THE DIOPHANTINE EQUATION $(2^k - 1)(b^k - 1) = y^q$

CHANG LIU AND BO HE

ABSTRACT. In this paper, we consider the exponential Diophantine equation $(2^k-1)(b^k-1)=y^q$ with $k\geq 2$, odd integer b and an odd prime exponent q and obtain effective upper bounds for q in terms of b. In particular, we show that $q\leq \log_2(b+1)$ holds apart from a finite, explicitly determined set of exceptional pairs (b,q) when $3\leq b<10^6$. As an application, we prove that the related equation $(2^k-1)(b^k-1)=x^n$, has no positive integer solution (k,x,n) for several specific odd values of b, including $b\in\{5,7,11,13,21,23,27,29\}$.

1. Introduction

In 2002, Szalay [9] investigated the Diophantine equation $(2^n - 1)(3^n - 1) = x^2$ and proved that there is no solution in positive integers n and x, which is the first investigation for the Diophantine equation

(1)
$$(a^n - 1)(b^n - 1) = x^2.$$

Moreover, he studied similar equations such as $(2^n-1)(5^n-1) = x^2$, demonstrating that they have only limited solutions with specific values of n. And in the same year, Hajdu and Szalay [4] have shown that there is no solution for $(2^n-1)(6^n-1) = x^2$.

Cohn's [3] studied the equation $(a^n - 1)(b^n - 1) = x^2$ and explores the integer solutions for given values of a and b, deriving general results and conjectures about this equation in 2001. Subsequently, Luca and Walsh [7] performed extensive computational investigation solve this equations (1) for nearly all pairs (a, b) satisfying $2 \le b < a \le 100$, leaving only 70 unresolved cases in 2002. After that, Le [6] proved if 3|b, then the equation $(2^n - 1)(b^n - 1) = x^2$ has no positive integer solution (n, x) in 2009.

Very recently, the authors complete solved [5] the first example of the exponential Diophantine equation

(2)
$$(a^n - 1)(b^n - 1) = y^q$$

for (a,b)=(2,3) and odd prime q. It was shown that the equation

$$(3) (2^k - 1)(3^k - 1) = y^q$$

has no solutions in positive integers (x,k,q) with q is an odd prime. One of the key steps in the proof is to rewrite equation (3) in the form $(X^q-1)(Y^q-1)=Z^q$, by setting $X=2^{k/q}$, $Y=3^{k/q}$ and Z=y. Observe that this equation is very close in shape to $(x^k-1)(y^k-1)=z^k-1$, which was completely resolved in Bennett's work [2].

In this paper, we first analyse the equation

$$(4) (X^q - 1)(Y^q - 1) = Z^q,$$

as a preliminary step towards solving equation (2) in more generality.

 $Date {:} \ \ December\ 2,\ 2025.$

1

Theorem 1. The equation (4) has no solution in integers X, Y, Z and odd prime q with $1 < X \le Y$.

In view of existing results, in order to solve equation (2) for fixed pairs (a, b), it is enough to show that $q \mid k$. In this paper we concentrate on the case a = 2 and b an odd integer in equation (2), and we establish the following result.

Theorem 2. When $b \le 10^6$ is an odd integer, the equation (15) has no solution with positive integers $k, y \ge 2$ and $q > \log_2(b+1)$ except for the following special cases.

Theorem 3. The Diophantine equation

$$(2^k - 1)(b^k - 1) = x^n, \quad n > 2$$

has no positive solution (k, x, n) for odd b = 5, 7, 11, 13, 21, 23, 27, 29.

2. The Diophantine equation $(X^q - 1)(Y^q - 1) = Z^q$

Let consider the diophantine equation

(5)
$$(X^q - 1)(Y^q - 1) = Z^q, \quad 1 < X < Y.$$

Our method is base on Bennett's works on rational approximation to algebraic numbers [1] and his proof [2] of diophantine equation $(x^k - 1)(y^k - 1) = z^k - 1$. Let

(6)
$$A := X^q - 1, \quad B := Y^q - 1.$$

Then $AB = \mathbb{Z}^q$. There exists a positive integer t such that

$$XY = Z + t.$$

Expanding the expression

$$((AB)^{1/q} + t)^{q} = (A+1)(B+1),$$

yields

(7)
$$q(AB)^{(q-1)/q}t + \binom{q}{2}(AB)^{(q-2)/q}t^2 + \dots + t^q = A + B + 1.$$

We claim that

$$A < \binom{q}{2} (AB)^{(q-2)/q} t^2.$$

If $q \ge 4$, this is immediate from $B \ge A$. If q = 3 and $A \ge 3t^2(AB)^{1/3}$, then $B < A^2$, it follows that

$$3(AB)^{2/3}t > 3Bt > A + B + 1.$$

which is a contradiction with equation (7). Therefore,

$$q(AB)^{(q-1)/q}t < B,$$

which is

$$(8) B > q^q A^{q-1} t^q.$$

Next, observe that from (6), we have

$$\left(\frac{XY}{Z}\right)^q - \frac{A+1}{A} = \frac{A+1}{AB} < \frac{2A}{Z^q},$$

It follows that

(9)
$$\left| \sqrt[q]{1 + \frac{1}{A}} - \frac{XY}{Z} \right| < \frac{2A}{q \cdot Z^q}$$

Noe we appeal to another result to deduce a lower bound which will contradict (9).

Lemma 1. [1] Let $k, A \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ with $k \geq 3$. Define

$$\mu_n = \prod_{p|n} p^{1/(p-1)}.$$

and suppose

(10)
$$\left(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{A+1}\right)^{2(k-2)} > (k\mu_k)^k.$$

Then

$$\left| \sqrt[k]{1 + \frac{1}{A}} - \frac{p}{q} \right| > (8k\mu_k A)^{-1} \cdot q^{-\lambda},$$

with

(11)
$$\lambda = 1 + \frac{\log\left(k\mu_k(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{A+1})^2\right)}{\log\left(\frac{1}{k\mu_k}(\sqrt{A} + \sqrt{A+1})^2\right)}.$$

and $\lambda < k$.

In our case, since $A = X^q - 1$, it is easy to verify that inequality (10) fails only when $(X,q) \in \{(2,3),(3,3)\}$. We suppose that (x,q) lies outside the set in $\{(2,3),(3,3)\}$. Combining (9) with Lemma 1 gives

$$(12) Z^{q-\lambda} < 16\mu_q A^2,$$

thus,

(13)
$$B^{q-\lambda} < 16^q \mu_a^q A^{q+\lambda} = 16^q q^{\frac{q}{q-1}} A^{q+\lambda}$$

From $A = X^q - 1$, the expression for λ in (11) shows that λ is monotonically decreasing in $X \geq 2$ (for $q \geq 7$), so $\lambda < 3.15$. Therefore, (13) implies

$$B < 300 \cdot A^{2.7}$$
.

which contradicts (8).

Similarly, if q = 5 and $X \ge 3$, then $\lambda < 2.8$, hence

$$B < 1400 \cdot A^{3.6}$$

which is again contradicting with (8). There is no contradiction with upper bound and lower bound for b when (X,q)=(2,q). We consider it separately. Let q=5 and X=2, then

$$(14) 31(Y^5 - 1) = Z^5,$$

and therefore

$$\left| \sqrt[5]{31} - \frac{Z}{Y} \right| < \frac{31^{1/5}}{5Y^5}.$$

However, by Corollary 1.2 of [1],

$$\left|\sqrt[5]{31} - \frac{Z}{Y}\right| > \frac{0.01}{Y^{2.83}}.$$

it follows that $Y \leq 6$. Through simple verification, the equation has no solution.

For the case q=3 we make a slight modification of the argument in [2]. Starting from the equation

$$(X^3 - 1)Y^3 - Z^3 = X^3 - 1$$

and writing $\alpha=(X^3-1)^{1/3}$, one obtains a very good rational approximation Z/Y to α , with $|\alpha-Z/Y|\ll 2Y^{-2}$. By Legendre's criterion, Z/Y must be a convergent of the simple continued fraction of α . Then, a careful inspection of the initial partial quotients of $\sqrt[3]{X^3-1}$ shows that any such convergent arising from a solution forces a lower bound of the shape

$$Y \ge 5X^6$$
.

This contradicts the corresponding upper bound given in Lemma 1 for sufficiently large values of X. The remaining small values reduce to X=2,3, and these can be checked out by the same continued fraction estimating as in [2]. Hence the case q=3 cannot occur, and the proof of Theorem 1 is complete.

3. The first upper bound of q

In this section, we will get a relative upper bound of q by proving the following result.

Theorem 4. For odd integer b and odd prime q, if (k, y, q) is an integer solution of Diophantine equation

$$(2^k - 1) (b^k - 1) = y^q,$$

then we have $q < 2\sqrt{2b}$.

Let p be a prime and $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$. The p-adic valuation $\nu_p(n)$ is the largest integer $e \geq 0$ such that $p^e \mid n$. The following result, known as the Lifting-the-Exponent (LTE) Lemma, is a standard tool for estimating p-adic valuations of exponential differences.

Lemma 2 (Lifting-the-exponent Lemma [8]). Let p be a prime, and let a and b be integers such that k is a positive integer. Suppose $p \mid (a-b)$ and $p \nmid ab$. Then, the p-adic valuation ν_p of $a^k - b^k$ is given by

$$\nu_p(a^k - b^k) = \begin{cases} \nu_p(a - b) + \nu_p(k), & \text{if } p \text{ is odd,} \\ \nu_2(a - b), & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } k \text{ is odd,} \\ \nu_2(a^2 - b^2) + \nu_2\left(\frac{k}{2}\right), & \text{if } p = 2 \text{ and } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Lemma 3. Assume that (k, b, y, q) is a positive integer solution of equation (15) with $q > \log_2(b+1)$. We have

- (1) $\nu_2(k) > q \log_2(b+1) > 0$,
- (2) $\nu_3(k) > 0$.

Proof. For point (1), since b is odd, we have $2 \mid (b^k - 1)$, hence $2 \mid y$. Thus, $b^k - 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{2^q}$.

Applying Lemma 2, we obtain

$$q \le \nu_2(y^q) = \nu_2(b^k - 1) = \begin{cases} \nu_2(b - 1), & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ \nu_2(b^2 - 1) + \nu_2(k/2), & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

It is a contradiction that both $q \le \nu_2(b-1)$ and $q > \log_2(b+1)$. Therefore $2 \mid k$ and

$$\nu_2(k) \ge q + 1 - \nu_2(b^2 - 1) \ge q - \log_2(b + 1).$$

Here we used $\min\{\nu_2(b+1), \nu_2(b-1)\} = 1$.

When p=3 in point (2), the condition $2^k-1\equiv 0\pmod 3$ implies that $3\mid y$. Thus, we have

$$(2^k - 1)(b^k - 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{3^q}.$$

If $3 \mid b$, another application of Lemma 2 to $4^{k/2} - 1$ yields $3^{q-1} \mid k$. Otherwise, $\nu_3(b^k - 1) = \nu_3(b^2 - 1) + \nu_3(k/2) = \nu_3(b - 1) + \nu_3(b + 1) + \nu_3(k) \le \log_3(b + 1) + \nu_3(k)$, since odd prime p cannot divide both b + 1 and b - 1 simultaneously. Hence

$$\nu_3(k) \ge \frac{q - \log_3(b+1) - 1}{2}$$

The right side is positive when $b \geq 7$. The remain cases that b = 5 provides $\nu_3(b+1) = 1$, one can check directly. This complete the proof of Lemma 3.

Lemma 4. Assume that (k, b, y, q) is a quadruple of positive integers solving equation (15). Let p be an odd prime. If $(p-1) \mid k$, then

$$\nu_p(k) > \frac{1}{2} \left(q - p \cdot \frac{\log 2b}{2 \log p} \right).$$

In particular, if we further assume that $p \leq q$ and $q > 2\sqrt{2b}$, then $p \mid k$.

Proof. Let us begin by analyzing the p-adic valuation on both sides of equation (15). Assumed that p-1 divides k. Then, by Fermat's Little Theorem, it follows that p divides both $b^{p-1}-1$ and b^k-1 for any integer b satisfying $p \not\mid b$. By applying Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\nu_{p}\left(2^{k}-1\right) = \nu_{p}\left(2^{p-1}-1\right) + \nu_{p}\left(\frac{k}{p-1}\right) = \nu_{p}\left(2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}-1\right) + \nu_{p}\left(2^{\frac{p-1}{2}}+1\right) + \nu_{p}(k),$$

$$\nu_{p}\left(b^{k}-1\right) = \begin{cases} \nu_{p}\left(b^{\frac{p-1}{2}}-1\right) + \nu_{p}\left(b^{\frac{p-1}{2}}+1\right) + \nu_{p}(k), & \text{if } p \not\mid b, \\ 0, & \text{if } p \mid b. \end{cases}$$

Next, we observe that

$$\begin{split} & \nu_p \left(2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} - 1 \right) + \nu_p \left(2^{\frac{p-1}{2}} + 1 \right)$$

As a consequence,

$$\nu_p \left(\left(2^k - 1 \right) \left(b^k - 1 \right) \right)$$

On the right side of equation (15), since $p \mid y$, it implies that

$$\nu_p(y^q) \ge q$$
.

Combining the inequalities derived above, we conclude

$$\nu_p(k) > \frac{1}{2} \left(q - p \cdot \frac{\log 2b}{2 \log p} \right).$$

Assume that $p \leq q$ and $q > 2\sqrt{2b}$. We have

$$\nu_p(k) > \frac{1}{2} \left(q - p \cdot \frac{\log 2b}{2 \log p} \right) \ge \frac{1}{2} \left(q - q \cdot \frac{\log 2b}{2 \log q} \right) > 0$$

which completes the proof of the lemma.

Lemma 5. Assume that (k, b, y, q) is a quadruple of positive integers solving equation (15) with $q \ge 2\sqrt{2b}$, then we have $q \mid k$.

Proof. If q=3, the result follows directly from Lemma 3 with $1+\log_2(b+1)<2\sqrt{2b}$. Define $P_n=\{p_1=2,p_2=3,p_3,p_4,\ldots,p_n\}$ to be the set of all primes up to q, where $p_3=5< p_4<\cdots< p_n=q$. To prove the result, it suffices to show that $(p-1)\mid k$ for each $p\in P$, so that Lemma 4 can be applied.

Assume that for some i, we already have $(p_i-1) \mid k$. We aim to prove $(p_{i+1}-1) \mid k$. It suffices to establish that

(16)
$$\nu_r(p_{i+1} - 1) \le \nu_r(k)$$

for all $r \in P_i$.

We first consider r = 2, 3. By Lemma 3 and elementary monotonicity,

$$\nu_2(p_{i+1}-1) \le \frac{\log(p_{i+1}-1)}{\log 2} \le \frac{\log(q-1)}{\log 2} < q - \log_2(b+1) \le \nu_2(k).$$

$$\nu_3(p_{i+1}-1) \le \frac{\log(p_{i+1}-1)}{\log 3} \le \frac{\log(q-1)}{\log 3} < \frac{q-\log_3(b+1)-1}{2} < \nu_3(k).$$

Next, we consider $r \ge 5$. We analyze two sub cases based on the size between r and $q^{1/2}$.

If $r > q^{1/2}$, it follows that

$$\nu_r(p_{i+1} - 1) \le \frac{\log(p_{i+1} - 1)}{\log r} < \frac{\log q}{\log r} < \frac{\log r^2}{\log r} = 2.$$

Since $r \in P_i = \{2, 3, p_1, p_2, \dots, p_i\}$, then $(r-1) \mid k$ by assumption. Thus, by Lemma 4, we deduce that $r \mid k$. Therefore

$$\nu_r(p_{i+1}-1) \le 1 \le \nu_r(k).$$

If $5 \le r < q^{1/2}$, we claim that

$$\frac{1}{2}\left(q - r \cdot \frac{\log 2b}{2\log r}\right) \ge \frac{\log(q-1)}{\log r}.$$

It suffices to prove

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(q - q^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot \frac{\log \frac{q^2}{4}}{2 \log q^{\frac{1}{2}}} \right) - \frac{\log(q-1)}{\log 5} \ge 0.$$

which indeed holds, as one verifies by examining the monotonicity of the left side. Therefore, we have shown that $(p_{i+1}-1) \mid k$. Consequently, by Lemma 4, we

conclude $p_{i+1} \mid k$. This completes the induction, and hence every prime in the set P divides k, including q. This completes the proof.

Now, assume that (k, b, y, q) is a quadruple of positive integers solving equation (15) under the assumption of Theorem 4. By Lemma 5, we have deduced $q \mid k$. Define that

$$(X, Y, Z) := (2^{k/q}, b^{k/q}, y),$$

we obtain a new triple of positive integers that satisfies the Diophantine equation

$$(X^q - 1)(Y^q - 1) = Z^q.$$

Theorem 1 has shown that it is no positive integer solution. This complete the proof of Theorem 4.

4. The second upper bound of q

In this section, we sharp the upper bound of q for some not too big valve of odd integer b. By Theorem 4, we have shown that for every odd integer $3 \le b < 10^6$ there is no solution whenever q is an odd prime with q > 2828.

Lemma 6. If odd integer $b \le 10^6$, then the corresponding results in Lemmas 3–5 hold for every prime $q \ge 23$. Moreover, under these same conditions, the Diophantine equation (15) admits no solutions.

Proof. Since $q \ge 23 > \log_2(b+1)$, we have $\nu_2(k) > 1$ and $\nu_3(k) > 0$. Therefore, Lemma 3 applies.

Then we investigate the exponents of prime divisors in $\nu_p(2^k-1)$ and $\nu_p(b^k-1)$. By Lemma 2,

$$\nu_p\left(2^k - 1\right) = \nu_p\left(2^{p-1} - 1\right) + \nu_p\left(\frac{k}{p-1}\right) = \nu_p\left(2^{p-1} - 1\right) + \nu_p(k),$$

and $\nu_p (2^{p-1} - 1) = 1$ for almost every prime p < 2828 except for p = 1093 where $\nu_{1093} (2^{1092} - 1) = 2$.

Similarly,

$$\nu_p(b^k - 1) = \nu_p(b^{p-1} - 1) + \nu_p\left(\frac{k}{p-1}\right) = \nu_p(b^{p-1} - 1) + \nu_p(k),$$

through computer calculation, $\nu_p (b^{p-1} - 1) \leq 11$. Hence,

(17)
$$\nu_p(k) \ge \frac{q - \nu_p \left(b^{p-1} - 1 \right) - \nu_p \left(2^{p-1} - 1 \right)}{2} \ge 5,$$

so Lemma 4 holds

For Lemma 5, assume that for some i, we have $(p_i - 1) \mid k$. Our goal is to show that $(p_{i+1} - 1) \mid k$. It suffices to establish that

$$\nu_r(p_{i+1}-1) \le \nu_r(k)$$

for all $r \in P_i$. And it has proved that $\nu_r(k) \geq 5$ by (17).

We begin with the case r = 2, 3. By Lemma 3,

$$q - \log_2(b+1) \le \nu_2(k)$$

It is obvious that

$$\nu_2(p_{i+1}-1) \le \nu_2(q-1) < q - \log_2(b+1).$$

for all odd prime $q \ge 23$ and $b \le 10^6$. Similarly,

$$\nu_3(p_{i+1}-1) \le \nu_3(q-1) < \frac{q - \log_3(b+1) - 1}{2} \le \nu_3(k).$$

for all odd prime $q \ge 23$ and $b \le 10^6$.

For $r \geq 5$, we have

$$\nu_r(p_{i+1}-1) \le \nu_5(q-1) \le 4 \le \nu_r(k).$$

Hence Lemma 5 is true, which means $q \mid k$. Using Theorem 1, we complete the proof of this proposition.

Now, we prove Theorem 2.

Proof. There are a few possibilities for $3 \le q < 23$. By Lemma 3, we have $\nu_2(k) > 0$ and $\nu_3(k) > 0$. Let p be a prime with $3 \le p \le q$. We claim that if $(p-1) \mid k$ then $p \mid k$. Since we already know that $3 \mid k$, it remains to consider $5 \le p \le q < 23$. Likewise,

$$\nu_p\left(\left(2^k-1\right)\left(b^k-1\right)\right) = \nu_p\left(\left(2^{p-1}-1\right)(b^{p-1}-1)\right) + 2\nu_p(k) \ge q$$

Hence

$$\nu_p(k) \ge \frac{q - \nu_p(2^{p-1} - 1) - \nu_p(b^{p-1} - 1)}{2}$$

Since $\nu_p(2^{p-1}-1)=1$, we only need to consider those b for which $\nu_p(b^{p-1}-1)\geq 4$ (as $q\geq 5$). A finite computer calculation shows that there are no pairs (b,p) with $q-1-\nu_p(b^{p-1}-1)\leq 0$ under assumption.

Therefore, $q > \log_2(b+1)$, $3 \mid k$ and $7 \mid k$ when q=3 or q=7 since $2 \mid k$ and $6 \mid k$ respectively. Thus by Theorem 1 there is no solution to equation (15).

Now consider the case q = 5. If $\nu_2(k) \ge 2$, then $4 \mid k$ and hence $5 \mid k$. Therefore, $\nu_2(k) = 1$, which forces $\nu_2(b^2 - 1) = 5n$ where $n \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Combination with $5 = q > \log_2(b+1)$, the remaining unsolved cases are b = 15, 17.

Similarly, for q = 11 and q = 13 the remaining unsolved cases are b = 1023, 1025 and b = 4095, 4097, respectively.

Next, consider the case q=17. If $\nu_2(k)\geq 4$, then $16\mid k$ and hence $17\mid k$. Therefore, $1\leq \nu_2(k)\leq 3$, which implies $15\leq \nu_2(b^2-1)\leq 17$. Combination with $17=q>\log_2(b-1)$, the remaining unsolved cases are $b=t\cdot 2^{14}\pm 1$ where t=1,2,...,7.

Finally, consider q = 19. We have $\nu_3(k) \ge \frac{q - \log_3(b+1) - 1}{2} = \frac{19 - \log_3(b+1) - 1}{2} > 2$. Then $18 \mid k$ and hence $19 \mid k$. By Theorem 1, there is no solution for equation (15).

The remaining unresolved instances, with an odd prime q and odd positive $b < 10^6$ where $q > \log_2(b+1)$ are summarized in the table. This complete the proof of Theorem 2.

5. Proof of Theorem 3

Assume that (k, x, n) is a solution in positive integers of

(18)
$$(2^k - 1)(b^k - 1) = x^n, \quad k, n > 1.$$

First consider the case n=2. By Theorem 3.1 in [7] there is no solution in positive integers k, x for any odd integer $b \le 100$. Thus, we may assume that n > 2. Let

q be the least prime divisor of n and put $y = x^{n/q}$. Then (k, y, q) is a solution in positive integers of equation (15), namely

$$(2^k - 1)(b^k - 1) = y^q$$
.

We now restrict to the four values b = 5, 7, 11, 13, 21, 23, 27, 29. By Theorem 2 we have

$$q \le \log_2(b+1) < 5,$$

hence q must be 3, since q is an odd prime.

For b = 5, Theorem 2 yields

$$q < \log_2 6 < 3,$$

which is impossible for an odd prime q.

In the cases b=7,11,13,21,23,27,29, comparing the 2-adic valuations on both sides of

$$(2^k - 1)(b^k - 1) = y^3$$

shows that we must have $2 \mid k$. Applying Lemma 2 with p = 3 gives

$$\nu_3(2^k - 1) = \nu_3(4 - 1) + \nu_3(k/2) = 1 + \nu_3(k)$$

and

$$\nu_3(b^k - 1) = \begin{cases} \nu_3(b - 1) + \nu_3(k) & \text{if } b = 7, 13, 29; \\ \nu_3(b^2 - 1) + \nu_3(k) & \text{if } b = 11, 23, 29; \\ 0 & \text{if } b = 21, 27. \end{cases}$$

Consequently,

$$\nu_3((2^k - 1)(b^k - 1)) = \begin{cases} 2 + 2\nu_3(k) & \text{if } b = 7, 13; \\ 2 + 2\nu_3(k) & \text{if } b = 11, 23, 29; \\ 1 + \nu_3(k) & \text{if } b = 21, 27. \end{cases}$$

On the other hand,

$$\nu_3(y^q) = \nu_3(y^3) = 3\nu_3(y),$$

and the equality of both sides implies

$$3 = q \le \nu_3(y^q) = \begin{cases} 2 + 2\nu_3(k) & \text{if } b = 7, 13; \\ 2 + 2\nu_3(k) & \text{if } b = 11, 23, 29; , \\ 1 + \nu_3(k) & \text{if } b = 21, 27. \end{cases}$$

so $3 \mid k$. This contradicts Theorem 1, which is the equation $(X^q - 1)(Y^q - 1) = Z^q$ has no integer solution with $1 < X \le Y$ and q an odd prime.

Combining all the above cases, we conclude that for b=5,7,11,13,21,23,27,29 the Diophantine equation

$$(2^k - 1)(b^k - 1) = x^n, \qquad n \ge 2,$$

admits no solution in positive integers (k, x, n). This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The first author acknowledges the support of the China Scholarship Council program (Project ID: 202106310023). The second author was supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12161001).

References

- [1] M. A. Bennett, Explicit lower bounds for rational approximation to algebraic numbers, Proceedings of the London Mathematical Society, **75(1)**(1997), 63–78. [2] M. A. Bennett, The Diophantine equation $(x^k - 1)(y^k - 1) = (z^k - 1)^t$, Indagationes Math-
- ematicae, **18(4)**(2007), 507–525.
- [3] J. H. E. Cohn, The diophantine equation $(a^n 1)(b^n 1) = x^2$, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica, 44(2) (2002), 169-175.
- [4] L. Hajdu and L. Szalay, On the Diophantine Equations $(2^n-1)(6^n-1)=x^2$ and $(a^n-1)(6^n-1)=x^2$ $1)(a^{k\tilde{n}}-1)=x^2,$ Periodica Mathematica Hungarica, 40 (2000), 141–145.
- [5] B. He, C. Liu, The diophantine equation $(2^k 1)(3^k 1) = x^n$, Periodica Mathematica Hungarica, 91 (2025), 582-587.
- [6] M. H. Le, A note on the exponential Diophantine equation $(2^n-1)(b^n-1)=x^2$, Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen **74(3-4)** (2009): 453-455.
- [7] F. Luca and P. G. Walsh, The product of like-indexed terms in binary recurrences, Journal of Number Theory, 96(1) (2002), 152-173.
- [8] A. H. Parvardi, Lifting the exponent lemma (LTE). available at https://artofproblemsolving. com/community/c6h393335p2198886.
- [9] L. Szalay, On the Diophantine equation $(2^n 1)(3^n 1) = x^2$, Publicationes Mathematicae Debrecen, **57(1-2)** (2000), 1–9.

(Chang Liu) Mathematisches Institut der Universität Göttingen, Bunsenstr. 3-5, DE-37073, GÖTTINGEN, GERMANY

Email address, Chang Liu: chang.liu@mathematik.uni-goettingen.de

(Bo He) 1. Mathematisches Institut der Universität Göttingen, Bunsenstr. 3-5, DE-37073, GÖTTINGEN, GERMANY; 2. APPLIED MATHEMATICS INSITITUTE OF ABA TEACHERS UNI-VERSITY, WENCHUAN, SICHUAN, 623002, P. R. CHINA

 ${\it Email~address},~{\rm Bo~He:~bo.he@mathematik.uni-goettingen.de,~bhe@live.cn}$