{\mathcal{A}}_{\dot{\gamma}}, \quad \partial_{\gamma\dot{\beta}} \Rightarrow \mathcal{D}_{\gamma\dot{\beta}} = \partial_{\gamma\dot{\beta}} + i\mathcal{A}_{\gamma\dot{\beta}},$$

still preserving the flat integrability constraints

$$\{\mathcal{D}_\gamma, \mathcal{D}_\beta\} = \{\bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\gamma}}, \bar{\mathcal{D}}_{\dot{\beta}}\} = 0.$$

The general  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  matter is also described by chiral superfields, implying a general Kähler target geometry for bosonic fields [4].

For extended supersymmetries (with few sorts of  $Q$  generators) new kinds of Grassmann analyticities (different from chirality) can be defined. One of them, the harmonic  $SU(2)$  analyticity, just forms the basis of the *Harmonic Superspace* approach.

## 2 Harmonic superspace

In four dimensions, the only self-consistent off-shell superfield formalism for  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  (and  $\mathcal{N} = 3$ ) theories is the harmonic superspace approach invented by A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, V. Ogievetsky and E. Sokatchev [5, 6, 7].

Harmonic  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superspace is defined as the coordinate set:

$$Z = (x^m, \theta_i^\alpha, \bar{\theta}^{\dot{\alpha}j}, u^{\pm i}), \quad u^{\pm i} \in SU(2)/U(1), \quad u^{+i}u_i^- = 1. \quad (2.1)$$

Its most important property is the presence of analytic harmonic  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  super-subspace:

$$\zeta_A = (x_A^m, \theta^{+\alpha}, \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}, u^{\pm i}), \quad \theta^{+\alpha, \dot{\alpha}} := \theta^{\alpha, \dot{\alpha}i} u_i^+, \quad x_A^m := x^m - 2i\theta^{(i}\sigma^m\bar{\theta}^{j)} u_i^+ u_j^+.$$

It is closed under  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry. All basic  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superfields are harmonic analytic:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{SYM} : \quad & V^{++}(\zeta_A), \quad \underline{\text{matter hypermultiplets}} : \quad q^+(\zeta_A), \quad \bar{q}^+(\zeta_A) \\ \text{supergravity} : \quad & H^{++m}(\zeta_A), \quad H^{++\hat{\alpha}+}(\zeta_A), \quad H^{++5}(\zeta_A), \quad \hat{\alpha} = (\alpha, \dot{\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Here the upper indices “+” refer to the charge with respect to the denominator of the harmonic coset in (2.1).

*Example:  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  spin 1 multiplet.* An instructive example is Abelian  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauge theory,

$$V^{++}(\zeta_A), \quad \delta V^{++} = D^{++}\Lambda(\zeta_A), \quad D^{++} = \partial^{++} - 4i\theta^{+\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}\partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}.$$

It is possible to impose Wess-Zumino gauge (8 + 8 off-shell degrees of freedom):

$$\begin{aligned} V^{++}(\zeta_A) = & (\theta^+)^2\phi + (\bar{\theta}^+)^2\bar{\phi} + 2i\theta^{+\alpha}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}A_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \\ & + (\bar{\theta}^+)^2\theta^{+\alpha}\psi_\alpha^i u_i^- + (\theta^+)^2\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}}\bar{\psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i u_i^- + (\theta^+)^2(\bar{\theta}^+)^2 D^{(ik)}u_i^- u_k^-. \end{aligned}$$

These component fields constitute an Abelian gauge  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  off-shell multiplet.

The invariant superfield action reads:

$$\begin{aligned} S \sim \int d^{12}Z \quad & (V^{++}V^{--}), \quad D^{++}V^{--} - D^{--}V^{++} = 0, \quad \delta V^{--} = D^{--}\Lambda, \quad (2.2) \\ [D^{++}, D^{--}] = & D^0, \quad D^0 V^{\pm\pm} = \pm 2 V^{\pm\pm}. \end{aligned}$$

The second harmonic gauge connection  $V^{--}$  is not analytic but it is expressed through  $V^{++}$  by the “harmonic zero-curvature condition” in (2.2).

## 3 Supersymmetry and higher spins

Supersymmetric higher-spin theories provide a bridge between superstring theory and low-energy (super)gauge theories.

Free massless bosonic and fermionic higher spin field theories were defined in [8, 9].

The component approach to  $\mathcal{N} = 1, 4D$  supersymmetric free massless higher spin models was developed in [10, 11]. The natural tools to deal with supersymmetric theories are off-shell

superfield methods. In the superfield approach the supersymmetry is closed on the off-shell supermultiplets and so is automatically manifest. The complete off-shell  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  superfield Lagrangian formulation of  $\mathcal{N} = 1, 4D$  free higher spins was given by S.M. Kuzenko, *et al* [12, 13].

An off-shell superfield Lagrangian formulation for higher-spin **extended** supersymmetric theories, with all supersymmetries manifest, was unknown for long even for free theories. This gap was filled in by I. Buchbinder, E. Ivanov, N. Zaigraev [14]. An off-shell manifestly  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric unconstrained formulation of  $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$  super Fronsda theory for integer spins was constructed in the harmonic superspace approach. Manifestly  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric off-shell cubic couplings of  $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$  higher-spin gauge superfields to the matter hypermultiplets were further constructed in [15, 16].

Quite recently, we generalized HSS non-conformal construction to the case of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superconformal multiplets and their hypermultiplet couplings [17].

Our papers just mentioned opened a new domain of applications of the harmonic superspace formalism, that time in  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  higher-spin theories.

## 4 $\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin 2 multiplet

Analogues of the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Yang-Mills superfield  $V^{++}(\zeta_A)$  are the following set of analytic gauge potentials:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( h^{++m}(\zeta_A), h^{++5}(\zeta_A), h^{++\hat{\mu}+}(\zeta_A) \right), \quad \hat{\mu} = (\mu, \dot{\mu}), \\ & \delta_\lambda h^{++m} = D^{++}\lambda^m + 2i(\lambda^{+\alpha}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} + \theta^{+\alpha}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^m\bar{\lambda}^{+\dot{\alpha}}), \\ & \delta_\lambda h^{++5} = D^{++}\lambda^5 - 2i(\lambda^{+\alpha}\theta_\alpha^+ - \bar{\theta}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+\bar{\lambda}^{+\dot{\alpha}}), \delta_\lambda h^{++\hat{\mu}+} = D^{++}\lambda^{+\hat{\mu}}. \end{aligned}$$

The Wess-Zumino gauge can be chosen as:

$$\begin{aligned} h^{++m} &= -2i\theta^+\sigma^a\bar{\theta}^+\Phi_a^m + [(\bar{\theta}^+)^2\theta^+\psi^{mi}u_i^- + c.c.] + \dots \\ h^{++5} &= -2i\theta^+\sigma^a\bar{\theta}^+C_a + \dots, \quad h^{++\mu+} = \dots, \end{aligned}$$

with the residual gauge freedom:

$$\lambda^m \Rightarrow a^m(x), \lambda^5 \Rightarrow b(x), \lambda^{\mu+} \Rightarrow \epsilon^{\mu i}(x)u_i^+ + \theta^{+\nu}l_{(\nu}^{\mu)}(x).$$

The physical fields are  $\Phi_a^m, \psi_\mu^{mi}, C_a$  (spins  $(2, 3/2, 3/2, 1)$  on shell). In the “physical” gauge:

$$\Phi_a^m \sim \Phi_{\beta\dot{\beta}\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \Rightarrow \Phi_{(\beta\alpha)(\dot{\beta}\dot{\alpha})} + \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta}\varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}}\Phi.$$

## 5 $\mathcal{N} = 2$ spin 3 and higher spins

The spin 3 triad of analytic gauge superfields is introduced as :

$$\begin{aligned} & \{h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}(\zeta), h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}}(\zeta), h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+}(\zeta), h^{++(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha+}(\zeta)\}, \\ & \delta h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} = D^{++}\lambda^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + 2i[\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\beta}} + \theta^{+(\alpha\bar{\lambda}^{+\beta})(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}], \\ & \delta h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = D^{++}\lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - 2i[\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}}\theta_{\beta}^{+} + \bar{\lambda}^{+(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha}\bar{\theta}_{\dot{\beta}}^{+}], \\ & \delta h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+} = D^{++}\lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}}, \delta h^{++(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha+} = D^{++}\lambda^{+(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha}. \end{aligned}$$

The bosonic physical fields in WZ gauge are collected in

$$h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} = -2i\theta^{+\rho}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\rho}}\Phi_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + \dots \quad h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = -2i\theta^{+\rho}\bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\rho}}C_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \dots$$

The physical gauge fields are  $\Phi_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})}$  (spin 3 gauge field),  $C_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}$  (spin 2 gauge field) and  $\psi_{\gamma}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})i}$  (spin 5/2 gauge field). The rest of fields are auxiliary. On shell, we are left with the spin content **(3, 5/2, 5/2, 2)**.

The general case with the maximal integer spin  $\mathbf{s}$  is spanned by the analytic gauge potentials

$$h^{++\alpha(s-1)\dot{\alpha}(s-1)}(\zeta), h^{++\alpha(s-2)\dot{\alpha}(s-2)}(\zeta), h^{++\alpha(s-1)\dot{\alpha}(s-2)+}(\zeta), h^{++\dot{\alpha}(s-1)\alpha(s-2)+}(\zeta),$$

where  $\alpha(s) := (\alpha_1 \dots \alpha_s)$ ,  $\dot{\alpha}(s) := (\dot{\alpha}_1 \dots \dot{\alpha}_s)$ . The relevant gauge transformations can also be defined and shown to leave, in the WZ-like gauge, the physical field multiplet with spins **(s, s - 1/2, s - 1/2, s - 1)**.

The on-shell spin contents of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  higher-spin multiplets can be summarized as

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{\text{spin } 1} &: 1, (1/2)^2, (0)^2 \\ \underline{\text{spin } 2} &: 2, (3/2)^2, 1 \\ \underline{\text{spin } 3} &: 3, (5/2)^2, 2 \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ \underline{\text{spin } s} &: s, (s - 1/2)^2, s - 1. \end{aligned}$$

Each spin enters the direct sum of these multiplets twice, in accord with the general Vasiliev theory of 4D higher spins [18]. The off-shell contents of the spin  $\mathbf{s}$  multiplet is described by the generic formula  $8[\mathbf{s}^2 + (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{1})^2]_B + 8[\mathbf{s}^2 + (\mathbf{s} - \mathbf{1})^2]_F$ .

## 6 Hypermultiplet couplings

The construction of interactions in the theory of higher spins is a very important (albeit difficult) task.

There is an extensive literature on the construction of cubic higher spin interactions (see, e.g., [19, 20, 21, 22]).

Supersymmetric  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  generalizations of the bosonic cubic vertices with matter were explored in terms of  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  superfields in refs. [23, 24, 25], and in many other papers.

In [15, 16] we have constructed the off-shell manifestly  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric cubic couplings  $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2}, \mathbf{s})$  of an arbitrary higher integer superspin  $\mathbf{s}$  gauge  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  multiplet to the hypermultiplet matter in  $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$  harmonic superspace. In our approach  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry of cubic vertices is always manifest and off-shell, in contrast, e.g., to the non-manifest light-cone formulations [25].

The starting point is the  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  hypermultiplet off-shell free action:

$$S = \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \mathcal{L}_{free}^{+4} = - \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \frac{1}{2} q^{+a} \mathcal{D}^{++} q_a^+, a = 1, 2.$$

Analytic gauge potentials for any spin  $\mathbf{s}$  with the correct transformation rules are recovered by proper gauge-covariantization of the harmonic derivative  $\mathcal{D}^{++}$ . The simplest option is gauging of  $U(1)$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta q^{+a} &= -\lambda_0 J q^{+a}, \quad J q^{+a} = i(\tau_3)^a_b q^{+b}, \\ \mathcal{D}^{++} &\Rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{++} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(1)}^{++}, \quad \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(1)}^{++} = h^{++} J, \\ \delta_\lambda \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(1)}^{++} &= [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\Lambda}], \quad \hat{\Lambda} = \lambda J \Rightarrow \delta_\lambda h^{++} = \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

In  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supergravity, that is for  $\mathbf{s} = 2$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(2)} &= - \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \frac{1}{2} q^{+a} (\mathcal{D}^{++} + \mathcal{H}_{(2)}) q_a^+, \quad \delta \mathcal{H}_{(2)} = [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\Lambda}_{(2)}], \\ \mathcal{H}_{(2)} &= h^{++M}(\zeta) \partial_M, \quad \hat{\Lambda}_{(2)} = \lambda^M(\zeta) \partial_M, \quad M := (\alpha\dot{\beta}, 5, \hat{\mu}+). \end{aligned}$$

For higher  $\mathbf{s}$  everything goes analogously. For  $\mathbf{s} = 3$ :

$$\begin{aligned} S_{(3)} &= - \int d\zeta^{(-4)} \frac{1}{2} q^{+a} (\mathcal{D}^{++} + \mathcal{H}_{(3)} J) q_a^+, \\ \delta \mathcal{H}_{(3)} &= [\mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\Lambda}_{(3)}], \quad \mathcal{H}_{(3)} = h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}(\zeta) \partial_M \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad \hat{\Lambda}_{(3)} = \lambda^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}(\zeta) \partial_M \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

## 7 Superconformal couplings

Free conformal higher-spin actions in  $4D$  Minkowski space were pioneered by Fradkin and Tseytlin [26] and Fradkin and Linetsky [27, 28]. Since then, a lot of works on (super)conformal higher spins followed (see, e.g., [29, 30]).

(Super)conformal higher-spin theories are considered as a basis for all other types of higher-spin models. Non-conformal ones follow from the superconformal ones through couplings to the **superfield compensators**.

In [17] we extended the off-shell  $\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$  higher spins and their hypermultiplet couplings to the superconformal case.

$\mathcal{N} = 2, 4D$  superconformal algebra (SCA) preserves harmonic analyticity and is a closure of the rigid  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetry and special conformal symmetry [7]

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\epsilon \theta^{+\hat{\alpha}} &= \epsilon^{\hat{\alpha}i} u_i^+, \quad \delta_\epsilon x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = -4i \left( \epsilon^{\alpha i} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} + \theta^{+\alpha} \bar{\epsilon}^{\dot{\alpha}i} \right) u_i^-, \quad \hat{\alpha} = (\alpha, \dot{\alpha}), \\ \delta_k \theta^{+\alpha} &= x^{\alpha\dot{\beta}} k_{\dot{\beta}\beta} \theta^{\dot{\beta}}, \quad \delta_k x^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} = x^{\rho\dot{\alpha}} k_{\rho\dot{\rho}} x^{\dot{\rho}\alpha}, \quad \delta_k u^{+i} = (4i \theta^{+\alpha} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}) u^{-i}.\end{aligned}$$

What about the conformal properties of various analytic higher-spin potentials? No problems with the spin **1** potential  $V^{++}$ :

$$\delta_{sc} V^{++} = -\hat{\Lambda}_{sc} V^{++}, \quad \hat{\Lambda}_{sc} := \lambda_{sc}^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + \lambda_{sc}^{\dot{\alpha}+} \partial_{\dot{\alpha}+} + \lambda_{sc}^{++} \partial^{--}.$$

The cubic vertex  $\sim q^{+a} V^{++} J q_a^+$  is invariant up to total derivative if

$$\delta_{sc} q^{+a} = -\hat{\Lambda}_{sc} q^{+a} - \frac{1}{2} \Omega q^{+a}, \quad \Omega := (-1)^{P(M)} \partial_M \lambda^M.$$

Situation gets more complicated for  $\mathbf{s} \geq 2$ . Requiring  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauge potentials for  $\mathbf{s} = 2$  to be closed under  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SCA necessarily leads to

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^{++} &\rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{++} + \kappa_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=2)}^{++}, \\ \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=2)}^{++} &:= h^{++M} \partial_M = h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + h^{++\alpha+} \partial_{\alpha}^- + h^{++\dot{\alpha}+} \partial_{\dot{\alpha}}^- + h^{(+4)} \partial^{--} \\ \delta_{k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}} h^{(+4)} &= -\hat{\Lambda} h^{(+4)} + 4i h^{++\alpha+} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + 4i \theta^{+\alpha} h^{++\dot{\alpha}+} k_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}.\end{aligned}$$

It is impossible to avoid introducing the extra potential  $h^{(+4)}$  for ensuring conformal covariance. This extended set of potentials (with the properly defined gauge freedom) embodies  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  **Weyl multiplet** ( $\mathcal{N} = 2$  conformal SG gauge multiplet).

For  $\mathbf{s} \geq 3$  the gauge-covariantization of the free  $q^{+a}$  action requires adding the gauge superfield differential operators of rank  $\mathbf{s} - 1$  in  $\partial_M$ ,

$$\mathcal{D}^{++} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}^{++} + \kappa_s \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s)}^{++} (J)^{P(s)}, \quad P(s) = \frac{1 + (-1)^{s-1}}{2}.$$

For  $\mathbf{s} = 3$ :

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)} = h^{++MN} \partial_N \partial_M + h^{++}, \quad h^{++MN} = (-1)^{P(M)P(N)} h^{++NM}.$$

$\mathcal{N} = 2$  SCA mixes different entries of  $h^{++MN}$ , so we need to take into account all these entries, as distinct from the non-conformal case where it was enough to consider, e.g.,  $h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}$ .

The spin **3** gauge transformations of  $q^{+a}$  and  $h^{++MN}$  leaving invariant the action  $\sim q^{+a} (D^{++} + \kappa_3 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)}) q_a^+$  are

$$\begin{aligned}\delta_\lambda^{(s=3)} q^{+a} &= -\frac{\kappa_3}{2} \{ \hat{\Lambda}^M + \frac{1}{2} \Omega^M, \partial_M \}_{AGB} J q^{+a} \equiv -\kappa_3 \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{(s=3)} J q^{+a}, \\ \delta_\lambda^{(s=3)} \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)}^{++} &= \left[ \mathcal{D}^{++}, \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{(s=3)} \right], \\ \hat{\Lambda}^M &:= \sum_{N \leq M} \lambda^{MN} \partial_N, \quad \Omega^M := \sum_{N \leq M} (-1)^{[P(N)+1]P(M)} \partial_N \lambda^{NM}, \\ \{F_1, F_2\}_{AGB} &:= [F_1, F_2], \quad \{B_1, B_2\}_{AGB} := \{B_1, B_2\}.\end{aligned}$$

All the potentials, excepting  $h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}$ , can be put equal to zero using the original extensive gauge freedom:

$$S_{int|fixed}^{(s=3)} = -\frac{\kappa_3}{2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} q^{+a} h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M} \partial_M \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} J q_a^+. \quad (7.1)$$

Using the linearized gauge transformations of  $h^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}M}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\lambda h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} &= \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + 4i \lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\beta}} + 4i \theta^{+(\alpha\dot{\beta})} \bar{\lambda}^{+\beta}(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}), \\ \delta_\lambda h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+} &= \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda^{+(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}} - \lambda^{++(\alpha\dot{\alpha})} \theta^{+\beta}, \\ \delta_\lambda h^{++(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha+} &= \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda^{+(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})\alpha} - \lambda^{++\alpha}(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}) \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\beta}}, \\ \delta_\lambda h^{(4)\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= \mathcal{D}^{++} \lambda^{++\alpha\dot{\alpha}} - 4i \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} \lambda^{+\alpha++} + 4i \theta^{+\alpha} \lambda^{+\dot{\alpha}++}, \end{aligned}$$

we can find WZ gauge for the spin 3 gauge supermultiplet

$$\begin{aligned} h^{++(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} &= -4i \theta^{+\rho} \bar{\theta}^{+\dot{\rho}} \Phi_{\rho\dot{\rho}}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})} + (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 \theta^+ \psi^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})i} u_i^- \\ &\quad + (\theta^+)^2 \bar{\theta}^+ \bar{\psi}^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})i} u_i^- + (\theta^+)^2 (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 V^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})ij} u_i^- u_j^-, \\ h^{++(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}+} &= (\theta^+)^2 \bar{\theta}_\nu^+ P^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu})} + (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 \theta_\nu^+ T^{(\alpha\beta\nu)\dot{\alpha}} + (\theta^+)^4 \chi^{(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}i} u_i^-, \\ h^{(4)\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= (\theta^+)^2 (\bar{\theta}^+)^2 D^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}. \end{aligned}$$

In its **bosonic sector**: the spin  $\mathbf{s} = 3$  gauge field,  $SU(2)$  triplet of conformal gravitons, singlet conformal graviton, spin 1 gauge field and non-standard field which gauges self-dual two-form symmetry:

$$\Phi^{(\alpha\beta\rho)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta}\dot{\rho})}, V^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})(ij)}, P^{(\alpha\beta)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\nu})}, D^{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, T^{(\alpha\beta\gamma)\dot{\alpha}}.$$

In its **fermionic sector**: conformal spin 5/2 and spin 3/2 gauge fields:

$$\psi^{(\alpha\beta\rho)(\dot{\alpha}\dot{\beta})i}, \quad \chi^{(\alpha\beta)\dot{\alpha}i}.$$

They carry total of  $40 + 40$  off-shell degrees of freedom. Starting from  $\mathbf{s} = 3$ , all the component fields are gauge fields, no auxiliary fields are present.

The sum of conformal spin 2 and spin 3 actions,

$$S = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} q^{+a} \left( \mathcal{D}^{++} + \kappa_2 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=2)}^{++} + \kappa_3 \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s=3)}^{++} J \right) q_a^+$$

is invariant with respect to the (properly modified) spin **3** transformations to the leading order in  $\kappa_3$  and to any order in  $\kappa_2$ . Thus the cubic vertex  $(\mathbf{3}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  is invariant under the gauge transformations of conformal  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  SG and we obtain the superconformal vertex of the spin **3** supermultiplet on *generic*  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Weyl SG background.

The whole consideration can be generalized to the general integer higher-spin  $\mathbf{s}$  case. It involves  $8(2\mathbf{s} - 1)_B + 8(2\mathbf{s} - 1)_F$  off-shell degrees of freedom.

## 8 Fully consistent higher-spin hypermultiplet coupling

The superconformal cubic vertices  $(\mathbf{s}, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$  are consistent to the leading order in the higher-spin analogs of Einstein constant. These can be made invariant with respect to gauge transformations of the whole tower of the higher-spin  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  gauge superfields.

To summarize the procedure exposed in [17], we introduce an analytic differential operator involving **all** integer higher spins:

$$\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++} := \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \kappa_s \hat{\mathcal{H}}_{(s)}^{++} (J)^{P(s)}.$$

The action of the infinite tower of integer  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superconformal higher spins interacting with the hypermultiplet in an arbitrary  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  conformal supergravity background then reads:

$$S_{full} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} q^{+a} \left( \mathcal{D}^{++} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++} \right) q_a^+.$$

Ascribing the proper gauge transformation to  $\hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++}$ , one can achieve gauge invariance to any order in the couplings constants. The total hypermultiplet gauge transformation reads

$$\delta_\lambda q^{+a} = -\hat{\mathcal{U}}_{hyp} q^{+a} = -\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \kappa_s \hat{\mathcal{U}}_s (J)^{P(s)} q^{+a},$$

$$\hat{\mathcal{U}}_s q^{+a} := \sum_{k=s, s-2, \dots} \hat{\mathcal{U}}_s^{(k)} q^{+a}.$$

This transformation acts linearly on the hypermultiplet superfield.

For the set of gauge superfields we obtain the transformation law:

$$\delta_\lambda \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++} = \left[ \mathcal{D}^{++} + \hat{\mathcal{H}}^{++}, \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{gauge} \right], \quad \hat{\mathcal{U}}_{gauge} := \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \kappa_s \hat{\mathcal{U}}_s.$$

It mixes different spins, so it is a non-Abelian deformation of the spin  $\mathbf{s}$  transformation laws. In the lowest order, it is reduced to the sum of linearized transformations of **all** integer spins  $\mathbf{s} \geq 1$ .

The invariance under  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  conformal supergravity transformations is automatic. So we have constructed the fully consistent gauge-invariant and conformally invariant interaction of hypermultiplet with an infinite tower of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  higher spins in an arbitrary  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  conformal supergravity background.

## 9 Towards $\mathcal{N} = 2$ AdS background

It is most interesting to explicitly construct  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  higher spins in the AdS background, with the superconformal symmetry  $SU(2, 2|2)$  being broken to the AdS supersymmetry  $OSp(2|4; R)$ . Following a recent work [31], we shall deal with embedding of the latter into

the former. Another setting [32] uses the coset superspace formalism as a generalization of the one employed in [33] for  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  case.

The embedding of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  AdS superalgebra into  $SU(2, 2|2)$  is specified through the identification [34]:

$$\begin{aligned}\Psi_\alpha^i &= Q_\alpha^i + c^{ik} S_{k\alpha}, & \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{\alpha}}^i &= \bar{\Psi}_\alpha^i = \bar{Q}_{\dot{\alpha}i} + c_{ik} \bar{S}_{\dot{\alpha}}^k, \\ c^{ik} &= c^{ki} & \bar{c}^{ik} &= c_{ik} = \varepsilon_{il} \varepsilon_{kj} c^{lj}.\end{aligned}$$

The  $SU(2, 2|2)$  superalgebra commutation relations imply for the super AdS generators

$$\begin{aligned}\{\Psi_\alpha^i, \Psi_\beta^k\} &= c^{ik} L_{(\alpha\beta)} + 4i\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \varepsilon^{ik} T, \quad T := c_{lm} T^{lm}, \quad [T, \Psi_\alpha^i] \sim c^{ik} \Psi_{k\alpha}, \\ \{\Psi_\alpha^i, \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{\beta}k}\} &= 2\delta_k^i R_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \quad R_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} = P_{\alpha\dot{\beta}} + \frac{1}{2} c^2 K_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \quad c^2 := c^{ik} c_{ik} \sim \frac{1}{R_{AdS}^2}, \\ [R_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, R_{\gamma\dot{\gamma}}] &\sim c^2 (\varepsilon_{\alpha\gamma} L_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}} + \varepsilon_{\dot{\alpha}\dot{\gamma}} L_{\alpha\gamma}), \quad [R_{\alpha\dot{\beta}}, \Psi_\beta^i] \sim \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta} \bar{\Psi}_{\dot{\beta}}^i \text{ (and c.c.)}.\end{aligned}$$

The first step toward constructing an off-shell  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  AdS higher spin theory is to define the super AdS invariant Lagrangian of hypermultiplet, such that it respect no full superconformal invariance, but only the super AdS one.

One needs to define the AdS covariant version of the analyticity-preserving harmonic derivative  $\mathcal{D}^{++}$ . The appropriate  $\mathcal{D}_{AdS}^{++}$  acting on  $q^{+a} = (q^+, \tilde{q}^+)$  has the structure

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}_{AdS}^{++} &= \partial^{++} - 4i\hat{\theta}^{+\alpha} \hat{\theta}^{+\dot{\alpha}} \nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} + h^{++} \hat{T} + \mathcal{O}(c), \\ \nabla_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}} &= (1 + \frac{1}{2} c^2 x^2) \partial_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}, \quad h^{++} = i|c| [(\hat{\theta}^+)^2 - (\hat{\bar{\theta}}^+)^2] + \mathcal{O}(c), \\ \hat{T}(q^+, \tilde{q}^+) &= (q^+, -\tilde{q}^+),\end{aligned}$$

where  $\hat{\theta}_\alpha^+, \hat{\bar{\theta}}_{\dot{\alpha}}^+$  are some redefinitions of the original Grassmann coordinates and  $\mathcal{O}(c)$  stand for terms vanishing in the limit  $c^{ik} \rightarrow 0$ .

An extra term  $\sim \hat{T}$  in  $\mathcal{D}_{AdS}^{++}$  is necessary for breaking superconformal invariance and it produces a mass of  $q^+$ . In the properly defined flat limit this term becomes the central charge extension of flat  $D^{++}$  and  $\hat{T}$  goes just into the derivative  $\partial_5$ .

More details on the AdS invariant  $q^+$  Lagrangians are given in our recent work with Nikita Zagraev [31].

An interesting new result obtained there is the analyticity-preserving Weyl transformation of the hypermultiplet Lagrangian.

We start from the free  $q^+$  action,  $S_{free} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} q^{+a} \mathcal{D}^{++} q_a^+$ . It is superconformally invariant and hence invariant under super  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  super  $AdS_4$  group. Then we make the Weyl-type rescaling of  $q^+$ ,

$$q^{+a} = G^{\frac{1}{2}} \hat{q}^{+a}, \quad G = \frac{\left(1 + \frac{(c^{+-})^2}{m^2}\right)}{\left(1 + \frac{m^2 x^2}{2}\right)} \left(1 + \theta \text{ terms}\right), \quad c^{+-} = c^{ik} u_i^+ u_k^-,$$

so that  $\hat{q}^{+a}$  is a scalar under  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  super  $AdS_4$  group. The  $\hat{q}^+$  action takes the form manifestly invariant under this group

$$S_{free} = -\frac{1}{2} \int d\zeta^{(-4)} G \hat{q}^{+a} \mathcal{D}^{++} \hat{q}_a^+, \quad \delta_{osp} \hat{q}^{+a} = 0.$$

The new integration measure  $d\zeta^{(-4)}G$  is invariant under  $OSp(2|4; R)$ . So one can add to the Lagrangian any proper function of  $\hat{q}^{+a}$  without breaking of  $OSp(2|4; R)$ . In particular, one can add an arbitrary  $\mathcal{L}^{+4}(\hat{q}^{+a}, u^-)$  and so get a wide class of the hyper-Kähler-like sigma model actions on the  $AdS_4$  background.

## 10 Summary and outlook

The theory of  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric higher spins  $s \geq 3$  opens a new promising direction of applications of the harmonic superspace approach which earlier proved to be indispensable for description of more conventional  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  theories with maximal spins  $s \leq 2$ . Once again, the basic property underlying these new higher-spin theories is the harmonic Grassmann analyticity (all basic gauge potentials are unconstrained analytic superfields involving an infinite number of degrees of freedom off shell before fixing WZ-type gauges).

**Under way:**

- The linearized actions of conformal higher-spin  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  multiplets ( $\mathcal{N} = 2$  analogs of the square of Weyl tensor)?
- Quantization, induced actions,...
- $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric half-integer spins (along the lines of recent ref. [35]).
- The complete extension to AdS background? Superconformal compensators? The  $\mathcal{N} = 2$   $AdS_4$  supergroup  $OSp(2|4; R) \subset SU(2, 2|2)$ , so the conformal invariance already implies  $AdS_4$  invariance. The latter is extracted as  $\eta^{\alpha i} \rightarrow c^{ik} \epsilon_k^\alpha$ . It remains to pick up the appropriate super-compensators (in progress).
- From the linearized theories to their full nonlinear versions? At present, the latter is known only for  $s \leq 2$  ( $\mathcal{N} = 2$  super Yang - Mills and  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  supergravities). This problem seemingly requires accounting for **ALL** higher  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  superspins simultaneously. New supergeometries?

## Acknowledgements

I thank the Organizers of the XIII International Symposium on Quantum Theory and Symmetries for the kind hospitality in Yerevan. I am indebted to Ioseph Buchbinder and Nikita Zaigraev for fruitful collaboration during last years.

## References

- [1] S. J. Gates, M. T. Grisaru, M. Rocek and W. Siegel, *Superspace Or One Thousand and One Lessons in Supersymmetry*, Front. Phys. **58**, 1-548 (1983) [arXiv:hep-th/0108200 [hep-th]].

- [2] I.L. Buchbinder, S.M. Kuzenko, *Ideas and Methods of Supersymmetry and Supergravity or a Walk Through Superspace*, IOP Publishing, 1998, 656 p.
- [3] A. Galperin, E. Ivanov, V. Ogievetsky, *Grassmann analyticity and extended supersymmetry*, Pisma ZhETF **33** (1981) 176 [JETP Lett. **33** (1981) 168].
- [4] B. Zumino, *Supersymmetry and Kahler Manifolds*, Phys.Lett. B **87** (1979) 203.
- [5] A.S. Galperin, E.A. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, V.I. Ogievetsky, E.S. Sokatchev, *Unconstrained  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  Matter, Yang-Mills and Supergravity Theories in Harmonic Superspace*, Class. Quant. Grav. **1** (1984) 469-498.
- [6] A.S. Galperin, E.A. Ivanov, S. Kalitzin, V.I. Ogievetsky, E.S. Sokatchev, *Unconstrained off-shell  $N=3$  supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory*, Class. Quant. Grav. **2** (1985) 155-166.
- [7] A.S. Galperin, E.A. Ivanov, V.I. Ogievetsky, E.S. Sokatchev, *Harmonic superspace*, Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics, CUP, 2001, 306 p.
- [8] C. Fronsdal, *Massless Fields with Integer Spin*, Phys. Rev. D **18** (1978) 3624.
- [9] J. Fang, C. Fronsdal, *Massless Fields with Half Integral Spin*, Phys. Rev. D **18** (1978) 3630.
- [10] T. Courtright, *Massless Field Supermultiplets With Arbitrary Spins*, Phys. Lett. B **85** (1979) 2019.
- [11] M. A. Vasiliev, *Gauge form of description of massless fields with arbitrary spin*, Yad. Fiz. **32** (1980) 855-861 (in Russian), Sov.J.Nucl.Phys. **32** (1980) 439.
- [12] S. Kuzenko, A. Sibiryakov, V. Postnikov, *Massless gauge superfields of higher half integer superspins*, JETP Lett. **57** (1993) 534; S. Kuzenko, A. Sibiryakov, *Massless gauge superfields of higher integer superspins*, JETP Lett. **57** (1993) 539.
- [13] S. M. Kuzenko, A. G. Sibiryakov, *Free massless higher superspin superfields on the anti-de Sitter superspace*, Phys. Atom. Nucl. **57** (1994) 1257-1267, [arXiv:1112.4612 [hep-th]].
- [14] I. Buchbinder, E. Ivanov, N. Zaigraev, *Unconstrained off-shell superfield formulation of  $4D, \mathcal{N} = 2$  supersymmetric higher spins*, JHEP **12** (2021) 016, [arXiv:2109.07639 [hep-th]].
- [15] I. Buchbinder, E. Ivanov, N. Zaigraev, *Off-shell cubic hypermultiplet couplings to  $\mathcal{N} = 2$  higher spin gauge superfields*, JHEP **05** (2022) 104, [arXiv:2202.08196 [hep-th]].
- [16] I. Buchbinder, E. Ivanov, N. Zaigraev,  *$\mathcal{N} = 2$  higher spins: superfield equations of motion, the hypermultiplet supercurrents, and the component structure*, JHEP **03** (2023) 036, [arXiv:2212.14114 [hep-th]].
- [17] I. Buchbinder, E. Ivanov, N. Zaigraev,  *$\mathcal{N} = 2$  superconformal higher-spin multiplets and their hypermultiplet couplings*, JHEP **08** (2024) 120, [arXiv:2404.19016 [hep-th]].

- [18] M.A. Vasiliev, *Consistent equation for interacting gauge fields of all spins in (3+1)-dimensions*, Phys. Lett. B **243** (1990) 378-382.
- [19] A.K.H. Bengtsson, I. Bengtsson, L. Brink, *Cubic interaction terms for arbitrary spin*, Nucl. Phys B **227** (1983) 31-40.
- [20] E.S. Fradkin, R.R. Metsaev, *A Cubic interaction of totally symmetric massless representations of the Lorentz group in arbitrary dimensions*, Class. Quant. Grav. **8** (1991) L89-L94.
- [21] R.R. Metsaev, *Generating function for cubic interaction vertices of higher spin fields in any dimension*, Mod.Phys.Lett.A **8** (1993) 2413-2426.
- [22] R. Manvelyan, K. Mkrtchyan, W. Ruehl, *A generating function for the cubic interactions of higher spin fields*, Phys. Lett. B **696** (2011) 410-415, [arXiv:1009.1054 [hep-th]].
- [23] I. L. Buchbinder, S. J. Gates, K. Koutrolikos, *Conserved higher spin supercurrents for arbitrary spin massless supermultiplets and higher spin superfield cubic interactions*, JHEP **08** (2018) 055, [arXiv:1805.04413 [hep-th]].
- [24] S.J. Gates, K. Koutrolikos, *Progress on cubic interactions of arbitrary superspin supermultiplets via gauge invariant supercurrents*, Phys. Lett. B **797** (2019) 134868, [arXiv:1904.13336 [hep-th]].
- [25] R.R. Metsaev, *Cubic interaction vertex for  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  arbitrary spin massless supermultiplet in flat space*, JHEP **08** (2019) 130, [arXiv:1905.11357 [hep-th]]; R.R. Metsaev, *Cubic interactions for arbitrary spin  $\mathcal{N}$ -extended massless supermultiplets in 4d flat space*, JHEP **11** (2019) 084, [arXiv:1909.05241 [hep-th]].
- [26] E.S. Fradkin, A.A. Tseytlin, *Conformal supergravity*, Phys. Rept. **119** (1985) 233-362.
- [27] E.S. Fradkin, V.Y. Linetsky, *Cubic Interaction in Conformal Theory of Integer Higher Spin Fields in Four-dimensional Space-time*, Phys. Lett. B **231** (1989) 97-106.
- [28] E.S. Fradkin, V.Y. Linetsky, *Superconformal Higher Spin Theory in the Cubic Approximation*, Nucl. Phys. B **350** (1991) 274-324.
- [29] A.Y. Segal, *Conformal higher spin theory*, Nucl. Phys. B **664** (2003) 59-130, [arXiv:hep-th/0207212].
- [30] S.M. Kuzenko, R. Manvelyan, S. Theisen, *Off-shell superconformal higher spin multiplets in four dimensions*, JHEP **07** (2017) 034, [arXiv:1701.00682 [hep-th]].
- [31] E. Ivanov, N. Zagraev,  *$\mathcal{N} = 2$  AdS hypermultiplets in harmonic superspace*, Phys. Lett. B **871** (2025) 139964, [arXiv:2509.01406 [hep-th]].
- [32] S.M. Kuzenko, G. Tartaglino-Mazzucchelli, *Five-dimensional  $\mathcal{N} = 1$  AdS superspace: Geometry, off-shell multiplets and dynamics*, Nucl. Phys. B **785** (2007) 34-73, [arXiv:0704.1185 [hep-th]].

- [33] E.A. Ivanov, A.S. Sorin, *Superfield formulation of  $OSp(1,4)$  supersymmetry*, J. Phys. A **13** (1980) 1159-1188.
- [34] I. Bandos, E. Ivanov, J. Lukierski, D. Sorokin, *On the superconformal flatness of  $AdS$  superspaces*, JHEP **06** (2002) 040, [arXiv:hep-th/0205104].
- [35] E. Ivanov, N. Zaigraev,  *$\mathcal{N} = 2$  superconformal gravitino in harmonic superspace*, Phys. Lett. B **862** (2025) 139333, [arXiv:2412.14822 [hep-th]].